RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS INC DE/ Form 10QSB November 16, 2004

(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

United States Securities And Exchange Commission Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

(Ma	rk One)	
[X]	Quarterly Report	Under Section 13 Or 15(d) Of The Securities Exchange Act Of 1934
		For The Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2004
[_]	Transition Repor	t Under Section 13 Or 15(d) Of The Securities Exchange Act Of 1934
		For The Transition Period From To
		Commission File No
		RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC. (Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)
	Delaware	87-0441351

4705 Laurel Canyon Boulevard, Suite 203 Studio City, California 91607 (818) 432-4560 (Address Of Principal Executive Offices) (Issuer's Telephone Number)

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes [X] No []

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date: 34,477,539 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, as of October 31, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ADVISEMENTS	i
BALANCE SHEET	1
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS	2
STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	3
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS	6
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	8
Overview	12
Development Stage Company; Going Concern	12
Results Of Operations	12
Plan Of Business	13
Liquidity And Capital Resources	15
Critical Accounting Policies	15
UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER RISK FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT OUR FUTURE	
RESULTS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION	15
Risks Relating To Our Business	16
Risks Relating To An Investment In Our Securities	19
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	22
CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	22
Modification Of Instruments Defining Rights Of Holders Of Class Of Registered Securities	22
Limitation Or Qualification Of Rights Of Class of Registered Securities By Issuance Or	
Modification Of Any Other Class Of Securities	22
Recent Sales Of Unregistered Equity Securities	23
Use Of Proceeds Of Registered Offerings	24
Repurchases Of Equity Securities	24
DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	24
SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	24
DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	25
OTHER INFORMATION	25
Voluntary Reports	25
Material Changes To Director Nominee Procedures	25
EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8 K	25
Exhibits	25
Reports on Form 8 K	27
SIGNATURES	27

i

ADVISEMENTS

Unless the context requires otherwise, "*Recom*", the company , "we", "us", "our" and similar terms refer to Recom Managed Systems, Inc. Our common stock, par value \$.001 per share, and our series A preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share, are commonly referred to in this report as our common shares and series A preferred shares , respectively. The information in this report is current as of the date of this report (September 30, 2004), unless an earlier date is specified.

We prepare our interim consolidated financial statements in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Our consolidated financial condition and results of operations for the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2004 are not necessarily indicative of our prospective consolidated financial condition and results of operations for the full fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. The interim consolidated financial statements presented in this report as well as other information relating to our company contained in this report should be read in conjunction with the annual consolidated financial statements and more detailed background information relating to our company and our business contained in our annual report on form 10-KSB for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, as amended, together with any reports, statements and information filed with the SEC relating to periods or events occurring after December 31, 2003.

On April 11, 2003, we effected a split in our common shares on a 3:1 forward basis through the mechanism of a stock dividend. Whenever we make any reference in this report to the grant or issuance of common shares or options or warrants to purchase common shares, such reference shall, for comparison purposes, be made in reference to post-split numbers and, in the case of options and warrants, exercise prices, unless we state otherwise.

In this report we make a number of statements, referred to as "forward-looking statements", which are intended to convey our expectations or predictions regarding the occurrence of possible future events or the existence of trends and factors that may impact our future plans and operating results. These forward-looking statements are derived, in part, from various assumptions and analyses we have made in the context of our current business plan and information currently available to us and in light of our experience and perceptions of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments and other factors we believe to be appropriate in the circumstances. You can generally identify forward-looking statements through words and phrases such as "seek", "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect", "intend", "plan", "budget", "project", "may be", "may continue", "may likely result", and similar expressions. When reading any forward looking statement you should remain mindful that actual results or developments may vary substantially from those expected as expressed in or implied by that statement for a number of reasons or factors, such as those relating to: (1) the success of our research and development activities, the development of a viable commercial production model, and the speed with which regulatory authorizations and product launches may be achieved; (2) whether or not a market for our products develops and, if a market develops, the pace at which it develops; (3) our ability to successfully sell our products if a market develops; (4) our ability to attract the qualified personnel to implement our growth strategies, (5)our ability to develop sales, marketing and distribution capabilities; (6) our ability to obtain reimbursement from third party payers for the products that we sell; (7) the accuracy of our estimates and projections; (8) our ability to fund our short-term and long-term financing needs; (9) changes in our business plan and corporate strategies; and (10) other risks and uncertainties discussed in greater detail in the sections of this report, including those captioned "Plan of Operation" and Uncertainties And Other Risk Factors That May Affect Our Future Results And Financial Condition .

Each forward-looking statement should be read in context with, and with an understanding of, the various other disclosures concerning our company and our business made elsewhere in this report as well as other pubic reports we file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), including our annual report on form 10-KSB for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, as amended. You should not place undue reliance on any

forward-looking statement as a prediction of actual results or developments. We are not obligated to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained in this report to reflect new events or circumstances unless and to the extent required by applicable law.

-i-

RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)
BALANCE SHEET
September 30, 2004

ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,583,697
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		38,105
Total current assets		1,621,802
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$82,920.		159,274
Intangibles - patents, net of accumulated amortization of \$19,506		261,029
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	2,042,105
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	476,520
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Series A convertible preferred stock, \$.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; 1,042,20)4	
shares issued and outstanding		1,042
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 33,853,112 shares issued and		·
outstanding		33,853
Additional paid-in capital		13,780,804
Deferred compensation		(8,009)
Deficit accumulated during development stage		(12,242,105)
		, , , , , , ,
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		1,565,585
		,, 0
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$	2,042,105

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC.

(A Development Stage Company) STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For The Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 And 2003 And From Inception Of Development Stage (November 7, 2000) To September 30, 2004

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2004 2003			For the Nin Ended Sept 2004		From Inception of Development Stage (Nov. 7, 2000 To September 30, 2004	
Revenue	\$	\$	\$		\$		\$
Research and development	448,078		85,161	880,523		166,910	1,445,654
General and administrative							
expenses	909,310		827,416	3,905,983		3,262,176	8,950,856
Total expense	1,357,388		912,577	4,786,506		3,429,086	10,396,510
Loss before income taxes	(1,357,388)		(912,577)	(4,786,506)		(3,429,086)	(10,396,510)
Provision for income taxes							
Net loss	\$ (1,357,388)	\$	(912,577)\$	(4,786,506)	\$	(3,429,086)	\$ (10,396,510)
Preferred dividend	68,258			246,96			2,200,132
Net loss attributable to common							
stockholders	\$ (1,425,646)	\$	(912,577)\$	(5,033,468)	\$	(3,429,086)	\$ (12,596,642)
Basic and diluted loss per share							
attributable to common							
stockholders	\$ (0.04)	\$	(0.03)\$	(0.14)	\$	(0.11)	\$ (0.55)
Basic and diluted loss per share							
to common stockholders	\$ (0.04)	\$	(0.03)\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.11)	\$ (0.67)
Weighted average shares							
outstanding basic and diluted	33,758,040		31,781,529	33,419,220		31,524,884	18,797,489

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC. (A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

From Inception Of Development Stage (November 7, 2000) To September 30, 2004

2000:	Common Shares		Series A Convertible Preferred Stock Shares Amour	Additional Paid-in		During velopment	(Nov. 7,
Balance November 7,							20, 2001
2000 (as restated for							
3:1 stock split)	4,139,784	\$ 4,139	\$	\$ (4,139)	\$	9	S
Contributed capital	, ,	. ,		35,000			35,000
Net loss						(36,673)	(36,673)
Balance December 31,							
2000	4,139,784	4,139		30,861		(36,673)	(1,673)
2001:							
Capital contributed				45,000			45,000
Shares issued for							
services July 2001 -							
\$0.033	150,000	150		4,850		(= 0.000)	5,000
Net loss						(50,000)	(50,000)
Balance December 31,	4.200.704	4.200		00.711		(06.672)	(1.672)
2001	4,289,784	4,289		80,711		(86,673)	(1,673)
2002:							
Capital contributed				56,400			56,400
Warrants issued for				30,400			30,400
Cash				125,000			125,000
Issuance of common				123,000			123,000
stock for:							
Technology - Sept.							
2002 - \$0.006	23,400,000	23,400		54,623			78,023
Services rendered -	.,,	-,		- ,			
Oct. 2002 - \$0.021	2,925,000	2,925		17,958	(19,678)		1,205
Cash - Oct 2002 -							
\$0.03	564,810	565		17,221			17,786
Cash - Nov 2002 -							
\$2.66	71,250	71		189,929			190,000
Contributed services -							
officer				20,000			20,000
Warrants issued for							
services				5,324			5,324
Net loss						(211,954)	(211,954)
	31,250,844	\$ 31,250	\$	\$ 567,166	\$ (19,678)\$	(298,627)\$	5 280,111

Balance December 31, 2002

(continued on next page)

-3-

RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

From Inception Of Development Stage (November 7, 2000) To September 30, 2004 (Continued)

