

CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC  
Form 10-Q  
March 08, 2007

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

☒

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended January 31, 2007

OR

☐

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 000-23211

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**03-0338873**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**25 Greens Hill Lane, Rutland, Vermont**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**05701**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(802) 775-0325**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of February 28, 2007:

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Class A Common Stock	24,329,420
Class B Common Stock	988,200

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited)****(in thousands)**

<b>ASSETS</b>	<b>April 30, 2006</b>	<b>January 31, 2007</b>
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,429	\$ 11,929
Restricted cash	72	73
Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$661 and \$1,595	56,269	57,839
Notes receivable - officers/employees	87	87
Refundable income taxes		181
Prepaid expenses	5,126	6,004
Inventory	2,975	3,186
Deferred income taxes	5,034	10,602
Other current assets	1,982	3,335
Total current assets	78,974	93,236
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$388,808 and \$415,327	481,284	506,797
Goodwill	171,258	172,731
Intangible assets, net	2,762	2,276
Restricted cash	17,887	12,518
Notes receivable - officers/employees	916	916
Investments in unconsolidated entities	44,491	48,811
Net assets under contractual obligation	937	88
Other non-current assets	12,602	12,238
	732,137	756,375
	\$ 811,111	\$ 849,611

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except for share and per share data)

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	April 30, 2006	January 31, 2007
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 527	\$ 1,132
Current maturities of capital lease obligations	1,061	1,096
Accounts payable	46,364	41,316
Accrued payroll and related expenses	6,818	7,598
Accrued interest	6,650	14,067
Accrued income taxes	200	
Current accrued capping, closure and post-closure costs	4,771	3,429
Other accrued liabilities	28,374	26,740
Total current liabilities	94,765	95,378
Long-term debt, less current maturities	452,720	479,370
Capital lease obligations, less current maturities	1,747	925
Accrued capping, closure and post-closure costs, less current portion	23,245	25,670
Deferred income taxes	6,957	13,457
Other long-term liabilities	11,757	11,341
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>		
Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock - Authorized - 55,750 shares, issued and outstanding - 53,000 as of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share plus accrued but unpaid dividends	70,430	73,104
<b>STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:</b>		
Class A common stock - Authorized - 100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding - 24,185,000 and 24,329,000 shares as of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, respectively	242	243
Class B common stock - Authorized - 1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per share, issued and outstanding - 988,000 shares	10	10
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	159	(347 )
Additional paid-in capital	274,297	274,187
Accumulated deficit	(125,218 )	(123,727 )
Total stockholders equity	149,490	150,366
	\$ 811,111	\$ 849,611

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended January 31, 2006		Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006	
	2007		2007	
Revenues	\$ 130,597	\$ 133,492	\$ 399,392	\$ 424,828
Operating expenses:				
Cost of operations	89,034	89,800	262,704	279,717
General and administration	17,946	17,653	53,296	58,578
Depreciation and amortization	16,525	17,223	49,572	54,457
Deferred costs	1,329		1,329	
	124,834	124,676	366,901	392,752
Operating income	5,763	8,816	32,491	32,076
Other expense/(income), net:				
Interest income	(208 )	(313 )	(559 )	(910 )
Interest expense	8,396	10,323	23,918	30,234
Income from equity method investments	(3,319 )	(988 )	(4,762 )	(1,978 )
Other income	(1,541 )	(50 )	(1,664 )	(351 )
Other expense, net	3,328	8,972	16,933	26,995
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,435	(156 )	15,558	5,081
Provision for income taxes	1,148	689	7,005	3,590
Net income (loss)	1,287	(845 )	8,553	1,491
Preferred stock dividend	859	902	2,563	2,674
Net (loss) income available to common stockholders	\$ 428	\$ (1,747 )	\$ 5,990	\$ (1,183 )

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(in thousands, except for per share data)**

	<b>Three Months Ended January 31,</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended January 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>
<b>Earnings Per Share:</b>				
<b>Basic:</b>				
Net (loss) income per common share available to common stockholders	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.07 )	\$ 0.24	\$ (0.05 )
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	25,019	25,273	24,932	25,257
<b>Diluted:</b>				
Net (loss) income per common share available to common stockholders	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.07 )	\$ 0.24	\$ (0.05 )
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	25,413	25,273	25,296	25,257

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2006	2007
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 8,553	\$ 1,491
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities -		
Depreciation and amortization	49,572	54,457
Depletion of landfill operating lease obligations	4,651	5,543
Income from equity method investments	(4,762)	(1,978)
Deferred costs	1,329	
(Gain) loss on sale of equipment	233	(591)
Stock-based compensation		511
Excess tax benefit on the exercise of stock options		(145)
Deferred income taxes	4,012	464
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures -		
Accounts receivable	(3,271)	(1,393)
Accounts payable	(3,855)	(5,048)
Other assets and liabilities	5,981	2,492
	53,890	54,312
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	62,443	55,803
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(19,226)	(2,087)
Additions to property, plant and equipment - growth	(36,552)	(25,757)
maintenance	(51,608)	(52,592)
Payments on landfill operating lease contracts	(8,450)	(4,500)
Proceeds from sale of equipment	936	1,369
Restricted cash from revenue bond issuance		5,535
Investment in unconsolidated entities	(3,000)	(2,328)
Proceeds from assets under contractual obligation	601	849
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(117,299)	(79,511)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	159,733	239,950
Principal payments on long-term debt	(104,581)	(213,459)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,151	1,572
Excess tax benefit on the exercise of stock options		145
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	56,303	28,208
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,447	4,500
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	8,578	7,429
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 10,025	\$ 11,929



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(in thousands)**

	<b>Nine Months Ended January 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>
<b>Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:</b>		
Cash paid during the period for -		
Interest	\$ 16,379	\$ 21,696
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 1,299	\$ 2,241
<b>Supplemental Disclosures of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:</b>		
Summary of entities acquired in purchase business combinations -		
Fair value of assets acquired	\$ 21,839	\$ 2,332
Cash paid, net	(19,226 )	(2,087 )
Liabilities assumed and holdbacks to sellers	\$ 2,613	\$ 245

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Unaudited)  
(In thousands, except for per share data)

**1. ORGANIZATION**

The consolidated balance sheets of Casella Waste Systems, Inc. (the **Parent** ) and Subsidiaries (collectively, the **Company** ) as of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 are unaudited. In the opinion of management, such financial statements include all adjustments (which include normal recurring and nonrecurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the periods presented. The consolidated financial statements presented herein should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the twelve months ended April 30, 2006 included as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 (the **Annual Report** ). The results for the three and nine month periods ended January 31, 2007 may not be indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2007.

