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## EXPLANATORY NOTE

Park National Corporation ("Park") is filing this Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 2) (this "Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011 ") with respect to its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011, as originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on August 15, 2011 (the "Original June 30, 2011 Form 10-Q"), in order to amend Part I - Items 1, 2 and 4, and Part II - Items 1A and 6. This Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011 is being filed to amend and restate our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements as of and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 included in "Item 1 - Financial Statements" of Part I and related disclosures in "Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of Part I to make the corrections identified below.

This Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011 is being filed to reflect the impact on the consolidated financial information as of and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 of the restatement of Park's audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010. This Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011 should be read in conjunction with and follows the filing by Park of Form 10-K/A (Amendment No. 2) for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, which was filed on February 28, 2012. The restatement of consolidated financial information as of and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 results in the following corrections:

## Impact on Items Reported in Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited):

The provision for loan losses decreased by $\$ 11.4$ million to $\$ 12.5$ million, compared to $\$ 23.9$ million as originally reported for the three months ended June 30, 2011 (the "second quarter"). The provision for loan losses decreased by $\$ 10.8$ million to $\$ 26.6$ million, compared to $\$ 37.4$ million as originally reported for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Net interest income after provision for loan losses increased by $\$ 11.4$ million to $\$ 57.5$ million, compared to $\$ 46.1$ million as originally reported for the second quarter. Net interest income after provision for loan losses increased by $\$ 10.8$ million to $\$ 112.7$ million, compared to $\$ 101.9$ million as originally reported for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Other real estate owned ("OREO") devaluations decreased by $\$ 1.9$ million to $\$ 3.4$ million, compared to $\$ 5.3$ million as originally reported for the second quarter. OREO devaluations decreased by $\$ 3.8$ million to $\$ 5.9$ million, compared to $\$ 9.7$ as originally reported for the six months ended June 30, 2011.
Total other income increased by $\$ 1.9$ million to $\$ 15.1$ million, compared to $\$ 13.2$ million as originally reported for the second quarter. Total other income increased by $\$ 3.8$ million to $\$ 30.2$ million, compared to $\$ 26.4$ million as originally reported for the six months ended June 30, 2011.
Income before income taxes increased by $\$ 13.3$ million to $\$ 41.0$ million, compared to $\$ 27.7$ million as originally reported for the second quarter. Income before income taxes increased by $\$ 14.5$ million to $\$ 71.5$ million, compared to $\$ 57.0$ million as originally reported for the six months ended June 30, 2011.
Income taxes increased by $\$ 4.6$ million to $\$ 12.0$ million, compared to $\$ 7.4$ million as originally reported for the -second quarter. Income taxes increased by $\$ 5.1$ million to $\$ 20.4$ million, compared to $\$ 15.3$ million as originally reported for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Net income increased by $\$ 8.6$ million to $\$ 29.0$ million, compared to $\$ 20.3$ million as originally reported for the second quarter. Net income increased by $\$ 9.5$ million to $\$ 51.2$ million, compared to $\$ 41.7$ million as originally reported for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Net income available to common shareholders increased by $\$ 8.6$ million to $\$ 27.5$ million, compared to $\$ 18.9$ million -as originally reported for the second quarter. Net income available to common shareholders increased by $\$ 9.4$ million to $\$ 48.2$ million, compared to $\$ 38.8$ million as originally reported for the six months ended June $30,2011$.
Basic and diluted earnings per share increased by $\$ 0.57$ to $\$ 1.79$ per common share, compared to $\$ 1.22$ per common -share as originally reported for the second quarter. Diluted earnings per share increased by $\$ 0.61$ to $\$ 3.13$ per share, compared to $\$ 2.52$ per common share as originally reported for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

## Impact on Items Reported in Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet (Unaudited):

The allowance for loan losses increased by $\$ 10.0$ million to $\$ 120.2$ million, compared to $\$ 110.2$ million as originally reported as of June 30, 2011.
Loans, net of the allowance for loan losses decreased by $\$ 10.0$ million to $\$ 4,590$ million, compared to $\$ 4,600$ million as originally reported as of June 30, 2011.

- OREO decreased by $\$ 263,000$ to $\$ 47.7$ million, compared to $\$ 48.0$ million as originally reported as of June $30,2011$. Other assets increased by $\$ 3.6$ million to $\$ 174.3$ million, compared to $\$ 170.7$ million as originally reported as of June -30, 2011. The only adjustment within other assets was to reflect the deferred tax asset impact of the restatement.
Total assets decreased by $\$ 6.7$ million to $\$ 7,322$ million, compared to $\$ 7,329$ million as originally reported as of June 30, 2011.
Retained earnings decreased by $\$ 6.7$ million to $\$ 425.7$ million, compared to $\$ 432.3$ million as originally reported as of June 30, 2011.
Total stockholders' equity decreased by $\$ 6.7$ million to $\$ 741.1$ million, compared to $\$ 747.8$ million as originally reported as of June 30, 2011.
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity decreased by $\$ 6.7$ million to $\$ 7,322$ million, compared to $\$ 7,329$ million as originally reported as of June 30, 2011.

For a more detailed description of the restatement of the consolidated condensed financial statements, see Note 1A, "Restatement of Financial Statements" in our Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Park has not modified or updated the information in the Original June 30, 2011 Form 10-Q, except as necessary to reflect the effects of the restated consolidated condensed financial statements which took into consideration subsequent additional information about conditions that existed at June 30, 2011. This Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011 continues to speak as of the dates described herein, and we have not updated the disclosures contained in the Original June 30, 2011 Form 10-Q to reflect any events that occurred subsequent to such dates except as necessitated by the restatement and to discuss a subsequent event in Note 19 - Sale of Vision Bank. Information not affected by the restatement is unchanged and reflects the disclosures made at the time of the filing of the Original June 30, 2011 Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ on August 15, 2011. With respect to management's discussion, within "Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Part I, of the projected results for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, we have removed the portion of the discussion related to items that would no longer be appropriate given the nature of the restatement of the consolidated financial information as of and for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 and the impact it had on certain line items in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, including the provision for loan losses. Accordingly,
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this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011 should be read in conjunction with our subsequent filings with the SEC, as information in such filings may update or supersede certain information contained in this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011.

Park has modified "Item 4 - Controls and Procedures" of Part I in order to reflect the reevaluation by Park's management of the effectiveness of the design and operation of Park's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2011 in connection with the restatement of the consolidated condensed financial statements as described in this Form $10-\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A}$ for June $30,2011$.

Park has also modified the risk factor included in "Item 1A - Risk Factors" of Part II to include the restated financial information for Vision Bank where appropriate. The risk factor, including the corrected information, remains applicable as of the filing date of the Original June 30, 2011 Form 10-Q.

Park has updated the Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and the Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends included as Exhibit 12 to this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011, in order to reflect the corrected consolidated financial information. Additionally, updated certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 have been included as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011, and updated certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 have been included as Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 to this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011, which have been reflected in "Item 6 - Exhibits" of Part II. Finally, Park has also included Exhibit 101, which provides certain financial information from Park's Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011 formatted in XBRL pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T.

For the convenience of the reader, this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011 sets forth the disclosures to be included in the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 in their entirety, although Park is only amending and restating Items 1, 2 and 4 of Part I and Items 1A and 6 of Part II from the Original June 30, 2011 Form 10-Q as these are the only Items affected by the corrected consolidated financial information.

## Subsequent Event - Sale of Vision Bank

On November 16, 2011, Park and Vision Bank entered into a Purchase and Assumption Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with Home BancShares, Inc. ("Home") and its wholly-owned subsidiary Centennial Bank, an Arkansas state-chartered bank ("Centennial"), to sell substantially all of the operating assets and liabilities associated with Vision to Centennial for a purchase price of $\$ 27.9$ million.

On February 16, 2012, Park and Vision Bank completed the transaction contemplated by the previously announced Purchase Agreement. In accordance with the Agreement, Vision sold approximately $\$ 354$ million in performing loans, approximately $\$ 520$ million of deposits, fixed assets of approximately $\$ 12.5$ million and other miscellaneous assets and liabilities for a purchase price of $\$ 27.9$ million.
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Immediately following the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement, Vision surrendered its Florida banking charter to the Florida Office of Financial Regulation (the "OFR") and became a non-bank Florida corporation (the "Florida Corporation"). This Florida Corporation merged with and into a wholly-owned, non-bank subsidiary of Park, SE Property Holdings, LLC ("SE LLC"), with SE LLC being the surviving entity. Subsequent to the transactions contemplated by the Purchase Agreement, Vision will be left with approximately $\$ 22$ million of performing loans and non-performing loans with a fair value of $\$ 88$ million (both net of any necessary loan loss allowance that may have existed prior to the transactions). Park recognized a pre-tax gain, net of expenses directly related to the sale, of approximately $\$ 22$ million.
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## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets (Unaudited) (in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \\ \text { Restated } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 131,604 | \$ 109,058 |
| Money market instruments | 85,512 | 24,722 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 217,116 | 133,780 |
| Investment securities |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost of \$1,107,211 and \$1,274,258 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010) | 1,117,397 | 1,297,522 |
| Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value of \$786,222 and \$686,114 |  |  |
| Other investment securities | 68,158 | 68,699 |
| Total investment securities | 1,960,866 | 2,039,791 |
|  |  |  |
| Loans | 4,710,513 | 4,732,685 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (120,174 ) | (143,575 ) |
| Net loans | 4,590,339 | 4,589,110 |
|  |  |  |
| Bank owned life insurance | 151,930 | 146,450 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 77,039 | 78,377 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 69,830 | 69,567 |
| Other real estate owned | 47,734 | 41,709 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 22,624 | 24,137 |
| Mortgage loan servicing rights | 10,259 | 10,488 |
| Other | 174,287 | 148,852 |
|  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$7,322,024 | \$ 7,282,261 |
|  |  |  |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity: |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |
| Noninterest bearing | \$984,160 | \$ 937,719 |
| Interest bearing | 4,273,357 | 4,157,701 |
| Total deposits | 5,257,517 | 5,095,420 |
|  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | 234,112 | 663,669 |
| Long-term debt | 821,202 | 636,733 |
| Subordinated debentures and notes | 75,250 | 75,250 |
| Accrued interest payable | 5,732 | 6,123 |
| Other | 187,113 | 75,358 |
| Total liabilities | 6,580,926 | 6,552,553 |
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## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited) (in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 Restated | 2010 | $2011$ <br> Restated | 2010 |
| Interest and dividend income: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$65,862 | \$66,723 | \$131,316 | \$133,164 |
| Interest and dividends on: |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. Government, its agencies and other securities | 18,960 | 20,263 | 38,013 | 40,738 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 92 | 204 | 241 | 421 |
| Other interest income | 8 | 52 | 14 | 121 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 84,922 | 87,242 | 169,584 | 174,444 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Demand and savings deposits | 951 | 1,582 | 1,942 | 3,357 |
| Time deposits | 6,200 | 9,518 | 12,934 | 20,168 |
| Interest on borrowings: |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | 193 | 302 | 460 | 646 |
| Long-term debt | 7,556 | 7,119 | 14,913 | 14,172 |
| Total interest expense | 14,900 | 18,521 | 30,249 | 38,343 |
| Net interest income | 70,022 | 68,721 | 139,335 | 136,101 |
| Provision for loan losses | 12,516 | 13,250 | 26,616 | 29,800 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 57,506 | 55,471 | 112,719 | 106,301 |
| Other income: |  |  |  |  |
| Income from fiduciary activities | 3,929 | 3,528 | 7,651 | 6,950 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 4,525 | 5,092 | 8,770 | 9,838 |
| Other service income | 2,734 | 3,476 | 5,035 | 6,458 |
| Checkcard fee income | 3,251 | 2,765 | 6,227 | 5,209 |
| Bank owned life insurance income | 1,228 | 1,254 | 2,457 | 2,470 |
| ATM fees | 682 | 832 | 1,336 | 1,597 |
| OREO devaluations | (3,355 | ) $(1,919$ | ) $(5,890$ | ) $(3,064$ |
| Other | 2,144 | 1,619 | 4,582 | 3,899 |
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| Total other income | 15,138 | 16,647 | 30,168 | 33,357 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gain on sale of securities | 15,362 | 3,515 | 21,997 | 11,819 |
| Continued |  |  |  |  |
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## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited) (Continued)
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2011$ | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  | Restated |  | Restated |  |
| Other expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$25,253 | \$24,013 | \$50,317 | \$49,184 |
| Occupancy expense | 2,764 | 2,793 | 5,764 | 5,910 |
| Furniture and equipment expense | 2,785 | 2,564 | 5,442 | 5,196 |
| Data processing fees | 1,135 | 1,394 | 2,388 | 2,987 |
| Professional fees and services | 5,320 | 5,299 | 10,194 | 10,155 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 669 | 842 | 1,338 | 1,778 |
| Marketing | 728 | 946 | 1,351 | 1,848 |
| Insurance | 2,345 | 2,333 | 4,614 | 4,531 |
| Communication | 1,485 | 1,647 | 3,041 | 3,416 |
| State taxes | 488 | 838 | 945 | 1,683 |
| Other expense | 4,035 | 4,332 | 7,959 | 8,203 |
| Total other expense | 47,007 | 47,001 | 93,353 | 94,891 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Income before income taxes | 40,999 | 28,632 | 71,531 | 56,586 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Income taxes | 12,046 | 7,466 | 20,382 | 14,641 |
| Net income | \$28,953 | \$21,166 | \$51,149 | \$41,945 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock dividends and accretion | 1,464 | 1,451 | 2,928 | 2,903 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$27,489 | \$19,715 | \$48,221 | \$39,042 |
| Per Common Share: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income available to common shareholders |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$1.79 | \$1.30 | \$3.13 | \$2.60 |
| Diluted | \$1.79 | \$1.30 | \$3.13 | \$2.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 15,398,919 | 15,114,846 | 15,398,925 | 14,998,810 |
| Diluted | 15,399,593 | 15,114,846 | 15,401,506 | 14,998,810 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends declared | \$0.94 | \$0.94 | \$1.88 | \$ 1.88 |
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## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)
(in thousands, except per share data) (in thousands, except per share data)

| Six Months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 | Preferred <br> Stock | Common <br> Stock | Retained <br> Earnings | Treasury <br> Stock <br> at Cost | Accumulated <br> Other <br> ComprehensivComprehensive |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Income (loss) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income } \\ & \text { (loss) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 96,483 | \$ 306,569 | \$ 423,872 | \$ $(125,321)$ | ) \$ | 15,661 |  |  |  |
| Net Income |  |  | 41,945 |  |  |  |  |  | 41,945 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized net holding (loss) on cash flow hedge, net of income taxes of \$(113) |  |  |  |  |  | (211 |  |  | (211 |
| Unrealized net holding gain on securities available-for-sale, net of income taxes of \$231 |  |  |  |  |  | 429 |  |  | 429 |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,163 |
| Cash dividends on common stock at $\$ 1.88$ per share |  |  | $(28,285)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash payment for fractional shares in dividend reinvestment plan |  | (2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reissuance of common stock from treasury shares held for warrants issued |  | (600 | (7,393 ) | 29,292 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accretion of discount on preferred stock | 403 |  | (403 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock dividends |  |  | (2,500 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | \$ 96,886 | \$ 305,967 | \$ 427,236 | \$ (96,029 | ) \$ | 15,879 |  |  |  |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 97,290 | \$ 305,677 | \$ 406,342 | \$ (77,733 | ) \$ | (1,868 | ) |  |  |
| Net Income (Restated) |  |  | 51,149 |  |  |  | \$ |  | 51,149 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized net holding gain on cash flow hedge, net of income taxes of \$104 |  |  |  |  |  | 193 |  |  | 193 |
| Unrealized net holding (loss) on securities available-for-sale, net of income taxes of $\$(4,578)$ |  |  |  |  |  | (8,500 |  |  | (8,500 ) |
| Total comprehensive income (Restated) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,842 |
| Cash dividends on common stock at |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash payment for fractional shares in dividend reinvestment plan |  | (1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock warrants cancelled |  | (67 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Accretion of discount on preferred
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## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) (in thousands)

|  | Six Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, |  |  |

Continued
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## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)
(Continued)
(in thousands)
Six Months Ended June 30,
20112010
Financing activities:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\text { Net increase (decrease) in deposits } & \$ 162,097 & \$(19,238) \\ \text { Net (decrease) in short-term borrowings } & (429,557 & (43,462 & ) \\ \text { Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt } & 200,000 & -(1,640 & (15,531\end{array}\right)\binom{(1)}{$ Repayment of long-term debt }
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## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements included in this report have been prepared for Park National Corporation (the "Registrant", "Corporation", "Company", or "Park") and its subsidiaries. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of results of operations for the interim periods included herein have been made. The results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be anticipated for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions for Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all information and footnotes necessary for a fair presentation of the condensed balance sheets, condensed statements of income, condensed statements of changes in stockholders' equity and condensed statements of cash flows in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A - Amendment No. 2 of Park for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed on February 28, 2012 (the "2010 Form 10-K/A"), which served to restate the audited consolidated financial statements which had been incorporated by reference in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Park for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed on February 28, 2011, from Park's 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders (the "2010 Annual Report").

Park's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A. For interim reporting purposes, Park follows the same basic accounting policies, as updated by the information contained in this report, and considers each interim period an integral part of an annual period. Management has evaluated events occurring subsequent to the balance sheet date, determining no events require additional disclosure in these consolidated condensed financial statements.

## 1A. RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In a Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2012 (the "January 31, 2012 Form 8-K"), Park announced that on January 27, 2012, management determined that (i) Park's previously issued audited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference in Park's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed on February 28, 2011, and (ii) Park's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in Park's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2011, June 30, 2011, and September 30, 2011, should be restated.

The accounting treatment giving rise to the restatement was the inclusion of estimated future cash flows supporting the allowance for loan losses related to certain impaired commercial loans. For the year ended December 31, 2010, as part of Park's process to measure impairment on certain impaired commercial loans at Vision Bank, management had relied on expected future cash flows from guarantors, as to whom we were in litigation. Management determined that
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reliance on expected future cash flows, which may require protracted litigation to actually be received, is inappropriate given the difficulty in obtaining objective verifiable evidence supporting a conclusion as to the amount and timing of the expected cash flows. GAAP requires that our assumptions be "reasonable and supportable" and the facts and circumstances around the existence of protracted litigation make this assumption more difficult to support.