2003:	Common Shares		Series A C Preferred S Shares			Compensat lor cun Du Develo	ring opmenf	(Nov. 7, 2000) To
Issuance of common stock for cash and						St	_	eptember
contributed property -							•	30, 2004
April 2003 - \$2.22	112,812	\$ 113		\$ 5	\$ 249,887	\$ \$	\$	250,000
Issuance of common	112,012	Ψ 113		Ψ	247,007	Ψ	Ψ	230,000
stock for cash:								
May 2003 - \$3.00	82,667	83			247,917			248,000
May 2003 - \$3.33	75,075	75			249,925			250,000
Issuance of common								
stock for services:								
April 2003 - \$2.80	147,192	147			411,654			411,801
April 2003 - \$3.15	11,045	11			34,780			34,791
July 2003 - \$3.67	111,625	112			410,192			410,304
August 2003 - \$3.68	33,188	33			121,103			121,136
September 2003 -								
\$3.77	24,292	24			91,673			91,697
October 2003 - \$4.78	15,385	15			73,525			73,540
November 2003 -								
\$3.65	18,834	19			68,783			68,802
December 2003 -	5.052				21.425			21 421
\$3.60	5,953	6			21,425			21,431
Cashless exercise of	1 107 000	1 105			(1.105)			
warrants	1,105,000	1,105			(1,105))		
Contributed services - officer					80,000			80,000
Employee stock options issued below								
market					38,400			29 400
Amortization of					36,400			38,400
deferred								
compensation						6,668		6,668
Warrants issued for:						0,000		0,000
Services					2,196,068	(219,010)		1,977,058
Financing cost					74,088	(217,010)		74,088
Issuance of preferred					, 1,000			, 1,000
stock for cash			1,792,975	1,793	5,376,857			5,378,650
			-,,	-,,,,	-,,			- ,,

(continued on next page)

-4-

RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC.

(A Development Stage Company) STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

From Inception Of Development Stage (November 7, 2000) To September 30, 2004 (Continued)

	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Series A Convertible Preferred Stock		-in D	eferred	Deficit Accumulated During Development	From Inception (Nov. 7, 2000) To
Series A Preferred offering							Stage	September 30, 2004
expenses Preferred stock beneficial conversion				(57	2,785)			(572,785)
feature				89	6,474		(896,474)	
Allocation of fair value to				0.4	9,121		(949,121)	
warrants Preferred stock accrued dividend				94	9,121		(949,121)	
payable				(10	7,575)			(107,575)
Net loss							(5,311,377)	(5,311,377)
Balance December 31, 2003	32,993,912	32,993	1,792,975 1,7	⁷ 93 11,47	7,573	(232,020)	(7,455,599)	3,824,740
2004:							,	
Issuance of common stock for services:								
January 2004 - \$3.63	52,391	\$ 52		\$ 19	0,088			\$ 190,140
February 2004 - \$4.24	25,714	26			8,979			109,005
March 2004 - \$4.90	47,638	48			3,584			233,632
April 2004 - \$7.39	11,937	12		8	8,145			88,157
May 2004 - \$6.66	43,425	43		28	9,006			289,049
June 2004 - \$4.30 July 2004 -	16,976	17		7	2,980			72,997
\$3.90	21,583	22		8	4,206			84,228

Edgar Filing: RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS INC DE/ - Form 10QSB

August 2004 -								
\$3.56	26,885	27			95,570			95,597
September 2004 - \$3.67	49,035	49			170 729			170 797
	49,033	49			179,738			179,787
Exercise of class								
A warrants for	120.020	120			274.970			275 000
cash	130,030	130			274,870			275,000
Cashless								
exercise of					(= a)			
warrants	51,815	52			(52)			
Contributed								
services - officer					60,000			60,000
Amortization of								
deferred								
compensation						224,011		224,011
Warrants issued								
for services					115,503			115,503
Warrants issued								
for legal								
settlement					757,207			757,207
Cancelled shares	(369,000)	(369)			369			
Convertible								
Preferred Stock								
Conversion	750,771	751	(750,771)	(751)				
Preferred stock								
accrued								
dividend								
payable					(246,962)			(246,962)
Net loss							(4,786,506)	(4,786,506)
Balance								
September 30,								
2004								
(unaudited)	33,853,112 \$	33,853	1,042,204 \$	1,042 \$	13,780,804 \$	(8,009)\$	(12,242,105)\$	1,565,585

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC.

(A Development Stage Company) STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 And 2003 And From Inception Of Development Stage (November 7, 2000) To September 30, 2004

	For the Nine M September 2004		From Inception of Development Stage (Nov. 7, 2000) to September 30, 2004	
Cash flow from operating activities:			(= 1=0 000)	* (10.505.510)
Net loss	\$ (4,786,506)	\$	(3,429,086)	\$ (10,396,510)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to				
net cash used in operating activities:				
Depreciation	51,529		21,522	103,119
Amortization of deferred compensation	5,001		6,356	12,874
Services as contributed capital	60,000		56,203	160,000
Common stock issued for services	1,342,590		1,073,131	2,731,093
Warrants issued for services	334,513		1,421,717	2,429,383
Warrants issued for legal settlement	757,207			757,207
Change in assets and liabilities:				
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	92,644		37,815	(38,105)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(382,273)		172,804	74,008
Net cash used in operating activities	(2,525,295)		(639,538)	(4,166,931)
Cash used in investing activities:				
Purchase of equipment	(33,142)		(41,466)	(242,886)
Capitalized technology cost	(90,586)		(62,706)	(154,537)
Net cash used in investing activities	(123,728)		(104,172)	(397,423)
Cash flow from financing activities:				
Capital contributions				136,400
Issuance of common stock and exercise				
of warrants for cash	275,000		598,000	1,080,786
Sale of preferred stock for cash, net of expenses				4,805,865
Sale of warrants for cash				125,000
Net cash provided by financing activities	275,000		598,000	6,148,051
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,374,023)		(145,710)	1,583,697
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3,957,720		148,689	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,583,697	\$	2,979	\$ 1,583,697

(continued on next page)

RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC. (A Development Stage Company) STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS From

Inception Of Development Stage (November 7, 2000) To September 30, 2004 (Continued)

Supplemental Cash Flow Information:

For the years from inception of development stage (November 7, 2000) to September 30, 2004, Recom paid no interest or income taxes.

Supplement Investing and Financing Activities:

In April 2003, the company entered into an agreement with a major shareholder in which it \$150,000 of common stock was issued for \$33,208 of accrued expenses and \$116,792 of furniture and fixtures and leasehold improvements.

The company recorded compensation expense of \$60,000 and \$56,203 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively for the Chief Executive Officer of the company. This compensation was recorded as additional paid in capital.

The company accrued \$20,975 at September 30, 2004 for legal expenses that were capitalized in intangible assets-patents. Such amounts were paid in October 2004.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, the company has accrued \$246,962 in dividends related to the series A convertible preferred stock. Such dividends are a non-cash charge as they will be paid in-kind.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC. (A Development Stage Company) NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For The Quarters Ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 And From Inception Of Development Stage (November 7, 2000) To December 31, 2003

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited financial statements as of September 30, 2004 and for the three-months and nine-months periods ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 and from inception (November 7, 2000) to September 30, 2004 have been prepared by Recom pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including Form 10-QSB and Regulation S-B. The information furnished herein reflects all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals and adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly present the operating results for the respective periods. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally present in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The company believes that the disclosures provided are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and explanatory notes for the year ended December 31, 2003 as disclosed in the company s 10-KSB for that year as filed with the SEC, as it may be amended.

The results of the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2004 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2004.

2. DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY; GOING CONCERN

The company is a development stage company under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 7, and has losses from inception, negative cash flows from operations, and no established source of revenue. The company does not anticipate that it will introduce its products to market until late 2005. Management believes that the company has sufficient capital to fund its operations only through February 2005. The foregoing matters raise substantial doubt about the company's ability to continue as a going concern. These consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts, or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the company be unable to continue as a going concern.

In order to address the company s capital requirements, management will seek to raise additional cash and working capital through the public or private sales of debt or equity securities, the procurement of advances on contracts or licenses, funding from joint-venture or strategic partners, debt financing or short-term loans, or a combination of the foregoing. The company may also seek to satisfy indebtedness without any cash outlay through the private issuance of debt or equity securities. The company does not currently have any binding commitments for, or readily available sources of, additional financing.

3. PRO FORMA STOCK OPTION INFORMATION

Pro forma information regarding the effects on operations as required by SFAS No. 123 and SFAS No. 148, has been determined as if the company had accounted for its employee stock options under the fair value method of those statements. Pro forma information is calculated using the Black-Scholes method at the date of grant based on the following assumptions as of the quarter ended September 30, 2004: (i) risk free interest rate of 2.56%; (ii) dividend yield of 0%; (iii) volatility factor of the expected market price of the company's common stock of 90.42%; and (iv) an expected life of the options of 1.5 years. This option valuation model requires input of highly subjective assumptions. Because the company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value of the estimate, in management's opinion, the existing model does not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of fair value of its employee stock options.

