

RADIOLOGIX INC  
Form 10-K  
March 31, 2006  
Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

---

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

## FORM 10-K

---

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

COMMISSION FILE NO. 0-23311

---

## RADIOLOGIX, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

---

DELAWARE  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

3600 JP MORGAN CHASE TOWER

2200 ROSS AVENUE

DALLAS, TEXAS 75201-2776

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(214) 303-2776

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

75-2648089  
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

---

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

<b>TITLE OF EACH CLASS</b>	<b>NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE</b>
<b>Common Stock, \$0.0001 Par Value</b>	<b>ON WHICH REGISTERED American Stock Exchange</b>
<b>Securities registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None</b>	

---

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$100,603,972, computed by reference to the \$4.52 closing sales price of the Common Stock on the American Stock Exchange on June 30, 2005, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

As of March 20, 2006, 22,442,417 shares of the registrant's Common Stock were outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the proxy statement for the 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the registrant are incorporated by reference in Part III.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

RADIOLOGIX, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORM 10-K ITEM		PAGE
<b><u>PART I.</u></b>		
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	13
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	22
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	22
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	22
Item 4.	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	22
<b><u>PART II.</u></b>		
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	23
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	23
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	25
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	45
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	46
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	84
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	84
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	85
<b><u>PART III.</u></b>		
Item 10.	<u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	87
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	87
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	87
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	87
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	87
<b><u>PART IV.</u></b>		
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	88

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

**PART I**

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

**THE DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING SERVICES INDUSTRY**

**Overview**

Diagnostic imaging involves the use of less-invasive techniques to generate representations of internal anatomy that can be recorded on film or digitized for display on a video monitor. Diagnostic imaging procedures facilitate the early diagnosis of diseases and disorders, often minimizing the cost and amount of care required for patients and healthcare providers. Diagnostic imaging procedures include: magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography (PET), nuclear medicine, ultrasound, mammography, bone densitometry (DEXA), general radiography (X-ray) and fluoroscopy.

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ( CMS ) estimate that national healthcare spending on healthcare services and products in 2004 was approximately \$1.9 trillion and expect that spending will grow at an annual average rate of approximately 7.2% through 2015. As a share of gross domestic product, healthcare spending is projected to reach 20.0% by 2015, up from its 2004 level of 16.0%. The American College of Radiology estimates that over 543 million diagnostic imaging procedures were performed in the United States during 2003, the most recent year for which data is available. In addition, according to the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission ( MedPAC ), the volume of imaging services provided to Medicare patients grew at an average annual rate of 9% between 1999 and 2003.

We believe that the diagnostic imaging services industry will continue to grow as a result of:

*The Escalating Demand for Healthcare Services from an Aging Population.* There has been strong demand for healthcare services due to an aging population in the United States. According to the United States Census Bureau, one of the fastest growing segments of the population is the baby boom group ranging from 45 to 64 years of age. This group is expected to include approximately 79 million persons by 2010. We believe the aging population will help drive the growth for diagnostic imaging procedures over the coming years because diagnostic imaging utilization tends to increase as a person ages.

*The Increasing Role of Diagnostic Imaging in Healthcare.* Advanced imaging equipment and modalities are allowing physicians to diagnose a wide variety of diseases and injuries quickly and accurately without exploratory surgery or other surgical or invasive procedures, which are usually more expensive, involve greater risk to patients and result in longer rehabilitation time. We believe that future technological advances will continue to enhance the ability of radiologists to diagnose and influence treatment. For example, MRI techniques, such as magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging, are used to show the functions of the brain and to investigate how epilepsy, AIDS, brain tumors, Alzheimer's disease and other abnormalities affect the brain. In addition, advanced imaging systems are gaining wider acceptance among payors, as they are increasingly seen and accepted as a tool for reducing long-term healthcare costs.

*Greater Consumer Awareness of and Demand for Preventive Diagnostic Screening.* Diagnostic imaging is increasingly being used as a screening tool for preventive care. Consumer awareness of and demand for diagnostic imaging as a less-invasive and preventive screening method has added to the growth in diagnostic imaging procedures. Consumers are now more aware of the advanced procedures that are available to them and are requesting them as preventive procedures from their physicians and healthcare providers. We believe that, with increased technological advancements, there will be greater consumer awareness of and demand for diagnostic imaging procedures as preventive and less-invasive procedures for early diagnosis of diseases and disorders.

*An Increased Number of High-End Procedures That Utilize Advancements in Technology.* Technological advancements include: PET/CT scanners, which provide greater accuracy in the diagnosis and follow-up of therapy for cancer patients as well as earlier diagnosis of Alzheimer disease; magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging, which can differentiate malignant from benign lesions; magnetic resonance angiography, which can produce three-dimensional images of body parts and assess the status of blood vessels; and enhancements in teleradiology systems, which permit the digital transmission of radiological images from one location to another for interpretation. Additional improvements in imaging technologies, contrast agents and scanning capabilities are leading to new, less invasive methods of diagnosing diseases. For example, these improvements are aiding in detecting blockages in the heart's vital arteries, liver metastases, pelvic diseases and certain vascular abnormalities without exploratory surgery.

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

The market for diagnostic imaging services is growing at a healthy rate, but it is highly competitive, with low barriers to entry and it requires a great deal of capital. As such, we believe the key success factors are: (1) adopting a disciplined and rigorous return on capital approach to all investment decisions; (2) a radiologist friendly business model; (3) overcoming both the radiologist and technologist labor shortage; (4) common information systems; and (5) utilizing partnership structures when appropriate.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

**Website Access to Company Reports**

The Company's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports are available, free of charge, on the Company's website at [www.radiologix.com](http://www.radiologix.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Also, copies of the Company's annual report will be made available, free of charge, upon written request.

**Diagnostic Imaging Modalities**

The principal diagnostic imaging modalities include the following:

*Magnetic Resonance Imaging.* MRI utilizes a strong magnetic field in conjunction with low energy electromagnetic waves that are processed by a computer to produce high-resolution, three-dimensional, cross-sectional images of body tissue, including the brain, spine, abdomen, heart and extremities. Unlike CT and conventional X-rays, MRI does not utilize ionizing radiation, which can cause tissue damage in high doses. A typical MRI examination takes from 20 to 45 minutes. MRI systems are priced in the range of \$1.0 million to \$2.0 million.

*Computed Tomography.* CT utilizes a computer to direct the movement of an X-ray tube to produce multiple cross-sectional images of a particular organ or area of the body. CT is used to detect tumors and other conditions affecting bones and internal organs. It is also used to detect the occurrence of strokes, hemorrhages and infections. CT provides higher resolution images than conventional X-rays, but generally not as well defined as those produced by magnetic resonance. A typical CT examination takes from 15 to 45 minutes. CT systems are priced in the range of \$0.7 million to \$1.2 million.

*Positron Emission Tomography.* PET/CT combines the technology of both Positron Emission Tomography and Computed Tomography. CT's advanced algorithms allow the physician to see precise patient anatomy while advanced PET technology captures the metabolic activity of cells. The fused image provides a highly accurate profile of a disease, helping to effectively plan the course of treatment. PET/CT scanners are priced in the range of \$1.8 million to \$2.2 million.

*Nuclear Medicine.* Nuclear medicine utilizes short-lived radioactive isotopes that release small amounts of radiation that can be recorded by a gamma camera and processed by a computer to produce an image of various anatomical structures or to assess the function of various organs such as the heart, kidneys, thyroid and bones. Nuclear medicine is used primarily to study anatomic and metabolic functions. Nuclear medicine systems are priced in the range of \$300,000 to \$600,000.

*Ultrasound.* Ultrasound imaging utilizes high-frequency sound waves to develop images of internal organs, fetuses and the vascular system. Ultrasound has widespread applications, particularly for procedures in obstetrics, gynecology and cardiology. Ultrasound systems are priced in the range of \$90,000 to \$200,000.

*Mammography.* Mammography is a specialized form of radiology utilizing low dosage X-rays to visualize breast tissue and is the primary screening tool for breast cancer. Mammography procedures and related services assist in the diagnosis and treatment planning for breast cancer. Analog mammography systems are priced in the range of \$70,000 to \$100,000, while digital mammography can range from \$400,000 to \$600,000.

*Bone Densitometry.* Bone densitometry uses an advanced technology called dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, or DEXA, which safely, accurately and painlessly measures bone density and the mineral content of bone for the diagnosis of osteoporosis and other bone diseases. Bone densitometry systems are priced in the range of \$40,000 to \$90,000.

*General Radiography (or X-ray) and Fluoroscopy.* X-rays utilize roentgen rays to penetrate the body and record images of organs and structures on film. Fluoroscopy utilizes ionizing radiation combined with a video viewing system for real time monitoring of organs. X-ray and fluoroscopy are the most frequently used imaging modalities. Digital X-ray systems add computer image processing capability to traditional X-ray images. General X-ray systems are priced in the range of \$50,000 to \$150,000, while digital systems can range from \$200,000 to \$600,000.

**OUR COMPANY**

**Overview**

We are a leading national provider of diagnostic imaging services through our ownership and operation of freestanding, outpatient diagnostic imaging centers. We utilize sophisticated technology and technical expertise to perform a broad range of imaging procedures, such as MRI, CT, PET, nuclear medicine, ultrasound, mammography, DEXA, X-ray and fluoroscopy. As of December 31, 2005, we owned, operated or maintained an ownership interest in imaging equipment at 71 locations, with imaging centers located in 7 states, including primary operations in the Mid-Atlantic; the Bay Area, California; the Treasure Coast area, Florida; Northeast, Kansas; and the Finger Lakes (Rochester) and Hudson Valley areas of New York state. We offer multi-modality imaging services at 52 of our diagnostic imaging centers, which provide patients and referring physicians access to advanced diagnostic imaging services in one convenient location.

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

We also provide administrative, management and information services to certain radiology practices that provide professional services in connection with our diagnostic imaging centers and to hospitals and radiology practices with which we operate joint ventures. The services we provide leverage our existing infrastructure and we believe improve the profitability, efficiency and effectiveness of the radiology practice or joint venture.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, we performed over 1.5 million diagnostic imaging procedures and generated service fee revenue of \$251.4 million. In addition, we generated net cash flows from operating activities of \$29.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

**Competitive Strengths / Business Strategy**

Our focus is on the following five goals:

Provide exceptional service

Increase market share

Enhance our partnership with physicians

Reduce denial rates

Build the best teams with the best people

*Provide exceptional service.* We provide a broad range of diagnostic imaging services within our primary operations. Our 52 multi-modality centers enable us to offer one-stop shopping to payors, referring physicians and patients. In our experience, referring physicians and payors prefer to enter into relationships with diagnostic imaging providers that offer a broad spectrum of services at convenient locations, benefiting referring physicians and patients who require more than one type of diagnostic imaging procedure. From January 1, 2003 to December 31, 2005, we spent approximately \$70.4 million on diagnostic imaging equipment and leasehold improvements to enhance our diagnostic imaging centers and increase the number of modalities offered per center. We continue to focus on enhancing our operations and increase procedure volume and revenue at our existing centers by:

expanding referring physician, hospital and payor relationships;

increasing patient referrals through targeted marketing efforts; and

leveraging our multi-modality offerings to increase the number of high-end procedures performed.

*Increase market share.* We have a concentrated presence in our primary operations, which enables us to offer patients, referring physicians and payors a higher degree of responsiveness and convenience than independent operators or hospitals and consequently drive organic growth. We provide flexible scheduling, convenient locations and expanded hours of operation, as well as the expeditious delivery of radiology reports to referring physicians. Our primary operations, which include 66 imaging centers, generated 97% of our service fee revenue for the year ended December 31, 2005. We believe that payors contract with us because of our strong market presence, the high quality of our services and our ability to provide a single point of contact and centralized administration. In addition, our leading position enables us to increase our procedure volume, optimize equipment utilization, benefit from economies of scale in purchasing and negotiation of payor contracts and leverage our administrative and information technology infrastructure in our primary operations.



## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

*Enhance our partnership with physicians.* In our primary operations, we contract with leading radiology practices to provide professional radiology services in connection with our diagnostic imaging centers. We believe that our affiliation with these leading radiology practices enhances our reputation with referring physicians and their patients. We also provide administrative, management and information services to certain radiology practices. In light of an ongoing shortage of radiologists, we believe that our contractual relationships with large, established radiology practices are important to maintaining our high quality service.

*Reduce denial rates.* Our revenue base comprises a diverse mix of payors, including managed care organizations, Medicare, Medicaid, private and other payors. For the year ended December 31, 2005, revenue generated at our diagnostic imaging centers consisted of 62% from managed care payors, 29% from Medicare and Medicaid, and 9% from private and other payors. In addition, we have experienced relatively stable pricing in most markets and across most modalities. In addition, we have further mitigated our exposure to unfavorable reimbursement trends by creating a list of the top five reasons that payors deny submitted claims and have developed best practices to address these reasons. As a result of these efforts, we reduced our denial rate from 10.9% in 2004 to 6.9% in 2005. This denial reduction effort will continue in 2006.

*Build the best teams with the best people.* We have a highly experienced management team lead by one of our founders, CEO Sami Abbasi. Our senior management team has an average of approximately 20 years of healthcare services experience. We believe management has positioned the Company to (1) achieve disciplined volume and service fee revenue growth in our primary operations and (2) explore accretive acquisition and development opportunities.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

To facilitate the achievement of the above goals, we have committed to spend approximately \$7.0 million through the fourth quarter of 2006 to complete the implementation of a comprehensive Radiology Information System/Picture Archival Communications System (RIS/PACS) common platform among all our facilities. We refer to this initiative as our Radiologix Enhanced Workflow And Record Distribution or REWARD Program. We expect this program to significantly enhance operational efficiencies by: (1) standardizing processes and protocols across the Company, (2) automating, accelerating and simplifying workflow, (3) improving the capture of front-end data including billing and patient scheduling information, (4) providing more timely digitized images and records to referring physicians, and (5) reducing film and storage costs.

**Diagnostic Imaging Centers**

The Company operates through two segments: our primary operations and our Questar subsidiary operations.

The Company's primary operations consist of owning and operating diagnostic imaging centers and providing administrative, management and information services to the contracted radiology practice groups under long-term agreements that provide professional interpretation and supervision services in connection with the Company's diagnostic imaging centers and to hospitals and radiology practices with which the Company operates joint ventures.

The Company's Questar subsidiary operations consist of short-term agreements with radiology practice groups. These operations have different characteristics from our primary operations, including location, market concentration, contracting leverage, capital requirements, the single modality nature of most of the centers and the structure of the management service agreements with physicians.

Additional information related to the number and locations of our diagnostic-imaging centers within our two operating segments is set forth below:

		Diagnostic Imaging Centers		
		Joint		
		Owned	Venture	
		Centers	Centers	Other
<b>Primary Operations</b>				
Mid-Atlantic	Baltimore, MD/Washington Metro Area	21	11	
Finger Lakes	Rochester, NY	5		
Hudson Valley	Rockland County, NY	5		2
Bay Area	San Francisco/Oakland/San Jose, CA	17		
Northeast Kansas	Topeka and Northeast KS	1	1	
Treasure Coast	St. Lucie County, FL	3		
Primary operations		52	12	2
Questar operations	Multiple locations (1)	5		
	<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>

(1) Includes diagnostic imaging centers in California, Colorado and Minnesota that are not integrated into our primary market operations. At December 31, 2005, we operated 492 diagnostic imaging units in 71 centers. These include 60 fixed MRI units, 50 CT units, 1 PET, 7 PET/CT units, 30 nuclear medicine cameras, 110 ultrasound units, 67 general mammography units, 1 digital mammography unit, 9 breast biopsy units, 28 DEXA units, 92 x-ray units and 37 fluoroscopy units. The average age of our MRI units is 4.1 years, CT units 3.2 years and our PET units 1.6 years.

To increase the convenience of our diagnostic imaging centers to patients, we implement market-wide scheduling systems where practical. In these instances, each diagnostic imaging center in a market area can access the patient appointment calendar of other centers in the market area.

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Each center also can schedule patient appointments at other centers within the network. This system permits each of our centers within a market area to efficiently allocate time available at our diagnostic imaging centers within that market area and to meet a patient's appointment time, date or location preferences.

We focus on providing quality patient care and service to ensure patient and referring physician satisfaction. Our development of comprehensive radiology networks permits us to invest in technologically advanced imaging equipment, including MRI, open MRI, spiral CT and PET. Our consolidation of diagnostic imaging centers into coordinated networks improves response time, increases overall patient accessibility, permits us to standardize certain customer relations procedures and permits us to develop best practices for our diagnostic imaging centers. We seek the input and participation of the

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

contracted radiology practices to which we provide administrative, management and information services to develop best practices and to improve productivity and the quality of services. By focusing on further improving and, where appropriate, standardizing the operations of our diagnostic imaging centers, we believe that we can increase patient and referring physician satisfaction, which should lead to increased referrals and increased utilization of our diagnostic imaging centers.

Payment for diagnostic imaging services comes primarily from managed care payors, governmental payors (including Medicare and Medicaid), private and other payors. Our centers are principally dependent on our ability to attract referrals from primary care physicians, specialists and other healthcare providers. The referral often depends on the existence of a contractual arrangement with the referred patient's health benefit plan. The following table illustrates our approximate payor mix, based on revenue generated at our diagnostic imaging centers, for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:

Payor	2005	2004	2003
Managed Care	62%	62%	63%
Medicare and Medicaid	29%	29%	28%
Private and Other	9%	9%	9%

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, approximately 6%, 6% and 6%, respectively, of our diagnostic imaging center revenue was generated from capitated arrangements.

**Contracted Radiology Practices**

We contract with radiology practices to provide professional services, including supervision and interpretation of diagnostic imaging procedures performed in our diagnostic imaging centers. We do not engage in the practice of medicine nor do we employ physicians. The radiology practices maintain full control over the provision of professional radiological services. The contracted radiology practices generally have outstanding physician and practice credentials and reputations; strong competitive market positions; a broad sub-specialty mix of physicians; a history of growth and potential for continued growth; and a willingness to embrace our strategy for the delivery of diagnostic imaging services.

We have two models by which we contract with radiology practices: a comprehensive services model and a technical services model. Under our comprehensive services model, we enter into a long-term agreement with a radiology practice group (typically 40 years). Under this arrangement, in addition to obtaining technical fees for the use of our diagnostic imaging equipment and the provision of technical services, we provide management services and receive a fee based on the practice group's professional revenue, including revenue derived outside of our diagnostic imaging centers. Under our technical services model, which relates primarily to our Questar subsidiary operations, we enter into a shorter-term agreement with a radiology practice group (typically 10 to 15 years) and pay them a fee based on cash collections from reimbursements for imaging procedures. In both the comprehensive services and technical services models, we own the diagnostic imaging assets and, therefore, receive 100% of the technical reimbursements associated with imaging procedures. Additionally, in most instances, both the comprehensive services and the technical services models contemplate an incentive technical bonus for the radiology group if the net technical income exceeds specified thresholds.

The agreements with the radiology practices under our comprehensive services model contain provisions whereby both parties have agreed to certain restrictions on accepting or pursuing radiology opportunities within a five to 15-mile radius of any of our owned, operated or managed diagnostic imaging centers at which the radiology practice provides professional radiology services or any hospital at which the radiology practice provides on-site professional radiology services. Each of these agreements also restricts the applicable radiology practice from competing with us and our other contracted radiology practices within a specified geographic area during the term of the agreement. In addition, the agreements require the radiology practices to enter into and enforce agreements with their physician shareholders at each radiology practice (subject to certain exceptions) that include covenants not to compete with us for a period of two years after termination of employment or ownership, as applicable.

Under our comprehensive services model, we have the right to terminate each agreement if the radiology practice or a physician of the contracted radiology practice engages in conduct, or is formally accused of conduct, for which the physician employee's license to practice medicine reasonably would be expected to be subject to revocation or suspension or is otherwise disciplined by any licensing, regulatory or professional entity or institution, the result of any of which (in the absence of termination of this physician or other action to monitor or cure this act or conduct) adversely affects or would reasonably be expected to adversely affect the radiology practice.

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Under our comprehensive services model, upon termination of an agreement with a radiology practice, depending upon the termination event, we may have the right to require the radiology practice to purchase and assume, or the radiology practice may have the right to require us to sell, assign and transfer to it, the assets and related liabilities and obligations associated with the professional and technical radiology services provided by the radiology practice immediately prior to the termination. The purchase price for the assets, liabilities and obligations would be the lesser of their fair market value or the return of the consideration received in the acquisition. However, the purchase price may not be less than the net book value of the assets being purchased.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

The agreements with most of the radiology practices under our technical services model contain non-compete provisions that are generally less restrictive than those provisions under our comprehensive services model. The geographic scope of and types of services covered by the non-compete provisions vary from practice to practice. Under our technical services model, we generally have the right to terminate the agreement if a contracted radiology practice loses the licenses required to perform the service obligations under the agreement, violates non-compete provisions relating to the modalities offered or if income thresholds are not met.

Our contractual relationships with two radiology groups ended in June 2004 (M&S Imaging Associates, P.A. in San Antonio) and January 2005 (WB&A Imaging, P.C. in the Mid-Atlantic).

**Sales and Marketing**

We selectively invest in marketing and sales resources and activities in an effort to attract new patients, expand business relationships, grow revenue at our existing centers and maintain present business alliances and contractual agreements. Marketing activities include organizing and presenting educational programs on new applications and uses of technology to referring physicians, developing and conducting customer service programs and proactively calling managed care organizations and third-party insurance companies to generate additional contracts.

**Government Regulation and Supervision**

*General.* The healthcare industry is highly regulated, and we can give no assurance that the regulatory environment in which we operate will not change significantly in the future. Our ability to operate profitably will depend in part upon us, the contracted radiology practices and their affiliated physicians obtaining and maintaining all necessary licenses, certificates of need and other approvals and operating in compliance with applicable healthcare regulations. We believe that healthcare regulations will continue to change. Therefore, we monitor developments in healthcare law and modify our operations from time to time as the business and regulatory environment changes. Although we intend to continue to operate in compliance, we cannot ensure that we will be able to adequately modify our operations to address changes in the regulatory environment. MedPAC recently recommended proposals that seek more effective use of imaging services while controlling costs. Private payors have also begun adopting policies to control imaging costs. Although we believe we are well-positioned for these changes there is no guarantee that we will ultimately benefit from them.

*Licensing and Certification Laws.* Ownership, construction, operation, expansion and acquisition of diagnostic imaging centers are subject to various federal and state laws, regulations and approvals concerning licensing of centers, personnel, certificates of need and other required certificates for certain types of healthcare centers and major medical equipment. Free-standing diagnostic imaging centers that provide services not performed as part of a physician office must meet Medicare requirements to be certified as an independent diagnostic testing facility to bill the Medicare program. We may not be able to receive the required regulatory approvals for any future acquisitions, expansions or replacements, and the failure to obtain these approvals could limit the market for our services.

*Fee-Splitting; Corporate Practice of Medicine.* The laws of many states, including many of the states in which the contracted radiology practices are located, prohibit us from exercising control over the medical judgments or decisions of physicians and from engaging in certain financial arrangements, such as splitting professional fees with physicians. These laws and their interpretations vary from state to state and are enforced by state courts and regulatory authorities, each with broad discretion. A component of our business has been to enter into service agreements with radiology practices. We provide management, administrative, technical and other non-medical services to the radiology practices in exchange for a service fee. We structure our relationships with the radiology practices, including the purchase of diagnostic imaging centers, in a manner that we believe keeps us from engaging in the practice of medicine or exercising control over the medical judgments or decisions of the radiology practices or their physicians or violating the prohibitions against fee-splitting. State regulatory authorities or other parties may assert that we are engaged in the corporate practice of medicine or that the payment of service fees to us by the radiology practices constitutes fee splitting. If such a claim were successfully asserted, we could be subject to civil and criminal penalties and could be required to restructure or terminate the applicable contractual arrangements. This result or our inability to successfully restructure our relationships to comply with these statutes could jeopardize our business strategy.

*Medicare and Medicaid Reimbursement Program.* Our revenue is derived through our ownership, operation and management of diagnostic imaging centers and from service fees paid to us by contracted radiology practices. During the year ended December 31, 2005, approximately 29% of our revenue generated at our diagnostic imaging centers was derived from government sponsored healthcare programs (principally, Medicare and Medicaid).



---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

In 2005, Congress legislated an increase (fee schedule update) of approximately 1.5% in the overall reimbursement rates for physician and outpatient services, including diagnostic imaging services. Combined with increased valuation of some radiology procedure relative value units, overall reimbursement for our services increased slightly beyond the 1.5% rate for 2005.

On February 8, 2006, the President signed into law the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA). The DRA provides that reimbursement for the technical component for imaging services (excluding diagnostic and screening mammography) in non-hospital based freestanding facilities will be capped at the lesser of reimbursement under the Medicare Part B physician fee schedule or the Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (HOPPS) schedule.

As part of the DRA, Congress legislated that no change be made in 2006 in the overall reimbursement rate for physician and outpatient services including diagnostic imaging services. However, our overall medicare reimbursement will increase slightly over 2005 due to increased valuation of some radiology procedure relative value units, among other factors.

Currently, the technical component of our imaging services is reimbursed under the Part B physician fee schedule, which generally allows for higher reimbursement than under the HOPPS. Under the DRA, we will be reimbursed at the lower of the two schedules, beginning January 1, 2007.

The DRA also codifies the reduction in reimbursement for multiple images on contiguous body parts previously announced by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). In November 2005, CMS announced that it will pay 100% of the technical component of the higher priced imaging procedure and 50% for the technical component of each additional imaging procedure for imaging procedures involving contiguous body parts within a family of codes when performed in the same session. Under current methodology, Medicare pays 100% of the technical component of each procedure. CMS will phase in this rate reduction over two years, so that the reduction will be 25% for each additional imaging procedure in 2006 and another 25% in 2007.

We believe the implementation of the reimbursement reductions contained in the DRA will have a significant effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

*Medicare and Medicaid Fraud and Abuse.* Federal law prohibits the knowing and willful offer, payment, solicitation or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for, or to induce, (i) the referral of a person, (ii) the furnishing or arranging for the furnishing of items or services reimbursable under the Medicare, Medicaid or other governmental programs or (iii) the purchase, lease or order or arranging or recommending purchasing, leasing or ordering of any item or service reimbursable under the Medicare, Medicaid or other governmental programs. Enforcement of this anti-kickback law is a high priority for the federal government, which has substantially increased enforcement resources and is likely to continue increasing such resources. The applicability of the anti-kickback law to many business transactions in the healthcare industry has not yet been subject to judicial or regulatory interpretation. Noncompliance with the federal anti-kickback legislation can result in exclusion from the Medicare, Medicaid or other governmental programs and civil and criminal penalties.

We receive fees under our service agreements for management and administrative services, which include contract negotiation and marketing services. We may be considered to be in a position to make or influence referrals of patients or services reimbursed under Medicare, Medicaid or other governmental programs to radiology practices or their affiliated physicians or to receive referrals. Because the provisions of the federal anti-kickback statute are broadly worded and have been broadly interpreted by federal courts, the government could take the position that our marketing programs or arrangements with the referring physicians implicate the federal anti-kickback statute. Violation of the law can result in monetary fines, civil and criminal penalties, and exclusion from participation in federal or state healthcare programs, any of which could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations. While our service agreements with the contracted radiology practices will not meet a safe harbor to the federal anti-kickback statute, failure to meet a safe harbor does not mean that agreements violate the anti-kickback statute. We have sought to structure our agreements to be consistent with fair market value in arms length transactions for the nature and amount of management and administrative services rendered. For these reasons, we do not believe that service fees payable to us should be viewed as remuneration for referring or influencing referrals of patients or services covered by such programs as prohibited by statute.

The Stark Law prohibits a physician from referring Medicare or Medicaid patients to an entity providing designated health services, including, without limitation, radiology services, in which the physician has an ownership or investment interest or with which the physician has entered into a compensation arrangement. The penalties for violating the Stark Law include a prohibition on payment by these governmental programs and civil penalties of as much as \$15,000 for each violative referral and \$100,000 for participation in a circumvention scheme.



## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Under CMS regulations, radiology and certain other imaging services and radiation therapy services and supplies are included in the designated health services and supplies subject to the self-referral prohibition. Included are the professional and

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

technical components of any diagnostic test or procedure using X-rays, ultrasound or other imaging services, CT, MRI, radiation therapy and diagnostic mammography services (but not screening mammography services). The regulations, however, exclude from designated health services: (i) X-ray, fluoroscopy or ultrasonic procedures that require the insertion of a needle, catheter, tube or probe through the skin or into a body orifice; (ii) radiology procedures that are integral to the performance of, and performed during, non-radiological medical procedures; (iii) nuclear medicine procedures; and (iv) invasive or interventional radiology, because the radiology services in these procedures are merely incidental or secondary to another procedure that the physician has ordered. Beginning January 1, 2007, however, PET and nuclear medicine procedures will be included as designated health services under the Stark Law.

The Stark Law provides that a request by a radiologist for diagnostic radiology services or a request by a radiation oncologist for radiation therapy, if such services are furnished by or under the supervision of the radiologist or radiation oncologist pursuant to a consultation requested by another physician, does not constitute a referral by a referring physician. If these requirements were met, the Stark Law self-referral prohibition would not apply to such services. The effect of the Stark Law on the radiology practices, therefore, depends on the precise scope of services furnished by each such practice's radiologists and whether such services derive from consultations or are self-generated. We believe that (other than self-referred patients) all of the services covered by the Stark Law provided by the contracted radiology practices derive from requests for consultations by non-affiliated physicians and therefore are exempt from the Stark Law.