The restatement also reflects certain OREO devaluations and additional loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support. These expense items are related to valuation issues identified at December 31, 2010, where Vision Bank management utilized (i) the work of a third-party contractor, which was not a licensed appraiser, when calculating the fair value of collateral for certain impaired loans and the fair value of certain OREO held by Vision Bank, and management did not have sufficient documentation to support the estimates of this third-party contractor, and (ii) internal estimates of collateral value when calculating specific reserves for certain impaired loans when, at times, such internal estimates were outdated. The impact is to reverse provisions for loan losses and OREO devaluations originally recorded in 2011 and recognize these provisions for loan losses and OREO devaluations in the restated audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The tables below detail the restated financial statement line items and Park's regulatory capital ratios for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

Effect on Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet
June 30, 2011

|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { As } \\ \text { As Previously } \\ \text { Restated }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Effect } \\ \text { of }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Change |  |  |  |$)$

Effect on Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income
Three months ended June 30,
2011

Provision for loan losses
As Previoysly Reportedfect of
Restated Change
\$23,900 \$ 12,516 \$(11,384)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses $\quad 46,122 \quad 57,506 \quad 11,384$
OREO devaluations
Total other income
Income before income taxes
Income taxes
$(5,257) \quad(3,355) \quad 1,902$
13,236 15,138 1,902
27,713 $\quad 40,999 \quad 13,286$
Net income
7,396 12,046 4,650
20,317 28,953 8,636
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Net income available to common shareholders } & 18,853 & 27,489 & 8,636\end{array}$

Earnings per common share
Basic
\$1.22 \$ $1.79 \quad \$ 0.57$
Diluted
\$1.22 \$1.79 \$0.57

Effect on Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income
Six months ended June 30, 2011

| As Previousi As | Reported | Effect of |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Restated | Change |  |
| $\$ 37,400$ | $\$ 26,616$ | $\$(10,784)$ |
| 101,935 | 112,719 | 10,784 |
| $(9,651$ | $(5,890)$ | 3,761 |
| 26,407 | 30,168 | 3,761 |
| 56,986 | 71,531 | 14,545 |
| 15,291 | 20,382 | 5,091 |
| 41,695 | 51,149 | 9,454 |
| 38,767 | 48,221 | 9,454 |

Earnings per common share
Basic $\quad \$ 2.52 \quad \$ 3.13 \quad \$ 0.61$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Diluted } & \$ 2.52 & \$ 3.13 & \$ 0.61\end{array}$

Effect on Consolidated Condensed Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

|  | Six months ended June 30, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As Previou | As As Repgrte Restated | Effect of |
| Retained earnings, December 31, 2010 | \$422,458 | \$406,342 | \$(16,116) |
| Net income | 41,695 | 51,149 | 9,454 |
| Total comprehensive income | 33,388 | 42,842 | 9,454 |
| Retained earnings, June 30, 2011 | 432,341 | 425,679 | (6,662 ) |

Effect on Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash
Flows

|  | Six months ended June 30, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As Previo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As Repgrt } \\ & \text { asky } \\ & \text { Restated } \end{aligned}$ | Effect of Change |
| Net income | \$41,695 | \$51,149 | \$9,454 |
| Provision for loan losses | 37,400 | 26,616 | $(10,784)$ |
| OREO devaluations | 9,651 | 5,890 | (3,761 ) |
| (Increase) in other assets | $(43,293)$ | $(38,202)$ | 5,091 |

Effect on Park National Corporation's Capital Ratios
June 30, 2011
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|  | As |  |  |  |  | Effect |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As Previofsly Reportedf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note 2 - Recent Accounting Pronouncements
Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements:
Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements: In January 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, Topic 820, Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements. This amendment requires new disclosures regarding significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 fair value measurements and the reasons for the transfers. This amendment also requires that a reporting entity present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, on a gross basis rather than a net basis for activity in Level 3 fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs. This amendment also clarifies existing disclosures on the level of disaggregation, in that the reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities, and that a reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements for Level 2 and 3. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures for ASC 820 are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of ASC 820 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses: In July 2010, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses (ASU 2010-20), to address concerns about the sufficiency, transparency, and robustness of credit risk disclosures for finance receivables and the related allowance for credit losses. This ASU requires new and enhanced disclosures at disaggregated levels, specifically defined as "portfolio segments" and "classes". Among other things, the expanded disclosures include roll-forward schedules of the allowance for credit losses and information regarding the credit quality of receivables as of the end of a reporting period. New and enhanced disclosures are required for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2010, although the disclosures of reporting period activity are required for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of the new guidance impacted interim and annual disclosures included in the Company's consolidated financial statements.
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No. 2011-01 - Receivables (Topic 310) Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20: In January 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-01, Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20 (ASU 2011-01). ASU 2011-01 was issued as a result of concerns raised from stakeholders that the introduction of new disclosure requirements (paragraphs 310-10-50-31 through 50-34 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification) about troubled debt restructurings in one reporting period followed by a change in what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring shortly thereafter would be burdensome for preparers and may not provide financial statement users with useful information.

No. 2011-02 - Receivables (Topic 310) A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring: In April 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-02, A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring (ASU 2011-02). The ASU provides additional guidance to creditors for evaluating whether a modification or restructuring of a receivable is a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR"). The new guidance requires creditors to evaluate modifications and restructurings of receivables using a more principles-based approach, which may result in more modifications and restructurings being considered TDRs. Additionally, creditors will be required to provide additional disclosures about their TDR activities in accordance with the requirements of ASU 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses, which was deferred by ASU 2011-01 Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20 (ASU 2011-01). The new guidance will be effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15,2011 , with retrospective application required to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. Disclosures requirements will be effective for the first interim and annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. Management is currently working through the guidance to determine the impact, if any, to the consolidated financial statements.

No. 2011-04 - Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirement in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs: In May 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirement in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU 2011-04). The new guidance in this ASU results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. Certain amendments clarify the FASBs intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements. Other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. These amendments also enhance disclosure requirements surrounding fair value measurement. Most significantly, an entity will be required to disclose additional information regarding Level 3 fair value measurements including quantitative information about unobservable inputs used, a description of the valuation processes used by the entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Management is currently working through the guidance to determine the impact, if any, to the consolidated financial statements.

No 2011-05 - Presentation of Comprehensive Income: In June 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU 2011-05). The ASU eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity. An entity can elect to present the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The ASU does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income, when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income, or how earnings per share is calculated or presented. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 and must be applied retrospectively. The adoption of the new guidance will impact the presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

Note 3 - Goodwill and Intangible Assets
The following table shows the activity in goodwill and core deposit intangibles for the first six months of 2011.

|  | Core Deposit |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | Goodwill | Intangibles | Total |  |  |
| December 31, 2010 | $\$ ~ 72,334$ | $\$$ | 6,043 | $\$$ | 78,377 |
| Amortization |  | - |  | 1,338 |  |
| June 30, 2011 | $\$$ | 72,334 | $\$$ | 4,705 | $\$$ |

The core deposit intangibles are being amortized to expense principally on the straight-line method, over periods ranging from six to ten years. Management expects that the core deposit intangibles amortization expense will be approximately $\$ 669,000$ per quarter for the third and fourth quarters of 2011.

Core deposit intangibles amortization expense is projected to be as follows for the remainder of 2011 and for each of the following years:

|  | Annual |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| (in thousands) | Amortization |

Note 4 - Loans
The composition of the loan portfolio, by class of loan, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was as follows:

|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loan balance | Accrued interest receivable | Recorded investment | Loan balance | Accrued interest receivable | Recorded investment |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural * | \$ 755,793 | \$ 2,626 | \$ 758,419 | \$ 737,902 | \$ 2,886 | \$ 740,788 |
| Commercial real estate * | 1,257,292 | 4,775 | 1,262,067 | 1,226,616 | 4,804 | 1,231,420 |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development * | 111,054 | 235 | 111,289 | 171,334 | 282 | 171,616 |
| Remaining commercial | 181,422 | 453 | 181,875 | 195,693 | 622 | 196,315 |
| Mortgage | 21,367 | 69 | 21,436 | 26,326 | 95 | 26,421 |
| Installment | 16,489 | 74 | 16,563 | 13,127 | 54 | 13,181 |
| Residential real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 454,864 | 1,353 | 456,217 | 464,903 | 1,403 | 466,306 |
| Mortgage | 950,782 | 1,771 | 952,553 | 906,648 | 2,789 | 909,437 |
| HELOC | 254,860 | 967 | 255,827 | 260,463 | 1,014 | 261,477 |
| Installment | 53,062 | 219 | 53,281 | 60,195 | 255 | 60,450 |
| Consumer | 651,250 | 2,938 | 654,188 | 666,871 | 3,245 | 670,116 |
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| Leases | 2,278 | 34 | 2,312 | 2,607 | 56 | 2,663 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total loans | $\$ 4,710,513$ | $\$ 15,514$ | $\$ 4,726,027$ | $\$ 4,732,685$ | $\$$ | 17,505 | $\$ 4,750,190$ |

* Included within commercial, financial and agricultural loans, commercial real estate loans, and Vision commercial land and development loans are an immaterial amount of consumer loans that are not broken out by class.
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The following tables present the recorded investment in nonaccrual, restructured, and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing by class of loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & & \text { June 30, 2011 } \\ \text { Loans past due }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Total }\end{array}\right)$

December 31, 2010
Loans past due Total

| (In thousands) | Nonaccrual loans | Restructured loans | 90 days or more and accruing | nonperforming loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 19,276 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 19,276 |
| Commercial real estate | 57,941 | - | 20 | 57,961 |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development | 87,424 | - | - | 87,424 |
| Remaining commercial | 27,080 | - | - | 27,080 |
| Mortgage | 354 | - | - | 354 |
| Installment | 417 | - | 13 | 430 |
| Residential real estate: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 60,227 | - | - | 60,227 |
| Mortgage | 32,479 | - | 2,175 | 34,654 |
| HELOC | 964 | - | 149 | 1,113 |
| Installment | 1,195 | - | 277 | 1,472 |
| Consumer | 1,911 | - | 1,059 | 2,970 |
| Leases | - | - | - | - |
| Total loans | \$289,268 | \$ - | \$ 3,693 | \$ 292,961 |
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The following table provides additional information regarding those nonaccrual loans that are individually evaluated for impairment and those collectively evaluated for impairment as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonaccrual loans |  | Loans individually evaluated for impairment |  | Loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  | Nonaccrual loans |  | Loans individually evaluated for impairment |  | Loans collectively evaluated for impairment |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ | 24,008 | \$ | 24,008 | \$ | - | \$ | 19,276 | \$ | 19,205 |  | 71 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 47,243 |  | 47,243 |  | - |  | 57,941 |  | 57,930 |  | 11 |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development |  | 47,761 |  | 46,847 |  | 914 |  | 87,424 |  | 86,491 |  | 933 |
| Remaining commercial |  | 33,685 |  | 33,685 |  | - |  | 27,080 |  | 27,080 |  |  |
| Mortgage |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 354 |  | - |  | 354 |
| Installment |  | 427 |  | - |  | 427 |  | 417 |  | - |  | 417 |
| Residential real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 48,594 |  | 48,594 |  | - |  | 60,227 |  | 60,227 |  | - |
| Mortgage |  | 32,459 |  | - |  | 32,459 |  | 32,479 |  | - |  | 32,479 |
| HELOC |  | 1,418 |  | - |  | 1,418 |  | 964 |  | - |  | 964 |
| Installment |  | 1,169 |  | - |  | 1,169 |  | 1,195 |  | - |  | 1,195 |
| Consumer |  | 1,926 |  | 23 |  | 1,903 |  | 1,911 |  | - |  | 1,911 |
| Leases |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total loans | \$ | 238,690 | \$ | 200,400 | \$ | 38,290 | \$ | 289,268 | \$ | 250,933 | \$ | 38,335 |

All of the loans individually evaluated for impairment were evaluated using the fair value of the collateral or present value of expected future cash flows as the measurement method.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

|  | June 30, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unpaid principal balance | Recorded investment | Allowance for loan losses allocated | Unpaid principal balance | Recorded investment | Allowance loan losses allocated |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With no related allowance recorded |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$14,086 | \$ 11,125 | \$ - | \$9,347 | \$8,891 | \$ - |
| Commercial real estate | 44,827 | 31,624 | - | 21,526 | 17,170 | - |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development | 23,627 | 8,723 | - | 11,206 | 7,847 | - |
| Remaining commercial | 24,403 | 20,963 | - | 12,305 | 11,743 | - |
| Residential real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 38,326 | 35,283 | - | 46,344 | 43,031 | - |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| With an allowance recorded |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | 15,996 | 12,883 | 7,367 | 11,801 | 10,314 | 3,028 |
| Commercial real estate | 16,232 | 15,619 | 10,050 | 44,789 | 40,760 | 12,652 |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development | 72,912 | 38,124 | 15,076 | 103,937 | 78,644 | 39,887 |
| Remaining commercial | 21,918 | 12,722 | 5,129 | 23,563 | 15,337 | 5,425 |
| Residential real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 16,740 | 13,311 | 5,152 | 19,716 | 17,196 | 5,912 |
| Consumer | 23 | 23 | 23 | - | - | - |
| Total | \$289,090 | \$ 200,400 | \$ 42,797 | \$304,534 | \$ 250,933 | \$ 66,904 |

Management's general practice is to proactively charge down loans individually evaluated for impairment to the fair value of the underlying collateral. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were $\$ 37.6$ million and $\$ 12.0$ million, respectively, of partial charge-offs on loans individually evaluated for impairment with no related allowance recorded and $\$ 51.1$ million and $\$ 41.6$ million, respectively, of partial charge-offs on loans individually evaluated for impairment that also had a specific reserve allocated.

The allowance for loan losses included specific reserves related to loans individually evaluated for impairment at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, of $\$ 42.8$ million and $\$ 66.9$ million, respectively, related to loans with a recorded investment of $\$ 92.7$ million and $\$ 162.3$ million.

The following table presents the average recorded investment and interest income recognized on loans individually evaluated for impairment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011:

Three months ended June Six months ended June 30, 30, 2011

2011
Recorded Average Interest Average Interest

|  | investment as of <br> June 30,2011 | recorded <br> investment | income <br> recognized | recorded <br> investment | income <br> recognized |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | $\$ 24,008$ | $\$ 20,688$ | $\$ 41$ | $\$ 20,203$ | $\$ 106$ |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | 47,243 | 51,359 | 54 | 53,619 | 124 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction real estate: | 46,847 | 71,682 | - | 77,711 | - |
| Vision commercial land and development | 33,685 | 27,998 | 136 | 27,616 | 214 |
| Remaining commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate: | 48,594 | 55,096 | 14 | 57,269 | 153 |
| Commercial | 23 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 1 |
| Consumer | $\$ 200,400$ | $\$ 226,828$ | $\$ 246$ | $\$ 236,430$ | $\$ 598$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
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The following tables present the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31,2010 by class of loans.

|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accruing loans past due 30-89 days | Past due nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days or more and accruing* | Total past due |  | Total current |  | Total recorded investment |  |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 6,007 | \$ 21,096 | \$ | 27,103 | \$ | 731,316 | \$ | 758,419 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,106 | 36,369 |  | 39,475 |  | 1,222,592 |  | 1,262,067 |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development | 309 | 41,860 |  | 42,169 |  | 69,120 |  | 111,289 |
| Remaining commercial | 99 | 13,757 |  | 13,856 |  | 168,019 |  | 181,875 |
| Mortgage | 402 | - |  | 402 |  | 21,034 |  | 21,436 |
| Installment | 393 | 386 |  | 779 |  | 15,784 |  | 16,563 |
| Residential real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 3,014 | 18,461 |  | 21,475 |  | 434,742 |  | 456,217 |
| Mortgage | 17,041 | 22,893 |  | 39,934 |  | 912,619 |  | 952,553 |
| HELOC | 770 | 664 |  | 1,434 |  | 254,393 |  | 255,827 |
| Installment | 1,120 | 781 |  | 1,901 |  | 51,380 |  | 53,281 |
| Consumer | 9,313 | 1,994 |  | 11,307 |  | 642,881 |  | 654,188 |
| Leases | - | - |  | - |  | 2,312 |  | 2,312 |
| Total loans | \$ 41,574 | \$ 158,261 | \$ | 199,835 | \$ | 4,526,192 | \$ | 4,726,027 |

* Includes $\$ 3.2$ million of loans past due 90 days or more and accruing.

December 31, 2010

|  |  | Past due nonaccrual loans and loans past du |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accruing loans past due 30-89 days | 90 days or more and accruing* | Total past due | Total current | Total recorded investment |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$2,247 | \$ 15,622 | \$ 17,869 | \$ 722,919 | \$740,788 |
| Commercial real estate | 9,521 | 53,269 | 62,790 | 1,168,630 | 1,231,420 |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development | 2,406 | 65,130 | 67,536 | 104,080 | 171,616 |
| Remaining commercial | 141 | 19,687 | 19,828 | 176,487 | 196,315 |
| Mortgage | 479 | 148 | 627 | 25,794 | 26,421 |
| Installment | 235 | 399 | 634 | 12,547 | 13,181 |
| Residential real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 3,281 | 26,845 | 30,126 | 436,180 | 466,306 |
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| Mortgage | 17,460 | 24,422 | 41,882 | 867,555 | 909,437 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HELOC | 1,396 | 667 | 2,063 | 259,414 | 261,477 |
| Installment | 1,018 | 892 | 1,910 | 58,540 | 60,450 |
| Consumer | 11,204 | 2,465 | 13,669 | 656,447 | 670,116 |
| Leases | 5 | - | 5 | 2,658 | 2,663 |
| Total loans | $\$ 49,393$ | $\$ 209,546$ | $\$ 258,939$ | $\$ 4,491,251$ | $\$ 4,750,190$ |
| * Includes $\$ 3.6$ million of loans past due 90 days or more and accruing. |  |  |  |  |  |
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Management's policy is to initially place all renegotiated loans (troubled debt restructurings) on nonaccrual status. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were $\$ 72.6$ million and $\$ 80.7$ million, respectively, of troubled debt restructurings included in nonaccrual loan totals. Many of these troubled debt restructurings are performing under the renegotiated terms. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, $\$ 47.9$ million and $\$ 50.3$ million of the total troubled debt restructurings were included within current loans above. Management will continue to review the renegotiated loans and may determine it appropriate to move certain of the loans back to accrual status in the future. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Park had commitments to lend $\$ 502,000$ and $\$ 434,000$, respectively, of additional funds to borrowers whose terms had been modified in a troubled debt restructuring.

