PERMA FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC

Form 10-K March 15, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011
or
"TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

Commission File No. 1-11596

For the transition period from _____ to ____

PERMA-FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 58-1954497
State or other jurisdiction (IRS Employer Identification Number)
of incorporation or organization

8302 Dunwoody Place, #250, Atlanta,

GA 30350 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(770) 587-9898

(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, \$.001 Par Value

NASDAQ Capital Markets

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes " No x

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes" No x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant

was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated Filer x Non-accelerated Filer " Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of the Registrant's voting and non-voting common equity held by nonaffiliates of the Registrant computed by reference to the closing sale price of such stock as reported by NASDAQ as of the last business day of the most recently completed second fiscal quarter (June 30, 2011), was approximately \$70,296,638. For the purposes of this calculation, all executive officers and directors of the Registrant (as indicated in Item 12) are deemed to be affiliates. Such determination should not be deemed an admission that such directors or officers, are, in fact, affiliates of the Registrant. The Company's Common Stock is listed on the NASDAQ Capital Markets.

As of February 25, 2012, there were 56,062,919 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.001 par value, outstanding.

Documents incorporated by reference: none		

PERMA-FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

INDEX

PART I		Page	No.
Item 1.	Business		1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors		9
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>		18
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>		19
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>		19
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosure		19
Item 4A.	Executive Officers of the Registrant		20
PART II			
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters		21
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data		24
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition And Results of Operations	25	
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk		51
	Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements		52
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data		54
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure		95
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures		95
Item 9B.	Other Information		98
PART III			
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance		98
Item 11.	Executive Compensation		103
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	127	

Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	130
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accountants' Fees and Services</u>	132
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	133

Index

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Company Overview and Principal Products and Services

Perma-Fix Environmental Services, Inc. (the Company, which may be referred to as we, us, or our), a Delaware corporation incorporated in December of 1990, is an international environmental and technology know-how company, which provides:

- o Treatment, storage, processing and disposal of mixed waste (which is waste that contains both low-level radioactive and hazardous waste), non-nuclear hazardous waste, nuclear low level, and higher activity radioactive wastes;
- o Research and development activities to identify, develop and implement innovative waste processing techniques for problematic waste streams;
 - o On-site waste management services to commercial and government customers;
- oTechnical services which includes: (a) health physic and radiological control technician services; (b) safety and industrial hygiene services; (c) staff augmentation services providing consulting, engineering, project management, waste management, environmental, and decontamination and decommissioning field personal, technical personnel, and management and services to commercial and government customers; and (d) consulting engineering services including air, water, and hazardous waste permitting, air, soil, and water sampling, compliance reporting, emission reduction strategies, compliance auditing, and various compliance and training activities;
 - Instrumentation and measurement technologies.

On October 31, 2011, we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of Safety & Ecology Holdings Corporation ("SEHC") and its subsidiaries, Safety & Ecology Corporation ("SEC"), SEC Federal Services Corporation, Safety & Ecology Corporation Limited ("SECL" - a United Kingdom operation) and SEC Radcon Alliance, LLC ("SECRA", which we own 75%), (Collectively "SEC") pursuant to that certain Stock Purchase Agreement, dated July 15, 2011 ("Purchase Agreement"), between the Company, Homeland Capital Security Corporation ("Homeland") and SEHC. SEC is an international provider of environmental, hazardous and radiological remediation infrastructure upgrades and nuclear energy services. SEC provides remediation of nuclear and mixed waste materials for the U.S. government and other commercial customers.

Pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement, upon closing of the Purchase Agreement, certain security holders of Homeland ("Management Investors") purchased 813,007 restricted shares of the Company's Common Stock. The purchase of the Company's Common Stock was pursuant to a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act") or Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under the Act.

We have grown through acquisitions and internal growth. Our goal is to continue focus on the efficient operation of our facilities and on-site activities, continue to evaluate strategic acquisitions, and to continue the research and development of innovative technologies to treat nuclear waste, mixed waste, and industrial waste. Our core business includes services provided by our two segments, Nuclear Treatment and Nuclear Services, as described below.

We service research institutions, commercial companies, public utilities, and governmental agencies nationwide, including the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE") and U.S. Department of Defense ("DOD"). The distribution channels for our services are through direct sales to customers or via intermediaries.

Our executive offices are located at 8302 Dunwoody Place, Suite 250, Atlanta, Georgia 30350.

Website access to Company's reports

Our internet website address is www.perma-fix.com. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to section 13(a) or

15(d) of the Exchange Act are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"). Additionally, we make available free of charge on our internet website:

Index

- our Code of Ethics:
- the charter of our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee;
 - our Anti-Fraud Policy;
 - the charter of our Audit Committee.

Segment Information and Foreign and Domestic Operations and Export Sales

The Company has two reportable segments. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 280, "Segment Reporting", we define an operating segment as:

- a business activity from which we may earn revenue and incur expenses;
- whose operating results are regularly reviewed by the Chief Operating Officer to make decisions about resources to be allocated and assess its performance; and
 - for which discrete financial information is available.

As a result of the acquisition of SEC, the Company made structure and reporting changes to its internal organization and changes to its operating segments to create better consistency, greater coordination and enhanced communication. This restructuring better aligns the internal management and functional support assets based on company service offerings. Such restructuring also provides a functionally supported matrix management approach which better supports resource allocation by our chief operating decision maker and optimizes performance assessment. These changes resulted in the Company's new reporting segments: Treatment Segment and Services Segment, which are described below. All of the historical segment numbers presented in the Form 10-K have been recast to conform to this change in reportable segments.

TREATMENT SEGMENT reporting includes:

- -nuclear, low-level radioactive, mixed (waste containing both hazardous and low-level radioactive constituents), hazardous and non-hazardous waste treatment, processing and disposal services primarily through four uniquely licensed (Nuclear Regulatory Commission or state equivalent) and permitted (Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") or state equivalent) treatment and storage facilities: Perma-Fix of Florida, Inc. ("PFF"), Diversified Scientific Services, Inc., ("DSSI"), Perma-Fix Northwest Richland, Inc. ("PFNWR"), and East Tennessee Materials & Energy Corporation ("M&EC"). The presence of nuclear and low-level radioactive constituents within the waste streams processed by this segment creates different and unique operational, processing and permitting/licensing requirements; and
- -research and development activities to identify, develop and implement innovative waste processing techniques for problematic waste streams.

For 2011, the Treatment Segment accounted for \$65,838,000 or 55.5% of total revenue from continuing operations, as compared to \$53,363,000 or 54.6% of total revenue from continuing operations for 2010 and \$54,785,000 or 59.3% of total revenue from continuing operations for 2009. See "– Dependence Upon a Single or Few Customers" and "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" for further details and a discussion as to our Segments' contracts with the federal government or with others as a subcontractor to the federal government.

SERVICES SEGMENT reporting includes:

- On-site waste management services to commercial and government customers;

Technical services which include:

ohealth physic and radiological control technician services providing both field support as well as professional technical support to commercial and government customers;

Index

- osafety and industrial hygiene services providing field support and professional technical support to commercial and government customers;
- ostaff augmentation services providing consulting, engineering, project management, waste management, environmental, and decontamination and decommissioning field personnel, technical personnel, management and services to commercial and government customers; and
- oconsulting engineering services (through our Schreiber, Yonley & Associates subsidiary "SYA") providing consulting environmental services to industrial and government customers:
- §including air, water, and hazardous waste permitting, air, soil and water sampling, compliance reporting, emission reduction strategies, compliance auditing, and various compliance and training activities; and
 - § engineering and compliance support to other segments.
- -A company owned equipment calibration and maintenance laboratory that services, maintains and calibrates health physics and industrial hygiene instrumentation.

Our Services Segment includes a foreign operation, Safety & Ecology Corporation Limited ("SECL" - a United Kingdom corporation) located in Blaydon On Tyne, England, which we acquired on October 31, 2011. Revenue from this operation was approximately \$30,000 or .03% of our consolidated revenue from continuing operations during 2011.

For 2011, the Services Segment accounted for \$52,774,000 or 44.5% of total revenue from continuing operations, as compared to \$44,427,000 or 45.4% of total revenue from continuing operations for 2010 and \$37,608,000 or 40.7% of total revenue from continuing operations for 2009. Of the total revenues for 2011 in this segment, \$10,669,000 was attributable to the activities of SEHC and its subsidiaries for November and December 2011. See "– Dependence Upon a Single or Few Customers" and "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" for further details and a discussion as to our Segments' contracts with the federal government or with others as a subcontractor to the federal government.

Our segments exclude the Corporate and Operation Headquarters, which do not generate revenue, and our discontinued operations: Perma-Fix of Michigan Inc. ("PFMI"), Perma-Fix of Pittsburgh, Inc. ("PFP"), and Perma-Fix of Memphis, Inc. ("PFM"), three non-operational facilities which were approved as discontinued operations by our Board of Director effective November 8, 2005, October 4, 2004, and March 12, 1998, respectively; Perma-Fix of Maryland, Inc. ("PFMD"), Perma-Fix of Dayton, Inc. ("PFD"), and Perma-Fix Treatment Services, Inc. ("PFTS"), which were divested in January 2008, March 2008, and May 2008, respectively; and Perma-Fix of Fort Lauderdale, Inc. ("PFFL"), Perma-Fix of Orlando, Inc., ("PFO"), and Perma-Fix of South Georgia, Inc. ("PFSG"), which were reclassified as discontinued operations in October 2010. On August 12, 2011, we completed the sale of PFFL pursuant to the terms of a Stock Purchase Agreement, dated June 13, 2011. On October 14, 2011, we completed the sale of substantially all of the assets of PFO, pursuant to the terms of an Asset Purchase Agreement, dated August 12, 2011.

Importance of Patents, Trademarks and Proprietary Technology

We do not believe we are dependent on any particular trademark in order to operate our business or any significant segment thereof. We have received registration to May 2012 and December 2020, for the service marks "Perma-Fix Environmental Services" and "Perma-Fix", respectively.

We are active in the research and development ("R&D") of technologies that allow us to address certain of our customers' environmental needs. To date, our R&D efforts have resulted in the granting of ten active patents and the filing of several pending patent applications. These ten active patents have remaining life ranging from approximately eight to thirteen years. Our flagship technology, the Perma-Fix Process, is a proprietary, cost effective, treatment technology that converts hazardous waste into non-hazardous material. Subsequently, we developed the Perma-Fix II process, a multi-step treatment process that converts hazardous organic components into non-hazardous material. The Perma-Fix II process is particularly important to our mixed waste strategy.

Index

The Perma-Fix II process is designed to remove certain types of organic hazardous constituents from soils or other solids and sludges ("Solids") through a water-based system. Until development of this Perma-Fix II process, we were not aware of a relatively simple and inexpensive process that would remove the organic hazardous constituents from Solids without elaborate and expensive equipment or expensive treating agents. Due to the organic hazardous constituents involved, the disposal options for such materials are limited, resulting in high disposal cost when there is a disposal option available. By reducing the organic hazardous waste constituents in the Solids to a level where the Solids meet Land Disposal Requirements, the generator's disposal options for such waste are substantially increased, allowing the generator to dispose of such waste at substantially less cost. We began commercial use of the Perma-Fix II process in 2000. However, changes to current environmental laws and regulations could limit the use of the Perma-Fix II process or the disposal options available to the generator. See "—Permits and Licenses" and "—Research and Development."

Permits and Licenses

Waste management service companies are subject to extensive, evolving and increasingly stringent federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations. Such federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations govern our activities regarding the treatment, storage, processing, disposal and transportation of hazardous, non-hazardous and radioactive wastes, and require us to obtain and maintain permits, licenses and/or approvals in order to conduct certain of our waste activities. Failure to obtain and maintain our permits or approvals would have a material adverse effect on us, our operations, and financial condition. The permits and licenses have terms ranging from one to ten years, and provided that we maintain a reasonable level of compliance, renew with minimal effort, and cost. Historically, there have been no compelling challenges to the permit and license renewals. We believe that these permit and license requirements represent a potential barrier to entry for possible competitors.

PFF, located in Gainesville, Florida, operates its hazardous, mixed and low-level radioactive waste activities under a RCRA ("Resource Conservation and Recovery Act") Part B permit, Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA") authorization, Restricted RX Drug Distributor-Destruction license, and a radioactive materials license issued by the State of Florida.

DSSI, located in Kingston, Tennessee, conducts mixed and low-level radioactive waste storage and treatment activities under RCRA Part B permits and a radioactive materials license issued by the State of Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation. Co-regulated TSCA Polychlorinated Biphenyl ("PCB") wastes are also managed for PCB destruction under EPA Approval effective June 2008.

M&EC, located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, performs hazardous, low-level radioactive and mixed waste storage and treatment operations under a RCRA Part B permit and a radioactive materials license issued by the State of Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation. Co-regulated TSCA PCB wastes are also managed under EPA Approvals applicable to site-specific treatment units.

PFNWR, located in Richland, Washington, operates its mixed and low-level radioactive waste activities under a RCRA Part B permit, TSCA authorization, and a radioactive materials license issued by the State of Washington and the EPA.

The combination of a RCRA Part B hazardous waste permit, TSCA authorization, and a radioactive materials license, as held by PFF, DSSI M&EC, and PFNWR are very difficult to obtain for a single facility and make these facilities unique.

Seasonality

The DOE and DOD represent major customers for our Treatment Segment and Services Segment. For our Treatment Segment, in conjunction with the federal government's September 30 fiscal year-end, the Treatment Segment

historically experienced seasonably large shipments during the third quarter, leading up to the federal government's fiscal year-end, as a result of incentives and other quota requirements. Correspondingly for a period of approximately three months following September 30, this segment generally slows down, as the government budgets are still being finalized, planning for the new year is occurring, and we enter the holiday season. This trend generally continues into the first quarter of the new year as federal government entities evaluate their spending priorities. Because government spending is contingent upon its annual budget and allocation of funding, we cannot provide assurance that we will not have large fluctuations in the quarters in the near future.

Index

Our Services Segment generally experiences a seasonal slowdown during the winter months as heavy construction projects are typically performed in the early Spring to late Fall months, winter weather conditions delay work at project sites, and our technical services experience reduced activities and related billable hours throughout the November and December holiday period.

Backlog

The Treatment Segment of our Company maintains a backlog of stored waste, which represents waste that has not been processed. The backlog is principally a result of the timing and complexity of the waste being brought into the facilities and the selling price per container. As of December 31, 2011, our Treatment Segment had a backlog of approximately \$14,609,000, as compared to approximately \$6,876,000 as of December 31, 2010. Additionally, the time it takes to process waste from the time it arrives may increase due to the types and complexities of the waste we are currently receiving. We typically process our backlog during periods of low waste receipts, which historically has been in the first or fourth quarter.

Dependence Upon a Single or Few Customers

Our segments have significant relationships with the federal government, and continue to enter into contracts, directly as the prime contractor or indirectly as a subcontractor, with the federal government. The contracts that we are a party to with the federal government or with others as a subcontractor to the federal government generally provide that the government may terminate or renegotiate the contracts on 30 days notice, at the government's election. Our inability to continue under existing contracts that we have with the federal government (directly or indirectly as a subcontractor) could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition.

We performed services relating to waste generated by the federal government, either directly as a prime contractor or indirectly as a subcontractor (including CHPRC as discussed below) to the federal government, representing approximately \$100,165,000 or 84.4% of our total revenue from continuing operations during 2011, as compared to \$80,275,000 or 82.1% of our total revenue from continuing operations during 2010, and \$75,013,000 or 81.2% of our total revenue from continuing operations during 2009.

During the second quarter of 2008, we were awarded a subcontract by CHPRC, a general contractor to the DOE, to participate in the cleanup of the central portion of the Hanford Site located in the state of Washington. This subcontract is a cost plus award fee contract and provides, among other things, a base period from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2013, and an option period from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2018. We believe full operations under this subcontract will result in total revenues to us for on-site and off-site work of approximately \$200,000,000 to \$250,000,000 over the five year base period. As provided above, M&EC's subcontract is terminable or subject to renegotiation, at the option of the government, on 30 days notice. Three other subcontracts that our subsidiaries have with CHPRC have been renegotiated and extended through September 30, 2013. Revenues from CHPRC totaled \$59,136,000 or 49.9%, \$51,929,000 or 53.1%, and \$45,169,000 or 48.8%, of our total revenue from continuing operations for twelve months ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Competitive Conditions

The Treatment Segment's largest competitor is EnergySolutions. At present, EnergySolutions' Clive, Utah facility is one of the few radioactive disposal sites for commercially generated waste in the country in which our Nuclear Treatment Segment can dispose of its nuclear waste. If EnergySolutions should refuse to accept our nuclear and mixed waste or cease operations at its Clive, Utah facility, such would have a material adverse effect on us for commercial wastes. However, with the recent radioactive disposal license granted to Waste Control Specialists ("WCS") located in Andrews, Texas, this risk could be reduced as WCS brings its disposal site online in 2012. The Treatment Segment treats and disposes of DOE generated wastes largely at DOE owned sites. Smaller competitors are also present in the market place; however, they do not present a significant challenge at this time. Our Treatment Segment currently solicits business primarily on a North American basis with both government and commercial clients;

however, we are focusing on emerging international markets for future work.

Index

The permitting and licensing requirements, and the cost to obtain such permits, are barriers to the entry of hazardous waste and radioactive and mixed waste activities as presently operated by our waste treatment subsidiaries. If the permit requirements for hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal ("TSD") activities and/or the licensing requirements for the handling of low level radioactive matters are eliminated or if such licenses or permits were made less rigorous to obtain, such would allow companies to enter into these markets and provide greater competition.

Our Services Segment is engaged in highly competitive businesses in which a number of our government contracts and some of our commercial contracts are awarded through competitive bidding processes. The extent of such competition varies according to the industries and markets in which our customers operate as well as the geographic areas in which we operate. The degree and type of competition we face is also often influenced by the type of projects for which our Services Segment competes, especially projects subject to the governmental bid process. For international business, competition among competitors that are not encountered in our domestic business makes work in foreign countries more challenging. Some of the competitors are larger and possess greater resources and technical abilities than we do, which may give them an advantage when bidding for certain projects. Competition also places downward pressure on our contract bid prices and profit margins. Intense competition is expected to continue for government environmental service contracts, which may provide challenge to our ability to maintain strong growth rates and acceptable profit margins. If our Services Segment is unable to meet these competitive challenges, it could lose market share and experience an overall reduction in its profits.

Capital Spending, Certain Environmental Expenditures and Potential Environmental Liabilities Capital Spending

During 2011, our purchases of capital equipment totaled approximately \$2,303,000. These expenditures were for improvements to operations primarily within the Treatment Segment. These capital expenditures were funded by the cash provided by operating activities. We have budgeted approximately \$2,681,000 for 2012 capital expenditures for our segments to expand our operations into new markets, reduce the cost of waste processing and handling, expand the range of wastes that can be accepted for treatment and processing, and to maintain permit compliance requirements. Certain of these budgeted projects are discretionary and may either be delayed until later in the year or deferred altogether. We have traditionally incurred actual capital spending totals for a given year less than the initial budget amount. The initiation and timing of projects are also determined by financing alternatives or funds available for such capital projects.

Environmental Liabilities

We have four remediation projects, which are currently in progress at certain of our discontinued facilities. These remediation projects principally entail the removal/remediation of contaminated soil and, in some cases, the remediation of surrounding ground water.

In June 1994, we acquired PFD, which we divested in March 2008. Prior to our acquisition of PFD in 1994, the former owners of PFD had merged Environmental Processing Services, Inc. ("EPS") with PFD. In acquiring PFD in 1994, we were indemnified by the seller for costs associated with remediating the property leased by EPS ("Leased Property"). Such remediation involves soil and/or groundwater restoration. The Leased Property used by EPS to operate its facility was separate and apart from the property on which PFD's facility was located. Upon the sale of substantially all of the assets of PFD in March 2008, we retained the environmental liability of PFD as it related only to the remediation of the EPS site. A Revised Closure Plan, submitted to Ohio Environmental Protection Agency in 2010, was recently approved. Installation of the final remedy will begin in the third quarter of 2012. We have accrued approximately \$359,000, at December 31, 2011, for the estimated, remaining costs of remediating the Leased Property, which will extend approximately over the next six years.

Index

In conjunction with our acquisition of Perma-Fix of Memphis, Inc. ("PFM"), we assumed and recorded certain liabilities to remediate gasoline contaminated groundwater and investigate potential areas of soil contamination on PFM's property. Prior to our ownership of PFM, the owners installed monitoring and treatment equipment to restore the groundwater to acceptable standards in accordance with federal, state and local authorities. In 2008, we completed all soil remediation with the exception of that associated with the groundwater contamination. In addition, we installed wells and equipment associated with groundwater remediation. In 2011, remediation of the remaining contaminated soil was completed leaving only treatment of the aquifer. We have accrued approximately \$89,000 at December 31, 2011, for closure which we anticipate spending over the next five years.

In conjunction with the acquisition of PFSG, we initially recognized an environmental accrual of \$2,200,000 for estimated long-term costs to remove contaminated soil and to undergo groundwater remediation activities at the acquired facility in Valdosta, Georgia. The remedial activities began in 2003. We have accrued approximately \$1,497,000 at December 31, 2011, to complete remediation of the facility, which we anticipate spending over approximately the next ten years.

As a result of the discontinued operations at the PFMI facility in 2004, we were required to complete certain closure and remediation activities pursuant to our RCRA permit, which were completed in January 2006. During 2006, based on state-mandated criteria, we began implementing a modified methodology to remediate the facility. We have completed the remediation activities. In 2010, as required under a Consent Order, a closure plan was submitted, which is currently under final review, with approval expected in 2012. As of December 31, 2011, we have \$57,000 accrued for minor items. It is anticipated that closure activities, with the exception of post-closure monitoring, will be completed in 2012.

