

XYRATEX LTD
Form 20-F
February 22, 2011

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[FINANCIAL STATEMENTS](#)

**XYRATEX LTD
ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED
NOVEMBER 30, 2010**

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 20-F

o **REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR 12(g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

or

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2010

or

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

or

o **SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
(Commission file number **000-50799**)

XYRATEX LTD

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Bermuda

(Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

**Langstone Road
Havant PO9 1SA
United Kingdom
(011) 44 2392 496000**

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each Exchange on which registered
Common Shares, par value \$0.01 per share	Nasdaq Stock Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: XYRATEX LTD - Form 20-F

None
(Title of class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the Annual Report:

30,276,271 common shares, par value \$0.01 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

Note checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from their obligations under those sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards
as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Introduction</u>	2
<u>Industry Data</u>	2
<u>Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	3
<u>Part I</u>	4
<u>Item 1: Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers</u>	4
<u>Item 2: Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u>	4
<u>Item 3: Key Information</u>	5
<u>Item 4: Information on the Company</u>	20
<u>Item 4A: Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	38
<u>Item 5: Operating and Financial Review and Prospects (Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations)</u>	39
<u>Item 6: Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u>	58
<u>Item 7: Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u>	68
<u>Item 8: Financial Information</u>	69
<u>Item 9: The Offer and Listing</u>	69
<u>Item 10: Additional Information</u>	71
<u>Item 11: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	76
<u>Item 12: Description of Securities other than Equity Securities</u>	77
<u>Part II</u>	78
<u>Item 13: Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u>	78
<u>Item 14: Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u>	78
<u>Item 15: Controls and Procedures</u>	78
<u>Item 16: Other Information</u>	79
<u>Part III</u>	82
<u>Item 17: Financial Statements</u>	82

<u>Item 18:</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>82</u>
<u>Item 19:</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>83</u>

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

Xyratex Ltd is a limited liability company incorporated under the laws of Bermuda. Xyratex Ltd was incorporated on April 10, 2002 and is registered with the Registrar of Companies in Bermuda under registration number EC 31989. As a Bermuda company we are governed by the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda. We maintain a registered office in Bermuda at Clarendon House, Church Street, Hamilton, Bermuda. Our principal executive offices are located at Langstone Road, Havant PO9 1SA, United Kingdom and the telephone number for these offices is (011) 44 2392 496000. Our agent for service of process in the United States is Chris Sharman, 46831 Lakeview Blvd, Fremont, California 94538, USA (telephone: (510) 687 5200).

We conducted an initial public offering in the United States and listing of our common shares on the Nasdaq National Market on June 29, 2004. Our common shares trade on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC under the symbol "XRTX" and are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Our business began as part of IBM in 1966. We conducted our business as a manufacturing and development operation until December 1994, at which time we separated from IBM in a management buy-out. Today Xyratex is a leading provider of enterprise class data storage subsystems and hard disk drive capital equipment. The Networked Storage Solutions division designs and manufactures a range of advanced, scalable data storage solutions for the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) community. As the largest capital equipment supplier to the industry, the Storage Infrastructure division enables disk drive manufacturers and their component suppliers to meet today's technology and productivity requirements. Xyratex has over 25 years of experience in research and development relating to disk drives, storage systems and manufacturing process technology.

In this Annual Report, except as otherwise indicated or as the context otherwise requires, the "Company", "Group", "Xyratex", "we", "us" and "our" refers to Xyratex Ltd and its subsidiaries.

INDUSTRY DATA

In this Annual Report, we refer to information regarding the Networked Storage Solutions Market and the Storage Infrastructure Market from the following independent research companies: Coughlin Associates; Gartner; International Data Corporation or IDC; and TrendFocus.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report includes forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact included in this Annual Report regarding our business, financial condition, results of operations and certain of our plans, objectives, assumptions, expectations or beliefs with respect to these items and statements regarding other future events or prospects, are forward-looking statements. These statements include, without limitation, those concerning: our strategy and our ability to achieve it; expectations regarding sales, profitability and growth; our possible or assumed future results of operations; capital expenditure and investment plans; adequacy of capital; and financing plans. The words "aim," "may," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "future," "continue," "help," "estimate," "plan," "intend," "should," "could," "would," "shall" or the negative or other variations thereof as well as other statements regarding matters that are not historical fact, are or may constitute forward-looking statements. In addition, this Annual Report includes forward-looking statements relating to our potential exposure to various types of market risks, such as foreign exchange rate risk, interest rate risks and other risks related to financial assets and liabilities. We have based these forward-looking statements on our management's current view with respect to future events and financial performance. These views reflect the best judgment of our management but involve a number of risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those predicted in our forward-looking statements and from past results, performance or achievements. Although we believe that the estimates reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, such estimates may prove to be incorrect. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risk and uncertainty because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that will occur in the future. There are a number of factors that could cause actual results and developments to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. For further discussion of these factors and other risks, see "Part I, Item 3D Risk Factors" and "Item 5 Operating and Financial Review and Prospects."

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1: IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2: OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3: KEY INFORMATION****Item 3A: Selected Financial Data**

The following selected consolidated financial data, set forth below for each of the last five fiscal years, is not necessarily indicative of results of future operations and should be read in conjunction with "Item 5: Operating and Financial Review and Prospects (Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations)" and the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes thereto included in "Item 18: Financial Statements", which are incorporated herein by reference, to fully understand factors that may affect the comparability of the information presented below.

The selected historical consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and balance sheet data for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009 presented below have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report. The selected historical consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended November 30, 2007 and 2006 and balance sheet data for the years ended November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 presented below have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements not included in this report.

	Year Ended November 30,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(U.S. dollars in thousands)				
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenues:					
Networked Storage Solutions	\$ 1,258,940	\$ 762,028	\$ 855,770	\$ 693,990	\$ 598,752
Storage Infrastructure	342,943	105,863	193,946	237,643	384,881
Total revenues	1,601,883	867,891	1,049,716	931,633	983,633
Gross profit:					
Networked Storage Solutions	166,882	97,981	107,275	100,573	82,762
Storage Infrastructure	114,427	28,202	52,566	69,716	115,447
Non-cash equity compensation	(1,541)	(907)	(1,264)	(1,238)	(923)
Total gross profit	279,768	125,276	158,577	169,051	197,286
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	92,705	71,062	85,897	77,559	71,391
Selling, general and administrative	60,002	56,463	63,686	61,977	60,449
Amortization of intangible assets	3,669	3,939	4,882	7,304	5,123
Impairment of goodwill			34,256		
Restructuring costs		5,898			
Total operating expenses	156,376	137,362	188,721	146,840	136,963
Operating income (loss)	123,392	(12,086)	(30,144)	22,211	60,323
Other income				890	3,167
Interest income, net	45	114	1,618	3,283	1,162
Income (loss) before income taxes	123,437	(11,972)	(28,526)	26,384	64,652
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(15,991)	4,442	19,383	(1,725)	6474
Net income (loss)	139,428	(16,414)	(47,909)	28,109	58,178
	\$ 4.63	\$ (0.56)	\$ (1.64)	\$ 0.97	\$ 2.03

Edgar Filing: XYRATEX LTD - Form 20-F

Net earnings (loss) per common
share basic

Net earnings (loss) per common share diluted	\$	4.46	\$	(0.56)	\$	(1.64)	\$	0.94	\$	1.97
---	----	------	----	--------	----	--------	----	------	----	------

Weighted average common
shares (in thousands) used in
calculating net earnings (loss)
per share:

Basic	30,101	29,402	29,157	28,985	28,663
Diluted	31,270	29,402	29,157	29,866	29,604

5

Table of Contents

	As of November 30,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
(U.S. dollars in thousands)					
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 90,842	\$ 51,935	\$ 28,013	\$ 70,678	\$ 56,921
Working capital	297,405	161,277	145,653	161,922	129,320
Total assets	580,982	354,250	373,187	410,275	375,680
Short-term borrowings and current portion of acquisition note payable					4,000
Long-term debt and acquisition note payable, net of current portion					3,000
Total debt					7,000
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 367,331	\$ 219,238	\$ 213,589	\$ 273,261	\$ 234,494
Number of shares issued and outstanding:					
Common shares	30,276	29,461	29,146	29,117	28,793

Item 3B: Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not applicable.

Item 3C: Reason for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 3D: Risk Factors

The key risks relating to our business and industry are included below. Additional risks of which we are presently not aware or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business.

Sales to a small number of customers represent a substantial portion of our revenues. The loss of any of our major customers could significantly harm our financial condition.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from a relatively small number of customers. In our 2010 fiscal year, sales to our top six customers accounted for 91% of our revenues with sales to NetApp, Dell, Seagate and IBM accounting for 41%, 14%, 13% and 11% of our revenues respectively. In our 2009 fiscal year, sales to our top six customers accounted for 87% of our revenues with sales to NetApp and Dell accounting for 48% and 15% of our revenues respectively. It is likely that a small number of customers will continue to account for a substantial portion of our revenues in the future. If we were to lose one of our major customers, experience any material reduction in orders from any of these customers or experience a deterioration in our relationships with any of these customers, our financial condition could be significantly harmed.

In 2008, we reached an agreement with NetApp which enabled them to utilize contract manufacturers, under license, as an alternative source for a proportion of the products we supply. Any large penetration of contract manufacturers into our major customers could adversely affect our revenues and financial condition.

Our customers operate in an industry that experiences frequent volatility. If any of our top customers were to suffer financial difficulties, whether as a result of downturns in the markets, loss of market share in which they operate or otherwise, our financial condition could be significantly harmed.

We may lose some of our emerging customers within the NSS division if they are acquired by a larger customer or company which may decide not to continue using Xyratex to provide its products.

Table of Contents

The markets in which we operate are cyclical and a reduction in customer demand in any particular financial period could significantly harm our financial condition.

Customer demand is cyclical in the technology industry in general, and the disk drive production equipment market in particular. One reason for the particular variability in demand for these products is that, for our customers, the decision to invest in new or upgraded production facilities is a strategic decision that involves a significant commitment of their financial resources. A customer's decision is dependent upon several factors, including its financial condition, the condition and obsolescence of its existing production facilities, the expected demand for its products and general confidence in its business. Our revenues are likely to continue to reflect the cyclical nature of the technology industry.

Demand for our disk drive production equipment products is also linked to developments in the disk drive market. The market for disk drives has historically experienced periods of production over-capacity which have in turn led to the deterioration of market prices for data storage products. Consolidation activity could impact the normal pattern of demand versus supply as the hard disk customers move business away from the consolidated entity to achieve an independent dual source strategy. Competitive activity usually increases during this period of market share realignment as each company tries to grow their share and often results in increased disk drive inventories in the channel. The confidence of our customers to invest in new disk drive production equipment does not usually recover until supplies of disk drives are reduced or new technologies are introduced. Future over-capacity and further consolidation in the disk drive market could result in a significant decrease in demand for our products, and this could significantly harm our financial condition.

Because original equipment manufacturers comprise a substantial portion of our customer base, we have limited control over the volume and pricing of our products, which could significantly harm our financial condition.

We sell our Networked Storage Solutions (NSS) products primarily to original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, and our Storage Infrastructure (SI) products primarily to disk drive manufacturers. As a result, the quantity of products that we sell is significantly affected by our OEM customers' volume requirements, over which we have little control. We are subject to continued pricing pressures from our customers, particularly our OEM customers. If these volume requirements decrease or pricing pressures increase, our financial condition could be significantly harmed.

Our operating results are subject to substantial quarterly and annual fluctuations, our period to period comparisons are not necessarily meaningful and we may not meet the expectations of public market analysts and investors.

Our revenues in any quarter are substantially dependent upon customer orders in that quarter. We attempt to project future orders based in part on estimates from our major customers. For this purpose, arrangements with major customers will usually include the estimated future volume requirements of that customer. Our customers' estimated requirements are not always accurate and we therefore cannot predict our quarterly revenues with any degree of certainty.

Our typical pricing model is based on several variables (including overall volume of products ordered and the type and cost of components) which also makes it difficult for us to accurately predict future revenues. In addition, we regularly develop new products. Revenues from new products are difficult for us to predict accurately and are usually at a higher initial cost due to the low initial volumes. Any delay in the development of new products could further complicate revenue predictions and result in a reduction in our expected revenues.

Table of Contents

Our quarterly operating results have fluctuated significantly in the past, as shown in the table below.

Quarter	Revenues	Net Income (Loss)
	(unaudited)	
	(U.S. dollars in thousands)	
First Quarter 2009	\$ 183,885	\$ (16,128)
Second Quarter 2009	194,739	(9,643)
Third Quarter 2009	246,294	7,807
Fourth Quarter 2009	242,973	1,550
First Quarter 2010	318,966	26,277
Second Quarter 2010	455,899	43,662
Third Quarter 2010	430,236	37,234
Fourth Quarter 2010	\$ 396,782	\$ 32,255

In addition, we may derive a significant portion of our revenues in each quarter from a small number of relatively large orders. If one or more of our major customers decides to defer a purchase order in any given quarter, this is likely to result in reduced total revenues for that quarter. Accordingly, comparisons of our quarterly results of operations or other period to period comparisons are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied on as an indication of our future performance.

Our quarterly and annual revenues and results of operations may also fluctuate significantly if one or more of the risk factors identified in this Annual Report occurs and, depending upon the timing of that event, may have a disproportionate effect in any given quarter or year. In addition, it is possible that some future results of operations may be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors.

Our gross margins may vary based on the configuration of our products and the mix in a period.

We derive a significant proportion of our sales from the sale of disk drives as components of our storage systems and the market is highly competitive and subject to intense pricing pressures. Our sales related to disk drives generate lower gross margins than other components of our storage systems. As a result if we sell systems with greater disk drive content our overall gross margins may be negatively affected.

Our gross margins have been and may continue to be affected by a variety of other factors, including:

Changes in product mix and the component content of products.

Shipment volumes a proportion of our cost of sales is fixed and therefore increases or decreases in volumes result in increases or decreases in gross margins.

New product introductions or product enhancements.

Inventory valuation adjustments as a result of changes in demand forecasts or product defects as we transition our product.

Additional freight, transportation and other one-time costs to expedite component purchases or move finished product between locations to satisfy short term changes in demand.

Commoditization of hardware. As the hardware we sell becomes more commoditized our overall margins are affected. Without significant differentiation, services and software, our margins will be impacted in the future.

Decreases in our gross margin from any of these factors could significantly harm our financial condition.

Table of Contents

Our market is highly competitive and we may not be able to compete effectively.

We operate in markets that are highly competitive and subject to rapid change and that are significantly affected by new product introductions and other market activities of industry participants. We expect competition to persist and intensify in the future. Our principal sources of competition include:

companies providing storage subsystems and components to OEMs, including Dot Hill Systems Corp., LSI Corporation, MiTAC International Corporation and Sanmina-SCI Corporation (doing business as Newisys), together with larger suppliers such as EMC Corporation and Hitachi, Ltd.;

electronic manufacturing services (EMS) companies acquiring the necessary skills and intellectual property to enter the Storage Systems marketplace. For example, Sanmina-SCI competes with us through its subsidiary, Newisys, a company formed after the acquisition of certain assets from Adaptec Inc., a competitor to our storage systems business;

companies providing capital equipment to disk drive manufacturers, including existing suppliers of products such as, Flexstar Technology Inc., Hitachi High-Technologies Corp., SpeedFam Clean System Co., Ltd. (a subsidiary of SpeedFam Co., Ltd.) and Teradyne, Inc.;

in-house development efforts by existing and potential customers;

collaborations between in-house development teams and a combination of EMS, contract electronic manufacturing (CEM) or emerging technology companies.

In addition, we face potential competition from new entrants including our current technology suppliers.

Some of our current and potential competitors may have longer operating histories, lower operating costs, or greater financial, technical, marketing or other resources than we do and we cannot assure you that we will have the resources to compete successfully in the future. In addition, some of our competitors have the resources to enable them to adopt aggressive pricing policies to gain market share or to shift production to lower cost regions. If we are unable to compete successfully against our current and future competitors, we could experience profit margin reductions or loss of market share, which could significantly harm our financial condition.

Our competitors may consolidate or form alliances with each other in the future. The successful consolidation of two or more of our competitors could result in the combination of their resources and technological capabilities. This could result in a more formidable competitor with improved access to a wider customer base and improved economies of scale and could result in the loss by us of significant market share. In addition, any future consolidation between any of our competitors and any of our suppliers could result in increased costs for the supply of components from that supplier or the need to find an alternative source for the supply of those components. If we are unable to identify an alternative supplier then our ability to manufacture our products at acceptable prices or to deliver our products on time could be impaired. Moreover, future consolidation between any of our competitors and any of our customers could result in a decrease in the volume of purchases from that customer or the loss of that customer altogether. Industry consolidation within the markets in which we operate could adversely affect our revenues and negatively impact our competitive position.

Table of Contents

The recent financial crisis, the resulting recession in many countries and future changes in the world economy could negatively impact our business.

In 2008 the global financial markets experienced significant turmoil which led to, among other things, a loss in consumer confidence, rising unemployment, reduced availability of credit, concerns over solvency of financial and other institutions and stock market volatility. As a result there was a recession in 2009 in many parts of the world including the U.S., Europe and certain countries in Asia which resulted in a decline in our revenues in 2009. There was economic stabilization and a recovery in the world economy and in the market for our products in 2010 and this contributed to an increase in our revenues. However, the economies in many parts of the world continue to be impacted by financial instability and the effects of the recession. If the recovery is not sustained our business could be negatively affected in a number of ways, including customers reducing or delaying orders, increased pricing pressures and reduced ability to forecast demand and manage inventory.

The reduced availability of credit may also impact our business. For example, we may not be able to renew our credit facilities with HSBC and alternative sources of financing may be more difficult or impossible to obtain.

These factors could cause a reduction in profits or increased losses, increase our cash requirements or require us to take a material impairment charge related to our assets, any of which may result in a decline in our share price.

The success of our business depends on the continued high growth of the volume of digital information and the market for data communication networks. If this growth does not occur at the rate anticipated our business may be significantly harmed.

Virtually all of our products find application in data storage and in the establishment and operation of data communication networks. If the growth that we and others have forecasted in the data storage and data communication networking markets does not occur at the rate we expect, our business may be significantly harmed.

Claims by third parties that we infringe their intellectual property or that patents on which we rely are invalid could significantly harm our financial condition, and the enforcement of our intellectual property rights may be expensive and could divert valuable company resources.

We operate in an industry characterized by frequent disputes over intellectual property. Third parties have in the past asserted, and in the future may assert, patent, copyright, trademark and other intellectual property rights to technologies that are important to our business and make claims that our products and technologies infringe their intellectual property, which could result in infringement lawsuits being filed against us. Any claims, whether made directly against us or through the arrangements we often enter into with our customers, could result in costly litigation, divert the attention of our technical and management personnel from operating our business, cause product shipment delays, or prevent us from making or selling certain products. In addition, we cannot give assurances that we would prevail in any litigation related to infringement claims against us. Generally, our liability insurance does not cover claims of this type. Moreover, as a result of these sorts of claims, we could be required to enter into royalty or licensing agreements which, if available, may not be available on commercially reasonable terms. We expect that providers of storage products will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors increases.

Table of Contents

We may also need to assert claims against others in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, or to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, and we cannot be certain that we would prevail in any future litigation. For example, in July 2008 we filed a complaint against Teradyne, Inc. in connection with our belief that they were breaching one of our patents. In December 2009, a confidential settlement agreement was reached. Any litigation of this nature, whether or not determined in our favor or settled by us, would be costly and could divert valuable company resources. The enforcement by third parties of their intellectual property rights against us or the failure to successfully protect our intellectual property rights could significantly harm our financial condition.

The markets for our products are characterized by frequent technological innovation. If we do not successfully develop new products in a timely manner our future operating results and competitive position could be significantly harmed.

The markets for our products are characterized by rapid technological change, frequent new product introductions and technology enhancements, uncertain product life cycles and changes in customer demands. We cannot give assurances that the design of future products will be completed as scheduled, that we will not experience difficulties that delay or prevent successful development, introduction, marketing and licensing of new products, or that any new products that we may introduce will achieve market acceptance or commercial success. In addition, the introduction of products based on new technologies and new industry standards could render our existing products obsolete and unmarketable and could devalue our previous investment in research and development. If we do not successfully develop new products in a timely manner our future profitability and competitive position could be significantly harmed. As a result of rapid technological changes, we may have to exit markets in which we operate. If we cannot manage the impact of the disruption on our existing customer base, our financial condition could be harmed.

The markets for our products are also characterized by technological change driven in part by the adoption of new industry standards. These standards coordinate the natural competitive behavior within the technology spaces and provide mechanisms to ensure technology component interoperability can occur. If any of our markets or technology space becomes completely defined by such standards it would reduce any capability for differentiation or innovation and our affected products would revert to commodity status. This could lower the barriers to entry to our market away from our specialist research and development skills and enable entry for the general-purpose design skills found in some large EMS and CEM companies. Commodity markets are driven by extremely low margins and very aggressive competitive pricing. If our market becomes more commoditized and we fail to deliver innovative value-added alternatives to our customers we will have great difficulty competing against the larger EMS and CEM companies and our financial condition could be harmed.

Table of Contents

We are dependent on single source suppliers and limited source suppliers for certain key components and subassemblies.

Our manufacturing process depends on the availability and timely supply of components and subassemblies which meet our specifications and quality demands. Some of the components that we integrate into our own products are highly specialized and may only be available from a single source or a limited number of suppliers, particularly semi conductor suppliers. In addition, we depend on Flextronics International Ltd. as our sole source supplier for the provision of electronic printed circuit board assemblies (PCBAs). We are dependent on a number of other companies as sole source suppliers for certain subassemblies. Our reliance on Flextronics reduces our control over the manufacturing process, exposing us to risks including reduced control over quality assurance, increased production costs and reduced product supply. If we fail to manage effectively our relationship with Flextronics, or if Flextronics experiences delays, disruptions, capacity constraints or quality control problems in their manufacturing operations, our ability to ship products to our customers could be impaired and our competitive position and reputation could be damaged. Moreover, if any of our suppliers were to cancel or materially change their commitments to us or fail to meet the quality or delivery requirements needed to satisfy customer demand for our products, we could lose time-sensitive orders, be unable to develop or sell some products cost-effectively or on a timely basis, if at all, and have significantly decreased revenues, margins and earnings, which would have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, our suppliers may go out of business, be impacted by natural disasters or may cease production of components, and it can take a substantial period of time to qualify a new supplier of components. Moreover, we obtain these components through purchase order arrangements and do not have long-term supply agreements in place with our suppliers.

We often aim to lead the market in new technology deployments and leverage unique technology from single source suppliers who are early adopters in the emerging market. Our options in supplier selection in these cases are limited and the supplier based technology may consequently be single sourced until wider adoption of the technology occurs. In such cases any technical issues in the supplier's technology may cause us to delay shipments of our new technology deployments and therefore harm our financial position.

We are heavily dependent on our proprietary technology and our competitors may gain access to this technology.

We depend heavily on our proprietary technology and rely on a combination of patent, copyright and trade secret laws to protect our intellectual property and expertise. We also attempt to protect our trade secrets and other proprietary information through confidentiality agreements with our customers, suppliers and employees and through other security measures. Despite these efforts, we cannot give assurances that others will not gain access to our trade secrets or that we can fully protect our intellectual property. In addition, effective trade secret protection may be unavailable or limited in certain countries in which we operate. Nor can we guarantee that our competitors will not independently develop comparable technologies. We cannot rely on our patents to provide us with any significant competitive advantage. Failure to protect our proprietary rights could significantly harm our financial condition.

Table of Contents

Our products are complex and may contain defects that are detected only after deployment in complex networks and systems.

Our products are highly complex and are designed to form part of larger complex networks and systems. Defects in our products, or in the networks and systems of which they form a part, may directly or indirectly result in:

increased costs and product delays until complex solution level interoperability issues are resolved;

costs associated with the remediation of any problems attributable to our products;

loss of or delays in revenues;

loss of customers;

failure to achieve market acceptance and loss of market share;

increased service and warranty costs; and

increased insurance costs.

Defects in our products could also result in legal actions by our customers for property damage, injury or death. Product liability claims could exceed the level of insurance coverage that we have obtained to cover defects in our products. Any significant uninsured claims could significantly harm our financial condition.

Our future growth may depend in part on our successfully identifying and executing acquisitions, joint ventures and strategic relationships.

Our growth strategy may involve acquisitions, strategic alliances or joint ventures. These transactions involve certain risks resulting from the difficulties of integrating employees, operations, technologies and products. We may incur significant acquisition, administrative and other costs in connection with these transactions, including costs related to the integration of acquired or restructured businesses. In order to successfully integrate acquired operations into our business we may be required to expend significant funds, incur debt or assume liabilities, any of which could negatively affect our operations. In addition, the successful integration of acquired operations may also require substantial attention from our senior management, which may limit the amount of time available to be devoted to the day-to-day operations of our business or the execution of our business strategy. There can be no assurances that any of the businesses we acquire can be successfully integrated or that they will perform well once integrated. Additionally, we may be required to record expenses for write-downs of goodwill or other intangible assets associated with our acquisitions.

We have a long and unpredictable sales cycle.

Our products are technically complex and we typically supply them in high quantities to a small number of customers. Many of our products are also tailored to meet the specific requirements of individual customers, and are often integrated by our customers into the systems and products that they sell. Factors that affect the length of our sales cycle include:

the time required for testing and evaluating our products before they are deployed;

the size of the deployment; and

the degree of system configuration necessary to deploy our products.

Table of Contents

As a result, our sales cycle may take up to 18 months, and the length of our sales cycle is frequently unpredictable. In addition, the emerging and evolving nature of the market for the products that we sell may lead prospective customers to postpone their purchasing decisions. We invest resources and incur costs during this cycle that may not be recovered if we do not successfully conclude sales. These factors lead to difficulty in matching revenues with expenses, and to increased expenditures which together may contribute to declines in our results of operations and our share price.

We operate in the United States, Asia and the United Kingdom and we cannot predict the impact that risks typically associated with conducting business internationally will have on our business.

We have operations in the United States, Asia and the United Kingdom, and we market and sell our products throughout the world. As a result, we are exposed to risks typically associated with conducting business internationally, many of which are beyond our control. These risks include:

significant currency fluctuations between the U.S. dollar (in which our revenues are principally denominated) and the U.K. pound (in which certain of our costs are denominated);

complexities of managing our operations in the United States, the United Kingdom and Malaysia;

uncertainty owing to the overlap of different legal regimes, a possibly disadvantageous legal position due to the application of foreign law as well as problems in asserting contractual or other rights across international borders, for example, warranty claims against suppliers and claims for payment against customers;

potentially adverse tax consequences, such as transfer pricing arrangements between the countries in which we operate or a deemed change in the tax residence of one or more of our subsidiaries;

potential tariffs and other trade barriers;

unexpected changes in regulatory requirements;

the burden and expense of complying with the laws and regulations of various jurisdictions;

the impact of an outbreak of a viral pandemic, such as swine flu, severe acute respiratory syndrome, or SARS, or the avian flu virus, on our employees or suppliers;

the impact of a natural disaster affecting a large geographical region, for example, the ash cloud from the volcanic eruptions in Iceland in 2010, could affect either supply lines, our ability to produce products internally, deliver our products or our customers ability to pay or purchase new products; and

the world is experiencing sustained levels of unusual weather patterns in all geographies, which could deteriorate further in the future. As a global operation, we are affected by any adverse weather patterns that cause us to invest in extensive plant and machinery to protect our buildings and production operations across the globe or which adversely affect our ability to ship on time to customers, design product, receive material, or relocate out of a specific geography.

The occurrence of any of these events could significantly harm our financial condition.

Table of Contents

We have experienced operating losses in the past and there can be no assurance that we will be profitable in the future.

We recorded operating losses of \$12.1 million and \$30.1 million in our 2009 and 2008 fiscal years, respectively. We expect to continue to incur significant product development, administrative and sales and marketing expenses as well as costs associated with potential future acquisitions and therefore we will need to generate significant revenues in order to achieve profitability. We cannot assure you that we can achieve operating income on a quarterly or annual basis in the future.

We are dependent upon hiring and retaining highly qualified management and technical personnel.

We operate in the storage and networking technology markets. Our key management and technical staff are located in the United Kingdom, the United States, and in Malaysia. Particularly in the United Kingdom and California, there is strong competition for the highly qualified management and technical personnel with experience in our markets that we need to run our business and to develop new technologies and products. In California, in particular, the rate of turnover of key personnel in our markets is high. Our future success depends in part on our continued ability to hire and retain well-qualified technical personnel. We also rely heavily on our senior management and their ability to maintain relationships with our key customers. Many of our senior managers would be difficult to replace. In addition, we do not maintain key-person life insurance on any member of our senior management, with the exception of our Chief Executive Officer. The loss of any of our key management or technical personnel could significantly harm our financial condition.

We may incur expenses related to obsolescence or devaluation of unsold inventory, or to reserves necessary to protect us against future write-offs of unsold inventory.

Failure by us to accurately estimate product demand could cause us to incur expenses related to obsolescence or devaluation of unsold inventory. Due to the nature of our sales arrangements and supply and production arrangements, we may carry a significant amount of unsold inventory. As part of our internal controls, we have comprehensive inventory controls which include management approval for significant inventory purchases and monthly reviews of inventory levels and obsolescence. In the final quarter of our 2008 fiscal year we recorded reserves related to inventory obsolescence of approximately \$5 million as a result of reduced forecast demand for our products. This was primarily related to the effect of a deterioration in the world economy on the markets we serve. Historically our costs related to obsolescence have been less than 0.5% of revenues. If we fail to accurately estimate product demand, our inventory may lose value or become obsolete before it is sold. This may require us to increase our reserves for obsolete inventory which could significantly harm our financial condition.