The company's pro forma information is as follows:

		Ended ptember 30, 2004	Three Months Ended September 30, 200	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003
Net loss attributed to common					
stockholders	\$	(1,425,646)	\$ (912,577)	\$ (5,033,468)	\$ (3,429,086)
Current period expense calculated under APB 25			242,550		242,550
Stock compensation calculated under SFAS 1		(06.060)	(40.446)	(222 501)	(634,910)
SFAS I		(96,969)	(40,446)	(323,501)	(034,910)
Pro forma net loss	\$	(1,522,615)	\$ (710,473)	\$ (5,356,969)	\$ (3,821,446)
Basic and diluted historical loss per					
share	\$	(0.04)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.11)
Siture	Ψ	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.12)	ψ (0.11)
Pro forma basic and diluted loss per					
share	\$	(0.05)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.12)

4. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS

In February 2004, the company hired an investor relations company. As part of the company s agreement with the investor relations firm, the firm is to be issued 500 unregistered common shares per month during the six-month term of their engagement. Through June 30, 2004, the investor relations firm was issued 2,500 common shares as part of their services, which were valued at \$11,650 based upon the fair market value of the shares determined as the closing stock price as reported by the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board, also called the OTCBB, at the date of issuance. During the third quarter of 2004, the investor relations firm was issued an additional 1,000 common shares as part of their services, which were valued at \$3,880 based upon the fair market value of the shares determined as the closing stock price as reported by the OTCBB, at the date of issuance.

In March 2004, the company hired an additional investor relations company. As part of the company s agreement with this investor relations firm, the firm is to be issued 25,000 unregistered common shares. The shares were valued at \$113,750 based upon the fair market value of the shares determined as the closing stock price as reported by the OTCBB at the date of issuance.

On April 28, 2004, the company committed to issue to a shareholder warrants to purchase 250,000 common shares at \$7.90 per share pursuant to a legal settlement. As part of this settlement, the company agreed to immediately register 80,000 common shares previously held by the shareholder, and the shareholder agreed that an additional 369,000 common shares previously held by the shareholder would be cancelled upon the registration of the 80,000 shares. The warrants are exercisable on August 1, 2004, and lapse if unexercised on July 31, 2007. The fair value of warrants was estimated at \$757,207 under the Black-Scholes option-pricing model computed as of the date of grant using the following assumptions: (i) dividend yield of 0%, (ii) expected volatility of 81.17%, (iii) weighted-average risk-free interest rate of approximately 2.45%, and (iv) expected life of 1.5 years. Although the 80,000 shares have not yet been registered, which is the condition precedent for cancellation of the 369,000 shares, the company has nevertheless

treated the 369,000 shares as being cancelled for financial statement disclosure purposes in accordance with financial accounting reporting requirements. In July 2004, the parties agree to cancel the warrants in consideration of a payment to the warrant holder in the amount of \$14,500.

-9-

During the nine months ended September 30, 2004, the company issued 130,030 common shares related to exercises of class A warrants issued as part of a financing transaction in May 2003. The company received cash payments equal to \$275,000 related to these exercises.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2004, the company issued 51,815 common shares related to cashless exercises of class A warrants originally issued as part of a financing transaction in May 2003. The company received no cash payments related to these exercises.

From January 1, 2004 through June 30, 2004, the company issued in the aggregate 198,081 common shares for marketing and business services. These services were valued at \$982,980 based upon the fair market value of the shares determined as the closing stock price as reported by the OTCBB at the date of issuance. Of those shares issued, 52,391 shares of common stock valued at \$190,140 were expensed during the fourth quarter of 2003 since the services were rendered during that period.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2004, the company issued 96,503 common shares for marketing and business services rendered during the period. These services were valued at \$355,731 based upon the market value of the shares at the date of issuance.

From January 1, 2004 through June 30, 2004, the company issued in the aggregate 196,000 common share purchase options to employees and members of the company s board of directors. All options were issued at an exercise price equal to the closing market price as reported by the OTCBB on the date of issuance. Of those, 50,000 options were issued in January 2004, vested immediately and were exerciseable at a price of \$3.50 per share; 80,000 options were issued in January 2004, vest quarterly over a four year time period and are exerciseable at \$3.60 per share; 28,000 options were issued in February 2004, vest quarterly over a one year time period and are exerciseable at \$3.70 per share, 10,000 options were issued in April 2004, vest quarterly over a one year time period and are exerciseable at \$6.00 per share, and 28,000 options were issued in June 2004, vest quarterly over a one year time period and are exerciseable at \$6.25 per share.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2004, the company issued in the aggregate 2,000 common share purchase options to employees and members of the company s board of directors. All options were issued at an exercise price equal to the closing market price as reported by the OTCBB on the date of issuance and vest quarterly over a one year time period and are exerciseable at \$3.95 per share.

As of September 30, 2004, the company has total accrued dividends in the amount of \$354,537 related to the series A convertible preferred stock. Of this amount, the company has accrued \$246,962 in dividends related to the series A convertible preferred stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Such dividends are a non-cash charge as they will be paid in-kind.

As of September 30, 2004, 750,771 series A preferred shares were converted into common shares. Of those shares converted, 709,103 were converted from January 1, 2004 through June 30, 2004 and 41,668 were converted during the quarter ended September 30, 2004.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In conjunction with Dr. Budimir Drakulic becoming a Vice President and Chief Technology Officer, in October 2002 the company also reached an agreement-in-principle with Dr. Drakulic to offer to sell common shares to certain individuals in order to protect the company s rights in the Signal Technologies from any litigation that might be filed against Dr. Drakulic and to ensure that the development of the technology would not be interrupted or disrupted while Dr. Drakulic defended any such action. As part of that agreement, the company agreed that should it raise more than

\$2 million in certain offerings, it would pay 4% of the proceeds of those offerings greater than \$2 million to those individuals up to a maximum amount of \$480,350. At December 31, 2003, Recom s potential obligation related to this offering was \$104,201. The company reached settlements with a number of those individuals during the first nine months of 2004 and the potential liability has been further reduced. At September 30, 2004, the remaining liability related to the settlement was \$21,113.

-10-

As of September 30, 2004, the company had accrued dividends payable with respect to the series 'A' preferred shares in the amount of \$354,537. Of this amount, \$107,575 relates to accrued dividends for the year ended December 31, 2003. These amounts are payable either in cash from funds legally available for that purpose, or in kind, in the form of additional series 'A' preferred shares, at the company s discretion. The company intends to pay this dividend in kind. This accrued dividend is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

The company needs to complete the design and fabrication of a pre-production version of the Model 100 Module to assure compliance to all performance, safety, environmental and regulatory standards. This activity will be performed for the company by Battelle Memorial Institute, Health and Life Sciences pursuant to a research and development services agreement. Under the agreement, Battelle Memorial Institute will complete the design and engineering of the pre-production patient module in compliance with all applicable performance, safety, environmental and regulatory standards. The fixed cost of these activities under the contract is \$497,700.

6. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On February 13, 2004, Recom filed an action with the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Ventura, for declaratory relief, extortion, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty and fraud against a prior director, Mr. Steven Sparks, in an action entitled *Recom Managed Systems, Inc. v. Stephen O. Sparks*. In the action the company alleged that Mr. Sparks had been demanding payment in cash and/or securities as additional compensation for providing services as a director without any contractual or other entitlement thereto, and sought declaratory relief from the Court as to whether Mr. Sparks was entitled to those payments. In May 2004, a hearing was held to address a strategic litigation against public policy motion filed by Mr. Sparks seeking to dismiss the action on the basis that it violated his rights to free speech. After consideration, in early June 2004, the judge dismissed the motion and stated that it was probable that Recom would prevail against Mr. Sparks on its extortion claim. Mr. Sparks subsequently filed an answer and cross-complaint on June 16, 2004 generally denying Recom s claims and cross-claiming for breach of contract, promissory estoppel, violations of the California Corporations Code, and intentional interferences with prospective advantage, and claiming damages of at least \$1 million. Recom served an answer to the cross-complaint on June 23, 2004 denying all of Mr. Sparks claims. The parties are presently in the discovery phase.

On May 19, 2004, a complaint was filed against Recom in the Superior Court of Arizona, County of Maricopa, in an action entitled *William A. Miller v. Recom Managed Systems*. The complaint seeks declaratory relief, specific performance or damages for breach of contract. Mr. Miller alleged he was granted options to purchase 300,000 common shares of Recom at \$0.01 per share. Recom believes the claim is without merit and plans to vigorously defend itself in the action. Prior to the filing of the complaint, none of the officers or directors of Recom had ever met with or spoken to Mr. Miller or his agents or even knew who Mr. Miller was. In July 2004, Recom filed a motion to dismiss the action on the basis of lack of personal jurisdiction, and is waiting for the court to set a hearing date for the motion.

7. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 1, 2004, the company reduced the exercise price of the class C common stock purchase warrants issued as part of the units sold in October 2003. The exercise price of the warrants has been reduced to \$3.00, from \$3.75. The aggregate number of warrants which have been repriced is 896,488. As a result of this repricing, the company will record a non-cash expense of \$158,516 during the fourth quarter.

After September 30, 2004, 175,007 series A preferred shares were converted into common shares.

PLAN OF OPERATION

The following discussion of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with (1) our interim unaudited financial statements and their explanatory notes included as part of this report, and (2) our annual audited financial statements and and explanatory notes for the year ended December 31, 2003 as disclosed in our annual report on form 10-KSB for that year as filed with the SEC, as it may be amended.