In addition, we believe that we have structured our acquisitions of the assets of existing practices, and we intend to structure any future acquisitions, to comply with the anti-kickback and Stark Law and regulations. Specifically, we believe the consideration paid by us to physicians to acquire the tangible and intangible assets associated with their practices is consistent with fair market value in arms-length transactions and is not intended to induce the referral of patients. Should any such practice be deemed to constitute an arrangement designed to induce the referral of Medicare or Medicaid patients, then our acquisitions could be viewed as possibly violating anti-kickback and self-referral laws and regulations. A determination of liability under any such laws could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

All Medicare carriers routinely perform audits of Medicare claims. These carriers are contracted by CMS to adjudicate and pay Medicare claims. Although there were none, an unsatisfactory audit of any of our diagnostic imaging centers or contracted radiology practices could result in significant repayment obligations, exclusion from the Medicare, Medicaid, or other governmental programs and/or civil and criminal penalties.

Federal regulatory and law enforcement authorities have increased enforcement activities with respect to Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse regulations and other reimbursement laws and rules, including laws and regulations that govern our activities and the activities of the contracted radiology practices. Our activities, or those of the contracted radiology practices, may be investigated, claims may be made against us or the contracted radiology practices and these increased enforcement activities may directly or indirectly have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

*State Anti-kickback and Physician Self-referral Laws.* All of the states in which our diagnostic imaging centers are located have adopted a form of anti-kickback law and almost all of those states have also adopted a form of Stark Law. The scope of these laws and the interpretations of them vary from state to state and are enforced by state courts and regulatory authorities, each with broad discretion. Generally, state laws cover all referrals by all healthcare providers for all healthcare services. A determination of liability under these laws could result in fines and penalties and restrictions on our ability to operate in these jurisdictions.

*Federal False Claims Act.* The Federal False Claims Act provides, in part, that the federal government may bring a lawsuit against any person whom it believes has knowingly presented, or caused to be presented, a false or fraudulent request for payment from the federal government, or who has made a false statement or used a false record to get a claim approved. The Federal False Claims Act further provides that a lawsuit thereunder may be initiated in the name of the United States by an individual who is an original source of the allegations. The government has taken the position that claims presented in violation of the federal anti-kickback law or Stark Law may be considered a violation of the Federal False Claims Act. Penalties include civil penalties of not less than \$5,500 and not more than \$11,000 for each false claim, plus three times the amount of damages that the federal government sustained because of the act of that person. We believe that we are in compliance with the rules and regulations that apply to the Federal False Claims Act. However, we could be found to have violated certain rules and regulations resulting in sanctions under the Federal False Claims Act, and if we are so found in violation, any sanctions imposed could result in fines and penalties and restrictions on and exclusion from participation in federal and state healthcare programs that are integral to our business.

*Healthcare Laws and Regulations.* Healthcare laws and regulations may change significantly in the future. We continuously monitor these developments and modify our operations from time to time as the regulatory environment changes. We cannot assure you, however, that we will be able to adapt our operations to address new regulations or that new regulations will not adversely affect our business. In addition, although we believe that we are operating in compliance with applicable federal and state laws, neither our current or anticipated business operations nor the

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

operations of the contracted radiology practices has been the subject of judicial or regulatory interpretation. We cannot assure you that a review of our business by courts or regulatory authorities will not result in a determination that could adversely affect our operations or that the healthcare regulatory environment will not change in a way that restricts our operations.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

*Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996.* In an effort to combat healthcare fraud, Congress enacted the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ( HIPAA ). Under HIPAA, a healthcare benefit program includes any private plan or contract affecting interstate commerce under which any medical benefit, item or service is provided. A person or entity that knowingly and willfully obtains the money or property of any healthcare benefit program by means of false or fraudulent representations in connection with the delivery of healthcare services is subject to a fine and/or imprisonment. In addition, HIPAA authorizes the imposition of civil money penalties against entities that employ or enter into contracts with excluded Medicare or Medicaid program participants if such entities provide services to federal health program beneficiaries. A finding of liability under HIPAA could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further, the Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA required the promulgation of regulations establishing national standards for, among other things, certain electronic healthcare transactions, the use and disclosure of certain individually identifiable patient health information, and the security of the electronic systems maintaining this information. These are commonly known as the HIPAA transaction and code set standards, privacy standards, and security standards, respectively.

The administrative provisions of HIPAA direct the federal government to adopt national electronic standards for automated transfer of certain healthcare data between healthcare payors, plans and providers. HIPAA is designed to enable the entire healthcare industry to communicate electronic data using a single set of standards, thus eliminating all nonstandard formats currently in use. Our contracted radiology practices and diagnostic imaging centers are covered entities under HIPAA, and as such, must be in compliance with the privacy standards and the HIPAA electronic data interchange mandates.

Although our electronic systems are HIPAA compatible and consistent with the HIPAA regulations, we cannot guarantee that enforcement agencies or courts will not make interpretations of the HIPAA standards that are inconsistent with ours, or the interpretations of the contracted radiology practices or their affiliated physicians. A finding of liability under the HIPAA standards may result in criminal and civil penalties. Noncompliance also may result in exclusion from participation in government programs, including Medicare and Medicaid. These actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Many states recently have adopted statutes and regulations that are similar to the HIPAA privacy standards. In some cases these restrictions are difficult to harmonize with the federal regulations.

*Compliance Program.* We implemented a program to monitor compliance with federal and state laws and regulations applicable to healthcare entities. We have appointed a compliance officer who is charged with implementing and supervising our compliance program, which includes the adoption of (i) Standards of Conduct for our employees and affiliates and (ii) an Ethics Process that specifies how employees, affiliates and others may report regulatory or ethical concerns to our compliance officer. We believe that our compliance program meets the relevant standards provided by the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services. An important part of our compliance program consists of conducting periodic reviews of various aspects of our operations and that of the contracted radiology practices. We also conduct mandatory educational programs designed to familiarize our employees with the regulatory requirements and specific elements of our compliance program.

*Insurance Laws and Regulation.* Certain states have enacted statutes or adopted regulations affecting risk assumption in the healthcare industry, including statutes and regulations that subject any physician or physician network engaged in risk-based managed care contracting to applicable insurance laws and regulations. These laws and regulations may require physicians and physician networks to meet minimum capital requirements and other safety and soundness requirements. Implementing additional regulations or compliance requirements could result in substantial costs to us and the contracted radiology practices and limit our ability to enter into capitated or other risk-sharing managed care arrangements.

**Competition**

The market for diagnostic imaging services is competitive. We compete principally on the basis of our reputation, our ability to offer multiple modalities, our conveniently located centers and our ability to provide cost-effective, high-quality diagnostic imaging services. We compete locally with groups of radiologists and non-radiologist physician practices, established hospitals, clinics and certain other independent organizations that own and operate imaging equipment. Our major national competitors include Alliance Imaging, Inc., InSight Health Services Corp., Medical Resources, Inc., and MedQuest, Inc. Some of our local or national competitors that provide diagnostic-imaging services may now or in the future have access to greater financial resources than we do and may have access to newer more advanced equipment.

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Each of the contracted radiology practices under our comprehensive services model has entered into agreements with its physician shareholders and full-time employed radiologists that generally prohibit those shareholders and radiologists from competing for a period of two years within defined geographic regions after they cease to be owners or employees, as applicable. In most states, a covenant not to compete will be enforced only:

to the extent it is necessary to protect a legitimate business interest of the party seeking enforcement;

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

if it does not unreasonably restrain the party against whom enforcement is sought; and

if it is not contrary to public interest.

Enforceability of a non-compete covenant is determined by a court based on all of the facts and circumstances of the specific case at the time enforcement is sought. For this reason, it is not possible to predict whether, or to what extent, a court will enforce the contracted radiology practices' covenants. The inability of the contracted radiology practices or us to enforce radiologists' non-compete covenants could result in increased competition from individuals who are knowledgeable about our business strategies and operations.

We may not be able to compete effectively for the acquisition of diagnostic imaging centers, joint venture opportunities or other outsourcing relationships. Our competitors may have better-established operating histories and greater resources than we do. Competitors may make it more difficult to complete acquisitions or joint ventures on terms beneficial to us.

**Corporate Liability and Insurance**

We may be subject to professional liability claims including, without limitation, for improper use or malfunction of our diagnostic imaging equipment. We maintain insurance policies with coverages that we believe are appropriate in light of the risks attendant to our business and consistent with industry practice. We also require the contracted radiology practices to maintain sufficient professional liability insurance consistent with industry practice. However, adequate liability insurance may not be available to us and the contracted radiology practices in the future at acceptable costs or at all.

Providing medical services entails the risk of professional malpractice and other similar claims. The physicians employed by the contracted radiology practices are from time to time subject to malpractice claims. We structure our relationships with the practices under our agreements with them in a manner that we believe does not constitute the practice of medicine by us or subject us to professional malpractice claims for acts or omissions of physicians in the contracted radiology practices. Nevertheless, claims, suits or complaints relating to services provided by the contracted radiology practices may be asserted against us in the future, including malpractice.

Any claim made against us not fully covered by insurance could be costly to defend and result in a substantial damage award against us and divert the attention of our management from our operations, which could have an adverse effect on our financial performance. In addition, claims might adversely affect our business or reputation.

The contracted radiology practices maintain professional liability insurance coverage primarily on a claims-made basis. This insurance provides coverage for claims asserted when the policy is in effect, regardless of when the events that caused the claim occurred. The contracted radiology practices are required by the terms of the service agreements to maintain medical malpractice liability insurance consistent with minimum limits mandated in their hospital contracts or by applicable state law.

We maintain general liability and umbrella coverage in commercially reasonable amounts. Additionally, we maintain workers' compensation insurance on all employees. Coverage is placed on a statutory basis and responds to each state's specific requirements.

We have assumed and succeeded to substantially all of the obligations of some of the operations that we have acquired. Therefore, claims may be asserted against us for events that occurred prior to our acquiring these operations. The sellers of the operations that we have acquired have agreed to indemnify us for certain claims. However, we may not be able to collect payment under these indemnity agreements, which could adversely affect our business.

**Employees**

As of December 31, 2005, we had 2,159 employees, 93 of whom were based at our corporate headquarters with the remainder based at our regional offices and diagnostic imaging centers. We believe that our relationship with our employees is good.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

*An investment in our common stock or notes involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risk factors listed below, as well as the other information included or incorporated in this report, before investing in our common stock or notes.*

**Risks Related to Our Company and Our Industry**

***Our revenue is dependent on referrals.***

We generate most of our revenue from fees charged for the use of our diagnostic imaging equipment at our centers. This revenue depends on referrals from third parties, many of which are made by physicians who have no contractual relationship with us. We also generate revenue from service fees that we receive from the contracted radiology practices. If a sufficiently large number of physicians discontinue referring patients to us, our procedure volume could decrease, which would reduce our revenue and operating margins.

Further, commercial third-party payors have implemented programs to control costs that could limit the ability of physicians to refer patients to us. For example, prepaid healthcare plans, such as health maintenance organizations, in certain instances provide diagnostic-imaging services directly and contract directly with providers and require their enrollees to obtain these services from only these providers. Some insurance companies and self-insured employers also limit these services to contracted providers. These closed panel systems are now common in the managed care environment. Other systems create an economic disincentive for referrals to providers outside of the system's designated panel of providers. We may not be able to compete successfully for managed care contracts against entities with greater resources within a market area.

***Changes in third-party payment rates or methods for diagnostic imaging services could create downward pricing pressure, which would result in a decline in our revenue and harm our financial position.***

Our revenue is derived through our ownership, operation and management of diagnostic imaging centers and from service fees paid to us by contracted radiology practices. Substantially all of the revenue of our diagnostic imaging centers and the contracted radiology practices is currently derived from commercial third-party payors, government sponsored healthcare programs (principally, Medicare and Medicaid) and private and other payors. For 2005, revenue generated at our diagnostic imaging centers consisted of 62% from managed care, 29% from Medicare and Medicaid, and 9% from private and other payors.

Any change in the rates of or conditions for reimbursement from commercial third-party payors, Medicare or Medicaid could substantially reduce the amounts reimbursed to us or our contracted radiology practices for services provided. These reductions could have a significant adverse effect on our revenue and financial results by creating downward pricing pressure.

***Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA).***

On February 8, 2006, the President signed into law the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA). The DRA provides that reimbursement for the technical component for imaging services (excluding diagnostic and screening mammography) in non-hospital based freestanding facilities will be capped at the lesser of reimbursement under the Medicare Part B physician fee schedule or the Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (HOPPS) schedule.

Currently, the technical component of our imaging services is reimbursed under the Part B physician fee schedule, which generally allows for higher reimbursement than under the HOPPS. Under the DRA, we will be reimbursed at the lower of the two schedules, beginning January 1, 2007.

The DRA also codifies the reduction in reimbursement for multiple images on contiguous body parts previously announced by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). In November 2005, CMS announced that it will pay 100% of the technical component of the higher priced imaging procedure and 50% for the technical component of each additional imaging procedure for imaging procedures involving contiguous body parts within a family of codes when performed in the same session. Under current methodology, Medicare pays 100% of the technical component of each procedure. CMS will phase in this rate reduction over two years, so that the reduction will be 25% for each additional imaging procedure in 2006 and another 25% in 2007.

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

We believe the implementation of the reimbursement reductions contained in the DRA will have a significant effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.



---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

*We could be harmed if the contracted radiology practices terminate their agreements with us or lose a significant number of radiologists.*

Our diagnostic imaging services include a professional component that must be provided by radiologists who are not directly employed by us. We do not control the radiologists who perform professional services for us. Instead, these radiologists are employed by the contracted radiology practices that maintain agreements with us. These agreements typically have terms of between 10 and 40 years, but may be terminated by either party under certain limited conditions. Depending on the termination event, the radiology practice may have the right to require us to sell, assign and transfer to it, the assets and related liabilities and obligations associated with the professional and technical radiology services provided by the radiology practice immediately prior to the termination. The termination or material modification of any of them could reduce our revenue.

If a significant number of radiologists terminate their relationships with the contracted radiology practices and the radiology practices cannot recruit sufficient qualified radiologists to fulfill practice obligations under our agreements with them, our ability to maximize the use of our diagnostic imaging centers could be adversely affected, thereby decreasing our revenue. Competition in recruiting radiologists and a shortage of qualified radiologists has made it difficult for some contracted radiology practices to maintain adequate levels of radiologists. Neither we nor the contracted radiology practices maintain insurance on the lives of any affiliated physicians.

In 2004, we terminated management services agreements with contracted radiology practices in San Antonio, Texas and the Mid-Atlantic.

*Our success is dependent on an operational turnaround.*

We may be unable to successfully complete the operational turnaround of this Company. Over the past year we have reviewed our overall operations, disposed of under performing operations, invested in strategic projects such as our REWARD Program, authorized new equipment expenditures, increased marketing initiatives and placed greater focus on Physician Advisory Board (PAB) communications (which involve meetings planned throughout the year with representatives of our contracted radiology practices to discuss strategic initiatives in the market place). There can be no assurance that these actions, or future actions that we may take, will successfully turnaround the operations of the Company.

*We may not be able to successfully complete our market development plans.*

We intend to increase our presence in existing markets through acquisitions of centers, developing de novo centers, and adding additional equipment at existing centers, establishing additional joint venture and outsourcing relationships and selectively entering into contractual relationships with high-quality, profitable radiology practices. We may not be able to expand either within our existing markets or in new markets. In addition, any expansion may not be beneficial to our overall strategy, and any such expansion may not ultimately produce returns that justify our investment.

Our ability to expand is dependent upon many factors, including our ability to:

identify attractive and willing candidates for acquisitions, joint ventures or outsourcing relationships;

adapt our structure to comply with federal and state legal requirements affecting our arrangements with contracted radiology practices, including state prohibitions on fee-splitting, corporate practice of medicine and self-referrals;

obtain regulatory approvals and certificates of need, where necessary, and comply with licensing and certification requirements applicable to our diagnostic imaging centers, the contracted radiology practices and the physicians associated with the contracted radiology practices;

recruit a sufficient number of qualified radiology technologists;

expand our infrastructure and management; and

obtain adequate financing.

Our ability to expand is also dependent on our ability to compete for opportunities. We may not be able to compete effectively for the acquisition of diagnostic imaging centers, joint venture opportunities or other outsourcing relationships. Our competitors may have better-established operating histories and greater resources than we do. Competitors may make it more difficult to complete acquisitions or joint ventures on terms beneficial to us.

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

Acquisitions involve a number of special risks, including the following:

possible adverse effects on our operating results;

diversion of management's attention and resources;

failure to retain key personnel;

difficulties in integrating new operations into our existing management infrastructure;

amortization or write-offs of acquired intangible assets; and

risks associated with unanticipated events or liabilities.

Additionally, although we will continue to structure our operations in an effort to comply with applicable antitrust laws, federal or state governmental authorities may view us as being dominant in a particular market and, therefore, cause us to divest ourselves of relationships or assets.

***We and the contracted radiology practices may become subject to burdensome lawsuits.***

We may be subject to professional liability claims, including, without limitation, for improper use or malfunction of our diagnostic imaging equipment. Our operations, as well as the services we provide on behalf of the contracted radiology practices, also may be subject to lawsuits for inappropriate use or disclosure of individually identifiable patient health information. We maintain insurance policies with coverages that we believe are appropriate in light of the risks attendant to our business and consistent with industry practice. We also require the contracted radiology practices to maintain professional liability insurance consistent with industry practice. However, adequate liability insurance may not be available to us and the contracted radiology practices in the future at acceptable costs or at all.

Providing medical services entails the risk of professional malpractice and other similar claims. The physicians employed by the contracted radiology practices are from time to time subject to malpractice claims. We structure our relationships with the practices under our agreements with them in a manner that we believe does not constitute the practice of medicine by us or subject us to professional malpractice claims for acts or omissions of physicians in the contracted radiology practices. Nevertheless, claims, suits or complaints relating to services provided by the contracted radiology practices may be asserted against us in the future, including malpractice.

Any claim made against us not fully covered by insurance could be costly to defend against, result in a substantial damage award against us and divert the attention of our management from our operations, which could have an adverse effect on our financial performance. In addition, claims might adversely affect our business or reputation.

We have assumed and succeeded to substantially all of the obligations of some of the operations that we have acquired. Therefore, claims may be asserted against us for events that occurred prior to these acquisitions. In connection with our acquisitions, the sellers of the operations that we have acquired have agreed to indemnify us for certain claims. However, we may not be able to collect payment under these indemnity agreements, which could affect us adversely.

***Most of our imaging modalities require the utilization of radiation, and certain imaging modalities utilize radioactive materials. These operations generate regulated waste and could subject us to regulation, related costs and delays and potential liabilities for injuries or violations of environmental, health and safety laws.***

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Most of our imaging modalities utilize radiation, and certain imaging modalities utilize radioactive material. These operations generate medical and other regulated wastes. Storage, use and disposal of these materials and waste products present the risk of accidental environmental contamination and physical injury. We are subject to federal, state and local regulations governing storage, handling and disposal of these materials. We cannot completely eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these hazardous materials. In the event of an accident, we would be held liable for any resulting damages, and any liability could exceed the limits of or fall outside the coverage of our insurance. We may not be able to maintain insurance on acceptable terms, or at all. We could incur significant costs and the diversion of our management's attention to comply with current or future environmental, health and safety laws and regulations.

***We may experience competition from other diagnostic imaging companies. This competition could adversely affect our revenue and our business.***

The market for diagnostic imaging services is competitive. We compete principally on the basis of our reputation for providing multiple modalities, our conveniently located centers and our cost-effective, high-quality diagnostic imaging services. We compete locally with groups of radiologists and some non-radiologist physician practices, established hospitals, clinics and certain other independent organizations that own and operate imaging equipment. Our major national competitors include

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

Alliance Imaging, Inc., InSight Health Services Corp., Medical Resources, Inc., and MedQuest, Inc. Some of our local or national competitors that provide diagnostic-imaging services may now or in the future have access to greater financial resources than we do and may have access to newer more advanced equipment.

***Technological change in our industry could reduce the demand for our services and require us to incur significant costs to upgrade our equipment.***

Technological change in the diagnostic imaging industry has been gradual. In the future, however, the development of new technologies or refinements of existing modalities may make our existing equipment technologically or economically obsolete, or cause a reduction in the value of, or reduce the need for, our services. Diagnostic imaging equipment is currently manufactured by numerous companies. Competition among manufacturers for a greater share of the diagnostic imaging equipment market may result in technological advances in the speed and imaging capacity of new equipment. Consequently, the obsolescence of our equipment may be accelerated. We may not have the financial ability to acquire the new or improved equipment.

***A failure to meet our capital expenditure requirements could adversely affect our business.***

We operate in a capital intensive, high fixed-cost industry that requires significant amounts of capital to fund operations, particularly the initial start-up and development expenses of new diagnostic imaging centers and the acquisition of additional centers and new diagnostic imaging equipment. We incur capital expenditures to, among other things:

upgrade and replace existing equipment;

purchase new diagnostic imaging equipment; and

expand within our existing markets and enter new markets.

To the extent we are unable to generate sufficient cash from our operations, funds are not available under our credit facility or we are unable to structure or obtain operating leases, we may be unable to meet our capital expenditure requirements. Furthermore, we may not be able to raise any necessary additional funds through bank financing or the issuance of equity or debt securities on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

***Our success depends in part on our key personnel and we may not be able to retain sufficient qualified personnel.***

Our success depends in part on our ability to attract and retain qualified senior and executive management, managerial and technical personnel. Competition in recruiting these personnel may make it difficult for us to continue our growth and success. The loss of their services or our inability in the future to attract and retain management and other key personnel could hinder the implementation of our business strategy. We do not maintain key person insurance for any of our executive officers. Recently, there has been a shortage in certain of our markets of qualified radiology technologists, the personnel who operate our equipment. If we are unable to recruit and retain a sufficient number of qualified technologists, we will be unable to operate our centers at maximum capacity or we will be forced to staff our diagnostic imaging centers with temporary personnel, thereby increasing our operating costs and reducing our operating margin profitability.

***Our inability to enforce non-compete agreements with the radiologists may increase competition.***

Each of the contracted radiology practices under our comprehensive services model has entered into agreements with its physician shareholders and full-time employed radiologists that generally prohibit those shareholders and radiologists from competing for a period of two years within defined geographic regions after they cease to be owners or employees, as applicable. In most states, a covenant not to compete will be enforced only:

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

to the extent it is necessary to protect a legitimate business interest of the party seeking enforcement;

if it does not unreasonably restrain the party against whom enforcement is sought; and

if it is not contrary to public interest.

Enforceability of a non-compete covenant is determined by a court based on all of the facts and circumstances of the specific case at the time enforcement is sought. For this reason, it is not possible to predict whether, or to what extent, a court will enforce the contracted radiology practices covenants. The inability of the contracted radiology practices or us to enforce radiologists non-compete covenants could result in increased competition from individuals who are knowledgeable about our business strategies and operations.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

*It is difficult to estimate our uncollectible accounts receivable and contractual allowances for billed charges, which may impact our earnings.*

Due to the complex nature of billing for healthcare services, it is difficult for us to estimate our uncollectible accounts receivable and our contractual allowances for billed charges. If we have to revise our estimates and our existing reserves are not adequate, this may impact our earnings.

*Our ability to maximize the use of our diagnostic imaging equipment may be subject to seasonality.*

During the summer months our average daily diagnostic imaging procedures decrease, which reduces our service fee revenues during those months. The decrease in average daily diagnostic imaging procedures may have resulted from referring physicians or their patients taking vacation. We cannot give any assurance that our future procedure volume and service fee revenues will not be affected by similar circumstances during the summer months or other traditional vacation times of the year.

Severe weather conditions can adversely affect our operations. We cannot give any assurance that our future procedure volume and service fee revenues will not be adversely affected by weather-related interruptions.

*Managed care contracts and capitated fee arrangements could reduce our operating margins.*

Under capitated or other risk-sharing arrangements, the healthcare provider typically is paid a pre-determined amount per-patient per-month from the payor in exchange for providing all necessary covered services to patients covered under the arrangement. These contracts pass much of the financial risk of providing outpatient diagnostic imaging services, including the risk of over-use, from the payor to the provider. Our success will depend in part on our ability to negotiate effectively, on behalf of the contracted radiology practices and the diagnostic imaging centers that we own, operate or manage, contracts with HMOs, employer groups and other third-party payors for services to be provided on a risk-sharing or capitated basis by some or all of the radiology practices and/or diagnostic imaging centers. Risk-sharing arrangements result in better revenue predictability, but more unpredictability of expenses and, consequently, profitability. We may not be able to negotiate satisfactory arrangements on a capitated or other risk-sharing basis, on behalf of our diagnostic imaging centers or the contracted radiology practices. In addition, to the extent that patients or enrollees covered by these contracts require more frequent or extensive care than anticipated, we would incur unanticipated costs not offset by additional revenue, which would reduce operating margins.

*We may be unable to generate revenue when our equipment is not operational.*

Timely, effective service is essential to maintaining our reputation and high utilization rates on our imaging equipment. Our warranties and maintenance contracts do not compensate us for loss of revenue when our systems are not fully operational. Equipment manufacturers may not be able to perform repairs or supply needed parts in a timely manner. Thus, if we experience more equipment malfunctions than anticipated or if we are unable to promptly obtain the service necessary to keep our equipment functioning effectively, our revenue could decline and our ability to provide services would be harmed.

*Our corporate organizational documents could discourage acquisition proposals and make difficult a change of control.*

Certain provisions of Radiologix's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, Radiologix's Amended and Restated Bylaws and Delaware law could discourage potential acquisition proposals, delay or prevent a change in control of Radiologix and, consequently, limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock. These provisions include the inability to remove directors except for cause and our ability to issue, without further stockholder approval, shares of preferred stock with rights and privileges senior to the common stock. We are also subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law which, subject to certain exceptions, prohibits a Delaware corporation from engaging in any of a broad range of business combinations with an interested stockholder for three years after the stockholder became an interested stockholder.

We have also entered into written employment agreements with our Chief Executive Officer and President, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, which contain provisions that require us to pay certain amounts to the executives upon their termination following a change of control. These agreements may delay or prevent a change of control of Radiologix.

**Risks Relating to Government Regulation of Our Business**

*State and federal anti-kickback and anti-self-referral laws may adversely affect our income.*

Various federal and state laws govern financial arrangements among healthcare providers. The federal anti-kickback law prohibits the knowing and willful offer, payment, solicitation or receipt of any form of remuneration in return for, or to induce, the referral of Medicare, Medicaid, or other federal healthcare program patients, or in return for, or to induce, the purchase, lease or order of items or services that are covered by Medicare, Medicaid, or other federal healthcare programs. Similarly, many state laws prohibit the solicitation, payment or receipt of remuneration in return for, or to induce the referral of patients in private as well as government programs. Violation of these anti-kickback laws may result in substantial civil or criminal penalties for individuals or entities and/or exclusion from federal or state healthcare programs. We believe that we are operating in compliance with applicable law and believe that our arrangements with providers would not be found to violate the anti-kickback laws. However, these laws could be interpreted in a manner inconsistent with our operations.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

Federal law prohibiting physician self-referrals (the Stark Law ) prohibits a physician from referring Medicare or Medicaid patients to an entity for certain designated health services if the physician has a prohibited financial relationship with that entity, unless an exception applies. Certain radiology services are considered designated health services under the Stark Law. Although we believe that our operations do not violate the Stark Law, our activities may be challenged. If a challenge to our activities is successful, it could have an adverse effect on our operations. In addition, legislation may be enacted in the future that further addresses Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse or that imposes additional requirements or burdens on us.

All of the states in which our diagnostic imaging centers are located have adopted a form of anti-kickback law and almost all of those states have also adopted a form of Stark Law. The scope of these laws and the interpretations of them vary from state to state and are enforced by state courts and regulatory authorities, each with broad discretion. A determination of liability under the laws described in this risk factor could result in fines and penalties and restrictions on our ability to operate in these jurisdictions.

***Enforcement of federal and state privacy and associated laws may adversely affect our income.***

How providers and their business associates use and disclose certain healthcare information has come under increasing public sensitivity and scrutiny. Additional risks for healthcare providers and their business associates are posed by the new HIPAA federal standards, which set forth guidelines concerning how individually-identifiable health information may be used and disclosed. Historically, state law has governed confidentiality issues. But as a result of the enactment of HIPAA, some states are considering revisions to their existing laws and regulations. These changes may or may not be consistent with the federal HIPAA provisions. As a provider of healthcare services, we must conform to all applicable laws, both federal and state. We believe that our operations are compliant with these legal standards. Nevertheless, these laws and regulations are new and few have been interpreted by government regulators or courts. Consequently, our interpretations and activities may be challenged. If a challenge to our activities is successful, it could have an adverse effect on our operations.

***Federal False Claims Act violations could affect our participation in government programs.***

The Federal False Claims Act provides, in part, that the federal government may bring a lawsuit against any person whom it believes has knowingly presented, or caused to be presented, a false or fraudulent request for payment from the federal government, or who has made a false statement or used a false record to get a claim approved. The Federal False Claims Act further provides that a lawsuit there under may be initiated in the name of the United States by an individual who is an original source of the allegations. The government has taken the position that claims presented in violation of the federal anti-kickback law or Stark Law may be considered a violation of the Federal False Claims Act. Penalties include fines ranging from \$5,500 to \$11,000 for each false claim, plus three times the amount of damages that the federal government sustained because of the act of that person. We believe that we are in compliance with the rules and regulations that apply to the Federal False Claims Act. However, we could be found to have violated certain rules and regulations resulting in sanctions under the Federal False Claims Act. If we are found in violation, any sanctions imposed could result in fines and penalties and restrictions on and exclusions from participation in federal and state healthcare programs that are integral to our business.