If our Malaysian subsidiary ceases to receive favorable tax treatment by the Malaysian government we may be subject to tax liability that could significantly harm our financial condition.

A large proportion of our SI revenues and a significant proportion of our NSS products are recorded by our Malaysian subsidiary, which benefits from tax incentives granted by the Malaysian government. These are currently in force until May 2012 for SI products and 2017 for NSS products. Our favorable tax treatment in Malaysia is dependent upon the success of meeting certain requirements set out by the Malaysian authorities and demonstrating to both the Malaysian and the U.K. tax authorities that transactions between the relevant parties take place on an arm's-length basis. The loss of these tax benefits could increase our tax liabilities for past, current and future years, which could significantly harm our financial condition.

Table of Contents

Geopolitical military conditions, including terrorist attacks and other acts of war, may materially and adversely affect the markets on which our common shares trade, the markets in which we operate, our operations and our financial condition.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of war, and any response to them, may lead to armed hostilities and such developments would likely cause instability in financial markets. Armed hostilities and terrorism may directly impact our facilities, personnel and operations which are located in the United States and internationally, as well as those of our customers and suppliers. Furthermore, severe terrorist attacks or acts of war may result in temporary halts of commercial activity in the affected regions, and may result in reduced demand for our products. These developments could have a material adverse affect on our business and the trading price of our common shares.

We could incur substantial costs, including clean-up costs, fines and civil or criminal sanctions, as a result of violations of or liabilities under environmental laws.

Our operations inside and outside the United States are subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, including those governing the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes and the clean-up of contaminated sites. Certain of our operations involve the use of substances regulated under various federal, state and international environmental laws. It is our policy to apply strict standards for environmental protection to sites inside and outside the United States, even if not subject to regulations imposed by local governments. We could incur substantial costs, including clean-up costs, fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party property damage or personal injury claims if we were to violate or become liable under environmental laws or become non-compliant with environmental permits required at our facilities.

Increasing Environmental Legislation could adversely affect our business if we are unable to comply with regional legislation and hence be unable to supply products to certain global regions.

The European Parliament has enacted the Restriction on Use of Hazardous Substances Directive (RoHS Directive), which restricts the sale of new electrical and electronic equipment containing certain hazardous substances, including lead, which is currently used in some of the products we manufacture. A further iteration of this legislation is currently being developed. China has introduced similar legislation being the "Administration on the Control of Pollution Caused by Electronic Information Products" (China RoHS), with further similar such legislation anticipated in the future by other countries. We have modified our manufacturing processes to eliminate these hazardous materials from our products and have complied with the RoHS directive since the restrictions came into force on July 1, 2006. Moreover, we believe we have complied with the currently defined China RoHS requirements. By working closely with our suppliers to redesign or reformulate their components containing the hazardous substances we have reduced or eliminated these materials from our products. However, if we do not continue to comply with these directives in the future, we may suffer a loss of revenue, be unable to sell in certain markets or countries and suffer competitive disadvantage.

Table of Contents

The European Parliament also enacted the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive, (WEEE Directive), which makes producers of electrical and electronic equipment financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. We may incur financial responsibility for the collection, recycling, treatment or disposal of products covered under the WEEE Directive. To meet certain legal requirements under this Directive, Xyratex has become a member of a business to business recycling scheme. Similar laws and regulations have been or may be enacted in other regions including the United States, China and Japan. These new restrictions may expand the list of banned hazardous substances or reduce the level of acceptable concentrations of other materials in our products. Although we do not expect any material adverse effects based on the nature of our operations and the effect of such laws, there is no assurance that such existing or future laws will not have a material adverse effect on our business.

The Registration Evaluation Authorisation (and restriction) of Chemicals (REACH) regulations came into force across European Union Member States in June 2007. The focus of REACH is to ensure that approximately 30,000 of the most frequently used substances, and all new substances, are registered along with the appropriate level of safety data. REACH stipulates that the use of 'substances of very high concern' (SVHC) may need to be authorized, which could impose significant costs to industry. While the direct involvement of Xyratex is small, this legislation may impact our supply chain, raising costs, potentially putting some suppliers out of business and making some materials and components unavailable. Other countries outside Europe may seek to introduce similar legislation to control hazardous substances. To date, Xyratex believes that none of the identified SVHCs is used within our products, but the list of SVHCs is expected to grow.

Legislation is also being released from various sources, including the U.S. and E.U., in relation to batteries. Such legislation restricts hazardous materials, requires marking such materials and specifies permissible packaging and transportation configurations.

Customers and potential customers may require compliance with environmental controls more stringent than those required by European legislation. These may be nationally driven or company driven, as leading players in an industry take specific unilateral initiatives in pursuit of a corporate environmental strategy. For example, in Japan some of our potential customers have developed their own environmental standards which include, amongst other things, restrictions on the type of insulation surrounding copper wire and cables.

In general, the global level of environmental control is rapidly increasing. While we endeavor to comply with these environmental controls, any failure to do so may harm our ability to work with certain customers or markets.

We may identify weaknesses and/or deficiencies with our controls over financial reporting when evaluating these controls for compliance with section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

We are required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to report annually on our evaluation of our internal controls over financial reporting. Although our assessment, testing, and evaluation resulted in our conclusion that as of November 30, 2010, our internal controls over financial reporting were effective, we cannot predict the outcome of our testing in future periods. If our internal controls are ineffective in future periods, our business and reputation could be harmed. We may incur additional expenses and commitment of management's time in connection with further evaluations, either of which could materially increase our operating expenses and accordingly reduce our net income.

Table of Contents

There are inherent uncertainties involved in estimates, judgments and assumptions used in the preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Any changes in estimates, judgments and assumptions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations.

The consolidated financial statements included in the periodic reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP involves making estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets (including intangible assets), liabilities and related reserves, revenues, expenses and income. Estimates, judgments and assumptions are inherently subject to change in the future, and any such changes could result in corresponding changes to the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and income. Any such changes could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Changes in securities laws and regulations have increased and may continue to increase our costs.

Changes in the laws and regulations affecting public companies, including the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules promulgated by the SEC, have increased and may continue to increase our expenses as we evaluate the implications of these rules and devote resources to respond to their requirements. In particular, we are incurring additional administrative expense to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which requires management and our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to report on our internal control over financial reporting.

In addition, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, on which our shares are listed, has also adopted comprehensive rules and regulations relating to corporate governance. These laws, rules and regulations have increased and will continue to increase the scope, complexity and cost of our corporate governance, reporting and disclosure practices. These developments may also make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance in the future, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain coverage. Further, our board members, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer could face an increased risk of personal liability in connection with the performance of their duties. As a result, we may have difficulty attracting and retaining qualified board members and executive officers, which would adversely affect our business.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or reports about our business, or if they change their recommendations regarding our shares adversely, our share price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common shares will be influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our shares, our share price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn could cause our share price or trading volume to decline.

Fluctuations in the price and volume of shares of technology companies or of listed companies generally could result in the volatility of our share price.

We are a storage and networking technology company. Stock markets generally have recently experienced extensive price and volume fluctuations, and the market prices of securities of technology companies in particular have experienced fluctuations that often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating results of those companies. Our share price has been subject to significant volatility and the price fell by 81% in the final quarter of our 2008 fiscal year, largely reflecting the market for shares such as ours. These market fluctuations are likely to continue to result in extreme volatility in the price of our common shares. You should also be aware that price volatility may be more pronounced if the trading volume of our common shares is low.

Table of Contents

Our principal shareholders and management own a significant percentage of our company and will be able to exercise significant influence over our company, and their interests may differ from those of our other shareholders.

Our executive officers and directors and principal shareholders and their affiliated entities together control approximately 31.6% of our issued and outstanding common shares. Accordingly, these shareholders, if they act together, have significant influence over our affairs. They may exercise this influence by voting at a meeting of the shareholders in a manner that advances their best interests and not necessarily those of other shareholders. This concentration of ownership also could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company or otherwise discouraging a potential acquirer from attempting to obtain control of us.

We are incorporated in Bermuda and, as a result, it may not be possible for shareholders to enforce civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States.

We are incorporated under the laws of Bermuda and a substantial portion of our assets and the majority of our executive officers and directors are located outside the United States. As a result, it may not be possible for the holders of our common shares to effect service of process upon us or our directors or officers within the United States or to enforce against us or our directors or officers in the United States court judgments based on the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States. In addition, there is significant doubt as to whether the courts of Bermuda would recognize or enforce judgments of United States courts obtained against us or our directors or officers based on the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States or any state thereof. Consequently, there is also significant doubt as to whether the courts of Bermuda would be prepared to entertain an original action in Bermuda based on those laws. We have been advised by our United States and Bermuda legal advisors that the United States and Bermuda do not currently have a treaty providing for the reciprocal recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters. Therefore, a final judgment for the payment of money rendered by any federal or state court in the United States based on civil liability, whether or not based on United States federal or state securities laws, would not be automatically enforceable in Bermuda.

Bermuda law differs from the laws in effect in the United States and may afford less protection to shareholders.

Holders of our common shares may have more difficulty in protecting their interests than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a jurisdiction of the United States. We are a Bermuda company and, accordingly, we are governed by the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, as amended. The Companies Act differs in certain material respects from laws generally applicable to United States corporations and shareholders, including:

Interested director transactions: Under the terms of our bye-laws, any director, or any director's firm, partner or any company with whom any director is associated, may act in a professional capacity for the company, other than as auditor, and such director or such director's firm, partner or such company is entitled to remuneration for professional services. Our bye-laws require that a director who is directly or indirectly interested in a proposed contract or arrangement declare the nature of that interest as required by the Companies Act 1981, but after such a declaration, unless disqualified by the Chairman of the relevant board meeting, such director may vote in respect of any contract or proposed contract or arrangement in which he or she is interested and may be counted in the quorum at such meeting. United States companies are generally required to obtain the approval of a majority of disinterested directors or the approval of shareholders before entering into any transaction or arrangement in which any of their directors has an interest, unless the transaction or arrangement is fair to the company at the time it is authorized by the company's board of directors or shareholders.

Table of Contents

Business combinations with interested shareholders: United States companies in general may not enter into business combinations with interested shareholders, namely certain large shareholders and affiliates, unless the business combination has been approved by the board of directors in advance or by a supermajority of shareholders or the business combination meets specified conditions. Under Bermuda law, and under our bye-laws, our board of directors may approve certain business combinations with interested shareholders without the need for a shareholder vote although certain business combinations, such as amalgamations, an arrangement under Bermuda law whereby two corporate entities combine and continue as a combined corporate entity but where neither of the original corporate entities cease to exist, usually require shareholder approval.

Shareholder suits: The circumstances in which a shareholder may bring a derivative action in Bermuda are significantly more limited than in the United States. In general, under Bermuda law, derivative actions are permitted only when the act complained of is alleged to be beyond the corporate power of the company, is illegal or would result in the violation of the company's memorandum of association or bye-laws. In addition, Bermuda courts would consider permitting a derivative action for acts that are alleged to constitute a fraud against the minority shareholders or, for instance, acts that require the approval of a greater percentage of the company's shareholders than those who actually approved them.

Limitations on directors' liability: Our bye-laws provide that each shareholder agrees to waive any claim or right of action he or she may have, whether individually or in the right of the company, against any director, except with respect to claims or rights of action arising out of the fraud or dishonesty of a director. This waiver may have the effect of barring certain claims against directors arising under U.S. federal securities laws. In general, United States companies may limit the personal liability of their directors as long as they acted in good faith and without knowing violation of law.

We have provisions in our bye-laws that may discourage a change of control.

Our bye-laws contain provisions that could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us without the consent of our board of directors. These provisions include:

a classified board of directors with staggered three-year terms;

the ability of our board of directors to determine the rights, preferences and privileges of our preference shares and to issue the preference shares without shareholder approval; and

the need for an affirmative vote of the holders of not less than 66% of our voting shares for certain business combination transactions which have not been approved by our board of directors.

These provisions could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if the third party's offer may be considered beneficial by many shareholders. As a result, shareholders may be limited in their ability to obtain a premium for their shares.

ITEM 4: INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

Item 4A: History and Development

See INTRODUCTION

Table of Contents

Item 4B: Business Overview

Company Overview

We are a leading provider of modular enterprise-class data storage subsystems and hard disk drive capital equipment. We operate in two business segments: Networked Storage Solutions (NSS) and Storage Infrastructure (SI).

Our NSS products provide modular, highly scalable, high-speed, high-density, high-availability, reliable, and flexible data storage. Our hard disk drive (HDD) based storage subsystems support a range of high-speed communication technologies to meet demanding cost and performance specifications. Our modular subsystem architecture allows us to support many segments within the networked storage market by enabling different specifications of storage subsystem designs, including Solid State Drives (SSDs), to be created from a standard set of interlocking technology modules. Using data published by an independent research company on the number of petabytes shipped in 2009 and 2010, we estimate that we are responsible for between 16% and 18% of the annual worldwide external storage system storage capacity shipments through our original equipment manufacturer (OEM) customer base. This amounted to approximately 3,038 petabytes in 2010, an increase from 1,534 petabytes in 2009 and 1,169 petabytes in 2008. A petabyte is a unit of measurement equal to one million gigabytes (GBs) of information. This significant growth was further validated by IDC's Worldwide Disk Storage Systems 2009 Vendor Shares: Year in Review (Doc #225847, December 2010), which showed Xyratex as the world's largest OEM Supplier in 2009 by revenue for the second year in a row.

Our SI product portfolio includes process, inspection and test equipment utilized in Disk Drive Assembly and Integration, Disk Drive Substrate and Media Manufacturing, and Disk Drive Head Fabrication. The company estimates that around 50% of the industry's hard drives are processed on Xyratex equipment. Based on the June 2010 bi-annual HDD capital equipment report from the independent market research firm Coughlin Associates, we estimate that our overall SI revenue represents approximately 11% of the HDD industry's capital equipment spending.

We have over 25 years of experience in research and development relating to HDDs, storage systems, high-speed communication protocols and manufacturing process technology. This experience has enabled us to establish long-term strategic relationships with customers and related technology suppliers. We believe that we have developed a leadership position in the market with a consistent focus on innovation. We have delivered compelling market advantages to our customers through innovation in data storage system and test equipment products that complement our customers' core competencies and objectives such as an automated test process solution for the HDD industry and new storage system interface technologies for storage subsystems. Our customers have been able to leverage the cost/performance benefits of these technologies before many of their competitors. Our leadership position continues to be enhanced with innovation in all of our storage and application platforms. These innovations include integrated power and memory protection modules, greater datacenter level efficiencies through denser product design, high availability application controllers and software that provides a common interface for an appliance and management software design that can be leveraged across current and new products.

Table of Contents

Xyratex continues to be committed to protecting our planet and reducing our carbon footprint through responsible product development, active waste management, and encouragement of environmental stewardship throughout the organization. Xyratex products are designed for energy efficiency and new feature and function introduced in 2008 further reduces power consumption, deepening our commitment to the customer and to the environment. Xyratex has an ongoing research and development program focused on power supply efficiency in anticipation of the release of the EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) based Energy Star storage system specification in 2011. Combined with Xyratex's innovative power management architecture, this will be a key requirement for our OEM customers.

Our storage subsystem and process equipment products enable our customers to improve asset utilization, reduce capital costs, improve energy efficiencies, and better focus on their value-added objectives.

Our patent portfolio continues to reflect our innovation with 421 filings worldwide. In addition, we manage a number of research consortia, with industrial and academic partners, focusing on long term needs of storage system users and markets. Our involvement with these organizations helps to provide insight to the emerging needs of the storage market. We have research and development, manufacturing, sales and customer support operations at our major locations in the United States, Asia and Europe. In addition, we have research and development activities located in India and Ukraine and we provide local support to major customer locations in Asia. We continually look for efficiencies and improvements in supply lines that can benefit our customers. For example, in 2007 we established NSS product manufacturing in Malaysia as our customers' demand in the Asia region increased. We also review our technology suppliers and sources to optimize operational and development costs.

We sell our NSS products primarily to Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs), and our SI products primarily to HDD manufacturers or their component suppliers. We form long-term strategic relationships with our customers, which include such names as NetApp, Dell, IBM, Seagate Technology, Hitachi, and Western Digital. We enter into joint development projects with our key customers and suppliers in order to research and introduce new technologies and products.

We believe that we derive advantages from the technology and skill synergies and requirements across our NSS and SI business segments. Both segments require the integration of many types of high-speed HDD technologies into a range of high-density, high-availability, scalable solutions.

Industry Overview

Worldwide storage demand continues to increase driving the HDD capacity growth from 346,800 petabytes in 2010 to an expected 1.84 million petabytes by 2014 (Source: Gartner: Market Statistics: Market Share and Forecast, Hard Disk Drives, Worldwide 2004-2014, March 2010). Our customers continue to view data storage as strategic to their business. This is largely driven by the volume of data that is being captured, processed, stored and manipulated as digital information. This information is generated from many sources, including critical business applications (e.g. e-mail communications, databases) and vertical applications (e.g. medical imaging, multimedia, cloud computing and High Performance Computing or HPC), which have collectively fueled an increase in demand for data storage capacity.

Table of Contents

The adoption of virtualized technologies, the replication of information to improve recoverability, availability, data retention mandates, as well as the significant growth in the creation and archiving of unstructured data (fixed content) in both traditional business and emerging internet based businesses changes the scope and the type of storage systems that need to be deployed to customers. This growing diversification of markets and customers, coupled with the volatility in the market, creates both challenges and opportunities for Xyratex. Additionally, regulatory requirements and company policies requiring data preservation are expanding the use of storage resources in the enterprise. According to recent IDC data, shipments of enterprise storage in terms of petabytes are expected to increase at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 50.3% through 2014; reaching over 79,700 petabytes in 2014 (Worldwide Enterprise Storage Systems 2010 -2014 Forecast Update, IDC, Document #226223, December 2010). The increased demand for electronic data storage is primarily being satisfied by hardware solutions incorporating HDDs, driving an increase in both HDD unit volumes and average storage capacities. NAND Flash based drives called SSDs are also now shipping in Xyratex and competitive storage systems. While we believe that HDDs will continue to provide the best value for mass storage and will remain the dominant technology for large capacity storage applications for many years to come, SSDs are now providing a higher performance tier of storage, Tier-0, that is enhancing the performance of our storage systems. Given the capacity limitations on SSDs the impact is minimal to the overall forecast, expected to contribute approximately 1,667 petabytes, or 2% of enterprise storage, in 2014 (Worldwide Solid State Drive 2010 - 2014 Forecast Update, IDC, Document #226346, December 2010).

In addition to the growth in data volume, the market for data storage products and services is also affected by the variety in data sources and purposes within the enterprise and consumer markets. Businesses face the challenge of managing the accessibility, prioritization, and protection of data in a cost-efficient manner. We believe that the realization that not all data is of equal value is driving a proliferation of disk drive storage and networking technologies developed to address different data management requirements. We also believe that businesses are now increasingly focusing on the issues surrounding increasing power consumption and thermal management to maintain compliance with emerging environmental legislation and to reduce energy costs. Because of the increased storage requirement and proliferation of storage technologies, we believe that there is a growing opportunity for outsourced product and service offerings within the data storage and Information Technology (IT) marketplace. The IT marketplace is comprised of hardware, software and services and combined grew 6.4% in 2010, having contracted by 4.9% in 2009. It is expected to grow 5.7% for a total market value of \$1.6 trillion in 2011 (Source: IDC Predictions for 2011: Welcome to the New Mainstream, IDC, Document #225878, December 2010). External storage will play a significant role and is expected to post a 6.9% CAGR through 2014 (Worldwide Enterprise Storage Systems 2010 -2014 Forecast Update, IDC, Document #226223, December 2010.)

NSS Industry Overview

There are a number of dynamics that affect the market for the NSS division's storage subsystem products, some of which, we believe, are as follows:

OEM outsourcing of storage products and changes in partners typically takes place at the time of technology transitions.

Continued growth of networked storage (both Networked Attached Storage or NAS and Storage Area Networks or SAN) rather than direct attached storage.

High performance storage solutions are key to addressing markets such as video, rich media, HPC and cloud computing.

Shift in storage locale from on-site internal systems to remote cloud-based storage.

Table of Contents

The need for Reliability, Availability and Serviceability (RAS).

High capacity/low cost disk storage as a growing segment of the market.

Compliance and regulatory policies continue to drive increased need for storage.

Power efficiencies needed to support global environmental initiatives and pressures.

Convergence of storage systems and traditional servers into an integrated application platform.

OEM outsourcing of storage products typically takes place at the time of technology transitions: Technology transitions provide opportunities to introduce new products such as the transition of Fibre Channel (FC) based storage systems to SAS (Serial Attached SCSI) which is well established in internal disk drive interfaces of servers. We believe that we were first to market with 4 Gb/s (Gigabits per second) technology and analysts confirmed that we were the first to announce 8 Gb/s FC technology leadership. Our strategy is to provide new capabilities to our OEM customers on a timely basis such that they can either add their own value through other advanced functionality or package solutions to the various market segments. In 2009, drive vendors introduced their final generation of FC-based 3.5" form factor performance drives. All storage system vendors have now transitioned or are planning transitions to SAS-based technology. While FC and Internet Protocol (IP)-based networks will continue to dominate the switched storage network architectures, we believe that SAS will become the dominant JBOD storage attachment protocol due to its lower cost and high performance. Xyratex is shipping production volumes of its 3Gb/s and 6Gb/s SAS JBOD controllers to OEM customers. We expect this transition to continue into the higher price band products as well as new growth markets, providing additional opportunity for enterprise class subsystems and solutions. In 2010 we started to see the emergence of Infiniband and 10Gb Ethernet as mainstream protocols, potentially signaling a new interface technology inflexion point for data center network consolidation.

Continued growth of networked storage (both NAS and SAN) rather than direct attached storage: Over the last few years we have seen the gradual transition from direct attached storage (typically using SAS or SATA as its interface) to networked storage, typically employing either FC or Gigabit Ethernet. We address these markets through our OEM customers who provide both NAS and SAN storage solutions with a wide range of products. For those customers requiring direct attach connectivity, Xyratex provides SAS solutions. Other trends, such as server and storage virtualization are driving the increase in shared storage and the mixing of heterogeneous storage to support divergent storage performance requirements. We expect that the trend toward this type of enterprise consolidation through virtualization is going to continue and to allow lower specification (and therefore cost) storage systems to be utilized more readily.

High performance storage solutions are key to addressing markets such as video, rich media, HPC and cloud computing: We believe that the creation and distribution of entertainment content is the largest driver in the growth of digital storage. Acquiring, editing, archiving and distributing digital content together with increasing distribution over the internet and digital cinema technology deployment all require large amounts of storage. 3D technology requires even greater data storage performance and capacity in the post production process. High Definition digital camera technology continues to replace film in movie production and high definition television channels increase both the performance and capacity demanded of data storage systems. The HPC market consists of large research organizations, educational institutions and commercial research applications.

To address this need, Xyratex acquired ClusterStor and its Lustre development team in 2010. This enables Xyratex's OEM partners to leverage Lustre in their next generation of HPC technologies. Lustre is recognized as the leading high performance clustered file system in HPC, and we believe it has over 60% share of the major supercomputing sites.

Table of Contents

We believe that cloud computing will generate increased demand for high performance data storage subsystems as new public and private cloud infrastructures come on line. Public clouds are becoming increasingly popular for hosted applications (e.g. Salesforce) and enterprise storage repositories (e.g. Dropbox). Consumer based storage utility applications, such as remote backup (e.g. Mozy), are also generating new demands for data storage subsystems.

Shift in storage locale from on-site internal systems to remote cloud-based storage: We believe that the increasing adoption of cloud storage could dramatically influence the storage system market. Aggregating storage in private or public clouds provides distinct advantages to customers in all industries and stages of maturity startups can lower their initial investment in IT by utilizing the "pay per use" model of cloud storage, and corporations with complex regulatory requirements for storage archiving can outsource this storage to a specialty storage provider to ensure compliance. These emerging cloud storage providers have a diverse range of storage requirements which could provide large opportunities for existing OEM customers and potentially a new market opportunity for Xyratex and other low-cost bulk storage providers. The cloud/content depot market segment represents the fastest growing consumption category as defined by IDC in both capacity and revenue posting CAGRs of 76% and 33.2% respectively through 2014. Revenue in this segment is expected to be \$9.2 billion while capacity shipments are expected to be in excess of 38,800 petabytes (Worldwide Enterprise Storage Systems 2010 - 2014 Forecast Update, IDC, Document #226223 December, 2010). As this trend continues to gather pace, we anticipate the needs of this market to bear close resemblance to the needs of the enterprise in terms of quality, performance and availability, enabling our OEM customers to increase their market footprint through Xyratex products.

The need for Reliability, Availability and Serviceability (RAS): The business critical nature of data storage is driving the need for increased RAS. Customers are demanding high quality storage subsystems that provide continued access even in the event of component failure(s). Xyratex has designed products with no single point of failure in order to meet the availability requirements of our customers. We also provide software that assists our OEMs in providing the necessary notification, management and serviceability software diagnostic and logging capability to enable their remote diagnostics to effectively troubleshoot system status.

High capacity/low cost disk storage as a growing segment of the market: We believe that data retention, management of fixed content and disk-to-disk backup (and disk-to-disk-to-tape) are leading the way for the growth in capacity-oriented disk drives. From a capacity perspective these high capacity-low cost systems are projected to grow almost three times as fast as the performance oriented systems (SAS/FC). This was evidenced by IDC's 2010 update report which stated that the revenue for storage systems containing capacity optimized disk drive technology is expected to grow from \$10.3 billion in 2010 to \$21.9 billion in 2014, representing a CAGR of 21.6% (Worldwide Enterprise Storage Systems 2010 - 2014 Forecast Update, IDC, Document #226223, December, 2010). The same report also stated that the compound growth in petabyte shipments for this segment is expected to be 63.4% over this period. We believe that this significant growth is driven by emerging storage intensive markets such as rich media archives, healthcare and vaulting and is addressed through ultra-high disk density storage solutions.

Compliance and regulatory policies continue to drive increased need for storage: We believe that regulatory compliance is a growing concern for most industries on a global basis and is driving a significant volume of data which must be stored over an extended period of time. We are particularly seeing this trend in vertical markets such as health care and government where industry specific regulations are defining data management requirements for availability and retention.

Table of Contents

Power efficiencies needed to support global environmental initiatives and pressures: Another key driver that we believe has grown in importance over the past few years is the provision of power efficient or 'Green' solutions. Xyratex has invested and continues to invest in improvements in both the basic hardware capabilities and advanced enclosure management technology to minimize power consumption (reduced cost of ownership) and also designs products to minimize ecological impact throughout a product's lifecycle as well as eliminating system components with detrimental environmental impacts. We believe that data protection, distributed environments, and disk-based backup will drive networked storage growth and that "green credentials" will be an increasingly important decision point for many customers in the future. Xyratex is also involved in multi-organization projects investigating the improvement of data center design and management from an energy consumption standpoint.

Convergence of storage systems and traditional servers into an integrated application platform: OEM customers increase reliability and reduce costs by combining external storage systems and traditional server platforms into an integrated system capable of hosting storage applications. Integrated application and storage servers remove the requirement for expensive Host Bus Adapters (HBAs), switches and cables used in connecting more traditional server platforms with external storage that deliver improved reliability and cost efficiencies. Xyratex has developed two types of application platforms to address this trend. Our products combine extended Storage System Infrastructure (SSI) or Storage Bridge Bay (SBB) form factor motherboards integrated with a storage enclosure to fulfill many different storage application requirements. These products allow OEMs to deliver customizable solutions that address the requirements of the healthcare, video, IP storage, data de-duplication, HPC and cloud storage markets with highly reliable and proven storage capacity.

SI Industry Overview

We provide process, inspection and test equipment to the HDD industry targeting three primary market segments: Disk Drive Assembly and Integration, Disk Drive Substrate and Media Manufacturing, and Disk Drive Head Fabrication. As digital content continues to grow at a rapid pace, the HDD industry will require innovations such as Shingled Writing, Heat Assisted Magnetic Recording (HAMR), Dual Stage Actuators (DSA) and Bit Patterned Media (BPM) to enable the continuing growth of areal density while continuing to increase production capacity to satisfy the capacity demand. Technology transitions such as these will require significant capital investments by the industry. Overall, SI revenue is closely linked to the HDD industry capital equipment expenditures, which are correlated to the HDD industry revenue.

The HDD industry includes vertically integrated HDD suppliers, as well as independent suppliers of magnetic heads, substrates, and media (disks). HDD industry capital equipment expenditures were estimated to be \$3.01 billion in 2010 growing to \$6.77 billion in 2015 (Coughlin Associates, 2010-2015 Hard Disk Drive Capital Equipment Market & Technology Report, June 2010).

HDD suppliers' 2010 revenues are estimated at \$33.4 billion and are forecasted to grow at a 7.6% CAGR to \$44.7 billion in 2014. The number of HDD units sold in 2010 is estimated at 654 million, an increase of 17.3% from the year before as demand recovered from the less than typical HDD growth in 2009 of only 3.3% which was a consequence of the global economic downturn. The number of HDD units sold is forecast to increase at a 9.0% CAGR to 921 million in 2014 (IDC Worldwide Hard Disk Drive 2010-2014 Forecast Update, IDC, Document #226082, December 2010).