Overview

Recom is a development stage medical device company focused on researching, developing and marketing medical devices which monitor and measure physiological signals in order to detect diseases that impact an individual shealth. Physiological signals are small bioelectrical signals generated by the body. Our initial product will be patient modules used as part of a heart monitor system to acquire, amplify and process physiological signals associated with a patient s cardiovascular system. Heart monitor systems are used by heart specialists known as cardiologists to collect physiological data for electrocardiogram or ECG tests for the purpose of detecting and identifying cardiovascular disease. Our patient module will operate using a proprietary and patented amplification technology which provides the capability to enlarge and process the physiological signals to discriminate them from ambient or background electromagnetic noise and to facilitate the examination of the signal data for diagnostic purposes. Our amplification technology is an enhancement of an amplification technology first developed for the United States Air Force to record bioelectrical signals from a pilot s brain, known as an electroencephalogram or EEG. Earlier versions of the technology were also used by the National Institute of Health as well as companies such as Titan Systems and Teledyne, Inc. for purposes of monitoring different physiological signals relating to the brain.

We are in the process of completing development work on our first product for commercialization, our battery-operated, digital 12-lead Recom Model 100 Patient Module or Model 100 Module . The Model 100 Module will be used as the primary component of a 12-lead ambulatory heart monitor system, referred to as the Model 100 Monitor System . The Model 100 Monitor System is an ambulatory patient heart monitor or recording system that will allow a patient s heart to be continuously monitored over a period of 24 to 48 hours while the patient carries out his or her daily activities away from the physicians office or hospital. We anticipate that we will complete a pre production model of the Model 100 Module by the end of 2004, and that we will commence marketing the Model 100 Module by the end of 2005.

Development Stage Company; Going Concern

We are a development stage company under the provisions of SFAS No. 7, and have negative cash flows from operations and no current established source of revenue. We do not anticipate that we will introduce our products to market until late 2005, and have sufficient capital only to fund our operations only through February 2005. The foregoing matters raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. See note 2 to the interim financial statements included in this report and *Liquidity And Capital Resources* below relating our plans to address our anticipated capital deficiency.

Results Of Operations

Our net loss before preferred dividends increased by \$1,357,420 from \$3,429,086 for the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2003, to \$4,786,506 for the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2004, largely due to equity compensation expense related to a legal settlement in the second quarter of fiscal 2004 (\$757,207) and to increased research and development expenditures. Research and development expenditures increased from \$166,910

for the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2003 to \$880,523 for the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2004, reflecting the continued ramp-up in our research and development activities, including the addition of engineering personnel. General and administrative expenses increased from \$3,262,176 to \$3,905,983 for the nine-month interim periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, respectively, reflecting the previously mentioned legal settlement. The primary components of general and administrative expenses were investment banking, legal and general consulting fees We also incurred preferred dividend expense of \$246,962 for the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2004.

-12-

Plan Of Business

Our plan of operation for the twelve month period following the date of this report is to complete the development of our Model 100 Module in anticipation of introducing that product and system to market at the end of fiscal 2005, and to continue the investigation and potential development of our patient electrode vest and enhanced electrodes product ancillaries. We currently have budgeted \$2,500,000 in costs for the twelve month period following the date of this report, including (1) \$1,300,000 to cover our projected general and administrative expenses during this period; (2) \$1,200,000 to cover our projected research and development, product testing and pre production engineering costs.

Described below are the company s various research and development, testing and pre production engineering projects and activities that are currently in progress or which we anticipate will commence during the twelve month period following the date of this report. As noted below, we anticipate that several of these projects or activities will not be completed until after the twelve month period cited. Since the anticipated overall cost of each of these later-completed projects or activities cited below necessarily include costs anticipated to be incurred after the end of the twelve month period cited, please note that the aggregate costs for all of the projects and activities cited below exceed the \$1,200,000 budgeted for research and development, product testing and pre production engineering costs for the twelve month period as stated above.

- l We need to complete the design and fabrication of a pre-production version of the Model 100 Module to assure compliance to all performance, safety, environmental and regulatory standards. This activity will be performed for Recom by Battelle Memorial Institute, Health and Life Sciences pursuant to a research and development services agreement. Under the agreement, Battelle Memorial Institute will complete the design and engineering of the pre-production patient module in compliance with all applicable performance, safety, environmental and regulatory standards, including (1) the consensual ANSI/AAMI EC-38 industry standards for ambulatory ECG devices, and (2) all relating to electromagnetic interference, electromagnetic susceptibility, shock and current leakage, transmission frequency and labeling. The estimated cost of these activities under the contract is approximately \$500,000. Under our agreement with Battelle Memorial Institute, a January 2005 completion date has been targeted.
- 1 Upon fabrication of a pre-production version of the Model 100 Module, it will be tested by Battelle Memorial Institute using an independent third-party testing company at the end of project in conjunction with the ancillary products to verify compliance to all performance, safety and regulatory standards before marketing commences as required by the FDA to assure substantial equivalency to the predicate heart monitor systems cited in our abbreviated 510(k) submission. The estimated cost of these activities is \$30,000. We anticipate these testing activities will be completed by Battelle Memorial Institute s January 2005 target completion date.
- 1 Selection of an ECG analysis software system that we will recommend for use with our monitor systems will be required, as well as the necessary integration engineering to ensure that our Model 100 Module reliably and accurately produces signal data in a format compatible with that software. We anticipate we will spend approximately \$250,000 to purchase the selected software packages, and an additional \$20,000 to conduct the requisite integration engineering and testing activities. We anticipate we will identify and purchase the software and complete the integration engineering and testing activities by the end of the fourth quarter of 2004.
- 1 Upon the completion of fabrication and testing of the pre-production version of our Model 100 Module, we intend to execute test protocols for user preference testing and for performance data generation that will compare the performance, usability and aesthetic aspects of our Model 100 Monitoring System to competitive systems. We will then coordinate the writing of a number of technical papers relating to the effectiveness of our monitor system and publish the results in peer review journals. The papers will also be presented at technical conferences and/or published in peer-reviewed scientific and medical journals. We also intend to make arrangements with four or more hospitals, clinics or research institutions to evaluate our monitor system. Our anticipated budget for these activities

is \$200,000. We have recently entered into an agreement with the Duke Clinical Research Institute at Duke University to evaluate our Model 100 System against top-end ECG systems. Under this agreement, we will pay Duke the sum of \$92,500 to evaluate our Model 100 System for 50 to 90 patients. We anticipate that the study will be completed in August 2005.

-13-

- 1 We also intend to conduct performance and user preference tests to determine the ease-of-use and convenience of our Model 100 Monitor System as measured against competitive systems. This testing will be conducted at our on-site laboratory facility. A minor expense of the testing plan will be the cost to acquire competitive devices. We have budgeted \$28,000 for this phase, and have recently reached an oral agreement with Coast IRB, LLC to conduct our first user preference test at a date to be determined at a cost of \$2,500.
- 1 We have budgeted \$40,000 to purchase various items of equipment, including a treadmill and analysis system, in order to test the potential operation of our monitor system for exercise/stress applications.
- 1 We will also continue investigation and development work on advanced patient modules and ancillary system offerings in the electrode technology area. We have budgeted approximately \$280,000 to conduct these research and development activities and expect to complete them by the last quarter of 2005. Included in these costs is an additional \$100,000 payable to Battelle Memorial Institute under its research and development services agreement relating to the potential modification of the patient module design to comply with the ANSI/AAMI EC-11 and EC-13 standards for diagnostic ECG applications.
- 1 We will also continue investigation and development work on our patient vest. We project that we will spend approximately \$230,000 to conduct these development activities, and expect to complete them by the last quarter of 2005.
- 1 We will also continue investigation and development work on software that could be used to create a continuous preventive monitoring program. We project that we will spend approximately \$200,000 on these activities, and expect to complete them by the second quarter of 2005.

Included in our anticipated general and administrative expenses for the twelve month period following the date of this report is \$395,000 in marketing expenses. We intend during the twelve month period following the date of this report to expend a portion of our marketing budget on: (1) hiring three sales managers by the end of 2005 for the East Coast, Midwest, and South (\$100,000); (2) exhibiting at various trade shows, including shows for the North American Society for Pacing and Electrophysiology, American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association to be held in 2005 (\$30,000); (3) commencing an advertising program in cardiology journals in 2005 (\$20,000); and (4) providing sample heart monitor systems to key cardiologists, hospitals and monitoring centers in early to mid-2005 (\$15,000). The balance of the \$395,000 will be spent on wages for current personnel (\$160,000) and on miscellaneous marketing expense (\$70,000).

We anticipate that we will add four additional employees to our staff during the twelve month period following the date of this report, comprised of the three regional sales managers noted above and a permanent chief financial officer who will replace our outside interim chief financial officer.

We can give you no assurance that we will be successful in developing our modules, patient vest or enhanced electrodes at all or within the timeframes or at the costs estimated, or in procuring FDA approval or clearance for these products when necessary, or in designing and engineering durable, reliable and competitively priced production versions of any of these products.

Our anticipated costs and project completion dates described above are estimates based upon our current business plan. Our actual costs or actual project completion dates could vary materially from those estimated. We may also decide at any time to terminate our ongoing development plans with respect to ancillary products such as our patient vests, enhanced electrodes and proprietary software should we deem them to be impracticable or not be commercially viable. Further, change to our current business plan could also result, such as the acquisition of a new products or services or the decision to manufacture our own products. See that section of this report captioned *Forward-Looking*

Statements . At present, no changes to our business plan are being considered, nor is it our plan to change our business plan.

