### REUVERS DANIEL L.

Form 4

March 15, 2019

# FORM 4

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF

**SECURITIES** 

OMB Number:

3235-0287

Expires:

January 31, 2005

0.5

Estimated average burden hours per

**OMB APPROVAL** 

response...

if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue.

Check this box

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section

30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

1(b).

(Print or Type Responses)

See Instruction

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person \* REUVERS DANIEL L.

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading

Symbol

**INTEGRA LIFESCIENCES HOLDINGS CORP [IART]** 

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Last)

(First)

(Street)

(Middle)

3. Date of Earliest Transaction

(Month/Day/Year) 03/13/2019

Director 10% Owner Other (specify \_X\_\_ Officer (give title

(Check all applicable)

below) CVP, PRES - CODMAN SPC SURGICAL

311 C ENTERPRISE DRIVE

4. If Amendment, Date Original

Filed(Month/Day/Year)

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check

Applicable Line)

\_X\_ Form filed by One Reporting Person Form filed by More than One Reporting

Person

## PLAINSBORO, NJ 08536

(City)	(State) (	Zip) Tabl	e I - Non-D	<b>Derivative</b>	Secur	ities Acq	uired, Disposed o	f, or Beneficial	ly Owned
1.Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transactio Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired on(A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)			5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Indirect Beneficial Ownership (Instr. 4)
			Code V	Amount	or	Price	Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)		
Common Stock	03/13/2019		F	583	D	\$ 55.91	39,525	D	
Common Stock	03/13/2019		F	404	D	\$ 55.91	39,121	D	
Common Stock	03/13/2019		F	156	D	\$ 55.91	38,965	D	
Common Stock	03/13/2019		F	181	D	\$ 55.91	38,784	D	
Common Stock	03/13/2019		A	2,129	A	\$ 0	40,913	D	

Common Stock	03/14/2019	F	411	D	\$ 56.14 40,502	D
Common Stock	03/14/2019	F	110	D	\$ 40,392 56,14	D

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of SEC 1474 information contained in this form are not (9-02)required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control

### Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transactic Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number out Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)		7. Title and Amoun Underlying Securit (Instr. 3 and 4)	
				Code V	(A) (D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amour or Number of Shares
Non-Qualified Stock Option (right to buy)	\$ 55.91	03/13/2019		A	9,526	<u>(1)</u>	03/13/2027	Common Stock	9,52

# **Reporting Owners**

Reporting Owner Name / Address				Relationships	
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	0	ther

REUVERS DANIEL L. 311 C ENTERPRISE DRIVE PLAINSBORO, NJ 08536

CVP, PRES - CODMAN SPC SURGICAL

# **Signatures**

/s/ Eric Schwartz; 03/15/2019 Attorney-in-Fact

\*\*Signature of Reporting Person Date

# **Explanation of Responses:**

- If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

Reporting Owners 2

(1) 25% of the stock options vest every first, second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date of 3/13/2019.  Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, <i>see</i> Instruction 6 for procedure.  Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form disp a currently valid OMB number. yle="font-size:1.0pt;">	olays
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	
\$	1,113
\$	1112
4	1,113

	Edgar Filing: REUVERS DANIEL L Form 4
Measured on a Nonrecurring Basis	
Assets	
Collateral dependent impaired loans (3):	
Condicial dependent impaned toans (3).	

Commercial real estate mortgages	
\$	
	7,300
<b>\$</b>	
<b>\$</b>	
<b>\$</b>	7,300
Other real estate owned (4)	
	13,33

	11,218
	2,119
Private equity and alternative investments	
	686
	686
Total assets at fair value	
<b>\$</b>	21,323
<b>\$</b>	
\$	11,218
<b>\$</b>	10,105

- (1) Reported in Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets.
- (2) Reported in Other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.
- (3) Impaired loans for which fair value was calculated using the collateral valuation method.
- (4) Includes covered OREO.

11

Note 3. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

			Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using					U <b>sing</b>
		Balance as of		Quoted Prices in	Si	gnificant Other Observable	Significant Unobservable	
		December 31,		Active Markets		Inputs		Inputs
(in thousands)		2012		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3
Measured on a Recurring Basis								
Assets								
Securities available-for-sale:								
U.S. Treasury	\$	20,397	\$	20,397	\$		\$	
Federal agency - Debt		2,349,202				2,349,202		
Federal agency - MBS		693,032				693,032		
CMOs - Federal agency		5,318,253				5,318,253		
CMOs - Non-agency		61,513				61,513		
State and municipal		454,474				407,429		47,045
Other debt securities		307,417				289,275		18,142
Equity securities and mutual funds		1,701		1,701				
Trading securities		115,059		113,010		2,049		
Mark-to-market derivatives (1)		67,496		218		67,278		
Total assets at fair value	\$	9,388,544	\$	135,326	\$	9,188,031	\$	65,187
Liabilities								
Mark-to-market derivatives	\$	64,432	\$		\$	64,432	\$	
Contingent consideration liability		47,724						47,724
FDIC clawback liability		9,970						9,970
Other liabilities		368				368		
Total liabilities at fair value (2)	\$	122,494	\$		\$	64,800	\$	57,694
	Ф	41 110	ф		Ф		Ф	41 110
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	\$	41,112	\$		\$		\$	41,112
Measured on a Nonrecurring Basis								
Assets								
Collateral dependent impaired loans (3):								2 / 2 2
Commercial (4)	\$	2,655	\$		\$		\$	2,655
Commercial real estate mortgages		10,963				3,950		7,013
Residential mortgages		1,811						1,811
Real estate construction		7,918						7,918
Home equity loans and lines of credit		780						780
Installment		550				550		
Other real estate owned (5)		44,396				34,624		9,772
Private equity and alternative investments		6,178					_	6,178
Total assets at fair value	\$	75,251	\$		\$	39,124	\$	36,127

<sup>(1)</sup> Reported in Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reported in Other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

<sup>(3)</sup> Impaired loans for which fair value was calculated using the collateral valuation method.

<sup>(4)</sup> Includes lease financing.

(5) Includes covered OREO.

12

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Note 3. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

At March 31, 2013, \$7.85 billion, or approximately 29 percent, of the Company s total assets were recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, compared with \$9.39 billion, or 33 percent, at December 31, 2012. The majority of these financial assets were valued using Level 1 or Level 2 inputs. Less than 1 percent of total assets were measured using Level 3 inputs. At March 31, 2013, \$117.2 million of the Company s total liabilities were recorded at fair value using Level 2 or Level 3 inputs, compared with \$122.5 million at December 31, 2012. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy for assets or liabilities measured on a recurring basis during the three months ended March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, \$21.3 million of the Company s total assets were recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, compared with \$75.3 million at December 31, 2012. These assets represent less than one percent of total assets and were measured using Level 2 and Level 3 inputs.

#### Recurring Fair Value Measurements

Assets and liabilities for which fair value measurement is based on significant unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

#### Level 3 Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis

	For the three months ended March 31, 2013							For the three months ended March 31, 2012			
(in thousands)	Securities Available-for- Sale		Contingent Consideration Liability		FDIC Clawback Liability		Securities Available-for- Sale		FDIC Clawback Liability		
Balance, beginning of period	\$	65,187	\$	(47,724)	\$	(9,970)	\$	19,583	\$	(8,103)	
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses):											
Included in earnings						(795)				(616)	
Included in other comprehensive income		(199)						964			
Settlements		(3,555)						(1,562)			
Other (1)		52		(549)				24			
Balance, end of period	\$	61,485	\$	(48,273)	\$	(10,765)	\$	19,009	\$	(8,719)	

<sup>(1)</sup> Other rollforward activity consists of amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts recognized on the initial purchase of the securities available-for-sale and accretion of discount related to the contingent consideration liability.

Redeemable noncontrolling interest is classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy and measured on a recurring basis. Refer to Note 17, *Noncontrolling Interest*, for a rollforward of activity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis consist of municipal auction rate securities and collateralized debt obligation senior notes that are included in securities available-for-sale. At March 31, 2013, municipal auction rate securities were valued using an average yield on California variable rate notes that were comparable in credit rating and maturity to the securities held, plus a liquidity premium. Senior notes totaling \$15.3 million at March 31, 2013 were valued using the discounted cash flow method with the following unobservable inputs: (1) risk-adjusted discount rate consistent with similarly-rated securities, (2) prepayment rate of 2 percent, (3) default rate of 0.75 percent of performing collateral, and (4) 15 percent recovery rate with a 2-year lag.

Level 3 liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis consist of contingent consideration and an FDIC clawback liability that are included in other liabilities. Refer to Note 2, *Business Combinations*, for further discussion of the methodology used to value the contingent consideration liability. The FDIC clawback liability was valued using the discounted cash flow method based on the terms specified in loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC, the actual FDIC payments collected and the following unobservable inputs: (1) risk-adjusted discount rate reflecting the Bank s credit risk, plus a liquidity premium, (2) prepayment assumptions and (3) credit assumptions.

There were no purchases, sales, or transfers out of Level 3 assets measured on a recurring basis during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Paydowns of \$3.6 million and \$1.6 million were received on Level 3 assets measured on a recurring basis for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

13

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Note 3. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis using significant unobservable inputs include certain collateral dependent impaired loans, OREO for which fair value is not solely based on market observable inputs, and certain private equity and alternative investments. Private equity and alternative investments do not have readily determinable fair values. These investments are carried at cost and evaluated for impairment on a quarterly basis. Due to the lack of readily determinable fair values for these investments, the impairment assessment is based primarily on a review of investment performance and the likelihood that the capital invested would be recovered.

The table below provides information about valuation method, inputs and assumptions for nonrecurring Level 3 fair value measurements. The weight assigned to each input is based on the facts and circumstances that exist at the date of measurement.

#### **Information About Nonrecurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements**

(in thousands)	Ma	Value at rch 31, 2013	Valuation Method	Unobservable Inputs
Collateral dependent impaired loans	\$	7,300	Market	<ul> <li>Adjustments to external or internal appraised values (1)</li> <li>Probability weighting of broker price opinions</li> <li>Management assumptions regarding market trends or other relevant factors</li> </ul>
Other real estate owned	\$	2,119	Market	<ul> <li>Adjustments to external or internal appraised values (1)</li> <li>Probability weighting of broker price opinions</li> <li>Management assumptions regarding market trends or other relevant factors</li> </ul>
Private equity and alternative investments	\$	686	Cost Recovery	- Management s assumptions regarding recoverability of investment based on fund financial performance, market conditions and other relevant factors

<sup>(1)</sup> Appraised values may be adjusted to reflect changes in market conditions that have occurred subsequent to the appraisal date, or for revised estimates regarding the timing or cost of the property sale. These adjustments are based on qualitative judgments made by management on a case-by-case basis.

Market-based valuation methods use prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets. Under the cost recovery approach, fair value represents an estimate of the amount of an asset expected to be recovered. The Company only employs the cost recovery approach for assets that are not readily marketable and for which minimal market-based information exists.

#### Note 3. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, the following table presents the total net (losses) gains, which include charge-offs, recoveries, specific reserves, OREO valuation write-downs and write-ups, gains and losses on sales of OREO, and impairment write-downs on private equity investments, recognized in the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the three months ended March 31,							
(in thousands)		2013		2012				
Collateral dependent impaired loans:								
Commercial	\$		\$	(368)				
Commercial real estate mortgages		145		(365)				
Residential mortgages		9		(582)				
Real estate construction				(6,472)				
Home equity loans and lines of credit		116		54				
Installment		(138)		(107)				
Other real estate owned (1)		(2,853)		(8,465)				
Private equity and alternative investments		(399)		(127)				
Total net losses recognized	\$	(3,120)	\$	(16,432)				

<sup>(1)</sup> Net losses on OREO includes \$2.8 million and \$7.6 million of net losses related to covered OREO for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, a significant portion of which is reimbursable by the FDIC.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

A financial instrument is broadly defined as cash, evidence of an ownership interest in another entity, or a contract that imposes a contractual obligation on one entity and conveys a corresponding right to a second entity to require delivery or exchange of a financial instrument. Refer to Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, in the Company s 2012 Form 10-K for additional information on fair value measurements.

The disclosure does not include estimated fair value amounts for assets and liabilities which are not defined as financial instruments but which have significant value. These assets and liabilities include the value of customer-relationship intangibles, goodwill, affordable housing investments carried at cost, other assets, deferred taxes and other liabilities. Accordingly, the total of the fair values presented does not represent the underlying value of the Company.

#### **Note 3. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)**

The following tables summarize the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of those financial instruments that are reported at amortized cost in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The tables also provide information on the level in the fair value hierarchy for inputs used in determining the fair value of those financial instruments. Most financial assets and financial liabilities for which carrying amount equals fair value are considered by the Company to be Level 1 measurements in the fair value hierarchy.

	March 31, 2013									
		Carrying		Total		Fair V	Using			
(in millions)		Amount		Fair Value		Level 1		Level 2	]	Level 3
Financial Assets:										
Cash and due from banks	\$	144.3	\$	144.3	\$	144.3	\$		\$	
Due from banks - interest bearing		123.1		123.1		123.1				
Federal funds sold and securities purchased										
under resale agreements		219.5		219.5		19.5		200.0		
Securities held-to-maturity		1,400.9		1,434.8				1,434.8		
Loans and leases, net of allowance		14,934.6		15,416.9						15,416.9
Covered loans, net of allowance		909.6		975.1						975.1
FDIC indemnification asset		142.9		118.8						118.8
Investment in FHLB and FRB stock		84.1		84.1				84.1		
Financial Liabilities:										
Deposits	\$	22,937.6	\$	22,941.7	\$		\$	22,063.4	\$	878.3
Federal funds purchased and securities sold										
under repurchase agreements		703.6		703.6		703.6				
Other short-term borrowings		103.2		103.2		100.0				3.2
Long-term debt		703.0		785.2				713.1		72.1

	December 31, 2012										
	Carrying Total					Fair Value Measurements Usin					
(in millions)		Amount		Fair Value		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	
Financial Assets:											
Cash and due from banks	\$	152.0	\$	152.0	\$	152.0	\$		\$		
Due from banks - interest bearing		246.3		246.3		246.3					
Federal funds sold		17.1		17.1		17.1					
Securities held-to-maturity		1,398.4		1,446.6				1,446.6			
Loans and leases, net of allowance		14,540.4		14,988.6				4.5		14,984.1	
Covered loans, net of allowance		986.2		1,055.0						1,055.0	
FDIC indemnification asset		150.0		123.9						123.9	
Investment in FHLB and FRB stock		90.0		90.0				90.0			
Financial Liabilities:											
Deposits	\$	23,502.4	\$	23,506.9	\$		\$	22,734.5	\$	772.4	
Federal funds purchased and securities sold											
under repurchase agreements		1,214.2		1,214.2		1,214.2					
Other short-term borrowings		209.6		210.7				207.6		3.1	
Long-term debt		706.1		774.8				698.9		75.9	

Following is a description of the methods and assumptions used in estimating the fair values of these financial instruments:

Cash and due from banks, Due from banks interest bearing, Federal funds sold and Securities purchased under resale agreementsFor these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value. The fair value of securities purchased under term resale agreements is determined using a combination of quoted market prices and observable market inputs such as interest rates and credit spreads.

16

#### Note 3. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

Securities held-to-maturity For securities held-to-maturity, the fair value is generally determined by quoted market prices, where available, or on observable market inputs appropriate for the type of security.

Loans and leases Loans and leases, excluding covered loans, are not recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Nonrecurring fair value adjustments are periodically recorded on impaired loans that are measured for impairment based on the fair value of collateral. Due to the lack of activity in the secondary market for the types of loans in the Company s portfolio, a model-based approach is used for determining the fair value of loans for purposes of the disclosures in the previous table. The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting future cash flows using discount rates that incorporate the Company s assumptions for current market yields, credit risk and liquidity premiums. Loan cash flow projections are based on contractual loan terms adjusted for the impact of current interest rate levels on borrower behavior, including prepayments. Loan prepayment assumptions are based on industry standards for the type of loans being valued. Projected cash flows are discounted using yield curves based on current market conditions. Yield curves are constructed by product type using the Bank s loan pricing model for like-quality credits. The discount rates used in the Company s model represent the rates the Bank would offer to current borrowers for like-quality credits. These rates could be different from what other financial institutions could offer for these loans.

*Covered loans* The fair value of covered loans is based on estimates of future loan cash flows and appropriate discount rates, which incorporate the Company s assumptions about market funding cost and liquidity premium. The estimates of future loan cash flows are determined using the Company s assumptions concerning the amount and timing of principal and interest payments, prepayments and credit losses.

FDIC indemnification asset The fair value of the FDIC indemnification asset is estimated by discounting estimated future cash flows based on estimated current market rates.

Investment in FHLB and FRB stock Investments in Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (FHLB) and Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) stock are recorded at cost. Ownership of these securities is restricted to member banks and the securities do not have a readily determinable market value. Purchases and sales of these securities are at par value with the issuer. The fair value of investments in FRB and FHLB stock is equal to the carrying amount.

Deposits The fair value of demand and interest checking deposits, savings deposits, and certain money market accounts is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit (CD) is determined by discounting expected future cash flows using the rates offered by the Bank for deposits of similar type and remaining maturity at the measurement date. This value is compared to the termination value of each CD given the Bank s standard early withdrawal penalties. The fair value reported is the higher of the discounted present value of each CD and the termination value after the recovery of prepayment penalties. The Bank reviews pricing for its CD products weekly. This review gives consideration to market pricing for products of similar type and maturity offered by other financial institutions.

Federal funds purchased and Securities sold under repurchase agreements The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Other short-term borrowings The fair value of the current portion of long-term debt classified in short-term borrowings is obtained through third-party pricing sources. The fair value of nonrecourse debt is determined by discounting estimated future cash flows based on estimated current market rates. The carrying amount of the remaining other short-term borrowings is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Long-term debt The fair value of long-term debt, excluding nonrecourse debt, is obtained through third-party pricing sources. The fair value of nonrecourse debt is determined by discounting estimated future cash flows based on estimated current market rates.

Off-balance sheet commitments, which include commitments to extend credit, are excluded from the table. A reasonable estimate of fair value for these instruments is the carrying amount of deferred fees and the reserve for any credit losses related to these off-balance sheet instruments. This estimate is not material to the Company s financial position.

17

#### Note 4. Securities

At March 31, 2013, the Company had total securities of \$9.19 billion, comprised of securities available-for-sale at fair value of \$7.74 billion, securities held-to-maturity at amortized cost of \$1.40 billion and trading securities at fair value of \$53.5 million. At December 31, 2012, the Company had total securities of \$10.72 billion, comprised of securities available-for-sale at fair value of \$9.21 billion, securities held-to-maturity at amortized cost of \$1.40 billion and trading securities at fair value of \$15.1 million.

The following is a summary of amortized cost and estimated fair value for the major categories of securities available-for-sale and securities held-to-maturity at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

March 31, 2013				
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 30,353	\$ 17	\$	\$ 30,370
Federal agency - Debt	1,111,725	2,951	(73)	1,114,603
Federal agency - MBS	611,286	35,131	(937)	645,480
CMOs - Federal agency	5,068,740	81,888	(11,397)	5,139,231
CMOs - Non-agency	51,201	464	(889)	50,776
State and municipal	430,002	16,188	(1,020)	445,170
Other debt securities	306,845	7,722	(5,175)	309,392
Total debt securities	7,610,152	144,361	(19,491)	7,735,022
Equity securities and mutual funds	337	2,692		3,029
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 7,610,489	\$ 147,053	\$ (19,491)	\$ 7,738,051
Securities held-to-maturity (1):				
Federal agency - Debt	\$ 95,935	\$ 3,596	\$	\$ 99,531
Federal agency - MBS	305,881	8,867	(946)	313,802
CMOs - Federal agency	741,007	23,680	(1,960)	762,727
State and municipal	258,067	3,280	(2,571)	258,776
Total securities held-to-maturity	\$ 1,400,890	\$ 39,423	\$ (5,477)	\$ 1,434,836
December 31, 2012				
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 20,393	\$	\$ (3)	\$ 20,397
Federal agency - Debt	2,344,374	5,031	(203)	2,349,202
Federal agency - MBS	653,428	39,673	(69)	693,032
CMOs - Federal agency	5,233,126	91,165	(6,038)	5,318,253
CMOs - Non-agency	62,975	662	(2,124)	61,513
State and municipal	437,266	17,447	(239)	454,474
Other debt securities	305,340	7,945	(5,868)	307,417
Total debt securities	9,056,902	161,930	(14,544)	9,204,288
Equity securities and mutual funds	336	1,365		1,701
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 9,057,238	\$ 163,295	\$ (14,544)	\$ 9,205,989
Securities held-to-maturity (1):				
Federal agency - Debt	\$ 97,183	\$ 4,032	\$	\$ 101,215
Federal agency - MBS	303,642	11,490	(182)	314,950

CMOs - Federal agency	745,980	28,973	(382)	774,571
State and municipal	251,598	5,122	(857)	255,863
Total securities held-to-maturity	\$ 1,398,403 \$	49,617 \$	(1,421) \$	1,446,599

<sup>(1)</sup> Securities held-to-maturity are presented in the consolidated balance sheets at amortized cost.

#### **Note 4. Securities (Continued)**

Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale were \$1.03 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared with \$5.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. There were no sales of securities held-to-maturity during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012. The following table provides the gross realized gains and losses on the sales and calls of securities:

		For the three	ıded
(in thousands)	20	013	2012
Gross realized gains	\$	1,046	\$ 496
Gross realized losses			(47)
Net realized gains	\$	1,046	\$ 449

Interest income on securities for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 is comprised of: (i) taxable interest income of \$39.8 million and \$41.5 million, respectively (ii) nontaxable interest income of \$4.4 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, and (iii) dividend income of \$19 thousand and \$41 thousand, respectively.

The following table provides the expected remaining maturities of debt securities included in the securities portfolio at March 31, 2013, except for mortgage-backed securities which are allocated according to the average life of expected cash flows. Average expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because of the amortizing nature of the loan collateral and prepayment behavior of borrowers.

(in thousands)	One year or less		Over 1 year through 5 years		Over 5 years through 10 years		Over 10 years	Total
Securities available-for-sale:								
U.S. Treasury	\$	3,021	\$ 27,349	\$		\$		\$ 30,370
Federal agency - Debt		906,857	207,746					1,114,603
Federal agency - MBS		16	434,041		211,423			645,480
CMOs - Federal agency		174,283	4,750,547		214,401			5,139,231
CMOs - Non-agency		6,460	20,738		23,578			50,776
State and municipal		91,153	260,487		70,006		23,524	445,170
Other		13,016	296,376					309,392
Total debt securities available-for-sale	\$	1,194,806	\$ 5,997,284	\$	519,408	\$	23,524	\$ 7,735,022
Amortized cost	\$	1,190,413	\$ 5,906,598	\$	489,221	\$	23,920	\$ 7,610,152
Securities held-to-maturity:								
Federal agency - Debt	\$		\$	\$		\$	95,935	\$ 95,935
Federal agency - MBS			2,438		303,443			305,881
CMOs - Federal agency			155,414		585,593			741,007
State and municipal			13,383		163,726		80,958	258,067
Total debt securities held-to-maturity at								
amortized cost	\$		\$ 171,235	\$	1,052,762	\$	176,893	\$ 1,400,890

#### **Table of Contents**

**Note 4. Securities (Continued)** 

Impairment Assessment

The Company performs a quarterly assessment of the debt and equity securities in its investment portfolio that have an unrealized loss to determine whether the decline in the fair value of these securities below their cost is other-than-temporary. Impairment is considered other-than-temporary when it becomes probable that an investor will be unable to recover the cost of an investment. The Company s impairment assessment takes into consideration factors such as the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, including events specific to the issuer or industry; defaults or deferrals of scheduled interest, principal or dividend payments; external credit ratings and recent downgrades; and whether the Company intends to sell the security and whether it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. If a decline in fair value is judged to be other than temporary, the cost basis of the individual security is written down to fair value which then becomes the new cost basis. The new cost basis is not adjusted for subsequent recoveries in fair value.

When there are credit losses associated with an impaired debt security and the Company does not have the intent to sell the security and it is more likely than not that it will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, the Company will separate the amount of the impairment into the amount that is credit-related and the amount related to non-credit factors. The credit-related impairment is recognized in Net impairment loss recognized in earnings in the consolidated statements of income. The non-credit-related impairment is recognized in AOCI.

Securities Deemed to be Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired

There were no impairment losses recorded in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company recognized \$0.5 million and \$2.4 million of non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairment in AOCI on securities available-for-sale at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. No impairment losses were recognized in earnings or AOCI for securities held-to-maturity at March 31, 2013.

The following table summarizes the changes in cumulative credit-related other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings for debt securities for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Credit-related other-than-temporary impairment that was recognized in earnings is reflected as an Initial credit-related impairment if the period reported is the first time the security had a credit impairment. A credit-related other-than-temporary impairment is reflected as a Subsequent credit-related impairment if the period reported is not the first time the security had a credit impairment. Cumulative impairment is reduced for securities with previously recognized credit-related impairment that were sold or redeemed during the period. Cumulative impairment is further adjusted for other changes in expected cash flows.

