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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (UNAUDITED)

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } 30, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | December 31, 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 160,572 | \$ 152,153 |
| Securities: |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale, at fair value | 1,077,667 | 1,010,895 |
| Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: \$445,462 and \$442,820) | 444,689 | 441,912 |
| Other securities | 49,753 | 40,777 |
| Total securities | 1,572,109 | 1,493,584 |
| Loans, net of deferred fees and costs | 4,836,265 | 4,727,969 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | $(71,323)$ | $(82,159)$ |
| Total loans, net | 4,764,942 | 4,645,810 |
| Property, premises and equipment, net | 85,919 | 104,030 |
| Goodwill | 242,687 | 243,289 |
| Other intangible assets | 44,515 | 49,741 |
| Other assets | 462,611 | 432,362 |
| Total assets | \$ 7,333,355 | \$ 7,120,969 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ 5,059,098 | \$ 5,058,539 |
| Borrowings | 1,174,973 | 1,008,113 |
| Other liabilities | 249,553 | 265,607 |
| Total liabilities | 6,483,624 | 6,332,259 |
| Minority interest: Preferred stock of real estate investment trust subsidiaries | 12,821 | 12,699 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 6) |  |  |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |
| Preferred stock, 10,500,000 shares authorized: |  |  |
| Series B Preferred Stock, $\$ 50.00$ stated value: $2,400,000$ shares originally designated; 2,021,079 and 2,026,553 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively | 103,094 | 103,387 |
| Common Stock, no par value: 160,000,000 shares authorized; $51,046,550$ and $49,906,058$ shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively | 291,791 | 276,670 |
| Unearned compensation |  | $(4,588)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | $(26,339)$ | $(27,683)$ |
| Retained earnings | 468,364 | 428,225 |
| Total equity | 836,910 | 776,011 |

## See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## (UNAUDITED)

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$ | 94,781 | \$ | 84,135 | \$ | 272,813 | \$ | 237,486 |
| Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 17,537 |  | 15,117 |  | 49,191 |  | 47,196 |
| Tax - exempt |  | 1,083 |  | 1,015 |  | 3,130 |  | 2,992 |
| Total interest on securities |  | 18,620 |  | 16,132 |  | 52,321 |  | 50,188 |
| Other interest income |  | 515 |  | 443 |  | 857 |  | 884 |
| Interest income |  | 113,916 |  | 100,710 |  | 325,991 |  | 288,558 |

## INTEREST EXPENSE

| Deposits | 33,515 | 19,776 | 85,218 | 55,396 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term borrowings | 12,953 | 9,648 | 34,941 | 24,829 |
| Short-term borrowings | 3,674 | 3,290 | 9,604 | 8,870 |
| Interest expense | 50,142 | 32,714 | 129,763 | 89,095 |
| Net interest income | 63,774 | 67,996 | 196,228 | 199,463 |
| Reversal of provision for credit losses | (443) | $(3,352)$ | $(8,333)$ | $(2,778)$ |
| Net interest income after reversal of provision for credit losses | 64,217 | 71,348 | 204,561 | 202,241 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance commissions and fees | 41,757 | 39,974 | 126,961 | 117,319 |
| Rental revenue on operating leases | 4,632 | 4,901 | 14,686 | 13,396 |
| Service charges and other fees | 2,363 | 2,496 | 7,271 | 7,915 |
| Income on bank owned life insurance | 2,038 | 1,877 | 5,871 | 5,679 |
| Loan and international banking fees | 1,960 | 1,663 | 5,473 | 5,789 |
| Trust fees | 1,059 | 1,074 | 3,241 | 3,200 |
| Security gains, net | 40 | 43 | 213 | 342 |
| Gains on sale of loans | (14) | 100 | (14) | 306 |
| Other income | 1,617 | 2,361 | 8,553 | 4,977 |
| Total non-interest income | 55,452 | 54,489 | 172,255 | 158,923 |

OPERATING EXPENSES

| Compensation and benefits | 52,548 | 50,745 | 161,977 | 149,202 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupancy and equipment | 11,896 | 11,278 | 35,459 | 32,838 |
| Legal costs and other professional fees | 5,074 | 4,671 | 12,711 | 12,720 |
| Depreciation - operating leases | 3,665 | 4,108 | 11,673 | 11,213 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 1,678 | 1,886 | 5,007 | 6,041 |
| Other expenses | 16,220 | 11,936 | 40,854 | 37,688 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total operating expenses | 91,081 | 84,624 | 267,681 | 249,702 |



See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## (UNAUDITED)

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 18,512 | \$ | 25,587 | \$ | 69,531 | \$ | 69,772 |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized net gains/(losses) on securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized net holding gains / (losses) (net of taxes arising during the period of $\$ 6.7$ million and $\$(5.3)$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and $\$ 900,000$ and $\$(3.9)$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively) |  | 9,587 |  | $(7,292)$ |  | 1,256 |  | $(5,358)$ |
| Less: Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income, net of taxes |  | (24) |  | (25) |  | (124) |  | (198) |
| Net change |  | 9,563 |  | $(7,317)$ |  | 1,132 |  | $(5,556)$ |
| Additional minimum pension liability adjustments (net of taxes of \$50,000 and $\$ 150,000$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 0$ for the three and six months ended September 30, 2005, respectively) |  | 71 |  |  |  | 212 |  |  |
| Other comprehensive income / (loss) |  | 9,634 |  | $(7,317)$ |  | 1,344 |  | $(5,556)$ |
| Comprehensive income | \$ | 28,146 | \$ | 18,270 | \$ | 70,875 | \$ | 64,216 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

## (UNAUDITED)




## See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (UNAUDITED)



## (Continued on next page)

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

| (Dollars in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, 2006 2005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows - financing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net change in deposits |  | 559 |  | $(87,423)$ |
| Net change in short-term borrowings with original maturities of three months or less |  | 22,000 |  | 265,000 |
| Proceeds from other short-term borrowings |  | 145,000 |  | 257,647 |
| Principal repayment - other short-term borrowings |  | $(90,000)$ |  | $(449,302)$ |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings |  | 280,668 |  | 312,440 |
| Principal repayment - long-term borrowings |  | $(4,121)$ |  | $(4,070)$ |
| Repurchase and repayment of CODES |  | $(81,672)$ |  | $(149,047)$ |
| Redemption of trust preferred securities |  | $(103,500)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options |  | 12,963 |  | 10,111 |
| Excess tax benefits on the exercise of share-based awards |  | 1,843 |  |  |
| Proceeds from other sale of Common Stock |  | 862 |  | 2,732 |
| Repurchase of Common Stock |  |  |  | $(42,740)$ |
| Repurchase of convertible preferred stock |  |  |  | (775) |
| Cash dividends on Common Stock |  | $(23,400)$ |  | $(22,865)$ |
| Cash dividends on convertible preferred stock |  | $(5,495)$ |  | $(5,516)$ |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock of real estate investment trusts |  | $(1,368)$ |  |  |
| Financing cash flows, net |  | 154,339 |  | 86,192 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents |  | 8,419 |  | 1,627 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 152,153 |  | 171,657 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 160,572 | \$ | 173,284 |
| Cash flows - supplemental disclosures |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid during the period for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | \$ | 123,905 | \$ | 88,109 |
| Income taxes | \$ | 51,291 | \$ | 46,439 |
| Non-cash transactions: |  |  |  |  |
| Conversion of convertible preferred stock | \$ | 3 | \$ | 227 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) 

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and for the
Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

## NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES


#### Abstract

The Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2006, and the Consolidated Statements of Operations, Comprehensive Income, Cash Flows, and Shareholders Equity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 have been prepared by Greater Bay Bancorp and are not audited. In our opinion, the interim data includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for any subsequent period or for the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2006.


## Organization and Nature of Operations

Greater Bay Bancorp (or we , us or our on a consolidated basis and the holding company on a parent-only basis) is a financial holding company with one bank subsidiary, Greater Bay Bank, National Association (or the Bank ), and one commercial insurance brokerage subsidiary, ABD Insurance and Financial Services (or ABD ).

The Bank provides a wide range of banking and financial services to small and medium-sized businesses, property managers, business executives, real estate developers, professionals, and other individuals. Through our network of banking offices, we engage in a broad array of lending activities, including commercial, real estate, and consumer loans. In addition, we offer specialty finance products including asset-based lending, accounts receivable factoring, loans to small businesses on which the Small Business Administration (or SBA ) generally provides guarantees, capital lease equipment financing, and loans and lease products tailored to the dental and veterinary health professions. Our banking offices also offer a wide range of deposit products, including personal and business checking and savings accounts, time deposits, and individual retirement accounts. We also offer specialized services such as cash management, international trade finance, MasterCard and Visa merchant deposit, traveler s checks, and safe deposit boxes. Through the Greater Bay Trust Company, a division of the Bank, we provide trust services to support the needs of our business and private banking clients. These services include custodial, investment management, estate planning resources and employee benefit plan services.

ABD, with offices located in California, Colorado, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington, provides commercial insurance brokerage, employee benefits consulting, and risk management solutions to business clients nationally. ABD markets commercial, property and casualty, employee benefits, life and retirement insurance products, and provides consulting services to clients enabling them to strengthen their risk management programs. ABD also owns a broker-dealer that advises clients on plan design and investment decisions regarding their employees retirement plans.

## Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

Our accounting and reporting policies conform to generally accepted accounting principles (or GAAP ) applicable in the United States, and the prevailing practices within the banking industry. The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our holding company, and those subsidiaries that qualify for consolidation. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. We wholly own six trust subsidiaries that were formed for the purpose of issuing Trust Preferred Securities. These trust subsidiaries are not included in our consolidated results, as we are not deemed to be their primary beneficiary. We also have other investments that are accounted for under the equity method pursuant to Accounting Principles Board (or APB) Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock. None of these equity investments meets the requirements for consolidation.

## Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of certain revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
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## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED) 

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and for the
Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, Federal funds sold, interest-earning deposits in other banks, and certain other short-term securities with original maturities of less than ninety days. Generally, Federal funds are sold for one-day periods. The Bank is required by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (or Federal Reserve ) to maintain non-interest-earning cash reserves against certain deposit accounts. The required reserves totaled $\$ 5.0$ million at both September 30, 2006 and at December 31, 2005.

Interest-earning assets included in cash and cash-equivalents are comprised of interest-earning deposits in other banks of $\$ 10.7$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 9.8$ million at December 31, 2005. There were no other interest-earning assets included in cash and cash-equivalents at those dates.

## Securities

We classify our securities in one of three categories: available-for-sale, held-to-maturity, and trading. Held-to-maturity securities are those securities that we have the intent and ability to hold until maturity. Securities acquired for the purpose of selling them in the near term are classified as trading securities. Securities not included in held-to-maturity or trading are classified as available-for-sale. Held-to-maturity securities are recorded at amortized cost, adjusted for the amortization or accretion of premiums or discounts. Available-for-sale and trading securities are recorded at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, net of the related deferred tax effect, are reported as a separate component of shareholders equity until realized. Unrealized gains and losses on trading securities are recognized in current period income.

## Share-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (or SFAS ) No. 123R (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (or SFAS No. 123R ), which requires the measurement and recognition of share-based compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors based on estimated fair values. SFAS No. 123R supersedes our previous accounting under APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (or APB 25 ). In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (or SEC ) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (or SAB 107 ) relating to SFAS No. 123R. We have applied the provisions of SAB 107 in our adoption of SFAS No. 123R. As of September 30, 2006 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, all outstanding share-based payment awards were originally granted to employees and directors.

We adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of January 1, 2006, the first day of our 2006 fiscal year. Our consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS No. 123R. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, our consolidated financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect the adoption of SFAS No. 123R.

The adoption of SFAS No. 123R resulted in a cumulative benefit from accounting change of $\$ 130,000$, net of taxes, which reflects the net cumulative impact of estimating future forfeitures in the determination of period expense related to restricted stock grants recognized in periods prior to the date of adoption, rather than recording forfeitures when they occur as previously permitted. Also as a result of the cumulative effect of the accounting change, unearned compensation of $\$ 4.6$ million was reclassified to Common Stock effective January 1, 2006.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we accounted for share-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 as allowed under SFAS No. 123, Accounting for
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## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED) 

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and for the
Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Stock-Based Compensation, as amended (or SFAS No. 123 ). Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for stock options granted, other than compensation related to stock option modifications where applicable, as the exercise prices of the options are at fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant prior to December 31, 2005. There also was no share-based compensation expense related to our employee stock purchase plan recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. That plan was terminated on September 30, 2005.

We use the straight-line single option method of attributing the value of share-based compensation to expense for all employee share-based payment awards. Share-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest during the period. As share-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS No. 123R requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. In our pro forma information required under SFAS No. 123 for the periods prior to 2006, we accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

SFAS No. 123R requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. Share-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 included compensation expense for share-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 31, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS No. 123. Compensation expense for the share-based payment awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005 is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123R. The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model are described in Note 9 Share-Based Compensation of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In November 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (or FASB ) issued FASB Staff Position (or FSP ) SFAS No. 123R-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards (or FSP No. 123R-3 ). FSP 123R-3 includes, as an alternative, a simplified method to establish the beginning balance of the pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R. We have elected to adopt this alternative transition method provided in FSP No. 123R-3.
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## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED) 

## As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and for the

Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Prior to January 1, 2006, under the provisions of SFAS No. 123, we elected not to recognize compensation expense for stock option grants and our employee stock purchase plan based on the fair value method. If compensation costs for our stock option plan and employee stock purchase plan had been determined consistent with SFAS No. 123, during 2005, our net income per common share would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts indicated below during the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | Three months ended September 30, 2005 |  | Nine months ended <br> September 30, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income, as reported | \$ | 25,587 | \$ | 69,772 |
| Add: stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects |  | 296 |  | 659 |
| Less: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects |  | $(2,159)$ |  | $(6,310)$ |
| Pro forma net income | \$ | 23,724 | \$ | 64,121 |
| Basic net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 1.26 |
| Pro forma (1) | \$ | 0.43 | \$ | 1.15 |
| Diluted net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 0.44 | \$ | 1.16 |
| Pro forma (1) | \$ | 0.41 | \$ | 1.06 |

(1) In our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005, we reported pro forma basic net income per common share of $\$ 0.43$ and $\$ 1.17$ for the three and nine months, respectively, and pro forma diluted net income per common share of $\$ 0.41$ and $\$ 1.07$ for the three and nine months, respectively. We have determined that in prior periods the tax benefit related to incentive stock options had been improperly computed in determining our disclosed pro forma stock-based employee compensation cost, net of tax. We completed our evaluation of the error and determined that an adjustment was necessary to revise the disclosure for the pro forma stock-based compensation cost, net of tax, along with the resulting pro forma net income, pro forma basic net income per common share, and pro forma diluted net income per common share for 2005 in the table presented above.
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and for the

## Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

## Comprehensive Income

We classify items of other comprehensive income or loss by their nature in the consolidated financial statements and display the accumulated other comprehensive loss separately from retained earnings in the equity section of the balance sheet. The changes to the balances of accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of taxes) were as follows for the periods indicated.

|  |  |  |  | Accumulated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Unrealized losses on securities | Additional minimum pension liability |  | other comprehensive |  |
| Balance - June 30, 2006 | \$ $(31,014)$ | \$ | $(4,959)$ | \$ | $(35,973)$ |
| Other comprehensive income | 9,563 |  | 71 |  | 9,634 |
| Balance - September 30, 2006 | \$ $(21,451)$ | \$ | $(4,888)$ | \$ | $(26,339)$ |
| Balance - June 30, 2005 | \$ $(11,144)$ | \$ | $(5,569)$ | \$ | $(16,713)$ |
| Other comprehensive loss | $(7,317)$ |  |  |  | $(7,317)$ |
| Balance - September 30, 2005 | \$ $(18,461)$ | \$ | $(5,569)$ |  | \$ (24,030) |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Unrealized losses on securities | Additional minimum pension liability |  | Accumulated other comprehensive loss |  |
| Balance - December 31, 2005 | \$ $(22,583)$ | \$ | $(5,100)$ | \$ | $(27,683)$ |
| Other comprehensive income | 1,132 |  | 212 |  | 1,344 |
| Balance - September 30, 2006 | \$ $(21,451)$ | \$ | $(4,888)$ | \$ | $(26,339)$ |
| Balance - December 31, 2004 | \$ $(12,905)$ | \$ | $(5,569)$ | \$ | $(18,474)$ |
| Other comprehensive loss | $(5,556)$ |  |  |  | $(5,556)$ |
| Balance - September 30, 2005 | \$ $(18,461)$ | \$ | $(5,569)$ | \$ | $(24,030)$ |
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## NOTE 2 SECURITIES

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities is summarized below at the dates indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Amortized cost |  | As of September 30, 2006 |  |  | Fair value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Gross nrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governmental sponsored entity notes | \$ | 82,710 | \$ |  | \$ $(2,584)$ | \$ | 80,126 |
| Mortgage and mortgage related securities |  | 816,992 |  | 83 | $(29,608)$ |  | 787,467 |
| Corporate securities |  | 210,822 |  | 169 | (917) |  | 210,074 |
| Total securities available-for-sale |  | 1,110,524 |  | 252 | $(33,109)$ |  | 1,077,667 |
| Securities held-to-maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury obligations |  | 17,567 |  | 20 |  |  | 17,587 |
| Governmental sponsored entity notes |  | 243,614 |  | 744 | $(2,087)$ |  | 242,271 |
| Tax-exempt securities |  | 91,392 |  | 2,302 | (156) |  | 93,538 |
| Taxable municipal securities |  | 672 |  |  | (24) |  | 648 |
| Corporate securities |  | 91,444 |  | 735 | (761) |  | 91,418 |
| Total securities held-to-maturity |  | 444,689 |  | 3,801 | $(3,028)$ |  | 445,462 |
| Other securities |  | 49,753 |  |  |  |  | 49,753 |
| Total securities |  | 1,604,966 |  | 4,053 | \$ $(36,137)$ |  | 1,572,882 |


| (Dollars in thousands) |  | Amortized cost | As of Dece Gross unrealized gains | ber 31, 2005 <br> Gross unrealized losses | Fair value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governmental sponsored entity notes | \$ | 57,710 | \$ | \$ ( 1,810 ) | \$ 55,900 |
| Mortgage and mortgage related securities |  | 900,911 | 3 | $(31,706)$ | 869,208 |
| Corporate securities |  | 86,505 | 2 | (720) | 85,787 |
| Total securities available-for-sale |  | 1,045,126 | 5 | $(34,236)$ | 1,010,895 |
| Securities held-to-maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury obligations |  | 22,973 | 13 |  | 22,986 |
| Governmental sponsored entity notes |  | 243,960 | 622 | $(1,620)$ | 242,962 |
| Tax-exempt securities |  | 82,695 | 2,193 | (153) | 84,735 |
| Taxable municipal securities |  | 857 |  | (20) | 837 |
| Corporate securities |  | 91,427 | 686 | (813) | 91,300 |
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| Total securities held-to-maturity | 441,912 | 3,514 | $(2,606)$ | 442,820 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other securities | 40,777 |  |  | 40,777 |  |
| Total securities | $\$ 1,527,815$ | $\$$ | 3,519 | $\$(36,842)$ | $\$ 1,494,492$ |
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## Other Securities

Other securities includes investments in Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB stock, marketable equity securities and other equity securities that do not have readily determinable fair values. Other securities are summarized below at the dates indicated:

|  | Carrying value <br> December 31, |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, <br> 2006 | 2005 |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB stock, at historical cost | $\$ 45,795$ | $\$$ | 39,074 |
| Marketable equity securities - trading, at fair value | 2,545 |  |  |
| Other equity securities, at historical cost | 498 | 621 |  |
| Marketable equity securities - available-for-sale, at fair value | 915 | 1,082 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total other securities | $\$ 49,753$ | $\$$ | 40,777 |

Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB stock and other equity securities do not have readily determinable fair values. These securities are carried at historical cost, and are evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the carrying value. Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB stock is required as a condition for membership and support of our activity levels. Other equity securities is primarily comprised of Community Reinvestment Act (or CRA ) qualified equity securities and unregistered equity securities received through the exercise of warrants received from clients.

Our marketable equity securities all have readily determinable fair values. These equity securities are classified as either available-for-sale or trading and are carried at fair value. Marketable equity securities are comprised of stock of public companies that was received either as distributions from our investments in venture capital funds, through the exercise of warrants received from clients, or in settlement of loans.

## Impaired Securities

Any security for which the current fair value is less than the carrying value is considered impaired. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate all impaired securities to determine if the impairments are other-than-temporary.

