

OFS Capital Corp
Form POS 8C
June 30, 2016

**As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission
on June 30, 2016**

Securities Act File No. 333-200376

**U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM N-2

**REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933
Pre-Effective Amendment No.
Post-Effective Amendment No. 2**

OFS CAPITAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in charter)

**10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500
Chicago, IL 60606**

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including Area Code:
(847) 734-2000
Bilal Rashid
10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500
Chicago, IL 60606

(Name and address of agent for service)

COPIES TO:
Cynthia M. Krus
Lisa A. Morgan
Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP
700 Sixth Street, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 383-0100

Approximate date of proposed public offering: From time to time after the effective date of this Registration Statement.

If any securities being registered on this form will be offered on a delayed or continuous basis in reliance on Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered in connection with a dividend reinvestment plan, check the following box.

It is proposed that this filing will become effective (check appropriate box):

when declared effective pursuant to section 8(c).

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

| Title of Securities Being Registered | Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price ⁽¹⁾ | Amount of Registration Fee ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|--|---|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | | |
| Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Warrants ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Subscription Rights ⁽³⁾ | | |
| Debt Securities ⁽⁴⁾ | | |

Total⁽⁵⁾ \$ 200,000,000 ⁽⁶⁾ \$ 23,240 ⁽⁷⁾

(1) Estimated pursuant to Rule 457(o) under the Securities Act of 1933 solely for the purpose of determining the registration fee. The proposed maximum offering price per security will be determined, from time to time, by the Registrant in connection with the sale by the Registrant of the securities registered under this Registration Statement.

(2) Subject to Note 6 below, there is being registered hereunder an indeterminate number of shares of common stock, preferred stock, warrants, or subscription rights to purchase shares of common stock as may be sold, from time to time, or debt securities.

(3) Includes such indeterminate number of shares of common stock as may be issued upon, from time to time, conversion or exchange of other securities registered hereunder, to the extent any such securities are, by their terms, convertible or exchangeable for common stock.

(4) Subject to Note 6 below, there is being registered hereunder an indeterminate number of debt securities as may be sold, from time to time. If any debt securities are issued at an original issue discount, then the offering price shall be in such greater principal amount as shall result in an aggregate price to investors not to exceed \$200.0 million.

(5) Subject to Note 6 below, there is being registered hereunder an indeterminate number of warrants as may be sold, from time to time.

(6) In no event shall the aggregate offering price of all securities issued from time to time pursuant to the registration statement exceed \$200.0 million.

(7)

Previously paid.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED June 30, 2016

\$200,000,000

**OFS CAPITAL
CORPORATION**

**Common Stock
Preferred Stock
Warrants
Subscription Rights
Debt Securities**

We are an externally managed, non-diversified, closed-end management investment company that has elected to be treated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the 1940 Act. Our investment objective is to provide our shareholders with both current income and capital appreciation primarily through debt investments and, to a lesser extent, equity investments.

We may offer, from time to time, in one or more offerings or series, up to \$200.0 million in shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, warrants representing rights to purchase shares of our common stock, preferred stock or debt securities, subscription rights or debt securities which we refer to, collectively, as the securities. We may sell our securities through underwriters or dealers, at-the-market to or through a market maker into an existing trading market or otherwise directly to one or more purchasers or through agents or through a combination of methods of sale. The identities of such underwriters, dealers, market makers or agents, as the case may be, will be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. The securities may be offered at prices and on terms to be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus.

The securities may be offered directly to one or more purchasers, or through agents designated from time to time by us, or to or through underwriters or dealers. The prospectus supplement relating to an offering will identify any agents or underwriters involved in the sale of shares of our securities, and will disclose any applicable purchase price, fee, commission or discount arrangement between us and our agents or underwriters or among our underwriters or the basis upon which such amount may be calculated. See Plan of Distribution. We may not sell any securities through agents, underwriters or dealers without delivery of this prospectus and a prospectus supplement describing the method and terms of the offering of the securities.

Substantially all of the debt securities in which we invest are below investment grade debt securities and are often referred to as high yield or junk securities. Exposure to below investment grade securities involves certain risk, and those securities are viewed as having predominately speculative characteristics with respect to the issuer's capacity to pay interest and repay principal. A material amount of our debt investments contain floating interest rate provisions that may make it more difficult for the borrowers to make debt repayments. Further, our debt investments generally will not pay down principal during their term which could result in a substantial loss to us if the portfolio company is unable to refinance or repay the debt at maturity.

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol OFS. On June 28, 2016, the last reported sales price on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for our common stock was \$12.83 per share. We are required to determine the net asset value per share of our common stock on a quarterly basis. Our net asset value per share of our common stock as of March 31, 2016 was \$14.65.

We qualify as an emerging growth company, as that term is used in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012 (the JOBS Act). An emerging growth company may take advantage of specified reduced reporting and other burdens that are otherwise applicable generally to public companies. However, we are choosing to opt out of such extended transition period, and as a result, we will comply with new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for non-emerging growth companies. Our decision to opt out of the extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards is irrevocable.

Please read this prospectus before investing and keep it for future reference. It contains important information about us that a prospective investor ought to know before investing in our securities. We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information about us with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information is available free of charge by contacting Investor Relations of OFS Capital Corporation, 10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, IL 60606, or by calling us at (847) 734-2000 or on our website at www.ofscapital.com. The Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, maintains a website at www.sec.gov where such information is available without charge. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this prospectus, and you should not consider information contained on our website to be part of this prospectus.

Investing in our securities involves a high degree of risk, including credit risk and the risk of the use of leverage. Before buying any of our securities, you should read the discussion of the material risks of investing in our securities in Risk Factors beginning on page 16 of this prospectus.

Neither the SEC nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities, or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

This prospectus may not be used to consummate sales of securities unless accompanied by a prospectus supplement.

Prospectus dated _____, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| | Page |
|--|------------|
| <u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>FEES AND EXPENSES</u> | <u>9</u> |
| <u>SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES</u> | <u>15</u> |
| <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | <u>16</u> |
| <u>SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u> | <u>49</u> |
| <u>PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>THE COMPANY</u> | <u>79</u> |
| <u>SENIOR SECURITIES</u> | <u>89</u> |
| <u>PORTFOLIO COMPANIES</u> | <u>90</u> |
| <u>MANAGEMENT</u> | <u>95</u> |
| <u>PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT</u> | <u>102</u> |
| <u>MANAGEMENT AND OTHER AGREEMENTS</u> | <u>104</u> |
| <u>RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS</u> | <u>112</u> |
| <u>CONTROL PERSONS AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS</u> | <u>115</u> |
| <u>DETERMINATION OF NET ASSET VALUE</u> | <u>117</u> |
| <u>DISTRIBUTION REINVESTMENT PLAN</u> | <u>120</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF OUR CAPITAL STOCK</u> | <u>122</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF OUR PREFERRED STOCK</u> | <u>125</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF OUR SUBSCRIPTION RIGHTS</u> | <u>126</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF OUR WARRANTS</u> | <u>128</u> |
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF OUR DEBT SECURITIES</u> | <u>130</u> |
| <u>REGULATION</u> | <u>142</u> |
| <u>MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS</u> | <u>149</u> |
| <u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u> | <u>156</u> |
| <u>CUSTODIAN, TRANSFER AND DISTRIBUTION PAYING AGENT AND REGISTRAR</u> | <u>157</u> |
| <u>BROKERAGE ALLOCATION AND OTHER PRACTICES</u> | <u>158</u> |
| <u>LEGAL MATTERS</u> | <u>158</u> |
| <u>INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u> | <u>158</u> |
| <u>CHANGE IN INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u> | <u>158</u> |
| <u>AVAILABLE INFORMATION</u> | <u>159</u> |
| <u>INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | <u>F-1</u> |

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus and any prospectus supplement to this prospectus. We have not authorized any dealer, salesperson or other person to provide you with different information or to make representations as to matters not stated in this prospectus or any accompanying prospectus supplement. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. This prospectus and any such supplement do not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities by any person in any jurisdiction where it is unlawful for that person to make such an offer or solicitation or to any person in any jurisdiction to whom it is unlawful to make such an offer or solicitation.

The information in this prospectus and any such supplement is accurate only as of its date, and under no

circumstances should the delivery of this prospectus and any such supplement or the sale of any securities imply that the information in this prospectus is accurate as of any later date or that the affairs of OFS Capital Corporation have not changed since such date. This prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement will be updated to reflect material changes.

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS

This prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement is part of a registration statement that we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission using the shelf registration process. Under the shelf registration process, which constitutes a delayed offering in reliance on Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, we may offer, from time to time, up to \$200.0 million of shares of our common stock, preferred stock, warrants representing rights to purchase shares of our common stock, preferred stock or debt securities, subscription rights, or debt securities on the terms to be determined at the time of the offering. We may sell our securities through underwriters or dealers, at-the-market to or through a market maker, into an existing trading market or otherwise directly to one or more purchasers or through agents or through a combination of methods of sale. The identities of such underwriters, dealers, market makers or agents, as the case may be, will be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. Our securities may be offered at prices and on terms described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. This prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement provides you with a general description of our securities that we may offer. Each time we use this prospectus to offer our securities, we will provide a prospectus supplement that will contain specific information about the terms of that offering. The prospectus supplement may also add, update or change information contained in this prospectus. Please carefully read this prospectus and any such supplements together with the additional information described under Available Information and Risk Factors sections before you make an investment decision.

A prospectus supplement may also add to, update or change information contained in this prospectus.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights some of the information in this prospectus. It is not complete and may not contain all of the information that you may want to consider before investing in our securities. Throughout this prospectus, we refer to OFS Capital Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries as the Company, we, us or our; OFS Capital Management, LLC as OFS Advisor or the Advisor; and OFS Capital Services, LLC as OFS Services or the Administrator.

OFS Capital Corporation

We are an externally managed, closed-end, non-diversified management investment company. Our investment objective is to provide our shareholders with both current income and capital appreciation primarily through debt investments and, to a lesser extent, equity investments. Our investment strategy focuses primarily on investments in middle-market companies in the United States. We use the term middle-market to refer to companies that may exhibit one or more of the following characteristics: number of employees less than 2,000; revenues between \$15 million and \$300 million; annual earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, between \$3 million and \$50 million; generally, private companies owned by private equity firms or owners/operators; and enterprise value between \$10 million and \$500 million. For additional information about how we define the middle-market, see The Company Investment Criteria/Guidelines.

As of March 31, 2016, our investment portfolio consisted of outstanding loans of approximately \$218.3 million in aggregate principal amount in 35 portfolio companies and equity investments of approximately \$32.5 million, at fair value. As of March 31, 2016, 61% of our investment portfolio was comprised of senior secured loans, 26% of subordinated loans and 13% of equity investments, at fair value.

While our investment strategy focuses primarily on middle-market companies in the United States, including senior secured loans, which includes first-lien, second-lien and unitranche loans as well as subordinated loans and, to a lesser extent, warrants and other equity securities, we also may invest up to 30% of our portfolio in opportunistic investments of non-eligible portfolio companies. Specifically, as part of this 30% basket, we may consider investments in investment funds that are operating pursuant to certain exceptions to the 1940 Act and in advisers to similar investment funds, as well as in debt of middle-market companies located outside of the United States and debt and equity of public companies that do not meet the definition of eligible portfolio companies because their market capitalization of publicly traded equity securities exceeds the levels provided for in the 1940 Act.

Our investment strategy includes OFS SBIC I LP (SBIC I LP), which received a license under the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) Small Business Investment Company program in May 2012. The SBIC license allows SBIC I LP to receive SBA-guaranteed debenture funding, subject to the issuance of a leverage commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. SBA leverage funding is subject to SBIC I LP 's payment of certain fees to the SBA, and the ability of SBIC I LP to draw on the leverage commitment is subject to its compliance with SBA regulations and policies, including an audit by the SBA. For additional information regarding the regulation of SBIC I LP, see Regulation Small Business Investment Company Regulations.

In January 2015, we filed an application with the SBA for a second SBIC license, which, if approved, would provide up to \$75.0 million in additional SBA debentures for the funding of our future investments upon our contribution of at least \$37.5 million in additional regulatory capital and subject to the issuance of a leverage commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. There can be no assurance as to whether or when this application will be approved by

the SBA.

On a stand-alone basis, SBIC I LP held approximately \$249.6 million and \$245.1 million in assets at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, which accounted for approximately 85% and 83% of our total consolidated assets at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Our investment activities are managed by OFS Capital Management, LLC (OFS Advisor), and supervised by our board of directors, a majority of whom are independent of us, OFS Advisor and its affiliates. Under the investment advisory agreement between us and OFS Advisor (the Investment Advisory Agreement), we have agreed to pay OFS Advisor an annual base management fee based on the average

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

value of our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity) as well as an incentive fee based on our investment performance. We have elected to exclude from the base management fee calculation any base management fee that would be owed in respect of the intangible asset and goodwill resulting from our acquisitions of the remaining ownership interests in SBIC I LP and SBIC I GP, LLC (SBIC I GP) on December 4, 2013.

We have also entered into an administration agreement (Administration Agreement) with OFS Capital Services, LLC (OFS Services). Under our Administration Agreement, we have agreed to reimburse OFS Services for our allocable portion (subject to the review and approval of our independent directors) of overhead and other expenses incurred by OFS Services in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement.

As a business development company (BDC), we must not acquire any assets other than qualifying assets specified in the 1940 Act unless, at the time the acquisition is made, at least 70% of our assets, as defined by the 1940 Act, are qualifying assets (with certain limited exceptions). Qualifying assets include investments in eligible portfolio companies. Under the relevant Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules, the term eligible portfolio company includes all private companies, companies whose securities are not listed on a national securities exchange, and certain public companies that have listed their securities on a national securities exchange and have a market capitalization of less than \$250 million, in each case organized in the United States.

We are permitted to borrow money from time to time within the levels permitted by the 1940 Act (which generally allows us to incur leverage for up to 50% of our asset base). We may borrow money when the terms and conditions available are favorable to do so and are aligned with our investment strategy and portfolio composition. The use of borrowed funds or the proceeds of preferred stock to make investments would have its own specific benefits and risks, and all of the costs of borrowing funds or issuing preferred stock would be borne by holders of our common stock.

We have elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company (RIC) under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Code). To qualify as a RIC, we must, among other things, meet certain source-of-income and assets diversification requirements. Pursuant to these elections, we generally will not have to pay corporate-level taxes on any income we distribute to our shareholders.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Organizational Structure

About OFS and Our Adviser

OFS (which refers to the collective activities and operations of OFSAM and its subsidiaries and certain affiliates) is an established investment platform focused on meeting the capital needs of middle-market companies.

As of March 31, 2016, OFS had 41 full-time employees. OFS is headquartered in Chicago, Illinois, and has additional offices in New York, New York and Los Angeles, California.

Our investment activities are managed by OFS Advisor, our investment adviser. OFS Advisor is responsible for sourcing potential investments, conducting research and diligence on potential investments and equity sponsors, analyzing investment opportunities, structuring our investments and monitoring our investments and portfolio companies on an ongoing basis. OFS Advisor is a subsidiary of OFSAM, our parent company prior to the completion of our initial public offering (IPO), and is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the Advisers Act).

Our relationship with OFS Advisor is governed by and dependent on the Investment Advisory Agreement and may be subject to conflicts of interest. OFS Advisor provides us with advisory services in exchange for a base management fee and incentive fee. See Management and Other Agreements Investment Advisory Agreement for a discussion of the base management fee and incentive fee payable by us to OFS Advisor. The base management fee is based on our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents and the intangible asset and goodwill resulting from the SBIC Acquisitions but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity) and, therefore, OFS Advisor will benefit when we incur debt or use leverage. Our board of directors is charged with protecting our interests by monitoring how OFS Advisor addresses these and other conflicts of interest associated with its management services and compensation. While our board of directors is not expected to review or approve each borrowing or incurrence of leverage, our independent directors will periodically review OFS Advisor s services and fees as well as its portfolio management decisions and portfolio performance.

OFS Advisor has entered into a Staffing Agreement with Orchard First Source Capital, Inc. (OFSC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of OFSAM. Under the Staffing Agreement, OFSC makes experienced investment professionals available to OFS Advisor and provides access to the senior investment personnel of OFS and its affiliates. The Staffing Agreement provides OFS Advisor with access to deal flow generated by OFS and its

TABLE OF CONTENTS

affiliates in the ordinary course of their businesses and commits the members of OFS Advisor's investment committee to serve in that capacity. As our investment adviser, OFS Advisor is obligated to allocate investment opportunities among us and any other clients fairly and equitably over time in accordance with its allocation policy.

OFS Advisor capitalizes on the deal origination and sourcing, credit underwriting, due diligence, investment structuring, execution, portfolio management and monitoring experience of OFS's professionals. The senior management team of OFS, including Bilal Rashid, Jeff Cerny and Mark Hauser, provides services to OFS Advisor. These managers have developed a broad network of contacts within the investment community, averaging over 20 years of experience investing in debt and equity securities of middle-market companies. In addition, these managers have gained extensive experience investing in assets that will constitute our primary focus and have expertise in investing across all levels of the capital structure of middle-market companies.

Our Administrator

OFS Services, an affiliate of OFS Advisor, provides the administrative services necessary for us to operate. OFS Services furnishes us with office facilities and equipment, necessary software licenses and subscriptions and clerical, bookkeeping and recordkeeping services at such facilities. OFS Services oversees our financial reporting as well as prepares our reports to shareholders and all other reports and materials required to be filed with the SEC or any other regulatory authority. OFS Services also manages the determination and publication of our net asset value and the preparation and filing of our tax returns and generally monitors the payment of our expenses and the performance of administrative and professional services rendered to us by others. OFS Services may retain third parties to assist in providing administrative services to us. To the extent that OFS Services outsources any of its functions, we will pay the fees associated with such functions at cost, on a direct basis.

Market Opportunity

Our investment strategy is focused primarily on investments in middle-market companies in the United States. We find the middle-market attractive for the following reasons:

Large Target Market. According to the U.S. Census Bureau in its 2012 economic census, there were approximately 197,000 companies in the United States with annual revenues between \$10 million and \$2.5 billion, compared with approximately 1,300 companies with revenues greater than \$2.5 billion at the time of the census. We believe that these middle-market companies represent a significant growth segment of the U.S. economy and often require substantial capital investments to grow. Middle-market companies have historically constituted the vast bulk of OFS's portfolio companies since its inception, and constituted the vast bulk of our portfolio as of March 31, 2016. We believe that this market segment will continue to produce significant investment opportunities for us.

Specialized Lending Requirements with High Barriers to Entry. We believe that several factors render many U.S. financial institutions ill-suited to lend to U.S. middle-market companies. For example, based on the experience of our management team, lending to private middle-market companies in the United States (a) is generally more labor-intensive than lending to larger companies due to the smaller size of each investment and the fragmented nature of information for such companies, (b) requires due diligence and underwriting practices consistent with the demands and economic limitations of the middle-market, and (c) may also require more extensive ongoing monitoring by the lender. As a result, middle-market companies historically have been served by a limited segment of the lending community. As a result of the unique challenges facing lenders to middle-market companies, there are high barriers to entry that a new lender must overcome.

Robust Demand for Debt Capital. We believe that private equity firms have significant committed but uncalled capital, a large portion of which is still available for investment in the United States. Subject to market conditions, we expect the large amount of unfunded buyout commitments will drive demand for leveraged buyouts over the next several years, which should, in turn, create leveraged lending opportunities for us.

4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Competitive Strengths and Core Competencies

Deep Management Team Experienced in All Phases of Investment Cycle and Across All Levels of the Capital Structure. We are managed by OFS Advisor, which has access through the Staffing Agreement with OFSC to the resources and expertise of OFS's investment professionals. As of March 31, 2016, OFS's credit and investment professionals (including all investment committee members) employed by OFSC had an average of over 20 years of investment experience with strong institutional backgrounds.

Significant Investment Capacity. The net proceeds of equity and debt offerings and borrowing capacity under our credit facilities, will provide us with a substantial amount of capital available for deployment into new investment opportunities in our targeted asset class.

Scalable Infrastructure Supporting the Entire Investment Cycle. We believe that our loan acquisition, origination and sourcing, underwriting, administration and management platform is highly scalable (that is, it can be expanded on a cost efficient basis within a timeframe that meets the demands of business growth). Our platform extends beyond origination and sourcing and includes a regimented credit monitoring system. We believe that our careful approach, which involves ongoing review and analysis by an experienced team of professionals, should enable us to identify problems early and to assist borrowers before they face difficult liquidity constraints.

Extensive Loan Sourcing Capabilities. OFS Advisor gives us access to the deal flow of OFS. We believe OFS's 20-year history as a middle-market lending platform and its market position make it a leading lender to many sponsors and other deal sources, especially in the currently under-served lending environment, and we have extensive relationships with potential borrowers and other lenders.

Structuring with a High Level of Service and Operational Orientation. We provide client-specific and creative financing structures to our portfolio companies. Based on our experience in lending to and investing in middle-market companies, we believe that the middle-market companies we target, as well as sponsor groups we may pursue, require a higher level of service, creativity and knowledge than has historically been provided by other service providers more accustomed to participating in commodity-like loan transactions.

Rigorous Credit Analysis and Approval Procedures. OFS Advisor utilizes the established, disciplined investment process of OFS for reviewing lending opportunities, structuring transactions and monitoring investments. Using OFS's disciplined approach to lending, OFS Advisor seeks to minimize credit losses through effective underwriting, comprehensive due diligence investigations, structuring and, where appropriate, the implementation of restrictive debt covenants.

Structure of Investments

We anticipate that our loan portfolio will continue to contain investments of the following types with the following characteristics:

Senior Secured First-Lien Loans. First-lien senior secured loans comprise, and will continue to comprise, a significant portion of our investment portfolio. We obtain security interests in the assets of these portfolio companies as collateral in support of the repayment of these loans (in certain cases, subject to a payment waterfall). The collateral takes the form of first-priority liens on specified assets of the portfolio company borrower and, typically, first-priority pledges of the ownership interests in the borrower. Our first lien loans may provide for moderate loan amortization in the early years of the loan, with the majority of the amortization deferred until loan maturity.

Senior Secured Unitranche Loans. Unitranche loans are loans that combine both senior and subordinated debt into one loan under which the borrower pays a single blended interest rate that is intended to reflect the relative risk of the secured and unsecured components. We typically structure our unitranche loans as senior secured loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of these portfolio companies as collateral in support of the repayment of these loans. This collateral takes the form of first-priority liens on the assets of a portfolio company and, typically, first-priority pledges of the ownership interests in the company. We believe that unitranche lending represents a significant growth opportunity for us, offering the borrower the convenience of dealing with one lender, which may result in a higher blended rate of interest to us than we might realize in a traditional multi-tranche structure. Unitranche loans typically provide for moderate loan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

amortization in the initial years of the facility, with the majority of the amortization deferred until loan maturity. Unitranche loans generally allow the borrower to make a large lump sum payment of principal at the end of the loan term, and there is a risk of loss if the borrower is unable to pay the lump sum or refinance the amount owed at maturity. In many cases, we will be the sole lender, or we, together with our affiliates, will be the sole lender, of unitranche loans, which can afford us additional influence with a borrower in terms of monitoring and, if necessary, remediation in the event of underperformance.

Senior Secured Second-lien Loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of these portfolio companies as collateral in support of the repayment of such loans. This collateral typically takes the form of second-priority liens on the assets of a portfolio company, and we may enter into an intercreditor agreement with the holders of the portfolio company's first-lien senior secured debt. These loans typically provide for no contractual loan amortization in the initial years of the facility, with all amortization deferred until loan maturity.

Subordinated (Mezzanine) Loans. We typically structure these investments as unsecured, subordinated loans that typically provide for relatively high, fixed interest rates that provide us with significant current interest income. These loans typically will have interest-only payments (often representing a combination of cash pay and payment-in-kind (PIK) interest) in the early years, with amortization of principal deferred to maturity. Mezzanine loans generally allow the borrower to make a large lump sum payment of principal at the end of the loan term, and there is a risk of loss if the borrower is unable to pay the lump sum or refinance the amount owed at maturity. Mezzanine investments are generally more volatile than secured loans and may involve a greater risk of loss of principal. Mezzanine loans often include a PIK feature (meaning a feature allowing for the payment of interest in the form of additional principal amount of the loan instead of in cash), which effectively operates as negative amortization of loan principal, thereby increasing credit risk exposure over the life of the loan.

Warrants and Other Equity Securities. In some cases, we will also acquire an equity interest in the portfolio company in connection with making a loan, or receive nominally priced warrants or options to buy a minority equity interest in the portfolio company in connection with a loan. As a result, as a portfolio company appreciates in value, we may achieve additional investment return from this equity interest. We may structure such warrants to include provisions protecting our rights as a minority-interest holder, as well as a put, or right to sell such securities back to the issuer, upon the occurrence of specified events. In many cases, we may also seek to obtain registration rights in connection with these equity interests, which may include demand and piggyback registration rights.

General Structuring Considerations. We tailor the terms of each investment to the facts and circumstances of the transaction and the prospective portfolio company, negotiating a structure that protects our rights and manages our risk while creating incentives for the portfolio company to achieve its business plan and improve its operating results.

We seek to limit the downside potential of our investments by:

selecting investments that we believe have a very low probability of loss;
requiring a total return on our investments (including both interest and potential equity appreciation) that we believe will compensate us appropriately for credit risk; and

negotiating covenants in connection with our investments that afford our portfolio companies as much flexibility in managing their businesses as possible, consistent with the preservation of our capital. Such restrictions may include affirmative and negative covenants, default penalties, lien protection, change of control provisions and board rights, including either observation or rights to a seat on the board of directors under some circumstances.

We expect to hold most of our investments to maturity or repayment, but we may sell some of our investments earlier if a liquidity event occurs, such as a sale, recapitalization or worsening of the credit quality of the portfolio company.

Conflicts of Interests

Subject to certain 1940 Act restrictions on co-investments with affiliates, OFS Advisor will offer us the right to participate in investment opportunities that it determines are appropriate for us in view of our

6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

investment objective, policies and strategies and other relevant factors. Such offers will be subject to the exception that, in accordance with OFS Advisor's allocation policy, we might not participate in each individual opportunity but will, on an overall basis, be entitled to participate fairly and equitably with other entities managed by OFS Advisor and its affiliates.

To the extent that we compete with entities managed by OFS Advisor or any of its affiliates for a particular investment opportunity, OFS Advisor will allocate investment opportunities across the entities for which such opportunities are appropriate, consistent with (a) its internal allocation policy, (b) the requirements of the Advisers Act, and (c) certain restrictions under the 1940 Act and rules thereunder regarding co-investments with affiliates. OFS Advisor's allocation policy is intended to ensure that we generally share fairly and equitably with other investment funds or other investment vehicles managed by OFS Advisor or its affiliates in investment opportunities that OFS Advisor determines are appropriate for us in view of our investment objective, policies and strategies and other relevant factors, particularly those involving a security with limited supply or involving differing classes of securities of the same issuer that may be suitable for us and such other investment funds or other investment vehicles. Under this allocation policy, if two or more investment vehicles with similar or overlapping investment strategies are in their investment periods, an available opportunity will be allocated based on the provisions governing allocations of such investment opportunities in the relevant organizational, offering or similar documents, if any, for such investment vehicles. In the absence of any such provisions, OFS Advisor will consider the following factors and the weight that should be given with respect to each of these factors:

investment guidelines and/or restrictions, if any, set forth in the applicable organizational, offering or similar documents for the investment vehicles;

risk and return profile of the investment vehicles;

suitability/priority of a particular investment for the investment vehicles;

if applicable, the targeted position size of the investment for the investment vehicles;

level of available cash for investment with respect to the investment vehicles;

total amount of funds committed to the investment vehicles; and

the age of the investment vehicles and the remaining term of their respective investment periods, if any.

In situations where co-investment with such other accounts is not permitted or appropriate, such as when there is an opportunity to invest in different securities of the same issuer, OFS Advisor will need to decide which account will proceed with the investment. The decision by OFS Advisor to allocate an opportunity to another entity could cause us to forego an investment opportunity that we otherwise would have made. See **Related-Party Transactions and Certain Relationships**.

On January 15, 2016, we filed an exemptive application with the SEC to permit us to co-invest with funds or entities managed by OFS Advisor in certain negotiated transactions where co-investing would otherwise be prohibited under the 1940 Act. Any such order, if granted by the SEC, will be subject to certain terms and conditions. There can be no assurance when or if such exemptive relief will be granted by the SEC. If such relief is granted, then we will be permitted to co-invest with our affiliates if a required majority (as defined in Section 57(o) of the 1940 Act) or our independent directors make certain conclusions in connection with a co-investment transaction, including that (1) the terms of the transactions, including the consideration to be paid, are reasonable and fair to us and our stockholders and do not involve overreaching by us or our stockholders on the part of any person concerned and (2) the transaction is consistent with the interests of our stockholders and is consistent with our investment objective and strategies.

Corporate Information

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

Our principal executive offices are located at 10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, IL, 60606, and our telephone number is (847) 734-2060. Our corporate website is located at <http://www.ofscapital.com>. Information on our website is not incorporated into or a part of this prospectus.

7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Implications of Being an Emerging Growth Company

We qualify as an emerging growth company, as that term is used in the JOBS Act. An emerging growth company may take advantage of specified reduced reporting and other burdens that are otherwise applicable generally to public companies. These provisions include:

An exemption from the auditors attestation requirement in the assessment of the emerging growth company's internal control over financial reporting under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Sarbanes-Oxley Act);

No non-binding advisory votes on executive compensation or golden parachute arrangements; and

Reduced financial statement and executive compensation requirements.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, we have complied with Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act regarding auditor attestation for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

In addition, Section 107 of the JOBS Act also provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), for complying with new or revised accounting standards. However, we are choosing to opt out of such extended transition period, and as a result, we will comply with new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for non-emerging growth companies. Our decision to opt out of the extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards is irrevocable.

We could remain an emerging growth company for up to five years, or until the earliest of (a) the last day of the first fiscal year in which our annual gross revenues exceed \$1 billion, (b) the date that we become a large accelerated filer as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Exchange Act, which would occur if the market value of our common stock that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of the last business day of our most recently completed second fiscal quarter, or (c) the date on which we have issued more than \$1 billion in non-convertible debt during the preceding three year period.

Risks

Investing in our securities may be speculative and involves certain risks relating to our structure and our investment objective that you should consider before deciding whether to invest. Certain of these risks are referenced below:

Capital markets are currently functional, but may experience periods of disruption and instability, which could have a negative impact on our business and operations.

There are numerous risks relating to our business, including credit losses on our investments, the risk of loss associated with leverage, illiquidity and valuation uncertainties in our investments, possible lack of appropriate investments, the lack of experience in operating a BDC of our investment adviser and our dependence on such investment adviser.

There are also numerous risks relating to our investments, including the risky nature of the securities in which we invest, the subordinated nature of select investments, our potential lack of control over our portfolio companies, our limited ability to invest in public or foreign companies and the potential incentives in our investment adviser to invest more speculatively than it would if it did not have an opportunity to earn incentive fees.

We also have various risks relating to our status as a BDC, including limitations on raising additional capital, failure to qualify as a BDC and loss of tax status as a RIC. In addition, SBIC I LP has the risk of losing its SBIC status.

There are also risks relating to this offering, including volatility in our stock price and the anti-takeover effect of certain provisions in our certificate of incorporation.

See Risk Factors beginning on page 16 of this prospectus for a more detailed discussion of these and other material risks you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in our securities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**FEES AND EXPENSES**

The following table is intended to assist you in understanding the costs and expenses that you will bear directly or indirectly. We caution you that the percentages indicated in the table below are estimates and may vary. Except where the context suggests otherwise, whenever this prospectus contains a reference to fees or expenses paid by us, the Company or OFS Capital, or that we will pay fees or expenses, you will indirectly bear such fees or expenses as an investor in OFS Capital.

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Shareholder transaction expenses: | | |
| Sales load (as a percentage of offering price) | ø) | |
| Offering expenses (as a percentage of offering price) | ø) | |
| Distribution reinvestment plan expenses | ø) | |
| Total shareholder transaction expenses (as a percentage of offering price) | | % |
| Annual expenses (as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock): | | |
| Base management fee payable under Investment Advisory Agreement | 3.14% ⁽⁴⁾ | |
| Incentive fees payable under Investment Advisory Agreement | 2.46% ⁽⁵⁾ | |
| Interest payments on borrowed funds | 3.74% ⁽⁶⁾ | |
| Other expenses | 2.91% ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Acquired fund fees and expenses | ø) | |
| Total annual expenses | 12.25% ⁽⁴⁾ | |

In the event that the securities to which this prospectus relates are sold to or through underwriters, a corresponding (1) prospectus supplement will disclose the applicable sales load and the following Example will be updated accordingly.

(2) The related prospectus supplement will disclose the applicable offering expenses and total shareholder transaction expenses.

(3) The expenses of the distribution reinvestment plan are included in other expenses. For additional information, see Distribution Reinvestment Plan.

Our base management fee, under the investment management agreement, is 1.75% of our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents, intangible assets, and goodwill, but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity). We may from time to time decide it is appropriate to (4) change the terms of the agreement. Under the 1940 Act, any material change to our Investment Advisory Agreement must be submitted to stockholders for approval. See Management and Other Agreements Investment Advisory Agreement.

The 3.14% fee reflected in the table is the ratio of the base management fee, calculated based on our statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2016, to our net assets attributable to common stock (rather than our total assets) as of March 31, 2016. We use leverage in our operations and the management fee is based on our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents, intangible assets, and goodwill, but including assets purchased with borrowed money and assets of any consolidated entity). Thus, the ratio reported in this table will exceed the 1.75% of total assets stated in the investment management agreement. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Investments Our base management fee may induce OFS Advisor to incur leverage.

(5) Assumes an incentive fee of \$3.5 million, which was calculated based on our statement of operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2016, excluding the Capital Gains Fee of \$(0.1) million, which represents the reversal of the Capital Gains Fee accrued at December 31, 2015. For the quarter ended March 31, 2016, we incurred an actual incentive fee expense of \$0.7 million, which consisted of part one incentive fees (based on net investment income) of \$0.8 million and part two incentive fees (based upon net realized and unrealized gains and losses, or capital

gains) of \$(0.1) million.

The incentive fee consists of two parts:

The first part (part one), payable quarterly in arrears, equals 20.0% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income initially calculated based on values at the closing of this offering (including income that is accrued but not yet received in cash), subject to a 2.0% quarterly (8.0% annualized) hurdle rate and a catch-up provision measured as of the end of each calendar quarter. Under this provision, in any calendar quarter, OFS Advisor receives no incentive fee until our pre-incentive fee net investment income equals the hurdle rate of

9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.0% but then receives, as a catch-up, 100% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.5%. The effect of this provision is that, if pre-incentive fee net investment income exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter, OFS Advisor will receive 20.0% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income as if a hurdle rate did not apply.

The hurdle rate is fixed at 2.0% quarterly (8% annualized), which means that, if interest rates rise, it will be easier for our pre-incentive fee net investment income to surpass the hurdle rate, which could lead to the payment of fees to OFS Advisor in an amount greater than expected. There is no accumulation of amounts on the hurdle rate from quarter to quarter and accordingly there is no clawback of amounts previously paid if subsequent quarters are below the quarterly hurdle rate and there is no delay of payment if prior quarters are below the quarterly hurdle rate.

The second part (part two), payable annually in arrears, equals 20.0% of our realized capital gains on a cumulative basis as of the closing of this offering through the end of the year, if any (or upon the termination of the Investment Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date), computed net of all realized capital losses and unrealized capital depreciation on a cumulative basis, less the aggregate amount of any previously paid capital gain incentive fees. Unrealized capital appreciation is accrued, but not paid until said appreciation is realized. We accrue the Capital Gains Fee if, on a cumulative basis, the sum of the net realized capital gains (and losses) plus net unrealized appreciation (and depreciation) is positive. See Management and Other Agreements Investment Advisory Agreement.

Interest payments on borrowed funds represents an estimate of our annualized interest expenses on our SBA debentures and our expected interest expense under the PWB Credit Facility over the next twelve months. At March 31, 2016, we had \$149.9 million of SBA debentures outstanding with an effective interest rate of 3.42%. At (6) March 31, 2016, the PWB Credit Facility was undrawn. Any advances under the facility bear interest at a fixed rate per annum equal to 4.75%. For purposes of this calculation, we have assumed an average amount outstanding over the next twelve months under the facility of \$3.8 million at a fixed rate per annum of 4.75%.