	For the three i	ended
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 16,486	\$ 17,531
Reduction for securities sold or redeemed	(12,761)	
Reduction for increase in expected cash flows on securities		
for which OTTI was previously recognized	(49)	(162)

Balance, end of period \$ 3,676 \$ 17,369

Non-Agency CMOs

The Company held \$37.4 million of variable rate non-agency CMOs at March 31, 2013. The Company determined that \$9.3 million of these non-agency CMOs were other-than-temporarily impaired. These CMOs have a fixed interest rate for an initial period after which they become variable-rate instruments with annual rate resets. For purposes of projecting future cash flows, the current fixed coupon was used through the reset date for each security. The prevailing LIBOR/Treasury forward curve as of the measurement date was used to project all future floating-rate cash flows based on the characteristics of each security. Other factors considered in the projection of future cash flows include the current level of subordination from other CMO classes, anticipated prepayment rates, cumulative defaults and loss given default. The Company recognized no credit-related impairment losses in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The remaining

#### **Note 4. Securities (Continued)**

other-than-temporary impairment for these securities at March 31, 2013 and 2012 was recognized in AOCI. This non-credit portion of other-than-temporarily impairment is attributed to external market conditions, primarily the lack of liquidity in these securities, resulting in an increase in interest rate spreads for these securities. The Company also holds \$13.4 million in fixed rate non-agency CMOs at March 31, 2013, none of which have experienced any other-than-temporary impairment.

The following table provides a summary of the gross unrealized losses and fair value of investment securities that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired aggregated by investment category and length of time that the securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The table also includes investment securities that had both a credit-related impairment recognized in earnings and a non-credit-related impairment recognized in AOCI.

	Less than	]	nths Estimated Jnrealized	12 months or greater Estimated Unrealized			То	Estimated Unrealized		
(in thousands)	Fair Value		Loss	]	Fair Value		Loss	Fair Value		Loss
March 31, 2013										
Securities available-for-sale:										
Federal agency - Debt	\$ 141,151	\$	73	\$		\$		\$ 141,151	\$	73
Federal agency - MBS (1)	84,678		937		46			84,724		937
CMOs - Federal agency	1,617,943		11,395		3,301		2	1,621,244		11,397
CMOs - Non-agency					19,090		889	19,090		889
State and municipal	45,745		950		3,530		70	49,275		1,020
Other debt securities	19,062		8		15,270		5,167	34,332		5,175
Total securities										
available-for-sale	\$ 1,908,579	\$	13,363	\$	41,237	\$	6,128	\$ 1,949,816	\$	19,491
Securities held-to-maturity:										
Federal agency - MBS	\$ 96,961	\$	946	\$		\$		\$ 96,961	\$	946
CMOs - Federal agency	 108,876	-	1,960	_				108,876		1,960
State and municipal	127,939		2,571					127,939		2,571
Total securities	.,		,					.,		,
held-to-maturity	\$ 333,776	\$	5,477	\$		\$		\$ 333,776	\$	5,477
December 21, 2012										
December 31, 2012										
Securities available-for-sale:										
U.S. Treasury	\$ 5,096	\$	3	\$		\$		\$ 5,096	\$	3
Federal agency - Debt	346,136		203					346,136		203
Federal agency - MBS (1)	50,932		69		46			50,978		69
CMOs - Federal agency	1,413,367		5,994		13,565		44	1,426,932		6,038
CMOs - Non-agency					25,484		2,124	25,484		2,124
State and municipal	85,550		225		810		14	86,360		239
Other debt securities	39,877		49		16,038		5,819	55,915		5,868
Total securities										
available-for-sale	\$ 1,940,958	\$	6,543	\$	55,943	\$	8,001	\$ 1,996,901	\$	14,544

Securities held-to-maturity:

Federal agency - MBS	\$ 31,514	\$ 182 \$	\$ \$	31,514	\$ 182
CMOs - Federal agency	60,998	382		60,998	382
State and municipal	64,344	857		64,344	857
Total securities					
held-to-maturity	\$ 156,856	\$ 1,421 \$	\$ \$	156,856	\$ 1,421

<sup>(1)</sup> The estimated gross unrealized loss for federal agency MBS securities in a continuous unrealized loss position of 12 months or greater was an insignificant amount as of March 31, 2013.

Tabl	e c	of C	ont	ents

#### **Note 4. Securities (Continued)**

At March 31, 2013, the Company had \$1.95 billion of securities available-for-sale in an unrealized loss position, consisting of \$1.94 billion of temporarily impaired securities and \$9.3 million of securities that had non-credit-related impairment recognized in AOCI. The Company had \$333.8 million of securities held-to-maturity in an unrealized loss position. At March 31, 2013, the Company had 337 debt securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity in an unrealized loss position. The debt securities in an unrealized loss position include 4 federal agency debt securities, 15 federal agency MBS securities, 64 federal agency CMOs, 3 non-agency CMOs, 249 state and municipal securities and 2 other debt securities.

Other debt securities include the Company s investments in two highly rated corporate debt and collateralized bond obligations backed by trust preferred securities ( CDOs ) issued by a geographically diverse pool of small- and medium-sized financial institutions. The CDOs held in securities available-for-sale at March 31, 2013 are the most senior tranches of each issue. Trading activity for the type of CDO held by the Company has been limited since 2008. Accordingly, the fair values of these securities were determined using an internal pricing model that incorporates assumptions about discount rates in an illiquid market, projected cash flows and collateral performance. The CDOs had a \$5.2 million net unrealized loss at March 31, 2013, which the Company attributes to the illiquid credit markets. The CDOs have collateral that well exceeds the outstanding debt. Security valuations reflect the current and prospective performance of the issuers whose debt is contained in these asset pools. The Company received a substantial paydown on one of the CDOs held during the first quarter. The Company expects to receive all remaining contractual principal and interest payments due on its CDOs. Additionally, the Company does not intend to sell the securities, and it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the securities before it recovers the cost basis of its investment.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$2.00 billion of securities available-for-sale in an unrealized loss position, consisting of \$1.98 billion of temporarily impaired securities and \$16.2 million of securities that had non-credit related impairment recognized in AOCI. At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$156.9 million of securities held-to-maturity in an unrealized loss position. At December 31, 2012, the Company had 231 debt securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity in an unrealized loss position. The debt securities in an unrealized loss position include 2 U.S. Treasury note, 8 federal agency debt securities, 7 federal agency MBS, 53 federal agency CMOs, 4 non-agency CMOs, 152 state and municipal securities and 5 other debt securities.

#### **Note 5. Other Investments**

FHLB and FRB Stock

The Company s investment in stock issued by the FHLB and FRB totaled \$84.1 million and \$90.0 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Ownership of government agency securities is restricted to member banks, and the securities do not have readily determinable market values. The Company records investments in FHLB and FRB stock at cost in Other assets of the consolidated balance sheets and evaluates these investments for impairment. The Company expects to recover the full amount invested in FHLB and FRB stock and does not consider its investments to be impaired at March 31, 2013.

Private Equity and Alternative Investments

The Company has ownership interests in a limited number of private equity, venture capital, real estate and hedge funds that are not publicly traded and do not have readily determinable fair values. These investments are carried at cost in the Other assets section of the consolidated balance sheets and are net of impairment write-downs, if applicable. The Company s investments in these funds totaled \$35.5 million at March 31, 2013 and \$36.1 million at December 31, 2012. A summary of investments by fund type is provided below:

(in thousands) Fund Type	M	arch 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Private equity and venture capital	\$	20,484	\$ 20,693
Real estate		8,855	9,223
Hedge		2,866	2,866
Other		3,306	3,309
Total	\$	35,511	\$ 36,091

#### **Note 5. Other Investments (Continued)**

Management reviews these investments quarterly for impairment. The impairment assessment includes a review of the most recent financial statements and investment reports for each fund and discussions with fund management. An impairment loss is recognized if it is deemed probable that the Company will not recover the cost of an investment. The impairment loss is recognized in Other noninterest income in the consolidated statements of income. The new cost basis of the investment is not adjusted for subsequent recoveries in value. The Company recognized impairment losses totaling \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million on its investments during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

The table below provides information as of March 31, 2013 on private equity and alternative investments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis due to the recognition of impairment:

(in thousands)	Fair		Unfunded		Redemption	Redemption
Fund Type	Value		Commitmen		Frequency	Notice Period
Private equity and venture capital (2)	\$	686	\$	179	None (1)	N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> Funds make periodic distributions of income but do not permit redemptions prior to the end of the investment term.

#### Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments

The following is a summary of the major categories of loans:

#### **Loans and Leases**

(in thousands)	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012		
Commercial	\$ 6,452,477	\$ 6,211,353		
Commercial real estate mortgages	2,937,457	2,829,694		
Residential mortgages	4,027,741	3,962,205		
Real estate construction	247,114	222,780		
Home equity loans and lines of credit	696,679	711,750		
Installment	137,545	142,793		
Lease financing	717,893	737,720		
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans	15,216,906	14,818,295		

<sup>(2)</sup> Funds invest in securities and other instruments of public and private companies, including corporations, partnerships, limited liability companies and joint ventures.

Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	(282,328)	(277,888)
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans, net	14,934,578	14,540,407
Covered loans	951,917	1,031,004
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(42,354)	(44,781)
Covered loans, net	909,563	986,223
Total loans and leases	\$ 16,168,823	\$ 15,849,299
Total loans and leases, net	\$ 15,844,141	\$ 15,526,630

The loan amounts above include unamortized fees, net of deferred costs, of \$6.2 million and \$5.9 million as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

#### Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of clients are engaged in similar business activities, or activities in the same geographic region, or have similar economic features that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly affected by changes in economic conditions. Although the Company's lending activities are predominantly in California, and to a lesser extent, New York and Nevada, the Company has various specialty lending businesses that lend to businesses located throughout the United States of America. Excluding covered loans, at March 31, 2013, California represented 79 percent of total loans outstanding and New York and Nevada represented 7 percent and 2 percent, respectively. The remaining 12 percent of total loans outstanding represented other states. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, a substantial portion of the loan portfolio and credit performance depends on the economic stability of Southern California. Credit performance also depends, to a lesser extent, on economic conditions in the San Francisco Bay area, New York and Nevada. Within the Company's covered loan portfolio at March 31, 2013, the five states with the largest concentration were California (37 percent), Texas (11 percent), Nevada (7 percent), Ohio (5 percent) and New York (4 percent). The remaining 36 percent of total covered loans outstanding represented other states.

#### Covered Loans

Covered loans represent loans acquired from the FDIC that are subject to loss-sharing agreements. Covered loans were \$951.9 million as of March 31, 2013 and \$1.03 billion as of December 31, 2012. Covered loans, net of allowance for loan losses, were \$909.6 million at March 31, 2013 and \$986.2 million at December 31, 2012.

The following is a summary of the major categories of covered loans:

(in thousands)	1	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial	\$	9,611	\$ 10,561
Commercial real estate mortgages		863,161	931,758
Residential mortgages		5,619	5,652
Real estate construction		69,380	78,554
Home equity loans and lines of credit		3,499	3,790
Installment		647	689
Covered loans		951,917	1,031,004
Less: Allowance for loan losses		(42,354)	(44,781)
Covered loans, net	\$	909,563	\$ 986,223

The Company evaluated the acquired loans from its FDIC-assisted acquisitions and concluded that all loans, with the exception of a small population of acquired loans, would be accounted for under ASC Topic 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality* (ASC 310-30). Loans are accounted for under ASC 310-30 when there is evidence of credit deterioration since origination and for which it is probable, at acquisition, that the Company would be unable to collect all contractually required payments. Interest income is recognized on all acquired impaired loans through accretion of the difference between the carrying amount of the loans and their expected cash flows.

The excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the carrying value of the underlying acquired impaired loans is referred to as the accretable yield. This amount is not reported in the consolidated balance sheets, but is accreted into interest income at a level yield over the remaining estimated lives of the underlying pools of loans. Changes in the accretable yield for acquired impaired loans were as follows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	March 31,							
(in thousands)		2013		2012				
Balance, beginning of period	\$	295,813	\$	436,374				
Accretion		(16,198)		(22,225)				
Reclassifications from (to) nonaccretable yield		2,063		(21,468)				
Disposals and other		(13,290)		(16,213)				
Balance, end of period	\$	268,388	\$	376,468				

Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

The factors that most significantly affect estimates of cash flows expected to be collected, and accordingly the accretable yield balance, include: (i) changes in credit assumptions, including both credit loss amounts and timing; (ii) changes in prepayment assumptions; and (iii) changes in interest rates for variable-rate loans. Reclassifications between accretable yield and nonaccretable yield may vary from period to period as the Company periodically updates its cash flow projections. The reclassification of nonaccretable yield to accretable yield during 2013 was principally driven by positive timing changes in cash flows, resulting mainly from changes in credit assumptions.

The Company recorded an indemnification asset related to its FDIC-assisted acquisitions, which represents the present value of the expected reimbursement from the FDIC for expected losses on acquired loans, OREO and unfunded commitments. The FDIC indemnification asset from all FDIC-assisted acquisitions was \$142.9 million at March 31, 2013 and \$150.0 million at December 31, 2012.

Credit Quality on Loans and Leases, Excluding Covered Loans

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments

The Company accounts for the credit risk associated with lending activities through its allowance for loan and lease losses, reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments and provision for credit losses. The provision is the expense recognized in the consolidated statements of income to adjust the allowance and reserve to the levels deemed appropriate by management, as determined through application of the Company's allowance methodology procedures. The provision for credit losses reflects management is judgment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments. It is determined through quarterly analytical reviews of the loan and commitment portfolios and consideration of such other factors as the Company is loan and lease loss experience, trends in problem loans, concentrations of credit risk, underlying collateral values, and current economic conditions, as well as the results of the Company is ongoing credit review process. As conditions change, the Company is level of provisioning and the allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments may change.

The relative significance of risk considerations used in measuring the allowance for loan and lease losses will vary by portfolio segment. For commercial loans, the primary risk consideration is a borrower s ability to generate sufficient cash flows to repay their loan. Secondary considerations include the creditworthiness of guarantors and the valuation of collateral. In addition to the creditworthiness of a borrower, the type and location of real estate collateral is an important risk factor for commercial real estate and real estate construction loans. The primary risk considerations for consumer loans are a borrower s personal cash flow and liquidity, as well as collateral value.

For commercial, non-homogenous loans that are not impaired, the Bank derives loss factors via a process that begins with estimates of probable losses inherent in the portfolio based upon various statistical analyses. The factors considered in the analysis include loan type, migration analysis, in which historical delinquency and credit loss experience is applied to the current aging of the portfolio, as well as analyses that reflect current trends and conditions. Each portfolio of smaller balance homogeneous loans, including residential first mortgages, installment, revolving credit and most other consumer loans, is collectively evaluated for loss potential. The quantitative portion of the allowance for loan and lease losses is adjusted for qualitative factors to account for model imprecision and to incorporate the range of probable outcomes inherent in the estimates used for the allowance. The qualitative portion of the allowance attempts to incorporate the risks inherent in the portfolio, economic

uncertainties, competition, and regulatory requirements and other subjective factors such as changes in underwriting standards. It also considers overall portfolio indicators, including current and historical credit losses; delinquent, nonperforming and criticized loans; portfolio concentrations; trends in volumes and terms of loans; and economic trends in the broad market and in specific industries.

A portion of the allowance for loan and lease losses is attributed to impaired loans that are individually measured for impairment. This measurement considers all available evidence, including as appropriate, the probability that a specific loan will default, the expected exposure of a loan at default, an estimate of loss given default, the present value of expected future cash flows discounted using the loan s contractual effective rate, the secondary market value of the loan and the fair value of collateral.

#### Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

The allowance for loan and lease losses is decreased by the amount of charge-offs and increased by the amount of recoveries. Generally, commercial, commercial real estate and real estate construction loans are charged off immediately when it is determined that advances to the borrower are in excess of the calculated current fair value of the collateral or if a borrower is deemed incapable of repayment of unsecured debt, there is little or no prospect for near term improvement and no realistic strengthening action of significance pending. Consumer loans are charged-off based on delinquency, ranging from 60 days for overdrafts to 180 days for secured consumer loans, or earlier when it is determined that the loan is uncollectible due to a triggering event, such as bankruptcy, fraud or death.

The following is a summary of activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses and period-end recorded investment balances of loans evaluated for impairment, excluding covered loans, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Activity is provided by loan portfolio segment which is consistent with the Company s methodology for determining the allowance for loan and lease losses.

(in thousands)	Co	ommercial (1)	ì	Commercial Real Estate Mortgages		Residential Mortgages	Real Estate Construction		Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit	I	nstallment	U	nallocated		Total
Three months ended March 31, 2013															
Allowance for loan and lease losses:															
Beginning balance	\$	104,156	\$	48,240	\$	10,499	\$ 13,130	9	\$ 7,243	\$	1,847	\$	92,773	\$	277,888
Provision for credit losses															
(2)		6,068		4,251		(3,201)	(5,920	)	(2,303)		(771)		1,508		(368)
Charge-offs		(1,362)	)	(45)		(105)			(240)		(271)				(2,023)
Recoveries		3,535		48		37	2,666		128		417				6,831
Net (charge-offs)															
recoveries		2,173		3		(68)	2,666		(112)		146				4,808
Ending balance	\$	112,397	\$	52,494	\$	7,230	\$ 9,876	\$	\$ 4,828	\$	1,222	\$	94,281	\$	282,328
Ending balance of allowance:															
Individually evaluated for	ф	869	ф	1 140	ф		\$ 867		th.	\$		\$		ф	2.004
impairment	\$	869	<b>3</b>	1,148	<b>&gt;</b>		\$ 867	4	<b>&gt;</b>	\$		<b>3</b>		\$	2,884
Collectively evaluated for		111,528		51,346		7,230	9.009		4.828		1,222		94,281		279,444
impairment		111,528		31,340		7,230	9,005		4,828		1,222		94,281		219,444
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans															
Ending balance of loans and leases:															
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans	\$	7,170,370	\$	2,937,457	\$	4,027,741	\$ 247,114	. 5	\$ 696,679	\$	137,545	\$	:	\$	15,216,906
Individually evaluated for impairment		26,639		42,747		7,963	44,346		2,140	-	227,272	_			123,835
Collectively evaluated for		20,039		42,747		1,503	44,340		2,140						123,633
impairment		7,143,731		2,894,710		4,019,778	202,768		694,539		137,545				15,093,071
Three months ended March 31, 2012															

Allowance for loan and								
lease losses:								
Beginning balance	\$ 82,965 \$	45,967 \$	14,029 \$	23,347 \$	8,024 \$	1,959 \$	86,266 \$	262,557
Provision for credit losses								
(2)	(4,161)	1,285	268	(1,355)	162	(438)	3,269	(970)
Charge-offs	(8,917)	(692)	(554)	(1,601)	(189)	(209)		(12,162)
Recoveries	14,200	26	60	1,705	35	626		16,652
Net (charge-offs)								
recoveries	5,283	(666)	(494)	104	(154)	417		4,490
Ending balance	\$ 84,087 \$	46,586 \$	13,803 \$	22,096 \$	8,032 \$	1,938 \$	89,535 \$	266,077
Ending balance of allowance:								
Individually evaluated for								
impairment	\$ 3,335 \$	1,019 \$	331 \$	9,395 \$	38 \$	\$	\$	14,118
Collectively evaluated for								
impairment	80,752	45,567	13,472	12,701	7,994	1,938	89,535	251,959
Loans and leases,								
excluding covered loans								
Ending balance of loans								
and leases:								
Loans and leases,								
excluding covered loans	\$ 5,573,782 \$	2,213,114 \$	3,805,807 \$	313,409 \$	715,997 \$	125,793 \$	\$	12,747,902
Individually evaluated for								
impairment	40,415	22,306	13,000	67,686	6,980	550		150,937
Collectively evaluated for								
impairment	5,533,367	2,190,808	3,792,807	245,723	709,017	125,243		12,596,965

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes lease financing loans.

<sup>(2)</sup> Provision for credit losses in the allowance rollforward for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 includes total transfers from the reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments of \$0.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. There was no other provision for credit losses recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

## Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

Off-balance sheet credit exposures include loan commitments and letters of credit. The following table provides a summary of activity in the reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the three i	ended
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 24,837	\$ 23,097
Transfers from allowance for loan and lease losses	368	970
Balance, end of period	\$ 25,205	24,067

## Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

Impaired Loans and Leases

Information on impaired loans, excluding covered loans, at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012 is provided in the following tables:

	Unpaid							For the three months of March 31, 2013				
(in thousands)		Recorded Investment		Contractual Principal Balance		Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment		Interest Income Recognized			
March 31, 2013		mvestment		Dalance		Anowance		Investment		Recognized		
With no related allowance recorded:												
Commercial	\$	19,066	\$	19,602	\$		\$	18,914	\$	419		
Commercial real estate mortgages	Ψ.	29,403	Ψ.	34,927	Ψ		Ψ.	36,142	<u> </u>	235		
Residential mortgages:		_,,,,,		2 1,2 = 1				,				
Fixed		3,917		4,246				3,699		18		
Variable		4,046		4,354				4,456		14		
Total residential mortgages		7,963		8,600				8,155		32		
Real estate construction:		ŕ		ŕ				ŕ				
Construction		19,332		29,787				19,547		330		
Land		12,164		24,071				18,956		34		
Total real estate construction		31,496		53,858				38,503		364		
Home equity loans and lines of credit		2,140		3,373				2,851				
Installment:												
Consumer								225				
Total installment								225				
Total with no related allowance	\$	90,068	\$	120,360	\$		\$	104,790	\$	1,050		
With an allowance recorded:												
Commercial	\$	7,573	\$	7,608	\$	869	\$	7,544	\$	46		
Commercial real estate mortgages		13,344		13,689		1,148		11,773		168		
Residential mortgages:												
Fixed								232				
Total residential mortgages								232				
Real estate construction:												
Land		12,850		13,165		867		6,425		213		
Total real estate construction		12,850		13,165		867		6,425		213		
Home equity loans and lines of credit								450				
Total with an allowance	\$	33,767	\$	34,462	\$	2,884	\$	26,424	\$	427		
Total impaired loans by type:												
Commercial	\$	26,639	\$	27,210	\$	869	\$	26,458	\$	465		
Commercial real estate mortgages		42,747		48,616		1,148		47,915		403		
Residential mortgages		7,963		8,600				8,387		32		
Real estate construction		44,346		67,023		867		44,928		577		
Home equity loans and lines of credit		2,140		3,373				3,301				
Installment								225				

Total impaired loans \$ 123,835 \$ 154,822 \$ 2,884 \$ 131,214 \$ 1,477

28

Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

(in the country)		Unpaid Contractual Recorded Principal Investment Balance							
(in thousands) December 31, 2012		investment		Balance		Allowance			
With no related allowance recorded:									
Commercial	\$	18,761	\$	24,135	\$				
Commercial real estate mortgages	Ψ	42,882	Ψ	49,110	Ψ				
Residential mortgages:		12,002		17,110					
Fixed		3,482		3,757					
Variable		4,865		5,437					
Total residential mortgages		8,347		9,194					
Real estate construction:		,		,					
Construction		19,762		33,267					
Land		25,748		41,016					
Total real estate construction		45,510		74,283					
Home equity loans and lines of credit		3,562		4,660					
Installment:									
Consumer		449		927					
Total installment		449		927					
Total with no related allowance	\$	119,511	\$	162,309	\$				
With an allowance recorded:									
Commercial	\$		\$	8,038	\$	952			
Commercial real estate mortgages		10,203		10,783		1,326			
Residential mortgages:									
Fixed		463		507		9			
Total residential mortgages		463		507		9			
Home equity loans and lines of credit		899		965		116			
Total with an allowance	\$	19,081	\$	20,293	\$	2,403			
Total impaired loans by type:									
Commercial	\$	26,277	\$	32,173	\$	952			
Commercial real estate mortgages		53,085		59,893		1,326			
Residential mortgages		8,810		9,701		9			
Real estate construction		45,510		74,283					
Home equity loans and lines of credit		4,461		5,625		116			
Installment		449		927					
Total impaired loans	\$	138,592	\$	182,602	\$	2,403			

Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

				Unpaid				For the three months ended March 31, 2012				
		Recorded		Contractual Principal		Related		Average Recorded	Interest Income			
(in thousands)		Investment		Balance		Allowance		Investment		Recognized		
March 31, 2012												
With no related allowance recorded:	¢.	27.922	ф	26.060	Ф		ф	10.000	ф			
Commercial	\$	27,822	<b>3</b>	36,069	\$		\$	18,988	\$	(0)		
Commercial real estate mortgages		14,008		19,022				16,938		69		
Residential mortgages:				2.101				• • • • •				
Fixed		2,666		3,194				3,080				
Variable		6,567		7,240				5,128				
Total residential mortgages		9,233		10,434				8,208				
Real estate construction:												
Construction		21,045		34,381				24,240		116		
Land		24,090		27,340				26,541				
Total real estate construction		45,135		61,721				50,781		116		
Home equity loans and lines of credit		6,035		7,185				5,688				
Installment:												
Consumer		550		927				604				
Total installment		550		927				604				
Lease financing								14				
Total with no related allowance	\$	102,783	\$	135,358	\$		\$	101,221	\$	185		
With an allowance recorded:												
Commercial	\$	12,593	\$	17,643	\$	3,335	\$	14,110	\$			
Commercial real estate mortgages		8,298		8,715		1,019		9,555				
Residential mortgages:												
Fixed		2,341		2,367		287		1,428				
Variable		1,426		1,476		44		1,438				
Total residential mortgages		3,767		3,843		331		2,866				
Real estate construction:		ŕ		ŕ				·				
Land		22,551		34,312		9,395		20,968				
Total real estate construction		22,551		34,312		9,395		20,968				
Home equity loans and lines of credit		945		985		38		1,119				
Total with an allowance	\$	48,154	\$	65,498	\$	14,118	\$	48,618	\$			
Total William allowance	Ψ	10,13 1	Ψ	05,170	Ψ	11,110	Ψ	10,010	Ψ			
Total impaired loans by type:												
Commercial	\$	40,415	\$	53,712	\$	3,335	\$	33,098	\$			
Commercial real estate mortgages		22,306		27,737	·	1,019		26,493		69		
Residential mortgages		13,000		14,277		331		11,074				
Real estate construction		67,686		96,033		9,395		71,749		116		
Home equity loans and lines of credit		6,980		8,170		38		6,807		110		
Installment		550		927		30		604				
Lease financing		330		721				14				
Total impaired loans	\$	150,937	\$	200,856	\$	14.118	\$	149.839	\$	185		
Tour imparred roans	Ψ	130,937	Ψ	200,030	Ψ	17,110	Ψ	177,007	Ψ	103		

Effective July 1, 2012, the Company increased the outstanding loan amount under which nonperforming loans are individually evaluated for impairment from \$500,000 or greater to \$1 million or greater. For borrowers with multiple loans totaling \$1 million or more, this threshold is applied at the total relationship level. Loans under \$1 million will be measured for impairment using historical loss factors. Loans under \$1 million that were previously reported as impaired at June 30, 2012 will continue to be reported as impaired until the collection of principal and

interest is no longer in doubt, or the loans are paid or charged-off. At March 31, 2013, impaired loans included \$7.2 million of loans previously reported as impaired that are less than \$1 million.

#### Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

Impaired loans at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 included \$49.6 million and \$48.8 million, respectively, of restructured loans that are on accrual status. With the exception of restructured loans on accrual status and a limited number of loans on cash basis nonaccrual for which the full collection of principal and interest is expected, interest income is not recognized on impaired loans until the principal balance of these loans is paid off.

#### Troubled Debt Restructured Loans

The following tables provide a summary of loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	Number of Contracts	I	Pre-Modification Outstanding Principal	Period-End Outstanding Principal	Financial Effects (1)
Three months ended March 31, 2013					
Commercial	4	\$	1,727	\$ 1,704	\$
Home equity loans and lines of credit	1		345	345	
Total troubled debt restructured loans	5		2,072	2,049	
Three months ended March 31, 2012					
Commercial	5	\$	16,982	\$ 16,903	\$
Residential mortgages:					
Fixed	1		655	655	
Real estate construction:					
Construction	1		5,532	5,532	
Total troubled debt restructured loans	7	\$	23,169	\$ 23,090	\$

<sup>(1)</sup> Financial effects are comprised of charge-offs and specific reserves recognized on TDR loans at modification date.

The following table provides a summary of troubled debt restructured ( TDR ) loans that subsequently defaulted during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, that had been modified as a troubled debt restructuring during the 12 months prior to their default:

(in thousands)	Number of Contracts	Period-End Outstanding Principal	Period-End Specific Reserve
Three months ended March 31, 2013			
Commercial	2	\$ 1,886	\$
Home equity loans and lines of credit	1	145	
Total loans that subsequently defaulted	3	\$ 2,031	\$

31

### Three months ended March 31, 2012

Till ce months chucu March 31, 2012			
Commercial	1 \$	26 \$	10
Real estate construction:			
Land	2	6,339	3,318
Total loans that subsequently defaulted	3 \$	6,365 \$	3,328

#### Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

A restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring when a lender, for reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Loans with pre-modification outstanding balances totaling \$2.1 million and \$23.2 million were modified in troubled debt restructurings during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The concessions granted in the restructurings completed in 2013 largely consisted of maturity extensions and interest rate modifications.

The unpaid principal balance of TDR loans was \$81.7 million, before specific reserves of \$2.4 million, at March 31, 2013 and \$94.9 million, before specific reserves of \$1.7 million, at December 31, 2012. The net decrease in TDR loans from the prior year-end was primarily attributable to \$14.4 million of loans restructured in an A/B note structure in the prior year that are performing in accordance with their restructured terms. Loans restructured in an A/B note restructuring are not reported as TDR loans in years after the restructuring if the restructuring agreement specifies an interest rate equal to or greater than the rate the lender was willing to accept at the time of restructuring for a new loan with comparable risk, and the loan is performing based on the terms in the restructuring agreement. In an A/B restructuring, the original note is separated into two notes where the A note represents the portion of the original loan that is expected to be fully paid, and the B note is the portion of the loan that is expected to be uncollectible. The B note is charged-off at the time of restructuring. The remaining change in TDR loans during the period was a result of the addition of new loans that were largely offset by payments received on existing TDR loans. Loans modified in troubled debt restructurings are impaired loans at the time of restructuring and subject to the same measurement criteria as all other impaired loans.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, two commercial loans and one equity line of credit that had been restructured within the preceding 12 months were not performing in accordance with their modified terms. The defaults were primarily due to missed or late payments. All other TDR loans were performing in accordance with their restructured terms at March 31, 2013. As of March 31, 2013, commitments to lend additional funds on restructured loans totaled \$1.2 million.

#### Past Due and Nonaccrual Loans and Leases

Loans are considered past due following the date when either interest or principal is contractually due and unpaid. The following tables provide a summary of past due and nonaccrual loans, excluding covered loans, at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 based upon the length of time the loans have been past due:

(in thousands)	.59 Days ast Due	9 Days	Greater Than 90 Days and Accruing	Nona	accrual	Total Past Due and Vonaccrual Loans	Current	Total Loans and Leases
March 31, 2013								
Commercial	\$ 7,929	\$ 347	\$	\$	7,292	\$ 15,568	\$ 6,436,909	\$ 6,452,477
Commercial real estate								
mortgages	16,960				23,066	40,026	2,897,431	2,937,457
Residential mortgages:								
Fixed		233	1,033		4,579	5,845	1,436,801	1,442,646
Variable					4,557	4,557	2,580,538	2,585,095
Total residential mortgages		233	1,033		9,136	10,402	4,017,339	4,027,741

Real estate construction:							
Construction				14,602	14,602	178,677	193,279
Land	3,537			25,006	28,543	25,292	53,835
Total real estate construction	3,537			39,608	43,145	203,969	247,114
Home equity loans and lines							
of credit	3,749		630	4,103	8,482	688,197	696,679
Installment:							
Commercial						503	503
Consumer	138	17		70	225	136,817	137,042
Total installment	138	17		70	225	137,320	137,545
Lease financing	4,071	6	25		4,102	713,791	717,893
Total	\$ 36,384	\$ 603	\$ 1,688	\$ 83,275	\$ 121,950	\$ 15,094,956	\$ 15,216,906

Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

(in thousands)	59 Days		-89 Days ast Due	Grea Thar Days Accri	n 90 and	Total Past Due and Nonaccrual Nonaccrual Loans					Current	Fotal Loans and Leases
December 31, 2012	 ist Duc	-	ast Duc	Acci	uiiig	111	macer uni		Louis		Current	and Leases
Commercial	\$ 6,207	\$	4,219	\$	602	\$	9,087	\$	20,115	\$	6,191,238	\$ 6,211,353
Commercial real estate												
mortgages	16,968		3,249				33,198		53,415		2,776,279	2,829,694
Residential mortgages:												
Fixed			1,969		379		4,902		7,250		1,458,224	1,465,474
Variable							4,701		4,701		2,492,030	2,496,731
Total residential mortgages			1,969		379		9,603		11,951		3,950,254	3,962,205
Real estate construction:												
Construction							15,067		15,067		150,548	165,615
Land			859				25,815		26,674		30,491	57,165
Total real estate construction			859				40,882		41,741		181,039	222,780
Home equity loans and lines												
of credit	3,407		480				6,424		10,311		701,439	711,750
Installment:												
Commercial											437	437
Consumer	58		35				473		566		141,790	142,356
Total installment	58		35				473		566		142,227	142,793
Lease financing	2,633		2				120		2,755		734,965	737,720
Total	\$ 29,273	\$	10,813	\$	981	\$	99,787	\$	140,854	\$	14,677,441	\$ 14,818,295

Credit Quality Monitoring

The Company closely monitors and assesses credit quality and credit risk in the loan and lease portfolio on an ongoing basis. Loan risk classifications are continuously reviewed and updated. The following tables provide a summary of the loan and lease portfolio, excluding covered loans, by loan type and credit quality classification as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Nonclassified loans generally include those loans that are expected to be repaid in accordance with contractual loan terms. Classified loans are those loans that are classified as substandard or doubtful consistent with regulatory guidelines.

(in thousands)	N	onclassified	rch 31, 2013 Classified	Total	No	nclassified	ember 31, 2012 Classified	Total
Commercial	\$	6,322,914	\$ 129,563	\$ 6,452,477	\$	6,073,459	\$ 137,894	\$ 6,211,353
Commercial real estate								
mortgages		2,821,000	116,457	2,937,457		2,705,469	124,225	2,829,694
Residential mortgages:								
Fixed		1,419,798	22,848	1,442,646		1,449,270	16,204	1,465,474
Variable		2,569,427	15,668	2,585,095		2,479,449	17,282	2,496,731
Total residential mortgages		3,989,225	38,516	4,027,741		3,928,719	33,486	3,962,205
Real estate construction:								
Construction		148,050	45,229	193,279		119,189	46,426	165,615
Land		24,989	28,846	53,835		27,492	29,673	57,165
		173,039	74,075	247,114		146,681	76,099	222,780

Total real estate

construction

Home equity loans and						
lines of credit	667,718	28,961	696,679	685,011	26,739	711,750
Installment:						
Commercial	503		503	437		437
Consumer	136,925	117	137,042	141,662	694	142,356
Total installment	137,428	117	137,545	142,099	694	142,793
Lease financing	714,292	3,601	717,893	733,803	3,917	737,720
Total	\$ 14,825,616	\$ 391,290	\$ 15,216,906	\$ 14,415,241	\$ 403,054	\$ 14,818,295

Note 6. Loans, Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments (Continued)

#### Credit Quality on Covered Loans

The following is a summary of activity in the allowance for losses on covered loans:

	For the three i	ended
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 44,781	\$ 64,565
Provision for losses	9,892	7,466
Reduction in allowance due to loan		
removals	(12,319)	(10,560)
Balance, end of period	\$ 42,354	\$ 61,471

The allowance for losses on covered loans was \$42.4 million, \$44.8 million and \$61.5 million as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. The Company recorded provision expense of \$9.9 million and \$7.5 million on covered loans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company updates its cash flow projections for covered loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 on a quarterly basis, and may recognize provision expense and an allowance for loan losses as a result of that analysis. The loss on covered loans is the result of changes in expected cash flows, both amount and timing, due to loan payments and the Company s revised loss and prepayment forecasts. The revisions of the loss forecasts were based on the results of management s review of the credit quality of the outstanding covered loans and the analysis of the loan performance data since the acquisition of covered loans. The allowance for losses on covered loans is reduced for any loan removals, which occur when a loan has been fully paid-off, fully charged off, sold or transferred to OREO.

Covered loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 are generally considered accruing and performing loans as the loans accrete interest income over the estimated life of the loan when cash flows are reasonably estimable. Accordingly, acquired impaired loans that are contractually past due are still considered to be accruing and performing loans. If the timing and amount of future cash flows is not reasonably estimable, the loans may be classified as nonaccrual loans and interest income is not recognized until the timing and amount of future cash flows can be reasonably estimated. There were no covered loans that were on nonaccrual status or considered impaired as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

At March 31, 2013, covered loans that were 30 to 89 days delinquent totaled \$42.6 million and covered loans that were 90 days or more past due on accrual status totaled \$102.3 million. At December 31, 2012, covered loans that were 30 to 89 days delinquent totaled \$43.4 million and covered loans that were 90 days or more past due on accrual status totaled \$112.4 million.

#### Note 7. Other Real Estate Owned

The following table provides a summary of OREO activity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

		For	hree months end arch 31, 2013	ded			For	three months enarch 31, 2012	ded	
(in thousands)	No	on-Covered OREO	Covered OREO		Total	N	on-Covered OREO	Covered OREO		Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$	21,027	\$ 58,276	\$	79,303	\$	30,790	\$ 98,550	\$	129,340
Additions		382	9,293		9,675		2,217	6,075		8,292
Sales		(1,391)	(20,783)		(22,174)		(2,877)	(18,362)		(21,239)
Valuation adjustments		(232)	(3,035)		(3,267)		(1,056)	(7,807)		(8,863)
Balance, end of period	\$	19,786	\$ 43,751	\$	63,537	\$	29,074	\$ 78,456	\$	107,530

#### **Note 7. Other Real Estate Owned (Continued)**

At March 31, 2013, OREO was \$63.5 million and included \$43.8 million of covered OREO. At December 31, 2012, OREO was \$79.3 million and included \$58.3 million of covered OREO. The balance of OREO at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is net of valuation allowances of \$28.7 million and \$33.6 million, respectively.

Covered OREO expenses and valuation write-downs are recorded in the noninterest expense section of the consolidated statements of income and gains or losses on sale of covered OREO are recognized in the noninterest income section. Under the loss sharing agreements, 80 percent of eligible covered OREO expenses, valuation write-downs, and losses on sales are reimbursable to the Company from the FDIC and 80 percent of covered gains on sales are payable to the FDIC. The portion of these expenses that is reimbursable or income that is payable is recorded in FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net in the noninterest income section of the consolidated statements of income.

#### **Note 8. Borrowed Funds**

Short-term borrowings consist of funds with remaining maturities of one year or less and long-term debt consists of borrowings with remaining maturities greater than one year. The components of short-term borrowings and long-term debt as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are provided below:

(in thousands) (1)	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Short-term borrowings		
Current portion of senior notes:		
City National Corporation - 5.125% Senior Notes Due February 2013	5	\$ 206,581
Federal funds purchased	703,600	1,214,200
Current portion of nonrecourse debt (5)	3,160	3,017
FHLB borrowings	100,000	
Total short-term borrowings	806,760	\$ 1,423,798
Long-term debt		
Senior notes:		
City National Corporation - 5.25% Senior Notes Due September 2020	5 297,690	\$ 297,613
Subordinated debt:		
City National Bank - 9.00% Subordinated Notes Due July 2019 (2)	49,764	49,755
City National Bank - 9.00% Subordinated Notes Due August 2019	74,881	74,876
City National Bank - Fixed and Floating Subordinated Notes due August 2019 (3)	54,913	54,909
City National Bank - 5.375% Subordinated Notes Due July 2022	148,640	148,642
Junior subordinated debt:		
Floating Rate Business Bancorp Capital Trust I Securities due November 2034 (4)	5,152	5,152
Nonrecourse debt (5)	71,927	75,104
Total long-term debt	702,967	\$ 706,051

<sup>(1)</sup> The carrying value of certain borrowed funds is net of discount and issuance costs, which are being amortized into interest expense, as well as the impact of fair value hedge accounting, if applicable.

- (2) These notes bear a fixed interest rate of 9 percent for the initial five years from the date of issuance (July 15, 2009) and thereafter the rate is reset at the Bank s option to either LIBOR plus 6 percent or to prime plus 5 percent. These notes are callable by the Bank, subject to any prior approval requirements of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), on or after July 2014.
- (3) These notes bear a fixed interest rate of 9 percent for the initial five years from the date of issuance (August 12, 2009) and thereafter bear an interest rate equal to the three-month LIBOR rate plus 6 percent. The rate is reset quarterly and is subject to an interest rate cap of 10 percent throughout the term of the notes. These notes are callable by the Bank, subject to any prior approval requirements of the OCC, on or after August 2014.
- (4) These floating rate securities pay interest of three-month LIBOR plus 1.965 percent which is reset quarterly. As of March 31, 2013, the interest rate was approximately 2.25 percent.
- (5) Nonrecourse debt bears interest at an average rate of 3.94 percent as of March 31, 2013 and has maturity dates ranging from May 2013 to December 2019.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Note 8. Borrowed Funds (Continued)

On April 30, 2012, the Company assumed borrowings through its acquisition of FAEF. FAEF assigns the future rentals of certain lease financing loans to financial institutions on a nonrecourse basis at fixed interest rates. In return for future minimum lease rentals assigned, FAEF receives a discounted cash payment. Proceeds from discounting are reflected in the table above as nonrecourse debt.

On June 20, 2012, the Bank issued \$150.0 million in subordinated notes that bear a fixed rate of interest of 5.375 percent. The notes mature on July 15, 2022. The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

#### Note 9. Shareholders Equity

On November 13, 2012, the Corporation issued 7,000,000 depositary shares, each representing a 1/40th interest in a share of 5.50% Series C non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share (equivalent to \$25.00 per depositary share). Net proceeds, after issuance cost, were approximately \$169.9 million. Dividends on the preferred stock are payable quarterly, in arrears, if declared by the Corporation s Board of Directors. The preferred stock has no maturity date and may be redeemed in whole or in part at the option of the Corporation on any dividend payment date after five years from the date of issuance.

The components of AOCI at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Net unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale	\$ 74,201	\$ 86,526
Net unrealized gain on cash flow hedges	21	56
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 74,222	\$ 86,582

The following table presents the tax effects allocated to each component of other comprehensive income for the three month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For	Ma	arch 31, 2013 ax expense	led		For	Ma	rch 31, 2012 ax expense	led	
(in thousands)	Pre-tax		(benefit)	]	Net-of-tax	Pre-tax		(benefit)	N	let-of-tax
Securities available-for-sale:										
Net unrealized (losses) gains										
arising during the period	\$ (19,810)	\$	(8,287)	\$	(11,523) \$	16,452	\$	6,882	\$	9,570
Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net										
income (1)	(887)		(371)		(516)	(394)		(165)		(229)
	(492)		(206)		(286)	(2,432)		(1,018)		(1,414)

Edgar Filing: REUVERS DANIEL L. - Form 4

Non-credit related impairment

loss (2)

Total securities						
available-for-sale	(21,189)	(8,864)	(12,325)	13,626	5,699	7,927
Net change on cash flow						
hedges	(35)		(35)	(42)		(42)
Pension liability adjustment (3)				1,085		1,085
Total other comprehensive						
(loss) income	\$ (21,224)	\$ (8,864)	\$ (12,360) \$	14,669	\$ 5,699	\$ 8,970

<sup>(1)</sup> Recognized in Gain on sale of securities in the consolidated statements of income.

<sup>(2)</sup> Recognized in Impairment loss on securities in the consolidated statements of income.

<sup>(3)</sup> Recognized in Salaries and employee benefits in the consolidated statements of income. See Note 14 for additional information.

#### Note 9. Shareholders Equity (Continued)

The following table summarizes the Company s share repurchases for the three months ended March 31, 2013. All repurchases relate to shares withheld or previously owned shares used to pay taxes due upon vesting of restricted stock. There were no issuer repurchases of the Corporation s common stock as part of its repurchase plan for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)
January 1, 2013 to January 31, 2013	400	\$ 52.78
February 1, 2013 to February 28, 2013	31,125	55.63
March 1, 2013 to March 31, 2013	22,139	56.30
Total share repurchases	53,664	55.89

#### Note 10. Earnings per Common Share

The Company applies the two-class method of computing basic and diluted EPS. Under the two-class method, EPS is determined for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. The Company grants restricted stock and restricted stock units under a share-based compensation plan that qualify as participating securities.

The computation of basic and diluted EPS is presented in the following table:

For the three months ended March 31,					
	2013		2012		
\$	51,523	\$	46,265		
	2,406				
\$	49,117	\$	46,265		
	637		738		
\$	48,480	\$	45,527		
	53,731		52,741		
\$	0.90	\$	0.86		
\$	48,484	\$	45,530		
	53,731		52,741		
	337		280		
	54,068		53,021		
	\$ \$	\$ 51,523 2,406 \$ 49,117 637 \$ 48,480 \$ 53,731 \$ 0.90 \$ 48,484	\$ 51,523 \$ 2,406 \$ 49,117 \$ 637 \$ 48,480 \$ 53,731 \$ 53,731 \$ 337		

Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.90	\$	0.86
-----------------------------------	----	------	----	------

(1) Earnings allocated to common shareholders for basic and diluted EPS may differ under the two-class method as a result of adding common stock equivalents for options to dilutive shares outstanding, which alters the ratio used to allocate earnings to common shareholders and participating securities for the purposes of calculating diluted EPS.

The average price of the Company s common stock for the period is used to determine the dilutive effect of outstanding stock options. Antidilutive stock options are not included in the calculation of basic or diluted EPS. There were 2.0 million and 3.0 million average outstanding stock options that were antidilutive for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### Note 11. Share-Based Compensation

On March 31, 2013, the Company had one share-based compensation plan, the Amended and Restated City National Corporation 2008 Omnibus Plan (the Plan), which was originally approved by the Company s shareholders on April 23, 2008. No new awards have been or will be granted under predecessor plans since the adoption of the Plan. The Plan permits the grant of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, cash-settled restricted stock units, performance shares, performance share units, performance units and stock appreciation rights, or any combination thereof, to the Company s eligible employees and non-employee directors. No grants of performance shares, performance share units or stock appreciation rights had been made as of March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, there were approximately 1.3 million shares available for future grants. Refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for further discussion of the Company s share-based compensation plan.

The compensation cost that has been recognized for all share-based awards was \$5.1 million and \$4.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The total income tax benefit recognized in the consolidated statements of income for share-based compensation arrangements was \$2.1 million and \$2.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company received \$11.8 million and \$2.0 million in cash for the exercise of stock options during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deductions from stock option exercises was \$2.4 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

To estimate the fair value of stock option awards, the Company uses the Black-Scholes methodology, which incorporates the assumptions summarized in the table below:

	For the three months ended March 31,				
	2013	2012			
Weighted-average volatility	28.12%	30.58%			
Dividend yield	2.15%	2.14%			
Expected term (in years)	6.15	6.11			
Risk-free interest rate	1.24%	1.44%			

Using the Black-Scholes methodology, the weighted-average grant-date fair values of options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$12.57 and \$11.64, respectively. The total intrinsic values of options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$6.0 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

A summary of option activity and related information for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is presented below:

Options	Number of Shares (in thousands)		Weighted Average Exercise Price (per share)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) (1)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Options	(in thousands)		(per snare)	(In thousands) (1)	1 erm
0 11 7 1 . 2012	1 115	Φ.	52.01	, , ,	
Outstanding at January 1, 2013	4.417	- 8	53.91		

Granted	593	55.73		
Exercised	(320)	36.98		
Forfeited or expired	(30)	57.73		
Outstanding at March 31, 2013	4,660 \$	55.28 \$	33,343	6.04
Exercisable at March 31, 2013	3,197 \$	56.27 \$	24,419	4.70

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes in-the-money options only.

#### Note 11. Share-Based Compensation (Continued)

A summary of changes in unvested options and related information for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is presented below:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested Options	(in thousands)	(per share)
Unvested at January 1, 2013	1,529 \$	13.36
Granted	593	12.57
Vested	(644)	12.12
Forfeited	(15)	13.12
Unvested at March 31, 2013	1,463 \$	13.60

The number of options vested during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was 643,601 and 599,119, respectively. The total fair value of options vested during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$7.8 million and \$7.3 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2013, there was \$17.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options granted under the Company s plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.8 years.

A summary of changes in restricted stock and related information for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is presented below:

		Weighted Average				
	Number of	Grant Date				
	Shares	Fair Value				
Restricted Stock (1)	(in thousands)	(per share)				
Unvested at January 1, 2013	747 \$	50.73				
Granted	68	55.73				
Vested	(181)	45.49				
Forfeited	(5)	49.31				
Unvested at March 31, 2013	629 \$	52.79				

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes restricted stock units.

Restricted stock is valued at the closing price of the Company s stock on the date of award. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of restricted stock granted during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$55.73 and \$46.66, respectively. The number of restricted shares vested during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was 181,469 and 169,568, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock vested during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$8.3 million and \$7.8 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2013, the unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock granted under the Company s plans was \$21.6 million. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.1 years.

#### Note 11. Share-Based Compensation (Continued)

Cash-settled restricted stock units are initially valued at the closing price of the Company s stock on the date of award and subsequently remeasured at each reporting date until settlement. A summary of changes in cash-settled restricted stock units for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is presented below:

Cash-Settled Restricted Stock Units	Number of Shares (in thousands)
Unvested at January 1, 2013	101
Granted	88
Forfeited	(1)
Unvested at March 31, 2013	188

#### **Note 12. Derivative Instruments**

The following table summarizes the fair value and balance sheet classification of derivative instruments as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The notional amount of the contract is not recorded on the consolidated balance sheets, but is used as the basis for determining the amount of interest payments to be exchanged between the counterparties. If a counterparty fails to perform, the Company s counterparty credit risk is equal to the amount reported as a derivative asset.