No insurance or third party recovery was taken into account in determining our cost estimates or reserves, nor do our cost estimates or reserves reflect any discount for present value purposes.

The nature of our business exposes us to significant risk of liability for damages. Such potential liability could involve, for example, claims for cleanup costs, personal injury or damage to the environment in cases where we are held responsible for the release of hazardous materials; claims of employees, customers or third parties for personal injury or property damage occurring in the course of our operations; and claims alleging negligence or professional errors or omissions in the planning or performance of our services. In addition, we could be deemed a responsible party for the costs of required cleanup of any property, which may be contaminated by hazardous substances generated or transported by us to a site we selected, including properties owned or leased by us. We could also be subject to fines and civil penalties in connection with violations of regulatory requirements.

Research and Development

Innovation and technical know-how by our operations is very important to the success of our business. Our goal is to discover, develop and bring to market innovative ways to process waste that address unmet environmental needs. We conduct research internally, and also through collaborations with other third parties. The majority of our research activities are performed as we receive new and unique waste to treat. We feel that our investments in research have been rewarded by the discovery of the Perma-Fix Process and the Perma-Fix II process. Our competitors also devote resources to research and development and many such competitors have greater resources at their disposal than we do. We have estimated that during 2011, 2010, and 2009, we spent approximately \$1,502,000, \$921,000, and \$609,000, respectively, in Company-sponsored research and development activities.

Number of Employees

In our service-driven business, our employees are vital to our success. We believe we have good relationships with our employees. As of December 31, 2011, we employed 921 employees, of which 862 are full-time employees, 50 are temporary employees and 9 are part-time employees. Approximately 80 full-time employees are unionized and

covered by a collective bargaining agreement which expires on March 31, 2012 and 40 of the temporary employees are unionized and are covered by a collective bargaining agreement which expires on September 30, 2016.

Index

Governmental Regulation

Environmental companies and their customers are subject to extensive and evolving environmental laws and regulations by a number of national, state and local environmental, safety and health agencies, the principal of which being the EPA. These laws and regulations largely contribute to the demand for our services. Although our customers remain responsible by law for their environmental problems, we must also comply with the requirements of those laws applicable to our services. We cannot predict the extent to which our operations may be affected by future enforcement policies as applied to existing laws or by the enactment of new environmental laws and regulations. Moreover, any predictions regarding possible liability are further complicated by the fact that under current environmental laws we could be jointly and severally liable for certain activities of third parties over whom we have little or no control. Although we believe that we are currently in substantial compliance with applicable laws and regulations, we could be subject to fines, penalties or other liabilities or could be adversely affected by existing or subsequently enacted laws or regulations. The principal environmental laws affecting our customers and us are briefly discussed below.

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended ("RCRA")

RCRA and its associated regulations establish a strict and comprehensive permitting and regulatory program applicable to hazardous waste. The EPA has promulgated regulations under RCRA for new and existing treatment, storage and disposal facilities including incinerators, storage and treatment tanks, storage containers, storage and treatment surface impoundments, waste piles and landfills. Every facility that treats, stores or disposes of hazardous waste must obtain a RCRA permit or must obtain interim status from the EPA, or a state agency, which has been authorized by the EPA to administer its program, and must comply with certain operating, financial responsibility and closure requirements.

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA," also referred to as the "Superfund Act")

CERCLA governs the cleanup of sites at which hazardous substances are located or at which hazardous substances have been released or are threatened to be released into the environment. CERCLA authorizes the EPA to compel responsible parties to clean up sites and provides for punitive damages for noncompliance. CERCLA imposes joint and several liabilities for the costs of clean up and damages to natural resources.

Health and Safety Regulations

The operation of our environmental activities is subject to the requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA") and comparable state laws. Regulations promulgated under OSHA by the Department of Labor require employers of persons in the transportation and environmental industries, including independent contractors, to implement hazard communications, work practices and personnel protection programs in order to protect employees from equipment safety hazards and exposure to hazardous chemicals.

Atomic Energy Act

The Atomic Energy Act of 1954 governs the safe handling and use of Source, Special Nuclear and Byproduct materials in the U.S. and its territories. This act authorized the Atomic Energy Commission (now the Nuclear Regulatory Commission "USNRC") to enter into "Agreements with States to carry out those regulatory functions in those respective states except for Nuclear Power Plants and federal facilities like the VA hospitals and the DOE operations." The State of Florida (with the USNRC oversight), Office of Radiation Control, regulates the radiological program of the PFF facility, and the State of Tennessee (with the USNRC oversight), Tennessee Department of Radiological Health, regulates the radiological program of the DSSI and M&EC facilities. The State of Washington (with the USNRC oversight) Department of Health, regulates the radiological operations of the PFNWR facility.

Other Laws

Our activities are subject to other federal environmental protection and similar laws, including, without limitation, the Clean Water Act, the Clean Air Act, the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act and the Toxic Substances Control Act. Many states have also adopted laws for the protection of the environment which may affect us, including laws governing the generation, handling, transportation and disposition of hazardous substances and laws governing the investigation and cleanup of, and liability for, contaminated sites. Some of these state provisions are broader and more stringent than existing federal law and regulations. Our failure to conform our services to the requirements of any of these other applicable federal or state laws could subject us to substantial liabilities which could have a material adverse effect on us, our operations and financial condition. In addition to various federal, state and local environmental regulations, our hazardous waste transportation activities are regulated by the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Interstate Commerce Commission and transportation regulatory bodies in the states in which we operate. We cannot predict the extent to which we may be affected by any law or rule that may be enacted or enforced in the future, or any new or different interpretations of existing laws or rules.

Index

Insurance

We believe we maintain insurance coverage adequate for our needs and similar to, or greater than, the coverage maintained by other companies of our size in the industry. There can be no assurances, however, that liabilities, which we may incur will be covered by our insurance or that the dollar amount of such liabilities, which are covered will not exceed our policy limits. Under our insurance contracts, we usually accept self-insured retentions, which we believe is appropriate for our specific business risks. We are required by EPA regulations to carry environmental impairment liability insurance providing coverage for damages on a claims-made basis in amounts of at least \$1,000,000 per occurrence and \$2,000,000 per year in the aggregate. To meet the requirements of customers, we have exceeded these coverage amounts.

In June 2003, we entered into a 25-year finite risk insurance policy with Chartis, a subsidiary of American International Group, Inc. ("AIG"), which provides financial assurance to the applicable states for our permitted facilities in the event of unforeseen closure. Prior to obtaining or renewing operating permits, we are required to provide financial assurance that guarantees to the states that in the event of closure, our permitted facilities will be closed in accordance with the regulations. The policy provides a maximum \$39,000,000 of financial assurance coverage. As of December 31, 2011, our total financial coverage under our finite risk policy totals approximately \$36,541,000.

In August 2007, we entered into a second finite risk insurance policy for our PFNWR facility, which we acquired in June 2007, with Chartis, a subsidiary of AIG. The policy provides an initial \$7,800,000 of financial assurance coverage with annual growth rate of 1.5% which at the end of the four year term provides a maximum coverage of \$8,200,000. On July 31, 2011, the policy was renewed for an additional year which required a \$46,000 fee. We have the option to renew this policy annually going forward with a similar fee which will be determined at the time of renewal. All other terms of the policy remain substantially unchanged.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following are certain risk factors that could affect our business, financial performance, and results of operations. These risk factors should be considered in connection with evaluating the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K, as the forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, and actual results and conditions could differ materially from the current expectations. Investing in our securities involves a high degree of risk, and before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider these risk factors as well as other information we include or incorporate by reference in the other reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission").

Risks Relating to our Operations

Failure to maintain our financial assurance coverage that we are required to have in order to operate our permitted treatment, storage and disposal facilities could have a material adverse effect on us.

A subsidiary of AIG, Chartis, provides our finite risk insurance policies which provide financial assurance to the applicable states for our permitted facilities in the event of unforeseen closure of those facilities. We are required to provide and to maintain financial assurance that guarantees to the state that in the event of closure, our permitted facilities will be closed in accordance with the regulations. Our initial policy provides a maximum of \$39,000,000 of financial assurance coverage. We also maintain a financial assurance policy for our PFNWR facility, which provides a maximum coverage of \$8,200,000. In the event that we are unable to obtain or maintain our financial assurance coverage for any reason, this could materially impact our operations and our permits which we are required to have in order to operate our treatment, storage, and disposal facilities

Index

If we cannot maintain adequate insurance coverage, we will be unable to continue certain operations.

Our business exposes us to various risks, including claims for causing damage to property and injuries to persons that may involve allegations of negligence or professional errors or omissions in the performance of our services. Such claims could be substantial. We believe that our insurance coverage is presently adequate and similar to, or greater than, the coverage maintained by other companies in the industry of our size. If we are unable to obtain adequate or required insurance coverage in the future, or if our insurance is not available at affordable rates, we would violate our permit conditions and other requirements of the environmental laws, rules, and regulations under which we operate. Such violations would render us unable to continue certain of our operations. These events would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

The inability to maintain existing government contracts or win new government contracts over an extended period could have a material adverse effect on our operations and adversely affect our future revenues.

A material amount of our segments' revenues are generated through various U.S. government contracts or subcontracts involving the U.S. government. Our revenues from governmental contracts and subcontracts relating to governmental facilities within our segments were approximately \$100,165,000 or 84.4% and \$80,275,000 or 81.2%, of our consolidated operating revenues from continuing operations for 2011 and 2010, respectively. Most of our government contracts or our subcontracts granted under government contracts are awarded through a regulated competitive bidding process. Some government contracts are awarded to multiple competitors, which increase overall competition and pricing pressure and may require us to make sustained post-award efforts to realize revenues under these government contracts. All contracts with, or subcontracts involving, the federal government are terminable, or subject to renegotiation, by the applicable governmental agency on 30 days notice, at the option of the governmental agency. In addition, when we acquired SEHC, a subsidiary of SEHC was in default or breach under a certain contract with an agency of the federal government as a result of such subsidiary's failure to perform in a timely manner under such contract. After our acquisition of SEHC, we are attempting to correct such default or breach. If we fail to maintain or replace these relationships, or if a material contract is terminated or renegotiated in a manner that is materially adverse to us, or if we are unable to satisfy the government as to the failures of SEHC's subsidiary under its contract, our revenues and future operations could be materially adversely affected.

If our consolidation strategy is not successful, our operations and financial condition could be adversely affected. One of our strategies is to increase our revenues, the range of products and services that we offer and the markets that we serve through acquisitions. On October 31, 2011, we completed the acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries. Although our management endeavors to evaluate the risks inherent in any particular acquisition candidate, we may not properly ascertain all of such risks. Management may not succeed in selecting acquisition candidates that will be profitable or that can be integrated successfully. We will seek to improve the profitability and increase the revenues of acquired businesses by various means, including combining administrative functions, eliminating redundant operations, and implementing system and technology improvements. Our ability to increase revenues will be affected by various factors, including our ability to maintain and win new contracts with the federal government, satisfactorily resolve certain issues involving certain subsidiaries of SEHC under certain contracts, expand the products and services offered to the customers of acquired companies, develop national accounts and attract and retain a sufficient number of employees to perform the Company's services. There can be no assurance that the Company's internal growth strategies will be successful.

Our existing and future customers may reduce or halt their spending on nuclear services with outside vendors, including us.

A variety of factors may cause our existing or future customers (including the federal government) to reduce or halt their spending on nuclear services from outside vendors, including us. These factors include, but are not limited to:

Index

- accidents, terrorism, natural disasters or other incidents occurring at nuclear facilities or involving shipments of nuclear materials:
- failure of the federal government to approve necessary budgets, or to reduce the amount of the budget necessary, to fund remediation of DOE and DOD sites;
 - civic opposition to or changes in government policies regarding nuclear operations; or
 - a reduction in demand for nuclear generating capacity; or
 - failure to perform under existing contracts, directly or indirectly, with the federal government.

These events could result in or cause the federal government to terminate or cancel its existing contracts involving us to treat, store or dispose of contaminated waste and/or to perform remediation projects, at one or more of the federal sites since all contracts with, or subcontracts involving, the federal government are terminable upon or subject to renegotiation at the option of the government on 30 days notice. These events also could adversely affect us to the extent that they result in the reduction or elimination of contractual requirements, lower demand for nuclear services, burdensome regulation, disruptions of shipments or production, increased operational costs or difficulties or increased liability for actual or threatened property damage or personal injury.

Economic downturns and/or reductions in government funding could have a material negative impact on our businesses.

Demand for our services has been, and we expect that demand will continue to be, subject to significant fluctuations due to a variety of factors beyond our control, including economic conditions, inability of the federal government to adopt its budget or reductions in the budget for spending to remediate federal sites due to numerous reasons, including, without limitation, the substantial deficits that the federal government has and is continuing to incur. During economic downturns and large budget deficits that the federal government and many states are experiencing, the ability of private and government entities to spend on nuclear services may decline significantly. Our operations depend, in large part, upon governmental funding, particularly funding levels at the DOE. Significant reductions in the level of governmental funding (for example, the annual budget of the DOE) or specifically mandated levels for different programs that are important to our business could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The loss of one or a few customers could have an adverse effect on us.

One or a few governmental customers or governmental related customers have in the past, and may in the future, account for a significant portion of our revenue in any one year or over a period of several consecutive years. Because customers generally contract with us for specific projects, we may lose these significant customers from year to year as their projects with us are completed. Our inability to replace the business with other projects could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

As a government contractor, we are subject to extensive government regulation, and our failure to comply with applicable regulations could subject us to penalties that may restrict our ability to conduct our business.

Our governmental contracts, which are primarily with the DOE or subcontracts relating to DOE sites, are a significant part of our business. Allowable costs under U.S. government contracts are subject to audit by the U.S. government. If these audits result in determinations that costs claimed as reimbursable are not allowed costs or were not allocated in accordance with applicable regulations, we could be required to reimburse the U.S. government for amounts previously received.

Governmental contracts or subcontracts involving governmental facilities are often subject to specific procurement regulations, contract provisions and a variety of other requirements relating to the formation, administration, performance and accounting of these contracts. Many of these contracts include express or implied certifications of compliance with applicable regulations and contractual provisions. If we fail to comply with any regulations, requirements or statutes, our existing governmental contracts or subcontracts involving governmental facilities could

be terminated or we could be suspended from government contracting or subcontracting. If one or more of our governmental contracts or subcontracts are terminated for any reason, or if we are suspended or debarred from government work, we could suffer a significant reduction in expected revenues and profits. Furthermore, as a result of our governmental contracts or subcontracts involving governmental facilities, claims for civil or criminal fraud may be brought by the government or violations of these regulations, requirements or statutes.

Index

Loss of certain key personnel could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our success depends on the contributions of our key management, environmental and engineering personnel, especially Dr. Louis F. Centofanti, Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer. The loss of Dr. Centofanti could have a material adverse effect on our operations, revenues, prospects, and our ability to raise additional funds. Our future success depends on our ability to retain and expand our staff of qualified personnel, including environmental specialists and technicians, sales personnel, and engineers. Without qualified personnel, we may incur delays in rendering our services or be unable to render certain services. We cannot be certain that we will be successful in our efforts to attract and retain qualified personnel as their availability is limited due to the demand for hazardous waste management services and the highly competitive nature of the hazardous waste management industry. We do not maintain key person insurance on any of our employees, officers, or directors.

Changes in environmental regulations and enforcement policies could subject us to additional liability and adversely affect our ability to continue certain operations.

We cannot predict the extent to which our operations may be affected by future governmental enforcement policies as applied to existing laws, by changes to current environmental laws and regulations, or by the enactment of new environmental laws and regulations. Any predictions regarding possible liability under such laws are complicated further by current environmental laws which provide that we could be liable, jointly and severally, for certain activities of third parties over whom we have limited or no control.

The refusal to accept our waste for disposal by, or a closure of, the end disposal site that our Treatment Segment utilizes to dispose of its waste could subject us to significant risk and limit our operations.

Our Treatment Segment has limited options available for disposal of its waste. There is only one disposal site for our low level radioactive waste we receive from non-governmental sites. If this disposal site ceases to accept waste or closes for any reason or refuses to accept the waste of our Treatment Segment, for any reason, we could have nowhere to dispose of our nuclear waste or have significantly increased costs from disposal alternatives. With nowhere to dispose of our nuclear waste, we would be subject to significant risk from the implications of storing the waste on our site, and we would have to limit our operations to accept only waste that we can dispose of. A second low-level radioactive disposal site is scheduled to be operational in 2012, and when this new disposal site becomes operational, we do not believe that we will be as dependent on the current disposal site.

Our businesses subject us to substantial potential environmental liability.

Our business of rendering services in connection with management of waste, including certain types of hazardous waste, low-level radioactive waste, and mixed waste (waste containing both hazardous and low-level radioactive waste), subjects us to risks of liability for damages. Such liability could involve, without limitation:

- claims for clean-up costs, personal injury or damage to the environment in cases in which we are held responsible for the release of hazardous or radioactive materials; and
- •claims of employees, customers, or third parties for personal injury or property damage occurring in the course of our operations; and
- claims alleging negligence or professional errors or omissions in the planning or performance of our services.

Our operations are subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations. We have in the past, and could in the future, be subject to substantial fines, penalties, and sanctions for violations of environmental laws and substantial expenditures as a responsible party for the cost of remediating any property which may be contaminated by hazardous substances generated by us and disposed at such property, or transported by us to a site selected by us, including properties we own or lease.

Index

As our operations expand, we may be subject to increased litigation, which could have a negative impact on our future financial results.

Our operations are highly regulated and we are subject to numerous laws and regulations regarding procedures for waste treatment, storage, recycling, transportation, and disposal activities, all of which may provide the basis for litigation against us. In recent years, the waste treatment industry has experienced a significant increase in so-called "toxic-tort" litigation as those injured by contamination seek to recover for personal injuries or property damage. We believe that, as our operations and activities expand, there will be a similar increase in the potential for litigation alleging that we have violated environmental laws or regulations or are responsible for contamination or pollution caused by our normal operations, negligence or other misconduct, or for accidents, which occur in the course of our business activities. Such litigation, if significant and not adequately insured against, could adversely affect our financial condition and our ability to fund our operations. Protracted litigation would likely cause us to spend significant amounts of our time, effort, and money. This could prevent our management from focusing on our operations and expansion.

Our operations are subject to seasonal factors, which cause our revenues to fluctuate.

We have historically experienced reduced revenues and losses during the first and fourth quarters of our fiscal years due to a seasonal slowdown in operations from poor weather conditions, overall reduced activities during these periods resulting from holiday periods, and finalization of government budgets during the fourth quarter of each year. During our second and third fiscal quarters there has historically been an increase in revenues and operating profits. If we do not continue to have increased revenues and profitability during the second and third fiscal quarters, this could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity.

If environmental regulation or enforcement is relaxed, the demand for our services will decrease.

The demand for our services is substantially dependent upon the public's concern with, and the continuation and proliferation of, the laws and regulations governing the treatment, storage, recycling, and disposal of hazardous, non-hazardous, and low-level radioactive waste. A decrease in the level of public concern, the repeal or modification of these laws, or any significant relaxation of regulations relating to the treatment, storage, recycling, and disposal of hazardous waste and low-level radioactive waste would significantly reduce the demand for our services and could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition. We are not aware of any current federal or state government or agency efforts in which a moratorium or limitation has been, or will be, placed upon the creation of new hazardous or radioactive waste regulations that would have a material adverse effect on us; however, no assurance can be made that such a moratorium or limitation will not be implemented in the future.

We and our customers operate in a politically sensitive environment, and the public perception of nuclear power and radioactive materials can affect our customers and us.

We and our customers operate in a politically sensitive environment. Opposition by third parties to particular projects can limit the handling and disposal of radioactive materials. Adverse public reaction to developments in the disposal of radioactive materials, including any high profile incident involving the discharge of radioactive materials, could directly affect our customers and indirectly affect our business. Adverse public reaction also could lead to increased regulation or outright prohibition, limitations on the activities of our customers, more onerous operating requirements or other conditions that could have a material adverse impact on our customers' and our business.

We may be exposed to certain regulatory and financial risks related to climate change.

Climate change is receiving ever increasing attention from scientists and legislators alike. The debate is ongoing as to the extent to which our climate is changing, the potential causes of this change and its potential impacts. Some attribute global warming to increased levels of greenhouse gases, including carbon dioxide, which has led to significant legislative and regulatory efforts to limit greenhouse gas emissions.

Presently there are no federally mandated greenhouse gas reduction requirements in the United States. However, there are a number of legislative and regulatory proposals to address greenhouse gas emissions, which are in various phases of discussion or implementation. The outcome of federal and state actions to address global climate change could result in a variety of regulatory programs including potential new regulations. Any adoption by federal or state governments mandating a substantial reduction in greenhouse gas emissions could increase costs associated with our operations. Until the timing, scope and extent of any future regulation becomes known, we cannot predict the effect on our financial position, operating results and cash flows.

Index

We may not be successful in winning new business mandates from our government and commercial customers.

We must be successful in winning mandates from our government and commercial customers to replace revenues from projects that are nearing completion and to increase our revenues. Our business and operating results can be adversely affected by the size and timing of a single material contract.

The elimination or any modification of the Price-Anderson Acts indemnification authority could have adverse consequences for our business.

The Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or the AEA, comprehensively regulates the manufacture, use, and storage of radioactive materials. The Price-Anderson Act supports the nuclear services industry by offering broad indemnification to DOE contractors for liabilities arising out of nuclear incidents at DOE nuclear facilities. That indemnification protects DOE prime contractor, but also similar companies that work under contract or subcontract for a DOE prime contract or transporting radioactive material to or from a site. The indemnification authority of the DOE under the Price-Anderson Act was extended through 2025 by the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

Under certain conditions, the Price-Anderson Act's indemnification provisions may not apply to our processing of radioactive waste at governmental facilities, and do not apply to liabilities that we might incur while performing services as a contractor for the DOE and the nuclear energy industry. If an incident or evacuation is not covered under Price-Anderson Act indemnification, we could be held liable for damages, regardless of fault, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. If such indemnification authority is not applicable in the future, our business could be adversely affected if the owners and operators of new facilities fail to retain our services in the absence of commercial adequate insurance and indemnification.