Table of Contents

Various applications are driving this HDD growth (the source for the five paragraphs below is the IDC Worldwide Hard Disk Drive 2010-2014 Forecast Update, IDC, Document #226082, December 2010):

HDDs for Mobile Computing: The mobile computing market, primarily using the 2.5" disk, is expected to grow as the price and performance of laptops continue to improve at a time when user's mobility continues to increase. In 2010, the number of HDDs sold for portable computer applications grew 18.9% to 238 million and is forecast to grow at a 12.8% CAGR to 385 million in 2014.

HDDs for Consumer Electronics: Digital Video Recorders (DVRs), Personal Video Recorders (PVRs) and gaming consoles remained the consumer electronics HDD growth drivers in 2010. There was an estimated 22.1% increase from 2009 to 81 million units. Overall, the growth of consumer electronics HDDs is low throughout the forecast period and is expected to increase at only a 1.5% CAGR to 86 million in 2014.

HDDs for Enterprise Storage: In 2010, the number of HDDs shipped for enterprise applications increased by an estimated 23.5% from 2009 to an estimated 50 million. For the future, demand for HDDs for enterprise storage is forecast to grow by 4.2% per year, to 59 million units in 2014.

HDDs for Desktop Computing: As a currently declining market segment, desktops continued to be surpassed by mobile applications in 2010 with only an estimated 216 million desktop HDDs sold. However, because of the shift to mobile computing, only 201 million units are forecast for 2014, corresponding to a 1.7% year upon year reduction.

HDDs for Personal Storage: The market for personal storage is the fastest growing market, with an expected 28.7% CAGR through 2014, at which point it is expected to reach 189 million units. The market continues to grow as consumers seek solutions for their increasing capacity needs as well as back-up requirements.

HDD unit volumes as well as the industry's transition to higher capacity HDDs directly affect our sales of HDD process and test equipment and servo track writers. Specifically, the transition to higher capacity HDDs increases demand for our HDD test equipment because these drives require longer process test and characterization times which results in an increase in the number of servo-fill, serial input-output and interface test cells. Disk storage capacity for any given HDD form factor, and the corresponding disk areal density (i.e., storage capacity per square inch on the recording surface of a disk), has been constantly increasing over the years. We estimate the average HDD capacity to be 468 GB in 2010 with forecast growth to 1.74TB in 2015 (SDAS: HDD information service CQ4 Quarterly update and Long Term Forecast, TrendFocus, February 2011). Overall, the market for production test equipment is expected to grow at a 11% CAGR, from \$782 million in 2010 to \$1,296 million in 2015 (Coughlin Associates, 2010-2015 Hard Disk Drive Capital Equipment Market & Technology Report, June 2010).

Table of Contents

HDD components unit volumes are impacted by industry demand for HDD units as well as the number of components per drive. IDC writes in its report (Worldwide Hard Disk Drive 2010-2014 Forecast Update, IDC Document #226082, December 2010), "Areal density growth is slowing, meaning HDD capacity points will elongate, resulting in slightly higher component usage per drive for key HDD components, and also potentially an increasing number of new, very incrementally higher-capacity HDDs." Based on this, and our current understanding of future technology transitions, we believe the industry is heading into a period of slower areal density growth. It therefore seems likely that HDD manufacturers will need to increase the components per drive in order to continue to provide higher capacity drives and keep pace with digital content growth. For this reason, we have chosen to invest in the media and head technology segments both organically and through the acquisition of companies with technologies specific to these two segments. Optical inspection equipment, precision cleaning equipment, head test equipment and automation are also closely linked to technology changes in head fabrication, substrate manufacturing and media manufacturing. We believe that this increase in bits per square inch also increases the sensitivity of the magnetic media process to contamination, as smaller defects are capable of affecting the magnetic performance of the disk and that this should result in increased investment cycles in optical inspection, precision cleaning equipment and related automation.

Company Products

NSS Products

We design, develop and manufacture modular, highly scalable, high-speed, high-density, high-availability, reliable and flexible data storage solutions. Our storage subsystems comprise modules, such as our storage controllers and disk drive enclosures, and support a range of high-speed communication technologies and cost and performance specifications.

Our products are generally aimed at the mid-range and enterprise markets where the need for reliability, availability and serviceability is important to the viability of the overall solution. Our OEMs add software applications that provide needed functionality for departmental and enterprise wide users. In most cases these applications are mission critical and require high quality data storage solutions. These solutions are available as data storage subsystems and application platforms.

Data Storage Subsystems (OneStor™) are enclosures that house anywhere from 12 to 48 enterprise disk drives. Redundancy is built into the enclosure to ensure maximum availability. These enclosures are typically referred to as JBOD (Just a Bunch of Disks) subsystems. We further refine the JBOD designation as SBOD (Switched Bunch of Disks) and EBOD (Expandable Bunch of Disks) subsystems. The SBOD subsystems combine the performance and scalability of FC connectivity with the increased resiliency of a switch-based architecture. The EBOD subsystems combine the performance and scalability of SAS connectivity with the increased flexibility to support high performance enterprise SAS drives and high capacity low cost SAS or SATA drives in the same system.

Application Platforms incorporate industry standard server functionality with data storage enclosures. These platforms enable an OEM's application to be run in the system without the need for an external server. RAS is available at differing levels depending on the specific availability requirements of the OEM's application.

Table of Contents

Our technology and design capabilities are matched by our global manufacturing and configuration services to deliver a customer package unique to the industry. Our focus areas include strategic alliances and partnerships that provide industry technology leadership through organizations such as the Storage Bridge Bay (SBB) working group, the SCSI Trade Association (STA), the Storage Network Industry Association (SNIA) and the International Disk Drive Equipment and Materials Association (IDEMA). Through our acquisition of ClusterStor in 2010, Xyratex has become an active participant in the Lustre community. We are participating in a number of community forums including, the High Performance Cluster File System (HPCFS) and Open Scalable File Systems (Open SFS). We operate close partnerships with key suppliers of both technology and manufacturing services. To expand our available markets and increase interoperability, we embrace international industry standards, including the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and industry collaborative standards, driving specifications such as SBB working alongside other leaders of the storage industry including Dell, EMC, IBM, LSI and NetApp.

Our customer partnerships often include the manufacture and supply of customer developed product elements as well as customization of generic platforms, providing a cohesive bond between ourselves and the customer more than that experienced with standard product sales.

Excellent hardware and software design enables us to provide highly modular, energy efficient products both as generic designs and OEM specific derivatives. Our products provide the high speed digital interfaces to HDDs and SSDs using FC, SAS, and SATA technologies. These interfaces are provided with redundancy and enhanced diagnostic capabilities ensuring system availability even during maintenance. Disk drives must be provided with an essentially benign physical environment with effective cooling and mechanical structure to ensure that reliability is not compromised. Improvements in the design and materials ensure maximum efficiency and device management as well as end of life recyclability, all of which combine to provide a more ecologically friendly and power efficient product.

The OneStor™ family of products complies with the latest industry standard SBB Version 2 specification and incorporates dynamic cooling, high efficiency power, and modularity, allowing us and our OEM customers to create a wide range of storage solutions with maximum re-use of design and components. This product range, our fourth generation of OEM subsystems, is our most modular and flexible product to date. It provides fail safe/redundant power, interconnects, cooling and serviceability. In 2010 we upgraded the storage device interface of our three production released OneStor configurations to 6 Gb/s SAS, further showing the extensibility of the OneStor platform architecture. Current products, including OneStor and our legacy 3U (Unit of measure for rackmount equipment) and 4U platforms, support 12, 14, 16, 24, and 48 disk drive configurations. These systems offer our customers the flexibility of utilizing 3.5" drives for capacity or 2.5" drives for improved IOPS (input/output operations per second) performance. Additionally we have in development a higher capacity enclosure which will lead the industry with over 16 Large Form Factor drive slots per Unit height. This enclosure will position Xyratex as a leader in this critical segment and provide our OEM customers with an unmatched density advantage over their competitors, critical in markets such as cloud storage and storage for HPC.

Table of Contents

Application Platforms integrate server motherboard technology with 12 or 24 large or small form factor drive slots to create a storage system with higher performance and lower cost than a server with external JBOD storage. As the system provider we supply the necessary device drivers, BIOS, and server and enclosure management software modules to enable our customer's storage application software. We have significantly increased our software expertise in these critical areas during 2010 and now offer an integrated storage/server management suite which incorporates advanced server management with our GEM (Genesis enclosure management) software. This Unified System Management (USM) software allows our customers to easily migrate from one application platform (SSI or SBB) to the other with a consistent feature set. These storage application platforms are our fastest growing product segment. To better serve our customer requirements we now control the architecture, implementation, and software features of these Intel-based architecture motherboards. Various network connections can be integrated into the storage enclosures, making them excellent platforms to address the requirements of the healthcare, video, IP storage, data de-duplication and cloud storage markets.

Our systems are internally managed by a range of firmware modules and features. These firmware modules can monitor the performance of the subsystem, create high-availability environments, communicate independently with remote service and support organizations, and integrate seamlessly with our customers' controlling software and management technology through industry standard interfaces. Increasingly we can provide this firmware both integrated within the product or independently to tightly integrate with OEM customers' own hardware and software.

We also provide RAID technology to protect users from failure of disk drives, ensuring they have 24x7 access to data. Our RAID technology provides cost effective solutions with high performance and a range of features including RAID 6 (the ability to deliver data even with two concurrent disk drive faults). A cornerstone of our differentiated manufacturing process is our Integrated Storage Test Process (ISTP) and overall knowledge and HDD expertise. Within our ISTP process, Xyratex incorporates a Combined Environmental Reliability Test (CERT), which has been developed leveraging our SI division's test knowledge and has continually evolved to integrate the latest available HDD and SSD technology. The process is undertaken on all our storage system products and provides a simulation of how the storage system and its HDDs will perform over an extended period of time. This ISTP process, which includes unit test, functional test and CERT, has been shown to dramatically reduce early life failure rates and potential field returns for our OEM customers. An example of this is demonstrated in the annual Storage Magazine quality rankings where two of our customers again won "Best in Class".

As an OEM supplier we extend our overall offering beyond the specific product and view the provision of an industry leading manufacturing, supply and delivery process as an essential element of our overall competitive strength.

Our manufacturing operations based in the United States, United Kingdom, and Malaysia are tightly integrated with key suppliers located primarily in lower cost regions, delivering both volume and product mix flexibility and efficiency. The modular manufacturing lines can be easily replicated in the most efficient worldwide location and provide a quality and reliability of finished goods which we believe to be unsurpassed in the industry.

Flexibility in supply has enabled us to support our customers' growth. For some customers we provide both standard products and highly customized products integrating hardware and software components developed by our customers. We can provide products as component elements or as complete packaged solutions directly shipping to freight consolidators.

Table of Contents

SI Products

We are a global leading provider of process, test and inspection equipment to the HDD industry and related components. Over the past few years, we have provided production equipment to leading disk drive manufacturers and their component suppliers, including Western Digital, Seagate Technology, and Hitachi GST. Our SI products include the following:

Disk Drive Assembly and Integration

Disk Drive Production Test and Qualification Technologies. We design and manufacture large and fully automated process test systems that incorporate mechanical and electronic hardware and firmware for controlling the HDD operating environment during the formatting of the disk drive. Our Optimus product provides solutions for 2.5" hard drives, while our XCalibre product provides solutions for both 2.5" and 3.5" hard drives. This test process isolates any magnetic defects within each disk drive during an extensive period of testing that can exceed 100 hours for current generation high capacity HDDs. Our drive processing systems can be configured to meet the specific requirements of individual customers and are capable of processing a full range of HDD protocols (high-speed FC, low-cost SATA, ATA, SAS and USB) and form factors. These drive processing systems can be configured to perform the following processes: drive formatting and qualification, interface electronics verification, and complete servo pattern writing outside a cleanroom environment. With over 3 million test slots installed worldwide, the Xyratex Drive Processing Systems (DPS) for 2.5" and 3.5" form factor drives enhance overall test quality and factory yields for HDD manufacturers. While the SI division makes equipment to test large numbers of HDDs individually, the NSS division tests them both individually and as parts of storage systems. This unique in-house feedback on HDD testing issues and trends allows the SI division to understand customer needs and adapt its products accordingly.

Disk Drive Substrate and Media Manufacturing

Media Write Technologies. We design and manufacture complete servo track writers and their key subassemblies using a modular approach. Servo track writers are required in the production of all the current HDDs to write digitally generated magnetic reference patterns on the surface of the disks at a very high speed. These reference patterns are used to precisely define the position of data on the disk. Our products enable the two most significant methods of servo track writing: media writing and self-servo writing. Media writing is a process, conducted within a clean room environment, in which a stack of disks are written with servo patterns and then individually assembled into a number of disk drives. In self-servo writing, the pattern is written on the disk within the drive, without using specialized external hardware.

Cleaning and Contamination Control Technologies. Our range of precision media cleaning systems, including our Phoenix product, represents the latest in cleaning technology and performance for the HDD industry. These systems can be customized to various media process requirements by integrating specific IP blocks developed by Xyratex. Our systems achieve very high throughputs (up to 2,000 dph, or disks per hour) while meeting demanding contamination specifications.

Optical Inspection Technologies. Our line of substrate inspection systems, including our Titan product, represents a unique modular design that combines high sensitivity, integrated, sophisticated defect classification algorithms and defect detection optics with proven high speed automation. These systems can be configured to meet a wide range of process applications by optimizing automation and optical tester selections to meet throughput and sensitivity requirements for critical defect detection making them ideal for high volume production environment.

Table of Contents*Disk Drive Head Fabrication*

Head Tester. Our Head Test Systems can be used in all areas of the HDD industry from research to high volume component testing. The systems can be configured for the appropriate environment with a common software and measurement base.

Head Automation Technologies. As a result of our recent acquisition of the assets and development teams of Optical Systems Corporation (OSC), we are now able to offer HDD recording head slider and head gimbal assembly automation and metrology equipment.

Automation and Factory Control Technologies.

Our automation solutions are included in all major stages of substrate, media and final HDD processing requirements, including disk handling and tracking, cassette transport with intelligent routing, disk stacking, and process management firmware. We work closely with our customers to ensure that our solutions are designed to integrate tightly with specific production environments, yet remain modular and flexible enough to grow as needs change.

Sales, Marketing, and Distribution

We market and sell our products primarily to leading OEMs and disk drive manufacturers, and also to a small number of other companies. We believe that we have a strong sales and marketing model that allows us to develop strategic relationships based on our technical expertise. As part of our sales and marketing activities, we attend industry trade shows and participate in industry associations and customer-sponsored events. As of November 30, 2010, we had 270 employees involved in sales, marketing and customer support activities.

Our sales and marketing efforts focus on acquiring and deepening our relationships with new and existing customers. Our relationships with new customers frequently begin with supplying key technology components and develop into arrangements for the provision of more comprehensive technology solutions. Our sales cycle is typically long; in some cases, it can take up to 18 months for new customers to evaluate our technology and business.

Our customers are primarily U.S. companies with global operations. We ship to their operations in North America, Asia and Europe. The following table sets forth the percentage of our revenues generated from sales to customers in North America, Asia, and Europe for the past three fiscal years.

	Year Ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
North America	54.7%	57.6%	63.6%
Asia	23.8%	20.8%	20.0%
Europe	21.5%	21.6%	16.4%

Customers

Our business is based on long-term strategic relationships with our customers. We have been successful in cultivating these relationships and have historically experienced a stable customer base. Our major customers are the OEMs who supply storage systems and networking products to the eventual end-user, and large corporations who supply HDDs to those OEMs.

We have approximately 100 customers, of which the top customers by revenue are NetApp Inc., Dell Inc., IBM Corp., Seagate Technology PLC, Western Digital Corporation and EMC. In our 2010 fiscal year, sales to these customers accounted for 91% of our revenues. During our 2009 fiscal year, our top six customers accounted for 87% of our revenues. The loss of any of our top customers could significantly harm our financial condition.

Table of Contents

Our contractual relationships with our top customers are governed by framework supply agreements. Actual sales and purchases of our products are made pursuant to individual purchase orders issued under the respective framework supply agreement. Our customers issue purchase orders for the supply of specified products on an as needed basis. Each framework supply agreement sets forth the general terms and conditions governing individual purchase orders, an initial minimum price list for each of our products and a form of forecasted supply schedule for the products to be supplied. The minimum price lists and forecasted supply schedules are non-binding. We frequently issue revised price lists and issue new forecasted supply schedules. The framework supply agreements also:

require us to notify the customer of engineering changes to our products;

provide that we retain intellectual property rights to our products and any improvements to our products and to all foreground intellectual property and technology;

grant the customer a nonexclusive, worldwide, license to use our intellectual property in the customer's product offerings; and

contain certain non-disclosure, confidentiality, assignment, termination, product and manufacturer's warranty, inventory consignment, and indemnification provisions.

Competition

The market for NSS and SI products is competitive, and we expect this competition to increase. To maintain and improve our competitive position, we must continue to develop and introduce, on a timely and cost-effective basis, new product features and applications that keep pace with technological developments and emerging industry standards, and that address the increasingly sophisticated needs of our customers. The principal competitive factors affecting the market for our products are:

early identification of emerging opportunities;

being first to market with emerging technologies;

complementing customer objectives without competing with customers;

providing cost-effective solutions;

maintaining high product performance and reliability;

continuously expanding product scalability, flexibility and ease of use;

delivering modular subsystem designs integrated with customer technology;

offering support for emerging high-speed communication protocols; and

increasing localized and responsive customer support on a worldwide basis.

Edgar Filing: XYRATEX LTD - Form 20-F

We believe that we compete favorably with respect to each of these factors and have gained significant market share in many of our targeted markets. We believe that our success has been driven by our technological leadership, our significant investment in research and development, our ability to generate customer loyalty and our track record of anticipating market trends.

Our NSS division competes with companies active in providing storage subsystems and components to OEMs, including Dot Hill Systems Corp., LSI Corporation, MiTAC International Corporation, and Sanmina-SCI Corporation (doing business as Newisys) together with larger suppliers such as EMC Corporation and Hitachi, Ltd. We also face competition from internal development efforts of existing and potential customers. Such efforts can also be in collaboration with a combination of Electronic Manufacturing Services (EMS), Contract Electronic Manufacturing (CEM) and emerging technology companies. In addition, we face potential competition from new entrants including our current technology suppliers.

Table of Contents

We believe that our SI division competes with companies providing certain types of equipment to the HDD industry. Examples of these companies are SpeedFam Clean System Co. Ltd. (a subsidiary of SpeedFam Co. Ltd.), Hitachi High-Technologies Corp. and Teradyne, Inc.. We also believe that we face competition from internal development efforts of existing and potential customers, in collaboration with their EMS partners.

Manufacturing and Operations

Our operational strategy is to provide production facilities in close proximity to our customer base. Our production facilities are based in Sacramento and Fremont in California, United States; Seremban, Malaysia; and Havant, United Kingdom. These facilities share a common SAP Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system and integrated processes which are accessible from any location. This enables responsive customer support and provides us with the flexibility to move manufacturing operations from one region to another in order to meet the logistics requirements of our customers. Our production facilities also use common quality control processes which we believe help to ensure that all of our products, irrespective of their place of manufacture, meet the quality expectations of our customers.

We have established strategic relationships with a number of key suppliers for the supply of our core components and subassemblies, including printed circuit board assemblies, HDDs, plastic moldings, power supplies and sheet-metal fabrication. Our production facilities are focused on material planning; high-level assembly operations; system configuration and testing; and customer fulfillment activities.

ISO 9001 Quality Management System Registrations

Xyratex Technology Limited has been registered to ISO-9001 since we were founded following the MBO from IBM in December 1994. Our Sacramento and Seremban locations gained registration in 2001 and 1999 respectively. Since then all three locations have maintained continuous registration to this quality standard and are all currently registered to ISO 9001:2008.

Supply Arrangements

We order parts from our suppliers through purchase orders, on an as needed basis. Each purchase order we issue specifies the component required and any related design specifications and the price for the component based on frequently updated price lists. In addition, with certain suppliers, we have also implemented trading agreements to clarify specific business practices and agreements between ourselves and the supplier. These trading agreements generally do not contractually commit us to order goods or services from these suppliers, nor do they restrict us from obtaining equivalent goods or services from other suppliers. The trading agreements can also:

provide that we retain all rights to intellectual property developed or created for us by our suppliers;

grant the supplier the right to apply for patent protection on any invention developed by the supplier in connection with its supply of components to us and grant us a nonexclusive, worldwide, license to use any patents granted;

contain assignment, termination and confidentiality provisions and quality warranties;

make provision for a royalty bearing manufacturing license upon either Xyratex ceasing to trade, or being acquired by a competitor of our major customers, or be in material breach of contract. This license would usually also grant rights of access to any technical information required to make the license usable;

contain commitments to work with our customers on specific cost improvement programs; and

Table of Contents

define any quality and shipping control requirements and define corrective processes, procedures and penalties incurred in managing any exceptions.

We have an agreement with Flextronics International Ltd., one of the largest third-party providers of customized integrated electronic manufacturing services, to manufacture electronic printed circuit board assemblies (PCBAs) for use in our products. We purchase all of the PCBAs used in our products from third party vendors, but maintain PCBA design and development expertise in-house. We work closely with Flextronics and others to effectively manage our electronic PCBA inventory, control component costs, and incorporate design upgrades. Our agreement with Flextronics is a trading agreement which sets forth the basic terms of the supply arrangement but does not contractually commit us to order electronic PCBAs from Flextronics. We order electronic PCBAs from Flextronics through purchase orders, as and when required. Our trading agreement with Flextronics:

permits assignment of the trading agreement by us or Flextronics with written consent;

provides that the trading agreement may be terminated in the event that Flextronics becomes bankrupt or enters into receivership;

prohibits the disclosure of Xyratex confidential information to third parties and requires that Flextronics obtain our prior approval before publicizing or advertising certain products;

contains product quality warranties that require electronic PCBAs supplied by Flextronics to comply with our specifications;

requires compliance with health and safety at work laws and regulations including laws and regulations relating to the control of hazardous substances;

stipulates ownership, use, quality, service and maintenance procedures relating to tooling owned by Xyratex that is used by Flextronics and held at Flextronics' site;

specifies the carriage and insurance costs to be paid in connection with the delivery of electronic PCBAs and constituent parts; and,

sets forth procedures for the provision of notice of the obsolescence of electronic PCBAs or constituent parts.

Research and Development

We have over 25 years of research and development experience in disk drive development, storage systems and high-speed communication protocols. We believe that we have been first-to-market with several of our data storage subsystem and HDD test and process equipment products. By delivering innovative technical capability we complement our customers' core competencies and objectives and, for SI products, we focus on improving the effectiveness and efficiency of our customers' production processes.

Our research and development activities are essential to ensure our products remain competitive both economically and technologically as the data storage industry continues to evolve at a rapid pace.

Our core technical expertise covers a number of disciplines:

mechanical engineering; critical to ensure optimal performance of disk drives both during manufacturing processes and in data storage subsystems;

high speed electronics and interfaces; including current and developing network and storage related data transfer protocols such as FCoE, iSCSI, FC-AL, Ethernet and SAS;

electronic, optical and electro-mechanical control systems;

storage application processors; and,

Table of Contents

software focused on storage management, data protection, and advanced server and enclosure management.

We carry out our research and development activities in Havant, United Kingdom; Heathrow, Florida; Fremont, California; Singapore; Seremban, Malaysia; and through an outsourced provider in Hyderabad, India.

We have made significant investments in research and development throughout our history with aggregate expenditures of \$250 million during the last three fiscal years. We have consistently maintained over 25% of our employees in research and development during this period. These employees have an average of over 10 years of experience in research and development.

We typically undertake between 8 to 10 significant research and development efforts each year, in addition to a number of smaller research and development projects. In the year ended November 30, 2010, we have engaged in 49 research and development projects related to our NSS business and 25 projects related to our SI business. Approximately 13 of these projects are focused on developing new technologies or applications, and the remainder are focused on improving existing technologies or applications. We review the status of all of our active research and development projects semi-annually and make adjustments to the investment levels of these projects as needed.

We have been able to significantly increase our investment in research and development projects in 2010 to enable us to take advantage of the opportunities we see to achieve growth in the longer term. These have been supported by three small acquisitions and associated product development teams. For example, in the NSS division we are investing in Lustre file system software used in HPC applications. In the SI division we are developing new products addressing the requirements of Head and Media Substrate manufacturing. Although we had reduced expenditure in 2009 we were able to maintain our core investments, such as the continued development of next generation interface protocol technologies, power supply solutions and controller designs, as well as future high-density enclosures in the NSS division and expenditure targeted at the disk drive components: substrate, media and heads in the SI division. A substantial portion of our projected revenues in our 2011 fiscal year will be a result of development programs undertaken in 2009 and 2010.

Intellectual Property

Our success is dependent upon our ability to develop and maintain the proprietary aspects of our technology and to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of others. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, and generally have intellectual property agreements governing our relationships with our customers, suppliers, employees and consultants. As of November 30, 2010, we had 126 U.S. patents granted, 69 U.S. patents pending, 74 non-U.S. patents granted and 152 non-U.S. patents pending.

In order to enhance our intellectual property we also seek to acquire or obtain cross-licenses with industry partners. In December 2006, we entered into a cross-license agreement with IBM. This enabled our NSS business to leverage valuable IBM intellectual property into the roadmaps of our OEM technology business. In November 2008, we entered into a cross-license agreement with Crossroads Corporation, enabling us to sell products utilizing data access control mechanisms.

Table of Contents

We have documented internal processes for the management and protection of our intellectual property. These include standard forms for patent filing, design and trademark registration, employee and contractor supplier agreements, and non-disclosure agreements. We file patent applications when we consider patent protection to be the most appropriate and commercially practical means of protecting our intellectual property. We do not generally differentiate our products by their external design features, but we do register designs where we consider it appropriate. Many of our products are differentiated by their unique mechanical designs, which we have taken steps to protect under patent law. In addition, we have considerable expertise in the areas of very high-speed electronics and real-time data analysis software design.

We sell our products primarily to OEMs who incorporate them into their own branded products. As a result, branding is not an important aspect of our business. However, we have registered the "Xyratex" trademark and other trademarks specific to certain of our products.

Environmental Matters

Xyratex takes our responsibility for the environment seriously, regarding our own activities and those of our suppliers, and endeavor to support the environmental aims and initiatives of our customers. Xyratex aims to identify and minimize any negative environmental impacts of its products and business activities, specifically to ensure that:

we comply with all relevant environmental legislation and regulations;

we reduce the use of materials, or alternatively re-use, recycle and recover materials, to minimize the overall consumption of natural resources and prevent pollution;

we support our customers in the pursuit of their environmental objectives;

we communicate appropriately on environmental matters internally and externally;

we present an image which accurately reflects our environmental performance and objectives; and

we continually improve our environmental performance.

Xyratex is registered to ISO 14001 at its Havant, Seremban and Sacramento locations; Havant having been first registered in 2005.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may become involved in legal proceedings relating to claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business. In particular, others may assert patent, copyright, trademark and other intellectual property rights to technologies that are important to our business or make claims that we infringe their intellectual property.

In October 2010, Data Network Storage filed a complaint against Xyratex Ltd, Xyratex Holdings Inc., Xyratex International Inc. and Xyratex (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd, alleging that certain products infringe its patents. We are investigating this complaint, but we believe that the possibility of losing this case is remote.

We are not currently a party to any other litigation or arbitration proceedings, nor are we aware of any threatened or potential legal proceedings, which could significantly harm our financial condition.

Table of Contents**Item 4C: Organization Structure**

Xyratex Ltd is the parent company of the Xyratex Group. Xyratex Ltd directly wholly-owns all the significant subsidiaries in the group with the exception of Xyratex International Inc., which it owns indirectly.

The following table lists our significant subsidiaries:

Company	Country of Incorporation
Xyratex Technology Limited	United Kingdom
Xyratex Holdings Inc.	United States
Xyratex International Inc.	United States
Xyratex (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd.	Malaysia

Item 4D: Properties

Our corporate offices and the center for our European research and development and production operations are located at leased premises in Havant, United Kingdom. Our U.S. research and development operations occupy leased facilities in Fremont and Valencia, California and Heathrow, Florida. We maintain production operations in Sacramento and Fremont, California. In Asia, we own production and research and development operations in Seremban, Malaysia and Singapore. We also lease office space in Penang, Malaysia, Singapore, China, Thailand, and Tokyo, Japan, for sales and customer support. The following table lists significant space occupied by us as at November 30, 2010:

Country	Location	Office space (square feet)	Manufacturing space (square feet)	Total space (square feet)
U.K.	Havant	89,000	33,000	122,000
U.S.	Sacramento, California	29,000	120,000	149,000
	Fremont, California	19,400	66,700	86,100
	Heathrow, Florida	21,000		21,000
	Valencia, California	14,300		14,300
	Other U.S.	2,200		2,200
Asia	Seremban, Malaysia	55,000	115,000	170,000
	Singapore	18,000		18,000
	Other Asia	13,000		13,000
Total		260,900	334,700	595,600

ITEM 4A: UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 5: OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS (MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS)

You should read the following commentary together with the "Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data" set forth in Part I, Item 3A and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes contained elsewhere in this Annual Report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including but not limited to those set forth in "Part I, Item 3D Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Overview

We are a leading provider of modular enterprise-class data storage subsystems and storage process technology. We design, develop and manufacture enabling technology that provides our customers with data storage products to support high-performance storage and data communication networks. We operate in two business segments: Networked Storage Solutions (NSS) and Storage Infrastructure (SI).