## **Notional Amounts and Fair Values of Derivative Instruments**

(in millions) (1)	Notional Amount	March 31 Deriva Asse	tive	erivative iabilities	Notional Amount	aber 31, 2012 erivative Assets	erivative iabilities
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments							
Interest-rate swaps - fair value:							
Long-term and subordinated							
debt	\$	\$		\$	\$ 205.5	\$ 2.3	\$ 0.1
Total derivatives designated as							
hedging instruments	\$	\$		\$	\$ 205.5	\$ 2.3	\$ 0.1
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments							
Interest-rate contracts:							
Swaps	\$ 2,315.0	\$	57.3	\$ 57.6	\$ 2,243.6	\$ 64.2	\$ 64.2
Interest-rate caps, floors and							
collars	235.4		0.2	0.2	240.1	0.2	0.2
Options purchased	2.0		0.3	0.3	2.0	0.2	0.2

Options written	2.0			2.0		
Total interest-rate contracts	\$ 2,554.4	\$ 57.8	\$ 58.1	\$ 2,487.7	\$ 64.6	\$ 64.6
Option contracts	\$	\$ 1.1	\$	\$	\$ 0.7	\$
Foreign exchange contracts:						
Spot and forward contracts	\$ 350.4	\$ 2.3	\$ 1.9	\$ 231.4	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.3
Total derivatives not						
designated as hedging						
instruments	\$ 2,904.8	\$ 61.2	\$ 60.0	\$ 2,719.1	\$ 66.8	\$ 65.9

<sup>(1)</sup> The Company offsets interest receivable, interest payable and cash collateral received on interest-rate swaps that are executed with the same counterparty under a master netting agreement, and reports the net balance in the other assets section of the consolidated balance sheets. For purposes of this disclosure, interest receivable and payable are presented on a gross basis and cash collateral is excluded from fair value amounts.

#### **Note 12. Derivative Instruments (Continued)**

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments

As of March 31, 2013, the Company had no hedging instruments. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had \$205.5 million notional amount of interest-rate swap contracts, all of which were designated as fair value hedges. There were no cash flow hedges at December 31, 2012. The net positive fair value of the fair value hedges of \$2.2 million is recorded in other assets. It includes a mark-to-market asset of \$1.1 million and net interest receivable of \$1.1 million. The balance of borrowings reported in the consolidated balance sheet includes a \$1.1 million mark-to-market adjustment associated with interest-rate hedge transactions.

The periodic net settlement of interest-rate swaps is recorded as an adjustment to interest income or interest expense. The impact of interest-rate swaps on interest income and interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 is provided below:

(in millions)	For the three months ended								
Derivative Instruments Designated as	Location in Consolidated			Marc	h 31,				
Hedging Instruments	Statements of Income		2013			2012			
Interest-rate swaps-fair value	Interest expense	\$		(1.0)	\$		(2.0)		
Interest-rate swaps-cash flow	Interest income			0.1			0.1		
Total income		\$		1.1	\$		2.1		

Interest-rate swaps increased net interest income by \$1.1 million and \$2.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Changes in fair value of the effective portion of cash flow hedges are reported in AOCI. When the cash flows associated with the hedged item are realized, the gain or loss included in AOCI is recognized in Interest income on loans and leases, the same location in the consolidated statements of income as the income on the hedged item. There were no cash flow hedges outstanding during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012. The \$0.1 million of gains on cash flow hedges reclassified from AOCI to interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 represents the amortization of deferred gains on cash flow hedges that were terminated in 2010 prior to their respective maturity dates for which the hedge transactions had yet to occur. At March 31, 2013, the balance of deferred gain on terminated swaps reported in AOCI was a nominal amount and will be amortized into interest income within the next 3 months.

#### **Note 12. Derivative Instruments (Continued)**

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

Derivative contracts not designated as hedges are composed primarily of interest rate contracts with clients that are offset by paired trades with unrelated bank counterparties and foreign exchange contracts. Derivative contracts not designated as hedges are carried at fair value each reporting period with changes in fair value recorded as a part of Noninterest income in the consolidated statements of income. The table below provides the amount of gains and losses on these derivative contracts for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in millions) Derivatives Not Designated	For the three Mar	months och 31,	ended	
as Hedging Instruments	Statements of Income	2013		2012
Interest-rate contracts	Other noninterest income	\$ (0.3)	\$	0.7
Option contracts	Other noninterest income	0.3		(0.6)
Foreign exchange contracts	International services income	5.9		5.8
Total income		\$ 5.9	\$	5.9

Credit Risk Exposure and Collateral

The Company s swap agreements require the deposit of cash or marketable debt securities as collateral based on certain risk thresholds. These requirements apply individually to the Corporation and to the Bank. Additionally, certain of the Company s swap contracts contain security agreements that include credit-risk-related contingent features. Under these agreements, the collateral requirements are based on the Company s credit rating from the major credit rating agencies. The amount of collateral required may vary by counterparty based on a range of credit ratings that correspond with exposure thresholds established in the derivative agreements. If the credit ratings on the Company s debt were to fall below the level associated with a particular exposure threshold and the derivatives with a counterparty are in a net liability position that exceeds that threshold, the counterparty could request immediate payment or delivery of collateral for the difference between the net liability amount and the exposure threshold. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position on March 31, 2013 was \$38.3 million. The Company delivered collateral valued at \$23.3 million on swap agreements that had credit-risk contingent features and were in a net liability position at March 31, 2013.

The Company s interest-rate swaps had \$0.2 million and \$1.6 million of credit risk exposure at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The credit exposure represents the cost to replace, on a present value basis and at current market rates, all contracts by trading counterparty having an aggregate positive market value, net of margin collateral received. The Company enters into master netting agreements with swap counterparties to mitigate credit risk. Under these agreements, the net amount due from or payable to each counterparty is settled on the contract payment date. No collateral had been received from swap counterparties at March 31, 2013. At December 31, 2012, the Company had received \$1.0 million of collateral in the form of securities. The Company delivered collateral valued at \$16.8 million on swap agreements that did not have credit-risk contingent features at March 31, 2013.

#### Note 13. Income Taxes

The Company recognized income tax expense of \$21.3 million and \$21.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties relating to uncertain tax positions as an income tax provision expense. The Company recognized a nominal amount of interest and penalties expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Interest and penalties expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$0.1 million. The Company had approximately \$3.4 million of accrued interest and penalties as of March 31, 2013 and at December 31, 2012.

42

<b>TO 1</b>	1		$\sim$	
Tah	le.	ot.	( 'or	itents

#### **Note 13. Income Taxes (Continued)**

The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return and also file income tax returns in various state jurisdictions. The Company is currently being audited by the Internal Revenue Service for the tax year 2012 and 2013. The Company is also under audit with the California Franchise Tax Board for the tax years 2005 to 2007. The potential financial statement impact, if any, resulting from completion of these audits is expected to be minimal.

From time to time, there may be differences in opinion with respect to the tax treatment of certain transactions. If a tax position which was previously recognized on the consolidated financial statements is no longer more likely than not to be sustained upon a challenge from the taxing authorities, the tax benefit from the tax position will be derecognized. The Company did not have any tax positions for which previously recognized benefits were derecognized during the three month period ended March 31, 2013.

#### Note 14. Employee Benefit Plans

Defined Contribution Plan

The Company has a profit-sharing retirement plan with an Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k) feature covering eligible employees. Employer contributions are made annually into a trust fund and are allocated to participants based on their salaries. The profit sharing contribution requirement is based on a percentage of annual operating income subject to a percentage of salary cap. Eligible employees may contribute up to 50 percent of their salary to the 401(k) plan, but not more than the maximum allowed under Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) regulations. The Company matches 50 percent of the first 6 percent of covered compensation. The Company recorded total profit sharing and matching contribution expense of \$4.8 million and \$4.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Deferred Compensation Plan

The Company offers a deferred compensation plan for eligible employees and non-employee directors. Participants under the employee plan may make an annual irrevocable election to defer a portion of base salary and up to 100 percent of commission and incentive compensation while employed with the Company. Participants under the non-employee director plan also may make an annual irrevocable election to defer all or part of annual retainers, annual awards, committee chair retainers and meeting fees (collectively, directors fees) until board service with the Company ceases. The deferred compensation plans are nonqualified plans under IRS regulations. Deferrals are made on a pretax basis and are allocated among the investment options available under the plans as directed by the plan participants. The Company funds plan benefits through the purchase of life insurance policies which are recorded in Other assets on the consolidated balance sheets. Participant deferrals are recorded in Other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. Employee salaries and non-employee directors fees deferred under the plan are charged to Salaries and employee benefits and Other operating expense, respectively, on the consolidated statements of income. Earnings on plan assets, net of benefits payable to plan participants, are reported in Salaries and employee benefits on the consolidated statements of income, and was \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Other Plans

Prior to March 14, 2012, the Company provided a supplemental retirement benefit (also referred to as a SERP benefit ) to one of its executive officers. On March 14, 2012, the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement to executive supplemental retirement supplemental retirement supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement was amended to terminate the executive supplemental retirement benefit agreement added to the executive supplement

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Note 14. Employee Benefit Plans (Continued)

The Company also administers a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP Plan) covering three former executives of Pacific Bank, which the Company acquired in 2000. As of March 31, 2013, there was an unfunded pension liability for the SERP Plan of \$2.3 million. Expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was nominal.

#### Note 15. Contingencies

In connection with the liquidation of an investment acquired in a previous bank merger, the Company has an outstanding long-term indemnity. The maximum liability under the indemnity is \$23.0 million, but the Company does not expect to make any payments of more than nominal amounts under the terms of this indemnity.

#### Note 16. Variable Interest Entities

The Company holds ownership interests in certain special-purpose entities formed to provide affordable housing. The Company evaluates its interest in these entities to determine whether they meet the definition of a VIE and whether the Company is required to consolidate these entities. The Company is not the primary beneficiary of the affordable housing VIEs in which it holds interests and is therefore not required to consolidate these entities. The investment in these entities is initially recorded at cost, which approximates the maximum exposure to loss as a result of the Company s involvement with these unconsolidated entities. Subsequently, the carrying value is amortized over the stream of available tax credits and benefits. The Company expects to recover its investments over time, primarily through realization of federal low-income housing tax credits. The balance of the investments in these entities was \$150.5 million and \$154.0 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and is included in Affordable housing investments in the consolidated balance sheets. Unfunded commitments for affordable housing investments were \$52.9 million at March 31, 2013. These unfunded commitments are recorded in Other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

Of the affordable housing investments held as of March 31, 2013, the Company had a significant variable interest in four affordable housing partnerships. These interests were acquired at various times from 1998 to 2001. The Company s maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with these entities is limited to the \$2.0 million aggregate carrying value of these investments at March 31, 2013. There were no unfunded commitments for these affordable housing investments at March 31, 2013.

The Company also has ownership interests in several private equity and alternative investment funds that are VIEs. The Company is not a primary beneficiary and, therefore, is not required to consolidate these VIEs. The investment in these entities is carried at cost and net of impairments, which approximates the maximum exposure to loss as a result of the Company s involvement with these entities. The Company expects to recover its investments over time, primarily through the allocation of fund income, gains or losses on the sale of fund assets, dividends or interest income. The balance in these entities was \$35.5 million and \$36.1 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and is included in Other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Income associated with these investments is reported in Other noninterest income in the consolidated statements of income.

#### **Note 17. Noncontrolling Interest**

In accordance with ASC Topic 810, *Consolidation*, and EITF Topic D-98, *Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities* ( Topic D-98 ), the Company reports noncontrolling interest in its majority-owned affiliates as Redeemable noncontrolling interest in the mezzanine section between liabilities and equity in the consolidated financial statements. Topic D-98 specifies that securities that are redeemable at the option of the holder or outside the control of the issuer are not considered permanent equity and should be classified in the mezzanine section.

The Corporation holds a majority ownership interest in five investment management and wealth advisory affiliates that it consolidates. In general, the management of each majority-owned affiliate has a significant noncontrolling ownership position in its firm and supervises the day-to-day operations of the affiliate. The Corporation is in regular contact with each affiliate regarding its operations and is an active participant in the management of the affiliates through its position on each firm s board.

#### Note 17. Noncontrolling Interest (Continued)

The Corporation s investment in each affiliate is governed by operating agreements and other arrangements which provide the Corporation certain rights, benefits and obligations. The Corporation determines the appropriate method of accounting based upon these agreements and the factors contained therein. All majority-owned affiliates that have met the criteria for consolidation are included in the consolidated financial statements. All material intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated. The Company applies the equity method of accounting for certain investments where it holds a noncontrolling interest. For equity method investments, the Company s portion of income before taxes is included in Trust and investment fees in the consolidated statements of income.

As of March 31, 2013, affiliate noncontrolling owners held equity interests with an estimated fair value of \$41.1 million. This estimate reflects the maximum obligation to purchase equity interests in the affiliates. The events which would require the Company to purchase the equity interests may occur in the near term or over a longer period of time. The terms of the put provisions vary by agreement, but the value of the put is at the approximate fair value of the interests. The parent company carries key man life insurance policies to fund a portion of these conditional purchase obligations in the event of the death of certain key holders.

The following is a summary of activity for redeemable noncontrolling interest for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the three months ended March 31,									
(in thousands)		2013	2012							
Balance, beginning of period	\$	41,112	\$	44,643						
Net income		585		243						
Distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interest		(319)		(289)						
Additions and redemptions, net		(324)		(1,272)						
Adjustments to fair value		59		111						
Balance, end of period	\$	41,113	\$	43,436						

#### **Note 18. Segment Results**

The Company has three reportable segments: Commercial and Private Banking, Wealth Management and Other. The factors considered in determining whether individual operating segments could be aggregated include that the operating segments: (i) offer the same products and services, (ii) offer services to the same types of clients, (iii) provide services in the same manner and (iv) operate in the same regulatory environment. The management accounting process measures the performance of the operating segments based on the Company s management structure and is not necessarily comparable with similar information for other financial services companies. If the management structures and/or the allocation process changes, allocations, transfers and assignments may change.

The Commercial and Private Banking reportable segment is the aggregation of the Commercial and Private Banking, Real Estate, Entertainment, Corporate Banking, Core Branch Banking and FAEF operating segments. The Commercial and Private Banking segment provides banking products and services, including commercial and mortgage lending, lines of credit, equipment lease financing, deposits, cash management services, international trade finance and letters of credit to small and medium-sized businesses, entrepreneurs and affluent individuals. This segment primarily serves clients in California, New York, Nevada, Tennessee and Georgia. FAEF serves clients nationwide.

The Wealth Management segment includes the Corporation s investment advisory affiliates and the Bank s Wealth Management Services. The asset management affiliates and the Wealth Management division of the Bank make the following investment advisory and wealth management resources and expertise available to individual and institutional clients: investment management, wealth advisory services, brokerage, estate and financial planning and personal, business, custodial and employee trust services. The Wealth Management segment also advises and makes available mutual funds under the name of CNI Charter Funds. Both the asset management affiliates and the Bank s Wealth Management division provide proprietary and nonproprietary products to offer a full spectrum of investment solutions in all asset classes and investment styles, including fixed-income instruments, mutual funds, domestic and international equities and alternative investments such as hedge funds. This segment serves clients nationwide.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Note 18. Segment Results (Continued)**

The Other segment includes all other subsidiaries of the Company, the corporate departments, including the Treasury Department and the Asset Liability Funding Center, that have not been allocated to the other segments, and inter-segment eliminations for revenue recognized in multiple segments for management reporting purposes. The Company uses traditional matched-maturity funds transfer pricing methodology. However, both positive and negative variances occur over time when transfer pricing non-maturing balance sheet items such as demand deposits. These variances, offset in the Funding Center, are evaluated at least annually by management and allocated back to the business segments as deemed necessary.

Business segment earnings are the primary measure of the segment s performance as evaluated by management. Business segment earnings include direct revenue and expenses of the segment as well as corporate and inter-company cost allocations. Allocations of corporate expenses, such as data processing and human resources, are calculated based on estimated activity levels for the fiscal year. Costs associated with intercompany support and services groups, such as Operational Services, are allocated to each business segment based on actual services used. Capital is allocated based on the estimated risk within each business segment. The methodology of allocating capital is based on each business segment s credit, market, and operational risk profile. If applicable, any provision for credit losses is allocated based on various credit factors, including but not limited to, credit risk ratings, credit rating fluctuation, charge-offs and recoveries and loan growth.

Income taxes are charged to the business segments at the statutory rate. The Other segment includes an adjustment to reconcile to the Company s overall effective tax rate.

Exposure to market risk is managed in the Company s Treasury department. Interest rate risk is mostly removed from the Commercial and Private Banking segment and transferred to the Funding Center through a fund transfer pricing (FTP) methodology and allocating model. The FTP model records a cost of funds or credit for funds using a combination of matched maturity funding for fixed term assets and liabilities and a blended rate for the remaining assets and liabilities with varying maturities.

The Bank s investment portfolio and unallocated equity are included in the Other segment. Amortization expense associated with customer-relationship intangibles is charged to the affected operating segments.

Selected financial information for each segment is presented in the following tables. Commercial and Private Banking includes all revenue and costs from products and services utilized by clients of Commercial and Private Banking, including both revenue and costs for Wealth Management products and services. The revenues and costs associated with Wealth Management products and services that are allocated to Commercial and Private Banking for management reporting purposes are eliminated in the Other segment. The current period reflects any changes made in the process or methodology for allocations to the reportable segments. Prior period segment results have been revised to conform to current period presentation.

**Note 18. Segment Results (Continued)** 

	Com	nercial and	For the three months ended March 31, 2013 Wealth Consolidated							
(in thousands)		te Banking	M	Ianagement		Other		Company		
Earnings Summary:										
Net interest income	\$	183,562	\$	314	\$	17,197	\$	201,073		
Provision for credit losses on loans and leases,										
excluding covered loans										
Provision for losses on covered loans		9,892						9,892		
Noninterest income		50,263		55,452		(12,187)		93,528		
Depreciation and amortization		3,618		1,900		4,586		10,104		
Noninterest expense		170,860		47,117		(16,741)		201,236		
Income before income taxes		49,455		6,749		17,165		73,369		
Provision (benefit) for income taxes		20,771		2,589		(2,099)		21,261		
Net income		28,684		4,160		19,264		52,108		
Less: Net income attributable to										
noncontrolling interest				585				585		
Net income attributable to City National										
Corporation	\$	28,684	\$	3,575	\$	19,264	\$	51,523		
Selected Average Balances:										
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans	\$	14,754,689	\$		\$	54,652	\$	14,809,341		
Covered loans		989,452						989,452		
Total assets		15,985,918		644,338		11,078,903		27,709,159		
Deposits		21,840,183		106,345		464,425		22,410,953		
Goodwill		393,177		249,445				642,622		
Customer-relationship intangibles, net		5,916		41,394				47,310		

	For the three months ended March 31, 2012  Commercial and Wealth Consolidated								
(in thousands)		Private Banking		Wealth Ianagement		Other		Consolidated Company	
Earnings Summary:									
Net interest income	\$	171,815	\$	615	\$	28,283	\$	200,713	
Provision for credit losses on loans and									
leases, excluding covered loans									
Provision for losses on covered loans		7,466						7,466	
Noninterest income		47,245		39,371		(10,916)		75,700	
Depreciation and amortization		3,582		1,446		4,286		9,314	
Noninterest expense		171,524		36,053		(16,171)		191,406	
Income before income taxes		36,488		2,487		29,252		68,227	
Provision for income taxes		15,325		943		5,451		21,719	
Net income		21,163		1,544		23,801		46,508	
Less: Net income attributable to									
noncontrolling interest				243				243	
Net income attributable to City National									
Corporation	\$	21,163	\$	1,301	\$	23,801	\$	46,265	
Selected Average Balances:									
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans	\$	12,379,023	\$		\$	53,269	\$	12,432,292	
Covered loans		1,438,714						1,438,714	

Total assets	14,137,481	537,732	8,969,686	23,644,899
Deposits	19,721,998	99,035	396,362	20,217,395
Goodwill	324,761	161,921		486,682
Customer-relationship intangibles, net	9,007	26,493		35,500

#### Note 19. Balance Sheet Offsetting

Assets and liabilities relating to certain financial instruments, including derivatives, securities purchased under resale agreements (reverse repurchase agreements) and securities sold under repurchase agreements (repurchase agreements), may be eligible for offset in the consolidated balance sheet as permitted under accounting guidance. The Company is party to transactions involving derivative instruments that are subject to master netting arrangements or similar agreements. Under these agreements, the Company may have the right to net settle multiple contracts with the same counterparty. Certain derivative transactions may require the Company to receive or pledge marketable debt securities as collateral based on certain risk thresholds. The Company also enters into reverse repurchase agreements under which it has the right to claim securities collateral if the counterparty fails to perform. Securities that have been pledged by counterparties as collateral are not recorded in the Company s consolidated balance sheet unless the counterparty defaults. Securities that have been pledged by the Company to counterparties continue to be reported in the Company s consolidated balance sheet unless the Company defaults.

The Company also offers various derivative products to clients and enters into derivative transactions in due course. These derivative contracts are offset by paired trades with unrelated bank counterparties. Certain derivative transactions with clients are not subject to master netting arrangements and have been excluded from the balance sheet offsetting table below.

The following table provides information about financial instruments that are eligible for offset at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(in thousands)	_	Gross Amount ecognized		Gross Amount Offset		Net Amount Presented in the Balance Sheet		Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Balance Sheet Securities Collateral		Net Amount
March 31, 2013	N	ecoginzeu		Oliset		Dalance Sheet		Conateral	I	Amount
Financial assets:										
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	\$	2,867	\$	(2,153)	\$	714	\$		\$	714
Reverse repurchase agreements	Ψ	200,000	Ψ	(2,133)	Ψ	200,000	Ψ	(200,000)	Ψ	/11
Total financial assets	\$	202,867	\$	(2,153)	\$	200,714	\$	(200,000)	\$	714
Total Illianolal assets	Ψ	202,007	Ψ	(2,133)	Ψ	200,711	Ψ	(200,000)	Ψ	/11
Financial liabilities:										
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	\$	59,505	\$	(2,153)	\$	57,352	\$	(40,102)	\$	17,250
Total financial liabilities	\$	59,505	\$	(2,153)		57,352	\$	(40,102)		17,250
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		( , ,		,		( , , , ,		.,
December 31, 2012										
Financial assets:										
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	\$	2,249	\$	(83)	\$	2,166	\$	(1,034)	\$	1,132
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		1,920		(1,454)		466				466
Total financial assets	\$	4,169	\$	(1,537)	\$	2,632	\$	(1,034)	\$	1,598
Financial liabilities:										
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	\$	83	\$	(83)	\$		\$		\$	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		65,461		(1,453)		64,008		(48,697)		15,311
Total financial liabilities	\$	65,544	\$	(1,536)	\$	64,008	\$	(48,697)	\$	15,311

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS

#### OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

We have made forward-looking statements in this document about the Company, for which the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor provisions contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

A number of factors, many of which are beyond the Company s ability to control or predict, could cause future results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements. These factors include: (1) changes in general economic, political, or industry conditions and the related credit and market conditions and the impact they have on the Company and its customers, including changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; (2) the impact on financial markets and the economy of the level of U.S. and European debt; (3) the effects of and changes in trade and monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System; (4) continued delay in the pace of economic recovery and continued stagnant or decreasing employment levels; (5) the effect of the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 and the rules and regulations to be promulgated by supervisory and oversight agencies implementing the new legislation, taking into account that the precise timing, extent and nature of such rules and regulations and the impact on the Company is uncertain; (6) the impact of revised capital requirements under Basel III; (7) significant changes in applicable laws and regulations, including those concerning taxes, banking and securities; (8) volatility in the municipal bond market; (9) changes in the level of nonperforming assets, charge-offs, other real estate owned and provision expense; (10) incorrect assumptions in the value of the loans acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions resulting in greater than anticipated losses in the acquired loan portfolios exceeding the losses covered by the loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC; (11) changes in inflation, interest rates, and market liquidity which may impact interest margins and impact funding sources; (12) the Company s ability to attract new employees and retain and motivate existing employees; (13) increased competition in the Company s markets and our ability to increase market share and control expenses; (14) changes in the financial performance and/or condition of the Company s borrowers, including adverse impact on loan utilization rates, delinquencies, defaults and customers ability to meet certain credit obligations, changes in customers suppliers, and other counterparties performance and creditworthiness; (15) a substantial and permanent loss of either client accounts and/or assets under management at the Company s investment advisory affiliates or its wealth management division; (16) soundness of other financial institutions which could adversely affect the Company; (17) protracted labor disputes in the Company s markets; (18) the impact of natural disasters, terrorist activities or international hostilities on the operations of our business or the value of collateral; (19) the effect of acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses and de novo branching efforts; (20) changes in accounting policies or procedures as may be required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board or regulatory agencies; (21) the impact of cyber security attacks or other disruptions to the Company s information systems and any resulting compromise of data or disruptions in service; and (22) the success of the Company at managing the risks involved in the foregoing.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company does not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date the statements are made, or to update earnings guidance, including the factors that influence earnings.

For a more complete discussion of these risks and uncertainties, see the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and particularly, Item 1A, titled Risk Factors.