We are engaged in highly competitive businesses and typically must bid against other competitors to obtain major contracts.

We are engaged in highly competitive business in which most of our government contracts and some of our commercial contracts are awarded through competitive bidding processes. We compete with national and regional firms with nuclear services practices, as well as small or local contractors. Some of our competitors have greater financial and other resources than we do, which can give them a competitive advantage. In addition, even if we are qualified to work on a new government contract, we might not be awarded the contract because of existing government policies designed to protect certain types of businesses and underrepresented minority contractors. Competition also places downward pressure on our contract prices and profit margins. Intense competition is expected to continue for nuclear service contracts. If we are unable to meet these competitive challenges, we could lose market share and experience on overall reduction in our profits.

Our failure to maintain our safety record could have an adverse effect on our business.

Our safety record is critical to our reputation. In addition, many of our government and commercial customers require that we maintain certain specified safety record guidelines to be eligible to bid for contracts with these customers. Furthermore, contract terms may provide for automatic termination in the event that our safety record fails to adhere to agreed-upon guidelines during performance of the contract. As a result, our failure to maintain our safety record could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be unable to utilize loss carryforwards in the future.

We have approximately \$6,091,000 and \$27,718,000 in net operating loss carryforwards which will expire from 2012 to 2021 if not used against future federal and state income tax liabilities, respectively. Our net loss carryforwards are subject to various limitations. Our ability to use the net loss carryforwards depends on whether we are able to generate sufficient income in the future years. Further, our net loss carryforwards have not been audited or approved by the Internal Revenue Service.

Index

If our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets become impaired we may be required to record a significant charge to earnings.

Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP"), we review our amortizable intangible assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually. Factors that may be considered a change in circumstances, indicating that the carrying value of our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets may not be recoverable, include a decline in stock price and market capitalization, reduced future cash flow estimates, and slower growth rates in our industry. We may be required to record a significant charge in our financial statements during the period in which any impairment of our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets is determined, negatively impacting our results of operations.

We bear the risk of cost overruns in fixed-price contracts. We may experience reduced profits or, in some cases, losses under these contracts if costs increase above our estimates.

A percentage of our revenues are earned under contracts that are fixed-price in nature. Fixed-price contracts expose us to a number of risks not inherent in cost-reimbursable contracts. Under fixed price and guaranteed maximum-price contracts, contract prices are established in part on cost and scheduling estimates which are based on a number of assumptions, including assumptions about future economic conditions, prices and availability of labor, equipment and materials, and other exigencies. If these estimates prove inaccurate, or if circumstances change such as unanticipated technical problems, difficulties in obtaining permits or approvals, changes in local laws or labor conditions, weather delays, cost of raw materials or our suppliers' or subcontractors' inability to perform, cost overruns may occur and we could experience reduced profits or, in some cases, a loss for that project. Errors or ambiguities as to contract specifications can also lead to cost-overruns. Prior to our acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries, several subsidiaries of SEHC experienced substantial cost overruns in several of its fixed price contracts, which cost overruns may not be recoverable, in whole or in part.

Adequate bonding is necessary for us to win certain types of new work.

We are often required to provide performance bonds or other financial assurances to customers under fixed-price contracts, primarily within our Nuclear Services Segment. These surety instruments indemnify the customer if we fail to perform our obligations under the contract. If a bond is required for a particular project and we are unable to obtain it due to insufficient liquidity or other reasons, we may not be able to pursue that project. We currently have a bonding facility but, the issuance of bonds under that facility is at the surety's sole discretion. Moreover, due to events that affect the insurance and bonding markets generally, bonding may be more difficult to obtain in the future or may only be available at significant additional cost. There can be no assurance that bonds will continue to be available to us on reasonable terms. Our inability to obtain adequate bonding and, as a result, to bid on new work could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We could have material weaknesses in our Internal Control over Financial Reporting ("ICFR"), resulting from our acquisition.

On October 31, 2011, we completed the acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries. We have been advised that Homeland determined prior to our acquisition of SEC that SEC had a material weakness in its ICFR because material information was omitted in determining whether contracts were in a loss position and there was a reasonable possibility such missing information would have caused a material misstatement. Additionally, our initial reviews of internal controls for SEC, since its acquisition, have resulted in the identification of certain internal control deficiencies. Management has taken steps to remediate these deficiencies, but there has not been enough time to fully assess the effectiveness of SEC's ICFR. If we fail to remediate internal control deficiencies identified for SEC and/or fail to remediate future deficiencies identified from our continued assessment, there could be a reasonable possibility that a misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected in a timely manner.

Index

Our financial condition could be harmed if SEHC and its subsidiaries that we recently acquired failed to comply with applicable laws, rules or regulations, default or breach under its contractual obligations or have other undisclosed liabilities.

Any business that we may acquire may be, or have been, subject to many of the same laws and regulations to which our business is subject and possibly to others, including environmental laws and regulations and other laws and regulations impacting companies that do business with federal, state and local governments. If SEHC and its subsidiaries, which we recently acquired, have not conducted their businesses in compliance with applicable laws and regulations or have not complied with their contractual obligations, we may suffer material adverse consequences, such as significant fines, termination of contracts or payment of damages. SEHC and its subsidiaries may have other undisclosed liabilities that we did not discover during the acquisition process that could result in liability to us or other unanticipated problems, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

As a result of our acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries, SEHC and its subsidiaries no longer qualify as a small business and are unable to take advantage of opportunities available to a small business.

Having a small business status provides a company with certain competitive advantages in obtaining certain contracts. SEHC and its subsidiaries qualified as a small business prior to our acquisition that it was able to obtain prior to our acquisition. Due to our acquisition, SEHC and its subsidiaries no longer qualify as a small business, which could have a material adverse effect on SEHC's and its subsidiaries' ability to obtain certain contracts that it was able to obtain prior to our acquisition.

Risks Relating to our Intellectual Property

If we cannot maintain our governmental permits or cannot obtain required permits, we may not be able to continue or expand our operations.

We are a waste management company. Our business is subject to extensive, evolving, and increasingly stringent federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations. Such federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations govern our activities regarding the treatment, storage, recycling, disposal, and transportation of hazardous and non-hazardous waste and low-level radioactive waste. We must obtain and maintain permits or licenses to conduct these activities in compliance with such laws and regulations. Failure to obtain and maintain the required permits or licenses would have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition. If any of our facilities are unable to maintain currently held permits or licenses or obtain any additional permits or licenses which may be required to conduct its operations, we may not be able to continue those operations at these facilities, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

We believe our proprietary technology is important to us.

We believe that it is important that we maintain our proprietary technologies. There can be no assurance that the steps taken by us to protect our proprietary technologies will be adequate to prevent misappropriation of these technologies by third parties. Misappropriation of our proprietary technology could have an adverse effect on our operations and financial condition. Changes to current environmental laws and regulations also could limit the use of our proprietary technology.

Risks Relating to our Financial Position and Need for Financing

Breach of financial covenants in existing credit facility could result in a default, triggering repayment of outstanding debt under the credit facility.

Our credit facility with our bank contains financial covenants. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our credit facility triggering our lender to immediately require the repayment of all outstanding debt under our credit facility and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. In the past, none of our covenants have been restrictive to our operations. If we fail to meet our loan covenants in the future and our lender does not

waive the non-compliance or revise our covenant so that we are in compliance, our lender could accelerate the repayment of borrowings under our credit facility. In the event that our lender accelerates the payment of our borrowings, we may not have sufficient liquidity to repay our debt under our credit facility and other indebtedness.

Index

Our amount of debt could adversely affect our operations.

At December 31, 2011, our aggregate consolidated debt was approximately \$19,048,000. Our Amended and Restated Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement, dated October 31, 2011 ("Amended Loan Agreement") provides for an aggregate commitment of \$43,500,000, consisting of a \$25,000,000 revolving line of credit, a term loan of \$16,000,000, and an equipment line of credit up to \$2,500,000. The maximum we can borrow under the revolving part of the Credit Facility is based on a percentage of the amount of our eligible receivables outstanding at any one time. As of December 31, 2011, we had no borrowings under the revolving part of our Credit Facility and borrowing availability of up to an additional \$15,382,000 based on our outstanding eligible receivables. A substantial amount of our outstanding borrowings as of December 31, 2011, was in connection with payment of the cash portion of the consideration in the acquisition of SEHC. A lack of operating results could have material adverse consequences on our ability to operate our business. Our ability to make principal and interest payments, or to refinance indebtedness, will depend on both our and our subsidiaries' future operating performance and cash flow. Prevailing economic conditions, interest rate levels, and financial, competitive, business, and other factors affect us. Many of these factors are beyond our control.

Risks Relating to our Common Stock

Issuance of substantial amounts of our Common Stock could depress our stock price.

Any sales of substantial amounts of our Common Stock in the public market could cause an adverse effect on the market price of our Common Stock and could impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional equity securities. The issuance of our Common Stock will result in the dilution in the percentage membership interest of our stockholders and the dilution in ownership value. As of December 31, 2011, we had 56,030,038 shares of Common Stock outstanding (which excludes 38,210 treasury shares).

In addition, as of December 31, 2011, we had outstanding options to purchase 3,039,833 shares of Common Stock at exercise prices from \$1.42 to \$2.98 per share. Further, our preferred share rights plan and the shelf registration statement, if either is triggered, could result in the issuance of a substantial amount of our Common Stock. The existence of this quantity of rights to purchase our Common Stock under the preferred share rights plan and/or the shelf registration could result in a significant dilution in the percentage ownership interest of our stockholders and the dilution in ownership value. Future sales of the shares issuable could also depress the market price of our Common Stock.

We do not intend to pay dividends on our Common Stock in the foreseeable future.

Since our inception, we have not paid cash dividends on our Common Stock, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our Credit Facility prohibits us from paying cash dividends on our Common Stock.

The price of our Common Stock may fluctuate significantly, which may make it difficult for our stockholders to resell our Common Stock when a stockholder wants or at prices a stockholder finds attractive.

The price of our Common Stock on the Nasdaq Capital Markets constantly changes. We expect that the market price of our Common Stock will continue to fluctuate. This may make it difficult for our stockholders to resell the Common Stock when a stockholder wants or at prices a stockholder finds attractive.

Future issuance or potential issuance of our Common Stock could adversely affect the price of our Common Stock, our ability to raise funds in new stock offerings, and dilute our shareholders percentage interest in our Common Stock.

Future sales of substantial amounts of our Common Stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect prevailing trading prices of our Common Stock, and impair our ability to raise capital through future offerings of equity. No prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, that future issuances or sales of

shares of Common Stock or the availability of shares of Common Stock for future issuance, will have on the trading price of our Common Stock. Such future issuances could also significantly reduce the percentage ownership and dilute the ownership value of our existing common stockholders.

Index

Delaware law, certain of our charter provisions, our stock option plans, outstanding warrants and our Preferred Stock may inhibit a change of control under circumstances that could give you an opportunity to realize a premium over prevailing market prices.

We are a Delaware corporation governed, in part, by the provisions of Section 203 of the General Corporation Law of Delaware, an anti-takeover law. In general, Section 203 prohibits a Delaware public corporation from engaging in a "business combination" with an "interested stockholder" for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. As a result of Section 203, potential acquirers may be discouraged from attempting to effect acquisition transactions with us, thereby possibly depriving our security holders of certain opportunities to sell, or otherwise dispose of, such securities at above-market prices pursuant to such transactions. Further, certain of our option plans provide for the immediate acceleration of, and removal of restrictions from, options and other awards under such plans upon a "change of control" (as defined in the respective plans). Such provisions may also have the result of discouraging acquisition of us.

We have authorized and unissued 10,780,129 (which include outstanding options to purchase 3,039,833 shares of our Common Stock, outstanding warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of our Common Stock, and up to 5,000,000 shares authorized for resale under the shelf registration statement) shares of Common Stock and 2,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock as of December 31, 2011 (which includes 600,000 shares of our Preferred Stock reserved for issuance under our preferred share rights plan). These unissued shares could be used by our management to make it more difficult, and thereby discourage an attempt to acquire control of us.

Our Preferred Share Rights Plan may adversely affect our stockholders.

In May 2008, we adopted a preferred share rights plan (the "Rights Plan"), designed to ensure that all of our stockholders receive fair and equal treatment in the event of a proposed takeover or abusive tender offer. However, the Rights Plan may also have the effect of deterring, delaying, or preventing a change in control that might otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders.

In general, under the terms of the Rights Plan, subject to certain limited exceptions, if a person or group acquires 20% or more of our Common Stock or a tender offer or exchange offer for 20% or more of our Common Stock is announced or commenced, our other stockholders may receive upon exercise of the rights (the "Rights") issued under the Rights Plan the number of shares our Common Stock or of one-one hundredths of a share of our Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$.001 per share, having a value equal to two times the purchase price of the Right. In addition, if we are acquired in a merger or other business combination transaction in which we are not the survivor or more than 50% of our assets or earning power is sold or transferred, then each holder of a Right (other than the acquirer) will thereafter have the right to receive, upon exercise, common stock of the acquiring company having a value equal to two times the purchase price of the Right. The purchase price of each Right is \$13, subject to adjustment.

The Rights will cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire us on terms not approved by our board of directors. The Rights may be redeemed by us at \$0.001 per Right at any time before any person or group acquires 20% or more of our outstanding common stock. The rights should not interfere with any merger or other business combination approved by our board of directors. The Rights expire on May 2, 2018.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal executive office is in Atlanta, Georgia. Our Operations Headquarters is located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Our Nuclear Treatment Segment facilities are located in Gainesville, Florida; Kingston, Tennessee; Oak Ridge, Tennessee, and Richland, Washington. Our Nuclear Services Segment operates subsidiaries located in Ellisville, Missouri; Knoxville, Tennessee; and Blaydon On Tyne, England, of which we lease all of the properties. We have a facility located in Valdosta, Georgia, with is included within our discontinued operations. We also maintain properties in Brownstown, Michigan and Memphis, Tennessee, which are all non-operational and are included within our discontinued operations.

Three of our facilities are subject to mortgages as granted to our senior lender (Kingston, Tennessee; Gainesville, Florida; and Richland, Washington).

The Company currently leases properties in the following locations:

Location	Square Footage	Expiration of Lease
Knoxville TN (SEC)	20,850	May 31, 2018
Knoxville TN (SEC)	11,000	September 30, 2012
Blaydon On Tyne, England (SECL)	1,000	Monthly
Pittsburgh PA (SEC)	640	Monthly
Oak Ridge TN (M&EC)	150,000	June 7, 2017
Ellisville, MO (SYA)	12,000	May 31, 2016
Oak Ridge TN (OHQ)	10,000	April 30, 2012
Atlanta, GA (Corporate)	5,800	November 30, 2013
Oak Ridge TN (SECRA)	6,300	February 28, 2013

We believe that the above facilities currently provide adequate capacity for our operations and that additional facilities are readily available in the regions in which we operate, which could support and supplement our existing facilities.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Perma-Fix of Northwest Richland, Inc. ("PFNWR")

PFNWR filed suit (PFNWR vs. Philotechnics, Ltd.) in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, asserting contract breach and seeking specific performance of the "return-of-waste clause" in the brokerage contract between a prior facility owner (now owned by PFNWR and Philotechnics, Ltd. ("Philo")), as to certain non-conforming waste Philo delivered for treatment from Philo's customer, El du Pont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont"), to the PFNWR facility, before PFNWR acquired the facility. Our complaint seeks an order that Philo: (A) specifically perform its obligations under the contract's "return-of-waste" clause by physically taking custody of and by removing the nonconforming waste, (B) pay PFNWR all additional costs of maintaining and managing the waste, and (C) pay PFNWR the cost to treat and dispose of the nonconforming waste so as to allow PFNWR to compliantly dispose of that waste offsite. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources of the Company – Financing Activities" of the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", discussing an Offset Amount offsetting against the earn-out amount relating to the claims contained in this lawsuit.

Subsidiary of SEHC

The lawsuit styled First Fidelity Lending Corp. ("First Fidelity") vs. SEC and Christopher Leichtweis ("Leichtweis", who was named our Senior Vice President upon completion of our acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries), pending in the Circuit Court for the 15th Judicial District of Palm Beach County, Florida, alleging SEC and Leichtweis breached the General Agreement of Indemnity with the surety, First Fidelity, in connection with SEC's performance bonds on certain projects, has been dismissed by First Fidelity.

ITEM 4.

MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE

Not Applicable

ITEM 4A. EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following table sets forth, as of the date hereof, information concerning our executive officers:

NAME	AGE	POSITION
Dr. Louis F. Centofanti	68	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
Mr. Ben Naccarato	49	Chief Financial Officer, Vice President, and Secretary
Mr. James A. Blankenhorn	47	Chief Operating Officer, Vice President
Mr. Robert Schreiber, Jr.	61	President of SYA, Schreiber, Yonley & Associates, a subsidiary of the
		Company, and Principal Engineer
Mr. Christopher P. Leichtweis	52	President of Safety and Ecology Corporation ("SEC"), Senior Vice
		President

Dr. Louis F. Centofanti

Dr. Centofanti has served as Board Chairman since joining the Company in February 1991. Dr. Centofanti also served as Company President and Chief Executive Officer (February 1991 to September 1995) and again in March 1996 was elected Company President and Chief Executive Officer. From 1985 until joining the Company, Dr. Centofanti served as Senior Vice President of USPCI, Inc., a large hazardous waste management company, where he was responsible for managing the treatment, reclamation and technical groups within USPCI. In 1981 he founded PPM, Inc. (later sold to USPCI), a hazardous waste management company specializing in treating PCB contaminated oils. From 1978 to 1981, Dr. Centofanti served as Regional Administrator of the U.S. Department of Energy for the southeastern region of the United States. Dr. Centofanti has a Ph.D. and a M.S. in Chemistry from the University of Michigan, and a B.S. in Chemistry from Youngstown State University.

Mr. Ben Naccarato

Mr. Naccarato has served as the Chief Financial Officer since February 26, 2009. Mr. Naccarato was appointed on October 24, 2008 by the Company's Board of Directors as the Interim Chief Financial Officer, effective November 1, 2008. Mr. Naccarato joined the Company in September 2004 and served as Vice President, Finance of the Company's Industrial Segment until May 2006, when he was named Vice President, Corporate Controller/Treasurer. Prior to joining the Company in September 2004, Mr. Naccarato was the Chief Financial Officer of Culp Petroleum Company, Inc., a privately held company in the fuel distribution and used waste oil industry from December 2002 to September 2004. Mr. Naccarato is a graduate of University of Toronto having received a Bachelor of Commerce and Finance Degree and is a Certified Management Accountant.

Mr. James A. Blankenhorn

Mr. Blankenhorn was appointed by the Company's Board of Directors on February 18, 2011 as the Company's Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Blankenhorn's employment with the Company became effective on June 1, 2011. Mr. Blankenhorn has 24 years experience in the nuclear industry supporting U. S. Department of Defense programs, and the Department of Energy's Environmental Management and National Nuclear Security Administration programs. Prior to joining Perma-Fix, Mr. Blankenhorn served in a variety of senior management positions at URS Corporation, a publicly traded Company which provides engineering, construction, and technical services for public agencies and private sectors. Most recently, he served as the deputy project manager for the West Valley Environmental Services, LLC, in western New York where he directed a staff of 360 in the deactivation, decommissioning and clean up of facilities at West Valley. From 2008 to early 2010, Mr. Blankenhorn was program director with Los Alamos National Security, LLC, responsible for the Waste Disposition Project at the Los Alamos National Laboratory where he supervised 440 people and was responsible for improving performance and achieving cost savings while developing a long term strategy for legacy wastes. Mr. Blankenhorn spent 18 years at the Westinghouse Savannah River Company. Since 1986, Mr. Blankenhorn has been an officer (recently promoted to Colonel) in the U.S. Army and Army Reserve serving in leadership positions within the U.S. Army Nuclear,

Biological, Chemical and Radiological program. Mr. Blankenhorn holds a Master of Strategic Studies from the U.S. Army War College, a Master of Science degree – Environmental/Hazardous Waste Management from National Technology University, and a Bachelor of Science degree – Chemistry from the Florida Institute of Technology.

Index

Mr. Robert Schreiber, Jr.

Mr. Schreiber has served as President of SYA since the Company acquired the environmental engineering firm in 1992. Mr. Schreiber co-founded the predecessor of SYA, Lafser & Schreiber in 1985, and held several executive roles in the firm until our acquisition of SYA. From 1978 to 1985, Mr. Schreiber was the Director of Air programs and all environmental programs for the Missouri Department of Natural Resources. Mr. Schreiber provides technical expertise in wide range of areas including the cement industry, environmental regulations and air pollution control. Mr. Schreiber has a B.S. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Missouri – Columbia.

Mr. Christopher P. Leichtweis

Mr. Leichtweis was appointed Senior Vice President of the Company and President of SEC upon the closing of the acquisition of Safety and Ecology Holdings Corporation ("SEHC") and its subsidiaries (collectively, "SEC") by the Company on October 31, 2011.

Prior to the acquisition of SEC by the Company, Mr. Leichtweis served as founder, President and CEO of SEC since 1991 and grew the domestic and international operations to more than 530 employees, eight offices, and revenues of approximately \$98,000,000 in SEC's fiscal year 2011. From 2008 to prior the acquisition, he served as President and Director of SEC's parent (public) company Homeland Security Capital Corporation, growing the parent's portfolio of three companies by 43% and expanding operations into many new commercial and federal markets.