We may borrow additional funds from time to time to make investments to the extent we determine that the economic situation is conducive to doing so. We do not expect to issue any preferred stock during the next twelve months and, therefore, have not included the cost of issuing and servicing preferred stock in the table. In January 2015, we filed an application with the SBA for a second SBIC license, which, if approved, would provide up to \$75.0 million in additional SBA debentures for the funding of our future investments upon our contribution of at least \$37.5 million in additional regulatory capital and subject to the issuance of a leverage commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. There can be no assurance as to whether or when this application will be approved by the SBA. Our stockholders will bear directly or indirectly the costs of borrowings under any debt instruments we may enter into.

Includes our estimated overhead and other expenses, including payments under the Administration Agreement (7) based on our allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by OFS Services. See Management and Other Agreements Administration Agreement.

Our shareholders indirectly bear the expenses of underlying funds or other investment vehicles that would be (8) investment companies under section 3(a) of the 1940 Act but for the exceptions to that definition provided for in sections 3(c)(1) and 3(c)(7) of the 1940 Act (Acquired Funds) in which we invest. We do not currently invest in underlying funds or other investment companies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Example**

The following example demonstrates the projected dollar amount of total cumulative expenses over various periods with respect to a hypothetical investment in our common stock. In calculating the following expense amounts, we have assumed we would have no additional leverage and that our annual operating expenses would remain at the levels set forth in the table above. The expense amounts assume an annual base management fee 1.75% for each year. Transaction expenses are not included in the following example.

| | 1 year | 3 years | 5 years | 10 years |
|---|--------|---------|---------|-------------|
| You would pay the following expenses on a \$1,000 investment, assuming a 5% annual return | \$ 93 | \$ 265 | \$ 420 | \$ 745 |
| You would pay the following expenses on a \$1,000 investment, assuming a 5% annual return from realized capital gains | \$ 102 | \$ 289 | \$ 454 | \$ 787 |

The foregoing table is to assist you in understanding the various costs and expenses that an investor in our common stock will bear directly or indirectly. While the example assumes, as required by the SEC, a 5% annual return, our performance will vary and may result in a return greater or less than 5%. The incentive fee under the Investment Advisory Agreement, which, assuming a 5% annual return, would not be payable and is not included in the example. In addition, while the example assumes reinvestment of all distributions at net asset value, if our board of directors authorizes and we declare a cash distribution, participants in our distribution reinvestment plan who have not otherwise elected to receive cash will receive a number of shares of our common stock, determined by dividing the total dollar amount of the distribution payable to a participant by the market price per share of our common stock at the close of trading on the valuation date for the distribution. See [Distribution Reinvestment Plan](#) for additional information regarding our distribution reinvestment plan.

This example and the expenses in the table above should not be considered a representation of our future expenses, and actual expenses (including the cost of debt, if any, and other expenses) may be greater or less than those shown.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial and other data for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 are derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by BDO USA, LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm.

Selected financial and other data for the year ended December 31, 2013, the period November 8, 2012 to December 31, 2012, the period January 1, 2012 through November 7, 2012, and the year ended December 31, 2011 are derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by RSM US LLP, our previous independent registered public accounting firm. The selected financial data and other data for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 are derived from our unaudited financial statements. Interim results as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2016 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2016. The data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Senior Securities, and the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere herein.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- (1) The consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 included the Company's Pre-IPO and Post-IPO operations during 2012.
Weighted average annualized yield on income producing investments at fair value for the year ended December 31,
- (2) 2011 gives pro forma effect to OFS Capital's consolidation of OFS Capital WM as if the consolidation took place at December 31, 2011.
The number of portfolio companies at December 31, 2011 gives pro forma effect to OFS Capital's consolidation of
- (3) OFS Capital WM as a result of the WM 2012 Credit Facility Amendments, as if the consolidation took place at December 31, 2011.
The determination of the tax attributes of our distributions is made annually as of the end of our fiscal year based upon our taxable income for the full year and distributions paid for the full year. Therefore, a determination made on a quarterly basis may not be representative of the actual tax attributes of our distributions for a full year. If the
- (4) tax characteristics of our distributions paid during 2016 were determined as of March 31, 2016, approximately \$0.04 per share would represent a return of capital. The return of capital portion of these distributions as of December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 (which includes the period December 8, 2012 to December 31, 2012), was \$0.23, \$0.72, and \$0.40.
- (5) This represents investments at book value.
- (6) On January 1, 2016, we adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2015-03 which requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability to be presented on the balance sheet as a direct

13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

deduction from the carrying amount of the debt liability rather than as an asset. Adoption of ASU 2015-03 requires the changes to be applied retrospectively.

(7) This represents member's equity.

The following tables set forth certain quarterly financial information for the first quarter in the year ended December 31, 2016, and each of the first, second, third, and fourth quarters in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

This information was derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements. Results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or for any future quarter.

| | Quarter Ended (unaudited) March 31, 2016 |
|---|--|
| Total investment income | \$ 7,843 |
| Net investment income | 3,655 |
| Net realized and unrealized loss | (1,376) |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 2,279 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations per share ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 0.24 |
| Net asset value per share ⁽²⁾ | \$ 14.65 |

| | Quarter Ended (unaudited) | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | December 31, 2015 | September 30, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | March 31, 2015 |
| Total investment income | \$8,873 | \$ 7,688 | \$ 8,082 | \$ 7,621 |
| Net investment income | 4,280 | 3,630 | 2,752 | 2,749 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) | 1,896 | (2,209) | 4,650 | 483 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 6,176 | 1,421 | 7,402 | 3,232 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations per share ⁽¹⁾ | \$0.64 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.33 |
| Net asset value per share ⁽²⁾ | \$14.76 | \$ 14.46 | \$ 14.66 | \$ 14.24 |

| | Quarter Ended (unaudited) | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | December 31, 2014 | September 30, 2014 | June 30, 2014 | March 31, 2014 |
| Total investment income | \$6,953 | \$ 6,197 | \$4,658 | \$ 5,012 |
| Net investment income | 2,743 | 2,893 | 2,099 | 1,400 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) | 754 | 942 | (1,542) | 651 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 3,497 | 3,835 | 557 | 2,051 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations per share ⁽¹⁾ | \$0.36 | \$ 0.40 | \$0.06 | 0.21 |
| Net asset value per share ⁽²⁾ | \$14.24 | \$ 14.22 | \$14.17 | \$ 14.45 |

- (1) Based on weighted average shares outstanding for the respective period.
- (2) Based on shares outstanding at the end of the respective period.

14

TABLE OF CONTENTS**RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES**

The following table contains our ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the periods indicated, computed as set forth below. You should read these ratios of earnings to fixed charges in connection with our consolidated financial statements, including the notes to those statements, included in this prospectus.

| | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2015 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2014 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2013 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Earnings to Fixed Charges ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 2.74 | 3.52 | 2.58 | 2.42 | 2.16 | N/A |

For purposes of computing the ratios of earnings to fixed charges, earnings represent net increase in net assets resulting from operations plus (or minus) income tax expense (benefit) including excise tax expense plus fixed charges. Fixed charges include interest and credit facility fees expense and amortization of debt issuance costs.

(1) Earnings include net realized and unrealized gains or losses. Net realized and unrealized gains or losses can vary substantially from period to period.

(2) Not applicable for year ended December 31, 2011 as the Company had no fixed charges.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RISK FACTORS

Before you invest in our securities, you should be aware of various risks, including those described below. You should carefully consider these risk factors, together with all of the other information included in this prospectus, and any prospectus supplement accompanying this prospectus, before you decide whether to make an investment in our securities. The risks set out below are not the only risks we face, but they are the principal risks associated with an investment in us. If any of the following events occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In such case, our net asset value and the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment. The risk factors described below, together with those set forth in any prospectus supplement accompanying this prospectus, are the principal risk factors associated with an investment in our securities, as well as those factors generally associated with an investment company with investment objectives, investment policies, capital structure or trading markets similar to ours.

Risks Related to Our Business and Structure

Global capital markets could enter a period of severe disruption and instability. These conditions have historically affected and could again materially and adversely affect debt and equity capital markets in the United States and around the world and our business.

The U.S. and global capital markets experienced extreme volatility and disruption during the economic downturn that began in mid-2007, and the U.S. economy was in a recession for several consecutive calendar quarters during the same period. In 2010, a financial crisis emerged in Europe, triggered by high budget deficits and rising direct and contingent sovereign debt, which created concerns about the ability of certain nations to continue to service their sovereign debt obligations. Risks resulting from such debt crisis and any future debt crisis in Europe or any similar crisis elsewhere could have a detrimental impact on the global economic recovery, sovereign and non-sovereign debt in certain countries and the financial condition of financial institutions generally. In July and August 2015, Greece reached agreements with its creditors for bailouts that provide aid in exchange for certain austerity measures. These and similar austerity measures may adversely affect world economic conditions and have an adverse impact on our business and that of our portfolio companies. In the second quarter of 2015, stock prices in China experienced a significant drop, resulting primarily from continued sell-off of shares trading in Chinese markets. In August 2015, Chinese authorities sharply devalued China's currency. These market and economic disruptions adversely affected, and these and other similar market and economic disruptions may in the future affect, the U.S. capital markets, which could adversely affect our business and that of our portfolio companies. These market disruptions materially and adversely affected, and may in the future affect, the broader financial and credit markets and has reduced the availability of debt and equity capital for the market as a whole and to financial firms, in particular. At various times, these disruptions resulted in, and may in the future result, a lack of liquidity in parts of the debt capital markets, significant write-offs in the financial services sector and the repricing of credit risk. These conditions may reoccur for a prolonged period of time again or materially worsen in the future, including as a result of further downgrades to the U.S. government's sovereign credit rating or the perceived credit worthiness of the United States or other large global economies. Unfavorable economic conditions, including future recessions, also could increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. We may in the future have difficulty accessing debt and equity capital on attractive terms, or at all, and a severe disruption and instability in the global financial markets or deteriorations in credit and financing conditions may cause us to reduce the volume of loans we originate and/or fund, adversely affect the value of our portfolio investments or otherwise have a material

adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Prior to November 7, 2012, we had not operated as a BDC or qualified to be treated as a RIC, and none of OFS Advisor or its affiliates had ever managed a BDC or a RIC, and we may not be able to operate our business successfully or generate sufficient revenue to make or sustain distributions to our shareholders.

Prior to November 7, 2012, we had not operated as a BDC or qualified to be treated as a RIC, and none of OFS Advisor or its affiliates has ever managed a BDC. As a result of our limited experience as a BDC, we are subject to the business risks and uncertainties associated with new entities of these types, including the risk that we will not achieve our investment objective, or that we will not qualify or maintain our qualification to be treated as a RIC, and that the value of your investment could decline substantially.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The 1940 Act and the Code impose numerous constraints on the operations of business development companies and RICs. Business development companies are required, for example, to invest at least 70% of their assets, as defined by the 1940 Act, primarily in securities of U.S. private or thinly traded public companies, cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities and other high-quality debt instruments that mature in one year or less from the date of investment. Furthermore, any failure to comply with the requirements imposed on business development companies by the 1940 Act could cause the SEC to bring an enforcement action against us and/or expose us to claims of private litigants. In addition, upon approval of a majority of our shareholders, we may elect to withdraw our status as a BDC. If we decide to withdraw our election, or if we otherwise fail to qualify, or maintain our qualification, as a BDC, we may be subject to the substantially greater regulation under the 1940 Act as a closed-end investment company. Compliance with such regulations would significantly decrease our operating flexibility, and could significantly increase our costs of doing business. Moreover, qualification for treatment as a RIC requires satisfaction of source-of-income, asset diversification and distribution requirements. None of us, OFS Advisor or any of our or their respective affiliates has any experience operating under these constraints, which may hinder our ability to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities and to achieve our investment objective.

We are dependent upon the OFS senior professionals for our future success and upon their access to the investment professionals and partners of OFS and its affiliates.

We do not have any internal management capacity or employees. We will depend on the diligence, skill and network of business contacts of the OFS senior professionals to achieve our investment objective. Our future success will depend, to a significant extent, on the continued service and coordination of the OFS senior management team, particularly Bilal Rashid, Senior Managing Director and President of OFSC, Jeffrey Cerny, Senior Managing Director and Treasurer of OFSC and Mark Hauser, Senior Managing Director of OFSC. Each of these individuals is an employee at will of OFSC and, with the exception of Mr. Hauser, is not subject to an employment contract. In addition, we rely on the services of Richard Ressler, Chairman of the executive committee of OFSAM and Chairman of the Advisor Investment Committee (as defined below) pursuant to a consulting agreement with Orchard Capital Corporation. The departure of Mr. Ressler or any of the senior managers of OFSC, or of a significant number of its other investment professionals, could have a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve our investment objective.

We expect that OFS Advisor will evaluate, negotiate, structure, close and monitor our investments in accordance with the terms of the Investment Advisory Agreement. We can offer no assurance, however, that OFS senior professionals will continue to provide investment advice to us. If these individuals do not maintain their existing relationships with OFS and its affiliates and do not develop new relationships with other sources of investment opportunities, we may not be able to grow our investment portfolio or achieve our investment objective. In addition, individuals with whom the OFS senior professionals have relationships are not obligated to provide us with investment opportunities. Therefore, we can offer no assurance that such relationships will generate investment opportunities for us.

OFS Advisor is a subsidiary of OFSAM that has no employees and depends upon access to the investment professionals and other resources of OFS and its affiliates to fulfill its obligations to us under the Investment Advisory Agreement. OFS Advisor also depends upon OFS to obtain access to deal flow generated by the professionals of OFS and its affiliates. Under a Staffing Agreement between OFSC, a subsidiary of OFSAM that employs OFS's personnel, and OFS Advisor, OFSC has agreed to provide OFS Advisor with the resources necessary to fulfill these obligations.

The Staffing Agreement provides that OFSC will make available to OFS Advisor experienced investment professionals and access to the senior investment personnel of OFSC for purposes of evaluating, negotiating, structuring, closing and monitoring our investments. We are not a party to this Staffing Agreement and cannot assure shareholders that OFSC will fulfill its obligations under the agreement. If OFSC fails to perform, we cannot assure

shareholders that OFS Advisor will enforce the Staffing Agreement or that such agreement will not be terminated by either party or that we will continue to have access to the investment professionals of OFSC and its affiliates or their information and deal flow.

The investment committees that oversee our investment activities are provided by OFS Advisor under the Investment Advisory Agreement, as well as by SBIC I GP. The Advisor Investment Committee consists of Richard Ressler (Chairman), Jeffrey Cerny, Mark Hauser and Bilal Rashid. The SBIC Investment Committee consists of Mark Hauser and Bilal Rashid. The loss of any member of the Investment Committees or of other

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OFS senior professionals could limit our ability to achieve our investment objective and operate as we anticipate. This could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operation.

Our business model depends to a significant extent upon strong referral relationships with financial institutions, sponsors and investment professionals. Any inability of OFS Advisor to maintain or develop these relationships, or the failure of these relationships to generate investment opportunities, could adversely affect our business.

We depend upon OFS Advisor to maintain OFS's relationships with financial institutions, sponsors and investment professionals, and we will continue to rely to a significant extent upon these relationships to provide us with potential investment opportunities. If OFS Advisor fails to maintain such relationships, or to develop new relationships with other sources of investment opportunities, we will not be able to grow our investment portfolio. In addition, individuals with whom the principals of OFS Advisor have relationships are not obligated to provide us with investment opportunities, and, therefore, we can offer no assurance that these relationships will generate investment opportunities for us in the future.

Our financial condition and results of operation will depend on our ability to manage our business effectively.

Our ability to achieve our investment objective and grow will depend on our ability to manage our business. This will depend, in turn, on the ability of the Investment Committees to identify, invest in and monitor companies that meet our investment criteria. The achievement of our investment objectives on a cost-effective basis will depend upon the execution by the Investment Committees to execute our investment process, their ability to provide competent, attentive and efficient services to us and, to a lesser extent, our access to financing on acceptable terms. OFS Advisor will have substantial responsibilities under the Investment Advisory Agreement. The OFS senior professionals and other personnel of OFS Advisor's affiliates, including OFSC, may be called upon to provide managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. These activities may distract them or slow our rate of investment. Any failure to manage our business and our future growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have potential conflicts of interest related to obligations that OFS Advisor or its affiliates may have to other clients.

OFS Advisor and its affiliates manage other assets and collateralized loan obligation (CLO) funds and may manage other entities in the future, and these other funds and entities may have similar or overlapping investment strategies. The members of the Investment Committees serve or may serve as officers, directors or principals of entities that operate in the same or a related line of business as we do, or of investment funds or other investment vehicles managed by OFS Advisor or its affiliates. Similarly, OFS Advisor and/or its affiliates may have other clients with similar, different or competing investment objectives. In serving in these multiple capacities, they may have obligations to other clients or investors in those entities, the fulfillment of which may not be in the best interests of us or our shareholders. OFS Advisor will seek to allocate investment opportunities among eligible accounts in a manner that is fair and equitable over time and consistent with its allocation policy. Under this allocation policy, if two or more investment vehicles with similar or overlapping investment strategies are in their investment periods, an available opportunity will be allocated based on the provisions governing allocations of such investment opportunities

Our business model depends to a significant extent upon strong referral relationships with financial institutions, sponsors, sp

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

in the relevant organizational, offering or similar documents, if any, for such investment vehicles. In the absence of any such provisions, OFS Advisor will consider the following factors and the weight that should be given with respect to each of these factors:

investment guidelines and/or restrictions, if any, set forth in the applicable organizational, offering or similar documents for the investment vehicles;

risk and return profile of the investment vehicles;

suitability/priority of a particular investment for the investment vehicles;

if applicable, the targeted position size of the investment for the investment vehicles;

level of available cash for investment with respect to the investment vehicles;

TABLE OF CONTENTS

total amount of funds committed to the investment vehicles; and
the age of the investment vehicles and the remaining term of their respective investment periods, if any.
There can be no assurance that we will be able to participate in all investment opportunities that are suitable to us.

Members of the Investment Committees, OFS Advisor or its affiliates may, from time to time, possess material non-public information, limiting our investment discretion.

OFS senior professionals and members of the Investment Committees may serve as directors of, or in a similar capacity with, companies in which we invest, the securities of which are purchased or sold on our behalf. In the event that material nonpublic information is obtained with respect to such companies, or we become subject to trading restrictions under the internal trading policies of those companies or as a result of applicable law or regulations, we could be prohibited for a period of time from purchasing or selling the securities of such companies, and this prohibition may have an adverse effect on us and our stockholders.

Our incentive fee structure may create incentives for OFS Advisor that are not fully aligned with the interests of our shareholders.

In the course of our investing activities, we will pay management and incentive fees to OFS Advisor. The base management fee is based on our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents and the intangible asset and goodwill resulting from the SBIC Acquisitions but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity). As a result, investors in our common stock will invest on a gross basis and receive distributions on a net basis after expenses, resulting in a lower rate of return than one might achieve through direct investments. Because these fees are based on our total assets, other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including any assets owned by any consolidated entity, OFS Advisor will benefit when we incur debt or use leverage. Our board of directors is charged with protecting our interests by monitoring how OFS Advisor addresses these and other conflicts of interests associated with its management services and compensation. While our board of directors is not expected to review or approve each borrowing or incurrence of leverage, our independent directors will periodically review OFS Advisor's services and fees as well as its portfolio management decisions and portfolio performance. In connection with these reviews, our independent directors will consider whether our fees and expenses (including those related to leverage) remain appropriate. As a result of this arrangement, OFS Advisor or its affiliates may from time to time have interests that differ from those of our shareholders, giving rise to a conflict.

We may pay an incentive fee on income we do not receive in cash.

The part of the incentive fee payable to OFS Advisor that relates to our pre-incentive fee net investment income is computed and paid on income that may include interest income that has been accrued but not yet received in cash.

This fee structure may be considered to involve a conflict of interest for OFS Advisor to the extent that it may encourage OFS Advisor to favor debt financings that provide for deferred interest, rather than current cash payments of interest. OFS Advisor may have an incentive to invest in deferred interest securities in circumstances where it would not have done so but for the opportunity to continue to earn the incentive fee even when the issuers of the deferred interest securities would not be able to make actual cash payments to us on such securities. This risk could be increased because OFS Advisor is not obligated to reimburse us for any incentive fees received even if we subsequently incur losses or never receive in cash the deferred income that was previously accrued.

To the extent PIK interest and PIK dividends constitute a portion of our income, we will be exposed to typical risks associated with such income being required to be included in taxable and accounting income prior to receipt of cash representing such income.

Our investments may include contractual PIK interest or PIK dividends, which represents contractual interest or dividends added to a loan balance or equity security and due at the end of such loan's or equity security's term. To the extent PIK interest and PIK dividends constitute a portion of our income, we are exposed to typical risks associated with such income being required to be included in taxable and accounting income prior to receipt of cash. Such risks include:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The higher interest or dividend rates of PIK instruments reflect the payment deferral and increased risk associated with these instruments, and PIK instruments often represent a significantly higher risk than non-PIK instruments. Even if the accounting conditions for income accrual are met, the borrower could still default when our actual collection is supposed to occur at the maturity of the obligation.

PIK instruments may have unreliable valuations because their continuing accruals require continuing judgments about the collectability of the deferred payments and the value of any associated collateral. PIK income may also create uncertainty about the source of our cash distributions.

For accounting purposes, any cash distributions to shareholders representing PIK income are not treated as coming from paid-in capital, even though the cash to pay them comes from the offering proceeds. As a result, despite the fact that a distribution representing PIK income could be paid out of amounts invested by our shareholders, the 1940 Act does not require that shareholders be given notice of this fact by reporting it as a return of capital.

PIK interest or dividends have the effect of generating investment income at a compounding rate, thereby further increasing the incentive fees payable to OFS Advisor. Similarly, all things being equal, the deferral associated with PIK interest or dividends also decreases the investment principal-to-value ratio at a compounding rate.

The valuation process for certain of our portfolio holdings creates a conflict of interest.

Many of our portfolio investments are made in the form of securities that are not publicly traded. As a result, our board of directors will determine the fair value of these securities in good faith as described below in Many of our portfolio investments are recorded at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors and, as a result, there may be uncertainty as to the value of our portfolio investments. In connection with that determination, investment professionals from OFS Advisor may provide our board of directors with portfolio company valuations based upon the most recent portfolio company financial statements available and projected financial results of each portfolio company. In addition, the members of our board of directors who are not independent directors have a substantial indirect pecuniary interest in OFS Advisor. The participation of OFS Advisor's investment professionals in our valuation process, and the indirect pecuniary interest in OFS Advisor by those members of our board of directors, could result in a conflict of interest since OFS Advisor's management fee is based, in part, on our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity).

We may have additional conflicts related to other arrangements with OFS Advisor or its affiliates.

We have entered into a license agreement with OFSAM under which OFSAM has granted us a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to use the name OFS. See Management and Other Agreements License Agreement. In addition, we will rent office space from a subsidiary of OFSAM and pay to that subsidiary our allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, such as rent and our allocable portion of the cost of our officers, including our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, chief compliance officer and chief accounting officer. This will create conflicts of interest that our board of directors must monitor.

The Investment Advisory Agreement with OFS Advisor and the Administration Agreement with OFS Services were not negotiated on an arm's length basis and may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party.

The Investment Advisory Agreement and the Administration Agreement were negotiated between related parties. Consequently, their terms, including fees payable to OFS Advisor, may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party. In addition, we could choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights and remedies under these agreements because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with OFS Advisor, OFS Services and their respective affiliates. Any such decision, however, would breach our fiduciary obligations to our shareholders.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Our ability to enter into transactions with our affiliates will be restricted, which may limit the scope of investments available to us.

Because we have elected to be treated as a BDC under the 1940 Act, we are prohibited under the 1940 Act from participating in certain transactions with our affiliates without the prior approval of our independent directors and, in some cases, of the SEC. Those transactions include purchases and sales, and so-called joint transactions, in which we and one or more of our affiliates are engaging together in certain types of profit-making activities. Any person that owns, directly or indirectly, five percent or more of our outstanding voting securities will be our affiliate for purposes of the 1940 Act, and we are generally prohibited from engaging in purchases or sales of assets or joint transactions with such affiliates, absent the prior approval of our independent directors. Additionally, without the approval of the SEC, we are prohibited from engaging in purchases or sales of assets or joint transactions with the following affiliated persons: (a) our officers, directors, and employees; (b) OFS Advisor and its affiliates; and (c) OFSAM or its affiliates.

We may, however, invest alongside OFSAM and its affiliates or their respective other clients in certain circumstances where doing so is consistent with current law and SEC staff interpretations. For example, we may invest alongside such accounts consistent with guidance promulgated by the SEC staff permitting us and such other accounts to purchase interests in a single class of privately placed securities so long as certain conditions are met, including that OFS Advisor, acting on our behalf and on behalf of other clients, negotiates no term other than price. Co-investment with such other accounts is not permitted or appropriate under this guidance when there is an opportunity to invest in different securities of the same issuer or where the different investments could be expected to result in a conflict between our interests and those of other accounts. Moreover, except in certain circumstances, this guidance does not permit us to invest in any issuer in which OFSAM and its affiliates or a fund managed by OFSAM or its other affiliates has previously invested.

With the exception of investments specifically permitted by current law or regulatory guidance, we will not be permitted to co-invest with other funds managed by OFSAM or its affiliates unless we receive exemptive relief from the SEC permitting us to do so. On January 15, 2016, we filed an application for such relief with the SEC. See Regulation Exemptive Relief. There can be no assurance when or if such exemptive relief will be granted by the SEC.

Where we are or may in the future be permitted to invest alongside OFSAM and its affiliates or their respective other clients, OFS Advisor will, to the extent consistent with applicable law, regulatory guidance, or exemptive relief, allocate investment opportunities in accordance with its allocation policy. Under this allocation policy, if two or more investment vehicles with similar or overlapping investment strategies are in their investment periods, an available opportunity will be allocated based on the provisions governing allocations of such investment opportunities in the relevant organizational, offering or similar documents, if any, for such investment vehicles. In the absence of any such provisions, OFS Advisor will consider the following factors and the weight that should be given with respect to each of these factors:

investment guidelines and/or restrictions, if any, set forth in the applicable organizational, offering or similar documents for the investment vehicles;

risk and return profile of the investment vehicles;

suitability/priority of a particular investment for the investment vehicles;

if applicable, the targeted position size of the investment for the investment vehicles;

level of available cash for investment with respect to the investment vehicles;

total amount of funds committed to the investment vehicles; and

the age of the investment vehicles and the remaining term of their respective investment periods, if any.

In situations where co-investment with other accounts is not permitted or appropriate, OFS Advisor will need to decide which account will proceed with the investment. The decision by OFS Advisor to allocate an opportunity to another entity could cause us to forego an investment opportunity that we otherwise would

TABLE OF CONTENTS

have made. These restrictions, and similar restrictions that limit our ability to transact business with our officers or directors or their affiliates, may limit the scope of investment opportunities that would otherwise be available to us.

SBA regulations limit the outstanding dollar amount of SBA guaranteed debenture funding that may be received by an SBIC or group of SBICs under common control.

SBA regulations currently limit the amount that an SBIC may borrow to up to a maximum of \$150 million when it has at least \$75 million in regulatory capital, receives a leverage commitment from the SBA and has been through an examination by the SBA subsequent to licensing. For two or more SBICs under common control, the maximum amount of outstanding SBA-provided leverage cannot exceed \$225 million. A bill proposed in the U.S. House of Representatives would increase the total SBIC leverage capacity for affiliated SBIC funds from \$225 million to \$350 million. However, the ultimate form and likely outcome of such legislation, if reintroduced, or any similar legislation cannot be predicted.

We cannot presently predict whether or not we will borrow the maximum permitted amount; if we reach the maximum dollar amount of SBA guaranteed debentures permitted, and thereafter require additional capital, our cost of capital may increase, and there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms.

Moreover, SBIC I LP's status as an SBIC does not automatically assure that it will receive SBA guaranteed debenture funding. Receipt of SBA leverage funding is dependent upon whether SBIC I LP is and continues to be in compliance with SBA regulations and policies and whether funding is available. The amount of SBA leverage funding available to SBICs is dependent upon annual Congressional authorizations and in the future may be subject to annual Congressional appropriations. There can be no assurance that there will be sufficient debenture funding available at the times desired by SBIC I LP. As of March 31, 2016, the Company had fully funded its \$75.0 million commitment to SBIC I LP. As of December 31, 2015, SBIC I LP had leverage commitments of approximately \$149.9 million from the SBA, and \$149.9 million of outstanding SBA-guaranteed debentures, leaving no incremental borrowing capacity under present SBA regulations. In January 2015, we filed an application with the SBA for a second SBIC license, which, if approved, would provide up to \$75.0 million in additional SBA debentures for the funding of our future investments upon our contribution of at least \$37.5 million in additional regulatory capital and subject to the issuance of a leverage commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. There can be no assurance as to whether or when this application will be approved by the SBA.

SBIC I LP is subject to SBA regulations.

Our investment strategy includes SBIC I LP, which is regulated by the SBA. On December 4, 2013, we acquired the remaining limited and general partnership interests of SBIC I LP that we did not already own, which resulted in SBIC I LP becoming our wholly-owned subsidiary.

The SBIC license allows SBIC I LP to receive SBA-guaranteed debenture funding, subject to the issuance of leverage commitments by the SBA and other customary procedures. Prior to becoming our wholly-owned subsidiary, SBIC I LP had received \$67.3 million in SBA leverage commitments. In July 2014, the Company funded the remaining \$13.6 million of its \$75 million commitment to SBIC I LP. As of March 31, 2016, SBIC I LP had leverage commitments of approximately \$149.9 million from the SBA, and \$149.9 million of outstanding SBA-guaranteed debentures, leaving no incremental borrowing capacity under present SBA regulations.

SBA regulations limit the outstanding dollar amount of SBA guaranteed debenture funding that may be received by

Further, the SBA regulations require that a licensed SBIC be periodically examined and audited by the SBA to determine its compliance with the relevant SBA regulations. If SBIC I LP fails to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit its use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit its ability to make new investments. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to SBIC I LP's assets over SBIC I LP's limited partners and our shareholders in the event SBIC I LP is liquidated or the SBA exercises its remedies under the SBA debentures issued by SBIC I LP in the event of a default. In addition, the SBA can revoke or suspend a license for willful or repeated violation of, or willful or repeated failure to observe, any provision

TABLE OF CONTENTS

of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 or any rule or regulation promulgated thereunder. These actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect us because of our ownership interest in SBIC I LP.

The SBA places certain limitations on the financing terms of investments by SBICs in portfolio companies and prohibits an SBIC from providing funds to small businesses for certain purposes, such as relending, real estate or investing in companies outside of the United States, and providing funds to businesses engaged in a few prohibited industries and to certain passive (i.e., non-operating) companies. In addition, without prior SBA approval, an SBIC may not invest an amount equal to more than approximately 30% of the SBIC's regulatory capital in any one company and its affiliates. Compliance with SBIC requirements may cause SBIC I LP to forego attractive investment opportunities that are not permitted under SBA regulations.

SBIC I LP is subject to ongoing regulation and oversight by the SBA, including requirements with respect to maintaining certain minimum financial ratios and other covenants. In addition, SBIC I LP may also be limited in its ability to make distributions to us if it does not have sufficient accumulated net profit, in accordance with SBA regulations. These requirements may make it more difficult for us to achieve our investment objective.

We finance our investments with borrowed money, which magnifies the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested and may increase the risk of investing in us.

The use of leverage magnifies the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested. The use of leverage is generally considered a speculative investment technique and increases the risks associated with investing in our securities. We may pledge up to 100% of our assets and may grant a security interest in all of our assets, other than assets held in SBIC I LP and our ownership interest in SBIC I LP and SBIC I GP, under the terms of any debt instruments we may enter into with lenders. In addition, under the terms of any credit facility or other debt instrument we enter into, we are likely to be required by its terms to use the net proceeds of any investments that we sell to repay a portion of the amount borrowed under such facility or instrument before applying such net proceeds to any other uses. If the value of our assets decreases, leveraging would cause net asset value to decline more sharply than it otherwise would have had we not leveraged, thereby magnifying losses or eliminating our equity stake in a leveraged investment. Similarly, any decrease in our revenue or income will cause our net income to decline more sharply than it would have had we not borrowed. Such a decline would also negatively affect our ability to make distribution payments on our common stock or preferred stock. Our ability to service our debt will depend largely on our financial performance and will be subject to prevailing economic conditions and competitive pressures. Moreover, because the management fee payable to OFS Advisor is payable based on our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents and goodwill and intangible assets related to the SBIC Acquisitions but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity), OFS Advisor will have a financial incentive to incur leverage which may not be consistent with our shareholders' interests. In addition, our common shareholders will bear the burden of any increase in our expenses as a result of our use of leverage, including interest expenses and any increase in the management fee payable to OFS Advisor.

As a BDC, we are generally required to meet a coverage ratio of total assets to total borrowings and other senior securities, which include all of our borrowings and any preferred stock that we may issue in the future, of at least 200%. An asset coverage ratio of 200% means that for every \$100 in net assets, the Company may raise \$100 from borrowings or other debt. If this ratio declines below 200%, we will not be able to incur additional debt and could be required to sell a portion of our investments to repay some debt when it is disadvantageous to do so. This could have a material adverse effect on our operations, and we may not be able to make distributions. The amount of leverage that we employ will depend on OFS Advisor's and our board of directors' assessment of market and other factors at the time

We finance our investments with borrowed money, which magnifies the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested

of any proposed borrowing. We cannot assure shareholders that we will be able to obtain credit at all or on terms acceptable to us.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following table illustrates the effect of leverage on returns from an investment in our common stock assuming various annual returns, net of expenses. The calculations in the table below are hypothetical and actual returns may be higher or lower than those appearing in the table below.

| | Assumed Return on Our Portfolio (Net of Expenses) | | | | |
|---|---|-------|------|-----|------|
| | -10% | -5% | 0% | 5% | 10% |
| Corresponding return to common stockholder ⁽¹⁾ | -21 % | -12 % | -4 % | 5 % | 14 % |

Assumes \$245.4 million in investments at fair value, \$149.9 million in debt outstanding, \$142.0 million in net (1) assets and an average cost of funds of 3.42%. Assumptions are based on our financial condition and our average cost of funds at March 31, 2016.

Based on our outstanding indebtedness of \$149.9 million as of March 31, 2016 and the average cost of funds of 3.42% as of that date, our investment portfolio must experience an annual return of at least 2.1% to cover interest payments on the outstanding debt.

Changes in interest rates will affect our cost of capital and net investment income.

To the extent we borrow money or issue preferred stock to make investments, our net investment income will depend, in part, upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds or pay distributions on preferred stock and the rate at which we invest those funds. As a result, we can offer no assurance that a significant change in market interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our net investment income in the event we use debt to finance our investments. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds would increase, which could reduce our net investment income. We may use interest rate risk management techniques in an effort to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Such techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act.

A rise in the general level of interest rates typically leads to higher interest rates applicable to our debt investments. Accordingly, an increase in interest rates may result in an increase of the amount of incentive fees payable to OFS Advisor.

We may enter into reverse repurchase agreements, which are another form of leverage.

We may enter into reverse repurchase agreements as part of our management of our temporary investment portfolio. Under a reverse repurchase agreement, we will effectively pledge our assets as collateral to secure a short-term loan. Generally, the other party to the agreement makes the loan in an amount equal to a percentage of the fair value of the pledged collateral. At the maturity of the reverse repurchase agreement, we will be required to repay the loan and correspondingly receive back our collateral. While used as collateral, the assets continue to pay principal and interest which are for the benefit of us.

Our use of reverse repurchase agreements, if any, involves many of the same risks involved in our use of leverage, as the proceeds from reverse repurchase agreements generally will be invested in additional securities. There is a risk that the market value of the securities acquired in the reverse repurchase agreement may decline below the price of the securities that we have sold but remain obligated to purchase. In addition, there is a risk that the market value of the securities retained by us may decline. If a buyer of securities under a reverse repurchase agreement were to file for

bankruptcy or experience insolvency, we may be adversely affected. Also, in entering into reverse repurchase agreements, we would bear the risk of loss to the extent that the proceeds of such agreements at settlement are less than the fair value of the underlying securities being pledged. In addition, due to the interest costs associated with reverse repurchase agreements transactions, our net asset value would decline, and, in some cases, we may be worse off than if we had not used such instruments.

We may in the future determine to fund a portion of our investments with preferred stock, which would magnify the potential for gain or loss and the risks of investing in us in the same way as our borrowings.