Management utilizes past due information as a credit quality indicator across the loan portfolio. The past due information is the primary credit quality indicator within the following classes of loans: (1) mortgage loans and installment loans in the construction real estate segment; (2) mortgage loans, HELOC and installment loans in the residential real estate segment; and (3) throughout the consumer loan segment. The primary credit indicator for commercial loans is based on an internal grading system that grades all commercial loans from 1 to 8 . Credit grades are continuously monitored by the respective loan officer and adjustments are made when appropriate. A grade of 1 indicates little or no credit risk and a grade of 8 is considered a loss. Commercial loans with grades of 1 to 4 (pass-rated) are considered to be of acceptable credit risk. Commercial loans graded a 5 (special mention) are considered to be watch list credits and a higher loan loss reserve percentage is allocated to these loans. Loans classified as special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loans or of the institution's credit position at some future date. Commercial loans graded 6 (substandard), also considered watch list credits, are considered to represent higher credit risk and, as a result, a higher loan loss reserve percentage is allocated to these loans. Loans classified as substandard loans are inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Commercial loans that are graded a 7 (doubtful) are shown as nonperforming and Park generally charges these loans down to their fair value by taking a partial charge-off or recording a specific reserve. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Any commercial loan graded an 8 (loss) is completely charged-off. The tables below present the recorded investment by loan grade at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for all commercial loans.

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 Rated | 6 Rated | Nonaccrual | Pass Rated | Recorded <br> Investment |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 18,067 | \$ 12,540 | \$24,008 | \$703,804 | \$758,419 |
| Commercial real estate | 57,223 | 26,431 | 47,243 | 1,131,170 | 1,262,067 |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development | 9,943 | 4,803 | 47,761 | 48,782 | 111,289 |
| Remaining commercial | 8,495 | 22,340 | 33,685 | 117,355 | 181,875 |
| Residential real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 22,779 | 17,398 | 48,594 | 367,446 | 456,217 |
| Leases | - | - | - | 2,312 | 2,312 |
| Total Commercial Loans | \$ 116,507 | \$83,512 | \$201,291 | \$2,370,869 | \$2,772,179 |

December 31, 2010

| (in thousands) | 5 Rated | 6 Rated | Nonaccrual | Pass Rated | Recorded Investment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$26,322 | \$ 11,447 | \$ 19,276 | \$683,743 | \$740,788 |
| Commercial real estate | 57,394 | 26,992 | 57,941 | 1,089,093 | 1,231,420 |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development | 10,220 | 7,941 | 87,424 | 66,031 | 171,616 |
| Remaining commercial | 14,021 | 39,062 | 27,080 | 116,152 | 196,315 |
| Residential real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 29,206 | 18,117 | 60,227 | 358,756 | 466,306 |
| Leases | - | - | - | 2,663 | 2,663 |
| Total Commercial Loans | \$ 137,163 | \$ 103,559 | \$251,948 | \$2,316,438 | \$2,809,108 |

Note 5 - Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is that amount management believes is adequate to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio based on management's evaluation of various factors including overall growth in the loan portfolio, an analysis of individual loans, prior and current loss experience, and current economic conditions. A provision for loan losses is charged to operations based on management's periodic evaluation of these and other pertinent factors as discussed within Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of Part II of Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A.

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 is summarized below.

Three months ended June 30, 2011 (Restated)

aricultural real estate real estate real estate Consumer Leases Total (In thousands)

Allowance for credit losses:

| Beginning balance | $\$ 11,791$ | $\$ 25,220$ | $\$ 71,835$ | $\$ 32,415$ | $\$ 7,265$ | $\$$ | 4 | $\$ 148,530$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs | 5,330 | 6,565 | 23,679 | 4,789 | 1,942 | - | 42,305 |  |
| Recoveries | 327 | 22 | 117 | 390 | 577 | - | 1,433 |  |
| Net Charge-offs | 5,003 | 6,543 | 23,562 | 4,399 | 1,365 | - | 40,872 |  |
| Provision | 9,921 | 4,630 | $(8,160$ | $)$ | 4,281 | 1,844 | - | 12,516 |
| Ending balance | $\$ 16,709$ | $\$ 23,307$ | $\$ 40,113$ | $\$ 32,297$ | $\$ 7,744$ | $\$$ | 4 | $\$ 120,174$ |

Six months ended June 30, 2011 (Restated)

| Commercial, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| financial and remmercial | Construction | Residential |
| agricultural |  |  |

(In thousands)
Allowance for credit losses:

| Beginning balance | $\$ 11,555$ | $\$ 24,369$ | $\$ 70,462$ | $\$ 30,259$ | $\$ 6,925$ | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 143,575$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs | 7,171 | 8,350 | 27,099 | 7,276 | 3,915 | - | 53,811 |  |
| Recoveries | 896 | 824 | 213 | 891 | 967 | 3 | 3,794 |  |
| Net Charge-offs | 6,275 | 7,526 | 26,886 | 6,385 | 2,948 | $(3$ | $)$ | 50,017 |
| Provision | 11,429 | 6,464 | $(3,463$ | $)$ | 8,423 | 3,767 | $(4$ | 26,616 |
| Ending balance | $\$ 16,709$ | $\$ 23,307$ | $\$ 40,113$ | $\$ 32,297$ | $\$ 7,744$ | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 120,174$ |  |

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 is summarized as follows:

| (In thousands) | Three months ended June 30, 2010 |  | Six months ended June 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for credit losses |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance: | \$ | 119,674 | \$ | 116,717 |
| Charge-offs |  | 13,273 |  | 28,851 |
| Recoveries |  | 1,025 |  | 3,010 |
| Net Charge-offs |  | 12,248 |  | 25,841 |
| Provision |  | 13,250 |  | 29,800 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 120,676 | \$ | 120,676 |
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The composition of the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was as follows:
June 30, 2011 (Restated)
Commercial,
financial and

agricultural real estate \begin{tabular}{lllll}

\& \begin{tabular}{l}
Construction <br>
real estate

 \& 

Residential <br>
real estate
\end{tabular} \& Consumer \& Leases

\end{tabular} Total

(In thousands)
Allowance for loan
losses:
Ending allowance
balance attributed to loans:
Individually

| evaluated for <br> impairment | 7,367 | $\$ 10,050$ | $\$ 20,205$ | $\$ 5,152$ | $\$ 23$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 42,797$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Collectively <br> evaluated for <br> impairment | 9,342 | 13,257 | 19,908 | 27,145 | 7,721 | 4 | 77,377 |
| Total ending <br> allowance balance | $\$ 16,709$ | $\$ 23,307$ | $\$ 40,113$ | $\$ 32,297$ | $\$ 7,744$ | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 120,174$ |

Loan Balance:
Loans individually

| evaluated for | $\$ 24,008$ | $\$ 47,243$ | $\$ 80,532$ | $\$ 48,594$ | $\$ 23$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

impairment
Loans collectively $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { evaluated for } & 731,785 & 1,210,049 & 249,800 & 1,664,974 & 651,227 & 2,278 & 4,510,113\end{array}$
impairment
Total ending loan
balance
\$755,793 $\quad \$ 1,257,292 \quad \$ 330,332 \quad \$ 1,713,568 \quad \$ 651,250 \quad \$ 2,278 \quad \$ 4,710,513$

Allowance for loan
losses as a
percentage of loan balance:
Loans individually $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { evaluated for } & 30.69 & \% & 21.27 & \% & 25.09 & \% & 10.60 & \% & - & & - & 21.36\end{array}$ impairment Loans collectively $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { evaluated for } & 1.28 & \% & 1.10 & \% & 7.97 & \% & 1.63 & \% & 1.19 & \% & 0.18 & \% & 1.72\end{array}$ impairment Total ending loan balance

$$
2.21 \quad \% \quad 1.85 \quad \% \quad 12.14 \quad \% \quad 1.88 \quad \% \quad 1.19 \quad \% \quad 0.18 \text { \% } 2.55
$$

Recorded
Investment:
Loans individually $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { evaluated for } & \$ 24,008 & \$ 47,243 & \$ 80,532 & \$ 48,594 & \$ 23 & \$-\end{array}$ impairment

| Loans collectively <br> evaluated for | 734,411 | $1,214,824$ | 250,631 | $1,669,284$ | 654,165 | 2,312 | $4,525,627$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| impairment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ending loan <br> balance | $\$ 758,419$ | $\$ 1,262,067$ | $\$ 331,163$ | $\$ 1,717,878$ | $\$ 654,188$ | $\$ 2,312$ | $\$ 4,726,027$ |
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## December 31, 2010

|  | Commercial, In thousands) | Commercial <br> financial and <br> agricultural | Construction | Residential <br> real estate | real estate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Consumer | Leases |
| :--- | :--- | Total

Allowance for loan losses:
Ending allowance
balance attributed to loans
Individually
evaluated for $\quad \$ 3,028 \quad \$ 12,652 \quad \$ 45,312 \quad \$ 5,912 \quad \$-\quad \$-\quad \$ 66,904$
impairment
Collectively

| evaluated for <br> impairment | 8,527 | 11,717 | 25,150 | 24,347 | 6,925 | 5 | 76,671 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { allowance balance } & \$ 11,555 & \$ 24,369 & \$ 70,462 & \$ 30,259 & \$ 6,925 & \$ 5 & \$ 143,575\end{array}$

Loan Balance:
Loans individually $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { evaluated for } & \$ 19,205 & \$ 57,930 & \$ 113,571 & \$ 60,227 & \$- & \$-\end{array}$ impairment Loans collectively evaluated for impairment Total ending loan balance
718,697
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\$ 737,902 & \$ 1,226,616 & \$ 406,480 & \$ 1,692,209 & \$ 666,871 & \$ 2,607 & \$ 4,732,685\end{array}$

Allowance for loan
losses as a
percentage of loan
balance:
Loans individually $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { evaluated for } & 15.77 & \% & 21.84 & \% & 39.90 & \% & 9.82 & \% & - & & - & 26.66\end{array}$ impairment Loans collectively $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { evaluated for } & 1.19 & \% & 1.00 & \% & 8.59 & \% & 1.49 & \% & 1.04 & \% & 0.19 & \% & 1.71 & \%\end{array}$
impairment
Total ending loan balance

Recorded
Investment:
Loans individually $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { evaluated for } & \$ 19,205 & \$ 57,930 & \$ 113,571 & \$ 60,227 & \$- & \$-\end{array}$
impairment
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Loans collectively } & 721,583 & 1,173,490 & 293,962 & 1,637,443 & 670,116 & 2,663 & 4,499,257\end{array}$ evaluated for

impairment
Total ending loan
balance
$\$ 740,788 \quad \$ 1,231,420 \quad \$ 407,533$
\$1,697,670
\$670,116
\$2,663
\$4,750,190

Loans collectively evaluated for impairment above include all performing loans at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, as well as nonperforming loans internally classified as consumer loans. Nonperforming consumer loans are not typically individually evaluated for impairment, but receive a portion of the statistical allocation of the allowance for loan losses. Loans individually evaluated for impairment include all impaired loans internally classified as commercial loans at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, which are evaluated for impairment in accordance with GAAP (see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of Part II of Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A).

Note 6 - Earnings Per Common Share
The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

| (in thousands, except share and per share data) | Three months ended June 30, |  | Six months ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  | Restated |  | Restated |  |
| Numerator: |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 27,489 | \$ 19,715 | \$ 48,221 | \$ 39,042 |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share (weighted average common shares outstanding) | 15,398,919 | 15,114,846 | 15,398,925 | 14,998,810 |
| Effect of dilutive options and warrants | 674 | - | 2,581 | - |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share (weighted average common shares outstanding adjusted for the effect of dilutive options and warrants) | 15,399,593 | 15,114,846 | 15,401,506 | 14,998,810 |
| Earnings per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 1.79 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 3.13 | \$ 2.60 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 1.79 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 3.13 | \$ 2.60 |

As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, options to purchase 75,545 and 82,700 common shares, respectively, were outstanding under the Park National Corporation 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "2005 Plan"). A warrant to purchase 227,376 common shares was outstanding at both June 30, 2011 and 2010 as a result of Park's participation in the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program (the "CPP"). Additionally, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 35,992 common shares (the "December 2010 Warrants") were outstanding at June 30, 2011 as a result of the issuance of common stock and warrants on December 10, 2010. Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 175,900 common shares (the "October 2009 Warrants") were outstanding at June 30, 2010 as a result of the issuance of common stock and warrants on October 30, 2009. All October 2009 Warrants were exercised or expired as of October 30, 2010 and thus had no impact on the periods ended June 30, 2011.

The common shares represented by the options and the December 2010 Warrants for the three and six months ended June 30,2011 , totaling a weighted average of 139,492 and 144,514 , respectively, and the common shares represented by the options, the CPP warrant and the October 2009 warrants for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, totaling a weighted average of 718,482 and 849,526 , respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because the respective exercise prices exceeded the market value of the underlying common shares such that their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect. The warrant to purchase 227,376 common shares issued under the CPP was not included in the three month and six month weighted average of 139,492 and 144,514 at June 30 , 2011, as the dilutive effect of this warrant was 674 and 2,581 shares of common stock for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. The exercise price of the CPP warrant to purchase 227,376 common shares is $\$ 65.97$.

## Note 7 - Segment Information

The Corporation is a multi-bank holding company headquartered in Newark, Ohio. The operating segments for the Corporation are its two chartered bank subsidiaries, The Park National Bank (headquartered in Newark, Ohio) ("PNB") and Vision Bank (headquartered in Panama City, Florida) ("VB"). Management is required to disclose information about the different types of business activities in which a company engages and also information on the different economic environments in which a company operates, so that the users of the financial statements can better understand a company's performance, better understand the potential for future cash flows, and make more informed judgments about the company as a whole. Park has two operating segments, as: (i) there are two separate and distinct geographic markets in which Park operates, (ii) discrete financial information is available for each operating segment and (iii) the segments are aligned with internal reporting to Park's Chief Executive Officer, who is the chief operating decision maker.

Operating Results for the three months ended June 30, 2011

| (in thousands) | PNB | VB <br> Restated | All Other | Total <br> Restated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Net interest income | $\$ 60,542$ | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 2,480$ | $\$ 70,022$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,975 | 7,016 | 525 | 12,516 |
| Other income (loss) and security gains | 30,403 | 1,656 | $(1,559$ | 30,500 |
| Other expense | 36,315 | 8,174 | 2,518 | 47,007 |
| Net income (loss) | 34,250 | $(4,219$ | $(1,078$ | $)$ |

Balance at June 30, 2011
Assets
\$6,565,419 $\quad \$ 743,980 \quad \$ 12,625 \quad \$ 7,322,024$

Operating Results for the three months ended June 30, 2010

| (in thousands) | PNB |  | VB | All Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income | $\$ 59,612$ | $\$ 6,914$ | $\$ 2,195$ | $\$$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,800 | 8,900 | 550 | 13,250 |
| Other income (loss) and |  |  |  |  |
| security gains | 20,840 | $(756$ | ) | 78 |
| Other expense | 35,752 | 8,237 | 3,012 | 20,162 |
| Net income (loss) | 27,850 | $(6,756$ | ) | 72 |

Balance at June 30, 2010
Assets \$ 6,215,606 \$ 863,315 \$ 14,177 \$ 7,093,098

Operating Results for the six months ended June 30, 2011

| (in thousands) | PNB | VB <br> Restated | All Other | Total <br> Restated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Net interest income | $\$ 120,779$ | $\$ 13,755$ | $\$ 4,801$ | $\$ 139,335$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 9,950 | 15,616 | 1,050 | 26,616 |
| Other income (loss) and security gains | 53,300 | 339 | $(1,474$ | 52,165 |
| Other expense | 72,636 | 15,599 | 5,118 | 93,353 |
| Net income (loss) | 63,279 | $(11,065)$ | $(1,065)$ | 51,149 |

Operating Results for the six months ended June 30, 2010

| (in thousands) | PNB | VB | All Other | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net interest income | $\$ 118,011$ | $\$$ | 13,805 | $\$ 4,285$ | $\$$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 8,550 | 20,200 | 1,050 | 29,101 |  |
| Other income (loss) and |  |  |  |  |  |
| security gains | 45,618 | $(605 \quad)$ | 163 | 45,176 |  |
| Other expense | 72,554 | 16,091 | 6,246 | 94,891 |  |
| Net income (loss) | 56,185 | $(14,212)$ | $(28)$ | 41,945 |  |

The operating results of the Parent Company and Guardian Financial Services Company (GFC) in the "All Other" column are used to reconcile the segment totals to the consolidated condensed statements of income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The reconciling amounts for consolidated total assets for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, consist of the elimination of intersegment borrowings and the assets of the Parent Company and GFC which are not eliminated.

Note 8 - Stock Option Plan
Park did not grant any stock options during the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. Additionally, no stock options vested during the first six months of 2011 or 2010.

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the first six months of 2011.

|  | Weighted <br> Average Exercise |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stock Options | Price Per Share |


| Exercised | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Forfeited/Expired | 2,530 | 74.96 |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2011 | 75,545 | $\$$ |

All of the stock options outstanding at June 30, 2011 were exercisable. The aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding stock options at June 30, 2011 was $\$ 0$. In addition, no stock options were exercised during the first six months of 2011 or 2010. The weighted average contractual remaining term was 1.44 years for the stock options outstanding at June 30, 2011.
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All of the common shares delivered upon exercise of incentive stock options granted under the Park National Corporation 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "2005 Plan") are to be treasury shares. At June 30, 2011, incentive stock options granted under the 2005 Plan covering 75,545 common shares were outstanding. At June 30, 2011, Park held 488,761 treasury shares that are available for the 2005 Plan.

## Note 9 - Mortgage Loans Held For Sale

Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at their fair value. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 respectively, Park had approximately $\$ 7.5$ million and $\$ 8.3$ million in mortgage loans held for sale. These amounts are included in loans on the consolidated condensed balance sheets and in the residential real estate segments in Notes 4 and 5.