Prior to founding SEC, Mr. Leichtweis served in various engineering and management positions at Bechtel National and Bechtel Environmental, Inc., a global Engineering and Construction Company, starting in 1985, and was a key contributor to the environmental clean-up of major federal nuclear legacy programs. He currently serves on many boards including his undergraduate University's Foundation Board (State University of New York- Brockport) and is a distinguished graduate from the University of Tennessee. Mr. Leichtweis earned a B.S. degree in Physics from SUNY Brockport in 1983, and received his MBA from the University of Tennessee in December 2003. In addition, he is a Certified Industrial Hygienist by the American Board of Industrial Hygiene. Mr. Leichtweis was nationally recognized as the Southeast United States 2005 Ernst & Young Entrepreneur of the Year award winner.

Certain Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of our executive officers.

PART II

ITEMMARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS 5.

Our Common Stock is traded on the NASDAQ Capital Markets ("NASDAQ") under the symbol "PESI". The following table sets forth the high and low market trade prices quoted for the Common Stock during the periods shown. The source of such quotations and information is the NASDAQ online trading history reports.

			2011		2010
		Low	High	Low	High
Common					-
Stock	1st Quarter	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.82	\$ 1.72	\$ 2.51
	2nd Quarter	1.28	1.57	1.51	2.38
	3rd Quarter	1.00	1.68	1.43	1.92
	4th Quarter	1.15	1.65	1.24	1.86

As of February 22, 2012, there were approximately 257 stockholders of record of our Common Stock, including brokerage firms and/or clearing houses holding shares of our Common Stock for their clientele (with each brokerage house and/or clearing house being considered as one holder). However, the total number of beneficial stockholders as of February 22, 2012, was approximately 4,260.

Index

Since our inception, we have not paid any cash dividends on our Common Stock and have no dividend policy. Our loan agreement prohibits us from paying any cash dividends on our Common Stock without prior approval from the lender. We do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our outstanding Common Stock in the foreseeable future.

No sales of unregistered securities occurred during the first three quarters of 2011. On October 31, 2011, upon the closing of the Purchase Agreement between the Company, Homeland Capital Security Corporation ("Homeland") and SEHC, pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement, certain security holders of Homeland ("Management Investors") purchased 813,007 restricted shares of the Company's Common Stock for a total consideration of approximately \$1,000,000, or \$1.23 a share, which was the average of the closing prices of the Company's Common Stock as quoted on the Nasdaq during the 30 trading days ending on the trading day immediately prior to the closing of the acquisition. The purchase of the Company's Common Stock was pursuant to a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act") or Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under the Act. There were no purchases made by us or on behalf of us or any of our affiliated members of shares of our Common Stock during 2011.

We have adopted a preferred share rights plan, which is designed to protect us against certain creeping acquisitions, open market purchases, and certain mergers and other combinations with acquiring companies. See "Item 1A. - Risk Factors – Our Preferred Share Rights Plan" as to further discussion relating to the terms of our preferred share rights plan.

Common Stock Price Performance Graph

The following Common Stock price performance graph compares the yearly change in the Company's cumulative total stockholders' returns on the Common Stock during the years 2007 through 2011, with the cumulative total return of the NASDAQ Market Index and the published industry index prepared by Morningstar and known as Morningstar Waste Management Industry Group ("Industry Index") assuming the investment of \$100 on January 1, 2007.

The stockholder returns shown on the graph below are not necessarily indicative of future performance, and we will not make or endorse any predications as to future stockholder returns.

COMPARIZON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

Assumes \$100 invested in the Company on January 1, 2007, the Industry Index and the NASDAQ Market Index, and the reinvestment of dividends. The above five-year Cumulative Total Return Graph shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this Form 10-K into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (collectively, the "Acts") or be subject to the liabilities under Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates this information by reference, and shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed under such Acts.

ITEM 6.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The financial data included in this table has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements, which have been audited by BDO USA, LLP. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with current year presentations. Amounts are in thousands (except for per share amounts). The information set forth below should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements of the Company and the notes thereto included elsewhere herein.

Statement of Operations Data:

	2011(2)	2010	2009	2008	2007(1)
Revenues	\$118,610	\$97,790	\$92,393	\$64,553	\$54,102
Income (loss) from continuing operations	11,800	3,271	9,687	(818) 517
Income (loss) from discontinued operations,					
net of taxes	777	(663) (65) 406	(9,727)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations,					
net of taxes	1,509	3/4	3/4	2,323	3/4
Net income attributable to noncontrolling					
interest	22	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4
Net income (loss) attributable to Perma-Fix					
Environmental Services, Inc. common					
stockholders	14,064	2,608	9,622	1,911	(9,210)
Income (loss) per common share attributable					
to Perma-Fix					
Environmental Services, Inc. stockholders -					
basic					
Continuing operations	.21	.06	.18	(.01) .01
Discontinued operations	.01	(.01) 3/4	.01	(.19)
Disposal of discontinued operations	.03	3/4	3/4	.04	3/4
Net income (loss) per common share	.25	.05	.18	.04	(.18)
Income (loss) per common share attributable					
to Perma-Fix					
Environmental Services, Inc. stockholders -					
diluted					
Continuing operations	.21	.06	.18	(.01) .01
Discontinued operations	.01	(.01) 3/4	.01	(.18)
Disposal of discontinued operations	.03	3/4	3/4	.04	3/4
Net income (loss) per common share	.25	.05	.18	.04	(.17)
Number of shares used in computing net					
income (loss) per common share - Basic	55,295	54,947	54,238	53,803	52,549
Number of shares and potential common					
shares used in computing net income (loss)					
per common share - Diluted	55,317	55,030	54,526	53,803	53,294
Balance Sheet Data:					
			December	•	
			31,		
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007

Edgar Filing: PERMA FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-K

Working capital (deficit)	\$11,549	\$2,329	\$1,490	\$(3,886) \$(17,154)
Total assets	164,103	125,315	126,000	123,690	126,031
Current and long-term debt	19,048	10,656	12,381	16,203	18,836
Total liabilities	69,555	46,811	51,196	60,769	66,018
Preferred Stock of subsidiary	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285
Stockholders' equity	93,263	77,219	73,519	61,636	58,728

- (1)Includes financial data of PFNWR acquired during 2007 and accounted for using the purchase method of accounting in which the results of operations are reported from the date of acquisition, June 13, 2007.
- (2)Includes financial data of SEC acquired on October 31, 2011 and accounted for using the purchase method of accounting in which the results of operations are reported from the date of acquisition, October 31, 2011.

ITEM 7.MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Certain statements contained within this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" may be deemed "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (collectively, the "Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995"). See "Special Note regarding Forward-Looking Statements" contained in this report.

Management's discussion and analysis is based, among other things, upon our audited consolidated financial statements and includes our accounts and the accounts of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, after elimination of all significant intercompany balances and transactions.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in Item 8 of this report.

As a result of the acquisition of Safety and Ecology Holdings Corporation ("SEHC") and its subsidiaries (collectively, "SEC") as discussed below, we made structure and reporting changes to our internal organization and changes to our operating segments to create better consistency, greater coordination and enhanced communication. This restructuring aligns the internal management and functional support assets based on company service offerings. Such restructuring also provides a functionally supported matrix management approach which better supports resource allocation by our chief operating decision maker and optimizes performance assessment. These changes resulted in our new reporting segments: Treatment Segment ("Treatment") and the Services Segment ("Services"). The Treatment Segment comprises of treatment, processing, and disposal services of nuclear, low-level radioactive, mixed (waste containing both hazardous and low-level radioactive constituents), hazardous and non-hazardous waste. The Services Segment comprises of on-site waste management, technical, and consulting services. As such, the reporting of financial results and pertinent discussions below are tailored to the two newly re-aligned reportable segments. All of the historical segment numbers presented in the Form 10-K have been recast to conform to this change in reportable segments

Review

We experienced significant improvement to our results in 2011 from 2010. Revenue increased \$20,820,000 or 21.3% from the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 to the corresponding period of 2011. The revenue increase included revenue of \$10,669,000 from the acquisition of SEC. Excluding revenue from this acquisition, revenue increased \$10,151,000 or 10.4% from 2010 to 2011. Treatment Segment revenue increased \$12,473,000 or 23.4% primarily due to higher waste volume. The increase in volume was partly attributed to the economic stimulus package (American Recovery and Reinvestment Act) enacted by Congress in February 2009, which provided additional funding for nuclear waste clean-up throughout the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE") complex. Services Segment revenue decreased \$2,322,000 or 5.2% primarily due to reduced revenue generated from the CH Plateau Remediation Company ("CHPRC") subcontract ("CHPRC subcontract"). We were awarded the CHPRC subcontract in the second quarter of 2008 by CHPRC, a general contractor to the DOE. This subcontract entails performing a portion of facility operations and waste management activities for the DOE Hanford, Washington Site. The revenue reduction was the result of a reduction in workforce which occurred during September 30, 2011 under the CHPRC subcontract.

Gross profit increased \$8,173,000 or 39.6%, which included gross profit of \$306,000 from the SEC acquisition. Excluding gross profit from SEC, remaining gross profit increased approximately \$7,867,000 or 38.2% primarily due to increased treatment waste volume and cost reductions resulting from the reduction in workforce which occurred in our Services Segment and Treatment Segment in March 2011 and April 2011, respectively. Excluding the Selling, General, and Administrative (SG&A) expenses of SEC of approximately \$1,044,000 as discussed below, remaining SG&A increased \$1,159,000 or 8.7% primarily due to legal expenses

incurred resulting from the SEC acquisition and higher incentive expense resulting from higher revenue and operating income.

Index

Our working capital improved by \$9,220,000 to \$11,549,000 (which includes working capital of our discontinued operations and SEC) as of December 31, 2011, from a working capital of \$2,329,000 as of December 31, 2010. The improvement in our working capital was primarily due to the increase in cash and our trade receivables from increased revenue.

On October 31, 2011, we completed the acquisition of SEC pursuant to that certain Stock Purchase Agreement, dated July 15, 2011 ("Purchase Agreement"), between us, Homeland Capital Security Corporation ("Homeland") and SEHC. SEC is an international provider of environmental, hazardous and radiological remediation infrastructure upgrades and nuclear energy services and is located in Knoxville, Tennessee. SEC provides remediation of nuclear materials for the U.S. government and other commercial customers. We acquired SEC for a total consideration of approximately \$17,885,000 determined as follows:

- (i) cash consideration of approximately \$14,885,000, after certain working capital closing adjustments. This cash consideration was reduced by approximately \$1,000,000 total consideration for our Common Stock purchased from us by certain security holders of Homeland as discussed below;
- (ii) \$2,500,000 unsecured, non-negotiable promissory note (the "Note"), bearing an annual rate of interest of 6%, payable in 36 monthly installments, which Note provides that we have the right to prepay such at any time without interest or penalty. We prepaid \$500,000 of the principal amount of the Note within 10 days of closing of the acquisition. The Note may be subject to offset of amounts Homeland owes us for indemnification for breach of, or failure to perform, certain terms and provisions of the Purchase Agreement if the Escrow Agreement has terminated pursuant to its terms or the amount held in escrow has been exhausted pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement. Under the terms of the Note, in the event of a continuing event of default under the Note, Homeland has the option to convert the unpaid portion of the Note into our restricted shares of Common Stock equal to the quotient determined by dividing the principal amount owing under the Note and all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, plus certain expenses, by the average of the closing prices per share of our Common Stock as reported by the primary national securities exchange or automatic quotation system on which our Common Stock is traded during the 30 consecutive trading day period ending on the trading day immediately prior to receipt by us of Homeland's written notice of its election to receive our Common Stock as a result of the event of default that is continuing; provided that the number of shares of our Common Stock to be issued to Homeland under the Note in the event of a continuing event of default plus the number of shares of our Common Stock issued to the Management Investors, as discussed below, shall not exceed 19.9% of the voting power of all of our voting securities issued and outstanding as of the date of the Purchase Agreement; and
- (iii) the sum of \$2,000,000 deposited in an escrow account to satisfy any claims that we may have against Homeland for indemnification pursuant to the Purchase Agreement and the Escrow Agreement, dated October 31, 2011 ("Escrow Agreement"). In January, 2012, we received \$1,500,000 of the amount deposited in the escrow account pursuant to the terms of an agreement entered into by Homeland, SEHC and us at closing of the acquisition.

Pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement, upon closing of the Purchase Agreement, certain security holders of Homeland ("Management Investors") purchased 813,007 restricted shares of our Common Stock for a total consideration of approximately \$1,000,000, or \$1.23 a share, which was the average of the closing prices of our Common Stock as quoted on the Nasdaq during the 30 trading days ending on the trading day immediately prior to the closing of the acquisition. The purchase of the our Common Stock was pursuant to a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act") or Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under the Act.

For the twelve months ending June 26, 2011, SEC's consolidated revenues and net income were \$98,000,000 and \$578,000, respectively.

Outlook

We believe demand for our services will be subject to fluctuations due to a variety of factors beyond our control, including the current economic conditions, and the manner in which the government will be required to spend funding to remediate federal sites. Our operations depend, in large part, upon governmental funding, particularly funding levels at the DOE. In addition, our governmental contracts and subcontracts relating to activities at governmental sites are subject to termination or renegotiation on 30 days notice at the government's option. Significant reductions in the level of governmental funding due to the completion of most stimulus funded projects and federal budgets driven by temporary continuing resolutions could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Results of Operations

The reporting of financial results and pertinent discussions are tailored to two reportable segments: The Treatment Segment ("Treatment") and the Services Segment ("Services"):

Below are the results of continuing operations for our years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 (amounts in thousands):

(Consolidated)	2011		%		2010		%		2009		%	
Net Revenues	\$118,610		100.0		\$97,790		100.0		\$92,393		100.0	
Cost of goods sold	89,822		75.7		77,175		78.9		67,912		73.5	
Gross Profit	28,788		24.3		20,615		21.1		24,481		26.5	
Selling, general and												
administrative	15,564		13.1		13,361		13.7		14,422		15.6	
Research and development	1,502		1.3		921		.9		609		.7	
Loss (gain) on disposal of												
property and equipment	(15)	3/4		138		.2		(7)	3/4	
Income from operations	11,737		9.9		6,195		6.3		9,457		10.2	
Interest income	58		.1		65		.1		145		.2	
Interest expense	(657)	(.6)	(755)	8.))	(1,639)	(1.8)
Interest expense – financing fees	(207)	(.2)	(412)	(.4)	(283)	(.3)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(91)	(.1)	3/4		3/4		3/4		3/4	
Other	5		3/4		24		3/4		21		3/4	
Income from continuing												
operations before taxes	10,845		9.1		5,117		5.2		7,701		8.3	
Income tax (benefit) expense	(955)	(.8)	1,846		1.9		(1,986)	(2.2)
Income from continuing												
operations	11,800		9.9		3,271		3.3		9,687		10.5	

Index

Summary - Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Net Revenue

Consolidated revenues from continuing operations increased \$20,820,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, as follows:

		%		%		%
(In thousands)	2011	Revenue	2010	Revenue	Change	Change
Treatment						
Government waste	\$30,656	25.8	\$28,346	29.0	\$2,310	8.1
CHPRC (waste processing)	19,499	16.4	9,960	10.2	9,539	95.8
Hazardous/non-hazardous	3,484	2.9	3,473	3.6	11	0.3
Other nuclear waste	12,197	10.4	11,584	11.8	613	5.3
Total	65,836	55.5	53,363	54.6	12,473	23.4
Services						
CHPRC (on-site)	39,637	33.4	41,969	42.9	(2,332) (5.6
Technical services	2,468	2.1	2,458	2.5	10	0.4
Acquisition 10/31/11 (SEC) (1)	10,669	9.0	3/4	3/4	10,669	100.0
Total	52,774	44.5	44,427	45.4	8,347	18.8
Total	\$118,610	100.0	\$97,790	100.0	\$20,820	21.3

⁽¹⁾ Includes approximately \$10,373,000 relating to services generated by the federal government, either directly (as prime contractor) or indirectly as a subcontractor to the federal government.

The Treatment Segment realized revenue growth of \$12,473,000 or 23.4% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 over the same period in 2010. Revenue from government generators (which includes revenue generated from the three existing waste processing contracts we have with CHPRC) increased by a total of \$11,849,000 or 30.9% primarily due to higher waste volume, which was partially offset by lower averaged priced waste. In the prior year, we generated revenue from the receipt and processing/disposal of higher activity waste streams received in late 2009 and 2010. Revenue from hazardous and non-hazardous waste was up slightly by \$11,000 or 0.3% primarily due to increased field service work, which was partially offset by lower waste volume. Other nuclear waste revenue increased approximately \$613,000 or 5.3% primarily due to increased waste volume which was partially reduced by lower average priced waste. Services revenue increased \$8,347,000 or 18.8% from 2010 to 2011. Total revenue within this segment included \$10,669,000 of revenue from SEC, which was acquired on October 31, 2011. Excluding the revenue of SEC, revenue from the Services Segment decreased \$2,322,000 or 5.2%. Revenue from the CHPRC subcontract, which is a cost plus award fee subcontract, decreased approximately \$2,332,000 or 5.6% primarily due to reduced headcount resulting from a reduction in workforce which occurred in September 2011 under this subcontract. The remaining revenue increase of \$10,000 within the Services Segment resulted from higher average billing rate which was mostly offset by decreased billable hours in our technical services area.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold increased \$12,647,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, as follows:

		%		%	
(In thousands)	2011	Revenue	2010	Revenue	Change
Treatment	44,537	67.6	40,630	76.1	3,907

Edgar Filing: PERMA FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-K

Services	\$34,922	82.9	\$36,545	82.3	\$(1,623)
Acquisition 10/31/11 (SEC)	10,363	97.1	3/4	3/4	10,363
Total	\$89,822	75.7	\$77,175	78.9	\$12,647

Cost of goods sold for the Treatment Segment increased \$3,907,000 or 9.6% primarily due to increased revenue from increased waste volume. We saw increases in material and supplies, disposal costs, and transportation costs, which were reflective of the higher waste volume. We also recognized higher incentive expense resulting from higher revenue and operating income. Salaries, healthcare costs, and payroll related expenses were down resulting from reduction in workforce which occurred in April 2011 in our Diversified and Scientific Services, Inc. ("DSSI") and East Tennessee Material & Energy Corporation ("M&EC") operations but were partially reduced by the \$154,000 in severance expense incurred from the reduction in workforce. Excluding the cost of goods sold of SEC (which is under our Services Segment), the Services Segment cost of goods sold decreased \$1,623,000 or 4.4%, which included the cost of goods sold of approximately \$32,784,000 related to the CHPRC subcontract. Cost of goods sold for the CHPRC subcontract was approximately \$34,294,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. The decrease in cost of goods sold for the CHPRC subcontract of \$1,510,000 or 4.4% was consistent with the decrease in revenue for the CHPRC subcontract. The remaining decrease in Services Segment cost of goods sold of \$113,000 or 5.0% was primarily due to lower salaries, lower payroll related expenses and lower healthcare costs from lower headcount resulting from the reduction in workforce which occurred during March 2011 in our Schreiber, Yonley & Associates ("SYA") operations. Included within cost of goods sold is depreciation and amortization expense of \$4,785,000 and \$4,438,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Gross Profit
Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2011, was \$8,173,000 higher than 2010, as follows:

		%		%	
(In thousands)	2011	Revenue	2010	Revenue	Change
Treatment	21,299	32.4	12,733	23.9	8,566
Services	\$7,183	17.1	\$7,882	17.7	\$(699)
Acquisition 10/31/11 (SEC)	306	2.9	3/4	3/4	306
Total	\$28,788	24.3	\$20,615	21.1	\$8,173

The Treatment Segment gross profit increased \$8,566,000 or 67.3% and gross margin increased to 32.4% from 23.9% from higher waste volume, revenue mix and the reduction in salaries and payroll related costs resulting from the reduction in workforce which occurred in April 2011. Excluding the gross profit of SEC (which is under our Services Segment), the Services Segment gross profit decreased \$699,000 or 8.9% primarily due to gross profit decrease of \$822,000 or 10.7% for the CHPRC subcontract. Gross profit for the CHPRC subcontract decreased \$822,000 to \$6,853,000 from \$7,675,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which was reflective of the of the revenue decrease under this subcontract. The gross margin of 17.3% and 18.3% for the same period, respectively, was in accordance with the contract fee provisions. The remaining Services Segment gross profit increase of \$123,000 or 59.4% and gross margin increase of 5.0% were primarily due to lower salaries and payroll related expenses from lower headcount resulting from the reduction in workforce which occurred during March 2011.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses increased \$2,203,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period for 2010, as follows:

		%		%	
(In thousands)	2011	Revenue	2010	Revenue	Change
Administrative	\$6,832	3/4	\$6,106	3/4	\$726
Treatment	6,607	10.0	6,278	11.8	329
Services	1,081	2.6	977	2.2	104
Acquisition 10/31/11 (SEC)	1,044	9.8	3/4	3/4	1,044
Total	\$15,564	13.1	\$13,361	13.7	\$2,203

Excluding the SG&A of SEC of \$1,044,000, the increase in administrative SG&A was primarily the result of higher incentive costs resulting from the Company's improved operating results, higher salary and payroll related, and higher legal expense (legal costs incurred 2011 totaled approximately \$594,000) incurred for the acquisition of SEC. The increase was partially offset by lower general and healthcare expenses. Treatment SG&A was higher primarily due to higher incentive expense resulting from higher revenue and operating income. The increase was partially offset by lower bad debt expense, lower outside service expense from fewer business/consulting matters, and lower healthcare and general costs. The increase in Services SG&A was primarily due to higher bad debt expense and higher non-reimbursable costs incurred related to the reduction in workforce under the CHPRC subcontract. Included in SG&A expenses is depreciation and amortization expense of 176,000 and \$92,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Research and Development

Research and development costs increased \$580,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period of 2010.