***Our agreements with the contracted radiology practices must be structured to avoid the corporate practice of medicine and fee-splitting.***

The laws of many states, including many of the states in which the contracted radiology practices are located, prohibit us from exercising control over the medical judgments or decisions of physicians and from engaging in certain financial arrangements, such as splitting professional fees with physicians. These laws and their interpretations vary from state to state and are enforced by state courts and regulatory authorities, each with broad discretion. A component of our business has been to enter into service agreements with radiology practices. We provide management, administrative, technical and other non-medical services to the radiology practices in exchange for a service fee. We structure our relationships with the radiology practices, including the purchase of diagnostic imaging centers, in a manner that we believe keeps us from engaging in the practice of medicine or exercising control over the medical judgments or decisions of the radiology practices or their physicians or violating the prohibitions against fee-splitting. State regulatory authorities or other parties may assert that we are engaged in the corporate practice of medicine or that the payment of service fees to us by the radiology practices constitutes fee-splitting. If such a claim were successfully asserted, we could be subject to civil and criminal penalties and could be required to restructure or terminate the applicable contractual arrangements. This result, or our inability to successfully restructure our relationships to comply with these statutes, could jeopardize our business strategy.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

***Licensing and certification laws may limit our ability to expand.***

Ownership, construction, operation, expansion and acquisition of diagnostic imaging centers are subject to various federal and state laws, regulations and approvals concerning licensing of centers, personnel, certificates of need and other required certificates for certain types of healthcare centers and major medical equipment. The laws of some of the states in which we operate limit our ability to acquire new diagnostic imaging equipment or expand or replace our existing equipment at diagnostic imaging centers in those states. In addition, free-standing diagnostic imaging centers that provide services that are not performed as part of a physician office must meet Medicare requirements to be certified as an independent diagnostic testing facility to bill the Medicare and Medicaid programs. We may not be able to receive the required regulatory approvals for any future acquisitions, expansions or replacements, and the failure to obtain these approvals could limit the market for our services.

***The regulatory framework is uncertain and evolving.***

Healthcare laws and regulations may change significantly in the future. We continuously monitor these developments and modify our operations from time to time as the regulatory environment changes. We cannot assure you, however, that we will be able to adapt our operations to address new regulations or that new regulations will not adversely affect our business. In addition, although we believe that we are operating in compliance with applicable federal and state laws, neither our current or anticipated business operations nor the operations of the contracted radiology practices have been the subject of judicial or regulatory interpretation. We cannot assure you that a review of our business by courts or regulatory authorities will not result in a determination that could adversely affect our operations or that the healthcare regulatory environment will not change in a way that restricts our operations.

Certain states have enacted statutes or adopted regulations affecting risk assumption in the healthcare industry, including statutes and regulations that subject any physician or physician network engaged in risk-based managed care contracting to applicable insurance laws and regulations. These laws and regulations may require physicians and physician networks to meet minimum capital requirements and other safety and soundness requirements. Implementing additional regulations or compliance requirements could result in substantial costs to us and the contracted radiology practices and limits our ability to enter into capitated or other risk sharing managed care arrangements.

***We could be harmed if payors are unable to comply with HIPAA Standard Transaction and Code Set Requirements.***

The administrative provisions of HIPAA direct the federal government to adopt national electronic standards for automated transfer of certain healthcare data between healthcare payors, plans and providers. HIPAA is designed to enable the entire healthcare industry to communicate electronic data using a single set of standards, thus eliminating all nonstandard formats currently in use. Our contracted radiology practices and diagnostic imaging centers are covered entities under HIPAA, and as such, have to comply with the HIPAA electronic data interchange mandates. A failure in our continued ability to comply with HIPAA Standards or the discontinuance of CMS or payor contingency plans could cause us to experience a delay in claims processing by its payors or lead to a large number of rejected or denied claims. Either of these results may slow our cash collections and increase our accounts receivable days sales outstanding.

**Risks Related to Indebtedness**

***Our substantial level of indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and prevent us from fulfilling our obligations on our notes or notes issued to replace them.***

At December 31, 2005, we had approximately \$170.2 million of indebtedness. In addition, we have the ability to borrow up to \$28.6 million under our credit facility. Also, subject to restrictions in the indenture and the credit facility, we may incur additional indebtedness.

Our high level of indebtedness could have important consequences, including the following:

our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or general corporate purposes may be impaired;

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

we must use a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to pay interest on our notes and our other indebtedness, which will reduce the funds available to us for other purposes;

all of the indebtedness outstanding under the credit facility is secured by substantially all of our assets and will mature prior to any notes;

our high level of indebtedness could place us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors that have less debt; and

our high level of indebtedness makes us more vulnerable to economic downturns and adverse developments in our business.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

We expect to obtain the money to pay our expenses and to pay the amounts due under our notes and other debt from our operations, borrowings under our credit facility and new borrowings. Our ability to meet our expenses depends on our future performance, which will be affected by financial, business, economic and other factors. We will not be able to control many of these factors, such as economic conditions in the markets where we operate and pressure from competitors. Our business may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations in the future and future borrowings may not be available in an amount sufficient to enable us to repay indebtedness, including our notes, or to fund other liquidity needs. If we do not have enough money, we may be required to refinance all or part of our then existing debt (including our notes), sell assets or borrow more money. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so on terms acceptable to us, or at all. In addition, the terms of existing or future debt agreements, including our credit facility and any indenture, may restrict us from adopting any of these alternatives. The failure to generate sufficient cash flow or to achieve these alternatives could significantly adversely affect the value of our notes and our ability to pay the amounts due under them.

***Because our notes are unsecured, the right to enforce remedies is limited by the rights of holders of secured debt.***

Our notes are not secured. Our credit facility is secured by substantially all of our assets and a pledge of the capital stock of all of our wholly owned subsidiaries. If we become insolvent or are liquidated, or if any payment under the credit facility is accelerated, our lenders will be entitled to exercise the remedies available to a secured lender under applicable law and will have a claim on those assets before the holders of any notes. The liquidation value of our assets may not be sufficient to repay in full any indebtedness under the credit facility, as well as our other indebtedness, including our notes.

***Our ability to repay our notes and our other debt depends on cash flow from our subsidiaries, some of which are not obligated to make funds available to make payments on notes.***

We are a holding company. Our only material assets are our ownership interests in our subsidiaries. Consequently, we depend on distributions or other intercompany transfers of funds from our subsidiaries to meet our debt service and other obligations, including with respect to our notes. Our non-guarantor subsidiaries are not obligated to make funds available for payment on our notes. Only our subsidiaries that are not unrestricted subsidiaries will guarantee our notes. The financial statements included in this report are presented on a consolidated basis, including all of our subsidiaries. The aggregate total assets at December 31, 2005 of our subsidiaries that are not guarantors of our notes were \$17.8 million, or 7.6% of our total assets at December 31, 2005. The operating results of our guarantor subsidiaries may not be sufficient to enable us to make payments on our notes. In addition, our rights and the rights of our creditors, including holders of our notes, to participate in the assets of any of our non-guarantor subsidiaries upon their liquidation or recapitalization will generally be subject to the prior claims of those subsidiaries' creditors. As a result, our notes are effectively subordinated to the indebtedness of the non-guarantor subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2005, the total liabilities of our non-guarantor subsidiaries, excluding intercompany liabilities, were \$837,000, or 0.4% of our total liabilities.

***The indenture for our notes and our credit facility impose significant operating and financial restrictions, which may prevent us from pursuing certain business opportunities and taking certain actions.***

The indenture for our notes and our credit facility impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions limit our ability to, among other things:

borrow money;

pay dividends on or redeem or repurchase our stock;

make investments;

create liens;

sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies;

enter into certain transaction with affiliates;

sell stock in our subsidiaries; and

restrict dividends, distributions or other payments from our subsidiaries.

***If we are unable to access the full \$35 million under our credit facility, our ability to meet our capital expenditure requirements may be restricted.***

Our borrowing availability under our \$35 million credit facility is determined through a formula, which allows us to borrow up to 85% of eligible accounts receivable, as defined under the credit facility. If we are unable to generate sufficient eligible accounts receivable, then we may not be able to borrow the full \$35 million. At December 31, 2005, we had \$27.2 million available for borrowing. To the extent that financing under the credit facility or other financing sources is not available to us or we are not able to generate sufficient cash through operations, we may be restricted in our ability to meet capital expenditure requirements.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

***A court could cancel the guarantees under certain circumstances.***

Each of our subsidiaries that is not an unrestricted subsidiary guarantees our notes. If, however, a guarantor becomes a debtor in a case under the United States Bankruptcy Code or encounters other financial difficulty, under federal or state fraudulent conveyance laws a court might avoid (that is, cancel) its guarantee. The court might do so if it found that, when the guarantor entered into its guarantee or, in some states, when payments became due under its guarantee, it (i) received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for the guarantee and (ii) either (a) was or was rendered insolvent, (b) was left with inadequate capital to conduct its business, or (c) believed or should have believed that it would incur debts beyond its ability to pay. The court might also avoid a guarantee, without regard to the above factors, if it found that the guarantor entered into its guarantee with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors.

A court would likely find that a guarantor did not receive reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for its guarantee unless it benefited directly or indirectly from the issuance of our notes. If a court avoided a guarantee, a note holder would no longer have a claim against the guarantor. In addition, the court might direct a note holder to repay any amounts already received from the guarantor. If the court were to avoid any guarantor's guarantee, we cannot assure a note holder that funds would be available to pay our notes from another guarantor or from any other source.

The test for determining solvency for purposes of the foregoing will depend on the law of the jurisdiction being applied. In general, a court would consider an entity insolvent either if the sum of its existing debts exceeds the fair value of all its property, or if the present fair saleable value of its assets is less than the amount required to pay the probable liability on its existing debts as they become due. For this analysis, debts includes contingent and unliquidated debts.

The indenture states that the liability of each guarantor on its guarantee is limited to the maximum amount that the subsidiary can incur without risk that the guarantee will be subject to avoidance as a fraudulent conveyance. This limitation may not protect the guarantees from a fraudulent conveyance attack or, if it does, that the guarantees will be in amounts sufficient, if necessary, to pay obligations under our notes when due.

***We may not be able to satisfy our obligations to holders of our notes upon a change of control.***

Upon the occurrence of a change of control, as defined in our indenture, a note holder will have the right to require us to purchase our notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount, together with any accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the date of purchase. Our failure to purchase, or give notice of purchase of, our notes would be a default under the indenture, which would in turn be a default under our senior credit facility. Moreover, our failure to repay all amounts outstanding under our senior credit facility upon a default would also be a default under the indenture.

In addition, a change of control may constitute an event of default under our credit facility. A default under our credit facility will result in an event of default under the indenture if the lenders accelerate the debt under our senior credit facility.

If a change of control occurs, we may not have enough assets to satisfy all obligations under our credit facility and the indenture related to our notes. Upon the occurrence of a change of control, we could seek to refinance the indebtedness under our credit facility and our notes or obtain a waiver from the lenders or the note holders. We may not be able to obtain a waiver or refinance our indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

***No established trading market exists for our notes, and note holders may not be able to sell them quickly or at the price that note holders paid.***

We do not intend to list our notes on any securities exchange or to arrange for quotation on any automated dealer quotation system. Bear Stearns Companies, Inc., Jefferies & Company, Inc. and Wachovia Securities make a market in the notes, but they are not obligated to do so. They may discontinue any market making at any time, in their sole discretion. As a result, we cannot assure you as to the liquidity of any trading market for the notes.

Note holders may not be able to sell notes at a particular time or at favorable prices. We also cannot assure note holders as to the level of liquidity of the trading market for the notes. As a result, note holders may be required to bear the financial risk of their investment in the notes indefinitely. Future trading prices of the notes may be volatile and will depend on many factors, including:

our operating performance and financial condition;

the interest of securities dealers in making a market for our notes; and

the market for similar securities.

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

*There are inherent limitations in all control systems, and misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.*

Company management continues to monitor our controls to assure compliance with the internal controls, disclosure controls and other requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, cannot guarantee that our internal controls and disclosure controls will prevent all possible errors or all fraud. An internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objective of the control system are met. In addition, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefit of controls must be relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no system of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be challenged and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Further, controls can be circumvented by individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more persons, or by management override of controls. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, a control may be inadequate because of changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

Radiologix's corporate headquarters are located at 3600 JP Morgan Chase Tower, 2200 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75201-2776, in approximately 26,000 square feet occupied under a lease, which expires on September 30, 2011.

We also have a regional office of approximately 39,000 square feet occupied under a lease in Baltimore, Maryland which expires on September 30, 2012.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are not currently subject to any material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against us. All of our current litigation is (i) expected to be covered by liability insurance or (ii) not expected to materially adversely affect our business. Some risk exists, however, that we could subsequently be named as a defendant in additional lawsuits or that pending litigation could materially adversely affect us.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

Radiologix did not submit any matters to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2005.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.**

Radiologix's common stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol RGX. The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices per share of the common stock for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 as reported by the American Stock Exchange.

	<b>HIGH</b>	<b>LOW</b>
<u>2005</u>		
First Quarter	\$ 4.98	\$ 4.08
Second Quarter	\$ 4.27	\$ 3.10
Third Quarter	\$ 4.55	\$ 3.15
Fourth Quarter	\$ 3.84	\$ 2.75
<u>2004</u>		
First Quarter	\$ 4.20	\$ 3.25
Second Quarter	\$ 4.65	\$ 3.31
Third Quarter	\$ 4.68	\$ 3.30
Fourth Quarter	\$ 4.53	\$ 2.99

As of the close of business on March 20, 2006, the last reported sales price per share of Radiologix's common stock was \$1.77 and approximately 74 shareholders of record owned Radiologix common stock. This number does not include persons whose shares are held by a bank, brokerage house or clearing company, but does include the banks, brokerage houses and clearing companies.

No cash dividends have been paid on Radiologix's common stock since the organization of Radiologix and Radiologix does not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future. Radiologix currently intends to retain earnings for future growth and expansion opportunities.

The Company has a \$12.0 million convertible junior subordinated note, which matures July 31, 2009, and bears interest, payable quarterly in cash or payment in kind securities, at 8.0%. The note holder may convert borrowings under the note to common stock at \$7.52 per share.

**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.**

The following selected historical financial data is derived from Radiologix's consolidated financial statements for the periods indicated and, as such, reflects the impact of acquired entities from the effective dates of such transactions. The information in the table and its notes should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and with Radiologix's consolidated financial statements and their notes included elsewhere in this report.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

## SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	2005	2004 (a) (b) (As restated)	2003 (c)	2002	2001 (d)
	(See Item 7)				
SERVICE FEE REVENUE	\$ 251,440	\$ 251,291	\$ 242,038	\$ 256,344	\$ 256,334
<b>COSTS OF OPERATIONS:</b>					
Cost of services	160,898	158,613	149,034	145,049	138,715
Equipment lease	13,035	17,660	17,230	15,653	18,357
Provision for doubtful accounts	19,033	22,337	20,228	21,540	22,877
Depreciation and amortization	23,430	22,999	23,926	24,568	22,037
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>35,044</b>	<b>29,682</b>	<b>31,620</b>	<b>49,534</b>	<b>54,348</b>
Severance and Other Related Costs	670	405	1,568	978	
Lease Termination Expense		13,948			
Corporate General and Administrative	16,872	18,919	15,335	15,172	14,336
Impairment of Goodwill, Intangible and Long-lived Assets	2,241	14,558	523	794	
Merger Related Costs					1,000
Supplemental Incentive Compensation					615
Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt					4,730
Interest Expense, Net	18,295	18,596	19,281	18,388	14,911
Gain on Sale of Operations		(4,669)			
Income (loss) before Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates, Non-Operating Income, Minority Interest in Consolidated Subsidiaries, Income Taxes and Discontinued Operations	(3,034)	(32,075)	(5,087)	14,202	18,756
Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates	3,928	2,865	4,082	4,568	5,017
Non-Operating Income					1,300
Minority Interests In Income of Consolidated Subsidiaries	(632)	(791)	(748)	(1,185)	(1,092)
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>(30,001)</b>	<b>(1,753)</b>	<b>17,585</b>	<b>23,981</b>
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	662	(5,848)	(701)	7,034	9,592
<b>INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(400)</b>	<b>(24,153)</b>	<b>(1,052)</b>	<b>10,551</b>	<b>14,389</b>
<b>Discontinued Operations:</b>					
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes	(1,131)	(13,128)	(11,519)	342	(931)
Income tax expense (benefit)		(5,426)	(4,608)	137	(372)
<b>Income (loss) from discontinued operations</b>	<b>(1,131)</b>	<b>(7,702)</b>	<b>(6,911)</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>(559)</b>

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$	(1,531)	\$	(31,855)	\$	(7,963)	\$	10,756	\$	13,830
-------------------	----	---------	----	----------	----	---------	----	--------	----	--------

**EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:**

Income (loss) from continuing operations basic	\$	(0.02)	\$	(1.11)	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.50	\$	0.74
--	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	------	----	------

Income (loss) from discontinued operations basic		(0.05)		(0.35)		(0.32)		0.01		(0.03)
--	--	--------	--	--------	--	--------	--	------	--	--------

Net income (loss) basic	\$	(0.07)	\$	(1.46)	\$	(0.37)	\$	0.51	\$	0.71
-------------------------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	------	----	------

Income (loss) from continuing operations diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	(1.11)	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.47	\$	0.68
--	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	------	----	------

Income (loss) from discontinued operations diluted		(0.05)		(0.35)		(0.32)		0.01		(0.02)
--	--	--------	--	--------	--	--------	--	------	--	--------

Net income (loss) diluted	\$	(0.07)	\$	(1.46)	\$	(0.37)	\$	0.48	\$	0.66
---------------------------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	------	----	------

**WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES**

**OUTSTANDING:**

Basic	22,067,445	21,789,517	21,724,165	20,957,026	19,559,185
Diluted	22,067,445	21,789,517	21,724,165	23,967,427	22,652,372

- (a) Service fee revenue and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates were reduced by \$9.1 million and \$286,000, respectively, due to a change in estimating contractual adjustments, in the fourth quarter of 2004.
- (b) Cost of services for the year ended December 31, 2004 includes: (i) \$315,000 for lease termination costs related to diagnostic equipment no longer in use; (ii) \$200,000 to write-off software costs associated with canceling a software contract and (iii) \$295,000 for a litigation settlement.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

- (c) Cost of services for the year ended December 31, 2003 includes: (i) \$546,000 to meet HIPAA compliance requirements, (ii) \$775,000 associated with self reporting certain lease agreements terms to the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services Office of the Inspector General (OIG), (iii) \$300,000 for a legal settlement, and (iv) \$363,000 for financing costs related to an amendment of the credit facility.
- (d) Non-operating income in 2001 represents \$1.3 million for partial consideration for an early termination of management services provided at certain imaging centers not owned or operated by the Company.

	AS OF DECEMBER 31,				
	2005	2004 (As restated)	2003	2002	2001
	(in thousands)				
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Working capital	\$ 70,509	\$ 72,644	\$ 74,050	\$ 60,450	\$ 55,214
Total assets	234,528	238,889	279,514	296,091	284,725
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	158,364	158,519	162,075	166,249	172,947
Convertible debt	11,980	11,980	11,980	11,980	24,205
Stockholders equity	28,971	29,097	60,684	68,367	44,476

**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.**

The discussion and analysis presented below refers to and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

**Restatement of Financial Statements**

We restated our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004 and for each of the three quarters ended March 31, June 30 and September 30, of 2005 for the following (see Note 3 and Note 22 of Item 8 for more details):

Expensing of \$13.9 million of lease termination costs that were incorrectly capitalized in our 2004 Annual Report in Form 10-K and reversing amortization expense.

Restated amounts for income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2005 reflect a reduction of amortization expense of \$194,000, \$193,000, and \$194,000, respectively. Restated amounts for income (loss) from continuing operations for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2005 reflect, in addition to the above stated amounts, a reduction (increase) of income tax expense of \$406,000, \$354,000, and (\$139,000), respectively. Restated amounts for income (loss) from discontinued operations for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2005 reflect a reduction of income tax benefit (expense) of \$165,000, (\$10,000), and \$221,000, respectively.

We have also reclassified certain previously reported amounts for all periods presented, including (1) amortization of deferred financing costs from Depreciation and Amortization expense to Interest Expense, net, on our consolidated statement of operations, (2) notes receivable from non-consolidated affiliates from Other Current Assets to Due from Affiliates on our consolidated balance sheets, (3) stock option exercise proceeds from Other Items in net cash used in financing activities to Proceeds from Exercise of Stock Options in the same section of our consolidated statement of cash flows, (4) restricted stock expense from Other Items in net cash from financing activities to Restricted Stock Expense in net cash provided by operating activities in our consolidated statement of cash flows, (5) Distributions from joint ventures and distributions to minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries have been reclassified from net cash used in investing activities to net cash from operating activities in the consolidated statement of cash flows, (6) certain balances in the Consolidating Balance Sheets and the Consolidating Statements of Operations in Note 21 of Item 8, Supplemental Guarantor Information, have been revised; these revisions primarily consist of separate reporting of investments in subsidiaries and intercompany receivables/payables in the Consolidating Balance Sheets and separate reporting of equity in income of wholly owned subsidiaries in the Consolidating Statements of Operations, (7) certain changes were made to our calculation of deferred tax assets and liabilities that affect both our consolidated balance sheet for the year ended December 31, 2004 and Note 15 of Item 8, Income Taxes, resulting from amendments to our income tax filings for certain prior years that were completed during 2005, and (8) supply cost rebate from Unallocated Amounts in the Segment to Consolidated Operating Result reconciliation table to Total Costs and Expenses of Primary Operations in the summary table of Segment Operating Results in Note 20 of Item 8, Segment Reporting.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****Overview**

Our results may be impacted by variability due to changes in modality mix and the volume of procedures performed, physician referral and vacation patterns, the impact of hospital and physician-affiliated imaging centers that compete in our primary and Questar operations, the timing and negotiation of managed care and service contracts, the availability of technologists and other personnel resources, and trends in receivable collectibility. We are impacted by seasonality in that referring physicians and technologists often schedule vacations in the summer months which typically results in a decline in our volumes and service fee revenue while increasing costs of services as we contract for the services of temporary technologists at higher rates.

We are a leading national provider of diagnostic imaging services through our ownership and operation of free-standing, outpatient diagnostic imaging centers. We utilize sophisticated technology and technical expertise to perform a broad range of imaging procedures, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography (PET), PET/CT, nuclear medicine, ultrasound, mammography, bone densitometry (DEXA), general radiography (X-ray) and fluoroscopy. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we derived 87% of our service fee revenue from the ownership, management and operation of our imaging center network and 13% of our service fee revenue from administrative, management and information services provided to contracted radiology practices. As of December 31, 2005, we owned, operated or maintained, through our two operating segments, an ownership interest in imaging equipment at 71 locations, with imaging centers located in 7 states, including (1) primary operations in the Mid-Atlantic; the Bay Area, California; Treasure Coast, Florida; Northeast, Kansas; and the Finger Lakes (Rochester) and Hudson Valley markets in New York state; and (2) Questar operations with imaging centers located in California, Colorado and Minnesota.

Service fee revenue from our primary operations is comprised primarily of billed charges for both the technical and professional components for services performed, reduced by estimated contractual adjustments and by amounts retained by contracted radiology practice groups for their professional services, pursuant to our management services agreements. Under these management services agreements, the Company provides contracted radiology practices with the facilities and equipment used in its medical practice, assumes responsibility for the management of the operations, and employs substantially all of the non-physician personnel utilized by the contracted radiology practices. In connection with operations related to our Questar subsidiary, service fee revenue is comprised primarily of billed charges for technical services performed at our Questar imaging centers reduced by estimated contractual adjustments. Revenue is recognized once services are performed by contracted radiology practices, the imaging centers, or both. The provision for doubtful accounts related to established charges is reflected as an operating expense rather than a reduction of revenue. Our patient accounting system currently does not record contractual adjustments at the time of billing. Instead, adjustments for contractual adjustments and doubtful accounts are estimated based on historical collection experience using a retrospective collection analysis. As these factors change, changes in estimates are made in the appropriate period.

The table below reflects our consolidated accounts receivable aging report at December 31, 2005, which is used to review accounts receivable aging patterns (in thousands):

	0-30	31-60	61-90	91-180	>180	
<i>Payor</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Total</i>
<i>Medicare</i>	\$ 7,451	\$ 4,331	\$ 1,284	\$ 1,713	\$ 2,262	\$ 17,041
<i>Medicaid</i>	1,054	1,142	621	1,289	1,355	5,461
<i>Blue Cross</i>	5,282	2,176	947	1,574	1,650	11,629
<i>Commercial Insurance</i>	736	1,226	462	358	241	3,023
<i>Managed Care</i>	8,642	6,525	1,876	2,557	3,246	22,846
<i>Capitated</i>	130	5	9	6	6	156
<i>Self Pay</i>	521	1,979	2,552	5,293	2,299	12,644
<i>Workers Compensation</i>	615	883	701	1,010	1,581	4,790
<i>Other</i>	50	784	532	990	1,041	3,397
<i>Total by payor</i>	\$ 24,481	\$ 19,051	\$ 8,984	\$ 14,790	\$ 13,681	\$ 80,987
<i>Other subsidiary (1)</i>	1,361	510	357	316	454	2,998
<i>Gross accounts receivable per aging</i>	\$ 25,842	\$ 19,561	\$ 9,341	\$ 15,106	\$ 14,135	\$ 83,985

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

<i>Accrued gross charges</i> <sup>(2)</sup>	17,771
<i>Allowance for contractual adjustments</i> <sup>(3)</sup>	(53,403)
<i>Allowance for doubtful accounts</i> <sup>(3)</sup>	(7,187)
<i>Other</i>	(351)
<i>Accounts receivable, net of allowances</i>	\$ 40,815

- 
- (1) Aging by payor is not available.
  - (2) Procedures have been completed but charges have not been entered into the billing system.
  - (3) Allowances are not allocated to individual aging periods.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

The Company's service fee revenue is dependent upon the operating results of the contracted radiology practice groups and diagnostic imaging centers. Where state law allows, service fees due under the management services agreements for the contracted radiology practice groups are derived from two distinct revenue streams: (1) a negotiated percentage of the professional revenues, reduced by certain expenses (non-physician salaries and benefits, rent, depreciation, insurance, interest and other physician costs), as defined in the management services agreements; and (2) 100% of the adjusted technical revenues, as defined in the management service agreements, up to a designated ceiling at which point certain of the management services agreements provide for a technical bonus to the contracted radiology practice groups for a percentage amount in excess of this ceiling. In states where the law requires a flat fee structure, the Company has negotiated a base service fee, which approximates the estimated fair market value of the services provided under the management services agreements and which is renegotiated each year to equal the fair market value of the services provided under the management services agreements.

Our diagnostic imaging centers are also principally dependent on our ability to attract referrals from primary care physicians, specialists and other healthcare providers. The referral often depends on the existence of a contractual arrangement with the referred patient's health benefit plan. The Company has contracts with health benefit plans representing many of the patients in the markets we serve.

A summary of our volumes and service fee revenue follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended		
	2005	December 31, 2004	2003
High end volumes (1)	363	377	360
Other volumes	1,154	1,183	1,141
Professional component	\$ 33,277	\$ 38,019	\$ 46,576
Technical component	218,163	213,272	195,462
Service fee revenue	\$ 251,440	\$ 251,291	\$ 242,038

(1) Defined as MRI, PET and CT procedures.

Capitation revenue of \$15.3 million, \$15.1 million and \$13.9 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, is included in service fee revenue above. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, approximately 6%, 6% and 6%, respectively, of our diagnostic imaging center service fee revenue was generated from capitated arrangements. Of this 6%, two-thirds relates to contracts with two physician groups and the remainder relates to a contract with one managed care payor.

Our charge masters at our imaging centers are generally set at approximately two times the current Medicare fee schedule because we are generally paid the lower of (1) billed charges, (2) a negotiated flat rate or (3) a multiple of the current Medicare fee schedule. Additionally, because the majority of our managed care payor contracts have fixed rates, we generally do not raise charge master pricing (gross charges). It is our policy that proposed price (gross charge) increases to any subsidiary charge master must be approved in writing by the Vice President of our Patient Services Group.

In fiscal 2005, our managed care contract rates (including those rates for Blue Cross and Blue Shield payors, which are major payors for us in several markets) remained relatively constant compared to rates received in fiscal 2004.

**Results of Operations**

Our primary operations consist of owning and operating diagnostic imaging centers and providing administrative, management, information, and other services to certain contracted radiology practice groups. These contracted radiology practice groups provide professional interpretation and supervision services to our diagnostic imaging centers and to hospitals and joint ventures in which we participate. Our services are designed to leverage our existing infrastructure and improve radiology practice groups or joint venture profitability, efficiency and effectiveness. We also operate primarily single modality imaging centers through our Questar subsidiary. Because of different characteristics from our primary operations, including location, market concentration, contracting leverage, and capital requirements, the single modality nature of most of the



## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

centers and the structure of the management service agreements with physicians related to the Company's Questar operations, senior management makes resource allocation decisions separately for Questar and our primary operations.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

Effective October 31, 2004, we entered into a definitive agreement for \$15.5 million in cash for the following:

the purchase of four MRI systems and the assumption of an operating lease for one additional MRI system; and

an equipment lease contract that was entered into prior to the formation of Radiologix by the Ide Group, P.C. ( Ide ). This lease was terminated.