**2. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS**

During the nine months ended January 31, 2007, the Company acquired eleven solid waste hauling operations. These transactions were in exchange for total consideration of \$2,332, including \$2,087 in cash and \$245 in liabilities assumed. During the nine months ended January 31, 2006, the Company acquired one recycling operation, twelve solid waste hauling operations and recorded additional expenditures for a landfill closure project acquired in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005. These transactions were in exchange for total consideration of \$21,839 including \$19,226 in cash and \$2,613 in capital leases, debt and other liabilities assumed. The operating results of these businesses are included in the consolidated statements of operations from the dates of acquisition. The purchase prices have been allocated to the net assets acquired based on their fair values at the dates of acquisition, including the value of non-compete agreements, with the residual amounts allocated to goodwill.

The following unaudited pro forma combined information shows the results of the Company's operations as though each of the acquisitions made in the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 had been completed as of May 1, 2005.

	<b>Three Months Ended January 31,</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended January 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>
Revenue	\$ 131,618	\$ 133,519	\$ 406,916	\$ 425,773
Net income (loss)	1,378	(841 )	8,992	1,589
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.03 )	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.06

The foregoing pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations had the acquisitions taken place as of May 1, 2005 or the results of future operations of the Company. Furthermore, such pro forma results do not give effect to all cost savings or incremental costs that may occur as a result of the integration and consolidation of the completed acquisitions.

In late September 2005 the Company commenced operations at the Chemung County Landfill, after executing a twenty-five year operation, management and lease agreement with Chemung County, New York.

## Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The Company made initial payments of \$4,931 related to this transaction.

### 3. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table shows the activity and balances related to goodwill from April 30, 2006 through January 31, 2007:

	North Eastern Region	South Eastern Region	Central Region	Western Region	FCR Recycling	Total
Balance, April 30, 2006	\$ 25,327	\$ 31,645	\$ 31,106	\$ 55,696	\$ 27,484	\$ 171,258
Acquisitions	147		687	635	4	1,473
Balance, January 31, 2007	\$ 25,474	\$ 31,645	\$ 31,793	\$ 56,331	\$ 27,488	\$ 172,731

Intangible assets at April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007 consist of the following:

	Covenants not to compete	Licensing Agreements	Total
Balance, April 30, 2006			
Intangible assets	\$ 16,654	\$ 920	\$ 17,574
Less accumulated amortization	(14,771 )	(41 )	(14,812 )
	\$ 1,883	\$ 879	\$ 2,762
Balance, January 31, 2007			
Intangible assets	\$ 15,469	\$ 920	\$ 16,389
Less accumulated amortization	(14,029 )	(84 )	(14,113 )
	\$ 1,440	\$ 836	\$ 2,276

Intangible amortization expense for the three months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 was \$343 and \$151, respectively. Intangible amortization expense for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 was \$993 and \$689, respectively. The intangible amortization expense estimated as of January 31, 2007, for the five years following fiscal year 2006 is as follows:

2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
\$ 838	\$ 580	\$ 400	\$ 288	\$ 196

### 4. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* ( SFAS No. 154 ) which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes* ( APB No. 20 ), and SFAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements - An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28*. SFAS No. 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. Specifically, this statement requires retrospective application of the direct effect for a voluntary change in accounting principle to prior periods financial statements, if it is practicable to do so. SFAS No. 154 also strictly redefines the term restatement to mean the correction of an error by revising previously issued financial statements. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB No. 20, which required that most voluntary changes in accounting principles be recognized by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative

effect of changing to the new accounting principle. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 effective May 1, 2006 had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

On July 13, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN No. 48). FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN No. 48 requires a company to evaluate whether the tax positions taken by a company will more likely than not be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on how a company should measure the amount of benefit that the company is to recognize in its financial statements. Under FIN No. 48, a company should also classify a liability for unrecognized tax benefits as current to the extent the company anticipates making a payment within one year. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this statement will have on its financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies to other existing accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. However, the application of this statement may change the current practice for fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this statement will have on its financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* (SAB No. 108). SAB No. 108 provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. SAB No. 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this bulletin will have on its financial position and results of operations.

## 5. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On January 10, 2002, the City of Biddeford, Maine filed a lawsuit in York County Superior Court in Maine alleging breach of the waste handling agreement among the Biddeford-Saco Waste Handling Committee, the cities of Biddeford and Saco, Maine and the Company's subsidiary Maine Energy for (1) failure to pay certain residual cancellation payments in connection with the Company's merger with KTI and (2) processing amounts of waste above contractual limits without notice to the City. On May 3, 2002, the City of Saco filed a lawsuit in York County Superior Court against the Company, Maine Energy and other subsidiaries. The complaint in that action, which was amended by the City of Saco on July 22, 2002, alleges breaches of the 1991 waste handling agreement for failure to pay the residual cancellation payment, which Saco alleges is due as a result of, among other things, (1) the Company's merger with KTI and (2) Maine Energy's failure to pay off certain limited partner loans in accordance with the terms of the agreement. The complaint also seeks damages for breach of contract and a court order requiring the Company to provide an accounting of all transactions since May 3, 1996 involving transfers of assets to or for the benefit of the equity owners of Maine Energy. The litigation brought by the Cities of Biddeford and Saco is currently in the discovery phase. Simultaneously, the Company is engaged in settlement negotiations with the City of Biddeford concerning the claims asserted in these actions and other matters, however, at this stage it is impossible to predict whether a settlement will be reached. After engaging in extensive settlement negotiations with the City of Saco, the Company has been notified by the City of Saco that it intends to

terminate those negotiations and to litigate its claims for breach of the Waste Handling Agreement to conclusion. In connection with the Company's merger with KTI, the Company estimated the fair market value of Maine Energy as of the date the limited partner loans are anticipated to be paid in full, and recorded a liability equal to the applicable percentage of such amount. The obligation has been estimated by the Company at \$5,314. The Company believes that the possibility of material loss in excess of this amount is remote. The Company has vigorously contested the claims asserted by the cities. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to these claims.