-14-

Liquidity And Capital Resources

For the period January 1, 2000 through September 30, 2004, we principally financed our operations through a combination of (1) contributed capital, the sale of our common shares, series A preferred shares and common share purchase warrants for cash, and the exercise of stock purchase warrants for cash (\$6,720,836); and (2) the issuance of common shares or common share purchase warrants in payment of the provision of services (\$5,181,359).

We currently have approximately \$1,500,000 of cash on hand, which we project will fund our anticipated costs through February 2005. Should our costs and expenses prove to be greater than we currently anticipate, or should we change our current business plan in a manner that will increase or accelerate our anticipated costs and expenses, such as through an acquisition of new products, the depletion of our working capital would be accelerated. Once we commence marketing our patient modules as part of our monitor systems, we will nevertheless continue to be cash flow negative due to our costs exceeding our revenues for an indefinite period of time. We will need to raise additional cash and working capital to cover the shortfall in our cash and working capital anticipated to occur by the end of February 2005 until such time, if at all, we become cash-flow positive. We will seek to raise this additional cash and working capital through the public or private sales of debt or equity securities, the procurement of advances on contracts or licenses, funding from joint-venture or strategic partners, debt financing or short-term loans, or a combination of the foregoing. We may also seek to satisfy indebtedness without any cash outlay through the private issuance of debt or equity securities. We currently do not have any binding commitments for, or readily available sources of, additional financing. We cannot give you any assurance that we will be able to secure the additional cash or working capital we may require to continue our operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. For a description of those estimates, see note 2, *Significant Accounting Policies*, contained in the explanatory notes to our annual audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003 as disclosed in our annual report on form 10-KSB for that year as filed with the SEC, as it may be amended. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to reserves, impairment of long-lived assets, value of our stock issued to consultants for services and estimates of costs to complete contracts. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions; however, we believe that our estimates, including those for the above-described items, are reasonable.

UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER RISK FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT OUR FUTURE RESULTS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

Our future results of operations or financial condition and your investment in our common shares may be adversely affected by the uncertainties and other risk factors enumerated below as well as those presented elsewhere in this report and in other reports we periodically file with the SEC, including our annual report on form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, as amended, and should be considered in context with the various disclosures concerning our company presented elsewhere herein and therein.

Risks Relating To Our Business

Our limited operating history will make it difficult for you to predict our future operating results and to otherwise assess or predict the likelihood of our business success.

To date, we are a development stage company principally engaged in research and development, organizational and startup activities which has not yet introduced our heart monitoring products to market. Our limited operating history will make it difficult, if not impossible, to predict future operating results and to assess the likelihood of our business success in considering an investment in our company. Risks and issues inherent in the establishment and expansion of a new business enterprise which we face include, among others, problems of entering new markets, marketing new technologies, hiring and training personnel, acquiring reliable facilities and equipment, and implementing operational controls. As a development stage company, we are also subject to risks and or levels of risk that are often greater than those encountered by companies with established operations and relationships. Development stage companies often require significant capital from sources other than operations. Since we are a start-up business, our management and employees will shoulder the burdens of the business operations and a workload associated with company growth and capitalization that is disproportionately greater than that for an established business. We cannot give you any assurance that we will successfully address these risks. Our prospects must be considered speculative, which may limit our ability to encourage further investment in our company.

We have no revenues to date and have accumulated losses since our inception. Our continued inability to generate revenues and profits could cause us to go out of business.

We have incurred cumulative net losses after preferred dividends available to common shareholders in the amount of \$12,596,642 from our inception through September 30, 2004. We have no commercial product sales or revenues to date, and do not anticipate that we will commence commercial sales of our heart monitoring products until the end of fiscal 2005. Once we commence marketing our heart monitoring products, we project that we will not be cash flow positive based solely on projected sales and service revenues less manufacturing, general and administrative, marketing expenses and other operating costs revenues for an indefinite period of time. We anticipate that we will continue to incur substantial operating losses for the foreseeable future, notwithstanding any anticipated revenues we may receive when our products are initially introduced to markets, due to the significant costs associated with the development and marketing of our products and services.

If we are unable to raise additional working capital, we will be unable to fully fund our operations and to otherwise execute our business plan, leading to the reduction or suspension of our operations and ultimately our going out of business.

We currently have approximately \$1,500,000 of cash on hand as of the date of this report, which we project will fund our anticipated costs through February 2005, although this coverage could be less than that period as the result of changes in our anticipated level of operations, higher than expected costs such as through an acquisition of new products, or changes in our business plans. As noted in the prior risk factor, we do not anticipate that we will commence commercial sales of our heart monitoring products until the end of fiscal 2005, and further anticipate that after such introduction we will continue to be cash flow negative due to our costs exceeding our revenues for an indefinite period of time. Based upon the foregoing, we will need to raise additional cash and working capital to cover an expected shortfall in our cash and working capital until such time, if any, as we become cash-flow positive. We currently do not have any binding commitments for, or readily available sources of, additional financing. Should we determine it to be necessary to raise additional cash in the future as our current cash and working capital resources are depleted, we will seek to raise it through the public or private sales of debt or equity securities, the procurement of advances on contracts or licenses, funding from joint-venture or strategic partners, debt financing or short-term loans, or a combination of the foregoing. We may also seek to satisfy indebtedness without any cash outlay through the private issuance of debt or equity securities. We cannot give you any assurance that we will be able to secure the additional cash or working capital we may require to continue our operations.

Even if we are able to raise additional financing, we might not be able to obtain it on terms that are not unduly expensive or burdensome to the company or disadvantageous to our existing shareholders.

Even if we are able to raise additional cash or working capital through the public or private sale of debt or equity securities, the procurement of advances on contracts or licenses, funding from joint-venture or strategic partners, debt financing or short-term loans, or the satisfaction of indebtedness without any cash outlay through the private issuance of debt or equity securities, the terms of such transactions may be unduly expense or burdensome to the company or disadvantageous to our existing shareholders. For example, we may be forced to sell or issue our securities at significant discounts to market, or pursuant to onerous terms and conditions, including the issuance of preferred stock with disadvantageous dividend, voting or veto, board membership, conversion, redemption or liquidation provisions; the issuance of convertible debt with disadvantageous interest rates and conversion features; the issuance of warrants with cashless exercise features; the issuance of securities with anti-dilution provisions; and the grant of registration rights with significant penalties for the failure to quickly register. If we raise debt financing, we may be required to secure the financing with all of our business assets, which could be sold or retained by the creditor should we default in our payment obligations. We also might be required to sell or license our products or technologies under disadvantageous circumstances we would not otherwise consider, including granting licenses with low royalty rates

and exclusivity provisions.

We will face intense competition from competitors that have greater financial, technical and marketing resources. These competitive forces may impact our projected growth and ability to generate revenues and profits.

The market for heart monitoring products and services is intensely competitive and characterized by rapidly changing technology, evolving industry standards, and price competition. There are no substantial barriers to entry, and we expect that competition will be intense and may increase. Many of our existing competitors may have substantially greater financial, product development, technical and marketing resources, larger customer bases, longer operating histories, better name recognition and more established relationships in the industry. As a result, certain of these competitors may be able to develop and expand their product and service offerings more rapidly, adapt to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements more quickly, take advantage of acquisition and other opportunities more readily, devote greater resources to the marketing and sale of their products and services, or aggressively reduce their sales prices below our costs. We cannot assure you that we will be able compete successfully with existing competitors or new competitors.

Our products are highly regulated. We will not be able to introduce our products to market if we cannot obtain the necessary regulatory approvals. If we are unable to obtain regulatory approvals for our products in selected key markets at all or in a timely manner, we will not be able to grow as quickly as expected, and the loss of anticipated revenues will also reduce our ability to fully fund our operations and to otherwise execute our business plan. Our failure to receive the regulatory approvals in the United States would likely cause us to go out of business.

The manufacture, sale, promotion and marketing of our heart monitoring products and other products we intend to develop are subject to regulation by the FDA and similar government regulatory bodies in other countries. As we develop or obtain new products we will be required to determine what regulatory requirements, if any, we must comply with in order to market and sell our products in the United States and worldwide. The process of obtaining regulatory approval could take years and be very costly, if approval can be obtained at all. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could be subjected to enforcement actions such as an injunction to stop us from marketing the product at issue or a possible seizure of our assets. We intend to work diligently to assure compliance with all applicable regulations that impact our business. We can give you no assurance, however, that we will be able to obtain regulatory approval for all of our products. We also cannot assure you that additional regulations will not be enacted in the future that would be costly or difficult to satisfy.

Because we are not diversified, we are subject to a greater risk of going out of business should our single proposed product line fail.

The only business opportunities we are presently pursuing are the heart monitoring or ECG market and, later, using the same technology, the neurological brain scan or EEG market. Unlike many established companies that are diversified, we do not presently have other businesses, properties, investments or other income producing assets upon which we could rely upon should our single product line fail, thereby increasing the risk of our going out of business.

Many of our customers will rely upon third party reimbursements from third party payors to cover all or a portion of the cost of our products. If third party payors do not provide reimbursement for our products, we will not be able to grow as quickly as expected, and the loss of anticipated revenues will also reduce our ability to fully fund our operations and to otherwise execute our business plan.

We intend to sell our heart monitoring products to individual patients and doctors, hospitals and clinics who will seek reimbursement from various third party payers, including government health programs, private health insurance plans, managed care organizations and other similar programs. We can give you no assurance that reimbursement will be available from third party payers at all, or for more than a nominal portion of the cost of our products.