# CITY NATIONAL CORPORATION

# FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

				the three months en	ıded		Percent change March 31, 2013 from		
(in thousands, except per share amounts)		March 31, 2013 (Unaudited)	J	December 31, 2012 (Unaudited)		March 31, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2012	
For The Quarter		(Chauditeu)		(Chaudited)		(Chaudited)			
Total revenue	\$	294,601	\$	303,600	\$	276,413	(3)%	7%	
Net income available to common	Ψ	25 1,001	Ψ	202,000	Ψ	270,110	(5),0	, , ,	
shareholders		49,117		47,246		46,265	4	6	
Net income per common share, basic		0.90		0.87		0.86	3	5	
Net income per common share, diluted		0.90		0.87		0.86	3	5	
Dividends per common share				0.75		0.25	(100)	(100)	
At Quarter End									
Assets	\$	27,433,754	\$	28,618,492	\$	24,038,489	(4)	14	
Securities		9,192,467		10,719,451		7,917,912	(14)	16	
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans		15,216,906		14,818,295		12,747,902	3	19	
Covered loans (1)		951,917		1,031,004		1,397,156	(8)	(32)	
Deposits		22,937,586		23,502,355		20,787,737	(2)	10	
Common shareholders equity		2,386,969		2,335,398		2,199,565	2	9	
Total shareholders equity		2,556,889		2,505,318		2,199,565	2	16	
Book value per common share		44.50		43.89		41.77	1	7	
Average Balances									
Assets	\$	27,709,159	\$	27,255,859	\$	23,644,899	2	17	
Securities		9,796,252		9,652,707		7,929,312	1	24	
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans		14,809,341		13,984,210		12,432,292	6	19	
Covered loans (1)		989,452		1,089,903		1,438,714	(9)	(31)	
Deposits		22,410,953		23,386,271		20,217,395	(4)	11	
Common shareholders equity		2,363,524		2,341,762		2,168,748	1	9	
Total shareholders equity		2,533,444		2,432,264		2,168,748	4	17	
Selected Ratios									
Return on average assets (annualized)		0.75%		0.69%		0.79%	9	(5)	
Return on average common equity									
(annualized)		8.43		8.03		8.58	5	(2)	
Corporation s tier 1 leverage		6.72		6.60		6.98	2	(4)	
Corporation s tier 1 risk-based capital		9.64		9.41		10.20	2	(5)	
Corporation s total risk-based capital		12.71		12.52		12.71	2	0	
Period-end common equity to period-end									
assets		8.70		8.16		9.15	7	(5)	
Period-end equity to period-end assets		9.32		8.75		9.15	7	2	
Dividend payout ratio, per common share				86.16		28.91	(100)	(100)	
Net interest margin		3.21		3.27		3.74	(2)	(14)	
Expense to revenue ratio (2)		68.95		68.90		67.27	0	2	
Asset Quality Ratios (3)									
Nonaccrual loans to total loans and leases		0.55%		0.67%		0.88%	(18)	(38)	
Nonaccrual loans and OREO to total loans									
and leases and OREO		0.68		0.81		1.11	(16)	(39)	
		1.86		1.88		2.09	(1)	(11)	

Allowance for loan and lease losses to total

loans and leases

Allowance for loan and lease losses to					
nonaccrual loans	339.03	278.48	235.87	22	44
Net recoveries to average total loans and					
leases (annualized)	0.13	0.06	0.15	117	(13)
At Quarter End					
Assets under management (4)	\$ 39,693,542	\$ 38,239,781	\$ 32,535,021	4	22
Assets under management or administration					
(4) (5)	59,040,758	56,680,318	50,041,994	4	18

<sup>(1)</sup> Covered loans represent acquired loans that are covered under loss-sharing agreements with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

<sup>(2)</sup> The expense to revenue ratio is defined as noninterest expense excluding other real estate owned (OREO) expense divided by total net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis and noninterest income.

Excludes covered assets, which consist of acquired loans and OREO that are covered under loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC.

<sup>(4)</sup> Excludes \$24.80 billion, \$21.69 billion and \$18.48 billion of assets under management for asset managers in which the Company held a noncontrolling ownership interest as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

<sup>(5)</sup> Assets under administration were revised to exclude the Company s investments that were held in custody and serviced by the Company s wealth management business. Prior period balances were reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Company s accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management s discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. The Company has identified 11 policies as being critical because they require management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, contingent assets and liabilities, and revenues and expenses included in the consolidated financial statements. The judgments and assumptions used by management are based on historical experience and other factors, which are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Circumstances and events that differ significantly from those underlying the Company s estimates, assumptions and judgments could cause the actual amounts reported to differ significantly from these estimates.

The Company s critical accounting policies include those that address accounting for business combinations, financial assets and liabilities reported at fair value, securities, acquired impaired loans, allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments, OREO, goodwill and other intangible assets, noncontrolling interest, share-based compensation plans, income taxes, and derivatives and hedging activities. The Company has not made any significant changes in its critical accounting policies or its estimates and assumptions from those disclosed in its 2012 Annual Report. Management has applied its critical accounting policies and estimation methods consistently in all periods presented in these financial statements.

#### HIGHLIGHTS

- For the quarter ended March 31, 2013, consolidated net income available to common shareholders was \$49.1 million, or \$0.90 per diluted share. Net income available to common shareholders was \$46.3 million, or \$0.86 per diluted share, for the year-earlier quarter and \$47.2 million, or \$0.87 per diluted share for the quarter ended December 31, 2012.
- Revenue, which consists of net interest income and noninterest income, was \$294.6 million for the first quarter of 2013, up 7 percent from \$276.4 million for year-earlier quarter, but down 3 percent from \$303.6 million in the fourth quarter of 2012.
- Fully taxable-equivalent net interest income, including dividend income, amounted to \$206.3 million for the first quarter of 2013, virtually unchanged from the year-earlier quarter, but down 1 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012.
- The Company s net interest margin in the first quarter of 2013 was 3.21 percent, down from 3.74 percent in the first quarter of 2012 and 3.27 percent in the fourth quarter of 2012. The decrease from the fourth quarter was primarily a result of lower loan yields and deposit growth that was mostly used to fund additional investments. The decline from the fourth quarter was due to modestly lower yields on loans driven in part by lower net interest income on covered loans.
- Noninterest income was \$93.5 million for the first quarter of 2013, up 24 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and down 6 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. The increase in noninterest income from the prior-year quarter is primarily due to the Company s acquisitions. The

decrease from the fourth quarter of 2012 was primarily attributable to lower distribution income from investments, lower income from client swap transactions and international services fees, and higher FDIC loss sharing expense.

- Assets under management or administration grew to \$59.04 billion, up 18 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and 4 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. Trust and investment fees were \$46.7 million, up 39 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and 6 percent higher than the fourth quarter of 2012.
- Noninterest expense for the first quarter of 2013 was \$211.3 million, up 5 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and down 5 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. The growth in noninterest expense from the year-earlier quarter was a result of additional operating expenses from acquisitions. The decline from the fourth quarter of 2012 reflects lower legal and professional fees and lower OREO expense, partially offset by an increase in compensation expense from seasonally higher payroll taxes.

#### Table of Contents

- The Company s effective tax rate was 29.0 percent for the first quarter of 2013, compared with 31.8 percent for the year-earlier quarter and 30.5 percent for the fourth quarter of 2012. The lower tax rate was due to the recognition of higher tax credits and tax refunds resulting from the closure of ordinary tax audits.
- Total assets were \$27.43 billion at March 31, 2013, up 14 percent from \$24.04 billion at March 31, 2012, and down 4 percent from \$28.62 billion at December 31, 2012. Total average assets were \$27.71 billion for the first quarter of 2013, an increase of 17 percent from \$23.64 billion for the first quarter of 2012 and 2 percent from \$27.26 billion for the fourth quarter of 2012.
- Loans and leases, excluding covered loans, grew to \$15.22 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of 19 percent from \$12.75 billion at March 31, 2012 and 3 percent from \$14.82 billion at December 31, 2012. Average loans for the first quarter of 2013, excluding covered loans, were \$14.81 billion, up 19 percent from the year-earlier quarter and 6 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. Average commercial loan balances were up 29 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and 8 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. The year-over-year increase in commercial loans was due to a combination of organic growth and the FAEF acquisition. Average commercial real estate balances increased 32 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and 11 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012.
- No provision for credit losses was recognized on loans and leases, excluding covered loans, in the first quarters of 2013 and 2012. The Company recorded a \$7.0 million provision for loan and lease losses on loans, excluding covered loans, in the fourth quarter of 2012. The allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans was \$282.3 million at March 31, 2013 compared with \$266.1 million at March 31, 2012 and \$277.9 million at December 31, 2012. The Company remains appropriately reserved at 1.86 percent of total loans and leases, excluding covered loans, at March 31, 2013, compared with 2.09 percent at March 31, 2012 and 1.88 percent at December 31, 2012.
- Net recoveries in the first quarter of 2013 totaled \$4.8 million, or 0.13 percent of average total loans and leases, excluding covered loans, on an annualized basis. The Company realized net recoveries of \$4.5 million, or 0.15 percent, in the first quarter of 2012, and net recoveries of \$2.0 million, or 0.06 percent, in the fourth quarter of 2012. Nonaccrual loans, excluding covered loans, totaled \$83.3 million at March 31, 2013, down from \$112.8 million at March 31, 2012 and \$99.8 million at December 31, 2012. At March 31, 2013, nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets, totaled \$103.1 million, down from \$141.9 million at March 31, 2012 and \$120.8 million at December 31, 2012.
- Average securities for the first quarter of 2013 totaled \$9.80 billion, up 24 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and up 1 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012.
- Period-end deposits at March 31, 2013 were \$22.94 billion, up 10 percent from \$20.79 billion at March 31, 2012, but down 2 percent from \$23.50 billion at December 31, 2012. Average deposit balances for the first quarter of 2013 were \$22.41 billion, up 11 percent from \$20.22 billion for the year-earlier quarter and down 4 percent from \$23.39 billion for the fourth quarter of 2012. Average core deposits, which equal 97 percent of total deposit balances, were up 12 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and down 4 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. The decline in deposit balances from the fourth quarter of 2012 was a reflection of traditional seasonal business patterns.
- The Company s ratio of Tier 1 common shareholders equity to risk-based assets was 8.7 percent at March 31, 2013, compared with 10.2 percent at March 31, 2012 and 8.5 percent at December 31, 2012. The change from the year-earlier quarter was driven by both asset growth and

acquisitions. Refer to the Capital section of Management s Discussion and Analysis for further discussion of this non-GAAP measure.

### **OUTLOOK**

The Company s management continues to expect net income growth in 2013 to be modest. Nonetheless, loan and deposit balances are expected to increase, and credit quality should remain strong, though rising loan balances may require a slightly higher loan loss provision. Low interest rates and a very flat yield curve will continue to put pressure on the Company s net interest margin. This outlook reflects management s expectations for moderate economic growth throughout 2013.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### **Net Interest Income**

Net interest income is the difference between interest income (which includes yield-related loan fees) and interest expense. Net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis expressed as a percentage of average total earning assets is referred to as the net interest margin, which represents the average net effective yield on earning assets. The following table presents the components of net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

# **Net Interest Income Summary**

				months ended 31, 2013 Interest	Average							
(in thousands) (1)		Average balance		income/ pense (2)(4)	interest rate		Average balance		income/ pense (2)(4)	interest rate		
Assets		Dalance	ex	pense (2)(4)	rate		Datance	ex	pense (2)(4)	rate		
Interest-earning assets												
Loans and leases												
Commercial	\$	6,875,698	\$	62,959	3.71%	\$	5,318,652	\$	52,148	3.94%		
Commercial real estate mortgages	Ψ	2,865,471	Ψ	28,244	4.00	Ψ	2,165,931	Ψ	26,234	4.87		
Residential mortgages		3,981,335		38,087	3.83		3,777,660		41,148	4.36		
Real estate construction		235,047		2,687	4.64		313,681		4,159	5.33		
Home equity loans and lines of		200,017		2,007			010,001		.,100	0.00		
credit		711,563		6.463	3.68		726,964		6,463	3.58		
Installment		140,227		1,460	4.22		129,404		1,503	4.67		
Total loans and leases, excluding				,					,			
covered loans (3)		14,809,341		139,900	3.83		12,432,292		131,655	4.26		
Covered loans		989,452		32,116	12.98		1,438,714		38,224	10.63		
Total loans and leases		15,798,793		172,016	4.42		13,871,006		169,879	4.93		
Due from banks - interest-bearing		192,711		112	0.24		167,145		93	0.22		
Federal funds sold and securities												
purchased under resale agreements		154,062		1,136	2.99		14,544		10	0.28		
Securities		9,796,252		46,830	1.91		7,929,312		47,585	2.40		
Other interest-earning assets		104,771		961	3.72		120,688		690	2.30		
Total interest-earning assets		26,046,589		221,055	3.44		22,102,695		218,257	3.97		
Allowance for loan and lease												
losses		(327,938)					(334,846)					
Cash and due from banks		128,705					141,435					
Other non-earning assets		1,861,803					1,735,615					
Total assets	\$	27,709,159				\$	23,644,899					
Liabilities and Equity												
Interest-bearing deposits												
Interest checking accounts	\$	2,217,404	\$	417	0.08	\$	1,952,181	\$	525	0.11		
Money market accounts		5,691,961		1,597	0.11		6,017,601		2,202	0.15		
Savings deposits		418,850		115	0.11		358,094		127	0.14		
Time deposits - under \$100,000		208,777		181	0.35		242,232		296	0.49		

Time deposits - \$100,000 and over	595,738	629	0.43	696,653	883	0.51
Total interest-bearing deposits	9,132,730	2,939	0.13	9,266,761	4,033	0.18
Federal funds purchased and						
securities sold under repurchase						
agreements	840,117	278	0.13	166,359	31	0.08
Other borrowings	1,452,014	11,510	3.21	696,617	8,815	5.09
Total interest-bearing liabilities	11,424,861	14,727	0.52	10,129,737	12,879	0.51
Noninterest-bearing deposits	13,278,223			10,950,634		
Other liabilities	472,631			395,780		
Total equity	2,533,444			2,168,748		
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 27,709,159			\$ 23,644,899		
Net interest spread			2.92%			3.46%
Fully taxable-equivalent net						
interest and dividend income		\$ 206,328			\$ 205,378	
Net interest margin			3.21%			3.74%
Less: Dividend income included in						
other income		961			690	
Fully taxable-equivalent net						
interest income		\$ 205,367			\$ 204,688	

<sup>(1)</sup> Certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

<sup>(2)</sup> Net interest income is presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes average nonaccrual loans of \$93,009 and \$114,688 for 2013 and 2012, respectively.

<sup>(4)</sup> Loan income includes loan fees of \$6,185 and \$5,039 for 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### **Table of Contents**

Net interest income is impacted by the volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), interest rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume), and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The following table provides a breakdown of the changes in net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis and dividend income due to volume and rate between the first quarter of 2013 and 2012. The impact of interest rate swaps, which affect interest income on loans and leases and interest expense on deposits and borrowings, is included in rate changes.

#### **Changes In Net Interest Income**

	For the th		months ended M 013 vs 2012	arch	31,	For the th	months ended M 012 vs 2011	March 31,		
	Increase (		ease)		Net increase	Increase (due		ease)	Net increase	
(in thousands)	Volume	. 10	Rate		(decrease)	Volume	ιυ	Rate		decrease)
Interest earned on:					ĺ					
Total loans and leases (1)	\$ 21,219	\$	(19,082)	\$	2,137	\$ 10,850	\$	(5,731)	\$	5,119
Securities	9,837		(10,592)		(755)	13,859		(5,428)		8,431
Due from banks -										
interest-bearing	14		5		19	(179)		(25)		(204)
Federal funds sold and										
securities purchased under										
resale agreements	566		560		1,126	(153)		9		(144)
Other interest-earning assets	(101)		372		271	(96)		86		(10)
Total interest-earning assets	31,535		(28,737)		2,798	24,281		(11,089)		13,192
Interest paid on:										
Interest checking deposits	63		(171)		(108)	79		(367)		(288)
Money market deposits	(116)		(489)		(605)	(451)		(4,500)		(4,951)
Savings deposits	18		(30)		(12)	41		(171)		(130)
Time deposits	(155)		(214)		(369)	(319)		(469)		(788)
Total borrowings	9,038		(6,096)		2,942	48		(532)		(484)
Total interest-bearing										
liabilities	8,848		(7,000)		1,848	(602)		(6,039)		(6,641)
	\$ 22,687	\$	(21,737)	\$	950	\$ 24,883	\$	(5,050)	\$	19,833

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes covered loans.

Net interest income was \$201.1 million for the first quarter of 2013, down from \$203.7 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and up slightly from \$200.7 million for the first quarter of 2012. The decrease from the fourth quarter of 2012 was primarily the result of lower interest income on total loans, partially offset by higher income from securities purchased under resale agreements. The increase from the year-earlier quarter was due to higher interest income from loans and securities purchased under resale agreements, partially offset by a growth in interest expense on borrowed funds.

Interest income on total loans was \$170.3 million for the first quarter of 2013, down 2 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012 and up 1 percent from the year-earlier quarter. The decrease from the fourth quarter of 2012 was due largely to lower income from the net accelerated accretable yield recognition on covered loans that were paid off or fully charged off in the first quarter of 2013, and to expected covered loan portfolio run-off. The increase in loan interest income from the year-earlier quarter was largely the result of non-covered loan growth. Income from

accelerated accretable yield recognition during the first quarter of 2013 was \$15.6 million, down from \$17.5 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$15.7 million in the year-earlier quarter.

Interest income on securities was \$44.3 million for the first quarter of 2013, a 2 percent increase from \$43.5 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and a 2 percent decrease from \$45.4 million for the first quarter of 2012. The increase in securities income from the fourth quarter was due to an increase in average securities balances. Compared with the year-earlier quarter, the favorable impact of higher average securities balances was more than offset by lower yields on securities reflecting lower market interest rates.

Total interest expense was \$14.7 million for the first quarter of 2013, up 1 percent from \$14.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and 14 percent from \$12.9 million for the first quarter of 2012. Interest expense on deposits was \$2.9 million for the first quarter of 2013, down 6 percent from \$3.1 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 due to a modest decline in average interest-bearing deposit balances attributed to seasonal factors. Interest expense on deposits declined 27 percent from \$4.0 million for the year-earlier quarter as a result of lower interest rates. Interest expense on borrowings was \$11.8 million for the first quarter of 2013, up 3 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012 and 33 percent from the same period in 2012. The

#### **Table of Contents**

growth in interest expense on borrowings compared with the year-earlier quarter was primarily attributable to the issuance of \$150.0 million in subordinated notes during the second quarter of 2012, debt assumed in the acquisition of FAEF and interest expense on short-term Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings.

The net settlement of interest-rate swaps increased net interest income by \$1.1 million for the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$2.2 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$2.1 million for the year-earlier quarter.

The fully taxable net interest margin was 3.21 percent for the first quarter of 2013, down from 3.27 percent for the fourth quarter of 2012 and 3.74 percent for the first quarter of 2012. The average yield on earning assets for the first quarter of 2013 was 3.44 percent, down 5 basis points from 3.49 percent for the fourth quarter of 2012 and down 53 basis points from 3.97 percent for the year-earlier quarter. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 0.52 percent, down 5 basis points from 0.57 percent for the fourth quarter of 2012 and up 1 basis point from 0.51 percent for the same period in 2012.

Fully taxable-equivalent net interest income, which includes amounts to convert nontaxable income to fully taxable-equivalent amounts, was \$205.4 million for the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$208.0 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$204.7 million for the first quarter of 2012. Fully taxable-equivalent net interest income and dividend income was \$206.3 million for the first quarter of 2013 compared with \$209.1 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$205.4 million for the same period in 2012. The \$1.0 million increase in fully taxable-equivalent net interest and dividend income from the year-ago quarter was primarily generated through loans and securities growth (volume variance), partially offset by lower yields on loans and securities (rate variance).

Average loans and leases, excluding covered loans, totaled \$14.81 billion for the first quarter of 2013, an increase of 6 percent from \$13.98 billion for the fourth quarter of 2012 and 19 percent from \$12.43 billion for the first quarter of 2012. The increases were primarily driven by a growth in commercial loans, which grew 8 percent and 29 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012 and year-earlier quarter, respectively, and to commercial real estate loans, which grew 11 percent and 32 percent for the same periods. The increase in loans from the year-earlier quarter was due to both organic loan growth and lease financing loans that were acquired in the FAEF acquisition during the second quarter of 2012. Average covered loans were \$989.5 million for the first quarter of 2013, a decrease of 9 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012 and 31 percent from the year-ago quarter.

Average total securities were \$9.80 billion for the first quarter of 2013, up 1 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. Average securities grew 24 percent from the year-earlier quarter as a result of the Company s strong deposit growth.

Average deposits were \$22.41 billion for the first quarter of 2013, a 4 percent decrease from \$23.39 billion for the fourth quarter of 2012 and an 11 percent increase from \$20.22 billion for the first quarter of 2012. Average core deposits, which do not include certificates of deposits of \$100,000 or more, were \$21.82 billion for the first quarter of 2013, \$22.78 billion for the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$19.52 billion for the year-earlier quarter. Average core deposits represented 97 percent of total average deposits for each of these periods. Average interest-bearing deposits were \$9.13 billion for the first quarter of 2013, down 1 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012 and first quarter of 2012. Average noninterest-bearing deposits were \$13.28 billion, down 6 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012, and up 21 percent from the year-earlier quarter. The decrease in deposits from the fourth quarter of 2012 reflects a seasonal decline.

#### **Provision for Credit Losses**

The Company accounts for the credit risk associated with lending activities through its allowance for loan and lease losses, reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments and provision for credit losses. The provision for credit losses on loans and leases, excluding covered loans, is the expense recognized in the consolidated statements of income to adjust the allowance and the reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments to the levels deemed appropriate by management, as determined through application of the Company s allowance methodology procedures. See Critical Accounting Policies Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

55

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company recorded no provision for credit losses on loans and leases, excluding covered loans, for the first quarter of 2013 and the year-earlier quarter. The Company recorded provision expense of \$7.0 million on loans and leases, excluding covered loans, in the fourth quarter of 2012. The provision reflects management s continuing assessment of the credit quality of the Company s loan portfolio, which is affected by a broad range of economic factors. Additional factors affecting the provision include net loan charge-offs, nonaccrual loans, specific reserves, risk rating migration and changes in the portfolio size and composition. See Balance Sheet Analysis Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments for further information on factors considered by the Company in assessing the credit quality of the loan portfolio and establishing the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Covered loans represent loans acquired from the FDIC that are subject to loss-sharing agreements, and are primarily accounted for as acquired impaired loans under ASC Topic 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality* (ASC 310-30). The provision for losses on covered loans is the expense recognized in the consolidated statements of income related to impairment losses resulting from the Company's quarterly review and update of cash flow projections on its covered loan portfolio. The Company recorded provision for losses on covered loans of \$9.9 million during the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$6.5 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$7.5 million in the first quarter of 2012. The provision for losses on covered loans is the result of changes in expected cash flows, both amount and timing, due to loan payments and the Company's revised loss forecasts. The revisions of the loss forecasts were based on the results of management so review of the credit quality of the outstanding covered loans and the analysis of the loan performance data since the acquisition of covered loans. The Company will continue updating cash flow projections on covered loans on a quarterly basis. Due to the uncertainty in the future performance of the covered loans, additional impairments may be recognized in a future period.

Credit quality will be influenced by underlying trends in the economic cycle, particularly in California and Nevada, and other factors which are beyond management s control. Consequently, no assurances can be given that the Company will not sustain loan or lease losses, in any particular period, that are sizable in relation to the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Refer to Loans and Leases Asset Quality on page 67 for further discussion of credit quality.

#### **Noninterest Income**

Noninterest income was \$93.5 million in the first quarter of 2013, an increase of 24 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and a 6 percent decrease from the fourth quarter of 2012. The increase from the year-earlier quarter was due largely to the Company s acquisition of FAEF in the second quarter of 2012 and Rochdale in the third quarter of 2012, and to higher cash management income on deposits. The decrease in income from the fourth quarter of 2012 was primarily attributable to lower distribution income from private equity investments, lower income from client swap transactions, lower international services income and higher FDIC loss sharing expense. Noninterest income represented 32 percent of the Company s revenue in the first quarter of 2013, compared to 27 percent for the first quarter of 2012 and 33 percent in the fourth quarter of 2012.

The following table provides a summary of noninterest income by category:

For the three months ended

(in thousands)

Edgar Filing: REUVERS DANIEL L. - Form 4

	ľ	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2012
Trust and investment fees	\$	46,653	\$ 44,026	\$ 33,654
Brokerage and mutual fund fees		8,066	8,424	5,028
Total wealth management fees		54,719	52,450	38,682
Cash management and deposit transaction charges		13,009	11,480	11,168
International services		9,619	11,342	8,785
FDIC loss sharing (expense) income, net		(4,352)	(2,524)	866
Other noninterest income		18,373	24,225	13,559
Total noninterest income before gain (loss)		91,368	96,973	73,060
Gain on disposal of assets		1,114	2,892	2,191
Gain on sale of securities		1,046	87	449
Impairment loss on securities			(74)	
Total noninterest income	\$	93,528	\$ 99,878	\$ 75,700

Wealth Management

The Company provides various trust, investment and wealth advisory services to its individual and business clients. The Company delivers these services through the Bank s wealth management division as well as through its wealth management affiliates. Trust services are provided only by the Bank. Trust and investment fee revenue includes fees from trust, investment and asset management, and other wealth advisory services. The majority of these fees are based on the market value of client assets managed, advised, administered or held in custody. The remaining portion of these fees is based on the specific service provided, such as estate and financial planning services, or may be fixed fees. For those fees based on market valuations, the mix of assets held in client accounts, as well as the type of managed account, impacts how closely changes in trust and investment fee income correlate with changes in the financial markets. Changes in market valuations are reflected in fee income primarily on a trailing-quarter basis. Also included in total trust and investment fees is the Company s portion of income from certain investments accounted for under the equity method. Trust and investment fees were \$46.7 million for the first quarter of 2013, an increase of 39 percent from \$33.7 million for the first quarter of 2012 and up 6 percent from \$44.0 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. The increase in trust and investment fees was due primarily to the Rochdale acquisition and to higher sales and market appreciation. Brokerage and mutual fund fees were \$8.1 million for the quarter, up 60 percent from \$5.0 million for the year-earlier quarter and down 4 percent from \$8.4 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. The year-over-year increase in brokerage and mutual fund fees was also due to the acquisition of Rochdale as well as slightly higher short-term interest rates.