On or about December 3, 2003, Maine Energy was served with a complaint filed in the United States District Court for the District of Maine. The complaint was a citizen suit under the federal Clean Air Act (CAA) and similar state law alleging (1) emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in violation of its federal operating permit; (2) failure to accurately identify emissions; and (3) failure to control VOC emissions through implementation of reasonably available control technology. In addition, the complaint alleged that Maine Energy was negligent and that the subject emissions cause odors and constitute a public nuisance. The allegations related to Maine Energy's waste-to-energy facility located in Biddeford, Maine and its construction, installation and operation of a new odor control system which redirects air from tipping and processing buildings to a boiler building for treatment by three air vents. The complaint sought an unspecified amount of civil penalties, damages, injunctive relief and attorney's fees. The court allowed the City's requests to amend its complaint to assert (1) an additional CAA claim that Maine Energy filed with the Maine DEP a compliance certification for calendar year 2002 which failed to disclose required information concerning VOC emissions, and (2) an additional claim that the installation of the odor control system constituted a major modification under the Maine DEP air rules, which required Maine Energy to obtain emission offsets and to apply the most stringent level of emission control known as the Lowest Available Emission Rate or LAER. This latter amendment sought additional relief in the form of an order requiring that Maine Energy obtain emission offsets and apply LAER to emissions from its tipping and processing operations. On June 2, 2004, the City of Biddeford dismissed the subject complaint without prejudice while settlement negotiations take place. On or about May 25, 2004, Maine Energy received a revised 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue under the CAA from the Cities of Biddeford and Saco. The Notice states that the Cities intend to refile suit under the CAA in the event that the ongoing settlement negotiations do not resolve the claims. On or about July 22, 2004 and March 28, 2005, Maine Energy received from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) a request for information pursuant to section 114(a)(1) of the CAA, which states that the EPA is evaluating whether the Maine Energy facility is in compliance with the CAA, CAA regulations, and licenses issued under the CAA. On September 29, 2006, the EPA notified Maine Energy that the agency was not further pursuing any allegation that Maine Energy emits VOCs at levels in excess of 100 tons per year.

The New Hampshire Superior Court in Grafton County, NH (the Superior Court) ruled on February 1, 1999 that the Town of Bethlehem, NH (the Town) could not enforce an ordinance purportedly prohibiting expansion of the Company's landfill owned by its subsidiary North Country Environmental Services, Inc. (NCES), at least with respect to 51 acres of NCES's 105 acre parcel, based upon certain existing land-use approvals. As a result, NCES was able to construct and operate Stage II, Phase II of the landfill. In May 2001, the New Hampshire Supreme Court (the Supreme Court) denied the Town's appeal. Notwithstanding the Supreme Court's 2001 ruling, the Town continued to assert jurisdiction to conduct unqualified site plan review with respect to Stage III (which is within the 51 acres) and further stated that the Town's height ordinance and building permit process may apply to Stage III. On September 12, 2001, the Company filed a petition for, among other things, declaratory relief. On December 4, 2001, the Town filed an answer to the Company's petition asserting counterclaims seeking, among other things, authorization to assert site plan review over Stage III, which commenced operation in December 2000, as well as the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility operating in connection with Stage III, and also an order declaring that an ordinance prohibiting landfills applies to Stage IV expansion. The trial on these claims was held in December 2002 and on April 24, 2003, the Grafton Superior Court upheld the Town's 1992 ordinance preventing the location or expansion of any landfill, ruling that the ordinance may be applied to any part of

Stage IV that goes beyond the 51 acres; ruling that the Town's height ordinance is valid within the 51 acres; upholding the Town's right to require Site Plan Review, except that there are certain areas within the Town's Site Plan Review regulation that are preempted; and ruling that the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility is not subject to the Town's ordinance forbidding incinerators. On May 27, 2003, NCES appealed the Superior Court's ruling to the Supreme Court. On March 1, 2004, the Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming that NCES has all of the local approvals that it needs to operate within the 51 acres and that the Town cannot therefore require site plan review for landfill development within the 51 acres. The Supreme Court's opinion left open for further review the question of whether the Town's 1992 ordinance can prevent expansion of the facility outside the 51 acres, remanding to the Superior Court four issues, including two defenses raised by NCES as grounds for invalidating the 1992 ordinance. On April 19, 2005, the Superior Court judge granted NCES' motion for partial summary judgment, ruling that the 1992 ordinance is invalid because it distinguishes between users of land rather than uses of land, and that a state statute preempts the Town's ability to issue a building permit for the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility to the extent the Town's regulations relate to design, installation, construction, modification or operation. After this ruling, the Town amended its counterclaim to request a declaration that another zoning ordinance it enacted in March of 2005 is lawful and prevents the expansion of the landfill outside of the 51 acres. In the fall of 2005 NCES and the Town engaged in private mediation in an effort to resolve the disputes between them, but the mediation was unsuccessful. NCES filed a motion with the court on December 15, 2005 for partial summary judgment asserting six different arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 amendment to the zoning ordinance, and the town filed a cross-motion on January 13, 2006 for partial summary judgment on the same issue. On February 13, 2006, NCES filed its objection with the Grafton Superior Court to the Town's cross-motion for summary judgment. In April 2006, the court ruled against NCES on the applicability of all six arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 ordinance and NCES filed a motion for reconsideration. On May 30, 2006, the judge issued a ruling on the motion for reconsideration, reversing her prior ruling with respect to two of the six arguments she ruled earlier to be invalid, thereby preserving such arguments for trial. Additionally, several issues related to the March 2005 amendment that were not the subject of such motions remain to be decided by a trial, in addition to the issues remanded by the Supreme Court, which include whether the Town can impose site plan review requirements outside the 51 acres, and whether the 1992 ordinance contravenes the general welfare of the community. On June 6, 2006, the Town rejected a settlement proposal from NCES at a special town meeting. A conference was held on June 30, 2006 with the judge to establish a discovery schedule and a trial date has been set for the second quarter of calendar year 2007.