The four MRI systems were recorded in the financial statements at their fair market value of \$1.6 million. The remaining \$13.9 million was expensed as a lease termination expense.

The equipment lease contract was originally sold by Ide in 1990 to MICA Imaging, Inc., a predecessor of PresGar Companies, LLC. Ide is a non-affiliated radiology practice that entered into a Management Services Agreement ( MSA ) with the Company in 1997 when Radiologix was formed. As a condition of entering into the MSA, the Company assumed the obligation under the equipment lease contract that PresGar had entered into with Ide in 1990. The acquisition of the equipment lease contract increased both the profitability and value of the Ide MSA.

Under this equipment lease contract, PresGar had acquired a long-term perpetual right to provide certain MRI systems (and the obligation to service the equipment and replace that equipment as it became obsolete) to the Company (as successor to Ide) and to charge the Company usage-based rent on these pieces of equipment. The acquisition of the equipment lease contract eliminates expenses that previously varied based on volume resulting in incremental reductions in equipment lease expense as volume increased. If this transaction had been effective on January 1, 2004 instead of October 31, 2004, we estimate that cost of services would have increased by \$500,000, equipment lease expense would have decreased by \$4.5 million and pre-tax loss would have decreased by \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004.

**Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004**

For the year ended December 31, 2005, our operations reflected decreases of 2.8% in technical service volumes compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. Service fee revenue increased 0.1% over the year ended December 31, 2004.

**Imaging Centers Questar**

A summary of our Questar operations is as follows (in thousands):

	As of and		
	For the Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Centers in continuing operations at year end	5	6	17
Centers in discontinued operations at year end		2	5
Service fee revenues continuing operations	\$ 7,679	\$ 9,227	\$ 8,575
Service fee revenues discontinued operations	\$ 270	\$ 10,553	\$ 18,196
Impairment of goodwill continuing operations	\$ 2,241	\$ 6,809	\$
Impairment of goodwill discontinued operations	\$	\$ 10,206	\$ 8,400
Impairment of long-lived assets discontinued operations	\$	\$ 617	\$
Gain (loss) on dispositions of centers, net	\$	\$ (1,483)	\$ 11
Pre-tax income (loss) continuing	\$ (1,257)	\$ (5,636)	\$ 363
Pre-tax loss - discontinued	\$ (1,252)	\$ (11,431)	\$ (10,437)

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

In December 2005, we recorded a \$2.2 million pre-tax impairment charge to continuing operations to write-off goodwill related to our remaining Questar centers in California, Colorado and Minnesota. Increased competition in these markets has eroded the profitability of these centers. The increased competition throughout 2005 coupled with management's decision to not invest in new equipment has reduced the future expectations for these centers, thus lowering their value.

In November 2005, the Company closed the Questar center in Arizona. This center continued to experience poor performance despite efforts to turn it around.

In December 2004, the Company recorded a \$1.1 million pre-tax charge to continuing operations related to the impairment of goodwill at our Questar center in Arizona. This center was one of six Questar sites that we chose to keep in continuing operations at December 31, 2004.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

In November 2004, we sold our 80% joint venture interest in our Questar Tampa operations, including accounts receivable, to our venture partner for \$275,000 in cash, resulting in a loss of \$591,000, including the write-off of goodwill for \$354,000.

In June 2004, we sold a Questar center for \$3.1 million in cash, resulting in a gain of \$682,000, net of a write-off of goodwill for \$500,000.

In the first quarter of 2004, the Company recorded a \$5.5 million charge related to Questar in connection with our annual assessment of goodwill based on our internal analysis, which included a valuation performed by an independent valuation firm. In June 2004, after performing an extensive reassessment of our Questar imaging center portfolio, management concluded that certain centers were not strategic to our future plans and would be unable to meet and sustain our profitability requirements going forward. That reassessment considered: location, contracting leverage, expected capital requirements, the single modality nature of most of these sites, current operating trends, and the sale of our most profitable Questar center on June 21, 2004. The Company's decision to dispose of this group of imaging centers created an event that required us to reassess the carrying value of the assets related to these centers, including goodwill at our Questar segment. This reassessment considered the impact on the value of the ongoing, deteriorating operating trends in these centers, as well as the implications of disposing of individual centers versus operating those centers as part of an ongoing operating enterprise. To assist us in that reassessment, we engaged an independent valuation firm to estimate the fair value of our combined Questar sites. As a result of our reassessment and the independent valuation, the Company recorded a \$10.4 million pre-tax charge to continuing operations related to the impairment of Questar goodwill in June 2004. We also recorded a \$617,000 pre-tax charge to impair long-lived assets of certain Questar centers in June 2004.

We assess the viability of our imaging centers throughout the year. In the event we decide to dispose of one or more imaging centers, additional charges may result depending on cash flow and market conditions at the time of our assessment.

**Management Services Agreements**

In addition to continually assessing the financial viability of our imaging centers, management also evaluates the businesses surrounding our relationships with radiologists and radiology practice groups that have reading privileges at our facilities. These businesses may include Radiologix imaging centers and/or professional reading agreements involving another entity's inpatient or outpatient imaging centers.

In most cases, individual radiologists and radiology practice groups serve in our facilities pursuant to management services agreements entered into when Radiologix acquired the practice group's assets. The value of these arrangements is recorded as intangible assets when acquired. Although the agreements may extend for longer periods, the value of the intangible assets is amortized over no more than 25 years based on SEC guidance.

**Mid-Atlantic Management Services Agreement**

During the third quarter of 2004, management determined that the ability of one of the radiology groups to perform in accordance with a management services agreement administered by one of our Mid-Atlantic subsidiaries had diminished significantly. With several owned imaging centers covered by the management services agreement operating at financial losses, deteriorating financial conditions at hospitals involving professional reading arrangements, and the resignation from the practice of two physician leaders, management concluded that the value of intangible assets related to this management services agreement had become significantly impaired.

As a result, Radiologix and the radiology group terminated the management services agreement on January 31, 2005. The Company decided to dispose of three unprofitable imaging centers and to transfer the professional reading responsibility for certain other centers to another radiology group that operates under a management services agreement with us in the Mid-Atlantic market.

Based on our assessment and the actions that we have undertaken, the Company recorded 2004 third quarter impairment charges of: \$6.5 million to write off the unamortized portion of intangible assets related to this group's management services agreement, and \$800,000 to write off long-lived assets related to the centers planned for disposition, all of which were disposed of at December 31, 2005.

Service fee revenue and pre-tax income (loss) for the two centers disposed of in 2005, and the professional reading arrangements that we no longer are a party to, as reflected in continuing operations (including impairment charges) are as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

	For the Year Ended	
	December 31, 2005	December 31, 2004
Service fee revenue	\$ 968	\$ 5,910
Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ 417	\$ (5,871)

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****San Antonio, Texas Management Services Agreement**

We completed the sale of our operations in San Antonio, Texas in the second quarter of 2004. The purchase price was \$10.5 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain on sale of approximately \$4.7 million. Net cash received was \$9.7 million after purchase price adjustments. The sale included (1) assets we owned and leased in our operation of M&S Imaging Partners, Inc., (2) a diagnostic imaging center, and (3) certain partnership interests, but did not include accounts receivable aggregating approximately \$4.7 million, which we retained.

**Other Management Services Agreements**

In addition to the management services agreement we terminated effective January 31, 2005 (see Note 6 of Item 8), we amended (1) a management services agreement which resulted in a 15% reduction in our management fee effective January 1, 2004 and (2) a separate management services agreement which resulted in the establishment of a technical bonus to the contracted radiology group and 3% reduction in our management fee effective October 1, 2004.

Our management fees for certain other management services agreements declined by 1% in 2005 and will decline by an additional 1% in 2006. The estimated annual impact to our service fee revenue for these 1% decreases is approximately \$500,000.

In connection with the amendment of a management services agreement with a contracted radiology group in July 2002, the Company recorded deferred revenue of \$3.3 million in consideration for the amended agreement, which amount is amortized over 20 years. In December 2002, the Company amended the management services agreement of another contracted radiology practice and recorded deferred revenue of \$4.8 million in consideration for the amended agreement, which is amortized over 19 years.

**Other Charges**

A summary of other charges in continuing operations (in addition to the impairment amounts discussed above) is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004 (As restated)
Other impairment (1)	\$ (370)	\$ 538
Contract termination costs (2)	\$	\$ 515
Severance and related costs (3)	\$ 670	\$ 405
Litigation and regulatory matters (4)	\$	\$ 295
Lease termination expense (5)	\$	\$ 13,948

- (1) We incurred impairment charges and other costs aggregating \$263,000 in the third quarter of 2004 associated with damages from hurricanes impacting our Southeastern operations. We received insurance proceeds of \$370,000 relating to these damages in the second quarter of 2005. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we recorded additional impairment charges of \$275,000 for software related to our Radiology Information System (RIS) in our Northeast operations where software has been replaced in connection with the implementation of our Radiologix Enhanced Workflow And Record Distribution (REWARD) Program.
- (2) In the third quarter of 2004, we recorded \$315,000 for lease termination costs related to diagnostic equipment no longer in use; and \$200,000 to write-off software costs associated with canceling a software contract.
- (3) During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, we recognized \$670,000 and \$405,000 in charges, respectively, in connection with severance and other related costs for changes in the Company's senior management team.
- (4) In the third quarter of 2004, we recorded \$295,000 for a litigation settlement.
- (5) In 2004, we incurred costs of \$13.9 million in connection with the termination of an operating lease assumed in the PresGar transaction.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****Operating Expenses**

The following table outlines our operating expenses, excluding (1) \$557,000 and \$78,000 of restricted stock expense in 2005 and 2004, respectively, (2) the \$4.7 million gain on sale of our San Antonio operations in 2004, (3) the aggregate \$2.2 million and \$14.6 million in charges for impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets for 2005 and 2004, respectively, and items (1) through (5) in the above table, for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 below (in thousands):

	2005	2004 (As restated)	Percent Increase (Decrease)	Percent of Service Fee Revenue		Basis Point Change
				2005	2004	
Service fee revenue	\$ 251,440	\$ 251,291	0.1%			
Cost of services	\$ 161,268	\$ 158,159	2.0%	64.1%	62.9%	120
Equipment leases	13,035	17,660	(26.2)	5.2	7.0	(180)
Provision for doubtful accounts	19,033	22,337	(14.8)	7.6	8.9	(130)
Depreciation and amortization	23,430	22,999	1.9	9.3	9.2	10
Corporate, general and administrative	16,315	18,346	(11.1)	6.5	7.3	(80)
Interest expense, net	18,295	18,596	(1.6)	7.3	7.4	(10)
Total operating expenses, excluding gain, severance, impairment and other charges	\$ 251,376	\$ 258,097	(2.6)%	100.0%	102.7%	(270)

**Comparable results excluding certain Management Services Agreement operations (San Antonio and the terminated Mid-Atlantic agreement) are presented below:**

	2005	2004 (As restated)	Percent Increase (Decrease)	Percent of Service Fee Revenue		Basis Point Change
				2005	2004	
Service fee revenue	\$ 250,472	\$ 239,393	4.6%			
Cost of services	\$ 160,661	\$ 152,074	5.7%	64.1%	63.5%	60
Equipment leases	12,999	17,546	(25.9)	5.2	7.3	(210)
Provision for doubtful accounts	18,797	19,714	(4.7)	7.5	8.2	(70)
Depreciation and amortization	23,430	22,311	5.0	9.4	9.3	10
Corporate, general and administrative	16,315	18,346	(11.1)	6.5	7.7	(120)
Interest expense, net	18,294	18,420	(0.7)	7.3	7.7	(40)
Total operating expenses, excluding gain, severance, impairment and other charges	\$ 250,496	\$ 248,411	0.8%	100.0%	103.7%	(370)

Cost of services consists of (1) field salaries and benefits, (2) field supplies, (3) field rent (lease) and (4) other field expenses.

Field salaries and benefits as a percentage of service fee revenue from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 were unchanged at 34.4%. Field salaries and benefits as a percentage of service fee revenue from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, excluding the San Antonio and the terminated Mid-Atlantic operations, were 34.5% and 34.9%, respectively. Management continues to evaluate our service offerings, patient flows and technology offerings to identify more efficient and less costly methods of providing high quality patient care and continues to evaluate its back office and support operations for new opportunities to gain

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

economies of scale. We believe our REWARD Program, once fully implemented, will help us achieve greater efficiencies and lower our operating costs. In connection with field salaries and benefits, market studies performed in our primary operating locations indicate that certain employee positions could be above or below market salary rates. We address performance and merit increases on an annual basis in March through our Focal Point Review process.

Field supplies, excluding the terminated MSA operations, as a percentage of service fee revenue were 6.1% for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to 6.0% for the year ended December 31, 2004. Field supply costs generally increase as we perform more high-end diagnostic procedures. High-end procedures typically utilize more supplies such as contrast and radio-pharmaceuticals.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

Facility rent, excluding the terminated MSA operations, as a percentage of service fee revenue increased to 5.7% for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to 5.6% for the year ended December 31, 2004, primarily due to facility rent for a new imaging center that began operations in April 2005 and higher facility rent in our Bay Area, California operations, offset by the reversal of a \$229,000 lease accrual (of which \$62,000 was recorded in the 2005 first quarter) no longer required as a result of settling a facility lease obligation with the trustee of a bankrupt landlord.

Other field expenses, excluding the terminated MSA operations, as a percentage of service fee revenue increased to 17.8% for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to 17.0% for the year ended December 31, 2004 primarily due to (1) increased service contract costs resulting from new coverage on (a) equipment coming off warranty and (b) equipment acquired in connection with the acquisition of an equipment lease contract effective October 31, 2004, (2) system conversion, upgrade and outsourcing costs for our patient accounting systems, (3) higher marketing costs in our primary operations, (4) higher physician purchased service costs primarily due to paying certain physicians for incremental coverage on reading contracts, (5) higher workers' compensation costs, (6) higher diagnostic equipment repair costs, (7) higher telephone and communication cost related to our operations and installation of REWARD and (8) a \$176,000 write-off of leasehold improvement costs related to an imaging center closed in the 2005 second quarter. These increased costs were offset by (1) a \$370,000 gain from insurance proceeds received in settlement for equipment damaged by a hurricane, (2) gains on sales of diagnostic equipment, (3) lower malpractice insurance costs and (4) lower off-site storage costs.

Equipment lease expenses, excluding the terminated MSA operations, as a percentage of service fee revenue decreased to 5.2% for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to 7.3% for the year ended December 31, 2004 primarily due to the impact of lease buyouts and the acquisition of an equipment lease contract effective October 31, 2004, which eliminates equipment lease expense that was previously recorded based on volume.

Provision for doubtful accounts decreased by \$3.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to the year ended December 31, 2004 primarily due to improved denial rates in 2005 and lower professional revenue charges in 2005, which historically have higher provision for doubtful accounts.

Depreciation and amortization increased to \$23.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$23.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2004 primarily due to (1) the impact of new imaging centers and new equipment placed in service in 2004 and 2005 and (2) lease buyouts, offset by (1) no longer operating the terminated San Antonio operations that were sold in the second quarter of 2004, (2) no longer operating the terminated Mid-Atlantic operations effective January 31, 2005, (3) the effect of lower asset bases as a result of asset impairments in 2004 and (4) assets that became fully depreciated in 2004 and 2005.

Corporate, general and administrative expenses decreased to \$16.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$18.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2004 primarily due to (1) lower executive recruiting and relocation costs, (2) reduced legal costs as a result of establishing an in-house legal department which resulted in reducing our contracted legal costs, (3) lower costs related to Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 compliance as a result of concluding our initial stage of establishing, documenting, and implementing our company wide internal control processes, (4) lower 2005 health benefits costs, and (5) the lack of chief operating officer salary and benefit costs in the 2005 first and second quarters as this position remained open from December 2004 through July 2005.

Interest expense (net of interest income), including amortization of deferred financing costs, decreased to \$18.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$18.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2004 primarily due to our \$1.7 million retirement of debt in the second quarter of 2004 and earning interest income on additional invested funds.

Equity in earnings increased in 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to improved profitability at our joint ventures.

Due to losses for the last three years, the realization of deferred tax assets is uncertain. Therefore, valuation allowances for net deferred tax assets were recorded in 2004 and 2005. The tax provision of \$662,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005 is for state income taxes and federal alternative minimum tax. No federal or state tax benefits were recorded for the full year 2005.

**Year Ended December 31, 2004 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2003**

For the year ended December 31, 2004, our operations reflected increases of 4.0% in technical service volumes compared to the year ended December 31, 2003. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we received additional service fee revenue of approximately \$500,000 as a result of renegotiating a capitated contract in our Bay Area market and approximately \$400,000 from a new management contract in our Mid-Atlantic

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

market. These amounts were offset by a \$350,000 decrease in service fee revenue due to the renegotiation of a management services agreement which resulted in a decrease in our management fee percentage and an increase in the technical bonus component to the contracted radiology group. Overall, the above activities resulted in an increase in service fee revenue of 3.8% over the year ended December 31, 2003 and reflect the impact of our improved organic growth including the addition of new imaging centers and imaging equipment placed into operations since December 31, 2003.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

The impact of hurricanes on our Southeastern operations resulted in an estimated \$480,000 loss of revenue in September 2004 compared to an estimated \$394,000 loss of revenue due to the impact of Hurricane Isabel on our Mid-Atlantic operations in September 2003.

**Imaging Centers Questar**

A summary of our Questar operations is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>For the Year Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Centers in continuing operations at year end	6	17
Centers in discontinued operations at year end	2	5
Service fee revenues continuing operations	\$ 9,227	\$ 8,575
Service fee revenues discontinued operations	\$ 10,553	\$ 18,196
Impairment of goodwill continuing operations	\$ 6,809	\$
Impairment of goodwill discontinued operations	\$ 10,206	\$ 8,400
Impairment of long-lived assets discontinued operations	\$ 617	\$
Gain (loss) on dispositions of centers, net	\$ (1,483)	\$ 11
Pre-tax income (loss) continuing	\$ (5,636)	\$ 363
Pre-tax loss - discontinued	\$ (11,431)	\$ (10,437)

In November 2004, we sold our 80% joint venture interest in our Questar Tampa operations, including accounts receivable, to our venture partner for \$275,000 in cash, resulting in a loss of \$591,000, including the write-off of goodwill for \$354,000.

In June 2004, we sold a Questar center for \$3.1 million in cash, resulting in a gain of \$682,000, net of a write-off of goodwill for \$500,000.

In fiscal 2003, the Company recorded an \$8.4 million pre-tax charge to discontinued operations related to the impairment of goodwill of Questar. In the first quarter of 2004, the Company recorded a \$5.5 million charge related to Questar in connection with our annual assessment of goodwill based on our internal analysis, which included a valuation performed by an independent valuation firm. In June 2004, after performing an extensive reassessment of our Questar imaging center portfolio, management concluded that certain centers were not strategic to our future plans and would be unable to meet and sustain our profitability requirements going forward. That reassessment considered: location, contracting leverage, expected capital requirements, the single modality nature of most of these sites, current operating trends, and the sale of our most profitable Questar center on June 21, 2004. The Company's decision to dispose of this group of imaging centers created an event that required us to reassess the carrying value of the assets related to these centers, including goodwill at our Questar segment. This reassessment considered the impact on the value of the ongoing, deteriorating operating trends in these centers, as well as the implications of disposing of individual centers versus operating those centers as part of an ongoing operating enterprise. To assist us in that reassessment, we engaged an independent valuation firm to estimate the fair value of our combined Questar sites. As a result of our reassessment and the independent valuation, the Company recorded a \$10.4 million pre-tax charge to continuing operations related to the impairment of Questar goodwill in June 2004. We also recorded a \$617,000 pre-tax charge to impair long-lived assets of certain Questar centers in June 2004.

In December 2004, the Company recorded a \$1.1 million pre-tax charge to continuing operations related to the impairment of goodwill at our Questar center in Arizona. This center is one of six Questar sites that we chose to keep in continuing operations at December 31, 2004. We did not anticipate this impairment previously as the center is in a strategic new location and was projected to improve in volumes, profitability and net cash flows in the fourth quarter of 2004 and throughout 2005. However, it appears that because of disruption caused by the move to this new location, confusion in the community due to a change in the center's name, and increased local competition, we have had difficulty in achieving the volumes, profitability and net cash flow levels that we expected in the fourth quarter of 2004 and budgeted for in 2005. Accordingly, although we intend to keep this center open in an attempt to engineer a turnaround in its operations, our revised volume, profitability and cash flow estimates did not support the recoverability of this center's goodwill at December 31, 2004.

We assess the viability of our imaging centers throughout the year. In the event we decide to dispose of one or more imaging centers, additional charges may result depending on cash flow and market conditions at the time of our assessment.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****Management Services Agreements****Mid-Atlantic Management Services Agreement**

During the third quarter of 2004, management determined that the ability of one of the radiology groups to perform in accordance with a management services agreement administered by one of our Mid-Atlantic subsidiaries had diminished significantly. With several owned imaging centers covered by the management services agreement operating at financial losses, deteriorating financial conditions at hospitals involving professional reading arrangements, and the resignation from the practice of two physician leaders, management concluded that the value of our intangible asset had become significantly impaired.

As a result, Radiologix and the radiology group agreed to terminate the management services agreement. The Company has decided to dispose of three unprofitable imaging centers and to transfer the professional reading responsibility for certain other centers to another radiology group that operates under a management services agreement with us in the Mid-Atlantic market. As of December 31, 2004, the Company will no longer be a party to most of the professional reading arrangements at certain hospitals and accordingly, we will receive minimal service fee revenue from these arrangements in 2005. We received \$6.3 million in service fee revenue from these professional reading arrangements in 2004.

Based on our assessment and the actions that we have undertaken, the Company recorded 2004 third quarter impairment charges of: \$6.5 million to write off the unamortized portion of intangible assets related to this group's management services agreement, and \$800,000 to write off long-lived assets related to the centers planned for disposition, one of which was disposed of in December 2004.

Service fee revenue and pre-tax income (loss) for the remaining two centers disposed of in 2005, and the professional reading arrangements that we are no longer be a party to, as reflected in continuing operations (including impairment charges), are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>For the Year Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Service fee revenue	\$ 5,910	\$ 5,890
Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ (5,871)	\$ 2,312

**San Antonio, Texas Management Services Agreement**

We completed the sale of our operations in San Antonio, Texas in the second quarter of 2004. The purchase price was \$10.5 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain on sale of approximately \$4.7 million. Net cash received was \$9.7 million after purchase price adjustments. The sale included (1) assets we owned and leased in our operation of M&S Imaging Partners, Inc., (2) a diagnostic imaging center, and (3) certain partnership interests, but did not include accounts receivable aggregating approximately \$4.7 million, which we retained.

**Other Management Services Agreements**

In addition to the management services agreement we terminated effective January 31, 2005 (see Note 6 of Item 8), we amended (1) a management services agreement which resulted in a 15% reduction in our management fee effective January 1, 2004 and (2) a separate management services agreement which resulted in the establishment of a technical bonus to the contracted radiology group and 3% reduction in our management fee effective October 1, 2004.

Our management fees for certain other management services agreements declined by 1% in 2004 and will decline by an additional 1% in 2005. The estimated annual impact to our service fee revenue for these 1% decreases is approximately \$650,000.

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

In connection with the amendment of a management services agreement with a contracted radiology group in July 2002, the Company recorded deferred revenue of \$3.3 million in consideration for the amended agreement, which amount is amortized over 20 years. In December 2002, the Company amended the management services agreement of another contracted radiology practice and recorded deferred revenue of \$4.8 million in consideration for the amended agreement, which is amortized over 19 years.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****Other Charges**

A summary of other charges in continuing operations (in addition to the impairment amounts discussed above) is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>For the Year Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	<b>(As restated)</b>	
Other impairment (1)	\$ 538	\$ 523
Contract termination costs (2)	\$ 515	\$
Severance and related costs (3)	\$ 405	\$ 1,568
Litigation and regulatory matters (4)	\$ 295	\$ 1,621
Amendment of credit facility (5)	\$	\$ 363
Lease termination expense (6)	\$ 13,948	\$

- (1) We incurred impairment charges and other costs aggregating \$263,000 in the third quarter of 2004 associated with damages from hurricanes impacting our Southeastern operations. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we recorded additional impairment charges of \$275,000 for software related to our RIS system in our Northeast operations where software has been replaced in connection with the implementation of our REWARD Program. In fiscal 2003, we incurred impairment charges of \$523,000 to write-off a patient scheduling software system that we replaced.
- (2) In the third quarter of 2004, we recorded \$315,000 for lease termination costs related to diagnostic equipment no longer in use; and \$200,000 to write-off software costs associated with canceling a software contract.
- (3) During the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, we recognized \$405,000 and \$1.6 million in charges, respectively, in connection with severance and other related costs for changes in the Company's senior management team.
- (4) In the third quarter of 2004, we recorded \$295,000 for a litigation settlement. For the year ended December 31, 2003, we recorded a \$775,000 charge for regulatory matters and related legal and consulting costs in connection with self-reporting a matter to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Office of the Inspector General (OIG), \$546,000 in costs to meet HIPAA compliance requirements, and a \$300,000 litigation settlement related to our Mid-Atlantic operations.
- (5) In 2003, we incurred costs of \$363,000 in connection with amending a credit facility.
- (6) In 2004, we incurred costs of \$13.9 million in connection with the termination of an operating lease assumed in the PresGar transaction.

**Operating Expenses**

The following table outlines our operating expenses, excluding (1) \$78,000 and \$27,000 of restricted stock expense in 2004 and 2003, respectively, (2) the \$4.7 million gain on sale of our San Antonio operations in 2004, (3) the aggregate \$14.6 million in charges for impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets and items (1) through (6) in the above table, for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 below (in thousands):

	<b>2004</b>		<b>Percent Increase (Decrease)</b>	<b>Percent of Service Fee Revenue</b>		<b>Basis Point Change</b>
	<b>(As restated)</b>	<b>2003</b>		<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>	
Service fee revenue	\$ 251,291	\$ 242,038	3.8%			
Cost of services	\$ 158,159	\$ 148,259	6.7%	62.9%	61.3%	160
Equipment leases	17,660	17,230	2.5	7.0	7.1	(10)
Provision for doubtful accounts	22,337	20,228	10.4	8.9	8.4	50
Depreciation and amortization	22,999	23,926	(3.9)	9.2	9.9	(70)
Corporate, general and administrative	18,346	14,099	30.1	7.3	5.8	150
Interest expense, net	18,596	19,281	(3.6)	7.4	8.0	(60)
	\$ 258,097	\$ 243,023	6.2%	102.7%	100.5%	220

Total operating expenses, excluding gain, severance, impairment and other charges



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

Comparable results excluding certain Management Services Agreement operations (San Antonio and the terminated Mid-Atlantic agreement) are presented below:

	2004		Percent Increase (Decrease)	Percent of Service Fee Revenue		Basis Point Change
	(As restated)	2003		2004	2003	
Service fee revenue	\$ 239,393	\$ 220,925	8.4%			
Cost of services	\$ 152,074	\$ 139,028	9.4%	63.5%	62.9%	60
Equipment leases	17,546	17,054	2.9	7.3	7.7	(40)
Provision for doubtful accounts	19,714	17,291	14.0	8.2	7.8	40
Depreciation and amortization	22,311	22,241	0.3	9.3	10.1	(80)
Corporate, general and administrative	18,346	14,099	30.1	7.7	6.4	130
Interest expense, net	18,420	17,242	6.8	7.7	7.8	(10)
Total operating expenses, excluding gain, severance, impairment and other charges	\$ 248,411	\$ 226,955	9.5%	103.7%	102.7%	100

Cost of services consists of (1) field salaries and benefits, (2) field supplies, (3) field rent (lease) and (4) other field expenses.

Field salaries and benefits as a percentage of service fee revenue from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2004 were 34.4% compared to 32.9% for the year ended December 31, 2003. This increase resulted primarily from (1) higher cost of temporary labor required to fill vacant positions, especially radiology technologists, (2) salary market adjustments effective in July 2004 for certain employees at one of our subsidiaries, and (3) bonuses paid to field personnel. Field salaries and benefits costs were also impacted by new imaging centers placed into operations since December 31, 2003, internal recruiting costs to fill open positions and internal marketing costs related to new sales program initiatives. Field salaries and benefits as a percentage of service fee revenue from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, excluding the San Antonio and the terminated Mid-Atlantic operations, were 35.0% and 34.1%, respectively.

Field supplies as a percentage of service fee revenue from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2004 were 5.9% compared to 6.5% for the year ended December 31, 2003. This percentage decline was primarily due to (1) reduced film costs at one subsidiary that implemented a PACS system in 2004, (2) improved control over supply costs and (3) a decrease in nuclear medical volume, which reduces our radiopharmaceutical costs.

Field rent increased in the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the year ended December 31, 2003 primarily due to the impact of imaging centers placed in operation since December 31, 2003.

Other field expenses increased in the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the year ended December 31, 2003 due primarily to system conversion, upgrade and outsourcing costs for our patient accounting systems, physician purchased service costs higher marketing costs in our primary operations, higher workers compensation costs and higher off-site storage costs offset by decreases in repairs, maintenance and service contract costs on diagnostic equipment, lower legal and consulting purchased service costs related to the OIG matter, lower malpractice insurance costs and lower external recruiting costs.

Equipment lease expenses increased in the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the year ended December 31, 2003 primarily due to the impact of imaging center sites placed into operation since December 31, 2003 and decisions we made to lease rather than buy certain imaging equipment offset by the impact of lease buyouts and the acquisition of an equipment lease contract effective October 31, 2004 which, as discussed above, eliminates equipment lease expense that was previously recorded based on volume.