We intend to rely upon licensees, strategic partners or third party marketing and distribution partners to provide a significant part of our marketing and sales functions. Should these outside parties fail to perform as expected, we will need to develop or procure other marketing and distribution channels, which would cause delays or interruptions in our product supply and result in the loss of significant sales or customers.

-17-

We currently have no internal sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, and will rely extensively on third-party licensees, strategic partners or third party marketing and distribution companies to perform a significant part of those functions. As a consequence of that reliance, our ability to effectively market and distribute our products will be dependent in large part on the strength and financial condition of others, the expertise and relationships of those third-parties with customers, and the interest of those parties in selling and marketing our products. Prospective third-party licensees, strategic partners and marketing and distribution parties may also market and distribute the products of other companies. If our relationships with any third-party licensees, strategic partners or marketing and distribution partners were to terminate, we would need to either develop alternative relationships or develop our own internal sales and marketing forces to continue to sell our products. Even if we are able to develop our internal sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, these efforts would require significant cash and other resources that would be diverted from other uses, if available at all, and could cause delays or interruptions in our product supply to customers, which could result in the loss of significant sales or customers. We can give you no assurance that we will be successful in our efforts to engage licensees, strategic partners or third party marketing and distribution companies to meet our sales, marketing and distribution requirements.

We intend to rely upon the third-party FDA-approved manufacturers or suppliers to manufacture our heart monitoring products. Should these manufacturers fail to perform as expected, we will need to develop or procure other manufacturing sources, which would cause delays or interruptions in our product supply and result in the loss of significant sales and customers.

We currently have no internal manufacturing capability, and will rely extensively on FDA-approved licensees, strategic partners or third party contract manufacturers or suppliers. Should we be forced to manufacture our products, we cannot give you any assurance that we will be able to develop an internal manufacturing capability or procure third party suppliers. Moreover, we cannot give you any assurance that any contract manufacturers or suppliers we procure will be able to supply our product in a timely or cost effective manner or in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements or our specifications.

We are dependent for our success on a few key executive officers. Were we to lose one or more of these key executive officers, we would be forced to expend significant time and money in the pursuit of a replacement, which would result in both a delay in the implementation of our business plan and the diversion of working capital.

Our success depends to a critical extent on the continued efforts of services of our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Marvin H. Fink, and our Vice President and Chief Technology Officer, Dr. Budamir S. Drakulic. Were we to lose one or more of these key executive officers, we would be forced to expend significant time and money in the pursuit of a replacement, which would result in both a delay in the implementation of our business plan and the diversion of working capital. We can give you no assurance that we can find satisfactory replacements for these key executive officers at all, or on terms that are not unduly expensive or burdensome to our company. Although Mr. Fink has signed an employment agreement pursuant to which he will provide continued services to the company until October 12, 2006, and Dr. Drakulic is employed as a consultant under a loan-out agreement through October 15, 2012, these agreements will not preclude either of these key officers from leaving the company. We currently maintain key man life insurance policies in the amount of \$1 million with respect to Mr. Fink and \$3 million with respect to Dr. Drakulic which will assist us in recouping some of our costs in the event of the death of those officers.

Our inability to protect our intellectual property rights could allow competitors to use our property rights and technologies in competition against our company, which would reduce our sales. In such an event we would not be able to grow as quickly as expected, and the loss of anticipated revenues will also reduce our ability to fully fund our operations and to otherwise execute our business plan.

We rely on a combination of patent, patent pending, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, proprietary rights agreements and non-disclosure agreements to protect our intellectual properties. We cannot give you any assurance that these measures will prove to be effective in protecting our intellectual properties. We also cannot give you any assurance that our existing patents will not be invalidated, that any patents that we currently or prospectively apply for will be granted, or that any of these patents will ultimately provide significant commercial benefits. Further, competing companies may circumvent any patents that we may hold by developing products which closely emulate but do not infringe our patents. While we intend to seek patent protection for our products in selected foreign countries, those patents may not receive the same degree of protection as they would in the United States. We can give you no assurance that we will be able to successfully defend our patents and proprietary rights in any action we may file for patent infringement. Similarly, we can give you any assurance that we will not be required to defend against litigation involving the patents or proprietary rights of others, or that we will be able to obtain licenses for these rights. Legal and accounting costs relating to prosecuting or defending patent infringement litigation may be substantial.

We also rely on proprietary designs, technologies, processes and know-how not eligible for patent protection. We cannot give you any assurance that our competitors will not independently develop the same or superior designs, technologies, processes and know-how.

While we have and will continue to enter into proprietary rights agreements with our employees and third parties giving us proprietary rights to certain technology developed by those employees or parties while engaged by our company, we can give you no assurance that courts of competent jurisdiction will enforce those agreements.

Risks Relating To An Investment In Our Securities

To date, we have not paid any cash dividends and no cash dividends will be paid in the foreseeable future.

We do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common shares in the foreseeable future, and we cannot assure an investor that funds will be legally available to pay dividends, or that even if the funds are legally available, that the dividends will be paid.

The application of the penny stock rules could adversely affect the market price of our common shares and increase your transaction costs to sell those shares.

As long as the trading price of our common shares is below \$5 per share, the open-market trading of our common shares will be subject to the penny stock rules. The penny stock rules impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors, generally those with assets in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 together with their spouse. For transactions covered by these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special suitability determination for the purchase of securities and have received the purchaser s written consent to the transaction before the purchase. Additionally, for any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the broker-dealer must deliver, before the transaction, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the SEC relating to the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must disclose the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative and current quotations for the securities. Finally, monthly statements must be sent disclosing recent price information on the limited market in penny stocks. These additional burdens imposed on broker-dealers may restrict the ability or decrease the willingness of broker-dealers to sell the common shares, and may result in decreased liquidity for our common shares and increased

transaction costs for sales and purchases of our common shares as compared to other securities.

-19-

Our common shares are sporadically or thinly traded, so you may be unable to sell at or near ask prices or at all if you need to sell your shares to raise money or otherwise desire to liquidate your shares

Our common shares have historically been sporadically or thinly traded on the OTCBB, meaning that the number of persons interested in purchasing our common shares at or near ask prices at any given time may be relatively small or non-existent. This situation is attributable to a number of factors, including the fact that we are a small company which is relatively unknown to stock analysts, stock brokers, institutional investors and others in the investment community that generate or influence sales volume, and that even if we came to the attention of such persons, they tend to be risk-averse and would be reluctant to follow an unproven development stage company such as ours or purchase or recommend the purchase of our shares until such time as we became more seasoned and viable. As a consequence, there may be periods of several days or more when trading activity in our shares is minimal or non-existent, as compared to a seasoned issuer which has a large and steady volume of trading activity that will generally support continuous sales without an adverse effect on share price. We cannot give you any assurance that a broader or more active public trading market for our common shares will develop or be sustained, or that current trading levels will be sustained. Due to these conditions, we can give you no assurance that you will be able to sell your shares at or near ask prices or at all if you need money or otherwise desire to liquidate your shares.

The market price for our common shares is particularly volatile given our status as a relatively unknown development stage company with a small and thinly-traded public float, limited operating history and lack of revenues or profits to date for our newly introduced products, which could lead to wide fluctuations in our share price. The price at which you purchase our common shares may not be indicative of the price that will prevail in the trading market. You may be unable to sell your common shares at or above your purchase price, which may result in substantial losses to you. The volatility in our common share price may subject us to securities litigation.

The market for our common shares is characterized by significant price volatility when compared to seasoned issuers, and we expect that our share price will continue to be more volatile than a seasoned issuer for the indefinite future. The volatility in our share price is attributable to a number of factors. First, we have relatively few common shares outstanding in the public float since most of our shares are held by a small number of shareholders. In addition, as noted above, our common shares are sporadically or thinly traded. As a consequence of this lack of liquidity, the trading of relatively small quantities of shares by our shareholders may disproportionately influence the price of those shares in either direction. The price for our shares could, for example, decline precipitously in the event that a large number of our common shares are sold on the market without commensurate demand, as compared to a seasoned issuer which could better absorb those sales without a material reduction in share price. Secondly, we are a speculative or risky investment due to our limited operating history and lack of revenues or profits to date, and uncertainty of future market acceptance for our products. As a consequence of this enhanced risk, more risk-adverse investors may, under the fear of losing all or most of their investment in the event of negative news or lack of progress, be more inclined to sell their shares on the market more quickly and at greater discounts than would be the case with the stock of a seasoned issuer. Additionally, in the past, plaintiffs have often initiated securities class action litigation against a company following periods of volatility in the market price of its securities. We may in the future be the target of similar litigation. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and liabilities and could divert management s attention and resources.

The following factors may add to the volatility in the price of our common shares: actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly or annual operating results; acceptance of our products and services as viable security and technology solutions; government regulations, announcements of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships or joint ventures; our capital commitments; and additions or departures of our key personnel. Many of these factors are beyond our control and may decrease the market price of our common shares, regardless of our operating performance. We cannot make any predictions or projections as to what the prevailing market price for our common shares will be at any time, including as to whether our common shares will sustain their current market prices, or as to what effect that the sale of

shares or the availability of common shares for sale at any time will have on the prevailing market price.