On March 10, 2005, the Zoning Enforcement Officer ( ZEO ) for the Town of Hardwick, Massachusetts rendered an opinion that a portion of the current Phase II footprint of the Company's Hardwick Landfill is on land on Lot 1 that is not zoned for landfill activities. On April 7, 2005, the Company appealed the opinion to the Hardwick Zoning Board of Appeals ( ZBA ). On July 13, 2005, the ZBA denied the Company's appeal. On August 1, 2005, the Company appealed the ZBA's decision to the Massachusetts Land Court. The Company proposed a plan to implement an interim closure of the affected Lot 1 which included relocation of waste from an unlined area on Lot 2 (a lot unaffected by the decision) to the affected Lot 1. The ZEO issued a letter prohibiting the Company from relocating waste onto Lot 1. The Company appealed the ZEO decision to the ZBA and the ZBA denied the appeal on November 29, 2005. The Company appealed the ZBA decision to the Land Court and those Land Court appeals have been consolidated. On January 18, 2006, the Massachusetts Attorney General approved new general bylaw articles of the town which, among other things, prohibit the use of construction and demolition debris as grading, shaping or closure materials. Such articles may have an adverse impact on the Company's ability to relocate some or all of the waste onto the affected lot. On May 22, 2006, the ZEO issued an order ( Order ) which concluded that only a portion of the Hardwick Landfill's operations on Lot 2 is on land that is grandfathered for purposes of zoning compliance. In a May 22, 2006 letter, the ZEO clarified the Order by indicating that the portion of Lot 2 which is grandfathered is the so-called unlined area (estimated to be approximately 8.6 acres). He also reported he would not



enforce the Order pending HLI's expected appeal and resolution of any such appeal by the Hardwick Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA). In June 2006, HLI and a local group opposed to the landfill each separately appealed the Order to the ZBA. In October 2006, the ZBA issued a decision in the appeal filed by the opposition group, which overturned the Order and found that only 2.2 acres of Lot 2 are grandfathered, and therefore may serve as a landfill in that zoning district. HLI appealed the ZBA decision to the Massachusetts Land Court on October 31, 2006. In December 2006, the ZBA issued a decision denying HLI's appeal of the May 2006 Order and clarification. HLI appealed that decision to the Massachusetts Land Court in late December 2006. On January 24, 2007, Hardwick held a special Town Meeting to vote on three articles, including one to create a landfill zoning district which would include Lots 1 and 2. Although the Company obtained a 54% majority, the article failed to gain the needed two-thirds approval. In February 2007, HLI suspended landfill operations, pending the outcome of the zoning appeals in the Land Court. In the event the Company exhausts its legal and other options to retain the Hardwick Landfill as a regional waste disposal resource, it will need to review this asset for a potential impairment charge. The carrying value of the landfill is approximately \$25,000.

On November 16, 2005, the Town of Ware (adjacent to Hardwick) adopted regulations restricting truck traffic in a manner that affects certain routes into the landfill. On December 20, 2005, the Company filed an action in Massachusetts Superior Court challenging the regulations and seeking a preliminary injunction. On December 30, 2005, the Massachusetts Superior Court denied the preliminary injunction. The Company filed a lawsuit in Massachusetts Superior Court seeking, among other relief, to invalidate the Ware Board of Health regulations. In addition, the Hardwick Board of Health has proposed regulations which, if adopted, will prohibit most commercial solid waste truck traffic from the road leading to the Landfill through Hardwick. The Company is monitoring the status of such proposed regulations.

On July 12, 2005, NCES received notice from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New Hampshire that it has commenced an official investigation into allegations that asbestos was concealed in loads of construction and demolition debris from a hotel renovation, delivered to the NCES landfill by a third party, and disposed there on several occasions between 1999 and 2002. NCES has cooperated fully in the investigation. NCES is engaged in discussions with the Office of the Attorney General over the terms of a possible civil settlement regarding this matter. The Company is not able to estimate the amount of the potential settlement although the Company does not believe the outcome of this matter will have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company offers no prediction of the outcome of these proceedings. However, there can be no guarantee that the Company will prevail or that any judgments against the Company, if sustained on appeal, will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is a defendant in certain other lawsuits alleging various claims incurred in the ordinary course of business, none of which, either individually or in the aggregate, it believes are material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

## **6. ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES**

The Company is subject to liability for environmental damage, including personal injury and property damage, that its solid waste, recycling and power generation facilities may cause to neighboring property owners, particularly as a result of the contamination of drinking water sources or soil, possibly including damage resulting from conditions existing before the Company acquired the facilities. The Company may also be subject to liability for similar claims arising from off-site environmental contamination caused by pollutants or hazardous substances if the Company or its predecessors arrange or arranged to transport, treat or dispose of those materials. Any substantial liability incurred by the Company arising from environmental damage could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of

## Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

operations. The Company is not presently aware of any situations that it expects would have a material adverse impact on its business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

### 7. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the numerator and denominator used in the computation of earnings per share:

	Three Months Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2006	2007	2006	2007
<b>Numerator:</b>				
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,287	\$ (845 )	\$ 8,553	\$ 1,491
Less: preferred stock dividends	(859 )	(902 )	(2,563 )	(2,674 )
Net (loss) income available to common stockholders	\$ 428	\$ (1,747 )	\$ 5,990	\$ (1,183 )
<b>Denominator:</b>				
<b>Number of shares outstanding, end of period:</b>				
Class A common stock	24,091	24,329	24,091	24,329
Class B common stock	988	988	988	988
Effect of weighted average shares outstanding during period	(60 )	(44 )	(147 )	(60 )
Weighted average number of common shares used in basic EPS	25,019	25,273	24,932	25,257
<b>Impact of potentially dilutive securities:</b>				
Dilutive effect of options and contingent stock	394		364	
Weighted average number of common shares used in diluted EPS	25,413	25,273	25,296	25,257

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006, 6,499 and 6,748 common stock equivalents related to options and redeemable convertible preferred stock, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of dilutive shares since the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007, 7,957 and 7,312 common stock equivalents related to options and redeemable convertible preferred stock, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of dilutive shares since the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

### 8. LONG TERM DEBT

On July 25, 2006, the Company amended its existing senior credit facility utilizing the accordion feature and borrowed an additional \$100,000 in the form of an increase of \$10,000 in the revolving facility, under terms consistent with the existing credit facility, and a senior secured term B loan in the principal amount of \$90,000. The proceeds from the issuance of the term B loan were utilized to repay outstanding revolver borrowings under the credit facility. The term B loan matures on April 28, 2010 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, with annual principal payments of \$900 for three years, beginning July 31, 2007, with the remaining principal balance due at maturity.