Provision for doubtful accounts increased by \$2.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the year ended December 31, 2003 due primarily to weaker than expected collection performance on receivables owed us for professional services we performed at two Mid-Atlantic hospitals as well as receivables we retained from the sale of our San Antonio operations.

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Depreciation and amortization decreased in the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the year ended December 31, 2003 primarily due to the effect of asset impairments and the impact of assets that became fully depreciated during fiscal 2004, offset by the impact of new imaging centers and new equipment placed in service since December 31, 2003 and lease buyouts in 2004.

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

Corporate, general and administrative expenses increased in the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the year ended December 31, 2003 due primarily to costs associated with filling vacant executive positions, relocation costs for our former chief executive officer, approximately \$1.2 million in costs relating to our Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 compliance efforts, increased costs related to our sales, marketing, information technology and development departments and approximately \$800,000 in management bonus costs accrued in the 2004 fourth quarter, offset by reduced legal costs as a result of establishing an in-house legal department which resulted in reducing our contracted legal costs.

Interest expense (net of interest income) for the year ended December 31, 2004 compared to the year ended December 31, 2003 is lower due primarily to our \$1.73 million retirement of debt in the second quarter of 2004.

Equity in earnings decreased in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to the sale of certain joint ventures in connection with our San Antonio operations, a \$286,000 charge to increase contractual adjustments in the fourth quarter of 2004, increases in contractual adjustments and provision for doubtful accounts during 2004, and increases in tube replacement costs.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS BY QUARTER**

The following table presents unaudited quarterly operating results for each of Radiologix's last eight fiscal quarters, restated as discussed in Note 3 and Note 22 of Item 8 for discontinued operations. Radiologix believes that all necessary adjustments have been included in the amounts stated below to present fairly the quarterly results when read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements. Results of operations for any particular quarter are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for a full year or predictive of future periods (in thousands, except per share data).

	2005 QUARTER ENDED				2004 QUARTER ENDED			
	MAR. 31 (As restated)	JUNE 30 (As restated)	SEPT. 30 (As restated)	DEC. 31	MAR. 31	JUNE 30	SEPT. 30	DEC. 31 (As restated)
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)								
<b>Statement of Operations Data:</b>								
Service fee revenue	\$ 62,751	\$ 64,311	\$ 62,258	\$ 62,120	\$ 66,042	\$ 66,211	\$ 63,613	\$ 55,425
Gross profit	\$ 10,014	\$ 9,934	\$ 7,872	\$ 7,224	\$ 9,596	\$ 10,792	\$ 8,456	\$ 835
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 1,463	\$ 1,269	\$ 492	\$ (2,962)	\$ 1,400	\$ 905	\$ (8,523)	\$ (23,783)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1,387	\$ 1,176	\$ 217	\$ (3,180)	\$ 840	\$ 823	\$ (5,175)	\$ (20,641)
Income (loss) on discontinued operations	(434)	30	(582)	(145)	(3,547)	(2,932)	(211)	(1,012)
Net income (loss)	\$ 953	\$ 1,206	\$ (365)	\$ (3,325)	\$ (2,707)	\$ (2,109)	\$ (5,386)	\$ (21,653)
<b>Earnings (loss) per common share:</b>								
Income (loss) from continuing operations basic	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.95)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations basic	(0.02)		(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.16)	(0.13)	(0.01)	(0.05)
Net income (loss) basic	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (1.00)
Income (loss) from continuing operations diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.95)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations diluted	(0.02)		(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.16)	(0.13)	(0.01)	(0.05)
Net income (loss) diluted	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.25)	\$ (1.00)
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>								
Basic	21,913	22,339	22,138	22,176	21,766	21,770	21,806	21,816
Diluted	22,509	22,572	22,411	22,176	22,288	22,220	21,806	21,816
Income (loss) for the quarters ended March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2005 and the corresponding periods in 2004 include the following for continuing operations:								

	2005 QUARTER ENDED				2004 QUARTER ENDED			
	MAR. 31	JUNE 30	SEPT. 30	DEC. 31	MAR. 31	JUNE 30	SEPT. 30	DEC. 31 (As restated)
Service fee revenue reduction	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 9,128
Lease termination expense								13,948

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Equity in earnings reduction						286
Impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets			2,241	5,752	7,474	1,332
Contract termination costs					515	
Stock based compensation expense	82	131	182	162	53	25
Severance and related costs			670		405	
Litigation and regulatory matters					295	
Gain on sale of operations					(4,669)	
Other impairment		(370)			263	275

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Liquidity at December 31, 2005, was derived from cash and cash equivalents and net cash generated by operating activities. As of December 31, 2005, we had current assets of \$95.9 million, including cash and cash equivalents of \$36.0 million, and current liabilities of \$25.4 million, including current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations of \$32,000. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we generated \$29.6 million in net operating cash flow, invested \$28.4 million and generated cash of \$692,000 from financing activities.

Net cash from operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2005 of \$29.6 million increased from \$15.3 million for the same period in 2004. Our operating cash flows are primarily impacted by the amount of service fee revenue we generate and ultimately collect, offset by the amount and timing of our payment obligations for resources used to generate service fee revenue, such as costs for payroll, supplies, equipment operating leases, equipment maintenance contracts, equipment repairs, utilities, facility rent, marketing, interest and general and administrative costs.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, the primary reasons for our increase in operating cash flows relative to the comparable period in 2004 were (1) in 2004, we incurred costs of \$13.9 million in connection with the termination of an operating lease assumed in the PresGar transaction, (2) substantially lower equipment lease expense and (3) lower general and administrative costs.

Our days sales outstanding on accounts receivable decreased from 48.2 days at December 31, 2004 to 48.0 days at December 31, 2005. We calculate days sales outstanding by dividing accounts receivable, net of allowances, by the two-month average revenue per day. Our days sales outstanding decreased as a result of improved denial rates and overall improved collections in 2005.

Net cash used in investing activities for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$28.4 million and \$14.8 million, respectively. Purchases of property and equipment during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$30.0 million and \$24.0 million, respectively, including \$1.9 million to buyout operating leases in 2005.

Net cash flows provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$692,000, compared to net cash flows used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2004 of \$3.1 million. We received proceeds from the exercises of stock options of \$848,000 in the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$190,000 in the year ended December 31, 2004. In 2004, we made payments on capital lease obligations and senior debt of approximately \$3.3 million.

At December 31, 2005, we had outstanding senior note borrowings of \$158.3 million and a \$12.0 million convertible subordinated junior note. At December 31, 2005, amounts considered outstanding under the revolving credit facility totaled \$1.4 million related to two letters of credit in connection with our high retention workers compensation program with \$27.2 million available for borrowings. Borrowings under this line are limited to 85% of eligible accounts receivable, as defined under the credit facility. Borrowings are secured by substantially all of our assets and a pledge of the capital stock of our wholly owned subsidiaries.

On July 9, 2004, we amended our master lease with GE under an Amended and Restated Master Lease Agreement. Through this arrangement, GE has agreed to fund up to \$60.0 million of equipment leases through December 31, 2006, and requires that at least two-thirds of the outstanding balance represent GE healthcare equipment. In connection with the Master Lease Agreement, the Company is required to provide additional cash collateral in a restricted account equal to 20% of the aggregate amounts outstanding under the Master Lease Agreement. The accompanying December 31, 2005 balance sheet includes \$5.7 million of restricted cash under this provision. GE provided us with a written waiver stating that GE agreed to waive compliance with the financial leverage ratio for the year ended December 31, 2004 and to modify this calculation for 2005 to exclude the \$9.1 million adjustment to service fee revenue after implementing the retrospective collection analysis in the fourth quarter of 2004.

The required debt incurrence ratio under the senior notes is 2.75. Our debt incurrence ratio of 2.34 at December 31, 2005 is not in compliance with these notes. The non-compliance with the debt incurrence ratio limits, among other things, our ability to incur additional debt (this does not impact our lease lines or credit facility as they are Permitted Indebtedness).

At December 31, 2005, applicable amounts outstanding under the Master Lease Agreement totaled \$27.7 million; commitments for leases signed but not placed in service under the Master Lease Agreement were \$17.0 million, and \$15.3 million remained available for future leases.

In fiscal 2006, we plan to spend approximately \$7.0 million for capital expenditures in connection with our REWARD Program, \$9.0 million for expansion of centers including de novo projects and commit \$9.0 million for major diagnostic equipment leases over the respective lease terms.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

We operate in a capital intensive, high fixed-cost industry that requires significant amounts of capital to fund operations, particularly the initial start-up and development cost of new diagnostic imaging centers and the acquisition of additional centers and new diagnostic imaging equipment. We currently believe that our cash balances, the expected cash flow from operations, and our borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility and our master lease line will be sufficient to fund our working capital, acquisitions and capital expenditure requirements for the next eighteen months. Our long-term liquidity needs will consist of working capital and capital expenditure requirements, the funding of future acquisitions and repayment of debt. We intend to fund these long-term liquidity needs from cash generated from operations, available borrowings under our revolving credit facility, our master lease line of credit, and future debt and equity financings. However, our ability to generate cash is subject to our performance, general economic conditions, industry trends and other factors. Many of these factors are beyond our control and cannot be anticipated at this time. To the extent we are unable to generate sufficient cash from our operations, or if funds are not available under our revolving credit facility or our master lease line, we may be unable to meet our capital expenditure and debt service requirements. Furthermore, we may not be able to raise any necessary additional funds through bank financing or the issuance of equity or debt securities on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

As of December 31, 2005, long-term debt, including capital lease obligations and non-cancelable operating leases, are as follows (in thousands):

	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	After 5 Years
<b>Contractual cash obligations:</b>					
Long term debt	\$ 170,250	\$	\$ 158,270	\$ 11,980	\$
Capital lease obligations	94	32	62		
Operating leases	111,429	25,163	45,115	28,310	12,841
<b>Subtotal contractual cash obligations</b>	<b>\$ 281,773</b>	<b>\$ 25,195</b>	<b>\$ 203,447</b>	<b>\$ 40,290</b>	<b>\$ 12,841</b>
<b>Interest obligations:</b>					
Senior notes	\$ 49,024	\$ 16,618	\$ 32,406	\$	\$
Convertible notes	3,434	958	1,917	559	
Other	11	6	5		
<b>Subtotal interest obligations</b>	<b>\$ 52,469</b>	<b>\$ 17,582</b>	<b>\$ 34,328</b>	<b>\$ 559</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>Total obligations</b>	<b>\$ 334,242</b>	<b>\$ 42,777</b>	<b>\$ 237,775</b>	<b>\$ 40,849</b>	<b>\$ 12,841</b>

On February 8, 2006, the President signed into law the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA). The DRA provides that reimbursement for the technical component for imaging services (excluding diagnostic and screening mammography) in non-hospital based freestanding facilities will be capped at the lesser of reimbursement under the Medicare Part B physician fee schedule or the Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (HOPPS) schedule.

Currently, the technical component of our imaging services is reimbursed under the Part B physician fee schedule, which generally allows for higher reimbursement than under the HOPPS. Under the DRA, we will be reimbursed at the lower of the two schedules, beginning January 1, 2007.

The DRA also codifies the reduction in reimbursement for multiple images on contiguous body parts previously announced by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). In November 2005, CMS announced that it will pay 100% of the technical component of the higher priced imaging procedure and 50% for the technical component of each additional imaging procedure for imaging procedures involving contiguous body parts within a family of codes when performed in the same session. Under current methodology, Medicare pays 100% of the technical component of each procedure. CMS will phase in this rate reduction over two years, so that the reduction will be 25% for each additional imaging procedure in 2006 and another 25% in 2007.



## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

We believe the implementation of the reimbursement reductions contained in the DRA will have a significant effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, Medicare revenue from our imaging centers represented approximately 26% of our total revenue from our imaging centers. If both reimbursement reductions contained in the DRA had been in effect during fiscal year 2005, we estimate that our Medicare revenue would have been reduced by approximately \$13.3 million and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates would have been reduced by \$1.6 million. The estimated future reduction in revenue and pre-tax earnings from the reimbursement changes contained in the DRA is as follows:

### Estimated Reduction in Revenue and Pre-Tax Earnings from DRA

<i>(In thousands of dollars)</i>	2006	2007
<b>Consolidated Operations:</b>		
Contiguous Body Parts	\$ 1,900	\$ 2,900
Fee Schedule Change		10,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,900</b>	<b>\$ 13,300</b>
<b>Unconsolidated Operations:</b>		
Contiguous Body Parts	\$ 200	\$ 200
Fee Schedule Change		1,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 200</b>	<b>\$ 1,600</b>

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

These estimated reductions do not include any reductions that would result if commercial payors adopt reimbursement reductions similar to those contained in the DRA. We have been notified by two payors that it will adopt the contiguous body part imaging reduction in 2006. If commercial payors adopt reimbursement reductions similar to those contained in the DRA, this would result in additional reductions in our estimated revenue and pre-tax earnings that could be much greater than the reductions shown above, leading to a further material and substantially negative effect on our business.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires the use of judgments and estimates. Our critical accounting policies are described below to provide a better understanding of how we develop our judgments about future events and related estimations and how they can impact our financial statements. A critical accounting policy is one that requires our most difficult, subjective or complex estimates and assessments and is fundamental to our results of operations. We identified our most critical accounting policies to be:

revenue recognition and estimation of allowances for contractuels and doubtful accounts;

evaluation of intangible assets, including goodwill, and long-lived assets for impairment; and

estimation of a valuation allowance in accounting for income taxes (deferred tax assets).

*Revenue Recognition, Contractual Allowances and Allowances for Doubtful Accounts*

As disclosed in our 2004 Form 10-Q for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we expected to finalize a retrospective collection analysis of our accounts receivable in the fourth quarter of 2004. Accordingly, in connection with our December 2004 year-end closing process, we did finalize this retrospective collection analysis. This retrospective process represents an enhancement to our methodology for estimating the amount of contractual adjustments and provision for doubtful accounts necessary to reduce gross revenue (billed charges) and gross receivables to net amounts realizable from managed care, Medicare, Medicaid, private and other payors. This enhanced methodology is based on the matching of cash collections to billed charges by month of service. The retrospective methodology provides greater objective evidence with which to estimate the collectability of accounts receivable because it allows us to match cash collections to gross charges by month of service over at least an 18-month period and consequently develop collection percentages and collection trends that are substantially complete. The retrospective analysis allows us to track the run out of cash on a population of charges over an extended period of time and allows us to estimate with greater precision how much we have left to collect on a receivable balance at any point in time and consequently assess the likelihood of collecting the remaining balance given established collection trends.

The \$9.1 million charge was appropriate in the fourth quarter of 2004 because the Company could not reasonably determine what periods the charge related to. The retrospective analysis, while providing us with a more accurate picture of the Company's current collection rates and accounts receivable, does not provide the necessary data to determine the correct collection rates for periods prior to 2003. We cannot compare the Company's historical collection rates before 2003 with those as determined by the retrospective analysis due to the Company's legacy billing systems and accounting records. Because we cannot reasonably determine when the \$9.1 million charge accrued, we recorded the adjustment in the fourth quarter of 2004, which was the closest period to when the charge was discovered. This analysis represented a change to our methodology for estimating the amount of net revenues and net receivables (after contractual adjustments and allowances for doubtful accounts). The provision for doubtful

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

accounts is based on historical write-offs, which did not significantly change during this time period. Thus, based on a comparison of the results of these two methodologies – the retrospective analysis and the historical write-off experience – we concluded that the \$9.1 million charge was not due to any increase in bad debt, but was properly attributed to contractual adjustments.

Our accounts receivable write-off process is primarily system-driven whereby a series of communications requesting payment is sent to a patient who either is without healthcare benefit coverage or who owes us a co-pay amount. These communications increase in intensity and urgency as the receivable becomes more delinquent. The communication cycle is a series of billing statements and letters requesting payment. The statements and letters are normally sent to patients who are without health insurance or who owe us a co-payment or deductible after insurance has been paid. The letters are sent for up to 110 days and increase in intensity and urgency as the receivable becomes more delinquent. If the receivable remains uncollected after this 110-day period, the communication cycle is complete and the receivable is written off in our patient accounting system and referred to a collection agency. We also review accounts receivable events checklists which are designed to identify significant delinquent accounts receivable. Write-offs for accounts identified by our events checklists are approved by the Vice President of our Patient Services Group.

*Impairment of Goodwill, Intangible and Long-Lived Assets*

*Goodwill and Indefinite Life Intangible Assets*

Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are subject to at least annual assessments for impairment by applying a fair-value-based test. We conduct our annual impairment fair-value-based test during the first quarter of each fiscal year. We also review the recoverability of our goodwill on a quarterly basis, including a review of events or changes in circumstances that may indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. In December 2005, we recorded a \$2.2 million pre-tax impairment charge to continuing operations to write-off goodwill related to our remaining Questar centers in California, Colorado and Minnesota. Increased competition in these markets has eroded the profitability of these centers. The increased competition throughout 2005 coupled with management's decision to not invest in new equipment or invest in new imaging centers in these markets has reduced the future expectations for these centers, thus lowering their value.

*Finite Life Intangible and Long-Lived Assets*

Impairment losses are recognized for long-lived assets through operations when events or changes in circumstances that may indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable and the underlying net cash flows are not sufficient to support the assets' carrying value. Examples of events or changes in circumstances or in the business climate can include, but are not limited to the following:

- a. History of operating losses or expected future losses
- b. Significant adverse change in legal factors
- c. Significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which the assets are used or in the physical condition of the assets
- d. Current expectations to dispose of the assets by sale or other means
- e. Reductions or expected reductions of cash flow

Our management services agreements, included in the consolidated balance sheets as intangible assets, are not considered to have indefinite useful lives and will continue to be amortized over a useful life of no more than 25 years based on SEC guidance. We regularly evaluate the carrying value and lives of the finite lived intangible assets in light of any events or circumstances that we believe may indicate that the carrying amount or amortization period should be adjusted.

*Income Taxes*

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method. This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities.

Developing our provision for income taxes, including our effective tax rate, and analysis of potential tax exposure items, if any, requires significant judgment and expertise in federal and state income tax laws, regulations and strategies, including the

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

determination of deferred tax assets and liabilities and, any estimated valuation allowances we deem necessary to value deferred tax assets. Our judgments and tax strategies are subject to audit by various taxing authorities. While we believe we have provided adequately for our income tax liabilities in our consolidated financial statements, adverse determinations by these taxing authorities could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Due to losses for the last three years, the realization of deferred tax assets is uncertain. Therefore, valuation allowances for net deferred tax assets were recorded in 2004 and 2005. The tax provision of \$662,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005 is for state income taxes and federal alternative minimum tax. No federal or state tax benefits were recorded for the full year 2005.

*New Accounting Pronouncements*

On December 16, 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of Statement No. 123. Statement 123(R) supersedes APB 25, and amends Statement No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach in Statement 123(R) is similar to the approach described in Statement 123. However, Statement 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative.

Pursuant to an SEC Amendment to Regulation S-X effective April 21, 2005, a revised date for adopting SFAS 123(R) is now the first interim reporting period of a registrant's first fiscal year beginning on or after June 15, 2005. As a result, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006 using the modified-prospective method.

The modified prospective method provides for the recognition of compensation cost beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of Statement 123(R) for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of Statement 123 for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of Statement 123(R) that remain unvested on the effective date. The Company has estimated the charge to operating expenses resulting from this adoption to be approximately \$1.4 million in 2006.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

*Throughout this report we make forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Forward-looking statements include words such as may, will, would, could, likely, estimate, intend, plan, continue, believe, expect or anticipate and other similar words and include all discussions about our acquisition and development plans. We do not guarantee that the transactions and events described in this report will happen as described or that any positive trends noted in this report will continue. The forward-looking statements contained in this report are generally located in the material set forth under the heading Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, but may be found in other locations as well. These forward-looking statements generally relate to our plans, objectives and expectations for future operations and are based upon management's reasonable estimates of future results or trends. Although we believe that our plans and objectives reflected in or suggested by such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we may not achieve such plans or objectives. You are cautioned not to unduly rely on such forward-looking statements when evaluating the information presented in this report. You should read this report completely and with the understanding that actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We will not update forward-looking statements even though our situation may change in the future.*

*Specific factors that might cause actual results to differ from our expectations include, but are not limited to:*

*economic, demographic, business and other conditions in our markets;*

*the highly competitive nature of the healthcare business;*

*changes in patient referral patterns;*

*changes in the rates or methods of government and third-party reimbursement for diagnostic imaging services;*

*changes in our contracts with radiology practice groups;*

*changes in the number of radiologists operating in our contracted radiology practice groups;*

*the ability to recruit and retain technologists;*

*the availability of additional capital to fund capital expenditure requirements;*

*lawsuits against Radiologix and our contracted radiology practice groups;*

*changes in operating margins, particularly changes due to our managed care contracts and capitated fee arrangements;*

*failure by Radiologix to comply with state and federal anti-kickback and anti-self referral laws or any other applicable healthcare regulations;*

*changes in business strategy and development plans;*

*changes in federal, state or local regulations affecting the healthcare industry;*

*our indebtedness, debt service requirements and liquidity constraints;*

*risks related to our Senior Notes and healthcare securities generally;*

*interruption of operations due to severe weather or other extraordinary events; and*

*charges for unusual or infrequent (nonrecurring) matters.*

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The Company's exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to the Company's cash equivalents, credit facilities, and its senior and convertible notes. At December 31, 2005, Radiologix had \$1.4 million considered outstanding under its revolving credit facility related to two letters of credit in connection with our high retention workers' compensation program. Radiologix's notes bear interest at fixed rates.



**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

	<b>PAGE</b>
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE	
<u>Reports of Ernst &amp; Young LLP Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	47, 48
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004</u>	49
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	50
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	51
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	52
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	53
<u>Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	90

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Radiologix, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Radiologix, Inc. as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These consolidated financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of management of Radiologix, Inc. (the Company). Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Radiologix, Inc. at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

The consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2004 and for the year then ended have been restated as discussed in Note 3.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Radiologix, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 8, 2006, expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment and an adverse opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Ernst & Young LLP

Dallas, Texas

March 8, 2006

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Radiologix, Inc.

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Radiologix, Inc. did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, because of the effect of a material weakness in Radiologix, Inc.'s procedures for accounting for lease terminations, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Radiologix, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. The following material weakness has been identified and included in management's assessment: in its assessment, management identified as a material weakness inadequate controls over accounting for leases. These procedures are used to determine the appropriate accounting for lease transactions, including termination of leases. The effect of this was the capitalization, in error, of \$13.9 million of intangible assets related to a lease termination. Consequently, the Company has restated its 2004 financial statements in this Form 10-K and recorded and expensed the \$13.9 million as a lease termination expense.

This material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2005 financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated March 8, 2006 on those financial statements.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Radiologix, Inc. did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO control criteria. Also, in our opinion, because of the effect of the material weakness described above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, Radiologix, Inc. has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the COSO control criteria.

Dallas, Texas

Ernst & Young LLP

March 8, 2006

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except share data)**

	<b>DECEMBER 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>(As restated)</b>	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36,004	\$ 34,084
Restricted cash	5,662	5,539
Accounts receivable, net of allowances	40,815	44,197
Due from affiliates	1,737	2,404
Federal and state income tax receivables and prepaid taxes	6,189	9,799
Assets held for sale		305
Other current assets	5,491	6,621
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>\$ 95,898</b>	<b>\$ 102,949</b>
Property and equipment, net	67,965	58,627
Investments in joint ventures	10,597	8,137
Goodwill		2,241
Intangible assets, net	54,050	57,381
Deferred financing costs, net	4,942	6,591
Deferred income taxes		1,635
Other assets	1,076	1,328
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 234,528</b>	<b>\$ 238,889</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable and other accrued expenses	\$ 10,157	\$ 11,342
Accrued physician retention	7,051	8,384
Accrued salaries and benefits	6,987	7,339
Deferred income taxes		1,839
Accrued interest	685	708
Current maturities of capital lease obligations	32	48
Current maturities of long-term debt		109
Other current liabilities	477	536
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 25,389</b>	<b>\$ 30,305</b>
Long-term debt, net of current portion	158,270	158,270
Convertible debt	11,980	11,980
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion	62	92
Deferred revenue	6,494	6,903
Other liabilities	1,488	1,000
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 203,683</b>	<b>\$ 208,550</b>
Commitments and contingencies (see note 10)		
Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries	1,874	1,242
<b>STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:</b>		

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$.0001 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 22,261,101 and 21,835,935 shares issued in 2005 and 2004, respectively and 22,242,417 and 21,817,251 outstanding in 2005 and 2004, respectively	2	2
Treasury stock	(180)	(180)
Additional paid-in capital	15,615	14,210
Retained earnings	13,534	15,065
 Total stockholders' equity	 \$ 28,971	 \$ 29,097
 Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	 \$ 234,528	 \$ 238,889

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(In thousands, except share data)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2005	2004 (As restated)	2003
Service fee revenue	\$ 251,440	\$ 251,291	\$ 242,038
Costs of operations:			
Cost of services	160,898	158,613	149,034
Equipment leases	13,035	17,660	17,230
Provision for doubtful accounts	19,033	22,337	20,228
Depreciation and amortization	23,430	22,999	23,926
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>35,044</b>	<b>29,682</b>	<b>31,620</b>
Severance and other related costs	670	405	1,568
Lease termination expense		13,948	
Corporate general and administrative	16,872	18,919	15,335
Impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets	2,241	14,558	523
Interest expense, net	18,295	18,596	19,281
Gain on sale of operations		(4,669)	
Loss before equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, income taxes and discontinued operations	(3,034)	(32,075)	(5,087)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	3,928	2,865	4,082
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries	(632)	(791)	(748)
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>(30,001)</b>	<b>(1,753)</b>
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	662	(5,848)	(701)
<b>LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(400)</b>	<b>(24,153)</b>	<b>(1,052)</b>
Discontinued Operations:			
Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes	(1,131)	(13,128)	(11,519)
Income tax benefit		(5,426)	(4,608)
Loss from discontinued operations	(1,131)	(7,702)	(6,911)
<b>NET LOSS</b>	<b>\$ (1,531)</b>	<b>\$ (31,855)</b>	<b>\$ (7,963)</b>
<b>LOSS PER COMMON SHARE:</b>			
Loss from continuing operations basic	\$ (0.02)	\$ (1.11)	\$ (0.05)
Loss from discontinued operations basic	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.32)
Net loss basic	\$ (0.07)	\$ (1.46)	\$ (0.37)

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Loss from continuing operations	diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	(1.11)	\$	(0.05)
Loss from discontinued operations	diluted	\$	(0.05)	\$	(0.35)	\$	(0.32)
Net loss	diluted	\$	(0.07)	\$	(1.46)	\$	(0.37)

WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:

Basic		22,067,445	21,789,517	21,724,165
Diluted		22,067,445	21,789,517	21,724,165

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY****(In thousands, except share data)**

	COMMON STOCK		TREASURY STOCK		ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	RETAINED EARNINGS	TOTAL
	SHARES	AMOUNT	SHARES	AMOUNT			
BALANCE, January 1, 2003	21,695,153	\$ 2	18,684	\$ (180)	\$ 13,662	\$ 54,883	\$ 68,367
Exercise of stock options	70,832				253		253
Stock options granted to consultant					27		27
Net loss						(7,963)	(7,963)
<b>BALANCE, December 31, 2003</b>	<b>21,765,985</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>18,684</b>	<b>\$ (180)</b>	<b>\$ 13,942</b>	<b>\$ 46,920</b>	<b>\$ 60,684</b>
Exercise of stock options	51,266				190		190
Stock options granted to consultant					40		40
Restricted stock grants					38		38
Net loss (As restated)						(31,855)	(31,855)
<b>BALANCE, December 31, 2004</b>	<b>21,817,251</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>18,684</b>	<b>\$ (180)</b>	<b>\$ 14,210</b>	<b>\$ 15,065</b>	<b>\$ 29,097</b>
Exercise of stock options	325,166				848		848
Stock options granted to consultant					40		40
Restricted stock grants	100,000				517		517
Net loss						(1,531)	(1,531)
<b>BALANCE, December 31, 2005</b>	<b>22,242,417</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>18,684</b>	<b>\$ (180)</b>	<b>\$ 15,615</b>	<b>\$ 13,534</b>	<b>\$ 28,971</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)**

	<b>YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
	<b>(As restated)</b>		
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net loss	\$ (1,531)	\$ (31,855)	\$ (7,963)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities including discontinued operations:			
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries	632	791	748
Distributions to minority interests of consolidated subsidiaries		(150)	(1,290)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(3,928)	(2,865)	(4,082)
Distributions from joint ventures	1,793	2,015	3,566
Depreciation and amortization	23,430	23,602	25,775
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,650	1,622	1,611
Impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets	2,241	25,536	9,390
Stock based compensation to consultant	40	40	27
Restricted stock grants expense	517	38	
Gains on sales of operations and imaging center equipment, net	(651)	(4,757)	
Deferred revenue	(409)	(409)	(409)
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	(204)	(11,747)	11,344
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	3,382	15,464	10,631
Income taxes receivable and prepaid taxes	3,611	(3,527)	(2,387)
Other assets	1,511	3,424	483
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(2,458)	(1,961)	(8,584)
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>29,626</b>	<b>15,261</b>	<b>38,860</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Increase in restricted cash	(122)	(5,539)	
Purchases of property and equipment	(29,958)	(23,970)	(16,513)
Contributions to joint ventures	(325)		
Net cash received on sales of operations and imaging centers	1,173	14,093	
Repayments from (advances to) unconsolidated affiliates, net	834	673	(930)
Other investments		(104)	
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(28,398)</b>	<b>(14,847)</b>	<b>(17,443)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Payments on long-term obligations, primarily capital leases	(156)	(1,608)	(4,014)
Retirement of senior debt		(1,730)	
Financing costs			(43)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	848	190	253
Other items		52	
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities</b>	<b>692</b>	<b>(3,096)</b>	<b>(3,804)</b>

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	1,920	(2,682)	17,613
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	34,084	36,766	19,153
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 36,004	\$ 34,084	\$ 36,766

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW DISCLOSURE:

Cash paid for interest	\$ 16,673	\$ 17,318	\$ 18,074
Income taxes paid (refunds received)	\$ (2,838)	\$ 3,997	\$ (9,290)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**DECEMBER 31, 2005, 2004 AND 2003**

**NOTE 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

Radiologix, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, Radiologix or the Company), a Delaware corporation, is a leading national provider of diagnostic imaging services through its ownership and operation of free-standing, outpatient diagnostic imaging centers.