Preferred stock, which is another form of leverage, has the same risks to our common shareholders as borrowings because the distributions on any preferred stock we issue must be cumulative. Payment of such distributions and repayment of the liquidation preference of such preferred stock must take preference over

TABLE OF CONTENTS

any dividends or other payments to our common shareholders, and preferred shareholders are not subject to any of our expenses or losses and are not entitled to participate in any income or appreciation in excess of their stated preference.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities, which could reduce returns and result in losses.

A number of entities compete with us to make the types of investments that we plan to make. We will compete with public and private funds, commercial and investment banks, commercial financing companies and, to the extent they provide an alternative form of financing, private equity and hedge funds. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. For example, some of our competitors may have access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments than us. Furthermore, many of our competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a BDC or the source of income, asset diversification and distribution requirements we must satisfy to maintain our RIC status. These characteristics could allow our competitors to consider a wider variety of instruments, establish more relationships and offer better pricing and more flexible structuring than we are able to. The competitive pressures we face may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. As a result of this competition, we may not be able to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time, and we may not be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objective.

With respect to the investments we make, we will not seek to compete based primarily on the interest rates we will offer, and we believe that some of our competitors may make loans with interest rates that will be lower than the rates we offer. In the secondary market for acquiring existing loans, we expect to compete generally on the basis of pricing terms. With respect to all investments, we may lose some investment opportunities if we do not match our competitors pricing, terms and structure. However, if we match our competitors pricing, terms and structure, we may experience decreased net interest income, lower yields and increased risk of credit loss. We may also compete for investment opportunities with OFSAM and its other affiliates or accounts managed by OFSAM or one of its other affiliates. Although OFS Advisor will allocate opportunities in accordance with its policies and procedures, allocations to such other accounts will reduce the amount and frequency of opportunities available to us and may not be in the best interests of us and our shareholders. Moreover, the performance of investments will not be known at the time of allocation.

We may suffer credit losses.

Investment in middle market companies is highly speculative and involves a high degree of risk of credit loss, and therefore our securities may not be suitable for someone with a low tolerance for risk. These risks are likely to increase during volatile economic periods, such as the U.S. and many other economies have recently been experiencing.

We will be subject to corporate-level federal income tax if we are unable to qualify or maintain our qualification as a RIC.

We have elected to be treated as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code, but no assurance can be given that we will be able to maintain RIC status. As a RIC, we are not required to pay corporate-level federal income taxes on our income and capital gains distributed (or deemed distributed) to our shareholders. To continue to qualify as a RIC under the Code and to be relieved of federal taxes on income and gains distributed to our shareholders, we must meet certain

We may in the future determine to fund a portion of our investments with preferred stock, which would make the p

source-of-income, asset diversification and distribution requirements. The distribution requirement for a RIC is satisfied if we distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and net short-term capital gains in excess of net long-term capital losses, if any, to our shareholders on an annual basis. We will be subject, to the extent we use debt financing or preferred stock, to certain asset coverage ratio requirements under the 1940 Act and financial covenants under loan and credit agreements or preferred stock that could, under certain circumstances, restrict us from making distributions necessary to qualify as a RIC. If we are unable to obtain cash from other sources, we may fail to qualify and maintain our qualification for the tax benefits available to RICs and, thus, may be subject to corporate-level federal income tax. To maintain our qualification as a RIC, we must also meet certain asset diversification

TABLE OF CONTENTS

requirements at the end of each calendar quarter. Failure to meet these tests may result in our having to dispose of certain investments quickly in order to prevent the loss of RIC status. Because most of our investments are in private or thinly traded public companies, any such dispositions could be made at disadvantageous prices and may result in substantial losses. If we fail to continue to qualify, as a RIC for any reason and become subject to corporate-level federal income tax, the resulting corporate taxes could substantially reduce our net assets, the amount of income available for distributions to shareholders and the amount of our distributions and the amount of funds available for new investments. Such a failure would have a material adverse effect on us and our shareholders. See Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation as a RIC.

Our subsidiaries and portfolio companies may be unable to make distributions to us that will enable us to meet RIC requirements, which could result in the imposition of an entity-level tax.

In order for us to continue to maintain our status as a RIC and to minimize corporate-level taxes, we are required to distribute on an annual basis substantially all of our taxable income, which includes income from our subsidiaries and portfolio companies. As a substantial portion of our investments are made through SBIC I LP, we are significantly dependent on that entity for cash distributions to enable us to maintain the RIC distribution requirements. SBIC I LP may be limited by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 and SBA regulations governing SBICs from making certain distributions to us that may be necessary to enable us to continue to qualify as a RIC. We may have to request a waiver of the SBA's restrictions for SBIC I LP to make certain distributions to maintain our status as a RIC and we cannot assure shareholders that the SBA will grant such waiver. If our subsidiaries and portfolio companies are unable to make distributions to us, this may result in loss of RIC status and a consequent imposition of a corporate-level federal income tax on us.

We may have difficulty paying our required distributions if we recognize income before, or without, receiving cash representing such income.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, we will include in income certain amounts that we have not yet received in cash, such as the accretion of OID. This may arise if we purchase assets at a discount, receive warrants in connection with the making of a loan or in other circumstances, or through contracted PIK interest or dividends (meaning interest or dividends paid in the form of additional principal amount of the loan or equity security instead of in cash), which represents contractual interest or dividends added to the loan balance or equity security and due at the end of the investment term. Such OID, which could be significant relative to our overall investment activities, or increases in loan or equity investment balances as a result of contracted PIK arrangements, will be included in income before we receive any corresponding cash payments. We also may be required to include in income certain other amounts that we will not receive in cash.

Since in certain cases we may recognize income before or without receiving cash representing such income, we may have difficulty meeting the requirement to distribute at least 90% of our net ordinary income and net short-term capital gains in excess of net long-term capital losses, if any, to maintain the tax benefits available to RICs. In such a case, we may have to sell some of our investments at times and/or at prices we would not consider advantageous, raise additional debt or equity capital or reduce new investment originations and sourcings to meet these distribution requirements. If we sell built-in-gain assets, we may be required to recognize taxable income in respect of the built-in-gain on such assets. In such a case, we would have to distribute all of our taxable gain (including the built-in-gain) in respect of such sale to avoid the imposition of entity-level tax on such gain. If we are not able to obtain such cash from other sources, we may fail to maintain the tax benefits available to RICs and thus be subject to

Our subsidiaries and portfolio companies may be unable to make distributions to us that will enable us to meet RIC

corporate-level income tax. See Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation as a RIC.

We may in the future choose to pay distributions in our own stock, in which case shareholders may be required to pay tax in excess of the cash they receive.

We make taxable distributions that are payable in cash or shares of our common stock at the election of each shareholder. Under certain applicable provisions of the Code and the Treasury regulations, taxable distributions payable in cash or in shares of stock at the election of shareholders are treated as taxable dividends. The Internal Revenue Service has issued private rulings indicating that this rule will apply even where the total amount of cash that may be distributed is limited to no more than 20% of the total

TABLE OF CONTENTS

distribution. Under these rulings, if too many shareholders elect to receive their distributions in cash, each such shareholder would receive a pro rata share of the total cash to be distributed and would receive the remainder of their distribution in shares of stock. If we decide to make any distributions consistent with these rulings that are payable in part in our stock, taxable shareholders receiving such distributions will be required to include the full amount of the distributions (whether received in cash, our stock, or a combination thereof) as ordinary income (or as long-term capital gain to the extent such distribution is properly reported as a capital gain dividend) to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for United States federal income tax purposes. As a result, a U.S. shareholder may be required to pay tax with respect to such distributions in excess of any cash received. If a U.S. shareholder sells the stock it receives as a distribution in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the distribution, depending on the market price of our stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. shareholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. tax with respect to such distributions, including in respect of all or a portion of such distribution that is payable in stock. In addition, if a significant number of our shareholders determine to sell shares of our stock in order to pay taxes owed on distributions, it may put downward pressure on the trading price of our stock.

Because we expect to distribute substantially all of our net investment income and net realized capital gains to our shareholders, we may need additional capital to finance our growth and such capital may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

We have elected to be taxed for federal income tax purposes as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. If we meet certain requirements, including source of income, asset diversification and distribution requirements, and if we continue to qualify as a BDC, we will continue to qualify to be a RIC under the Code and will not have to pay corporate-level taxes on income we distribute to our shareholders as distributions, allowing us to substantially reduce or eliminate our corporate-level tax liability. As a BDC, we are generally required to meet a coverage ratio of total assets to total senior securities, which includes all of our borrowings and any preferred stock we may issue in the future, of at least 200% at the time we issue any debt or preferred stock. This requirement limits the amount that we may borrow. Because we will continue to need capital to grow our investment portfolio, this limitation may prevent us from incurring debt or preferred stock and require us to raise additional equity at a time when it may be disadvantageous to do so. We cannot assure investors that debt and equity financing will be available to us on favorable terms, or at all, and debt financings may be restricted by the terms of any of our outstanding borrowings. In addition, as a BDC, we are generally not permitted to issue common stock priced below net asset value without shareholder approval. If additional funds are not available to us, we could be forced to curtail or cease new lending and investment activities, and our net asset value could decline.

Regulations governing our operation as a BDC affect our ability to and the way in which we raise additional capital. As a BDC, we will need to raise additional capital, which will expose us to risks, including the typical risks associated with leverage.

We may issue debt securities or preferred stock and/or borrow money from banks or other financial institutions, which we refer to collectively as senior securities, up to the maximum amount permitted by the 1940 Act. Under the provisions of the 1940 Act, we are permitted as a BDC to issue senior securities in amounts such that our asset coverage ratio, as defined in the 1940 Act, equals at least 200% of gross assets less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, after each issuance of senior securities. If the value of our assets declines, we may be unable to satisfy this test. If that happens, we may be required to sell a portion of our investments and, depending on

We may in the future choose to pay distributions in our own stock, in which case shareholders may be required to pay

the nature of our leverage, repay a portion of our indebtedness at a time when such sales may be disadvantageous. Also, any amounts that we use to service our indebtedness would not be available for distributions to our common shareholders. If we issue senior securities, we will be exposed to typical risks associated with leverage, including an increased risk of loss.

As of March 31, 2016, we had debt outstanding in the amount of \$149.9 million. Our ability to incur additional debt and remain in compliance with the asset coverage test will be limited. We may seek an additional credit facility to finance investments or for working capital requirements. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain such financing on favorable terms or at all. We have received an exemptive order from the SEC to permit us to exclude the debt of SBIC I LP guaranteed by the SBA from our definition of senior securities in our statutory 200% asset coverage ratio under the 1940 Act.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

If we issue preferred stock, the preferred stock would rank senior to common stock in our capital structure, preferred shareholders would have separate voting rights on certain matters and might have other rights, preferences or privileges more favorable than those of our common shareholders, and the issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or otherwise be in our shareholders' best interest. Holders of our common stock will directly or indirectly bear all of the costs associated with offering and servicing any preferred stock that we issue. In addition, any interests of preferred shareholders may not necessarily align with the interests of holders of our common stock and the rights of holders of shares of preferred stock to receive dividends would be senior to those of holders of shares of our common stock. We are not generally able to issue and sell our common stock at a price below net asset value per share. We may, however, sell our common stock, or warrants, options or rights to acquire our common stock, at a price below the then-current net asset value per share of our common stock if our board of directors determines that such sale is in the best interests of us and our shareholders, and if our shareholders approve any such sale. In any such case, the price at which our securities are to be issued and sold may not be less than a price that, in the determination of our board of directors, closely approximates the market value of such securities (less any distributing commission or discount). If we raise additional funds by issuing common stock or senior securities convertible into, or exchangeable for, our common stock, then the percentage ownership of our shareholders at that time will decrease, and our shareholders might experience dilution.

Our ability to invest in public companies may be limited in certain circumstances.

To maintain our status as a BDC, we are not permitted to acquire any assets other than qualifying assets specified in the 1940 Act unless, at the time the acquisition is made, at least 70% of our assets, as defined by the 1940 Act, are qualifying assets (with certain limited exceptions). Subject to certain exceptions for follow-on investments and distressed companies, an investment in an issuer that has outstanding securities listed on a national securities exchange may be treated as a qualifying asset only if such issuer has a common equity market capitalization that is less than \$250 million at the time of such investment and meets the other specified requirements.

The PWB Credit Facility contains various covenants and restrictions which, if not complied with, could accelerate our repayment obligations under the credit facility or limit its use, thereby materially and adversely affecting our liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions.

On November 5, 2015, we became party to the PWB Credit Facility, which provides us with a senior secured revolving line of credit of up to \$15.0 million, with maximum availability equal to 50% of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of eligible loans included in the borrowing base and otherwise specified in the credit agreement. The PWB Credit Facility is guaranteed by our subsidiary OFS Capital WM, LLC (OFS Capital WM) and secured by all of our current and future assets excluding assets held by SBIC I LP and our SBIC I LP and SBIC I GP partnership interests. The PWB Credit Facility contains customary terms and conditions, including, without limitation, affirmative and negative covenants such as information reporting requirements, a minimum tangible net asset value, a minimum quarterly net investment income after incentive fees, and a statutory asset coverage test. The PWB Credit Facility also contains customary events of default, including, without limitation, nonpayment, misrepresentation of representations and warranties in a material respect, breach of covenant, cross-default to other indebtedness, bankruptcy, change in investment advisor, and the occurrence of a material adverse change in our financial condition. The Credit Facility permits us to fund additional investments as long as we are within the conditions set out in the credit agreement. Our

continued compliance with these covenants depends on many factors, some of which are beyond our control, and there are no assurances that we will continue to comply with these covenants. Our failure to satisfy these covenants could result in foreclosure by our lenders, which would accelerate our repayment obligations under the facility and thereby have a material adverse effect on our business, liquidity, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions to our stockholders. The Credit Facility remained undrawn at March 31, 2016.

Adverse developments in the credit markets may impair our ability to secure debt financing.

During the economic downturn in the United States that began in mid-2007, many commercial banks and other financial institutions stopped lending or significantly curtailed their lending activity. In addition, in an

TABLE OF CONTENTS

effort to stem losses and reduce their exposure to segments of the economy deemed to be high risk, some financial institutions limited routine refinancing and loan modification transactions and even reviewed the terms of existing facilities to identify bases for accelerating the maturity of existing lending facilities. As a result, it may be difficult for us to obtain desired financing to finance the growth of our investments on acceptable economic terms, or at all.

If we are unable to consummate credit facilities on commercially reasonable terms, our liquidity may be reduced significantly. If we are unable to repay amounts outstanding under any facility we may enter into and are declared in default or are unable to renew or refinance any such facility, it would limit our ability to initiate significant originations or to operate our business in the normal course. These situations may arise due to circumstances that we may be unable to control, such as inaccessibility of the credit markets, a severe decline in the value of the U.S. dollar, a further economic downturn or an operational problem that affects third parties or us, and could materially damage our business. Moreover, we are unable to predict when economic and market conditions may become more favorable. Even if such conditions improve broadly and significantly over the long term, adverse conditions in particular sectors of the financial markets could adversely impact our business.

Terrorist attacks, acts of war or natural disasters may affect any market for our common stock, impact the businesses in which we invest and harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Terrorist acts, acts of war or natural disasters may disrupt our operations, as well as the operations of the businesses in which we invest. Such acts have created, and continue to create, economic and political uncertainties and have contributed to global economic instability. Future terrorist activities, military or security operations, or natural disasters could further weaken the domestic/global economies and create additional uncertainties, which may negatively impact the businesses in which we invest directly or indirectly and, in turn, could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results and financial condition. Losses from terrorist attacks and natural disasters are generally uninsurable.

The failure in cyber security systems, as well as the occurrence of events unanticipated in our disaster recovery systems and management continuity planning could impair our ability to conduct business effectively.

The occurrence of a disaster such as a cyber-attack, a natural catastrophe, an industrial accident, events unanticipated in our disaster recovery systems, or a support failure from external providers, could have an adverse effect on our ability to conduct business and on our results of operations and financial condition, particularly if those events affect our computer-based data processing, transmission, storage, and retrieval systems or destroy data. If a significant number of our managers were unavailable in the event of a disaster, our ability to effectively conduct our business could be severely compromised.

We depend heavily upon computer systems to perform necessary business functions. Despite our implementation of a variety of security measures, our computer systems could be subject to cyber-attacks and unauthorized access, such as physical and electronic break-ins or unauthorized tampering. Like other companies, we may experience threats to our data and systems, including malware and computer virus attacks, unauthorized access, system failures and disruptions.

If one or more of these events occurs, it could potentially jeopardize the confidential, proprietary and other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our computer systems and networks, or otherwise cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations, which could result in damage to our reputation, financial losses, litigation, increased costs, regulatory penalties and/or customer dissatisfaction or loss.

Third parties with which we do business may also be sources of cybersecurity or other technological risks. We outsource certain functions and these relationships allow for the storage and processing of our information, as well as customer, counterparty, employee and borrower information. While we engage in actions to reduce our exposure resulting from outsourcing, ongoing threats may result in unauthorized access, loss, exposure or destruction of data, or other cybersecurity incidents, with increased costs and other consequences, including those described above.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could fail to continue to qualify as a BDC or be precluded from investing according to our current business strategy.

As a BDC, we may not acquire any assets other than qualifying assets unless, at the time of and after giving effect to such acquisition, at least 70% of our assets, as defined by the 1940 Act, are qualifying assets. See Regulation.

We believe that most of the investments that we may acquire in the future will constitute qualifying assets. However, we may be precluded from investing in what we believe are attractive investments if such investments are not qualifying assets for purposes of the 1940 Act. If a sufficient portion of our assets are not qualifying assets, we could violate the 1940 Act provisions applicable to business development companies. As a result of such violation, specific rules under the 1940 Act could prevent us, for example, from making follow-on investments in existing portfolio companies (which could result in the dilution of our position) or could require us to dispose of investments at inappropriate times in order to come into compliance with the 1940 Act. If we need to dispose of such investments quickly, it could be difficult to dispose of such investments on favorable terms. We may not be able to find a buyer for such investments and, even if we do find a buyer, we may have to sell the investments at a substantial loss. Any such outcomes would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we do not maintain our status as a BDC, we would be subject to regulation as a registered closed-end investment company under the 1940 Act. As a registered closed-end fund, we would be subject to substantially more regulatory restrictions under the 1940 Act which would significantly decrease our operating flexibility.

Many of our portfolio investments are recorded at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors and, as a result, there may be uncertainty as to the value of our portfolio investments.

Many of our portfolio investments, including those of our subsidiaries, take the form of securities that are not publicly traded. The fair value of securities and other investments that are not publicly traded may not be readily determinable.

We value these securities at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors, including to reflect significant events affecting the value of our securities. Most of our investments (other than cash and cash equivalents) are classified as Level 3 under Accounting Standards Codification Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures (ASC Topic 820). This means that our portfolio valuations are based on unobservable inputs and our own assumptions about how market participants would price the asset or liability in question. Inputs into the determination of fair value of our portfolio investments require significant management judgment or estimation. Even if observable market data are available, such information is result of consensus pricing information or broker quotes, which include a disclaimer that the broker would not be held to such a price in an actual transaction. The non-binding nature of consensus pricing and/or quotes accompanied by disclaimers materially reduces the reliability of such information.

We presently retain the services of two independent service providers to review the valuation of these securities.

The types of factors that the board of directors takes into account in determining the fair value of our investments generally include, as appropriate, comparison to third-party yield benchmarks and comparison to publicly traded securities including such factors as yield, maturity and measures of credit quality, the enterprise value of a portfolio company, the nature and realizable value of any collateral, the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings and discounted cash flow, the markets in which the portfolio company does business and other relevant factors. Because such valuations, and particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are inherently uncertain, may fluctuate over short periods of time and may be based on estimates, our determinations of

If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could fail to continue to qualify as a BDC

fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these securities existed. Our net asset value could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair value of our investments were materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon the disposal of such securities.

We adjust quarterly the valuation of our portfolio to reflect our board of directors' determination of the fair value of each investment in our portfolio. Any changes in fair value are recorded in our statement of income as net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We may experience fluctuations in our quarterly operating results.

We could experience fluctuations in our quarterly operating results due to a number of factors, including the interest rate payable on the debt securities we acquire, the default rate on such securities, the level of our expenses, variations in and the timing of the recognition of realized and unrealized gains or losses, distributions from our subsidiaries and portfolio companies, the degree to which we encounter competition in our markets and general economic conditions. In light of these factors, results for any period should not be relied upon as being indicative of performance in future periods.

Changes in the laws or regulations governing our business, or changes in the interpretations thereof, and any failure by us to comply with these laws or regulations, could have a material adverse effect on our, and our portfolio companies , business, results of operations or financial condition.

We and our portfolio companies are subject to regulation by laws at the U.S. federal, state and local levels, including those that govern BDCs, RICs, SBICs or non-depository commercial lenders. These laws and regulations, including applicable accounting standards, as well as their interpretation, may change from time to time, and new laws, regulations, accounting standards and interpretations may also come into effect. Any such new or changed laws or regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are also subject to judicial and administrative decisions that affect our operations, including our loan originations, maximum interest rates, fees and other charges, disclosures to portfolio companies, the terms of secured transactions, collection and foreclosure procedures and other trade practices. If these laws, regulations or decisions change, or if we expand our business into jurisdictions that have adopted more stringent requirements than those in which we currently conduct business, we may have to incur significant expenses in order to comply, or we might have to restrict our operations. If we do not comply with applicable laws, regulations and decisions, we may lose licenses needed for the conduct of our business and may be subject to civil fines and criminal penalties.

In addition, changes to the laws and regulations governing our operations related to permitted investments may cause us to alter our investment strategy, including making investments in entities such as OFS Capital WM and SBIC I LP, in order to avail ourselves of new or different opportunities. Such changes could result in material differences to the strategies and plans set forth in this annual report on Form 10-K and our accounting practices described in this annual report on Form 10-K, and may shift our investment focus from the areas of expertise of OFS Advisor to other types of investments in which OFS Advisor may have little or no expertise or experience. Any such changes, if they occur, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and the value of your investment.

On July 21, 2010, the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or Dodd-Frank Act, was signed into law. Although passage of the Dodd-Frank Act has resulted in extensive rulemaking and regulatory changes that affect us and the financial industry as a whole, many of its provisions remain subject to extended implementation periods and delayed effective dates and will require extensive rulemaking by regulatory authorities. While the full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on us and our portfolio companies may not be known for an extended period of time, the Dodd-Frank Act, including future rules implementing its provisions and the interpretation of those rules, along with other legislative and regulatory proposals directed at the financial services industry or affecting taxation that are proposed or pending in the U.S. Congress, may negatively impact the operations, cash flows or financial condition of us or our portfolio companies, impose additional costs on us or our portfolio companies, intensify the regulatory supervision of us or our portfolio companies or otherwise adversely affect our business or the business of our portfolio companies.

Over the last several years, there has been an increase in regulatory attention to the extension of credit outside of the traditional banking sector, raising the possibility that some portion of the non-bank financial sector will be subject to new regulation. While it cannot be known at this time whether these regulations will be implemented or what form they will take, increased regulation of non-bank credit extension could negatively impact our operations, cash flows or financial condition, impose additional costs on us, intensify the regulatory supervision of us or otherwise adversely affect our business.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The effect of global climate change may impact the operations of our portfolio companies.

There may be evidence of global climate change. Climate change creates physical and financial risk and some of our portfolio companies may be adversely affected by climate change. For example, the needs of customers of energy companies vary with weather conditions, primarily temperature and humidity. To the extent weather conditions are affected by climate change, energy use could increase or decrease depending on the duration and magnitude of any changes. Increases in the cost of energy could adversely affect the cost of operations of our portfolio companies if the use of energy products or services is material to their business. A decrease in energy use due to weather changes may affect some of our portfolio companies' financial condition, through decreased revenues. Extreme weather conditions in general require more system backup, adding to costs, and can contribute to increased system stresses, including service interruptions.

Proposed legislation may allow us to incur additional leverage.

Legislation has been introduced in the U.S. House of Representatives which is intended to revise certain regulations applicable to BDCs. The legislation provides for (i) increasing the amount of funds BDCs may borrow by reducing asset to debt limitations from 2:1 to 3:2, (ii) permitting BDCs to file registration statements with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that incorporate information from already-filed reports by reference, (iii) utilizing other streamlined registration processes afforded to operating companies, and (iv) allowing BDCs to own investment adviser subsidiaries. There are no assurances as to when the legislation will be reintroduced and enacted by Congress, if at all, or, if enacted, what final form the legislation would take. There are no assurances as to when the legislation will be enacted by Congress, if at all, or, if enacted, what final form the legislation would take.

Loss of status as a RIC would reduce our net asset value and distributable income.

We have qualified as a RIC under the Code. As a RIC, we do not have to pay federal income taxes on our income (including realized gains) that we distribute to our shareholders, provided that we satisfy certain distribution and other requirements. Accordingly, we are not permitted under accounting rules to establish reserves for taxes on our unrealized capital gains. If we fail to qualify for RIC status in any year, to the extent that we had unrealized gains, we would have to establish reserves for taxes, which would reduce our net asset value and the amount potentially available for distribution. In addition, if we, as a RIC, were to decide to make a deemed distribution of net realized capital gains and retain the net realized capital gains, we would have to establish appropriate reserves for taxes that we would have to pay on behalf of shareholders. It is possible that establishing reserves for taxes could have a material adverse effect on the value of our common stock. See Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation as a RIC.

Our board of directors may change our investment objective, operating policies and strategies without prior notice or shareholder approval.

Our board of directors has the authority, except as otherwise provided in the 1940 Act, to modify or waive certain of our operating policies and strategies without prior notice and without shareholder approval. However, absent shareholder approval, we may not change the nature of our business so as to cease to be, or withdraw our election as, a BDC. Under Delaware law, we also cannot be dissolved without prior shareholder approval except by judicial action. We cannot predict the effect any changes to our current operating policies and strategies would have on our business,

operating results and the price value of our common stock. Nevertheless, any such changes could adversely affect our business and impair our ability to make distributions.

OFS Advisor can resign on 60 days notice, and we may not be able to find a suitable replacement within that time, resulting in a disruption in our operations that could adversely affect our financial condition, business and results of operations.

OFS Advisor has the right, under the Investment Advisory Agreement, to resign at any time upon not less than 60 days written notice, whether we have found a replacement or not. If OFS Advisor resigns, we may not be able to find a new investment adviser or hire internal management with similar expertise and ability to provide the same or equivalent services on acceptable terms within 60 days, or at all. If we are unable to do

TABLE OF CONTENTS

so quickly, our operations are likely to experience a disruption, our financial condition, business and results of operations as well as our ability to pay distributions are likely to be adversely affected and the market price of our shares may decline. In addition, the coordination of our internal management and investment activities is likely to suffer if we are unable to identify and reach an agreement with a single institution or group of executives having the expertise possessed by OFS Advisor and its affiliates. Even if we are able to retain comparable management, whether internal or external, the integration of such management and their lack of familiarity with our investment objective may result in additional costs and time delays that may adversely affect our financial condition, business and results of operations.

OFS Services can resign from its role as our administrator under the Administration Agreement, and we may not be able to find a suitable replacement, resulting in a disruption in our operations that could adversely affect our financial condition, business and results of operations.

OFS Services has the right to resign under the Administration Agreement, whether we have found a replacement or not. If OFS Services resigns, we may not be able to find a new administrator or hire internal management with similar expertise and ability to provide the same or equivalent services on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to do so quickly, our operations are likely to experience a disruption, our financial condition, business and results of operations as well as our ability to pay distributions are likely to be adversely affected and the market price of our shares may decline. In addition, the coordination of our internal management and administrative activities is likely to suffer if we are unable to identify and reach an agreement with a service provider or individuals with the expertise possessed by OFS Services. Even if we are able to retain a comparable service provider or individuals to perform such services, whether internal or external, their integration into our business and lack of familiarity with our investment objective may result in additional costs and time delays that may adversely affect our financial condition, business and results of operations.

We incur significant costs as a result of being a publicly traded company.

As a publicly traded company, we incur legal, accounting and other expenses, including costs associated with the periodic reporting requirements applicable to a company whose securities are registered under the Exchange Act, as well as additional corporate governance requirements, including requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and other rules implemented by the SEC.

Efforts to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act involve significant expenditures, and non-compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act may adversely affect us and the market price of our securities.

Under current SEC rules, beginning with our fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, we have been required to report on our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related rules and regulations of the SEC. We are required to review our internal control over financial reporting on an annual basis, and evaluate and disclose changes in our internal control over financial reporting on a quarterly and annual basis.

As a result, we expect to continue to incur additional expenses that may negatively impact our financial performance and our ability to make distributions. This process also results in a diversion of management's time and attention. In

OFS Advisor can resign on 60 days notice, and we may not be able to find a suitable replacement within that time,

the event that we are unable to maintain compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related rules, we and the market price of our securities may be adversely affected.

We have identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting and our business and stock price may be adversely affected if we have not adequately addressed the weakness.

Effective internal controls over financial reporting are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and, together with adequate disclosure controls and procedures, are designed to prevent fraud. Any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation could cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations.

As a result of our evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2015, management identified a material weakness related to reconciliation of components of

TABLE OF CONTENTS

distributions in the statement of changes in net assets and net assets within the balance sheet. As part of its fourth quarter close, which was completed during the first quarter of 2016, the Company discovered and corrected errors related to certain reclassifications between the components of net assets primarily related to accounting for the tax character of, and basis differences between tax and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), and the presentation of certain unrealized gains and losses within the components of net assets. The error correction impacted the classification of certain components of consolidated net assets as of December 31, 2014 and distributions reported in the consolidated statement of changes in net assets for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. In addition, it impacted the presentation of unrealized gains and losses within the components of net assets as of December 31, 2013. The purpose of the reclassifications was to (a) give effect to the tax character of, and basis differences between tax and GAAP in (i) accumulated shareholder distributions, (ii) accumulated undistributed net investment income, and (iii) accumulated net realized gains/losses, and (iv) net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments. The adjustments had no impact on previously reported consolidated total net assets, net investment income, net increase in net assets resulting from operations, or consolidated cash flows. The Company discovered the error through the implementation of a new control as part of its fourth quarter close, which was completed during the first quarter of 2016, and as a result a material weakness existed at December 31, 2015. We believe that the audited consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus are accurate. As of March 31, 2016, we addressed the underlying causes of the material weakness as described further in Remediation Efforts. Accordingly, we believe that the unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus do fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

Remediation Efforts

We are currently in the process of remediating the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as described above and are taking the necessary steps that we believe will address the underlying causes of the material weakness. We have completed the development and formal documentation of our policies and procedures relating to our internal control over financial reporting, but we have not completed the testing of these formalized controls. The identified material weakness in internal control will not be considered fully remediated until sufficient time has elapsed to provide evidence that the new controls have been implemented and are operating effectively. We implemented the following remediation steps to address the material weakness discussed above and to improve our internal control over financial reporting:

Our account reconciliation process for components of net assets and distributions was enhanced (1) to ensure the proper reclassification entries are recorded to account for the tax character of, and basis differences between tax and GAAP and (2) to ensure the beginning of the quarter and normal, recurring elements of changes in net assets appropriate to the account, equal the reconciled balance at the end of the quarter for components of net assets and distributions.

If we cannot produce reliable financial reports, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information, the market price of our stock could decline significantly, we may be unable to obtain additional financing to operate and expand our business, and our business and financial condition could be harmed.

Capital markets may experience periods of disruption and instability and we cannot predict when these conditions will occur. Such market conditions could materially and adversely affect debt and equity capital markets in the United States and abroad, which could have a negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The global capital markets have experienced a period of disruption as evidenced by a lack of liquidity in the debt capital markets, write-offs in the financial services sector, the re-pricing of credit risk and the failure of certain major financial institutions. While the capital markets have improved, these conditions could deteriorate again in the future.

During such market disruptions, we may have difficulty raising debt or equity capital, especially as a result of regulatory constraints.

Market conditions may in the future make it difficult to extend the maturity of or refinance our existing indebtedness and any failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business. The illiquidity of our investments may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if required. As a result, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we have recorded our investments. In addition, significant changes in

TABLE OF CONTENTS

the capital markets, including the disruption and volatility, have had, and may in the future have, a negative effect on the valuations of our investments and on the potential for liquidity events involving our investments. An inability to raise capital, and any required sale of our investments for liquidity purposes, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Various social and political tensions in the United States and around the world, including in the Middle East, Eastern Europe and Russia, may continue to contribute to increased market volatility, may have long-term effects on the United States and worldwide financial markets, and may cause further economic uncertainties or deterioration in the United States and worldwide. Several European Union (EU) countries, including Greece, Ireland, Italy, Spain, and Portugal, continue to face budget issues, some of which may have negative long-term effects for the economies of those countries and other EU countries. There is also continued concern about national-level support for the euro and the accompanying coordination of fiscal and wage policy among European Economic and Monetary Union member countries. The recent United States and global economic downturn, or a return to the recessionary period in the United States, could adversely impact our investments. We cannot predict the duration of the effects related to these or similar events in the future on the United States economy and securities markets or on our investments. We monitor developments and seek to manage our investments in a manner consistent with achieving our investment objective, but there can be no assurance that we will be successful in doing so.

Risks Related to Our Investments

Economic recessions or downturns could impair our portfolio companies and harm our operating results.

Many of our portfolio companies are susceptible to economic slowdowns or recessions and may be unable to repay our loans during these periods. Therefore, our non-performing assets are likely to increase and the value of our portfolio is likely to decrease during these periods. Adverse economic conditions may decrease the value of collateral securing some of our loans and the value of our equity investments. Economic slowdowns or recessions could lead to financial losses in our portfolio and a decrease in revenues, net income and assets. Unfavorable economic conditions also could increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. These events could prevent us from increasing our investments and harm our operating results.

A portfolio company's failure to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by us or other lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, termination of its loans and foreclosure on its assets, which could trigger cross-defaults under other agreements and jeopardize our portfolio company's ability to meet its obligations under the debt securities that we hold. We may incur expenses to the extent necessary to seek recovery upon default or to negotiate new terms with a defaulting portfolio company. In addition, lenders in certain cases can be subject to lender liability claims for actions taken by them when they become too involved in the borrower's business or exercise control over a borrower. It is possible that we could become subject to a lender liability claim, including as a result of actions taken if we render significant managerial assistance to the borrower. Furthermore, if one of our portfolio companies were to file for bankruptcy protection, even though we may have structured our investment as senior secured debt, depending on the facts and circumstances, including the extent to which we provided managerial assistance to that portfolio company, a bankruptcy court might re-characterize our debt holding and subordinate all or a portion of our claim to claims of other creditors.

Our investments in leveraged portfolio companies may be risky, and we could lose all or part of their investment.

Investment in leveraged companies involves a number of significant risks. Leveraged companies in which we invest may have limited financial resources and may be unable to meet their obligations under their debt securities that we hold. Such developments may be accompanied by a deterioration in the value of any collateral and a reduction in the likelihood of our realizing any guarantees that we may have obtained in connection with our investment. In addition, our mezzanine loans are generally subordinated to senior loans and are generally unsecured. As such, other creditors may rank senior to us in the event of an insolvency. Smaller leveraged companies also may have less predictable operating results and may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, finance their expansion or maintain their competitive position.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Our investments in lower credit quality obligations are risky and highly speculative, and we could lose all or part of our investment.

Most of our debt investments are likely to be in lower grade obligations. The lower grade investments in which we invest may be rated below investment grade by one or more nationally-recognized statistical rating agencies at the time of investment or may be unrated but determined by OFS Advisor to be of comparable quality. Debt securities rated below investment grade are commonly referred to as "junk bonds" and are considered speculative with respect to the issuer's capacity to pay interest and repay principal. The debt in which we invest typically is not rated by any rating agency, but we believe that if such investments were rated, they would be below investment grade (rated lower than Baa3 by Moody's Investors Service, lower than BBB- by Fitch Ratings or lower than BBB- by Standard & Poor's). We may invest without limit in debt of any rating, as well as debt that has not been rated by any nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

Investment in lower grade investments involves a substantial risk of loss. Lower grade securities or comparable unrated securities are considered predominantly speculative with respect to the issuer's ability to pay interest and principal and are susceptible to default or decline in market value due to adverse economic and business developments. The market values for lower grade debt tend to be very volatile and are less liquid than investment grade securities. For these reasons, your investment in our company is subject to the following specific risks: increased price sensitivity to a deteriorating economic environment; greater risk of loss due to default or declining credit quality; adverse company specific events are more likely to render the issuer unable to make interest and/or principal payments; and if a negative perception of the lower grade debt market develops, the price and liquidity of lower grade securities may be depressed. This negative perception could last for a significant period of time.