### 9. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in net assets of a business enterprise during a period from transactions generated from non-owner sources. It includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income included in the accompanying balance sheets consists of changes in the fair value of the Company's interest rate swap and commodity hedge agreements. Also included in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income is the change in fair value of certain securities classified as available for sale as

# Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

well as the Company's portion of the change in the fair value of commodity hedge agreements of the Company's equity method investment, US GreenFiber, LLC (GreenFiber).

Comprehensive income (loss) for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended January 31, 2006		2007		Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006		2007	
Net income (loss)	\$	1,287	\$	(845 )	\$	8,553	\$	1,491
Other comprehensive loss	(198	)	(708	)	(192	)	(506	)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	1,089	\$	(1,553 )	\$	8,361	\$	985

The components of other comprehensive loss for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 are shown as follows:

	Three Months Ended January 31, 2006			2007		
	Gross	Tax effect	Net of Tax	Gross	Tax effect	Net of Tax
Changes in fair value of marketable securities during the period	\$ 9	\$ 3	\$ 6	\$ (28 )	\$ (10 )	\$ (18 )
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps and commodity hedges during period	235	94	141	(800 )	(324 )	(476 )
Reclassification to earnings for interest rate swaps and commodity hedge contracts	(488 )	(143 )	(345 )	(360 )	(146 )	(214 )
	\$ (244 )	\$ (46 )	\$ (198 )	\$ (1,188 )	\$ (480 )	\$ (708 )

	Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006			2007		
	Gross	Tax effect	Net of Tax	Gross	Tax effect	Net of Tax
Changes in fair value of marketable securities during the period	\$ (77 )	\$ (27 )	\$ (50 )	\$ 108	\$ 38	\$ 70
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps and commodity hedges during period	842	334	508	50	21	29
Reclassification to earnings for interest rate swaps and commodity hedge contracts	(816 )	(166 )	(650 )	(1,019 )	(414 )	(605 )
	\$ (51 )	\$ 141	\$ (192 )	\$ (861 )	\$ (355 )	\$ (506 )

## 10. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company's strategy to hedge against fluctuations in the commodity prices of recycled paper is to enter into hedges to mitigate the variability in cash flows generated from the sales of recycled paper at floating prices, resulting in a fixed price being received from these sales. The Company was party to twenty-three commodity hedge contracts as of January 31, 2007. These contracts expire between April 2007 and November 2008. The Company has evaluated these hedges and believes that these instruments qualify for hedge accounting pursuant to SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS No. 133), as amended. As of January 31, 2007 the fair value of these hedges was an obligation of \$1,406, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$569) recorded as an unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.



The Company is party to three separate interest rate swap agreements with three banks for a notional amount of \$75,000, which effectively fix the interest index rate on the entire notional amount at 4.4% from May 4, 2006 through May 5, 2008. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under the Company's term B loan and are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133. As of January 31, 2007, the fair value of these swaps was \$769, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$311) recorded as an unrealized gain in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

On August 1, 2006, the Company entered into three separate interest rate zero-cost collars for a notional amount of \$80,000. The collars have an interest index rate cap of 6.00% and an interest index rate floor of approximately 4.48% and are effective from November 6, 2006 through May 5, 2009. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under the revolving credit facility are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133. As of January 31, 2007, the fair value of these collars was an obligation of \$27, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$11) recorded as an unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

## 11. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS No. 123(R)). SFAS No. 123(R) establishes accounting for stock based awards exchanged for employee services. The Company previously accounted for these awards under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25) and related interpretations and disclosure requirements established by SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS No. 123).

Under APB 25, no expense was recorded in the income statement for the Company's stock options granted at fair market value. The pro forma effects on income for stock options and the Company's employee stock purchase plan were instead disclosed in a footnote to the financial statements.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective method. Under this method, all share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the specified vesting period. Prior periods are not restated. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share as if the Company had applied the fair-value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock options and the employee stock purchase program prior to adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

	Three Months Ended January 31, 2006	Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006
Net income available to common stockholders, as reported	\$ 428	\$ 5,990
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined		
under fair value based method, net	441	1,274
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders, pro forma	\$ (13 )	\$ 4,716
Basic income per common share:		
As reported	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.24
Pro forma	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.19
Diluted income per common share:		
As reported	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.24
Pro forma	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.19

Effective March 2, 2006, the Company accelerated the vesting of all unvested stock options. As a result, stock-based compensation in periods subsequent to the acceleration is significantly reduced. The Company recognized stock-based compensation expense totaling \$39 (\$24 net of tax) related to the accelerated vesting of options previously awarded. This expense was included in General and Administration expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for fiscal year 2006.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007 totaled approximately \$190 and \$511, respectively, or approximately a \$0.01 and \$0.02 per share decrease to basic and diluted net income per common share for the respective periods. Of these amounts, expense recorded with respect to stock options was \$166 and \$436 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007, respectively, and expense recorded with respect to the Company's employee stock purchase plan was \$24 and \$74 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007, respectively. This expense is included in General and Administration expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The total compensation cost at January 31, 2007 related to unvested stock options was \$2,081 and that future expense will be recognized over the remaining vesting periods of the stock options. The weighted average remaining vesting period of those awards is approximately 3.2 years.

The total tax benefit related to the exercise of stock options was approximately \$4 and \$145 during the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007, respectively. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits net of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as an operating cash flow, in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 00-15, *Classification in the Statement of Cash Flows of the Income Tax Benefit Received by a Company upon Exercise of a Nonqualified Employee Stock Option*. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the Company to reflect the tax savings resulting from tax deductions in excess of expense reflected in its financial statements as a financing cash flow.

The Company's calculations of stock-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 were made using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair value of the Company's stock option grants was estimated assuming no expected dividend yield and the following weighted average assumptions for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007, as follows:

	Three Months Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2006	2007	2006	2007
Stock Options:				
Expected life			5 years	6 years
Risk-free interest rate			3.81%	5.10%
Expected volatility			40.35%	31.02%
Stock Purchase Plan:				
Expected life	0.5 years	0.5 years	0.5 years	0.5 years
Risk-free interest rate	4.30%	5.11%	4.13%	5.10%
Expected volatility	40.35%	33.50%	40.35%	32.87%

Expected life is calculated based on the weighted average historical life of the vested stock options, giving consideration to vesting schedules and historical exercise patterns. Risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. treasury yield curve for the period of the expected life of the stock option. Expected volatility is calculated using the average of weekly historical volatility over the last one, three and six years. One and three year historical volatility is based on the weekly price changes of the Company's Class A Common Stock. The six year historical volatility is based on peer group volatility and the weekly price changes of the common stock of various other publicly traded solid waste companies.