(In thousands)	2011	07	2010	01	Changa
(In thousands)	2011	%	2010	%	Unange

		Revenue		Revenue		
Research and Development	\$ 1,502	\$ 1.3	\$ 921	0.9	\$ 581	

Research and development costs consist primarily of employee salaries and benefits, laboratory costs, third party fees, and other related costs associated with the development and enhancement of new potential waste treatment processes. The increase was primarily due to increased payroll and lab costs from more research and development projects.

Interest Income

Interest income decreased approximately \$7,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period of 2010, respectively. The decrease was primarily the result of lower interest earned on the finite risk sinking fund due to lower interest rates, partially offset by interest income earned from cash in our money market account.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$98,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period of 2010.

(In thousands)	2011	2010	Change	%	
PNC interest	\$404	\$428	\$(24) (5.6)
Other	253	327	(74) (22.6)
Total	\$657	\$755	\$(98) (13.0)

The decrease in interest expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period in 2010 was primarily due to payoff of our Revolving Credit line and principal payoff of the Term Loan under our original Loan Agreement with PNC. In addition, interest was lower resulting from the final principal installment payment in June 2011 of the shareholder note in connection with the acquisition of Perma-Fix of Northwest, Inc. ("PFNW") and its wholly owned subsidiary, PFNWR, and reduced loan balance from continuing reductions to the principal on the promissory note dated May 8, 2009 entered into with Mr. William Lampson and Mr. Diehl Rettig (which was modified on April 18, 2011). The reduction in interest expense mentioned above was partially offset by higher interest expense from a \$1,322,000 promissory note entered into in September 2010 in connection with an earn-out amount we are required to pay from the acquisition of PFNW and PFNWR, higher Term Loan balance from the Amended Loan Agreement we entered into on October 31, 2011 resulting from the acquisition of SEC and the \$2,500,000 promissory note we entered into with Homeland resulting from the acquisition of SEC.

Interest Expense - Financing Fees

Interest expense-financing fees decreased approximately \$205,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period of 2010. The decrease was primarily due to the debt discount which became fully amortized as financing fees on May 8, 2011 in connection with the issuance of 200,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock and two Warrants for purchase up to 150,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock as consideration for the Company receiving a \$3,000,000 loan dated May 8, 2009. This decrease in interest expense-financing fees was partially offset by additional debt discount amortized related to the extension of the two Warrants as consideration for extending the due date of the loan from May 8, 2011 to April 8, 2012.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

The \$91,000 recorded was the result of the termination of our original Loan Agreement with PNC. On October 31, 2011, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement ("Amended Loan Agreement") with PNC as a result of the acquisition of SEC.

Income Taxes- Valuation Allowance

We had a tax benefit of \$955,000 for 2011 as compared to a tax expense of \$1,846,000 for 2010. Our effective tax rate was a negative 8.8% in 2011, as compared to 36.1% for 2010. The tax benefit for 2011 was primarily the result of the partial release of our valuation allowance. For 2011 and 2010, we released \$4,687,000 and \$312,000 of valuation allowance, respectively.

Summary - Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

Net Revenue

Consolidated revenues from continuing operations increased \$5,397,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to the year ended December 31, 2009, as follows:

		%		%			%	
(In thousands)	2010	Revenue	2009	Revenue	Change		Chang	je
Treatment					_			
Government waste	\$28,346	29.0	\$29,844	32.3	\$(1,498)	(5.0)
CHPRC (waste processing)	9,960	10.2	10,943	11.8	(983)	(9.0)
Hazardous/non-hazardous	3,473	3.6	3,583	3.9	(110)	(3.1)
Other nuclear waste	11,584	11.8	10,415	11.3	1,169		11.2	
Total	53,363	54.6	54,785	59.3	(1,422)	(2.6)
Services								
CHPRC (on-site)	41,969	42.9	34,226	37.0	7,743		22.6	
Technical services	2,458	2.5	3,382	3.7	(924)	(27.3)
Total	44,427	45.4	37,608	40.7	6,819		18.1	
Total	\$97,790	100.0	\$92,393	100.0	\$5,397		5.8	

The Treatment Segment realized revenue decrease of \$1,422,000 or 2.6% decrease for the year ended December 31, 2010, over the same period in 2009. Revenue from government generators (which includes revenue generated from the three waste processing contracts from CHPRC) decreased by approximately \$2,481,000 or 6.1% primarily due to reduced waste volume, which was partially offset by higher average priced waste. Revenue from hazardous and non-hazardous waste was down slightly by \$110,000 or 3.1% primarily due to reduced volume of approximately 34.8% which was partially offset by higher average pricing increase of 32.8%. Other nuclear waste revenue increased approximately \$1,169,000 or 11.2% primarily due to increased waste volume from two customers, who accounted for approximately \$900,000 of the \$1,169,000 increase. The Services Segment realized revenue growth of \$6,819,000 or approximately 18.1%. The increase in this segment was primarily due to increase in labor hours from increased headcount under the CHPRC subcontract, which is a cost plus award fee subcontract. Revenue generated from technical services in this segment decreased approximately \$924,000 or 27.3% primarily due to decreased billable hours of 21.4% and decreased average billing rate of 4.3%.

Index

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold increased \$9,263,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, as follows:

		%		%	
(In thousands)	2010	Revenue	2009	Revenue	Change
Treatment	40,630	76.1	38,115	69.6	2,515
Services	\$36,545	82.3	\$29,797	79.2	\$6,748
Total	\$77,175	78.9	\$67,912	73.5	\$9,263

Cost of goods sold for the Treatment Segment increased \$2,515,000 or 6.6% from the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 to the corresponding period of 2010. The cost of goods sold for 2009 included a reduction of approximately \$787,000 recorded in the third quarter of 2009 in disposal/transportation costs resulting from a change in estimate related to accrued costs to dispose of legacy waste that were assumed as part of the acquisition of our PFNWR facility in June 2007. The change in estimate was necessary due to our accumulation of new information that resulted in our identifying more efficient and cost effective ways to dispose of this waste. Excluding this legacy waste adjustment in 2009, the remaining Treatment costs increased approximately \$1,728,000 or 4.4%. We saw increases in transportation/disposal costs, payroll and healthcare related costs, depreciation expense, regulatory costs, and outside service expense. Excluding this legacy waste adjustment, cost as a percentage of revenue increased by 5.1% which reflected revenue mix. The Services cost of goods sold increased \$6,748,000 or 22.6%, which included the cost of goods sold of approximately \$34,294,000 related to the CHPRC subcontract. Cost of goods sold for the CHPRC subcontract for 2009 was approximately \$27,302,000. The increase in cost of goods sold for the CHPRC subcontract of \$6,992,000 or 25.6% was consistent with the increase in revenue for the CHPRC subcontract. The remaining Services cost of goods sold decreased \$244,000 or 9.8% primarily due to lower revenue resulting from reduced billing hours and average billing rate. We saw reduction in material and supplies, general expense, and significant reduction in bonus/commission expense primarily due to reduced revenue. Included within cost of goods sold is depreciation and amortization expense of \$4,438,000 and \$4,181,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Gross Profit Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2010, was \$3,866,000 lower than 2009, as follows:

		%		%	
(In thousands)	2010	Revenue	2009	Revenue	Change
Treatment	12,733	23.9	16,670	30.4	(3,937)
Services	\$7,882	17.7	\$7,811	20.8	\$71
Total	\$20,615	21.1	\$24,481	26.5	\$(3,866)

The Treatment Segment gross profit decreased \$3,937,000 or 23.6%. Excluding the \$787,000 legacy disposal adjustment recorded in the third quarter of 2009 mentioned above, remaining Treatment Segment gross profit decreased \$3,150,000 or 19.8% primarily due to the revenue decrease from reduced treatment waste volume. The decrease in gross margin of 5.1% from 29.0% to 23.9% was primarily due to revenue mix. In the prior year, we saw higher volume of high activity waste. The Services Segment gross profit increased \$71,000, which included gross profit of approximately \$7,675,000 and \$6,924,000 in gross profit for the year 2010 and 2009, respectively, for the CHPRC subcontract, or \$751,000 increase from 2009 to 2010 on this subcontract. Gross margin on the CHPRC subcontract of approximately 18.3% and 20.2% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, and the corresponding period of 2009, respectively, was in accordance with the contract fee provisions. The remaining Services gross profit decreased approximately \$680,000 or 76.7% primarily due to reduction in external labor hours in technical/consulting services. The reduction in gross margin throughout the segments was also impacted by certain

fixed costs that remain fairly consistent despite changes in revenue and revenue mix.

Index

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses decreased \$1,061,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the corresponding period for 2009, as follows:

		%		%		
(In thousands)	2010	Revenue	2009	Revenue	Change	
Administrative	\$6,106	3/4	\$6,318	3/4	\$(212)
Treatment	6,277	11.8	7,227	13.2	(950)
Services	978	2.2	877	2.3	101	
Total	\$13,361	13.7	\$14,422	15.6	\$(1,061)

Our SG&A for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, decreased approximately \$1,061,000 or 7.4% over the corresponding period of 2009. The decrease in administrative SG&A was primarily the result of lower Management Incentive Plan ("MIP") compensation based on year end financial results, lower stock compensation expense as we incurred approximately \$144,000 in stock compensation expense in 2009 from the extension of 270,000 fully vested non-qualified stock options to the former Chief Operating Officer, who resigned effective September 1, 2009, and lower healthcare costs. This decrease was reduced by higher outside service expense relating to corporate business and legal matters, business development initiatives, and information technology issues. We also saw higher travel costs. Treatment Segment SG&A was down approximately \$950,000 or 13.1% due mainly to reduction in bad debt expense of approximately \$289,000, lower bonus/commission of approximately \$506,000, lower payroll and healthcare related expenses, and lower general expenses in various categories as we continue our effort to reduce costs. The decrease was partially offset by higher outside services related to business development/consulting. Services Segment SG&A increased approximately \$101,000 or 11.5% primarily due to higher salaries and payroll related expenses from increased headcount relating to the CHPRC subcontract. In addition, we saw higher insurance costs. Included in SG&A expenses is depreciation and amortization expense of \$92,000 and \$140,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Research and Development

Research and development costs increased \$312,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the corresponding period of 2009.

		%		%	
(In thousands)	2010	Revenue	2009	Revenue	Change
Research and Development	\$ 921	0.9	\$ 609	0.7	\$ 312

Research and development costs consist primarily of employee salaries and benefits, laboratory costs, third party fees, and other related costs associated with the development and enhancement of new potential waste treatment processes. The increase for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the corresponding period of 2009, was primarily due to contracted services we engaged in to perform research and development on behalf of the Company. In addition, higher salaries and benefit costs for increased R&D initiatives accounted for this increase.

Loss (gain) on Disposal of Property and Equipment

The loss on disposal of fixed assets for 2010 was primarily due to disposal of equipment replaced at our M&EC facility.

Interest Income

Interest income decreased \$80,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to 2009. The decrease was primarily the result of lower interest earned on the finite risk sinking fund due to lower interest rates.

Index

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$884,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the corresponding period of 2009.

(In thousands)	2010	2009	Change	%
PNC interest	\$428	\$820	\$(392)	(47.8)
Other	327	819	(492)	(60.1)
Total	\$755	\$1,639	\$(884)	(53.9)

The decrease in interest expense for 2010 was primarily due to lower interest on our revolver and term note resulting from lower average balances and lower interest rate from an amendment entered into with PNC on January 25, 2010. In addition, we incurred lower interest expense resulting from reduced loan balance from continuing principal pay down on the shareholder note in connection with the acquisition of Perma-Fix of Northwest, Inc. ("PFNW") and its wholly owned subsidiary, Perma-Fix Northwest Richland, Inc. ("PFNWR") and the promissory note dated May 8, 2009 entered into with Mr. William Lampson and Mr. Diehl Rettig. Also, interest expense related to certain vendor invoices was lower throughout 2010 as compared to the corresponding period of 2009.

Interest Expense - Financing Fees

Interest expense-financing fees increased approximately \$129,000 from 2009 to 2010 primarily due to debt discount amortized as financing fees in connection with the issuance of 200,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock and two Warrants for purchase up to 150,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock as consideration for the Company receiving a \$3,000,000 loan from Mr. William Lampson and Mr. Diehl Rettig in May 2009.

Income Taxes- Valuation Allowance

The provision for income taxes was \$1,846,000 for 2010, compared to tax benefit of \$1,986,000 for 2009. Our effective tax rate was 36.1% in 2010, compared to negative 25.8% in 2009. The higher income tax expense for 2010 was the result of the partial release of our valuation allowance in 2009 related to federal net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards. For 2010 and 2009, we released \$312,000 and \$2,490,000 of valuation allowance, respectively.

Discontinued Operations and Divestitures

Our discontinued operations consist of our Perma-Fix of Fort Lauderdale, Inc. ("PFFL"), Perma-Fix of South Georgia, Inc. ("PFSG"), and Perma-Fix of Orlando, Inc. ("PFO") facilities which met the held for sale criteria under ASC 360, "Property, Plant, and Equipment" on October 6, 2010. Our discontinued operations also encompass our Perma-Fix of Maryland, Inc. ("PFMD"), Perma-Fix of Dayton, Inc. ("PFD"), and Perma-Fix Treatment Services, Inc. ("PFTS") facilities, which we completed the sale of substantially all of the assets on January 8, 2008, March 14, 2008, and May 30, 2008, respectively. Our discontinued operations also includes three previously shut down locations, Perma-Fix of Pittsburgh, Inc. ("PFP"), Perma-Fix of Michigan, Inc. ("PFMI"), and Perma-Fix of Memphis, Inc. ("PFM"), which were approved as discontinued operations by our Board of Directors effective November 8, 2005, October 4, 2004, and March 12, 1998, respectively.

On August 12, 2011, we completed the sale of our wholly-owned subsidiary, PFFL, pursuant to the terms of a Stock Purchase Agreement, dated June 13, 2011. In consideration for the sale of 100% of the capital stock of PFFL, the buyer paid us \$5,500,000 in cash at closing. The cash consideration is subject to certain working capital adjustments within one hundred twenty days after closing. The proceeds received were used to pay down our revolver and used for working capital with the remaining excess funds swept into a money market account. As of December 31, 2011, expenses related to the sale of PFFL totaled approximately \$160,000, of which \$157,000 has been paid. As of December 31, 2011, the gain on the sale of PFFL totaled approximately \$1,707,000 (net of taxes of \$1,067,000), which included a working capital adjustment of \$185,000 to be received from the buyer.

Index

On October 14, 2011, we completed the sale of our wholly-owned subsidiary, PFO, pursuant to the terms of an Asset Purchase Agreement, dated August 12, 2011. In consideration for such assets, the buyer paid us \$2,000,000 in cash at the closing and assumed certain liabilities of PFO. The cash consideration is subject to certain working capital adjustments within one hundred twenty days after closing. The proceeds received were swept into a money market account. As of December 31, 2011, expenses related to the sale of PFO totaled approximately \$37,000, of which \$20,000 has been paid. We recorded a loss on the sale of PFO of \$198,000 (net of taxes of \$209,000). No working capital adjustment has been made on the sale of PFO.

We continue to market our PFSG facility for sale. As required by ASC 360, based on our internal financial valuations, we concluded that no tangible asset impairments existed for PFSG as of December 31, 2011. PFSG has no intangible assets.

Our discontinued operations generated revenues of \$6,931,000, \$9,248,000, and \$8,283,000, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, and had net income of \$2,286,000 and net losses of \$663,000 and \$65,000 for years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Our net income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 included total gain on the sale of our discontinued operations of \$1,509,000,000 (net of taxes) as discussed above. Operating loss from discontinued operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 included total increase of \$338,000 to the environmental reserve at our PFM and PFMI subsidiary (see below "Environmental Liabilities" in this section for further details). Our loss from discontinued operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, included an increase to our environmental reserve of \$844,000 and \$261,000 at our PFSG and PFD facility, respectively, and a \$167,000 final settlement we received from a lawsuit that we had filed against the buyer of substantially all of the assets of PFTS, A Clean Environment, Inc. ("ACE"), regarding certain liabilities which we believed ACE assumed and agreed to pay under the Purchase Agreement but which ACE had refused to pay. Loss from discontinued operations in 2009 included an increase to environmental reserve of \$281,000 at our PFSG facility due to reassessment of our remediation estimates. It also included a recovery of approximately \$400,000 in closure cost after the buyer of PFTS's asset obtained its own financial assurance bond.

Assets related to discontinued operations total \$2,343,000 and \$7,433,000 as of December 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively, and liabilities related to discontinued operations total \$3,972,000 and \$5,747,000 as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Liabilities within our discontinued operations include a pension payable of \$533,000 as of December 31, 2011. The pension plan withdrawal liability is a result of the termination of the union employees of PFMI. The PFMI union employees participate in the Central States Teamsters Pension Fund ("CST"), which provides that a partial or full termination of union employees may result in a withdrawal liability, due from PFMI to CST. The recorded liability is based upon a demand letter received from CST in August 2005 that provided for the payment of \$22,000 per month, including interest at 8% per annum, over an eight year period. This obligation is recorded as a long-term liability, with a current portion of \$215,000 that we expect to pay over the next year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our capital requirements consist of general working capital needs, scheduled principal payments on our debt obligations and capital leases, remediation projects and planned capital expenditures. Our capital resources consist primarily of cash generated from operations, funds available under our revolving credit facility and proceeds from issuance of our Common Stock. Our capital resources are impacted by changes in accounts receivable as a result of revenue fluctuation, economic trends, collection activities, and the profitability of the segments.

Index

At December 31, 2011, we had cash of \$12,055,000. The following table reflects the cash flow activities during 2011.

(In thousands)	2011	
Cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations	\$23,498	
Cash used in operating activities of discontinued operations	(2,739)
Cash used in investing activities of continuing operations	(20,676)
Cash provided by investing activities of discontinued operations	7,691	
Cash provided by financing activities of continuing operations	4,337	
Principal repayment of long-term debt for discontinued operations	(157)
Increase in cash	\$11,954	

As of December 31, 2011, we were in a positive cash position. We attempt to move all excess cash into a Money Market Sweep account in order to maximize the interest earned. When we are in a net borrowing position, we attempt to move all excess cash balances immediately to the revolving credit facility, so as to reduce debt and interest expense. We utilize a centralized cash management system, which includes a remittance lock box and is structured to accelerate collection activities and reduce cash balances, as idle cash is moved without delay to the revolving credit facility or the Money Market account, if applicable. The cash balance at December 31, 2011, primarily represents cash provided by operations, remaining proceeds received from the divestiture of our PFFL subsidiary after pay off of our revolver debt, proceeds received from the divestiture of our PFFD subsidiary, and minor petty cash and local account balances used for miscellaneous services and supplies.

Operating Activities

Accounts Receivable, net of allowances for doubtful accounts, totaled \$19,106,000 at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$10,565,000 over the December 31, 2010 balance of \$8,541,000. Our recent acquired SEC subsidiaries accounted for \$11,422,000 of the increase. Excluding the increase at SEC, the decrease of approximately \$857,000 was primarily due to improved collection efforts within both segments.

Unbilled receivables are generated by differences between invoicing timing and our performance based methodology used for revenue recognition purposes. As major processing and contract completion phases are completed and the costs incurred, we recognize the corresponding percentage of revenue. Within our Treatment Segment, we experience delays in processing invoices due to the complexity of the documentation that is required for invoicing, as well as the difference between completion of revenue recognition milestones and agreed upon invoicing terms, which results in unbilled receivables. The timing differences occur for several reasons: partially from delays in the final processing of all wastes associated with certain work orders and partially from delays for analytical testing that is required after we have processed waste but prior to our release of waste for disposal. The tasks relating to these delays usually take several months to complete. Unbilled receivables within our Nuclear Services Segment can result from: (1) revenue recognized by our Earned Value Management System ("EVMS" - a program which integrates project scope, schedule, and cost to provide an objective measure of project progress) but invoice milestone have not yet been met and/or (2) contract claims and pending change orders, including Requests for Equitable Adjustments ("REAs") when work has been performed and collection of revenue is reasonably assured.

As of December 31, 2011, unbilled receivables totaled \$10,295,000, a decrease of \$1,697,000 from the December 31, 2010 balance of \$11,992,000. Our recent SEC acquisition accounted for \$3,045,000 of the unbilled balance as of December 31, 2011. Excluding the unbilled receivables of SEC, the reduction of \$4,742,000 reflected the significant efforts we made during 2011 to reduce this balance within our Treatment Segment. The delays in processing invoices, as mentioned above, usually take several months to complete and the related receivables are normally considered collectible within twelve months. However, as we have historical data to review the timing of these delays, we realize that certain issues, including, but not limited to delays at our third party disposal site, can extend collection of some of these receivables greater than twelve months. Therefore, we have segregated the unbilled receivables between current

and long term. The current portion of the unbilled receivables as of December 30, 2011 was \$9,871,000 (which included total unbilled receivables of \$3,045,000 for SEC), an increase of \$435,000 from the balance of \$9,436,000 as of December 31, 2010. The long term portion as of December 31, 2011 was \$424,000 (which included \$0 unbilled receivables for SEC), a decrease of \$2,132,000 from the balance of \$2,556,000 as of December 31, 2010.

Index

As of December 31, 2011, total consolidated accounts payable was \$13,117,000, an increase of \$8,226,000 from the December 31, 2010 balance of \$4,891,000. Our recent SEC acquisition accounted for \$6,531,000 of this increase. The remaining increase of \$1,695,000 was primarily the result of payables relating to higher income taxes from higher net income and legal fees relating to the SEC acquisition. In addition, we continue to manage payment terms with our vendors to maximize our cash position throughout all segments.