Our investments in private and middle-market portfolio companies are risky, and we could lose all or part of our investment.

Investment in private and middle-market companies involves a number of significant risks. Generally, little public information exists about these companies, and we rely on the ability of OFS Advisor's investment professionals to obtain adequate information to evaluate the potential returns from investing in these companies. If we are unable to uncover all material information about these companies, we may not make a fully informed investment decision, and we may lose money on our investments. Middle-market companies may have limited financial resources and may be unable to meet their obligations under their debt securities that we hold, which may be accompanied by a deterioration in the value of any collateral and a reduction in the likelihood of our realizing any guarantees we may have obtained in connection with our investment. In addition, such companies typically have shorter operating histories, narrower product lines and smaller market shares than larger businesses, which tend to render them more vulnerable to competitors' actions and market conditions, as well as general economic downturns. Additionally, middle-market companies are more likely to depend on the management talents and efforts of a small group of persons. Therefore, the death, disability, resignation or termination of one or more of these persons could have a material adverse impact on our portfolio company and, in turn, on us. Middle-market companies also may be parties to litigation and may be engaged in rapidly changing businesses with products subject to a substantial risk of obsolescence. In addition, our executive officers, directors and OFS Advisor may, in the ordinary course of business, be named as defendants in litigation arising from our investments in the portfolio companies.

If the assets securing the loans that we make decrease in value, then we may lack sufficient collateral to cover losses.

We will at times take a security interest in the available assets of our portfolio companies, including the equity interests of their subsidiaries and, in some cases, the equity interests of our portfolio companies held by their shareholders. There is a risk that the collateral securing these types of loans may decrease in value over time, may be difficult to sell in a timely manner, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value based upon the success of the business and market conditions, including as a result of the inability of a portfolio company to raise additional capital. In some circumstances, our lien could be subordinated to claims of other creditors. Additionally, deterioration in a portfolio company's financial condition and prospects, including its inability to raise additional capital, may be accompanied by deterioration in the value of the collateral for

TABLE OF CONTENTS

these types of loans. Moreover, in the case of certain of our investments, we do not have a first lien position on the collateral. Consequently, the fact that a loan may be secured does not guarantee that we will receive principal and interest payments according to the loan's terms, or that we will be able to collect on the loan should we be forced to enforce our remedies.

Our equity ownership in a portfolio company may represent a control investment. Our ability to exit a control investment in a timely manner could result in a realized loss on the investment.

If we obtain a control investment in a portfolio company, our ability to divest ourselves from a debt or equity investment could be restricted due to illiquidity in a private stock, limited trading volume on a public company's stock, inside information on a company's performance, insider blackout periods, or other factors that could prohibit us from disposing of the investment as we would if it were not a control investment. Additionally, we may choose not to take certain actions to protect a debt investment in a control investment portfolio company. As a result, we could experience a decrease in the value of our portfolio company holdings and potentially incur a realized loss on the investment.

We may suffer a loss if a portfolio company defaults on a loan and the underlying collateral is not sufficient.

In the event of a default by a portfolio company on a secured loan, we will only have recourse to the assets collateralizing the loan. If the underlying collateral value is less than the loan amount, we will suffer a loss.

In the event of bankruptcy of a portfolio company, we may not have full recourse to its assets in order to satisfy our loan, or our loan may be subject to equitable subordination. In addition, certain of our loans are subordinate to other debt of the portfolio company. If a portfolio company defaults on our loan or on debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a portfolio company bankruptcy, our loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt receives payment. Where debt senior to our loan exists, the presence of inter-creditor arrangements may limit our ability to amend our loan documents, assign our loans, accept prepayments, exercise our remedies (through standstill periods) and control decisions made in bankruptcy proceedings relating to the portfolio company. Bankruptcy and portfolio company litigation can significantly increase collection losses and the time needed for us to acquire the underlying collateral in the event of a default, during which time the collateral may decline in value, causing us to suffer losses.

If the value of collateral underlying our loan declines or interest rates increase during the term of our loan, a portfolio company may not be able to obtain the necessary funds to repay our loan at maturity through refinancing. Decreasing collateral value and/or increasing interest rates may hinder a portfolio company's ability to refinance our loan because the underlying collateral cannot satisfy the debt service coverage requirements necessary to obtain new financing. If a borrower is unable to repay our loan at maturity, we could suffer a loss which may adversely impact our financial performance.

The lack of liquidity in our investments may adversely affect our business.

All of our assets are presently invested in illiquid securities, and a substantial portion of our investments in leveraged companies is subject to legal and other restrictions on resale or is otherwise less liquid than more broadly traded public securities. The illiquidity of these investments may make it difficult for us to sell such investments if the need arises. In addition, if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly

less than the value at which we have previously recorded our investments. We may also face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment in a portfolio company to the extent that we, OFS Advisor, OFSAM or any of its other affiliates have material nonpublic information regarding such portfolio company.

Price declines and illiquidity in the corporate debt markets may adversely affect the fair value of our portfolio investments, reducing our net asset value through increased net unrealized depreciation.

As a BDC, we are required to carry our investments at market value or, if no market value is ascertainable, at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors. As part of the valuation process, we may take into account the following types of factors, if relevant, in determining the fair value of our investments:

37

TABLE OF CONTENTS

a comparison of the portfolio company's securities to publicly traded securities;
the enterprise value of a portfolio company;
the nature and realizable value of any collateral;
the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings and discounted cash flow;
the markets in which the portfolio company does business; and
changes in the interest rate environment and the credit markets generally that may affect the price at which similar investments may be made in the future and other relevant factors.

When an external event such as a purchase transaction, public offering or subsequent equity sale occurs, we use the pricing indicated by the external event to corroborate our valuation. We record decreases in the market values or fair values of our investments as unrealized depreciation. Declines in prices and liquidity in the corporate debt markets may result in significant net unrealized depreciation in our portfolio. The effect of all of these factors on our portfolio may reduce our net asset value by increasing net unrealized depreciation in our portfolio. Depending on market conditions, we could incur substantial realized losses and may suffer additional unrealized losses in future periods, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are a non-diversified management investment company within the meaning of the 1940 Act, and therefore we are not limited with respect to the proportion of our assets that may be invested in securities of a single issuer.

We are classified as a non-diversified management investment company within the meaning of the 1940 Act, which means that we are not limited by the 1940 Act with respect to the proportion of our assets that we may invest in securities of a single issuer. To the extent that we assume large positions in the securities of a small number of issuers, our net asset value may fluctuate to a greater extent than that of a diversified investment company as a result of changes in the financial condition or the market's assessment of the issuer. We may also be more susceptible to any single economic or regulatory occurrence than a diversified investment company. Beyond our asset diversification requirements as a RIC under the Code, we do not have fixed guidelines for diversification, and our investments could be concentrated in relatively few portfolio companies.

Our portfolio may be concentrated in a limited number of portfolio companies and industries, which will subject us to a risk of significant loss if any of these companies defaults on its obligations under any of its debt instruments or if there is a downturn in a particular industry.

Although we believe our portfolio is well-diversified across companies and industries, our portfolio is and may in the future be concentrated in a limited number of portfolio companies and industries. Beyond the asset diversification requirements associated with our qualification as a RIC under the Code, we do not have fixed guidelines for diversification. As a result, the aggregate returns we realize may be significantly adversely affected if a small number of investments perform poorly or if we need to write down the value of any one investment. Additionally, while we are not targeting any specific industries, our investments may be concentrated in relatively few industries. As a result, a downturn in any particular industry in which we are invested could also significantly impact the aggregate returns we realize.

We may hold the debt securities of leveraged companies that may, due to the significant volatility of such companies, enter into bankruptcy proceedings.

Although we generally do not expect to make investments in companies or securities that OFS Advisor determines to be distressed investments, we may hold debt securities of leveraged companies that may, due to the significant volatility of such companies, enter into bankruptcy proceedings or experience similar financial distress. The bankruptcy process has a number of significant inherent risks. Many events in a bankruptcy proceeding are the product of contested matters and adversary proceedings and are beyond the control of the creditors. A bankruptcy filing by an issuer may adversely and permanently affect the issuer. If the proceeding is converted to a liquidation, the value of the issuer may not equal the liquidation value that was believed to

TABLE OF CONTENTS

exist at the time of the investment. The duration of a bankruptcy proceeding is also difficult to predict, and a creditor's return on investment can be adversely affected by delays until the plan of reorganization or liquidation ultimately becomes effective. The administrative costs in connection with a bankruptcy proceeding are frequently high and would be paid out of the debtor's estate prior to any return to creditors. Because the standards for classification of claims under bankruptcy law are vague, our influence with respect to the class of securities or other obligations we own may be lost by increases in the number and amount of claims in the same class or by different classification and treatment. In the early stages of the bankruptcy process, it is often difficult to estimate the extent of, or even to identify, any contingent claims that might be made. In addition, certain claims that have priority by law (for example, claims for taxes) may be substantial.

Our failure to make follow-on investments in our portfolio companies could impair the value of our portfolio.

Following an initial investment in a portfolio company, we may make additional investments in that portfolio company as follow-on investments, in seeking to:

increase or maintain in whole or in part our position as a creditor or equity ownership percentage in a portfolio company;

exercise warrants, options or convertible securities that were acquired in the original or subsequent financing; or preserve or enhance the value of our investment.

We have discretion to make follow-on investments, subject to the availability of capital resources. Failure on our part to make follow-on investments may, in some circumstances, jeopardize the continued viability of a portfolio company and our initial investment, or may result in a missed opportunity for us to increase our participation in a successful operation. Even if we have sufficient capital to make a desired follow-on investment, we may elect not to make a follow-on investment because we may not want to increase our level of risk, because we prefer other opportunities or because we are inhibited by compliance with BDC requirements or the desire to maintain our RIC status. Our ability to make follow-on investments may also be limited by OFS Advisor's allocation policy.

Because we generally do not hold controlling equity interests in our portfolio companies, we may not be able to exercise control over our portfolio companies or to prevent decisions by management of our portfolio companies that could decrease the value of our investments.

We generally do not hold controlling equity positions in our portfolio companies. For portfolio companies in which we do not hold a controlling equity interest, we are subject to the risk that a portfolio company may make business decisions with which we disagree, and that the management and/or shareholders of a portfolio company may take risks or otherwise act in ways that are adverse to our interests. Due to the lack of liquidity of the debt and equity investments that we typically hold in our portfolio companies, we may not be able to dispose of our investments in the event we disagree with the actions of a portfolio company and may therefore suffer a decrease in the value of our investments.

Defaults by our portfolio companies will harm our operating results.

A portfolio company's failure to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by us or other lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, termination of its loans and foreclosure on its assets. This could trigger cross-defaults under other agreements and jeopardize such portfolio company's ability to meet its obligations under the debt or equity

Our failure to make follow-on investments in our portfolio companies could impair the value of our portfolio 78

securities that we hold. We may incur expenses to the extent necessary to seek recovery upon default or to negotiate new terms, which may include the waiver of certain financial covenants, with a defaulting portfolio company.

Our portfolio companies may incur debt that ranks equally with, or senior to, our investments in such companies.

We have invested a substantial portion of our capital in senior secured, unitranche, second-lien and mezzanine loans issued by our portfolio companies. The portfolio companies may be permitted to incur, other debt that ranks equally with, or senior to, the debt securities in which we invest. By their terms, such debt

TABLE OF CONTENTS

instruments may provide that the holders are entitled to receive payment of interest or principal on or before the dates on which we are entitled to receive payments in respect of the debt securities in which we invest. Also, in the event of insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of a portfolio company, holders of debt instruments ranking senior to our investment in that portfolio company would typically be entitled to receive payment in full before we receive any distribution in respect of our investment. After repaying senior creditors, the portfolio company may not have any remaining assets to use for repaying its obligation to us. In the case of debt ranking equally with debt securities in which we invest, we would have to share any distributions on an equal and ratable basis with other creditors holding such debt in the event of an insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of the relevant portfolio company.

Additionally, certain loans that we make to portfolio companies may be secured on a second-priority basis by the same collateral securing first-priority debt of such companies. The senior secured liens on the collateral will secure the portfolio company's obligations under any outstanding senior debt and may secure certain other future debt that may be permitted to be incurred by the portfolio company under the agreements governing the loans. The holders of obligations secured by first-priority liens on the collateral will generally control the liquidation of, and be entitled to receive proceeds from, any realization of the collateral to repay their obligations in full before us. In addition, the value of the collateral in the event of liquidation will depend on market and economic conditions, the availability of buyers and other factors. There can be no assurance that the proceeds, if any, from sales of all of the collateral would be sufficient to satisfy the loan obligations secured by the second-priority liens after payment in full of all obligations secured by the first-priority liens on the collateral. If such proceeds were not sufficient to repay amounts outstanding under the loan obligations secured by the second-priority liens, then we, to the extent not repaid from the proceeds of the sale of the collateral, would only have an unsecured claim against the portfolio company's remaining assets, if any.

The rights we may have with respect to the collateral securing the loans we make to our portfolio companies with more senior debt outstanding may also be limited pursuant to the terms of one or more intercreditor agreements that we enter into with the holders of such senior debt. Under a typical intercreditor agreement, at any time that obligations that have the benefit of the first-priority liens are outstanding, any of the following actions that may be taken in respect of the collateral will be at the direction of the holders of the obligations secured by the first-priority liens:

- the ability to cause the commencement of enforcement proceedings against the collateral;
- the ability to control the conduct of such proceedings;
- the approval of amendments to collateral documents;
- releases of liens on the collateral; and
- waivers of past defaults under collateral documents.

We may not have the ability to control or direct such actions, even if our rights are adversely affected.

We may also make unsecured loans to portfolio companies, meaning that such loans will not benefit from any interest in collateral of such companies. Liens on such portfolio companies' collateral, if any, will secure the portfolio company's obligations under its outstanding secured debt and may secure certain future debt that is permitted to be incurred by the portfolio company under its secured loan agreements. The holders of obligations secured by such liens will generally control the liquidation of, and be entitled to receive proceeds from, any realization of such collateral to repay their obligations in full before us. In addition, the value of such collateral in the event of liquidation will depend on market and economic conditions, the availability of buyers and other factors. There can be no assurance that the proceeds, if any, from sales of such collateral would be sufficient to satisfy our unsecured loan obligations after payment in full of all secured loan obligations. If such proceeds were not sufficient to repay the outstanding secured loan obligations, then our unsecured claims would rank equally with the unpaid portion of such secured creditors' claims against the portfolio company's remaining assets, if any.

Our portfolio companies may incur debt that ranks equally with, or senior to, our investments in such companies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

If we make subordinated investments, the obligors or the portfolio companies may not generate sufficient cash flow to service their debt obligations to us.

We make subordinated investments that rank below other obligations of the obligor in right of payment. Subordinated investments are subject to greater risk of default than senior obligations as a result of adverse changes in the financial condition of the obligor or in general economic conditions. If we make a subordinated investment in a portfolio company, the portfolio company may be highly leveraged, and its relatively high debt-to-equity ratio may create increased risks that its operations might not generate sufficient cash flow to service all of its debt obligations.

The disposition of our investments may result in contingent liabilities.

A significant portion of our investments involve private securities. In connection with the disposition of an investment in private securities, we may be required to make representations about the business and financial affairs of the portfolio company typical of those made in connection with the sale of a business. We may also be required to indemnify the purchasers of such investment to the extent that any such representations turn out to be inaccurate, or with respect to potential liabilities. These arrangements may result in contingent liabilities that ultimately result in funding obligations that we must satisfy through our return of distributions previously made to us.

Our investments in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive government regulation, litigation risk and certain other risks particular to that industry.

We invest in companies in the healthcare industry that are subject to extensive regulation by the Food and Drug Administration, or the FDA, and to a lesser extent, other federal, state and other foreign agencies. If any of these portfolio companies fail to comply with applicable regulations, they could be subject to significant penalties and claims that could materially and adversely affect their operations. Portfolio companies that produce medical devices or drugs are subject to the expense, delay and uncertainty of the regulatory approval process for their products and, even if approved, these products may not be accepted in the marketplace. In addition, governmental budgetary constraints effecting the regulatory approval process, new laws, regulations or judicial interpretations of existing laws and regulations might adversely affect a portfolio company in this industry. Changes in healthcare or other laws and regulations applicable to the businesses of some of our portfolio companies may occur that could increase their compliance and other costs of doing business, require significant systems enhancements, or render their products or services less profitable or obsolete, any of which could have a material adverse effect on their results of operations. Portfolio companies in the healthcare industry may also have a limited number of suppliers of necessary components or a limited number of manufacturers for their products, and therefore face a risk of disruption to their manufacturing process if they are unable to find alternative suppliers when needed. Any of these factors could materially and adversely affect the operations of a portfolio company in the healthcare industry and, in turn, impair our ability to timely collect principal and interest payments owed to us and adversely affect the value of these portfolio companies.

Our investments in Internet and software companies are subject to many risks, including regulatory concerns, litigation risks and intense competition.

Our investments in Internet and software companies are subject to substantial risks. For example, our portfolio companies face intense competition since their businesses are rapidly evolving and intensely competitive, and are subject to changing technology, shifting user needs, and frequent introductions of new products and services. Internet and software companies have many competitors in different industries, including general purpose search engines,

If we make subordinated investments, the obligors or the portfolio companies may not generate sufficient cash flow

vertical search engines and e-commerce sites, social networking sites, traditional media companies, and providers of online products and services. Potential competitors to our portfolio companies in the Internet and software industries range from large and established companies to emerging start-ups. Further, such companies are subject to laws that were adopted prior to the advent of the Internet and related technologies and, as a result, do not contemplate or address the unique issues of the Internet and related technologies. The laws that do reference the Internet are being interpreted by the courts, but their applicability and scope remain uncertain. For example, the laws relating to the liability of providers of online services are currently unsettled both within the U.S. and abroad. Claims have been threatened and

TABLE OF CONTENTS

filed under both U.S. and foreign laws for defamation, invasion of privacy and other tort claims, unlawful activity, copyright and trademark infringement, or other theories based on the nature and content of the materials searched and the ads posted by a company's users, a company's products and services, or content generated by a company's users.

Further, the growth of Internet and software companies into a variety of new fields implicate a variety of new regulatory issues and may subject such companies to increased regulatory scrutiny, particularly in the U.S. and Europe. As a result, these portfolio company investments face considerable risk. This could, in turn, materially adversely affect the value of the Internet and software companies in our portfolio.

Our base management fee may induce OFS Advisor to cause us to incur leverage.

Our base management fee is payable based upon our total assets, other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity. This fee structure may encourage OFS Advisor to cause us to borrow money to finance additional investments. Under certain circumstances, the use of borrowed money may increase the likelihood of default, which would disfavor holders of our common stock. Given the subjective nature of the investment decisions made by OFS Advisor on our behalf, our board of directors may not be able to monitor this potential conflict of interest effectively.

Our incentive fee may induce OFS Advisor to make certain investments, including speculative investments.

The incentive fee payable by us to OFS Advisor may create an incentive for OFS Advisor to make investments on our behalf that are riskier or more speculative than would be the case in the absence of such compensation arrangement.

The way in which the incentive fee payable to OFS Advisor is determined may encourage OFS Advisor to use leverage to increase the return on our investments. Under certain circumstances, the use of leverage may increase the likelihood of default, which would disfavor our shareholders.

OFS Advisor receives an incentive fee based, in part, upon net capital gains realized on our investments. Unlike that portion of the incentive fee based on income, there is no hurdle rate applicable to the portion of the incentive fee based on net capital gains. As a result, OFS Advisor may have a tendency to invest more capital in investments that are likely to result in capital gains as compared to income producing securities. Such a practice could result in our investing in more speculative securities than would otherwise be the case, which could result in higher investment losses, particularly during economic downturns.

We may invest, to the extent permitted by law, in the securities and instruments of other investment companies, including private funds, and, to the extent we so invest, will bear our ratable share of any such investment company's expenses, including management and performance fees. We will also remain obligated to pay management and incentive fees to OFS Advisor with respect to the assets invested in the securities and instruments of other investment companies. With respect to each of these investments, each of our shareholders will bear his or her share of the management and incentive fee of OFS Advisor as well as indirectly bearing the management and performance fees and other expenses of any investment companies in which we invest. For example, by virtue of our investment in OFS Capital WM, our shareholders indirectly incur management fees payable to the loan manager of the OFS Capital WM portfolio prior to the May 28, 2015, sale of senior secured debt investments with an aggregate principal balance of approximately \$67.8 million by the Company and OFS Capital WM to Madison Capital Funding LLC ("Madison") (the "WM Asset Sale") (see "Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources - WM Asset Sale and Related Transactions").

Our board of directors is charged with protecting our interests by monitoring how OFS Advisor addresses these and other conflicts of interests associated with its management services and compensation. While our board of directors is not expected to review or approve each borrowing or incurrence of leverage, our independent directors will periodically review OFS Advisor's services and fees. In connection with these reviews, our independent directors will consider whether our fees and expenses (including those related to leverage) remain appropriate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OFS Advisor's liability is limited under the Investment Advisory Agreement, and we have agreed to indemnify OFS Advisor against certain liabilities, which may lead OFS Advisor to act in a riskier manner on our behalf than it would when acting for its own account.

Under the Investment Advisory Agreement, OFS Advisor will not assume any responsibility to us other than to render the services called for under that agreement, and it will not be responsible for any action of our board of directors in following or declining to follow OFS Advisor's advice or recommendations. Under the terms of the Investment Advisory Agreement, OFS Advisor and its affiliates' respective officers, directors, members, managers, shareholders and employees will not be liable to us, any subsidiary of ours, our directors, our shareholders or any subsidiary's shareholders or partners for acts or omissions performed in accordance with and pursuant to the Investment Advisory Agreement, except those resulting from acts constituting gross negligence, willful misconduct, bad faith or reckless disregard of such person's duties under the Investment Advisory Agreement. In addition, we have agreed to indemnify OFS Advisor and its affiliates' respective officers, directors, members, managers, shareholders and employees from and against any claims or liabilities, including reasonable legal fees and other expenses reasonably incurred, arising out of or in connection with our business and operations or any action taken or omitted on our behalf pursuant to authority granted by the Investment Advisory Agreement, except where attributable to gross negligence, willful misconduct, bad faith or reckless disregard of such person's duties under the Investment Advisory Agreement. These protections may lead OFS Advisor to act in a riskier manner when acting on our behalf than it would when acting for its own account.

We may be subject to additional risks if we engage in hedging transactions and/or invest in foreign securities.

The 1940 Act generally requires that 70% of our investments be in issuers each of whom is organized under the laws of, and has its principal place of business in, any state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands or any other possession of the United States. Our investment strategy does not presently contemplate investments in securities of non-U.S. companies. We expect that these investments would focus on the same junior debt securities investments that we make in U.S. middle-market companies and accordingly would be complementary to our overall strategy and enhance the diversity of our holdings. Investing in securities of emerging market issuers involves many risks, including economic, social, political, financial, tax and security conditions in the emerging market, potential inflationary economic environments, regulation by foreign governments, different accounting standards and political uncertainties. Economic, social, political, financial, tax and security conditions also could negatively affect the value of emerging market companies. These factors could include changes in the emerging market government's economic and fiscal policies, the possible imposition of, or changes in, currency exchange laws or other laws or restrictions applicable to the emerging market companies or investments in their securities and the possibility of fluctuations in the rate of exchange between currencies.

Engaging in either hedging transactions or investing in foreign securities would entail additional risks to our shareholders. We could, for example, use instruments such as interest rate swaps, caps, collars and floors and, if we were to invest in foreign securities, we could use instruments such as forward contracts or currency options and borrow under a credit facility in currencies selected to minimize our foreign currency exposure. In each such case, we generally would seek to hedge against fluctuations of the relative values of our portfolio positions from changes in market interest rates or currency exchange rates. Hedging against a decline in the values of our portfolio positions would not eliminate the possibility of fluctuations in the values of such positions or prevent losses if the values of the positions declined. However, such hedging could establish other positions designed to gain from those same

developments, thereby offsetting the decline in the value of such portfolio positions. Such hedging transactions could also limit the opportunity for gain if the values of the underlying portfolio positions increased. Moreover, it might not be possible to hedge against an exchange rate or interest rate fluctuation that was so generally anticipated that we would not be able to enter into a hedging transaction at an acceptable price.

While we may enter into such transactions to seek to reduce currency exchange rate and interest rate risks, unanticipated changes in currency exchange rates or interest rates could result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in a hedging strategy and price movements in

TABLE OF CONTENTS

the portfolio positions being hedged could vary. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, we might not seek to establish a perfect correlation between the hedging instruments and the portfolio holdings being hedged. Any such imperfect correlation could prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to risk of loss. In addition, it might not be possible to hedge fully or perfectly against currency fluctuations affecting the value of securities denominated in non-U.S. currencies because the value of those securities would likely fluctuate as a result of factors not related to currency fluctuations.

We may not realize gains from our equity investments.

When we invest in senior secured, unitranche, second-lien and mezzanine loans, we may acquire warrants or other equity securities of portfolio companies as well. We also invest in equity securities directly. To the extent we hold equity investments, except as described below, we will attempt to dispose of them and realize gains upon our disposition of them. However, the equity interests we receive may not appreciate in value and may decline in value.

As a result, we may not be able to realize gains from our equity interests, and any gains that we do realize on the disposition of any equity interests may not be sufficient to offset any other losses we experience. In the case of SBIC I LP, our wholly-owned subsidiary, we will not receive direct benefits from the sale of assets in their portfolios. Rather, our return on our investment in such assets will depend on the ability of SBIC I LP's portfolio to generate cash flow in excess of payments required, as appropriate, to be made to other parties under the terms of the SBA debentures, and distribution, subject to SBA regulation, of the excess to us.

Uncertainty relating to the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) calculation process may adversely affect the value of our portfolio of LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate debt securities.

Concerns have been publicized that some of the member banks surveyed by the British Bankers' Association (BBA) in connection with the calculation of LIBOR across a range of maturities and currencies may have been under-reporting or otherwise manipulating the inter-bank lending rate applicable to them in order to profit on their derivatives positions or to avoid an appearance of capital insufficiency or adverse reputational or other consequences that may have resulted from reporting inter-bank lending rates higher than those they actually submitted. A number of BBA member banks have entered into settlements with their regulators and law enforcement agencies with respect to alleged manipulation of LIBOR, and investigations by regulators and governmental authorities in various jurisdictions are ongoing.

Actions by the BBA, regulators or law enforcement agencies may result in changes to the manner in which LIBOR is determined. Uncertainty as to the nature of such potential changes may adversely affect the market for LIBOR-based securities, including our portfolio of LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate debt securities. In addition, any further changes or reforms to the determination or supervision of LIBOR may result in a sudden or prolonged increase or decrease in reported LIBOR, which could have an adverse impact on the market for LIBOR-based securities or the value of our portfolio of LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate debt securities.

Risks Related to Our Securities

Shareholders may not receive distributions or that our distributions may not grow over time and a portion of our distributions may be a return of capital.

We have made distributions on a quarterly basis to our shareholders out of assets legally available for distribution. We cannot assure shareholders that we will achieve investment results that will allow us to make a specified level of cash distributions or year-to-year increases in cash distributions. Our ability to pay distributions might be adversely affected by the impact of one or more of the risk factors described in this Registration Statement. Due to the asset coverage test applicable to us under the 1940 Act as a BDC, we may be limited in our ability to make distributions.

When we make distributions, we will be required to determine the extent to which such distributions are paid out of current or accumulated earnings and profits. Distributions in excess of current and accumulated earnings and profits will be treated as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of an investor's basis in our stock and, assuming that an investor holds our stock as a capital asset, thereafter as a capital gain. A return of capital is a return to shareholders of a portion of their original investment in us rather than income or capital gains. A return of capital reduces the basis that the investor has in the Company's shares, which may result in

TABLE OF CONTENTS

an increase in the amount of any taxable gain or a reduction in any deductible loss upon a subsequent sale of such shares. See Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations.

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly.

As with any stock, the market price of our common stock will fluctuate with market conditions and other factors. The market price and liquidity of the market for shares of our common stock may be significantly affected by numerous factors, some of which are beyond our control and may not be directly related to our operating performance. These factors include:

significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of securities of BDCs or other companies in our sector, which is not necessarily related to the operating performance of these companies;
exclusion of our common stock from certain market indices, such as the Russell 2000 Financial Services Index, which could reduce the ability of certain investment funds to own our common stock and put short-term selling pressure on our common stock;

changes in regulatory policies or tax guidelines, particularly with respect to RICs, SBICs or BDCs;
loss of RIC or BDC status;

failure of SBIC I LP to maintain its status as an SBIC;

changes or perceived changes in earnings or variations in operating results;

changes or perceived changes in the value of our portfolio of investments;

changes in accounting guidelines governing valuation of our investments;

any shortfall in revenue or net income or any increase in losses from levels expected by investors or securities analysts;

departure of OFS Advisor s, OFSC s or any of their affiliates key personnel;

operating performance of companies comparable to us;

general economic trends and other external factors; and

loss of a major funding source.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

The shares of our common stock beneficially owned by our principal shareholders are generally available for resale, subject to the provisions of Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act. Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock, or the availability of such common stock for sale, could adversely affect the prevailing market prices for our common stock. If this occurs and continues, it could impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of securities should we desire to do so.

Certain provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law and our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could deter takeover attempts and have an adverse impact on the price of our common stock.

The Delaware General Corporation Law, our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws contain provisions that may have the effect of discouraging a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us. We have also adopted measures that may make it difficult for a third party to obtain control of us, including provisions of our articles of incorporation dividing our board of directors into three classes with the term of one class expiring at each annual meeting of shareholders. These anti-takeover provisions may inhibit a change in control in circumstances that could give the holders of our common stock the opportunity to realize a premium over the market price of our common

stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Our common stock may trade below its net asset value per share, which limits our ability to raise additional equity capital.

If our common stock is trading below its net asset value per share, we will generally not be able to issue additional shares of our common stock at its market price without first obtaining the approval for such issuance from our shareholders and our independent directors. Shares of BDCs, including shares of our common stock, have traded at discounts to their net asset values. As of March 31, 2016, our net asset value per share was \$14.65. The daily average closing price of our shares on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was \$11.36. If our common stock trades below net asset value, the higher the cost of equity capital may result in it being unattractive to raise new equity, which may limit our ability to grow. The risk of trading below net asset value is separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value per share may decline. We cannot predict whether shares of our common stock will trade above, at or below our net asset value.

If we issue preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt securities, the net asset value and market value of our common stock may become more volatile.

We cannot assure you that the issuance of preferred stock and/or debt securities would result in a higher yield or return to the holders of our common stock. The issuance of preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt would likely cause the net asset value and market value of our common stock to become more volatile. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock, or the interest rate on the debt securities, were to approach the net rate of return on our investment portfolio, the benefit of leverage to the holders of our common stock would be reduced. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock, or the interest rate on the debt securities, were to exceed the net rate of return on our portfolio, the use of leverage would result in a lower rate of return to the holders of common stock than if we had not issued the preferred stock or debt securities. Any decline in the net asset value of our investment would be borne entirely by the holders of our common stock. Therefore, if the market value of our portfolio were to decline, the leverage would result in a greater decrease in net asset value to the holders of our common stock than if we were not leveraged through the issuance of preferred stock. This decline in net asset value would also tend to cause a greater decline in the market price for our common stock.

There is also a risk that, in the event of a sharp decline in the value of our net assets, we would be in danger of failing to maintain required asset coverage ratios which may be required by the preferred stock, debt securities, convertible debt or units or of a downgrade in the ratings of the preferred stock, debt securities, convertible debt or units or our current investment income might not be sufficient to meet the dividend requirements on the preferred stock or the interest payments on the debt securities. If we do not maintain our required asset coverage ratios, we may not be permitted to declare dividends. In order to counteract such an event, we might need to liquidate investments in order to fund redemption of some or all of the preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt. In addition, we would pay (and the holders of our common stock would bear) all costs and expenses relating to the issuance and ongoing maintenance of the preferred stock, debt securities, convertible debt or any combination of these securities. Holders of preferred stock, debt securities or convertible debt may have different interests than holders of common stock and may at times have disproportionate influence over our affairs.

Holders of any preferred stock that we may issue will have the right to elect members of the board of directors and have class voting rights on certain matters.

The 1940 Act requires that holders of shares of preferred stock must be entitled as a class to elect two directors at all times and to elect a majority of the directors if dividends on such preferred stock are in arrears by two years or more, until such arrearage is eliminated. In addition, certain matters under the 1940 Act require the separate vote of the holders of any issued and outstanding preferred stock, including changes in fundamental investment restrictions and conversion to open-end status and, accordingly, preferred shareholders could veto any such changes. Restrictions imposed on the declarations and payment of dividends or other distributions to the holders of our common stock and preferred stock, both by the 1940 Act and by requirements imposed by rating agencies, might impair our ability to maintain our qualification as a RIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Your interest in us may be diluted if you do not fully exercise your subscription rights in any rights offering. In addition, if the subscription price is less than our net asset value per share, then you will experience an immediate dilution of the aggregate net asset value of your shares.

In the event we issue subscription rights, shareholders who do not fully exercise their subscription rights should expect that they will, at the completion of a rights offering pursuant to this prospectus, own a smaller proportional interest in us than would otherwise be the case if they fully exercised their rights. We cannot state precisely the amount of any such dilution in share ownership because we do not know at this time what proportion of the shares will be purchased as a result of such rights offering.

In addition, if the subscription price is less than the net asset value per share of our common stock, then our shareholders would experience an immediate dilution of the aggregate net asset value of their shares as a result of the offering. The amount of any decrease in net asset value is not predictable because it is not known at this time what the subscription price and net asset value per share will be on the expiration date of a rights offering or what proportion of the shares will be purchased as a result of such rights offering. Such dilution could be substantial.

The trading market or market value of our publicly issued debt securities may fluctuate.

Our publicly issued debt securities may or may not have an established trading market. We cannot assure you that a trading market for our publicly issued debt securities will ever develop or be maintained if developed. In addition to our creditworthiness, many factors may materially adversely affect the trading market for, and market value of, our publicly issued debt securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the time remaining to the maturity of these debt securities;
- the outstanding principal amount of debt securities with terms identical to these debt securities;
- the ratings assigned by national statistical ratings agencies;
- the general economic environment;
- the supply of debt securities trading in the secondary market, if any;
- the redemption or repayment features, if any, of these debt securities;
- the level, direction and volatility of market interest rates generally; and
- market rates of interest higher or lower than rates borne by the debt securities.

You should also be aware that there may be a limited number of buyers when you decide to sell your debt securities.

This too may materially adversely affect the market value of the debt securities or the trading market for the debt securities.

Terms relating to redemption may materially adversely affect your return on any debt securities that we may issue.

If your debt securities are redeemable at our option, we may choose to redeem your debt securities at times when prevailing interest rates are lower than the interest rate paid on your debt securities. In addition, if your debt securities are subject to mandatory redemption, we may be required to redeem your debt securities also at times when prevailing interest rates are lower than the interest rate paid on your debt securities. In this circumstance, you may not be able to reinvest the redemption proceeds in a comparable security at an effective interest rate as high as your debt securities being redeemed.

Your interest in us may be diluted if you do not fully exercise your subscription rights in any rights offering⁹⁴In addition

Our credit ratings may not reflect all risks of an investment in our debt securities.

Our credit ratings are an assessment by third parties of our ability to pay our obligations. Consequently, real or anticipated changes in our credit ratings will generally affect the market value of our debt securities. Our credit ratings, however, may not reflect the potential impact of risks related to market conditions generally or other factors discussed above on the market value of or trading market for the publicly issued debt securities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In addition to factors previously identified elsewhere in this prospectus, including the Risks section of this prospectus, the following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements or historical performance:

the introduction, withdrawal, success and timing of business initiatives and strategies;
changes in political, economic or industry conditions, the interest rate environment or financial and capital markets, which could result in changes in the value of our assets;

the relative and absolute investment performance and operations of our investment adviser;

the impact of increased competition;

the impact of future acquisitions and divestitures;

the unfavorable resolution of legal proceedings;

our business prospects and the prospects of our portfolio companies;

the impact, extent and timing of technological changes and the adequacy of intellectual property protection;
the impact of legislative and regulatory actions and reforms and regulatory, supervisory or enforcement actions of government agencies relating to us or OFS Advisors;

the ability of OFS Advisors to identify suitable investments for us and to monitor and administer our investments;

our contractual arrangements and relationships with third parties;

any future financings by us;

the ability of OFS Advisors to attract and retain highly talented professionals;

fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates; and

the impact of changes to tax legislation and, generally, our tax position.