Note 10 - Investment Securities

The amortized cost and fair values of investment securities are shown in the following table. Management performs a quarterly evaluation of investment securities for any other-than-temporary impairment. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, there were no investment securities deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

Investment securities at June 30, 2011, were as follows:


Management does not believe any of the unrealized losses at June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010, represents an other-than-temporary impairment. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other-than-temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized within net income in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2011, were as follows:

| (in thousands) | Less than 12 months |  |  |  | 12 months or longer |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 <br> Securities Available-for-Sale |  | Fair value | Unrealized losses |  | Fair value |  | Unrealized losses |  | Fair value |  | Unrealized losses |  |
| Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government sponsored entities | \$ | 74,936 | \$ | 1,399 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 74,936 | \$ | 1,399 |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities |  | 407,954 |  | 3,427 |  | - |  | - |  | 407,954 |  | 3,427 |
| Other equity securities |  | 81 |  | 30 |  | 215 |  | 13 |  | 296 |  | 43 |
| Total | \$ | 482,971 | \$ | 4,856 | \$ | 215 | \$ | 13 | \$ | 483,186 | \$ | 4,869 |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities | \$ | 312,382 |  | 5,177 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 312,382 |  | 5,177 |

Investment securities at December 31, 2010, were as follows:
(in thousands)

| December 31, 2010 <br> Securities Available-for-Sale | Amortized cost |  | Gross unrealized holding gains | Gross unrealized holding losses | Estimated fair value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government sponsored entities | \$ | 272,301 | \$ 2,968 | \$ 1,956 | \$273,313 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | 10,815 | 281 | 52 | 11,044 |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities |  | 990,204 | 30,633 | 9,425 | 1,011,412 |
| Other equity securities |  | 938 | 858 | 43 | 1,753 |
| Total | \$ | 1,274,258 | \$ 34,740 | \$ 11,476 | \$1,297,522 |
| December 31, 2010 <br> Securities Held-to-Maturity |  | Amortized cost | Gross unrealized holding gains | Gross unrealized holding losses | Estimated fair value |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ | 3,167 | \$ 7 | \$ - | \$3,174 |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities |  | 670,403 | 17,157 | 4,620 | 682,940 |
| Total | \$ | 673,570 | \$ 17,164 | \$ 4,620 | \$686,114 |

Securities with unrealized losses at December 31, 2010, were as follows:
(in thousands)
December 31, 2010
Securities Available-for-Sale Fair value Far aralued Fair value losed
Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government sponsored $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { entities } & \$ 74,379 \quad \$ 1,956 & \$- & \$- & \$ 4,379 & \$ 1,956\end{array}$

| Obligations of states and <br> political subdivisions | 1,459 | 52 |  |  | 1,459 | 52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U.S. Government sponsored |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| entities asset-backed securities | 418,156 | 9,425 | - | - | 418,156 | 9,425 |
| Other equity securities | 74 | 29 | 221 | 14 | 295 | 43 |
| Total | $\$ 494,068$ | $\$ 11,462$ | $\$ 221$ | $\$ 14$ | $\$ 494,289$ | $\$ 11,476$ |

December 31, 2010
Securities Held-to-Maturity
U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities \$ 297,584 \$ 4,620 \$ - \$ - \$ 297,584 \$ 4,620 - 23 -

Park's U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities consist primarily of 15-year residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments in debt securities at June 30, 2011, are shown in the following table by contractual maturity or the expected call date, except for asset-backed securities, which are shown as a single total, due to the unpredictability of the timing in principal repayments.

| (in thousands) | Amortizedcost |  | Fair value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities Available-for-Sale |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury and sponsored entities notes: |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | \$ | 211,965 | \$ | 213,429 |
| Due one through five years |  | - |  | - |
| Due five through ten years |  | 96,335 |  | 95,226 |
| Total | \$ | 308,300 | \$ | 308,655 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions: |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | \$ | 3,695 | \$ | 3,712 |
| Due one through five years |  | 1,301 |  | 1,397 |
| Total | \$ | 4,996 | \$ | 5,109 |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 792,977 | \$ | 801,924 |
| (in thousands) |  | mortized cost |  | Fair value |
| Securities Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions: |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | \$ | 2,077 | \$ | 2,082 |
| Total | \$ | 2,077 | \$ | 2,082 |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 773,234 | \$ | 784,140 |

Approximately $\$ 278$ million of Park's securities shown in the above table as U.S. Treasury and sponsored entities notes are callable notes. These callable securities have a final maturity in 7 to 11 years, but are shown in the table at their expected call date. The remaining $\$ 30$ million of securities in this category are U.S. sponsored entities discount notes that mature within two months.

Note 11 - Other Investment Securities
Other investment securities consist of stock investments in the Federal Home Loan Bank and the Federal Reserve Bank. These restricted stock investments are carried at their redemption value.

|  | June 30, | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | $\$$ | 61,282 | $\$$ |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock |  | 6,876 |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 68,158 | $\$$ |

Note 12 - Pension Plan

Park has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all of its employees. The plan provides benefits based on an employee's years of service and compensation.

Park's funding policy is to contribute annually an amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes using a different actuarial cost method and different assumptions from those used for financial reporting purposes. Pension plan contributions were $\$ 14$ million and zero for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following table shows the components of net periodic benefit expense:

| (in thousands) | Three months ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  | Six months ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  | 2010 |
| Service cost | \$ | 1,139 |  | \$ | 918 |  | \$ | 2,278 |  | \$ | 1,836 |
| Interest cost |  | 992 |  |  | 896 |  |  | 1,984 |  |  | 1,792 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | (1,886 | ) |  | (1,457 | ) |  | (3,772 | ) |  | (2,914 |
| Amortization of prior service cost |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 10 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss |  | 352 |  |  | 270 |  |  | 705 |  |  | 540 |
| Benefit expense | \$ | 602 |  | \$ | 632 |  | \$ | 1,205 |  | \$ | 1,264 |

## Note 13 - Derivative Instruments

FASB ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. As required by GAAP, the Company records all derivatives on the consolidated condensed balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings, with any ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative recognized directly in earnings. The Company assesses the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction.

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company executed an interest rate swap to hedge a $\$ 25$ million floating-rate subordinated note that was entered into by Park during the fourth quarter of 2007. The Company's objective in using this derivative is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate risk. Our interest rate swap involves the receipt of variable-rate amounts in exchange for fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreement
without exchange of the underlying principal amount, and has been designated as a cash flow hedge.
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At June 30, 2011, the interest rate swap's fair value of \$(1.3) million was included in other liabilities. No hedge ineffectiveness on the cash flow hedge was recognized during the quarter or six months ended June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011, the variable rate on the $\$ 25$ million subordinated note was $2.25 \%$ ( 3 -month LIBOR plus 200 basis points) and Park was paying $6.01 \%$ ( $4.01 \%$ fixed rate on the interest rate swap plus 200 basis points).

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the change in the fair value of the interest rate swap reported in other comprehensive income was a gain of $\$ 193,000$ (net of taxes of $\$ 104,000$ ). Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income related to the interest rate swap will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt.

As of June 30, 2011, no derivatives were designated as fair value hedges or hedges of net investments in foreign operations. Additionally, the Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

As of June 30, 2011, Park had mortgage loan interest rate lock commitments outstanding of approximately $\$ 18.0$ million. Park has specific forward contracts to sell each of these loans to a third party investor. These loan commitments represent derivative instruments, which are required to be carried at fair value. The derivative instruments used are not designated as hedges under GAAP. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of the derivative instruments was approximately $\$ 242,000$. The fair value of the derivative instruments is included within loans held for sale and the corresponding income is included within non-yield loan fee income. Gains and losses resulting from expected sales of mortgage loans are recognized when the respective loan contract is entered into between the borrower, Park, and the third party investor. The fair value of Park's mortgage interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs) is based on current secondary market pricing.

In connection with the sale of Park's Class B Visa shares during the 2009 year, Park entered into a swap agreement with the purchaser of the shares. The swap agreement adjusts for dilution in the conversion ratio of Class B Visa shares resulting from certain Visa litigation. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of the swap liability of $\$ 200,000$ is an estimate of the exposure based upon probability-weighted potential Visa litigation losses.

## Note 14 - Loan Servicing

Park serviced sold mortgage loans of $\$ 1.42$ billion at June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 1.53$ billion at June 30, 2010. At June 30, 2011, $\$ 32.2$ million of the sold mortgage loans were sold with recourse compared to $\$ 48.3$ million at June 30 , 2010. Management closely monitors the delinquency rates on the mortgage loans sold with recourse. At June 30, 2011, management determined that no liability was deemed necessary for these loans.

When Park sells mortgage loans with servicing rights retained, servicing rights are initially recorded at fair value. Park selected the "amortization method" as permissible within GAAP, whereby the servicing rights capitalized are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated future servicing income of the underlying loan. At the end of each reporting period, the carrying value of mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") is assessed for impairment with a comparison to fair value. MSRs are carried at the lower of their amortized cost or fair value.

Activity for MSRs and the related valuation allowance follows:

| (in thousands) | Three months ended June 30, 2011 |  |  | Six months ended June 30, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage servicing rights: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrying amount, net, beginning of period | \$ | 10,365 |  | \$ | 10,488 |  |
| Additions |  | 309 |  |  | 638 |  |
| Amortization |  | (415 | ) |  | (935 | ) |
| Changes in valuation inputs \& assumptions |  | - |  |  | 68 |  |
| Carrying amount, net, end of period | \$ | 10,259 |  | \$ | 10,259 |  |
| Valuation allowance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of period | \$ | 680 |  | \$ | 748 |  |
| Changes due to fair value adjustments |  | - |  |  | (68 | ) |
| End of period | \$ | 680 |  | \$ | 680 |  |

Servicing fees included in other service income were $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 2.8$ million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, servicing fees included in other service income were $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 2.7$ million, respectively.

Note 15 - Fair Value
The fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The three levels of inputs that Park uses to measure fair value are as follows:
§Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that Park has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
$\S$ Level 2: Level 1 inputs for assets or liabilities that are not actively traded. Also consists of an observable market price for a similar asset or liability. This includes the use of "matrix pricing" to value debt securities absent the exclusive use of quoted prices.
$\S$ Level 3: Consists of unobservable inputs that are used to measure fair value when observable market inputs are not available. This could include the use of internally developed models, financial forecasting and similar inputs.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability between market participants at the balance sheet date. When possible, the Company looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, the Company looks to observable market data for similar assets and liabilities. However, certain assets and liabilities are not traded in observable markets and Park must use other valuation methods to develop a fair value. The fair value of impaired loans is based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, which is estimated through third party appraisals or internal estimates of collateral values.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis:
The following table presents assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:
Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 using:

| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government sponsored entities | \$ | - | \$ | 308,655 | \$ | - | \$ | 308,655 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | - |  | 5,109 |  |  |  | 5,109 |
| U.S. Government sponsored |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity securities |  | 968 |  | - |  | 741 |  | 1,709 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale |  | - |  | 7,456 |  | - |  | 7,456 |
| Mortgage IRLCs |  | - |  | 242 |  | - |  | 242 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swap | \$ | - | \$ | 1,338 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,338 |
| Fair value swap |  | - |  | - |  | 200 |  | 200 |
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Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 using:

| (in thousands) | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Balance at December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government sponsored entities | \$ | - | \$ | 273,313 | \$ | - | \$ | 273,313 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | - |  | 8,446 |  | 2,598 |  | 11,044 |
| U.S. Government sponsored entities' asset-backed securities |  | - |  | 1,011,412 |  | - |  | 1,011,412 |
| Equity securities |  | 1,008 |  | - |  | 745 |  | 1,753 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale |  | - |  | 8,340 |  | - |  | 8,340 |
| Mortgage IRLCs |  | - |  | 166 |  | - |  | 166 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swap | \$ | - | \$ | 1,634 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,634 |
| Fair value swap |  | - |  | - |  | 60 |  | 60 |

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in determining fair value of the financial assets and liabilities discussed above:

Investment securities: Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. The Fair Value Measurements tables exclude Park's Federal Home Loan Bank stock and Federal Reserve Bank stock. These assets are carried at their respective redemption values, as it is not practicable to calculate their fair values. For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, which include municipal securities, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows.

Interest rate swap: The fair value of the interest rate swap represents the estimated amount Park would pay or receive to terminate the agreement, considering current interest rates and the current creditworthiness of the counterparty.

Fair value swap: The fair value of the swap agreement entered into with the purchaser of the Visa Class B shares represents an internally developed estimate of the exposure based upon probability-weighted potential Visa litigation losses.

Mortgage Interest Rate Lock Commitments (IRLCs): IRLCs are based on current secondary market pricing and are classified as Level 2.
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Mortgage loans held for sale: Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at their fair value. Mortgage loans held for sale are estimated using security prices for similar product types and, therefore, are classified in Level 2.

The table below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the Level 3 inputs for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, for financial instruments measured on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3:

Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

| (in thousands) | Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | Equity Securities |  | Fair value swap |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, at March 31, 2011 | \$ | 2,470 | \$ | 740 | \$ | (60 | ) |
| Total gains/(losses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings - realized |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Included in earnings - unrealized |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Included in other comprehensive income |  | - |  | 1 |  | - |  |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, other, net |  | (2,470 |  | - |  | (140 | ) |
| Balance June 30, 2011 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 741 | \$ | (200 | ) |
| Balance, at March 31, 2010 | \$ | 2,744 | \$ | - | \$ | (500 | ) |
| Total gains/(losses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings - realized |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Included in earnings - unrealized |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Included in other comprehensive income |  | 12 |  | - |  | - |  |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, other, net |  | - |  | - |  | 160 |  |
| Balance June 30, 2010 | \$ | 2,756 | \$ | - | \$ | (340 |  |

Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010
Obligations of states


Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements,

| other, net |  | - | - | 160 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balance June 30, 2010 | $\$$ | 2,756 | $\$$ | - | $\$(340 \quad)$ |
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Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:
The following table presents assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:
Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 (Restated) using:
Balance at

| (in thousands) | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | June 30, 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans: | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 12,533$ | $\$ 12,533$ |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | - | - | 22,516 | 22,516 |
| Commercial real estate | - | - |  |  |
| Construction real estate: | - | - | 31,124 | 31,124 |
| Vision commercial land and development | - | - | 10,224 | 10,224 |
| Remaining commercial | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 88,490$ | $\$ 88,497$ |
| Residential real estate | - | 2,526 | - | 12,526 |
| Total impaired loans | - | - | 47,734 | 47,734 |
| Mortgage servicing rights |  |  |  |  |
| Other real estate owned |  |  |  |  |

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using:
Balance at

| (in thousands) |  | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$- | \$- | \$8,276 | \$ | 8,276 |
| Commercial real estate |  |  | 32,229 |  | 32,229 |
| Construction real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vision commercial land and development |  |  | 42,274 |  | 42,274 |
| Remaining commercial |  |  | 10,465 |  | 10,465 |
| Residential real estate |  |  | 16,399 |  | 16,399 |
| Total impaired loans | \$- | \$- | \$ 109,643 | \$ | 109,643 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | - | 3,813 | - |  | 3,813 |
| Other real estate owned | - | - | 41,709 |  | 41,709 |

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the underlying collateral or the present value of expected future cash flows, had a book value of $\$ 200.4$ million at June 30, 2011, offset by partial charge-offs of $\$ 88.7$ million. In addition, these loans had a specific valuation allowance of $\$ 42.8$ million. Of the $\$ 200.4$ million impaired loan portfolio, loans with a book value of $\$ 131.3$ million were carried at their fair value of $\$ 88.5$ million, as a result of the aforementioned charge-offs and specific valuation allowance. The remaining $\$ 69.1$ million of impaired loans are carried at cost, as the fair value of the underlying collateral or present value of expected future cash flows on these loans exceeds the book value for each individual credit. At December 31, 2010, impaired loans had a book value of $\$ 250.9$ million. Of these, $\$ 109.6$ million were carried at fair value, as a result of partial charge-offs of $\$ 53.6$ million and a specific valuation allowance of $\$ 66.9$ million. The remaining $\$ 74.4$ million of impaired loans at December 31, 2010 were carried at cost.

MSRs, which are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, were recorded at $\$ 10.3$ million at June 30, 2011. Of the $\$ 10.3$ million MSR carrying balance at June 30, 2011, $\$ 2.5$ million was recorded at fair value and included a valuation allowance of $\$ 680,000$. The remaining $\$ 7.8$ million was recorded at cost, as the fair value exceeds cost at June 30 , 2011. MSRs do not trade in active, open markets with readily observable prices. For example, sales of MSRs do occur, but precise terms and conditions typically are not readily available. As such, management, with the assistance of a third party specialist, determined fair value based on the discounted value of the future cash flows estimated to be received. Significant inputs include the discount rate and assumed prepayment speeds utilized. The calculated fair value was then compared to market values where possible to ascertain the reasonableness of the valuation in relation to current market expectations for similar products. Accordingly, MSRs are classified Level 2. At December 31, 2010, MSRs were recorded at $\$ 10.5$ million, including a valuation allowance of $\$ 748,000$.

Other real estate owned (OREO) is recorded at fair value based on property appraisals, less estimated selling costs, at the date of transfer. The carrying value of OREO is not re-measured to fair value on a recurring basis, but is subject to fair value adjustments when the carrying value exceeds the fair value, less estimated selling costs. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the estimated fair value of OREO, less estimated selling costs amounted to $\$ 47.7$ million and $\$ 41.7$ million, respectively. The financial impact of OREO devaluation adjustments for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 was $\$ 3.4$ million and $\$ 5.9$ million, respectively.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in estimating its fair value disclosures for assets and liabilities not discussed above:

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated condensed balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets' fair values.

Interest bearing deposits with other banks: The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated condensed balance sheet for interest bearing deposits with other banks approximate those assets' fair values.

Loans receivable: For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair values for certain mortgage loans (e.g., one-to-four family residential) are based on quoted market prices of similar loans sold in conjunction with securitization transactions, adjusted for differences in loan characteristics. The fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality.

Off-balance sheet instruments: Fair values for the Corporation's loan commitments and standby letters of credit are based on the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The carrying amount and fair value are not material.

Deposit liabilities: The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, savings, and money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts for variable-rate, fixed-term certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities of time deposits.
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Short-term borrowings: The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased, borrowings under repurchase agreements and other short-term borrowings approximate their fair values.

Long-term debt: Fair values for long-term debt are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on long-term debt to a schedule of monthly maturities.

Subordinated debentures and notes: Fair values for subordinated debentures and notes are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rate spreads currently being offered on similar debt structures to a schedule of monthly maturities.