Our NSS products are primarily hard disk drive (HDD) based storage subsystems, which we provide to OEMs and our SI products consist of disk drive manufacturing process equipment, which we sell directly to manufacturers of disk drives and disk drive components. We form long-term strategic relationships with our customers and we support them through our operations in the United States, Asia and Europe. In our 2010 fiscal year, sales to our top six customers, NetApp, Dell, Seagate Technology, IBM, Western Digital and EMC accounted for 91% of our revenues with sales to NetApp, Dell, Seagate and IBM accounting for 41%, 14%, 13% and 11% of our revenues respectively. These were also our top six customers in our 2009 fiscal year and accounted for 87% of our revenues with sales to NetApp and Dell accounting for 48% and 15% of our revenues respectively. We had 35 customers which individually contributed more than \$0.5 million to revenues in our 2010 fiscal year and 33 in our 2009 fiscal year.

As well as our manufacturing and operational capability, our revenues are highly dependent on our research and development efforts. We enter into joint development projects with our key customers and suppliers in order to research and introduce new technologies and products.

Highlights of 2010

Our revenues grew by 85% in 2010 due to increased demand from all of our major customers. This reflects the continued underlying increase in demand for disk storage together with an improvement in the general economic environment. Revenues from sales of our NSS products rose by 65% in the year continuing the recovery which had commenced in the second half of 2009. Revenues from sales of our SI products increased 224% with demand from our major SI customers, Seagate Technology and Western Digital, increasing significantly from the very low levels in the previous year when they had scaled back production, and therefore capital expenditure, in response to a fall in demand for HDDs.

We believe 2010 demand in both divisions includes a certain amount of 'catch-up' following low capital expenditure in 2009. While this had only a small impact on NSS revenues, we estimate that 2010 SI revenues included \$70 million related to this factor. In addition in the early part of 2011 we have seen a significant slow down in demand for our SI products reflecting a number of changes in the underlying market for disk drives. We expect this to result in a very low level of revenues in the first half of our 2011 fiscal year and also means we have limited visibility of revenues in the second half of the year.

Table of Contents

Gross margins increased from 14.4% to 17.5% due primarily to the increase in SI revenues. This resulted in a 1.3% increase in margins due to the higher proportion of SI revenues and a similar impact from fixed overheads relative to the higher volumes.

Our expenditure on research and development increased by 30.5% and selling, general and administrative expenses increased by 6.3%. These increases were primarily related to an increase in employee headcount of 37% for research and development and 28% for selling, general and administrative. We had reduced expenditure in 2009 through a cost reduction program in response to the economic downturn. We increased expenditure in 2010 to meet the significant rise in revenues and to maintain our technology leadership positions. We also decided to invest more in research and development to take advantage of the opportunities we see over the longer term. 2009 administrative expenses included \$4.1m of exceptional legal costs. Excluding this expense, the rise in selling, general and administrative expenses would have been approximately 14%.

We recorded a benefit for income taxes in our 2010 fiscal year of \$16.0 million primarily due to the reversal of the deferred tax valuation allowance against U.K. deferred tax assets of \$14.9 million. The valuation allowance had been established in our 2008 fiscal year when the impact of the global economic environment on our actual and forecast results at that time when combined with our legal and tax structure resulted in the judgment of management that a 100% valuation allowance was required. The profitability of Xyratex in 2010 and our expectations for the business against the background of a much improved data storage market have led us to conclude the U.K. deferred tax assets meet the more likely than not threshold for recognition at the end of our 2010 fiscal year. Although we don't expect our tax payments to increase in 2011, the reversal of this valuation allowance is likely to result in an increased income tax expense.

Our net income of \$139.4 million for the 2010 fiscal year compared to a \$16.4 million net loss for 2009 arose primarily as a result of the very significant increase in revenues. The approximately \$154 million increase in gross margin, primarily resulting from the higher revenues, was mitigated by an approximate \$19 million increase in operating expenses.

Our cash balance increased by approximately \$39 million during our 2010 fiscal year primarily as a result of the net income during the year. Working capital increased by approximately \$96 million or 82%, primarily as a result of the higher revenues. Our cash balance had increased by \$24 million in our 2009 fiscal year, primarily as a result of a decrease in inventory.

Revenues

Revenues from sales of products in both of our segments are impacted by underlying increases in the amount of digitally stored information. Our NSS revenues are primarily dependent on the worldwide storage systems market, the market share of our OEM customer base, particularly that of key customers, and changes in that customer base. Our SI revenues are primarily derived from the sale of three product lines: disk drive production test and qualification systems, media write systems, and automation and factory control technology. Our SI revenues are specifically affected by changes in shipped volume and increases in the individual storage capacity of disk drives. Revenues from these products are subject to significant fluctuations, particularly from quarter to quarter, as they are dependent on the capital investment decisions and installation schedules of our customers.

Table of Contents

In our 2009 fiscal year, our NSS and SI revenues were impacted by the effects of upheaval in the financial markets on the macro-economic environment. This impact was particularly severe for the SI business as the disk drive manufacturers scaled back their production capacity in response to lower demand, particularly for personal computers. We saw customer demand increase in the second half of 2009 as underlying market conditions improved both for enterprise and consumer storage and that trend continued into the first half of 2010, with a particularly significant increase in revenues for SI products. We believe that for SI products, 2010 revenues partly reflected the impact of a lower than expected level of capital expenditure by the disk drive manufacturers in 2009 relative to underlying demand for HDDs. We have estimated that this increased 2010 revenues by approximately \$70 million. We have seen a reduction in the level of demand for our products in the early part of 2011 which we believe reflects short term changes in the underlying market for disk drives such as a reduction in demand for laptop drives as well as customer specific factors. For these reasons, although the market environment could be subject to further short term variation in a positive direction, we believe SI revenues may fall significantly in our 2011 fiscal year.

Over at least the past five years we have seen underlying growth in demand for NSS products from many of our OEM customers, which we believe relates to factors including increases in the amount of digitally stored information, increased IT spending, growth in the specific markets that our customers address and an increased market share of our customers. Commencing in 2009 we enabled our largest customer, NetApp, to source a proportion of the products we supply from an alternative supplier. This proportion is set at a maximum of 25% for our 2010 and 2011 fiscal years, increasing to 50% in our 2012 fiscal year. The impact of this was more limited in 2010 because a new product was not subject to this sourcing model in its first year. Our 2009 revenues benefitted from the contribution of two significant new customers. In 2011 we expect our revenues to be impacted by a number of further customer specific developments: the anticipated product in-sourcing of a material customer at their next product refresh; the anticipated increase in demand following the recent acquisitions of two of our existing customers; and the anticipated increased demand from a significant new product recently launched by one of our major customers. We are not able to easily assess the effect on the trends in our total revenues of these offsetting factors. Over the longer term our revenues with our major customers will significantly depend on our ability to develop and manufacture products which compete well with those provided by contract manufacturers and these customers' own development efforts.

Our SI revenues are also impacted by customer specific factors. Our revenues declined in 2009 partly as a result of a new competitor supplying production test and qualification systems. During 2010 we commenced shipments to two new customers in the SI segment which have the potential to improve the revenue trend in the SI segment.

We typically enter into arrangements with our largest customers and provide them with products based on purchase orders executed under these arrangements. These arrangements often include estimates as to future product demand but do not typically specify minimum volume purchase requirements. Due to the complexity of our products, we provide almost all of our products on a build-to-order basis. The prices of our products are generally agreed to in advance and are based on a pre-negotiated pricing model. The pricing model may specify certain product components and component costs as well as anticipated profit margins.

As described above, the unit prices we obtain from our major customers will typically vary with volumes. As products become more mature, prices will generally decline, partly reflecting reduced component costs. We also regularly introduce new products which are likely to incorporate additional features or new technology and these products will generally command a higher unit price. Average unit prices will also vary with the mix of customers and products. We have not seen an overall trend in our unit prices over recent fiscal years.

Table of Contents

Operating expenses and cost reduction exercise

We undertook a cost reduction exercise in the first half of 2009, in response to the changed economic environment in the final quarter of 2008. This exercise, which was completed in 2009, included a 13% reduction in our worldwide employee headcount, including an 18% reduction in our combined U.S. and U.K. employee headcount. The cost reduction activities resulted in restructuring costs of approximately \$6 million. Expenses have increased significantly in 2010 to support a significant increase in customer demand. This increase includes reinstating staff benefits such as the annual profit related bonus as well as a 31% increase in our worldwide employee headcount including a 17% increase in our combined U.S. and U.K. headcount.

Foreign Exchange Rate Fluctuations

The functional currency for all our operations is U.S. dollars and the majority of our revenues and cost of revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars. A significant proportion (approximately \$65 million in our 2010 fiscal year) of our non-U.S. dollar operating expenses relates to payroll and other expenses of our U.K. operations. To a lesser extent we are also exposed to movements in the Malaysian Ringgit relative to the U.S. dollar. We manage our exchange rate exposures through the use of forward foreign currency exchange contracts and option agreements. By using these derivative instruments, increases or decreases in our U.K. pound operating expenses resulting from changes in the U.S. dollar to U.K. pound exchange rate are partially offset by realized gains and losses on the derivative instruments.

In previous fiscal years there has been significant volatility in the exchange rate between the U.K. pound and the U.S. dollar. We hedge the majority of our exposure to this exchange rate movement for approximately one year ahead and we have been particularly impacted by the movement in average annual exchange rates. The average value of the U.K. pound relative to the U.S. dollar declined by 20% from 2008 to 2009 and due to our hedging strategy resulted in a reduction in expenses of approximately \$12 million between 2009 and 2010. The average value of the U.K. pound relative to the U.S. dollar did not move significantly from 2009 to 2010 and therefore 2011 expenses are not expected to vary significantly as a result of changes in exchange rates.

Costs of Revenues and Gross Profit

Our costs of revenues consist primarily of the costs of the materials and components used in the assembly and manufacture of our products, including disk drives, electronic cards, enclosures and power supplies. Other items included in costs of revenues include salaries, bonuses and other labor costs for employees engaged in the component procurement, assembly and testing of our products, warranty expenses, shipping costs, depreciation of manufacturing equipment and certain overhead costs. Our gross profit margins change primarily as a result of fluctuations in our product mix. Our gross margins also change as a result of changes to product pricing, provisions for obsolescence, manufacturing volumes and costs of components. The margins for our NSS products tend to be lower than the margins of our SI products and therefore our gross profit as a percentage of revenues will continue to vary with the proportions of revenues in each segment.

Research and Development

Our research and development expenses include expenses related to product development, engineering, materials costs and salaries, bonuses and other labor costs for our employees engaged in research and development. Research and development expenses include the costs incurred in designing products for our OEM customers, which often occurs prior to their commitment to purchase these products. We expense research and development costs as they are incurred.

Table of Contents

Due to the level of competition in the markets in which we operate and the rapid changes in technology, our future revenues are heavily dependent on the improvements we make to our products and the introduction of new products. During our 2010 fiscal year our research and development expenses related to over 70 separate projects covering improving existing products, meeting customer specific requirements and entering new markets, such as development of a product to address the High Performance Computing Market and our recently launched substrate optical inspection system.

As of November 2010, 26% of our employees were engaged in our research and development activities. Over recent fiscal years research and development expenses have changed approximately in line with changes in revenue. Although we reduced expenditure in 2009 as part of the cost reduction exercise, we continued to develop our technology base to support our customers and be able to take advantage of the market improvements that we are now experiencing. We are committed to developing products based on advanced technologies and designs to support the opportunities for growth in both of our segments and increased our expenditure in 2010 to support our customers' growing demand and to further expand these opportunities.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses include expenses related to salaries, bonuses and other labor costs for senior management and sales, marketing, and administrative employees, market research and consulting fees, commissions to sales representatives, IT costs, other marketing and sales activities and exchange gains and losses. Our selling, general and administrative expenses have changed over recent fiscal years generally in line with the level of our business. We would expect these expenses to continue to change approximately in line with our revenues.

Equity

In January 2008 we commenced a share buy-back program. We announced that we would purchase our common shares up to a value of \$30 million. During the year ended November 30, 2008 we purchased 392,000 shares at a total value of \$6.1 million. Following the change in global economic conditions at the end of 2008 we ceased the purchase of any additional shares under this program in order to conserve our cash balances and have no plans to recommence share repurchases.

Provision for Income Taxes

We are subject to taxation primarily in the United Kingdom, the United States and Malaysia. Our Malaysian operations relating to SI and NSS products benefit from a beneficial tax status which has provided us with a zero tax rate on substantially all of our income arising in Malaysia. The beneficial tax status relating to SI products was granted in 2006 and ends in April 2012. The beneficial tax status relating to NSS products was granted in February 2009 and ends in 2017. The beneficial tax status for both SI and NSS products is subject to meeting certain requirements.

We have significant loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets in the United Kingdom and as a result we have not been required to make any significant U.K. tax payments in recent fiscal years. As of November 30, 2009 we recorded a \$33.9 million valuation allowance against the book value of U.K. deferred tax assets at that date. Following the significant consolidated and U.K. subsidiary profit recorded in our 2010 fiscal year and our expectations for the 2011 fiscal year, we determined that no valuation allowance should be retained against the remaining \$13.9 million deferred tax asset as of November 30, 2010.

In the United Kingdom and the United States we benefit from research and development tax credits.

Table of Contents

As of November 30, 2010, we retained a deferred tax asset of \$10.1 million related to loss carryforwards and other timing differences in the United States. This includes an amount of \$3.1 million related to temporary differences associated with equity compensation expense which was recorded in our 2010 fiscal year, having been previously not recognized. Of this amount, \$2.6 million relates to 2009 with the remainder relating to 2010. Having determined that this amount is not material to prior periods and to net income for our 2010 fiscal year, we have made the correction as a benefit to income taxes in our 2010 fiscal year.

Impairment of goodwill and long-lived assets

At November 30, 2008 we recorded a \$34.3 million non-cash impairment of our goodwill, representing the entire balance of goodwill on that date prior to the impairment. We are required by authoritative accounting guidance to subject our goodwill to an annual impairment test. Our forecasts used in the impairment calculation took into account reduced demand from our customers as a result of the deteriorating macro economic environment in the fourth quarter and also incorporated the impact of an 81% fall in our share price in the fourth quarter of our 2008 fiscal year which resulted in a position where our market capitalization was significantly lower than our net asset value.

In addition we considered that the market conditions and share price were indicators of the potential impairment of other long lived assets at November 30, 2008. We therefore compared the undiscounted cash flows of our business segments to the carrying value of the related assets over the useful lives of those assets using the same cash flows and asset values as those used to assess the impairment of goodwill. We concluded that there was no impairment of our other long lived assets, being intangible assets with a book value of \$11.2 million and property, plant and equipment with a book value of \$47.2 million.

Following the significant improvement in the market environment resulting in significantly higher demand for our products, the return to profitability and the recovery of our share price to a level significantly above the value of net assets, we have determined that there are no indications of impairment of long lived assets subsequent to November 30, 2009.

Results from Continuing Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, selected operating data as a percentage of revenues.

	Year Ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenues	82.5	85.6	84.9
Gross profit	17.5	14.4	15.1
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	5.8	8.2	8.2
Selling, general and administrative	3.8	6.5	6.1
Amortization of intangible assets	0.2	0.5	0.5
Impairment of goodwill			3.3
Restructuring costs		0.7	
Operating income (loss)	7.7	(1.4)	(2.9)
Net income (loss)	8.7%	(1.9)%	(4.6)%

Table of Contents***Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2010 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2009***

The following is a tabular presentation of our results of operations for our 2010 fiscal year compared to our 2009 fiscal year. Following the table is a discussion and analysis of our business and results of operations for such periods.

	Year Ended November 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2010	2009	Amount	%
(U.S. dollars in thousands)				
Revenues:				
Networked Storage Solutions	\$ 1,258,940	\$ 762,028	\$ 496,912	65.2%
Storage Infrastructure	342,943	105,863	237,080	224.0
Total revenues	1,601,883	867,891	733,992	84.6
Cost of revenues				
	1,322,115	742,615	579,500	78.0
Gross profit:				
Networked Storage Solutions	166,882	97,981	68,901	70.3
Storage Infrastructure	114,427	28,202	86,225	305.7
Non cash equity compensation	(1,541)	(907)	(634)	69.9
Total gross profit	279,768	125,276	154,492	123.2
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	92,705	71,062	21,643	30.5
Selling, general and administrative	60,002	56,463	3,539	6.3
Amortization of intangible assets	3,669	3,939	(270)	
Restructuring costs		5,898	(5,898)	
Operating income (loss)	123,392	(12,086)	135,478	
Interest income, net	45	114	(69)	
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(15,991)	4,442	(20,443)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 139,428	\$ (16,414)	155,842	

Revenues

The 84.6% increase in our revenues in our 2010 fiscal year compared to our 2009 fiscal year was attributable to increased sales of our NSS and SI products.

Revenues from sales of our NSS products increased by \$496.9 million, or 65.2%. This was due to increased demand from all of our major OEM customers and primarily reflects a recovery in the market for data storage following the significant decline in 2009 related to the economic downturn.

Revenues from sales of our SI products increased by \$237.1 million, or 224%. Demand for SI products in our 2009 fiscal year was at historically very low levels due to our disk drive manufacturer customers reducing production capacity as a result of the economic downturn. As described in the overview above, we believe these revenues include approximately \$70 million of 'catch-up' following low capital expenditure in 2009. The increase in revenues included increased sales of most of our product lines including \$175.8 million for production test and qualification systems.

Table of Contents

Cost of Revenues and Gross Profit

The increase in cost of revenues and in gross profit in the 2010 fiscal year compared to our 2009 fiscal year was primarily due to higher NSS and SI revenues. As a percentage of revenues, our gross profit was 17.5% for 2010 fiscal year compared to 14.4% for our 2009 fiscal year. This change was primarily attributable to the increase in SI revenues including a 1.3% impact of the increased proportion of SI revenues.

The gross margin for our NSS products increased to 13.3% in our 2010 fiscal year from 12.9% in our 2009 fiscal year. This increase primarily resulted from the effect of fixed costs relative to higher volumes of 1.3%, offset by a decrease of 0.9% which resulted from a number of changes to product mix.

The gross margin for SI products increased to 33.4% in our 2010 fiscal year, from 26.6% in our 2009 fiscal year. This increase primarily resulted from the effect of fixed costs relative to higher volumes of 8.4%.

In measuring the performance of our business segments from period to period without variations caused by special or unusual items, we focus on gross profit by product group, which excludes a non-cash equity compensation charge of \$1.5 million for our 2010 fiscal year and \$0.9 million for our 2009 fiscal year. See Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report for a description of our segments and how we measure segment performance.

Research and Development

The \$21.6 million or 30.5% increase in research and development expense in our 2010 fiscal year compared to our 2009 fiscal year resulted primarily from an increase in expenses related to actual and anticipated growth in the business including the impact of an increase in the number of employees of 37% and an increase in employee bonuses of \$3.9 million. This was offset by a \$5.9 million impact of the decrease in the value of the U.K. pound relative to the U.S. dollar.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expense increased by \$3.5 million or 6.3% in the 2010 fiscal year compared to our 2009 fiscal year. The increase resulted primarily from an increase in expenses related to growth in the business including a 28% increase in the number of employees and a \$4.5 million increase in employee bonuses. This was offset by a \$4.1 million reduction in legal fees and a \$4.1 million impact of the decrease in the value of the U.K. pound relative to the U.S. dollar. The reduction in legal expenses is attributable to the cessation of a patent infringement claim pursued in the 2009 fiscal year.

Provision for Income Taxes

During our 2010 fiscal year we recorded a benefit for income taxes of \$16.0 million compared with a provision of \$4.4 million in our 2009 fiscal year. The tax benefit in the 2010 fiscal year is primarily the result of the recognition of a U.K. deferred tax asset of \$13.9 million which had previously been subject to a valuation allowance as described in the overview. In addition the 2010 benefit includes a benefit of \$2.6 million, being a correction of an error from 2009 as also described in the overview. The remaining tax provision in both periods primarily relates to income for our U.S. operations. The income for these operations is calculated as a mark up on expenses and therefore results in positive income and a tax provision despite the recording of a net loss in 2009.

Table of Contents*Net Income*

The most significant contributor towards the increase of net income to \$139.4 million for the 2010 fiscal year from a net loss of \$16.4 million for our 2009 fiscal year was the significant increase in revenues in both segments and the resulting increase in gross margin.

Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2009 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2008

The following is a tabular presentation of our results of operations for our 2009 fiscal year compared to our 2008 fiscal year. Following the table is a discussion and analysis of our business and results of operations for such periods.

	Year Ended November 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2009	2008	Amount	%
(U.S. dollars in thousands)				
Revenues:				
Networked Storage Solutions	\$ 762,028	\$ 855,770	\$ (93,742)	(11.0)%
Storage Infrastructure	105,863	193,946	(88,083)	(45.4)
Total revenues	867,891	1,049,716	(181,825)	(17.3)
Cost of revenues	742,615	891,139	(148,524)	(16.7)
Gross profit:				
Networked Storage Solutions	97,981	107,275	(9,294)	(8.7)
Storage Infrastructure	28,202	52,566	(24,364)	(46.3)
Non cash equity compensation	(907)	(1,264)	357	(28.2)
Total gross profit	125,276	158,577	(33,301)	(21.0)
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	71,062	85,897	(14,835)	(17.3)
Selling, general and administrative	56,463	63,686	(7,223)	(11.3)
Amortization of intangible assets	3,939	4,882	(943)	(19.3)
Impairment of goodwill		34,256	(34,256)	
Restructuring costs	5,898		5,898	
Operating loss	(12,086)	(30,144)	18,058	(59.9)
Interest income, net	114	1,618	(1,504)	
Provision for income taxes	4,442	19,383	(14,941)	
Net loss	\$ (16,414)	\$ (47,909)	\$ 31,495	(65.7)

Revenues

The 17.3% decrease in our revenues in our 2009 fiscal year compared to our 2008 fiscal year was attributable to decreased sales of our NSS and SI products.

Revenues from sales of our NSS products decreased by \$93.7 million, or 11.0%. This was primarily due to our largest customer sourcing up to 25% of its products from an alternative supplier, as described in the overview. In addition we saw reduced demand as a result of the economic downturn. Partly in response to the downturn we moved our focus for the NSS business towards larger customers and away from existing RAID products which further reduced revenue. These effects were offset by the contribution of an additional \$102.2 million revenue from two significant new customers. Revenue in the fourth quarter of our 2009 fiscal year reflected improved demand from our customers, but was negatively impacted by a constraint on the supply of certain components, particularly semi-conductors. This arose as suppliers cut back capacity in response to the global recession.

Table of Contents

Revenues from sales of our SI products decreased by \$88.1 million, or 45.4%. This related to a reduction in sales across a number of our product groups including decreases of \$61.4 million for production test and qualification systems, \$18.6 million for automation and factory control products and \$8.4 million for cleaning and contamination control equipment. We believe this reduction in sales reflected lower demand for disk drives related to the economic downturn and also the impact of a new competitor on demand for production test and qualification systems. As described in the overview, our revenues from our SI products are subject to significant fluctuations, particularly between quarters, resulting from our major customers' capital expenditure decisions and installation schedules.

Cost of Revenues and Gross Profit

The decrease in cost of revenues and in our gross profit in 2009 fiscal year compared to our 2008 fiscal year was primarily due to lower NSS and SI revenues. As a percentage of revenues, our gross profit was 14.4% for 2009 fiscal year compared to 15.1% for our 2008 fiscal year. This change was primarily attributable to a 0.9% impact of the increased proportion of NSS revenues offset by the increase in NSS margins.

The gross margin for our NSS products increased to 12.9% in our 2009 fiscal year from 12.5% in our 2008 fiscal year. This increase was primarily as a result of us recording additional provisions for inventory obsolescence and liabilities to suppliers totaling approximately \$7.0 million in the fourth quarter of our 2008 fiscal year. This impact was offset by reduction in sales to smaller customers, particularly of products incorporating RAID technology.

The gross margin for SI products decreased to 26.6% in our 2009 fiscal year, from 27.1% in our 2008 fiscal year. This reduction primarily resulted from the effect of fixed costs relative to lower volumes partially offset by a beneficial change in product mix within the revenues from media write products.

In measuring the performance of our business segments from period to period without variations caused by special or unusual items, we focus on gross profit by product group, which excludes a non-cash equity compensation charge of \$0.9 million for our 2009 fiscal year and \$1.3 million for our 2008 fiscal year. See Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report for a description of our segments and how we measure segment performance.

Research and Development

The \$14.8 million or 17.3% decrease in research and development expense in our 2009 fiscal year compared to our 2008 fiscal year resulted primarily from the impact of the cost reduction exercise. As part of this exercise, we reduced our numbers of employees engaged in research and development by 14%, which was the primary cause of an approximate \$8 million reduction in staff costs. The remaining reduction largely relates to external expenses such as components used for product development purposes.

Selling, General and Administrative

The \$7.2 million decrease in our selling, general and administrative expense in our 2009 fiscal year compared to our 2008 fiscal year includes the effect of additional legal expenses of \$4.3 million primarily related to pursuing a patent infringement claim. The reduction in expenses excluding this item amounts to 18% and primarily results from the cost reduction exercise. We reduced our numbers of employees engaged in selling, general and administrative activities by 14%, and our staff costs reduced by approximately \$8 million.

Table of Contents

Impairment of goodwill

The \$34.3 million impairment of goodwill in our 2008 fiscal year results from our annual impairment test as described in the overview above.

Interest Income, Net

We recorded net interest income of \$0.1 million in our 2009 fiscal year compared with \$1.6 million in our 2008 fiscal year. This resulted primarily from a decrease in interest rates.

Provision for Income Taxes

During our 2009 fiscal year we recorded a provision for income taxes of \$4.4 million compared with \$19.4 million in our 2008 fiscal year. We recorded a provision for income taxes in our 2008 fiscal year primarily because of the inclusion of a \$19.9 million valuation allowance against our U.K. deferred tax asset as described in the overview. As a result of the recording of this valuation allowance our 2009 tax provision primarily relates to income for our U.S. operations. The income for these operations is calculated as a mark up on expenses and therefore results in positive income and a tax provision despite the recording of a net loss.

Net Loss

The most significant contributors towards the reduction in net loss to \$16.4 million for our 2009 fiscal year from \$47.9 million for our 2008 fiscal year was the recording in 2008 of the \$34.3 million impairment of goodwill and the \$19.9 million valuation allowance against our U.K. deferred tax asset. In addition the cost reduction exercise was the primary cause of a \$22.1 million reduction in research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses. These effects were offset by the impact of the reduction in revenues in both segments which was the main cause of a reduction in gross margin of \$33.3 million. The incurring of restructuring costs of \$5.9 million also offset the reduction in net loss.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The following discussion and analysis of our results of operations include certain non-GAAP financial measures as identified in the reconciliation below. The intention in providing these non-GAAP measures is to provide supplemental information regarding our operational performance while recognizing that they have material limitations and that they should only be referred to with reference to the corresponding GAAP measure.

We believe that the provision of these non-GAAP financial measures is useful to investors and investment analysts because it enables comparison to our historical operating results, those of competitors and other industry participants and also provides transparency to the measures used by management in operational and financial decision making. In relation to the specific items excluded in the tables below: (a) intangible assets representing costs incurred by the acquired business prior to acquisition are not cash costs and will not be replaced when the assets are fully amortized and therefore the exclusion of these costs provides management and investors with better visibility of the costs required to generate revenue over time; (b) equity compensation expense is non-cash in nature and is outside the control of management during the period in which the expense is incurred; (c) restructuring costs are not comparable across periods or with other companies and the release of the valuation allowance against a deferred tax asset is non-cash and is not comparable across periods or with other companies; and (d) the exclusion of the related tax effects of excluding items (a) to (c) is necessary to show the effect on net income of the change in tax expense that would have been recorded if these items had not been incurred.

Edgar Filing: XYRATEX LTD - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Included in the table below is a reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measures to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures as provided above.

	Year Ended November 30,	
	2010	2009
<i>Summary Reconciliation of GAAP Net Income (Loss) To Non-GAAP Net Income (Loss)</i>		
GAAP net income (loss)	\$ 139,428	\$ (16,414)
Amortization of intangibles	3,669	3,939
Equity compensation	9,654	5,625
Restructuring costs		5,898
Deferred tax on equity compensation	(3,241)	2,460
Valuation allowance against UK deferred tax asset	(13,929)	
Non-GAAP net income	\$ 135,581	\$ 1,508
<i>Earnings (Loss) Per Share (GAAP)</i>		
Basic	4.63	(0.56)
Diluted	4.46	(0.56)
<i>Earnings Per Share (Non-GAAP)</i>		
Basic	4.50	0.05
Diluted	4.34	0.05
<i>Weighted average common shares (in thousands), used in computing net earnings (loss) per share:</i>		
Basic	30,101	29,402
Diluted	31,270	29,402

On a non-GAAP basis net income for the 2010 fiscal year increased by \$133.1 million from the 2009 fiscal year and diluted earnings per share increased from \$0.05 to \$4.34 per share. The increase was a result of the same factors described above in the section "Results from Continuing Operations Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2010 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2009 Net Income"

Table of Contents**Quarterly Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, data regarding our revenues, operating expenses and net income. We derived this data from our unaudited consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of management, this data includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring entries, that are necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position and results of operations for these periods. The operating results in any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future period.