This prospectus and any prospectus supplement, and other statements that we may make, may contain forward-looking statements with respect to future financial or business performance, strategies or expectations. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words or phrases such as trend, opportunity, pipeline, believe, comfortable, expect, anticipate, current, intention, estimate, position, assume, potential, outlook, maintain, sustain, seek, achieve and similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as will, would, could, may or similar expressions.

Forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties, which change over time. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we assume no duty to and do not undertake to update forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements do not meet the safe harbor for forward-looking statements pursuant to Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act or Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements and future results could differ materially from historical performance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

USE OF PROCEEDS

We intend to use the net proceeds from the sale of our securities for general corporate purposes, which include investing in debt and equity securities, repayment of any outstanding indebtedness, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes. The supplement to this prospectus relating to an offering will more fully identify the use of proceeds from such offering.

We anticipate that substantially all of the net proceeds from any offering of our securities will be used as described above within twelve months, but in no event longer than two years, depending on the availability of attractive opportunities and market conditions. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to achieve this goal.

Pending such uses and investments, we will invest the remaining net proceeds primarily in cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities or high-quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment. The management fee payable by us to our investment adviser will not be reduced while our assets are invested in such securities. Our ability to achieve our investment objective may be limited to the extent that the net proceeds of any offering, pending full investment, are held in lower yielding short-term instruments.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS**

Our common stock, traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol OFS, began trading on November 8, 2012. The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market, the sales price as a percentage of net asset value and the distributions declared by us for each fiscal quarter. The stock quotations are interdealer quotations and do not include markups, markdowns or commissions.

| | NAV ⁽¹⁾ | Closing Price Range | | Premium or Discount of High Sales Price to NAV ⁽²⁾ | % | Premium or Discount of Low Sales Price to NAV ⁽²⁾ | % | Cash Distributions per Share ⁽³⁾ |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|----------|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | High | Low | | | | | |
| Fiscal 2016 | | | | | | | | |
| Second Quarter (through June 28, 2016) | * | \$ 13.75 | \$ 11.83 | * | | * | | \$ 0.34 |
| First Quarter | 14.65 | 13.07 | 9.98 | -10.8 | % | -31.9 | % | 0.34 |
| Fiscal 2015 | | | | | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 14.76 | \$ 11.72 | \$ 10.11 | -20.6 | % | -31.5 | % | \$ 0.34 |
| Third Quarter | 14.46 | 12.17 | 10.00 | -15.8 | % | -30.8 | % | 0.34 |
| Second Quarter | 14.66 | 12.50 | 11.75 | -14.7 | % | -19.8 | % | 0.34 |
| First Quarter | 14.24 | 12.44 | 11.20 | -12.6 | % | -21.3 | % | 0.34 |
| Fiscal 2014 | | | | | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | 14.24 | 12.45 | 11.26 | -12.6 | % | -20.9 | % | 0.34 |
| Third Quarter | 14.22 | 13.11 | 12.07 | -7.8 | % | -15.1 | % | 0.34 |
| Second Quarter | 14.17 | 13.00 | 12.30 | -8.3 | % | -13.2 | % | 0.34 |
| First Quarter | 14.45 | 13.37 | 11.92 | -7.5 | % | -17.5 | % | 0.34 |

NAV per share is determined as of the last day in the relevant quarter and therefore may not reflect the NAV per (1) share on the date of the high and low sales prices. The NAVs shown are based on outstanding shares at the end of each period.

(2) Calculated as of the respective high or low sales price divided by NAV.

The determination of the tax attributes of our distributions is made annually as of the end of our fiscal year based upon our taxable income for the full year and distributions paid for the full year. Therefore, a determination made on a quarterly basis may not be representative of the actual tax attributes of our distributions for a full year. If the (3) tax characteristics of our distributions paid during 2016 were determined as of March 31, 2016, approximately \$0.04 per share would represent a return of capital. The return of capital portion of each distribution as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$0.23 and \$0.72, respectively. The tax attribute of our distribution declared during the second quarter of 2016 has not been determined.

*

NAV for this period has not been determined.

The last reported price for our common stock on June 28, 2016 was \$12.83 per share. We were added to the NASDAQ

Global Select Market beginning January 2, 2014. As of June 28, 2016, there were two holders of record of the common stock, one of which was OFSAM. The other holder of record does not identify shareholders for whom shares are held beneficially in nominee or street name.

Shares of business development companies may trade at a market price that is less than the value of the net assets attributable to those shares. The possibility that our shares of common stock will trade at a discount from net asset value or at premiums that are unsustainable over the long term is separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value will decrease. At times, our shares of common stock have traded at a premium to net asset value and at times our shares of common stock have traded at a discount to the net assets attributable to those shares. It is not possible to predict whether the shares offered hereby will trade at, above, or below net asset value.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Distributions

We are taxed as a RIC under the Code. Generally, a RIC is entitled to deduct distributions it pays to its shareholders from its income to determine taxable income. Taxable income includes our taxable interest, distribution and fee income, and taxable net capital gains. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses are not included in taxable income until they are realized and may include passive gains and losses from certain portfolio companies. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally result in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash. Taxable income includes non-cash income, such as changes in accrued and reinvested interest and dividends, which includes contractual PIK interest and PIK dividends, and the amortization of discounts and fees. Cash collections of income resulting from contractual PIK interest or PIK dividends generally occur at the end of the term of a loan or an equity security. Non-cash taxable income is reduced by non-cash expenses, such as realized losses and depreciation, and amortization expense.

Our board of directors will maintain a variable distribution policy with the objective of distributing four quarterly distributions in an amount that approximates at least 90% of our taxable quarterly income or potential annual income for a particular year. In addition, at the end of the year, we may also pay an additional special distribution, or fifth distribution, such that we may distribute approximately all of our annual taxable income in the year it was earned, while maintaining the option to spill over our excess taxable income.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following table summarizes our distributions declared and paid on all shares to date (amount in thousands except per share data):

| Date Declared | Record Date | Payment Date | Amount Per Share ⁽²⁾ | Total Amount |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Fiscal 2016 | | | | |
| May 2, 2016 | June 16, 2016 | June 30, 2016 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 3,295 |
| March 7, 2016 | March 17, 2016 | March 31, 2016 | 0.34 | 3,295 |
| Fiscal 2015 | | | | |
| December 2, 2015 | December 17, 2015 | December 31, 2015 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 3,295 |
| August 6, 2015 | September 16, 2015 | September 30, 2015 | 0.34 | 3,289 |
| May 4, 2015 | June 16, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | 0.34 | 3,286 |
| March 4, 2015 | March 17, 2015 | March 31, 2015 | 0.34 | 3,281 |
| Fiscal 2014 | | | | |
| November 4, 2014 | December 17, 2014 | December 31, 2014 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 3,278 |
| August 7, 2014 | September 16, 2014 | September 30, 2014 | 0.34 | 3,276 |
| May 7, 2014 | June 16, 2014 | June 30, 2014 | 0.34 | 3,275 |
| January 21, 2014 | January 31, 2014 | February 14, 2014 | 0.34 | 3,274 |
| Fiscal 2013 | | | | |
| September 25, 2013 | October 17, 2013 | October 31, 2013 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 3,273 |
| June 25, 2013 | July 17, 2013 | July 31, 2013 | 0.34 | 3,272 |
| March 26, 2013 | April 17, 2013 | April 30, 2013 | 0.34 | 3,269 |
| Fiscal 2012 | | | | |
| November 26, 2012 ⁽¹⁾ | January 17, 2013 | January 31, 2013 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 1,628 |

(1) Represents the distribution declared in the specified period, which, if prorated for the number of days remaining in the fourth quarter after our IPO in November 2012, would have been \$0.34 per share.

The determination of the tax attributes of our distributions is made annually as of the end of our fiscal year based upon our taxable income for the full year and distributions paid for the full year. Therefore, a determination made on a quarterly basis may not be representative of the actual tax attributes of our distributions for a full year. If the (2) tax characteristics of our distributions paid during 2016 were determined as of March 31, 2016, approximately \$0.04 per share would represent a return of capital. The return of capital portion of distributions for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 (which includes the distribution declared on November 26, 2012) was \$0.23, \$0.72, and \$0.40, respectively.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We may not be able to achieve operating results that will allow us to make distributions at a specific level or to increase the amount of these distributions from time to time. If we do not distribute a certain percentage of our income annually, we will suffer adverse tax consequences, including possible loss of our status as a regulated investment company. We cannot assure shareholders that they will receive any distributions at a particular level.

Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits generally are treated first as a return of capital to the extent of the shareholder's tax basis, and any remaining distributions are treated as a capital gain. The determination of the tax attributes of our distributions is made annually as of the end of our fiscal year based upon our taxable income for the full year and distributions paid for the full year; therefore, a determination made on a quarterly basis may not be representative of the tax attributes of our annual distributions to shareholders. For the distribution paid during the year ended December 31, 2015, out of the approximately \$13.2 million in distribution, approximately 83% represented ordinary income and 17% represented a return of capital.

Each year a statement on Form 1099-DIV identifying the source of the distribution (i.e., paid from ordinary income, paid from net capital gains on the sale of securities, and/or a return of paid-in-capital surplus, which is a nontaxable distribution) is mailed to our U.S. shareholders. To the extent our taxable earnings fall below the total amount of our distributions for that fiscal year, a portion of those distributions may be deemed a tax return of capital to our shareholders.

We maintain an opt-out distribution reinvestment plan for our common shareholders. As a result, if we declare a distribution, cash distributions will be automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock unless the shareholder specifically opts out of the distribution reinvestment plan and chooses to receive cash distributions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The information contained in this section should be read in conjunction with the Selected Consolidated Financial Data and our Financial Statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. In addition to historical information, the following discussion and other parts of this prospectus contain forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking information due to the factors discussed under Risk Factors and Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements appearing elsewhere herein.

Overview

We are an externally managed, closed-end, non-diversified management investment company. Our investment objective is to provide our shareholders with both current income and capital appreciation primarily through debt investments and, to a lesser extent, equity investments. Our investment strategy focuses primarily on investments in middle-market companies in the United States. We use the term "middle-market" to refer to companies that may exhibit one or more of the following characteristics: number of employees less than 2,000; revenues between \$15 million and \$300 million; annual earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, between \$3 million and \$50 million; generally, private companies owned by private equity firms or owners/operators; and enterprise value between \$10 million and \$500 million. For additional information about how we define the middle-market, see General Investment Criteria/Guidelines.

As of March 31, 2016, our investment portfolio consisted of outstanding loans of approximately \$218.3 million in aggregate principal amount in 35 portfolio companies and equity investments of approximately \$32.5 million, at fair value. As of March 31, 2016, 61% of our investment portfolio was comprised of senior secured loans, 26% of subordinated loans and 13% of equity investments, at fair value.

While our investment strategy focuses primarily on middle-market companies in the United States, including senior secured loans, which includes first-lien, second-lien and unitranche loans as well as subordinated loans and, to a lesser extent, warrants and other equity securities, we also may invest up to 30% of our portfolio in opportunistic investments of non-eligible portfolio companies. Specifically, as part of this 30% basket, we may consider investments in investment funds that are operating pursuant to certain exceptions to the 1940 Act and in advisers to similar investment funds, as well as in debt of middle-market companies located outside of the United States and debt and equity of public companies that do not meet the definition of eligible portfolio companies because their market capitalization of publicly traded equity securities exceeds the levels provided for in the 1940 Act.

Our investment strategy includes OFS SBIC I LP ("SBIC I LP"), which received a license under the U.S. Small Business Administration ("SBA") Small Business Investment Company program, in May 2012. The SBIC license allows SBIC I LP to receive SBA-guaranteed debenture funding, subject to the issuance of a leverage commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. SBA leverage funding is subject to SBIC I LP's payment of certain fees to the SBA, and the ability of SBIC I LP to draw on the leverage commitment is subject to its compliance with SBA regulations and policies, including an audit by the SBA. For additional information regarding the regulation of SBIC I LP, see Regulation Small Business Investment Company Regulations.

In January 2015, we filed an application with the SBA for a second SBIC license, which, if approved, would provide up to \$75.0 million in additional SBA debentures for the funding of our future investments upon our contribution of at least \$37.5 million in additional regulatory capital and subject to the issuance of a leverage commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. There can be no assurance as to whether or when this application will be approved by the SBA.

On a stand-alone basis, SBIC I LP held approximately \$249.6 million and \$245.1 million in assets at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, which accounted for approximately 85% and 83% of our total consolidated assets at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Our investment activities are managed by OFS Capital Management, LLC (OFS Advisor) and supervised by our board of directors, a majority of whom are independent of us, OFS Advisor and its

TABLE OF CONTENTS

affiliates. Under the investment advisory agreement between us and OFS Advisor (the Investment Advisory Agreement) we have agreed to pay OFS Advisor an annual base management fee based on the average value of our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity) as well as an incentive fee based on our investment performance. We have elected to exclude from the base management fee calculation any base management fee that would be owed in respect of the intangible asset and goodwill resulting from our acquisitions of the remaining ownership interests in SBIC I LP and SBIC I GP, LLC (SBIC I GP) on December 4, 2013. We have also entered into an administration agreement (Administration Agreement) with OFS Capital Services, LLC (OFS Services). Under our Administration Agreement, we have agreed to reimburse OFS Services for our allocable portion (subject to the review and approval of our independent directors) of overhead and other expenses incurred by OFS Services in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement.

As a business development company (BDC), we must not acquire any assets other than qualifying assets specified in the 1940 Act unless, at the time the acquisition is made, at least 70% of our assets, as defined by the 1940 Act, are qualifying assets (with certain limited exceptions). Qualifying assets include investments in eligible portfolio companies. Under the relevant Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules, the term eligible portfolio company includes all private companies, companies whose securities are not listed on a national securities exchange, and certain public companies that have listed their securities on a national securities exchange and have a market capitalization of less than \$250 million, in each case organized in the United States.

We are permitted to borrow money from time to time within the levels permitted by the 1940 Act (which generally allows us to incur leverage for up to 50% of our asset base). We may borrow money when the terms and conditions available are favorable to do so and are aligned with our investment strategy and portfolio composition. The use of borrowed funds or the proceeds of preferred stock to make investments would have its own specific benefits and risks, and all of the costs of borrowing funds or issuing preferred stock would be borne by holders of our common stock.

We have elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company (RIC) under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Code). To qualify as a RIC, we must, among other things, meet certain source-of-income and assets diversification requirements. Pursuant to these elections, we generally will not have to pay corporate-level taxes on any income we distribute to our shareholders.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the periods reported. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

We have identified the following items as critical accounting policies:

Valuation of Portfolio Investments.

The most significant estimate inherent in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is the valuation of investments and the related amounts of unrealized appreciation and depreciation of investments recorded.

Our investments are carried at fair value in accordance with the 1940 Act and ASC Topic 820. At March 31, 2016, all of our investments in portfolio companies that are valued at fair value by our board of directors. Value, as defined in Section 2(a)(41) of the 1940 Act, is (i) the market price for those securities for which a market quotation is readily

available and (ii) for all other securities and assets, fair value as determined in good faith by the board of directors. Our debt and equity securities are primarily comprised of investments in middle market companies whose securities are not publicly traded. Our investments in these portfolio companies are generally considered Level 3 assets under ASC Topic 820 because the inputs used to value the investments are generally unobservable. As such, we value substantially all of our investments at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors pursuant to a consistent valuation policy in

TABLE OF CONTENTS

accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 820 and the 1940 Act. Due to the inherent uncertainty in determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of our investments determined in good faith by our board of directors may differ significantly from the value that would have been used had a readily available market existed for such investments, and the differences could be material.

Revenue Recognition. Our revenue recognition policies are as follows:

Interest Income: Interest income is recorded on an accrual basis. Recognized interest income, if payable monthly or quarterly, is reported as interest receivable until collected. Recognized interest income due at maturity or at another stipulated date (PIK interest) is recorded as an adjustment to the cost basis of the investment. We accrue interest income until events occur that place a loan into a non-accrual status (see below). Loan origination fees, original issue discount (OID), market discount or premium, and loan amendment fees (collectively, net loan origination fees) are capitalized, and we accrete or amortize such amounts as additional interest income over the life of the loan using a method that approximates the effective interest method. Unamortized OID is recorded as an adjustment to the cost basis of the investment and unamortized loan amendment fees are reported as deferred loan fee revenue. When we receive a loan principal payment, the unamortized OID related to the paid principal is accelerated and recognized in interest income. All other interest income is recognized as contractually earned. Further, in connection with our debt investments, we may receive warrants or similar equity-related securities (Warrants). We determine the cost basis of Warrants based upon their fair values on the date of receipt relative to the total fair value of the debt and Warrants received. Any resulting difference between the face amount of the debt and its recorded cost resulting from the assignment of value to the Warrants is treated as OID, and accreted into interest income as described above.

Unamortized net loan origination fees on debt investments were \$2.4 million and \$1.9 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. We recognized net loan origination fee income of \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We recognized PIK interest income of \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. To maintain its status as a RIC, we include non-cash interest income in the determination of distributable income.

Dividend Income: Dividend income on common stock, generally payable in cash, is recorded at the time dividends are declared. Dividend income on preferred equity securities is accrued as earned. Dividends on preferred equity securities may be payable in cash or in additional preferred securities, and are generally not payable unless declared or upon liquidation. Declared dividends payable in cash are reported as dividend receivables until collected. Dividends payable in additional preferred securities or contractually earned but not declared (PIK dividends) are recorded as an adjustment to the cost basis of the investment. We discontinue accrual of dividends on preferred equity securities when we determine that the dividend may not be collectible. We assess the collectability of the preferred dividends based on factors including the fair value of the preferred equity security, the valuation of the portfolio company's enterprise value, and proceeds expected to be received over the life of the investment. Distributions received from common or preferred equity securities that do not qualify as dividend income are recorded as return of capital and a reduction in the cost basis of the investment. In addition, we may receive cash distributions from portfolio companies that are taxed as flow-through entities. Each distribution is evaluated to determine whether it should be recorded as income or as a return of capital. Distributions classified as a returns of capital are recorded as reductions in the cost basis of the investments. We recognized preferred dividend income of \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, of which \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, was contractually earned but not declared for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015. We recognized common stock dividends of \$43 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2016. We did not recognize common stock dividends during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Fee Income: We generate revenue in the form of commitment, structuring or due diligence fees, fees for providing managerial assistance, consulting fees, and other contractual fees. Such revenue is recognized as the related services

are rendered. Prepayment penalties for debt instruments repaid prior to their stated maturity are recorded as income upon receipt.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Net Realized and Unrealized Gain or Loss on Investments: Investment transactions are reported on a trade-date basis. Realized gains or losses on investments are measured by the difference between the net proceeds from the disposition and the cost basis of the investment, without regard to unrealized gains or losses as of the date of disposition. Investments are reported at fair value as determined by our Board. After recording all appropriate interest, dividend, and other income, some of which is recorded as an adjustment to the cost basis of the investment as described above, we report changes in the fair value of investments as a component of the net changes in unrealized appreciation/depreciation on investments in the consolidated statements of operations.

Non-accrual loans: Loans on which the accrual of interest income has been discontinued are designated as non-accrual loans, and non-accrual loans are further classified as and accounted for under either a non-accrual cash method or a non-accrual cost recovery method. Loans are generally placed on non-accrual status when a loan either: (i) is delinquent for 90 days or more on principal or interest according to contractual terms of the loan (unless well secured and in the process of collection), or (ii) in the opinion of management, there is reasonable doubt about its collectability. When loans are placed on non-accrual status, all interest previously accrued but not collected interest, other than PIK interest that has been contractually added to the principal balance prior to the designation date, is reversed against current period interest income. Interest payments subsequently received on non-accrual loans may be recognized as income or applied to principal depending upon management's judgment. Interest accruals are resumed on non-accrual loans only when they are brought current with respect to interest and principal and when, in the judgment of management, the loans are estimated to be fully collectible as to all principal and interest.

Portfolio Composition and Investment Activity

Portfolio Composition

The total fair value of our investments was \$245.4 million and \$257.3 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Our investment portfolio as of March 31, 2016 consisted of outstanding loans to 35 portfolio companies, totaling approximately \$218.3 million in aggregate principal amount, of which 70% were senior secured loans, 30% were subordinated loans, and approximately \$32.5 million were equity investments, at fair value, in 16 portfolio companies in which it also held debt investments and one portfolio company in which it solely held an equity investment. Our investment portfolio encompassed a broad range of geographical regions within the United States and industries. We had unfunded commitments of \$5.3 million and \$3.8 million to four portfolio companies and three portfolio companies at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Set forth in the tables below is selected information with respect to our portfolio as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

The following table summarizes the composition of our investment portfolio as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

| | March 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | Commitment | Outstanding Principal | Fair Value | Commitment | Outstanding Principal | Fair Value |
| | (Dollar amounts in thousands) | | | (Dollar amounts in thousands) | | |
| Senior secured term loan | \$155,138 | \$153,688 | \$149,202 | \$163,398 | \$163,398 | \$160,473 |
| Subordinated term loan | 66,983 | 64,605 | 63,779 | 67,751 | 65,373 | 64,240 |
| Senior secured revolver | 1,094 | | (30) | 1,094 | | (36) |
| Equity investments (at fair value) | 32,820 | N/A | 32,456 | 32,983 | N/A | 32,619 |

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | \$265,035 | \$218,293 | \$245,407 | \$265,226 | \$228,771 | \$257,296 |
| Total number of obligors | 35 | 35 | 35 | 38 | 38 | 38 |

57

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following table summarizes our combined debt commitments and equity investments (at fair value) portfolio by industry as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

| | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|---|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | Commitment | Percent | Commitment | Percent |
| | (Dollar | | (Dollar | |
| | amounts | | amounts | |
| | in | | in | |
| | thousands) | | thousands) | |
| Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services | | | | |
| Other Travel Arrangement and Reservation Services | \$10,362 | 4.0 % | \$10,250 | 3.9 % |
| Security Systems Services (except Locksmiths) | 5,203 | 2.0 | 5,000 | 1.9 |
| Tour Operators | 3,235 | 1.3 | 3,208 | 1.2 |
| Education Services | | | | |
| Colleges, Universities, and Professional Schools | 5,095 | 2.0 | 5,026 | 1.9 |
| Finance and Insurance | | | | |
| Insurance Agencies and Brokerages | 11,814 | 4.6 | 11,826 | 4.5 |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | | | | |
| Medical Laboratories | 4,124 | 1.6 | 4,104 | 1.5 |
| Other Outpatient Care Centers | 14,250 | 5.5 | 15,250 | 5.7 |
| Outpatient Mental Health and Substance Abuse Centers | 6,722 | 2.6 | 6,672 | 2.5 |
| Information | | | | |
| Other Information Services | 2,554 | 1.0 | 2,578 | 1.0 |
| Other Telecommunications | 3,562 | 1.4 | 3,826 | 1.4 |
| Software Publishers | | | 7,310 | 2.8 |
| Manufacturing | | | | |
| Bolt, Nut, Screw, Rivet, and Washer Manufacturing | 4,495 | 1.8 | 4,551 | 1.7 |
| Communications Equipment Manufacturing | | | 2,257 | 0.9 |
| Other Aircraft Parts and Auxiliary Equipment Manufacturing | 13,114 | 5.1 | 12,766 | 4.8 |
| Other Basic Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing | 4,727 | 1.8 | 4,791 | 1.8 |
| Packaging Machinery Manufacturing | 2,000 | 0.8 | 2,000 | 0.8 |
| Pharmaceutical Preparation Manufacturing | 6,406 | 2.5 | 5,672 | 2.1 |
| Pump and Pumping Equipment Manufacturing | 12,867 | 5.0 | 13,061 | 4.9 |
| Soap and Other Detergent Manufacturing | 939 | 0.4 | 939 | 0.4 |
| Travel Trailer and Camper Manufacturing | 13,447 | 5.3 | 13,717 | 5.2 |
| Other Services (except Public Administration) | | | | |
| Commercial and Industrial Machinery and Equipment (except Automotive and Electronic) Repair and Maintenance | 13,204 | 5.2 | 13,934 | 5.3 |
| Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | | | | |
| Administrative Management and General Management Consulting | 9,640 | 3.8 | 10,000 | 3.8 |
| Computer Systems Design and Related Services | 3,000 | 1.2 | 3,000 | 1.1 |
| Custom Computer Programming Services | 7,520 | 2.9 | 7,667 | 2.9 |
| Other Accounting Services | 8,858 | 3.5 | 9,113 | 3.4 |

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

| | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Other Computer Related Services | 11,850 | 4.6 | 11,850 | 4.5 |
| Other Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 30,703 | 12.0 | 31,907 | 12.0 |
| Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | | | | |
| Home Health Equipment Rental | 1,681 | 0.7 | 1,951 | 0.7 |
| Office Machinery and Equipment Rental and Leasing | 8,685 | 3.4 | 8,566 | 3.2 |
| Offices of Real Estate Appraisers | 10,000 | 3.9 | 10,000 | 3.8 |
| Wholesale Trade | | | | |
| Electrical Apparatus and Equipment, Wiring Supplies, and Related Equipment Merchant Wholesalers | 4,334 | 1.7 | 4,316 | 1.6 |
| Metal Service Centers and Other Metal Merchant Wholesalers | 13,887 | 5.4 | 10,159 | 3.8 |
| Sporting and Recreational Goods and Supplies Merchant Wholesalers | 7,757 | 3.0 | 7,959 | 3.0 |
| | \$256,035 | 100.0% | \$265,226 | 100.0 % |

58

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following table provides a regional breakdown of our debt investment portfolio as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

| | March 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------|---|-------------------|---------|---|
| | Commitment | Percent | | Commitment | Percent | |
| | (Dollar amounts in thousands) | | | | | |
| South | \$ 95,035 | 42.6 | % | \$ 93,810 | 40.3 | % |
| Northeast | 74,887 | 33.5 | | 77,480 | 33.4 | |
| West | 41,517 | 18.6 | | 46,840 | 20.2 | |
| Midwest | 11,776 | 5.3 | | 14,113 | 6.1 | |
| Total | \$ 223,215 | 100.0 | % | \$ 232,243 | 100.0 | % |

The following table provides a breakdown of our debt investment portfolio by portfolio company investment size as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

| Debt Investment Size (in millions) | As of March 31, 2016 | | | As of December 31, 2015 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------|-------------------------|------------|--------|---|----|
| | Commitment | Percent | Number | Commitment | Percent | Number | | |
| | (Dollar amounts in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| \$0 \$3 | \$ 18,659 | 8.4 | % | 8 | \$ 22,004 | 9.5 | % | 10 |
| \$3 \$4 | 14,680 | 6.6 | | 4 | 11,614 | 5.0 | | 3 |
| \$4 \$5 | 32,331 | 14.5 | | 7 | 32,324 | 13.9 | | 7 |
| \$5 \$10 | 70,699 | 31.6 | | 9 | 92,125 | 39.7 | | 12 |
| >\$10 | 86,846 | 38.9 | | 7 | 74,176 | 31.9 | | 6 |
| | \$ 223,215 | 100.0 | % | 35 | \$ 232,243 | 100.0 | % | 38 |

The following table provides a breakdown of our debt investment portfolio by yield to fair value as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

| Yield to Fair Value | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | Senior Secured Debt | Subordinated Debt | Senior Secured Debt | Subordinated Debt |
| Less than 6 | | | | |
| 6 7 | % | % | % | % |
| 8 10 | 11.6 | | 12.4 | |
| Greater than 10 | 16.8 | | 27.0 | |
| Total | 71.6 | 100.0 | 60.6 | 100.0 |
| Weighted average yield | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % |
| | 11.57 % | 14.16 % | 11.37 % | 13.60 % |

The weighted average yield to fair value of our debt investment portfolio was 12.34% and 12.01% at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The weighted average yield on debt investments at fair value is computed as (a) total annual stated interest on accruing loans plus the annualized accretion of OID and amortization of deferred loan fees divided by (b) total debt investments at fair value excluding assets on non-accrual basis. The weighted average yield on debt investments at fair value is computed as of the balance sheet date.

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, floating rate loans comprised 61% and 59% of our debt investment portfolio, respectively, and fixed rate loans comprised 39% and 41% of our debt investment portfolio, respectively, as a percent of fair value.

59

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Investment Activity**

The following is a summary of our investment activity, presented on a principal (or cost for equity investments) basis, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions).

| | Three months ended March 31, 2016 | | Three months ended March 31, 2015 | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Debt Investments | Equity Investments | Debt Investments | Equity Investments |
| Investments in new portfolio companies | \$ | \$ | \$16.0 | \$ 2.0 |
| Investments in existing portfolio companies | | | | |
| Follow-on investments | 3.3 | (1) | 6.5 | |
| Refinanced investments | 3.4 | | | |
| Delayed draw funding | | | 0.3 | |
| Total investments in existing portfolio companies | 6.7 | | 6.8 | |
| Total investments in new and existing portfolio companies | \$6.7 | \$ | \$22.8 | \$ 2.0 |
| Number of new portfolio company investments | | | 2 | 1 |
| Number of existing portfolio company investments | 2 | | 2 | |
| Proceeds/distributions from principal payments/equity investments | 15.9 | | 11.0 | |
| Proceeds from investments sold or redeemed | | 2.1 | 18.3 | (2) |
| Total proceeds from principal payments, equity distributions and investments sold | \$15.9 | \$ 2.1 | \$29.3 | \$ |

(1) Received LLC membership interest in connection with a follow-on debt investment in an existing portfolio company valued at \$0.3 million.

(2) Includes \$7.2 million of proceeds pertaining to a debt investment we sold in December 2014.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we converted a \$1.8 million portion of a subordinated debt investment with a principal amount of \$1.8 million into equity units and warrants valued at \$1.8 million. In addition, we received equity in a portfolio company as consideration for an amendment to a senior secured debt investment in the same portfolio company with a fair value of \$0.2 million.

The following is a summary of our investment activity, presented on a principal (or cost for equity investments) basis, for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in millions).

| | Year ended December 31, 2015 | | Year ended December 31, 2014 | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Debt Investments | Equity Investments | Debt Investments | Equity Investments |
| Investments in new portfolio companies | \$67.3 | \$ 12.1 | \$142.2 | \$ 6.1 |
| Investments in existing portfolio companies | | | | |
| Follow-on investments | 7.2 | | 5.3 | 0.5 |
| Refinanced investments | 38.1 | | 9.4 | |
| Delayed draw funding | 0.3 | | 0.3 | |
| Total investments in existing portfolio companies | 45.6 | | 15.0 | 0.5 |

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

60 Total investments in nex and existing portfolio companies \$112.9 \$ 12.1 \$157.2 \$ 6.6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Year ended December 31, 2015 | | Year ended December 31, 2014 | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Debt Investments | Equity Investments | Debt Investments | Equity Investments |
| Number of new portfolio company investments | 12 | 5 | 19 | 4 |
| Number of existing portfolio company investments | 7 | | 5 | 2 |
| Proceeds/distributions from principal payments/equity investments | 96.1 ⁽¹⁾ | 0.2 | 79.6 ⁽⁵⁾ | |
| Proceeds from investments sold or redeemed | 93.3 ⁽²⁾ | 5.6 ⁽³⁾ | 9.5 ⁽⁶⁾ | |
| Total proceeds from principal payments, equity distributions and investments sold | \$ 189.4 | \$ 5.8 | \$ 89.1 | \$ |

(1) Includes a cash payment of \$0.1 million received in connection with the settlement of our Strata loan investment.

(2) Includes \$7.2 million of proceeds pertaining to a debt investment we sold in December 2014 and \$67.3 million of proceeds pertaining to the WM Asset Sale.

(3) Includes the sale or redemption of our equity interest in six portfolio companies which we realized a capital gain of approximately \$2.3 million.

(4) Received warrants and LLC membership interest in connection with three new debt investments valued at approximately \$1.1 million.

(5) Includes a \$2.9 million principal payment received in connection with the Tangible Restructuring in December 2014 (see below for more details)

(6) Includes approximately \$4.9 million of proceeds received in connection with the partial sale of two debt investments and approximately \$4.5 million for a debt investment sold in 2013. In addition, we sold a debt investment in December 2014 for approximately \$7.2 million which was collected in January 2015.

On December 17, 2014, we restructured our investment in Tangible Software, Inc. (Tangible), a portfolio company in which we held a controlling interest prior to the restructuring (Tangible Restructuring). As a result of the restructuring, we received a cash payment of approximately \$2.9 million, a new note with a fair value of approximately \$2.5 million on the restructuring date, and a minority share of common stock in Tangible valued at zero on the restructuring date.

In connection with the Tangible Restructuring, we recognized a realized loss of approximately \$3.6 million. The post-restructured debt investment was deemed an accrual loan as of December 31, 2014 and categorized as an affiliate investment on our December 31, 2014 consolidated schedule of investments.

Our level of investment activity may vary substantially from period to period depending on various factors, including, but not limited to, the amount of debt and equity capital available to middle-market companies, the level of merger and acquisition activity, the general economic environment and the competitive environment for the types of investments we make.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Portfolio Credit Ratings**

We categorize debt investments into seven risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt. For additional information regarding our risk categories, see The Company Portfolio Review/Risk Monitoring.

The following table shows the classification of our debt investments portfolio by credit rating as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

| Credit Rating | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|---------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Debt Investments, at Fair Value (Dollar amounts in thousands) | % of Debt Investments | Debt Investments, at Fair Value | % of Debt Investments |
| 1 | \$ | % | \$ | % |
| 2 | 10,928 | 5.1 | 15,755 | 7.0 |
| 3 | 171,665 | 80.7 | 187,276 | 83.4 |
| 4 | 29,615 | 13.9 | 17,171 | 7.6 |
| 5 | 743 | 0.3 | 4,475 | 2.0 |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| | \$ 212,951 | 100.0 % | \$ 224,677 | 100.0 % |

At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had one non-accrual loan (Phoenix Brands LLC) with a fair value of approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

Results of Operations**Key Financial Measures**

The following is a discussion of the key financial measures that management employs in reviewing the performance of our operations.

Revenues. We generate revenue in the form of interest income on debt investments, capital gains, and dividend income from our equity investments. Our debt investments typically have a term of three to eight years and bear interest at fixed and floating rates. As of March 31, 2016, floating rate and fixed rate loans comprised 61% and 39%, respectively, of our current debt investment portfolio; however, in accordance with our investment strategy, we expect that over time the proportion of fixed rate loans will increase. In some cases, our investments will provide for deferred interest or dividend payment, PIK interest, or PIK dividend, respectively, (meaning interest or dividend paid in the form of additional principal amount of the loan or equity security instead of in cash). In addition, we may generate revenue in the form of commitment, structuring or due diligence fees, fees for providing managerial assistance and consulting fees. Loan origination fees, OID, market discount or premium, and loan amendment fees are capitalized, and we accrete or amortize such amounts over the life of the loan as interest income. When we receive principal payments on a loan in an amount that exceeds its carrying value, we will also record the excess principal payment as income.

Expenses. Our primary operating expenses include interest expense due under our outstanding borrowings, the payment of fees to OFS Advisor under the Investment Advisory Agreement, our allocable portion of overhead expenses under the Administration Agreement and other operating costs described below. Additionally, we will pay interest expense on any outstanding debt under any new credit facility or other debt instrument we may enter into. We will bear all other out-of-pocket costs and expenses of our operations and transactions, whether incurred by us directly or on our behalf by a third party, including:

- the cost of calculating our net asset value, including the cost of any third-party valuation services;
- the cost of effecting sales and repurchases of shares of our common stock and other securities;
- fees payable to third parties relating to making investments, including out-of-pocket fees and expenses associated with performing due diligence and reviews of prospective investments;
- transfer agent and custodial fees;

62

TABLE OF CONTENTS

out-of-pocket fees and expenses associated with marketing efforts;
federal and state registration fees and any stock exchange listing fees;
U.S. federal, state and local taxes;
independent directors' fees and expenses;
brokerage commissions;
fidelity bond, directors' and officers' liability insurance and other insurance premiums;
direct costs, such as printing, mailing and long-distance telephone;
fees and expenses associated with independent audits and outside legal costs;
costs associated with our reporting and compliance obligations under the 1940 Act and other applicable U.S.
federal and state securities laws; and
other expenses incurred by either OFS Services or us in connection with administering our business, including
payments under the Administration Agreement that will be based upon our allocable portion (subject to policies
reviewed and approved by our board of directors) of overhead.