The fair value of financial instruments at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, was as follows:
(in thousands) June 30, 2011 December 31, 2010

| Financial assets: | Carrying value | Fair value | Carrying value | Fair value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and money market instruments | \$217,116 | \$217,116 | \$133,780 | \$133,780 |
| Investment securities | 1,892,708 | 1,903,619 | 1,971,092 | 1,983,636 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 22,624 | 22,624 | 24,137 | 24,137 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 7,456 | 7,456 | 8,340 | 8,340 |
| Impaired loans carried at fair value (Restated) | 88,497 | 88,497 | 109,643 | 109,643 |
| Other loans (Restated) | 4,494,386 | 4,506,366 | 4,471,127 | 4,490,855 |
| Loans receivable, net (Restated) | \$4,590,339 | \$4,602,319 | \$4,589,110 | \$4,608,838 |

Financial liabilities:

| Noninterest bearing checking accounts | $\$ 984,160$ | $\$ 984,160$ | $\$ 937,719$ | $\$ 937,719$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest bearing transactions accounts | $1,485,383$ | $1,485,383$ | $1,283,159$ | $1,283,159$ |
| Savings accounts | 950,777 | 950,777 | 899,288 | 899,288 |
| Time deposits | $1,832,992$ | $1,843,327$ | $1,973,903$ | $1,990,163$ |
| Other | 4,205 | 4,205 | 1,351 | 1,351 |
| Total deposits | $\$ 5,257,517$ | $\$ 5,267,852$ | $\$ 5,095,420$ | $\$ 5,111,680$ |
|  | $\$ 234,112$ | $\$ 234,112$ | $\$ 663,669$ | $\$ 663,669$ |
| Short-term borrowings | 821,202 | 889,068 | 636,733 | 699,080 |
| Long-term debt | 75,250 | 66,681 | 75,250 | 63,099 |
| Subordinated debentures/notes | 5,732 | 5,732 | 6,123 | 6,123 |
| Accrued interest payable |  |  |  |  |

Derivative financial instruments:

| Interest rate swap | $\$ 1,338$ | $\$ 1,338$ | $\$ 1,634$ | $\$ 1,634$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fair value swap | 200 | 200 | 60 | 60 |

Note 16 -Participation in the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program (CPP)
On December 23, 2008, Park issued $\$ 100$ million of cumulative perpetual preferred shares, with a liquidation preference of $\$ 1,000$ per share (the "Senior Preferred Shares"). The Senior Preferred Shares constitute Tier 1 capital and rank senior to Park's common shares. The Senior Preferred Shares pay cumulative dividends at a rate of 5\% per annum through February 14, 2014 and will reset to a rate of $9 \%$ per annum thereafter. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, Park recognized a charge to retained earnings of $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million, respectively, representing the preferred stock dividend and accretion of the discount on the preferred stock, associated with Park's
participation in the CPP.
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As part of its participation in the CPP, Park also issued a warrant to the U.S. Treasury to purchase 227,376 common shares, which is equal to $15 \%$ of the aggregate amount of the Senior Preferred Shares purchased by the U.S. Treasury, having an exercise price of $\$ 65.97$. The initial exercise price for the warrant and the market price for determining the number of common shares subject to the warrant were determined by reference to the market price of the common shares on the date the Company's application for participation in the CPP was approved by the U.S. Department of the Treasury (calculated on a 20-day trailing average). The warrant has a term of 10 years.

A company that participates in the CPP must adopt certain standards for compensation and corporate governance, established under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "ARRA"), which amended and replaced the executive compensation provisions of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA") in their entirety, and the Interim Final Rule promulgated by the Secretary of the U.S. Treasury under 31 C.F.R. Part 30 (collectively, the "Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) Compensation Standards"). In addition, Park's ability to declare or pay dividends on or repurchase its common shares is partially restricted as a result of its participation in the CPP.

Note 17 - Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Other comprehensive income (loss) components and related taxes are shown in the following table for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| Six months ended June 30, | Before-tax <br> amount | Tax expense <br> (benefit) | Net-of-tax <br> amount |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | \$ | 8,919 | \$ | 3,121 |  | 5,798 |  |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income |  | (21,997 | ) | (7,699 | ) | (14,298 |  |
| Unrealized net holding gain on cash flow hedge |  | 297 |  | 104 |  | 193 |  |
| Other comprehensive loss | \$ | (12,781 |  | (4,474 |  | (8,307 |  |


$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { 2011: } & & & & & & \\ \text { Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities } & & 12,085 & \$ & 4,229 & \$ & 7,856 \\ \hline \text { Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income } & & (15,362 & ) & (5,377 & ) & (9,985 & ) \\ \hline \text { Unrealized net holding gain on cash flow hedge } & & 93 & & 33 & & 60 \\ \quad \text { Other comprehensive loss } & \$ & (3,184 & ) & \$ & (1,115 & ) & \$ & (2,069\end{array}\right)$

The ending balance of each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) was as follows:

(in thousands) \begin{tabular}{ccc}
Before-tax <br>
amount

 

Tax expense <br>
(benefit)

 

Net-of-tax <br>
amount
\end{tabular}

| June 30, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Changes in pension plan assets and benefit obligations | $\$$ | $(24,503$ | $) \$$ | $(8,576$ | $) \$$ | $(15,927)$ |
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities |  | 10,186 |  | 3,565 |  | 6,621 |
| Unrealized net holding loss on cash flow hedge |  | $(1,337$ | $)$ | $(468$ | $)$ | $(869)$ |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | $\$$ | $(15,654$ | $) \$$ | $(5,479$ | $) \$$ | $(10,175)$ |

December 31, 2010:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcllllll}\text { Changes in pension plan assets and benefit obligations } & \$ & (24,503 & ) \$ & (8,576 & ) \$ & (15,927) \\ \text { Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities } & & 23,264 & & 8,143 & & 15,121\end{array}\right)$
$\left.\begin{array}{lcllllll}\text { June 30, 2010: } & & & & & & \\ \text { Changes in pension plan assets and benefit obligations } & \$ & (20,769 & ) & \$ & (7,269 & ) & (13,500 \\ \text { Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities } & & 47,006 & & 16,452 & & 30,554 \\ \text { Unrealized net holding loss on cash flow hedge } & & (1,807 & ) & (632 & ) & (1,175\end{array}\right)$

Note 18 - Sale of Common Shares and Issuance of Common Stock Warrants
No additional shares of common stock were issued during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. Outstanding as of June 30, 2011 were 35,992 Series B Common Share Warrants which were issued as part of the registered direct public offering completed on December 10, 2010. The Series B Common Share Warrants have an exercise price of $\$ 76.41$ and an expiration date of December 10, 2011. The 35,992 Series A Common Share Warrants issued in December 2010 were not exercised and expired on June 10, 2011.

## 19 - Sale of Vision Bank

On February 16, 2012, Park and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Vision Bank, a Florida state-chartered bank, completed its sale of substantially all of the operating assets and liabilities associated with Vision Bank to Home BancShares, Inc. ("Home") and its wholly-owned Arkansas state-chartered bank, Centennial Bank ("Centennial"), as contemplated by the previously announced Purchase and Assumption Agreement (the "Agreement") by and between Park, Vision, Home and Centennial, dated as of November 16, 2011.

In accordance with the Agreement, Vision sold approximately $\$ 354$ million in performing loans, approximately $\$ 520$ million of deposits, fixed assets of approximately $\$ 12.5$ million and other miscellaneous assets and liabilities for a purchase price of $\$ 27.9$ million.

Immediately following the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement, Vision surrendered its Florida banking charter to the Florida Office of Financial Regulation (the "OFR") and became a non-bank Florida corporation (the "Florida Corporation"). This Florida Corporation merged with and into a wholly-owned, non-bank subsidiary of Park, SE Property Holdings, LLC ("SE LLC"), with SE LLC being the surviving entity. Subsequent to the transactions contemplated by the Purchase Agreement, Vision will be left with approximately $\$ 22$ million of performing loans and non-performing loans with a fair value of $\$ 88$ million (both net of any necessary loan loss allowance that may have existed prior to the transactions). Park recognized a pre-tax gain, net of expenses directly related to the sale, of approximately $\$ 22$ million.

## ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that are provided to assist in the understanding of anticipated future financial performance. Forward-looking statements provide current expectations or forecasts of future events and are not guarantees of future performance. We have tried, whenever possible, to identify such statements by using words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "forecast," "project," "intend," "plan," "believe," ar expressions in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. The forward-looking statements are based on management's current expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Although management believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. Risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially include, without limitation: Park's ability to execute its business plan successfully and within the expected timeframe; deterioration in the asset value of our loan portfolio may be worse than expected due to a number of factors, such as adverse changes in economic conditions that impair the ability of borrowers to repay their loans, the underlying value of the collateral could prove less valuable than assumed and cash flows may be worse than expected; Park's ability to sell OREO properties at prices as favorable as anticipated; changes in general economic and financial market conditions, and weakening in the economy, specifically the real estate market and credit markets, either nationally or in the states in which Park and its subsidiaries do business, may be worse than expected which could decrease the demand for loan, deposit and other financial services and increase loan delinquencies and defaults; the effects of the Gulf of Mexico oil spill; changes in interest rates and prices may adversely impact the value of securities, loans, deposits and other financial instruments and the interest rate sensitivity of our consolidated balance sheet; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and saving habits; our liquidity requirements could be adversely affected by changes in our assets and liabilities; competitive factors among financial institutions increase significantly, including product and pricing pressures and Park's ability to attract, develop and retain qualified bank professionals; the nature, timing and effect of changes in banking regulations or other regulatory or legislative requirements affecting the respective businesses of Park and its subsidiaries, including changes in laws and regulations concerning taxes, accounting, banking, securities and other aspects of the financial services industry, specifically the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010; the effect of fiscal and governmental policies of the United States federal government; demand for loans in the respective market areas served by Park and its subsidiaries; and other risk factors relating to the banking industry as detailed from time to time in Park's reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") including those described in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of Part I of Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A, in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of Part II of Park's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 1) for the period ended March 31, 2011 and in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 2). Undue reliance should not be placed on the forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date they were originally filed. Park does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to publicly release the result of any revisions that may be made to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the events or circumstances after the date on which the forward-looking statement is made, or reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except to the extent required by law.
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## Critical Accounting Policies

Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of Part II of Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A lists significant accounting policies used in the development and presentation of Park's consolidated financial statements. The accounting and reporting policies of Park conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Park believes the determination of the allowance for loan losses involves a higher degree of judgment and complexity than its other significant accounting policies. The allowance for loan losses is calculated with the objective of maintaining a reserve level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based on periodic evaluations of the loan portfolio and of current economic conditions. However, this evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates, including expected default probabilities, the loss given default, the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, and estimated losses on consumer loans and residential mortgage loans based on historical loss experience and current economic conditions. All of these factors may be susceptible to significant change. To the extent that actual results differ from management estimates, additional loan loss provisions may be required that would adversely impact earnings for future periods. (Refer to the "Provision for Loan Losses" section within this MD\&A for additional discussion.)

Other real estate owned ("OREO"), property acquired through foreclosure, is recorded at estimated fair value less anticipated selling costs (net realizable value). If the net realizable value is below the carrying value of the loan on the date of transfer, the difference is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent declines in value, OREO devaluations, are reported as adjustments to the carrying amount of OREO and are expensed within other income. Gains or losses not previously recognized, resulting from the sale of OREO, are recognized in other income on the date of sale. At June 30, 2011, OREO totaled $\$ 47.7$ million, representing an $14.4 \%$ increase compared to $\$ 41.7$ million at December 31, 2010. The $\$ 6.0$ million net increase in OREO during the first six months of 2011 was a result of $\$ 22.4$ million in new OREO offset by sales of $\$ 10.5$ million and devaluations of $\$ 5.9$ million.
U.S. GAAP requires management to establish a fair value hierarchy, which has the objective of maximizing the use of observable market inputs. U.S. GAAP also requires enhanced disclosures regarding the inputs used to calculate fair value. These are classified as Level 1, 2, and 3. Level 3 inputs are those with significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the market for a particular instrument. Some of these inputs could be based on internal models and cash flow analyses. At June 30, 2011, the fair value of assets based on Level 3 inputs for Park was approximately $\$ 137.0$ million. This was $10.9 \%$ of the total amount of assets measured at fair value as of the end of the second quarter. The fair value of impaired loans was approximately $\$ 88.5$ million (or $64.6 \%$ ) of the total amount of Level 3 inputs. Additionally, there were $\$ 69.1$ million of loans that were impaired and carried at cost, as fair value exceeded book value for each individual credit. The large majority of Park's Level 2 inputs consist of available-for-sale ("AFS") securities. The fair value of these AFS securities is obtained largely through the use of matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the financial services industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities.

Management believes that the accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets also involves a higher degree of judgment than most other significant accounting policies. U.S. GAAP establishes standards for the amortization of acquired intangible assets and the impairment assessment of goodwill. Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible assets in the business acquired. Park's goodwill relates to
the value inherent in the banking industry and that value is dependent upon the ability of Park's banking subsidiaries to provide quality, cost-effective banking services in a competitive marketplace. The goodwill value is supported by revenue that is in part driven by the volume of business transacted. A decrease in earnings resulting from a decline in the customer base, the inability to deliver cost-effective services over sustained periods or significant credit problems can lead to impairment of goodwill that could adversely impact earnings in future periods. U.S. GAAP requires an annual evaluation of goodwill for impairment, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Park's most recent evaluation was completed during the second quarter of 2011 and resulted in no impairment of goodwill. The fair value of the goodwill, which resides on the books of Park's subsidiary banks, is estimated by reviewing the past and projected operating results for the Park subsidiary banks, deposit and loan totals for the Park subsidiary banks and banking industry comparable information. At June 30, 2011, on a consolidated basis, Park had core deposit intangibles of $\$ 4.7$ million subject to amortization and $\$ 72.3$ million of goodwill, which was not subject to periodic amortization. The core deposit intangibles recorded on the balance sheet of PNB totaled $\$ 1.2$ million and the core deposit intangibles at Vision Bank were $\$ 3.5$ million. The goodwill asset of $\$ 72.3$ million is carried on the balance sheet of PNB. Please see Note 3 - Goodwill and Intangible Assets of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for additional information on intangible assets.
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Comparison of Results of Operations
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

## Summary Discussion of Results

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was $\$ 29.0$ million compared to $\$ 21.2$ million for the second quarter of 2010, an increase of $\$ 7.8$ million or $36.8 \%$. Net income available to common shareholders (which is net of the preferred stock dividends and the related accretion) was $\$ 27.5$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 19.7$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 7.8$ million or $39.6 \%$. Preferred stock dividends and the related accretion of the discount on the preferred stock, pertaining to the $\$ 100$ million of preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury on December 23, 2008, were $\$ 1.46$ million for the second quarter of 2011 and $\$ 1.45$ million for the same quarter in 2010.

Diluted earnings per common share were $\$ 1.79$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 1.30$ for the second quarter of 2010 , an increase of $\$ 0.49$ per share or $37.7 \%$. Weighted average common shares outstanding were $15,398,919$ for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to $15,114,846$ common shares for the second quarter of 2010 , an increase of 284,073 common shares or $1.9 \%$. Park sold a total of 509,184 common shares, issued from treasury shares, during the last three quarters of 2010. Most of the sales of common shares $(437,200)$ resulted from the exercise of Series A and Series B Common Share Warrants issued in connection with the registered direct public offering which closed on October 30, 2009. In addition, Park sold 71,984 common shares, issued from treasury shares, in connection with a registered direct public offering which closed on December 10, 2010.

Net income for the six months ended June 30 , 2011 was $\$ 51.1$ million compared to $\$ 41.9$ million for the first half of 2010, an increase of $\$ 9.2$ million or $22.0 \%$. Net income available to common shareholders was $\$ 48.2$ million for the first six months of 2011 compared to $\$ 39.0$ million for the same period in 2010, an increase of $\$ 9.2$ million or $23.6 \%$. Preferred stock dividends and the related accretion of the discount on the preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury totaled $\$ 2.9$ million for the first half of both 2011 and 2010.

Diluted earnings per common share were $\$ 3.13$ for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 2.60$ for the first half of 2010 , an increase of $\$ 0.53$ per share or $20.4 \%$. Weighted average common shares outstanding were $15,398,925$ for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to $14,998,810$ common shares for the six months ended 2010, an increase of 400,115 common shares or $2.7 \%$.

The following tables compare the components of net income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 with the components of net income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010. This information is provided for Park, Vision Bank and Park excluding Vision Bank ("Park's Ohio-based operations"). In general, for the first six months of 2011, the operating results for Park's Ohio-based operations were a little stronger than management projected, but the results for Vision Bank were weaker than anticipated.

Park - Summary Income Statement
Three months ended
June 30,

|  | 2011 <br> (Restated) | 2010 | $\%$ Change |  | 2011 <br> (Restated) | 2010 | $\%$ Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | $\$ 70,022$ | $\$ 68,721$ | 1.89 | $\%$ | $\$ 139,335$ | $\$ 136,101$ | 2.38 | $\%$ |
| Net interest income | 12,516 | 13,250 | -5.54 | $\%$ | 26,616 | 29,800 | -10.68 | $\%$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 15,138 | 16,647 | -9.06 | $\%$ | 30,168 | 33,357 | -9.56 | $\%$ |
| Total other income | 15,362 | 3,515 | 337.04 | $\%$ | 21,997 | 11,819 | 86.12 | $\%$ |
| Gain on sale of securities | 47,007 | 47,001 | 0.01 | $\%$ | 93,353 | 94,891 | -1.62 | $\%$ |
| Total other expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Income before taxes | $\$ 40,999$ | $\$ 28,632$ | 43.19 | $\%$ | $\$ 71,531$ | $\$ 56,586$ | 26.41 | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income taxes | 12,046 | 7,466 | 61.34 | $\%$ | 20,382 | 14,641 | 39.21 | $\%$ |
| Net income | $\$ 28,953$ | $\$ 21,166$ | 36.79 | $\%$ | $\$ 51,149$ | $\$ 41,945$ | 21.94 | $\%$ |
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The following table compares the guidance for 2011 that management provided in Park's 2010 Annual Report with the actual results for the six month period ended June 30, 2011. This guidance was included in Park's 2010 Annual Report in the "Financial Review" section on pages 38 through 40.

|  | Actual results <br> for the first half |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (in thousands) | Projected results for 2011 | $50 \%$ of annual projection | of 2011 (Restated) |
| Net interest income | $\$ 268,000$ to $\$ 278,000$ | $\$ 134,000-\$ 139,000$ | $\$ 139,335$ |
| Provision for loan losses | $\$ 47,000$ to $\$ 57,000$ | $\$ 23,500-\$ 28,500$ | $\$ 26,616$ |
| Total other income | $\$ 63,000$ to $\$ 67,000$ | $\$ 31,500-\$ 33,500$ | $\$ 30,168$ |
| Total other expense | $\$ 183,000$ to $\$ 187,000$ | $\$ 91,500-\$ 93,500$ | $\$ 93,353$ |

Park's management believes that the guidance previously provided for net interest income and total other expense continues to be a good estimate for 2011.

Park's management sold $\$ 192$ million of 15 -year U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities for a pre-tax gain of $\$ 15.4$ million late in the second quarter of 2011. These securities were sold at a price of approximately $107.4 \%$ of the principal balance, with an estimated yield to the buyer of $1.92 \%$. These securities had a weighted average yield to Park of $5.25 \%$ and a remaining average maturity of 2.6 years. Management expects to complete the reinvestment of the proceeds from the June 2011 sales by August 31, 2011 in U.S. Government sponsored entity collateralized mortgage obligations. During the first quarter of 2011, Park sold $\$ 105$ million of 15 -year U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities for a pre-tax gain of $\$ 6.6$ million. Collectively for the first two quarters of 2011, Park has sold $\$ 297$ million of U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities for a pre-tax gain of $\$ 22.0$ million.

Management does not currently forecast the sale of additional securities in 2011. However, the sale of additional securities for a gain in 2011 is possible. At June 30, 2011, Park owned approximately $\$ 55$ million of U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities with a coupon interest rate of $5.00 \%$ or higher. This portion of the investment portfolio has a weighted average book yield of $5.63 \%$ and an unrealized gain of $\$ 4.9$ million.