The Black-Scholes valuation model requires extensive use of accounting judgment and financial estimation, including estimates of the expected term option holders will retain their vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of the Company's common stock price over the expected term, and the number of options that will be forfeited prior to the completion of their vesting requirements. Application of alternative assumptions could produce significantly different estimates of the fair value of stock-based compensation and consequently, the related amounts recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In January 1998, the Company implemented its Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Under this plan, qualified employees may purchase shares of Class A Common Stock by payroll deduction at a 15% discount from the market price. 600 shares of Class A Common Stock have been reserved for this purpose. As of January 31, 2007, 382 shares of Class A Common Stock were available for distribution under this plan.

During 1996, the Company adopted a stock option plan for employees, officers and directors of, and consultants and advisors to the Company. The 1996 Stock Option Plan (the "1996 Option Plan") provided for the issuance of a maximum of 918 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of either incentive stock options or non-statutory options. As of April 30, 2006, a total of 167 options to purchase Class A Common Stock were outstanding at a weighted average exercise price of \$14.30. As of January 31, 2007, a total of 111 options to purchase Class A common Stock were outstanding at an average exercise price of \$15.23. No further options may be granted under this plan.

On July 31, 1997, the Company adopted a stock option plan for employees, officers and directors of, and consultants and advisors to the Company. The Board of Directors has the authority to select the optionees and determine the terms of the options granted. As amended in 1998, the 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "1997 Plan") provides for the issuance of up to 5,328 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of either incentive stock options or non-statutory options, which includes all authorized, but unissued options under previous plans. As of April 30, 2006, options to purchase 3,056 shares of Class A Common Stock at an average exercise price of \$13.12 were outstanding under the 1997 Plan. As of January 31, 2007, options to purchase 3,416 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$13.18 were outstanding under the 1997 Plan. As of January 31, 2007, 438 options were available for future grant under the 1997 Plan.

Additionally, options outstanding under the assumed KTI Stock Option Plan totaled 20 and 12 at April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, respectively, at weighted average exercise prices of \$18.62 and \$22.54, respectively. Upon assumption of this plan, options under the KTI plan became exercisable for an equal number of shares of the Company's stock. The exercise price of the converted options was increased by 96.1% based on relative fair values of the underlying stock at the date of the KTI acquisition.

On July 31, 1997, the Company adopted a stock option plan for non-employee directors of the Company. The 1997 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the "Non-Employee Director Plan") provides for the issuance of a maximum of 200 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of non-statutory options. As of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, options to purchase 189 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$11.87 were outstanding. As of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, 9 options were available for future grant under the Non-Employee Director Plan.

On October 10, 2006, the Company adopted the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan"). Up to an aggregate amount equal to the sum of: (i) 1,275 shares of Class A Common Stock (subject to adjustment in the event of stock splits and other similar events), of which 275 are reserved for issuance to non-employee directors pursuant to the formula grants described below, plus (ii) such additional number of shares of Class A Common Stock as are currently subject to options granted under the Company's 1993 Incentive Stock Option Plan, 1994 Non-statutory Stock Option Plan, 1996 Option Plan, and 1997 Plan (the "Prior

Plans ) which are not actually issued under the Prior Plans because such options expire or otherwise result in shares not being issued, may be issued pursuant to awards granted under the 2006 Plan.

The 2006 Plan is intended to replace the 1997 Plan, which expires by its terms on July 31, 2007 and the Non-Employee Director Plan. Upon the expiration of the 1997 Plan on July 31, 2007, all then outstanding options will remain in effect, but no additional option grants may be made under the 1997 Plan. As of January 31, 2007, options to purchase 45 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$10.22 were outstanding under the 2006 plan and 1,230 options were available for future grant.

Options granted under the plans described above generally vest over a one to four year period from the date of grant and are granted at prices at least equal to the prevailing fair market value at the issue date. In general, options are issued with a life not to exceed ten years. Shares issued by the Company upon exercise of stock options are issued from the pool of authorized shares of Class A Common Stock.

A summary of options outstanding as of April 30, 2006, and changes during the nine months ended January 31, 2007, is presented below:

	Unvested Shares	Vested Shares	Total Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value of Vested Options	Weighted Average Remaining Term (Years)
Outstanding, April 30, 2006		3,431	3,431	\$ 13.14	\$ 11,206	5.2
Granted	488	10	498	12.91		
Forfeited	(4)	(25)	(29)	13.93		
Exercised		(127)	(127)	11.19		
Outstanding, January 31, 2007	484	3,289	3,773	13.17	4,052	5.2
Exercisable, January 31, 2007		3,289	3,289	\$ 13.22	\$ 3,950	4.6

The weighted average grant date fair value per share for the stock options granted during the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 was \$4.96 and \$5.23, respectively. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three and nine month periods ended January 31, 2007 was \$11 and \$381, respectively. The total fair value of the zero and 10 stock options vested during the three and nine month periods ended January 31, 2007 was \$0 and \$64, respectively.

## 12. SEGMENT REPORTING

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments in financial statements. In general, SFAS No. 131 requires that business entities report selected information about operating segments in a manner consistent with that used for internal management reporting.

The Company classifies its operations into North Eastern, South Eastern, Central, Western and FCR Recycling. The Company's revenues in the North Eastern, South Eastern, Central and Western segments are derived mainly from one industry segment, which includes the collection, transfer, recycling and disposal of non-hazardous solid waste. The North Eastern region also includes Maine Energy, which generates electricity from non-hazardous solid waste. The Company's revenues in the FCR Recycling segment are derived from integrated waste handling services, including processing and recycling of paper, metals, aluminum, plastics and glass. Included in Other are ancillary operations, mainly major customer accounts as well as Parent assets.