We do not believe that our historical operating performance is necessarily indicative of our future results of operations
that we expect to report in future periods. We are primarily focused on investments in middle-market companies in the
United States, including debt investments and, to a lesser extent, equity investments, including warrants and other
minority equity securities, which differs to some degree from our historical investment concentration, in senior
secured loans to middle-market companies in the United States. Moreover, as a BDC and a RIC, we will also be
subject to certain constraints on our operations, including, but not limited to, limitations imposed by the 1940 Act and
the Code. In addition, SBIC I LP is subject to regulation and oversight by the SBA. For the reasons
described above, the results of operations described below may not necessarily be indicative of the results we expect
to report in future periods.

Comparison of the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (Amounts in thousands) | |
| Total investment income | \$ 7,843 | \$ 7,621 |
| Total expenses | 4,188 | 4,872 |
| Net investment income | 3,655 | 2,749 |
| Net gain (loss) on investments | (1,376) | 483 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$ 2,279 | \$ 3,232 |

Investment Income

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (Amounts in thousands) | |
| Interest income | | |
| Senior secured term loan | \$ 4,501 | \$ 5,360 |
| Subordinated term loan | 2,262 | 1,798 |

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

| | | |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| Total interest income | 6,763 | 7,158 |
| Dividend income | 557 | 329 |
| Fee income | 523 | 134 |
| Total investment income | \$ 7,843 | \$ 7,621 |

Interest income decreased by \$0.4 million, or 6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015. The \$0.4 million decrease was primarily due to a \$1.4 million

TABLE OF CONTENTS

increase in interest income due to a 26% increase in our average portfolio yield offset by a decrease of approximately \$1.8 million due to a 27% decrease in the weighted average principal balance of investments outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

We generated dividend income of \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The increase of \$0.3 million was due to an increase in cash dividends received from our equity investments.

We generated fee income of \$0.5 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The increase of \$0.4 million was primarily due to an increase in prepayment fees received which are earned when a portfolio company repays its debt obligation prior to maturity.

Expenses

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (Amount in thousands) | |
| Interest expense | \$ 1,308 | \$ 1,777 |
| Management fees | 1,115 | 1,555 |
| Incentive fee | 733 | 375 |
| Professional fees | 314 | 315 |
| Administration fee | 428 | 541 |
| General and administrative expenses | 290 | 309 |
| Total expenses | \$ 4,188 | \$ 4,872 |

We incur significant expenses which involve transactions with related parties, specifically management fees, the incentive fee, and the administrative fee. See Item 2 Related Party Transactions and Item 1 Unaudited Financial Statements Note 5 .

Total expenses decreased by \$0.7 million, or 14%, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Interest expense decreased by \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015. The \$0.5 million decrease was primarily due to an increase of \$0.5 million in interest expense incurred on our SBA debentures, which was offset by a decrease of \$0.5 million in interest expense on our WM Credit Facility and a \$0.4 million write-off of deferred debt issuance costs in connection with our March 2015 permanent reduction of the WM Credit Facility from \$100.0 million to \$75.0 million. Interest expense on our SBA debentures increased by \$0.5 million due to an increase of \$21.5 million in the weighted average debentures outstanding and an increase in the weighted average interest rate of approximately 1% during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015, which resulted in an increase in interest expense of \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The decrease of \$0.5 million of interest expense on the WM Credit Facility was due the termination of the facility on May 28, 2015.

Management fee expense was \$1.1 million and \$1.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decrease of \$0.5 million was primarily due to a decrease in the base management fee incurred to OFS Advisor as a result of a decrease in the average total assets subject to the base management fee.

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, we incurred \$0.7 million of incentive fee expense to OFS Advisor compared to incentive fee expense of \$0.4 million incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2015. The increase of approximately \$0.3 million was primarily due to an increase in our pre-incentive fee net investment income for the three months ended March 31, 2016, as compared with the three months ended March 31, 2015.

64

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Administrative fee expense decreased by \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015, primarily due to a decrease in the allocable amount of the salary and incentives of our officers and their respective staffs, which OFS Services passed along to us under our administration agreement.

Net Gain (Loss) on Investments

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (Amounts in thousands) | |
| Senior secured debt | \$ (1,382) | \$ (481) |
| Subordinated debt | 313 | (12) |
| Equity | (307) | 976 |
| Net gain (loss) on investments | \$ (1,376) | \$ 483 |

Net gain (loss) on investments consist of aggregate (a) realized gains and losses from the sale of debt or equity securities, or the redemption of equity securities; and (b) changes in net unrealized appreciation/depreciation on debt and equity investments. In the period in which a realized gain or loss is recognized, such gain or loss will generally be offset by the reversal of previously recognized unrealized appreciation or depreciation, and the net gain recognized in that period will generally be smaller. The unrealized appreciation or depreciation on debt securities is also reversed when those investments are redeemed or paid-off prior to maturity. In such instances the reversal on unrealized appreciation or depreciation will be reported as a net loss or gain, respectively, and will be partially offset by the acceleration of premium or discount on the debt security, if any, in interest income.

Three months ended March 31, 2016

We recognized net losses of \$1.4 million on senior secured debt primarily as a result of the impact of widening spreads in second lien loan indices and other interest rate market factors on our discount rates, and the pay-off of debt investments, partially offset by the net impact of company-specific and other factors on our valuations.

We recognized net gains of \$0.3 million on subordinated debt principally as a result of the pay-off of debt investments and the net impact of company-specific and other factors, partially offset by the impact of widening spreads in second lien loan indices and other interest rate market factors on our discount rates.

Net losses on equity investments of \$0.3 million were primarily attributable to company-specific factors, partially offset by the impact of exit-event assumptions and other factors on our valuations.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we realized gains of \$2.6 million principally from the redemption of an equity investment. We held this investment from the first quarter of 2014 and recognized unrealized gains of \$2,062 and \$498 during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. There was no net gain during the three months ended March 31, 2016, on this transaction.

Three months ended March 31, 2015

We recognized net losses of \$0.5 million on senior secured debt primarily as a result of the impact of widening spreads in middle market and second lien loan indices, and other interest rate market factors on our discount rates, partially offset by the pay-off of debt investments and the net impact of company-specific factors on our valuations.

Net gains on equity investments of \$1.0 million were attributable to the effect of improvements in company-specific factors on our valuations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Comparison of years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013**

Consolidated operating results for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 are as follows:

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Amounts in thousands) | | |
| Total investment income | \$ 32,264 | \$ 22,820 | \$ 17,070 |
| Total expenses | 18,853 | 13,685 | 11,352 |
| Net investment income | 13,411 | 9,135 | 5,718 |
| Net gain on investments | 4,820 | 805 | 1,957 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$ 18,231 | \$ 9,940 | \$ 7,675 |

Investment Income

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Amounts in thousands) | | |
| Interest income | | | |
| Senior secured term loan | \$ 20,038 | \$ 18,410 | \$ 16,822 |
| Subordinated term loan | 8,932 | 2,926 | 105 |
| Total interest income | 28,970 | 21,336 | 16,927 |
| Dividend income | 1,361 | 570 | 9 |
| Fee income | 1,933 | 914 | 134 |
| Total investment income | \$ 32,264 | \$ 22,820 | \$ 17,070 |

Comparison of Investment Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:Interest Income:

Interest income increased by \$7.6 million, or 36%, for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to the increase in our average portfolio yield.

Dividend Income:

We generated dividend income of \$1.4 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The increase of \$0.8 million was primarily due to a full year recognition of PIK dividends in 2015 from equity investments purchased in 2014.

Fee Income:

We generated fee income of \$1.9 million and \$0.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The increase of \$1.0 million was primarily due to an increase in prepayment fees received which are earned when a portfolio company repays its debt obligation prior to maturity. We did not recognize any prepayment fees during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Comparison of Investment Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:Interest Income:

Interest income increased by \$4.4 million, or 26%, for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase is due to the inclusion of interest income for SBIC I LP for the full year of 2014 compared to the period December 5, 2013 to December 31, 2013 in 2013. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 5. On a pro forma basis that includes SBIC I LP's income for the year ended December 31, 2013, in the 2013 results of the Company, interest income increased by \$731 thousand or 4%.

Dividend Income:

We generated dividend income of \$0.6 million and \$9 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase of \$0.6 million was primarily due to an increase in PIK dividend income earned but not declared. While not consolidated with us from January 1, 2013 through December 4, 2013, SBIC I LP had dividend income of approximately \$0.1 million for that period.

TABLE OF CONTENTSFee Income:

We generated fee income of \$0.9 million and \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase of \$0.8 million was primarily due to an increase in structuring fees recognized in connection with the closing of certain of our investments. While not consolidated with us from January 1, 2013 through December 4, 2013, SBIC I LP had fee income of approximately \$0.2 million for that period recognized in connection with providing managerial assistance to certain of its portfolio companies.

Expenses

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Amounts in thousands) | | |
| Interest expense | \$ 4,842 | \$ 4,224 | \$ 3,384 |
| Amortization and write-off of deferred financing closing costs | 2,117 | 1,354 | 965 |
| Amortization of intangible asset | 195 | 209 | |
| Management fees | 5,225 | 2,916 | 3,435 |
| Incentive fee | 2,627 | 1,253 | |
| Professional fees | 1,114 | 1,517 | 1,639 |
| Administration fee | 1,637 | 1,245 | 938 |
| General and administrative expenses | 1,096 | 967 | 991 |
| Total expenses | \$ 18,853 | \$ 13,685 | \$ 11,352 |

Significant expenses incurred by the Company involve transactions with related parties, specifically management fees, the incentive fee, and the administrative fee. See Management and Other Agreements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 6 .

Comparison of Expenses for the Years Ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

Total expenses increased by \$5.2 million, or 38%, for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

Interest expense increased by \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The \$0.6 million increase was due to an increase of \$2.7 million in interest expense incurred on our SBA debentures, which was due to an increase of \$99.5 million in the weighted average SBA debentures outstanding during 2015 compared to 2014. The increase in interest on our SBA debentures was offset by a decrease of approximately \$2.1 million in interest expense on the WM Credit Facility, due to a decrease of approximately \$66.9 million in weighted average borrowings on the facility in 2015 compared to 2014 driven by repayments throughout 2015, including termination of the facility on May 28, 2015.

Amortization and write-off of deferred financing closing costs increased by \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was due to increased write-offs of \$1.0 million in deferred financing closing costs in connection with permanent reductions of the WM Credit Facility, an increase of \$0.2 million in amortization on the deferred financing closing costs incurred upon the draw of SBA debentures, offset by a decrease of \$0.4 million in amortization of deferred financing closing costs on the WM Credit Facility due to a lower average balance of deferred financing closing costs in 2015 as a result of the permanent reductions made to the WM Credit Facility in 2015.

Management fee expense of \$5.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, consisted of \$4.9 million of base management fee expense we incurred to OFS Advisor and \$0.3 million of loan management fee charged by MCF Capital Management, LLC, the loan manager for OFS Capital WM (see OFS Capital WM Credit Facility section below for more details). Management fee expense totaled \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, consisting of \$2.2 million of base management fee expense we incurred to OFS Advisor and \$0.7 million of loan management fee charged by MCF Capital Management, LLC. The base management fee to OFS Advisor increased by approximately \$2.7 million, of which approximately \$0.5 million was due to an increase in our average total assets during the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to our average total assets during the year ended December 31, 2014 and

TABLE OF CONTENTS

approximately \$2.2 million was due to the reset of our base management fee to 0.4375% per quarter effective January 1, 2015 as compared with 0.145833% effective April 1, 2014. The decrease of approximately \$0.4 million of loan management fee charged by MCF Capital Management, LLC was due to a decreased amount of portfolio investments subject to the loan management fee during 2015 and the termination of the WM Credit Facility on May 28, 2015.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we incurred an incentive fee expense to OFS Advisor in the amount of approximately \$2.6 million, compared to the incentive fee expense of \$1.3 million incurred for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase of approximately \$1.4 million was primarily a result of an increase in our pre-incentive fee net investment income for the applicable quarters during the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared with the year ended December 31, 2014.

Administrative fee expense increased by \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to an increase in the allocable amount of the salary and incentives of our officers and their respective staffs, which OFS Services passed along to us under our administration agreement.

Comparison of Expenses for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

Total expenses increased by approximately \$2.3 million, or 21%, for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest expense increased by \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to \$1.3 million of 2014 interest expense incurred on our SBA debentures (which we assumed in the December 2013 SBIC Acquisitions), offset by a 2014 decrease of \$0.5 million in interest expense on the OFS Capital WM Credit Facility, due to a reduction in the interest rate and lower borrowings on the facility pursuant to the amendment to the OFS Capital WM Credit Facility in November 2013.

Amortization and write-off of deferred financing closing costs increased by \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to write-offs of deferred financing closing costs of \$0.7 million in connection with our July and November 2014 amendments to the OFS Capital WM Credit Facility, versus a write-off of deferred financing closing costs of \$0.3 million as a result of the termination of the Class B loan facility of OFS Capital WM in January 2013.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded \$0.2 million of amortization expense of intangible asset related to the SBIC license, which was recognized by SBIC I LP upon closing of the SBIC Acquisitions. We are amortizing this intangible asset over its estimated useful life, which was determined to be approximately 13 years.

Management fee expense totaled \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, consisting of \$2.2 million of base management fee expense we incurred to OFS Advisor and \$0.7 million of loan management fee charged by MCF Capital Management, LLC, the loan manager for OFS Capital WM (see OFS Capital WM Credit Facility section below for more details). Management fee expense totaled \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, consisting of \$2.4 million of base management fee expense we incurred to OFS Advisor and \$1.0 million of loan management fee charged by the loan manager for OFS Capital WM. The base management fee to OFS Advisor decreased by approximately \$0.2 million due to a lower combined base management fee rate of 0.875% (0.4375% for the first quarter of 2014 and 0.145833% for each of the second, third, and fourth quarter of 2014) for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared with the combined base management fee rate of 1.020833% for the year ended December 31, 2013 (0.875% per annum for the period January 1, 2013 through October 31, 2013, and 1.75% per annum for the period November 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013), partially offset by a higher asset base in 2014. The loan management fee charged by MCF Capital Management, LLC decreased by \$0.2 million due to a decrease in

portfolio investments subject to the loan management fee in 2014 as compared with 2013.

For the year ended December 31, 2014. We incurred an incentive fee expense to OFS Advisor in the amount of \$1.2 million. We did not incur an incentive fee expense in 2013 because pre-incentive fee net investment income did not exceed the hurdle rate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Administrative fee expense increased by approximately \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to an increase in the allocable amount of the salary and incentives of our officers and their respective staffs, corresponding with the growth of our business, which OFS Services passed along to us during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Net Gain on Investments

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | (Amounts in thousands) | | |
| Net realized gain (loss) on investments | \$ (1,562) | \$ (3,359) | \$ 87 |
| Realized gain from SBIC Acquisitions | | | 2,742 |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation on investments | 6,382 | 4,164 | (872) |
| Net gain on investments | \$ 4,820 | \$ 805 | \$ 1,957 |

We recorded net realized losses of \$1.6 million and net unrealized appreciation of \$6.4 million on our investments for the year ended December 31, 2015. The most significant net gains and losses and cumulative changes in unrealized appreciation/depreciation for the year ended December 31, 2015 are described below.

In connection with the Strata settlement, we recognized a realized loss of \$3.9 million and reversed \$3.2 million of previously recognized cumulative unrealized depreciation. We sold five debt investments and recognized a realized gain of \$0.1 million. We recorded a realized gain of \$2.3 million from equity investments that we sold or were redeemed by the underlying portfolio company and reversed \$0.6 million of previously recognized unrealized appreciation. In addition, we recorded \$3.2 million of net unrealized appreciation on investments that we currently hold on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2015. The \$3.2 million net unrealized appreciation was due to an increase of \$5.5 million from our equity investments primarily due to the positive performance of the applicable underlying portfolio companies offset by a decrease of \$2.3 from our debt investments in which we do not also hold an equity investment primarily due to widening market spreads and negative performance of the applicable underlying portfolio companies.

We recorded a net realized loss of \$3.4 million and net unrealized appreciation of \$4.2 million on our investments for the year ended December 31, 2014. The most significant realized gains and losses and cumulative changes in unrealized appreciation/depreciation for the year ended December 31, 2014 are described below.

In connection with the Tangible Restructuring, we recorded a \$3.6 million realized loss. The \$3.6 million realized loss reflected a reversal of (1) approximately \$1.8 million of unrealized losses recorded on this investment during the year ended December 31, 2013, (2) approximately \$1.7 million of additional unrealized losses recorded from January 1, 2014 through December 17, 2014, the Tangible Restructuring date, and (3) approximately \$0.1 million of additional losses we recognized on the Tangible Restructuring date, which represented the difference between the fair value of consideration we received in connection with the Tangible Restructuring and the fair value of the pre-restructured investments on the restructuring date. In addition, we recorded \$2.5 million of additional net unrealized appreciation on investments we held at December 31, 2014 and reversed \$0.1 million of previously recognized unrealized appreciation associated with investments paid off or sold during 2014.

We recorded a net realized gain of \$2.8 million, which includes a \$2.7 million gain recorded in connection with the SBIC Acquisitions, and net unrealized depreciation of \$0.9 million on our investments for the year ended December 31, 2013. The most significant realized gains and losses and cumulative changes in unrealized appreciation/depreciation for the year ended December 31, 2013 are described below.

We recorded a \$2.7 million realized gain as result of the SBIC Acquisitions (see Note 5 of our December 31, 2015 consolidated financial statements for more details). We recorded net unrealized depreciation of \$2.3 million on our investment in Strata Pathology Services, Inc. and \$1.8 million in unrealized depreciation our investment in Tangible. In addition, we recorded \$2.3 million of other unrealized appreciation associated with our debt and equity investments held at December 31, 2013, and \$0.9 million of reversal of previously recorded net unrealized depreciation associated with investments paid off or sold during 2013.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Liquidity and Capital Resources****Sources and Uses of Cash and Cash Equivalents**

We generate cash through operations from net investment income and the net liquidation of portfolio investments, and use cash in our operations in the net purchase of portfolio investments. We must distribute substantially all our taxable income, which approximates, but will not always equal, the cash we generate from net investment income to maintain our RIC status. We will not make a final determination regarding the tax character of our distributions until January 2017, however if the tax characteristics of the distributions paid during the first quarter of 2016 were determined as of March 31, 2016, we estimate that approximately \$375 thousand would represent a return of capital. The Company distributions for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, resulted in a distribution in excess of taxable income. We have no history of net taxable gains, but in the first quarter of 2016 we effectively exhausted our net capital loss carryforward. We also obtain and use cash in the net borrowing of funds from the SBA and commercial sources of debt. These principal sources and uses of cash and liquidity for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 are presented below (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Cash from net investment income | \$ 1,315 | \$ 2,354 |
| Sales and repayments - net of purchases, of portfolio investments | 11,481 | 4,655 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 12,796 | 7,009 |
| Cash dividends and distributions paid | (3,280) | (3,133) |
| Net borrowings | | 5,321 |

At March 31, 2016, we held cash and cash equivalents of \$42.2 million, an increase of \$9.5 million from December 31, 2015. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, sales and repayments of portfolio investments were primarily due to \$2.1 million of cash collected from the redemption of a warrant investment and \$15.9 million of cash we received from principal payments on our portfolio investments. These cash receipts were offset by \$6.5 million of cash we used to purchase portfolio investments.

Net cash from net investment income was \$1.3 million, or \$1.1 million less than the corresponding quarter in 2015. The decline in cash collected from net investment income was principally due to higher management and incentive fees paid and higher cash paid for interest. Cash used to pay base management fees in the first quarter of 2016 were \$1.0 million greater than the first quarter of 2015 due to adjustments in the base management fee rate and the timing of management fees settlement. On May 5, 2014, we were notified by OFS Advisor that, effective as of April 1, 2014, it would reduce its base management fee by two-thirds for the balance of the 2014 fiscal year. The annualized base fee rate for second, third, and fourth quarters of 2014 was lowered, such that the full year affect for 2014 was a base management fee annual rate of 0.875% which resumed to its 1.75% annual rate on January 1, 2015. As management fees are settled a quarter in arrears, the management fees paid in the first quarter of 2015 were at the lower management fee rate.

Cash paid for interest increased \$0.5 million in the first quarter of 2016 compared to the corresponding quarter in 2015, as a result of higher payments on a SBA debentures, partially offset by lower payments on the WM Credit Facility. We are required to make interest payments on our SBA debentures semi-annually in March and September through maturity. The weighted average outstanding balance on our SBA debentures, excluding debt issuance costs, increased from \$103.8 million for the six months ended March 31, 2015, to \$149.8 million for the for the six months

ended March 31, 2016. Additionally, \$42.5 million of the weighted average outstanding balance for the six months ended March 31, 2015, carried interest at a lower pre-pooling, short-term rate. Consequently, we paid cash interest of \$2.3 million on our SBA debentures for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. This increase was partially offset by a decline in cash paid for interest on our WM Credit Facility from \$0.6 million in the first quarter of 2015 to \$ 0 in 2016 due to the retirement of that facility.

The increases in management fees paid and cash paid for interest for the three months ended March 31, 2016, over the comparable quarter in 2015 were partially offset by an increase in investment income collected.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, sales and repayments of portfolio investments were primarily due to \$11.0 million of cash we received from principal payments on our portfolio investments, cash collections of \$18.3 million from the sale of our portfolio investments, including \$7.2 million of cash collection from an investment we sold in December 2014. These cash receipts were offset by \$24.6 million of cash we used to purchase portfolio investments.

Cash from net investment income for the three months ended March 31, 2015, was \$0.7 million greater than the corresponding quarter in 2014 due to greater investment income collected from 35% increase in average investments, at fair value, partially offset by greater interest paid as a result of higher average outstanding borrowings.

Net borrowings of \$5.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was primarily attributable to \$13.3 million of draws from our SBA debentures (net of the fees), offset by \$8.3 million of net repayments on the WM Credit Facility.

The principal sources and uses of cash and liquidity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 are presented below (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash from net investment income | \$ 12,541 | \$ 8,522 | \$ 7,051 |
| Sales and repayments net of purchases (net purchases) of portfolio investments | 71,197 | (73,731) | 22,127 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 83,738 | (65,209) | 29,178 |
| Cash dividends and distributions paid | (12,690) | (12,847) | (10,724) |
| Net borrowings (repayments) | (50,027) | 64,952 | 9,731 |

At December 31, 2015, we held cash and cash equivalents of \$32.7 million. During the year ended December 31, 2015, net repayments of portfolio investments were primarily due to cash collections of \$98.9 million from sale and redemption of our portfolio investments, including \$7.2 million of cash collection from an investment we sold in December 2014, \$67.3 million from the WM Asset Sale, and \$5.6 million from the sale (including partial-sale) and redemption of our equity interests in six portfolio companies; and \$96.1 million of cash we received from principal payments on our portfolio investments. These cash receipts were offset by \$124.0 million of cash we used to purchase portfolio investments. These funds were principally used to pay-down debt.

Net repayment of borrowings of \$50.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 is primarily attributable to the \$73.8 million of net repayments on the WM Credit Facility which was paid in full and retired on May 28, 2015 offset by \$22.6 million of draws from our SBA debentures (net of the fees).

At December 31, 2014, we held cash and cash equivalents of \$12.4 million. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we made net purchases of portfolio investments of \$73.7 million, primarily due to \$162.8 million of cash we used to purchase portfolio investments, offset by net proceeds of \$79.6 million we received from principal payments on our portfolio investments, and cash collections of \$9.5 million from sale of our portfolio investments.

Net borrowings of \$65.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 is primarily attributable to \$101.3 million of draws from our SBA debentures (net of the fees), offset by the \$36.3 million of net repayments on the OFS Capital WM Credit Facility.

SBA Debentures

As a result of the SBIC Acquisitions, SBIC I LP became our wholly-owned subsidiary effective December 4, 2013.

SBIC I LP has a SBIC license that allows it to obtain leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to issuance of a capital commitment by the SBA and customary procedures. These debentures are non-recourse to OFS Capital, and bear interest payable semi-annually, and each debenture has a maturity date that is ten years following issuance. The interest rate is fixed at the first pooling date after issuance, which is March and September of each year, at a market-driven spread over U.S. Treasury Notes with ten-year maturities. SBA regulations currently limit the amount that an SBIC may borrow to up to a

TABLE OF CONTENTS

maximum of \$150 million when it has at least \$75 million in regulatory capital, receives a leverage commitment from the SBA and has been through an examination by the SBA subsequent to licensing. For two or more SBICs under common control, the maximum amount of outstanding SBA-provided leverage cannot exceed \$350 million. As of March 31, 2016, SBIC I LP had fully drawn the \$149.9 million of leverage commitments from the SBA. The SBA debentures do not amortize and are due in full at maturity between September 2022 and September 2025.

In January 2015, we filed an application with the SBA for a second SBIC license, which, if approved, would provide up to \$75.0 million in additional SBA debentures for the funding of our future investments upon our contribution of at least \$37.5 million in additional regulatory capital and subject to the issuance of a leverage commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. There can be no assurance as to whether or when this application will be approved by the SBA.

On a stand-alone basis, SBIC I LP held approximately \$249.6 million and \$245.1 million in assets at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, which accounted for approximately 85% and 83% of our total consolidated assets at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

SBIC I LP is periodically examined and audited by the SBA's staff to determine its compliance with SBA regulations. If SBIC I LP fails to comply with applicable SBA regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit SBIC I LP's use of debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit SBIC I LP from making new investments. In addition, SBIC I LP may also be limited in its ability to make distributions to OFS Capital if it does not have sufficient capital in accordance with SBA regulations. Such actions by the SBA would in turn, negatively affect OFS Capital.

PWB Credit Facility

On November 5, 2015, we, as borrower, entered into a Business Loan Agreement (BLA) with Pacific Western Bank, as lender, to provide OFS Capital with a \$15.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (PWB Credit Facility) for general corporate purposes, including investment funding. The maximum availability under the PWB Credit Facility is equal to 50% of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of eligible loans included in the borrowing base and otherwise specified in the BLA. The PWB Credit Facility is guaranteed by OFS Capital WM and secured by all of our current and future assets excluding assets held by SBIC I LP and our SBIC I LP and SBIC I GP partnership interests. The PWB Credit Facility matures on November 7, 2017. Advances under the facility will bear interest at a fixed rate per annum equal to 4.75%. We paid a \$150 thousand commitment fee in connection with the closing of the PWB Credit Facility. There have been no advances under the PWB Credit Facility as of March 31, 2016.

The PWB Credit Facility contains customary terms and conditions, including, without limitation, affirmative and negative covenants such as information reporting requirements, a minimum tangible net asset value, a minimum quarterly net investment income after incentive fees, and a statutory asset coverage test. The PWB Credit Facility also contains customary events of default, including, without limitation, nonpayment, misrepresentation of representations and warranties in a material respect, breach of covenant, cross-default to other indebtedness, bankruptcy, change in investment advisor, and the occurrence of a material adverse change in our financial condition.

WM Asset Sale and Related Transactions

On May 28, 2015, OFS Capital Corporation and OFS Capital WM entered into a Loan Portfolio Purchase Agreement with Madison, a Delaware limited liability company, pursuant to which OFS Capital WM sold a portfolio of 20 senior secured debt investments with an aggregate principal balance of approximately \$67.8 million as of May 28, 2015 to

Madison. Madison is an affiliated entity of MCF Capital Management, LLC (MCF), which was the loan manager for OFS Capital WM prior to the WM Asset Sale under a Loan and Security Agreement among OFS Capital WM, MCF, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, each of the Lenders from time to time party thereto, and Wells Fargo Delaware Trust Company, N.A. (the Loan and Security Agreement).

As a result of the WM Asset Sale, the Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$67.3 million. On May 28, 2015, the total fair value of the debt investments sold, applying the Company's March 31, 2015 fair value percentages to the principal balances of the respective investments on the sale date, was

TABLE OF CONTENTS

approximately \$66.7 million. The determination of the fair value of the Company's investments is subject to the good faith determination by the Company's board of directors, which is conducted no less frequently than quarterly, pursuant to the Company's valuation policies and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

On May 28, 2015, pursuant to the Loan and Security Agreement, the Company applied approximately \$52.4 million from the sale proceeds of the WM Asset Sale to pay in full and retire OFS Capital WM's secured revolving credit facility with the WM Credit Facility. As a result of the termination of the WM Credit Facility, the Company wrote-off the remaining related unamortized deferred financing closing costs of \$1.2 million on the revolving line of credit.

In connection with the WM Asset Sale, on May 28, 2015, OFS Capital WM and the Company entered into a Loan Administration Services Agreement with Madison pursuant to which Madison will provide loan servicing and other administrative services to OFS Capital WM with respect to the remaining loan assets. In return for its loan administration services, Madison will receive a quarterly loan administration fee of 0.25% per annum based on the average daily principal balances of the loan assets for such quarter.

Other Liquidity Matters

We expect to fund the growth of our investment portfolio utilizing borrowings under SBA debentures, future equity offerings, and issuances of senior securities or future borrowings to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act. We cannot assure shareholders that our plans to raise capital will be successful. In addition, we intend to distribute to our shareholders substantially all of our taxable income in order to satisfy the requirements applicable to RICs under Subchapter M of the Code. Consequently, we may not have the funds or the ability to fund new investments or make additional investments in our portfolio companies. The illiquidity of our portfolio investments may make it difficult for us to sell these investments when desired and, if we are required to sell these investments, we may realize significantly less than their recorded value.

In addition, as a BDC, we generally will be required to meet a coverage ratio of total assets, less liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities (including SBIC I LP's SBA-guaranteed debt), to total senior securities, which include all of our borrowings (excluding SBA-guaranteed debt) and any outstanding preferred stock (of which we had none at March 31, 2016), of at least 200%. We received an exemptive order from the SEC to permit us to exclude the debt of SBIC I LP guaranteed by the SBA from the definition of Senior Securities in the statutory 200% asset coverage ratio under the 1940 Act. This requirement limits the amount that we may borrow. To fund growth in our investment portfolio in the future, we anticipate needing to raise additional capital from various sources, including the equity markets and the securitization or other debt-related markets, which may or may not be available on favorable terms, if at all.

Contractual Obligations

The following table shows our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2016:

| Contractual Obligations ⁽¹⁾ | Payments due by period | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1 - 3 years ⁽²⁾ | 3 - 5 years | After 5 years ⁽²⁾ |
| | (Amounts in thousands) | | | | |
| PWB Credit Facility | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| SBA Debentures | 149,880 | | | | 149,880 |

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

| | | | | | |
|-------|------------|----|----|----|------------|
| Total | \$ 149,880 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 149,880 |
|-------|------------|----|----|----|------------|

(1) Excludes commitments to extend credit to our portfolio companies.

(2) The PWB Credit Facility is undrawn at March 31, 2016 and is scheduled to mature on November 7, 2017.

The SBA debentures are scheduled to mature between September 2022 and 2025.

We have entered into contracts with third parties under which we have material future commitments the Investment Advisory Agreement, pursuant to which OFS Advisor has agreed to serve as our investment adviser, and the Administration Agreement, pursuant to which OFS Services has agreed to furnish us with the facilities and administrative services necessary to conduct our day-to-day operations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Commitments and Contingencies

We had \$5.3 million and \$3.8 million of total unfunded commitments to four and three portfolio companies at March 31, 2016 and December 31 2015, respectively. See Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements Note 9.

Distributions

We are taxed as a RIC under the Code. Generally, a RIC is entitled to deduct distributions it pays to its shareholders from its income to determine taxable income. Taxable income includes our taxable interest, dividend and fee income, and taxable net capital gains. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses are not included in taxable income until they are realized. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally results in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash. Taxable income includes non-cash income, such as changes in accrued and reinvested interest and dividends, which includes contractual PIK interest, and the amortization of discounts and fees and may include passive gains and losses from certain portfolio companies. Cash collections of income resulting from contractual PIK interest and dividends or the amortization of discounts and fees generally occur upon the repayment of the loans or debt securities that include such items. Non-cash taxable income is reduced by non-cash expenses, such as realized losses and depreciation, and amortization expense.

Our board of directors maintains a variable distribution policy with the objective of distributing four quarterly distributions in an amount not less than 90 – 100% of our taxable quarterly income or potential annual income for a particular year. In addition, at the end of the year, we may also pay an additional special distribution, or fifth distribution, such that we may distribute approximately all of our annual taxable income in the year it was earned, while maintaining the option to spill over our excess taxable income to a following year.

Related Party Transactions

Investment Advisory Agreement

We have entered into the Advisory Agreement with OFS Advisor and will pay OFS Advisor a management fee and incentive fee. Pursuant to the Advisory Agreement with OFS Advisor and subject to the overall supervision of our board of directors and in accordance with the 1940 Act, OFS Advisor provides investment advisory services to us. For providing these services, OFS Advisor receives a fee from us consisting of two components – a base management fee and an incentive fee. From the completion of our IPO through October 31, 2013, the base management fee was calculated at an annual rate of 0.875% based on the average value of our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity) at the end of the two most recently completed calendar quarters, adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases during the quarter. Beginning on November 1, 2013 and through March 31, 2014, pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, the base management fee was calculated at an annual rate of 1.75% based on the average value of our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity) at the end of the two most recently completed calendar quarters. OFS Advisor has elected to exclude from the base management fee calculation any base management fee that would be owed in respect of the intangible asset and goodwill resulting from our acquisitions of the remaining ownership

interests in SBIC I LP and SBIC I GP on December 4, 2013.

On May 5, 2014, we were notified by OFS Advisor that, effective as of April 1, 2014, it would reduce its base management fee by two-thirds for the balance of the 2014 fiscal year. Specifically, OFS Advisor agreed to reduce its base management fee from 0.4375% per quarter to 0.145833% per quarter for the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2014. Accordingly, the effective annual base management fee for the 2014 fiscal year will be equal to 50% of the 1.75% required by our Advisory Agreement with OFS Advisor, or not greater than 0.875%. OFS Advisor informed us that this reduction was being made for the benefit of our shareholders to

TABLE OF CONTENTS

take into account unforeseen delays in completing the SBIC Acquisitions. The base management fee resumed to its 1.75% annual rate on January 1, 2015.

The base management fee is payable quarterly in arrears. The base management fee expense was approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The incentive fee has two parts. One part is calculated and payable quarterly in arrears based on our pre-incentive fee net investment income for the quarter. Pre-incentive fee net investment income means interest income, dividend income and any other income (including any other fees such as commitment, origination and sourcing, structuring, diligence and consulting fees or other fees that we receive from portfolio companies but excluding fees for providing managerial assistance) accrued during the calendar quarter, minus operating expenses for the quarter (including the base management fee, any expenses payable under the Administration Agreement and any interest expense and distributions paid on any outstanding preferred stock, but excluding the incentive fee). Pre-incentive fee net investment income includes, in the case of investments with a deferred interest feature (such as OID, debt instruments with PIK interest and zero coupon securities), accrued income that we have not yet received in cash.

Pre-incentive fee net investment income does not include any realized gains, realized losses, unrealized capital appreciation or unrealized capital depreciation. Because of the structure of the incentive fee, it is possible that we may pay an incentive fee in a quarter where we incur a loss. For example, if we receive pre-incentive fee net investment income in excess of the hurdle rate (as defined below) for a quarter, we will pay the applicable incentive fee even if we have incurred a loss in that quarter due to realized capital losses and unrealized capital depreciation.

Pre-incentive fee net investment income, expressed as a rate of return on the value of our net assets (defined as total assets less indebtedness and before taking into account any incentive fees payable during the period) at the end of the immediately preceding calendar quarter, is compared to a fixed hurdle rate of 2.0% per quarter. If market interest rates rise, we may be able to invest our funds in debt instruments that provide for a higher return, which would increase our pre-incentive fee net investment income and make it easier for OFS Advisor to surpass the fixed hurdle rate and receive an incentive fee based on such net investment income. There is no accumulation of amounts on the hurdle rate from quarter to quarter and accordingly there is no clawback of amounts previously paid if subsequent quarters are below the quarterly hurdle rate, and there is no delay of payment if prior quarters are below the quarterly hurdle rate.

We pay OFS Advisor an incentive fee with respect to our pre-incentive fee net investment income in each calendar quarter as follows:

no incentive fee in any calendar quarter in which the pre-incentive fee net investment income does not exceed the hurdle rate;

100% of our pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.5% in any calendar quarter. We refer to this portion of our pre-incentive fee net investment income (which exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 2.5%) as the catch-up provision. The catch-up is meant to provide OFS Advisor with 20.0% of the pre-incentive fee net investment income as if a hurdle rate did not apply if this pre-incentive fee net investment income exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter; and

20.0% of the amount of our pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter.