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had no securities with an other-than-temporary impairment. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had temporarily impaired securities with a fair value of $\$ 1.2$ billion and $\$ 1.2$ billion, respectively, and unrealized losses of $\$ 36.1$ million and $\$ 36.8$ million, respectively. Securities that are not impaired had a fair value of $\$ 368.5$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 262.3$ million at December 31, 2005, and unrealized gains of $\$ 4.1$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 3.5$ million at December 31, 2005.

As of March 31, 2005, we owned shares of a single series of Federal National Mortgage Association (or Fannie Mae ) preferred stock and a single series of Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (or Freddie Mac ) preferred stock. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we determined that the impairment of these securities was other-than-temporary. At the time this determination was made, these two series of preferred stock had a combined cost basis of $\$ 5.3$ million and a combined fair value of $\$ 4.2$ million. As a result of this determination, we recorded a $\$ 1.0$ million charge to other income during 2005. During the second quarter of 2005 , we sold these securities and recovered $\$ 6,000$ of the impairment charge.
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The following table presents the fair value and unrealized loss positions of our temporarily impaired securities, categorized by whether the securities have been impaired for less than 12 months or if they have been impaired for 12 months or more as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Less than 12 months |  |  | As of September 30, 2006 months or longer |  |  |  | tal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair value |  | realized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses |  | air value | Unrealized losses | Duration (years) |
| Available-for-sale securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governmental sponsored entity notes | \$ 24,228 | \$ | (772) | \$ 55,898 | \$ (1,812) | \$ | 80,126 | \$ $(2,584)$ | 2.14 |
| Mortgage and mortgage related securites | 27,081 |  | (212) | 747,089 | $(29,396)$ |  | 774,170 | $(29,608)$ | 3.36 |
| Corporate securities | 60,881 |  | (93) | 22,250 | (825) |  | 83,131 | (918) | 1.32 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 112,190 |  | $(1,077)$ | 825,237 | $(32,033)$ |  | 937,427 | $(33,110)$ | 3.08 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury obligations | 84,147 |  | (671) | 108,438 | $(1,416)$ |  | 192,585 | $(2,087)$ | 2.47 |
| Governmental sponsored entity notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax-exempt securities | 7,806 |  | (34) | 8,513 | (121) |  | 16,319 | (155) | 1.52 |
| Taxable municipal securities |  |  |  | 648 | (24) |  | 648 | (24) | 3.80 |
| Corporate securities | 16,947 |  | (128) | 36,512 | (633) |  | 53,459 | (761) | 2.04 |
| Total held-to-maturity securities | 108,900 |  | (833) | 154,111 | $(2,194)$ |  | 263,011 | $(3,027)$ | 2.33 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 221,090 | \$ | $(1,910)$ | \$ 979,348 | \$ $(34,227)$ |  | ,200,438 | \$ $(36,137)$ | 2.91 |

(continued)
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| (Dollars in thousands) | As of December 31, 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Duration (years) |
| Available-for-sale securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governmental sponsored entity notes | \$ | \$ | \$ 55,900 | \$ (1,810) | 55,900 | \$ (1,810) | 3.32 |
| Mortgage and mortgage related securities | 147,142 | $(2,854)$ | 721,889 | $(28,853)$ | 869,031 | $(31,707)$ | 3.40 |
| Corporate securities | 17,653 | (3) | 23,356 | (717) | 41,009 | (720) | 2.25 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 164,795 | $(2,857)$ | 801,145 | $(31,380)$ | 965,940 | $(34,237)$ | 3.35 |
| Held-to-maturity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. treasury obligations | 17,347 |  |  |  | 17,347 |  | 0.23 |
| Governmental sponsored entity notes | 183,007 | $(1,616)$ | 1,001 | (4) | 184,008 | $(1,620)$ | 2.65 |
| Tax-exempt securities | 14,550 | (139) | 1,333 | (13) | 15,883 | (152) | 1.62 |
| Taxable municipal securities | 838 | (20) |  |  | 838 | (20) | 3.60 |
| Corporate securities | 14,815 | (165) | 33,413 | (648) | 48,228 | (813) | 2.79 |
| Total held-to-maturity securities | 230,557 | $(1,940)$ | 35,747 | (665) | 266,304 | $(2,605)$ | 2.46 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 395,352 | \$ $(4,797)$ | \$ 836,892 | \$ $(32,045)$ | \$ 1,232,244 | \$ $(36,842)$ | 3.16 |

The temporary declines in the fair value of the securities are primarily attributable to increases in market interest rates, changes in implied interest rate volatility, and changes in the expected time distribution of interest and principal receipts. As of September 30, 2006, there were 265 temporarily impaired securities in these categories, 171 of which have been temporarily impaired for 12 months or longer. The majority of these temporary declines in fair values are in the mortgage-backed and mortgage-related securities (or MBS ) category. Although MBS securities have contractual maturities of up to 30 years and have interest rate structures ranging from fixed, fixed convertible to adjustable, and adjustable, these securities are subject to contractual amortization and prepayment. Our analysis indicates that the expected interest rate duration for impaired MBS was 3.4 years at September 30, 2006. We closely monitor all of our investments for changes in credit ratings. We do not believe there are any increases in the credit risks attributable to these securities that have given rise to an other-than-temporary impairment. Management has determined that we have the intent and ability to hold these temporarily impaired securities for the period of time necessary to recover their current value impairment. As such, management considers the impairment of these securities to be temporary.
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## NOTE 3 LOANS, ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES AND RESERVE FOR UNFUNDED CREDIT COMMITMENTS, AND NONPERFORMING ASSETS

## Loans

Our loan portfolio is concentrated in the commercial and real estate sectors. As our lending operations are primarily located in a market area that is dependent on the technology and real estate industries and supporting service companies within the general San Francisco Bay Area, a downturn in these sectors could adversely impact our borrowers. This could, in turn, adversely impact the borrowers ability to repay their loans and reduce the demand for new loans. The majority of real estate loans is secured by properties located in the San Francisco Bay Area. Multi-family residential loans are generally originated at $80 \%$ or less loan to value ( LTV ). Other commercial real estate loans are generally originated at $70 \%$ or less LTV. Construction loans are generally originated at $75 \%$ or less LTV. Management believes that the risk of significant losses in our loan portfolio is low.

The following table presents the composition of our loan portfolio as of the dates presented:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial (1) | \$ | 2,136,235 | \$ | 2,052,049 |
| Term real estate - commercial |  | 1,362,794 |  | 1,389,329 |
| Total commercial |  | 3,499,029 |  | 3,441,378 |
| Real estate construction and land |  | 753,416 |  | 644,883 |
| Residential mortgage |  | 277,038 |  | 266,263 |
| Real estate other |  | 223,373 |  | 263,164 |
| Consumer and other (1) |  | 79,131 |  | 109,168 |
| Total loans, gross |  | 4,831,987 |  | 4,724,856 |
| Deferred costs and fees, net (1) |  | 4,278 |  | 3,113 |
| Total loans, net of deferred costs and fees |  | 4,836,265 |  | 4,727,969 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(71,323)$ |  | $(82,159)$ |
| Total loans, net | \$ | 4,764,942 |  | 4,645,810 |
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## Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Credit Commitments

The following schedule shows the composition of the provision for credit losses and the allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for unfunded credit commitments for the periods indicated:
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The methodology used to determine the estimated requirement for the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments consists of two key components: the individual impairment component and the pool analysis component. The following table provides information about these components for at the dates presented:

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, <br> 2006 | December 31, <br> Individual impairment component: |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Specific reserves: | 2005 |  |

The individual loan impairment component of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded commitments is equal to the aggregate amount of specific reserves that are established for impaired loans as defined by SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, as amended (or SFAS No. 114 ). The measurement of an impaired loan s value is generally based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate stipulated in the loan agreement, except that all collateral-dependent loans are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral. In measuring the fair value of the collateral, management uses assumptions and methodologies consistent with those that would be utilized by unrelated knowledgeable third parties.

The pool analysis is a migration-based analysis and is performed in two steps. The first step includes an estimate of loss based on the distribution of the portfolio by credit risk rating, the probability of default by credit risk rating, and the estimate of loss assuming a loan default. We segment our unimpaired portfolio by credit risk rating and then further segment each category by loan/collateral type. We then apply probability of default factors against each credit risk rating segment to produce an estimate, by loan/collateral type, of the aggregate principal amount of loans
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that are likely to default. Probability of default rates is calculated for various risk ratings using historical data. We then adjust these rates to account for the inherent deficiencies in the quality of the underlying data used in the calculation, and to account for macro and regional economic issues that have recently occurred that we know will impact these rates, but are not reflected in historical data, such as recent increases in interest rates. Finally, we apply an estimated loss factor, based on collateral type, against the aggregate principal amount of loans that we believe are likely to default to determine an estimate of loss. In the third quarter of 2006, we made a change in modeling assumptions related to the relative improvement in the Bay Area economy compared to the national economy that resulted in a $\$ 1.3$ million decrease in this component of our allowance for loan and lease losses.

The second step of the pool analysis is an estimate of unidentified, incurred loss. Borrowers are impacted by events that result in loan default and an eventual loss that occurs well in advance of a lender s knowledge of
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those events. An example of a loss-causing event for a commercial real estate loan would be the loss of a major tenant. There is a lag between the loss-causing event, the loss of a major tenant in this example, and our knowledge of this event. While loss-causing events have likely been identified for loans and unfunded commitments that have been assigned risk ratings associated with criticized assets, they have not been identified for all pass-rated loans and commitments. Therefore, we believe that a portion of the pass-rated assets have heightened risk that would be similar to criticized assets.

## Nonperforming Assets

The following table sets forth information regarding nonaccrual loans, other nonperforming assets, restructured loans, and accruing loans past due 90 days or more at the dates indicated.

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, <br> 2006 | December 31, <br> 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nonperforming assets: | $\$ 29,063$ | $\$ 8$ | 71,094 |
| Nonaccrual loans | 603 | 631 |  |
| Other nonperforming assets | $\$$ | 29,666 | $\$$ |
| Total nonperforming assets | $\$$ | 71,725 |  |
| Restructured loans on accrual status | $\$$ | 1,269 | $\$$ |

Nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2005 included a single client relationship totaling $\$ 36.8$ million that had been placed on nonaccrual status during the second quarter of 2005. The payoff of the loans comprising this relationship during the first quarter of 2006 is the primary reason for the decline in nonperforming assets. The carrying value of the loans comprising this relationship as of December 31, 2005 was established based upon the principal proceeds received on the retirement of this borrower s indebtedness during the first quarter of 2006.

Impaired loans and the related allowance were as follows at the dates indicated:

|  | September 30, | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  |  |
| Impaired loans with an allowance | $\$ 17,299$ | $\$$ | 64,587 |
| Impaired loans without an allowance | 11,764 | 6,507 |  |
| Total impaired loans | $\$$ | 29,063 | $\$$ |
| Allowance for impaired loans | $\$$ | 71,094 |  |
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The average recorded investment in impaired loans, which is computed on a month-end basis, was $\$ 23.2$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 63.0$ million during the year ended December 31, 2005. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, we recognized no interest income within the periods that the loans were deemed impaired. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, all of our impaired loans were on nonaccrual status and are included in nonperforming assets.
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## NOTE 4 DEPOSITS

Deposits as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 were as follows:

|  | September 30, <br> 2006 | December 31, <br> 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\$ 980,050$ | $\$ 1,093,157$ |
| Demand, noninterest-bearing | $2,613,387$ | $3,000,647$ |
| MMDA, NOW and savings | 784,557 | 741,682 |
| Time deposits, $\$ 100,000$ and over | 681,104 | 223,053 |
| Other time deposits |  |  |
|  | $\$ 5,059,098$ | $\$ 5,058,539$ |

Brokered deposits, were $\$ 557.7$ million and $\$ 91.2$ million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Brokered deposits are denominated in amounts under $\$ 100,000$ and therefore are included in other time deposits. Other institutional time deposits were $\$ 404.5$ million and $\$ 402.5$ million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively and are included in time deposits $\$ 100,000$ and over.

## NOTE 5 BORROWINGS

Borrowings as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 were as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, <br> 2006 | December 31, <br> 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Short-term borrowings: | $\mathbf{4 6 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | 250,000 |
| FHLB advances | 3,746 | 3,702 |  |
| Other short-term notes payable |  |  |  |
|  | 465,746 | 253,702 |  |
| Total short-term borrowings |  |  |  |
| Long-term borrowings: | 225,490 | 155,567 |  |
| FHLB advances | 180,929 | 210,311 |  |
| Subordinated notes | 149,907 | 149,876 |  |
| 5.25\% Senior Notes, Series B due June 30, 2008 | 149,698 | 149,641 |  |
| 5.125\% Senior Notes, Series D due April 15, 2010 | 3,203 | 82,391 |  |
| Zero Coupon Senior Convertible Contingent Debt Securities | 6,625 |  |  |
| Other long-term notes payable | 709,227 | 754,411 |  |
| Total long-term borrowings | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 4 , 9 7 3}$ | $\$ 1,008,113$ |  |

We had $\$ 7.1$ million in interest payable on borrowings at September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 5.9$ million at December 31, 2005.

## Subordinated Notes

## Redemption of GBB Capital V

On August 31, 2006, we redeemed all of the $9 \%$ Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities issued by GBB Capital V. In connection with the redemption, we repaid all of the subordinated notes issued by us to GBB Capital V. The subordinated notes had a cumulative principal balance and a carrying value of $\$ 106.7$ million as of August
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31, 2006. The redemption of the securities issued by GBB Capital V and the related repayment of subordinated notes were at par. Unamortized debt issuance costs related to these securities totaling $\$ 3.2$ million was written off as part of this transaction and is included in other expenses.

## Issuance by GBB Capital VIII

On June 14,2006 , the holding company issued $\$ 77.3$ million of junior subordinated notes to GBB Capital VIII (or the Trust ), a Delaware business trust, which are included in the balance of subordinated notes as of September 30, 2006. The Trust in turn issued $\$ 75.0$ million of Trust Preferred Securities in a private offering. In accordance with the rules for variable interest entities, those Trust Preferred Securities are not reflected in our liabilities. The holding company also made a $\$ 2.3$ million investment in all of the common securities issued by this unconsolidated trust on that date, which is included in other assets. The junior subordinated notes are the sole assets of the Trust. The holding company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the obligations of the Trust. Except for the outstanding principal balance, the terms of the junior subordinated notes issued by the holding company to the Trust and the Trust Preferred Securities issued by the Trust are identical.

The following table shows the junior subordinated notes issued by the holding company and the related Trust Preferred Securities issued by the Trust.

| Subordinated <br> notes issued <br> to |  |  |  | Date of |  | Stated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trust | Title of Trust Preferred Security | Trust Preferred | Date of |  | first optional |  |
| $\$ 77,320,000$ | Fixed/Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities | by Trust | original issue | redemption, at par | maturity |  |

Interest on the subordinated notes and the related issue of Trust Preferred Securities is payable quarterly commencing September 14, 2006 at a fixed rate of $7.064 \%$ through June 14, 2011. Thereafter, the Trust Preferred Securities bear interest at an adjustable rate equal to the three-month LIBOR index rate plus $1.63 \%$. Interest is deferrable, at our option, for up to five years.

The junior subordinated notes are redeemable at par prior to maturity at our option on interest payment dates that occur on or after June 14, 2011. The Trust Preferred Securities are required to be redeemed upon the redemption of the related junior subordinated notes. Optional redemption of Trust Preferred Securities is subject to prior approval of the Federal Reserve.

Under applicable regulatory guidelines, the aggregate balance of all Trust Preferred Securities outstanding qualify as Tier I capital up to a certain limit, and the remaining portion qualify as Tier II capital. At September 30, 2006, $\$ 175.5$ million of the outstanding Trust Preferred Securities qualified as Tier I capital. See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for additional information and a description of the Trust Preferred Securities.

## Zero Coupon Senior Convertible Contingent Debt Securities (or CODES )

During the first quarter of 2006, all of the holders of our outstanding CODES due 2024 exercised their put option, in exchange for aggregate cash of $\$ 82.5$ million. We did not recognize any gain or loss on the transaction. The CODES due 2024 were contingently convertible into an aggregate of 2,145,387 shares of our common stock, but were not convertible at the time of the put.
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The CODES due 2024 had embedded derivatives that were recorded at their fair value. The liability for these embedded derivatives had a fair value of $\$ 173,000$ at the time of the issuance of the CODES due 2024 and less than $\$ 1,000$ at December 31, 2005. As a result of the retirement of all the outstanding CODES due 2024, this derivative liability was extinguished during 2006.
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## Other Long-term Borrowings

In March 2005, the holding company entered into a three-year unsecured committed credit facility under which we had $\$ 60.0$ million available as of September 30, 2006. Under this credit facility, no advances were outstanding at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, no average balances were outstanding during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005 and no amounts were outstanding at any month-end during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005. We paid commitment fees on this credit facility totaling $\$ 89,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 284,000$ during the same period in 2005. This credit facility requires compliance with certain debt covenants, including (a) prohibitions on the imposition of any encumbrance or lien on certain of our properties; (b) the merger or consolidation of the holding company or any of our subsidiaries with any other person, subject to certain exceptions; (c) incurrence of additional holding company debt not explicitly exempted; and (d) the maintenance of certain capital and financial performance ratios. We were in compliance with all related credit facility covenants at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

## Short-term and Long-term Federal Home Loan Bank (or FHLB ) Advances

FHLB advances are collateralized by securities and loans pledged to the FHLB. We had securities with a carrying value of $\$ 582.8$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 600.9$ million at December 31, 2005 and loans with a carrying value of $\$ 603.2$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 595.3$ million at December 31, 2005 pledged to the FHLB for both short-term and long-term borrowings. We have additional borrowing capacity for FHLB advances using existing collateral of $\$ 282.2$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 556.0$ million at December 31, 2005.

As of September 30, 2006, long-term FHLB advances mature between 2006 and 2011. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the same period in 2005, we paid an average interest rate of $5.05 \%$ and $3.94 \%$, respectively, on the long-term advances.

## Short-term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings were as follows during the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, 2006 |  | Year Ended December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FHLB short-term borrowings: |  |  |  |  |
| Average balance | \$ | 275,993 | \$ | 287,884 |
| Highest balance at any month-end | \$ | 462,000 | \$ | 613,050 |
| Average interest rate during the period |  | 4.47\% |  | 3.49\% |
| Interest rate on advances outstanding at period end |  | 4.93\% |  | 3.85\% |
| Short-term agreements to repurchase: |  |  |  |  |
| Average balance | \$ | 25,016 | \$ | 8,041 |
| Highest balance at any month-end | \$ | 56,270 | \$ | 70,603 |
| Average interest rate during the period |  | 4.70\% |  | 4.19\% |
| Interest rate on balance outstanding at period end |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds purchased: |  |  |  |  |
| Average balance | \$ | 637 | \$ |  |
| Highest balance at any month-end | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Average interest rate during the period |  | 4.55\% |  |  |
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## NOTE 6 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Loan Commitments

In the normal course of business, we become contractually obligated under various commitments and contingent liabilities, such as guarantees and commitments to extend credit that are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The following table provides information about the amount of these commitments at the dates indicated.

|  | September 30, | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  |  |
| Undrawn loan commitments | $\$ 4,487,341$ | $\$$ | $1,507,314$ |
| Commitments under letters of credit | $\$$ | 94,797 | $\$$ |

Loan commitments, which have fixed expiration dates and require the payment of a fee, are typically contingent upon the borrower meeting certain financial and other covenants. Approximately $\$ 442.0$ million of these commitments relate to real estate construction, a significant portion of which is expected to fund within the next 18 months. The remainder relates primarily to revolving lines of credit or other commercial loans and many of these commitments are expected to expire without being funded. Therefore, the total undrawn loan commitments do not necessarily represent future loan funding amounts. Our exposure to credit loss is limited to amounts funded or drawn.

## Guarantees

A guarantee is a contract that contingently requires us to pay a guaranteed party based on changes in the underlying asset, liability, or equity security of the guaranteed party, or a third party s failure to perform under an obligating guarantee (performance guarantee). In the ordinary course of business, we have issued certain guarantees, which qualify as off-balance sheet arrangements. Those guarantees include the following:

Financial standby letters of credit and financial guarantees are conditional lending commitments issued by us to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party in borrowing arrangements. The maximum undiscounted future payments that we could be required to make were $\$ 86.3$ million at September 30, 2006. Unearned fees on these guarantees were $\$ 386,000$ at September 30, 2006, which we have also deemed to be the fair value of these commitments. We generally have recourse to recover from the customer any amounts paid under these guarantees. Our performance under certain of these letters of credit may be guaranteed by a third party, such as the FHLB, in instances where the beneficiaries of the letters of credit require such a guarantee. The form of collateral that we hold for these guarantees and the amount of the unsecured portion is as follows at the date indicated:

|  | September 30, |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | 2006 |
| Cash | $\$ 810310$ |
| Credit lines | 31,211 |
| Blanket liens on business assets | 12,137 |
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| Real estate | 2,723 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Other secured | 12,772 |
| Unsecured |  |
|  | $\$$ |
| Total | 86,283 |

The Bank has guaranteed credit cards issued to our clients by an unaffiliated financial institution. The combined credit limits on those accounts were $\$ 4.8$ million at September 30, 2006.
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## Additional Payments for Business Acquisitions

Under the acquisition agreements related to our acquisition of Sullivan \& Curtis Insurance Brokers of Washington, LLC (or S\&C ) and Lucini/Parish Insurance, Inc. (or Lucini/Parish ), we are required to make additional payments to their former shareholders. These payments include: (a) amounts contingent on achieving specified annual operating performance levels, and (b) amounts representing deferred payments that are dependent only on the passage of time. Contingent payments are recognized as goodwill when the final contingencies have been resolved. Deferred payments are recognized as goodwill at the inception of the transaction and the related accrued liability is released at the time of payment.