	Three Months Ended							
	November 30, 2010	August 31, 2010	May 31, 2010	February 28, 2010	November 30, 2009	August 31, 2009	May 31, 2009	February 28, 2009
	(unaudited) (U.S. dollars in thousands)							
Revenues	\$ 396,782	\$ 430,236	\$ 455,899	\$ 318,966	\$ 242,973	\$ 246,294	\$ 194,739	\$ 183,885
Cost of revenues	333,032	354,310	373,405	261,368	205,014	205,068	169,540	162,993
Gross profit	63,750	75,926	82,494	57,598	37,959	41,226	25,199	20,892
Operating expenses:								
Research and development	28,750	23,950	21,890	18,115	17,560	17,243	17,512	18,747
Selling, general and administrative	17,226	15,899	15,305	11,572	13,538	14,265	14,833	13,827
Amortization of intangible assets	694	1,018	979	978	951	1,011	1,011	966
Impairment of goodwill								
Restructuring costs					1,170	513	1,099	3,116
Total operating expenses	46,670	40,867	38,174	30,665	33,219	33,032	34,455	36,656
Operating income (loss)	17,080	35,059	44,320	26,933	4,740	8,194	(9,256)	(15,764)
Other income, net								
Interest income (expense), net	35	29	5	(24)	4	25	25	60
Income (loss) before income taxes	17,115	35,088	44,325	26,909	4,744	8,219	(9,231)	(15,704)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(15,140)	(2,146)	663	632	3,194	412	412	424
Net income (loss)	\$ 32,255	\$ 37,234	\$ 43,662	\$ 26,277	\$ 1,550	\$ 7,807	\$ (9,643)	\$ (16,128)

Our operating results have varied on a quarterly basis during our history. We expect to experience significant fluctuations in our future operating results due to a variety of factors, many of which we do not control. Factors that may affect our operating results include: the loss of significant customers; the size, timing and fluctuations of customer orders; the macro economic environment; the timing and market acceptance of product introductions or enhancements; increased competition and negative pricing pressures; and changes in the market for data communication networks.

For a more detailed discussion of the factors that may affect our revenues, operating results and the market price of our common shares, see "Part I, Item 3D Risk Factors".

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We finance our operations primarily through cash balances and cash flow from operations. We also have available bank facilities from HSBC.

Cash flows

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$59.7 million in our 2010 fiscal year, compared to \$39.3 million in our 2009 fiscal year and net cash used in operating activities of \$12.8 million for our 2008 fiscal year.

Table of Contents

Cash provided by operating activities of \$59.7 million for the 2010 fiscal year resulted primarily from net income after excluding net non-cash charges including the increase in deferred tax assets amounting to \$155.5 million. This was partly offset by an increase in working capital of \$95.8 million. The increase in working capital related to an increase in revenues and included increases in inventory and accounts receivable of \$86.9 million and \$84.0 million respectively partially offset by increases in accounts payable and deferred revenue of \$59.2 million and \$6.6 million respectively. An increase in employee compensation and benefits payable of \$14.1 million primarily related to the recommencement of the employee bonus scheme also contributed to the cash inflow.

Cash provided by operating activities of \$39.3 million for the 2009 fiscal year resulted primarily from a reduction in inventories of \$19.6 million. Inventories had risen above historical levels in 2008 to mitigate risks associated with the new ERP system and also as a result of the economic downturn in the fourth quarter. In addition cash flow was positively impacted by \$11.4 million from the net effect of non-cash charges totaling \$30.8 million offset by the net loss of \$16.4 million. In addition, a decrease in accounts receivable of \$16.2 million and a decrease in accounts payable of \$14.9 million had a net positive effect to cash flow of \$1.3 million. Decreases in both accounts receivable and accounts payable were primarily as a result of the reduction in revenue. Other changes in working capital resulted in a cash inflow of \$3.6 million and included the effect of \$3.0 million of European Union grant funding for third parties received on November 30, 2009.

Cash used in operating activities of \$12.8 million for the 2008 fiscal year resulted primarily from increases in inventories and accounts receivable of \$36.5 million and \$18.6 million, respectively. The increase in inventories primarily relates to our NSS segment and resulted from purchases in anticipation of a significant increase in revenue in the latter part of the year which did not materialize, partly due to the change in economic circumstances. The increase in accounts receivable primarily related to higher revenues in the final quarter of our 2008 fiscal year. These negative effects on cash flows were partially offset by the positive contribution of the net loss of \$47.9 million after excluding non cash charges totaling \$62.7 million and the non cash decrease in deferred income taxes of \$16.9 million. In addition an increase in accounts payable of \$15.2 million offset the cash used. The decrease in deferred income taxes primarily related to the valuation allowance against the U.K. deferred tax asset as described in the overview above. The increase in accounts payable primarily related to the higher revenues in the final quarter of our 2008 fiscal year. Other changes in working capital resulted in a cash outflow of \$1.7 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$25.2 million for our 2010 fiscal year, \$15.5 million for our 2009 fiscal year, and \$25.8 million for our 2008 fiscal year.

Net cash used in investing activities for the 2010 fiscal year related to capital expenditure and acquisition of businesses of \$20.3 million and \$4.9 million respectively. Net cash used in investing activities for both the 2009 and the 2008 fiscal years related to capital expenditure only.

Our capital expenditures relate primarily to purchases of equipment such as tooling, production lines and test equipment and also a building expansion in Malaysia. We would expect our capital expenditure to generally change in line with our revenues. Following the change in the economic environment our capital expenditure reduced significantly in our 2009 fiscal year. We did however complete the expansion of our facilities in Malaysia which required expenditure of approximately \$4.0 million. We currently have no material commitments for capital expenditures.

Net cash provided by our financing activities was \$4.4 million in our 2010 fiscal year, compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$0.1 million in our 2009 fiscal year and \$4.1 million in our 2008 fiscal year.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the 2010 fiscal year comprises \$2.1 million proceeds from the exercise of employee share options and a \$2.4 million increase in checks outstanding.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by our financing activities for the 2009 fiscal year relates to proceeds from the exercise of employee share options.

Net cash used in financing activities for the 2008 fiscal year comprises \$6.1 million for the repurchase of shares under our share buy-back program as described in the overview partially offset by \$2.0 million proceeds from the exercise of employee share options.

Liquidity

As of November 30, 2010, our principal sources of liquidity consisted of cash and cash equivalents of \$90.8 million and our multi-currency credit facilities with HSBC. The facilities include a revolving line of credit which expires in October 2011, and a short-term overdraft facility. The revolving line of credit is for an aggregate principal amount of up to \$30.0 million and bears interest at a rate of between 2.5% and 3.0% above LIBOR, depending on the level of debt relative to operating income. The overdraft facility is for an aggregate principal amount of \$25.0 million and bears interest at a rate equal to 3% above LIBOR. As of November 30, 2010, we had no debt outstanding under our revolving line of credit or our overdraft facility. The HSBC credit facilities provide for a security interest on substantially all of our assets.

Our future financing requirements will depend on many factors, but are particularly affected by our ability to generate profits, changes in revenues and associated working capital requirements, changes in the payment terms with our major customers and suppliers of disk drives, and quarterly fluctuations in our revenues. Additionally, our cash flow could be significantly affected by any acquisitions we might choose to make or alliances we have entered or might enter into. We believe that our cash and cash equivalents together with our credit facilities with HSBC will be sufficient to meet our cash requirements at least through the next 12 months. However, we cannot assure you that additional equity or debt financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth our contractual obligations as of November 30, 2010 that may affect our liquidity over the next five years:

	Total	Payments due by Period			After 5 years
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	
		(U.S. dollars in thousands)			
Operating lease commitments	26,452	9,200	13,440	2,682	1,130
Purchase commitments	300,355	300,355			
Total contractual cash obligations (a)	326,807	309,555	13,440	2,682	1,130

(a)

At November 30, 2010, we have \$2.5 million recorded as a current liability for uncertain tax positions. We are not able to reasonably estimate the timing of payments, or the amount by which our liability for these uncertain tax positions will increase or decrease over time, and accordingly, this liability has been excluded from the above table.

We have no ongoing commercial commitments, such as lines of credit, guarantees or standby purchase orders that would affect our liquidity over the next five years.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of November 30, 2010, we did not have any significant off-balance-sheet arrangements.

Table of Contents

Accounting Policies

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Note 2 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements describes our significant accounting policies and is an essential part of our consolidated financial statements. The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures.

We believe the following to be critical accounting policies. By "critical accounting policies" we mean policies that are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and financial results and require critical management judgments and estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain. Although we believe that our judgments and estimates are appropriate, actual future results may differ from our estimates.

Inventory Reserves

Inventories are valued at the lower of standard cost, which approximates actual cost computed on a first-in, first-out basis, or market value. We establish reserves against our inventories that are equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and its estimated market value. We are required to make estimates about future customer demand for our products, taking into account historical patterns, order backlog, changes in technology, projected sales based on economic conditions and growth prospects, and market acceptance of current and future products. A failure to correctly estimate these conditions or uncertainty in the future outlook for the economy and our industry, or other failure to estimate correctly, could result in additional inventory losses in excess of the reserves established and determined to be appropriate as of the balance sheet date.

Warranty Reserves

We record warranty reserves for the estimated cost of product warranty obligations for manufacturing defects in our products. These reserves are estimated based on expected warranty costs taking into account historical failure rates and the related warranty costs incurred. Warranty reserves are recorded as a cost of revenues and are estimated at the time of sale. While we have active programs in place to monitor the quality of products sold as well as failure rates for those products, some of our products are complex and may contain defects that are detected only after deployment in complex networks and systems. If actual failure rates differ from management estimates due to a decrease in the quality or design of materials and components or a decrease in the effectiveness of our monitoring programs, actual costs may differ from the amounts covered by our reserves and therefore may affect future earnings. In the event that we can no longer reliably estimate our product warranty liabilities at the time of sale, as a result of uncertainties or otherwise, this will have a material adverse impact on our recognition of revenue and earnings in future periods.

Income Taxes

We have recorded a deferred tax asset balance of \$23.1 million at November 30, 2010. We have determined that this amount is recoverable taking into account future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. Should we determine that we will, more likely than not, be able to realize a lesser proportion of our deferred tax assets in the future, whether this determination was the result of changes in our judgment, assumptions or estimates, or due to uncertainties or otherwise, a reduction to the deferred tax assets would decrease income in the period such determination was made.

Table of Contents

We recognize the tax liability for uncertain income tax positions using the two step method set out in accounting guidance. First we assess whether each income tax position is "more likely than not" to be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation process, if any. Second, for each income tax position that meets the "more likely than not" recognition threshold, we then assess the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon effective settlement with the tax authority. As of November 30, 2010, the Company had \$6.4 million of unrecognized tax benefits. The calculation of the level of unrecognized tax benefits requires a significant level of management judgment regarding the risks of having to make additional tax payments, for example, in the application of tax law and the setting of arm's length price between legal entities. If the judgment were made that the level of unrecognized benefits should be higher in future periods, our earnings would be adversely affected.

Long-Lived Assets and Impairment

We have recorded intangible assets on the acquisition of businesses and certain assets. The cost of the acquisition is allocated to the assets and liabilities acquired, including identifiable intangible assets, with the remaining amount being classified as goodwill. Goodwill and purchased intangible assets include existing workforce, existing technology, patents, customer contracts and covenants not to compete. Identifiable intangible assets are amortized over time and goodwill is capitalized, subject to periodic review for impairment. Accordingly, the allocation of the acquisition cost to identifiable intangible assets has a significant impact on our future operating results. The allocation process requires extensive use of estimates and assumptions, including estimates of future cash flows expected to be generated by the acquired assets. Should conditions be different than management's current assessment, material write-downs of the fair value of intangible assets may be required. We periodically review the estimated remaining useful lives of our other intangible assets. A reduction in the estimate of remaining useful life could result in accelerated amortization expense or a write-down in future periods. As such, any future write-downs of these assets would adversely affect our operating results.

We evaluate the impairment of goodwill on an annual basis and evaluate the impairment of all long-lived assets if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Triggering events for impairment reviews may be indicators such as adverse industry or economic trends, a decline in our share price, restructuring actions or lower projections of profitability. Intangible assets recorded in business combinations may significantly affect our future operating results to the extent impaired, but the magnitude and timing of any such impairment is uncertain. When we conduct reviews of long-lived assets for impairment the fair value of the assets are assessed using valuation techniques that require significant management judgment. Evaluations of possible impairment and, if applicable, adjustments to carrying values, require us to estimate, among other factors, future cash flows, useful lives, and fair market values of our reporting units and assets. Actual results may vary from our expectations.

As described in the overview above, we carried out our annual impairment test of goodwill at November 30, 2008 and determined that our goodwill balance of \$34.3 million was fully impaired. We also determined that the factors which resulted in the impairment of goodwill represented a triggering event for assessing the potential impairment of other long lived assets. We carried out this test at November 30, 2008 and concluded that there was no impairment of these assets. We determined that there were no indications of impairment as of November 30, 2009 or thereafter and therefore have not performed impairment tests at subsequent dates. Management judgment is required in determining whether indications of impairment exist. Our long-lived assets as of November 30, 2010 amounted to \$45.7 million of tangible assets and \$9.3 million of intangible assets. Any future write-downs of these assets would adversely affect our operating margin.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from product sales once delivery has occurred provided that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Delivery is considered to have occurred when title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer.

Product sales relating to the SI segment often include customer acceptance provisions. For products produced according to our published specifications and where no substantive customer acceptance provisions exist, revenue is recognized when title passes to the customer, generally on delivery. For products produced according to a particular customer's specifications, revenue is recognized when the product has been tested and it has been demonstrated that it meets the customer's specifications and title passes to the customer. For new products, new applications of existing products or for products with substantive customer acceptance provisions where performance cannot be fully assessed prior to meeting customer specifications at the customer site, revenue is recognized upon receipt of final customer acceptance.

Particularly in connection with sales of our SI products recognition of revenue requires the judgment of management regarding, for example, whether the products meets customer specification, whether elements of contracts can be separated and when customer acceptance has occurred. Different judgments would result in changes to the level of revenue and income in a particular period.

Share Based Payment

The calculation of our equity compensation expense requires management to make a number of assumptions, estimates and accounting policy choices. These include the use of the Black-Scholes option pricing model as our method of valuation for share options which were granted in 2005 and prior periods or are granted under our U.K. employee share purchase scheme. Our determination of the fair value of share-based awards on the date of grant using an option pricing model is affected by our share price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to the expected life of the award, our expected share price, volatility over the term of the award, expected forfeiture levels and actual and projected exercise patterns. The Black-Scholes option pricing model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, and other reasonable assumptions could provide differing results. The expense related to the grant of Restricted Stock Units requires less judgment than that related to options, but does require management judgment regarding the achievement of performance conditions, expected forfeiture levels and date of grant.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to business combinations. This guidance retains the fundamental requirements requiring that the purchase method be used for all business combinations. It defines the acquirer as the entity that obtains control of one or more businesses in the business combination, establishes the acquisition date as the date that the acquirer achieves control and requires the acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest at their fair values as of the acquisition date. In addition, the new guidance requires expensing of acquisition-related and restructure-related costs, remeasurement of earn out provisions at fair value, measurement of equity securities issued for purchase at the date of close of the transaction and non-expensing of in-process research and development related intangibles. We have adopted these requirements for business combinations in our 2010 fiscal year.

Table of Contents

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance relating to the accounting for transfers of financial assets. The purpose of this guidance is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial statements about a transfer of financial assets; the effects of a transfer on its financial position, financial performance, and cash flows; and a transferor's continuing involvement, if any, in transferred financial assets. These requirements are effective for Xyratex for transfers occurring on or after 1 December 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance relating to the consolidation of variable interest entities. This guidance changes how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated and requires a company to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009 the FASB issued new guidance related to revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables and those which include software elements. The issues address certain aspects of the accounting by the vendor that involve more than one deliverable or unit of accounting. The guidance will allow companies to allocate arrangement consideration in multiple deliverable arrangements in a manner that better reflects the transaction's economics and will remove non-software components of tangible products and certain software components of tangible products from the scope of existing software revenue guidance. For contracts with software elements this will result in the recognition of revenue similar to that for other tangible products. This guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted and may be prospective or retrospective. We are evaluating the impact of the adoption of these requirements, but do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued revised guidance on disclosures related to fair value measurements. This guidance requires new disclosures about significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements with respect to Level 3 measurements. The guidance also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures are primarily effective for us in our 2010 fiscal year. The adoption of this revised guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6: DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES****Item 6A: Directors and Senior Management***Management*

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the executive officers of Xyratex Ltd:

Name	Age	Position
Steve Barber	50	Chief Executive Officer
Richard Pearce	40	Chief Financial Officer
Harold Lehon	53	Executive Vice President

Steve Barber is our Chief Executive Officer and a member of our board of directors. Mr. Barber has served as Chief Executive Officer of Xyratex Ltd and its predecessor companies since February 2003, having previously served as President from March 2002 and as Executive Vice President and Director of Business Development from October 2000. Prior to assuming these positions, Mr. Barber led the development of our warranty and repair business from a small U.K. based company to a global business with operations in Europe, the United States and Southeast Asia. Our warranty and repair business was sold to Teleplan in June 1999. Mr. Barber acted as a division president of Teleplan from June 1999 before leaving Teleplan and re-joining our business in October 2000. Mr. Barber was a member of the executive team that led our 1994 management buy-out from IBM and, prior to that, held a number of management positions with IBM. Mr. Barber holds a Bachelor of Science degree in electronic engineering from the University of Natal, Durban, South Africa. Mr. Barber also serves as a director on the boards of directors of certain of our subsidiaries.

Richard Pearce is our Chief Financial Officer and has served as a director on our board of directors since March 26, 2007. Mr. Pearce has served as Chief Financial Officer of Xyratex Ltd and its predecessor companies since September 2003 and previously served as Treasurer and as Group Tax Manager following our management buy-out from IBM in 1994. Prior to joining Xyratex Ltd, Mr. Pearce held a number of financial positions within IBM over a period of six years. Mr. Pearce is a member of the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants. Mr. Pearce also serves as a director on the boards of directors of certain of our subsidiaries.

Harold Lehon is our Executive Vice President of our SI division. Mr. Lehon joined Xyratex in June 2008. Prior to joining Xyratex, he served as General Manager of KLA-Tencor's Reticle and Photomask Inspection Division. Mr. Lehon brings over 25 years of executive management experience in publically traded companies. Mr. Lehon's career includes leadership positions in engineering, operations, sales, marketing and business development in KLA-Tencor Corporation, DuPont Photomasks, Inc. and E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company. Prior to joining KLA-Tencor in 2001, Mr. Lehon was Vice President of Asia Pacific and President of DuPont Photomasks Japan KK for DuPont Photomasks, Inc. Mr. Lehon has served on the board of directors of several start-up and established companies throughout his career. Mr. Lehon holds a Bachelor of Science degree in mechanical engineering from Clemson University. Mr. Lehon also serves as a director on the boards of directors of certain of our subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**Board of Directors**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the directors of Xyratex Ltd:

Name	Age	Position
Andrew Sukawaty	55	Chairman
Steve Barber	50	Director
Jonathan Brooks	55	Director
Richard Pearce	40	Director
Ernest Sampias	59	Director
Steve Sanghi	55	Director
Mike Windram	65	Director

Andrew Sukawaty has been the Chairman of our board of directors since October 2004. Mr. Sukawaty is also chairman of the board of directors and chief executive officer of Inmarsat plc, a company listed on the London Stock Exchange (LSE), the world-wide mobile satellite services provider. He is also a non-executive Chairman of Ziggo, the privately-held Dutch cable TV company. He has over 30 years of experience in technology industries in the United States and Europe, having previously held the offices of chief executive and president of Sprint PCS, chief executive officer of NTL, and senior roles with US West and AT&T. Mr. Sukawaty holds a BBA from the University of Wisconsin and an MBA from the University of Minnesota.

Steve Barber. As above.

Jonathan Brooks has served as a director on our board of directors since May 1, 2004. Mr. Brooks is currently a director of e2v Technologies plc, which develops sensor technology, and Aveva Group plc, a leading software provider to the plant, power and marine industries, both of which are listed on the LSE. He is also Chairman of Picochip Inc., a venture capital backed company developing semiconductor solutions for wireless applications, and a director of Skrill Holdings plc, which provides e-wallet platform and payment systems on the internet. Between 1995 and 2002, Mr. Brooks was Chief Financial Officer and a director of ARM Holdings plc, a company which listed on the LSE and NASDAQ National Market in 1998. Prior to this, Mr. Brooks performed a variety of financial roles for the Accor Group S.A. in London and Paris. Mr. Brooks holds a Bachelor's degree in economic and social science from the University of Wales, an MBA from Manchester Business School and is a fellow of the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants.

Richard Pearce. As above.

Ernest Sampias has served as a director on our board of directors since May 1, 2004. His experience includes financial roles for both private and public companies within the information technologies, telecommunications, and directories industries. These financial roles were as Chief Financial Officer for Sensis Pty Ltd, in Melbourne, Australia, Chief Financial Officer for SpectraLink Corporation, McDATA Corporation, US West Dex, Local Matters, Inc., and Convergent Communications, Inc. Mr. Sampias also serves on the board of directors for BioFuel Energy Corporation as its Audit Committee Chairman. Mr. Sampias graduated from Indiana University with a Bachelors of Science degree in Business with distinction, and holds a Masters of Taxation degree from DePaul University in Chicago. He is a Certified Public Accountant and a member in the Financial Executives Institute and American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Table of Contents

Steve Sanghi has served as a director on our board of directors since May 1, 2004. Mr. Sanghi currently serves as Director and President of Microchip Technology, Inc. since his appointment in August 1990, as CEO since October 1991, and as chairman of the board of directors since October 1993. Mr. Sanghi was previously the chairman of the board of Adflex Solutions, and a member of the board of directors of both Artisoft and Vivid Semiconductor. Mr. Sanghi worked for Intel Corporation from 1978 to 1988 in various engineering and management positions, including General Manager of Intel's programmable memory operation. Mr. Sanghi received a Bachelor of Science in Electronics and Electrical Communications Engineering from Punjab Engineering College in India in 1975 and holds a Master's degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering from the University of Massachusetts in Amherst, Massachusetts.

Mike Windram has served as a director on our board of directors since June 2007. He has over 30 years of experience in research and development, engineering and engineering management roles. His early experience from 1971 to 1990 was in research and development in the Independent Broadcasting Authority. Subsequently he has held the positions of Executive Manager (R&D) in NTL, Director, Advanced Products Division, NTL, Managing Director, Digi-Media Vision (DMV) Ltd, Managing Director, NDS Broadcast and Senior Vice President, NDS. These divisions and companies provided systems, equipment and software for use in digital broadcasting applications world-wide. His most recent position was as a member of the board of Tandberg Television ASA. Dr Windram graduated from the University of Cambridge with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Natural Sciences (Physics) and also holds Master of Arts and Doctor of Philosophy degrees from that university. He is a Fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering, a Fellow of the Institution of Engineering and Technology and a Chartered Engineer.

Our directors may be reached at the address of our Fremont facility in the United States or at our headquarters in Havant in the United Kingdom.

Item 6B: Compensation

Chairman and Non-executive Director Compensation

We paid our Chairman and non-executive directors (consisting of five persons) an aggregate amount of \$436,000 for the fiscal year ending November 30, 2010 for fees. In addition, during our fiscal year ended November 30, 2010, we have also awarded our chairman and non-executive directors 29,500 restricted stock units (RSUs) in respect of Xyratex Ltd common shares.

Our Compensation Committee, composed entirely of independent directors, determines the remuneration of our directors. We also reimburse directors for reasonable expenses incurred in attending meetings of the board, meetings of committees of the board and our general meetings. No compensation, save reasonable expenses incurred, has been paid to executive directors in respect of their role as a director.

Executive Compensation

The aggregate compensation paid to the three executive officers of Xyratex Ltd for the fiscal year ending November 30, 2010 was \$1,051,000, of which \$1,007,000 comprised salary and \$50,000 comprised non-cash payments. Of the \$50,000 non-cash payments, \$38,000 related to payments into the executive officers' defined contribution pension schemes. In addition, during our fiscal year ended November 30, 2010, in aggregate we have also awarded the three executive officers 146,000 RSUs in respect of Xyratex Ltd common shares, which became eligible vesting RSUs on February 1, 2011, based on performance criteria.

Table of Contents

No bonuses were paid to executive officers in the 2010 fiscal year in respect of 2009 performance. Based on 2010 performance, bonuses will be paid to executive officers in 2011. Non-cash payments to executive officers consisted of employer pension contributions, leased cars and health insurance.

Item 6C: Board Practices

Board Practices

Our board of directors currently consists of seven members of which a majority are independent directors. All directors hold office until their successors have been elected and qualified or until their earlier death, resignation, disqualification or removal. The terms of office of the directors is divided into three classes:

Class I, whose term will expire at the annual general meeting to be held in 2013;

Class II, whose term will expire at the annual general meeting to be held in 2012; and

Class III, whose term will expire at the annual general meeting to be held in 2011.

Class I consists of Andrew Sukawaty, Ernest Sampias and Mike Windram; Class II consists of Steve Barber and Jonathan Brooks; and Class III consists of Steve Sanghi and Richard Pearce. At each annual general meeting after the initial classification or special meeting in lieu thereof, the successors to directors whose terms expire will then serve from the time of election until the third annual meeting following election or special meeting held in lieu thereof. In addition, a resolution of the board of directors may change the authorized number of directors within the upper and lower limits set out in our bye-laws. Any additional directorships resulting from an increase in the number of directors will be distributed among the three classes so that, as nearly as possible, each class will consist of one-third of the directors. This classification of the board of directors may have the effect of delaying or preventing changes in control or management of our company.

The employment contracts governing the service of our directors do not provide for benefits upon termination of employment. There are no family relationships among any of our directors, executive officers or key employees.

Board Committees

Our bye-laws give our board of directors the authority to delegate its powers to a committee appointed by the board. Committees may consist partly or entirely of non-directors, except for the audit committee, nominations and governance committee and compensation committee, which must consist only of independent directors. Our committees are required to conduct meetings and take action in accordance with the directions of the board and the provisions of our bye-laws or the written charters establishing these committees.

Compensation Committee

Our Compensation Committee consists of Andrew Sukawaty (Chairman), Jonathan Brooks and Steve Sanghi, each of whom satisfy the "independence" requirements of the Nasdaq Corporate Governance Rules. The Compensation Committee determines the remuneration policy as well as the terms and conditions of service and the cessation of service of our directors and executive officers, and evaluates the compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company to encourage high performance, promote accountability and insure that employee interests are aligned with the interests of the Company's shareholders. The Compensation Committee met once during the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010. Its members are not eligible for bonuses or pension entitlements. The Compensation Committee has access to the services of independent advisors as it requires.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee

Our Audit Committee consists of Ernest Sampias (Chairman), Jonathan Brooks and Mike Windram, each of whom satisfy the "independence" requirements of the Nasdaq Corporate Governance Rules. The Audit Committee met five times during the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010. The Audit Committee is required to meet at least once during each fiscal quarter. The Audit Committee's responsibilities include:

selecting the independent auditors and pre-approving all auditing and non-auditing services permitted to be performed by the independent auditors;

reviewing and approving all proposed related-party transactions;

discussing the annual audited financial statements with management and the independent auditors;

reviewing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting;

annually reviewing and reassessing the adequacy of our Audit Committee charter;

meeting separately and periodically with management and the independent auditors;

such other matters that are specifically delegated to our Audit Committee by our board of directors from time to time; and

reporting regularly to the full board of directors.

In addition, the Audit Committee has approved detailed procedures regarding "up the ladder" reporting of violations of U.S. securities laws and procedures in an effort to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 regarding auditor objectivity and independence.

Nominations and Governance Committee

Our Nominations and Governance Committee's functions include identifying and selecting qualified candidates for Board membership and ensuring compliance with applicable corporate governance requirements. The Nominations and Governance Committee consists of Andrew Sukawaty (Chairman), Ernest Sampias and Steve Sanghi, each of whom satisfy the "independence" requirements of the Nasdaq Corporate Governance Rules. The Nomination and Governance Committee met twice during the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010 in connection with the reappointment of directors retiring by rotation and the review of its charter.

Item 6D: Employees

As of November 30, 2010, we employed 2,150 permanent employees worldwide and an additional 1,199 temporary employees. We consider our highly qualified and motivated employees to be a key factor in our business success. Our future success will depend on our continued ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified engineering personnel, for whom competition is intense. Our employees are not represented by any collective bargaining organization and we have never experienced a work stoppage. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the number of employees as of the dates indicated:

	As of November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Operations	1,128	826	925
Research and development	556	435	508
Sales, marketing and service	273	213	243
General and administration	193	171	204
Total	2,150	1,645	1,880
Total employees in the United States	603	489	578
Total employees in Asia	981	648	703
Total employees in the United Kingdom	566	508	599

Item 6E: Share Ownership**Executive Officer and Director Restricted Stock Units, Share Option and Share Ownership**

The following table sets forth the number of our common shares, restricted stock units and the number of options to purchase our common shares owned by our executive officers and directors as of November 30, 2010. The table also sets forth the number of our common shares owned by all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Name	Number of Shares	Percentage of Shares Outstanding	Restricted Stock Units(1)	Number(1)	Options	
					Exercise Price Per Share	Expiration Date
Steve Barber	557,283	1.70%	159,999	100,000	\$ 14.31	January 9, 2015
Richard Pearce	51,542	<1%	90,499	55,000	\$ 14.31	January 9, 2015
Harold Lehon	46,511	<1%	86,541			
Andrew Sukawaty	48,475	<1%	13,500	228,003	\$ 14.47	March 30, 2014
Jonathan Brooks	25,000	<1%	8,750	20,000	\$ 14.00	June 28, 2014
				5,000	\$ 14.31	January 9, 2015
Ernest Sampias	5,250	<1%	8,750	10,000	\$ 14.00	June 28, 2014
Steve Sanghi	14,000	<1%	8,750	10,000	\$ 14.00	June 28, 2014
Mike Windram	4,375	<1%	8,750			
All our directors and executive officers as a group (eight persons)	752,436	2.30%				

(1) All options and RSUs entitle the holder to acquire one common share for each option or unit held.