The second part of the incentive fee (the Capital Gains Fee) is determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each calendar year (or upon termination of the Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date) and is calculated at the end of each applicable year by subtracting (a) the sum of our cumulative aggregate realized capital losses and our aggregate unrealized capital depreciation from (b) our cumulative aggregate realized capital gains. If such amount is

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C
positive at the end of such year, then the Capital Gains Fee for such

75

TABLE OF CONTENTS

year is equal to 20.0% of such amount, less the aggregate amount of Capital Gains Fees paid in all prior years. If such amount is negative, then there is no Capital Gains Fee for such year.

The cumulative aggregate realized capital gains are calculated as the sum of the differences, if positive, between (a) the net sales price of each investment in our portfolio when sold and (b) the accreted or amortized cost basis of such investment.

The cumulative aggregate realized capital losses are calculated as the sum of the amounts by which (a) the net sales price of each investment in our portfolio when sold is less than (b) the accreted or amortized cost basis of such investment.

The aggregate unrealized capital depreciation is calculated as the sum of the differences, if negative, between (a) the valuation of each investment in our portfolio as of the applicable Capital Gains Fee calculation date and (b) the accreted or amortized cost basis of such investment. Unrealized capital appreciation is accrued, but not paid until said appreciation is realized.

We accrue the Capital Gains Fee if, on a cumulative basis, the sum of net realized capital gains and (losses) plus net unrealized appreciation and (depreciation) is positive. OFS Advisor has elected to exclude from the Capital Gains Fee calculation any incentive fee that would be owed in respect of the realized gain on step acquisition resulting from the SBIC Acquisitions.

We incurred incentive fee expense of \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. Incentive fees for the three months ended March 31, 2016, consisted of part one incentive fees (based on net investment income) of \$0.8 million and part two incentive fees (based upon net realized and unrealized gains and losses, or capital gains) of \$(0.1) million, which represents the reversal of the part two incentive fee accrued at December 31, 2015. Incentive fees were \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, which consisted entirely of part one incentive fees.

License Agreement

We have entered into a license agreement with OFSAM under which OFSAM has agreed to grant us a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to use the name OFS.

Administration Agreement

Pursuant to an Administration Agreement, OFS Services furnishes us with office facilities and equipment, necessary software licenses and subscriptions and clerical, bookkeeping and record keeping services at such facilities. Under the Administration Agreement, OFS Services performs, or oversees the performance of, our required administrative services, which include being responsible for the financial records that we are required to maintain and preparing reports to our shareholders and all other reports and materials required to be filed with the SEC or any other regulatory authority. In addition, OFS Services assists us in determining and publishing our net asset value, oversees the preparation and filing of our tax returns and the printing and dissemination of reports to our shareholders, and generally oversees the payment of our expenses and the performance of administrative and professional services rendered to us by others. Under the Administration Agreement, OFS Services would provide managerial assistance on our behalf to certain portfolio companies that accept our offer to provide such assistance. Payments under the Administration Agreement are equal to an amount based upon our allocable portion (subject to the review and approval of our board of directors) of OFS Services' overhead in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including rent and our allocable portion of the cost of our officers, including our chief executive officer,

chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, chief accounting officer, and corporate secretary, and their respective staffs. The administrative fee is payable quarterly in arrears. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we incurred and administration fee expense of \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

Staffing Agreement

OFS Advisor has entered into a Staffing Agreement with Orchard First Source Capital, Inc., or OFSC, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of OFSAM. Under this agreement, OFSC makes available to OFS Advisor experienced investment professionals and access to the senior investment personnel and other resources of OFSC and its affiliates. The Staffing Agreement provides OFS Advisor with access to deal flow

TABLE OF CONTENTS

generated by the professionals of OFSC and its affiliates and commits the members of the Advisor Investment Committee to serve in that capacity. OFS Advisor capitalizes on the significant deal origination and sourcing, credit underwriting, due diligence, investment structuring, execution, portfolio management and monitoring experience of OFSC's investment professionals.

OFSC also has entered into a staffing and corporate services agreement with OFS Services. Under this agreement, OFSC makes available to OFS Services experienced investment professionals and access to the administrative resources of OFSC.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are subject to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. At March 31, 2016, 61% of our debt investments bore interest at floating interest rates and 39% of our debt investments bore fixed interest rates. The interest rates on our debt investments bearing floating interest rates are usually based on a floating LIBOR, and the debt investments typically contain interest rate re-set provisions that adjust applicable interest rates to current rates on a periodic basis. Substantially all of the debt investments bearing floating interest rates in our portfolio as of March 31, 2016 had interest rate floors, which have effectively converted those debt investments to fixed rate debt investments until LIBOR exceeds the interest rate floor.

Assuming that our consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2016 was to remain constant, and that we took no actions to alter our existing interest rate sensitivity, the following table shows the annualized impact of hypothetical base rate changes in interest rates.

| Basis point increase ⁽¹⁾ | Interest income | Interest expense | Net increase (decrease) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | (Amounts in thousands) | | |
| 50 | \$ 124 | \$ | \$ 124 |
| 100 | 638 | | 638 |
| 150 | 1,260 | | 1,260 |
| 200 | 1,939 | | 1,939 |
| 250 | 2,617 | | 2,617 |

(1) A decline in interest rates would not have a material impact on our net investment income. Although we believe that the foregoing analysis is indicative of our net investment income sensitivity to interest rate changes, it does not adjust for potential changes in the credit market, credit quality, size and composition of the assets in our portfolio, and other business developments, including borrowings under our credit facility, that could affect net increase in net assets resulting from operations, or net income. Accordingly, no assurances can be given that actual results would not differ materially from the statement above.

We are subject to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates affect both our cost of funding and the valuation of our investment portfolio. Our risk management systems and procedures are designed to identify and analyze our risk, to set appropriate policies and limits and to continually monitor these risks and limits by means of reliable administrative and information systems and other policies and programs. Our investment portfolio and investment income may be affected by changes in various interest rates, including LIBOR and prime rates.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that the transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and that the receipts and expenditures of the company are

77

TABLE OF CONTENTS

being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management (with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued in 2013 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the registrant's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. As a result of our evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2015, management identified a material weakness related to reconciliation of components of distributions in the statement of changes in net assets and net assets within the balance sheet.

The Company discovered the error through the implementation of a new control during its fourth quarter close as described below. Because of this material weakness, management concluded that the Company did not maintain effective control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015.

As of March 31, 2016, we addressed the underlying causes of the material weakness as described further in Remediation Efforts. Accordingly, we believe that the unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus do fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

Remediation Efforts

We are currently in the process of remediating the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as described above and are taking the necessary steps that we believe will address the underlying causes of the material weakness. We have completed the development and formal documentation of our policies and procedures relating to our internal control over financial reporting, but we have not completed the testing of these formalized controls. The identified material weakness in internal control will not be considered fully remediated until sufficient time has elapsed to provide evidence that the new controls have been implemented and are operating effectively. We implemented the following remediation steps to address the material weakness discussed above and to improve our internal control over financial reporting:

Our account reconciliation process for components of net assets and distributions was enhanced (1) to ensure the proper reclassification entries are recorded to account for the tax character of, and basis differences between tax and GAAP and (2) to ensure the beginning of the quarter and normal, recurring elements of changes in net assets appropriate to the account, equal the reconciled balance at the end of the quarter for components of net assets and distributions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE COMPANY

Overview

We are an externally managed, closed-end, non-diversified management investment company. Our investment objective is to provide our shareholders with both current income and capital appreciation primarily through debt investments and, to a lesser extent, equity investments. Our investment strategy focuses primarily on investments in middle-market companies in the United States. We use the term "middle-market" to refer to companies that may exhibit one or more of the following characteristics: number of employees less than 2,000; revenues between \$15 million and \$300 million; annual earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, between \$3 million and \$50 million; generally, private companies owned by private equity firms or owners/operators; and enterprise value between \$10 million and \$500 million. For additional information about how we define the middle-market, see "The Company Investment Criteria/Guidelines."

As of March 31, 2016, our investment portfolio consisted of outstanding loans of approximately \$218.3 million in aggregate principal amount in 35 portfolio companies and equity investments of approximately \$32.5 million, at fair value. As of March 31, 2016, 61% of our investment portfolio was comprised of senior secured loans, 26% of subordinated loans and 13% of equity investments, at fair value.

While our investment strategy focuses primarily on middle-market companies in the United States, including senior secured loans, which includes first-lien, second-lien and unitranche loans as well as subordinated loans and, to a lesser extent, warrants and other equity securities, we also may invest up to 30% of our portfolio in opportunistic investments of non-eligible portfolio companies. Specifically, as part of this 30% basket, we may consider investments in investment funds that are operating pursuant to certain exceptions to the 1940 Act and in advisers to similar investment funds, as well as in debt of middle-market companies located outside of the United States and debt and equity of public companies that do not meet the definition of eligible portfolio companies because their market capitalization of publicly traded equity securities exceeds the levels provided for in the 1940 Act.

Our investment strategy includes OFS SBIC I LP ("SBIC I LP"), which received a license under the U.S. Small Business Administration ("SBA") Small Business Investment Company program, in May 2012. The SBIC license allows SBIC I LP to receive SBA-guaranteed debenture funding, subject to the issuance of a leverage commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. SBA leverage funding is subject to SBIC I LP's payment of certain fees to the SBA, and the ability of SBIC I LP to draw on the leverage commitment is subject to its compliance with SBA regulations and policies, including an audit by the SBA. For additional information regarding the regulation of SBIC I LP, see "Regulation Small Business Investment Company Regulations."

In January 2015, we filed an application with the SBA for a second SBIC license, which, if approved, would provide up to \$75.0 million in additional SBA debentures for the funding of our future investments upon our contribution of at least \$37.5 million in additional regulatory capital and subject to the issuance of a leverage commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. There can be no assurance as to whether or when this application will be approved by the SBA.

On a stand-alone basis, SBIC I LP held approximately \$249.6 million and \$245.1 million in assets at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, which accounted for approximately 85% and 83% of our total consolidated assets at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Our investment activities are managed by OFS Capital Management, LLC (OFS Advisor) and supervised by our board of directors, a majority of whom are independent of us, OFS Advisor and its affiliates. Under the investment advisory agreement between us and OFS Advisor (the Investment Advisory Agreement) we have agreed to pay OFS Advisor an annual base management fee based on the average value of our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity) as well as an incentive fee based on our investment performance. We have elected to exclude from the base management fee calculation any base management fee that would be owed in respect of the intangible asset and goodwill resulting from our acquisitions of the remaining ownership interests in SBIC I LP and SBIC I GP on December 4, 2013. We

79

TABLE OF CONTENTS

have also entered into an administration agreement (Administration Agreement) with OFS Capital Services, LLC (OFS Services). Under our Administration Agreement, we have agreed to reimburse OFS Services for our allocable portion (subject to the review and approval of our independent directors) of overhead and other expenses incurred by OFS Services in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement.

As a business development company (BDC), we must not acquire any assets other than qualifying assets specified in the 1940 Act unless, at the time the acquisition is made, at least 70% of our assets, as defined by the 1940 Act, are qualifying assets (with certain limited exceptions). Qualifying assets include investments in eligible portfolio companies. Under the relevant Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules, the term eligible portfolio company includes all private companies, companies whose securities are not listed on a national securities exchange, and certain public companies that have listed their securities on a national securities exchange and have a market capitalization of less than \$250 million, in each case organized in the United States.

We are permitted to borrow money from time to time within the levels permitted by the 1940 Act (which generally allows us to incur leverage for up to 50% of our asset base). We may borrow money when the terms and conditions available are favorable to do so and are aligned with our investment strategy and portfolio composition. The use of borrowed funds or the proceeds of preferred stock to make investments would have its own specific benefits and risks, and all of the costs of borrowing funds or issuing preferred stock would be borne by holders of our common stock.

We have elected to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company (RIC) under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Code). To qualify as a RIC, we must, among other things, meet certain source-of-income and assets diversification requirements. Pursuant to these elections, we generally will not have to pay corporate-level taxes on any income we distribute to our shareholders.

About OFS and Our Advisor

OFS (which refers to the collective activities and operations of Orchard First Source Asset Management, LLC (OFSAM) and its subsidiaries and certain affiliates) is an established investment platform focused on meeting the capital needs of middle-market companies.

As of March 31, 2016, OFS had 41 full-time employees. OFS is headquartered in Chicago, Illinois and has additional offices in New York, New York and Los Angeles, California.

Our investment activities are managed by OFS Advisor, our investment adviser. OFS Advisor is responsible for sourcing potential investments, conducting research and diligence on potential investments and equity sponsors, analyzing investment opportunities, structuring our investments and monitoring our investments and portfolio companies on an ongoing basis. OFS Advisor is a subsidiary of OFSAM, our parent company prior to the completion of our initial public offering (IPO), and is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the Advisers Act).

Our relationship with OFS Advisor is governed by and dependent on the Investment Advisory Agreement and may be subject to conflicts of interest. OFS Advisor provides us with advisory services in exchange for a base management fee and incentive fee; see Management and Other Agreements Investment Advisory Agreement . The base management fee is based on our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents, and the intangible asset and goodwill resulting from the SBIC Acquisitions; but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts, and including assets owned by any consolidated entity) and, therefore, OFS Advisor will benefit when we incur debt or use leverage. Our board of directors is charged with protecting our interests by monitoring how OFS Advisor addresses these and

other conflicts of interest associated with its management services and compensation. While our board of directors is not expected to review or approve each borrowing or incurrence of leverage, our independent directors will periodically review OFS Advisor's services and fees as well as its portfolio management decisions and portfolio performance.

OFS Advisor has entered into a Staffing Agreement with Orchard First Source Capital, Inc. (OFSC) a wholly-owned subsidiary of OFSAM. Under the Staffing Agreement, OFSC makes experienced investment professionals available to OFS Advisor and provides access to the senior investment personnel of OFS and its affiliates. The Staffing Agreement provides OFS Advisor with access to deal flow generated by OFS and its

TABLE OF CONTENTS

affiliates in the ordinary course of their businesses and commits the members of OFS Advisor's investment committee to serve in that capacity. As our investment adviser, OFS Advisor is obligated to allocate investment opportunities among us and any other clients fairly and equitably over time in accordance with its allocation policy.

OFS Advisor capitalizes on the deal origination and sourcing, credit underwriting, due diligence, investment structuring, execution, portfolio management and monitoring experience of OFS's professionals. The senior management team of OFS, including Bilal Rashid, Jeff Cerny and Mark Hauser, provides services to OFS Advisor. These managers have developed a broad network of contacts within the investment community, averaging over 20 years of experience investing in debt and equity securities of middle-market companies. In addition, these managers have gained extensive experience investing in assets that will constitute our primary focus and have expertise in investing across all levels of the capital structure of middle-market companies.

Our Administrator

OFS Services, an affiliate of OFS Advisor, provides the administrative services necessary for us to operate. OFS Services furnishes us with office facilities and equipment, necessary software licenses and subscriptions and clerical, bookkeeping and recordkeeping services at such facilities. OFS Services oversees our financial reporting as well as prepares our reports to shareholders and all other reports and materials required to be filed with the SEC or any other regulatory authority. OFS Services also manages the determination and publication of our net asset value and the preparation and filing of our tax returns and generally monitors the payment of our expenses and the performance of administrative and professional services rendered to us by others. OFS Services may retain third parties to assist in providing administrative services to us. To the extent that OFS Services outsources any of its functions, we will pay the fees associated with such functions at cost on a direct basis, to OFS Services.

Market Opportunity

Our investment strategy is focused primarily on investments in middle-market companies in the United States. We find the middle-market attractive for the following reasons:

Large Target Market. According to the U.S. Census Bureau in its 2012 economic census, there were approximately 197,000 companies in the United States with annual revenues between \$10 million and \$2.5 billion, compared with approximately 1,300 companies with revenues greater than \$2.5 billion. We believe that these middle-market companies represent a significant growth segment of the U.S. economy and often require substantial capital investments to grow. Middle-market companies have historically constituted the vast bulk of OFS's portfolio companies since its inception, and constituted the vast bulk of our portfolio as of December 31, 2015. We believe that this market segment will continue to produce significant investment opportunities for us.

Specialized Lending Requirements with High Barriers to Entry. We believe that several factors render many U.S. financial institutions ill-suited to lend to U.S. middle-market companies. For example, based on the experience of our management team, lending to private middle-market companies in the United States (a) is generally more labor-intensive than lending to larger companies due to the smaller size of each investment and the fragmented nature of information for such companies, (b) requires due diligence and underwriting practices consistent with the demands and economic limitations of the middle-market and (c) may also require more extensive ongoing monitoring by the lender. As a result, middle-market companies historically have been served by a limited segment of the lending community. As a result of the unique challenges facing lenders to middle-market companies, there are high barriers to entry that a new lender must overcome.

Robust Demand for Debt Capital. We believe that private equity firms have significant committed but uncalled capital, a large portion of which is still available for investment in the United States. Subject to market conditions, we expect the large amount of unfunded buyout commitments will drive demand for leveraged buyouts over the next several years, which should, in turn, create leveraged lending opportunities for us.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Competitive Strengths and Core Competencies

Deep Management Team Experienced in All Phases of Investment Cycle and Across All Levels of the Capital Structure. We are managed by OFS Advisor, which has access through the Staffing Agreement with OFSC to the resources and expertise of OFS's investment professionals. As of March 31, 2016, OFS's credit and investment professionals (including all investment committee members) employed by OFSC had an average of over 20 years of investment experience with strong institutional backgrounds including General Electric Capital Corporation, Merrill Lynch, Sanwa Business Credit Corporation and Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce. Moreover, OFS's investment professionals specialize in the acquisition, origination and sourcing, underwriting and asset management of our specific targeted class of portfolio companies and have experience in investing at all levels of the capital structure. OFS's senior managers have gained extensive workout experience during multiple business cycles. OFS's credit and investment professionals are supported by additional administrative and back-office personnel that focus on operations, finance, legal and compliance, accounting and reporting, marketing, information technology and office management. The expertise of OFS's senior managers extends beyond just loan origination and sourcing to significant experience with distressed debt and workouts.

Significant Investment Capacity. The net proceeds of equity and debt offerings and borrowing capacity under our credit facilities, will provide us with a substantial amount of capital available for deployment into new investment opportunities in our targeted asset class.

Scalable Infrastructure Supporting the Entire Investment Cycle. We believe that our loan acquisition, origination and sourcing, underwriting, administration and management platform is highly scalable (that is, it can be expanded on a cost efficient basis within a timeframe that meets the demands of business growth). We believe that with limited incremental investment in personnel and back-office functions, our existing loan platform could accommodate operations significantly in excess of our current loan volume. Because OFS Advisor will be compensated in part on a fixed percentage of our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity), it will have an incentive to leverage that platform and put our capital to work.

Our platform extends beyond origination and sourcing and includes a regimented credit monitoring system. We believe that our careful approach, which involves ongoing review and analysis by an experienced team of professionals, should enable us to identify problems early and to assist borrowers before they face difficult liquidity constraints. The expertise of OFS's senior managers extends beyond just loan origination and sourcing to significant experience with distressed debt and workouts, which the senior managers have managed separately or as a team through multiple business cycles. We believe that this experience will enable us to prepare for possible negative contingencies in order to address them promptly should they arise.

Extensive Loan Sourcing Capabilities. OFS Advisor gives us access to the deal flow of OFS. We believe OFS's 20-year history as a middle-market lending platform and its market position make it a leading lender to many sponsors and other deal sources, especially in the currently under-served lending environment, and we have extensive relationships with potential borrowers and other lenders.

Structuring with a High Level of Service and Operational Orientation. We provide client-specific and creative financing structures to our portfolio companies. Based on our experience in lending to and investing in middle-market companies, we believe that the middle-market companies we target, as well as sponsor groups we may pursue, require a higher level of service, creativity and knowledge than has historically been provided by other service providers more accustomed to participating in commodity-like loan transactions. We believe the broad expertise of the investment

professionals of OFS Advisor will enable us to identify, assess and structure investments successfully across all levels of a company's capital structure and to manage potential risk and return at all stages of the economic cycle. We do not expect to be subject to many of the regulatory limitations that govern traditional lending institutions such as banks. As a result, we will be flexible in selecting and structuring investments, adjusting investment criteria, transaction structures and, in some cases, the types of securities in which we invest. This approach will enable OFS Advisor to identify attractive investment opportunities throughout the economic cycle so that we can make investments consistent with our stated objective even during turbulent periods in the capital markets.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Rigorous Credit Analysis and Approval Procedures. OFS Advisor utilizes the established, disciplined investment process of OFS for reviewing lending opportunities, structuring transactions and monitoring investments. Using OFS's disciplined approach to lending, OFS Advisor seeks to minimize credit losses through effective underwriting, comprehensive due diligence investigations, structuring and, where appropriate, the implementation of restrictive debt covenants. We expect that OFS Advisor will select borrowers whose businesses will retain significant enterprise value, even in a depressed market. We intend to use our capital resources to help our portfolio companies maintain sufficient liquidity to avoid the need for a distressed sale. While emphasizing thorough credit analysis, we intend to maintain strong relationships with sponsors and other deal sources by offering rapid initial feedback, from the member of the OFS Advisor investment committee leading the applicable deal team, to each investment opportunity shown to us.

Investment Criteria/Guidelines

Our investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation by investing primarily in middle-market companies in the United States. We will continue to focus on investments in senior secured loans, including first lien, second lien, and unitranche loans, as well as subordinated loans and, to a lesser extent, warrants and other equity securities. In particular, we believe that structured equity debt investments (i.e., typically senior secured unitranche loans, often with warrant coverage, and often in companies with no financial sponsor) represent a strong relative value opportunity offering the borrower the convenience of dealing with one lender, which may result in a higher blended rate of interest to us than we might expect to receive under a traditional multi-tranche structure. We expect that our investments in the equity securities of portfolio companies, such as warrants, preferred stock, common stock and other equity interests, will principally be made in conjunction with our debt investments. Generally, we do not expect to make investments in companies or securities that OFS Advisor determines to be distressed investments (such as discounted debt instruments that have either experienced a default or have a significant potential for default), other than follow-on investments in portfolio companies of ours. We intend to continue to generate strong risk-adjusted net returns by assembling a diversified portfolio of investments across a broad range of industries.

We target U.S. middle-market companies through OFS's access to a network of financial institutions, private equity sponsors, investment banks, consultants and attorneys, and our proprietary database of borrowers developed over OFS's more than 20 years in lending to middle-market companies. A typical targeted borrower will exhibit certain of the following characteristics:

- number of employees less than 2,000;
- revenues between \$15 million and \$300 million;
- annual EBITDA between \$3 million and \$50 million;
- generally, private companies owned by private equity firms or owners/operators;
- enterprise value between \$10 million and \$500 million;
- effective and experienced management teams;
- defensible market share;
- solid historical financial performance, including a steady stream of cash flow;
- high degree of recurring revenue;
- diversity of customers, markets, products and geography; and
- differentiated products or services.

While we believe that the characteristics listed above are important in identifying and investing in prospective portfolio companies, not all of these criteria will be met by each prospective portfolio company.

Due Diligence and Investment Process Overview

We employ a thorough and disciplined underwriting and due diligence process that is conducted in accordance with established credit policies and procedures, and that is focused on investment recovery. Our

83

TABLE OF CONTENTS

process involves a comprehensive analysis of a prospective portfolio company's market, operational, financial, and legal position, as well as its future prospects. In addition to our own analysis, we may use the services of third parties for environmental reviews, quality of earnings reports, industry surveys, background checks on key managers, and insurance reviews.

We seek to invest in companies that have experienced and incentivized management teams, that have stable and predictable cash flows, and that have defensible market positions. We underwrite our investments with the expectation that we will hold them for a number of years, and we structure and document our investments accordingly.

Our due diligence and underwriting process typically addresses the following elements (although certain elements may not be included in every due diligence undertaking):

Prospective Portfolio Company Characteristics: focusing on primary drivers of the company's revenues and cash flows, including its key products and services; customer and supplier concentrations, and contractual relationships; depth, breadth, and quality of company management, as well as the extent to which the management team is appropriately compensated with equity incentives; and any regulatory, labor, or litigation matters impacting the company.

Industry and Competitive Overview: including industry size and the company's position within it; growth potential and barriers to entry; governmental, regulatory, or technological issues potentially affecting the industry; and cyclical or seasonality risks associated with the industry.

Financial Analysis: involving an understanding of the company's historical financial results, focusing on actual operating trends experienced over time, in order to forecast future performance, including in various sensitized performance scenarios; attention to projected cash flows, debt service coverage, and leverage multiples under such scenarios; and an assessment of enterprise valuations and debt repayment/investment recovery prospects given such sensitized performance scenarios.

Investment Documentation: focusing on obtaining the best legal protections available to us given our position within the capital structure, including, as appropriate, financial covenants; collateral liens and stock pledges; review of loan documents of other of the prospective portfolio company's creditors; and negotiation of inter-creditor agreements.

Portfolio Review/Risk Monitoring

We view active portfolio monitoring as a vital part of our investment process, and we benefit from a portfolio management system developed by OFS that includes daily, weekly, monthly, and quarterly components, and that involves comprehensive review of the performance of each of our portfolio companies. As part of the portfolio management process, OFS Advisor performs ongoing risk assessment on each of our investments and assigns each debt investment a credit rating based on OFS's internal ratings scale.

We categorize debt investments into the following risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt:

1 (Low Risk) A risk rated 1, or Low Risk, credit is a credit that has most satisfactory asset quality and liquidity, as well as good leverage capacity. It maintains predictable and strong cash flows from operations. The trends and outlook for the credit's operations, balance sheet, and industry are neutral to favorable. Collateral, if appropriate, has maintained value and would be capable of being liquidated on a timely basis. Overall a 1 rated credit would be considered to be of investment grade quality.

2 (Below Average Risk) A risk rated 2, or Below Average Risk, credit is a credit that has acceptable asset quality, moderate excess liquidity, modest leverage capacity. It could have some financial/non-financial weaknesses which are

offset by strengths; however, the credit demonstrates an ample current cash flow from operations. The trends and outlook for the credit's operations, balance sheet, and industry are generally positive or neutral to somewhat negative. Collateral, if appropriate, has maintained value and would be capable of being liquidated successfully on a timely basis.

3 (Average) A risk rated 3, or Average, credit is a credit that has acceptable asset quality, somewhat strained liquidity, minimal leverage capacity. It is at times characterized by just acceptable cash flows from

TABLE OF CONTENTS

operations. Under adverse market conditions, carrying the current debt service could pose difficulties for the borrower. The trends and conditions of the credit s operations and balance sheet are neutral to slightly negative.

4 (Special Mention) A risk rated 4, or Special Mention, credit is a credit with no apparent loss of principal or interest envisioned. Nonetheless, it possesses credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses which deserve management s close and continued attention. The credit s operations and/or balance sheet have demonstrated an adverse trend or deterioration which, while serious, has not reached the point where the liquidation of debt is jeopardized. These weaknesses are generally considered correctable by the borrower in the normal course of business but may if not checked or corrected, weaken the asset or inadequately protect our credit position.

5 (Substandard) A risk rated 5, or Substandard, credit is a credit inadequately protected by the current enterprise value or paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral, if any. These credits have well-defined weaknesses based upon objective evidence, such as recurring or significant decreases in revenues and cash flows. These assets are characterized by the possibility that we may sustain loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. The possibility that liquidation would not be timely (e.g. bankruptcy or foreclosure) requires a Substandard classification even if there is little likelihood of loss.

6 (Doubtful) A risk rated 6, or Doubtful, credit is a credit with all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as Substandard, with the additional factor that the weaknesses are pronounced to the point that collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values is deemed uncertain. The possibility of loss on a Doubtful asset is high but, because of certain important and reasonably specific pending factors which may strengthen the asset, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status can be determined.

7 (Loss) A risk rated 7, or Loss, credit is a credit considered almost fully uncollectible and of such little value that its continuance as an asset is not warranted. It is generally a credit that is no longer supported by an operating company, a credit where the majority of our assets have been liquidated or sold and a few assets remain to be sold over many months or even years, or a credit where the remaining collections are expected to be minimal.

As of March 31, 2016, we had debt investments in 35 portfolio companies, totaling \$212.9 million at fair value, of which \$10.9 million, \$171.7 million, \$29.6 million, and \$0.7 million were rated 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

Investment Committees

The purpose of our investment committees is to evaluate and approve our prospective investments, subject at all times to the oversight of our board of directors.

OFS Advisor s investment committee (Advisor Investment Committee), which is comprised of Richard Ressler (Chairman), Jeffrey Cerny, Mark Hauser, and Bilal Rashid, is primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the portfolio, including our overall asset allocation decisions, as well as approval of all of investments made by us directly or through our wholly-owned subsidiaries. Certain members of the Advisor Investment Committee perform a similar role for other investments managed by OFS and its affiliates.

The investment committee for SBIC I LP (SBIC Investment Committee), which is comprised of Mark Hauser and Bilal Rashid, (and, together with the Advisor Investment Committee, the Investment Committees), is responsible for approval of all of investments made by SBIC I LP. Any investment decision on the part of SBIC I LP requires the unanimous approval of the SBIC Investment Committee.

The process employed by the Investment Committees is intended to bring the diverse experience and perspectives of the committees' members to the investment process. The Investment Committees serve to provide investment consistency and adherence to our core investment philosophy and policies. The Investment Committees also determine appropriate investment sizing and implement ongoing monitoring requirements.

In certain instances, management may seek the approval of our board of directors prior to the making of an investment. In addition to reviewing investments, Investment Committees' meetings serve as a forum to discuss credit views and outlooks. Potential transactions and deal flow are reviewed on a regular basis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Members of the investment team are encouraged to share information and views on credits with members of the Investment Committees early in their analysis. We believe this process improves the quality of the analysis and assists the deal team members in working efficiently.

Structure of Investments

We anticipate that our loan portfolio will continue to contain investments of the following types with the following typical characteristics:

Senior Secured First-Lien Loans. First-lien senior secured loans comprise, and will continue to comprise, a significant portion of our investment portfolio. We obtain security interests in the assets of these portfolio companies as collateral in support of the repayment of these loans (in certain cases, subject to a payment waterfall). The collateral takes the form of first-priority liens on specified assets of the portfolio company borrower and, typically, first-priority pledges of the ownership interests in the borrower. Our first lien loans may provide for moderate loan amortization in the early years of the loan, with the majority of the amortization deferred until loan maturity.

Senior Secured Unitranche Loans. Unitranche loans are loans that combine both senior and subordinated debt into one loan under which the borrower pays a single blended interest rate that is intended to reflect the relative risk of the secured and unsecured components. We typically structure our unitranche loans as senior secured loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of these portfolio companies as collateral in support of the repayment of these loans. This collateral takes the form of first-priority liens on the assets of a portfolio company and, typically, first-priority pledges of the ownership interests in the company. We believe that unitranche lending represents a significant growth opportunity for us, offering the borrower the convenience of dealing with one lender, which may result in a higher blended rate of interest to us than we might realize in a traditional multi-tranche structure. Unitranche loans typically provide for moderate loan amortization in the initial years of the facility, with the majority of the amortization deferred until loan maturity. Unitranche loans generally allow the borrower to make a large lump sum payment of principal at the end of the loan term, and there is a risk of loss if the borrower is unable to pay the lump sum or refinance the amount owed at maturity. In many cases, we will be the sole lender, or we, together with our affiliates, will be the sole lender, of unitranche loans, which can afford us additional influence with a borrower in terms of monitoring and, if necessary, remediation in the event of underperformance.

Senior Secured Second-lien Loans. We obtain security interests in the assets of these portfolio companies as collateral in support of the repayment of such loans. This collateral typically takes the form of second-priority liens on the assets of a portfolio company, and we may enter into an inter-creditor agreement with the holders of the portfolio company's first-lien senior secured debt. These loans typically provide for no contractual loan amortization in the initial years of the facility, with all amortization deferred until loan maturity.

Subordinated (Mezzanine) Loans. We typically structure these investments as unsecured, subordinated loans that typically provide for relatively high, fixed interest rates that provide us with significant current interest income. These loans typically will have interest-only payments (often representing a combination of cash pay and payment-in-kind (PIK) interest) in the early years, with amortization of principal deferred to maturity. Mezzanine loans generally allow the borrower to make a large lump sum payment of principal at the end of the loan term, and there is a risk of loss if the borrower is unable to pay the lump sum or refinance the amount owed at maturity. Mezzanine investments are generally more volatile than secured loans and may involve a greater risk of loss of principal. Mezzanine loans often include a PIK feature (meaning a feature allowing for the payment of interest in the form of additional principal amount of the loan instead of in cash), which effectively operates as negative amortization of loan principal, thereby increasing credit risk exposure over the life of the loan.

Warrants and Other Equity Securities. In some cases, we will also acquire an equity interest in the portfolio company in connection with making a loan, or receive nominally priced warrants or options to buy a minority equity interest in the portfolio company in connection with a loan. As a result, as a portfolio company appreciates in value, we may achieve additional investment return from this equity interest. We may structure such warrants to include provisions protecting our rights as a minority-interest holder, as well as a put, or right to sell such securities back to the issuer, upon the occurrence of specified events. In many

TABLE OF CONTENTS

cases, we may also seek to obtain registration rights in connection with these equity interests, which may include demand and piggyback registration rights.

General Structuring Considerations. We tailor the terms of each investment to the facts and circumstances of the transaction and the prospective portfolio company, negotiating a structure that protects our rights and manages our risk while creating incentives for the portfolio company to achieve its business plan and improve its operating results.

We seek to limit the downside potential of our investments by:

selecting investments that we believe have a very low probability of loss; requiring a total return on our investments (including both interest and potential equity appreciation) that we believe will compensate us appropriately for credit risk; and

negotiating covenants in connection with our investments that afford our portfolio companies as much flexibility in managing their businesses as possible, consistent with the preservation of our capital. Such restrictions may include affirmative and negative covenants, default penalties, lien protection, change of control provisions and board rights, including either observation or rights to a seat on the board of directors under some circumstances.

We expect to hold most of our investments to maturity or repayment, but we may sell some of our investments earlier if a liquidity event occurs, such as a sale, recapitalization or worsening of the credit quality of the portfolio company.

Investments

We pursue an investment strategy focused primarily on investments in middle-market companies in the United States.

We focus on investments in loans, in which OFS Advisor's investment professionals have expertise, including investments in first-lien, unitranche, second-lien, and mezzanine loans and, to a lesser extent, on warrants and other equity securities. We seek to create a diverse portfolio by making investments in the securities of middle-market companies that we expect to range generally from \$3.0 million to \$25.0 million each, although we expect this investment size will vary proportionately with the size of our capital base.

Competition

Our primary competitors include public and private funds, other business development companies, commercial and investment banks, commercial finance companies and, to the extent they provide an alternative form of financing, private equity and hedge funds. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical, and marketing resources than we do. Some competitors may have access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Further, many of our competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a BDC, or to the distribution and other requirements we must satisfy to maintain our RIC status.

We expect to continue to use the expertise of the investment professionals of OFS and its affiliates to which we have access, to assess investment risks and determine appropriate pricing for our investments in portfolio companies. In addition, we expect that the relationships of the senior members of OFS and its affiliates will enable us to learn about, and compete effectively for, financing opportunities with attractive middle-market companies in the industries in which we seek to invest. For additional information concerning the competitive risks we face, see Risk Factors Risks Related to our Business and Structure We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities, which could reduce returns and result in losses.

Administration

We do not have any direct employees, and our day-to-day investment operations are managed by OFS Advisor. We have a chief executive officer, chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, chief accounting officer, and corporate secretary and, to the extent necessary, our board of directors may elect to appoint additional officers going forward.

Our officers are employees of OFSC, an affiliate of OFS Advisor, and a portion of the compensation paid to our officers are paid by us pursuant to the Administration Agreement. All of our executive officers are also officers of OFS Advisor. See Management and Other Agreements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Properties

We do not own or lease any real estate or other physical properties material to our operation. Our headquarters are located at 10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, IL, 60606, and are provided by OFS Services pursuant to the Administration Agreement. Additional operations are conducted from offices in New York, New York and Los Angeles, California, which are also provided by OFS Services pursuant to the Administration Agreement. We believe that our office facilities are suitable and adequate for our business as we contemplate continuing to conduct it.