All potential future payments for $S \& C$ are contingent in nature and as of September 30, 2006, the maximum remaining contingent payment is $\$ 3.3$ million, which will be based on $\mathrm{S} \& \mathrm{C}$ attaining specified operating targets for the 2006 fiscal year. The payment is payable during the first quarter of 2007.

For Lucini/Parish, we deferred $\$ 8.2$ million in payments to their former shareholders at the close of the acquisition in May 2005 that are payable over three years. On July 14, 2006, a $\$ 3.2$ million cash payment was made to the former shareholders of Lucini/Parish. The remaining $\$ 5.0$ million in deferred payments are payable in 2007 and 2008. Additionally, the former shareholders of Lucini/Parish may receive up to $\$ 10.0$ million in contingent payments based on Lucini/Parish attaining certain operating performance thresholds as established in the acquisition agreement. On July 14,2006 , we paid $\$ 2.9$ million in such contingent payments. The remaining contingent payments of up to $\$ 7.1$ million are payable in 2007 and 2008 based on Lucini/Parish s operating results.

## Other Commitments

We have equity investments, including low income housing tax credit partnerships, venture capital funds, and partnerships and corporations which invest in CRA qualified assets for which we have unfunded commitments totaling $\$ 9.5$ million at September 30, 2006. We have recorded a $\$ 708,000$ liability for the unfunded commitments related to our investment in low income housing tax credit partnerships. Unfunded commitments for investments in venture capital funds and CRA related investments are not recorded. Those equity investments are described further in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business there are various assertions, claims and legal proceedings pending against us. Management is of the opinion that the ultimate resolution of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

State attorneys general in several states are investigating insurance brokerage firms to obtain information about compensation agreements between insurance brokers and insurance companies. One of the areas of focus of these inquiries to date has been on contingency or override payments that insurance companies pay to brokers based on the overall relationship and services provided by the broker. Such payments are generally in accordance with longstanding industry practice and may be based upon a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, aggregate volume, profitability, and persistency of insurance policies placed by the broker.

ABD receives such commissions and override payments, which amounted to $\$ 14.3$ million during the twelve months ended September 30, 2006, or $8.7 \%$ of total ABD commissions and fees. ABD has received and responded to requests for information from several state insurance commissioners and subpoenas from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York and the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Connecticut about ABD s marketing practices and compensation arrangements with insurance carriers. As a result of conversations with officials of both states, it is our understanding that ABD s receipt of the subpoenas is part of a broad review of the insurance brokerage industry
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and that others in the industry have received subpoenas. We anticipate that officials from other jurisdictions may also seek information from ABD as part of the ongoing industry-wide investigations into contingent commissions and override payments. ABD intends to cooperate fully in these investigations.
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In 2005, our outside counsel completed a compliance review of ABD s contingency commission arrangements and marketing practices. The report concluded that no systemic compliance problems related to contingent commissions were identified. We continue to monitor industry developments in these areas, as well as ABD s compliance and disclosure practices.

See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding tax contingencies and uncertain tax positions.
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## NOTE 7 SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

The holding company declared dividends per common share of $\$ 0.4725$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 0.60$ during the year ended December 31, 2005. We declared and paid cash dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock of $\$ 2.71875$ per preferred share during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 3.625$ during the year ended December 31, 2005. On September 12, 2006, we declared a cash dividend of $\$ 0.1575$ per common share payable on October 16, 2006 to shareholders of record as of October 6, 2006. We recorded a liability for dividends payable on Common Stock of $\$ 8.0$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 7.8$ million at December 31, 2005.

## NOTE 8 NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted net income per common share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders after assumed conversions by the weighted average number of common shares and potential common shares outstanding including dilutive stock options, restricted stock grants, convertible preferred stock and the previously outstanding CODES. The following tables provide a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted net income per common share computations for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | For the three months ended September 30, 2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Income (numerator) | Shares (denominator) | Per share amount |  |
| Basic net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 18,512 |  |  |  |
| Dividends on preferred stock | $(1,832)$ |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders after cumulative effect of accounting change | 16,680 | 50,423,000 | \$ | 0.33 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options and restricted stock grants |  | 943,000 |  |  |
| Diluted net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders after assumed conversions | \$ 16,680 | 51,366,000 | \$ | 0.32 |

(Continued on next page)

Table of Contents
GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (CONTINUED)
As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and for the
Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | For the three months ended September 30, 2005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Income (numerator) | Shares (denominator) | Per share amount |  |
| Basic net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 25,587 |  |  |  |
| Dividends on preferred stock | $(1,834)$ |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders | 23,753 | 50,698,000 | \$ | 0.47 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options and restricted stock grants |  | 878,000 |  |  |
| CODES due 2022 | 1 | 8,000 |  |  |
| CODES due 2024 | 75 | 2,426,000 |  |  |
| Diluted net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders after assumed conversions | \$ 23,829 | 54,010,000 | \$ | 0.44 |


| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | For the $n$ <br> Income (numerator) | nths ended Sept <br> Shares <br> (denominator) | Per |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic net income before cumulative effect of accounting change per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Income before cumulative effect of accounting change as reported | \$ 69,401 |  |  |  |
| Dividends on preferred stock | $(5,486)$ |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders before cumulative effect of accounting change | 63,915 | 50,159,000 | \$ | 1.27 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options and restricted stock grants |  | 725,000 |  |  |
| CODES due 2024 | 59 | 652,000 |  |  |
| Diluted net income prior to cumulative effect of accounting change per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders before cumulative effect of accounting change | \$ 63,974 | 51,536,000 | \$ | 1.24 |
| Basic net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 69,531 |  |  |  |
| Dividends on preferred stock | $(5,486)$ |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders | 64,045 | 50,159,000 | \$ | 1.28 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options and restricted stock grants |  | 725,000 |  |  |
| CODES due 2024 | 59 | 652,000 |  |  |
| Diluted net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders after assumed conversions | \$ 64,104 | 51,536,000 | \$ |  |

(Continued on next page)
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$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \text { For the nine months ended September 30, 2005 } \\ \text { Per } \\ \text { share } \\ \text { amount }\end{array}\right)$

The calculations exclude the effect of securities deemed to be anti-dilutive or represent contingently issuable shares that have not met the conditions required to be considered outstanding for purposes of computing diluted net income per common share. Such securities are as follows:

Stock options and restricted stock grants Stock options and restricted stock grants are considered anti-dilutive if assumed proceeds per share are greater than the average market prices of the common stock during the relevant periods. Assumed proceeds include proceeds on the exercise of stock options, unearned compensation for stock options and restricted stock grants, and certain deferred tax benefits for stock options and restricted stock grants. As such, the potential common shares underlying anti-dilutive stock options and restricted stock grants are excluded from the computation of diluted net income per common share. The following indicates the number of shares of our common stock which are available for purchase under stock options and restricted stock grants deemed to be anti-dilutive during the periods indicated:

|  | For the three months ended September 309r the nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Stock options | 1,920,741 | 2,140,126 | 1,948,241 | 2,823,181 |
| Restricted stock grants | 500 |  | 19,470 |  |

There were no anti-dilutive restricted stock grants for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Convertible preferred stock There were 2,021,079 shares of Series B Preferred Stock outstanding at September 30, 2006 compared to $2,013,834$ shares outstanding at September 30, 2005. Each share of Series B Preferred Stock is currently convertible into 1.67 shares of our Common Stock at the option of the preferred stock shareholder. The Series B Preferred Stock was anti-dilutive for all periods presented since the quotient of the dividends paid on the preferred stock for each period divided by the potential common shares of the convertible preferred stock was greater than the diluted net income per common share during the period.

2005 Long Term Incentive Plan (or LTIP ) For the 3-year LTIP performance period beginning 2006 and ending 2008, the LTIP provides for awards to participating executives to be paid in the form of restricted shares of our Common Stock, $50 \%$ of which would be fully vested when granted following the completion of the 3-year LTIP performance period. The remaining $50 \%$ would vest one year from the date of grant based on the executives continued employment. See Note 9 for a further description of these awards. The shares issuable pursuant to awards under the LTIP for the 2006-2008 performance period are considered contingent shares for purposes of computing net income per share. We have not included any shares for this plan in our computation of net income per share as we have not met the minimum threshold for the issuance of shares at September 30, 2006.
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## NOTE 9 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

## Stock Option Plans

At the May 30, 2006 annual shareholders meeting, the shareholders approved the Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (or the Omnibus Plan ), which replaced the Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan, (or the 1996 Plan ), both of which are described below. The compensation expense and related income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for share-based compensation arrangements were as follows for the periods presented:

|  | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Stock options |  | Restricted stock |  | Stock options \$ | Restricted stock |  |
| Stock-based compensation expense | \$ | 374 | \$ | 668 |  | \$ | 506 |
| Income tax benefit |  | (59) |  | (278) |  |  | (210) |
|  | \$ | 315 | \$ | 390 | \$ | \$ | 296 |


|  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 |  |  |

[^1]
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The total authorized shares issuable under the Omnibus Plan is $6,000,000$ shares and the number of shares available for future grants at September 30,2006 was $5,812,030$ shares. No additional equity awards may be granted under the 1996 Plan. Under the Omnibus Plan, equity awards (including options, restricted shares, performance shares and stock appreciation rights) may be granted to employees, non-employee directors, and consultants. Stock options may be either incentive or nonqualified stock options as defined under current tax laws. The exercise price of stock options must be no less than the closing price of our stock on the date of grant. The term of equity awards (including options, restricted shares, performance shares, and stock appreciation rights) may not exceed seven years for awards granted under the Omnibus Plan and 10 years for awards previously granted under the 1996 Plan.
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#### Abstract

Our restricted stock grant agreements issued under the 1996 Plan contain a provision under which the restrictions lapse upon the retirement of the grantee, defined as termination of service upon the attainment of age 65 . As a result, the service period over which we recognize compensation expense for restricted stock grants does not include any portion of the vesting period that occurs after the grantee reaches age 65 . If a grantee is 65 years old or older on the date of grant, all of the compensation costs are expensed on the date of grant. Restricted stock granted to employees and directors who have reached retirement age but have not terminated service is considered to be unvested for purposes of determining the weighted average outstanding shares of common stock as part of our computation of net income per share as the restrictions on those shares will not lapse until the grantees actually retire or the vesting period has passed.


We issue new shares upon the exercise of stock options or the grant of restricted stock. All stock options and restricted stock grants have vesting conditions that include only service conditions; none of the grants contain performance or market vesting conditions. As of September 30, 2006 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, all outstanding share-based payment awards were originally granted to employees and directors. All of our share-based payment awards qualify for classification as equity.

The fair values for our share-based compensation plans are estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Expected term is based on historical employee stock option exercise behavior, the vesting terms of the respective options and the contractual term of seven years for awards granted under the Omnibus Plan and 10 years for awards previously granted under the 1996 Plan. Expected volatility is based on the daily historical volatility of our stock price over the expected term of the option. The risk-free interest rate for periods equal to the expected term of the share option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Expected dividend yield is based on the ratio of the most recent dividend rate paid per share of common stock to the average stock price during the preceding year.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three months ended September 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6} \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 5}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Nine months ended September 30, } \\ \text { 2006 }\end{array} \\ \text { 2005 }\end{array}\right]$
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A summary of our stock options as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 is presented below:

| (Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts) | Shares | Weightedaverage exercise price per share |  | Weightedaverage remaining contractual term (in years) | Aggregate intrinsic value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 6,115 | \$ | 23.91 |  |  |  |
| Granted | 292 | \$ | 27.37 |  |  |  |
| Exercised | (703) | \$ | 18.39 |  |  |  |
| Forfeited | (80) | \$ | 17.83 |  |  |  |
| Expired | (218) | \$ | 32.80 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2006 | 5,406 | \$ | 24.55 | 5.71 | \$ | 19,814 |
| Exercisable at September 30,2006 | 4,400 | \$ | 25.51 | 5.20 | \$ | 13,450 |

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 was $\$ 9.11, \$ 9.53$, and $\$ 10.41$, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 was $\$ 6.7$ million, $\$ 6.9$ million, and $\$ 13.2$ million, respectively. We realized $\$ 2.2$ million in tax benefits from the exercise of stock options during the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

A summary of our unvested restricted stock as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 is presented below:

|  |  | Weighted- <br> average grant |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts) | Shares | date fair value |  |
| Unvested at January 1, 2006 | 251 | $\$$ | 25.32 |
| Granted | 413 | $\$$ | 27.35 |
| Vested | $(109)$ | $\$$ | 26.08 |
| Forfeited | $(2)$ |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Unvested at September 30, 2006 | 553 | $\$$ | 26.87 |

As of September 30, 2006, there was $\$ 9.0$ million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock, which we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 3.5 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, and the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, was $\$ 3.1$ million, $\$ 786,000$, and $\$ 405,000$, respectively. We also realized $\$ 1.3$ million in tax benefits from the vesting of restricted stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2006.
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## Awards Under the LTIP for the 2006-2008 Performance Period

In 2006, we made awards to certain executives eligible to participate in the LTIP for the 2006-2008 performance period. Payouts, if any, would be paid in the form of restricted shares of our Common Stock, $50 \%$ of which would be fully vested when granted following the completion of the 3-year LTIP performance period. The remaining $50 \%$ would vest one year from the date of grant based on the executives continued employment in his or her current position (or comparable position). These 2006 LTIP awards are accounted for as performance-based liability awards under SFAS No. 123R. The number of shares to be awarded under the LTIP for the 2006-2008 performance period will be based on the dollar value of the LTIP award divided by the closing fair market value of a share of the Company s common stock on the date of the grant. The dollar value of the LTIP award is dependent upon our operating cash flow during the performance period, certain dollar maximums established by the Compensation Committee of the Board for the 2006-2008 performance period, and the exercise of negative discretion by the Compensation Committee permitted under the LTIP. However, the aggregate dollar value of the LTIP awards is limited to the cumulative total of $1 \%$ of annual operating cash flows of the Company for the applicable three-year performance period. These 2006 LTIP awards also include service conditions through the vesting dates of the restricted stock granted in payment of awards. A maximum pool of $\$ 3.2$ million in common shares is issuable under the 2006 LTIP awards for all participants.

## NOTE 10 INCOME TAXES

Our effective income tax rate during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was $35.3 \%$ and $36.4 \%$, respectively, compared to $37.9 \%$ and $37.4 \%$, respectively, for the same periods in 2005 . The effective rates were lower than the combined Federal and state statutory rate of $41.5 \%$ primarily due to California enterprise zone interest income exclusion, tax-exempt income on municipal securities, bank-owned life insurance policy investment earnings and low income housing and new markets tax credit funds. The tax benefits for items exempt from taxation have been partially offset by share-based compensation expense for incentive stock options and individual compensation in excess of Internal Revenue Code Section $162(\mathrm{~m})$ limits (disallowed deductions for certain executive compensation in excess of $\$ 1.0$ million per year), for which we do not recognize a tax benefit for financial statement purposes.

In 2001, the Bank formed CNB Investment Trust I (or CNBIT I ) as a real estate investment trust subsidiary. Later that year, the Bank and CNBIT I formed CNB Investment Trust II (or CNBIT II ) as a real estate investment trust subsidiary. Both CNBIT I and CNBIT II elected to be taxed as real estate investment trusts for Federal and state tax purposes. CNBIT I and CNBIT II were formed in order to provide flexibility in raising capital. In the fourth quarter of 2001, CNBIT II sold $\$ 15.0$ million of preferred stock in order to raise capital. The sale of the preferred stock resulted in a one-time $\$ 34.0$ million loss for income tax purposes and a corresponding $\$ 11.4$ million permanent reduction in 2001 income tax expense.

During the second quarter of 2004, the Internal Revenue Service (or the IRS ) requested information concerning the reported 2001 tax loss from the sale of CNBIT II s preferred stock in connection with its ongoing examination of the 2000 and 2001 tax years. To date, the IRS has not issued an administrative notice of proposed adjustment or any other written notice regarding the claimed loss deduction. However, the IRS informed us that it intends to challenge some or all of the 2001 loss deductions. Due to these statements by the IRS, we believe that it is likely that the IRS will seek to adjust some or all of the loss we deducted in 2001 in connection with the sale of the CNBIT II preferred stock. If the IRS successfully disallowed the entire loss deduction, the tax cost to us would be approximately $\$ 10.7$ million plus interest estimated at $\$ 985,000$. We believe that the loss deduction was claimed in accordance with relevant tax statutes and we would vigorously contest any proposed disallowance of such tax benefits in appropriate proceedings, if necessary. Should the IRS successfully challenge the validity of our loss deduction, incremental taxes would be reported in income tax expense in the period such a decision is reached, or earlier, if we determine that such an outcome is probable and estimable.

Our real estate investment trust subsidiaries paid consent dividends to the Bank under the federal REIT rules in 2001 through September 30, 2006 and the CNBIT I deducted such consent dividends for both federal and California tax purposes. From inception through September 30, 2006, we have recognized a cumulative net tax benefit, net of tax reserves, of $\$ 2.3$ million on these consent dividends. During 2003, the

California Franchise Tax Board (or FTB ) took the position that consent dividends are not deductible for California franchise and income tax purposes. We filed amended California tax returns for 2001 and 2002 and paid additional taxes and interest in
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accordance with the position of the FTB of $\$ 578,000$ and $\$ 3.0$ million, respectively, and we plan to file refund claims to protect our original filing position in those years and all future years. We believe that the California tax benefits claimed with respect to the consent dividends were claimed in accordance with relevant California tax law and we intend to vigorously contest the position of the FTB in appropriate proceedings, if necessary. Should we prevail in our position, additional financial statement tax benefit may be recognized in the period in which the issue is resolved. However, if the FTB successfully challenges the validity of our position, incremental taxes would be reported in income tax expense in the period that such a decision is reached, or earlier if we determine that such an outcome is probable and estimable.

The FTB commenced an audit of our 2001 and 2002 tax years in the second quarter of 2005. On April 26, 2006, the FTB issued to us a Notice of Proposed Assessment (or NPA ) concerning bad debt deductions that we claimed in 2001 under the reserve method. The NPA is an FTB proposal only at this stage, not a final determination and we have filed a protest. It is subject to further discussion and analysis at the field level and administrative review and adjustments at the appeals levels. If the matter is not resolved satisfactorily at the field level, we will evaluate all administrative and legal processes available to us. The NPA disagrees with the reserve method that we utilized in 2001 and seeks to reduce our bad debt deduction for that year. If the FTB were to be successful in whole in its position in the NPA, we estimate that the net tax cost to us would be approximately $\$ 1.4$ million, plus interest. In addition to the assessment of additional tax, the NPA asserts that, in the event the FTB is successful in reducing the bad debt deduction claimed by us, it would assert penalties in addition to the amount of tax and interest due with respect to the 2001 tax year. We believe that the position we took concerning bad debt reserves for 2001 was appropriate under then existing California law and we intend to vigorously contest the position of the FTB in the NPA in appropriate proceedings, if necessary. In addition, even if the FTB were successful in reducing the bad debt deduction claimed by us in 2001, we do not believe we will be subject to additional penalties as asserted by the FTB based on existing California law and the California tax payments made by us under a California amnesty program. Should the FTB successfully challenge the validity of our loss deduction, incremental taxes would be reported in income tax expense in the period such a decision is reached, or earlier, if we determine that such an outcome is probable and estimable.

The years 2000 through 2005 remain open for both IRS and FTB purposes. The IRS has commenced its examination of the tax returns for 2002 through 2004.

## NOTE 11 BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We have four reportable business segments: community banking, treasury, Matsco, and ABD. These segments provide the following services:

Community banking operates offices throughout the San Francisco Bay Area, including the Silicon Valley, San Francisco, the San Francisco Peninsula, the East Bay, together with Santa Cruz, Marin, Monterey, and Sonoma Counties.