Assets under management ( AUM ) include assets for which the Company makes investment decisions on behalf of its clients and assets under advisement for which the Company receives advisory fees from its clients. Assets under administration ( AUA ) are assets the Company holds in a fiduciary capacity or for which it provides non-advisory services. The table below provides a summary of AUM and AUA for the dates indicated:

	Marc	h 31,		%	December 31,	%
(in millions)	2013		2012	Change	2012	Change
Assets Under Management	\$ 39,694	\$	32,535	22	\$ 38,240	4
Assets Under Administration						
Brokerage	5,457		5,560	(2)	5,218	5
Custody and other fiduciary	13,890		11,947	16	13,222	5
Subtotal	19,347		17,507	11	18,440	5
Total assets under management or administration (1)	\$ 59,041	\$	50,042	18	\$ 56,680	4

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes \$24.80 billion, \$21.69 billion and \$18.48 billion of assets under management for asset managers in which the Company held a noncontrolling ownership interest as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

During the third quarter of 2012, AUA was revised to exclude the Company s investments that were held in custody and serviced by the Company s wealth management business. Balances presented for March 31, 2012 in the above table have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

AUM totaled \$39.69 billion as of March 31, 2013, up 22 percent from the year-earlier quarter and up 4 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. Assets under management or administration were \$59.04 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of 18 percent from the year-earlier quarter and 4 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. The growth in AUM from the year-earlier quarter was attributable to the acquisition of Rochdale and

#### **Table of Contents**

A distribution of AUM by type of investment is provided in the following table:

	% of Assets Under Management March 31, December 31,							
Investment	2013	2012	March 31, 2012					
Equities	45%	43%	41%					
U.S. fixed income	26	27	26					
Cash and cash equivalents	17	18	20					
Other (1)	12	12	13					
	100%	100%	100%					

(1) Includes private equity and other alternative investments.

Other Noninterest Income

Cash management and deposit transaction fees for the first quarter of 2013 were \$13.0 million, up 16 percent from the first quarter of 2012 and up 13 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. The increases were due to higher sales volume.

International services income is comprised of foreign exchange fees, fees on commercial letters of credit and standby letters of credit, foreign collection fees and gains and losses associated with fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. International services income for the first quarter of 2013 was \$9.6 million, up 9 percent from the first quarter of 2012 due to higher transaction volume and the addition of new client relationships. International services income for the first quarter of 2013 decreased 15 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012, primarily as a result of unusually strong foreign exchange activity in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Net FDIC loss sharing expense was \$4.4 million for the first quarter of 2013, compared to net FDIC loss sharing expense of \$2.5 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and net FDIC loss sharing income of \$0.9 million for the year-earlier quarter. See Noninterest Income and Expense Related to Covered Assets for further discussion of FDIC loss sharing income and expense.

Net gain on disposal of assets was \$1.1 million in the first quarter of 2013, \$2.2 million in the first quarter of 2012 and \$2.9 million in the fourth quarter of 2012. The net gain is primarily due to gains recognized on the sale of covered and non-covered OREO.

The Company recognized net gains on sales of securities totaling \$1.0 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million for the first quarters of 2013 and 2012, and fourth quarter of 2012, respectively

There were no impairment losses on securities available-for-sale recognized in earnings during the first quarters of 2013 and 2012. An impairment loss of \$0.1 million was recognized during the fourth quarter of 2012. See Balance Sheet Analysis Securities for a discussion of impairment on securities available-for-sale.

Other income for the first quarter of 2013 was \$18.4 million, an increase of 36 percent from \$13.6 million for the first quarter of 2012 and a decrease of 24 percent from \$24.2 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. The increase in other income from the prior-year quarter was due largely to lease income from FAEF and to higher gains on transfers of covered loans to OREO. The decrease in other income from the fourth quarter of 2012 was the result of lower income from client swap transactions, a decrease in distribution income on private equity investments and lower lease residual income.

#### Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense was \$211.3 million for the first quarter of 2013, an increase of 5 percent from \$200.7 million for the first quarter of 2012, and a 5 percent decline from \$222.0 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. The increase in noninterest expense compared with the year-earlier quarter was due largely to additional operating expenses from acquisitions.

58

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table provides a summary of noninterest expense by category:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2013	March 31, 2012		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 128,195	\$ 123,812	\$ 120,245	
All other:				
Net occupancy of premises	15,989	17,554	13,686	
Legal and professional fees	11,612	17,844	11,880	
Information services	9,391	8,896	8,149	
Depreciation and amortization	8,172	8,720	7,428	
Amortization of intangibles	1,932	1,932	1,886	
Marketing and advertising	8,316	9,111	6,816	
Office services and equipment	4,946	4,735	3,948	
Other real estate owned	5,250	9,869	12,094	
FDIC assessments	5,481	4,499	4,479	
Other operating	12,056	15,044	10,109	
Total all other	83,145	98,204	80,475	
Total noninterest expense	\$ 211,340	\$ 222,016	\$ 200,720	

Salaries and employee benefits expense was \$128.2 million for the first quarter of 2013, up 7 percent from \$120.2 million for the first quarter of 2012 and 4 percent from \$123.8 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. Full-time equivalent employees totaled 3,496 at March 31, 2013, up from 3,235 at March 31, 2012 and 3,472 at December 31, 2012. The increase in salaries and employee benefits expense and employee count in the first quarter compared with the year-earlier quarter was primarily attributable to the acquisition of FAEF in the second quarter of 2012 and Rochdale in the third quarter of 2012. The growth from the fourth quarter of 2012 was primarily due to seasonally higher personnel cost, including higher employer taxes.

Salaries and employee benefits expense for the first quarter of 2013 includes \$5.1 million of share-based compensation expense compared with \$4.7 million for the year-earlier quarter and \$4.3 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. At March 31, 2013, there was \$17.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options granted under the Company s plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.8 years. At March 31, 2013, there was \$21.6 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted shares granted under the Company s plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.1 years.

The remaining noninterest expense categories totaled \$83.1 million for the first quarter of 2013, up 3 percent from \$80.5 million for the first quarter of 2012, and down by 15 percent from \$98.2 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. The increase from the year-earlier quarter reflects operating expenses associated with the Company s recent acquisitions and higher marketing and FDIC assessment costs. These increases were partially offset by lower OREO expense. The decrease in noninterest expense from the fourth quarter of 2012 was due primarily to lower legal and professional fees and lower OREO expense. Legal expense for the fourth quarter included \$4.7 million related to the resolution of a legal claim. OREO expense was \$5.3 million for the first quarter of 2013, down 57 percent from the first quarter of 2012, and down 47 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012. OREO expense was comprised mostly of expense related to covered OREO. Of the qualified covered asset-related expenses, 80 percent is reimbursable by the FDIC and reflected in FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net in the noninterest income section of the consolidated statements of income.

### Table of Contents

The following table provides OREO expense for non-covered OREO and covered OREO:

	For the three months ended								
	1	March 31,	De	cember 31,		March 31,			
(in thousands)		2013		2012		2012			
Non-covered OREO expense									
Valuation write-downs	\$	110	\$	1,662	\$	908			
Holding costs and foreclosure expense		212		214		171			
Total non-covered OREO expense	\$	322	\$	1,876	\$	1,079			
Covered OREO expense									
Valuation write-downs	\$	3,035	\$	4,115	\$	7,808			
Holding costs and foreclosure expense		1,893		3,878		3,207			
Total covered OREO expense	\$	4,928	\$	7,993	\$	11,015			
Total OREO expense	\$	5,250	\$	9,869	\$	12,094			

Legal and professional fees were \$11.6 million for the first quarter of 2013, a decrease of 2 percent from \$11.9 million for the year-earlier quarter, and down 35 percent from \$17.8 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. The fourth quarter balance included legal expenses associated with the resolution of a legal claim. Legal and professional fees associated with covered loans and OREO were approximately \$2.0 million for the first quarter of 2013, \$2.3 million for the first quarter of 2012 and \$3.0 million for the fourth quarter of 2012. Qualifying legal and professional fees for covered assets are also reimbursable by the FDIC at 80 percent.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, representing noncontrolling ownership interests in the net income of affiliates, was \$0.6 million for the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$0.2 million for the year-earlier quarter and \$0.1 million for the fourth quarter of 2012.

# Noninterest Income and Expense Related to Covered Assets

The following table summarizes the components of noninterest income and noninterest expense related to covered assets for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2013	d March 31, 2012	
Noninterest income related to covered assets	2013	2012	2012
Trouble est income retailed to covered dissels			
FDIC loss sharing (expense) income, net			
Gain on indemnification asset	\$ 10,616	\$ 10,070	\$ 10,839
Indemnification asset accretion	(4,899)	(4,818)	(4,025)
Net FDIC reimbursement for OREO and loan expenses	5,193	8,020	10,441
Removal of indemnification asset for loans paid-off or			
fully charged-off	(6,073)	(5,896)	(6,516)
Removal of indemnification asset for unfunded loan			
commitments and loans transferred to OREO	(2,569)	(1,500)	(2,113)
Removal of indemnification asset for OREO and net			
reimbursement to FDIC for OREO sales	(844)	(2,042)	(2,656)
Loan recoveries shared with FDIC	(4,981)	(6,303)	(4,487)
Increase in FDIC clawback liability	(795)	(55)	(617)
Total FDIC loss sharing (expense) income, net	(4,352)	(2,524)	866
Gain on disposal of assets			
Net gain on sale of OREO	974	2,593	2,137
Other income			
Net gain on transfers of covered loans to OREO	3,506	1,926	2,483
Amortization of fair value on acquired unfunded			
loan commitments	394	408	559
OREO income	826	977	905
Other	(334)	(636)	(1,018)
Total other income	4,392	2,675	2,929
Total noninterest income related to covered assets	\$ 1,014	\$ 2,744	\$ 5,932
N. d. d. d. d. d.			
Noninterest expense related to covered assets (1)			
Other real estate owned			
, and an	\$ 3,035	\$ 4,115	\$ 7,808
Holding costs and foreclosure expense	1,893	3,878	3,207
Total other real estate owned	4,928	7,993	11,015
Legal and professional fees	2,020	2,977	2,278
Other operating expense			
Other covered asset expenses	14	15	9

Total noninterest expense related to covered assets			
(2)	\$ 6,962	\$ 10,985	\$ 13,302

<sup>(1)</sup> OREO, legal and professional fees and other expenses related to covered assets must meet certain FDIC criteria in order for the expense amounts to be reimbursed. Certain amounts reflected in these categories may not be reimbursed by the FDIC.

<sup>(2)</sup> Excludes personnel and other corporate overhead expenses that the Company incurs to service covered assets and costs associated with the branches acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions.

#### **Table of Contents**

Noninterest Income

Income and expense from FDIC loss-sharing agreements are reflected in FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net. This balance includes FDIC indemnification asset accretion or amortization, gain or loss on the FDIC indemnification asset, and expense from the reduction of the FDIC indemnification asset upon the removal of loans, OREO and unfunded loan commitments. Loans are removed when they have been fully paid off, fully charged off, sold or transferred to OREO. Net FDIC loss sharing income (expense) also includes income recognized on the portion of expenses related to covered assets that are reimbursable by the FDIC, net of income due to the FDIC, as well as the income statement effects of other loss-share transactions.

Net FDIC loss sharing expense was \$4.4 million for the first quarter of 2013, compared to net FDIC loss sharing expense of \$2.5 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 and income of \$0.9 million in the year-earlier quarter. The change in net FDIC loss sharing income to expense from the year-earlier quarter was primarily attributable to lower FDIC reimbursement for covered OREO expenses as a result of an overall decline in OREO valuation write-downs and holding costs. The increase in net FDIC loss sharing expense from the fourth quarter of 2012 was also the result of lower reimbursements for OREO expense and an increase in the amount of indemnification asset removed as a result of covered loans being transferred to OREO. This growth was partially offset by a decrease in expense from lower gains on sales of OREO and loan recoveries on loans paid-off or fully charged-off that are shared with the FDIC.

The Company recognized a net gain on sales of covered OREO of \$1.0 million in the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$2.6 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$2.1 million in the first quarter of 2012. Other income related to covered assets was \$4.4 million in the current quarter and consists primarily of net gain on transfers of covered loans to OREO, the amortization of fair value on acquired unfunded loan commitments, and OREO income. Total other income increased from \$2.7 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$2.9 million from the year-earlier quarter primarily because of higher net gains on the transfers of covered loans to OREO. Net gains on the transfer of covered loans to OREO were \$3.5 million during the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$1.9 million during the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$2.5 million during the year-earlier quarter. The gain or loss recognized on transfer of covered loans to OREO is calculated as the difference between the carrying value of the covered loan and the fair value of the underlying foreclosed collateral. Refer to the above table for additional information on the components of other income related to covered assets for the three months ending March 31, 2013.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense related to covered assets includes OREO expense, legal and professional expense, and other covered asset-related expenses. These expenses are subject to FDIC reimbursement, but must meet certain FDIC criteria in order to be reimbursed. Certain amounts reflected in these balances may not be reimbursed by the FDIC. Total covered OREO expense, which includes valuation write-downs, holding costs and foreclosure expenses was \$4.9 million for the first quarter of 2013, down 38 percent from \$8.0 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 and down 55 percent from \$11.0 million for the year-earlier quarter.

#### **Segment Operations**

The Company s reportable segments are Commercial and Private Banking, Wealth Management and Other. For a more complete description of the segments, including summary financial information, see Note 18 to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Commercial and Private Banking

Net income attributable to City National Corporation ( CNC ) for the Commercial and Private Banking segment increased to \$28.7 million for the first quarter of 2013 from \$21.2 million for the first quarter of 2012. The increase in net income from the prior-year quarter was due to higher net interest income and higher noninterest income. These increases were partially offset by higher provision for losses on covered loans. Net interest income increased to \$183.6 million for the first quarter of 2013 from \$171.8 million for the first quarter of 2012, primarily as a result of loan growth. Average loans, excluding covered loans, increased by 19 percent to \$14.75 billion for the first quarter of 2013 compared with the year-earlier quarter. The increase in loans was a result of organic loan growth and the acquisition of FAEF in April 2012. Average covered loans were \$989.5 million for the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$1.44 billion for the first quarter of 2012. Average deposits increased by 11 percent to \$21.84 billion for the first quarter of 2013 from \$19.72 billion for the year-

#### **Table of Contents**

earlier quarter. The growth in average deposits compared with the prior-year period was driven by new client relationships and growth in deposits of existing clients.

No provision for credit losses was recorded on loans and leases, excluding covered loans, in the first quarters of 2013 and 2012. Provision for losses on covered loans was \$9.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to \$7.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Refer to Results of Operations Provision for Credit Losses for further discussion of the provision.

Noninterest income for the first quarter of 2013 was \$50.3 million, up 6 percent from \$47.2 million for the prior-year quarter. The increase from the year-earlier quarter was primarily due to higher fee income on deposit accounts, higher international services fees and lease income from FAEF. These increases were partially offset by higher FDIC loss sharing expense compared with the year-ago quarter. Noninterest expense, including depreciation and amortization, decreased to \$174.5 million for the first quarter of 2013, from \$175.1 million for the year-earlier quarter.

Wealth Management

The Wealth Management segment had net income attributable to CNC of \$3.6 million for the first quarter of 2013, up from \$1.3 million for the year-earlier quarter. Noninterest income increased to \$55.5 million, or by 41 percent, for the first quarter of 2013 from \$39.4 million for the year-earlier quarter. The increase in the current period is largely due to Rochdale, which the Company acquired in July 2012. Refer to Results of Operations Noninterest Income Wealth Management for further discussion of the factors impacting fee income for the Wealth Management segment. Noninterest expense, including depreciation and amortization, was \$49.0 million for the first quarter of 2013, up by 31 percent from \$37.5 million for the year-earlier quarter. The increase in expense compared with the year-earlier period was primarily due to higher operating costs attributable to the acquisition of Rochdale.

Other

Net income attributable to CNC for the Other segment was \$19.3 million for the first quarter of 2013, compared with \$23.8 million for the first quarter of 2012. The decrease in net income is primarily due to lower net interest income. Net interest income decreased to \$17.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from \$28.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The Asset Liability Funding Center, which is included in the Other segment, is used for funds transfer pricing. The Funding Center charges the business line units for loans and pays them for generating deposits. In the first quarter of 2013, funding charges to the lending units on loans declined compared with the year-earlier quarter as a result of changes in the loan mix and a reduction in the funds transfer pricing rate reflecting lower market interest rates. Funding credit given to the Commercial and Private Banking segment increased in the first quarter of 2013 compared with the year-earlier quarter due to higher average deposit balances. Both of these circumstances resulted in lower interest income in the Other segment.

Noninterest income (loss) was (\$12.2) million for the current quarter compared with (\$10.9) million for the year-earlier quarter.

#### **Income Taxes**

The Company recognized income tax expense of \$21.3 million during the first quarter of 2013, compared with tax expense of \$20.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$21.7 million in the year-earlier quarter. The effective tax rate was 29.0 percent of pretax income for the first quarter of 2013, compared with 30.5 percent for the fourth quarter of 2012 and 31.8 percent for the year-earlier quarter. The lower tax rate during the first quarter of 2013 was due to the recognition of higher tax credits and tax refunds resulting from the closure of ordinary tax audits. The effective tax rates differ from the applicable statutory federal and state tax rates due to various factors, including tax benefits from investments in affordable housing partnerships, tax-exempt income on municipal bonds, bank-owned life insurance and other adjustments.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties relating to uncertain tax positions as an income tax provision expense. The Company recognized a nominal amount of interest and penalties expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Interest and penalties expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$0.1 million. The Company had approximately \$3.4 million of accrued interest and penalties at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and \$3.2 million at March 31, 2012.

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return and also file income tax returns in various state jurisdictions. The Company is currently being audited by the Internal Revenue Service for 2012 and 2013. The Company is also currently under audit with the California Franchise Tax Board for the tax years 2005 to 2007. The potential financial statement impact, if any, resulting from completion of these audits is expected to be minimal.

From time to time, there may be differences in opinion with respect to the tax treatment of certain transactions. If a tax position which was previously recognized on the consolidated financial statements is no longer more likely than not to be sustained upon a challenge from the taxing authorities, the tax benefit from the tax position will be derecognized. The Company did not have any tax positions for which previously recognized benefits were derecognized during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of income taxes.

#### **BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS**

Total assets were \$27.43 billion at March 31, 2013, an increase of 14 percent from \$24.04 billion at March 31, 2012, but down 4 percent from \$28.62 billion at December 31, 2012. Average assets for the first quarter of 2013 increased to \$27.71 billion from \$23.64 billion for the first quarter of 2012. The increase in period-end and average assets from the year-earlier quarter largely reflects loan growth and an increase in the securities portfolio due to deposit growth.

Total average interest-earning assets for the first quarter of 2013 were \$26.05 billion, up from \$22.10 billion for the first quarter of 2012.

#### Securities

At March 31, 2013, the Company had total securities of \$9.19 billion, comprised of securities available-for-sale at fair value of \$7.74 billion, securities held-to-maturity at amortized cost of \$1.40 billion and trading securities at fair value of \$53.5 million. The Company had total securities of \$10.72 billion at December 31, 2012, comprised of securities available-for-sale at fair value of \$9.21 billion, securities held-to-maturity at amortized cost of \$1.40 billion and trading securities at fair value of \$115.1 million. At March 31, 2012, the Company had total securities of \$7.92 billion, comprised of securities available-for-sale at fair value of \$6.84 billion, securities held-to-maturity at amortized cost of \$1.00 billion and trading securities at fair value of \$82.6 million.

The following is a summary of amortized cost and estimated fair value for the major categories of securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity:

March 31, 2013 December 31, 2012 March 31, 2012

Edgar Filing: REUVERS DANIEL L. - Form 4

	A	Amortized				Amortized				Amortized			
(in thousands)		Cost	Fair Value		Value Cost		]	Fair Value		Cost		Fair Value	
Securities available-for-sale:													
U.S. Treasury	\$	30,353	\$	30,370	\$	20,393	\$	20,397	\$	19,217	\$	19,202	
Federal agency - Debt		1,111,725		1,114,603		2,344,374		2,349,202		1,046,035		1,051,348	
Federal agency - MBS		611,286		645,480		653,428		693,032		690,183		720,590	
CMOs - Federal agency		5,068,740		5,139,231		5,233,126		5,318,253		4,304,148		4,400,318	
CMOs - Non-agency		51,201		50,776		62,975		61,513		74,737		68,587	
State and municipal		430,002		445,170		437,266		454,474		378,541		395,723	
Other debt securities		306,845		309,392		305,340		307,417		185,968		181,792	
Total available-for-sale debt													
securities		7,610,152		7,735,022		9,056,902		9,204,288		6,698,829		6,837,560	
Equity securities and mutual													
funds		337		3,029		336		1,701		352		1,150	
Total available-for-sale													
securities	\$	7,610,489	\$	7,738,051	\$	9,057,238	\$	9,205,989	\$	6,699,181	\$	6,838,710	
Securities held-to-maturity													
(1):													
Federal agency - Debt	\$	95,935	\$	99,531	\$	97,183	\$	101,215	\$	104,268	\$	104,596	
Federal agency - MBS		305,881		313,802		303,642		314,950		173,380		174,747	
CMOs - Federal agency		741,007		762,727		745,980		774,571		590,931		589,509	
State and municipal		258,067		258,776		251,598		255,863		128,034		127,603	
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$	1,400,890	\$	1,434,836	\$	1,398,403	\$	1,446,599	\$	996,613	\$	996,455	

<sup>(1)</sup> Securities held-to-maturity are presented in the consolidated balance sheets at amortized cost.

#### **Table of Contents**

The average duration of the \$7.74 billion available-for-sale portfolio was 2.8 years at March 31, 2013, compared with 2.5 years at March 31, 2012 and 2.3 years at December 31, 2012, as short-term securities holdings were reduced to fund loan growth in light of stable deposit balances.

Changes in the fair value of securities available-for-sale will impact other comprehensive income, and thus shareholders equity, on an after-tax basis. Securities held-to-maturity are presented in the consolidated balance sheets at amortized cost. Changes in the fair value of securities held-to-maturity do not have an impact on other comprehensive income. At March 31, 2013, the available-for-sale securities portfolio had a net unrealized gain of \$127.6 million, comprised of \$147.1 million of unrealized gains and \$19.5 million of unrealized losses. At December 31, 2012, the available-for-sale securities portfolio had a net unrealized gain of \$148.8 million, comprised of \$163.3 million of unrealized gains and \$14.5 million of unrealized gain of \$139.5 million, comprised of \$155.8 million of unrealized gains and \$16.3 million of unrealized losses.

The following table provides the expected remaining maturities of debt securities included in the securities portfolio at March 31, 2013. The maturities of mortgage-backed securities are allocated according to the average life of expected cash flows. Average expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because of the amortizing nature of the loan collateral and prepayment behavior of borrowers.

(in thousands)	C	One year or less		Over 1 year through 5 years		Over 5 years through 10 years		Over 10 years		Total
Securities available-for-sale:										
U.S. Treasury	\$	3,021	\$	27,349	\$		\$		\$	30,370
Federal agency - Debt		906,857		207,746						1,114,603
Federal agency - MBS		16		434,041		211,423				645,480
CMOs - Federal agency		174,283		4,750,547		214,401				5,139,231
CMOs - Non-agency		6,460		20,738		23,578				50,776
State and municipal		91,153		260,487		70,006		23,524		445,170
Other		13,016		296,376						309,392
Total debt securities available-for-sale	\$	1,194,806	\$	5,997,284	\$	519,408	\$	23,524	\$	7,735,022
Amortized cost	\$	1,190,413	\$	5,906,598	\$	489,221	\$	23,920	\$	7,610,152
Securities held-to-maturity:										
Federal agency - Debt	\$		\$		\$		\$	95,935	\$	95,935
Federal agency - MBS				2,438		303,443				305,881
CMOs - Federal agency				155,414		585,593				741,007
State and municipal				13,383		163,726		80,958		258,067
Total debt securities held-to-maturity at										
amortized cost	\$		\$	171,235	\$	1,052,762	\$	176,893	\$	1,400,890

Impairment Assessment

The Company performs a quarterly assessment of the debt and equity securities in its investment portfolio that have an unrealized loss to determine whether the decline in the fair value of these securities below their cost is other-than-temporary. Impairment is considered other-than-temporary when it becomes probable that an investor will be unable to recover the cost of an investment. The Company s impairment assessment takes into consideration factors such as the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, including events specific to the issuer or industry; defaults or deferrals of scheduled

interest, principal or dividend payments; external credit ratings and recent downgrades; and whether the Company intends to sell the security and whether it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. If a decline in fair value is judged to be other than temporary, the cost basis of the individual security is written down to fair value which then becomes the new cost basis. The new cost basis is not adjusted for subsequent recoveries in fair value.

#### **Table of Contents**

There were no impairment losses recorded in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company recognized \$0.5 million and \$2.4 million of non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairment in AOCI on securities available-for-sale at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. No impairment losses were recognized in earnings or AOCI for securities held-to-maturity at March 31, 2013.