-20-

Since a single shareholder currently beneficially owns the majority of our outstanding common shares, that single shareholder will retain the ability to control our management and the outcome of corporate actions requiring shareholder approval notwithstanding the overall opposition of our other shareholders. This concentration of ownership could discourage or prevent a potential takeover of our company that might otherwise result in you receiving a premium over the market price for your common shares.

ARC Finance Group, LLC, which is owned and controlled by Ms. Tracey Hampton, owns approximately 70% of our outstanding common shares. As a consequence of its controlling stock ownership position, ARC Finance Group will retain the ability to elect a majority of our board of directors, and thereby control our management. ARC Finance Group also has the ability to control the outcome of corporate actions requiring shareholder approval, including mergers and other changes of corporate control, going private transactions, and other extraordinary transactions.

A large number of common shares are issuable upon conversion of our series A preferred shares or the exercise of outstanding common share purchase options or warrants. The conversion or exercise of these securities could result in the substantial dilution of your investment in terms of your percentage ownership in the company as well as the book value of your common shares. The sale of a large amount of common shares received upon the conversion or exercise of these securities on the public market to finance the exercise price or to pay associated income taxes, or the perception that such sales could occur, could substantially depress the prevailing market prices for our shares.

There are currently outstanding as of October 31, 2004, 842,197 series A preferred shares each convertible into one common share at the conversion rate of \$3 per share, and common share purchase options and warrants entitling the holders to purchase 4,441,256 common shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$2.30 per share, including a number granted to directors, officers, employees and consultants that are subject to vesting conditions. In the event of the conversion or exercise of these securities, you could suffer substantial dilution of your investment in terms of your percentage ownership in the company as well as the book value of your common shares. In addition, the holders of the common share purchase options or warrants may sell common shares in tandem with their exercise of those options or warrants to finance that exercise, or may resell the shares purchased in order to cover any income tax liabilities that may arise from their conversion or exercise of these securities.

Our issuance of additional common shares or preferred shares, or options or warrants to purchase those shares, would dilute your proportionate ownership and voting rights. Our issuance of additional preferred shares, or options or warrants to purchase those shares, could negatively impact the value of your investment in our common shares as the result of preferential voting rights or veto powers, dividend rights, disproportionate rights to appoint directors to our board, conversion rights, redemption rights and liquidation provisions granted to the preferred shareholders, including the grant of rights that could discourage or prevent the distribution of dividends to you, or prevent the sale of our assets or a potential takeover of our company that might otherwise result in you receiving a distribution or a premium over the market price for your common shares.

We are entitled under our certificate of incorporation to issue up to 100,000,000 common and 10,000,000 blank check preferred shares. After taking into consideration our outstanding common and preferred shares as of October 31, 2004, we will be entitled to issue up to 65,522,461 additional common shares and 9,157,803 additional preferred shares. Our board may generally issue those common and preferred shares, or options or warrants to purchase those shares, without further approval by our shareholders based upon such factors as our board of directors may deem relevant at that time. Any preferred shares we may issues shall have such rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions as may be designated from time-to-time by our board, including preferential dividend rights, voting rights, conversion rights, redemption rights and liquidation provisions. It is likely that we will be required to issue a large amount of additional securities to raise capital to further our development and marketing plans. It is also likely that we will be required to issue a large amount of additional securities to directors, officers, employees and consultants as compensatory grants

in connection with their services, both in the form of stand-alone grants or under our various stock plans. We cannot give you any assurance that we will not issue additional common or preferred shares, or options or warrants to purchase those shares, under circumstances we may deem appropriate at the time.

The existence of indemnification rights to our directors and officers under our bylaws may result in substantial expenditures by our company and may discourage lawsuits against our directors and officers.

Our bylaws require us to indemnify our directors and officers to the maximum extent permitted by Delaware corporate law. We may also have contractual indemnification obligations under our individual agreements with our directors, officers and employees. The foregoing indemnification obligations could result in our company incurring substantial expenditures to cover the cost of settlement or damage awards against directors, officers and employees, which we may be unable to recoup. These provisions and resultant costs may also discourage our company from bringing a lawsuit against directors, officers and employees for breaches of their fiduciary duties, and may similarly discourage the filing of derivative litigation by our shareholders against our directors, officers and employees, even though such actions, if successful, might otherwise benefit our company and shareholders.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As of the date of this report, there are no material pending legal or governmental proceedings relating to our company or properties to which we are a party, and to our knowledge there are no material proceedings to which any of our directors, executive officers or affiliates are a party adverse to us or which have a material interest adverse to us, other than those disclosed in note 5 to the interim consolidated financial statements included with this report.

CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Modification Of Instruments Defining Rights Of Holders Of Class Of Registered Securities

Not Applicable

Limitation Or Qualification Of Rights Of Class of Registered Securities By Issuance Or Modification Of Any Other Class Of Securities

Not Applicable

Recent Sales Of Unregistered Equity Securities

Rule 506

During the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2004, we sold or issued the following securities not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 by reason of the exemption afforded under SEC Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act during the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2004. The offer and sale of the securities in each offering was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act under Rule 506 insofar as: (1) except as stated below, each of the investors was accredited within the meaning of Rule 501(a); (2) pursuant to Rule 506(b)(2)(i), there were no more than 35 non-accredited investors in the offering; (3) pursuant to Rule 506(b)(2)(ii), each purchaser in the offering who was not accredited either alone or with his purchaser representative had such knowledge and experience in financial and business matters to be capable of evaluating the merits and risk of the investment, or the company reasonably believed immediately prior to making the sale that such investor came with this description; (4) no offers or sales under the offering was effected through any general solicitation or general advertising within the meaning of Rule 502(c); and (5) the transfer of the securities in the offering were restricted by the company in accordance with Rule 502(d). Except as stated below, no underwriting discounts or commissions were payable with respect to any of the offerings.

1 On April 28, 2004, we issued to Mr. Rex Julian Beaber warrants to purchase 250,000 restricted common shares at \$7.90 per share. The warrants are exercisable on or after August 1, 2004, and lapse if unexercised on July 31, 2007. The warrants were granted to Mr. Beaber in connection with a settlement agreement pursuant to which Mr. Beaber agreed to surrender 369,000 unregistered common shares in exchange for the warrants and the company s agreement to register another 80,000 shares held by Mr. Beaber. The value of the grant was \$757,207 for financial statement purposes using the Black-Scholes model. The foregoing value does not take into consideration the value of 369,000 common shares Mr. Beaber is required to surrender under the settlement agreement. In July 2004, the parties agree to cancel the warrants in consideration of a payment to Mr. Beaber in the amount of \$14,500.

-22-

Rule 505

During the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2004, we sold or issued the following securities not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 by reason of the exemption afforded under SEC Rule 505 of Regulation D promulgated under Section 3(b) of the Securities Act. The offer and sale of the securities in each offering was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act under Rule 505 insofar as: (1) except as stated below, none of the investors in the offering are to the company s knowledge accredited within the meaning of Rule 501(a); (2) pursuant to Rule 505(b)(2)(i), the aggregate offering price for the offering did not exceed \$5,000,000, less the offering price of all securities sold within the twelve months preceding the start of and during the offering of securities under Rule 505 or in reliance upon any exemption under Section 3(b) of the Securities Act of 1933 or in violation of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933; (3) pursuant to Rule 505(b)(2)(ii), there were no more than 35 non-accredited investors in the offering; (4) no offers or sales under the offering was effected through any general solicitation or general advertising within the meaning of Rule 502(c); and (5) the transfer of the securities in the offering were restricted by the company in accordance with Rule 502(d). Except as stated below, no underwriting discounts or commissions were payable with respect to any of the offerings.

- 1 On January 20, 2004, we issued to Ms. Jennifer Black, in her capacity as a director, options to purchase 50,000 common shares at \$3.60 per share under our 2002 Stock Plan. The options were fully vested upon grant, and lapse if unexercised on January 19, 2009. We valued the grant at \$69,798 for financial statement purposes using the Black-Scholes model. Ms. Black is accredited.
- 1 On January 20, 2004, we issued to four employees options to purchase a total of 80,000 common shares at \$3.60 per share. The options vest over a period of five years, and lapse if unexercised on January 19, 2009. We valued the grant at \$114,868 for financial statement purposes using the Black-Scholes model.
- 1 On February 5, 2004, we issued to Dr. Robert Koblin, in his capacity as a director, options to purchase 28,000 common shares at \$3.70 per share. The options vest quarterly over a period of one year, and lapse if unexercised on February 4, 2008. We valued the grant at \$41,321 for financial statement purposes using the Black-Scholes model. Dr. Koblin is accredited.
- 1 On February 11, 2004, we entered into a marketing agreement with The Ruth Group under which we agreed to issue 500 restricted common shares to it per month as partial compensation under the agreement for the provision of investor relations and media relations consulting services over a six-month period, including introducing our company to its broker network, disseminating information about our company, organizing conferences and due diligence meetings, fielding calls with investors and brokers, and procuring analyst coverage or investment banking sponsorships. We have granted 3,500 common shares to date pursuant to the agreement, with a total value of \$15,530 for financial statement purposes.
- 1 On March 10, 2004, we entered into a marketing agreement with Aurelius Consulting Group, Inc. under which we agreed to issue 25,000 restricted common shares to it as partial compensation under the agreement for the provision of various investor relations services over a six-month period, including introducing our company to its broker network, disseminating information about our company, organizing conferences and due diligence meetings, fielding calls investors and brokers, and procuring analyst coverage or investment banking sponsorships. We valued the grant at \$113,750 for financial statement purposes.
- 1 On April 1, 2004, we issued to Messrs. Marvin H. Fink and Ellsworth Roston, Dr. Robert Koblin, and Ms. Jennifer Black, in their capacity as directors, options to purchase 2,000, 2,000, 4,000 and 2,000 common shares at \$6 per share. The options vest quarterly over a period of one year, and lapse if unexercised on March 31, 2009. We valued the grant at \$23,536 for financial statement purposes using the Black-Scholes model. Messrs. Fink and Roston and

Dr. Koblin are each accredited.