Treasury manages our investment portfolio, wholesale fundings, intersegment borrowings and lending, and day-to-day liquidity position. Treasury s assets and liabilities include our investment portfolio, fed funds sold, and wholesale fundings.

Matsco provides dental and veterinarian financing services nationally.

ABD, with offices located in California, Colorado, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington, provides commercial insurance brokerage, employee benefits consulting, and risk management solutions to business clients nationally. ABD markets commercial, property and casualty, employee benefits, life, and retirement insurance products and provides consulting services to its clients enabling them to
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strengthen their risk management programs. ABD also owns a broker-dealer that advises clients on plan design and investment decisions regarding their employees retirement plans.
We have four smaller operating segments, Greater Bay Capital, Greater Bay SBA Group, Greater Bay Business Funding, and Greater Bay Trust Company, whose results are combined and reported in all other segments. The results for these four units are included below in the reconciliation between business segment totals
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and our consolidated totals. Our business segments do not include the results of the holding company, bank administration and operations, the Greater Bay Real Estate Lending Group, and the Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group (or Corporate Finance ) as those units do not meet the definition of an operating segment. Bank administration and operations is comprised of our core administrative functions, including executive management, accounting, finance, information technology, facilities, human resources, legal, internal audit, credit, and risk management. Bank administration and operations also includes back-office administrative functions related to client services, loan services, international banking, and cash management.

## Intersegment Revenues and Expenses

The segment data includes intersegment revenues and expenses. These intersegment revenues and expenses are eliminated in consolidation.

## Intersegment Interest Charges Received and Paid

Community banking and Greater Bay Trust Company are our primary core deposit gathering operating segments and as such earn intersegment interest revenues from treasury on their funds placements. Matsco, Greater Bay Capital, Greater Bay SBA Group, Greater Bay Business Funding (or collectively our other lending segments ) and community banking fund their loan portfolios with intersegment borrowings from the treasury segment. The following is a summary of intersegment interest charges received/(paid) for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Community banking | \$ | 23,501 | \$ | 22,863 | \$ | 75,262 | \$ | 70,901 |
| Treasury | \$ | $(7,437)$ | \$ | $(11,344)$ | \$ | $(30,975)$ | \$ | $(38,670)$ |
| Matsco | \$ | $(9,409)$ | \$ | $(7,299)$ | \$ | $(26,384)$ |  | $(20,579)$ |
| All other segments | \$ | $(5,955)$ | \$ | $(4,229)$ | \$ | $(16,259)$ | \$ | $(11,646)$ |

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, intersegment interest revenue received by community banking for placement of customer deposits with treasury was based upon prevailing matched maturity brokered deposit rates available to the Bank in its wholesale funding operations. Community banking received interest revenue from treasury for placement of other non-interest-bearing funds based on the trailing five-year average of the five-year FHLB advance rate. These intersegment community banking revenues were partially offset by interest charges from treasury for funding provided to finance community banking s loan portfolio. The rate on these intersegment advances was the lower of the matched maturity brokered deposit rate or FHLB advance rate available to the Bank in its wholesale funding operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, the interest rate paid by treasury to community banking on excess funds placements was based on the weighted average yield earned on the Bank s available-for-sale securities. We determined that it was not practicable to restate intersegment interest revenue paid by treasury to community banking during prior periods. If we had continued to use the previous transfer pricing method during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, community banking sintersegment interest revenues would have been $\$ 28.5$ million and $\$ 82.9$ million, respectively, and treasury s intersegment interest charges would have been $\$ 12.4$ million and $\$ 38.6$ million, respectively.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the rate paid for the net funding by our other lending segments to treasury was based on brokered time deposit rates available to the Bank in its wholesale funding operations. The interest rate maturities of intersegment fundings were matched to the expected interest rate maturities of our other lending segments assets. Incremental intersegment funding was provided as needed with interest rate maturities matched to those of newly originated loans adjusted for changes in expected maturities of the units seasoned loan portfolios. The rate for the entire intersegment funding pool was reset monthly with the total fundings adjusted to meet unit requirements.
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While ABD is primarily funded with equity, it occasionally utilizes intersegment borrowings from the holding company. At September 30, 2006, ABD had $\$ 6.5$ million in borrowings outstanding from the holding company. There were no such borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2005. ABD paid interest to the holding company totaling $\$ 177,000$ and $\$ 419,000$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 190,000$ and $\$ 372,000$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. ABD also deposits transaction and discretionary cash with community banking. These deposits are eliminated in consolidation.

## Other Intersegment Revenues

ABD received insurance commissions and fees from the holding company and the operating segments totaling $\$ 111,000$ and $\$ 478,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and $\$ 96,000$ and $\$ 323,000$ during the same periods in 2005.

All remaining intersegment revenue is not significant to business segment results.

## Changes to How We Allocate Certain Liabilities, Revenues, and Expenses Between our Segments

In addition to the changes we made to how we compute the intersegment interest revenue received by community banking, described above, we made the following changes to how we allocate certain liabilities, revenues, and expenses between our segments.

## Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Effective January 1, 2006, we changed the methodology for computing the allowance for loan and lease losses, the reserve for unfunded commitments and the related provision for credit losses for our business segments. On that date, we began to use the same methodology for our business segments that we also use for the consolidated financial statements. Prior periods have been restated to conform to our current methodology.

## Derivative Income Related to Warrant Investment Appreciation

Effective April 1, 2006, we changed the methodology for how we allocate income related to warrant investment appreciation. On that date, we began to attribute such income to the segments that originated those warrants. Previously, we had allocated such income to the holding company. Prior periods have been restated to conform to our current methodology.

## Allocation of Internal Deposit Accounts

We have certain deposit accounts that were opened for operational purposes by our holding company. These deposit accounts are eliminated in consolidation. Effective April 1, 2006, we changed the methodology for how we allocate the liability and the related interest expense and fee income for those deposit accounts. On that date, we began to allocate the liability and the related expenses and income for those accounts to bank administration and operations. Previously, we allocated those liabilities and the related expenses and income to community banking. Prior periods have been restated to conform to our current methodology.

## Tax Credit Funds

Effective August 1, 2006, we changed the methodology for how we allocate income/losses on our tax credit funds. On that date, we began to attribute such income/losses to bank administration and operations. Previously, we had allocated such income/loss to community banking. Prior periods have been restated to conform to our current methodology.
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## Intercompany Allocation and the Provision for Income Taxes

Our intercompany allocation for general and administrative expenses is not allocated at the operating segment level. As a result, expenses related to back-office functions, including costs related to certain centralized loan and deposit service functions, are included with the administrative units whose results are included in the column labeled other reconciling items. Salaries and benefits for centralized loan and deposit service functions included in the Bank s administrative units totaled $\$ 3.5$ million and $\$ 11.0$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 11.6$ million during the same periods in 2005. Similarly, we do not allocate the provision for income taxes to our operating segments.

Management does not consider such unallocated general and administrative expenses or the provision for income taxes when evaluating segment results. Therefore, the operating results for business segments are shown before intercompany allocation and the provision for income taxes.

## Changes to Operating Segments Presented

In our financial statements issued for periods through September 30, 2005, the community banking business segment included the results of treasury and bank administration and operations. Effective October 1, 2005, our view of the community banking segment evolved such that we no longer focus on the evaluation of this combined community banking unit, including treasury and administration, but instead evaluate these three units separately. Among the factors that contributed to this change was the hiring of a dedicated President of community banking whose oversight responsibilities do not extend to the treasury and administration functions. As a result of this change in how we view our business, we have determined that community banking and treasury are separate reportable business segments, and we consider bank administration and operations not to be part of our operating segments, and include their results in other reconciling items.

As of September 30, 2005, we evaluated the results of our specialty finance division on a consolidated basis. With the continuing growth of Greater Bay Capital, we refocused our evaluation of the results of the specialty finance division at the individual unit level during 2005. As a result of this change, effective October 1, 2005, we determined Matsco to be a separate reportable business segment. Additionally, the remaining specialty finance units, Greater Bay Capital, Greater Bay SBA Group, and Greater Bay Business Funding, also have individually been determined to be operating segments. Because those units are not required to be separately reported, their results are included as part of all other segments.

As of September 30, 2005, we voluntarily reported the results of the Greater Bay Trust Company separately in our segment information. As the Greater Bay Trust Company does not meet the requirements for a reportable business segment during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 or 2005, its results are also included in all other segments.

Effective January 1, 2006, we began to report the results of our community banking administration unit as part of our community banking business segment. That unit was previously included with the results of our bank administration and operating unit.

We have restated all prior period segment information to conform to the current composition of reportable segments.
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## Results by Business Segment

The following tables show key operating results for each of our business segments, the combined total for all of our business segments, reconciling items, and the eliminating entries used to arrive at our consolidated totals:

|  | For the three months ended September 30, 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Community banking | Treasury | Matsco |  | $\boldsymbol{A B D}$ | All other | Total business segments |  | Other conciling ems (1) |  | minating entries | Total |
| Interest income | \$ 89,386 | \$ 20,888 | \$ 18,048 | \$ | 348 | \$ 13,099 | \$ 141,769 | \$ | 4,150 | \$ | $(32,003)$ | \$ 113,916 |
| Interest expense | 26,652 | 26,078 | 9,409 |  | 201 | 7,256 | 69,596 |  | 12,549 |  | $(32,003)$ | 50,142 |
| Net interest income before |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| provision for (reversal of) credit losses | 62,734 | $(5,190)$ | 8,639 |  | 147 | 5,843 | 72,173 |  | $(8,399)$ |  |  | 63,774 |
| Provision for / (reversal of) credit losses | $(2,425)$ | (129) | 2,399 |  |  | (288) | (443) |  |  |  |  | (443) |
| Net interest income after |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| provision for (reversal of) credit losses | 65,159 | $(5,061)$ | 6,240 |  | 147 | 6,131 | 72,616 |  | $(8,399)$ |  |  | 64,217 |
| Non-interest income | 4,133 | 486 | 898 |  | 41,997 | 5,817 | 53,331 |  | 3,919 |  | $(1,798)$ | 55,452 |
| Operating expenses | 13,747 | 11 | 3,267 |  | 36,320 | 6,586 | 59,931 |  | 32,948 |  | $(1,798)$ | 91,081 |
| Income/ (loss) before provision for income taxes | \$ 55,545 | \$ $(4,586)$ | \$ 3,871 | \$ | 5,824 | \$ 5,362 | \$ 66,016 | \$ | $(37,428)$ | \$ |  | \$ 28,588 |

(1) Does not include the holding company s undistributed income of its subsidiaries, which is eliminated in consolidation.

|  | For the three months ended September 30, 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Community banking | Treasury | Matsco |  | BD | All other | Total business segments |  | Other onciling ms (1) |  | minating <br> entries | Total |
| Interest income | \$ 79,136 | \$ 18,698 | \$ 15,627 | \$ | 484 | \$ 10,477 | \$ 124,422 | \$ | 1,469 | \$ | $(25,182)$ | \$ 100,709 |
| Interest expense | 16,891 | 19,087 | 7,299 |  | 240 | 5,266 | 48,783 |  | 9,112 |  | $(25,182)$ | 32,713 |
| Net interest income before |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| provision for / (reversal of) credit losses | 62,245 | (389) | 8,328 |  | 244 | 5,211 | 75,639 |  | $(7,643)$ |  |  | 67,996 |
| Provision for / (reversal of) credit losses | $(4,989)$ | (736) | 937 |  |  | 1,436 | $(3,352)$ |  |  |  |  | $(3,352)$ |
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| Net interest income after |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| provision for / (reversal of) credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| losses | 67,234 | 347 | 7,391 | 244 | 3,775 | 78,991 | $(7,643)$ |  | 71,348 |
| Non-interest income | 4,041 | 942 | 790 | 40,445 | 6,454 | 52,672 | 3,600 | $(1,783)$ | 54,489 |
| Operating expenses | 11,658 | 8 | 3,235 | 35,259 | 6,920 | 57,080 | 29,327 | $(1,783)$ | 84,624 |
| Income/ (loss) before provision for income taxes | \$ 59,617 | \$ 1,281 | \$ 4,946 | \$ 5,430 | 3,309 | 74,583 | $(33,370)$ |  | \$ 41,213 |

(1) Does not include the holding company s undistributed income of its subsidiaries, which is eliminated in consolidation. (Continued on next page)
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| (Dollars in thousands) | For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Community banking | Treasury | Matsco | ABD |  | All other | Total business segments | Other reconciling items (1) |  | Eliminating entries | Total |
| Interest income | \$ 265,296 | \$ 58,664 | \$ 52,160 | \$ | 875 | \$ 36,617 | \$ 413,612 | \$ | 10,877 | \$ $(98,498)$ | \$ 325,991 |
| Interest expense | 72,058 | 73,915 | 26,384 |  | 505 | 20,026 | 192,888 |  | 35,373 | $(98,498)$ | 129,763 |
| Net interest income before |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| provision for (reversal of) credit losses | 193,238 | $(15,251)$ | 25,776 |  | 370 | 16,591 | 220,724 |  | $(24,496)$ |  | 196,228 |
| Provision for / (reversal of) credit losses | $(7,761)$ | (394) | 220 |  |  | (398) | $(8,333)$ |  |  |  | $(8,333)$ |
| Net interest income after |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| provision for (reversal of) credit losses | 200,999 | $(14,857)$ | 25,556 |  | 370 | 16,989 | 229,057 |  | $(24,496)$ |  | 204,561 |
| Non-interest income | 11,735 | 590 | 2,460 |  | 127,668 | 23,419 | 165,872 |  | 11,923 | $(5,540)$ | 172,255 |
| Operating expenses | 40,119 | 9 | 9,775 |  | 107,203 | 22,067 | 179,173 |  | 94,048 | $(5,540)$ | 267,681 |

Income/ (loss) before $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { provision for income taxes } & \$ 172,615 & \$(14,276) & \$ 18,241 & \$ 20,835 & \$ 18,341 & \$ 215,756 & \$(106,621) & \$ & \$ 109,135\end{array}$
(1) Does not include the holding company s undistributed income of its subsidiaries, which is eliminated in consolidation.
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Income/ (loss) before provision for income taxes
(1) Does not include the holding company s undistributed income of its subsidiaries, which is eliminated in consolidation
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The following tables show the financial position for each of our business segments, the combined total for all of our business segments, reconciling items, and the eliminating entries used to arrive at our consolidated totals:

| At September 30, 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Community banking | Treasury | Matsco | ABD | All other | Total business segments | Other reconciling items (1) | Eliminating entries | Total |
| Total assets | \$ 3,037,781 | \$ 1,760,658 | \$ 969,658 | \$ 371,220 | \$ 645,676 | \$ 6,784,993 | \$ 839,057 | \$ $(290,695)$ | \$ 7,333,355 |
| Deposits | 4,085,238 | 962,208 |  |  | 39,374 | 5,086,820 | 157,871 | $(185,593)$ | 5,059,098 |
| Assets under administration |  |  |  |  | 642,812 | 642,812 |  |  | 642,812 |

(1) Does not include the holding company s investment in subsidiaries, which is eliminated in consolidation.

|  | At December 31, 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Community banking | Treasury | Matsco | ABD | All other | Total business segments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { reconciling } \\ & \text { items (1) } \end{aligned}$ | Eliminating entries | Total |
| Total assets | \$ 3,058,010 | \$ 1,698,088 | \$ 878,453 | \$ 365,384 | \$ 607,847 | \$ 6,607,782 | \$ 858,550 | \$ (345,363) | \$ 7,120,969 |
| Deposits | 4,534,527 | 493,672 |  |  | 45,808 | 5,074,007 | 235,144 | $(250,612)$ | 5,058,539 |
| Assets under administration |  |  |  |  | 633,033 | 633,033 |  |  | 633,033 |

(1) Does not include the holding company s investment in subsidiaries, which is eliminated in consolidation.

NOTE 12 SUPPLEMENTAL EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLAN

We have entered into individual agreements with certain executives and senior officers to provide supplemental employee retirement plan benefits and have adopted a 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (or collectively the SERPs ). See Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for additional information and a description of the SERPs.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, we made contributions of $\$ 11,000$ and $\$ 708,000$, respectively, to secular trusts which fund benefits for certain current and former executive officers under their SERPs. We presently anticipate contributing $\$ 525,000$ to fund the secular trusts during the remainder of 2006.

Net pension cost included the following components for the periods indicated.

|  | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |
|  | \$ | 509 | \$ | 570 | \$ | 1,527 |  | 1,709 |
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| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 653 | 605 | 1,957 | 1,814 |  |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 805 | 805 | 2,416 | 2,416 |  |  |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 121 | 145 | 364 | 435 |  |  |
| Recognized net actuarial loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$$ | 2,088 | $\$$ | 2,125 | $\$$ | 6,264 |
| Net periodic pension cost |  | $\$$ | 6,374 |  |  |  |

## NOTE 13 RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS

## Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements

In September 2006, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (or SAB 108 ). SAB 108 was issued in order to eliminate the diversity of practice surrounding how public companies quantify financial statement misstatements.

There are two widely recognized methods for quantifying the effects of financial statement misstatements: the roll-over and iron curtain methods. The roll-over method, the method we use, focuses primarily on the impact of a misstatement on the income statement, including the reversing effect of prior year misstatements. Because the focus is on the income statement, the roll-over method can lead to the accumulation of misstatements in the balance sheet that may be immaterial to the balance sheet. The iron curtain method, on the other hand, focuses primarily on the effect of correcting for the accumulated misstatement as of the balance sheet date, essentially correcting the balance sheet with less emphasis on the reversing effects of prior year errors on the income statements. In SAB 108, the SEC staff established an approach that requires quantification of financial statement misstatements based on the effects of the misstatements under both the roll-over and iron curtain methods. This framework is referred to as the dual approach.

SAB 108 permits us to initially apply its provisions either by restating prior financial statements as if the dual approach had always been used or recording the cumulative effect of initially applying the dual approach as adjustments to the balance sheet as of January 1, 2006 with an offsetting adjustment recorded to retained earnings. Use of the cumulative effect transition method is not permitted to be used for otherwise immaterial misstatements that may be identified by a company and requires such immaterial misstatements to be recorded in current period earnings. We have completed our analysis under the dual approach of both the previously posted immaterial error corrections as well as the uncorrected errors in recording tax benefits arising from stock option tax deductions and believe that the effect of correction would be material to full year 2006 net income.

We will adopt SAB 108 as of December 31, 2006 and initially apply its provisions using the cumulative effect transition method in connection with the preparation of our annual financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2006. Upon adoption of SAB 108, we expect to increase net income for the year ending December 31, 2006 by approximately $\$ 1.3$ million and, as of January 1, 2006, record an increase to common stock of approximately $\$ 3.4$ million and a reduction in retained earnings of approximately $\$ 4.7$ million. The increase in net income results from the reversal of entries recorded during the first and second quarters of 2006 to correct immaterial errors related to periods prior to 2006. The increase in common stock relates to previously uncorrected errors in recording tax benefits arising from stock option tax deductions during the years 1996 through 2005, the correction of which is not reflected in the accompanying financial statements.

## Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans (an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132R) (or SFAS No. 158 ). SFAS No. 158 will require employers to fully recognize the obligations associated with single-employer defined benefit pension, retiree healthcare and other postretirement plans in their financial statements. Specifically, SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to: (a) recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan s overfunded status or a liability for a plan $s$ underfunded status, (b) measure a plan $s$ assets and its obligations that
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determine its funded status as of the end of the employer s fiscal year (with limited exceptions), and (c) recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes will be reported in comprehensive income of a business entity and in changes in net assets of a not-for-profit organization. The requirement to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan and the disclosure requirements are effective as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006 for the Company.

We are in the process of determining the impact that this proposal will have on our financial statements.

## Establishment of Framework and Expanded Disclosures Associated With Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (or SFAS No. 157 ), which addresses how companies should measure fair value when they are required to use a fair value measure for recognition or disclosure purposes. SFAS No. 157 provides a common definition of fair value to be used throughout the application of GAAP. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This definition of fair value retains the exchange-price notion contained (either explicitly or implicitly) in many earlier GAAP definitions of fair value. However, SFAS No. 157 clarifies that the basis for a fair value measure is the price at which a company would sell or otherwise dispose of its assets or pay to settle a liability (i.e., an exit price), not the market price at which a company acquires its assets or assumes a liability (i.e., not an entry price). SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy to prioritize the inputs used in valuation techniques but not the valuation techniques themselves.

SFAS No. 157 applies to financial statements that are issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 (2008 for calendar year companies) and to interim periods within those fiscal years.