Radiologix utilizes sophisticated technology and technical expertise to perform a broad range of imaging procedures, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography (PET), nuclear medicine, ultrasound, mammography, bone densitometry (DEXA), general radiology (X-ray) and fluoroscopy. As of December 31, 2005, we owned, operated or maintained, through our two operating segments, an ownership interest in imaging equipment at 71 locations with imaging centers located in 7 states, including primary operations in the Mid-Atlantic; the Bay Area, California; Treasure Coast, Florida; Northeast Kansas; and the Finger Lakes (Rochester) and Hudson Valley markets in New York state; and Questar operations with imaging centers located in California, Colorado and Minnesota. We offer multi-modality imaging services at 52 of our diagnostic imaging centers, which provide patients and referring physicians access to advanced diagnostic imaging services in one convenient location.

We also provide administrative, management and information services to certain radiology practices that provide professional services in connection with our diagnostic imaging centers and to hospitals and radiology practices with which we operate joint ventures. The services we provide leverage our existing infrastructure and, we believe, improve the profitability, efficiency and effectiveness of the radiology practice or joint venture.

Our results may be impacted by variability due to changes in modality mix and the volume of procedures performed, physician referral and vacation patterns, the impact of hospital and physician-affiliated imaging centers that compete in our primary and Questar operations, the timing and negotiation of managed care and service contracts, the availability of technologists and other personnel resources, and trends in receivable collectibility. We are impacted by seasonality in that referring physicians and technologists often schedule vacations in the summer months which typically results in a decline in our volumes and service fee revenue while increasing costs of services as we contract for the services of temporary technologists at higher rates.

**NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*Basis of Presentation*

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Investments in entities that the Company does not control, but in which it has a substantial ownership interest and can exercise significant influence, are accounted for using the equity method.

*Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, results of operations and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

*Cash and Cash Equivalents*

We consider all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

*Property and Equipment*

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Property and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful life. Amortization of assets under capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

*Goodwill, Intangible and Long-lived Assets*

The value of goodwill and intangible assets is stated at the lower of cost or fair value. Goodwill is not subject to amortization; however it is subject to periodic valuation assessments. Under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, the Company is required to perform at least an annual impairment test and to consider other indicators that may arise throughout the year to reevaluate carrying value. To the extent book value exceeds fair value, at the date an impairment is determined, the Company reduces goodwill by recording a charge to operations. We perform our annual impairment test in the first quarter of each fiscal year.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets ( SFAS No. 144 ), requires impairment losses to be recognized for long-lived assets through operations when indicators of impairment exist and the underlying cash flows are not sufficient to support the assets' carrying value. In addition, SFAS No. 144 requires that a long-lived asset (disposal group) to be sold that meets certain recognition criteria be classified as held for sale and measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. SFAS No. 144 also requires that a long-lived asset subject to closure (abandonment) before the end of its previously estimated useful life continue to be classified as held and used until disposal, with depreciation estimates revised to reflect the use of the asset over its shortened useful life.

In addition to the annual impairment test we perform with respect to goodwill, we regularly evaluate the carrying value of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets for events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable or that the remaining estimated useful life should be changed. Potential indicators of impairment can include, but are not limited to (1) history of operating losses or expected future losses; (2) significant adverse change in legal factors; (3) changes in the extent or manner in which the assets are used; (4) current expectations to dispose of the assets by sale or other means and (5) reductions or expected reductions of cash flow. In the event that we determine there is an indication of impairment, we compare undiscounted net cash flows to the carrying value of the respective asset. If the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted net cash flows, we perform an impairment calculation using discounted cash flows, valuation analysis from independent valuation specialists or comparisons to recent sales or purchase transactions to determine estimated fair value.

*Deferred Financing Costs*

Deferred financing costs are amortized on a straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, accumulated amortization of deferred financing costs was approximately \$6.7 million and \$5.1 million, respectively.

*Accrued Physician Retention*

Accrued physician retention represents amounts payable to contracted radiology practices under the management services agreements. The service agreements require Radiologix to remit physician retention to the contracted radiology practices by the end of the month after the month in which services were rendered.

*Revenue Recognition*

Service fee revenue from contracted radiology practice groups (professional revenue component) and diagnostic imaging centers (technical revenue component) is recorded when services are rendered by the contracted radiology practices and diagnostic imaging centers based on established gross charges billed and reduced by estimated contractual adjustments and amounts retained by the contracted radiology practice groups under the terms of management services agreements. Our patient accounting system currently does not record contractual adjustments at the time of billing. Instead, contractual adjustments and the provision for doubtful accounts are estimated based on historical collection experience using a retrospective collection analysis, which we began using in December 2004, payment-versus-charge schedules and aging models. Should circumstances change (shift in payor mix, decline in economic conditions or deterioration in aging of patient receivables), our estimates of the net realizable value of patient receivables could be reduced by a material amount. We have estimated that a change in our collection percentage of 1.0% could result in a change in service fee revenue of \$5.2 million per year.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

*Revenue Presentation*

The Financial Accounting Standards Board's Emerging Issues Task Force issued its abstract, Issue 97-2, Application of FASB Statement No. 94 and APB Opinion No. 16 to Physicians Practice Management Entities and Certain Other Entities with Contractual Arrangements (EITF 97-2). Since Radiologix has not established a controlling financial interest under EITF 97-2, Radiologix does not consolidate the contracted radiology practices.

*Income Taxes*

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method. This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities.

Developing our provision for income taxes, including our effective tax rate, and analysis of potential tax exposure items, if any, requires significant judgment and expertise in federal and state income tax laws, regulations and strategies, including the determination of deferred tax assets and liabilities and, any estimated valuation allowances we deem necessary to value deferred tax assets. Our judgments and tax strategies are subject to audit by various taxing authorities. While we believe we have provided adequately for our income taxes in our consolidated financial statements, adverse determinations by these taxing authorities could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, Disclosure About Fair Value of Financial Instruments, requires disclosure about the fair value of certain financial instruments. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments. The estimates of fair value are based upon the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues of long term-debt with the same maturities, when available, or discounted cash flows.

*Concentration of Credit Risk*

The Company's accounts receivable consist primarily of service fee revenue generated by radiology practices and imaging centers for services performed, that are immediately purchased by us and ultimately due from Medicare, Medicaid, managed care and private and other payors. The Company estimates that approximately 29%, 29% and 28% of these revenues in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, were funded through the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Company and its contracted radiology practices perform ongoing credit evaluations of their patients and generally do not require collateral. The Company and its contracted radiology practices maintain estimated allowances for potential credit losses.

*Stock-Based Awards*

The Company currently accounts for its employee stock-based compensation arrangements using the intrinsic-value method pursuant to the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25). Accordingly, because stock options are issued at fair value at the date of grant we do not recognize compensation expense for our stock option grants.

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure (SFAS No. 148). SFAS No. 148 provides companies alternative methods of transitioning to Statement of Accounting Standards No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123), which promulgates a fair value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also requires certain disclosure in both annual and quarterly financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. SFAS No. 148 does not mandate fair value accounting for stock-based employee compensation, but does require all companies to meet the disclosure requirements.

On December 16, 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which is a revision of Statement No. 123. Statement 123(R) supersedes APB 25, and amends Statement No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows. Generally, the approach in Statement 123(R) is similar to the approach described in Statement 123. However, Statement 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative.





---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

Pursuant to an SEC Amendment to Regulation S-X effective April 21, 2005, a revised date for adopting SFAS 123(R) is now the first interim reporting period of a registrant's first fiscal year beginning on or after June 15, 2005. As a result, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006 using the modified-prospective method.

The modified prospective method provides for the recognition of compensation cost beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of Statement 123(R) for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of Statement 123 for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of Statement 123(R) that remain unvested on the effective date. The Company has estimated the charge to operating expenses resulting from this adoption to be approximately \$1.4 million in 2006.

**NOTE 3. RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

In reviewing the PresGar equipment lease contract acquired on October 31, 2004, see Note 4, the Company has determined that its original accounting treatment of capitalizing the \$13.9 million lease termination costs was incorrect and in fact should have been expensed. Accordingly, the Company has restated its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004 to increase operating expense and decrease intangible assets, net. The Company has also restated its financial statements for each of the three quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2005 to decrease depreciation and amortization expense and decrease intangible assets, net. (See Note 22 for more details).

We did not record a tax benefit for the \$13.9 million lease termination costs since we determined that a valuation allowance was necessary due to the fact that we have had losses for the previous three years and the realization of additional deferred tax assets is questionable.

We have also reclassified certain previously reported amounts for all periods presented, including (1) amortization of deferred financing costs from Depreciation and Amortization expense to Interest Expense, net, on our consolidated statement of operations, (2) notes receivable from non-consolidated affiliates from Other Current Assets to Due from Affiliates on our consolidated balance sheets, (3) stock option exercise proceeds from Other Items in net cash used in financing activities to Proceeds from Exercise of Stock Options in the same section of our consolidated statement of cash flows, (4) restricted stock expense from Other Items in net cash from financing activities to Restricted Stock Expense in net cash provided by operating activities in our consolidated statement of cash flows, (5) Distributions from joint ventures and distributions to minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries have been reclassified from net cash used in investing activities to net cash from operating activities in the consolidated statement of cash flows, (6) certain balances in the Consolidating Balance Sheets and the Consolidating Statements of Operations in Note 21, Supplemental Guarantor Information, have been revised; these revisions primarily consist of separate reporting of investments in subsidiaries and intercompany receivables/payables in the Consolidating Balance Sheets and separate reporting of equity in income of wholly owned subsidiaries in the Consolidating Statements of Operations, (7) certain changes were made to our calculation of deferred tax assets and liabilities that affect both our consolidated balance sheet for the year ended December 31, 2004 and Note 15, Income Taxes, resulting from amendments to our income tax filings for certain prior years that were completed during 2005, and (8) supply cost rebate from Unallocated Amounts in the Segment to Consolidated Operating Result reconciliation table to Total Costs and Expenses of Primary Operations in the summary table of Segment Operating Results in Note 20, Segment Reporting.

Presented below are the consolidated financial statements of the Company at the periods specified below (1) as originally reported in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, and (2) as restated in this Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET****(In thousands, except share data)**

	<b>DECEMBER 31,</b>	
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>(As originally reported)</b>	<b>(As restated)</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 34,084	\$ 34,084
Restricted cash	5,539	5,539
Accounts receivable, net of allowances	44,197	44,197
Due from affiliates	2,029	2,404
Federal and state income tax receivables and prepaid taxes	3,905	9,799
Assets held for sale	305	305
Other current assets	6,996	6,621
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>97,055</b>	<b>102,949</b>
Property and equipment, net	58,627	58,627
Investments in joint ventures	8,137	8,137
Goodwill	2,241	2,241
Intangible assets, net	71,200	57,381
Deferred financing costs, net	6,591	6,591
Deferred income taxes	8,892	1,635
Other assets	1,328	1,328
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 254,071</b>	<b>\$ 238,889</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable and other accrued expenses	\$ 11,342	\$ 11,342
Accrued physician retention	8,384	8,384
Accrued salaries and benefits	7,339	7,339
Deferred income taxes	3,202	1,839
Accrued interest	708	708
Current maturities of capital lease obligations	48	48
Current maturities of long-term debt	109	109
Other current liabilities	536	536
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>31,668</b>	<b>30,305</b>
Long-term debt, net of current portion	158,270	158,270
Convertible debt	11,980	11,980
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion	92	92
Deferred revenue	6,903	6,903
Other liabilities	1,000	1,000
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>209,913</b>	<b>208,550</b>
Commitments and contingencies		
Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries	1,242	1,242
<b>STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:</b>		
Preferred stock		

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Common stock	2	2
Treasury stock	(180)	(180)
Additional paid-in capital	14,210	14,210
Retained earnings	28,884	15,065
Total stockholders' equity	42,916	29,097
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 254,071	\$ 238,889

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements

**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**

(In thousands, except share data)

	YEAR ENDED	
	DECEMBER 31,	
	2004 (As originally reported)	2004 (As restated)
Service fee revenue	\$ 251,291	\$ 251,291
Costs of operations:		
Cost of services	158,613	158,613
Equipment leases	17,660	17,660
Provision for doubtful accounts	22,337	22,337
Depreciation and amortization	24,750	22,999
Gross profit	27,931	29,682
Severance and other related costs	405	405
Lease termination expense		13,948
Corporate general and administrative	18,919	18,919
Impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets	14,558	14,558
Interest expense, net	16,974	18,596
Gain on sale of operations	(4,669)	(4,669)
Loss before equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, income taxes and discontinued operations	(18,256)	(32,075)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	2,865	2,865
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries	(791)	(791)
<b>LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(16,182)</b>	<b>(30,001)</b>
Income Tax Benefit	(5,848)	(5,848)
<b>LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(10,334)</b>	<b>(24,153)</b>
Discontinued Operations:		
Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes	(13,128)	(13,128)
Income tax benefit	(5,426)	(5,426)
Loss from discontinued operations	(7,702)	(7,702)
<b>NET LOSS</b>	<b>\$ (18,036)</b>	<b>\$ (31,855)</b>
<b>LOSS PER COMMON SHARE:</b>		
Loss from continuing operations basic	\$ (0.48)	\$ (1.11)
Loss from discontinued operations basic	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.35)

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Net loss	basic	\$	(0.83)	\$	(1.46)
Loss from continuing operations	diluted	\$	(0.48)	\$	(1.11)
Loss from discontinued operations	diluted	\$	(0.35)	\$	(0.35)
Net loss	diluted	\$	(0.83)	\$	(1.46)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:					
Basic			21,789,517		21,789,517
Diluted			21,789,517		21,789,517

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS**

(In thousands)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2004 (As originally reported)	2004 (As restated)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (18,036)	\$ (31,855)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities including discontinued operations:		
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries	791	791
Distributions to minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries		(150)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(2,865)	(2,865)
Distributions from joint ventures		2,015
Depreciation and amortization	25,353	23,602
Amortization of deferred financing costs		1,622
Impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets	25,536	25,536
Stock based compensation to consultant		40
Restricted stock grants expense		38
Gains on sales of operations and imaging centers, net	(4,757)	(4,757)
Deferred revenue	(409)	(409)
Deferred income tax benefit	(11,747)	(5,853)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	15,464	15,464
Income taxes receivable and prepaid taxes	(3,527)	(9,421)
Other assets	3,424	3,424
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,961)	(1,961)
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>27,266</b>	<b>15,261</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Increase in restricted cash	(5,539)	(5,539)
Purchases of property and equipment	(23,970)	(23,970)
Net cash received on sales of operations and imaging centers	14,093	14,093
Acquisition of equipment lease contract	(13,948)	
Contributions to joint ventures	(150)	
Distributions from joint ventures	2,015	
Repayments from (advances to) unconsolidated affiliates, net	673	673
Other investments	(104)	(104)
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(26,930)</b>	<b>(14,847)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Payments on long-term obligations, primarily capital leases	(1,608)	(1,608)
Retirement of senior debt	(1,730)	(1,730)
Proceeds from stock option exercises		190
Other items	320	52

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Net cash used in financing activities	(3,018)	(3,096)
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(2,682)	(2,682)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	36,766	36,766
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 34,084	\$ 34,084
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW DISCLOSURE:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 17,318	\$ 17,318
Income taxes paid, net of refunds received	\$ 3,997	\$ 3,997

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****NOTE 4. LEASE TERMINATION EXPENSE**

Effective October 31, 2004, we entered into a definitive agreement for \$15.5 million in cash for the following:

the purchase of four MRI systems and the assumption of an operating lease for one additional MRI system; and

an equipment lease contract that was entered into prior to the formation of Radiologix by the Ide Group, P.C. ( Ide ). This lease was terminated.

The four MRI systems were recorded in the financial statements at their fair market value of \$1.6 million. The remaining \$13.9 million was expensed as a lease termination charge to operating expense.

The equipment lease contract was originally sold by Ide in 1990 to MICA Imaging, Inc., a predecessor of PresGar Companies, LLC. Ide is a non-affiliated radiology practice that entered into a Medical Services Agreement ( MSA ) with the Company in 1997 when Radiologix was formed. As a condition of entering into the MSA, the Company assumed the obligation under the equipment lease contract that PresGar had entered into with Ide in 1990. The acquisition of the equipment lease contract increased both the profitability and value of the Ide MSA.

Under this equipment lease contract, PresGar had acquired a long-term perpetual right to provide certain MRI systems (and the obligation to service the equipment and replace that equipment as it became obsolete) to the Company (as successor to Ide) and to charge the Company usage-based rent on these pieces of equipment. The acquisition of the equipment lease contract eliminates expenses that previously varied based on volume resulting in incremental reductions in equipment lease expense as volume increased. If this transaction had been effective on January 1, 2004 instead of October 31, 2004, we estimate that cost of services would have increased by \$500,000, equipment lease expense would have decreased by \$4.5 million, and pre-tax loss would have decreased by \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004.

**NOTE 5. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

A summary of goodwill and intangible assets at December 31, 2005 and 2004 is as follows (in thousands):

	Estimated Useful Life	2005	2004 (As restated)
Goodwill	Indefinite	\$	\$ 2,241
Management services agreements	25 years	\$ 76,577	\$ 76,577
Accumulated amortization		(22,527)	(19,196)
Intangible assets, net		\$ 54,050	\$ 57,381

Amortization expense for 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$3.3 million, \$3.7 million and \$3.8 million, respectively. The estimated amortization expense for each of the five succeeding fiscal years is \$3.3 million or \$16.6 million in the aggregate.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****NOTE 6. IMPAIRMENT OF GOODWILL, INTANGIBLE AND LONG-LIVED ASSETS****Impairment - Management Services Agreement**

During the third quarter of 2004, management determined that the ability of one of the radiology groups to perform in accordance with a management services agreement administered by one of our Mid-Atlantic subsidiaries had diminished significantly. With several owned imaging centers covered by the management services agreement operating at financial losses, deteriorating financial conditions at hospitals involving professional reading arrangements, and the resignation from the practice of two physician leaders, management concluded that the value of intangible asset related to this management services agreement had become significantly impaired.

As a result, Radiologix and the radiology group terminated the management services agreement on January 31, 2005. The Company decided to dispose of three unprofitable imaging centers and to transfer the professional reading responsibility for certain other centers to another radiology group that operates under a management services agreement with us in the Mid-Atlantic market.

Based on our assessment and the actions that we have undertaken, the Company recorded 2004 third quarter impairment charges of: \$6.5 million to write-off the unamortized portion of intangible assets related to this group's management services agreement, and \$800,000 to write-off long-lived assets related to the centers planned for disposition, all of which were disposed of at December 31, 2005.

Service fee revenue and pre-tax income (loss) for the two centers disposed of in 2005, and the professional reading arrangements that we no longer are a party to, as reflected in continuing operations (including impairment charges), are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>For the Year Ended</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>December 31, 2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Service fee revenue	\$ 968	\$ 5,910	\$ 5,890
Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ 417	\$ (5,871)	\$ 2,312

**Impairments Questar Subsidiary**

In December 2005, we recorded a \$2.2 million pre-tax impairment charge to continuing operations to write-off the remaining goodwill related to our Questar centers in California, Colorado and Minnesota. These centers are three of five Questar sites that remained in continuing operations at December 31, 2005. Increased competition in these markets has eroded the profitability of these centers. The increased competition throughout 2005 coupled with management's decision to not invest in new equipment or invest in new imaging centers in these markets has reduced the future expectations for these centers, thus lowering their value.

In December 2004, we recorded an impairment charge of \$1.1 million to reduce goodwill related to our Questar center in Arizona. This center was one of six Questar sites that remained in continuing operations at December 31, 2004. We did not anticipate this impairment previously as the center is in a strategic location and was projected to improve in volumes, revenues and cash flows in the fourth quarter of 2004 and throughout 2005. However, it appears that because of disruption caused by the move to this new location, confusion in the community due to a change in the center's name, and increased local competition, we had difficulty in achieving the volumes, profitability and cash flow levels that we expected in the fourth quarter of 2004 and budgeted for in 2005. Accordingly, we closed this center in 2005 after exhaustive attempts to engineer a turnaround in its operations.

In June 2004, after performing an extensive assessment of our Questar imaging center portfolio, management concluded that seven centers were not strategic to our future plans and would be unable to meet and sustain our profitability requirements going forward. That assessment considered the following: location, contracting leverage, expected capital requirements, the single modality nature of most of these centers, current operating trends, and the sale of our most profitable Questar center on June 21, 2004.

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

The Company's decision to dispose of these seven imaging centers created an event that required us to reassess the carrying value of the assets related to these centers, including goodwill at our Questar segment. This reassessment considered the impact on the value of the ongoing, deteriorating operating trends in these centers, as well as the implications of disposing of individual centers versus operating those centers as part of an ongoing operating enterprise.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

To assist us in that reassessment, we engaged an independent valuation firm to estimate the fair value of our combined Questar sites. The valuation performed by this firm was based on a blending of: (1) discounted cash flows and an exit multiple for the business, (2) a market approach using public company information, discounted to reflect the nature of the Questar operations, and (3) individual transactions experienced by Radiologix and similar companies in recent months. At management's recommendation, the valuation firm applied a high (70%) weighting factor to the valuation derived under the individual transaction method described in (3) above.

Based on the independent valuation, Radiologix recognized an impairment charge of \$10.4 million in the second quarter of 2004 to reduce the Questar goodwill carrying value to estimated fair value. This charge is in addition to the \$5.5 million charge we recorded in the first quarter of 2004 related to Questar in connection with our annual assessment of goodwill. The first quarter valuation included the operating results of our most profitable Questar center and also gave equal weighting factors to an income and market approach. We also recorded a \$617,000 pre-tax charge to impair long-lived assets of certain Questar centers in June 2004.

In 2003, we recorded impairment charges of \$8.9 million to write-down goodwill and long-lived assets, respectively, related to Questar imaging centers using expected sales values determined based on individual transactions experienced by Radiologix and our knowledge of the business environment, to estimate fair value.

The components of our impairment charges are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>For the Year Ended</b>		
	<b>December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
<b>Continuing Operations:</b>			
Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets	\$ 2,241	\$ 13,365	\$
Impairment of long-lived assets		1,193	523
	\$ 2,241	\$ 14,558	\$ 523
<b>Discontinued Operations:</b>			
Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets	\$	\$ 10,206	\$ 8,867
Impairment of long-lived assets		772	
	\$	\$ 10,978	\$ 8,867

In November 2004, we sold our 80% joint venture interest in our Questar Tampa operations, including accounts receivable, to our venture partner for \$275,000 in cash, resulting in a loss of \$591,000, including the write-off of goodwill for \$354,000.

In June 2004, we sold a Questar center for \$3.1 million in cash resulting in a gain of \$682,000, net of a write-off of goodwill of \$500,000.

The Company regularly considers whether events or circumstances may affect either the fair value of recorded intangible assets or their associated useful lives. At December 31, 2005, the combined operations of our remaining five Questar centers are generating positive cash flow; and, the Company does not believe there are any additional indicators that the carrying values or the useful lives of these assets need to be adjusted. However, in the event we decide to dispose of any remaining Questar centers, additional charges may result depending on cash flow and market conditions at the time of disposal.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****Other Charges**

A summary of other impairment charges is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Other impairment (included in Cost of Services) (1)	\$ (370)	\$ 538	\$ 523

- (1) We incurred impairment charges and other costs aggregating \$263,000 in the third quarter of 2004 associated with damages from hurricanes impacting our Southeastern operations. We received insurance proceeds of \$370,000 relating to these damages in the second quarter of 2005. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we recorded additional impairment charges of \$275,000 for software related to our Radiology Information System (RIS) in our Northeast operations which software has been replaced in connection with our Radiologix Enhanced Workflow And Record Distribution (REWARD) Program. In fiscal 2003, we incurred impairment charges of \$523,000 to write-off a patient scheduling software system that we replaced.

**NOTE 7. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS**

A summary of discontinued operations, related to our Questar operations, is as follows (in thousands):

	As of and		
	For the Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Centers in continuing operations at year end	5	6	17
Centers in discontinued operations at year end		2	5
Service fee revenues continuing operations	\$ 7,679	\$ 9,227	\$ 8,575
Service fee revenues discontinued operations	\$ 270	\$ 10,553	\$ 18,196
Impairment of goodwill continuing operations	\$ 2,241	\$ 6,809	\$
Impairment of goodwill discontinued operations	\$	\$ 10,206	\$ 8,400
Impairment of long-lived assets discontinued operations	\$	\$ 617	\$
Gain (loss) on dispositions of centers, net	\$	\$ (1,483)	\$ 11
Pre-tax income (loss) continuing	\$ (1,257)	\$ (5,636)	\$ 363
Pre-tax loss - discontinued	\$ (1,252)	\$ (11,431)	\$ (10,437)

Assets and liabilities of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 were as follows (in thousands):

	2005	2004
Assets	\$ 7	\$ 1,688
Liabilities	179	455
Net assets (liabilities)	\$ (172)	\$ 1,233

The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are not segregated in the consolidated balance sheets.

Cash flows from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 was primarily driven by collections of outstanding accounts receivable and payments on remaining commitments.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****NOTE 8. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consists of the following at December 31, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

	Estimated Useful Life	2005	2004
Equipment (primarily medical diagnostic equipment)	5-7 years	\$ 140,204	\$ 131,787
Leasehold improvements	Lesser of lease life, or 10 years	40,908	33,590
Buildings	15 years	770	770
Work in process		11,561	5,114
		\$ 193,443	\$ 171,261
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(125,478)	(112,634)
Property and equipment, net		\$ 67,965	\$ 58,627

Depreciation expense for 2005, 2004 and 2003, including amounts recorded in discontinued operations, was \$20.1 million, \$19.9 million and \$23.6 million, respectively.

**NOTE 9. SERVICE FEE REVENUE**

Radiologix has two models by which it contracts with radiology practices: a comprehensive services model and a technical services model. Under the comprehensive services model, the Company enters into a long-term agreement with a radiology practice group (typically 40 years). Under this arrangement, in addition to earning technical service fee revenue for the use of Radiologix's diagnostic imaging equipment and the provision of technical services, the Company provides management services and receives service fee revenue based on the practice group's professional revenue, including revenue outside of our diagnostic imaging centers. Under the technical services model, the Company enters into a shorter-term agreement with a radiology practice group (typically 10 to 15 years) and earns service fee revenue and pays them a fee based on cash collections from reimbursements for imaging procedures.

Service fee revenue of the contracted radiology practice groups (professional revenue component) and diagnostic imaging centers (technical revenue component) is recorded when services are rendered by the contracted radiology practices and diagnostic imaging centers based on established gross charges billed and reduced by estimated contractual allowances and amounts retained by the contracted radiology practice groups under the terms of management services agreements. Our patient accounting system currently does not record contractual allowances at the time of billing. Instead, allowances for contractual adjustments and doubtful accounts are estimated based on historical collection experience using a retrospective collection analysis, which we began using in the fourth quarter of 2004, payment-versus-charge schedules and aging models. In connection with our December 2004 year-end closing process, we did finalize this retrospective collection analysis and increased contractual adjustments by \$9.1 million, resulting in a corresponding decrease in service fee revenue and accounts receivable in the fourth quarter of 2004 to reflect the change in estimate in net realizable value. Should circumstances change (shift in payor mix, decline in economic conditions or deterioration in aging of patient receivables), our estimates of the net realizable value of patient receivables could be reduced by a material amount.

Because Radiologix has no financial controlling interest in the radiology practice groups, as defined in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 97-2 (EITF 97-2), the Company does not consolidate the financial statements of those practices in its consolidated financial statements. The following table sets forth the amounts of revenue for the contracted radiology practices and diagnostic imaging centers that would have been presented in the consolidated statements of operations had Radiologix met the provisions of EITF 97-2 (in thousands):

	2005	2004	2003
Revenue for contracted radiology practices and diagnostic imaging centers, net of contractual adjustments	\$ 345,417	\$ 352,308	\$ 339,385
Amounts retained by contracted radiology practices	(93,977)	(101,017)	(97,347)

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Service fee revenue	\$ 251,440	\$ 251,291	\$ 242,038
---------------------	------------	------------	------------

The Company's service fee revenue is dependent upon the operating results of the contracted radiology practices and diagnostic imaging centers. Where state law allows, service fees due under the service agreements for the contracted radiology practices are derived from two distinct revenue streams: (1) a negotiated percentage of the professional revenues, reduced by certain expenses, as defined in the management services agreements; and (2) 100% of the adjusted technical revenues as defined in the service agreements. In states where the law requires a flat fee structure, Radiologix has negotiated a base service fee, which approximates the estimated fair market value of the services provided under the service agreements and which is renegotiated each year. Service fee revenue is comprised of the following (in thousands):

	2005	2004	2003
Professional component	\$ 33,277	\$ 38,019	\$ 46,576
Technical component	218,163	213,272	195,462
Service fee revenue	\$ 251,440	\$ 251,291	\$ 242,038

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

The following table reflects our approximate payor mix, based on revenue generated at our diagnostic imaging centers, for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:

<b>Payor</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Managed Care	62%	62%	63%
Medicare and Medicaid	29%	29%	28%
Private and Other	9%	9%	9%

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, approximately 6%, 6% and 6%, respectively, of our diagnostic imaging center service fee revenue was generated from capitated arrangements. Of this 6%, two-thirds relates to contracts with two physician groups and the remainder relates to two contracts with one managed care payor.