- 1 On June 6, 2004, we issued to Dr. Lowell T. Harmison, in his capacity as a director, options to purchase 28,000 common shares at \$6.25 per share. The options vest quarterly over a period of one year, and lapse if unexercised on June 5, 2009. We valued the grant at \$68,647 for financial statement purposes using the Black-Scholes model. Dr. Harmison is accredited.
- 1 On July 8, 2004, we issued to Mr. Ellsworth Roston, in his capacity as a director, options to purchase 2,000 common shares at \$3.95 per share. The options vest quarterly over a period of one year, and lapse if unexercised on July 7, 2009. We valued the grant at \$3,408 for financial statement purposes using the Black-Scholes model. Mr. Roston is accredited.

Use Of Proceeds Of Registered Offerings

Not Applicable.

Repurchases Of Equity Securities

During the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2004, we did not repurchase any equity securities.

DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not Applicable.

SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not Applicable.

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our President and Chief Financial Officer, in consultation with our other members of management and advisors as appropriate, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-14 promulgated under the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report. Based upon that evaluation, our President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the date of the evaluation, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in making known to them on a timely basis material information relating to our company (including consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in this quarterly report. There were no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls, known to our President or Chief Financial Officer, subsequent to the date of the evaluation, including any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses that would require corrective action.

OTHER INFORMATION

Vo	luntary	v Report	S

None.

Material Changes To Director Nominee Procedures

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which our shareholders may recommend nominees to our board of directors since our last disclosure of those procedures pursuant to SEC rules.

EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

Exhibits

- 2.1 Disclosure Statement (9)
- 3.1 Amended And Restated Certificate Of Incorporation Of Recom Managed System, Inc. filed by the Delaware Secretary of State on November 6, 2000 (1)
- 3.2 Certificate Of Amendment Of Certificate Of Incorporation Of Recom Managed System, Inc. filed by the Delaware Secretary of State on June 20, 2003 (8)
- 3.3 Certificate Of Designation Of Rights, Preferences And Limitations Of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock Of Recom Managed System, Inc. filed by the Delaware Secretary of State on September 9, 2003 (9)
- 3.4 Amendment To Certificate Of Designation Of Rights, Preferences And Limitations Of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock Of Recom Managed System, Inc. filed by the Delaware Secretary of State on April 26, 2004 (9)
 - 3.5 Bylaws Of Recom Managed Systems, Inc. adopted March 31, 2003 (6)
 - 5.1 Specimen common stock certificate (8)
 - 5.2 Specimen series A preferred stock certificate (8)
 - 5.3 Recom Managed Systems, Inc. 2002 Stock Plan adopted on November 1, 2002 (6)
 - 5.4 Form of option issued under Recom Managed Systems, Inc. 2002 Stock (8)
- 5.5 Recom Managed Systems, Inc. 2003 Nonqualified Stock Option And Stock Plan adopted on March 31, 2002 (6)
 - 5.6 Warrant To Purchase Common Stock dated September 19, 2002 issued to Sim Farrar (2)
 - 5.7 Form of Standard Warrant (8)
 - 5.8 Form of Class A Warrant (8)
 - 5.9 Form of Class C Warrant (8)
 - 5.10 Agent s Warrant dated November 1, 2003 with Maxim Group LLC (9)
 - 5.11 Agent s Warrant dated November 1, 2003 with Jenkins Capital Management, LLC (11)

- 10.1 Standard Multi-Tenant Office Lease dated August 20, 2002 between Bershin Properties I, LLC, as lessor, and Recom Managed Systems, Inc., LLC, as lessee (9)
- 10.2 Addendum To Standard Office Lease dated August 20, 2002 between Bershin Properties I, LLC, as lessor, and Recom Managed Systems, Inc., as lessee (9)
- 10.3 Addendum To Standard Office Lease dated December 17, 2003 between Bershin Properties I, LLC, as lessor, and Recom Managed Systems, Inc., as lessee (9)
- 10.4 Stock Acquisition and Signal Technologies Transfer Agreement dated September 12, 2002 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and ARC Finance Group, LLC (2)
- 10.5 Employment Agreement dated October 14, 2002 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Marvin H. Fink (3)

-25-

- 10.6 License Agreement dated December 9, 1993 between Dr. Budimir S. Drakulic and Teledyne Electronic Industries, Inc. (8)
- 10.7 Restricted Stock Agreement dated October 14, 2002 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Marvin H. Fink (3)(4)
- 10.8 Indemnification Agreement dated October 14, 2002 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Marvin H. Fink (3)(4)
- 10.9 Loan-out Agreement dated October 15, 2002 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Budimir Drakulic, B World and B Technologies (3)
- 10.10 Restricted Stock Agreement dated October 15, 2002 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Budimir Drakulic, B World and B Technologies (3)(5)
- 10.11 Consulting Agreement dated November 1, 2002 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Ellsworth Roston (3)
- 10.12 Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment, And Arbitration Agreement dated October 15, 2002 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Budimir Drakulic, B World and B Technologies (3)(5)
- 10.13 Consulting Agreement dated February 14, 2003 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Lowell T. Harmison (8)
- 10.14 Employment Agreement dated March 10, 2003 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Charles E. McGill (6)
- 10.15 Investment Banking Agreement dated April 15, 2003 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Brookstreet Securities Corporation (7)
- 10.16 Investment Banking Agreement dated July 17, 2003 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Maxim Group, LLC (9)
- 10.17 Placement Agency Agreement dated September 4, 2003 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Maxim Group, LLC (9)
 - 10.18 Form of Registration Rights Agreement for purchasers of Series A Preferred Stock (8)
- 10.19 Scope Letters and Engagement Agreements dated December 18, 2003, January 23, 2004 and March 22, 2004 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and CFO 911 (9)
- 10.20 Non-Binding Letter of Intent dated January 10, 2004 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and TZ Medical Inc. (9)
- 10.21 Settlement Agreement And Releases, Warrant and Piggyback Registration Rights Agreement each dated April 28, 2004 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc., Mitchell J. Stein, ARC Finance Group, LLC, Tracey Hampton-Stein and Rex Julian Beaber (9)

- 10.22 Consulting Agreement between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Dr. Michael Laks (10)
- 10.23 Consulting Agreement between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Dr. Mitchell W. Krucoff (10)
- 10.24 Research And Development Services Agreement dated May 12, 2004 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Battelle Memorial Institute (10)
- 10.25 Sponsored Research Agreement dated August 30, 2004 between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Duke Clinical Research Institute (11)
 - 10.26 Consulting Agreement between Recom Managed Systems, Inc. and Dr. Andrea Natale (11)

21 List of subsidiaries *

-26-

Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act *

Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act *

Certification of chief executive officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act *

Certification of chief financial officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act *

* Filed herewith

- (2) Previously filed as an exhibit to our report on form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 25, 2002.
- (3) Previously filed as an exhibit to our report on form 10-QSB for our fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2002 filed with the SEC on November 12, 2002.
 - (4) Filed as part of the Employment Agreement for Mr. Fink noted in item 10.5.
- (5) Filed as part of the Loan-Out Agreement for with B World Technologies, B Technologies and Dr. Drakulic noted in item 10.9.
- (6) Previously filed as an exhibit to our report on form 10-KSB for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 filed with the SEC on March 26, 2003.
- (7) Previously filed as an exhibit to our report on form 10-QSB for our fiscal quarter ended March 30, 2003 filed with the SEC on May 7, 2003.
- (8) Previously filed as an exhibit to our registration statement on form SB-2 filed with the SEC on January 2, 2004.
- (9) Previously filed as an exhibit to our registration statement on form SB-2 (amendment no. 2) filed with the SEC on May 11, 2004.
- (10) Previously filed as an exhibit to our registration statement on form SB-2 (amendment no. 3) filed with the SEC on July 22, 2004.
- (11) Previously filed as an exhibit to our registration statement on form SB-2 (amendment no. 4) filed with the SEC on October 18, 2004.

Reports on Form 8-K

During the nine-month interim period ended September 30, 2004, we did not file any reports on form 8-K.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated at Studio City, California, this 14th day of November, 2004.

RECOM MANAGED SYSTEMS, INC.

⁽¹⁾ Previously filed as an exhibit to our report on form 10-KSB for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 filed with the SEC on February 22, 2002.

By: /s/ Marvin H. Fink

Marvin H. Fink Chief Executive Officer and President (principal executive officer)

By: /s/ Charles Dargan

Charles Dargan Interim Chief Financial Officer (principal accounting and financial officer)

-27-