We are in the process of determining the impact that this proposal will have on our financial statements.
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Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements

In September 2006, the FASB ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force (or EITF ) consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. The EITF s consensus on this issue focuses on the accounting for arrangements in which a company has agreed to share a portion of the value of the insurance policy with the employee. These arrangements are referred to as split-dollar arrangements. Entities with split-dollar life insurance policies will have to accrue, for years beginning after December 15, 2007, liabilities and associated expense for those insurance benefits under the same rules that apply when such benefits are provided by means other than life insurance. The provisions of the consensus would be applied through a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings with the option of retrospective application.

We are in the process of determining the impact of this issue on our financial statements.
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# Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance - Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4 

In September 2006, the FASB ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force (or EITF ) consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-5, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance - Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4. The EITF s consensus on this issue focuses on how an entity should determine the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract at the balance sheet date and whether the determination should be on an individual or group policy basis. Under the contractual terms of bank-owned life insurance arrangements, amounts other than cash surrender value are potentially available to the insurance contract purchaser and certain surrender charges may be avoided if policies are surrendered on a group rather than an individual basis. This would be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 ( 2007 for calendar year companies).

We are in the process of determining the impact of this issue on our financial statements and results of operations.

## Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

In June 2006, the FASB published FASB Interpretation 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (or FIN 48 ). FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The evaluation of a tax position in accordance with FIN 48 is a two-step process. The first step is recognition: The enterprise determines whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. In evaluating whether a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the enterprise should presume that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all relevant information. The second step is measurement: A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be derecognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is no longer met.

FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 (first quarter of 2007 for calendar year companies). The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 upon adoption will be reported as an adjustment to beginning retained earnings. We are in the process of determining the impact the adoption of FIN 48 will have on our results of operations.

## Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets (or SFAS No. 156 ). SFAS No. 156, which is an amendment to FAS No. 140, addresses the recognition and measurement of separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities and provides an approach to simplify efforts to obtain hedge-like (offset) accounting and reduce the volatility that results from the use of different measurement attributes for servicing rights and the related financial instruments used to economically hedge risks associated with those servicing rights. The standard also (1) clarifies when an obligation to service financial assets should be separately recognized as a servicing asset or a servicing liability, (2) requires that a separately recognized servicing asset or servicing liability be initially measured at fair value, if practicable, and (3) permits an entity with a separately recognized servicing asset or servicing liability to choose the amortization or fair value method for subsequent
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measurement. SFAS 156 is effective as of the beginning of an entity s fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006, with earlier adoption permitted as of the beginning of the entity s fiscal year as long as no financial statements, including interim periods, have been issued.

We did not elect early adoption of SFAS No. 156. We do not expect the adoption of this statement to have a material impact on our financial statements.

## Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140 (or SFAS No. 155 ). This Statement amends SFAS No. 133 and SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities (or SFAS No. 140 ). SFAS No. 155 (1) permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, (2) clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, (3) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, (4) clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, and (5) amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. SFAS No. 155 improves financial reporting by eliminating the exemption from applying SFAS No. 133 to interests in securitized financial assets so that similar instruments are accounted for similarly regardless of the form of the instruments. This statement also improves financial reporting by allowing a preparer to elect fair value measurement at acquisition, at issuance, or when a previously recognized financial instrument is subject to a remeasurement (new basis) event, on an instrument-by-instrument basis, in cases in which a derivative would otherwise have to be bifurcated. Providing a fair value measurement election also results in more financial instruments being measured at what the Board regards as the most relevant attribute for a financial instrument s fair value. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006.

We are in the process of determining the impact of this issue on our financial statements.
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## NOTE 14 RELATED PARTY LOANS

We have, and expect to have in the future, banking transactions in the ordinary course of business with directors, executive officers, and their affiliates. These transactions are entered into under terms and conditions equivalent to those entered into in arms length transactions and are made subject to approval by the Bank s Board of Directors. None of these loans is on nonaccrual status. An analysis of total loans to related parties for the periods indicated is presented below:

|  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2005 |  |
| Beginning balance | $\mathbf{5 2 , 0 3 6}$ | $\$$ | 53,725 |
| Additions | 34,190 | 32,165 |  |
| Repayments | $(20,421)$ | $(44,277)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance | $\mathbf{6 5 , 8 0 5}$ | $\$$ | 41,613 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Undisbursed commitments | $\$$ | 26,334 | $\$$ |
|  |  | 66,069 |  |

## NOTE 15 VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

A variable interest entity is a corporation, partnership, trust, or any other legal structure used for business purposes that (a) has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities, or (b) does not have equity investors with either voting rights, the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity or the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, or (c) has a group of equity investors whose voting rights are disproportionate with their obligation to absorb the expected losses or their right to receive expected residual returns. A variable interest entity is required to be consolidated by a company if that company is the primary beneficiary of that entity. The primary beneficiary is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity sactivities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity $s$ residual returns or both.

We are not the primary beneficiary of any variable interest entities in which we do not also hold a majority voting interest in the entity. The following provides information about our investments in various unconsolidated variable interest entities in which we are not the primary beneficiary:

We had investments in low income housing tax credit partnerships totaling \$10.5 million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 10.9$ million at December 31, 2005. The recorded investment in these partnerships includes the adjusted cost basis of the investments and unfunded commitments totaling $\$ 708,000$ at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 1.1$ million at December 31, 2005. We have also recorded a liability for those unfunded commitments. These low income housing tax credit partnerships are passive investments in housing development projects entered into over a period of several years. Investors receive tax benefits from passive partnership losses and low income housing credits, as well as having the potential for residual proceeds from the sale of property 15 years following completion of the project. Although management does not anticipate any losses in connection with these investments, as with many equity investments, there exists a maximum exposure to loss on these investments which is limited to our current recorded investment;

## Edgar Filing: GREATER BAY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

We had investments in venture capital funds totaling $\$ 10.2$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 9.6$ million at December 31, 2005 that are recorded using the equity method of accounting. There are additional unrecorded and unfunded commitments totaling $\$ 2.9$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 4.2$ million at December 31, 2005 on these investments. In the event of a decline in the value of these investments, there exists a maximum exposure to loss on these investments that is limited to our current recorded investment and the amount of related unfunded commitment;

We had investments totaling $\$ 7.7$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 5.5$ million at December 31, 2005 in partnerships and corporations that invest in CRA qualified assets such as affordable housing and small businesses. These investments are recorded using the equity method of accounting. There are additional
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unrecorded and unfunded commitments totaling $\$ 5.8$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 4.6$ million at December 31, 2005 on these investments. In the event of a decline in the value of these investments, there exists a maximum exposure to loss on these investments that is limited to our current recorded investment and the amount of related unfunded commitment; and

At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had investments in trusts that issued Trust Preferred Securities, collectively referred to as the Trusts, totaling $\$ 5.4$ million and $\$ 6.3$ million, respectively, included in other assets. The Trusts have issued trust preferred securities and purchased subordinated notes from our holding company in capital raising transactions. In accordance with the accounting rules for variable interest entities, the Trusts are not included in our consolidated financial statements and results of operations.
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## ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations


#### Abstract

The following discussion and analysis is intended to provide greater details of our results of operations and financial condition and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this document and the discussion contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. Certain statements under this caption constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, expectations of the business environment in which Greater Bay Bancorp and its subsidiaries operate, projections of future performance, perceived opportunities in the market, and statements regarding our mission and vision. Our actual results may differ significantly from the results, performance, and achievements expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, economic conditions, competition in the geographic and business areas in which we conduct our operations, fluctuation in interest rates, credit quality, government regulation, our intent to adopt SAB 108 as of December 31, 2006 and other factors discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 under ITEM 1A. Risk Factors. Greater Bay Bancorp does not undertake, and specifically disclaims, any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of events or circumstances after the date of such statements.


## OVERVIEW

## Who We Are

Greater Bay Bancorp is a financial holding company with one bank subsidiary, Greater Bay Bank, National Association and one commercial insurance brokerage subsidiary, ABD. The Bank provides community banking services in the greater San Francisco Bay Area through its community banking organization, including Bank of Petaluma, Coast Commercial Bank, Golden Gate Bank, Mid-Peninsula Bank, Mt. Diablo National Bank, Peninsula Bank of Commerce, and Santa Clara Valley National Bank. Nationally, the Bank provides customized lending services through its specialty finance group, which includes Matsco, Greater Bay Bank SBA Lending, Greater Bay Business Funding, and Greater Bay Capital. Our commercial insurance brokerage subsidiary, ABD , provides employee benefits consulting and risk management solutions to business clients nationally.

During the three months ended September 2006, we completed a series of rebranding initiatives designed to streamline and reorganize our banking identities in their geographic areas. Three of our banking operations in the Santa Clara Valley - San Jose National Bank, Cupertino National Bank, and Bank of Santa Clara - have been combined under the name Santa Clara Valley National Bank. We have also combined two of our operations in the San Francisco Peninsula market - Mid-Peninsula Bank and Bay Area Bank under the name Mid-Peninsula Bank. Our Bay Bank of Commerce operations in San Leandro have assumed the Greater Bay Bank name joining with existing Greater Bay Bank offices in Fremont and San Rafael. We also announced the opening of a de novo office in Santa Rosa on August 30 that carries the Greater Bay Bank name, as well as our intention to open an office in Oakland later this year under the Greater Bay Bank name. Our remaining banking operations Coast Commercial Bank, Golden Gate Bank, Mt. Diablo National Bank, Peninsula Bank of Commerce, and Bank of Petaluma were not affected.

## Our Lines of Business, Principal Products and Services and Locations of Operations

We provide a wide range of banking and financial services to small and medium-sized businesses, property managers, business executives, real estate developers, professionals, and other individuals. Our lines of business are organized along eight segments, seven of which provide services to our clients, and one that provides services internally to the other segments. The services that these segments provide are as follows:
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Matsco provides dental and veterinarian financing services nationally.

Greater Bay Capital finances small ticket equipment leases nationally.

Greater Bay Business Funding, which offers asset-based lending and accounts receivable factoring products, markets its services nationally. Greater Bay Business Funding includes the combined operations of our CAPCO and Pacific Business Funding units.

Greater Bay Bank SBA Lending is an SBA National Preferred Lender and principally operates in the San Francisco Bay Area.


#### Abstract

ABD has offices located in California, Colorado, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington, and markets commercial, property and casualty, employee benefits, life, and retirement insurance products and provides consulting services to clients nationally enabling them to strengthen their risk management programs. ABD also owns a broker-dealer that provides investment advice on behalf of ABD s clients retirement or 401(k) plans.


Greater Bay Trust Company provides trust services to support the trust needs of our business and personal clients. These services include, but are not limited to, custodial, escrow agent, investment management, estate planning resources, and employee benefit plan services.

Treasury manages our investment portfolio, wholesale fundings, intersegment borrowings and lending, and the day-to-day liquidity position. Treasury s assets and liabilities include the Bank s investment portfolio and wholesale fundings.

## How Economic Factors Impact Us

We have a significant geographic concentration in the San Francisco Bay Area, and our results are affected by economic conditions in this area. Overall Bay Area economic trends indicate a modest recovery from the downturn that began in 2000. Since 2003, there has been increased employment, higher commercial real estate occupancy rates, and a corresponding step up in rental rates. A further extended recovery period or a decline in economic and business conditions in our market areas, particularly in the technology and real estate industries on which the Bay Area is heavily dependent, could have a material impact on the quality of our loan portfolio or the demand for our products and services, which in turn may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

## Our Opportunities, Challenges and Risks

We believe that growth in banking opportunities to small- and medium-sized businesses, professionals, and high net worth individuals will be determined in part by economic growth in the San Francisco Bay Area. Weakness in the local economy could adversely affect us through diminished loan demand and credit quality deterioration.

We also believe that the consolidation of community banks will continue to take place and in that regard we could be a bank acquirer. Additionally, in markets we wish to enter or expand our business, we will consider opening de novo offices. We will also consider acquisition opportunities in other business segments, including, but not limited to, specialty finance, insurance brokerage services, and wealth management. In the past, we have successfully integrated acquired institutions and de novo branches, but there can be no assurance that future activities would not present unforeseen integration issues.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Edgar Filing: GREATER BAY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Knowledge of our accounting policies is integral to interpreting our reported results. Accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. We have established policies and control procedures that are intended to ensure valuation methods are well controlled and applied consistently. In addition, our policies and
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procedures are intended to ensure that the process for changing methodologies occurs in a controlled manner. Many accounting policies require management s judgment to estimate the value of assets, liabilities, commitments, and contingencies. A description of our accounting policies for share-based compensation appears below. A description of our other current accounting policies involving significant management judgments appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 under Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies.

## Share-Based Compensation

We adopted SFAS No. 123R as of January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123R requires us to recognize compensation expense for all share-based payments made to employees based on the fair value of the share-based payment on the date of grant. We elected to use the modified prospective method for adoption, which requires compensation expense to be recorded for all unvested stock options and restricted shares beginning in the first quarter of adoption. In determining the fair value of stock options, we use the Black-Scholes option pricing model that employs the following assumptions:

Expected term, based on historical employee stock option exercise behavior, the vesting terms of the respective option, and the contractual terms of seven or ten years.

Expected volatility, based on the daily historical stock price volatility, over the expected term of the option.

The risk-free interest rate for periods equal to the expected term of the share option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

Expected dividend yield, based on the ratio of the most recent dividend rate paid per share of common stock to the average stock price during the preceding year.
The expected term and expected volatility used to estimate option fair values are based on historical precedent.

SFAS No. 123R also requires that we recognize compensation expense for only the portion of options or restricted shares that are expected to vest. Therefore, we apply estimated forfeiture rates that are derived from historical employee termination behavior and the vesting period of the respective stock options or restricted shares. As the actual number of forfeitures will differ from those estimated by management, adjustments to compensation expense will be required in future periods.

See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about share-based compensation.
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## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Summary of Results

The following table summarizes net income, net income per common share and key financial ratios for the periods indicated.

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | For the three months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ | 18,512 | \$ | 25,587 |
| Basic net income per common share | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.47 |
| Diluted net income per common share | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.44 |
| Return on average assets |  | 1.00\% |  | 1.41\% |
| Return on average total shareholders equity |  | 8.89\% |  | 13.12\% |
|  | nine months ended September 30, |  | For the | r 30, |
| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 69,531 | \$ | 69,772 |
| Basic net income per common share | \$ | 1.28 | \$ | 1.26 |
| Diluted net income per common share | \$ | 1.24 | \$ | 1.16 |
| Return on average assets |  | 1.29\% |  | 1.32\% |
| Return on average total shareholders equity |  | 11.53\% |  | 12.09\% |

Net income decreased $27.7 \%$ to $\$ 18.5$ million, or $\$ 0.32$ per diluted common share, during the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 25.6$ million, or $\$ 0.44$ per diluted common share, for the same period in 2005. This decrease was primarily attributable to the following items during the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005:

A $\$ 6.5$ million increase in operating expenses, including $\$ 3.2$ million in unamortized debt issuance costs written off in connection with the redemption of our 9\% Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities, $\$ 953,000$ in marketing and promotion expenses associated with the rebranding initiatives, $\$ 841,000$ at ABD related to the opening of new offices in Denver, Oregon, and San Diego, $\$ 840,000$ in legal and professional fees primarily related to our review of prior period option granting and accounting practices, and a $\$ 436,000$ increase in occupancy and equipment expense primarily related to the outsourcing of our mainframe data processing operations;

A $\$ 4.2$ million decrease in net interest income, reflecting primarily compression of our net interest margin by 35 basis points on a tax equivalent basis; and

A $\$ 2.9$ million reduction in the reversal of the provision for credit losses.
Offsetting the above items were the following:

[^3]
## Edgar Filing: GREATER BAY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

with the repurchase of CODES during 2005.
The basic and diluted net income per common share calculations for the three months ended September 30, 2006 were affected by a decrease of $2,644,000$ shares in the weighted average common stock and potential common shares from the comparable period in 2005 primarily due to the retirement of the CODES due 2024 during the quarter ended March 31, 2006.
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Net income decreased $0.3 \%$ to $\$ 69.5$ million, or $\$ 1.24$ per diluted common share, during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 69.8$ million, or $\$ 1.16$ per diluted common share, for the same period in 2005 . This decrease was primarily attributable to the following items during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005:


#### Abstract

An $\$ 18.0$ million increase in operating expenses, including an $\$ 8.2$ million increase in expenses related to the timing of the Lucini/Parish acquisition on May 1, 2005, $\$ 3.2$ million in unamortized debt issuance costs written off as a consequence of redemption of our $9 \%$ Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities, $\$ 2.0$ million in expense at ABD related to the opening of new offices in Denver, Oregon, and San Diego, $\$ 689,000$ in expenses associated with adjustments to the depreciation of certain fixed assets, $\$ 953,000$ in marketing and promotion expenses associated with the rebranding initiatives, a $\$ 945,000$ increase in directors fees primarily related to share-based compensation expense, a $\$ 840,000$ increase in legal and professional fees primarily related to our review of prior period option granting and accounting practices, and a $\$ 436,000$ increase in costs resulting from the outsourcing of our mainframe data processing operations;


A $\$ 5.6$ million reduction in the reversal of the provision for credit losses; and

A $\$ 3.2$ million decrease in net interest income, due primarily to compression of our net interest margin by 14 basis points on a tax equivalent basis.
Offsetting the above items were the following:

A $\$ 13.3$ million increase in non-interest income resulting from a $\$ 9.6$ million increase in insurance commissions and fees primarily due to the timing of the Lucini/Parish acquisition on May 1, 2005, a $\$ 2.5$ million increase in derivative income, a $\$ 1.7$ million increase in gains on other investments, a $\$ 1.3$ million increase in rental revenues on operating leases, and a $\$ 1.0$ million decrease in losses related to impaired securities. These items were partially offset by a $\$ 1.5$ million decrease in gains recognized in connection with the repurchase of CODES during 2005.
The basic and diluted net income per common share calculations for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were affected by a decrease of $4,288,000$ shares in the weighted average common stock and potential common shares from the comparable period in 2005 primarily due to the retirement of the CODES due 2024 during the quarter ended March 31, 2006.

See Net Interest Income, Reversal of Provision for Credit Losses, Non-interest Income and - Operating Expenses for more information regarding the changes to net income for the periods presented.
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## Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 63.8$ million, compared to $\$ 68.0$ million for the same period in 2005. The $\$ 4.2$ million decrease was primarily due to increases in the rate paid on deposits and borrowings, and higher average balances on deposits and long-term borrowings. This was partially offset by an increase in the yield earned on, and the average balance of, loans. Our net yield earned on interest-earning assets (or net interest margin ) on a tax-equivalent basis for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was $3.97 \%$, compared to $4.32 \%$ for the same period in 2005.