We also contract with several Blue Cross and Blue Shield payors, which are major payors for us in several markets.

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, five of the Company's contracted radiology practices each contributed 10% or more of the Company's service fee revenue in at least one of the last three years as follows (in thousands):

<b>Practice</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Advanced Radiology, P.A.	\$ 77,515	\$ 71,866	\$ 68,711
Hudson Valley Radiology Associates, PLLC	\$ 26,025	\$ 24,310	\$ 20,770
The Ide Group, P.C	\$ 28,805	\$ 31,196	\$ 25,712
Community Radiology Associates, Inc.	\$ 47,521	\$ 36,152	\$ 33,390
Valley Radiology	\$ 25,886	\$ 23,356	\$ 20,245

**NOTE 10. MANAGEMENT SERVICES AGREEMENTS**

In addition to the management services agreement we terminated effective January 31, 2005 (see Note 6), we amended (1) a management services agreement which resulted in a 15% reduction in our management fee effective January 1, 2004 and (2) a separate management services agreement which resulted in the establishment of a technical bonus to the contracted radiology group and a 3% reduction in our management fee effective October 1, 2004.

Our management fees for certain other management services agreements declined by 1% in 2005 and will decline by an additional 1% in 2006. The estimated annual impact to our service fee revenue for these 1% decreases is approximately \$500,000.

In connection with the amendment of a management services agreement with a contracted radiology group in July 2002, the Company recorded deferred revenue of \$3.3 million in consideration for the amended agreement, which amount is amortized over 20 years. In December 2002, the Company amended the management services agreement of another contracted radiology practice and recorded deferred revenue of \$4.8 million in consideration for the amended agreement, which is amortized over 19 years.



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****NOTE 11. LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS**

Long-term debt and capital lease obligations consists of the following at December 31, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

	2005	2004
10.5% Senior Notes, due December 15, 2008	\$ 158,270	\$ 158,270
8% Convertible Junior Subordinated Note due July 2009	11,980	11,980
Note payable to bank and capital lease obligations, various interest rates	94	249
	\$ 170,344	\$ 170,499
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(32)	(157)
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, net of current portion	\$ 170,312	\$ 170,342

The maturities of long-term debt, including capital lease obligations, are approximately \$32,000 in fiscal 2006, \$34,000 in fiscal 2007, \$158.3 million in fiscal 2008 and \$12.0 million due in fiscal 2009.

*Senior Notes*

The Company's \$158.3 million in senior notes due December 15, 2008, bear interest at 10.5% payable semiannually in arrears on June 15 and December 15. The senior notes are redeemable on or after December 15, 2005 at various redemption prices, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. These notes are unsecured obligations, which rank senior in right of payment to all subordinated indebtedness and equal in right of payment with all other senior indebtedness. The senior notes are unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by certain restricted existing and future subsidiaries. The required debt incurrence ratio under these notes is 2.75. Our debt incurrence ratio of 2.34 at December 31, 2005 is not in compliance with the requirements of these notes. The non-compliance with the debt incurrence ratio imposes the following limitations on the Company: 1) limits the Company's ability to make restricted payments (e.g., retirement of the Convertible Junior Subordinated Note) to a maximum of \$5,000,000; 2) prevents sale and leaseback transactions; 3) limits the incurrence of additional indebtedness (this does not impact our lease lines or credit facility as they are Permitted Indebtedness); and 4) prevents the designation of an unrestricted subsidiary as a subsidiary. In order to pass the current debt incurrence ratio of 2.75 to 1.00, the Company will need to reach \$50.3 million in EBITDA for four consecutive quarters. In the 2004 second quarter, the Company retired \$1.73 million of these senior notes at a price equal to 103.25% of face value. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, our senior notes were trading at 98.0% and 110.5% of face value, respectively.

*Convertible Junior Subordinated Note*

The Company has a \$12.0 million convertible junior subordinated note, which matures July 31, 2009, and bears interest, payable quarterly in cash or in-kind securities, at an annual rate of 8.0%. The note holder may convert borrowings under the note to common stock at \$7.52 per share. This note is considered in the calculation of diluted earnings per share as applicable (see Note 16). The market value of these notes is not readily determinable.

*Revolving Credit Agreement*

At December 31, 2005, amounts considered outstanding under the revolving credit facility totaled \$1.4 million related to two letters of credit in connection with our high retention workers' compensation program with \$27.2 million available for borrowings. Borrowings under this line are limited to 85% of eligible accounts receivable, as defined under the credit facility. Borrowings are secured by substantially all of our assets and a pledge of the capital stock of our wholly owned subsidiaries.

**NOTE 12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES***Master Lease Agreement*

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Radiologix maintains operating leases for certain imaging equipment under an Amended and Restated Master Lease Agreement with GE Healthcare Financial Services (GE). Through this arrangement, GE has agreed to fund up to \$60.0 million of equipment leases through December 31, 2006, and requires that at least two-thirds of the outstanding balance represent GE healthcare equipment.

In connection with the Master Lease Agreement, the Company is required to provide additional cash collateral in a restricted account equal to 20% of the aggregate amounts outstanding under the Master Lease Agreement. The accompanying December 31, 2005 balance sheet includes \$5.7 million of restricted cash under this provision.

The Master Lease Agreement also contains certain covenants related to financial leverage, fixed charge coverage, and total indebtedness to GE. Failure to comply with these covenants would restrict our ability to lease additional equipment under the Master Lease Agreement until the covenants are met. GE provided us with a written waiver stating that GE agreed to waive compliance with the financial leverage ratio for the year ended December 31, 2004 and to modify this calculation for 2005 to exclude the \$9.1 million adjustment to service fee revenue after implementing the retrospective collection analysis in the fourth quarter of 2004.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

At December 31, 2005, applicable amounts outstanding under the Master Lease Agreement totaled \$27.7 million; and \$15.3 million remained available for future leases. Commitments for leases signed but not placed in service under the Master Lease Agreement were \$17.0 million at December 31, 2005.

*Leases*

The Company leases office and facility space as well as certain diagnostic equipment under operating leases. Future minimum lease payments under these operating leases for fiscal 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 and thereafter are \$25.2 million, \$23.9 million, \$21.2 million, \$16.9 million, \$11.4 million, and \$12.8 million, respectively. Combined equipment and facility lease expense was approximately \$27.2 million, \$31.3 million and \$30.0 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Our facility lease terms generally vary in length from 1 year to 15 years with renewal options upon prior written notice, from 1 year to 10 years depending on the agreed upon terms with the local landlord. Facility lease amounts generally increase from 1% to 4% on an annual basis. We do not have options to purchase the facilities we currently lease. These leases usually contain exclusivity clauses prohibiting the landlord from leasing space to potentially competitive businesses within a defined distance of our existing locations.

Our equipment lease agreements are generally negotiated through either GE or Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc. These leases typically contain payment terms from 60 to 62 months and may include early buy-out options equal to the estimated fair market value of the equipment, plus applicable taxes, at the time of the option.

*Litigation*

Our current litigation is (i) expected to be covered by liability insurance or (ii) is not expected to adversely affect our business. Some risk exists, however, that we could subsequently be named as a defendant in additional lawsuits or that pending litigation could escalate and adversely affect us.

*Self-insurance*

At December 31, 2005, the amount of reserves related to the potential obligations under our self-insured arrangements, which are comprised of estimated health benefits and workers' compensation obligations was \$1.9 million. We believe we are adequately reserved for estimated potential obligations under these arrangements.

**NOTE 13. 401(K) PLAN**

The Company established a defined contribution plan (the 401(k) plan) in January 1999. Employees are eligible immediately upon date of hire. The 401(k) plan allows for a discretionary employer match of contributions made by participants after such participants have completed 1,000 hours of service. With respect to the Company match, a participant vests 20% after two years of service, 40% after three years of service, 60% after four years of service, 80% after five years of service and 100% after six years of service.

The Company may make matching contributions under this plan of up to 3% of the participant's compensation if the participant contributes 6% or more of their compensation. For participants who contribute less than 6% of their compensation, matching contributions may be made up to 50% of the amount contributed. Company contributions to the plan were approximately \$1.2 million in 2005, \$1.2 million in 2004 and \$1.1 million in 2003.

**NOTE 14. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

Under the 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Plan), an initial 4,000,000 options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock were available for grant to key directors, employees and other healthcare professionals associated with Radiologix, as defined by the 1996 Plan. On July 15, 2004, the Company's stockholders approved the adoption of the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan. As a result, all stock award grants from then on are made under this 2004 Plan. The total number of shares reserved and available for grant under the 2004 Plan are 3,000,000 plus any shares remaining available for grant under the prior 1996 Plan. Options granted under the 2004 Plan may be either incentive stock options (ISO) or nonqualified stock options (NQSO). The option price per share under the 2004 Plan may not be less than 100% of the fair market value at the grant date for ISO and may not be less than 85% of the fair market value at the grant date for NQSO. All of the options granted under the 2004

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

and 1996 Plans through December 31, 2005 were at fair market value on the date of grant. Generally, options vest over a five-year period and are exercisable over a ten-year life. In 2004, 200,000 options were granted which vest in portions based on the Company's common stock exceeding various stock closing sales prices for 20 consecutive days. These performance based

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

options were cancelled on December 31, 2004 upon termination of the employee option holder. As of December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, 3,153,628, 3,091,503 and 2,694,710 options, respectively, were outstanding under the 2004 and 1996 Plans. Included in the December 31, 2005 balance are 549,806 options related to a 300,000 Restricted Stock Award to our Chief Executive Officer and Restricted Stock Units of 66,537 to directors, which awards count as 1.5 options for every 1 award granted, pursuant to Section 4(a) of the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan. Since the 1996 Plan's inception, the Board of Directors granted options to purchase 285,000 shares of common stock outside the 1996 Plan. Compensation expense related to the non-employee portion of these shares is not material. The following table summarizes the combined activity under the Plan and the options granted outside the Plan at December 31 (shares in thousands):

	2005		2004		2003	
	Shares	Wtd. Avg. Exercise Price	Shares	Wtd. Avg. Exercise Price	Shares	Wtd. Avg. Exercise Price
Outstanding, beginning of year	3,292	\$ 4.41	2,802	\$ 4.34	2,705	\$ 7.49
Granted	853	\$ 4.29	1,592	\$ 3.76	1,675	\$ 2.57
Exercised	(325)	\$ 2.61	(51)	\$ 3.51	(71)	\$ 3.68
Cancelled	(490)	\$ 4.15	(1,051)	\$ 3.56	(1,507)	\$ 8.05
<b>Outstanding, end of year</b>	<b>3,330</b>	<b>\$ 4.64</b>	<b>3,292</b>	<b>\$ 4.41</b>	<b>2,802</b>	<b>\$ 4.34</b>
Exercisable, end of year	1,604	\$ 5.15	1,735	\$ 4.74	1,251	\$ 5.31

The following table reflects the weighted average exercise price and weighted average contractual life for various exercise price ranges of the 2,779,655 options (excluding 450,000, 17,088, and 82,718 options related to restricted stock grants which were granted at a fair values of \$3.79, \$3.95, and \$4.08 per share, respectively, the closing price of our stock on the date of grant) outstanding as of December 31, 2005:

Exercise Price Range	Shares	Wtd. Avg.	Wtd. Avg.
		Exercise Price	Contractual Life (Yrs)
\$ 2.60- 2.61	533,332	\$ 2.60	7.36
\$ 3.15- 3.75	412,325	\$ 3.56	7.32
\$ 3.79	500,000	\$ 3.79	8.90
\$ 3.88- 4.69	463,650	\$ 4.27	7.91
\$ 4.75- 6.20	471,000	\$ 5.00	6.84
\$ 6.25- 13.05	399,348	\$ 9.52	4.56
	<b>2,779,655</b>		

The following table reflects the weighted average exercise price for various exercise price ranges of the 1,603,776 options exercisable at December 31, 2005:

Exercise Price Range	Shares	Wtd. Avg.
		Exercise Price
\$ 2.60- 2.61	297,247	\$ 2.60
\$ 3.15- 3.75	212,741	\$ 3.62
\$ 3.79	244,791	\$ 3.79

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

\$ 3.88- 4.69	214,983	\$	4.26
\$ 4.75- 6.20	265,166	\$	5.15
\$ 6.25- 13.05	368,848	\$	9.51
	1,603,776		

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company granted 770,000 options to purchase the Company's common stock, primarily as employment inducements for key executives. Of these options, 63,000 vest after one year and the remaining 707,000 vest over a five-year period. During 2003, the Company issued 100,000 options to a consultant, of which 30,000 vested immediately and the remaining 70,000 options vest in portions based on the Company's common stock exceeding various stock closing sales prices for 20 consecutive days. The Company recognized \$40,000, \$40,000 and \$27,000 of compensation expense in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, related to these options. The Company granted a Restricted Stock Award of 300,000 shares and a Restricted Stock Units of 11,392 shares in the fourth quarter of 2004 and Restricted Stock Units of 55,145 shares in the second quarter of 2005. The Company recognized compensation expense in 2005 and 2004 in the amount of \$518,000 and \$39,000, respectively, related to these Restricted Stock grants.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

The summary below presents the pro-forma financial results that would have been reported if the Company had applied the provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148 (dollars are presented in thousands, except per share amounts):

	For the Year Ended		
	2005	December 31, 2004 (As restated)	2003
Net loss, as reported	\$ (1,531)	\$ (31,855)	\$ (7,963)
Total stock-based compensation expensed in net income (loss), net of related tax effects	557	78	40
Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(1,071)	(1,681)	(1,482)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (2,045)	\$ (33,458)	\$ (9,405)
<b>Loss per common share:</b>			
Basic as reported	\$ (0.07)	\$ (1.46)	\$ (0.37)
Basic pro forma	\$ (0.09)	\$ (1.54)	\$ (0.43)
<b>Loss per share:</b>			
Diluted as reported	\$ (0.07)	\$ (1.46)	\$ (0.37)
Diluted pro forma	\$ (0.09)	\$ (1.54)	\$ (0.43)

The fair value of each option grant is estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for grants in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively: risk-free interest rate of 4.47, 4.23, and 4.27 percent; expected life of 3.35, 5.45 and 5.27 years; expected volatility of 40.3, 38.8, and 61.6 percent; and dividend yield of zero in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of new grants in 2005, 2004 and 2003 was \$2.52 per share, \$1.90 per share, and \$2.56 per share, respectively. The stock-based compensation expense determined under the fair value based method presented on a pro forma basis includes an adjustment during the year ended December 31, 2004 to reverse expenses related to certain variable options that were cancelled. As permitted by APB 25, the Company originally reported an expense for the total estimated amount for these options on a pro forma basis in the period the options were granted.

**NOTE 15. INCOME TAXES**

Income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations in 2005, 2004 and 2003 is comprised of the following amounts (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended		
	2005	December 31, 2004 (As restated)	2003
<b>Current income tax expense (benefit):</b>			
Federal	\$ 72	\$ 359	\$ (9,294)
State	794		(2,323)
	866	359	(11,617)
<b>Deferred income tax expense (benefit):</b>			
Federal	(204)	(5,226)	8,751
State		(981)	2,165

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

	(204)	(6,207)	10,916
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 662	\$ (5,848)	\$ (701)

A reconciliation between reported income tax expense from continuing operations and the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate of 34% for 2005, 2004 and 2003 is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004 (As restated)	2003
Computed at statutory rate	\$ 89	\$ (10,200)	\$ (596)
State income tax expense (benefit), net of Federal tax benefit (expense)	(50)	(1,181)	(84)
Reduction in estimated tax reserve		(1,060)	
Valuation allowance, net of return to provision adjustments of (\$2,430) in 2005	557	7,549	
Other	66	(956)	(21)
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 662	\$ (5,848)	\$ (701)



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

The income tax expense (benefit) on the gain (loss) from discontinued operations in 2005, 2004 and 2003 was zero, \$(5.4 million) and \$(4.6 million), respectively.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to the deferred income taxes at December 31, 2005 and 2004 are presented below (in thousands):

	2005	2004 (As restated)
Deferred tax assets:		
Prepaid expenses and other	\$ 183	\$
Fixed assets and intangibles	1,298	
Joint ventures		
Deferred revenue	2,465	2,620
Other	249	141
Federal and state tax net operating loss carryforwards	7,699	8,915
Valuation allowance	(10,535)	(7,549)
<b>Total deferred tax assets</b>	<b>1,359</b>	<b>4,127</b>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(802)	(1,793)
Prepaid expenses and other		(187)
Fixed assets and intangibles		(1,383)
Joint ventures	(557)	(968)
<b>Total deferred tax liabilities</b>	<b>(1,359)</b>	<b>(4,331)</b>
<b>Total net deferred tax assets (liabilities)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (204)</b>

The federal and state tax net operating loss carryforwards of \$15.2 million and \$64.2 million, respectively, principally expire in 2024.

Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent on generating sufficient taxable income prior to expiration of the twenty-year loss carryforward period. We recorded valuation allowances of \$7.5 million in 2004 and \$10.5 million in 2005 that relate to net tax deferred assets, including state and federal net operating losses.

Due to losses for the last three years the realization of deferred tax assets is questionable. Therefore, valuation allowances for net deferred tax assets were recorded in 2004 and 2005. The tax provision of \$662,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005 is for state income taxes and federal alternative minimum tax.

**NOTE 16. GAIN ON SALE OF OPERATIONS**

Effective April 30, 2004, we completed the sale of our operations in San Antonio, Texas. The purchase price was \$10.5 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain on sale of approximately \$4.7 million. Net cash received was \$9.7 million after purchase price adjustments. The sale included (1) assets we owned and leased in our operation of M&S Imaging Partners, Inc., (2) a diagnostic imaging center, and (3) certain partnership interests, but did not include accounts receivable aggregating approximately \$4.7 million, which we retained.

Results of operations for the San Antonio operations were as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

	<b>For the Year Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Service fee revenue	\$ 5,988	\$ 15,223
Cost of services	3,309	6,775
Equipment lease	5	14
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,175	2,250
Depreciation and amortization	510	1,471
Gross profit	\$ 989	\$ 4,713
Gain on sale of operations	4,669	
Other, net	(129)	(313)
Pre-tax income from operations	\$ 5,529	\$ 4,400
Income tax expense	1,880	1,496
Net income from operations	\$ 3,649	\$ 2,904

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****NOTE 17. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE**

Basic earnings (loss) per share (EPS) is calculated by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding increased during the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 primarily due to the exercise of stock options.

Diluted EPS includes options, warrants, and other potentially dilutive securities, using the treasury stock method for options and warrants to the extent that these securities are not anti-dilutive. Diluted EPS also includes the effect of the convertible junior subordinated note using the if converted method to the extent these securities are not anti-dilutive.

	<b>For the Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Weighted average shares for basic earnings per share	22,067,445	21,789,517	21,724,165
Effect of dilutive stock options			
Effect of dilutive convertible junior subordinated note			
Weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share	22,067,445	21,789,517	21,724,165
Tax-effected interest savings related to convertible junior subordinated note	\$	\$	\$

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, 232,341, 449,409 and 224,144 shares, respectively, of stock options were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because to do so would be anti-dilutive.

For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, approximately \$575,000 of interest, net of tax, and 1,593,040 shares related to the convertible junior subordinated note were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because to do so would be anti-dilutive.

**NOTE 18. UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES (JOINT VENTURES)**

The Company has seven unconsolidated joint ventures with ownership interests ranging from 22% to 50%. These joint ventures represent partnerships with hospitals, health systems or radiology practices and were formed for the purpose of owning and operating diagnostic imaging centers. Professional services at the joint venture diagnostic imaging centers are performed by contracted radiology practices or a radiology practice that participates in the joint venture. The Company's investments in these joint ventures are accounted for under the equity method. Total assets for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 include notes receivable from certain unconsolidated joint ventures aggregating \$1.3 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. Interest income related to these notes receivable was approximately \$152,000, \$245,000, and \$241,000 in fiscal 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. The Company also received management service fees of \$3.1 million, \$2.4 million and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively, in connection with operating the centers underlying these joint ventures.

The following table is a summary of key financial data for these joint ventures as of and for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b> (As restated)	<b>2003</b>
Current assets	\$ 22,689	\$ 17,554	\$ 20,920
Noncurrent assets	\$ 7,591	\$ 8,513	\$ 13,906
Current liabilities	\$ 2,160	\$ 2,480	\$ 5,117
Noncurrent liabilities	\$ 192	\$ 481	\$ 352
Minority interest	\$ 3,928	\$ 2,865	\$ 4,082
Net revenue	\$ 52,766	\$ 51,586	\$ 53,140

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Net income	\$ 12,035	\$	9,876	\$ 12,538
------------	-----------	----	-------	-----------

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****NOTE 19. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES**

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 41 ( FIN 46 ). In December 2003, the FASB modified FIN 46 to make certain technical corrections and address certain implementation issues that had arisen. FIN 46 provides a new framework for identifying variable interest entities (VIEs) and determining when a company should include the assets, liabilities, non-controlling interests and results of activities of a VIE in its consolidated financial statements.

In general, a VIE is a corporation, partnership, limited liability corporation, trust or any other legal structure used to conduct activities or hold assets that either (1) has an insufficient amount of equity to carry out its principal activities without additional subordinated financial support, (2) has a group of equity owners that are unable to make significant decisions about its activities, or (3) has a group of equity owners that do not have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive returns generated by its operations. However, FIN 46 specifically excludes a VIE that is a business if the variable interest holder did not participate significantly in the design or redesign of the entity.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 46 as of March 31, 2004. The Company reviewed its investment in unconsolidated joint ventures and contracted radiology practice arrangements as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 and under the provisions of FIN 46 and has determined that none of its arrangements or investments meet the definition of a variable interest entity.

**NOTE 20. SEGMENT REPORTING**

The Company's primary operations consist of owning and operating diagnostic imaging centers and providing administrative, management and information services to the contracted radiology practice groups that provide professional interpretation and supervision services in connection with the Company's diagnostic imaging centers and to hospitals and radiology practices with which the Company operates joint ventures.

Because of different characteristics from our primary operations, including location, market concentration, contracting leverage, capital requirements, the single modality nature of most of the centers and the structure of the management service agreements with physicians related to the Company's Questar operations, senior management makes resource allocation decisions separately for Questar and its primary operations.

The following table summarizes the operating results, including continuing and discontinued operations, and assets of our primary and Questar operations (in thousands):

	<b>For the Year Ended</b>		
	<b>December 31, 2005</b>		
	<b>Primary Operations</b>	<b>Questar</b>	<b>Total</b>
Service fee revenue	\$ 243,761	\$ 7,679	\$ 251,440
Total costs and expenses	210,525	8,937	219,462
Income (loss) before equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, income taxes and discontinued operations	33,236	(1,258)	31,978
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	3,928		3,928
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries	(632)		(632)
Income (loss) before income taxes from continuing operations	36,532	(1,258)	35,274
Income (loss) before income taxes from discontinued operations	121	(1,252)	(1,131)
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 36,653	\$ (2,510)	\$ 34,143
Assets	\$ 116,798	\$ 2,592	\$ 119,390

Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Purchases of property and equipment	\$ 23,179	\$ 229	\$ 23,408
-------------------------------------	-----------	--------	-----------

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

	<b>For the Year Ended</b>		
	<b>December 31, 2004</b>		
	<b>(As restated)</b>		
	<b>Primary Operations</b>	<b>Questar</b>	<b>Total</b>
Service fee revenue	\$ 242,064	\$ 9,227	\$ 251,291
Total costs and expenses	230,936	14,863	245,799
Income (loss) before equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, income taxes and discontinued operations	\$ 11,128	\$ (5,636)	\$ 5,492
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	2,865		2,865
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries	(791)		(791)
Income (loss) before income taxes from continuing operations	\$ 13,202	\$ (5,636)	\$ 7,566
Loss before income taxes from discontinued operations	(1,697)	(11,431)	(13,128)
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 11,505	\$ (17,067)	\$ (5,562)
Assets	\$ 125,976	\$ 8,253	\$ 134,229
Purchases of property and equipment	\$ 20,513	\$ 1,032	\$ 21,545

	<b>For the Year Ended</b>		
	<b>December 31, 2003</b>		
	<b>(As restated)</b>		
	<b>Primary Operations</b>	<b>Questar</b>	<b>Total</b>
Service fee revenue	\$ 233,463	\$ 8,575	\$ 242,038
Total costs and expenses	200,829	8,327	209,156
Income before equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, income taxes and discontinued operations	\$ 32,634	\$ 248	\$ 32,882
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	4,082		4,082
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries	(863)	115	(748)
Income before income taxes from continuing operations	\$ 35,853	\$ 363	\$ 36,216
Loss before income taxes from discontinued operations	(3,236)	(10,437)	(13,673)
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 32,617	\$ (10,074)	\$ 22,543
Assets	\$ 140,647	\$ 33,569	\$ 174,216
Purchases of property and equipment	\$ 13,341	\$ 2,467	\$ 15,808

The following table is a reconciliation of the segment income before income taxes to Radiologix's consolidated reported income (loss) before income taxes (benefit) for the year ended December 31 (in thousands):

	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b> <b>(As restated)</b>	<b>2003</b>
Segment income before income taxes	\$ 34,143	\$ (5,562)	\$ 22,543
Unallocated amounts:			

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Corporate general and administrative	(16,872)	(18,919)	(15,335)
Corporate severance and other related costs	(670)	(405)	(1,315)
Corporate depreciation and amortization	(3,809)	(4,199)	(6,116)
Corporate interest expense	(13,661)	(14,044)	(13,049)
<b>Consolidated loss before income taxes (benefit)</b>	<b>\$ (869)</b>	<b>\$ (43,129)</b>	<b>\$ (13,272)</b>

The following table is a reconciliation of purchases of property and equipment for the segments to Radiologix's consolidated assets and purchases of property and equipment as of and for the year ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2005	2004
<b>Purchases of Property and Equipment:</b>		
Segment amounts	\$ 23,408	\$ 21,545
Corporate	6,550	2,425
<b>Total purchases of property and equipment</b>	<b>\$ 29,958</b>	<b>\$ 23,970</b>



**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

The following table is a reconciliation of total assets and total liabilities for the segments to Radiologix's consolidated total assets and liabilities, as of December 31 (in thousands):

	2005	2004 (As restated)
Total Assets		
Segment amounts	\$ 119,390	\$ 134,229
Intangible assets, net	54,050	57,381
Deferred financing costs, net	4,942	6,591
Other corporate assets	56,146	40,688
Total assets	\$ 234,528	\$ 238,889

	2005	2004
Total Liabilities		
Segment amounts	\$ 25,071	\$ 30,376
Corporate, primarily long-term debt	178,612	178,174
Total liabilities	\$ 203,683	\$ 208,550

**NOTE 21. SUPPLEMENTAL GUARANTOR INFORMATION**

In connection with the senior notes, certain of the Company's subsidiaries (Subsidiary Guarantors) guaranteed, jointly and severally, the Company's obligation to pay principal and interest on the senior notes on a full and unconditional basis.

The non-guarantor subsidiaries include: Advanced PET Imaging of Maryland, L.P., Montgomery Community Magnetic Imaging Center Limited Partnership, Tower OpenScan MRI, and MRI at St. Joseph Medical Center LLC. The Subsidiary Guarantors include all wholly owned subsidiaries of Radiologix, Inc.

Deferred taxes are provided for by the Parent. The subsidiaries recognize tax expense (benefit) based on taxes computed at the statutory rate. Supplemental Guarantor Information has been restated for items discussed in Note 3 and Note 22. In addition, intercompany activities between the subsidiary and the Parent are presented within operating activities on the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows.