	North Eastern Region	South Eastern Region	Central Region	Western Region	FCR Recycling
<b>Three Months Ended January 31, 2006</b>					
Outside revenues	\$ 27,738	\$ 20,692	\$ 28,406	\$ 24,296	\$ 24,591
Depreciation and amortization	4,577	2,609	4,104	3,417	1,314
Operating income	1,238	(2,541)	) 2,719	976	3,870
Total assets	\$ 181,111	\$ 145,040	\$ 140,074	\$ 162,686	\$ 89,004

	Other	Total
<b>Three Months Ended January 31, 2006</b>		
Outside revenues	\$ 4,874	\$ 130,597
Depreciation and amortization	504	16,525
Operating income	(499)	) 5,763
Total assets	\$ 84,500	\$ 802,415

	North Eastern Region	South Eastern Region	Central Region	Western Region	FCR Recycling
<b>Three Months Ended January 31, 2007</b>					
Outside revenues	\$ 28,458	\$ 17,794	\$ 29,019	\$ 26,186	\$ 25,896
Depreciation and amortization	4,882	2,179	4,544	3,701	1,408
Operating income	777	(1,037)	) 2,747	2,952	3,957
Total assets	\$ 190,645	\$ 154,336	\$ 150,138	\$ 168,419	\$ 94,278

	Other	Total
<b>Three Months Ended January 31, 2007</b>		
Outside revenues	\$ 6,139	\$ 133,492
Depreciation and amortization	509	17,223
Operating income	(580)	) 8,816
Total assets	\$ 91,795	\$ 849,611

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

	North Eastern Region	South Eastern Region	Central Region	Western Region	FCR Recycling
<b>Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006</b>					
Outside revenues	\$ 83,399	\$ 69,014	\$ 89,156	\$ 76,636	\$ 67,228
Depreciation and amortization	14,004	8,725	11,917	10,003	3,529
Operating income	5,680	(1,553)	) 12,602	7,489	9,729
Total assets	\$ 181,111	\$ 145,040	\$ 140,074	\$ 162,686	\$ 89,004

	Other	Total
<b>Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006</b>		
Outside revenues	\$ 13,959	\$ 399,392
Depreciation and amortization	1,394	49,572
Operating income	(1,456)	) 32,491
Total assets	\$ 84,500	\$ 802,415

	North Eastern Region	South Eastern Region	Central Region	Western Region	FCR Recycling
<b>Nine Months Ended January 31, 2007</b>					
Outside revenues	\$ 89,501	\$ 62,263	\$ 97,275	\$ 83,612	\$ 74,081
Depreciation and amortization	14,325	7,771	14,889	11,729	4,209
Operating income	5,584	(2,101)	) 11,317	8,930	10,250
Total assets	\$ 190,645	\$ 154,336	\$ 150,138	\$ 168,419	\$ 94,278

	Other	Total
<b>Nine Months Ended January 31, 2007</b>		
Outside revenues	\$ 18,096	\$ 424,828
Depreciation and amortization	1,534	54,457
Operating income	(1,904)	) 32,076
Total assets	\$ 91,795	\$ 849,611

Amounts of the Company's total revenue attributable to services provided are as follows:

	Three Months Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2006	2007	2006	2007
Collection	\$ 61,310	\$ 62,478	\$ 192,729	\$ 199,748
Landfill/disposal facilities	24,167	24,183	73,928	82,590
Transfer	10,713	9,255	34,275	33,200
Recycling	34,407	37,576	98,460	109,290
Total revenues	\$ 130,597	\$ 133,492	\$ 399,392	\$ 424,828

### 13. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

The Company entered into an agreement in July 2000 with Louisiana-Pacific Corporation to combine their respective cellulose insulation businesses into a single operating entity, GreenFiber, under a joint venture agreement effective August 1, 2000. The Company's investment in GreenFiber amounted to \$30,899 and \$33,653 at April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, respectively. The Company accounts for its 50% ownership in GreenFiber under the equity method of accounting.

Summarized financial information for GreenFiber is as follows:



	April 30, 2006	January 31, 2007
Current assets	\$ 29,975	\$ 27,250
Noncurrent assets	68,669	72,803
Current liabilities	23,551	18,681
Noncurrent liabilities	\$ 13,295	\$ 14,066

	Three Months Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2006	2007	2006	2007
Revenue	\$ 57,484	\$ 48,999	\$ 132,022	\$ 145,525
Gross profit	14,236	12,134	30,395	36,184
Net income	\$ 6,632	\$ 2,634	\$ 9,524	\$ 5,418

The Company purchased membership interests, representing a 34.6% interest, in RecycleBank LLC ( RecycleBank ), a company which markets an incentive-based recycling service that gives homeowners credits for recycling which can be used with participating merchants. This investment is accounted for as an equity method investment.

#### 14. NET ASSETS UNDER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION

Effective June 30, 2003, the Company transferred its domestic brokerage operations, as well as a commercial recycling business to former employees who had been responsible for managing those businesses.

Consideration for the transaction was in the form of two notes receivable amounting up to \$6,925. These notes are payable within twelve years of the anniversary date of the transaction, to the extent of free cash flow generated from the operations.

Effective August 1, 2005, the Company transferred a certain Canadian recycling operation to a former employee who had been responsible for managing that business. Consideration for this transaction was in the form of a note receivable amounting up to \$1,313, which is payable within six years of the anniversary date of the transaction to the extent of free cash flow generated from the operations.

The Company has not accounted for these transactions as sales based on an assessment that the risks and other incidents of ownership have not sufficiently transferred to the buyers. The net assets of the operations are disclosed in the balance sheet as net assets under contractual obligation , and are being reduced as payments are made.

Net assets under contractual obligation amounted to \$937 and \$88 at April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, respectively.

#### 15. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Company's senior subordinated notes due 2013 are guaranteed jointly and severally, fully and unconditionally, by the Company's significant wholly-owned subsidiaries. The Parent is the issuer and non-guarantor of the senior subordinated notes. The information which follows presents the condensed consolidating financial position as of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, and the condensed consolidating results of operations for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 and the condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 of (a) the Parent company only, (b) the combined guarantors ( the Guarantors ), each of which is 100% wholly-owned by the parent, (c) the combined non-guarantors ( the Non-Guarantors ), (d) eliminating entries and (e) the Company on a consolidated basis.