Employee Equity Incentive Awards

Xyratex operates a range of equity incentive schemes, covering share option plans, share purchase plans, restricted stock units and restricted stock awards. Since December 1, 2005 the Company's share based awards have primarily consisted of Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs"). The Company has also operated an Employee Share Purchase Plan for U.S. employees and a Sharesave option plan for U.K. employees. Prior to December 1, 2005 share based awards were primarily in the form of share options.

Details of the schemes under which there have been transactions are given below.

Table of Contents

The following table contains a summary of all options that have been granted under the Company's share option plans and other share based awards that are outstanding as of November 30, 2010:

Name of Plan	No. of issued shares over which the Trustee(1) has granted options(2)	No. of unissued shares over which Xyratex Ltd has granted options(3)	Total number of shares over which options have been granted
The Xyratex Ltd Approved Plan		53,119	53,119
The Xyratex Ltd Unapproved Plan		291,448	291,448
The Approved Plan	49,959		49,959
The Unapproved Schedule	12,037	79,189	91,226
The 2004 Plan		78,201	78,201
The Directors Stock Option Plan		40,000	40,000
The Deputy Chairman's Stock Option Plan		228,003	228,003

- (1) Trustee for the Havant International Employee Benefit Trust.
- (2) All options entitle the option holder to purchase one common share for each option held.
- (3) 61,996 of the unissued common shares over which Xyratex Ltd has granted options are held by The Xyratex UK Employee Benefit Trust. Xyratex Trustees Limited, as trustee for The Xyratex UK Employee Benefit Trust, has agreed to transfer these common shares to beneficiaries of the trust on the exercise of options granted by the Company over such common shares.

Xyratex Ltd and Credit Suisse, the managing underwriter for our initial public offering in June 2004, have agreed to impose a limit on the number of our common shares over which awards resulting from the equity incentive schemes may be granted, to the effect that the total number of our common shares over which options may be granted under all our share option, share purchase, restricted stock and stock bonus award plans shall not, in any consecutive ten year period, commencing after our initial public offering, exceed 5,632,648 of our common shares (or 20% of our common shares in issue immediately following the date of our initial public offering). Lapsed and surrendered options are disregarded for these purposes. At November 30, 2010, awards over 3,064,000 of our common shares had been granted since our initial public offering.

Restricted Stock Units (RSUs)

The Compensation Committee is authorized to make awards of RSUs to any participant selected by the Committee in such amounts and subject to such terms and conditions as determined by the Committee. At the time of grant, the Committee shall specify the date or dates on which the RSUs shall become fully vested and nonforfeitable, and may specify such conditions to vesting as it deems appropriate. At the time of grant, the Committee shall specify the maturity date applicable to each grant of RSUs which shall be no earlier than the vesting date or dates of the Award and may be determined at the election of the grantee. On the maturity date, the Company shall issue to the participant one unrestricted, fully transferable share for each RSU scheduled to be paid out on such date and not previously forfeited. Members of the Board, employees and consultants are eligible to be awarded RSUs. Grants of RSUs have been made in connection with the acquisition of businesses. Some of these grants are subject to performance conditions linked to the ongoing business.

The Xyratex Ltd Approved Company Share Option Plan

The Xyratex Ltd Approved Plan is a United Kingdom share option plan which is approved by the U.K. tax authority. A U.K. tax authority approved share option plan offers tax advantages to option holders who exercise options in accordance with the provisions of the relevant legislation.

Table of Contents

Any employee or any full time director of the Group is eligible to participate (a "Participant"). Actual participation is at the discretion of the board of directors of Xyratex Ltd. Options are personal to the Participant and may not be assigned. Options shall be granted by deed for no consideration.

The aggregate subscription price of all outstanding options granted to any one Participant under the Xyratex Ltd Approved Plan and under any other U.K. tax authority approved discretionary share option scheme adopted or operated by the Company may not exceed £30,000.

Our board of directors will determine the option vesting period at the time options are granted. Where employment ceases due to death, injury, disability, redundancy, the Participant's retirement at normal retirement age, or on the Participant's employing company or business ceasing to be within Xyratex Ltd's group of companies or, at the discretion of our board of directors, on the Participant in question leaving employment for any other reason, options will generally be exercisable to the extent vested at the date of cessation.

Options held by a Participant will lapse if the Participant ceases to be employed by Xyratex Ltd or any of its subsidiaries save to the extent that the reason for cessation is as outlined in the paragraph above.

Options may also be exercised in the event of a takeover or liquidation of Xyratex Ltd. Options may be exercised in these circumstances notwithstanding that any performance target has not been satisfied.

Our board of directors may impose objective conditions as to the performance of Xyratex Ltd (which must be set having regard to institutional guidelines) which must normally be satisfied before options can be exercised.

At December 31, 2010, no performance targets have been imposed in respect of options granted under the Approved Plan.

The exercise price will be the higher of the nominal value of a Xyratex Ltd common share on the date of grant of the option and the average on market quotation of our common shares for the three dealing days immediately prior to the date on which the option is granted.

The Xyratex Ltd Unapproved Company Share Option Plan

The Xyratex Ltd Unapproved Company Share Option Plan has the same features as the Xyratex Ltd Approved Company Share Option Plan except that (i) the £30,000 individual participation limit does not apply to options granted under the Xyratex Ltd Unapproved Company Share Option Plan; and (ii) the requirements for U.K. tax authority approval or consent do not apply.

The Xyratex Sharesave Plan

The Sharesave Plan is a U.K. tax authority approved employee share purchase plan where eligible employees who elect to participate will receive options on favorable terms to purchase our shares with U.K. tax advantages.

Any U.K. employee or full-time director is eligible to participate. Invitations to join the Sharesave Plan are made at the Company's discretion. Each participant who enrolls in the Sharesave Plan will receive an option to purchase shares at a maximum 20% discount to the market price on joining the plan at the end of a three year term. During this period accumulated payroll deductions of the participant will be paid into a savings account operated by Yorkshire Building Society. At the end of the savings term, the participant can choose to use their savings to buy shares in Xyratex Ltd at the option price, or withdraw their savings from the plan with a guaranteed tax-free bonus.

Table of Contents

The maximum amount that any participant may save per month under the plan is £250, which must be determined and fixed on enrollment in the plan.

The Sharesave Plan was approved by our shareholders at our annual general meeting of shareholders on April 13, 2005.

The Xyratex Ltd 2004 Stock Option Plan

The 2004 Plan is a U.S. stock option plan under which we may grant incentive stock options to purchase our shares to our employees and nonqualified stock options to purchase our shares to our employees, consultants and non-employee officers and directors.

The per share exercise price of an incentive stock option may not be less than the fair market value of one of our common shares on the date of grant (and not less than 110% of the fair market value in the case of incentive stock options granted to holders of our shares possessing more than 10% of the voting power of all classes of our then outstanding shares). Initially, the per share exercise price of a nonqualified stock option will not be restricted, but all nonqualified stock options granted after a specified period and for so long as Xyratex Ltd is a publicly held corporation will be required to have a per share exercise price of not less than the fair market value of our common shares on the date of grant. An option may not be exercised more than ten years after the date of grant. Under the 2004 Plan, an option granted to an employee, consultant, officer or director will terminate if employment or service terminates. The maximum number of shares we can issue under the 2004 Plan is 5,000,000, provided that the limits set forth above in "Employee Equity Incentive Awards" shall apply.

The Xyratex Ltd 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (as amended)

The Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP, is a U.S. employee stock purchase plan under which eligible employees of any of our designated subsidiaries who elect to participate will receive rights to purchase our shares on favorable terms and with U.S. federal income tax advantages through accumulated payroll deductions.

The sole initial designated subsidiary is Xyratex International, Inc. Our board of directors may change the designated subsidiaries from time to time.

Each participant who enrolls in the ESPP for an offering period will receive a purchase right. A purchase right entitles a participant to purchase at the end of each offering period the number of our common shares determined by dividing the participant's accumulated payroll deductions in his or her plan account by the purchase price for that offering period. Generally, the per share purchase price of the shares subject to a purchase right for an offering period is 85% of the lower of the fair market value of one of our common shares on the first trading day of the offering period or the fair market value of such a share on the last trading day of the offering period. Generally, the fair market value of one of our common shares on any date will be the closing price of such a share on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC on that date.

Any individual (except any employee who owns shares possessing 5% or more of the voting power or value of all classes of our issued shares or the shares of any of our subsidiaries) will be eligible to participate in the ESPP for an offering period if he or she both (i) was employed by a designated subsidiary throughout a specified period ending on the first trading day of such offering period, and (ii) is customarily employed, as of such day, by a designated subsidiary for at least 20 hours per week and for at least five months per calendar year.

The maximum fair market value of our common shares that any participant may purchase under the plan during any calendar year is \$25,000, determined on the first trading day of the offering period.

Table of Contents

The initial number of our shares reserved for issuance pursuant to purchase rights to be granted under the ESPP was 100,000. On each December 1, beginning December 1, 2004, there will automatically be added to the number of shares reserved under the plan the least of (i) 500,000, (ii) 1% of the number of our common shares outstanding on that date or (iii) such other number of our common shares as our board of directors determines.

As at November 30, 2010 the number of shares reserved under the plan was 500,000.

The Xyratex Ltd 2004 Directors Stock Option Plan

Xyratex Ltd adopted a 2004 Directors Stock Option Plan for the purpose of making one-time grants of options to purchase 20,000 Xyratex Ltd common shares to each of Ernest Sampias, Steve Sanghi and Jonathan Brooks. The non-qualified stock options were granted effective June 29, 2004 and the exercise price of the options is \$14.00 per share, equal to the initial public offering price of our common shares. The options vested as to 25% of the shares on each November 30 in 2004 through 2007. An option may not be exercised after the option holder ceases to be a director of Xyratex Ltd for any reason or no reason, or more than ten years after the date of grant. The maximum number of shares we can issue under the plan is 60,000 shares.

The Xyratex Ltd Deputy Chairman's Stock Option Plan

Xyratex Ltd made a one-time grant of options to purchase 228,003 Xyratex Ltd common shares to Mr. Sukawaty, who at the time of grant was Deputy Chairman of the Company. The unapproved stock options were granted effective March 31, 2004 and the exercise price of the options is \$14.47 per share. The options vested as to 25% of the shares on each November 30 in 2004 through 2007. An option may not be exercised after the option holder ceases to be a director of Xyratex Ltd for any reason or no reason, or more than ten years after the date of grant. The maximum number of shares we can issue under the plan is 228,003 shares.

Restricted Stock

Restricted stock awards are shares of our common shares that will vest in accordance with terms and conditions established by the board of directors. The board of directors may impose whatever conditions to vesting it determines to be appropriate. For example, the board of directors may set restrictions based on the achievement of specific performance goals. Unvested shares will be subject to our right of repurchase or forfeiture.

At November 30, 2010, no restricted stock awards were outstanding.

Stock Bonus Awards

Stock Bonus awards consist of our common shares awarded in recognition of services rendered to Xyratex Ltd or its affiliates. The board of directors may impose whatever vesting it determines to be appropriate. Unvested shares may be subject to our right of repurchase or forfeiture.

At November 30, 2010, no stock bonus awards have been made.

Xyratex Group Limited Share Option Plan

Xyratex Group Limited is the predecessor holding company of the group prior to June 29, 2004. Options granted under Xyratex Group Limited's share option plans are held by employees in the United Kingdom, Malaysia and Singapore, and are over unissued common shares and common shares held by the Trustee for the Havant International Employee Benefit Trust.

Table of Contents

The Approved Plan and Unapproved Schedule were adopted on February 13, 2001. Under the Approved Plan and the Unapproved Schedule options subsist over our shares held by the Trustee of the Havant International Employee Benefit Trust and over unissued shares. The Approved Plan and Unapproved Schedule have the same features as the Xyratex Ltd Approved Plan and Xyratex Ltd Unapproved Plan respectively.

Options have not been granted by Xyratex Group Limited under the Approved Plan and the Unapproved Schedule since our initial public offering in June 2004.

ITEM 7: MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**Item 7A: Major Shareholders**

The table below sets forth information regarding beneficial ownership of shares of Xyratex Ltd as of the most recent practicable date held by each person who is known by us to have more than 5.0% beneficial share ownership.

As used in this table, beneficial ownership means the sole or shared power to vote or direct the voting or to dispose or direct the sale of any security. A person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of securities that can be acquired within 60 days upon the exercise of any option, warrant or right. Common shares subject to options, warrants or rights that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days are deemed outstanding for computing the ownership percentage of the person holding the options, warrants or rights, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the ownership percentage of any other person.

Shareholders' Name	Date	Shareholdings of Xyratex Ltd	
		Shares	Percentage
Royce & Associates, LLC	December 31, 2010	3,650,837	12.1%
FMR, LLC	December 31, 2010	3,290,790	10.9%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc.	December 31, 2010	1,906,700	6.3%

For information on the beneficial ownership of these shares see the relevant Forms SC 13G/A as filed with the SEC.

The voting rights of the major shareholders are no different from all other shareholders.

As of January 31, 2011, the number of shares of Xyratex Ltd held of record in the United States was 29,427,220 common shares, held by 191 record holders, representing 96.89% of our common shares outstanding.

Xyratex Ltd is not directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another corporation, by any foreign government or by any other natural or legal person.

Item 7B: Related Party Transactions

A predecessor company to Xyratex Ltd established the Havant International Employee Benefit Trust, or the Trust, to facilitate the distribution of shares and options over shares to employees pursuant to certain of our share incentive arrangements. As of December 31, 2010, the Trust held 61,996 Xyratex Ltd common shares, all of which were subject to options granted to our employees. Steve Barber is a member of the board of directors of Havant International Trustees Limited, the trustee of the Trust, and is a member of our board of directors.

Item 7C: Interest of Experts and Counsel

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**ITEM 8: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 8A: Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information**

Audited financial statements are included at "Item 18: Financial Statements".

For a discussion of our legal proceedings, please see "Item 4B: Business Overview Information Legal Proceedings" and for a discussion of our policy on dividend distributions, please see "Item 10B: Additional Information Memorandum and Articles of Association."

Item 8B: Significant Changes

None.

ITEM 9: THE OFFER AND LISTING**Item 9A: Offer and Listing Details**

Our common shares, par value \$0.01 per share, are listed on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC. We conducted our initial public offering in the United States on June 29, 2004 at which time we listed our common shares on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC. At close of business on January 31, 2011, the market price for our common shares was \$13.33.

Annual high and low market prices

The table below states the annual high and low market prices for our common shares on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC over our last five fiscal years.

Year ending November 30,	NASDAQ U.S. dollar per common share	
	High	Low
2006	\$ 33.63	\$ 15.63
2007	\$ 24.75	\$ 14.05
2008	\$ 22.97	\$ 2.67
2009	\$ 13.04	\$ 1.65
2010	\$ 20.45	\$ 10.50

Table of Contents**Quarterly high and low market prices**

The table below states for the four quarters of our 2010 and 2009 fiscal years, high and low prices of our common shares on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC.

Quarter	NASDAQ U.S. dollar per common share	
	High	Low
Quarter 2010		
First	\$ 17.15	\$ 10.59
Second	\$ 20.45	\$ 13.14
Third	\$ 16.80	\$ 10.50
Fourth	\$ 17.68	\$ 12.09
Quarter 2009		
First	\$ 2.95	\$ 1.65
Second	\$ 3.60	\$ 2.00
Third	\$ 6.94	\$ 3.50
Fourth	\$ 13.04	\$ 6.30

Monthly high and low market prices

This table states high and low sales prices for the last six months

Month	NASDAQ U.S. dollar per common share	
	High	Low
August 2010	\$ 13.34	\$ 10.50
September 2010	\$ 17.68	\$ 12.09
October 2010	\$ 16.73	\$ 14.10
November 2010	\$ 17.02	\$ 14.39
December 2010	\$ 16.86	\$ 15.12
January 2011	\$ 17.12	\$ 12.88

Item 9B: Plan of Distribution

Not applicable.

Item 9C: Markets

Our common shares are traded on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC under the symbol "XRTX".

Item 9D: Selling Shareholders

Not applicable.

Item 9E: Dilution

Not applicable.

Item 9F: Expenses of the Issue

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

ITEM 10: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Item 10A: Share Capital

Not applicable.

Item 10B: Memorandum and Bye-Laws

We incorporate by reference a description of our Memorandum and Bye-laws as set forth in our Annual Report on Form 20-F, File No. 000-50799, filed with the SEC on February 21, 2006.

Item 10C: Material Contracts

Not applicable.

Item 10D: Exchange Controls

We have been designated by the Bermuda Monetary Authority as a non-resident for Bermuda exchange control purposes. This designation allows us to engage in transactions in currencies other than the Bermuda dollar, and there are no restrictions on our ability to transfer funds (other than funds denominated in Bermuda dollars) in and out of Bermuda or to pay dividends to U.S. residents who are holders of our common shares.

The Bermuda Monetary Authority has given its consent for the issue and free transferability of our shares up to the amount of our authorized capital from time to time to and between non-residents of Bermuda for exchange control purposes, provided our shares remain listed on an appointed exchange, which includes The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC. Approvals or permissions given by the Bermuda Monetary Authority do not constitute a guarantee by the Bermuda Monetary Authority as to our performance or our creditworthiness. Accordingly, in giving the consent or permissions, the Bermuda Monetary Authority shall not be liable for the financial soundness, performance or default of our business or for the correctness of any opinions or statements expressed in this Annual Report. Certain issues and transfers of common shares involving persons deemed resident in Bermuda for exchange control purposes require the specific consent of the Bermuda Monetary Authority, although the Bermuda Monetary Authority has granted permission for the issue and transferability of up to 20% of our shares in issue from time to time to persons resident in Bermuda for exchange control purposes.

Table of Contents

Item 10E: Taxation

United States Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following discussion is a summary of material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of our common shares by a U.S. Holder (as defined below). For the purpose of this discussion only, "our common shares" refers to shares of Xyratex Ltd. This summary is based on the federal income tax laws of the United States (including the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, its legislative history, existing and proposed regulations thereunder, published rulings and court decisions) as in effect on the date hereof, all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. The discussion is not a full discussion of all tax considerations that may be relevant to a decision to purchase our common shares. The discussion addresses only U.S. Holders that purchase our common shares and hold them as capital assets and use the U.S. dollar as their functional currency. It does not deal with the U.S. federal income tax treatment of investors subject to special rules, such as grantor trusts, real estate investment trusts, regulated investment companies, banks, brokers, dealers, traders in securities or currencies, financial institutions, insurance companies, tax-exempt entities, investors liable for alternative minimum tax, holders of ten percent or more of our common shares (by vote or value, and directly or by attribution), persons holding our common shares as part of a hedging, straddle, conversion or constructive sale transaction or persons whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar. **U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisors about the U.S. federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences to them of an investment in our common shares.**

As used in this section, "U.S. Holder" means a beneficial owner of our common shares that is (i) a citizen or resident of the United States, (ii) a corporation (or other entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) organized in or under the laws of the United States or its political subdivisions (including the District of Columbia), (iii) a trust subject to the control of a U.S. person and the primary supervision of a U.S. court and with respect to which all substantial trust decisions are subject to the control of one or more U.S. persons or which has a valid election in place to be treated as a U.S. person or (iv) an estate, the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source.

If a partnership holds our common shares, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Therefore, partners of a partnership holding our common shares should consult their tax advisor.

Table of Contents

Dividends

Subject to the passive foreign investment company rules discussed below, the gross amount of any distributions paid with respect to our common shares generally will be included in the gross income of a U.S. Holder as ordinary dividend income to the extent paid out of our earnings and profits as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles. To the extent that the amount of any distribution exceeds our earnings and profits, it will be treated as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of the U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in our common shares and thereafter as capital gain. The dividends will not be eligible for the dividends-received deduction available to corporations. Dividends received by a non-corporate U.S. Holder on our common shares for taxable years of such holder beginning before January 1, 2013 may be taxed at the lower applicable capital gains rate provided that (1) we are not a passive foreign investment company (as discussed below) with respect to such holder for either our taxable year in which the dividend was paid or the preceding taxable year, (2) our common shares are readily tradable on an established securities market in the U.S. and (3) certain holding period requirements are met. U.S. Holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the availability of the lower rate for dividends paid with respect to common shares. Dividends paid on our common shares will generally constitute foreign source income. For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2006, dividends paid on our common shares will generally constitute "passive category income" for purposes of the foreign tax credit but could, in the case of certain U.S. Holders, constitute "general category income."

Capital Gains

Subject to the passive foreign investment company rules discussed below, a U.S. Holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss on the sale or other disposition of our common shares equal to the difference between the amount realized and the U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis (determined in U.S. dollars) in our common shares. Any gain or loss will generally be treated as arising from U.S. sources for foreign tax credit limitation purposes. If a U.S. Holder is an individual and the common shares being sold or otherwise disposed of have been held by that individual for more than one year, the gain recognized will be eligible for reduced rates of taxation. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations.

Passive Foreign Investment Company

We believe that we were not a passive foreign investment company (PFIC) for U.S. federal income tax purposes for the taxable year ended November 30, 2010 and do not expect to become a PFIC in the future. A non-U.S. corporation is considered a PFIC for any taxable year if either (i) at least 75% of its gross income is passive income or (ii) at least 50% of the value of its assets (based on an average of the quarterly values of the assets during a taxable year) is attributable to assets that produce or are held for the production of passive income.

We will be treated as owning our proportionate share of the assets and earning our proportionate share of the income of any other corporation in which we own, directly or indirectly, at least 25% (by value) of the stock.

We must make a separate determination each year as to whether we are a PFIC. As a result, our PFIC status may change. In particular, fluctuation in the market price of our common shares may result in us becoming a PFIC.

Table of Contents

If we are a PFIC for any taxable year, a U.S. Holder will be subject to special tax rules with respect to any "excess distribution" received and any gain realized from a sale or other disposition of common shares, unless such U.S. Holder makes a "mark-to-market" election as discussed below. Distributions received by a U.S. Holder in a taxable year that are greater than 125% of the average annual distributions received by such U.S. Holder during the shorter of the three preceding taxable years or such U.S. Holder's holding period for the common shares will be treated as an excess distribution. Under these special tax rules (i) the excess distribution or gain will be allocated ratably over the U.S. Holder's holding period for the common shares, (ii) the amount allocated to the current taxable year, and any taxable year prior to the first taxable year in which we were a PFIC, will be treated as ordinary income, and (iii) the amount allocated to each other year will be subject to tax at the highest tax rate in effect for that year and the interest charge generally applicable to underpayments of tax will be imposed on the resulting tax attributable to each such year. If we are a PFIC for any taxable year, you will be deemed to own shares in any of our subsidiaries that are also PFICs in such year. You could incur liability for tax and interest charges if either (1) we receive a distribution from, or dispose of all or part of our interest in the lower-tiered PFICs, or (2) you dispose of all or part of your common shares.

The tax liability for amounts allocated to years prior to the year of disposition or "excess distribution" cannot be offset by any net operating losses for such years, and gains (but not losses) realized on the sale of common shares cannot be treated as capital, even if a U.S. Holder holds the common shares as capital assets.

If we are a PFIC, a U.S. Holder may avoid taxation under the rules described above by making a "qualified electing fund" election to include its share of our income on a current basis, or a "deemed sale" election once we no longer qualify as a PFIC. However, a U.S. Holder may make a qualified electing fund election only if we agree to furnish annually certain tax information, and we do not presently intend to prepare or provide such information.

Alternatively, a U.S. Holder of "marketable stock" in a PFIC may make a mark-to-market election for stock of a PFIC to elect out of the tax treatment discussed three paragraphs above. If a U.S. Holder makes a mark-to-market election for the common shares, it will include in income each year an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the common shares as of the close of its taxable year over its adjusted basis in such common shares. A U.S. Holder is allowed a deduction for the excess, if any, of the adjusted basis of the common shares over their fair market value as of the close of the taxable year. However, deductions are allowable only to the extent of any net mark-to-market gains on the common shares included in the U.S. Holder's income for prior taxable years. Amounts included in a U.S. Holder's income under a mark-to-market election, as well as gain on the actual sale or other disposition of the common shares, are treated as ordinary income. Ordinary loss treatment also applies to the deductible portion of any mark-to-market loss on the common shares, as well as to any loss realized on the actual sale or disposition of the common shares, to the extent that the amount of such loss does not exceed the net mark-to-market gains previously included for such common shares. A U.S. Holder's basis in the common shares will be adjusted to reflect any such income or loss amounts. The tax rules that apply to distributions by corporations which are not PFICs would apply to distributions by us.

Table of Contents

The mark-to-market election is available only for stock which is regularly traded on a qualified exchange or other market, as defined in applicable Treasury regulations. Our common shares are listed on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC and, consequently, for so long as our common shares continue to be so listed and regularly traded on such market, the mark-to-market election will be available to U.S. Holders were we to be or become a PFIC. In the event that we and any of our subsidiaries are PFICs in any tax year, the mark-to-market election will not be available with respect to the shares of such subsidiaries that are treated as owned by you. Consequently, you could be subject to the PFIC rules with respect to the income of lower-tiered PFICs, the value of which you already took into account indirectly via mark-to-market adjustments.

If a U.S. Holder holds common shares in any year in which we are a PFIC, such U.S. Holder would be required to file annual reporting with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

In general, information reporting for U.S. federal income tax purposes will apply to distributions made on the common shares paid within the U.S. to a non-corporate U.S. person and on sales of the common shares to or through a U.S. office of a broker by a non-corporate U.S. person. Payments made outside the U.S. will be subject to information reporting in limited circumstances.

For taxable years beginning after March 18, 2010, new legislation also requires certain holders who are individuals to report information relating to an interest in the common shares, subject to certain exceptions (including an exception for common shares held in accounts maintained by certain financial institutions).

In addition, backup withholding of U.S. federal income tax will apply to distributions made on common shares within the U.S. to a non-corporate U.S. person and on sales of common shares to or through a U.S. office of a broker by a non-corporate U.S. person who (i) fails to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number (certified on U.S. Internal Revenue Service Form W-9), (ii) is notified by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service that backup withholding will be required, or (iii) fails to comply with applicable certification requirements.

The amount of any backup withholding collected will be allowed as a credit against U.S. federal income tax liability, which may entitle such U.S. Holder to a refund, provided that appropriate returns are timely filed.

Bermuda Taxation

At the present time, there is no Bermuda income or profits tax, withholding tax, capital gains tax, capital transfer tax, estate duty or inheritance tax payable by us or by our shareholders in respect of our shares. We have received an assurance from the Minister of Finance of Bermuda under the Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966 that, in the event that any legislation is enacted in Bermuda imposing any tax computed on profits or income or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, the tax shall not until March 28, 2016 be applicable to us or to any of our operations or to our shares or other obligations except insofar as the tax applies to persons ordinarily resident in Bermuda or to any taxes payable by us in respect of real property or leasehold interests in Bermuda held by us.

Item 10F: Dividends and Paying Agents

Not applicable.

Item 10G: Statement by Experts

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 10H: Documents on Display

We file annual reports and other information with the SEC pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC that apply to foreign private issuers. You may read and copy any of these reports at the SEC's public reference facilities at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington D.C. 20549 or obtain them by mail upon payment of prescribed rates. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information. Copies may also be obtained from the SEC website at <http://www.sec.gov>. Information included in our website does not form part of this document.

Item 10I: Subsidiary Information

Not applicable.

ITEM 11: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The principal market risks (*i.e.*, the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices) to which we are exposed are foreign exchange rates and interest rates on cash and cash equivalents.

The following risk management discussion and the estimated amounts generated from analytical techniques are forward-looking statements of market risk assuming certain market conditions occur. Our actual results in the future may differ materially from these projected results due to actual developments in the global financial markets.

Foreign Exchange Rates

The functional currency for all our operations is U.S. dollars and the majority of our revenues and cost of revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars. A significant proportion of our non-U.S. dollar operating expenses relate to our Malaysian and U.K. operations' payroll and other expenses. The value of the Malaysian Ringgit relative to the U.S. dollar is managed within a narrow range by the Malaysian government. We are therefore particularly exposed to exchange rate movements between the U.K. pound and the U.S. dollar. We partially limit our U.K. pound and Malaysian Ringgit exchange rate exposures through the use of forward foreign currency exchange contracts and currency options. By using these derivative instruments increases or decreases in our U.K. pound and Malaysian Ringgit operating expenses resulting from changes in the exchange rate are partially offset by realized gains and losses on the derivative instruments. We do not hold derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. We also have assets and liabilities denominated in U.K. pounds and therefore we are also exposed to the effect of the retranslation of these amounts as a result of movements in exchange rates. At November 30, 2010 we had \$7.5 million net U.K. pound denominated liabilities. A hypothetical 10% movement in exchange rates at November 30, 2010 would have impacted our net earnings by \$0.8m.