Of the total securities available-for-sale in an unrealized loss position at March 31, 2013, approximately \$1.91 billion of securities with unrealized losses of \$13.4 million were in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and \$41.2 million of securities with unrealized losses of \$6.1 million were in a continuous loss position for more than 12 months. Securities in a loss position and total gross unrealized losses were comprised mostly of federal agency CMOs and collateralized debt obligation senior notes. Unrealized losses on federal agency CMOs generally increased at March 31, 2013 compared to year-end 2012 due to higher long-term interest rates.

At December 31, 2012, approximately \$1.94 billion of securities with unrealized losses of \$6.5 million were in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and \$55.9 million of securities with unrealized losses of \$8.0 million were in a continuous loss position for more than 12 months. At March 31, 2012, approximately \$512.0 million of securities with unrealized losses of \$1.8 million were in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and \$51.7 million of securities with unrealized losses of \$14.5 million were in a continuous loss position for more than 12 months.

See Note 4, Securities, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further disclosures related to the securities portfolio.

#### Loan and Lease Portfolio

A comparative period-end loan and lease table is presented below:

#### **Loans and Leases**

(in thousands)	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2012
Commercial	\$ 6,452,477	\$ 6,211,353	\$ 5,175,375
Commercial real estate mortgages	2,937,457	2,829,694	2,213,114
Residential mortgages	4,027,741	3,962,205	3,805,807
Real estate construction	247,114	222,780	313,409
Home equity loans and lines of credit	696,679	711,750	715,997
Installment	137,545	142,793	125,793
Lease financing	717,893	737,720	398,407
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans	15,216,906	14,818,295	12,747,902
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	(282,328)	(277,888)	(266,077)
Loans and leases, excluding covered loans, net	14,934,578	14,540,407	12,481,825
Covered loans	951,917	1,031,004	1,397,156
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(42,354)	(44,781)	(61,471)

Covered loans, net	909,563	986,223	1,335,685
Total loans and leases	\$ 16,168,823 \$	15,849,299 \$	14,145,058
Total loans and leases, net	\$ 15,844,141 \$	15,526,630 \$	13,817,510

Total loans and leases were \$16.17 billion, \$15.85 billion and \$14.15 billion at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. Total loans, excluding covered loans, were \$15.22 billion, \$14.82 billion and \$12.75 billion at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

Total loans and leases, excluding covered loans, at March 31, 2013 increased 3 percent from December 31, 2012 and 19 percent from March 31, 2012. Commercial loans, including lease financing, were up 3 percent from year-end 2012 and 29 percent from the year-earlier quarter. The increases were due to organic loan growth and the acquisition of FAEF in the second quarter of 2012. Commercial real estate mortgage loans increased by 4 percent from year-end 2012 and 33 percent

## Table of Contents

from the year-earlier quarter. Residential mortgages grew by 2 percent and 6 percent from the same periods, respectively. Real estate construction loans increased 11 percent from year-end 2012 and declined 21 percent from the first quarter of 2012.

Covered Loans

Covered loans represent loans acquired from the FDIC that are subject to loss-sharing agreements and were \$951.9 million at March 31, 2013, \$1.03 billion as of December 31, 2012 and \$1.40 billion as of March 31, 2012. Covered loans, net of allowance for loan losses, were \$909.6 million as of March 31, 2013, \$986.2 million as of December 31, 2012 and \$1.34 billion as of March 31, 2012.

The following is a summary of the major categories of covered loans:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2012
Commercial	\$ 9,611	\$ 10,561	\$ 22,395
Commercial real estate mortgages	863,161	931,758	1,219,923
Residential mortgages	5,619	5,652	13,378
Real estate construction	69,380	78,554	135,065
Home equity loans and lines of credit	3,499	3,790	5,210
Installment	647	689	1,185
Covered loans	951,917	1,031,004	1,397,156
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(42,354)	(44,781)	(61,471)
Covered loans, net	\$ 909,563	\$ 986,223	\$ 1,335,685

Other

To grow loans and diversify and manage concentration risk of the Company s loan portfolio, the Company purchases and sells participations in loans. Included in this portfolio are purchased participations in Shared National Credits (SNC). Purchased SNC commitments totaled \$3.09 billion, or 13 percent of total loan commitments, at March 31, 2013, \$2.98 billion or 14 percent at December 31, 2012 and \$2.64 billion or 14 percent at March 31, 2012. Outstanding loan balances on purchased SNCs were \$1.32 billion, or approximately 9 percent of total loans outstanding, excluding covered loans, at March 31, 2013, compared to \$1.32 billion or approximately 9 percent at December 31, 2012 and \$1.12 billion, or 9 percent at March 31, 2012.

Bank regulatory guidance on risk management practices for financial institutions with high or increasing concentrations of commercial real estate ( CRE ) loans on their balance sheets emphasizes the need for sound internal risk management practices for those institutions that have experienced rapid growth in CRE lending, have notable exposure to specific types of CRE, or are approaching or exceeding the supervisory criteria used to evaluate CRE concentration risk. The supervisory criteria are: total reported loans for construction, land development and other land represent 100 percent of the institution s total risk-based capital, and both total CRE loans represent 300 percent or more of the institution s total risk-based capital and the institution s CRE loan portfolio has increased 50 percent or more within the last 36 months. As of March 31, 2013, total loans for construction, land development and other land represented 13 percent of total risk-based capital; total CRE loans represented 138 percent of total risk-based capital and the total portfolio of loans for construction, land development, other land and CRE decreased 14 percent over the last 36 months.

Asset Quality

Credit Risk Management

The Company has a comprehensive methodology to monitor credit quality and prudently manage credit concentration within each portfolio. The methodology includes establishing concentration limits to ensure that the loan portfolio is diversified. The limits are evaluated quarterly and are intended to mitigate the impact of any segment on the Company s capital and earnings. The limits cover major industry groups, geography, product type, loan size and customer relationship. Additional sub-limits are established for certain industries where the bank has higher exposure. The concentration limits are

67

## Table of Contents

approved by the Bank s Credit Policy Committee and reviewed annually by the Audit & Risk Committee of the Board of Directors.

The loan portfolios are monitored through delinquency tracking and a dynamic risk rating process that is designed to detect early signs of deterioration. In addition, once a loan has shown signs of deterioration, it is transferred to a Special Assets Department that consists of professionals who specialize in managing problem assets. An oversight group meets quarterly to review the progress of problem loans and OREO. Also, the Company has established portfolio review requirements that include a periodic review and risk assessment by the Risk Management Division that reports to the Audit & Risk Committee of the Board of Directors.

Geographic Concentrations and Economic Trends by Geographic Region

Although the Company s lending activities are predominately in California, and to a lesser extent, New York and Nevada, the Company has various specialty lending businesses that lend to businesses located throughout the United States of America. Excluding covered loans, California represented 79 percent of total loans outstanding and New York and Nevada represented 7 percent and 2 percent, respectively, as of March 31, 2013. The remaining 12 percent of total loans outstanding represented other states. Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of clients are engaged in similar business activities, or activities in the same geographic region, or have similar economic features that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly affected by changes in economic conditions. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, a substantial portion of the loan portfolio and credit performance depends on the economic stability of Southern California, California has experienced significant declines in real estate values and adverse effects of the recession. California s unemployment rate in March 2013 was 9.4 percent. The Company s loan portfolio has been affected by the economy, but the impact is lessened by the Company having most of its loans in large metropolitan California cities such as Los Angeles, San Francisco and San Diego rather than in the outlying suburban communities that have seen higher declines in real estate values. Within the Company s Commercial loan portfolio, the five California counties with the largest exposures are Los Angeles (45 percent), Orange (7 percent), San Diego (4 percent), Ventura (2 percent) and San Francisco (2 percent). Within the Commercial Real Estate Mortgage loan portfolio, the five California counties with the largest exposures are Los Angeles (37 percent), San Diego (11 percent), Orange (9 percent), Ventura (5 percent) and Alameda (4 percent). For the Real Estate Construction loan portfolio, the concentration in California is predominately in Los Angeles (27 percent), Orange (14 percent), Ventura (9 percent), Alameda (8 percent) and San Mateo (7 percent).

Within the Company s covered loan portfolio at March 31, 2013, the five states with the largest concentration were California (37 percent), Texas (11 percent), Nevada (7 percent), Ohio (5 percent) and New York (4 percent). The remaining 36 percent of total covered loans outstanding represented other states.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments

A consequence of lending activities is that losses may be experienced. The amount of such losses will vary from time to time depending upon the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio as affected by economic conditions, changing interest rates, and the financial performance of borrowers. The allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments which provide for the risk of losses inherent in the credit extension process, are increased by the provision for credit losses charged to operating expense. The allowance for loan and lease losses is decreased by the amount of charge-offs, net of recoveries. There is no exact method of predicting specific losses or amounts that ultimately may be charged off on particular segments of the loan portfolio.

The Company has an internal credit risk analysis and review staff that issues reports to the Audit & Risk Committee of the Board of Directors and continually reviews loan quality. This analysis includes a detailed review of the classification and categorization of problem loans, potential problem loans and loans to be charged off, an assessment of the overall quality and collectability of the portfolio, consideration of the credit loss experience, trends in problem loans and concentration of credit risk, as well as current economic conditions, particularly in California and Nevada. Management then evaluates the allowance, determines its appropriate level and the need for additional provisions, and presents its analysis to the Audit & Risk Committee which ultimately reviews and approves management s recommendation.

## Table of Contents

The provision is the expense recognized in the consolidated statements of income to adjust the allowance and reserve to the level deemed appropriate by management, as determined through application of the Company's allowance methodology procedures. See Critical Accounting Policies Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Off-Balance Sheet Credit Commitments in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The process used for determining the adequacy of the reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments is consistent with the process for the allowance for loan and lease losses.

The following table summarizes the activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments, excluding covered loans, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Activity is provided by loan type which is consistent with the Company s methodology for determining the allowance for loan and lease losses:

## Changes in Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

	For the three i		ended
(in thousands)	2013	ŕ	2012
Loans and leases outstanding, excluding covered loans	\$ 15,216,906	\$	12,747,902
Average loans and leases outstanding, excluding covered loans	\$ 14,809,341	\$	12,432,292
Allowance for loan and lease losses (1)			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 277,888	\$	262,557
Loan charge-offs:			
Commercial	(1,362)		(8,917)
Commercial real estate mortgages	(45)		(692)
Residential mortgages	(105)		(554)
Real estate construction			(1,601)
Home equity loans and lines of credit	(240)		(189)
Installment	(271)		(209)
Total charge-offs	(2,023)		(12,162)
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off:			
Commercial	3,535		14,200
Commercial real estate mortgages	48		26
Residential mortgages	37		60
Real estate construction	2,666		1,705
Home equity loans and lines of credit	128		35
Installment	417		626
Total recoveries	6,831		16,652
Net recoveries	4,808		4,490
Transfers to reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments	(368)		(970)
Balance, end of period	\$ 282,328	\$	266,077
Net recoveries to average loans and leases, excluding covered loans			
(annualized)	0.13%		0.15%
Allowance for loan and lease losses to total period-end loans and			
leases, excluding covered loans	1.86%		2.09%
Reserve for off-balance sheet credit commitments			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 24,837	\$	23,097
Transfers from allowance	368		970
Balance, end of period	\$ 25,205	\$	24,067

(1) The allowance for loan and lease losses in this table excludes amounts related to covered loans.

69

#### **Table of Contents**

For the quarter-ended March 31, 2013, net loan recoveries on non-covered loans were \$4.8 million, comprised of \$2.0 million in loan charge-offs and \$6.8 million in loan recoveries. Net loan recoveries of \$2.0 million for the fourth quarter of 2012 consisted of \$2.7 million of charge-offs and \$4.7 million of recoveries, while net loan recoveries of \$4.5 million for the year-earlier quarter consisted of \$12.2 million of charge-offs and \$16.7 million of recoveries. The majority of the activity for each quarter presented relates to small groups of individual credits.

Based on an evaluation of individual credits, previous loan and lease loss experience, management s evaluation of the current loan portfolio, and current economic conditions, management has allocated the allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans for March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012 as shown in the table below:

	ľ	March 31.	ance amount cember 31,	]	March 31,	Perce March 31.	entage of total allowance December 31.	March 31,
(in thousands)		2013	2012		2012	2013	2012	2012
Commercial and lease								
financing	\$	112,397	\$ 104,156	\$	84,087	40%	37%	32%
Commercial real estate								
mortgages		52,494	48,240		46,586	19	17	17
Residential mortgages		7,230	10,499		13,803	3	4	5
Real estate construction		9,876	13,130		22,096	3	5	8
Home equity loans and lines								
of credit		4,828	7,243		8,032	2	3	3
Installment		1,222	1,847		1,938	0	1	1
Unallocated		94,281	92,773		89,535	33	33	34
Total	\$	282,328	\$ 277,888	\$	266,077	100%	100%	100%

The Company has a qualitative factor matrix to determine the amount of unallocated reserves needed for judgmental factors that are not attributable to or reflected in quantitative models. Examples of these factors include industry concentration, size of loans, general business and economic environment, internal systems and procedures, credit quality trends, changes in underwriting standards, risk appetite, loan growth and acquisitions. The qualitative factor matrix is divided into three segments: CRE, Commercial and Consumer. For each segment, the matrix evaluates the qualitative factors that could cause the quantitative models to vary from historic loss values. Each factor is assigned a risk level and a risk weight in points which is aggregated to determine the level of qualitative reserves. The factors are updated and supported quarterly to reflect changing conditions. At March 31, 2013, the Company had total qualitative reserves of \$94.3 million, of which \$27.3 million, \$43.9 million and \$23.1 million were assigned to the CRE, Commercial and Consumer segments, respectively. Currently, the primary drivers of the qualitative reserves are uncertainty in the macroeconomic environment in California and Nevada, industry concentration and loan size.

The following table summarizes the activity in the allowance for loan losses on covered loans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the three r Marc	ended
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 44,781	\$ 64,565
Provision for losses	9,892	7,466
Reduction in allowance due to loan removals	(12,319)	(10,560)
Balance, end of period	\$ 42,354	\$ 61,471

The allowance for loan losses on covered loans was \$42.4 million as of March 31, 2013, compared to \$44.8 million at December 31, 2012 and \$61.5 million at March 31, 2012. The Company recorded provision expense of \$9.9 million and \$7.5 million on covered loans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company updates its cash flow projections for covered loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 on a quarterly basis, and may recognize provision expense and an allowance for loan losses as a result of that analysis. The loss on covered loans is the result of changes in expected cash flows, both amount and timing, due to loan payments and the Company s revised loss and prepayment forecasts. The revisions of the loss forecasts were based on the results of management s review of the credit quality of the outstanding covered loans and the analysis of the loan performance data since the acquisition of covered loans. The allowance for loan losses on covered loans is reduced for any loan removals, which occur when a loan has been fully paid off, fully charged off, sold or transferred to OREO.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Impaired Loans

Loans, other than those included in large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans, are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled interest payments. The assessment for impairment occurs when and while such loans are on nonaccrual, or when the loan has been restructured. When a loan with unique risk characteristics has been identified as being impaired, the amount of impairment will be measured by the Company using discounted cash flows, except when it is determined that the primary (remaining) source of repayment for the loan is the operation or liquidation of the underlying collateral. In these cases, the current fair value of the collateral, reduced by costs to sell, will be used in place of discounted cash flows. As a final alternative, the observable market price of the debt may be used to assess impairment.

If the measurement of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (including accrued interest, net deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount), an impairment allowance is recognized by creating or adjusting the existing allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Interest payments received on impaired loans are generally applied as follows: (1) to principal if the loan is on nonaccrual principal recapture status, (2) to interest income if the loan is on cash basis nonaccrual and (3) to interest income if the impaired loan has been returned to accrual status.

Effective July 1, 2012, the Company increased the outstanding loan amount under which nonperforming loans are individually evaluated for impairment from \$500,000 or greater to \$1 million or greater. For borrowers with multiple loans totaling \$1 million or more, this threshold is applied at the total relationship level. Loans under \$1 million will be measured for impairment using historical loss factors. Loans under \$1 million that were previously reported as impaired at June 30, 2012 will continue to be reported as impaired until the collection of principal and interest is no longer in doubt, or the loans are paid or charged-off. At March 31, 2013, impaired loans included \$7.2 million of loans previously reported as impaired that are less than \$1 million.

The following table presents information on impaired loans as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012. Loan and lease balances reflect the recorded investment as of the reporting date.

	March 31, 2013					December	31, 20	12	March 31, 2012			
	L	oans and	F	Related	]	Loans and	F	Related	L	oans and		Related
(in thousands)		Leases	Al	lowance		Leases	Al	lowance		Leases	A	llowance
Impaired loans, excluding covered												
loans (1):												
Impaired loans with an allowance	\$	33,767	\$	2,884	\$	19,081	\$	2,403	\$	48,154	\$	14,118
Impaired loans with no related												
allowance		90,068				119,511				102,783		
Total impaired loans, excluding												
covered loans	\$	123,835			\$	138,592			\$	150,937		
Total impaired loans by loan type:												
Commercial	\$	26,639	\$	869	\$	26,277	\$	952	\$	40,415	\$	3,335
Commercial real estate mortgages		42,747		1,148		53,085		1,326		22,306		1,019
Residential mortgages		7,963				8,810		9		13,000		331
Real estate construction		44,346		867		45,510				67,686		9,395
Home equity loans and lines of credit		2,140				4,461		116		6,980		38
Installment						449				550		

Total impaired loans, excluding covered loans	\$ 123,835	\$ 2,884 \$	138,592	\$ 2,403 \$	150,937	\$ 14,118
Impaired covered loans	\$	\$ \$		\$ \$	422	

<sup>(1)</sup> Impaired loans include \$49.6 million, \$48.8 million and \$46.1 million of restructured loans that are on accrual status at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

The recorded investment in impaired loans, excluding covered loans, was \$123.8 million at March 31, 2013, \$138.6 million at December 31, 2012 and \$150.9 million at March 31, 2012. There were no impaired covered loans at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Impaired covered loans were \$0.4 million at March 31, 2012, and are included in the Company s population of acquired covered loans that are accounted for outside the scope of ASC 310-30.

## Table of Contents

Nonaccrual, Past Due and Restructured Loans

Total nonperforming assets (nonaccrual loans and OREO), excluding covered assets, were \$103.1 million, or 0.68 percent of total loans and OREO, excluding covered assets, at March 31, 2013, compared with \$120.8 million, or 0.81 percent, at December 31, 2012, and \$141.9 million, or 1.11 percent, at March 31, 2012. Total nonperforming covered assets (nonaccrual covered loans and covered OREO) were \$43.8 million at March 31, 2013, \$58.3 million at December 31, 2012 and \$78.9 million at March 31, 2012.

At March 31, 2013, troubled debt restructured loans were \$81.7 million, before specific reserves of \$2.4 million. Troubled debt restructured loans were \$94.9 million, before specific reserves of \$1.7 million, at December 31, 2012 and \$88.1 million, before specific reserves of \$4.5 million, at March 31, 2012. Troubled debt restructured loans included \$49.6 million, \$48.8 million and \$46.1 million of restructured loans on accrual status at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. At March 31, 2013, commitments to lend additional funds on restructured loans totaled \$1.2 million.

The following table presents information on nonaccrual loans and OREO as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012:

		ch 31,		December 31,		March 31,
(in thousands)	20	013		2012		2012
Nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets						
Nonaccrual loans, excluding covered loans Commercial	\$	7 202	\$	9.087	¢.	10.594
	Þ	7,292	Э	- /	Э	19,584
Commercial real estate mortgages		23,066		33,198		21,071
Residential mortgages		9,136		9,603		13,628
Real estate construction		39,608		40,882		48,964
Home equity loans and lines of credit		4,103		6,424		8,831
Installment		70		473		729
Lease financing				120		
Total nonaccrual loans, excluding covered loans		83,275		99,787		112,807
OREO, excluding covered OREO		19,786		21,027		29,074
Total nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets	\$	103,061	\$	120,814	\$	141,881
Nonperforming covered assets						
Nonaccrual loans	\$		\$		\$	422
OREO		43,751		58,276		78,456
Total nonperforming covered assets	\$	43,751	\$	58,276	\$	78,878
Ratios (excluding covered assets):						
Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans		0.559	6	0.679	6	0.88%
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans						
and OREO		0.68		0.81		1.11
Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonaccrual						
loans		339.03		278.48		235.87
Allowance for loan and lease losses to total						
nonperforming assets		273.94		230.01		187.54
Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and						
leases		1.86		1.88		2.09
		1.00		1.00		2.07

#### **Table of Contents**

Company policy requires that a loan be placed on nonaccrual status if either principal or interest payments are 90 days past due, unless the loan is both well secured and in process of collection, or if full collection of interest or principal becomes uncertain, regardless of the time period involved. Covered loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 are generally considered accruing and performing loans as the loans accrete interest income over the estimated life of the loan when cash flows are reasonably estimable. Accordingly, acquired impaired covered loans that are contractually past due are still considered to be accruing and performing loans. If the timing and amount of future cash flows is not reasonably estimable, the loans may be classified as nonaccrual loans and interest income is not recognized until the timing and amount of future cash flows can be reasonably estimated.

Loans are considered past due following the date when either interest or principal is contractually due and unpaid. A summary of past due loans, excluding loans on nonaccrual status, is provided below:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2012
Past due loans, excluding covered loans			
30-89 days past due	\$ 36,987	\$ 40,086	\$ 34,391
90 days or more past due on accrual status:			
Commercial		602	7
Residential mortgages	1,033	379	379
Home equity loans and lines of credit	630		268
Lease financing	25		
Total 90 days or more past due on accrual status	\$ 1,688	\$ 981	\$ 654
Past due covered loans			
30-89 days past due	\$ 42,581	\$ 43,437	\$ 59,426
90 days or more past due on accrual status	102,268	112,396	265,175

Nonaccrual loans, excluding covered loans, were \$83.3 million at March 31, 2013, down from \$99.8 million at December 31, 2012 and \$112.8 million at March 31, 2012. Net loan recoveries in the first quarter of 2013 were \$4.8 million, or 0.13 percent of average loans and leases, excluding covered loans, on an annualized basis, compared with net loan recoveries of \$2.0 million, or 0.06 percent, for the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$4.5 million, or 0.15 percent, for the first quarter of 2012. In accordance with the Company s allowance for loan and lease losses methodology and in response to continuing credit quality improvement, the Company recorded no provision for loan and lease losses for the three months ending March 31, 2013. The Company recorded no provision in the first quarter of 2012 and a \$7.0 million provision in the fourth quarter of 2012.

The allowance for loan and lease losses, excluding covered loans, was \$282.3 million as of March 31, 2013, compared with \$277.9 million as of December 31, 2012 and \$266.1 million as of March 31, 2012. The ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases, excluding covered loans, was 1.86 percent at March 31, 2013 compared to 1.88 percent at December 31, 2012 and 2.09 percent at March 31, 2012. The allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets, was 273.9 percent, 230.0 percent and 187.5 percent at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. The Company believes that its allowance for loan and lease losses continues to be appropriate.

All nonaccrual loans greater than \$1,000,000 are considered impaired and are individually analyzed. The Company does not maintain a reserve for impaired loans where the carrying value of the loan is less than the fair value of the collateral, reduced by costs to sell. Where the carrying value of the impaired loan is greater than the fair value of the collateral, less costs to sell, the Company specifically establishes an allowance for loan and lease losses to cover the deficiency. This analysis ensures that the non-accruing loans have been appropriately reserved.

## Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the total activity in non-covered and covered nonaccrual loans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

#### **Changes in Nonaccrual Loans**

	For the three months ended										
	March 31,										
(in thousands)		2013		2012							
Balance, beginning of the period	\$	99,787	\$	112,448							
Loans placed on nonaccrual		3,846		19,732							
Recoveries (Charge-offs)		5,834		(11,126)							
Loans returned to accrual status		(11,112)		(206)							
Repayments (including interest applied to principal)		(14,697)		(5,603)							
Transfers to OREO		(383)		(2,016)							
Balance, end of the period	\$	83,275	\$	113,229							

In addition to loans disclosed above as past due or nonaccrual, management has also identified \$36.6 million of loans to 19 borrowers as of April 29, 2013, where the ability to comply with the present loan payment terms in the future is questionable. However, the inability of the borrowers to comply with repayment terms was not sufficiently probable to place the loan on nonaccrual status at March 31, 2013, and the identification of these loans is not necessarily indicative of whether the loans will be placed on nonaccrual status. This amount was determined based on analysis of information known to management about the borrowers financial condition and current economic conditions. In the 2012 Form 10-K, the Company reported that management had identified \$18.8 million of loans to 21 borrowers where the ability to comply with the loan payment terms in the future was questionable. Management s classification of credits as nonaccrual, restructured or problems does not necessarily indicate that the principal is uncollectible in whole or part.