Net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 196.2$ million, compared to $\$ 199.5$ million for the same period in 2005. The $\$ 3.2$ million decrease was primarily due to increases in the rate paid on deposits and borrowings, and higher average balances on deposits and long-term borrowings. This was partially offset by an increase in the yield earned on, and the average balance of, loans. Our net interest margin on a tax-equivalent basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was $4.20 \%$, compared to $4.34 \%$ for the same period in 2005.
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## Net Interest Income Results for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

The following tables present, for the periods indicated, our condensed average balance sheet information together with interest income and yields earned on average interest-earning assets and interest expense and rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities stated on a tax-equivalent basis. Average balances are average daily balances.
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## Reconciliation to reported net interest income:

Adjustment for tax equivalent basis
(1) Income from tax-exempt securities issued by state and local governments or authorities is adjusted by an increment that equates tax-exempt income to tax equivalent basis (assuming a 35\% federal income tax rate); prior period presentation has been changed to conform to this presentation.
(2) Includes average interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions.
(3) Nonaccrual loans are included in the average balance; prior period presentation has been changed to conform to current period presentation.
(4) Interest income on loans includes the amortization of deferred fees, net of the amortization of deferred costs. Interest income on loans includes $\$ 364,000$ and $\$ 841,000$ of net loan fee amortization for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
(5) Net interest margin during the period equals (a) the difference between tax-equivalent interest income on interest-earning assets and the interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, divided by (b) average interest-earning assets for the period, annualized.
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## Net Interest Income Results for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

The following tables present, for the periods indicated, our condensed average balance sheet information together with interest income and yields earned on average interest-earning assets and interest expense and rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities stated on a tax-equivalent basis. Average balances are average daily balances.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
|  | Average balance | Interest | Average yield / rate | Average balance | Interest | Average yield / rate |
| INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fed funds sold | \$ 18,813 | 692 | 4.92\% | \$ 38,412 | \$ 789 | 2.75\% |
| Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | 1,456,380 | 49,191 | 4.52\% | 1,480,290 | 47,196 | 4.26\% |
| Tax-exempt (1) | 86,718 | 4,625 | 7.13\% | 84,012 | 4,472 | 7.12\% |
| Other short-term (2) | 9,701 | 164 | 2.26\% | 8,117 | 95 | 1.56\% |
| Loans (3)(4) | 4,727,539 | 272,813 | 7.72\% | 4,581,382 | 237,486 | 6.93\% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 6,299,151 | 327,485 | 6.95\% | 6,192,213 | 290,038 | 6.26\% |
| Noninterest-earning assets | 903,335 |  |  | 886,871 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 7,202,486 | 327,485 |  | \$ 7,079,084 | 290,038 |  |
| INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMDA, NOW and Savings | \$ 2,824,987 | 46,202 | 2.19\% | \$ 3,130,250 | 39,596 | 1.69\% |
| Time deposits over \$100,000 | 774,767 | 25,294 | 4.36\% | 662,113 | 13,175 | 2.66\% |
| Other time deposits | 424,817 | 13,722 | 4.32\% | 151,668 | 2,625 | 2.31\% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 4,024,571 | 85,218 | 2.83\% | 3,944,031 | 55,396 | 1.88\% |
| Short-term borrowings | 283,572 | 9,604 | 4.53\% | 339,960 | 8,870 | 3.49\% |
| CODES | 24,758 | 101 | 0.55\% | 154,463 | 631 | 0.55\% |
| Subordinated debt | 229,066 | 14,779 | 8.63\% | 210,311 | 13,135 | 8.24\% |
| Other long-term borrowings | 534,221 | 20,061 | 5.02\% | 291,833 | 11,063 | 5.00\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 5,096,188 | 129,763 | 3.40\% | 4,940,598 | 89,095 | 2.41\% |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 1,016,102 |  |  | 1,089,518 |  |  |
| Other noninterest-bearing liabilities | 271,014 |  |  | 264,535 |  |  |
| Minority interest: preferred stock of real estate investment trust subsidiaries | 12,756 |  |  | 12,599 |  |  |
| Shareholders equity | 806,426 |  |  | 771,834 |  |  |
| Total shareholders equity and liabilities | \$ 7,202,486 | 129,763 |  | \$ 7,079,084 | 89,095 |  |
| Net interest income, on a tax-equivalent basis (1) |  | 197,722 |  |  | 200,943 |  |
| Net interest margin (5) |  |  | 4.20\% |  |  | 4.34\% |

## Reconciliation to reported net interest income:

Adjustment for tax equivalent basi
$(1,494)$
\$ 196,228 \$ 199,463
(1) Income from tax-exempt securities issued by state and local governments or authorities is adjusted by an increment that equates tax-exempt income to tax equivalent basis (assuming a $35 \%$ federal income tax rate); prior period presentation has been changed to conform to this presentation.
(2) Includes average interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions.
(3) Nonaccrual loans are included in the average balance; prior period presentation has been changed to conform to current period presentation.
(4) Interest income on loans includes the amortization of deferred fees, net of the amortization of deferred costs. Interest income on loans includes $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 838,000$ of net loan fee amortization for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
(5) Net interest margin during the period equals (a) the difference between tax-equivalent interest income on interest-earning assets and the interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, divided by $(b)$ average interest-earning assets for the period, annualized.
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#### Abstract

The most significant impact on our net interest income between periods is derived from the interaction of changes in the volume of, and rates earned or paid on, interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in net interest income are attributable to changes in the amount of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, changes in the composition of those assets and liabilities, and changes in the associated interest rates. The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, a summary of the changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average rates earned or paid (rate). Changes in interest income on a tax equivalent basis and expense, which are not attributable specifically to either volume or rate, are allocated proportionately between both variances.
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## Provision for Credit Losses

The following schedule shows the allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for unfunded commitments as well as the related provision for credit losses and net charge-offs for the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Reserve for unfunded |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | credit commitments |  | Combined total |  |
| Balance, June 30, 2006 | \$ | 71,689 | \$ | 7,154 | \$ | 78,843 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(2,651)$ |  |  |  | $(2,651)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 2,428 |  |  |  | 2,428 |
| Reversal of provision for credit losses |  | (143) |  | (300) |  | (443) |
| Balance, September 30, 2006 | \$ | 71,323 | \$ | 6,854 | \$ | 78,177 |
| Balance, June 30, 2005 | \$ | 98,487 | \$ | 9,099 | \$ | 107,586 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(3,656)$ |  |  |  | $(3,656)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 558 |  |  |  | 558 |
| Reversal of provision for credit losses |  | $(2,532)$ |  | (820) |  | $(3,352)$ |
| Balance, September 30, 2005 | \$ | 92,857 | \$ | 8,279 | \$ | 101,136 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | Reserve for unfunded credit commitments |  | Combinedtotal |  |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | \$ | 82,159 | \$ | 7,279 | \$ | 89,438 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(8,141)$ |  |  |  | $(8,141)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 5,213 |  |  |  | 5,213 |
| Reversal of provision for credit losses |  | $(7,908)$ |  | (425) |  | $(8,333)$ |
| Balance, September 30, 2006 | \$ | 71,323 | \$ | 6,854 | \$ | 78,177 |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | \$ | 107,517 | \$ | 6,482 | \$ | 113,999 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(13,182)$ |  |  |  | $(13,182)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 3,097 |  |  |  | 3,097 |
| (Reversal of) / provision for credit losses |  | $(4,575)$ |  | 1,797 |  | $(2,778)$ |
| Balance, September 30, 2005 | \$ | 92,857 | \$ | 8,279 | \$ | 101,136 |

The provision for credit losses fluctuates based on management $s$ assessment of the required allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments and net charge-offs. The required allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments and the associated provision for credit losses is dependent upon many factors, including loan portfolio size, loan portfolio product
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composition, net charge-offs, delinquencies, assessment by management, third parties and banking regulators of the credit quality of the loan portfolio, the value of the underlying collateral on problem loans, and the general economic conditions in our market areas.

The required balance of the allowance for loan and lease losses at September 30, 2006 was $\$ 71.3$ million, which was $\$ 10.8$ million lower than the balance at December 31, 2005. This decrease was primarily a result of improved credit quality, a reduction in the assumed future rates of default for the loan and lease portfolio, and a change in modeling assumptions reflecting the relative improvement in the Bay Area economy, which are key
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factors for estimating allowance requirements. During the third quarter of 2006, we recorded a $\$ 143,000$ reversal of provision for loan and lease losses to attain the required allowance for loan and lease losses balance at September 30, 2006, after net loan charge-offs of $\$ 223,000$.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded a $\$ 7.9$ million reversal provision for loan and lease losses to attain the required allowance for loan and lease losses balance at September 30, 2006, after net loan charge-offs of $\$ 2.9$ million.

For further information on the allowance for loan and lease losses, the reserve for unfunded credit commitments and nonperforming assets, see Financial Condition Nonperforming Assets and Other Risk Factors and Financial Condition Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and the Reserve for Unfunded Credit Commitments.

## Non-interest Income

The following table sets forth information by category of non-interest income for the periods indicated.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Insurance commissions and fees | \$ | 41,757 | \$ | 39,974 | \$ | 126,961 | \$ | 117,319 |
| Rental revenue on operating leases |  | 4,632 |  | 4,901 |  | 14,686 |  | 13,396 |
| Service charges and other fees |  | 2,363 |  | 2,496 |  | 7,271 |  | 7,915 |
| Income on bank owned life insurance |  | 2,038 |  | 1,877 |  | 5,871 |  | 5,679 |
| Loan and international banking fees |  | 1,960 |  | 1,663 |  | 5,473 |  | 5,789 |
| Trust fees |  | 1,059 |  | 1,074 |  | 3,241 |  | 3,200 |
| Security gains, net |  | 40 |  | 43 |  | 213 |  | 342 |
| Gains on sale of loans |  | (14) |  | 100 |  | (14) |  | 306 |
| Other income |  | 1,617 |  | 2,361 |  | 8,553 |  | 4,977 |
| Total non-interest income | \$ | 55,452 | \$ | 54,489 | \$ | 172,255 | \$ | 158,923 |

Non-interest income increased during the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005 primarily due to increases in insurance commissions and fees and, to a lesser extent, increases in loan and international banking fees and in gains on certain other investments. Those increases were partially offset by decreases in gains recognized in connection with the repurchase of CODES, rental revenue on operating leases, other derivative income, and service charges and other fees.

Non-interest income increased during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005 primarily due to increases in insurance commissions and fees and derivative income. Other contributing factors included increases in gains on other investments, rental revenue on operating leases, and a decrease in losses related to impaired securities. These increases were partially offset by decreases in gains recognized in connection with the repurchase of CODES, loan and international banking fees, and service charges and other fees.

Insurance commissions and fees increased by $\$ 1.8$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005 resulting from increased business during 2006. Insurance commissions and fees increased by $\$ 9.6$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 primarily due to the timing of the Lucini/Parish acquisition on May 1, 2005. Lucini/Parish generated insurance commissions and fees of $\$ 19.3$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 9.2$ million during the period from its acquisition on May 1, 2005 through September 30, 2005. See Note 6 under the heading Contingencies of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information related to ABD s receipt of requests for information from several state insurance commissioners and subpoenas from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York and the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Connecticut about ABD s marketing practices and compensation arrangements with insurance carriers and other related matters.
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Rental revenues on operating leases represent fees earned on operating equipment leases financed by Greater Bay Capital. The $\$ 269,000$ decrease for the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005 reflects operating lease portfolio attrition. Beginning in the third quarter of 2005, we ceased originating operating leases in favor of structuring new leases at inception as direct financing leases. The $\$ 1.3$ million increase in rental revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005 reflects the growth in the equipment lease portfolio during the first nine months of 2005.

Service charges and other fee income decreased primarily due to the decline in demand deposit account balances.

Other income also includes a $\$ 59,000$ net loss and $\$ 4.0$ million net gain during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, on derivatives marked to market through earnings compared to $\$ 106,000$ and $\$ 1.5$ million gains during the same periods in 2005. The derivative gains in the first nine months of 2006 included $\$ 4.0$ million in income related to warrant investment appreciation. Gains during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 include $\$ 1.3$ million in gains related to the change in market value of purchased residential mortgage loans between price commitment and settlement dates.

We own certain other investments in low income housing tax credit partnerships, venture capital funds, and CRA qualified investments that are included in other assets. Gains and losses from the application of the equity method of accounting for these investments are recorded in other income. We earned net gains on those investments of $\$ 120,000$ and $\$ 665,000$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and net gains of $\$ 47,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and net losses of $\$ 1.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

During 2005, we determined that the impairment on shares of Fannie Mae preferred stock and Freddie Mac preferred stock held in our securities portfolio was other-than-temporary. As a result, we recorded a $\$ 1.0$ million charge to other income during the first quarter of 2005. We subsequently sold these impaired securities and recovered $\$ 6,000$ of the impairment charge. There were no such impairment charges during 2006 or the three months ended September 30, 2005.

In connection with the repurchase of 114,662 and 163,162 units of the CODES due 2024 in privately negotiated transactions during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, we recognized gains of $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 1.5$ million, respectively, on the repurchase of these units, which was included in other income. No such gains or losses on the repurchase of CODES were recognized during the same periods in 2006.
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## Operating Expenses

The following table sets forth the major components of operating expenses for the periods indicated.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ | 52,548 | \$ | 50,745 | \$ | 161,977 | \$ | 149,202 |
| Occupancy and equipment |  | 11,896 |  | 11,278 |  | 35,459 |  | 32,838 |
| Legal costs and other professional fees |  | 5,074 |  | 4,671 |  | 12,711 |  | 12,720 |
| Depreciation - operating leases |  | 3,665 |  | 4,108 |  | 11,673 |  | 11,213 |
| Marketing and promotion |  | 2,879 |  | 1,995 |  | 7,012 |  | 5,355 |
| Telephone, postage and supplies |  | 2,189 |  | 2,310 |  | 6,396 |  | 6,160 |
| Amortization of intangibles |  | 1,678 |  | 1,886 |  | 5,007 |  | 6,041 |
| Data processing |  | 1,281 |  | 1,258 |  | 3,619 |  | 4,061 |
| Insurance |  | 1,126 |  | 1,073 |  | 3,346 |  | 3,238 |
| estate investment trusts |  | 456 |  | 456 |  | 1,368 |  | 1,368 |
| FDIC insurance and regulatory assessments |  | 426 |  | 424 |  | 1,362 |  | 1,213 |
| Directors fees |  | 419 |  | 299 |  | 2,058 |  | 1,415 |
| Contribution to the Greater Bay Bancorp Foundation |  | 378 |  |  |  | 1,278 |  | 900 |
| Correspondent bank fees |  | 279 |  | 374 |  | 882 |  | 998 |
| Client service expenses |  |  |  | 178 |  | 323 |  | 602 |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock of real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other expenses |  | 6,787 |  | 3,569 |  | 13,210 |  | 12,378 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ | 91,081 | \$ | 84,624 | \$ | 267,681 | \$ | 249,702 |
| Efficiency ratio |  | 76.39\% |  | 69.09\% |  | 72.64\% |  | 69.67\% |
| Total operating expenses to average assets |  | 4.92\% |  | 4.67\% |  | 4.97\% |  | 4.72\% |

The primary sources of variance in operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 included the following:

Compensation and benefits expenses increased during the three months ended September 30, 2006 to $\$ 52.5$ million, compared to $\$ 50.7$ million during the same period in 2005. The increase is due in part to $\$ 841,000$ in salaries associated with the opening of ABD s new offices in Denver, Oregon, and San Diego, and a $\$ 376,000$ increase in share-based compensation resulting from the adoption of SFAS No. 123R described further below. These increases were partially offset by decreases of $\$ 1.5$ million in bonus expense and $\$ 449,000$ in commission expense.

Occupancy and equipment expenses increased to $\$ 11.9$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 11.3$ million during the same period in 2005. Of this increase, $\$ 436,000$ was due to costs resulting from the outsourcing of our mainframe data processing operations.
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Depreciation operating leases depreciation decreased to $\$ 3.7$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 4.1$ million during the same period in 2005 . The decrease reflects a decline in the book value of the operating lease portfolio.

Legal costs and other professional fees increased to $\$ 5.1$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 4.7$ million during the same period in 2005 primarily related to $\$ 840,000$ in costs associated with our review of prior period option granting and accounting practices. This increase was partially offset by reduced costs of $\$ 765,000$ for Sarbanes-Oxley compliance activities.

Marketing and promotion increased to $\$ 3.0$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 2.0$ million during the same period in 2005. The increase was primarily related to $\$ 953,000$ in expenses associated with the rebranding initiatives during 2006.

Amortization of intangibles decreased to $\$ 1.7$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 1.9$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. We periodically reevaluate the remaining estimated lives of customer expirations based on the actual retention rates of the acquired customers. Based on such revaluations conducted during the third quarter of 2005 and the first quarter of 2006, we increased our estimate of the remaining lives of these expirations. This prospective change in estimate was the primary reason for the decrease in amortization of intangibles.
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Other expenses increased to $\$ 6.7$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 3.6$ million for the same period in 2005 primarily related $\$ 3.2$ million in unamortized debt issuance costs written off in connection with the redemption of our $9 \%$ Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities.
The primary sources of variance in operating expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 included the following:

Compensation and benefits expenses increased during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to $\$ 162.0$ million, compared to $\$ 149.2$ million during the same period in 2005. The increase is primarily due to a $\$ 5.6$ million increase related to Lucini/Parish resulting from the timing of its acquisition on May 1, 2005, $\$ 2.0$ million in salaries associated with the opening of ABD s new offices in Denver, Oregon, and San Diego, $\$ 1.5$ million in higher commission expense, a $\$ 1.5$ million increase in share-based compensation resulting from the adoption of SFAS No. 123R described further below, an $\$ 840,000$ increase in $401(\mathrm{k})$ matching contributions, a $\$ 608,000$ increase in bonus expense associated with warrant investment appreciation, and $\$ 444,000$ of employee share-based compensation resulting from our review of prior period option granting and accounting practices. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 1.8$ million in bonus expense.

Occupancy and equipment expenses increased to $\$ 35.5$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 32.8$ million during the same period in 2005 . Of this increase, $\$ 436,000$ was due to costs resulting from the outsourcing of our mainframe data processing operations, $\$ 689,000$ was due to expenses associated with adjustments to the depreciation of certain fixed assets, and $\$ 648,000$ was due to the timing of Lucini/Parish acquisition.

Marketing and promotion increased to $\$ 7.0$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 5.8$ million during the same period in 2005. The increase was primarily related to $\$ 953,000$ in expenses associated with the rebranding initiatives during 2006.

Amortization of intangibles decreased to $\$ 5.0$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 6.0$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease reflects the increase in our estimate of the remaining lives of customer expirations as discussed above.

Directors fees increased to $\$ 2.1$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 1.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase includes share-based compensation expenses resulting from the recognition of expenses related to prior periods of $\$ 1.1$ million associated with holders whose vesting may be accelerated due to retirement age provisions in our restricted stock grant agreements as well as the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R.

Other expenses increased to $\$ 13.2$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 11.9$ million for the same period in 2005. During 2006, other expenses included $\$ 3.2$ million in unamortized debt issuance costs written off as a consequence of redemption of our 9\% Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities.
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On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R which requires the measurement and recognition of share-based compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors based on estimated fair values. We adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective transition method. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we accounted for share-based awards to employees and directors using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 as allowed under SFAS No. 123. Accordingly, share-based compensation costs were recognized only for restricted stock grants during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. Share-based compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock grants was as follows for the periods presented.

|  | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Stock options |  | Restricted stock |  | Stock options |  | d stock |
| Stock-based compensation expense | \$ | 374 | \$ | 668 | \$ | \$ | 506 |
| Income tax benefit |  | (59) |  | (278) |  |  | (210) |
|  | \$ | 315 | \$ | 390 | \$ | \$ | 296 |


|  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Stock options |  | ricted $o c k$ | Stock options |  | icted <br> ck |
| Stock-based compensation expense (1) | \$ 1,603 | \$ | 2,555 | \$ | \$ | 1,126 |
| Income tax benefit | (217) |  | $(1,061)$ |  |  | (467) |
|  | 1,386 |  | 1,494 |  |  | 659 |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax |  |  | (130) |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 1,386 | \$ | 1,364 | \$ | \$ | 659 |

(1) Stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes the recognition of expenses related to prior periods of $\$ 1.1$ million associated with holders whose vesting may be accelerated due to retirement age provisions in their restricted stock grant agreements. Stock-based compensation expense for stock options during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes the recognition of expenses related to prior periods of $\$ 444,000$ associated with review of historical practices associated with the granting of stock options.
Share-based compensation expense for grants issued to our employees is included in compensation and benefits and the expense for grants issued to our directors is included in director $s$ fees. Share-based compensation expense included in compensation and benefits was $\$ 802,000$ and $\$ 2.7$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and $\$ 322,000$ and $\$ 699,000$ for the same periods in 2005 . Share-based compensation expense included in directors fees was $\$ 240,000$ and $\$ 1.5$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30 , 2006, respectively, and $\$ 184,000$ and $\$ 428,000$ for the same periods in 2005 . The adoption of SFAS No. 123 R also resulted in a cumulative benefit from accounting change of $\$ 130,000$, net of taxes, which reflects the net cumulative impact of estimating future forfeitures in the determination of period expense, rather than recording forfeitures when they occur as previously permitted for previously expensed restricted stock grants.

Our efficiency ratio for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 increased compared to the same periods in 2005 as revenues grew at a slower pace than operating expenses. The efficiency ratio is a standard non-GAAP banking industry ratio used to measure the average cost required to deliver a dollar of revenue and is computed by dividing operating expenses by the sum of net interest income before provision for credit losses and non-interest income. A lowering of the efficiency ratio is achieved by constraining operating expense growth to a rate lower
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than revenue growth rate. An increase in the efficiency ratio would generally indicate a decrease in overall efficiency. Conversely, excessive expense reductions, which might improve the efficiency ratio, could result in a weakening of our risk control environment, failure to comply with banking regulations, or a deterioration of customer service levels. The impact of these types of deficiencies could have an adverse impact on overall profitability or our safety and soundness.
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The following table shows the computation of our efficiency ratio, excluding ABD. Because efficiency ratios represent a performance measure utilized by the banking industry, we provide the efficiency ratio, excluding ABD , in order to present this measure in a manner comparable to other banking organizations that do not have significant non-bank operating segments.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, |  |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |
| Total operating expenses | \$ | 91,081 | \$ | 84,624 | \$ | 267,681 | \$ | 249,702 |
| Less: ABD operating expenses |  | 37,411 |  | 35,957 |  | 110,079 |  | 99,798 |
| Total operating expenses without ABD | \$ | 53,670 | \$ | 48,667 | \$ | 157,602 | \$ | 149,904 |
| Total revenue | \$ | 119,226 | \$ | 122,485 | \$ | 368,483 | \$ | 358,386 |
| Less: ABD total revenue |  | 42,143 |  | 40,689 |  | 128,037 |  | 118,656 |
| Total revenue without ABD | \$ | 77,083 | \$ | 81,796 | \$ | 240,446 | \$ | 239,730 |
| Efficiency ratio without ABD |  | 69.63\% |  | 59.50\% |  | 65.55\% |  | 62.53\% |

Our effective income tax rate during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was $35.3 \%$ and $36.4 \%$, respectively, compared to $37.9 \%$ and $37.4 \%$, respectively, for the same periods in 2005 . The effective rates were lower than the combined Federal and state statutory rate of $41.5 \%$ primarily due to California enterprise zone interest income exclusion, tax-exempt income on municipal securities, bank-owned life insurance policy investment earnings and low income housing and new markets tax credit funds. The tax benefits for items exempt from taxation have been partially offset by share-based compensation expense for incentive stock options and individual compensation in excess of deductible maximums specified in Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) limits (disallowed deductions for certain executive compensation in excess of $\$ 1.0$ million per year) for which we do not recognize a tax benefit for financial statement purposes.