Accrued expenses as of December 31, 2011, totaled \$9,533,000, an increase of \$3,537,000 over the December 31, 2010 balance of \$5,996,000. Our recent SEC acquisition accounted for \$2,024,000 of this increase. Accrued expenses are made up of accrued compensation, interest payable, insurance payable, certain tax accruals, and other miscellaneous accruals. The remainder of the increase was primarily due to higher incentives due to higher net income and operating income and higher insurance expenses.

Disposal/transportation accrual as of December 31, 2011, totaled \$1,957,000, a decrease of \$231,000 over the December 31, 2010 balance of \$2,188,000. Our disposal accrual can vary based on revenue mix and the timing of waste shipment for final disposal. We shipped more waste for disposal as compared to 2010 year end. In addition, the decrease resulted from treatment of legacy wastes at our PFNWR facility.

Our working capital was \$11,549,000 (which included working capital of our discontinued operations and SEC) as of December 31, 2011, as compared to a working capital of \$2,329,000 as of December 31, 2010. The significant improvement in our working capital was primarily due to the increase in our trade receivables from increased revenue and the increased in cash generated from our operations. Our working capital was negatively impacted by the increase in our unearned revenue and increases in our accounts payable as discussed above.

Investing Activities

During 2011, our purchases of capital equipment totaled approximately \$2,303,000. These expenditures were for improvements to operations primarily within the Treatment Segment. These capital expenditures were funded by the cash provided by operating activities. We have budgeted approximately \$2,681,000 for 2012 capital expenditures for our segments to expand our operations into new markets, reduce the cost of waste processing and handling, expand the range of wastes that can be accepted for treatment and processing, and to maintain permit compliance requirements. Certain of these budgeted projects are discretionary and may either be delayed until later in the year or deferred altogether. We have traditionally incurred actual capital spending totals for a given year less than the initial budget amount. The initiation and timing of projects are also determined by financing alternatives or funds available for such capital projects.

The Company has a 25-year finite risk insurance policy entered into in June 2003 with Chartis, a subsidiary of American International Group, Inc. ("AIG"), which provides financial assurance to the applicable states for our permitted facilities in the event of unforeseen closure. Prior to obtaining or renewing operating permits, we are required to provide financial assurance that guarantees to the states that in the event of closure, our permitted facilities will be closed in accordance with the regulations. The policy, as amended in 2009, provides for a maximum allowable coverage of \$39,000,000 and has available capacity to allow for annual inflation and other performance and surety bond requirements. This finite risk insurance policy requires the following payments:

- •an upfront payment of \$4,000,000, of which \$2,766,000 represents the full premium for the 25-year term of the policy, and the remaining \$1,234,000, is to be deposited in a sinking fund account representing a restricted cash account:
- seven annual installments of \$1,004,000 starting February 2004, of which \$991,000 is to be deposited in a sinking fund account, with the remaining \$13,000 representing a terrorism premium;
- a payment of \$2,000,000 due on March 6, 2009, of which approximately \$1,655,000 is to be deposited into a sinking fund account, with the remaining representing a fee payable to Chartis;

Index

- •three yearly payments of approximately \$1,073,000 payable starting December 31, 2009, of which \$888,000 is be deposited into a sinking fund account, with the remaining representing a fee payable to Chartis. The second of the third payments was made in January 2011; and
- •a payment of \$2,008,000 (payable in February 2011), of which \$1,982,000 is to be deposited in a sinking fund account, with the remaining \$26,000 representing a terrorism premium.

During February 2011, the \$2,008,000 and the \$1,073,000 installment payments which had remained payable on the closure policy were amended as follows: \$1,004,000 was to be paid by February 2011, of which \$991,000 was to be deposited into a sinking fund, with the remaining \$13,000 representing a terrorism premium; \$1,073,000 was due December 2011, of which \$888,000 was to be deposited into a sinking fund account, with the remaining representing a fee payable to Chartis; and a final payment of \$1,054,000 due February 2012, of which \$991,000 was to be deposited into a sinking fund, \$13,000 representing a terrorism premium, and the remaining \$50,000 representing a fee payable to Chartis. We paid the \$1,004,000, \$1,073,000, and \$1,054,000 in February 2011, January 2012, and February 2012, respectively, under the amended terms. As a result of the revision to the payment terms, the maximum allowable coverage under this closure policy was revised to \$36,431,000 as of February 2011, with such maximum allowable coverage increased to \$37,300,000 in March 2011. The maximum allowable coverage was increased to \$39,000,000 upon final payment of the \$1,054,000 in February 2012.

As of December 31, 2011, our total financial coverage amount under this policy totaled \$36,541,000. We have recorded \$13,473,000 in our sinking fund related to the policy noted above on the balance sheet, which includes interest earned of \$881,000 on the sinking fund as of December 31, 2011. Interest income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, was approximately \$34,000. On the fourth and subsequent anniversaries of the contract inception, we may elect to terminate this contract. If we so elect, Chartis is obligated to pay us an amount equal to 100% of the sinking fund account balance in return for complete releases of liability from both us and any applicable regulatory agency using this policy as an instrument to comply with financial assurance requirements.

In August 2007, we entered into a second finite risk insurance policy for our PFNWR facility with Chartis. The policy provided an initial \$7,800,000 of financial assurance coverage with an annual growth rate of 1.5%, which at the end of the four year term policy, provides a maximum coverage of \$8,200,000. We have the option to renew this policy at the end of the four year term. The policy requires total payments of \$7,158,000, consisting of an initial payment of \$1,363,000 (\$1,106,000 represented premium on the policy and the remaining was deposited into a sinking fund account), two annual payments of \$1,520,000 (for each annual payment, \$1,344,000 was deposited into a sinking fund and the remaining represented premium), and an additional \$2,755,000 payment (paid quarterly and all deposited into a sinking fund). We have made all of the payments. As of December 31, 2011, we have recorded \$5,881,000 in our sinking fund related to this policy on the balance sheet, which includes interest earned of \$181,000 on the sinking fund as of December 31, 2011. Interest income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 totaled approximately \$17,000. On July 31, 2011, the policy was renewed for an additional year which required a \$46,000 fee. We have the option to renew this policy annually going forward with a similar fee which will be determined at the time of renewal. All other terms of the policy remain substantially unchanged.

Financing Activities

We entered into a Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement ("Loan Agreement") with PNC Bank, National Association, a national banking association ("PNC") acting as agent ("Agent") for lenders, and as issuing bank. The Agreement, as amended on numerous occasions since it was executed, provided for a term loan ("Term Loan") in the amount of \$7,000,000, which required monthly principal installments of \$83,000 (based upon a seven-year amortization). The Agreement also provided for a revolving line of credit ("Revolving Credit") with a maximum principal amount outstanding at any one time of \$18,000,000. The Revolving Credit advances were subject to limitations of an amount up to the sum of (a) up to 85% of Commercial Receivables aged 90 days or less from invoice date, (b) up to 85% of Commercial Broker Receivables aged up to 120 days from invoice date, (c) up to 85% of

acceptable Government Agency Receivables aged up to 150 days from invoice date, and (d) up to 50% of acceptable unbilled amounts aged up to 60 days, less (e) reserves the Agent reasonably deems proper and necessary.

Index

In connection with the acquisition of SEC as discussed previously, we entered into an Amended and Restated Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement, dated October 31, 2011 ("Amended Loan Agreement"), with PNC, replacing our previous Loan Agreement with PNC discussed above. The Amended Loan Agreement provides us with the following credit facilities:

- up to \$25,000,000 revolving credit facility, subject to the amount of borrowings based on a percentage of eligible receivables (as same to Loan Agreement noted above) and subject to certain reserves;
- a term loan of \$16,000,000, which requires monthly installments of approximately \$190,000 (based on a seven-year amortization); and
 - equipment line of credit up to \$2,500,000, subject to certain limitations.

The Amended Loan Agreement terminates as of October 31, 2016, unless sooner terminated.

We have the option of paying an annual rate of interest due on the revolving credit facility at prime plus 2% or London Inter Bank Offer Rate ("LIBOR") plus 3% and the term loan and equipment credit facilities at prime plus 2.5% or LIBOR plus 3.5%.

As a condition of the Amended Loan Agreement, we paid the remaining balance due under the term loan under our previous Loan Agreement totaling approximately \$3,833,000 using our credit facilities under the Amended Loan Agreement. In connection with the Amended Loan Agreement, we paid PNC a fee of \$217,500 and incurred other direct costs of approximately \$265,000, which are being amortized over the term of the Amended Loan Agreement as interest expense – financing fees. As a result of the termination of the original Loan Agreement with PNC, we recorded approximately \$91,000 in loss on extinguishment of debt in accordance with ASC 470-50, "Debt – Modifications and Extinguishments". As of December 31, 2011, the excess availability under our revolving credit was \$15,382,000 based on our eligible receivables.

Pursuant to the Amended Loan Agreement, we may terminate the Amended Loan Agreement upon 90 days' prior written notice upon payment in full of our obligations under the Amended Loan Agreement. We have agreed to pay PNC 1.0% of the total financing in the event we pay off our obligations on or before October 31, 2012 and 1/2% of the total financing if we pays off our obligations after October 31, 2012 but prior to or on October 31, 2013. No early termination fee shall apply if we pay off our obligations under the Amended Loan Agreement after October 31, 2013.

Our credit facility with PNC Bank contains certain financial covenants, along with customary representations and warranties. A breach of any of these financial covenants, unless waived by PNC, could result in a default under our credit facility triggering our lender to immediately require the repayment of all outstanding debt under our credit facility and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. We have met our financial covenants in each of the quarters in 2011 and we expect to meet our financial covenants in 2012. The following table illustrates the most significant financial covenants under our credit facility and reflects the quarterly compliance required by the terms of our senior credit facility as of December 31, 2011:

	Quarterly	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
(Dollars in thousands)	Requirement	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
	(dollars in				
PNC Credit Facility	thousands)	thousands)	thousands)	thousands)	thousands)
Fixed charge coverage ratio	1:25:1	1:35:1	1:54:1	2.89:1	2.05:1
Minimum tangible adjusted net worth	\$ 30,000	\$61,707	\$63,585	\$69,717	\$66,200

In conjunction with our acquisition of Perma-Fix Northwest, Inc. ("PFNW"), we agreed to pay shareholders of Nuvotec (n/k/a PFNW) that qualified as accredited investors (which includes Mr. Robert Ferguson, a member of our Board of Directors), pursuant to Rule 501 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, \$2,500,000, with principal payable in equal installments of \$833,333 on June 30, 2009, June 30, 2010, and June 30, 2011. Interest is accrued on the outstanding principal balance at 8.25% starting in June 2007 and is payable on June 30, 2008, June 30, 2009, June 30, 2010, and June 30, 2011. On June 30, 2011, we made the final principal installment of \$833,333 plus accrued interest of \$69,000. See "Related Party Transactions" in this section for information regarding Mr. Robert Ferguson.

Index

In connection with the acquisition of SEC, we entered into a \$2,500,000 unsecured, non-negotiable promissory note (the "Note") on October 31, 2011, bearing an annual rate of interest of 6%, payable in 36 monthly installments, with Homeland. The Note provides that we have the right to prepay such at any time without interest or penalty. We prepaid \$500,000 of the principal amount of the Note within 10 days of closing of the acquisition. The Note is subject to offset of amounts Homeland owes us under certain terms and provisions of the Purchase Agreement and the Note. As result of the prepayment of \$500,000, we are required to pay monthly payments of approximately \$76,000 (which includes interest) starting November 15, 2011, with a final payment of approximately \$15,500 due on March 15, 2014.

The Company has a promissory note dated May 8, 2009, with William N. Lampson and Diehl Rettig (collectively, the "Lenders") for \$3,000,000. The Lenders were formerly shareholders of PFNW prior to our acquisition of PFNW and PFNWR and are also stockholders of the Company having received shares of our Common Stock in connection with our acquisition of PFNW and PFNWR. The promissory note provided for monthly principal repayment of approximately \$87,000 plus accrued interest, starting June 8, 2009, with interest payable at LIBOR plus 4.5%, with LIBOR at least 1.5%. Any unpaid principal balance along with accrued interest was due May 8, 2011. We paid approximately \$22,000 in closing costs on the promissory note which was being amortized over the term of the note. The promissory note may be prepaid at any time by the Company without penalty. As consideration of the Company receiving this loan, we issued a Warrant to Mr. Lampson and a Warrant to Mr. Diehl to purchase up to 135,000 and 15,000 shares, respectively, of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share. The Warrants were exercisable six months from May 8, 2009 and were to expire on May 8, 2011. We also issued an aggregate of 200,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock, with Mr. Lampson receiving 180,000 shares and Mr. Rettig receiving 20,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The fair value of the Common Stock and Warrants on the date of issuance was estimated to be \$476,000 and \$190,000, respectively. The fair value of the Common Stock and Warrants was recorded as a debt discount and was being amortized over the term of the loan as interest expense – financing fees. On April 18, 2011, we entered into an amendment to the promissory note whereby the remaining principal balance on the promissory note of approximately \$990,000 is to be repaid in twelve monthly principal payments of approximately \$82,500 plus accrued interest, starting May 8, 2011, with interest payable at the same rate of the original loan. As consideration of the amended loan, the original Warrants issued to Mr. Lampson and to Mr. Rettig which were to expire on May 8, 2011, were extended to May 8, 2012 at the same exercise price (Mr. Rettig is now deceased; accordingly, the amended Warrant and the remaining portion of the note payable to Mr. Rettig is now held by and payable to his personal representative or estate). We accounted for the amended loan as a modification in accordance with ASC 470-50, "Debt – Modifications and Extinguishments". At the date of the loan modification, unamortized debt discount and fees on the original loan and the fair value of the modified Warrants were determined to be approximately \$42,000, which is being amortized as debt discount over the term of the modified loan as interest expense-financing fees in accordance to ASC 470-50. See "Related Party Transactions" in this section for Mr. Robert Ferguson's (a member of our Board of Directors) acquisition of one-half of Mr. Lampson's Warrant to purchase up to 65,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock).

In connection with the acquisition of PFNW and PFNWR in June 2007, we are required to pay to those former shareholders of Nuvotec (including Mr. Robert Ferguson, a member of our Board of Directors) an earn-out amount upon meeting certain conditions for each measurement year ended June 30, 2008 to June 30, 2011, with the aggregate of the full earn-out amount not to exceed \$4,552,000, pursuant to the Merger Agreement, as amended ("Agreement") (See "Related Party Transactions" in this section for further information regarding Mr. Ferguson). Under the Agreement, the earn-out amount to be paid for any particular measurement year is to be an amount equal to 10% of the amount that the revenues for our nuclear business (as defined) for such measurement year exceeds the budgeted amount of revenues for our nuclear business for that particular period. No earn-out was required to be paid for measurement year 2008, and we paid \$734,000 in earn out for measurement year 2009 in 2009. We were required to pay \$2,978,000 in earn-out prior to the Offset Amounts as discussed below for measurement year ended June 30, 2010. Pursuant to the Agreement, any indemnification obligations payable to the Company by the former shareholders

of Nuvotec will be deducted ("Offset Amount") from any earn-out amounts payable by the Company for the measurement year ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2011. Pursuant to the Agreement, the aggregate amount of any Offset Amount may total up to \$1,000,000, except an Offset Amount is unlimited as to indemnification relating to liabilities for taxes, misrepresentation or inaccuracies with respect to the capitalization of Nuvotec or PEcoS (n/k/a "PFNWR") or for willful or reckless misrepresentation of any representation, warranty or covenant. For the \$2,978,000 in earn-out for measurement year ended June 30, 2010, we identified an Offset Amount of approximately \$93,000 relating to an excise tax issue and a refund request from a PEcoS customer in connection with services for waste treatment prior to our acquisition of PFNWR and PFNW. We also identified an anticipated Offset Amount of \$563,000 in connection with the receipt of nonconforming waste at the PFNWR facility prior to our acquisition of PFNWR and PFNW. We are currently involved in litigation with the party that delivered the nonconforming waste to the facility prior to our acquisition of PFNWR and PFNW. After the Offset Amount of \$93,000 and the anticipated Offset Amount of \$563,000, we were required to pay \$2,322,000 in earn-out amount for measurement year ended June 30, 2010. In September 2010, we paid \$1,000,000 of the \$2,322,000 in earn-out amount, with the remaining \$1,322,000 payable in a promissory note at an annual interest rate of 6.0%, as permitted under the Agreement, as amended. The promissory note provides for thirty six equal monthly payments of approximately \$40,000, consisting of interest and principal, starting October 15, 2010. The promissory note may be prepaid at any time without penalty. For measurement year ended June 30, 2011, we determined that the remaining \$840,000 in earn-out amount was earned, which we paid on October 3, 2011.

Index

On April 8, 2009, the Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("The Commission"), which was declared effective by The Commission on June 26, 2009. The shelf registration statement gives the Company the ability to sell up to 5,000,000 shares of its Common Stock from time to time and through one or more methods of distribution, subject to market conditions and the Company's capital needs at that time. The terms of any offering under the registration statement will be established at the time of the offering. The Company does not have any immediate plans or current commitments to issue shares under the registration statement. This disclosure shall not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of these securities in any state in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of such state.

On October 7, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a repurchase program of up to \$3,000,000 of the Company's Common Stock. The Company may purchase Common Stock through open market and privately negotiated transactions at prices deemed appropriate by management. The timing, the amount of repurchase transactions and the prices paid for the stock under this program will depend on market conditions as well as corporate and regulatory limitations, including blackout period restrictions. The Board approved the repurchase plan in consideration of the Company's improved cash position and current market volatility. We plan to fund any repurchases under this program through our internal cash flow and/or borrowing under our line of credit. As of the date of this report, we have not repurchased any of our Common Stock under the program as we continue to evaluate this repurchase program within our internal cash flow and/or borrowings under our line of credit.

In summary, we believe that we have made significant progress and continue to take steps to improve our operations and liquidity and to invest working capital into our facilities to fund capital additions in our Segments. We currently are in a positive cash position. We paid off our revolver debt from cash collected from the reduction in our unbilled receivables, proceeds received from the sale of our discontinued operations, and cash generated from the increase in revenue. Although there are no assurances, we believe that our cash flows from operations and our available liquidity from amended and restated line of credit are sufficient to service the Company's current obligations and the current obligations resulting from the acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries.

Index

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at December 31, 2011, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods, (in thousands):

			Payments due by period			
			2013-	2015 -		
Contractual Obligations	Total	2012	2014	2016	After 2016	
Long-term debt (1)	\$18,955	\$3,948	\$6,054	\$8,953	\$ 3/4	
Interest on fixed rate long-term debt (2)	175	126	49	3/4	_	
Interest on variable rate debt (3)	1,974	587	891	496	3/4	
Operating leases	4,148	945	1,372	1,220	611	
Finite risk policy (4)	2,127	2,127	3/4	3/4	3/4	
Pension withdrawal liability (5)	533	232	301	3/4	3/4	
Environmental contingencies (6)	2,002	1,138	406	118	340	
Total contractual obligations	\$29,418	\$9,103	\$9,073	\$10,291	\$951	

- (1) Amount excludes debt discount of approximately \$12,000 in connection with an amended loan dated April 18, 2011, between the Company and Mr. William Lampson and the estate of Mr. Diehl Rettig. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources of the Company Financing Activities" earlier in this Management's Discussion and Analysis for further discussion on the debt discount.
- (2) The Company entered into a promissory note dated September 28, 2010, in the principal amount of \$1,322,000 at an annual interest rate of 6.0%, with the former shareholders of Nuvotec (n/k/a PFNW) in connection with an earn-out amount that we are required to pay upon meeting certain conditions for each measurement year between June 30, 2008 to June 30, 2011, as result of our acquisition of PFNW and PFNWR. Also, in connection with the acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries on October 31, 2011, the Company entered into a promissory note in the principal amount of \$2,500,000 at an annual interest rate of 6%, with Homeland. The Company prepaid \$500,000 of the principal within 10 days of the closing of the acquisition. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources Financing Activities" for further information on these promissory notes.
- (3) We have variable interest rates on our Term Loan and Revolving Credit of 2.5% and 2.0%, respectively, over the prime rate of interest, or variable interest rates on our Term Loan and Revolving Credit of 3.5% and 3.0%, respectively, over LIBOR. Our calculation of interest on our Term Loan and Revolving Credit was estimated using the more favorable LIBOR option of approximately 4.0% and 3.5% (assuming LIBOR of .5%), respectively, in years 2012 to October 31, 2016. In addition, we have a \$990,000 promissory note dated April 18, 2011, as amended, with Mr. William Lampson and the estate of Mr. Diehl Rettig which pays interest at LIBOR plus 4.5%, with LIBOR of at least 1.5%. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources Financing Activities" for further information on this promissory note and for the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement entered into with PNC Bank on October 31, 2011.
- (4) Our finite risk insurance policy provides financial assurance guarantees to the states in the event of unforeseen closure of our permitted facilities. See Liquidity and Capital Resources Investing activities earlier in this Management's Discussion and Analysis for further discussion on our finite risk policy.
- (5) The pension withdrawal liability is the estimated liability to us upon termination of our union employees at our discontinued operation, PFMI and remains the financial obligations of the Company. See Discontinued Operations earlier in this section for discussion on our discontinued operations.

(6) The environmental contingencies and related assumptions are discussed further in the Environmental Contingencies section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis, and are based on estimated cash flow spending for these liabilities. The environmental contingencies noted here are for PFMI, PFM, PFSG, and PFD which are the financial obligations of the Company. The environmental liability, as it relates to the remediation of the EPS site assumed by the Company as a result of the original acquisition of the PFD facility, was retained by the Company upon the sale of PFD in March 2008.