Condensed consolidating financial statements for the Company and its subsidiaries including Radiologix only, the combined Guarantor Subsidiaries and the combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries are as follows:

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements

**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**

**December 31, 2005**

(In thousands)

	Non-				Total
	Parent	Subsidiary Guarantors	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
<b>Assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 31,145	\$ (1,515)	\$ 6,374	\$	\$ 36,004
Accounts receivable, net		39,375	1,440		40,815
Other current assets	14,783	4,224	72		19,079
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>\$ 45,928</b>	<b>\$ 42,084</b>	<b>\$ 7,886</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 95,898</b>
Property and equipment, net	9,610	56,529	1,826		67,965
Investment in subsidiaries	176,481			(176,481)	
Goodwill and intangible assets, net		53,107	943		54,050
Other assets	5,777	10,838			16,615
Intercompany receivables		23,040	7,173	(30,213)	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 237,796</b>	<b>\$ 185,598</b>	<b>\$ 17,828</b>	<b>\$ (206,694)</b>	<b>\$ 234,528</b>
<b>Liabilities and stockholders' equity:</b>					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 8,711	\$ 15,681	\$ 488	\$	\$ 24,880
Intercompany payables	30,213			(30,213)	
Current portion of long-term debt	(256)	32	256		32
Other current liabilities		477			477
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 38,668</b>	<b>\$ 16,190</b>	<b>\$ 744</b>	<b>\$ (30,213)</b>	<b>\$ 25,389</b>
Long-term debt, net of current portion	170,157	62	93		170,312
Other noncurrent liabilities		7,982			7,982
Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries			1,874		1,874
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>28,971</b>	<b>161,364</b>	<b>15,117</b>	<b>(176,481)</b>	<b>28,971</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 237,796</b>	<b>\$ 185,598</b>	<b>\$ 17,828</b>	<b>\$ (206,694)</b>	<b>\$ 234,528</b>

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements

**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**

**December 31, 2004**

(In thousands)

(As restated)

	Parent	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
<b>Assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30,198	\$ 249	\$ 3,637	\$	\$ 34,084
Accounts receivable, net		42,992	1,205		44,197
Other current assets	19,175	5,363	130		24,668
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>\$ 49,373</b>	<b>\$ 48,604</b>	<b>\$ 4,972</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 102,949</b>
Property and equipment, net	3,860	52,849	1,918		58,627
Investment in wholly owned subsidiaries	146,002			(146,002)	
Goodwill and intangible assets, net		58,564	1,058		59,622
Other assets	9,383	8,459	(151)		17,691
Intercompany receivables			7,059	(7,059)	
	<b>\$ 208,618</b>	<b>\$ 168,476</b>	<b>\$ 14,856</b>	<b>\$ (153,061)</b>	<b>\$ 238,889</b>
<b>Liabilities and stockholders equity:</b>					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 6,577	\$ 20,714	\$ 482	\$	\$ 27,773
Current portion of long-term debt	(141)	48	250		157
Intercompany payables	1,345	5,714		(7,059)	
Other current liabilities	1,839	536			2,375
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 9,620</b>	<b>\$ 27,012</b>	<b>\$ 732</b>	<b>\$ (7,059)</b>	<b>\$ 30,305</b>
Long-term debt, net of current portion	169,901	92	349		170,342
Other noncurrent liabilities		7,903			7,903
Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries			1,242		1,242
Stockholders equity	29,097	133,469	12,533	(146,002)	29,097
	<b>\$ 208,618</b>	<b>\$ 168,476</b>	<b>\$ 14,856</b>	<b>\$ (153,061)</b>	<b>\$ 238,889</b>

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****For the Year Ended December 31, 2005****(In thousands)**

	<b>Parent</b>	<b>Subsidiary Guarantors</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Total Consolidated</b>
Service fee revenue	\$	\$ 239,250	\$ 12,190	\$	\$ 251,440
Costs of operations:					
Cost of service		153,918	6,980		160,898
Equipment lease		12,313	722		13,035
Provision for doubtful accounts		18,665	368		19,033
Depreciation and amortization	801	21,938	691		23,430
<b>Gross profit</b>	(801)	32,416	3,429		35,044
Severance and other related costs	670				670
Corporate general and administrative	16,872				16,872
Impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets		2,241			2,241
Interest expense, net	13,661	4,620	14		18,295
Income (loss) before equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, income taxes and discontinued operations	(32,004)	25,555	3,415		(3,034)
Equity in net income of wholly owned subsidiaries	30,479			(30,479)	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		3,928			3,928
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries			(632)		(632)
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>	(1,525)	29,483	2,783	(30,479)	262
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	6	458	198		662
<b>INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	(1,531)	29,025	2,585	(30,479)	(400)
Discontinued Operations:					
Loss from discontinued operations before income tax		(1,131)			(1,131)
Income tax expense (benefit)					
Loss from discontinued operations		(1,131)			(1,131)
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS)</b>	\$ (1,531)	\$ 27,894	\$ 2,585	\$ (30,479)	\$ (1,531)

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****For the Year Ended December 31, 2004****(In thousands)****(As restated)**

	Parent	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
Service fee revenue	\$	\$ 238,352	\$ 12,939	\$	\$ 251,291
Costs of operations:					
Cost of service		151,315	7,298		158,613
Equipment lease		17,213	447		17,660
Provision for doubtful accounts		21,902	435		22,337
Depreciation and amortization	954	21,434	611		22,999
Gross profit	(954)	26,488	4,148		29,682
Severance and other related costs	405				405
Lease termination expense		13,948			13,948
Corporate general and administrative	18,919				18,919
Impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets		14,558			14,558
Interest expense, net	14,044	4,474	78		18,596
Gain on sale of operations		(4,669)			(4,669)
Income (loss) before equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, income taxes and discontinued operations	(34,322)	(1,823)	4,070		(32,075)
Equity in net income of wholly owned subsidiaries	(4,868)			4,868	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		2,865			2,865
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries			(791)		(791)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	(39,190)	1,042	3,279	4,868	(30,001)
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	(7,335)	318	1,169		(5,848)
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(31,855)	724	2,110	4,868	(24,153)
Discontinued Operations:					
Loss from discontinued operations before income tax		(12,498)	(630)		(13,128)
Income tax expense (benefit)		(5,166)	(260)		(5,426)
Loss from discontinued operations		(7,332)	(370)		(7,702)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (31,855)	\$ (6,608)	\$ 1,740	\$ 4,868	\$ (31,855)



Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS****For the Year Ended December 31, 2003****(In thousands)**

	Parent	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
Service fee revenue	\$	\$ 228,256	\$ 13,782	\$	\$ 242,038
Costs of operations:					
Cost of service		141,592	7,442		149,034
Equipment lease		16,510	720		17,230
Provision for doubtful accounts		19,680	548		20,228
Depreciation and amortization	1,151	22,083	692		23,926
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>(1,151)</b>	<b>28,391</b>	<b>4,380</b>		<b>31,620</b>
Severance and other related costs	1,315	253			1,568
Corporate general and administrative	15,335				15,335
Impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets		523			523
Interest expense, net	14,659	4,527	95		19,281
Income (loss) before equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, income taxes and discontinued operations	(32,460)	23,088	4,285		(5,087)
Equity in net income of wholly owned subsidiaries	11,793			(11,793)	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		4,082			4,082
Minority interests in income of consolidated subsidiaries			(748)		(748)
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(20,667)</b>	<b>27,170</b>	<b>3,537</b>	<b>(11,793)</b>	<b>(1,753)</b>
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	(12,984)	10,868	1,415		(701)
<b>INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(7,683)</b>	<b>16,302</b>	<b>2,122</b>	<b>(11,793)</b>	<b>(1,052)</b>
Discontinued Operations:					
Loss from discontinued operations before income tax	(467)	(10,484)	(568)		(11,519)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(187)	(4,194)	(227)		(4,608)
Loss from discontinued operations	(280)	(6,290)	(341)		(6,911)
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS)</b>	<b>\$ (7,963)</b>	<b>\$ 10,012</b>	<b>\$ 1,781</b>	<b>\$ (11,793)</b>	<b>\$ (7,963)</b>

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****For the Year Ended December 31, 2005****(In thousands)**

	Parent	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ 5,798	\$ 20,249	\$ 3,579	\$	\$ 29,626
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Increase in restricted cash	(122)				(122)
Purchases of property and equipment	(6,551)	(22,808)	(599)		(29,958)
Proceeds from sale of equipment		1,173			1,173
Joint ventures	834	(325)			509
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,839)	(21,960)	(599)		(28,398)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Payments on long-term obligations, primarily capital leases	140	(53)	(243)		(156)
Proceeds from stock	848				848
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	988	(53)	(243)		692
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	947	(1,764)	2,737		1,920
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	30,198	249	3,637		34,084
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 31,145	\$ (1,515)	\$ 6,374	\$	\$ 36,004



Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****For the Year Ended December 31, 2004****(In thousands)****(As restated)**

	Parent	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ 5,508	\$ 6,232	\$ 3,521	\$	\$ 15,261
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Increase in restricted cash	(5,539)				(5,539)
Purchases of property and equipment	(520)	(22,873)	(577)		(23,970)
Net cash received on sales of operations and imaging centers		14,093			14,093
Repayments from (advances to) unconsolidated affiliates, net	673				673
Other investments		(104)			(104)
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,386)	(8,884)	(577)		(14,847)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Payments on long-term obligations, primarily, capital leases	(61)	(955)	(592)		(1,608)
Retirement of senior debt	(1,730)				(1,730)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	190				190
Financing costs					
Other items	52				52
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,549)	(955)	(592)		(3,096)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(1,427)	(3,607)	2,352		(2,682)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	31,625	3,856	1,285		36,766
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 30,198	\$ 249	\$ 3,637	\$	\$ 34,084

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements**RADIOLOGIX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****For the Year Ended December 31, 2003****(In thousands)**

	<b>Parent</b>	<b>Subsidiary Guarantors</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Total Consolidated</b>
<b>NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	\$ 15,524	\$ 16,975	\$ 6,361	\$	\$ 38,860
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Purchases of property and equipment	1,032	(9,397)	(8,148)		(16,513)
Repayments from (advances to) unconsolidated affiliates, net	(930)				(930)
Other investments					
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	102	(9,397)	(8,148)		(17,443)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>					
Payments on long-term obligations, primarily capital leases	(29)	(3,298)	(687)		(4,014)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	253				253
Financing costs		(43)			(43)
Other items					
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	224	(3,341)	(687)		(3,804)
<b>NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	15,850	4,237	(2,474)		17,613
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	15,775	(381)	3,759		19,153
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 31,625	\$ 3,856	\$ 1,285	\$	\$ 36,766

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements****NOTE 22. UNAUDITED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table presents unaudited quarterly operating results for each of Radiologix's last eight fiscal quarters, restated as discussed in Note 3. Radiologix believes that all necessary adjustments have been included in the amounts stated below to present fairly the quarterly results when read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements. Results of operations for any particular quarter are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for a full year or predictive of future periods (in thousands, except per share data).

	2005 QUARTER ENDED				2004 QUARTER ENDED			
	MAR. 31 (As restated)	JUNE 30 (As restated)	SEPT. 30 (As restated)	DEC. 31	MAR. 31	JUNE 30	SEPT. 30	DEC. 31 (As restated)
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)								
<b>Statement of Operations Data:</b>								
Service fee revenue	\$ 62,751	\$ 64,311	\$ 62,258	\$ 62,120	\$ 66,042	\$ 66,211	\$ 63,613	\$ 55,425
Gross profit	\$ 10,014	\$ 9,934	\$ 7,872	\$ 7,224	\$ 9,596	\$ 10,792	\$ 8,456	\$ 835
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 1,463	\$ 1,269	\$ 492	\$ (2,962)	\$ 1,400	\$ 905	\$ (8,523)	\$ (23,783)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1,387	\$ 1,176	\$ 217	\$ (3,180)	\$ 840	\$ 823	\$ (5,175)	\$ (20,641)
Income (loss) on discontinued operations	(434)	30	(582)	(145)	(3,547)	(2,932)	(211)	(1,012)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 953</b>	<b>\$ 1,206</b>	<b>\$ (365)</b>	<b>\$ (3,325)</b>	<b>\$ (2,707)</b>	<b>\$ (2,109)</b>	<b>\$ (5,386)</b>	<b>\$ (21,653)</b>
<b>Earnings (loss) per common share:</b>								
Income (loss) from continuing operations basic	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.95)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations basic	(0.02)		(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.16)	(0.13)	(0.01)	(0.05)
<b>Net income (loss) basic</b>	<b>\$ 0.04</b>	<b>\$ 0.05</b>	<b>\$ (0.02)</b>	<b>\$ (0.15)</b>	<b>\$ (0.12)</b>	<b>\$ (0.09)</b>	<b>\$ (0.25)</b>	<b>\$ (1.00)</b>
Income (loss) from continuing operations diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.95)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations diluted	(0.02)		(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.16)	(0.13)	(0.01)	(0.05)
<b>Net income (loss) diluted</b>	<b>\$ 0.04</b>	<b>\$ 0.05</b>	<b>\$ (0.02)</b>	<b>\$ (0.15)</b>	<b>\$ (0.12)</b>	<b>\$ (0.09)</b>	<b>\$ (0.25)</b>	<b>\$ (1.00)</b>
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>								
Basic	21,913	22,339	22,138	22,176	21,766	21,770	21,806	21,816
Diluted	22,509	22,572	22,411	22,176	22,288	22,220	21,806	21,816

Restated amounts for income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2005 reflect a reduction of amortization expense of \$194,000, \$193,000, and \$194,000, respectively. Restated amounts for income (loss) from continuing operations for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2005 reflect, in addition to the above stated amounts, a reduction (increase) of income tax expense of \$406,000, \$354,000, and (\$139,000), respectively. Restated amounts for income (loss) from discontinued operations for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2005 reflect a reduction of income tax benefit (expense) of \$165,000, (\$10,000), and \$221,000, respectively. Restated amounts for earnings (loss) per common share from continuing operations for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2005 reflect a reduction (increase) of \$(0.03), \$(0.02), and no change, respectively. Restated amounts for earnings (loss) per common share from discontinued operations for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2005 reflect a reduction (increase) of \$0.01, no change, and \$0.01, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Income (loss) for the quarters ended March 31, 2005, June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2005 and the corresponding periods in 2004 include the following for continuing operations:

	2005 QUARTER ENDED				2004 QUARTER ENDED			
	MAR. 31	JUNE 30	SEPT. 30	DEC. 31	MAR. 31	JUNE 30	SEPT. 30	DEC. 31
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
								(As restated)
Service fee revenue reduction								\$ 9,128
Lease termination expense								13,948
Equity in earnings reduction								286
Impairment of goodwill, intangible and long-lived assets				2,241	5,752	7,474		1,332
Contract termination costs							515	
Stock based compensation expense	82	131	182	162			53	25
Severance and related costs				670			405	
Litigation and regulatory matters							295	
Gain on sale of operations						(4,669)		
Other impairment		(370)					263	275

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH THE ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

Not Applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

*Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In connection with our year end close process and the preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-K as of December 31, 2005, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are ineffective at December 31, 2005 because of the material weakness in internal control over financial reporting noted below.

*Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.* Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our CEO and CFO and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective may not prevent or detect all misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. As part of this assessment, management evaluated the controls over the procedures for accounting for lease terminations and concluded that a material weakness existed in these controls. We use these procedures to determine the accounting treatment for terminating lease contracts. In 2004, we capitalized \$13.9 million relating to the termination of the lease contract with PresGar when, in fact, it should have been expensed. Consequently, this led to a restatement of our 2004 consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K whereby we increased operating expense by \$13.9 million, decreased amortization expense by \$0.1 million, and decreased intangible assets by \$13.9 million. Management determined that the material weakness in the procedures for accounting for lease terminations was not remediated as of December 31, 2005. Because of this material weakness, management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2005.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm who also audited the Company's consolidated financial statements. Ernst & Young's attestation report on management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting appears on page 48 hereof.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

**Changes to Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

As noted in our 2004 Form 10-K, subsequent to December 31, 2004, but prior to the finalization of our 2004 consolidated financial statements, the Company placed into operations new controls to address the material weakness we identified in our accounts receivable estimation process. These new controls include a retrospective collection analysis that matches cash collections to billed charges by month of service. We believe these new controls have remediated the material weakness that existed as of December 31, 2004, and that these controls operated effectively during the twelve months ended December 31, 2005.

In response to a comment letter that the Company received from the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with a customary review of the Company's Annual Report in Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company has reevaluated the accounting treatment of the PresGar equipment lease financing arrangement acquired on October 31, 2004 for \$13.9 million. This was an isolated transaction, not a part of the Company's ordinary course of business. However, the Company determined that the financial statements in the 2004 Annual Report should be restated. Management has enhanced the review process by ensuring that future material unusual transactions are subject to a more thorough and detailed review. The Company has revised its accounting policy for material unusual transactions to include a review by senior financial officers and outside accounting experts if deemed necessary. Management believes that these new policies have remediated the material weakness in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that existed as of December 31, 2005, and that these internal controls are effective at the reasonable assurance level. However, since these changes were implemented after year end, these changes did not alter the conclusion of management that our internal controls over financial reporting were ineffective at year end.

**ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.**

In December 2005, we recorded a \$2.2 million pre-tax impairment charge to continuing operations to write-off the remaining goodwill related to our Questar centers in California, Colorado and Minnesota. Increased competition in these markets has eroded the profitability of these centers. The increased competition throughout 2005 coupled with management's decision to not invest in new equipment has reduced the future expectations for these centers, thus lowering their value. In December 2004, we recorded an impairment charge of \$1.1 million to reduce goodwill related to our Questar center in Arizona. This center is one of six Questar sites that remain in continuing operations at December 31, 2004. We did not anticipate this impairment previously as the center is in a strategic location and was projected to improve in volumes, revenues and cash flows in the fourth quarter of 2004 and throughout 2005. However, it appears that because of disruption caused by the move to this new location, confusion in the community due to a change in the center's name, and increased local competition, we have had difficulty in achieving the volumes, profitability and cash flow levels that we expected in the fourth quarter of 2004 and budgeted for in 2005. Accordingly, we closed this center after exhaustive attempts to engineer a turnaround in its operations.

On February 8, 2006, the President signed into law the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA). The DRA provides that reimbursement for the technical component for imaging services (excluding diagnostic and screening mammography) in non-hospital based freestanding facilities will be capped at the lesser of reimbursement under the Medicare Part B physician fee schedule or the Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (HOPPS) schedule.

Currently, the technical component of our imaging services is reimbursed under the Part B physician fee schedule, which generally allows for higher reimbursement than under the HOPPS. Under the DRA, we will be reimbursed at the lower of the two schedules, beginning January 1, 2007.

The DRA also codifies the reduction in reimbursement for multiple images on contiguous body parts previously announced by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). In November 2005, CMS announced that it will pay 100% of the technical component of the higher priced imaging procedure and 50% for the technical component of each additional imaging procedure for imaging procedures involving contiguous body parts within a family of codes when performed in the same session. Under current methodology, Medicare pays 100% of the technical component of each procedure. CMS will phase in this rate reduction over two years, so that the reduction will be 25% for each additional imaging procedure in 2006 and another 25% in 2007.

We believe the implementation of the reimbursement reductions contained in the DRA will have a significant effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, Medicare revenue from our imaging centers represented approximately 26% of our total revenue from our imaging centers. If both reimbursement reductions contained in the DRA had been in effect during fiscal year 2005, we estimate that our Medicare revenue would have been reduced by approximately \$13.3 million and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates would have been reduced by \$1.6 million. The estimated future reduction in revenue and pre-tax earnings from the reimbursement changes contained in the DRA is as follows:

**Estimated Reduction in Revenue and Pre-Tax Earnings from DRA***(In thousands of dollars)*

	2006	2007
<b>Consolidated Operations:</b>		
Contiguous Body Parts	\$ 1,900	\$ 2,900
Fee Schedule Change		10,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,900</b>	<b>\$ 13,300</b>
<b>Unconsolidated Operations:</b>		
Contiguous Body Parts	\$ 200	\$ 200
Fee Schedule Change		1,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 200</b>	<b>\$ 1,600</b>

These estimated reductions do not include any reductions that would result if commercial payors adopt reimbursement reductions similar to those contained in the DRA. We have been notified by two payors that it will adopt the contiguous body part imaging reduction in 2006. If commercial payors adopt reimbursement reductions similar to those contained in the DRA, this would result in additional reductions in our estimated revenue and pre-tax earnings that could be much greater than the reductions shown above, leading to a further material and substantially negative effect on our business.

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

**PART III**

**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.**

The information required by Items 401 and 405 of Regulation S-K is contained under the caption "Directors and Executive Officers" in the registrant's proxy statement for the 2006 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated here by reference.

We have adopted the Radiologix, Inc. Code of Ethics for the CEO and Senior Financial Officers (the "finance code of ethics"), a code of ethics that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, controller and other finance organization employees. The finance code of ethics is publicly available on our website at [www.radiologix.com](http://www.radiologix.com). If we make any substantive amendments to the finance code of ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the code to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or controller, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on that website.

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.**

The information required by Item 402 of Regulation S-K is contained under the caption "Executive Compensation" in the registrant's proxy statement for the 2006 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated here by reference.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.**

The information required by Item 201(d) and Item 403 of Regulation S-K is contained under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in Radiologix's proxy statement for the 2006 annual meeting of its stockholders and is incorporated here by reference.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.**

The information required by Item 404 of Regulation S-K is contained under the caption "Certain Transactions" in the registrant's proxy statement for the 2006 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated here by reference.

**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

The information concerning principal accountant fees and services appears under the caption "Proposal III. Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in the registrant's proxy statement for the 2006 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated here by reference.



**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

**PART IV**

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a) Documents filed as part of this report:

1. The list of financial statements and financial statement schedules filed as part of this report is incorporated here by reference to Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.
  
2. Schedule II, Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 is included herewith. All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.
  
3. The list of exhibits filed as part of this report is incorporated by reference to the Index to Exhibits at the end of this report.

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

## SIGNATURE PAGE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934, Radiologix has duly caused this Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 30, 2006.

RADIOLOGIX, INC.

By: /s/ SAMI S. ABBASI  
Sami S. Abbasi  
President and Chief Executive Officer

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each individual whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Sami S. Abbasi and Michael N. Murdock each of them, such person's true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for such person and in such person's name, place, and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Form 10-K, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and all documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto such attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as such person might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that such attorneys-in-fact and agents, or any of them, or their substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934, this Form 10-K has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>SIGNATURE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>DATE</b>
/s/ SAMI S. ABBASI	President, and Chief Executive Officer	March 30, 2006
Sami S. Abbasi	Director (Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ MICHAEL N. MURDOCK	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial	March 30, 2006
Michael N. Murdock	Officer and (Principal Financial and	
	Accounting Officer)	
/s/ MARVIN S. CADWELL	Chairman of the Board and	March 30, 2006
Marvin S. Cadwell	Director	
/s/ PAUL D. FARRELL	Director	March 30, 2006
Paul D. Farrell		
/s/ JOHN R. GUNN	Director	March 30, 2006
John R. Gunn		
/s/ JOSEPH C. MELLO	Director	March 30, 2006
Joseph C. Mello		
/s/ MICHAEL L. SHERMAN, M.D.	Director	March 30, 2006

Michael L. Sherman, M.D.

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

SCHEDULE II

RADIOLOGIX, INC.

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005, 2004 AND 2003

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

DESCRIPTION	BALANCE AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	PROVISION	WRITEOFFS	BALANCE AT END OF PERIOD
<b>ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS</b>				
For the Year Ended December 31, 2005	\$ 8,028	\$ 19,033	\$ (19,874)	\$ 7,187
For the Year Ended December 31, 2004	\$ 10,738	\$ 22,337	\$ (25,047)	\$ 8,028
For the Year Ended December 31, 2003	\$ 16,123	\$ 20,228	\$ (25,613)	\$ 10,738

**Table of Contents****Index to Financial Statements**

## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

## EXHIBIT

**NUMBER DESCRIPTION**

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of American Physician Partners, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-30205 on Form S-1).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Radiologix, Inc. \*
- 3.3 Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation of American Physician Partners, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1999).
- 3.5 Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Radiologix, Inc. dated July 14, 2003 (incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003).
- 4.1 Form of certificate evidencing ownership of Common Stock of American Physician Partners, Inc. \*\*
- 4.2 Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of August 3, 1999 by and between American Physician Partners, Inc. and BT Capital Partners SBIC, L.P. @ (see Exhibit 4.1 thereof).
- 4.3 Convertible Junior Subordinated Promissory Note dated August 1, 1999 issued to BT Capital Partners SBIC, L.P. @ (see Exhibit 4.2 thereof).
- 4.4 Indenture dated as of December 12, 2001, among Radiologix, Inc., as Issuer, its subsidiaries identified in the Indenture, as Guarantors, and U.S. Bank, N.A., as Trustee, with respect to \$160 Million 10 1/2% Senior Notes due December 15, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to the registrant's report on Form 10-K for 2001).
- 4.5 Registration Rights Agreement dated December 12, 2001, among Radiologix, Inc., as Issuer, its subsidiaries identified in the Registration Rights Agreement, as Guarantors, and Jefferies & Company, Inc. and Deutsche Banc Alex. Brown Inc., as Initial Purchasers, with respect to \$160 Million 10 1/2% Senior Notes due December 15, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to the registrant's report on Form 10-K for 2001).
- 10.1<sup>M</sup> American Physician Partners, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan. \*\*
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of December 31, 2004, among Radiologix, Inc., as Borrower, General Electric Capital Corporation, as Agent and a Lender, and Additional Lenders From Time to Time Party thereto. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 8, 2004).
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Master Lease Agreement dated as of March 10, 2004, among Radiologix, Inc., as Lessee, and General Electric Capital Corporation, as Lessor. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 12, 2004).
- 10.4<sup>M</sup> Form of Indemnification Agreement for certain Directors and Officers (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-30205 on Form S-1).
- 10.5 Amended and Restated Service Agreement among Radiologix, Inc., Advanced Imaging Partners, Inc., and Advanced Radiology, P.A., dated as of July 1, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002).
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Service Agreement among Radiologix, Inc., Ide Imaging Partners, Inc., and The Ide Group, P.C., dated as of July 1, 2002 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
- 10.7 Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of October 31, 2004, among Ide Imaging Partners, Inc., MICA Imaging, Inc., and two of its affiliated companies without schedules, which will be furnished to the SEC on request (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the registrant's report on Form 10-K for 2004).
- 10.8 Amended and Restated Service Agreement among Radiologix, Inc., Mid Rockland Imaging Partners, Inc., and Hudson Valley Radiology Associates, P.L.L.C., dated as of July 1, 2002 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the registrant's report on

## Edgar Filing: RADIOLOGIX INC - Form 10-K

Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).

- 10.9 Amended and Restated Service Agreement among Radiologix, Inc., Radiology and Nuclear Medicine Partners, Inc., and Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, L.L.C., dated as of July 1, 2002 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
- 10.10 Service Agreement dated November 26, 1997, by and among American Physician Partners, Inc., APPI-Valley Radiology, Inc. and Valley Radiology Medical Associates, Inc.\*\*
- 10.11 Service Agreement dated January 1, 1998, by and among American Physician Partners, Inc., Community Imaging Partners, Inc., Community Radiology Associates, Inc. and Drs. Korsower and Pion Radiology, P.A. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1998).
- 10.12 Amendment No. 1 to Service Agreement dated as of January 1, 2004, by and among Radiologix, Inc., Community Imaging Partners, Inc., Community Radiology Associates, Inc., and Drs. Korsower and Pion Radiology, P.A. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004).
- 10.13 Amended and Restated Service Agreement dated as of January 1, 2004, by and among Radiologix, Inc., Treasure Coast Imaging Partners, Inc., and Radiology Imaging Associates - Basilio, Gallagher & Raffa, M.D., P.A. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004).
- 10.14 Service Agreement dated September 1, 1998, by and among American Physician Partners, Inc., WB&A Imaging Partners, Inc. and WB&A Imaging, P.C. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998).
- 10.15 Office Building Lease Agreement between The Equitable-Nissei Dallas Company and Fibreboard Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998).

**Table of Contents**

**Index to Financial Statements**

**EXHIBIT**

**NUMBER DESCRIPTION**

- 10.16 Assignment and Assumption Agreement dated March 2001, by and between Fibreboard Corporation and Radiologix, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to the Registrant's report on Form 10-K for 2000).
- 10.17 Asset Purchase Agreement among M&S Imaging Partners, L.P., VHS San Antonio Imaging Partners, L.P., Radiologix, Inc., and Vanguard Health Systems, Inc., effective as of May 1, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the registrant's report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 7, 2004).
- 10.18 Purchase and Contribution Agreement among M&S Imaging Partners, L.P., VHS San Antonio Imaging Partners, L.P., VHS San Antonio Partners, L.P., Radiologix, Inc., and Vanguard Health Systems, Inc., effective as of May 1, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the registrant's report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 7, 2004).
- 10.19<sup>M</sup> Amendment No. 1 to American Physician Partners, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the registrant's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1999).
- 10.20<sup>M</sup> Employment Agreement dated as of November 24, 2004, between Radiologix, Inc. and Sami S. Abbasi (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the registrant's report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 30, 2004).
- 10.21<sup>M</sup> Employment Agreement dated as of January 3, 2005, between Radiologix, Inc. and Michael L. Silhol (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 5, 2005).
- 10.22<sup>M</sup> Employment Agreement dated as of January 3, 2005, between Radiologix, Inc. and Michael N. Murdock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 5, 2005).
- 10.23<sup>M</sup> The Radiologix, Inc. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (Incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders). \*\*
- 10.24<sup>M</sup> Form of Restricted Stock Units Agreement under the Radiologix, Inc. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the registrant's report on Form 10-K for 2004).
- 10.25<sup>M</sup> Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the Radiologix, Inc. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the registrant's report on Form 10-K for 2004).
- 10.26<sup>M</sup> Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Radiologix, Inc. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the registrant's report on Form 10-K for 2004).
- 10.27 Professional Service Agreement dated December 31, 2001, by and among Radiologix, Inc., Pacific Imaging Partners, Inc., Pacific Imaging Consultants, A Medical Group, Inc., and Affiliates in Imaging, A Medical Group, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the registrant's report on Form 10-K for 2003).
- 21.1 Subsidiaries.\*
- 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP. \*
- 24.1 Power of Attorney (contained on the signature page of this Form 10-K).\*
- 31.1 Certification of Sami S. Abbasi pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.\*
- 31.2 Certification of Michael N. Murdock pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.\*
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Sami S. Abbasi.\*
- 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Michael N. Murdock.\*

\* Filed herewith.

<sup>M</sup> Management contract or compensatory plan.

\*\* Incorporated by reference to Exhibits 4.1, 10.1, and 10.23, respectively, to the registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-31611 on Form S-4.

@ Incorporated by reference to Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, respectively, to the Registrant's report on Form 8-K filed on August 3, 1999.