See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our income taxes.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets increased $\$ 212.4$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to $\$ 7.3$ billion.

## Securities

Total securities increased $\$ 78.5$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to $\$ 1.6$ billion. At September 30, 2006, $\$ 787.5$ million, or $50.1 \%$, of our total securities were comprised of mortgage and mortgage related securities compared to $\$ 869.2$ million, or $58.2 \%$, of our total securities at December 31, 2005. Although the stated maturity of these securities is as long as 30 years, due to scheduled principal payments and anticipated prepayments, we estimate that the average remaining life of these securities is approximately three and a half years as of September 30, 2006.

See Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding the securities portfolio.

## Loans
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Our loan portfolio is concentrated in commercial and real estate sectors. Our lending operations are primarily located in a market area that is principally dependent on the technology and real estate industries and supporting service companies within the general San Francisco Bay Area. Thus, a downturn in these sectors could adversely impact our borrowers. This could, in turn, adversely affect us through diminished loan demand and loan credit quality deterioration.
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The following table presents the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

|  | September 30, 2006 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Amount | \% | Amount | \% |
| Commercial (1) | \$ 2,136,235 | 44.8\% | \$ 2,052,049 | 44.2\% |
| Term real estate - commercial | 1,362,794 | 28.6 | 1,389,329 | 29.9 |
| Total Commercial | 3,499,029 | 73.4 | 3,441,378 | 74.1 |
| Real estate construction and land | 753,416 | 15.8 | 644,883 | 13.9 |
| Residential mortgage | 277,038 | 5.8 | 266,263 | 5.7 |
| Real estate other | 223,373 | 4.7 | 263,164 | 5.7 |
| Consumer and other (1) | 79,131 | 1.7 | 109,168 | 2.3 |
| Total loans, gross | 4,831,987 | 101.4 | 4,724,856 | 101.7 |
| Deferred costs and fees, net (1) | 4,278 | 0.1 | 3,113 | 0.1 |
| Total loans, net of deferred costs and fees (1) | 4,836,265 | 101.5 | 4,727,969 | 101.8 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | $(71,323)$ | (1.5) | $(82,159)$ | (1.8) |
| Total loans, net | \$ 4,764,942 | 100.0\% | \$ 4,645,810 | 100.0\% |

(1) The unamortized initial deferred costs (IDC ) on capital leases is included in the balance of deferred fees and costs, net. Previously, we reported unamortized IDC along with capital leases in commercial loans and consumer and other loans. We have conformed 2005 to the current presentation. This reclassification did not change the reported balance of total loans, net. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, debit balances of $\$ 15.4$ million and $\$ 15.5$ million, respectively, of deferred costs and fees on leases were reclassified from commercial loans and consumer loans into net deferred costs and fees.
Total loans, net of deferred costs and fees, increased by $\$ 108.3$ million from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006. The growth in our loan portfolio was achieved despite the payoff of approximately $\$ 70$ million of nonperforming and other large loans where accelerated collection was sought and successfully realized during the period. This increase primarily reflects increases in real estate construction and land loans of $\$ 108.5$ million and $\$ 84.2$ million in commercial loans. These increases were partially offset by a decline in commercial term real estate loans of $\$ 26.5$ million, real estate other loans of $\$ 39.8$ million and consumer and other loans of $\$ 30.0$ million.

The following table presents the maturity distribution of our loan portfolio by type and the interest rate structure of such loans at September 30, 2006.

|  | One year or less |  |  | Term to final loan maturity One to five years |  |  |  | After five years |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Fixed rate | Variable rate |  | Fixed rate |  | Variable rate |  | Fixed rate | Variable rate |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ 192,336 | \$ | 369,116 | \$ | 755,639 | \$ | 193,256 | \$ 530,137 | \$ | 95,751 | \$ 2,136,235 |
| Term real estate - commercial | 44,872 |  | 60,706 |  | 262,270 |  | 210,382 | 338,753 |  | 445,811 | 1,362,794 |
| Real estate construction and land | 62,470 |  | 443,311 |  | 115,393 |  | 111,914 | 19,162 |  | 1,166 | 753,416 |
| Residential mortgage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 277,038 | 277,038 |
| Real estate other | 4,251 |  | 26,924 |  | 15,295 |  | 19,390 | 24,620 |  | 132,893 | 223,373 |


| Consumer and other | 8,428 | 32,527 | 16,956 | 12,704 | 8,430 | 86 | 79,131 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total loans, gross | $\$ 312,357$ | $\$$ | 932,584 | $\$ 1,165,553$ | $\$$ | 547,646 | $\$ 921,102$ | $\$$ | 952,745 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 8 3 1 , 9 8 7}$

## Nonperforming Assets

We generally place loans on nonaccrual status when they become 90 days past due, unless they are well secured and in the process of collection. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, any interest previously accrued and not collected is generally reversed from income. Loans are charged-off when management determines that collection has become unlikely. Restructured loans are those where we have granted a concession on either

Table of Contents

## GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

principal or interest due to financial difficulties of the borrower. A loan is not removed from the restructured loan category until it has performed in accordance with the agreed upon modified loan terms for three subsequent quarters after the quarter in which it was restructured. Other real estate owned (or OREO ) consists of real property acquired through foreclosure on the collateral underlying defaulted loans. Other nonperforming assets are primarily comprised of operating leases.

The following table presents information concerning our nonperforming assets, restructured loans and accruing loans 90 days or more past due at the dates indicated.

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, 2006 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonperforming assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ | 29,063 | \$ | 71,094 |
| Other nonperforming assets |  | 603 |  | 631 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 29,666 | \$ | 71,725 |
| Restructured loans on accrual status | \$ |  | \$ | 290 |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | \$ | 1,269 | \$ |  |
| Nonaccrual loans to total loans |  | 0.60\% |  | 1.50\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets |  | 0.41\% |  | 1.01\% |
| Nonperforming assets, restructured loans and accruing loans past due 90 days or more to total loans and OREO |  | $0.64 \%$ |  | 1.52\% |
| Nonperforming assets, restructured loans and accruing loans past due 90 days or more to total assets |  | 0.42\% |  | 1.01\% |

The amount of gross interest income that would have been recorded for nonaccrual loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, if all such loans had performed in accordance with their original terms, was $\$ 3.0$ million and $\$ 5.6$ million, respectively. Included in these totals is $\$ 382,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 1.1$ million for the year ended December 31, 2005 respectively, of recognized interest income prior to loans being classified as nonaccrual.

Restructured loans totaling $\$ 4.4$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 5.3$ million at December 31, 2005 were also on nonaccrual status and are included in nonaccrual loans above. There were no principal reduction concessions allowed on restructured loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005.

There was no interest income from restructured loans on accruing status during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 or 2005. The additional interest income that would have accrued on restructured loans on accruing status if they had performed in accordance with their original terms would have been zero during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.
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The following table presents information concerning our nonaccrual loans at the dates indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |
| Matsco / GBC | \$ | 8,323 | \$ | 8,883 |
| SBA |  | 2,881 |  | 6,497 |
| Other |  | 6,458 |  | 9,142 |
| Total commercial |  | 17,662 |  | 24,522 |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 10,939 |  | 8,434 |
| Construction and land |  | 323 |  | 323 |
| Other |  |  |  | 33,312 |
| Total real estate |  | 11,262 |  | 42,069 |
| Consumer and other |  | 139 |  | 4,503 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | \$ | 29,063 | \$ | 71,094 |

Nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2005 included a single client relationship totaling $\$ 36.8$ million that had been placed on nonaccrual status during the second quarter of 2005. The payoff of the loans comprising this relationship during the three months ended March 31, 2006 is the primary reason for the decline in nonperforming assets. The carrying value of the loans comprising this relationship as of December 31, 2005 was established based upon the principal proceeds received on the retirement of this borrower s indebtedness during the first quarter of 2006.

We believe that we have a minimal loss exposure on the SBA loans, which are partially government guaranteed, and have limited loss exposure on the commercial term real estate nonperforming assets based on current real estate collateral.

All nonaccrual loans (except for certain Matsco and consumer loans) are analyzed individually in order to determine probable loss exposure. If loss exposure is identified for any of these nonaccrual loans, we either write-down the carrying value of the loans in the amount of the estimated loss exposure if such estimated loss is considered certain, or establish a specific reserve in the amount of the estimated loss if such loss is less certain. The amount of specific reserves associated with nonaccrual loans totaled $\$ 7.2$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 10.9$ million at December 31, 2005. This reserve accounts for our current estimate of the loss exposure contained within the nonaccrual portfolio.

In accordance with SFAS No. 114, a loan is considered impaired, based on current information and events, if it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. In certain circumstances, the determination of loan impairment is subjective and, among other factors, is dependent upon the judgment of management. Changes in the levels of impaired loans affect our nonperforming asset levels and our allowance for loan and lease losses. Impaired loans were $\$ 29.1$ million at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 71.1$ million at December 31, 2005. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, all of our impaired loans were on nonaccrual status and are included in nonperforming loans.

## Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and the Reserve for Unfunded Credit Commitments

The allowance for loan and lease losses represents management s estimate of losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. The reserve for unfunded credit commitments represents management s estimate of losses inherent in its unfunded loan commitments. The allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments are increased by provisions for credit losses charged to expense, loan
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recoveries, and reduced by negative provisions and loan charge-offs. Loans and leases are charged-off when they are deemed to be uncollectible; recoveries are generally recorded only when cash payments are received.
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The following table sets forth information concerning our allowance for loan and lease losses at the dates indicated.

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Period end gross loans outstanding | \$ | 4,831,986 | \$ | 4,684,369 |
| Average gross loans outstanding | \$ | 4,727,539 | \$ | 4,581,382 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 82,159 | \$ | 107,517 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | $(7,590)$ |  | $(7,767)$ |
| Term real estate - commercial |  |  |  | 19 |
| Total commercial |  | $(7,590)$ |  | $(7,748)$ |
| Real estate construction and land |  |  |  | $(3,110)$ |
| Real estate other |  | (320) |  |  |
| Consumer and other |  | (231) |  | $(2,324)$ |
| Total charge-offs |  | $(8,141)$ |  | $(13,182)$ |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 3,896 |  | 2,856 |
| Term real estate - commercial |  | 1 |  | (16) |
| Total commercial |  | 3,897 |  | 2,840 |
| Real estate construction and land |  | 916 |  | 120 |
| Real estate other |  | 188 |  |  |
| Consumer and other |  | 212 |  | 137 |
| Total recoveries |  | 5,213 |  | 3,097 |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(2,928)$ |  | $(10,085)$ |
| Reversal of provision charged to income |  | $(7,908)$ |  | $(4,575)$ |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 71,323 | \$ | 92,857 |


| Year to date net charge-offs to average loans outstanding during the period, annualized | $0.08 \%$ | $0.29 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Allowance as a percentage of period end loans outstanding | $1.48 \%$ | $1.98 \%$ |
| Allowance as a percentage of nonaccrual loans | $245.41 \%$ | $129.09 \%$ |

The reversal of provision for credit losses includes the reversal of provision for loan and lease losses, shown in the table above, and the provision for unfunded credit losses. The process used in the determination of the adequacy of the reserve for unfunded credit commitments is consistent with the process for determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses. For a schedule showing the composition of the reversal of provision for credit losses and reserves for unfunded credit commitments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, see Results of Operations Reversal of Provision for Credit Losses, above.

The methodology used to determine the estimated requirement for the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments consists of two key components: the individual impairment component and the pool analysis component.
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## Individual Impairment Component

The individual loan impairment component of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded commitments is equal to the aggregate amount of specific reserves that are established for impaired loans as defined by SFAS No. 114. Loans are considered for impairment through the normal review process, and if impairment is determined, the loan is placed on nonperforming status. We estimate the amount of impairment and adjust the book value of the loan for the impairment amount by either taking a charge-off against the loan or establishing a specific reserve. If we believe that the impaired value is reasonably certain, we charge-off an amount equal to the impairment. A specific reserve is established when we believe the impaired value has a degree of uncertainty and the occurrence of a specific future event will permit us to determine a more precise impairment amount. The balance of the specific reserve represents the individual loan impairment component of the allowance. This component of the allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for unfunded commitments was as follows at the dates indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, <br> 2006 | December 31, <br> 2005 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Specific reserves: | $\$$ | 7,207 |
| Individual loan impairment reserve | $\$ 121$ | 10,871 |
| Reserve for unfunded credit commitments | $\$$ | 7,328 |
| Total individual impairment component | $\$$ | 10,992 |

The decrease in the individual impairment component from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006 resulted from a decrease in estimated loss exposure associated with impaired loans.

## Pool Analysis Component

The pool analysis is a migration-based analysis and is performed in two steps. The first step, a migration based pool analysis, includes an estimate of loss based on the distribution of the portfolio by credit risk rating, the probability of default by credit risk rating, and the estimate of loss assuming a loan default. We segment our unimpaired portfolio by credit risk rating and then further segment each category by loan/collateral type. We then apply probability of default factors against each credit risk rating segment to produce an estimate, by loan/collateral type, of the aggregate principal amount of loans that are likely to default. Probability of default rates are calculated for various risk ratings using historical data. We then adjust these rates to account for the inherent deficiencies in the quality of the underlying data used in the calculation and to account for macro and regional economic issues that have recently occurred that we know will impact these rates, but are not reflected in historical data, such as recent increases in interest rates. Finally, we apply an estimated loss factor, based on collateral type, against the aggregate principal amount of loans that we believe are likely to default to determine an estimate of loss. In the third quarter of 2006, we made a change in modeling assumptions related to the relative improvement in the Bay Area economy that resulted in a $\$ 1.3$ million decrease in this component of our allowance for loan and lease losses. This first step of the pool analysis resulted in a required allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for unfunded credit commitments as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, <br> 2006 | December 31, <br> 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Migration-based pool analysis: | $\$$ | 45,974 | $\$$ |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 49,962 |  |  |
| Reserve for unfunded credit commitments | 5,579 | 6,014 |  |
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Overall improvement in credit quality and a change in modeling assumptions relating to the relative improvement in the Bay Area economy resulted in a decrease in this component of our allowance for loan and lease losses, which was partially offset by additional allowance required for higher risk construction loans.

The second step of the pool analysis is an estimate of unidentified, incurred loss. Borrowers are impacted by events that result in loan default and an eventual loss that occur well in advance of a lender s knowledge of those events. An example of a loss-causing event for a commercial real estate loan would be the loss of a major tenant. There is a lag between the loss-causing event, the loss of a major tenant in this example, and our knowledge of this event. While loss-causing events have likely been identified for loans and unfunded commitments that have been assigned risk ratings associated with criticized assets, they have not been identified for all pass-rated loans and commitments. Therefore, we believe that a portion of the pass-rated assets have heightened risk that would be similar to criticized assets. This portion of our pool analysis resulted in the following estimated unidentified incurred loss at the dates indicated:

|  | September 30, <br> (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, <br> 2006 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated unidentified incurred loss: | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |

The decrease in the estimated unidentified incurred loss was a result of overall improvement in credit quality.
Total Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments
Adding together the individual loan impairment component and the two steps of the pool loan analyses, the total allowance for loan and lease losses and reserve for unfunded commitments resulting from our methodology was as follows at the dates indicated:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \text { September 30, } & \text { December 31, } \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) } & \text { 2006 } & \text { 2005 }\end{array}\right]$

## Property, Premises and Equipment

Property, premises and equipment decreased by $\$ 18.1$ million to $\$ 85.9$ million at September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 104.0$ million at December 31, 2005. The decrease reflects primarily the $\$ 13.3$ million decline in operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation to $\$ 50.8$ million at September 30, 2006, from $\$ 64.1$ million at December 31, 2005. The operating lease portfolio reduction is a result of actions we have taken to qualify newly originated leases as direct financing leases that previously would have been categorized as operating leases.

Lease contracts are categorized as direct financing leases or operating leases in accordance with the definitions in SFAS No. 13, Accounting for Leases (or SFAS No. 13 ). Direct financing leases are included in our loan portfolio and operating leases are included in property, premises and equipment. The primary reason most of our operating leases are categorized as such is because the net present value of the payments do not meet

## Edgar Filing: GREATER BAY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

or exceed $90 \%$ of the fair value of the leased asset on those leases. Beginning in 2005, we insured the residual value of leased assets on certain leases that would otherwise qualify as operating leases. By insuring the residual value, we are then allowed to include the insured residual in the payment stream for purposes of performing the
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$90 \%$ minimum lease payment test to determine if the lease contract qualifies as a direct financing lease. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, we originated lease contracts with an original equipment cost of $\$ 34.2$ million, and $\$ 14.0$ million, respectively, whose residual value is insured, and as a result are classified as direct financing leases and included in loans.

## Deposits

Total deposits increased $\$ 559,000$ during the first nine months of 2006 to $\$ 5.1$ billion at September 30, 2006. Total core deposits, which exclude brokered and other institutional time deposits, decreased by $\$ 468.0$ million during the first nine months of 2006 to $\$ 4.1$ billion at September 30, 2006. The decrease in core deposits reflected declines in both demand deposit and MMDA, NOW, and savings balances.

Non-interest bearing demand deposits declined $\$ 113.1$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Balance attrition for non-interest bearing demand deposits is primarily attributable to the increase in interest rates paid on various alternative interest-bearing investment options available to our depositors. As market interest rates have increased, our depositors have reduced the balances in their non-interest bearing demand deposit accounts.

MMDA, NOW, and savings deposits declined $\$ 387.3$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Approximately three-quarters of the decline in these interest-bearing deposit accounts occurred in our specialty account area, which is principally comprised of title, exchange, venture capital, and other large balance relationships. The balance of our deposit decline was experienced in more traditional interest-bearing money market account categories resulting from interest rate competition.

We do not currently expect a near-term recovery of the core deposit decline that occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, and expect core deposit totals to remain flat for the balance of the year relative to the September 30, 2006 balance. We intend to continue using institutional and other non-relationship funding sources to fulfill our funding needs.

See Net Interest Income above for additional information regarding the average balances and interest expense on deposits.

## Borrowings

Borrowings were $\$ 1.2$ billion at September 30, 2006 and $\$ 1.0$ billion at December 31, 2005. At September 30, 2006, borrowings consisted of FHLB advances, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, subordinated notes, senior notes, and other notes payable. Borrowings increased during the first nine months of 2006 primarily due to a $\$ 77.3$ million issuance of junior subordinated notes to the Trust and by a $\$ 282.0$ million increase in FHLB advances. This increase was partially offset by a $\$ 82.4$ million decrease in CODES due 2024 resulting from the holders of that instrument exercising their put option.

See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for additional information and description of borrowing arrangements that existed at December 31, 2005 that remain outstanding at September 30, 2006.
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## Liquidity and Cash Flows

Our liquidity management objective is to maintain the ability to meet obligations as they come due and to allow the Bank to meet the funding needs of its clients while avoiding carrying excess cash and cash equivalent balances due to their low-yielding nature. We monitor the sources and uses of funds on a daily basis to maintain an appropriate liquidity position. In addition to asset liquidity and funds provided from operations, we have substantial access to wholesale funding markets, principally through FHLB advances, brokered certificates of deposits, securities sold under repurchase agreements, our line of credit facility and capital markets security issuances.

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled $\$ 52.9$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 80.6$ million for the same period in 2005.

Cash used by investing activities totaled $\$ 198.8$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 165.1$ million for the same period in 2005. The net cash used for loans was $\$ 110.0$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 205.8$ million for the same period in 2005. The decline in net cash used for loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005 was a result of lower loan growth during 2006. The decrease in our 2006 loan growth rate reflects our decision not to purchase loans as we had done in 2005. Net cash used for securities activities, including maturities, partial paydowns, sales and purchases, was $\$ 74.9$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to a $\$ 103.5$ million source of cash during the same period of 2005. The decrease in cash provided by securities activities during the first nine months of 2006 as compared to the same period of 2005 reflects of slowing of the prepayment rate of our securities portfolio and increased securities purchases.