Index

Critical Accounting Estimates

In preparing the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as, the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the more significant estimates used in preparation of the consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition Estimates. We utilize a performance based methodology for purposes of revenue recognition in our Treatment Segment. As we accept more complex waste streams in this segment, the treatment of those waste streams become more complicated and time consuming. We have continued to enhance our waste tracking capabilities and systems, which has enabled us to better match the revenue earned to the processing phases achieved using a proportional performance method. The major processing phases are receipt, treatment/processing and shipment/final disposition. Upon receiving mixed waste we recognize a certain percentage (ranging from 7.5% to 33%) of revenue as we incur costs for transportation, analytical and labor associated with the receipt of mixed waste. As the waste is processed, shipped and disposed of we recognize the remaining revenue and the associated costs of transportation and burial. We review and evaluate our revenue recognition estimates and policies on an annual basis.

For our Services Segment, revenues on services are performed under time and material, fixed price, and cost-reimbursement contracts. Revenues and costs associated with fixed price contracts are recognized using the percentage of completion (efforts expended) method. Revenues and costs associated with time and material contracts are recognized as revenue when earned and costs are incurred.

Under cost reimbursement contracts, we are reimbursed for costs incurred plus a certain percentage markup for indirect costs, in accordance with contract provision. Costs incurred on excess of contract funding may be renegotiated for reimbursement. We also earn a fee based on the approved costs to complete the contract. We recognize this fee using the proportion of costs incurred to total estimated contract costs.

Contract costs include all direct labor, material and other non-labor costs and those indirect costs related to contract support, such as depreciation, fringe benefits, overhead labor, supplies, tools, repairs and equipment rental. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability, including those arising from contract penalty provisions and final contract settlements may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined.

Consulting revenues are recognized as services are rendered. The services provided are based on billable hours and revenues are recognized in relation to incurred labor and consulting costs. Out of pocket costs reimbursed by customers are also included in revenues.

The liability, "billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings", represents billings in excess of revenues recognized and accrued costs to jobs.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. The carrying amount of accounts receivable is reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts, which is a valuation allowance that reflects management's best estimate of the amounts that are uncollectible. We regularly review all accounts receivable balances that exceed 60 days from the invoice date and based on an assessment of current credit worthiness, estimate the portion, if any, of the balances that are uncollectible. Specific accounts that are deemed to be uncollectible are reserved at 100% of their outstanding balance. The remaining balances aged over 60 days have a percentage applied by aging category (5% for balances 61-90 days, 20% for balances 91-120 days and 40% for balances over 120 days aged), based on a historical valuation, that allows us to calculate the total reserve required. This allowance was approximately 0.2% of revenue for 2011 and

1.2%, of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2011. Additionally, this allowance was approximately 0.2% of revenue for 2010 and 2.5%, of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2010.

Index

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets relating to acquired businesses consist primarily of the cost of purchased businesses in excess of the estimated fair value of net identifiable assets acquired or goodwill and the recognized value of the permits required to operate the business. We continually reevaluate the propriety of the carrying amount of permits and goodwill to determine whether current events and circumstances warrant adjustments to the carrying value. We test each Reporting Unit's goodwill and permits, separately, for impairment, annually as of October 1. Our annual impairment test as of October 1, 2011 and 2010 resulted in no impairment of goodwill and permits. Our October 1, 2011 and 2010 impairment tests were performed based on our previous two reporting units: 1) Nuclear reporting unit, which included all of our treatment operations and operation under our CHPRC subcontract, and 2) Engineering reporting unit, which included our SYA subsidiary operations. The methodology utilized in performing this test estimates the fair value of our operating segments using a discounted cash flow valuation approach. Those cash flow estimates incorporate assumptions that marketplace participants would use in their estimates of fair value. The most significant assumptions used in the discounted cash flow valuation regarding each of the Reporting Unit's fair value in connection with goodwill valuations are: (1) detailed five year cash flow projections, (2) the risk adjusted discount rate, and (3) the expected long-term growth rate. The primary drivers of the cash flow projection in 2011 include sales revenue and projected margin which are based on our current revenue and projected government funding as it relates to our existing government contracts. The risk adjusted discount rate represents the weighted average cost of capital and is established based on (1) the 20 year risk-free rate, which is impacted by events external to our business, such as investor expectation regarding economic activity, (2) our required rate of return on equity, and (3) the current after tax rate of return on debt.

As a result of the acquisition of SEC on October 31, 2011, during the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company made structure and reporting changes to its internal organization and changes to its operating segments to create better consistency, greater coordination and enhanced communication. This restructuring aligns the internal management and functional support assets based on company service offerings and better reflects how our chief operating decision maker allocates resources and assesses performance. These changes resulted in four reporting units: (1) SYA reporting unit - our SYA subsidiary operations; (2) SEC reporting unit - our SEC operations; (3) Treatment reporting unit - our treatment operations; and (4) CHPRC reporting unit - our operations under the CHPRC subcontract. We reassigned approximately \$3,637,000 of the \$14,840,000 goodwill from our previous Nuclear reporting unit to our CHPRC reporting unit using a relative fair value approach in accordance to ASC 350, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other" as a result of the change in reporting units. As a result of the restructuring of our reporting units, we concluded that we had an interim triggering event, and, therefore, we performed an interim goodwill impairment test for our treatment reporting unit as of October 1, 2011 which did not result in any impairment. We will perform all future goodwill impairment analysis on the new four reporting units.

Intangible assets that have definite useful lives are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives and are excluded from our annual intangible asset valuation review conducted as of October 1. The Company also has one definite-lived permit which was excluded from the impairment review as noted above. This permit of approximately \$545,000 was capitalized in 2009 in connection with the authorization issued by the U.S. EPA to our DSSI facility to commercially store and dispose of radioactive PCBs. This permit is being amortized over a ten year period in accordance with its estimated useful life. These definite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest impairment might exist.

Our acquisition of SEC on October 31, 2011 included intangible assets acquired of a non-compete agreement, customer relationships, software, and customer contracts, which were recorded at fair value and are being amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives with the exception of customer relationships which are being amortized using an accelerated method.

Index

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment expenditures are capitalized and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets for financial statement purposes, while accelerated depreciation methods are principally used for income tax purposes. Generally, annual depreciation rates range from ten to forty years for buildings (including improvements and asset retirement costs) and three to seven years for office furniture and equipment, vehicles, and decontamination and processing equipment. Leasehold improvements are capitalized and amortized over the lesser of the term of the lease or the life of the asset. Maintenance and repairs are charged directly to expense as incurred. The cost and accumulated depreciation of assets sold or retired are removed from the respective accounts, and any gain or loss from sale or retirement is recognized in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Renewals and improvement, which extend the useful lives of the assets, are capitalized. We include within buildings, asset retirement obligations, which represents our best estimates of the cost to close, at some undetermined future date, our permitted and/or licensed facilities. From 2009 to 2011, we adjusted our asset retirement obligations for various facilities as follows due to changes in estimates of the costs to close these facilities based on federal/state regulatory guidelines: increases of \$1,980,000 for DSSI (due to authorization for PCB storage and treatment) and \$158,000 for PFSG in 2009; increase of \$499,000 in 2010 for PFNWR; and reduction of \$504,000 in 2011 for PFNWR. Adjustments to the asset retirement obligations for these facilities are being depreciated prospectively over the remaining estimated life of the asset, in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 410, "Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations".

In accordance with ASC 360, "Property, Plant, and Equipment", long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment, and purchased intangible assets subject to amortization, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of would be separately presented in the balance sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated. The assets and liabilities of a disposal group classified as held for sale would be presented separately in the appropriate asset and liability sections of the balance sheet.

In October 2010, our Board of Directors approved the divesture of the PFFL, PFSG, and PFO. We performed updated financial valuations on the tangible assets of PFFL and PFO up to the sale which was August 12, 2011 and October 14, 2011, respectively, and concluded that no tangible asset impairment existed as required by ASC 360. Our analysis included the comparison of the offered sale price less cost to sell to the carrying value of the investment under each letter of intent separately. We performed updated financial valuations on the tangible assets of PFSG, which is currently held for sale and concluded that no tangible asset impairment existed as of December 31, 2011.

Accrued Closure Costs. Accrued closure costs represent a contingent environmental liability to clean up a facility in the event we cease operations in an existing facility. The accrued closure costs are estimates based on guidelines developed by federal and/or state regulatory authorities under Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"). Such costs are evaluated annually and adjusted for inflationary factors and for approved changes or expansion to the facilities. Increases or decreases in accrued closure costs resulting from changes or expansions at the facilities are determined based on specific RCRA guidelines applied to the requested change. This calculation includes certain estimates, such as disposal pricing, external labor, analytical costs and processing costs, which are based on current market conditions.

Accrued Environmental Liabilities. We have four remediation projects currently in progress. The current and long-term accrual amounts for the projects are our best estimates based on proposed or approved processes for clean-up. The circumstances that could affect the outcome range from new technologies that are being developed

every day to reduce our overall costs, to increased contamination levels that could arise as we complete remediation which could increase our costs, neither of which we anticipate at this time. In addition, significant changes in regulations could adversely or favorably affect our costs to remediate existing sites or potential future sites, which cannot be reasonably quantified. In connection with the sale of our PFD facility in March 2008, the Company retained the environmental liability for the remediation of an independent site known as EPS. This liability was assumed by the Company as a result of the original acquisition of the PFD facility. The environmental liabilities of PFM, PFMI, and PFD remain the financial obligations of the Company. The environmental liabilities of PFSG are classified as held for sale within our discontinued operations.

Index

Disposal/Transportation Costs. We accrue for waste disposal based upon a physical count of the waste at each facility at the end of each accounting period. Current market prices for transportation and disposal costs are applied to the end of period waste inventories to calculate the disposal accrual. Costs are calculated using current costs for disposal, but economic trends could materially affect our actual costs for disposal. As there are limited disposal sites available to us, a change in the number of available sites or an increase or decrease in demand for the existing disposal areas could significantly affect the actual disposal costs either positively or negatively.

Stock-Based Compensation. We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation". ASC 718 establishes accounting standards for entity exchanges of equity instruments for goods or services. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. ASC 718 requires all stock-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to determine the fair-value of stock-based awards which requires subjective assumptions. Assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock options granted include the exercise price of the award, the expected term, the expected volatility of the Company's stock over the option's expected term, the risk-free interest rate over the option's expected term, and the expected annual dividend yield. The Company's expected term represents the period that stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding and is determined based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards, vesting schedules, and post-vesting data. Our computation of expected volatility is based on the Company's historical volatility from our traded Common Stock over the expected term of the option grants. The interest rate for periods within the expected term of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

We recognize stock-based compensation expense using a straight-line amortization method over the requisite period, which is the vesting period of the stock option grant. ASC 718 requires that stock-based compensation expense be based on options that are ultimately expected to vest. ASC 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. We have generally estimated forfeiture rate based on historical trends of actual forfeiture. When actual forfeitures vary from our estimates, we recognize the difference in compensation expense in the period the actual forfeitures occur or when options vest. Forfeiture rates are evaluated, and revised as necessary.

Income Taxes. The provision for income tax is determined in accordance with ASC 740, "Income Taxes". As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. We record this amount as a provision or benefit for taxes. This process involves estimating our actual current tax exposure, including assessing the risks associated with tax audits, and assessing temporary differences resulting from different treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities. We assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and, to the extent that we believe recovery is not likely, we establish a valuation allowance. As of December 31, 2011, we had deferred tax assets of approximately \$20,293,000, which were primarily related to federal and state net operating loss carryforwards, impairment charges, and closure costs. In 2011 and 2010, we determined that it was more likely than not that approximately \$3,721,000 and \$554,000 of our net deferred income tax assets will be realized based, primarily, on profitable historic results and projections of future taxable income. Our net operating losses are subject to being audited by the Internal Revenue Services, and, as a result, the amounts could be reduced.

Index

Foreign Operation

On October 31, 2011, we acquired Safety & Ecology Corporation Limited ("SECL" - a United Kingdom corporation), a subsidiary of SEHC. The financial results of SECL are included in the consolidated financial statements of the Company within the Services Segment. The financial results of SECL are translated into U.S. dollars using exchange rates in effect at period-end for assets and liabilities and average exchange rates during the period for result of operations. The related translation adjustments are reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

Known Trends and Uncertainties

The DOE and DOD represent major customers for our Treatment Segment and Services Segment. For our Treatment Segment, in conjunction with the federal government's September 30 fiscal year-end, the Treatment Segment historically experienced seasonably large shipments during the third quarter, leading up to this government fiscal year-end, as a result of incentives and other quota requirements. Correspondingly for a period of approximately three months following September 30, this segment generally slows down, as the government budgets are still being finalized, planning for the new year is occurring, and we enter the holiday season. This trend generally continues into the first quarter of the new year as government entities evaluate their spending priorities. Because government spending is contingent upon its annual budget and allocation of funding, we cannot provide assurance that we will not have large fluctuations in the quarters in the near future.

Our Services Segment generally experiences a seasonal slowdown during the winter months as heavy construction projects are typically performed in the early Spring to late Fall months, winter weather conditions delay work at project sites, and our technical services experience reduced activities and related billable hours throughout the November and December holiday period.

Economic Conditions. With much of our segments' customer base being government or prime contractors treating government waste, economic upturns or downturns do not usually have a significant impact on the demand for our services.

We believe demand for our services will be subject to fluctuations due to a variety of factors beyond our control, including the current economic conditions, and the manner in which the government will be required to spend funding to remediate federal sites. Our operations depend, in large part, upon governmental funding, particularly funding levels at the DOE. In addition, our governmental contracts and subcontracts relating to activities at governmental sites are subject to termination or renegotiation on 30 days notice at the government's option. Significant reductions in the level of governmental funding due to the completion of most stimulus funded projects and federal budgets driven by temporary continuing resolutions could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Legal Matters:

Perma-Fix of Northwest Richland, Inc. ("PFNWR")

PFNWR filed suit (PFNWR vs. Philotechnics, Ltd.) in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, asserting contract breach and seeking specific performance of the "return-of-waste clause" in the brokerage contract between a prior facility owner (now owned by PFNWR and Philotechnics, Ltd. ("Philo")), as to certain non-conforming waste Philo delivered for treatment from Philo's customer, El du Pont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont"), to the PFNWR facility, before PFNWR acquired the facility. Our complaint seeks an order that Philo: (A) specifically perform its obligations under the contract's "return-of-waste" clause by physically taking custody of and by removing the nonconforming waste, (B) pay PFNWR all additional costs of maintaining and managing the waste, and (C) pay PFNWR the cost to treat and dispose of the nonconforming waste so as to allow PFNWR to compliantly dispose of that waste offsite. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources of the Company – Financing Activities" of the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", discussing an Offset Amount offsetting against the earn-out amount relating to the claims contained in this lawsuit.

Index

Subsidiary of SEHC

The lawsuit styled First Fidelity Lending Corp. ("First Fidelity") vs. SEC and Christopher Leichtweis ("Leichtweis", who was named our Senior Vice President upon completion of our acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries), pending in the Circuit Court for the 15th Judicial District of Palm Beach County, Florida, alleging SEC and Leichtweis breached the General Agreement of Indemnity with the surety, First Fidelity, in connection with SEC's performance bonds on certain projects, has been dismissed by First Fidelity.

Significant Customers. Our segments have significant relationships with the federal government, and continue to enter into contracts, directly as the prime contractor or indirectly as a subcontractor, with the federal government. The contracts that we are a party to with the federal government or with others as a subcontractor to the federal government generally provide that the government may terminate or renegotiate the contracts on 30 days notice, at the government's election. Our inability to continue under existing contracts that we have with the federal government (directly or indirectly as a subcontractor) could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition.

We performed services relating to waste generated by the federal government, either directly as a prime contractor or indirectly as a subcontractor (including CHPRC as discussed below) to the federal government, representing approximately \$100,165,000 or 84.4% of our total revenue from continuing operations during 2011, as compared to \$80,275,000 or 82.1% of our total revenue from continuing operations during 2010, and \$75,013,000 or 81.2% of our total revenue from continuing operations during 2009.

During the second quarter of 2008, we were awarded a subcontract by CHPRC, a general contractor to the DOE, to participate in the cleanup of the central portion of the Hanford Site located in the state of Washington. This subcontract is a cost plus award fee contract and provides, among other things, a base period from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2013, and an option period from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2018. We believe full operations under this subcontract will result in total revenues to us for on-site and off-site work of approximately \$200,000,000 to \$250,000,000 over the five year base period. As provided above, M&EC's subcontract is terminable or subject to renegotiation, at the option of the government, on 30 days notice. Three other subcontracts that our subsidiaries have with CHPRC have been renegotiated and extended through September 30, 2013. Revenues from CHPRC totaled \$59,136,000 or 49.9%, \$51,929,000 or 53.1%, and \$45,169,000 or 48.8%, of our total revenue from continuing operations for twelve months ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Prior to our acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries, the DOE had advised a subsidiary of SEHC which was performing work on a DOE project that there were deficiencies in the subsidiary's performance and that the subsidiary's performance on this project was unsatisfactory to the DOE. In addition, the subsidiary's performance resulted in substantial cost overruns. It is unknown whether the DOE will renew the contract on this project with the SEHC's subsidiary. Subsequent to our acquisition of SEC, we have worked with the DOE on this project attempting to resolve the deficiencies which may have been caused by the subsidiary of SEHC prior to our acquisition. We do not believe that the SEHC's subsidiary's deficiency on this project will affect our ability to obtain additional contracts with the DOE.

Prior to our acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries, a subsidiary of SEHC was performing work on two other non-governmental projects and the contractors on these projects had advised the SEHC subsidiary that there were deficiencies in the subsidiary's work and that failure of the subsidiary to correct these deficiencies could result in a default under the contracts relating to these projects. On one of these projects, which has now been completed, there were substantial cost overruns prior to our acquisition for which the subsidiary has submitted a request for adjustment to the price to recover certain cost overruns. Due to the problems on this project, these cost overruns may not be reimbursable. In connection with the other project, in which there also has been substantial overruns, we expect the project to be completed by the second quarter of 2012. If, however, the second project is terminated prior to

completion, the SEHC subsidiary may incur liability in connection with this project. After our acquisition of SEC, we began working with the contractors on both of these projects attempting to resolve any deficiencies and issues relating to SEHC's subsidiary's work on these projects.

Index

Insurance. We maintain insurance coverage similar to, or greater than, the coverage maintained by other companies of the same size and industry, which complies with the requirements under applicable environmental laws. We evaluate our insurance policies annually to determine adequacy, cost effectiveness, and desired deductible levels. Due to the continued uncertainty in the economy and changes within the environmental insurance market, we have no guarantees that if Chartis does not provide insurance coverage that we will be able to obtain similar insurance in future years, or that the cost of such insurance will not increase materially.

Climate Change. Climate change is receiving ever increasing attention from scientists and legislators alike. The debate is ongoing as to the extent to which our climate is changing, the potential causes of this change and its potential impacts. Some attribute global warming to increased levels of greenhouse gases, including carbon dioxide, which has led to significant legislative and regulatory efforts to limit greenhouse gas emissions.

Presently there are no federally mandated greenhouse gas reduction requirements in the United States. However, there are a number of legislative and regulatory proposals to address greenhouse gas emissions, which are in various phases of discussion or implementation. The outcome of federal and state actions to address global climate change could result in a variety of regulatory programs including potential new regulations. Any adoption by federal or state governments mandating a substantial reduction in greenhouse gas emissions could increase costs associated with our operations. Until the timing, scope and extent of any future regulation becomes known, we cannot predict the effect on our financial position, operating results and cash flows.

Environmental Contingencies

We are engaged in the waste management services segment of the pollution control industry. As a participant in the on-site treatment, storage and disposal market and the off-site treatment and services market, we are subject to rigorous federal, state and local regulations. These regulations mandate strict compliance and therefore are a cost and concern to us. Because of their integral role in providing quality environmental services, we make every reasonable attempt to maintain complete compliance with these regulations; however, even with a diligent commitment, we, along with many of our competitors, may be required to pay fines for violations or investigate and potentially remediate our waste management facilities.

We routinely use third party disposal companies, who ultimately destroy or secure landfill residual materials generated at our facilities or at a client's site. We, compared to certain of our competitors, dispose of significantly less hazardous or industrial by-products from our operations due to rendering material non-hazardous, discharging treated wastewaters to publicly-owned treatment works and/or processing wastes into saleable products. In the past, numerous third party disposal sites have improperly managed waste and consequently require remedial action; consequently, any party utilizing these sites may be liable for some or all of the remedial costs. Despite our aggressive compliance and auditing procedures for disposal of wastes, we could further be notified, in the future, that we are a PRP at a remedial action site, which could have a material adverse effect.

We have budgeted for 2012, \$1,138,000 in environmental remediation expenditures to comply with federal, state and local regulations in connection with remediation of certain contaminates at our facilities. Our facilities where the remediation expenditures will be made are the Leased Property in Dayton, Ohio (EPS), a former RCRA storage facility as operated by the former owners of PFD, PFM's facility in Memphis, Tennessee, PFSG's facility in Valdosta, Georgia, and PFMI's facility in Detroit, Michigan. The environmental liability of PFD (as it relates to the remediation of the EPS site assumed by the Company as a result of the original acquisition of the PFD facility) was retained by the Company upon the sale of PFD in March 2008. All of the reserves are within our discontinued operations. While no assurances can be made that we will be able to do so, we expect to fund the expenses to remediate these sites from funds generated internally.

At December 31, 2011, we had total accrued environmental remediation liabilities of \$2,002,000 of which \$1,138,000 is recorded as a current liability, which reflects a decrease of \$254,000 from the December 31, 2010, balance of \$2,256,000. The net decrease represents payment of approximately \$592,000 on remediation projects and increases in reserve of approximately \$288,000 at PFM and \$50,000 at PFMI due to reassessment of our remediation reserves. The December 31, 2011, current and long-term accrued environmental balance is recorded as follows (in thousands):

Index

	Current Accrual]	Long-term Accrual	Total
PFD	\$ 224	\$	135	\$ 359
PFM	74		15	89
PFSG	783		714	1,497
PFMI	57		-	57
Total Liability	\$ 1,138	\$	864	\$ 2,002

Related Party Transactions

Mr. Robert Schreiber, Jr.