We are exposed to credit (or repayment) risk through our use of forward foreign exchange contracts. If the counter-party to one of the forward foreign exchange contracts to which we are party fails to fulfill its performance obligations under the contract, our credit risk will equal the positive market value of the contract. When the fair market value of a forward foreign exchange contract is positive, this indicates that the counter-party owes us money, thus creating a repayment risk. When the fair market value of a forward foreign exchange contract is negative, we owe the counter-party, and therefore we assume no repayment risk. In order to minimize credit risk in forward foreign exchange contracts, we enter into transactions with high-quality counter-parties, such as financial institutions, that satisfy our established credit approval criteria. Forward foreign exchange contracts are executed only on the basis of standardized agreements.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information about our forward foreign exchange contracts existing as of November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2009:

Derivatives between U.K. pound and U.S. dollar	November 30,	
	2010	2009
Nominal value of forward exchange contracts and options	\$ 58,776	\$ 36,209
Fair value of contracts asset (liability)	\$ 455	\$ 3,311
Carrying value of contracts asset (liability)	\$ 455	\$ 3,311
Average rate of contract	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.51
Period end rate	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.65
Maximum period of contracts (months)	11	23

Derivatives between Malaysian Ringgit and U.S. dollar	November 30,	
	2010	2009
Nominal value of forward exchange contracts and options	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,000
Fair value of contracts asset (liability)	\$ 242	\$ 213
Carrying value of contracts asset (liability)	\$ 242	\$ 213
Average rate of contract	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.28
Period end rate	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.30
Maximum period of contracts (months)	9	9

Since November 30, 2010, there has not been a material change to our market exposure related to foreign exchange rates.

Interest Rates

We had cash and cash equivalents at November 30, 2010 totaling \$90.8 million. These are primarily held in variable interest liquidity funds and overnight deposits.

For the year ended November 30, 2010 we had average variable rate cash and cash equivalent balances, net of variable rate borrowings, of approximately \$71.4 million. A hypothetical 1% change in interest rates during our 2010 fiscal year would have impacted our net interest income by approximately \$0.7 million.

Since November 30, 2010, there has not been a material change to our market risk exposure related to interest rates.

ITEM 12: DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 13: DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

None.

ITEM 14: MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 15: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Securities Exchange Act of 1934 reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Group's management, including its Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report. Based on the foregoing, as of November 30, 2010 the Company's Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that the transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and our directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding the prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Our management evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*. Based on this evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

Table of Contents

The effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2010 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included on pages F-1 to F-2.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations of Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Due to the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Due to the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

ITEM 16: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 16A: Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our Board of Directors has determined Ernest Sampias as an audit committee financial expert.

Item 16B: Code of Ethics

The Company has in place a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all Directors, officers and employees, which qualifies as a code of ethics as required under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applies to the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and other persons performing similar functions. The full text of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on the Company's website at <http://www.xyratex.com>.

Table of Contents**Item 16C: Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

The following fees were billed by our independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, during the two years ended November 30, 2010:

	Year ended November 30,	
	2010	2009
	(U.S. dollars in thousands)	
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 527	\$ 644
Audit related fees(2)	125	
Tax fees(3)	572	306
 Total fees	 \$ 1,224	 \$ 950

-
- (1) Represents fees for professional services related to the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements for years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's quarterly financial press releases on Form 6-K and the statutory audits of our subsidiaries.
- (2) Represents fees for professional services in connection with an SEC comment letter received during 2010.
- (3) Represents fees for professional services related to tax services, including tax compliance, tax advice, tax planning and expatriate tax services.

Audit Committee pre-approval policies and procedures

We have established a policy addressing the independence of our external auditors and the provision of services by our external auditors. Pursuant to this policy, our external auditors may only provide certain permissible audit services, audit-related services and non-audit services that have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has granted general pre-approval to all permissible services to be provided by the Company's external auditors not in excess of \$200,000 in aggregate in any fiscal year and that do not exceed \$50,000 per individual service provided. The Audit Committee must specifically pre-approve all services in excess of these amounts. Certain of the permissible audit services, audit-related services and non-audit services that our Audit Committee may pre-approve pursuant the policy have been set forth below. The Audit Committee annually reviews the list of permissible pre-approved services and may add or subtract services from the list from time to time.

Permissible audit services include:

statutory audits or financial audits for subsidiaries or affiliates, including issuing the audit opinion for Company reporting purposes and on the statutory financial statements; and

audit of the financial statements contained in our annual report on Form 20-F, and other services associated with SEC registration statements, periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC.

Permissible audit-related services include:

employee benefit plan audits;

accounting consultations on matters not reflected in the Company's financial statements (including advice on accounting policies);

Table of Contents

due diligence assistance relating to acquisitions and/or disposals;

audits on divestments and acquisitions;

internal control reviews; and

accounting and fraud investigation.

Permissible non-audit services include:

tax compliance, planning and related implementation advice; and

due diligence other than in respect of acquisitions.

In the event that services to be provided by the external independent auditor do not fit within the various enumerated pre-approved services under the policy, we have implemented certain internal procedures to ensure that the provision of such services will not compromise the independence of the external auditor.

The Audit Committee approved 100% of the non-audit fees billed by our principal accountants in the year ended November 30, 2010 under these policies and procedures.

Item 16D: Exemption From the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

Not applicable.

Item 16E: Purchase of Equity Securities by Company and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

Item 16F: Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant

Not applicable.

Item 16G: Corporate Governance

There are no significant differences between the corporate governance practices of Xyratex and those required of a U.S. domestic issuer under the Listing Rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 17: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We have responded to "Item 18: Financial Statements" in lieu of responding to this Item.

ITEM 18: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following financial statements are included as part of this Annual Report:

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-1</u>
<u>Audited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of November 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Audited Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended November 30, 2010</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Audited Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for each of the three years in the period ended November 30, 2010</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Audited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended November 30, 2010</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-6</u>

Table of Contents

ITEM 19: EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
1.1	Memorandum of Association of Xyratex Ltd*
1.2	Bye-Laws of Xyratex Ltd**
8	Subsidiaries of Xyratex Ltd**
12.1	Section 302 certification, Chief Executive Officer
12.2	Section 302 certification, Chief Financial Officer
13.1	Section 906 certification, Chief Executive Officer
13.2	Section 906 certification, Chief Financial Officer
15.1	Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves

*

Incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-116089) filed with the SEC on June 22, 2004.

**

Incorporated by reference to our annual report on Form 20-F (File No. 000-50799) filed with the SEC on February 21, 2006.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on its behalf.

XYRATEX LTD

February 21, 2011

By: /s/ STEVE BARBER

Steve Barber
Chief Executive Officer

84

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and shareholders of Xyratex Ltd

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Xyratex Ltd and its subsidiaries at 30 November 2010 and 30 November 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended 30 November 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule in exhibit 15.1 presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of 30 November 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and the financial statement schedule in exhibit 15.1, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included under Item 15 of the Form 20-F. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule in exhibit 15.1 and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Southampton
England
February 22, 2011

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

AUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

November 30,
2010 2009
(US dollars and
amounts in thousands)

ASSETS**Current assets:**

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 90,842	\$ 51,935
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$310 and \$1,730	209,044	124,715
Inventories	195,936	108,625
Prepaid expenses	3,154	4,784
Deferred income taxes	8,204	405
Other current assets	3,876	5,825
Total current assets	511,056	296,289
Property, plant and equipment, net	45,687	44,485
Intangible assets, net	9,326	7,207
Deferred income taxes	14,913	6,269
Total assets	\$ 580,982	\$ 354,250

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**Current liabilities:**

Accounts payable	\$ 155,792	\$ 96,386
Employee compensation and benefits payable	22,638	8,580
Deferred revenue	17,958	10,620
Income taxes payable	730	2,013
Other accrued liabilities	16,533	17,413
Total current liabilities	213,651	135,012
Long-term liabilities		
Total liabilities	\$ 213,651	135,012

Commitments and contingencies (note 14)**Shareholders' equity**

Common shares of Xyratex Ltd (in thousands), par value \$0.01 per share 70,000 authorized, 30,276 and 29,461 issued and outstanding	303	295
Additional paid-in capital	382,684	370,925
Accumulated other comprehensive income	496	3,598
Accumulated deficit	(16,152)	(155,580)
Total shareholders' equity	367,331	219,238
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 580,982	\$ 354,250

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**XYRATEX LTD****AUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Year Ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues	\$ 1,601,883	\$ 867,891	\$ 1,049,716
Cost of revenues	1,322,115	742,615	891,139
Gross profit	279,768	125,276	158,577
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	92,705	71,062	85,897
Selling, general and administrative	60,002	56,463	63,686
Amortization of intangible assets	3,669	3,939	4,882
Impairment of goodwill			34,256
Restructuring costs		5,898	
Total operating expenses	156,376	137,362	188,721
Operating income (loss)	123,392	(12,086)	(30,144)
Interest income	86	137	1,732
Interest expense	(41)	(23)	(114)
Income (loss) before income taxes	123,437	(11,972)	(28,526)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(15,991)	4,442	19,383
Net income (loss)	\$ 139,428	\$ (16,414)	\$ (47,909)
Net earnings (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$ 4.63	\$ (0.56)	\$ (1.64)
Diluted	\$ 4.46	\$ (0.56)	\$ (1.64)
Weighted average common shares (in thousands), used in computing net earnings (loss) per share:			
Basic	30,101	29,402	29,157
Diluted	31,270	29,402	29,157

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

AUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(US dollars and amounts, in thousands)

	Number of Common Shares	Par value	Additional paid-in capital	Accumulated deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Total
Balances as of November 30, 2007	29,117	\$ 291	\$ 356,268	(\$ 85,145)	\$ 1,847	\$ 273,261
Issuance of common shares	421	4	2,040			2,044
Non-cash equity compensation			7,646			7,646
Tax benefit from exercise of options			113			113
Repurchase of common shares	(392)	(4)		(6,112)		(6,116)
Components of comprehensive loss, net of tax:						
Net loss				(47,909)		
Unrealized loss on forward foreign currency contracts net of reclassification adjustment:					(15,450)	
Total comprehensive loss						(63,359)
Balances as of November 30, 2008	29,146	\$ 291	\$ 366,067	(\$ 139,166)	(\$ 13,603)	\$ 213,589
Issuance of common shares	315	4	83			87
Non-cash equity compensation			5,625			5,625
Tax on equity compensation			(850)			(850)
Components of comprehensive income, net of tax:						
Net loss				(16,414)		
Unrealized gain on forward foreign currency contracts and reclassification adjustment:					17,201	
Total comprehensive income						787
Balances as of November 30, 2009	29,461	\$ 295	\$ 370,925	(\$ 155,580)	\$ 3,598	\$ 219,238
Issuance of common shares	815	8	2,105			2,113
Non-cash equity compensation			9,654			9,654
Components of comprehensive income, net of tax:						
Net income				139,428		
Unrealized loss on forward foreign currency contracts net of reclassification adjustment:					(3,102)	
Total comprehensive income						136,326
Balances as of November 30, 2010	30,276	\$ 303	\$ 382,684	(\$ 16,152)	\$ 496	\$ 367,331

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

AUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ended November 30,

2010 2009 2008

(US dollars in thousands)

Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 139,428	\$ (16,414)	\$ (47,909)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation	18,436	18,197	15,770
Amortization of intangible assets	3,669	3,939	4,882
Impairment of goodwill			34,256
Non-cash equity compensation	9,654	5,625	7,646
Loss on sale of assets	796		185
Deferred income taxes	(16,443)	3,021	16,944
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of impact of acquisitions and divestitures			
Accounts receivable	(84,004)	16,164	(18,552)
Inventories	(86,917)	19,558	(36,521)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	487	165	1,455
Accounts payable	59,178	(14,909)	15,249
Employee compensation and benefits payable	14,058	(1,165)	(3,535)
Deferred revenue	6,649	2,234	(6,826)
Income taxes payable	(1,283)	(560)	1,408
Other accrued liabilities	(4,052)	3,433	2,718
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	59,656	39,288	(12,830)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Investments in property, plant and equipment	(20,328)	(15,453)	(25,763)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash received	(4,908)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(25,236)	(15,453)	(25,763)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Repurchase of common shares			(6,116)
Increase in book overdraft	2,374		
Proceeds from issuance of common shares	2,113	87	2,044
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	4,487	87	(4,072)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	38,907	23,922	(42,665)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	51,935	28,013	70,678
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 90,842	\$ 51,935	\$ 28,013

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

1. The Company and its Operations

Operations. We are a leading provider of modular enterprise-class data storage solutions and storage process technology with principal operations in the United Kingdom ("U.K."), the United States of America ("U.S.") and Malaysia. We operate in two business segments: Networked Storage Solutions ("NSS") and Storage Infrastructure ("SI"). Our NSS products are hard disk drive based data storage subsystems which we supply to Original Equipment Manufacturers or OEMs. Our SI products include capital process, inspection and test equipment which we supply to the hard disk drive industry.

Parent company. Xyratex Ltd, a company incorporated in Bermuda is our parent company. In these notes Xyratex Ltd together with its subsidiaries is referred to as the "Company".

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A summary of the significant accounting policies followed in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements, which conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, is presented below.

Fiscal year. The Company's fiscal year ends on November 30.

Principles of consolidation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Xyratex Ltd and its wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries. Wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries are all entities over which the Company has the power to control the entity's financial and operating policies. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of estimates. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Some of the more significant estimates include inventory valuation reserves, depreciation, amortization and impairment of long-lived assets including goodwill, deferred tax asset valuation allowance, warranty reserves and equity compensation expense. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Foreign currency. The U.S. dollar is the functional currency of all operations as most revenues and expenses are incurred in U.S. dollars. As such, non-monetary assets and liabilities of operations located outside of the U.S. are remeasured into U.S. dollars using historical exchange rates. Monetary assets and liabilities are remeasured using the current rate at each balance sheet date. Revenue and expenses are generally translated at a monthly exchange rate, being the actual rate at the beginning of each month, except for those expenses related to balance sheet amounts that are remeasured at historical exchange rates. Gains or losses from foreign currency remeasurement are included in income. Net foreign currency remeasurement gains of \$277 and \$176 and a net foreign currency remeasurement loss of \$1,415 are included in selling general and administrative expenses, in the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Comprehensive income (loss). In addition to net income (loss), comprehensive income (loss) includes charges or credits to equity that are not the result of transactions with shareholders. For the Company, this includes unrealized gains and losses on forward foreign currency contracts and foreign currency options. The Company has included components of comprehensive income (loss) within the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Revenue recognition. Revenue from product sales is recognized once delivery has occurred provided that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Delivery is considered to have occurred when title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer.

Product sales relating to the SI segment often include customer acceptance provisions. For products produced according to the Company's published specifications and where no substantive customer acceptance provisions exist, revenue is recognized when title passes to the customer, generally on delivery. For products produced according to a particular customer's specifications, revenue is recognized when the product has been tested and it has been demonstrated that it meets the customer's specifications and title passes to the customer. For new products, new applications of existing products or for products with substantive customer acceptance provisions where performance cannot be fully assessed prior to meeting customer specifications at the customer site, revenue is recognized upon receipt of final customer acceptance.

In certain instances, the Company requires advanced deposits for a portion of the sales price in advance of shipment. These amounts are recorded as deferred revenue until the revenue recognition criteria above are met.

A number of the Company's contracts for the supply of products have included payments upon the achievement of milestones for Non-Recurring Engineering ("NRE") during the product development phase. For those contracts where we determine that the product development phase constitutes a separate earnings process revenue relating to the NRE payments is recognized upon the achievement of the applicable milestones. Other NRE payments and up-front fees received on execution of a contract are recognized over the estimated period of product shipments. Revenue related to NRE payments which was recognized on the achievement of substantive milestones amounted to approximately \$1,399, \$1,255 and \$993 in the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively.

Research and development. Amounts spent by the Company for research and development efforts are recorded as research and development expenses when incurred.

Warranty expense. The Company generally offers warranties between one and three years. Estimated future warranty obligations related to product sales are charged to operations in the period in which the related revenue is recognized. These estimates are based on historical warranty experience and other relevant information of which the Company is aware. During the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, warranty expense was \$6,055, \$3,210 and \$3,765 respectively.

Shipping and handling costs. Shipping and handling costs charged to customers are included in revenues and the associated expense is recorded in cost of revenues for all periods presented.

Advertising. Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and amounted to \$25, \$7 and \$42 during the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Pensions and 401(k) plan. Retirement benefits are provided for U.K. and Malaysian employees by defined contribution pension plans whereby the assets of the plans are held separately from those of the Company and are independently administered. The Company also makes contributions to a 401(k) savings plan for U.S. employees. Contributions by the Company to defined contribution pension plans are charged to income as they become payable and amounted to \$3,909, \$2,964 and \$4,800 during the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These contributions were temporarily reduced during the year ended November 30, 2009 as part of the cost reduction program, but were reinstated during the year ended November 30, 2010.

Equity compensation. The Company accounts for employee equity awards under the fair value method. Accordingly, the Company measures equity compensation at the grant date based on the fair value of the award. Equity compensation is based on the graded vesting of the share-based award, adjusted for expected forfeitures.

In the three years ended November 30, 2010 the Company's share based awards were primarily in the form of Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs"). The valuation of these awards is based on the share price on the date of grant and requires the estimate of forfeiture levels and the achievement of performance conditions.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model as the method of valuation for share option grants under the Company's share purchase plans.

Income taxes. Provision for income taxes is based on income before taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized principally for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts. Deferred tax assets also arise from net operating losses and are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount more likely than not to be realized.

We recognize the tax liability for uncertain income tax positions using the two step method set out in accounting guidance. Firstly we assess whether each income tax position is "more likely than not" to be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation process, if any. Secondly, for each income tax position that meets the "more likely than not" recognition threshold, the Company then assesses the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon effective settlement with the tax authority.

Net earnings (loss) per share. Basic net earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, during the period, excluding the dilutive effect of RSUs and share options. Diluted net earnings per share gives effect to all potentially dilutive common share equivalents outstanding during the period including RSUs and share options.

Cash and cash equivalents. Investments are classified as cash equivalents if their original maturity is three months or less. Cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair value.

Accounts receivable. Accounts receivable are stated at cost less the allowance for doubtful amounts. No interest has been charged on accounts receivable.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Inventory. Inventory is valued at standard cost, which approximates actual cost computed on a first-in, first-out basis, not in excess of market value.

Property, plant and equipment. Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Additions, improvements and major renewals are capitalized; maintenance, repairs and minor renewals are expensed as incurred. When assets are retired or disposed of, the assets and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the balance sheet and the resulting gain or loss is reflected in current income. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method, principally over five to twenty five years for buildings and improvements and two to seven years for machinery and equipment. Depreciation of leasehold improvements is provided using the straight-line method over the life of the asset or the minimum term of the lease, whichever is shorter. Land is not depreciated.

Software Development Cost. Costs related to internally developed software and software purchased for internal use are capitalized. Capitalized software is included in property, plant and equipment and is depreciated between two and seven years when development is complete, and amounted to \$755, \$641 and \$1,441 for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively.

Goodwill and purchased intangible assets. Purchased intangible assets with identifiable lives are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Amortization is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated economic lives of the respective assets. Under the provisions of the accounting guidance, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized, but instead are tested for impairment at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. Impairment of goodwill is tested using a two step approach at the reporting unit level. The first step requires comparison of the reporting unit's carrying amount, including goodwill, to the fair value of the reporting unit. The fair values of the reporting units are estimated using the discounted cash flows approach which utilizes market data including the Company's market value. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, goodwill is considered impaired and a second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment. The second step involves determining the implied fair value of goodwill for each reporting unit. Any excess carrying amount of goodwill over the fair value determined in the second step will be recorded as a goodwill impairment loss.

Impairment of long-lived assets. The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate carrying amounts of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, the Company assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, the Company recognizes an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

Treasury stock. The Company accounts for its repurchase of shares under the cost method of accounting for treasury stock, whereby the treasury stock is recorded at the cost of reacquisition and reported as a deduction from shareholders equity. Differences in the share price upon subsequent reissuance from the original issuance are recorded through paid in capital. Retirement of treasury shares results in elimination of the original par value, with any excess amounts recorded in retained earnings.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Derivative financial instruments. The Company enters into derivative financial instruments (forward foreign currency contracts and foreign currency options) in order to manage currency risks arising from its forecasted and firmly committed foreign currency denominated cash flows. The Company enters into these hedging relationships to limit foreign exchange rate risk for periods not exceeding 24 months. The Company does not utilize financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company recognizes all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as the risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking hedge transactions. This documentation includes linking all derivatives to specific forecasted cash flows. The Company also formally assesses both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis whether the derivatives used in hedging transactions have been highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of hedged items and whether those derivatives may be expected to remain highly effective in future periods.

Where hedge instruments continue to be highly effective changes in fair value of these instruments are deferred and recorded, net of the related tax effects, as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) until the hedged transactions affect earnings, at which time the deferred gains and losses on the forward foreign currency contracts are recognized in the income statement. When it is determined that a derivative is not, or has ceased to be, highly effective as a hedge, the Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively and recognizes future changes in fair value in current earnings.

The Company has designated all its forward foreign currency contracts as qualifying for hedge accounting and as remaining highly effective throughout their existence.

Fair Value Measurements. The fair value of the Company's financial instruments other than derivative financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximate cost because of their short maturities. The fair value of the Company's forward foreign exchange contracts and options is based on foreign currency spot rates and forward rates quoted by banks.

Concentration of credit risk. Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk include cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and accounts receivable. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments with high-credit quality financial institutions. Cash deposits are placed with up to three institutions and such deposits generally exceed governmentally insured limits. Concentrations of credit risk, with respect to accounts receivable, exist to the extent of amounts presented in the financial statements. Three customers, each with balances greater than 10% of total accounts receivable, represented 75% of the total accounts receivable balance at November 30, 2010 and three customers represented 73% of the total accounts receivable balance at November 30, 2009. Generally, the Company does not require collateral or other security to support customer receivables. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers and maintains an allowance for potential credit losses based on historical experience and other information available to management. Losses to date have been within management's expectations.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Revenues of the NSS segment include revenue from three customers accounting for 41%, 14% and 11% of the Company's revenues in the year ended November 30, 2010, two customers accounting for 48% and 15% of the Company's revenues in the year ended November 30, 2009 and one customer accounting for 54% of the Company's revenues in the year ended November 30, 2008. Revenues of the SI segment include revenue from one customer accounting for 14% of the Company's revenues in their year ended November 20, 2010. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of revenues.

Supplier risk. The Company integrates highly specialized components, such as disk drives, printed circuit board assemblies and power supplies into its products. These components are generally available from a single source or a limited number of suppliers. If any of these suppliers failed to meet the Company's timing and quality requirements or unexpectedly discontinued its business relations with the Company, and no alternative supplier were found within a reasonable period of time, the Company's ability to manufacture products at acceptable prices or to deliver products on time could be impaired, possibly resulting in loss of sales.

Recent accounting pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to business combinations. This guidance retains the fundamental requirements requiring that the purchase method be used for all business combinations. It defines the acquirer as the entity that obtains control of one or more businesses in the business combination, establishes the acquisition date as the date that the acquirer achieves control and requires the acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest at their fair values as of the acquisition date. In addition, the new guidance requires expensing of acquisition-related and restructure-related costs, remeasurement of earn out provisions at fair value, measurement of equity securities issued for purchase at the date of close of the transaction and non-expensing of in-process research and development related intangibles. The Company has adopted these requirements for business combinations in the year ended November 30, 2010.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance relating to the accounting for transfers of financial assets. The purpose of this guidance is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial statements about a transfer of financial assets; the effects of a transfer on its financial position, financial performance, and cash flows; and a transferor's continuing involvement, if any, in transferred financial assets. These requirements are effective for Xyratex for transfers occurring on or after December 1, 2009. The Company has adopted this guidance in the year ended November 30, 2010.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance relating to the consolidation of variable interest entities. This guidance changes how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated and requires a company to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company does not have any significant interests in variable interest entities and has adopted this guidance in the year ended November 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**XYRATEX LTD****NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)**

In October 2009 the FASB issued new guidance related to revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables and those which include software elements. The issues address certain aspects of the accounting by the vendor that involve more than one deliverable or unit of accounting. The guidance will allow companies to allocate arrangement consideration in multiple deliverable arrangements in a manner that better reflects the transaction's economics and will remove non-software components of tangible products and certain software components of tangible products from the scope of existing software revenue guidance. For contracts with software elements this will result in the recognition of revenue similar to that for other tangible products. This guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted and may be prospective or retrospective. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued revised guidance on disclosures related to fair value measurements. This guidance requires new disclosures about significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements with respect to Level 3 measurements. The guidance also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures are primarily effective in the year ended November 30, 2010. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

3. Net earnings per share

Basic net earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares. Diluted net earnings per share gives effect to all potentially dilutive common share equivalents outstanding during the period.

	Number of common shares		
	Year Ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Total weighted average common shares basic	30,101	29,402	29,157
Dilutive effect of share options	113		
Dilutive effect of restricted stock units	1,056		
Total weighted average common shares diluted	31,270	29,402	29,157
Number of options and other share based awards excluded from the calculation because the effect would be anti-dilutive		246	557

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

4. Restructuring costs

During the year ended November 30, 2009 the Company commenced a cost reduction program in response to reduced customer demand and worsening market conditions resulting from upheaval in the financial credit markets. This included a compulsory headcount reduction program and the closure of certain Company locations, primarily supporting research and development. The Company reduced its overall employee numbers by 247, or approximately 13%. This program was complete at November 30, 2009 and the costs of employee and lease terminations totaled \$5,898. Of this amount \$4,142 related to employee terminations was paid in the year ended November 30, 2009. The cost of lease terminations amounted to \$1,756 and related to leases which expire in 2010 and 2011. Of this amount \$1,054 and \$560 were paid in the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009 respectively.

5. Acquisition, intangible assets and impairment of goodwill and other long-lived assets*Acquisition of business*

During the year ended November 30, 2010, the Company completed two acquisitions for a cash purchase price totaling \$4,908. The Company has estimated the fair market value of contingent consideration for one of the acquisitions at \$800. The Company has estimated the fair values of the acquired assets and liabilities and the allocation of the purchase price to intangible assets.

Pro forma operating results have not been presented for the acquisitions because the acquisitions were not material to the Company. Goodwill of \$2,991 has been recognized on the above transactions. \$700 has been allocated to intangible assets as developed technology to be amortized over five years. \$2,100 has been allocated to in process research and development. Amortization of in process research and development will commence when the development of the technology is complete. The intangible assets are expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	NSS	SI	Total
Balance at November 30, 2008	\$	\$	\$
Balance at November 30, 2009	\$	\$	\$
Acquisition of business	\$ 794	\$ 2,197	\$ 2,991
Balance at November 30, 2010	\$ 794	\$ 2,197	\$ 2,991

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Identified intangible assets

Identified intangible assets balances are summarized as follows:

	November 30, 2010		
	Gross Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net Assets
Existing technology	\$ 4,600	\$ 3,105	\$ 1,495
Patents and core technology	9,700	7,660	2,040
In Process Research and Development	2,100		2,100
Customer relationships	3,300	2,600	700
Total	\$ 19,700	\$ 13,365	\$ 6,335

	November 30, 2009		
	Gross Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net Assets
Existing technology	\$ 8,193	\$ 6,213	\$ 1,980
Patents and core technology	10,800	6,904	3,896
Customer relationships	4,305	2,974	1,331
Total	\$ 23,298	\$ 16,091	\$ 7,207

Fully amortized intangible assets with a recorded cost of \$6,398 and \$9,550 have been removed from the intangible assets register during the years ending November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company expects to record amortization of these intangible assets in its statements of operations as follows:

	Amortization
2011	\$ 2,481
2012	\$ 1,707
2013	\$ 520
2014	\$ 520
2015 and beyond	\$ 907

Goodwill impairment

Based on the results of the Company's annual impairment test at November 30, 2008, the Company determined its goodwill related to both segments was fully impaired and recorded an impairment charge of \$34,256. The impairment resulted from a reduction in business forecasts based on reduced demand from our customers as a result of the deteriorating macro economic environment in the three months ended November 30, 2008 and also reflected the impact of an 81% fall in the Company's share price in the same period.

Table of Contents**XYRATEX LTD****NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)**

The first step of the impairment test required comparison of the carrying value of reporting units, including goodwill, with the fair market value of the reporting unit. This test is carried out at the reporting unit level which the Company determined to be consistent with its two business segments above. The fair values of the reporting unit were determined through the discounted cash flow approach. The discounted cash flows were based on assumptions consistent with the strategic plan used to manage the underlying business. The Company made adjustments to the cash flows and discount rate to reflect the significantly lower fair value of the reporting units implied by the Company's share price after allowing for share price volatility and a control premium. The valuation, incorporating these adjustments, was based on a seven year cash flow model with a long term growth rate of 1%, a discount rate of 16% and a terminal value based primarily on the discount and growth rates.

From the first step the Company determined that the goodwill relating to both segments was potentially impaired and performed the second step of the impairment test which involves calculating the implied fair value of goodwill by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit from the first step with the fair value of the related assets and liabilities excluding goodwill. As a result of this step the Company determined that the goodwill in both segments was fully impaired. Primarily because of the decline in the Company's share price the Company also determined that this conclusion was not sensitive to feasible changes in assumptions.