Legal Proceedings

We, OFS Advisor and OFS Services, are not currently subject to any material pending legal proceedings threatened against us as of March 31, 2016. From time to time, we may be a party to certain legal proceedings incidental to the normal course of our business including the enforcement of our rights under contracts with our portfolio companies. Furthermore, third parties may try to seek to impose liability on us in connection with the activities of our portfolio companies. While the outcome of these legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not expect that these proceedings will have a material effect upon our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**SENIOR SECURITIES**

Information about our senior securities (including preferred stock, debt securities and other indebtedness) is shown in the following tables as of December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The report of our independent registered public accounting firm, BDO USA, LLP, on the senior securities table as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 is attached as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. The indicates information that the SEC expressly does not require to be disclosed for certain types of senior securities.

(dollar amounts in thousands, except per unit data)

| Class and Year | Total Amount Outstanding Exclusive of Treasury Securities ⁽¹⁾ | Asset Coverage Per Unit ⁽²⁾ | Involuntary Liquidating Preference Per Unit ⁽³⁾ | Average Market Value Per Unit ⁽⁴⁾ |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| PWB Credit Facility | | | | |
| December 31, 2015 | \$ | \$ | | N/A |
| WM Credit Facility | | | | |
| December 31, 2014 | \$ 72,612 | \$ 2,847 | | N/A |
| December 31, 2013 | \$ 108,955 | \$ 2,256 | | N/A |
| December 31, 2012 | \$ 99,224 | \$ 2,429 | | N/A |
| Small Business Administration Debentures (SBIC I LP) ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | |
| December 31, 2015 | \$ 149,880 | \$ | | N/A |
| December 31, 2014 | \$ 127,295 | \$ | | N/A |
| December 31, 2013 | \$ 26,000 | \$ | | N/A |
| December 31, 2012 | \$ | \$ | | N/A |

(1) Total amount of each class of senior securities outstanding at the end of the period presented.

(2) The asset coverage ratio for a class of senior securities representing indebtedness is calculated as our consolidated total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, divided by total senior securities representing indebtedness. This asset coverage ratio is multiplied by \$1,000 to determine the Asset Coverage Per Unit.

(3) The amount to which such class of senior security would be entitled upon the involuntary liquidation of the issuer in preference to any security junior to it. The indicates information which the Securities and Exchange Commission expressly does not require to be disclosed for certain types of senior securities.

(4) Not applicable because senior securities are not registered for public trading.

(5) The Small Business Administration Debentures are not subject to the asset coverage requirements of the 1940 Act as a result of exemptive relief granted to us by the SEC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PORTFOLIO COMPANIES

The following tables set forth certain information as of March 31, 2016 regarding each portfolio company in which we had a debt or equity investment. The general terms of our loans and other investments are described in The Company. We offer to make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. In addition, we may receive rights to participate in or observe the board of directors meetings of our portfolio companies.

90

TABLE OF CONTENTS

91

TABLE OF CONTENTS

92

TABLE OF CONTENTS

93

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(1) The majority of investments bear interest at a variable rate that is indexed to the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) (L) or Prime (P), and are reset monthly or quarterly. Substantially all of the Company s LIBOR referenced investments are subject to an interest rate floor. For each investment, the Company has provided the spread over the reference rate and current interest rate in effect at March 31, 2016. Unless otherwise noted, all investments with a stated PIK rate are obligated to make interest payments with the issuance of additional securities as payment of the entire PIK provision.

(2) The negative fair value is the result of the unfunded commitment being valued below par.
 (3) Investments held by SBIC I LP. SBIC I LP held \$249,567 or 85% of the Company s consolidated assets as of March 31, 2016. All other investments pledged as collateral under the PWB Credit Facility.

(4) Indicates investments that the Company deems non-qualifying assets under Section 55(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (1940 Act), as amended. Qualifying assets must represent at least 70% of the Company s assets, as defined under Section 55 of the 1940 Act, at the time of acquisition of any additional non-qualifying assets. As of March 31, 2016, 98.4% of the Company s assets were qualifying assets.

(5) Non-accrual loan.

(6) SBIC I LP has entered into a contractual arrangement whereby, subject to certain conditions being satisfied, it has agreed, with respect to the Senior Secured Tem Loan B, to receive its payment after the repayment of certain lenders pursuant to a payment waterfall. With respect to Intrafusion Holding Corp., the reported interest rate of 12.85% at March 31, 2016 includes interest of 3.60% per annum as specified under the contractual arrangement SBIC I LP entered into with the co-lenders in connection with the credit agreement.

(7) SBIC I LP has entered into a contractual arrangement whereby, subject to certain conditions being satisfied, it has agreed, with respect to the Senior Secured Tem Loan, to receive its payment after the repayment of certain lenders pursuant to a payment waterfall. With respect to C7 Data Centers, Inc., the reported interest rate of 13.16% at March 31, 2016 includes interest of 3.66% per annum as specified under the contractual arrangement SBIC I LP entered into with the co-lenders in connection with the credit agreement.

(8) The interest rate on these investments contains a PIK provision, whereby the issuer has the option to make interest payments in cash or with the issuance of additional securities as payment of the entire PIK provision. The interest rate in the schedule represents the current interest rate in effect for these investments.

(9) The interest rate includes a 1.5% PIK provision, whereby the issuer has the option to make interest payments in cash or with the issuance of additional securities as payment of the entire PIK provision. The interest rate in the schedule represents the current interest rate in effect.

(10) The interest rate includes a 2.5% PIK provision, whereby the issuer has the option to make interest payments in cash or with the issuance of additional securities as payment of the entire PIK provision. The interest rate in the schedule represents the current interest rate in effect.

(11) The interest rate includes a 2.0% PIK provision, whereby the issuer has the option to make interest payments in cash or with the issuance of additional securities as payment of the entire PIK provision. The interest rate in the schedule represents the current interest rate in effect.

(12) Non-income producing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**MANAGEMENT****Board of Directors**

Under our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, our board of directors is divided into three classes. At each annual meeting, directors are elected for staggered terms of three years (other than the initial terms, which extend for up to three years), with the term of office of only one of these three classes of directors expiring each year. Each director will hold office for the term to which he or she is elected and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified.

Directors

Information regarding the board of directors is as follows:

| Name | Age | Position | Director Since | Term Expires |
|-----------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Interested Directors | | | | |
| Bilal Rashid | 45 | Chairman and Chief Executive Officer | 2010 | 2017 |
| Jeffrey Cerny | 53 | Chief Financial Officer and Director | 2015 | 2018 |
| Independent Directors | | | | |
| Elaine E. Healy | 54 | Director | 2011 | 2019 |
| Marc Abrams | 70 | Director | 2011 | 2018 |
| Robert J. Cresci | 72 | Director | 2011 | 2017 |

The address for each of our directors is c/o OFS Capital Corporation, 10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, IL, 60606.

Officers Who Are Not Directors

Information regarding our executive officers who are not directors is as follows:

| Name | Age | Position |
|----------------------|-----|--------------------------|
| Eric P. Rubenfeld | 45 | Chief Compliance Officer |
| Linda S. VanDenburgh | 59 | Corporate Secretary |
| Jeffery S. Owen | 51 | Chief Accounting Officer |

The address for each of our executive officers is c/o OFS Capital Corporation, 10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, IL, 60606.

Biographical Information

For purposes of this presentation, our directors have been divided into two groups – independent directors and interested directors. Interested directors are interested persons as defined in the 1940 Act.

Independent Directors

Marc Abrams is the founder and leader (on a semi-retired status) of the public company business sector of SingerLewak LLP, a certified public accounting firm founded in 1995. He has over 40 years of public accounting experience. Mr. Abrams' expertise includes audits of publicly held companies, initial public offerings, private offerings, corporate reorganizations and acquisitions, evaluating business plans and litigation support. Additionally, Mr. Abrams' broad practice includes expertise in several industries including technology, life sciences, real estate, retail and franchise, hotels and casinos, and manufacturing.

He currently serves on the board of Hancock Park Corporate Income, Inc., another BDC managed by OFS Advisor (Hancock Park) and previously served on the board of UnifiedOnline, Inc. (f/k/a IceWEB, Inc.). Mr. Abrams graduated from American University in 1967 with a Bachelor of Science in Accounting. Through 2011, he was an active member of AICPA, the California Society of CPAs and the Los Angeles Venture Association. Mr. Abrams brings to our board of directors extensive accounting experience and expertise, which is invaluable to our company.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Robert J. Cresci has been a managing director of Pecks Management Partners Ltd., an investment management firm, since 1990. He currently serves on the boards of directors of j2 Global, Inc., Luminex Corporation, CIM Commercial Trust Corporation, Presbia PLC, and Hancock Park.

Mr. Cresci holds an undergraduate degree in Engineering from the United States Military Academy at West Point and holds a M.B.A. in Finance from the Columbia University Graduate School of Business. By virtue of his time with Pecks Management Partners and the other business entities mentioned, Mr. Cresci brings to our board of directors his broad expertise and experience in investment strategies, accounting issues, and public company matters.

Elaine E. Healy is the co-founder, president, and chief operating officer of Accel Networks, a Sierra Wireless business, a fixed cellular wireless broadband service provider founded in November 2002. She is a senior executive with a broad investment background in operating companies ranging from start-ups to emerging growth to publicly traded entities. Ms. Healy has more than 10 years of experience operating in an entrepreneurial environment and as a director of companies in a wide range of industries. Throughout her career, she has participated in or been responsible for the periodic valuation of both debt and equity portfolios.

Ms. Healy graduated from The Florida State University in 1984 with a Bachelor of Science in Finance. Ms. Healy brings to our board an invaluable perspective on the building blocks for a successful enterprise and extensive experience with a wide range of investment vehicles, including closed end funds, SBICs, business development companies and both limited and general partnerships.

Interested Directors

Bilal Rashid is the Chairman of the board of directors, the Chief Executive Officer and President of OFS Capital and Hancock Park, President and a Senior Managing Director of OFSC and OFS Advisor, Chief Executive Officer of OFSAM and a member of the investment and executive committees of OFSAM. Prior to joining OFSC in 2008, Mr. Rashid was a managing director in the global markets and investment banking division at Merrill Lynch. Mr. Rashid has more than 20 years of experience in investment banking, debt capital markets and investing as it relates to structured credit and corporate credit. Over the years, he has advised and arranged financing for investment management companies and commercial finance companies including business development companies. Before joining Merrill Lynch in 2005, he was a vice president at Natixis Capital Markets, which he joined as part of a large team move from Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce (CIBC). Prior to CIBC, he worked as an investment analyst in the project finance area at the International Finance Corporation, which is part of the World Bank. Prior to that, Mr. Rashid was a financial analyst at Lehman Brothers. Mr. Rashid has a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from Carnegie Mellon University and an MBA from Columbia University. Mr. Rashid brings to our board of directors invaluable experience in investments and debt capital markets.

Jeffrey A. Cerny is the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of OFS Capital and Hancock Park. Mr. Cerny also serves as Treasurer and a Senior Managing Director of OFSC and OFS Advisor, as a Vice President of OFSAM, and as a member of OFSAM's investment and executive committees. Mr. Cerny oversees the finance and accounting functions of OFS Capital as well as underwriting, credit monitoring, CLO portfolio compliance and loan administration for OFS Advisor's syndicated senior loan business. Prior to joining OFSC in 1999, Mr. Cerny held various positions at Sanwa Business Credit Corporation, American National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago and Charter Bank Group, a multi-bank holding company. Mr. Cerny holds a B.S. in Finance from Northern Illinois University, a Masters of Management in Finance and Economics from Northwestern University's J.L. Kellogg School of Management, and a J.D. from DePaul University's School of Law. Mr. Cerny brings to our Board extensive accounting and financial experience and expertise, as well as investing experience, including lending, structuring and

workouts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Officers Who Are Not Directors**

Jeffery S. Owen currently serves as the Chief Accounting Officer of OFS Capital and Hancock Park. Mr. Owen also serves as the Chief Accounting Officer and Controller of OFSC and OFS Advisor. Mr. Owen has over 25 years of experience in public and private accounting. Prior to joining OFSC in November of 2015, Mr. Owen served as Senior Vice President of Corporate Accounting for Northern Trust Corporation. Before joining Northern Trust Corporation in 2010, he held various positions at Aon Corporation, Web Street, Inc., CNA Financial Corporation, and Ernst & Young LLP, a national public accounting firm. Mr. Owen holds a Bachelor of Accountancy from the University of Oklahoma and a Masters of Business Administration, *cum laude*, from The University of Chicago Graduate School of Business.

Mr. Owen is also a Certified Public Accountant and a CFA charterholder.

Eric P. Rubinfeld currently serves as the Chief Compliance Officer of OFS Capital and Hancock Park, and Chief Legal Officer and Chief Compliance Officer of OFSC and OFS Advisor, in which capacity he oversees the legal, compliance, risk management, and human resources, functions of OFSC and OFS Advisor. Mr. Rubinfeld has over 20 years of experience advising investment advisers, insurance companies, investment banks and other financial institutions. Prior to joining OFSC, Mr. Rubinfeld was the General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer of GSC Group, an SEC registered investment adviser specializing in middle market corporate credit, and also served as Chief Compliance Officer of GSC Capital Corp, an externally managed, closed end, publicly listed BDC. From 2004 to 2006, Mr. Rubinfeld was Director and Counsel at Assured Guaranty Corp. From 1995 to 2004, Mr. Rubinfeld worked as an attorney in private practice in New York and Washington, D.C. Mr. Rubinfeld received his J.D., *cum laude*, from Harvard Law School in 1995 and his B.A., *magna cum laude*, in Economics and History from UCLA in 1991.

Linda S. VanDenburgh currently serves as Corporate Secretary of OFS Capital and Hancock Park, and Corporate Secretary, Vice President, General Counsel and Deputy Compliance Officer of OFSC and OFS Advisor. Ms. VanDenburgh has over 30 years of experience advising investment advisers, banks, investment companies and other financial institutions. Prior to joining OFSC in 2013, Ms. VanDenburgh acted as a Senior Attorney at BMO Harris Bank N.A., a Chicago-based bank owned by the Bank of Montreal. Prior to joining BMO in 2010, Ms. VanDenburgh held various positions with Northern Trust Corporation, Stein Roe Investment Counsel, and Van Kampen Investments, and worked as an attorney in private practice in Chicago. Ms. VanDenburgh received her J.D., *magna cum laude*, from the University of Pennsylvania Law School in 1983 and her B.A., *magna cum laude*, in Psychology from Brown University in 1979.

Compensation of Directors

The following table shows information regarding the compensation received by our independent directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

| Name | Aggregate Compensation from OFS Capital Corporation | Pension or Retirement Benefits as Part of Our Expenses ⁽¹⁾ | Total Compensation from OFS Capital Corporation Paid to Director |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Independent Directors | | | |

Edgar Filing: OFS Capital Corp - Form POS 8C

| | | |
|----------------------|------------|------------|
| Marc Abrams | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 |
| Robert J. Cresci | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 |
| Elaine E. Healy | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 |
| Interested Directors | | |
| Bilal Rashid | | |
| Jeffrey Cerny | | |

(1) We do not have a profit-sharing or retirement plan, and directors do not receive any pension or retirement benefits. The independent directors receive an annual fee of \$90,000. In addition, the chairman of each committee receives an annual fee of \$10,000 for his or her additional services in this capacity. We have obtained directors and officers liability insurance on behalf of our directors and officers. Independent directors will have the option of having their directors fees paid in shares of our common stock issued at a price per share equal to the greater of net asset value or the market price at the time of payment. No compensation is paid to directors who are interested persons.

97

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board Leadership Structure

Our board of directors monitors and performs an oversight role with respect to our business and affairs, including with respect to investment practices and performance, compliance with regulatory requirements and the services, expenses and performance of service providers to us. Among other things, our board of directors approves the appointment of our investment adviser and our officers, reviews and monitors the services and activities performed by our investment adviser and our executive officers, and approves the engagement, and reviews the performance of, our independent registered public accounting firm.

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

The board of directors currently combines the role of Chairman of the Board with the role of Chief Executive Officer (CEO), coupled with a Lead Independent Director position to further strengthen the governance structure. The Board believes this provides an efficient and effective leadership model for the Company. Combining the Chairman and CEO roles fosters clear accountability, effective decision-making, and alignment on corporate strategy.

No single leadership model is right for all companies at all times. The board of directors recognizes that, depending on the circumstances, other leadership models, such as a separate independent chairman of the board, might be appropriate. Accordingly, the board of directors periodically reviews its leadership structure.

Moreover, the board of directors believes that its governance practices provide adequate safeguards against any potential risks that might be associated with having a combined Chairman and CEO. Specifically:

- Three of the five current directors of the Company are independent directors;
- All of the members of the Audit Committee, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Compensation Committee are independent directors;
- The board of directors and its committees regularly conduct scheduled meetings in executive session, out of the presence of our Chief Executive Officer and other members of management;
- The board of directors and its committees regularly conduct meetings that specifically include our Chief Executive Officers; and
- The board of directors and its committees remain in close contact with, and receive reports on various aspects of the Company's management and enterprise risk directly from, the Company's senior management and independent auditors.

Lead Independent Director

The board of directors has instituted the Lead Independent Director position to provide an additional measure of balance, ensure the board of directors' independence, and enhance its ability to fulfill its management oversight responsibilities. Robert J. Cresci currently serves as the Lead Independent Director. The Lead Independent Director:

Presides over all meetings of the directors at which the Chairman is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors;

- Frequently consults with the Chairman and CEO about strategic policies;
- Provides the Chairman and CEO with input regarding Board meetings;
- Serves as a liaison between the Chairman and CEO and the independent directors; and

Otherwise assumes such responsibilities as may be assigned to him by the independent directors. Having a combined Chairman and CEO, coupled with a substantial majority of independent, experienced directors who evaluate the board of directors and themselves at least annually, including a Lead Independent Director with specified responsibilities on behalf of the independent directors, provides the right leadership structure for the Company and is best for the Company and its shareholders at this time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Our board of directors performs its risk oversight function primarily through (i) its standing committees, which report to the entire board of directors and are comprised solely of independent directors, and (ii) active monitoring of our Chief Compliance Officer and our compliance policies and procedures. For example, management of cyber security risk is the responsibility of the full board of directors.

Oversight of our investment activities extends to oversight of the risk management processes employed by OFS Advisor as part of its day-to-day management of our investment activities. The board of directors anticipates reviewing risk management processes at both regular and special board meetings throughout the year, consulting with appropriate representatives of OFS Advisor as necessary and periodically requesting the production of risk management reports or presentations. The goal of the board of directors' risk oversight function is to ensure that the risks associated with our investment activities are accurately identified, thoroughly investigated and responsibly addressed. Investors should note, however, that the board of directors' oversight function cannot eliminate all risks or ensure that particular events do not adversely affect the value of investments.

We believe that the role of our board of directors in risk oversight is effective and appropriate given the extensive regulation to which we are already subject as a BDC. As a BDC, we are required to comply with certain regulatory requirements that control the levels of risk in our business and operations. For example, we are limited in our ability to enter into transactions with our affiliates, including investing in any portfolio company in which one of our affiliates currently has an investment.

Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

The Audit Committee of our Board is required to review and approve any transactions with related persons (as such term is defined in Item 404 of Regulation S-K).

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, the Company's directors and executive officers, and any persons holding more than 10% of its common stock, are required to report their beneficial ownership and any changes therein to the SEC and the Company. Specific due dates for those reports have been established, and the Company is required to report herein any failure to file such reports by those due dates. Based on the Company's review of Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed by such persons and information provided by the Company's directors and officers, the Company believes that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to such persons were met in a timely manner.

Corporate Governance Documents

We maintain a corporate governance webpage at the [Governance Documents](#) link under the [Investor Relations](#) link at www.ofscapital.com.

Our Code of Business Conduct and Board Committee charters are available at our corporate governance webpage at www.ofscapital.com and are also available to any shareholder who requests them by writing to our Chief Compliance

Officer, Eric P. Rubinfeld, at OFS Capital Corporation, 10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, Illinois 60606,
Attention: Chief Compliance Officer.

Director Independence

In accordance with rules of The NASDAQ Global Select Market, the board of directors annually determines the independence of each director. No director is considered independent unless the board of directors has determined that he or she has no material relationship with the Company. The Company monitors the status of its directors and officers through the activities of the Company's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and through a questionnaire completed by each director no less frequently than annually, with updates periodically if information provided in the most recent questionnaire has changed.

In order to evaluate the materiality of any such relationship, the board of directors uses the definition of director independence set forth in The NASDAQ Global Select Market rules. The NASDAQ Global Select Market rules provides that a director of a BDC shall be considered to be independent if he or she is not an

TABLE OF CONTENTS

interested person of the Company, as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act. Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act defines an interested person to include, among other things, any person who has, or within the last two years had, a material business or professional relationship with the Company.

The board of directors has determined that each of the following directors and director nominees are independent and have no relationship with the Company, except as director of the Company: Messrs. Abrams and Cresci and Ms. Healy.

Annual Evaluation

Our directors perform an evaluation, at least annually, of the effectiveness of the Board and its committees. This evaluation includes an annual questionnaire and Board committee discussion.

Communications with Directors

Shareholders and other interested parties may contact any member (or all members) of the board of directors by mail.

To communicate with the board of directors, any individual directors or any group or committee of directors, correspondence should be addressed to the board of directors or any such individual directors or group or committee of directors by either name or title. All such correspondence should be sent to OFS Capital Corporation, 10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, Illinois 60606, Attention: Chief Compliance Officer. Any communication to report potential issues regarding accounting, internal controls and other auditing matters will be directed to the Audit Committee. Appropriate OFS Capital Corporation personnel will review and sort through communications before forwarding them to the addressee(s).

Board Meetings and Committees

The board of directors has established a (i) standing audit committee, (ii) a standing nominating and corporate governance committee and (iii) standing compensation committee. The board of directors met ten times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of: (i) all regular meetings of the board of directors held during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015; and (ii) all committees on which the director served that were held while the director was a member. The board of directors' standing committees are set forth below. We require each director to make a diligent effort to attend all board of directors and committee meetings, as well as each Annual Meeting of Shareholders. All directors attended the 2015 Annual meeting of shareholders.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is presently composed of three persons, Messrs. Abrams and Cresci and Ms. Healy, each of whom meets the independence standards established by the SEC and NASDAQ for audit committees and is independent for purposes of the 1940 Act. Mr. Abrams serves as chairman of the audit committee. Our board of directors has determined that each of Messrs. Abrams and Cresci and Ms. Healy is an audit committee financial expert as that term is defined under Item 407 of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act.

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a charter approved by our board of directors. The charter sets forth the responsibilities of the Audit Committee. The audit committee is responsible for selecting, engaging and discharging our independent accountants, reviewing the plans, scope and results of the audit engagement with our independent

accountants, approving professional services provided by our independent accountants (including compensation therefor), reviewing the independence of our independent accountants and reviewing the adequacy of our internal controls over financial reporting. The audit committee also establishes guidelines and makes recommendations to our board of directors regarding the valuation of our loans and other investments. The Audit Committee met ten times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

A charter of the Audit Committee is available in print to any shareholder who requests it and it is also available on the Company's website at www.ofscapital.com.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of three members, Messrs. Abrams and Cresci and Ms. Healy, each of whom meets the independence standards established by NASDAQ and is independent for purposes of the 1940 Act. Mr. Cresci serves as chairman of the Nominating and Corporate

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee operates pursuant to a charter approved by our board of directors. The charter sets forth the responsibilities of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for selecting, researching and nominating directors for election by our shareholders, selecting nominees to fill vacancies on the board of directors or a committee of the board of directors and overseeing the evaluation of the board of directors and our management.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider nominees to the board of directors recommended by a shareholder, if such shareholder complies with the advance notice provisions of our bylaws. Our bylaws provide that a shareholder who wishes to nominate a person for election as a director at a meeting of shareholders must deliver written notice to our Corporate Secretary. This notice must contain, as to each nominee, all of the information relating to such person as would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement meeting the requirements of Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act, and certain other information set forth in the bylaws. In order to be eligible to be a nominee for election as a director by a shareholder, such potential nominee must deliver to our Corporate Secretary a written questionnaire providing the requested information about the background and qualifications of such person and a written representation and agreement that such person is not and will not become a party to any voting agreements, any agreement or understanding with any person with respect to any compensation or indemnification in connection with service on the board of directors, and would be in compliance with all of our publicly disclosed corporate governance, conflict of interest, confidentiality and stock ownership and trading policies and guidelines. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met once during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

A charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is available in print to any shareholder who requests it, and it is also available on the Company's website at www.ofscapital.com.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee consists of three members, Messrs. Abrams and Cresci and Ms. Healy, each of whom meets the independence standards established by the SEC and NASDAQ and is independent for purposes of the 1940 Act. Ms. Healy serves as chairman of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee operates pursuant to a charter approved by our Board. Currently none of the Company's executive officers are directly compensated by the Company. However, the Company reimburses its administrator for the allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by the administrator in performing its obligations under an administration agreement, including an allocable share of the compensation of certain of the Company's executive officers with finance and compliance responsibilities. The Compensation Committee met once during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

A charter of the Compensation Committee is available in print to any shareholder who requests it, and it is also available on the Company's website at www.ofscapital.com.

Code of Business Conduct

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct that applies to, among others, our executive officers, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as well as every officer, director and employee of the Company. Requests for copies should be sent in writing to our Chief Compliance Officer, Eric P. Rubinfeld, at OFS Capital Corporation, 10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, Illinois 60606. The Company's Code of Business Conduct is also available on our website at www.ofscapital.com.

If we make any substantive amendment to, or grant a waiver from, a provision of our Code of Business Conduct, we will promptly disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver on our website at www.ofscapital.com as well as file a Form 8-K with the SEC.

Compensation of Executive Officers

None of our officers receives direct compensation from us. Mr. Rashid, our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Cerny, our Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Owen, our Chief Accounting Officer, and Mr. Rubinfeld, our Chief Compliance Officer, are paid by OFSC, subject to reimbursement by us, pursuant to the Administration Agreement, for an allocable portion of such compensation for services rendered by such persons to us. To the extent that OFS Services outsources any of its functions under the Administration Agreement to OFSC under the Staffing Agreement, we will pay the fees associated with such functions at cost, on a direct basis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

Investment opportunities require approval through the Advisor Investment Committee. Follow-on investments in existing portfolio companies require the Advisor Investment Committee's approval processes. The members of the Advisor Investment Committee are our portfolio managers who are primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the portfolio. The Advisor Investment Committee is supported by a team of analysts and investment professionals.

All of the Advisor Investment Committee members have ownership and financial interests in, and may receive compensation and/or profit distributions from, OFSAM, the parent company of OFS Advisor, and/or its subsidiaries. None of the members of the Advisor Investment Committee are employed by us or receive any direct compensation from us. These individuals receive compensation from OFS Advisor that includes an annual base salary, an annual discretionary bonus and a portion of the distributions made by OFS Advisor, a portion of which relates to the incentive fee or carried interest earned by OFS Advisor in connection with its services to us. See **Control Persons and Principal Shareholders** for additional information about equity interests held by certain of these individuals.

Messrs. Rashid and Cerny also perform a similar role for four other pooled investment vehicles, with a total amount of approximately \$1.0 billion of assets under management as of March 31, 2016, from which OFS Advisor and OFSAM may receive incentive fees. See **Related-Party Transactions and Certain Relationships** for a description of OFS Advisor's allocation policy governing allocations of investments among us and other investment vehicles with similar or overlapping strategies, as well as a description of certain other relationships between us and OFS Advisor. See **Prospectus Summary Conflicts of Interest and Risk Factors** We have potential conflicts of interest related to obligations that OFS Advisor or its affiliates may have to other clients for a discussion of potential conflicts of interests.

Advisor Investment Committee

The Advisor Investment Committee meets regularly to consider our investments, direct our strategic initiatives and supervise the actions taken by OFS Advisor on our behalf. In addition, the Advisor Investment Committee reviews and determines whether to make prospective investments identified by OFS Advisor and monitors the performance of our investment portfolio.

Information regarding members of the Advisor Investment Committee is as follows:

| Name ⁽¹⁾ | Age | Position |
|---------------------|-----|---|
| Richard S. Ressler | 57 | Chairman of OFSAM, Chairman of the Advisor Investment Committee |
| Bilal Rashid | 45 | Senior Managing Director of OFSC and OFS Advisor |
| Jeffrey A. Cerny | 53 | Senior Managing Director of OFSC and OFS Advisor |
| Mark Hauser | 58 | Senior Managing Director of OFSC and OFS Advisor |

⁽¹⁾ The address for each member of the Advisor Investment Committee is c/o OFS Capital Corporation, 10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500, Chicago, IL, 60606. None of these individuals beneficially own any of our equity securities.

Members of the Advisor Investment Committee Who Are Not Our Directors or Executive Officers

Richard S. Ressler is a Co-Founder and Chairman of the executive committee of OFSAM, serving as Chairman pursuant to a consulting agreement between OFSAM and Orchard Capital. Mr. Ressler is the founder and President of Orchard Capital, a firm that provides investment capital and advice to companies (including OFSAM) in which Orchard Capital or its affiliates invest. He has been President of Orchard Capital since 1994. Through his affiliation with Orchard Capital, Mr. Ressler also serves in various senior capacities with, among others, CIM Group, L.P., (together with its affiliates, CIM), a real estate investment and management company. Mr. Ressler also serves as a board member for various public and private companies in which Orchard Capital or its affiliates invest, including j2 Global, Inc. (JCOM). Mr. Ressler co-founded CIM in 1994 and, through an agreement with Orchard Capital, currently chairs its investment and asset management committees. CIM is a full service urban real estate and infrastructure fund manager with in-house

102

TABLE OF CONTENTS

research, acquisition, investment, development, finance, leasing and management capabilities. Among CIM's investors are U.S. and international pension funds, endowments, multinational corporations and other private investors.

Mr. Ressler served as Chairman and CEO of JCOM from 1997 to 2000 and, through an agreement with Orchard Capital, currently serves as its non-executive Chairman. JCOM provides cloud services to businesses of all sizes, from individuals to enterprises and offers online fax, virtual phone systems, hosted email, email marketing, online backup and customer relationship management services under a variety of brand names. Mr. Ressler holds a B.A. from Brown University, and J.D. and MBA degrees from Columbia University.

Mark S. Hauser is a Senior Managing Director of OFSC and OFS Advisor and serves as a member of OFSAM's executive committee. Mr. Hauser co-founded SBIC I LP in 2009 and the predecessor to Tamarix Capital Corporation, an unaffiliated investment firm (Tamarix Capital), in the early 1990s, and currently serves as the Managing Director of Tamarix Capital. Prior thereto, he was a Senior Managing Director at Sandell Asset Management, an international multi-strategy alternative asset manager, where he founded and was global head of the firm's private equity practice as well as a member of its investment committee. Prior to joining Sandell, he was a Managing Director at FdG Associates, a New York-based middle-market private equity fund focused on investing in family owned businesses. Previously, he was a Managing Director at Ocean Capital Corporation, a private international investment banking firm.

Mr. Hauser has served as an officer and on the boards of directors of various private and public portfolio companies, both in the U.S. and abroad, and continues to serve on the board of directors of MOKO Social Media Limited, an Australian public company. He began his career as a corporate attorney, practicing in New York, Sydney and London. Mr. Hauser holds a Bachelor of Economics Degree and a Bachelor of Law Degree from Sydney University and a Master of Law Degree from the London School of Economics & Political Science.

The table below shows the dollar range of shares of our common stock to be beneficially owned by the members of the Investment Committee and our investment team.

| Name of Portfolio Manager/Investment Support Team | Dollar Range of Equity Securities Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ |
|---|---|
| Richard Ressler | None |
| Bilal Rashid | None |
| Jeffrey A. Cerny | None |
| Mark Hauser | None |

- (1) Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act.
- (2) The dollar range of equity securities beneficially owned in us is based on the closing price for our common stock of \$12.83 on June 28, 2016 on The NASDAQ Global Select Market.
- (3) The dollar range of equity securities beneficially owned are: none, \$1 - \$10,000, \$10,001 - \$50,000, \$50,001 - \$100,000, \$100,001 - \$500,000, \$500,001 - \$1,000,000, or over \$1,000,000.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MANAGEMENT AND OTHER AGREEMENTS

OFS Advisor is registered as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act. OFS Advisor is a wholly owned subsidiary of OFSAM. Subject to the overall supervision of our board of directors and in accordance with the 1940 Act, OFS Advisor manages our day-to-day operations and provides investment advisory services to us. Under the terms of the Investment Advisory Agreement, OFS Advisor:

determines the composition of our portfolio, the nature and timing of the changes to our portfolio and the manner of implementing such changes;

executes, closes, services and monitors the investments we make.

assists us in determining what securities we purchase, retain or sell; identifies, evaluates and negotiates the structure of the investments we make (including performing due diligence on our prospective portfolio companies); and

executes, closes, services and monitors the investments we make.

Certain personnel of OFS conduct activities on our behalf directly through, and under the supervision of, OFS Advisor. OFS Advisor's services under the Investment Advisory Agreement are not exclusive to us. Pursuant to a Staffing Agreement between OFSC and OFS Advisor, OFSC has agreed to provide OFS Advisor with the resources to fulfill its obligations under the Investment Advisory Agreement. These resources include staffing by experienced investment professionals and access to the senior investment personnel of OFSC, pursuant to which each member of the Advisor Investment Committee has committed to serve in such capacity (including Mr. Ressler, who is currently the Chairman of the Advisor Investment Committee). These personnel services are provided under the Staffing Agreement on a direct cost reimbursement basis to OFS Advisor.

Investment Advisory Agreement

Management and Incentive Fee

Pursuant to the Investment Advisory Agreement with and subject to the overall supervision of our board of directors and in accordance with the 1940 Act, OFS Advisor provides investment advisory services to us. For providing these services, OFS Advisor receives a fee from us, consisting of two components—a base management fee and an incentive fee. From the completion of our IPO through October 31, 2013, the base management fee was calculated at an annual rate of 0.875% based on the average value of our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity), adjusted for stock issuances and stock purchases, at the end of the two most recently completed calendar quarters. Beginning on

November 1, 2013 and through March 31, 2014, pursuant to the Investment Advisory Agreement the base management fee was calculated at an annual rate of 1.75% based on the average value of our total assets (other than cash and cash equivalents but including assets purchased with borrowed amounts and including assets owned by any consolidated entity), adjusted for stock issuances and stock purchases, at the end of the two most recently completed calendar quarters. We have excluded from the base management fee calculation any base management fee that would be owed in respect of the intangible asset and goodwill resulting from our acquisitions of the remaining ownership interests in SBIC I LP and SBIC I GP on December 4, 2013. The base management fee is payable quarterly in arrears.

Base management fees for any partial quarter are prorated based on the number of days in the quarter.

On May 5, 2014, we were notified by OFS Advisor that, effective as of April 1, 2014, it would reduce its base management fee by two-thirds for the balance of the 2014 fiscal year. Specifically, OFS Advisor agreed to reduce its base management fee from 0.4375% per quarter to 0.145833% per quarter for the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2014. Accordingly, the effective annual base management fee for the 2014 fiscal year will be equal to or less than

50% of the 1.75% required by our Investment Advisory Agreement with OFS Advisor, or not greater than 0.875%.

OFS Advisor informed us that this reduction was made for the benefit of our shareholders to take into account unforeseen delays in completing the SBIC Acquisitions. The base management fee resumed to its 1.75% annual rate on January 1, 2015.

The base management fee expense was approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The incentive fee has two parts. One part (part one) is calculated and payable quarterly in arrears based on our pre-incentive fee net investment income for the immediately preceding quarter. Pre-incentive fee net investment income means interest income, dividend income and any other income (including any other fees such as commitment, origination and sourcing, structuring, diligence and consulting fees or other fees that we receive from portfolio companies but excluding fees for providing managerial assistance) accrued during the calendar quarter, minus operating expenses for the quarter (including the base management fee, any expenses payable under the Administration Agreement and any interest expense and distributions paid on any outstanding preferred stock, but excluding the incentive fee). Pre-incentive fee net investment income includes, in the case of investments with a deferred interest or dividend feature (such as original issue discount, or OID, debt instruments with PIK interest, equity investments with accruing or PIK dividend, and zero coupon securities), accrued income that we have not yet received in cash.

Pre-incentive fee net investment income does not include any realized gains, realized losses, unrealized capital appreciation or unrealized capital depreciation. Because of the structure of the incentive fee, it is possible that we may pay an incentive fee in a quarter where we incur a loss. For example, if we receive pre-incentive fee net investment income in excess of the hurdle rate (as defined below) for a quarter, we will pay the applicable incentive fee even if we have incurred a loss in that quarter due to realized capital losses and unrealized capital depreciation.