During March 2011, we entered into a new lease with Lawrence Properties LLC, a company jointly owned by Robert Schreiber, Jr., the President of Schreiber, Yonley and Associates, and Mr. Schreiber's spouse. Mr. Schreiber is a member of our executive management team. The new lease is for a term of five years starting June 1, 2011. The new lease replaced the prior five- year lease with Lawrence Properties LLC, which expired on May 31, 2011. Under the new lease, we pay monthly rent of approximately \$11,400, which we believe is lower than costs charged by unrelated third party landlords. Additional rent will be assessed for any increases over the new lease commencement year for property taxes or assessments and property and casualty insurance premiums.

Mr. David Centofanti

Mr. David Centofanti serves as our Director of Information Services. For such services, he received total compensation in 2011 of approximately \$173,000. Mr. David Centofanti is the son of our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of our Board, Dr. Louis F. Centofanti. We believe the compensation received by Mr. Centofanti for his technical expertise which he provides to the Company is competitive and comparable to compensation we would have to pay to an unaffiliated third party with the same technical expertise.

Mr. Robert L. Ferguson

On June 13, 2007, we acquired Nuvotec (n/k/a Perma-Fix Northwest, Inc. or "PFNW") and Nuvotec's wholly owned subsidiary, PEcoS (n/k/a Perma-Fix Northwest Richland, Inc. or "PFNWR"), pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, as amended, between us, Nuvotec, PEcoS, and our wholly owned subsidiary. At the time of the acquisition, Robert L. Ferguson was the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and individually or through entities controlled by him, the owner of approximately 21.29% of Nuvotec's outstanding common stock. In connection with the acquisition, Mr. Ferguson was nominated to serve as a Director and subsequently was elected as a director at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Mr. Ferguson served as a director until his resignation in February 2010. Mr. Ferguson was recommended by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board of Directors nominated Mr. Ferguson to stand for election as a Director at our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, at which time he was elected as a Director.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, as consideration for the acquisition of PFNW and PFNWR by the Company, Mr. Ferguson (or entities controlled by him):

- (a) received a total of \$224,560 cash and 192,783 shares of our Common Stock in July 2007; and
- (b) is entitled to receive 21.29% of an aggregate earn-out amount of \$4,552,000, based on the annual revenues of our nuclear business (as defined) over the four year period ended on June 30, 2011. The aggregate earn-out amount was paid as follows:
 - (i) an aggregate \$2,574,000 in earn-out amount was paid in cash; and

(ii) we issued a promissory note, dated September 28, 2010, in the principle amount of \$1,322,000, which provides for 36 equal monthly payments of \$40,000, consisting of interest (annual interest rate of 6%) and principal, starting October 15, 2010.

Index

The total \$3,896,000 in earn-out amount paid to date or to be paid pursuant to the promissory note excludes approximately \$656,000 in Offset Amount, which represents potential indemnification obligations (as defined by the Merger Agreement) which may be payable to the Company by the former shareholders of Nuvotec. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, the aggregate amount of any Offset Amount may total up to \$1,000,000, except an Offset Amount is unlimited as to indemnification relating to liabilities for taxes, misrepresentation or inaccuracies with respect to the capitalization of Nuvotec or PEcoS or for willful or reckless misrepresentation of any representation, warranty or covenant.

Mr. Ferguson acquired from Mr. William Lampson one-half of a Warrant (the "Lampson Warrant") for the purchase up to 135,000 of the Company's Common Stock at \$1.50 per share. We originally issued the Lampson Warrant to Mr. Lampson as consideration for a loan in the principal amount of \$3,000,000 on May 8, 2009 from Mr. Lampson and Mr. Diehl Rettig. The terms of the loan were amended on April 18, 2011, to provide that the remaining principal balance of \$990,000 is payable in 12 monthly principal payments plus accrued interest starting May 8, 2011. In connection with the loan amendment, the expiration date of the Lampson Warrant was extended one year to May 8, 2012. As a result of the acquisition of one-half of the Lampson Warrant by Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Ferguson and Mr. Lampson each hold a Warrant for the purchase of up to 67,500 shares of Common Stock at \$1.50 per share and with an expiration date of May 8, 2012.

Christopher Leichtweis

The Company is obligated to make lease payments of approximately \$29,000 per month through June 2018, pursuant to a Lease Agreement, dated June 1, 2008 (the "Lease"), between Leichtweis Enterprises, LLC, as lessor, and Safety and Ecology Holdings Corporation ("SEHC"), as lessee. Leichtweis Enterprises, LLC, is owned by Mr. Christopher Leichtweis, who was named as a Senior Vice President of the Company and President of SEC upon the acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries by the Company from Homeland on October 31, 2011. The Lease covers SEHC's principal offices in Knoxville, Tennessee.

Under an agreement of indemnity, SEC, Leichtweis and his spouse, jointly and severally, agreed to indemnify the individual surety with respect to contingent liabilities that may be incurred by the individual surety under certain of SEC's bonded projects. In addition, SEC has agreed to indemnify Leichtweis against judgments, penalties, fines, and expense associated with those SEC performance bonds that Leichtweis has agreed to indemnify in the event SEC cannot perform, which has an aggregate bonded amount of approximately \$10,900,000. The indemnification agreement provided by SEC to Leichtweis also provides for compensating Leichtweis at a rate of 0.75% of the value of bonds (60% having been paid previously and the balance at substantial completion of the contract).

Upon the closing of the acquisition of SEHC and its subsidiaries by the Company from Homeland on October 31, 2011, certain security holders of Homeland ("Management Investors") purchased 813,007 restricted shares of the Company's Common Stock for a total consideration of approximately \$1,000,000, or \$1.23 a share, which was the average of the closing prices of the Company's Common Stock as quoted on the Nasdaq during the 30 trading days ending on the trading day immediately prior to the closing of the acquisition. The purchase of the Company's Common Stock was pursuant to a private placement under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act") or Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under the Act. Mr. Leichtweis purchased 747,112 of the 813,007 shares of the Company's Common Stock for the aggregate purchase price of approximately \$918,948 or \$1.23 per share. The purchase price for these shares was deducted from the consideration paid to Homeland for the acquisition of SEHC.

Employment Agreements

We have an employment agreement with each of Dr. Centofanti (our President and Chief Executive Officer), Ben Naccarato (our Chief Financial Officer), James Blankenhorn (our Chief Operating Officer) and Christopher Leichtweis (our Senior Vice President). Each employment agreement provides for annual base salaries, bonuses, and

other benefits commonly found in such agreements. In addition, each employment agreement provides that in the event of termination of such officer without cause or termination by the officer for good reason (as such terms are defined in the employment agreement), the terminated officer shall receive payments of an amount equal to benefits that have accrued as of the termination but not yet paid, plus an amount equal to one year's base salary at the time of termination. In addition, the employment agreements provide that in the event of a change in control (as defined in the employment agreements), all outstanding stock options to purchase our common stock granted to, and held by, the officer covered by the employment agreement to be immediately vested and exercisable.

Index

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to certain market risks arising from adverse changes in interest rates, primarily due to the potential effect of such changes on our variable rate loan arrangements with PNC and with Mr. William Lampson and Mr. Diehl Rettig (who is now deceased and the loan is payable to his representative or estate). The interest rates payable to PNC are based on a spread over prime rate or a spread over LIBOR and the interest rate payable on the promissory note to Mr. Lampson and Mr. Rettig is based on a spread over a minimum floor base LIBOR of 1.5%. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had approximately \$16,140,000 in variable rate borrowings. Assuming a 1% change in the average interest rate as of December 31, 2011, our interest cost would change by approximately \$161,400. As of December 31, 2011, we had no interest swap agreement outstanding.

We consider our direct exposure to foreign exchange rate fluctuation to be minimal. The Company has a small foreign operation (Safety & Ecology Corporation Limited ("SECL") - a United Kingdom corporation) located in Blaydon On Tyne, England, which we acquired on October 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, SECL's assets were \$99,000 or 0.1% of the total consolidated assets of the Company and had generated revenues of approximately \$30,000 in U.S. dollars since our acquisition; therefore, increases or decreases to the value of the U.S dollar relative to the British pound would not have a material impact to our financial results.

Index

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained within this report may be deemed "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (collectively, the "Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995"). All statements in this report other than a statement of historical fact are forward-looking statements that are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which could cause actual results and performance of the Company to differ materially from such statements. The words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "will," and similar expressions ident forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements contained herein relate to, among other things,

- demand for our services subject to fluctuations;
 - funding by the federal government;

goals;

ability to improve operations;

ability to renew permits and licenses with minimal effort and costs;

we anticipate meeting our financial covenants in 2012;

- ability to close and remediate certain contaminated sites for projected amounts over the projected periods;
 - ability to fund expenses to remediate sites from funds generated internally;
 - our ability to develop or adopt new and existing technologies in the conduct of our operations;
- ability to fund budgeted capital expenditures during 2012 through our operations and lease financing;
- our cash flows from operations and our available liquidity from our line of credit are sufficient to service the Company's current obligations and current obligations resulting from acquisition of SEC;
- continue to take steps to improve our operations and liquidity and to invest working capital into our facilities to fund capital additions to our segments.
- due to the continued uncertainty in the economy and changes within the environmental insurance market, we have no guarantee that we will be able to obtain similar insurance in future years, or that the cost of such insurance will not increase materially;
- we could be subject to fines, penalties or other liabilities or could be adversely affected by existing or subsequently enacted laws or regulations;
 - as our operations and activities expand, there could be increase in the potential litigation;
- our inability to continue under existing contracts that we have with the federal government (directly or indirectly as a subcontractor) could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition;
- full operations under the CHPRC subcontract could result in total revenues to us for on-site and off-site work of approximately \$200,000,000 to \$250,000,000 over the five year base period;
 - demand for our services will be subject to fluctuations due to a variety of factors beyond our control;

investment of working capital;

seasonality and the government's budget process;

process backlog;

funding of any repurchases of our common stock;

contracts with the federal government;

- new radioactive disposal facility located in Andrews, Texas beginning operations in 2012, could reduce our reliance on Energy Solutions as a disposal site;
 - SEHC's subsidiary's deficiency on its project with the federal government could affect our ability to obtain additional contracts with the DOE.
- treatment processes we utilize offer a cost saving alternative to more traditional remediation and disposal methods offered by certain of our competitors;
 - no further impairment to intangible assets;
 - no expectation of material future inflationary changes;

despite our aggressive compliance and auditing procedure for disposal of wastes, we could further be notified, in the future, that we are a PRP at a remedial action site, which could have a material adverse effect;

- we could be deemed responsible for part for the cleanup of certain properties and be subject to fines and civil penalties in connection with violations of regulatory requirements;
- we do not expect ASU 2011-04 to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flow; and
 - we do not expect adoption of ASU 2011-08 to have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Index

While the Company believes the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance such expectations will prove to be correct. There are a variety of factors which could cause future outcomes to differ materially from those described in this report, including, but not limited to:

- general economic conditions;
- material reduction in revenues;
- ability to meet PNC covenant requirements;
 - inability to collect in a timely manner a material amount of receivables;
- increased competitive pressures;
- the ability to maintain and obtain required permits and approvals to conduct operations;
- the ability to develop new and existing technologies in the conduct of operations;
- ability to retain or renew certain required permits;
- discovery of additional contamination or expanded contamination at any of the sites or facilities leased or owned by us or our subsidiaries which would result in a material increase in remediation expenditures;
- •changes in federal, state and local laws and regulations, especially environmental laws and regulations, or in interpretation of such;
- potential increases in equipment, maintenance, operating or labor costs;
- management retention and development;
- financial valuation of intangible assets is substantially more/less than expected;
- the requirement to use internally generated funds for purposes not presently anticipated;
- inability to continue to be profitable on an annualized basis;
- the inability to maintain the listing of our Common Stock on the NASDAQ;
- terminations of contracts with federal agencies or subcontracts involving federal agencies, or reduction in amount of waste delivered to us under these contracts or subcontracts;
- renegotiation of contracts involving the federal government;
- disposal expense accrual could prove to be inadequate in the event the waste requires retreatment; and
- Risk Factors contained in Item 1A of this report.

We undertake no obligations to update publicly any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

<u>Index</u>

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Financial Statements	Page No.
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, BDO USA, LLP	55
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010	56
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009	58
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009	59
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009	60
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	61
Financial Statement Schedule	
II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009	135

Schedules Omitted

In accordance with the rules of Regulation S-X, other schedules are not submitted because (a) they are not applicable to or required by the Company, or (b) the information required to be set forth therein is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Index

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders Perma-Fix Environmental Services, Inc. Atlanta, Georgia

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Perma-Fix Environmental Services, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. In connection with our audits of the financial statements, we have also audited the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements and schedule. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Perma-Fix Environmental Services, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Also, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Perma-Fix Environmental Services, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 15, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/BDO USA, LLP

Atlanta, Georgia March 15, 2012

PERMA-FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS As of December 31,

(Amount in Thousands, Except for Share and per Share Amounts)	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$12,055	\$101
Restricted cash	1,535	35
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$228 and \$215,	,	
respectively	19,106	8,541
Unbilled receivables - current	9,871	9,436
Retainage receivable	912	3/4
Inventories	573	465
Prepaid and other assets	4,604	2,870
Deferred tax assets -current	2,426	1,734
Current assets related to discontinued operations	693	2,034
Total current assets	51,775	25,216
Property and equipment:		
Buildings and land	26,026	24,693
Equipment	34,283	33,279
Vehicles	818	235
Leasehold improvements	11,529	11,506
Office furniture and equipment	2,081	1,890
Construction-in-progress	764	593
	75,501	72,196
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(35,666) (31,753)
Net property and equipment	39,835	40,443
Property and equipment related to discontinued operations	1,650	4,209
Intangibles and other long term assets:		
Permits	16,854	16,863
Goodwill	27,063	15,330
Other intangible assets	4,258	271
Unbilled receivables – non-current	424	2,556
Finite Risk Sinking Fund	19,354	17,424
Deferred tax asset, net of liabilities	1,295	3/4
Other assets	1,595	1,813
Intangible and other assets related to discontinued operations	3/4	1,190
Total assets	\$164,103	\$125,315

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PERMA-FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS, CONTINUED As of December 31,

(Amount in Thousands, Except for Share and per Share Amounts)	2011	2010
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$13,117	\$4,891
Accrued expenses	9,533	5,996
Disposal/transportation accrual	1,957	2,188
Unearned revenue	6,260	3,527
Billing in excess of costs and estimated earning	3,226	3/4
Current liabilities related to discontinued operations	2,197	2,673
Current portion of long-term debt	3,936	3,612
Total current liabilities	40,226	22,887
Accrued closure costs	11,937	12,362
Other long-term liabilities	610	671
Deferred tax liability	3/4	1,180
Long-term liabilities related to discontinued operations	1,775	3,074
Long-term debt, less current portion	15,007	6,637
Total long-term liabilities	29,329	23,924
	•	ŕ
Total liabilities	69,555	46,811
Commitments and Contingencies		
communicate und commigenties		
Preferred Stock of subsidiary, \$1.00 par value; 1,467,396 shares authorized, 1,284,730		
shares issued and outstanding, liquidation value \$1.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid		
dividends	1,285	1,285
	-,	_,
Stockholders' Equity:		
Preferred Stock, \$.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized,no shares issued and		
outstanding Common Stock, \$.001 par value; 75,000,000 shares authorized, 56,068,248		
and 55,106,180 shares issued, respectively; 56,030,038 and 55,067,970 shares		
outstanding, respectively	56	55
Additional paid-in capital	102,411	100,821
Accumulated deficit	(9,505) (23,569)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3) 3/4
Less Common Stock in treasury at cost; 38,210 shares	(88) (88)
Total Perma-Fix Environmental Services, Inc. stockholders' equity	92,871	77,219
Noncontrolling interest	392	3/4
Total stockholders' equity	93,263	77,219
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$164,103	\$125,315

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PERMA-FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the years ended December 31,

(Amounts in Thousands, Except for per Share Amounts)	2011	2010	2009
Net revenues	\$118,610	\$97,790	\$92,393
Cost of goods sold	89,822	77,175	67,912
Gross profit	28,788	20,615	24,481
1	,	,	,
Selling, general and administrative expenses	15,564	13,361	14,422
Research and development	1,502	921	609
Loss (gain) on disposal of property and equipment	(15) 138	(7)
Income from operations	11,737	6,195	9,457
Other income (expense):			
Interest income	58	65	145
Interest expense	(657) (755) (1,639)
Interest expense – financing fees	(207) (412) (283)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(91) —	
Other	5	24	21
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	10,845	5,117	7,701
Income tax expense (benefit)	(955) 1,846	(1,986)
Income from continuing operations	11,800	3,271	9,687
•			
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	777	(663) (65)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net of taxes	1,509	_	_
Net income	\$14,086	\$2,608	\$9,622
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	22	_	_
Net income attributable to Perma-Fix Environmental Services,			
Inc. common stockholders	\$14,064	\$2,608	\$9,622
Net income (loss) per common share attributable to Perma-Fix			
Environmental Services, Inc. stockholders - basic:			
Continuing operations	\$.21	\$.06	\$.18
Discontinued operations	.01	(.01) —
Disposal of discontinued operations	.03	_	<u> </u>
Net income per common share	\$.25	\$.05	\$.18
Net income (loss) per common share attributable to Perma-Fix			
Environmental Services, Inc. stockholders - diluted:			
Continuing operations	\$.21	\$.06	\$.18
Discontinued operations	.01	(.01) —
Disposal of discontinued operations	.03		_
Net income per common share	\$.25	\$.05	\$.18

Number of common shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:			
Basic	55,295	54,947	54,238
Diluted	55,317	55,030	54,526

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PERMA-FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the years ended December 31,

(Amounts in Thousands)	2011		2010		2009	
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net income	\$14,086		\$2,608		\$9,622	
Less: Income (loss) on discontinued operations	2,286		(663)	(65)
Income from continuing operations	11,800		3,271		9,687	
Adjustments to reconcile net income from continuing operations to cash						
provided by operations:						
Depreciation and amortization	4,961		4,530		4,321	
Amortization of debt discount	141		333		216	
Deferred tax (benefit) expense	(3,090)	1,819		(2,490)
Provision for bad debt and other reserves	83		59		343	
Foreign exchange loss	(3)				
(Gain) loss on disposal of plant, property and equipment	(15)	138		(7)
Issuance of common stock for services	210		240		251	
Stock-based compensation	345		343		713	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities of continuing operations, net of						
effect of business acquisitions:						
Accounts receivable	7,125		3,215		(683)
Unbilled receivables	1,697		279		4,623	
Prepaid expenses, inventories and other assets	1,044		1,844		1,352	
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and unearned revenue	(800))	(7,997)	(10,035)
Cash provided by continuing operations	23,498		8,074		8,291	
Cash (used in) provided by discontinued operations	(2,739)	(344)	154	
Cash provided by operating activities	20,759		7,730		8,445	
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(2,303)	(1,571)	(1,308)
Proceeds from sale of plant, property and equipment	25		11		7	
Payments to finite risk sinking fund	(1,930)	(1,944)	(4,135)
Payment of earn-out to Nuvotec shareholders	(840)	(1,000)	(734)
Cash used for acquisition consideration, net of cash acquired	(15,628)				
Cash used in investing activities of continuing operations	(20,676)	(4,504)	(6,170)
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities of discontinued operations	7,691		(544)	(186)
Net cash used in investing activities	(12,985)	(5,048)	(6,356)
Cash flow from financing activities:						
Net repayments of revolving credit	(2,019)	(640)	(3,857)
Principal repayments of long term debt	(11,329)	(3,117)	(2,584)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	16,000				2,982	
Proceeds from issuance of stock	1,00	00	509		631	
Proceeds from finite risk financing	685		653		753	
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities of continuing operations	4,337		(2,595)	(2,075)
Principal repayment of long-term debt for discontinued operations	(157)	(52)	(55)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	4,180	·	(2,647)	(2,130)

Edgar Filing: PERMA FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC - Form 10-K

Increase (decrease) in cash	11,954	35	(41)
Cash at beginning of period	101	66	107	
Cash at end of period	\$12,055	\$101	\$66	
Supplemental disclosure:				
Interest paid	\$707	\$893	\$4,188	
Income taxes paid	2,051	492	349	
Non-cash investing and financing activities:				
Long-term debt incurred for purchase of property and equipment		429	125	
Note issued for earn-out to Nuvotec shareholders		1,322		
Issuance of Common Stock for debt			476	
Issuance of Warrants for debt			190	
Warrant extension for debt modification	36			
Note issued for SEC acquisition	2,500			
Amount held in escrow account in connection with SEC acquisition (see				
Note 7)	1,500			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PERMA-FIX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the years ended December 31,

(Amounts in Thousands, Except for Share Amounts)

\sim			
('(m	۱m	or

			Additiona		Other	lated nconto		Total
	Common Stock	[Paid-In	In	Compreh			Stockholders'
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Treasury	Loss	Subsidiary	Deficit	Equity
Balance at December								
31, 2008	53,934,560	\$ 54	\$ 97,381	\$ 3/4	\$ 3/4	\$ 3/4	\$ (35,799)	\$ 61,636
Comprehensive								
income:								
Net income	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	9,622	9,622
Foreign currency								
adjustment	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4
Total comprehensive								
income	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	9,622
Issuance of Common								
Stock for services	136,522	3/4	251	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	251
Issuance of Common								
Stock upon exercise of								
Options	357,822	1						