The following table provides a summary income statement for Vision Bank.
Vision Bank - Summary Statement of Operations
Three Months Ended
June 30,
Six Months Ended
June 30,

| (in thousands) | 2011 (Resta |  | 2010 |  | \% Change |  | 011 (Res |  | 2010 |  | \% Chan |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income | \$7,000 |  | \$6,914 |  | 1.24 | \% | \$13,755 |  | \$13,805 |  | -0.36 | \% |
| Provision for loan losses | 7,016 |  | 8,900 |  | -21.17 | \% | 15,616 |  | 20,200 |  | -22.69 | \% |
| Other income | (172 | ) | (756 | ) | 77.25 | \% | (1,489 | ) | (605 | ) | -146.12 | \% |
| Gain on sale of securities | 1,828 |  | ----- |  | N.M. |  | 1,828 |  | ---- |  | N.M. |  |
| Other expense | 8,174 |  | 8,237 |  | -0.76 | \% | 15,599 |  | 16,091 |  | -3.06 | \% |
| Loss before taxes | \$(6,534 | ) | \$(10,979 | ) | 40.49 | \% | \$(17,121 | ) | \$(23,091 | ) | 25.85 | \% |
| Income tax credits | (2,315 | ) | (4,223 | ) | 45.18 | \% | (6,056 | ) | (8,879 | ) | 31.79 | \% |
| Net loss | \$(4,219 | ) | \$(6,756 | ) | 37.55 | \% | \$(11,065 | ) | \$(14,212 | ) | 22.14 | \% |

N.M. - Not Meaningful

The following table provides a summary income statement for Park excluding Vision Bank.
Park Excluding Vision Bank - Summary Income Statement
Three Months Ended
Six Months Ended
June 30, June 30,

| (in thousands) |  | 2011 |  | 2010 | \% Change |  |  | 2011 |  | 2010 | \% Chang |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income | \$ | 63,022 | \$ | 61,807 | 1.97 | \% | \$ | 125,580 | \$ | 122,296 | 2.69 | \% |
| Provision for loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| losses |  | 5,500 |  | 4,350 | 26.44 | \% |  | 11,000 |  | 9,600 | 14.58 | \% |
| Other income |  | 15,310 |  | 17,403 | -12.03 | \% |  | 31,657 |  | 33,962 | -6.79 | \% |
| Gain on sale of securities |  | 13,534 |  | 3,515 | 285.04 | \% |  | 20,169 |  | 11,819 | 70.65 | \% |
| Other expense |  | 38,833 |  | 38,764 | 0.18 | \% |  | 77,754 |  | 78,800 | -1.33 | \% |
| Income before taxes | \$ | 47,533 | \$ | 39,611 | 20.00 | \% | \$ | 88,652 | \$ | 79,677 | 11.26 | \% |
| Income taxes |  | 14,361 |  | 11,689 | 22.86 | \% |  | 26,438 |  | 23,520 | 12.41 | \% |
| Net income | \$ | 33,172 | \$ | 27,922 | 18.80 | \% | \$ | 62,214 | \$ | 56,157 | 10.79 | \% |

The operating results for Park's Ohio-based banking divisions were better than management's forecast for the first half of 2011. Excluding the after-tax impact of security gains, net income would have been $\$ 49.1$ million for the first half of 2011 compared to $\$ 48.5$ million for the first six months of 2010.
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Net Interest Income Comparison for the Second Quarter of 2011 and 2010
Park's principal source of earnings is net interest income, the difference between total interest income and total interest expense. Net interest income results from average balances outstanding for interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities in conjunction with the average rates earned and paid on them. Net interest income increased by $\$ 1.3$ million or $1.9 \%$ to $\$ 70.0$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 68.7$ million for the second quarter of 2010.

The following table compares the average balance and tax equivalent yield on interest earning assets and the average balance and cost of interest bearing liabilities for the second quarter of 2011 with the same quarter in 2010.

Three months ended June 30,
20112010

| (in thousands) | Average balance |  | Tax equivalent \% |  | Average balance |  | Tax equivalent \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans (1) | \$ | 4,743,696 | 5.61 | \% | \$ | 4,604,481 | 5.84 | \% |
| Taxable investments |  | 1,972,676 | 3.86 | \% |  | 1,751,343 | 4.64 | \% |
| Tax exempt investments |  | 8,179 | 7.01 | \% |  | 17,601 | 7.23 | \% |
| Money market instruments |  | 21,239 | 0.15 | \% |  | 94,669 | 0.22 | \% |
| Interest earning assets | \$ | 6,745,790 | 5.08 | \% | \$ | 6,468,094 | 5.44 | \% |
| Interest bearing deposits | \$ | 4,301,872 | 0.67 | \% | \$ | 4,288,551 | 1.04 | \% |
| Short-term borrowings |  | 290,293 | 0.27 | \% |  | 283,686 | 0.43 | \% |
| Long-term debt |  | 881,534 | 3.44 | \% |  | 729,320 | 3.92 | \% |
| Interest bearing liabilities | \$ | 5,473,699 | 1.09 | \% | \$ | 5,301,557 | 1.40 | \% |
| Excess interest earning assets | \$ | 1,272,091 |  |  | \$ | 1,166,537 |  |  |
| Net interest spread |  |  | 3.99 | \% |  |  | 4.04 | \% |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 4.19 | \% |  |  | 4.29 | \% |

(1) For purposes of the computation, nonaccrual loans are included in the average balance.

Average interest earning assets for the second quarter of 2011 increased by $\$ 278$ million or $4.3 \%$ to $\$ 6,746$ million compared to $\$ 6,468$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The average yield on interest earning assets decreased by 36 basis points to $5.08 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $5.44 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 .

Average interest bearing liabilities for the second quarter of 2011 increased by $\$ 172$ million or $3.2 \%$ to $\$ 5,474$ million compared to $\$ 5,302$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The average cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased by 31 basis points to $1.09 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $1.40 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 .

## Interest Rates

Short-term interest rates continue to be extremely low. The average federal funds rate was $.13 \%$ for the first half of 2011, compared to $.16 \%$ for the first six months of 2010.

In December 2008, the Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") of the Federal Reserve lowered the targeted federal funds rate to a range of $0 \%$ to $.25 \%$ in response to a severe recession in the U.S. economy. Economic conditions began to improve in the second half of 2009 and continued to improve throughout 2010. The economic recovery has continued during the first half of 2011, but the U.S. unemployment rate continues to be relatively high at $9.2 \%$ as of

June 30, 2011.
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Park's management expects that the FOMC will continue to maintain the targeted federal funds interest rate in the range of $0 \%$ to $.25 \%$ during the last six months of 2011 . The annual average federal funds rate was $.16 \%$ for 2009 and . $18 \%$ for 2010.

Discussion of Loans, Investments, Deposits and Borrowings
Average loan balances increased by $\$ 140$ million or $3.0 \%$ to $\$ 4,744$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 4,604$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The average yield on the loan portfolio decreased by 23 basis points to $5.61 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $5.84 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 .

Total loan balances outstanding at June 30, 2011 were $\$ 4,711$ million compared to $\$ 4,733$ million at December 31, 2010, a decrease of $\$ 22$ million or $0.5 \%$. This decrease in loan balances in 2011 was due to a decrease in loan balances at Vision Bank. Total loan balances at Vision Bank decreased by approximately $\$ 75$ million to $\$ 565$ million at June 30, 2011. Approximately $\$ 54$ million of this decrease in loan balances at Vision Bank was due to a reduction in nonaccrual loans. Park's management continues to forecast modest loan growth for Park in 2011 with a projected increase of $1 \%$ to $3 \%$ for the year.

The average balance of taxable investment securities increased by $\$ 222$ million or $12.7 \%$ to $\$ 1,973$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 1,751$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The average yield on taxable investment securities was $3.86 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $4.64 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 .

The average balance of tax exempt investment securities decreased by $\$ 9.4$ million or $53.4 \%$ to $\$ 8.2$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 17.6$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The tax equivalent yield on tax exempt investment securities was $7.01 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 and $7.23 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010. Park has not purchased any tax exempt investment securities for the past several quarters and does not plan to purchase tax exempt securities in the second half of 2011.

The average balance of money market instruments decreased by $\$ 74$ million or $77.9 \%$ to $\$ 21$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 95$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The average yield on money market instruments was $0.15 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $0.22 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 .

The amortized cost of total investment securities was $\$ 1,951$ million at June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 2,017$ million at December 31, 2010. At June 30, 2011, the tax equivalent yield on Park's investment portfolio was $3.62 \%$ and the remaining average life was 3.2 years.

Average interest bearing deposit accounts increased by $\$ 13$ million or $0.3 \%$ to $\$ 4,302$ million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 4,289$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The average interest rate paid on interest bearing deposits decreased by 37 basis points to $0.67 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $1.04 \%$ for the second quarter last year.

Average total borrowings were $\$ 1,172$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 1,013$ million for the second quarter of 2010 , an increase of $\$ 159$ million or $15.7 \%$. The average interest rate paid on total borrowings was $2.65 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $2.94 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 .

The net interest spread (the difference between the tax equivalent yield on interest earning assets and the cost of interest bearing liabilities) decreased by 5 basis points to $3.99 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $4.04 \%$ for the second quarter last year. The net interest margin (the annualized tax equivalent net interest income divided by average interest earning assets) was $4.19 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 compared to $4.29 \%$ for the second quarter of 2010 .
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Net Interest Income Comparison for the First Half of 2011 and 2010
Net interest income increased by $\$ 3.2$ million or $2.4 \%$ to $\$ 139.3$ million for the first six months of 2011 compared to $\$ 136.1$ million for the first half of 2010. The following table compares the average balance and the annualized tax equivalent yield on interest earning assets and the average balance and cost of interest bearing liabilities for the first six months of 2011 with the first half of 2010.

(1) For purposes of the computation, nonaccrual loans are included in the average balance.

Average interest earning assets increased by $\$ 236$ million or $3.6 \%$ to $\$ 6,734$ million for the first six months of 2011 compared to $\$ 6,498$ million for the first half of 2010. The average yield on interest earning assets was $5.11 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to $5.44 \%$ for the same period in 2010.

Average loans increased by $\$ 132$ million or $2.9 \%$ to $\$ 4,743$ million for the first half of 2011 compared to $\$ 4,611$ million for the same period in 2010. The average yield on loans was $5.62 \%$ for the first half of 2011 compared to $5.86 \%$ for the same period in 2010.

Average investment securities, including money market instruments, were $\$ 1,991$ million for the first six months of 2011 compared to $\$ 1,887$ million for the first half of 2010. The average yield on taxable investment securities was $3.92 \%$ for the first half of 2011 and $4.67 \%$ for the first half of 2010 and the average tax equivalent yield on tax exempt securities was $7.38 \%$ in 2011 and $7.36 \%$ in 2010.

Average interest bearing liabilities increased by $\$ 127$ million or $2.4 \%$ to $\$ 5,479$ million for the first half of 2011 compared to $\$ 5,352$ million for the same period in 2010. The average cost of interest bearing liabilities was $1.11 \%$ for the first half of 2011 compared to $1.44 \%$ for the first six months of 2010.

Average interest bearing deposits decreased by $\$ 54$ million or $1.2 \%$ to $\$ 4,274$ million for the first six months of 2011 compared to $\$ 4,328$ million for the first half of 2010. The average interest rate paid on interest bearing deposit accounts was $.70 \%$ for the first half of 2011 compared to $1.10 \%$ for the first half of 2010.

Average total borrowings were $\$ 1,205$ million for the first half of 2011 compared to $\$ 1,024$ million for the first six months of 2010. The average interest rate paid on total borrowings was $2.57 \%$ for the first half of 2011 compared to $2.92 \%$ for the same period in 2010.

The net interest spread was $4.00 \%$ for the first half of 2011 and 2010. The net interest margin decreased by 5 basis points to $4.20 \%$ for the six months ended June 30,2011 compared to $4.25 \%$ for the first six months of 2010.
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Guidance on Net Interest Income for 2011
Management provided guidance in Park's 2010 Annual Report (page 38) that net interest income for 2011 would be approximately $\$ 268$ million to $\$ 278$ million, the tax equivalent net interest margin would be approximately $4.10 \%$ to $4.20 \%$ and the average interest earning assets for 2011 would be approximately $\$ 6,550$ million.

The actual results for the first six months of 2011 were slightly above management's guidance. Net interest income for the first six months of 2011 was $\$ 139.3$ million, which annualized would be approximately $\$ 281$ million for 2011. The tax equivalent net interest margin was $4.20 \%$ and average interest earning assets were $\$ 6,734$ million for the first six months of 2011.

The following table displays for the past five quarters the average balance of interest earning assets, net interest income and the tax equivalent net interest margin.
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average interest } \\ \text { earning assets }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Net interest } \\ \text { income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Tax equivalent } \\ \text { net interest margin }\end{array} \\ \text { (in thousands) } & \$ 6,468,094 & & \$ 68,721\end{array}\right] 4.29 \%$

Management's current forecast projects that net interest income for 2011 will be near the top of the range of $\$ 268$ million to $\$ 278$ million. Management also expects that average interest earning assets will be approximately $\$ 6,700$ million for the remaining six months of 2011 and that the tax equivalent net interest margin will be about $4.05 \%$ for the last six months of 2011.

## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses was $\$ 12.5$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 13.3$ million for the same period in 2010. Net loan charge-offs were $\$ 40.9$ million for the second quarter of 2011, compared to $\$ 12.2$ million for the second quarter of 2010. The annualized ratio of net loan charge-offs to average loans was $3.46 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to $1.07 \%$ for the same period in 2010.

For the first six months of 2011, the provision for loan losses decreased by $\$ 3.2$ million to $\$ 26.6$ million, compared to $\$ 29.8$ million for the same period in 2010. Net loan charge-offs were $\$ 50.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, or $2.13 \%$ of average loans on an annualized basis, compared to $\$ 25.8$ million, or $1.13 \%$ of average loans on an annualized basis, for the first six months of 2010.
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The following table provides additional information related to Park's allowance for loan losses, including information related to specific reserves and general reserves, at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010.

| (in thousands) | June 30, <br> 2011 (Restated) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ALLL | \$ | 120,174 |  | \$ | 143,5 |  | \$ | 120,67 |  |
| Less specific reserves at Park's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio-based operations |  | 14,132 |  |  | 12,97 |  |  | 6,059 |  |
| Less specific reserves at Vision Bank |  | 28,665 |  |  | 53,92 |  |  | 32,70 |  |
| General reserves | \$ | 77,377 |  | \$ | 76,67 |  | \$ | 81,90 |  |
| Total loans | \$ | 4,710,513 |  | \$ | 4,732, |  | \$ | 4,655 |  |
| Less impaired commercial loans |  | 200,400 |  |  | 250,9 |  |  | 203,5 |  |
| Non-impaired loans | \$ | 4,510,113 |  | \$ | 4,481 |  | \$ | 4,452 |  |
| Total ALLL to total loan ratio |  | 2.55 | \% |  | 3.03 | \% |  | 2.59 | \% |
| General reserves as a \% of non-impaired loans |  | 1.72 | \% |  | 1.71 | \% |  | 1.84 | \% |

As a result of the passage of time and more clarity on the characteristics of many of the impaired commercial loans at Vision Bank, during the second quarter of 2011, management determined that it was appropriate to charge-off many of the specific reserves previously established on impaired commercial loans. Management's quarterly evaluation includes a detailed review of the expected cash flows and the current estimate of the collateral value for all impaired commercial loans. As a result of the second quarter evaluation, management noted some deterioration in either collateral value or expected cash flows within certain of the larger impaired commercial, land and development loans at Vision Bank and increased the specific reserves established for these loans.

The loan loss provision for Vision Bank was $\$ 7.0$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 8.9$ million for the same quarter in 2010. Vision Bank had net loan charge-offs of $\$ 32.2$ million, or an annualized $21.25 \%$ of average loans for the second quarter of 2011, compared to net loan charge-offs of $\$ 6.5$ million, or $3.92 \%$ of average loans for the same period in 2010. As discussed above, during the second quarter of 2011 Vision Bank charged off a significant portion of previously established specific reserves, resulting in the significant increase in net charge-offs compared to the second quarter of 2010.

Park's Ohio-based operations had a provision for loan losses of $\$ 5.5$ million for the second quarter of 2011, compared to $\$ 4.4$ million for the second quarter of 2010. Net loan charge-offs for Park's Ohio-based operations were $\$ 8.6$ million, or an annualized $0.84 \%$ of average loans for the second quarter of 2011, compared to $\$ 5.7$ million, or an annualized $0.58 \%$ of average loans for the second quarter of 2010 .

[^0]The following table compares Park National Corporation's nonperforming assets at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010.


During the first quarter of 2011, Park formed a limited liability company, organized under the laws of the state of Ohio, called SE Property Holdings, LLC ("SE Property Holdings"), as a direct subsidiary of Park. The purpose of SE Property Holdings is to purchase other real estate owned ("OREO") from Vision Bank and continue to market such property for sale. As of June 30, 2011, approximately $\$ 32.6$ million of OREO was held by SE Property Holdings, purchased from Vision Bank (at the then current fair market value) during 2011. Management expects that the remaining $\$ 5.1$ million of OREO held by Vision Bank as of June 30, 2011 will be purchased by SE Property Holdings (at the then current fair market value) during the third quarter of 2011. Management plans to continue marketing the properties held by SE Property Holdings and sell such properties in an efficient manner.

