

Edgar Filing: AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC - Form 8-K

AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC

Form 8-K

February 14, 2003

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 8-K
CURRENT REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report: (Date of earliest event reported)
February 14, 2003

Commission file number 0-24787

AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

51-0310342

(State or other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

2828 NORTH HASKELL
DALLAS, TEXAS 75204
(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip Code)

(214) 841-6111
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

ITEM 7. EXHIBITS:

(c) Exhibits

These Exhibits are furnished pursuant to Item 9 and should not be deemed to be "filed" under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Exhibit No. -----	Description -----
99.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 9.06 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to

Edgar Filing: AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC - Form 8-K

18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to
Section 9.06 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

ITEM 9. REGULATION FD DISCLOSURE

On February 14, 2003, Jeffrey A. Rich, Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant and Warren D. Edwards, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, each submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission his sworn statement pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 9.06 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Registrant hereby incorporates by reference into this Item 9, the information set forth in the statements and certifications attached hereto as Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2. Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, such statements and certifications and the information set forth therein is deemed to be furnished and shall not be deemed to be filed.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Dated: February 14, 2003

Affiliated Computer Services, Inc.

By: /s/ Warren D. Edwards

Warren D. Edwards
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. -----	Description -----
99.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 9.06 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 9.06 of

"Commission", was notified by Germany of plans to provide support in respect of the Stendal mill and, in June 2002, the Commission determined not to raise any objections against such support. In its decision, the Commission was not called upon to determine whether the grant aid schemes, on which such support was based, were acceptable but was limited to a determination as to whether a reduction of the pre-approved aid level for investment in the German state of Sachsen-Anhalt was required under European law. As previously disclosed by the Company, in December 2003, two related manufacturers of, among other things, OSB and MDF boards that do not compete with the Stendal mill by selling pulp or paper, filed an appeal with the Court of First Instance of the European Communities (Luxembourg), referred to as the "Court", against the Commission decision. Generally, to be successful, an appeal must show that the Commission failed to comply with procedural requirements or committed a manifest error in assessing facts and data in adopting its decision.

Recently, the Court in an unrelated case determined that the Commission committed a procedural error in determining the amount of state aid that could be granted by Germany to a recipient in a different business. The Court found the Commission erred when reviewing the effect of state aid on competition by only considering capacity utilization and not also considering product demand trends prior to providing its approval. As a result, in that case the Court set aside the Commission approval and remanded the matter back to the Commission to redetermine. The Court's decision is being appealed by the aid recipient and the government of Germany. If such appeal is unsuccessful, the Commission will have to redetermine the matter based upon its mandated criteria and may come to the same determination as before. The procedure followed by the Commission in this remanded decision was similar to that it used in determining not to reduce the amount of state aid available to the Stendal mill. The remanded case does not affect Stendal's current entitlement to receive grants, the balance of which are expected to be received in 2005.

Edgar Filing: AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES INC - Form 8-K

Although no assurance can be provided, the Company continues to believe that the appellant does not have any standing to bring the appeal as it is not a competitor of Stendal and, in any event, that the appeal is without merit. Further, the procedural error found by the Court in the remanded case was not raised in the Stendal appeal and the Company does not believe the Court should permit the appellant to amend its appeal at this stage.

Subject to the Court's schedule, the Company believes a hearing as to whether the appellant has standing to bring the appeal would be heard in 2005. If the Court determined the appellant had standing, such decision was upheld on appeal and the matter is not otherwise settled, the Company believes that a hearing on the merits of the appeal would occur in late 2006 or 2007. In the event the appellant was then successful on the merits and such decision was again upheld on appeal, the issue of whether the amount of state aid granted to the Stendal mill should be reduced would be remanded back to the Commission for reconsideration. Although we cannot assure you as to the outcome of any such redetermination, we believe that, given the Commission's criteria and the factual circumstances related to the Stendal mill including demand trends in the pulp business, there would be no basis for the Commission to reduce the level of state aid. If the Commission determined to reduce the level of state aid available to the Stendal mill and such decision was upheld on appeal, Stendal would be required to repay a portion of the previously received state aid back to the German government. While we do not expect an adverse outcome, litigation is inherently uncertain and there can be no assurance of the final outcome.

Stendal has entered into foreign currency derivatives in order to swap approximately €306 million of long term indebtedness outstanding under the Stendal mill's project loan facility into U.S. dollars at a rate of 1.2960 with a maturity in October 2017. Stendal also entered into a \$50 million currency forward contract at a rate of 1.3108 with a maturity in February 2006.

The preceding includes forward looking statements which involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties which may cause the Company's actual results in future periods to differ materially from forecasted results. Among those factors which could cause actual results to differ materially are the following: market conditions, competition, construction and equipment performance risks, results of litigation and other risk factors listed from time to time in the Company's SEC reports.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

/s/ DAVID M. GANDOSI

David M. Gandossi
Chief Financial Officer

Date: February 2, 2005

QuickLinks

SIGNATURES