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

AS OF APRIL 30, 2006

(in thousands, except for share and per share data)

ASSETS	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ (3,840 )	\$ 10,747	\$ 522	\$	\$ 7,429
Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	35	55,641	620	(27 )	56,269
Deferred taxes	4,029		1,005		5,034
Other current assets	2,456	7,863		(77 )	10,242
Total current assets	2,680	74,251	2,147	(104 )	78,974
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization	3,252	478,725	(693 )		481,284
Goodwill		171,258			171,258
Restricted cash	5,469	3	12,415		17,887
Investment in subsidiaries	1,189			(1,189 )	
Assets under contractual obligation		937			937
Other non-current assets	27,467	37,563	120	(4,379 )	60,771
	37,377	688,486	11,842	(5,568 )	732,137
Intercompany receivable	656,623	(657,153 )	(3,849 )	4,379	
	\$ 696,680	\$ 105,584	\$ 10,140	\$ (1,293 )	\$ 811,111

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>					
Current maturities of long term debt	\$	\$ 527	\$	\$	\$ 527
Current maturities of capital lease obligations	121	940			1,061
Accounts payable	2,227	43,996	245	(104 )	46,364
Accrued payroll and related expenses	1,413	5,376	29		6,818
Accrued interest	6,648	2			6,650
Accrued income taxes	200				200
Other current liabilities	5,688	13,612	13,845		33,145
Total current liabilities	16,297	64,453	14,119	(104 )	94,765
Long-term debt, less current maturities	451,824	896			452,720
Deferred income taxes	6,957				6,957
Other long-term liabilities	1,682	33,372	1,695		36,749
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>					
Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock, authorized - 55,750, issued and outstanding - 53,000, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share plus accrued but unpaid dividends	70,430				70,430
<b>STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:</b>					
Class A common stock -					
Authorized - 100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding - 24,185,000 shares	242	101	100	(201 )	242
Class B common stock -					
Authorized - 1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per share, issued and outstanding - 988,000 shares	10				10
Accumulated other comprehensive income	159	91	(122 )	31	159
Additional paid-in capital	274,297	48,360	2,743	(51,103 )	274,297
Accumulated deficit	(125,218 )	(41,689 )	(8,395 )	50,084	(125,218 )
Total stockholders equity	149,490	6,863	(5,674 )	(1,189 )	149,490

\$	696,680	\$	105,584	\$	10,140	\$	(1,293)	)\$	811,111
----	---------	----	---------	----	--------	----	---------	-----	---------

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

AS OF JANUARY 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except for share and per share data)

ASSETS	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ (1,844)	) \$ 12,377	\$ 1,396	\$	\$ 11,929
Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	33	57,667	166	(27)	) 57,839
Refundable income taxes	181				181
Deferred taxes	9,635		967		10,602
Other current assets	3,995	8,690			12,685
Total current assets	12,000	78,734	2,529	(27)	) 93,236
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization	2,826	504,447	(476)	)	506,797
Goodwill		172,731			172,731
Restricted cash		4	12,514		12,518
Investment in subsidiaries	15,107			(15,107)	)
Assets under contractual obligation		88			88
Other non-current assets	28,336	40,164	120	(4,379)	) 64,241
	46,269	717,434	12,158	(19,486)	) 756,375
Intercompany receivable	682,405	(680,673)	) (6,111)	) 4,379	
	\$ 740,674	\$ 115,495	\$ 8,576	\$ (15,134)	) \$ 849,611

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>					
Current maturities of long term debt	\$ 900	\$ 232	\$	\$	\$ 1,132
Accounts payable	1,330	39,901	112	(27)	) 41,316
Accrued interest	14,065	2			14,067
Accrued closure and post-closure costs, current portion		2,869	560		3,429
Other current liabilities	7,076	18,649	9,709		35,434
Total current liabilities	23,371	61,653	10,381	(27)	) 95,378
Long-term debt, less current maturities	478,819	551			479,370
Capital lease obligations, less current maturities	32	893			925
Deferred income taxes	13,457				13,457
Other long-term liabilities	1,525	33,259	2,227		37,011

## COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock, authorized - 55,750, issued and outstanding - 53,000, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share plus accrued but unpaid dividends

73,104

73,104

## STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:

Class A common stock -

Authorized - 100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding - 24,329,000 shares

243

101

100

(201)

) 243

Class B common stock -

Authorized - 1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per share, issued and outstanding - 988,000 shares

10

10

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income	(347	) 100	(51	) (49	) (347	)
Additional paid-in capital	274,187	46,978	3,543	(50,521	) 274,187	
Accumulated deficit	(123,727	) (28,040	) (7,624	) 35,664	(123,727	)
Total stockholders' equity	150,366	19,139	(4,032	) (15,107	) 150,366	
	\$ 740,674	\$ 115,495	\$ 8,576	\$ (15,134	) \$ 849,611	

24



**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

**THREE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2006**

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
Revenues	\$	\$ 130,408	\$ 1,975	\$ (1,786)	) \$ 130,597
Operating expenses:					
Cost of operations	3	88,483	2,334	(1,786)	) 89,034
General and administration	(105)	) 17,847	204		17,946
Depreciation and amortization	440	16,044	41		16,525
Deferred costs		1,329			1,329
	338	123,703	2,579	(1,786)	) 124,834
Operating income (loss)	(338)	) 6,705	(604)	)	5,763
Other expense/(income), net:					
Interest income	(6,693)	) (90)	) (116)	) 6,691	(208)
Interest expense	9,136	5,942	9	(6,691)	) 8,396
(Income) loss from equity method investments	(3,750)	) (3,319)	)	3,750	(3,319)
Other income	(1,453)	) (88)	)		(1,541)
Other expense/(income), net	(2,760)	) 2,445	(107)	) 3,750	3,328
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,422	4,260	(497)	) (3,750)	) 2,435
Provision for income taxes	1,135		13		1,148
Net income (loss)	1,287	4,260	(510)	) (3,750)	) 1,287
Preferred stock dividend	859				859
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 428	\$ 4,260	\$ (510)	) \$ (3,750)	) \$ 428

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

**THREE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2007**

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
Revenues	\$	\$ 133,492	\$ 2,878	\$ (2,878)	) \$ 133,492
Operating expenses:					
Cost of operations	957	89,642	2,079	(2,878)	) 89,800
General and administration	(76)	) 17,699	30		17,653
Depreciation and amortization	442	16,781			17,223
	1,323	124,122	2,109	(2,878)	) 124,676
Operating income (loss)	(1,323)	) 9,370	769		8,816
Other expense/(income), net:					
Interest income	(9,453)	) (136)	) (150)	) 9,426	(313)
Interest expense	11,009	8,669	71	(9,426)	) 10,323

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

(Income) loss from equity method investments	(2,363	) (1,311	)	2,686	(988
--	--------	----------	---	-------	------