Vision Bank's nonperforming assets at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, were as follows:


Percentage of nonperforming assets to

| total loans | 21.82 | $\%$ | 32.02 | $\%$ | 29.66 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of nonperforming assets to <br> total assets | 16.58 | $\%$ | 25.90 | $\%$ | 23.08 | $\%$ |
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Nonperforming assets for Park, excluding Vision Bank at June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, are included in the following table:


Park's allowance for loan losses includes an allocation for loans specifically identified as impaired under U.S. GAAP. At June 30, 2011, loans considered to be impaired consisted substantially of commercial loans graded as "doubtful" and placed on nonaccrual status. As a result of significant losses within Vision Bank's CL\&D loan portfolio over the past three and a half years, management continues to believe it is necessary to segregate this portion of the portfolio for both impaired credits, as well as those accruing CL\&D loans at June 30, 2011. Cumulative charge-offs within Vision Bank's impaired CL\&D loan portfolio at June 30, 2011 was $\$ 51.1$ million. Additionally, at June 30, 2011, management had established a specific reserve of $\$ 15.1$ million related to those CL\&D loans at Vision Bank that were deemed to be impaired. The aggregate of cumulative prior charge-offs on impaired Vision Bank CL\&D loans, along with the specific reserves at June 30, 2011, totaled $\$ 66.2$ million. The following table summarizes the CL\&D loan portfolio at Vision Bank:

| Vision Bank CL\&D Loan Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, | Dec. 31, | Dec. 31, | Dec. 31, |  |
| (in thousands) - end of each respective period | 2011 (Restated) | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |  |
| CL\&D loans | $\$ 111,054$ | $\$ 170,989$ | $\$ 218,263$ | $\$ 251,443$ |  |
| Performing CL\&D loans | 64,207 | 84,498 | 132,380 | 191,712 |  |
| Impaired CL\&D loans | $\$ 46,847$ | $\$ 86,491$ | $\$ 85,883$ | $\$ 59,731$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specific reserve on impaired CL\&D loans | $\$ 15,076$ | $\$ 39,887$ | $\$ 21,802$ | $\$ 3,134$ |  |
| Cumulative charge-offs on impaired CL\&D loans | 51,099 | 28,652 | 24,931 | 18,839 |  |
| Specific reserves plus cumulative charge-offs | $\$ 66,175$ | $\$ 68,539$ | $\$ 46,733$ | $\$ 21,973$ |  |

Specific reserves plus cumulative charge-offs as a percentage of impaired CL\&D loans plus cumulative $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { charge-offs } & 67.6 & \% & 59.5 & \% & 42.2 & \% & 28.0 & \%\end{array}$
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When determining the quarterly loan loss provision, Park reviews the grades of commercial loans. These loans are graded from 1 to 8 . A grade of 1 indicates little or no credit risk and a grade of 8 is considered a loss. Commercial loans with grades of 1 to 4 (pass-rated) are considered to be of acceptable credit risk. Commercial loans graded a 5 (special mention) are considered to be watch list credits and a higher loan loss reserve percentage is allocated to these loans. Commercial loans graded 6 (substandard), also considered watch list credits, are considered to represent higher credit risk and, as a result, a higher loan loss reserve percentage is allocated to these loans. Generally, commercial loans that are graded a 6 are considered for partial charge-off. Commercial loans that are graded a 7 (doubtful) are shown as nonperforming and Park generally charges these loans down to their fair value by taking a partial charge-off or recording a specific reserve. Any commercial loan graded an 8 (loss) is completely charged-off.

A significant portion of Park's allowance for loan losses is allocated to commercial loans classified as "special mention" or "substandard." "Special mention" loans are loans that have potential weaknesses that may result in loss exposure to Park. "Substandard" loans are those that exhibit a well defined weakness, jeopardizing repayment of the loan, resulting in a higher probability that Park will suffer a loss on the loan unless the weakness is corrected. As previously discussed, management believes it is appropriate to segregate the Vision Bank CL\&D loans from other commercial loans that are still accruing. The Vision CL\&D loans that were still accruing at June 30, 2011 totaled $\$ 64.2$ million compared to $\$ 84.5$ million at December 31, 2010. Park's loss experience, defined as charge-offs plus changes in specific reserves, on CL\&D loans for the 36 months ended December 31, 2010 was an annual rate of $12.55 \%$. Management has allocated an allowance for loan losses to the $\$ 64.2$ million of accruing CL\&D loans based on this historical loss experience, judgmentally increased to cover approximately 1.25 years of probable incurred losses, for a total reserve of $\$ 9.9$ million or $15.4 \%$. Further, we have allocated $15.4 \%$ to the $\$ 64.2$ million of CL\&D loans, regardless of the current loan grade, as this portion of the loan portfolio has experienced significant declines in collateral values, and thus if management determines that borrowers are unable to pay in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement, significant specific reserves have typically been necessary. Park's 36 -month loss experience through the year ended December 31, 2010, defined as charge-offs plus changes in specific reserves, within the remaining commercial loan portfolio (excluding Vision Bank's CL\&D loans) was $1.09 \%$ of the principal balance of these loans. Park's management believes it is appropriate to cover approximately 1.5 years worth of probable incurred losses within the other accruing commercial loan portfolio, thus the total reserve for loan losses is $\$ 40.0$ million or $1.61 \%$ of the outstanding principal balance of other accruing commercial loans at June 30, 2011. The overall reserve of $1.61 \%$ for other accruing commercial loans breaks down as follows: pass-rated commercial loans are reserved at $1.06 \%$; special mention commercial loans are reserved at $3.97 \%$; and substandard commercial loans are reserved at $14.40 \%$.

Generally, consumer loans are not individually graded. Consumer loans include: (1) mortgage and installment loans included in the construction real estate segment of the loan portfolio; (2) mortgage, home equity lines of credit (HELOC), and installment loans included in the residential real estate segment of the loan portfolio; and (3) all loans included in the consumer segment of the loan portfolio. The amount of loan loss reserve assigned to these loans is based on historical loss experience over the 36 months ended December 31, 2010, judgmentally increased to cover approximately 1.5 years of probable incurred losses.

The judgmental increases discussed above incorporate management's evaluation of the impact of environmental qualitative factors which pose additional risks and assign a component of the allowance for loan losses in consideration of these factors. Such environmental factors include: national and local economic trends and conditions; experience, ability and depth of lending management and staff; effects of any changes in lending policies and procedures; and levels of and trends in consumer bankruptcies, delinquencies, impaired loans and charge-offs and recoveries. The determination of this component of the allowance for loan losses requires considerable management judgment. As always, management is working to address weaknesses in those loans that may result in future loss. Actual loss experience may be more or less than the amount allocated.

## Total Other Income

Total other income exclusive of securities gains decreased by $\$ 1.5$ million or $9.1 \%$ to $\$ 15.1$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 16.6$ million for the second quarter of 2010 . For the six months ended June 30 , 2011, total other income decreased by $\$ 3.2$ million or $9.6 \%$ to $\$ 30.2$ million compared to $\$ 33.3$ million for the same period in 2010.

The following table is a summary of the changes in the components of total other income.


Income from fiduciary activities, which represents revenue earned from Park's trust activities, increased by $\$ 401,000$, or $11.4 \%$, to $\$ 3.9$ million for the three months ended June 30 , 2011, compared to $\$ 3.5$ million for the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, income from fiduciary activities increased by $\$ 701,000$ or $10.1 \%$ to $\$ 7.7$ million compared to $\$ 7.0$ million in 2010. Fiduciary fees are generally charged based on the market value of customer accounts. The market value for assets under management at June 30, 2011, has increased by approximately $15.6 \%$ compared to June 30, 2010.

Service charges on deposits decreased by $\$ 567,000$, or $11.1 \%$, to $\$ 4.5$ million for the three month period ended June 30 , 2011, compared to $\$ 5.1$ million for the same period in 2010. Through the first six months of 2011, service charges declined $\$ 1.1$ million, or $10.9 \%$, to $\$ 8.8$ million, compared to $\$ 9.8$ million in 2010 . This decrease was primarily attributable to a decline in non-sufficient funds ("NSF") and overdraft charges during the first half of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Fee income earned from origination and sale into the secondary market of long-term fixed-rate mortgage loans is included within other non-yield related fees in the subcategory "Other service income". Other service income decreased by $\$ 742,000$, or $21.3 \%$, to $\$ 2.7$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 3.5$ million for the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, other service income decreased $\$ 1.4$ million, or $22.0 \%$, to $\$ 5.0$ million, compared to $\$ 6.5$ million in 2010. This decrease was due to a decline in the volume of fixed-rate residential mortgage loans that Park originated and sold into the secondary market in the first half of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Checkcard fee income, which is generated from debit card transactions, increased $\$ 486,000$, or $17.6 \%$, to $\$ 3.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 2.8$ million for the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30 , 2011, checkcard fee income increased $\$ 1.0$ million, or $19.5 \%$, to $\$ 6.2$ million compared to $\$ 5.2$ million in 2010. This increase is attributable to continued increases in the volume of debit card transactions.

OREO devaluations increased by $\$ 1.4$ million to $\$ 3.4$ million for the three months ended June 30 , 2011, compared to $\$ 1.9$ million for the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, OREO devaluations increased $\$ 2.8$ million to $\$ 5.9$ million compared to $\$ 3.1$ million in 2010 . The increase was largely due to devaluations of other real estate owned at Vision Bank of approximately $\$ 3.8$ million through the first six months of 2011, compared to $\$ 2.7$ million in devaluations for the same period in 2010. Management does not believe the devaluations for the first half of 2011 to be representative of the second half of 2011, based on management's decision to accelerate the appraisal dates for much of the OREO property at Vision Bank, in order to expedite the transfer of OREO to SE Property Holdings, LLC.

The following table breaks out the change in total other income between Park's Ohio-based operations and Vision Bank.


## Gain on Sale of Securities

During the three months ended June 30, 2011, Park sold $\$ 192$ million of U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities for a pre-tax gain of $\$ 15.4$ milion. During the second quarter of 2010, Park recognized a pre-tax gain of $\$ 3.5$ million from the sale of $\$ 57$ million of U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, Park sold a total of $\$ 297$ million of U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities for a pre-tax gain of $\$ 22.0$ million. For the first six months of 2010, Park sold $\$ 258$ million of U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities for a pre-tax gain of $\$ 11.8$ million. Additionally, $\$ 75$ million of U.S. Government sponsored entity callable notes were sold during the first quarter of 2010 at their book value.

## Total Other Expense

The following table is a summary of the changes in the components of total other expense.

|  | Three months ended |  |  |  | Six months ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Change |  | 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30 \text {, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Change |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$25,253 | \$24,013 | \$1,240 |  | \$50,317 | \$49,184 | \$1,133 |  |
| Occupancy expense | 2,764 | 2,793 | (29 | ) | 5,764 | 5,910 | (146 | ) |
| Furniture and equipment expense | 2,785 | 2,564 | 221 |  | 5,442 | 5,196 | 246 |  |
| Data processing fees | 1,135 | 1,394 | (259 | ) | 2,388 | 2,987 | (599 |  |
| Professional fees and services | 5,320 | 5,299 | 21 |  | 10,194 | 10,155 | 39 |  |
| Amortization of intangibles | 669 | 842 | (173 | ) | 1,338 | 1,778 | (440 | ) |
| Marketing | 728 | 946 | (218 | ) | 1,351 | 1,848 | (497 |  |
| Insurance | 2,345 | 2,333 | 12 |  | 4,614 | 4,531 | 83 |  |
| Communication | 1,485 | 1,647 | (162 | ) | 3,041 | 3,416 | (375 | ) |
| State taxes | 488 | 838 | (350 | ) | 945 | 1,683 | (738 |  |
| Other | 4,035 | 4,332 | (297 | ) | 7,959 | 8,203 | (244 |  |
| Total other expense | \$47,007 | \$47,001 | \$6 |  | \$93,353 | \$94,891 | \$(1,538 | ) |

Other expenses have decreased by $\$ 1.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. This decrease was primarily due to declines in state taxes $(\$ 738,000)$, data processing expenses $(\$ 599,000)$, marketing expense $(\$ 497,000)$ and communications expense ( $\$ 375,000$ ), which is the result of management's continued efforts to reduce expenses and increase efficiency. Additionally, amortization of intangibles declined by $\$ 440,000$, as certain intangibles related to Park's Ohio acquisitions are now fully amortized. Offsetting these declines, salary and employee benefits increased by $\$ 1.1$ million through the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, mostly due to an increase in medical insurance claims during the first half of 2011.

The following table breaks out the change in total other expense between Park's Ohio-based operations and Vision Bank.
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Income Tax

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, federal income tax expense was $\$ 12.0$ million and no state income tax benefit was recognized, compared to federal income tax expense of $\$ 8.0$ million and a state income tax benefit of $\$ 0.6$ million for the second quarter of 2010 . For the six months ended June 30, 2011, federal income tax was $\$ 20.4$ million and no state income tax benefit was recognized, compared to federal income tax of $\$ 15.8$ million and a state income tax benefit of $\$ 1.2$ million for the first six months of 2010 .

Vision Bank is subject to state income tax in Alabama and Florida. A state income tax benefit of $\$ 320,000$ and a valuation allowance for the same amount were recorded during the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2011, a state income tax benefit of $\$ 837,000$ and a valuation allowance for the same amount were recorded at Vision Bank. Management has determined that the likelihood of realizing the full deferred tax asset on the state net operating loss carry-forward at Vision Bank fails to meet the "more likely than not" level. The net operating loss carry-forward periods for the states of Alabama and Florida are 8 years and 20 years, respectively. A merger of Vision Bank into Park National Bank would ensure the future utilization of the state net operating loss carry-forward at Vision Bank. However, management is not certain when a merger of Vision Bank into Park National Bank can take place and as a result has decided not to record the additional state tax benefit of losses at Vision Bank until management has a better understanding of the timing and likelihood of a merger of Vision Bank into Park National Bank. Park and its Ohio-based subsidiaries do not pay state income tax to the state of Ohio, but pay a franchise tax based on year-end equity. The franchise tax expense is included in "state taxes" as part of total other expense on Park's Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Federal income tax expense as a percentage of income before taxes was $29.4 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011, compared to $28.0 \%$ for the same period in 2010 . For the first six months of 2011 , federal income tax expense as a percentage of income before taxes was $28.5 \%$, compared to $27.9 \%$ for the same period in 2010 . The federal effective income tax rate is lower than the statutory rate of $35 \%$ primarily due to tax-exempt interest income from state and municipal investments and loans, low income housing tax credits and income from bank owned life insurance.
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Comparison of Financial Condition<br>At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010

## Changes in Financial Condition and Liquidity

Total assets increased by $\$ 40$ million or $0.5 \%$ to $\$ 7,322$ million at June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 7,282$ million at December 31, 2010. This increase in total assets was due to increases in cash and cash equivalents and other miscellaneous assets, offset by declines in investment securities and loan balances.

Total investment securities decreased by $\$ 79$ million or $3.9 \%$ to $\$ 1,961$ million at June 30,2011 , compared to $\$ 2,040$ million at December 31, 2010. Loan balances decreased by $\$ 22$ million to $\$ 4,711$ million at June 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 4,733$ million at December 31, 2010.

Total liabilities increased by $\$ 28$ million or $0.4 \%$ during the first half of 2011 to $\$ 6,581$ million at June 30,2011 from $\$ 6,553$ million at December 31, 2010. The increase in total liabilities was due to an increase in total deposits, offset by a decline in total borrowings.

Total deposits increased by $\$ 163$ million or $3.2 \%$ during the first half of 2011 to $\$ 5,258$ million at June 30,2011 from $\$ 5,095$ million at December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to a $\$ 205$ million increase in interest bearing transaction and money market accounts, a $\$ 52$ million increase in savings deposits and a $\$ 46$ million increase in non-interest bearing checking accounts, offset by a decrease of $\$ 141$ million in certificate of deposit balances.

Short-term borrowings decreased by $\$ 430$ million or $64.8 \%$ to $\$ 234$ million at June 30, 2011 from $\$ 664$ million at December 31, 2010. Conversely, long-term borrowings increased by $\$ 184$ million to $\$ 821$ million at June 30 , 2011 compared to $\$ 637$ million at December 31,2010 . The net decrease in borrowings was primarily due to the increase in deposits during the first half of the year.

Other liabilities increased by $\$ 112$ million or $149.3 \%$ to $\$ 187$ million at June 30, 2011 from $\$ 75$ million at December 31, 2010. This increase in other liabilities was primarily due to a payable at June 30, 2011 for the purchase of $\$ 113$ million of investment securities that settled in the month of July.

Total stockholders' equity increased by $\$ 11.4$ million or $1.56 \%$ to $\$ 741.1$ million at June 30 , 2011, from $\$ 729.7$ million at December 31, 2010. Retained earnings increased by $\$ 19.4$ million during the period as a result of: net income of $\$ 51.1$ million; offset by common stock dividends of $\$ 29.0$ million, and accretion and dividends on the preferred stock of $\$ 2.9$ million. Preferred stock increased by $\$ 428,000$ during the first six months of 2011 as a result of the accretion of the discount on preferred stock. Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) decreased by $\$ 8.3$ million during the first half of 2011 to a loss of $\$ 10.2$ million at June 30, 2011. The unrealized holding gains in the investment portfolio decreased by $\$ 8.5$ million, net of taxes, as a result of the mark-to-market at June 30, 2011 and Park also recognized a $\$ 193,000$ decline in the unrealized holding loss on the cash flow hedge.

Increases or decreases in the investment securities portfolio, short-term borrowings and long-term debt are greatly dependent upon the growth in loans and deposits. The primary objective of management is to grow loan and deposit totals. To the extent that management is unable to grow loan totals at a desired growth rate, additional investment securities may be acquired. Likewise, both short-term borrowings and long-term debt are utilized to fund the growth in earning assets if the growth in deposits and cash flow from operations are not sufficient to do so.

Effective liquidity management ensures that the cash flow requirements of depositors and borrowers, as well as the operating cash needs of the Corporation, are met. Funds are available from a number of sources, including the securities portfolio, the core deposit base, Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings, and the capability to securitize or
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package loans for sale. The Corporation's loan to asset ratio was $64.3 \%$ at June 30, 2011, compared to $64.8 \%$ at December 31, 2010 and $65.6 \%$ at June 30, 2010. Cash and cash equivalents were $\$ 217.1$ million at June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 133.8$ million at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 201.5$ million at June 30, 2010. Management believes that the present funding sources provide more than adequate liquidity for the Corporation to meet its cash flow needs.

On a monthly basis, Park's Treasury Department forecasts the financial statements for the next twelve months. The projected liquidity position for the Corporation is reviewed each month to ensure that adequate liquidity is maintained. Management targets that the Corporation would have a minimum of $\$ 800$ million of funds available to handle liquidity needs on a daily basis. This $\$ 800$ million liquidity "war chest" consists of currently available additional borrowing capacity from the Federal Home Loan Bank, federal funds sold and unpledged U.S. Government Agency securities.

## Capital Resources

Total stockholders' equity at June 30, 2011 was $\$ 741$ million, or $10.1 \%$ of total assets, compared to $\$ 730$ million, or $10.0 \%$ of total assets, at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 750$ million, or $10.6 \%$ of total assets, at June 30, 2010. Common equity, which is stockholders' equity excluding the preferred stock, was $\$ 643$ million at June 30,2011 , or $8.8 \%$ of total assets, compared to $\$ 632$ million, or $8.7 \%$ of total assets, at December 31, 2010.

Financial institution regulators have established guidelines for minimum capital ratios for banks, thrifts and bank holding companies. The net unrealized gain or loss on available-for-sale securities is generally not included in computing regulatory capital. The minimum leverage capital ratio (defined as stockholders' equity less intangible assets divided by tangible assets) is $4 \%$ and the well capitalized ratio is greater than or equal to $5 \%$. Park's leverage ratio was $9.47 \%$ at June 30, 2011 and $9.54 \%$ at December 31, 2010. The minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (defined as leverage capital divided by risk-adjusted assets) is $4 \%$ and the well capitalized ratio is greater than or equal to $6 \%$. Park's Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio was $13.60 \%$ at June 30, 2011 and $13.24 \%$ at December 31, 2010. The minimum total risk-based capital ratio (defined as leverage capital plus supplemental capital divided by risk-adjusted assets) is $8 \%$ and the well capitalized ratio is greater than or equal to $10 \%$. Park's total risk-based capital ratio was $16.07 \%$ at June 30, 2011 and $15.71 \%$ at December 31, 2010.