In addition the Company considered that the market conditions and share price were indicators of the potential impairment of other long lived assets at November 30, 2008. The Company therefore compared the undiscounted cash flows of its two business segments to the carrying value of the related assets over the useful lives of those assets using the same cash flows and asset values as that used to assess the impairment of goodwill. The company concluded that there was no impairment of its other long lived assets, being intangible assets with a book value of \$11,162 and property, plant and equipment with a book value of \$47,229.

At November 30, 2009 the Company no longer considered that any indications of impairment remain and did not carry out an impairment review at that date. The key factors behind this determination was the significant improvement in the market environment resulting in significantly higher demand for the Company's products and the recovery of the Company's share price to a level significantly above the value of net assets.

6. Inventories

	November 30,	
	2010	2009
Finished goods	\$ 58,279	\$ 25,182
Work in progress	44,685	19,970
Raw materials	92,972	63,473
	\$ 195,936	\$ 108,625

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

7. Property, Plant and Equipment, Net

	November 30,	
	2010	2009
Land	\$ 1,421	\$ 1,421
Buildings and leasehold improvements	13,728	12,563
Machinery and equipment	120,534	104,535
	135,683	118,519
Accumulated depreciation	(89,996)	(74,034)
	\$ 45,687	\$ 44,485

Depreciation expense during the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$18,436, \$18,197 and \$15,770, respectively. For the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 the Company disposed of fully-depreciated machinery and equipment with a recorded cost of \$2,474, \$2,541 and \$25 respectively.

8. Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) from income taxes is comprised as follows:

		Year Ended November 30,		
		2010	2009	2008
U.K.	Current	\$	\$	\$ (10)
U.S.	Current	569	318	3,261
Other jurisdictions	Current	72	(39)	(9)
	Deferred	(16,632)	4,163	16,141
Total		\$ (15,991)	\$ 4,442	\$ 19,383

Deferred taxes for the year ended November 30, 2008 is stated net of \$3,875 of tax benefits deducted from goodwill related to the acquisitions of ZT Automation and nStor Technologies, Inc. in 2005.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

The significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are:

	November 30,	
	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
U.K. net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 3,923	\$ 14,029
U.S. net operating loss carryforwards	6,073	6,478
Other net operating loss carryforwards	1,009	
Timing difference on equity compensation	6,201	3,797
Property, plant and equipment	7,492	9,515
Intangible assets		5,433
Forward foreign exchange contracts		
Other deferred tax assets	208	1,310
Less: valuation allowance	(1,009)	(33,888)
Total deferred tax assets	23,897	6,674
Deferred tax liabilities		
Forward foreign exchange contracts	(186)	
Intangible assets	(594)	
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 23,117	\$ 6,674

At November 30, 2010, following the conclusion of its planning for the fiscal years 2011 and 2012, the Company released the \$13,929 valuation allowance against U.K. deferred tax assets comprising; U.K. tax losses; timing differences related to property, plant and equipment; and, other timing differences. The release arises as a result of the profitability of the group and its UK subsidiary in the period and the group's forecast as at November 30, 2010 for the periods ending November 30, 2011 and 2012 which consider the relative improvement in the macro-economic conditions in the markets it addresses and make it more likely than not that the U.K. tax losses will be utilized within this timeframe.

In the United Kingdom, tax operating loss carryforwards have no expiration date. The utilization of tax operating loss carryforwards is, however, restricted to the taxable income of the subsidiary generating the losses. A change in ownership of the Company can also prevent the Company utilizing the carryforwards. As part of the acquisition of nStor Technologies in 2005 the Company acquired operating loss carryforwards of nStor Technologies Inc in the United States. These are subject to an annual limitation, could be subject to further more restrictive annual limitations if certain substantial changes in the ownership of the Company occur and will expire on various dates beginning in 2018, if not utilized. The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset relating to these loss carryforwards of \$6,073 at November 30, 2010. During the year ended November 30, 2008 a valuation allowance against the nStor operating loss carryforwards of \$4,347 was released as the realization of the asset was determined as more likely than not based on the forecast profit and structure of the U.S. operations. The benefit of this release was allocated to reduce goodwill arising on the acquisition of nStor.

Table of Contents**XYRATEX LTD****NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)**

At November 30, 2010 the Company recorded other net operating loss carryforwards in respect of its activities in Singapore and Japan of \$1,009, together with a full valuation allowance against these deferred tax assets. These loss carryforwards can be utilized against future trading profits of the activities being carried on in these two locations, subject to the availability of such profits. At November 30, 2010 the Company's forecast for its activities in these locations does not make it more likely than not that these deferred tax assets will be utilized.

The Company records equity compensation expense using the fair value method, beginning on the grant date. The tax expense for equity compensation only arises when the related share award is vested, which occurs later than the grant date. This timing difference has resulted in the recording of a net deferred tax asset of \$5,793 and \$2,334 before valuation allowances at November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2009, respectively. The realization of this asset is dependent on future share price movements over the next four fiscal years as the awards vest. The Company anticipates recording any variation to the value of this asset as an adjustment to Additional Paid in Capital ("APIC"). For the years ended November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2009 the Company recorded charges to APIC of \$nil and \$850 respectively. The deferred tax asset of \$5,793 at November 30, 2010 includes an amount of \$2,650 incorrectly not recognized as at November 30, 2009. Having determined that this amount is not material to prior periods and to income for the 2010 fiscal year, the Company made the correction in the third quarter of 2010. This resulted in a \$2,650 income tax benefit in fiscal year 2010.

The Company has elected to adopt the short-form method to calculate its pool of windfall tax benefits, as of December 1, 2005. Authoritative accounting guidance prohibits the recognition of net operating losses generated by windfall tax benefits. The Company has elected to adopt an accounting policy where windfall tax benefits are recognized in APIC only if an incremental benefit is provided after considering all other tax attributes presently available to the Company. The tax benefit not recognized in APIC at November 30, 2010 totals \$2,063.

The applicable statutory rate of tax in Bermuda was zero for each of the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008. For purposes of reconciliation between the provision for (benefit from) income taxes at the statutory rate and the effective tax rate, a notional U.K. rate is applied as follows:

	Year Ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Provision (benefit) for income taxes at corporation tax rate	28.0%	(28.0)%	(28.0)%
Adjustment in respect of prior years	(2.4)	29.9	1.0
Other nondeductible expenses		1.8	0.7
Research and development tax credits	(0.3)	(23.8)	(13.9)
Foreign exchange differences			5.2
Effect of change in U.K. tax rate		14.3	
Tax differentials on foreign income	(7.8)	(11.1)	(10.4)
Valuation allowance related to goodwill impairment			33.6
Other valuation allowances	(29.8)	56.1	74.8
Movement in unrecognized tax benefits	(0.6)	(2.1)	5.2
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(12.9)%	37.1%	68.2%

Table of Contents**XYRATEX LTD****NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)**

Other valuation allowances of 29.8% includes a benefit of 18.5% in respect of U.K. deferred tax assets utilized during the fiscal year against which a valuation allowance was established in fiscal year 2008 and a benefit of 11.3% in respect of the remaining valuation allowance related to U.K. deferred tax assets released at November 30, 2010.

The adjustment in respect of prior years for the periods ended November 30, 2010 and 2009 includes the correction and the original error respectively for the recognition of a deferred tax asset in respect of equity based compensation as referred to above.

The components of income (loss) before income taxes are:

	Year Ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
U.K.	\$ 80,251	\$ (20,658)	\$ (44,332)
U.S.	8,521	4,281	4,383
Malaysia	36,028	3,774	8,092
Other	(1,365)	631	3,331
Total	\$ 123,435	\$ (11,972)	\$ (28,526)

As a result of certain employment and capital investment actions undertaken by the Company, income from activities in Malaysia is substantially exempt from income taxes for tax years through May 31, 2012. The income tax benefit attributable to this tax status was estimated to be \$10,088 (\$0.32 per share, diluted), \$1,057 (\$0.04 per share) and \$2,266 (\$0.08 per share) during the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits:

	Year Ended November 30,	
	2010	2009
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 1	\$ 7,646	\$ 9,417
Gross increase for tax positions taken during a prior period	1,294	
Gross decrease for tax positions taken during a prior period	(2,828)	(1,243)
Gross increase for tax positions taken during the current period	1,947	425
Settlements	(944)	
Reductions as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	(694)	(781)
Foreign exchange differences		(172)
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits at November 30	\$ 6,421	\$ 7,646

At November 30, 2010, all of the unrecognized tax benefit of \$6,421 would affect the effective tax rate, if recognized.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

At November 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had accrued in current tax approximately \$300 and \$400 respectively for the payment of interest and penalties relating to unrecognized tax benefits.

The Company's subsidiaries are subject to income tax in the U.K. and the U.S. Federal jurisdiction as well as other state and foreign jurisdictions. The Company's U.K. income tax return for fiscal year 2007 is subject to an ongoing tax authority enquiry and the income tax returns for fiscal year 2008, 2009 and 2010 remain open to examination. With the exception of any adjustment resulting from the U.K. tax authority enquiry, for which an estimate of the range cannot be made, the Company does not expect the total gross amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months. The Company's U.S. Federal and state income tax returns for fiscal year 2006 through fiscal year 2010 remain open to examination. In addition, the Company files tax returns in multiple other foreign taxing jurisdictions and generally is not subject to tax examination in these jurisdictions for fiscal years prior to 2004.

9. Equity compensation plans

During the three years ended November 30, 2010 the Company's share based awards have primarily consisted of Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs"). The Company also operates an Employee Share Purchase Plan ("ESPP") for U.S. employees and a Sharesave option plan for U.K. employees. The Company suspended new awards under the ESPP and the Sharesave plan during 2009 due to the volatility in its share price, but has resumed awards in 2010.

The Company and Credit Suisse, the managing underwriter for the Company's Initial Public Offering (IPO) in 2004, have agreed to impose a limit on the number of common shares over which options may be granted, to the effect that the total number of common shares over which options may be granted under all of the Company's share option, share purchase, restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock bonus award plans shall not, in any consecutive ten year period, commencing after the IPO, exceed 5,633. Lapsed and surrendered options are disregarded for these purposes. As at November 30, 2010, share awards over 4,485 common shares had been granted since the IPO.

Equity Compensation

The following table summarizes equity compensation expense related to share-based awards:

	Year ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Equity compensation:			
Cost of revenues	\$ 1,541	\$ 907	\$ 1,264
Research and development	3,107	1,856	2,469
Selling, general and administrative	5,006	2,862	3,913
Total equity compensation	9,654	5,625	7,646
Related income tax benefit	\$ 3,241	\$	\$ 2,424

F-20

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Restricted Stock Units

RSUs require that shares be awarded over four years from the date of grant, subject to continued service. The holders of RSUs are not entitled to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. The vesting of certain of these units is also subject to the achievement of certain performance conditions in the year of grant. The RSUs vest annually on February 1. An employee ceases to be entitled to the RSUs upon termination of their employment. Equity compensation expense related to RSUs of \$9,500, \$5,503 and \$6,695 has been recorded in the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively. The calculation of the number of units expected to vest is based on management's assessment of achievement of the related performance conditions. Restricted stock units granted, exercised, canceled and expired are summarized as follows:

	RSU	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Non-vested restricted stock units at November 30, 2007	785	\$ 23.74	1.8	
Granted	814	14.96		
Vested	(192)	24.12		
Cancelled/forfeited	(239)	21.96		
Non-vested restricted stock units at November 30, 2008	1,168	\$ 17.47	1.8	
Granted	960	6.01		
Vested	(273)	20.25		
Cancelled/forfeited	(425)	17.09		
Non-vested restricted stock units at November 30, 2009	1,430	\$ 9.78	1.7	
Granted	1,238	13.76		
Vested	(533)	8.80		
Cancelled/forfeited	(62)	12.85		
Non-vested restricted stock units at November 30, 2010	2,073	\$ 11.32	1.7	
Non-vested restricted stock units expected to vest at November 30, 2010	1,865	\$ 11.45	1.7	\$ 28,502

The Sharesave Plan

The Sharesave Plan was established in 2005. Options granted under this plan have certain tax advantages for employees. Options can only be exercised at the end of a three year period based on the amount paid by an employee into an independent savings account. The options have been granted with an exercise price at a discount of 15% to the market price and the maximum monthly contribution to this plan, stated in U.K. pounds, is £250 determined at the commencement of the three year period.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Sharesave Plan activity was as follows:

	Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at November 30, 2007	186	\$ 15.65	1.3	
Granted	71	15.25		
Exercised	(38)	14.46		
Canceled/forfeited	(123)	14.90		
Outstanding at November 30, 2008	96	\$ 16.78	2.6	
Granted				
Exercised				
Canceled/forfeited	(44)	17.88		
Outstanding at November 30, 2009	52	\$ 15.85	1.9	
Granted	41	16.01		
Exercised				
Canceled/forfeited	(17)	17.09		
Outstanding at November 30, 2010	76	\$ 15.66	2.1	\$ 1

There were no options exercisable at November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Share Option Plans

The Company has four plans, under which employees were granted options to purchase Xyratex Ltd common shares prior to November 30, 2005. Options granted under each plan vest in line with certain criteria, including at the earlier of a takeover or liquidation of the Company, or ratably, over a period of up to four years from the grant date. Options granted under these plans expire under certain criteria including at the earlier of ten years from the date of grant, following a change of control, amalgamation or liquidation of the Company or immediately upon termination of employment with the Company. As of November 30, 2010, options to purchase 832 common shares were outstanding under these plans.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Options exercised and canceled or forfeited under these share option plans are summarized as follows:

	Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at November 30, 2007	1,761	\$ 10.41		
Exercised	(132)	10.44		
Canceled/forfeited	(38)	13.69		
Outstanding at November 30, 2008	1,592	\$ 9.91		
Exercised	(11)	2.32		
Canceled/forfeited	(341)	8.54		
Outstanding at November 30, 2009	1,240	\$ 10.46		
Exercised	(395)	8.00		
Canceled/forfeited	(13)	14.31		
Outstanding at November 30, 2010	832	\$ 12.42	3.4	\$ 2,383
Exercisable at November 30, 2008	1,536	\$ 9.76		
Exercisable at November 30, 2009	1,227	\$ 10.38		
Exercisable at November 30, 2010	832	\$ 12.42	3.4	\$ 2,383

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding at November 30, 2010 is calculated as the difference between the market price of the underlying common share and the exercise price of the options. Of the outstanding options at November 30, 2010, 5 had exercise prices that were higher than the \$15.28 closing market price of the Company's common shares on that date. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$4,049, \$52 and \$638, respectively, determined as of the date of exercise. The total fair value of options that vested during the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$53, \$206 and \$1,727, respectively. The total fair value of options that were forfeited during the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$114, \$1,285 and \$307, respectively.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

The following table summarizes information about share options outstanding at November 30, 2010:

Exercise Prices		Options Outstanding and Exercisable	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
		Number Outstanding		
\$2.21	\$2.22	62	0.3	\$ 2.21
\$4.05	\$4.06	75	1.6	\$ 4.06
\$9.65	\$10.25	6	3.4	\$ 9.65
\$13.51	\$14.47	684	3.8	\$ 14.26
\$15.57	\$17.40	5	4.5	\$ 15.57
		832	3.4	\$ 12.42

ESPP

The Company also operates an employee stock purchase plan ("ESPP"), under which any eligible U.S. employee of the Company receives the rights to purchase the Company's shares. A purchase right entitles a participant to purchase at the end of each year the number of common shares determined by dividing the participant's accumulated payroll deductions in his or her plan account by the purchase price for that offering period. Generally, the per share purchase price is 85% of the lower of the fair market value of one common share on the first trading day of the offering period or the fair market value of such a share on the last trading day of the offering period. The payroll deductions may not exceed two hundred dollars per pay period per employee, and an employee may not purchase more than \$25 of fair value of shares in any annual period. The ESPP terminates in December 2021.

There were nil, 44 and 69 shares awarded under this plan in the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Determining Fair Value of Share Options

Valuation and Amortization Method. The Company estimated the fair value of share options using the Black-Scholes option valuation model and amortized the fair value using graded vesting over the vesting periods.

Expected Life. The expected life of awards granted represents the period of time that they are expected to be outstanding. The Company determined the expected life based on historical experience with similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms, vesting schedules and pre-vesting and post-vesting forfeitures.

Expected Volatility. The volatility factor the Company used in the Black-Scholes option valuation model is based on the Company's historical share prices and the reported historical volatility of comparable companies.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Risk-Free Interest Rate. The Company based the risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes option valuation model on the implied yield currently available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with an equivalent remaining term equal to the expected life of the award.

Expected Dividend Yield. The Company has never paid any cash dividends on its common shares and the Company does not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Consequently, the Company used an expected dividend yield of zero in the Black-Scholes option valuation model.

Expected Forfeitures. The Company uses historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures. The Company records share-based compensation only for those awards that are expected to vest.

The fair value of the options was estimated at grant date using the following weighted average assumptions:

	Year ended November 30,	
	2010	2008
Risk-free interest rate	3.00%	3.00%
Dividend yield	0.00%	0.00%
Volatility	75%	75%
Expected option life	1.5 years	1.5 years

At November 30, 2010, the Company had 1,941 non-vested share based awards that had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$11.61. As of November 30, 2010, the Company had \$11,140 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based awards granted under all equity compensation plans. Total unrecognized compensation cost will be adjusted for any future changes in estimated forfeitures. The Company expects to recognize this cost over a weighted average period of 2.9 years.

10. Equity

The Company's authorized share capital consists of three classes; 70,000 common shares; 1,000 preference shares; and, 1,200 deferred shares. All shares have a par value of \$0.01. As of November 30, 2010 there were 30,276 common shares outstanding. No other class of shares has been issued.

Common shares Holders of common shares are entitled to one vote per share on all matters upon which the common shares are entitled to vote, including the election of directors. The holders of common shares are entitled to receive dividends when and as declared by the Company's board of directors. Upon any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Company, surplus assets will be distributed ratably to holders of common shares. There are no restrictions on these shares.

Preference shares The Board is authorized to provide for the issuance of the preference shares in one or more series, and to establish from time to time the number of shares to be included in each such series, and to fix the designation, powers, preferences and rights of the shares of each such series and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof (and, for the avoidance of doubt, such matters and the issuance of such preference shares shall not be deemed to vary the rights attached to the common shares). As of November 30, 2010, there were no preference shares outstanding.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Deferred shares While ever and whenever any shares of the Company of any other class are in issue: (a) Holders of deferred shares shall have no right to receive notice of general meetings nor shall deferred shares entitle the holder to any votes at general meetings of the Company; (b) deferred shares shall have no right to participate in any dividend or distribution and, on a return of capital shall entitle the holder to no rights other than to repayment of the par value thereof and then only once \$1,000,000 per share has been paid to holders of all other classes of shares of the Company then in issue. As of November 30, 2010 there were no deferred shares outstanding.

Repurchases of Common Shares

On January 14, 2008 the Company commenced a share buy-back program. Under this program the Company purchased 392 shares at a total value of \$6,116 between January and August 2008. As of January 31, 2009 the share buy-back program was suspended due to the Company's intention to conserve cash balances as a result of uncertain macro economic environment. The Company has no current plans to recommence this program.

11. Employee benefit trusts

The Company has a variable interest in an employee benefit trust (the "Trust") which was formed in 1994 by Havant International Holdings Limited (HIHL), a predecessor holding company, for the benefit of current and former employees and to facilitate obligations under HIHL's share option plans. Following the demerger of the Company's business from HIHL in 2000, the Trust holds shares of the Company. Assets held by the Trust are used to compensate current employees of the Company and former employees of HIHL and its subsidiaries. The Company is not the primary beneficiary of the Trust because it does not absorb expected losses of the Trust, nor does it receive expected residual returns of the Trust. As of November 30, 2010 and 2009, the Trust held 62 and 266 common shares, respectively. The Trust has not made any new awards since 2004.

Transactions between the Trust and employees of the Company have been accounted for as if they were transaction of the Company and any resulting equity compensation expense has been pushed down into these financial statements with an offsetting entry to additional paid in capital. Activity related to equity based compensation awards given to employees are included in the share option and award activity in Note 9.

The Trust pays administrative fees to the Company of \$9 per year.

The Company set up a new employee benefit trust in June 2004. This trust holds 95 common shares in Xyratex Ltd, included in unissued shares at November 30, 2010. Shares held by this trust will be used to satisfy the exercise by employees of certain share options of the Company.

12. Credit facilities

As of November 30, 2010, the Company has credit facilities with a major U.K. financial institution (the "Bank") under which it has a revolving line of credit which expires in October 2011 of up to \$30,000 and an overdraft facility of up to \$25,000. No amounts are outstanding under these facilities at November 30, 2010. Any amounts borrowed under the revolving line of credit facility would be repayable in 2011. Any amounts borrowed under the overdraft facility would be repayable on demand.

Table of Contents**XYRATEX LTD****NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)**

Interest is payable at between 2.5% and 3.0% above LIBOR on the revolving credit facility, depending on the level of debt relative to operating income. Interest is payable at 3.0% above LIBOR on the overdraft facility. Amounts under the revolving credit and overdraft facilities may be borrowed in U.K. pounds or U.S. dollars and separate currency LIBOR rates apply for each currency. The revolving credit facility contains restrictive covenants that, among other provisions, require compliance with certain financial covenants including levels of income or loss from operations and tangible net assets as determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. The facilities are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of the Company.

13. Financial Instruments

The Company's principal financial instruments, other than derivatives, comprise cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The Company also enters into derivatives in order to manage currency risks arising from the Company's operations. The Company does not hold financial instruments for trading purposes.

Forward foreign exchange contracts and options

Over 90% of the Company's revenues are denominated in the U.S. dollar, whereas certain expenses are incurred in U.K. pounds and Malaysian Ringgits. Therefore, the Company is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk which creates volatility in income and cash flows from period to period. In part, the Company manages this exposure through entering into forward foreign exchange contracts and options to reduce the volatility of income and cash flows associated with this risk. The Company designated all of its forward foreign currency contracts as qualifying for cash flow hedge accounting. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are deferred and recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) until the hedged transactions affect earnings, at which time the deferred gains and losses on the forward foreign currency contracts are recognized in the income statement. The Company enters into these foreign exchange contracts to hedge a portion of its forecasted foreign currency denominated expense in the normal course of business and accordingly, they are not speculative in nature. The counterparty to the foreign currency contracts is an international bank. Such contracts are for two years or less at inception.

The following table summarizes the foreign currency derivative contract activity:

	Number of contracts
At November 30, 2008	41
Matured during the period	(43)
New contracts entered into during the period	28
At November 30, 2009	26
Matured during the period	(27)
New contracts entered into during the period	34
At November 30, 2010	33

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

The fair value of derivative instruments and their location in the consolidated balance sheet as of November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2009 were as follows:

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:	Balance Sheet Location	November 30, 2010	November 30, 2009
Asset derivatives	Other current assets	\$ 697	\$ 3,524

The effect of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges on the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended November 30, 2010 was as follows:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Gain (Loss) Recognized(1)	Gain (Loss) Reclassified(2)
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 682	\$ 3,598

(1) Amount recognized in AOCI (effective portion) net of tax of \$186.

(2) Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into income (effective portion) located in expense.

Unrealized gains and losses reported in AOCI will be reclassified to earnings as the forecast expenditures for which the foreign exchange contracts have been entered into arise. It is estimated that all of the unrealized amounts in respect of foreign exchange contracts are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months.

The following table shows derivatives existing as of November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2009:

Derivatives between U.K. pound and U.S. dollar	November 30,	
	2010	2009
Nominal value of forward exchange contracts and options	\$ 58,776	\$ 36,209
Fair value of contracts asset	\$ 455	\$ 3,311
Average rate of contract	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.51
Period end rate	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.65

Derivatives between Malaysian ringgit and U.S. dollar	November 30,	
	2010	2009
Nominal value of forward exchange contracts and options	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,000
Fair value of contracts asset	\$ 242	\$ 213
Average rate of contract	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.28
Period end rate	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.30

Fair values

The carrying values of all financial instruments, including forward foreign exchange contracts, approximate their fair values. Assets and liabilities required to be carried at fair value will be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of November 30, 2010 and at November 30, 2009 aggregated by the level in the fair-value hierarchy within which those measurements fall:

	November 30, 2010		November 30, 2009	
	Total	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Total	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)
Foreign currency forward contracts asset position	\$ 697	\$ 697	\$ 3,524	\$ 3,524

The Company's forward foreign exchange contracts and options are measured on a recurring basis based on foreign currency spot rates and forward rates quoted by banks (level 2 criteria) and are marked-to-market each period with gains and losses on these contracts recorded in Other Comprehensive Income with the offsetting amount for unsettled positions being included in either other current assets or other accrued liabilities in the balance sheet.

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Lease Commitments. Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating lease agreements as of November 30, 2010 are as follows:

Year Ending November 30	
2011	\$ 9,200
2012	8,473
2013	4,967
2014	1,787
2015	895
2016 and thereafter	1,130
Total minimum payments required	\$ 26,452

Certain leases require the Company to pay property taxes, insurance and routine maintenance. Rent expense was \$7,787, \$6,903 and \$7,251 for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Customer Indemnity. As an element of standard customer contracts, the Company includes certain clauses that indemnify the customer against liability and damages, including legal defense costs, which might arise from claims of patent, copyright, trademark or trade secret infringement by the products manufactured by the Company.

Contingencies. The Company is involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including patent and commercial matters that arise in the ordinary course of business. There are no such matters pending that the Company expects to be material in relation to its business, consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**XYRATEX LTD****NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)**

Product warranty. The following table provides the changes in the product warranty accrual for the year ended November 30, 2010:

	Amount of liability
Balance at November 30, 2007:	\$ 3,543
Accruals for warranties issued during the year	3,765
Settlements made during the year	(3,354)
Balance at November 30, 2008:	\$ 3,954
Accruals for warranties issued during the year	3,210
Settlements made during the year	(3,627)
Balance at November 30, 2009:	\$ 3,537
Accruals for warranties issued during the year	6,055
Settlements made during the year	(4,007)
Balance at November 30, 2010:	\$ 5,585

15. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Cash paid for income taxes was \$1,960, \$2,197 and \$1,264 for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Cash received from income taxes was \$nil, \$658 and \$1,202 for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Cash paid for interest was \$130, \$54 and \$114 for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Proceeds from the exercise of share options were \$2,113, \$87 and \$2,044 for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively.

16. Segment Information

Description of segments. The Company designs, develops and manufactures enabling technology in support of high-performance storage and data communication networks. The Company organizes its business operations into two product groups - NSS and SI, each of which comprises a reportable segment.

Description of the Company's segments:

NSS. The Networked Storage Solutions division designs and manufactures a range of advanced, scalable data storage solutions for the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) community.

SI. As the largest capital equipment supplier to the industry, the Storage Infrastructure division enables disk drive manufacturers and their component suppliers to meet today's technology and productivity requirements.

Segment revenue and profit.

The accounting policies used to derive reportable segment results are generally the same as those described in Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies".

Table of Contents**XYRATEX LTD****NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)**

The following tables reflect the results of the Company's reportable segments under the Company's management reporting system. These results are not necessarily a depiction that is in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and in particular does not include equity compensation expense. The performance of each segment is generally measured based on gross profit before non-cash equity compensation.

	Year Ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues:			
NSS	\$ 1,258,940	\$ 762,028	\$ 855,770
SI	342,943	105,863	193,946
Total Segments	\$ 1,601,883	\$ 867,891	\$ 1,049,716
Gross profit:			
NSS	\$ 166,882	\$ 97,981	\$ 107,275
SI	114,427	28,202	52,566
Total Segments	281,309	126,183	159,841
Non cash equity compensation	(1,541)	(907)	(1,264)
Total	\$ 279,768	\$ 125,276	\$ 158,577
Depreciation and amortization:			
NSS	\$ 12,337	\$ 13,437	\$ 11,908
SI	5,899	5,803	6,546
Total Segments	18,236	19,240	18,454
Corporate	3,873	2,896	2,198
Total	\$ 22,109	\$ 22,136	\$ 20,652

In addition the goodwill impairment charge recorded in 2008 consisted of \$12,983 related to the NSS division and \$21,273 related to the SI division.

Total segments revenue represents total revenues as reported by the Company for all periods presented. Gross profit above represents gross profit as reported by the Company for all periods presented. Income (loss) before income taxes as reported by the Company for all periods presented also includes total operating expenses, other income and net interest income. The chief operating decision maker does not review asset information by segment and therefore no asset information is presented.

Table of Contents

XYRATEX LTD

NOTES TO AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(U.S. dollars and amounts in thousands, except per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Geographic Information

	United States	United Kingdom	Malaysia	Other	Total
Revenues (based on location at which the sale originated):					
Year Ended November 30, 2010	\$ 783,109	\$ 228,393	\$ 585,867	\$ 4,514	\$ 1,601,883
Year Ended November 30, 2009	\$ 512,928	\$ 134,370	\$ 216,895	\$ 3,698	\$ 867,891
Year Ended November 30, 2008	\$ 707,677	\$ 132,345	\$ 202,083	\$ 7,611	\$ 1,049,716
Long-lived assets (all non-current assets):					
November 30, 2010	\$ 8,547	\$ 23,648	\$ 21,666	\$ 1,152	\$ 55,013
November 30, 2009	\$ 7,679	\$ 22,815	\$ 20,142	\$ 1,056	\$ 51,692
November 30, 2008	\$ 10,192	\$ 30,281	\$ 17,158	\$ 793	\$ 58,424

F-32