### Other Real Estate Owned

The following tables provide a summary of OREO activity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	For the three months ended March 31, 2013							For the three months ended March 31, 2012							
(in thousands)	N	on-Covered OREO		Covered OREO		Total	N	lon-Covered OREO		Covered OREO		Total			
Balance, beginning of period	\$	21,027	\$	58,276	\$	79,303	\$	30,790	\$	98,550	\$	129,340			
Additions		382		9,293		9,675		2,217		6,075		8,292			
Sales		(1,391)		(20,783)		(22,174)		(2,877)		(18,362)		(21,239)			
Valuation adjustments		(232)		(3,035)		(3,267)		(1,056)		(7,807)		(8,863)			
Balance, end of period	\$	19,786	\$	43,751	\$	63,537	\$	29,074	\$	78,456	\$	107,530			

OREO was \$63.5 million at March 31, 2013, \$79.3 million at December 31, 2012 and \$107.5 million at March 31, 2012, respectively. The OREO balance at March 31, 2013 includes covered OREO of \$43.8 million compared with \$58.3 million at December 31, 2012 and \$78.5 million at March 31, 2012. The balance of OREO at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012 is net of valuation allowances of \$28.7 million, \$33.6 million and \$36.4 million, respectively.

The Company recognized \$1.1 million in total net gain on the sale of OREO in the first quarter of 2013, compared with \$2.9 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$2.2 million in the year-earlier quarter. Net gain on the sale of OREO in the first quarter of 2013 included \$1.0 million of net gain related to the sale of covered OREO, compared to \$2.6 million in the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$2.1 million in the year-earlier quarter.

## Table of Contents

Covered OREO expenses and valuation write-downs are recorded in the noninterest expense section of the consolidated statements of income and gains or losses on sale of covered OREO are recognized in the noninterest income section. Under the loss sharing agreements, 80 percent of eligible covered OREO expenses, valuation write-downs, and losses on sales are reimbursable to the Company from the FDIC and 80 percent of covered gains on sales are payable to the FDIC. The portion of these expenses that is reimbursable or income that is payable is recorded in FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net in the noninterest income section of the consolidated statements of income.

#### Other Assets

The following table presents information on other assets:

(in thousands)	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2012
Accrued interest receivable	\$ 71,142	\$ 70,359	\$ 67,381
Deferred compensation fund assets	70,389	62,993	60,689
Stock in government agencies	84,051	90,039	103,762
Private equity and alternative investments	35,511	36,091	40,083
Bank-owned life insurance	83,586	82,935	81,025
Derivative assets	59,055	67,496	57,891
Income tax receivable	37,175	59,578	39,345
Prepaid FDIC assessment	16,270	20,857	33,117
FDIC receivable	6,147	9,485	22,919
Equipment on operating leases, net	25,474	24,763	
Other	87,779	76,564	76,013
Total other assets	\$ 576,579	\$ 601,160	\$ 582,225

### **Deposits**

Deposits totaled \$22.94 billion, \$23.50 billion and \$20.79 billion at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. Average deposits totaled \$22.41 billion for the first quarter of 2013, a decrease of 4 percent from \$23.39 billion for the fourth quarter of 2012 and an increase of 11 percent from \$20.22 billion for the first quarter of 2012. Core deposits, which include noninterest-bearing deposits and interest-bearing deposits excluding time deposits of \$100,000 and over, provide a stable source of low cost funding. Average core deposits were \$21.82 billion, \$22.78 billion and \$19.52 billion for the quarters ended March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively, and represented 97 percent of total deposits for each period. Average noninterest-bearing deposits in the first quarter of 2013 decreased 6 percent from the fourth quarter of 2012 and increased 21 percent from the year-earlier quarter, respectively.

Treasury Services deposit balances, which consists primarily of title, escrow, community association and property management deposits, averaged \$2.27 billion in the first quarter of 2013, compared with \$2.43 billion in the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$1.98 billion for the first quarter of 2012. The decrease in Treasury Services deposits from the fourth quarter of 2012 was due to traditional seasonal business patterns. The growth in Treasury Services deposits from the year-earlier quarter reflects an increase in residential refinance activity and sales of existing homes.

#### **Borrowed Funds**

Total borrowed funds were \$1.51 billion, \$2.13 billion and \$704.8 million at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. Total average borrowed funds were \$2.29 billion, \$931.7 million and \$863.0 million for the quarters ended March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

Short-term borrowings consist of funds with remaining maturities of one year or less. Short-term borrowings were \$806.8 million as of March 31, 2013 compared to \$1.42 billion as of December 31, 2012 and \$222.8 million as of March 31, 2012. Short-term borrowings at March 31, 2013 consist primarily of federal funds purchased.

## Table of Contents

Long-term debt consists of borrowings with remaining maturities greater than one year and is primarily comprised of senior notes, subordinated debt, junior subordinated debt and nonrecourse debt. Long-term debt was \$703.0 million, \$706.1 million and \$482.0 million as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. The Company s long-term borrowings have maturity dates ranging from April 2014 to November 2034.

#### Off-Balance Sheet

In the normal course of business, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and letters of credit; and to invest in affordable housing funds, private equity and other alternative investments. These instruments involve elements of credit, foreign exchange, and interest rate risk, to varying degrees, in excess of the amount reflected in the consolidated balance sheets.

Exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments, and will evaluate each client s creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a client as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since a portion of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company had off-balance sheet credit commitments totaling \$6.93 billion at March 31, 2013, \$6.48 billion at December 31, 2012 and \$5.96 billion at March 31, 2012.

Standby letters of credit are commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the obligations of its customer to beneficiaries. Commercial letters of credit are issued on behalf of customers to ensure payment in connection with trade transactions. The Company had \$784.4 million in letters of credit at March 31, 2013, of which \$679.0 million relate to standby letters of credit and \$105.4 million relate to commercial letters of credit. The Company had \$765.0 million outstanding in letters of credit at December 31, 2012, of which \$659.2 million relate to standby letters of credit and \$105.8 million relate to commercial letters of credit.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company had private equity fund and alternative investment fund commitments of \$67.9 million, of which \$60.5 million was funded. As of December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, the Company had private equity and alternative investment fund commitments of \$68.9 million, of which \$61.3 million and \$58.3 million was funded, respectively.

#### Fair Value Measurements

Management employs market standard valuation techniques in determining the fair value of assets and liabilities. Inputs used in valuation techniques are based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The Company utilizes quoted market

prices to measure fair value to the extent available (Level 1). If market prices are not available, fair value measurements are based on models that use primarily market-based assumptions including interest rate yield curves, anticipated prepayment rates, default rates and foreign currency rates (Level 2). In certain circumstances, market observable inputs for model-based valuation techniques may not be available and the Company is required to make judgments about assumptions that market participants would use in estimating the fair value of a financial instrument (Level 3). Refer to Note 3, *Fair Value Measurements*, to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on fair value measurements.

At March 31, 2013, \$7.85 billion, or approximately 29 percent, of the Company s total assets were recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The majority of these financial assets were valued using Level 1 or Level 2 inputs. Less than one percent of total assets is measured using Level 3 inputs. At March 31, 2013, \$117.2 million of the Company s total liabilities were recorded at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 2 or Level 3 inputs.

At March 31, 2013, \$21.3 million of the Company s total assets were recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These assets were measured using Level 2 and Level 3 inputs. No liabilities were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at March 31, 2013.

#### **Table of Contents**

## Capital

The ratio of period-end equity to period-end assets was 9.32 percent, 8.75 percent and 9.15 percent as of March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. Period-end common shareholders equity to period-end assets was 8.70 percent, 8.16 percent and 9.15 percent for the same periods, respectively.

The following table presents the regulatory standards for well capitalized institutions and the capital ratios for the Corporation and the Bank at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012:

	Regulatory Well-Capitalized Standards	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	March 31, 2012
City National Corporation				
Tier 1 leverage		6.72%	6.60%	6.98%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	6.00%	9.64	9.41	10.20
Total risk-based capital	10.00	12.71	12.52	12.71
Tangible common equity to tangible assets (1)		6.35	5.89	7.13
Tier 1 common equity to risk-based assets (2)		8.71	8.47	10.17
City National Bank				
Tier 1 leverage	5.00%	6.98%	6.92%	8.24%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	6.00	10.01	9.88	12.03
Total risk-based capital	10.00	13.04	12.93	14.44

<sup>(1)</sup> Tangible common equity to tangible assets is a non-GAAP financial measure that represents total common equity less identifiable intangible assets and goodwill divided by total assets less identifiable assets and goodwill. Management reviews tangible common equity to tangible assets in evaluating the Company s capital levels and has included this ratio in response to market participants interest in tangible common equity as a measure of capital. See reconciliation of the GAAP financial measure to this non-GAAP financial measure below.

## Reconciliation of GAAP financial measure to non-GAAP financial measure:

	March 31,	December 31,	March 31,	
(in thousands)	2013	2012	2012	

<sup>(2)</sup> Tier 1 common equity to risk-based assets is calculated by dividing (a) Tier 1 capital less non-common components including qualifying noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries and qualifying trust preferred securities by (b) risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 capital and risk-weighted assets are calculated in accordance with applicable bank regulatory guidelines. This ratio is a non-GAAP measure that is used by investors, analysts and bank regulatory agencies to assess the capital position of financial services companies. Management reviews this measure in evaluating the Company s capital levels and has included this measure in response to market participants interest in the Tier 1 common equity to risk-based assets ratio. See reconciliation of the GAAP financial measure to this non-GAAP financial measure below.

Common equity	\$ 2,386,969 \$	2,335,398 \$	2,199,565
Less: Goodwill and other intangible assets	(688,829)	(690,761)	(521,717)
Tangible common equity (A)	\$ 1,698,140 \$	1,644,637 \$	1,677,848
Total assets	\$ 27,433,754 \$	28,618,492 \$	24,038,489
Less: Goodwill and other intangible assets	(688,829)	(690,761)	(521,717)
Tangible assets (B)	\$ 26,744,925 \$	27,927,731 \$	23,516,772
Tangible common equity to tangible assets (A)/(B)	6.35%	5.89%	7.13%
Tier 1 capital	\$ 1,818,367 \$	1,753,312 \$	1,616,099
Less: Preferred stock	(169,920)	(169,920)	
Less: Trust preferred securities	(5,155)	(5,155)	(5,155)
Tier 1 common equity (C)	\$ 1,643,292 \$	1,578,237 \$	1,610,944
Risk-weighted assets (D)	\$ 18,872,451 \$	18,627,165 \$	15,839,944
Tier 1 common equity to risk-based assets (C)/(D)	8.71%	8.47%	10.17%

## Table of Contents

In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Bank Supervision ( BCBS ) published the final version of the Capital Accord commonly referred to as Basel III. A key goal of the Basel III agreement is to strengthen the capital resources of banking organizations during normal and challenging business environments. The standards established in the Capital Accord will be implemented by the governing regulatory agencies of the participating nations. These standards must also be integrated with the safety and soundness standards required under the Dodd-Frank Act. In June 2012, United States banking regulators issued proposed standards combining Basel III and Dodd-Frank Act requirements. The proposed requirements will be phased in over several years, and will replace the previous regulatory model established under the Basel I Accord. Important elements of the Basel III standards include the following:

Increase minimum capital requirements,
 Raise the quality of capital so banks are better able to absorb losses,
 Implement a leverage ratio concept for U.S. bank holding companies,
 Establish a specific capital conservation buffer, and
 Provide a more uniform supervisory standard for U.S financial institution regulatory agencies

Although these new rules have not yet been finalized and implemented by the federal banking agencies, the Company has estimated its capital

# ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ratios using the proposed standards and the pro forma ratios exceed the requirements of the fully implemented capital rules.

### ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

Market risk results from the variability of future cash flows and earnings due to changes in the financial markets. These changes may also impact the fair values of loans, securities and borrowings. The values of financial instruments may fluctuate because of interest rate changes, foreign currency exchange rate changes or other market changes. The Company s asset/liability management process entails the evaluation, measurement and management of market risk and liquidity risk. The principal objective of asset/liability management is to optimize net interest income subject to margin volatility and liquidity constraints over the long term. Margin volatility results when the rate reset (or repricing) characteristics of assets are materially different from those of the Company s liabilities. The Board of Directors approves asset/liability policies and annually reviews and approves the limits within which the risks must be managed. The Asset/Liability Management Committee (ALCO), which is comprised of senior management and key risk management individuals, sets risk management guidelines within the broader limits approved by

the Board, monitors the risks and periodically reports results to the Board.

A quantitative and qualitative discussion about market risk is included on pages 69 to 74 of the Corporation s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk results from the mismatching of asset and liability cash flows. Funds for this purpose can be obtained in cash markets, by borrowing, or by selling certain assets. The objective of liquidity management is to manage cash flow and liquidity reserves so that they are adequate to fund the Company soperations and meet obligations and other commitments on a timely basis and at a reasonable cost. The Company achieves this objective through the selection of asset and liability maturity mixes that it believes best meet its needs. The Company soliquidity position is enhanced by its ability to raise additional funds as needed in the wholesale markets. Liquidity risk management is an important element in the Company solic ALCO process, and is managed within limits approved by the Board of Directors and guidelines set by management. Attention is also paid to potential outflows resulting from disruptions in the financial markets or to unexpected credit events. These factors are incorporated into the Company solic contingency funding analysis, and provide the basis for the identification of primary and secondary liquidity reserves.

78

## Table of Contents

In recent years, the Company s core deposit base has provided the majority of the Company s funding requirements. This relatively stable and low-cost source of funds, along with shareholders equity, provided 87 percent of funding for average total assets in the first quarter of 2013 and 92 percent for the year-earlier period. Strong core deposits are indicative of the strength of the Company s franchise in its chosen markets and reflect the confidence that clients have in the Company. The Company places a very high priority in maintaining this confidence through conservative credit and capital management practices and by maintaining significant on-balance sheet liquidity reserves.

Funding obtained through short-term wholesale or market sources averaged \$1.48 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and \$166.4 million for the year-earlier period. The Company s liquidity position was also supported through longer-term borrowings (including the current portion of long-term debt) which averaged \$810.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared with \$696.6 million for the year-earlier period. Market sources of funds comprise a modest portion of total Bank funding and are managed within concentration and maturity guidelines reviewed by management and implemented by the Company s treasury department.

Liquidity is further provided by assets such as federal funds sold and reverse repurchase agreements, balances held at the Federal Reserve Bank, and trading securities, which may be immediately converted to cash at minimal cost. The aggregate of these assets averaged \$283.3 million for the first quarter of 2013, compared with \$176.9 million in the year-earlier period. In addition, the Company has committed and unutilized secured borrowing capacity of \$4.54 billion as of March 31, 2013 from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, of which the Bank is a member. The Company s investment portfolio also provides a substantial liquidity reserve. The portfolio of securities available-for-sale averaged \$8.34 billion and \$7.15 billion for the quarters ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The unpledged portion of securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity at fair value totaled \$8.03 billion at March 31, 2013. These securities could be used as collateral for borrowing or a portion of the securities available-for-sale could be sold.

#### Interest Rate Risk

Net Interest Simulation: As part of its overall interest rate risk management process, the Company performs stress tests on net interest income projections based on a variety of factors, including interest rate levels, changes in the relationship between the prime rate and short-term interest rates, and the shape of the yield curve. The Company uses a simulation model to estimate the severity of this risk and to develop mitigation strategies, including interest-rate hedges. The magnitude of the change is determined from historical volatility analysis. The assumptions used in the model are updated periodically and reviewed and approved by ALCO. In addition, the Board of Directors has adopted limits within which interest rate exposure must be contained. Within these broader limits, ALCO sets management guidelines to further contain interest rate risk exposure.

The Company is naturally asset-sensitive due to its large portfolio of rate-sensitive commercial loans that are funded in part by noninterest bearing and rate-stable core deposits. As a result, if there are no significant changes in the mix of assets and liabilities, the net interest margin increases when interest rates increase and decreases when interest rates decrease. The Company uses on and off-balance sheet hedging vehicles to manage risk. The Company uses a simulation model to estimate the impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income. Interest rate scenarios include stable rates and a 400 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve occurring gradually over a two-year period. The model is used to project net interest income assuming no changes in loans or deposit mix as it stood at March 31, 2013, as well as a dynamic simulation that includes changes to balance sheet mix in response to changes in interest rates. In the dynamic simulation, loan and deposit balances are modeled based on experience in previous vigorous economic recovery cycles. Loans, excluding covered loans, increase 10 percent per year compared to the base case. Similarly, deposits decline 5 percent per year. Loan yields and deposit rates change over the simulation horizon based on current spreads and adjustment factors that are statistically derived using historical rate and balance sheet data.

As of March 31, 2013, the Federal funds target rate was at a range of zero percent to 0.25 percent. Further declines in interest rates are not expected to significantly reduce earning asset yields or liability costs, nor have a meaningful effect on net interest margin. At March 31, 2013, a gradual 400 basis point parallel increase in the yield curve over the next 24 months assuming a static balance sheet would result in an increase in projected net interest income of approximately 8.7 percent in year one and a 31.9 percent increase in year two. This compares to an increase in projected net interest income of 6.3 percent in year one and a 23.1 percent increase in year two at March 31, 2012. Interest rate sensitivity has increased due to changes in the mix of the balance sheet, primarily significant growth in floating rate loans and non-rate sensitive deposits. The dynamic simulation incorporates balance sheet changes resulting from a gradual 400 basis point increase in rates. In combination, these rate and balance sheet effects result in an increase in projected net interest income of approximately 9.8 percent in year

#### **Table of Contents**

one and 33.9 percent increase in year two. The Company s interest rate risk exposure remains within Board limits and ALCO guidelines.

The Company s loan portfolio includes floating rate loans which are tied to short-term market index rates, adjustable rate loans for which the initial rate is fixed for a period from one year to as much as ten years, and fixed-rate loans whose interest rate does not change through the life of the transaction. The following table shows the composition of the Company s loan portfolio, including covered loans, by major loan category as of March 31, 2013. Each loan category is further divided into Floating, Adjustable and Fixed rate components. Floating rate loans are generally tied to either the Prime rate or to a LIBOR based index.

		Fle	oating Rate							Total
(in millions)	Prime		LIBOR	Total	A	djustable		Fixed		Loans
Commercial	\$ 2,368	\$	3,592	\$ 5,960	\$	54	\$	1,156	\$	7,170
Commercial real estate mortgages	330		1,492	1,822		58		1,057		2,937
Residential mortgages						2,585		1,443		4,028
Real estate construction	155		65	220				27		247
Home equity loans and lines of credit	681			681		1		15		697
Installment	75			75				63		138
Covered loans	50		102	152		603		197		952
Total loans and leases	\$ 3,659	\$	5,251	\$ 8,910	\$	3,301	\$	3,958	\$	16,169
Percentage of portfolio	23%		32%	55%	ó	20%	ó	25%	ó	100%

Certain floating rate loans have a floor rate which is absolute and below which the loan rate will not fall even though market rates may be unusually low. At March 31, 2013, \$8.91 billion (55 percent) of the Company s loan portfolio was floating rate, of which \$6.92 billion (78 percent) was not impacted by rate floors. This is because either the loan contract does not specify a minimum or floor rate, or because the contractual loan rate is above the minimum rate specified in the loan contract. Of the loans which were at their contractual minimum rate, \$1.39 billion (15 percent) were within 0.75 percent of the contractual loan rate absent the effects of the floor. Thus, the rate on these loans will be relatively responsive to increases in the underlying Prime or LIBOR index, and all will adjust upwards should the underlying index increase by more than 0.75 percent. Only \$54.6 million of floating rate loans have floors that are more than 2.00 percent above the contractual rate formula. Thus, the yield on the Company s floating rate loan portfolio is expected to be highly responsive to changes in market rates. The following table shows the balance of loans in the Floating Rate portfolio stratified by spread between the current loan rate and the floor rate as of March 31, 2013:

		ans with No								
		Floor and								
		ırrent Rate				rease Needed for				
	G	reater than		•		Rate to Become I	loatin	ng		
(in millions)		Floor		< 0.75%	0.76	% - 2.00%		> 2.00%		Total
Prime	\$	2,354	\$	874	\$	423	\$	8	\$	3,659
LIBOR		4,567		517		121		46		5,251
Total floating rate loans	\$	6,921	\$	1,391	\$	544	\$	54	\$	8,910
% of total floating rate loans		78%	ó	15%		6%		1%	)	100%

Economic Value of Equity: The economic value of equity (EVE) model is used to evaluate the vulnerability of the market value of shareholders equity to changes in interest rates. The EVE model calculates the expected cash flow of all of the Company s assets and liabilities under sharply higher and lower interest rate scenarios. The present value of these cash flows is calculated by discounting them using the interest rates for that scenario. The difference between the present value of assets and the present value of liabilities in each scenario is the EVE. The assumptions

about the timing of cash flows, level of interest rates and shape of the yield curve are the same as those used in the net interest income simulation. They are updated periodically and are reviewed by ALCO at least annually.

80

#### **Table of Contents**

As of March 31, 2013, an instantaneous 200 basis point increase in interest rates results in a 3.0 percent decline in EVE. This compares to a nominal change in EVE a year-earlier. The decrease is due to changes in the mix of the balance sheet resulting from the historically low interest rate environment. Measurement of a 200 basis point decrease in rates as of March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012 is not meaningful due to the current low rate environment.

#### Interest-Rate Risk Management

Interest-rate swaps may be used to reduce cash flow variability and to moderate changes in the fair value of long-term financial instruments. Net interest income or expense associated with interest-rate swaps (the difference between the fixed and floating rates paid or received) is included in net interest income in the reporting periods in which they are earned. All derivatives are recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at their fair value. The treatment of changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the character of the transaction. The table below provides the notional amount and fair values of the Company s interest-rate swaps designated as hedging instruments at December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012. The Company had no interest rate swap hedge transactions at March 31, 2013.

	N	Iarch 31, 20	13		Dec	embe	r 31, 20	12		M	larch :	31, 2012	2
	Notional	Fair	Duration	No	tional	F	air	Duration	No	otional	F	air	Duration
(in millions) (1)	Amount	Value	(Years)	Aı	nount	Va	alue	(Years)	A	mount	Va	alue	(Years)
Fair Value Hedge Interest Rate Swap													
Long-term and subordinated debt	\$	\$		\$	205.5	\$	2.2		\$	205.9	\$	8.1	0.8

<sup>(1)</sup> Net fair value is the estimated net gain (loss) to settle derivative contracts. The net fair value is the sum of the mark-to-market asset net of cash collateral received, mark-to-market liability (if applicable), and net interest receivable or payable.

The interest rate swap hedge transactions at December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012 were designated as fair value hedges of long-term debt, and matured on the same date as the Company s senior notes in February 2013.

The Company has not entered into any hedge transactions involving any other interest-rate derivative instruments, such as interest-rate floors, caps, and interest-rate futures contracts for its own portfolio in 2013. Under existing policy, the Company could use such financial instruments in the future if deemed appropriate.

### Other Derivatives

The Company also offers various derivative products to clients and enters into derivative transactions in due course. These derivative contracts are offset by paired trades with unrelated bank counterparties. These transactions are not linked to specific Company assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets or to forecasted transactions in a hedge relationship and, therefore, do not qualify for hedge accounting. The contracts are marked-to-market each reporting period with changes in fair value recorded as part of Other noninterest income in the consolidated statements of income. Fair values are determined from verifiable first-party sources that have considerable experience with the derivative

markets. The Company provides client data to the first-party source for purposes of calculating the credit valuation component of the fair value measurement of client derivative contracts. At March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had entered into derivative contracts with clients (and offsetting derivative contracts with counterparties) having a notional balance of \$2.55 billion and \$1.80 billion, respectively.

### Counterparty Risk and Collateral

Interest-rate swap agreements involve the exchange of fixed and variable-rate interest payments based upon a notional principal amount and maturity date. The Company s interest-rate swaps had \$0.2 million, \$1.6 million and \$4.0 million of credit risk exposure at March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. The credit exposure represents the cost to replace, on a present value basis and at current market rates, all contracts outstanding by trading counterparty having an aggregate positive market value, net of margin collateral received. The Company s swap agreements require the deposit of cash or marketable debt securities as collateral for this risk if it exceeds certain market value thresholds. These requirements apply individually to the Corporation and to the Bank. No collateral had been received from swap counterparties at March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2012, collateral valued at \$4.8 million in the form of securities had been received from swap counterparties. The Company delivered collateral valued at \$40.1 million on swap agreements at March 31, 2013.

Tabl	e c	of C	ont'	ents

#### Market Risk Foreign Currency Exchange

The Company enters into foreign-exchange contracts with its clients and counterparty banks primarily for the purpose of offsetting or hedging clients transaction and economic exposures arising out of commercial transactions. The Company s policies also permit taking proprietary currency positions within certain approved limits. The Company actively manages its foreign exchange exposures within prescribed risk limits and controls. At March 31, 2013, the Company s outstanding foreign exchange contracts, both proprietary and for customer accounts, totaled \$350.4 million. The mark-to-market on foreign exchange contracts included in other assets and other liabilities totaled \$2.3 million and \$1.9 million at March 31, 2013, respectively.

### ITEM 4. CONTROL AND PROCEDURES

#### DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company has evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act )). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

## INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

82

## Table of Contents

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

For a discussion of risk factors relating to the Company s business, refer to Item 1A of Part I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There has been no material change in the risk factors as previously disclosed in the Company s Form 10-K.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(c) Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchaser.

The information required by subsection (c) of this item regarding purchases by the Company during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 of equity securities that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act is incorporated by reference from that portion of Part I, Item 1 of the report under Note 9.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

No.	
31.1	Chief Executive Officer certification pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Chief Financial Officer certification pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

# Table of Contents

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## **CITY NATIONAL CORPORATION**

(Registrant)

**DATE**: May 9, 2013 /s

/s/ Christopher J. Carey

CHRISTOPHER J. CAREY Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

84