The financial institution subsidiaries of Park each met the well capitalized ratio guidelines at June 30, 2011. The following table indicates the capital ratios for each financial institution subsidiary and Park at June 30, 2011.

|  | Tier 1 |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Leverage | Risk <br> Based | Risk-Based |  |  |  |
|  | 6.56 | $\%$ | 9.63 | $\%$ | 11.57 | $\%$ |
| The Park National Bank | 14.29 | $\%$ | 19.42 | $\%$ | 20.77 | $\%$ |
| Vision Bank - Restated | 9.47 | $\%$ | 13.60 | $\%$ | 16.07 | $\%$ |
| Park National Corporation - Restated | 4.00 | $\%$ | 4.00 | $\%$ | 8.00 | $\%$ |
| Minimum capital ratio | 5.00 | $\%$ | 6.00 | $\%$ | 10.00 | $\%$ |
| Well capitalized ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## Contractual Obligations and Commitments

In the ordinary course of operations, Park enters into certain contractual obligations. Such obligations include the funding of operations through debt issuances as well as leases for premises. See Table 24 of Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A (Amendment No. 2) for disclosure concerning contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2010. There were no significant changes in contractual obligations and commitments during the first six months of 2011.

## Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

Park's subsidiary banks are parties to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of their respective customers. These financial instruments include loan commitments and standby letters of credit. The instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

The exposure to credit loss (for the subsidiary banks of Park) in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for loan commitments and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Park and each of its subsidiary banks use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as they do for on-balance sheet instruments. Since many of the loan commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan commitments to customers.

The total amounts of off-balance sheet financial instruments with credit risk were as follows:

|  |  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | June 30, 2011 | 2010 |  |
| Loan commitments | $\$ 829,453$ | $\$$ | 716,598 |
| Standby letters of credit | $\$ 82,228$ | $\$$ | 24,462 |

## ITEM 3 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Management reviews interest rate sensitivity on a bi-monthly basis by modeling the consolidated financial statements under various interest rate scenarios. The primary reason for these efforts is to guard Park from adverse impacts of unforeseen changes in interest rates. Management continues to believe that further changes in interest rates will have a small impact on net income, consistent with the disclosure on pages 43 and 44 of Park's 2010 Annual Report.

On Table 23 of Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A (Amendment No. 2), management reported that Park's twelve month cumulative rate sensitivity gap was a positive (assets exceeding liabilities) $\$ 647.8$ million or $9.53 \%$ of interest earning assets at December 31, 2010. At June 30, 2011, Park's twelve month cumulative rate sensitivity gap was a positive (assets exceeding liabilities) $\$ 491$ million or $7.3 \%$ of interest earning assets.

Management supplements the interest rate sensitivity gap analysis with periodic simulations of balance sheet sensitivity under various interest rate and what-if scenarios to better forecast and manage the net interest margin. Management uses a 50 basis point change in market interest rates per quarter for a total of 200 basis points per year in evaluating the impact of changing interest rates on net interest income and net income over a twelve month horizon.
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On page 44 of Park's 2010 Annual Report, management reported that at December 31, 2010, the earnings simulation model projected that net income would increase by $2.4 \%$ using a rising interest rate scenario and decrease by $1.4 \%$ using a declining interest rate scenario over the next year. At June 30, 2011, the earnings simulation model projected that net income would decrease by $3.07 \%$ using a rising interest rate scenario and would decrease by $1.14 \%$ in a declining interest rate scenario. At June 30, 2011, management continues to believe that gradual changes in interest rates ( 50 basis points per quarter for a total of 200 basis points per year) will have a small impact on net income.

## ITEM 4 - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2011, the end of the quarterly period covered by this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011, Park carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of Park's management, including Park's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (the principal executive officer) and Park's Chief Financial Officer (the principal financial officer), of the effectiveness of Park's disclosure controls and procedures. In designing and evaluating Park's disclosure controls and procedures, Park and its management recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and Park's management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures. Based upon the evaluation, Park's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and Park's Chief Financial Officer concluded that Park's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by Park in the reports it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and the such information is accumulated and communicated to Park's management, including Park's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and Park's Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As a result of the events necessitating the restatement described in the Explanatory Note and in Notes 1 and 1A of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements set forth in "Item 1 - Financial Statements" of Part I of this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011, however, Park's management, including Park's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and Park's Chief Financial Officer, has reevaluated the design and operation of Park's disclosure controls and procedures and has now concluded that, as of June 30, 2011, Park did not maintain effective controls to ensure that the allowance for loan losses related to certain impaired commercial loans with guarantor support and the expenses related to certain devaluations of other real estate owned ("OREO") and additional loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support were properly calculated.

Specifically, the accounting treatment giving rise to the restatement was the inclusion of estimated future cash flows supporting the allowance for loan losses related to certain impaired commercial loans. For the year ended December 31, 2010, as part of Park's process to measure impairment on certain impaired commercial loans at Vision Bank, management had relied on expected cash flows from guarantors, as to whom Vision Bank was in litigation. Management has determined that reliance on expected cash flows, which may require protracted litigation to actually be received, is inappropriate given the difficulty in obtaining objective verifiable evidence supporting the conclusion as to the amount and timing of the expected cash flows. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require that Park's assumption be "reasonable and supportable" and the facts and circumstances around the existence of protracted litigation make this assumption more difficult to support.

The restatement also reflects certain OREO devaluations and additional loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support. These expense items are related to valuation issues identified at December 31, 2010, where Vision Bank management utilized (i) the work of a third-party contractor, which was not a licensed appraiser, when calculating the fair value of collateral for certain impaired loans and the fair value of certain OREO held by Vision
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Bank, and management did not have sufficient documentation to support the estimates of this third-party contractor, and (ii) internal estimates of collateral value when calculating specific reserves for certain impaired loans when, at times, such internal estimates were outdated. The impact is to reverse provisions for loan losses and OREO devaluations in the restated audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Because of these control deficiencies, which are considered to be material weaknesses and resulted in the restatement of not only Park's previously issued audited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference in Park's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 but also Park's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in Park's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2011, June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011, Park's management has restated its assessment for the period ended June 30, 2011 and concluded that Park's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of June 30, 2011.

Park reviews its disclosure controls and procedures, which may include its internal control over financial reporting, on an ongoing basis and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness. Park's management made process improvements throughout 2011 in an effort to address the material weakness related to guarantor support and as of the date of this filing, when calculating impairment under ASC 310, management no longer relies on expected cash flows from guarantors where litigation is required to collect those cash flows. Additionally, Park's management made process improvements throughout 2011 in an effort to address the material weakness related to the OREO devaluations and loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support. These process improvements included:

Management has discontinued the use of value-related information received from a third-party contractor, who is not a licensed appraiser. While management continues to consult with this third-party contractor on the current status of - loan workouts and progress related to the pursuit of legally bound borrowers and guarantors, management no longer utilizes the third-party contractor's estimates of value to determine the specific reserves that should be established on impaired loans.
Management has discontinued the use of information received from the third-party contractor to value OREO - properties. Currently, OREO properties are valued based on external appraisals that are no more than 12 months old and were prepared by external licensed appraisers.
Management has discontinued the use of retail lot values (discounted by management's standard bulk sale discount) - on lot development projects and is now utilizing the bulk sale value provided by external licensed appraisers, which in certain cases applies a larger discount.
In addition to the real estate appraisal policy in place as of December 31, 2010, management has enhanced its commercial loan policy to formalize the requirements for the frequency and dollar threshold for which updated real estate appraisals are to be obtained from qualified licensed appraisers with respect to impaired loans and OREO properties. This enhancement to the commercial loan policy also discusses those situations where internally prepared valuations ("IPV") are considered appropriate, the documentation that should accompany IPVs and the frequency of evaluating the accuracy of the assumptions and data used in the IPV estimates.

As of the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 2), management believes that the enhancements to Park's internal control processes have resolved the material weaknesses that existed as of December 31, 2010.

## Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Park reviews its disclosure controls and procedures, which may include its internal control over financial reporting, on an ongoing basis and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness. Park's management made process improvements throughout 2011 in an effort to address the material weakness related to guarantor
support and as of the date of this filing, when calculating impairment under ASC 310, management no longer relies on expected cash flows from guarantors where litigation is required to collect those cash flows. Additionally, Park's management made process improvements throughout 2011 in an effort to address the material weakness related to the OREO devaluations and loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support. These process improvements included:

Management has discontinued the use of value-related information received from a third-party contractor, who is not a licensed appraiser. While management continues to consult with this third-party contractor on the current status of -loan workouts and progress related to the pursuit of legally bound borrowers and guarantors, management no longer utilizes the third-party contractor's estimates of value to determine the specific reserves that should be established on impaired loans.
Management has discontinued the use of information received from the third-party contractor to value OREO - properties. Currently, OREO properties are valued based on external appraisals that are no more than 12 months old and were prepared by external licensed appraisers.
Management has discontinued the use of retail lot values (discounted by management's standard bulk sale discount) - on lot development projects and is now utilizing the bulk sale value provided by external licensed appraisers, which in certain cases applies a larger discount.
In addition to the real estate appraisal policy in place as of December 31, 2010, management has enhanced its commercial loan policy to formalize the requirements for the frequency and dollar threshold for which updated real estate appraisals are to be obtained from qualified licensed appraisers with respect to impaired loans and OREO properties. This enhancement to the commercial loan policy also discusses those situations where internally prepared valuations ("IPV") are considered appropriate, the documentation that should accompany IPVs and the frequency of evaluating the accuracy of the assumptions and data used in the IPV estimates.
As of the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 2), management believes that the enhancements to Park's internal control processes have resolved the material weaknesses that existed as of December 31, 2010.

## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There are no pending legal proceedings to which Park or any of its subsidiaries is a party or to which any of their property is subject, except for routine legal proceedings to which Park's subsidiary banks are parties incidental to their respective banking businesses. Park considers none of those proceedings to be material.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are certain risks and uncertainties in our business that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. In "ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS" of Part I of Park's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 (the "2010 Form 10-K"), we included a detailed discussion of our risk factors. Certain numbers included within the 2010 Form 10-K were subsequently updated in the 2010 Form 10-K/A - Amendment No. 2 filed on February 28, 2012. The following information updates certain of our risk factors and should be read in conjunction with the risk factors disclosed in the 2010 Form 10-K/A - Amendment No. 2, which served to restate the audited consolidated financial statements which had been incorporated by reference in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Park for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed on February 28, 2011, from Park's 2010 Annual Report. These risk factors should be read carefully in connection with evaluating our business and in connection with the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011. Any of the risks described below or in the 2010 Form 10-K, as updated by the 2010 Form 10-K/A - Amendment No. 2, could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or future results and the actual outcome of matters as to which forward-looking statements are made. These are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Changes in economic and political conditions could adversely affect our earnings, as our borrowers' ability to repay loans and the value of the collateral securing our loans decline.

Our success depends, to a certain extent, upon economic and political conditions, local and national, as well as governmental fiscal and monetary policies. Conditions such as inflation, recession, unemployment, changes in interest rates, money supply and other factors beyond our control may adversely affect our asset quality, deposit levels and loan demand and, therefore, our earnings and our capital. Because we have a significant amount of real estate loans, additional decreases in real estate values could adversely affect the value of property used as collateral and our ability to sell the collateral upon foreclosure. Adverse changes in the economy may also have a negative effect on the ability of our borrowers to make timely repayments of their loans, which would have an adverse impact on our earnings and cash flows. The substantial majority of the loans made by our subsidiaries are to individuals and businesses in Ohio or in Gulf Coast communities in Alabama and the Florida panhandle. Consequently, a significant decline in the economy in Ohio or in Gulf Coast communities in Alabama or the panhandle of Florida could have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

As disclosed earlier within this Form 10-Q/A for June 30, 2011, we continue to experience difficult credit conditions in the Alabama and Florida markets in which we operate. For the six month period ended June 30 2011, Vision Bank has experienced $\$ 37.3$ million in net loan charge-offs, or an annualized $12.11 \%$ of average loans. For the first six months of 2010, net loan charge-offs for Vision Bank were $\$ 15.6$ million, or an annualized $4.66 \%$ of average loans. The loan loss provision for Vision Bank was $\$ 15.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Park's nonperforming loans, defined as loans that are 90 days past due, nonaccrual and renegotiated loans, were $\$ 241.9$ million or $5.13 \%$ of total loans at June 30, 2011, $\$ 292.9$ million or $6.19 \%$ of loans at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 255.1$
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million or $5.48 \%$ of total loans at June 30, 2010. At June 30, 2011, Vision Bank had non-performing loans of $\$ 118.5$ million or $20.97 \%$ of total loans, compared to $\$ 171.8$ million or $26.82 \%$ of total loans at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 162.3$ million or $24.16 \%$ of total loans at June 30, 2010. While we continue to generate net earnings on a consolidated basis, Vision Bank continues to generate net losses and may generate net losses in the future. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, Vision Bank had a net loss of $\$ 11.1$ million and Park contributed capital of $\$ 21.0$ million to Vision Bank. Given the current economic environment in Vision Bank's market, Park's management has agreed to maintain the leverage ratio at Vision Bank at $12 \%$ and to maintain the total risk-based capital ratio at Vision Bank at $16 \%$. It remains uncertain when the negative credit trends at Vision Bank will reverse. As a result, Park's future earnings continue to be susceptible to further declining credit conditions in the markets in which we operate.
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Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
(a.) Not applicable
(b.) Not applicable
(c.) No purchases of Park's common shares were made by or on behalf of Park or any "affiliated purchaser" as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, during the three months ended June 30, 2011. The following table provides information concerning changes in the maximum number of common shares that may be purchased under Park's previously announced repurchase programs as a result of the forfeiture of previously outstanding incentive stock options:

| Period | Total number of common shares purchased | Average price paid per common share | Total number of common shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number of common shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 1 through April 30, 2011 | - | - | - | 1,047,231 |
| May 1 through <br> May 31, 2011 | - | - | - | 1,047,231 |
| June 1 through June 30, 2011 | - | - | - | 1,011,239 |
| Total | - | - | - | 1,011,239 |

(1) The number shown represents, as of the end of each period, the maximum number of common shares that may yet be purchased as part of Park's publicly announced stock repurchase authorization to fund the Park National Corporation 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan.

The Park National Corporation 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "2005 Plan") was adopted by the Board of Directors of Park on January 18, 2005 and was approved by the Park shareholders at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 18, 2005. Under the 2005 Plan, 1,500,000 common shares are authorized for delivery upon the exercise of incentive stock options granted under the 2005 Plan. All of the common shares delivered upon the exercise of incentive stock options granted under the 2005 Plan are to be treasury shares. As of June 30, 2011, incentive stock options covering 75,545 common shares were outstanding and $1,424,455$ common shares were available for future grants.

With 488,761 common shares held as treasury shares for purposes of the 2005 Plan at June 30, 2011, an additional $1,011,239$ common shares remained authorized for repurchase for purposes of funding the 2005 Plan.
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Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
Not applicable.
Item 4. [Reserved]
Item 5. Other Information
(a), (b) Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits
3.1(a) Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on March 24, 1992 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to Park National Corporation's Form 8-B, filed on May 20, 1992 (File No. 0-18772) ("Park's Form 8-B")
3.1(b) Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on May 6, 1993 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to Park National Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993 (File No. 0-18772))
3.1(c) Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 16, 1996 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to Park National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 1996 (File No. 1-13006))
3.1(d) Certificate of Amendment by Shareholders to the Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 22, 1997 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a)(1) to Park National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 1997 (File No. 1-13006) ("Park's June 30, 1997 Form 10-Q"))
3.1(e) Certificate of Amendment by Shareholders or Members as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio on December 18, 2008 in order to evidence the adoption by the shareholders of Park National Corporation on December 18, 2008 of an amendment to Article FOURTH of Park National Corporation's Articles of Incorporation to authorize Park National Corporation to issue up to 200,000 preferred shares, without par value (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Park National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed December 19, 2008 (File No. 1-13006))
3.1(f) Certificate of Amendment by Directors or Incorporators to Articles as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio on December 19, 2008, evidencing adoption of amendment by Board of Directors of Park National Corporation to Article FOURTH of Articles of Incorporation to establish express terms of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Shares, Series A, each without par value, of Park National Corporation (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Park National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed December 23, 2008 (File No. 1-13006))
3.1(g) Certificate of Amendment by Shareholders or Members filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio on April 18, 2011 in order to evidence the adoption by Park National Corporation's shareholders of an amendment to Article SIXTH of Park National Corporation's Articles of Incorporation in order to provide that shareholders do not have preemptive rights (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Park National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated 3.1 (h) and filed April 19, 2011 (File No. 1-13006))

Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation (reflecting amendments through April 18, 2011) [for SEC reporting compliance purposes only - not filed with Ohio Secretary of State] (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1(h) to Park National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011 (File No. 1-13006))
3.2(a) Regulations of Park National Corporation (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to Park's Form 8-B)
3.2(b) Certified Resolution regarding Adoption of Amendment to Subsection 2.02(A) of the Regulations of Park National Corporation by Shareholders on April 21, 1997 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(b)(1) to Park's June 30, 1997 Form 10-Q)
3.2(c) Certificate Regarding Adoption of Amendments to Sections 1.04 and 1.11 of Park National Corporation's Regulations by the Shareholders on April 17, 2006 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Park National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed on April 18, 2006 (File No. 1-13006))
3.2(d) Certificate Regarding Adoption by the Shareholders of Park National Corporation on April 21, 2008 of Amendment to Regulations to Add New Section 5.10 to Article Five (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2(d) to Park National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008 (File No. 1-13006) ("Park's March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q"))
3.2(e) Regulations of Park National Corporation (reflecting amendments through April 21, 2008) [For purposes of SEC reporting compliance only] (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2(e) to Park's March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q)

12 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Share Dividends (filed herewith)
31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications (Principal Executive Officer) (filed herewith)
31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certifications (Principal Financial Officer) (filed herewith)
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Section 1350 Certifications Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (Principal Executive Officer) (furnished herewith)
32.2 Section 1350 Certifications Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (Principal Financial Officer) (furnished herewith)

101 The following information from Park's June 30, 2011 Form 10-Q formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010; (ii) the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited); (iii) the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited); (iv) the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited); and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the interactive data files included as Exhibit 101 are furnished and not deemed filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those Sections.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

DATE: February 28, 2012

DATE: February 28, 2012
/s/ C. Daniel DeLawder
C. Daniel DeLawder

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
/s/ John W. Kozak
John W. Kozak
Chief Financial Officer
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