Net cash provided by financing activities was $\$ 154.3$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and was $\$ 86.2$ million for the same period in 2005. Borrowing activities, including new borrowings and repayments, resulted in a $\$ 168.4$ million source of cash during the first nine months of 2006 compared to a $\$ 232.7$ million source of cash during the same period in 2005 . We manage our borrowing levels based on several factors, including the funding requirements of our loans and our securities portfolio and liquidity requirements of the Bank and our holding company.

As of September 30, 2006, we did not have any material capital expenditure commitments.

## Liquidity of the Holding Company

Regulation W restricts non-bank affiliates from accessing funds from a related bank entity. As such, holding company and ABD liquidity is managed separate and apart from Bank liquidity. The holding company provides ABD funds through a $\$ 50$ million line of credit facility and, as appropriate, capital contributions. In addition to its own operating expenses, the holding company is responsible for the payment of fees, interest and principal on its line of credit, senior notes, and subordinated notes, and the payment of dividends on its capital stock. Substantially all of the holding company s operating receipts are realized from interest received on its securities portfolio and dividends received from subsidiaries.

During the first quarter of 2006, all of the holders of our outstanding CODES due 2024 exercised their put option, in exchange for aggregate cash of $\$ 82.5$ million. The CODES due 2024 were contingently convertible into an aggregate of $2,145,387$ shares of our common stock, but were not convertible at the time of the put.

During the second quarter of 2006, the holding company issued $\$ 77.3$ million of junior subordinated notes to the Trust, which resulted in net cash proceeds to the holding company of $\$ 75.0$ million. On August 31, 2006, we redeemed all outstanding 9\% Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities issued by GBB Capital V. In connection with that redemption, we repaid all of the subordinated notes issued by us to GBB Capital V. The subordinated notes had a cumulative principal balance and a carrying value of $\$ 106.7$ million as of December 31, 2005. The redemption of the securities issued by GBB Capital V and the related repayment of subordinated notes were at par. Unamortized debt issuance costs related to these securities totaling $\$ 3.2$ million were written off as part of this transaction. See Note 5 for more information regarding this transaction.
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There are statutory and regulatory provisions that limit the ability of the Bank and ABD to pay dividends to the holding company. Under such restrictions, the amount available for payment of dividends to the holding company totaled $\$ 81.2$ million at September 30, 2006. We do not believe that these restrictions will adversely impact the holding company s ability to meet its ongoing cash obligations.

See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information concerning the holding company s obligations under its line of credit, senior notes, subordinated notes and the CODES.

## Capital Resources

Shareholders equity is our primary source of capital. The activity in our shareholders equity during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 is presented in the consolidated statements of shareholders equity. See Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 for a description of the components of shareholders equity.

An additional regulatory capital component is the Trust Preferred Securities issued by our subsidiary capital trusts which qualify as Tier I capital up to a maximum of $33 \%$ of core capital under applicable regulatory guidelines. Trust Preferred Securities above the Tier I qualifying maximum would qualify as Tier II capital. At September 30, 2006 those subsidiary trusts had $\$ 175.5$ million in Trust Preferred Securities all of which qualified as Tier I capital.

A banking organization s total regulatory capital includes two components: core capital (Tier I capital) and supplementary capital (Tier II capital). Core capital, which must comprise at least half of total capital, includes common shareholders equity, qualifying perpetual preferred stock, Trust Preferred Securities (subject to certain limitations) and minority interests, less goodwill. Supplementary capital includes the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments (subject to certain limitations), other perpetual preferred stock, Trust Preferred Securities (subject to certain limitations), certain other capital instruments and term subordinated notes (subject to certain limitations). Our major capital components are shareholders equity and Trust Preferred Securities in core capital, and the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments in supplementary capital.
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The Federal Reserve and Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (or OCC ) have adopted regulations setting forth a five-tier system for measuring the capital adequacy of the financial institutions they supervise. Our capital levels at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, and related minimum regulatory requirements were as follows:

|  | Non- regulatory capital ratios |  | Regulatory capital ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tangible common equity | Total tangible equity | Leverage ratio | Tier I risk-based capital ratio | Total risk-based capital ratio |
| Greater Bay Bancorp: |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2006 | 6.34\% | 7.80\% | 10.63\% | 12.15\% | 13.40\% |
| December 31, 2005 | 5.56\% | 7.07\% | 10.41\% | 12.01\% | 13.26\% |
| The Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2006 | 10.19\% | 10.19\% | 11.67\% | 13.23\% | 14.48\% |
| December 31, 2005 | 10.35\% | 10.35\% | 11.90\% | 13.59\% | 14.85\% |
| Well-capitalized minimum | N/A | N/A | 5.00\% | 6.00\% | 10.00\% |
| Adequately capitalized minimum | N/A | N/A | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |

Our tangible total equity to tangible asset ratio increased from $7.07 \%$ at December 31, 2005 to $7.80 \%$ at September 30, 2006. Our tangible common equity to tangible asset ratio increased from $5.56 \%$ at December 31, 2005 to $6.34 \%$ at September 30, 2006. These increases are primarily a result of the growth of common shareholders equity, resulting primarily from retained earnings increasing at a faster rate than total assets. At September 30, 2006, total goodwill and other intangibles was $\$ 287.2$ million, the majority of which is related to the ABD acquisition. Based on $A B D$ s performance and current comparable valuations of recent sales of peer insurance agencies, we believe that ABD is worth more than the recorded goodwill and the value of other intangibles. Tangible equity and the tangible equity ratios are non-GAAP financial measures. We believe that these measures are meaningful in part because they are frequently used by investors and credit rating agencies to measure our financial leverage. The following table sets forth the reconciliation of shareholders equity to tangible equity and total assets to tangible assets.

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, 2006 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common shareholders equity | \$ | 733,816 | \$ | 672,624 |
| Less: goodwill and other intangibles |  | $(287,202)$ |  | $(293,030)$ |
| Tangible common equity | \$ | 446,614 | \$ | 379,594 |
| Convertible preferred stock |  | 103,094 |  | 103,387 |
| Tangible equity | \$ | 549,708 | \$ | 482,981 |
| Total assets | \$ | 7,333,355 | \$ | 7,120,969 |
| Less: goodwill and other intangibles |  | $(287,202)$ |  | $(293,030)$ |
| Tangible assets | \$ | 7,046,153 | \$ | 6,827,939 |
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## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

The following table provides the amounts due under specified contractual obligations for the periods indicated as of September 30, 2006.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Total | Less than one year | One to three years | Four to five years | More than five years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits | \$ 5,059,098 | \$ 4,806,398 | \$ 189,217 | \$ 63,471 | \$ 12 |
| Long-term borrowings | 709,227 |  | 328,111 | 200,188 | 180,928 |
| Other long-term liabilites reflected on the balance sheet in accordance with GAAP | 62,208 |  |  |  | 62,208 |
| Total accrued contractual obligations | 5,830,533 | 4,806,398 | 517,328 | 263,659 | 243,148 |
| Operating lease obligations | 104,751 | 21,861 | 43,882 | 9,512 | 29,496 |
| Purchase obligations | 8,787 | 8,787 |  |  |  |
| Total off-balance sheet arrangements | 113,538 | 30,648 | 43,882 | 9,512 | 29,496 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 5,944,071 | \$ 4,837,046 | \$ 561,210 | \$ 273,171 | \$ 272,644 |
| Other information: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingent payments | \$ 10,104 | \$ 3,000 | \$ 7,104 | \$ | \$ |
| Commitments to fund loans | \$ 1,487,341 | \$ 1,487,341 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Commitments under letters of credit | \$ 94,797 | \$ 94,797 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

The obligations are categorized by their contractual due dates. Approximately $\$ 442.0$ million of the commitments to fund loans relate to real estate construction, a significant portion of which is expected to fund within the next 18 months. However, the remainder of the commitment to fund loans relates primarily to revolving lines of credit or other commercial loans and many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total undrawn commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a further description of contingent payments.

Purchase obligations include unfunded commitments totaling $\$ 8.8$ million on certain equity investments in venture capital funds and partnerships and corporations that invest in CRA qualified assets.

## RESULTS BY BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We have four reportable business segments, which are community banking, treasury, Matsco, and ABD. In addition, we have four smaller operating segments, Greater Bay Capital, Greater Bay SBA Lending, Greater Bay Business Funding, and Greater Bay Trust Company, whose results are combined and reported in all other segments. The results for these four units are included in the reconciliation between business segment totals and our consolidated total. Our business segments do not include the results of the holding company, bank administration and operations, the Greater Bay Real Estate Lending Group, and Corporate Finance as those units do not meet the definition of an operating segment.

## Changes to the Composition of our Reportable Business Segments and Other Information
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During 2005, we changed the composition of our reportable business segments and how we allocate certain liabilities, revenues and expenses. We have restated all prior period segment information to conform to the current composition of reportable segments. See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of our business segments, intersegment revenues and expenses, changes to how we allocate certain liabilities, revenues, and expenses between our segments, intercompany allocation and the provision for income taxes, and additional information about the changes to operating segments presented.

## Community Banking

We provide a wide range of commercial banking and financial services to small and medium-sized businesses, real estate developers and property managers, business executives, professionals, and other individuals.
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#### Abstract

The key measures we use to evaluate community banking s performance are provided in the following table. The table also provides additional significant segment measures useful to understanding the performance of this segment:


| (Dollars in thousands) | For the three months ended September 30, |  |  |  | For the nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |
| Key Measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statement of operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 89,386 | \$ | 79,136 | \$ | 265,296 | \$ | 233,106 |
| Interest expense |  | 26,652 |  | 16,891 |  | 72,058 |  | 50,131 |
| Net interest income before reversal of provision for credit losses |  | 62,734 |  | 62,245 |  | 193,238 |  | 182,975 |
| Reversal of provision for credit losses |  | $(2,425)$ |  | $(4,989)$ |  | $(7,761)$ |  | $(13,305)$ |
| Net interest income after reversal of provision for credit losses |  | 65,159 |  | 67,234 |  | 200,999 |  | 196,280 |
| Non-interest income |  | 4,133 |  | 4,041 |  | 11,735 |  | 11,689 |
| Operating expenses |  | 13,747 |  | 11,658 |  | 40,119 |  | 37,853 |
| Income before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocation | \$ | 55,545 | \$ | 59,617 | \$ | 172,615 | \$ | 170,116 |
| Balance sheet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  | 037,781 |  | ,066,024 |  | 3,037,781 |  | ,066,024 |
| Deposits |  | ,08,238 |  | 550,594 |  | 4,085,238 |  | ,550,594 |
| Other Significant Segment Measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average loans |  | 009,008 |  | 110,253 |  | 2,997,009 |  | ,138,937 |
| Yield earned on loans |  | 8.04\% |  | 7.12\% |  | 7.88\% |  | 6.83\% |
| Net (charge-offs)/recoveries | \$ | 1,561 | \$ | $(1,304)$ | \$ | 1,431 | \$ | $(5,846)$ |
| Average interest-bearing deposits |  | 219,141 |  | 504,648 |  | 3,309,467 |  | ,624,938 |
| Rate paid on deposits |  | 2.68\% |  | 1.84\% |  | 2.37\% |  | 1.78\% |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ | 7,792 | \$ | 6,338 | \$ | 23,572 | \$ | 21,060 |
| Interest charges received from other segments and included in net interest income, net | \$ | 23,501 | \$ | 22,863 | \$ | 75,262 | \$ | 70,901 |

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, community banking s net income before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocations decreased $6.8 \%$ to $\$ 55.5$ million compared to $\$ 59.6$ million during the same period in 2005 . This decrease is primarily due to a decrease in the reversal of provision for credit losses and an increase in operating expenses. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, community banking s net income before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocations increased $1.5 \%$ to $\$ 172.6$ million compared to $\$ 170.1$ million during the same period in 2005 . This increase is primarily due to an increase in net interest income, partially offset by a decrease in the reversal of provision for credit losses and an increase in operating expenses.

## Net Interest Income after Provision for Credit Losses

Net interest income after reversal of provision for credit losses decreased to $\$ 65.2$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 67.2$ million during the same period in 2005. Net interest income after reversal of provision for credit losses increased to $\$ 201.0$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 196.3$ million during the same period in 2005. The specific factors affecting net interest income after reversal of provision for credit losses include the following:
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Interest income on loans was $\$ 61.0$ million and $\$ 176.7$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 55.8$ million and $\$ 160.3$ million during the same periods in 2005 . This increase is primarily the result of an increase in the average yield earned on loans and is reflective of higher wholesale market interest rates for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. The average yield earned on loans for the first nine months of 2006 was 105 basis points higher than the first nine months of 2005 ;

Interest expense on deposits increased to $\$ 21.7$ million and $\$ 58.7$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 16.2$ million and $\$ 48.2$ million during the same
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periods in 2005. These increases were primarily due to an increase in the average interest rates paid which is reflective of higher wholesale market interest rates. The effect of a higher rate paid on deposits was partially offset by a decrease in average deposit balances during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same periods in 2005; and


#### Abstract

The reversal of provision for credit losses decreased to $\$ 2.4$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 5.0$ million for the same period in 2005. The reversal of provision for credit losses decreased to $\$ 7.8$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 13.3$ million for the same period in 2005. The provision for credit losses fluctuates based on management s assessment of the required allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments as well as net charge-offs. See Results of Operations Provision for Credit Losses and Financial Condition Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses for additional information regarding how our provision for credit losses and allowance for loan and lease losses is determined. At January 1, 2006, we changed the way we computed intersegment interest charges received and paid. We determined that it was not practicable to restate intersegment interest revenue paid by treasury to community banking during prior periods for those changes. We also changed the composition of our business segments and how we allocate certain liabilities, revenues, and expenses. See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding these changes.


## Non-interest Income

Community banking s non-interest income increased slightly during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the same periods in 2005 primarily as a result of an increase in warrant investment appreciation partially offset by a decrease in service charges and other fee income. Warrant income was $\$ 460,000$ and $\$ 880,000$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 0$ for the same periods in 2005. The remainder of warrant income generated by warrant investment appreciation resides in Greater Bay Business Funding, which is included in all other segments. Service charges and other fee income was $\$ 2.5$ million and $\$ 7.6$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 2.7$ million and $\$ 8.7$ million for the same periods in 2005. Service charges and other fee income decreased primarily due to the decline in demand deposit account balances.

## Operating Expenses

Community banking soperating expense increased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to the same periods in 2005, primarily as a result of an increase in compensation and benefits and occupancy expense. Compensation and benefits were $\$ 7.8$ million and $\$ 23.6$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 6.3$ million and $\$ 21.1$ million for the same periods in 2005. The increase in compensation and benefits is primarily due to additions to staff in the areas of community banking administration and business development. Occupancy expenses were $\$ 3.5$ million and $\$ 9.9$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 3.1$ million and $\$ 9.3$ million for the same periods in 2005 . The increase in occupancy expense was a result of a recalculation of the intersegment transfer priced rent rate and occupancy space.

## Balance Sheet

Community banking s loan balance was $\$ 3.0$ billion at September 30, 2006, which is a decrease of $\$ 27.3$ million since December 31, 2005. Community banking s loan portfolio was impacted by the payoff of approximately $\$ 70$ million of nonperforming and other large loans where accelerated collection was sought and successfully realized during 2006.

Deposits were $\$ 4.1$ billion at September 30, 2006, a decrease of $\$ 449.3$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The decrease in core deposits reflected a decline in demand deposit and MMDA, NOW and savings balances. See FINANCIAL CONDITION Deposits, above, for additional discussion regarding the decrease in our core deposits balances.
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## Treasury

Treasury manages the Company s investment portfolio, wholesale funding, and Bank liquidity position. Treasury s assets are primarily comprised of the securities portfolio, Federal funds sold and purchased residential mortgage loans. Treasury s liabilities include wholesale fundings, which are comprised of brokered and institutional time deposits and the Bank s non-deposit borrowings. Treasury provides intersegment funding to support customer lending activity and purchases funds raised from core deposit gathering activities.

The key measures we use to evaluate treasury s performance are provided in the following table. The table also provides additional significant segment measures useful to understanding the performance of this segment:

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the three months ended September 30, For the nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Key Measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statement of operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 20,888 | \$ | 18,698 | \$ | 58,664 | \$ | 57,616 |
| Interest expense |  | 26,078 |  | 19,087 |  | 73,915 |  | 60,007 |
| Net interest income before reversal of provision for credit losses |  | $(5,190)$ |  | (389) |  | $(15,251)$ |  | $(2,391)$ |
| Reversal of provision for credit losses |  | (129) |  | (736) |  | (394) |  | 2,178 |
| Net interest income after reversal of provision for credit losses |  | $(5,061)$ |  | 347 |  | $(14,857)$ |  | $(4,569)$ |
| Non-interest income |  | 486 |  | 942 |  | 590 |  | 1,830 |
| Operating expenses |  | 11 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 21 |
| Loss before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocation | \$ | $(4,586)$ | \$ | 1,281 | \$ | $(14,276)$ | \$ | $(2,760)$ |
| Balance sheet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets | \$ | 1,760,658 | \$ | 1,710,847 | \$ | 1,760,658 | \$ | 1,710,847 |
| Deposits | \$ | 962,208 | \$ | 438,773 | \$ | 962,208 | \$ | 438,773 |
| Other Significant Segment Measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average loans | \$ | 223,957 | \$ | 247,919 | \$ | 229,712 | \$ | 148,738 |
| Yield earned on loans |  | 4.39\% |  | 4.40\% |  | 4.43\% |  | 4.47\% |
| Average securities | \$ | 1,536,471 | \$ | 1,462,997 | \$ | 1,479,796 | \$ | 1,488,459 |
| Yield earned on securities |  | 4.77\% |  | 4.29\% |  | 4.69\% |  | 4.42\% |
| Average interest-bearing deposits | \$ | 871,177 | \$ | 398,294 | \$ | 697,164 | \$ | 315,845 |
| Rate paid on deposits |  | 5.20\% |  | 3.33\% |  | 4.93\% |  | 2.94\% |
| Interest charges (paid)/received from other segments and included in net interest (expense)/income, net | \$ | $(7,437)$ | \$ | $(11,344)$ | \$ | $(30,975)$ | \$ | $(38,670)$ |

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, treasury had a loss before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocations of $\$ 4.6$ million and $\$ 14.3$ million, respectively, compared to income of $\$ 1.3$ million and a $\$ 2.8$ million loss during the same periods in 2005.
Treasury reported a loss in 2006 as a result of a change in its balance sheet mix and changes in interest rates. These changes are reflected in an increase in the rate paid for intersegment borrowings and rollover of maturing wholesale fundings in the context of a rising rate environment. As a result, interest expense exceeded interest income during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006. Treasury may continue to report such losses in future periods. Management evaluates the performance of the treasury segment based on several qualitative factors in addition to its income or loss before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocation. The qualitative factors include treasury s success in positioning the Bank s overall asset/liability position in order to achieve company-wide interest rate risk goals and treasury s management of the
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Bank s liquidity requirements. Treasury s role in supporting these company-wide initiatives may require treasury to structure its own balance sheet in a manner that is unprofitable to the segment on a stand-alone basis.
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## Net Interest Income after Provision for Credit Losses

Net interest income after provision for credit losses was a $\$ 5.1$ million loss and a $\$ 14.9$ million loss during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to income of $\$ 347,000$ and a $\$ 4.6$ million loss during the same periods in 2005. The specific factors affecting net interest income after provision for credit losses include the following:

Interest income on loans was $\$ 7.6$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to $\$ 5.0$ million during the same period in 2005. This increase is a result of the timing of the purchase of residential mortgage loans during 2005. Interest income on loans was $\$ 2.5$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to $\$ 2.7$ million during the same period in 2005. This decrease is a result of repayments on our residential mortgage loans purchased in 2005;

Interest income on securities increased to $\$ 18.5$ million and $\$ 51.9$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 15.8$ million and $\$ 49.2$ million during the same periods in 2005;

Interest income on Federal funds sold was $\$ 432,000$ and $\$ 692,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 384,000$ and $\$ 789,000$ during the same periods in 2005 . The decrease during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily a result of a decrease in the average Federal funds sold and partially offset by an increase in the rate received;

Intersegment interest expense (representing net interest charges paid to the other segments) decreased to $\$ 7.4$ million and $\$ 31.0$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 11.3$ million and $\$ 38.7$ million during the same periods in 2005 as funding purchased from other segments decreased;

Interest expense on brokered and institutional deposits was $\$ 11.4$ million and $\$ 25.7$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 3.3$ million and $\$ 6.9$ million during the same periods in 2005 . This increase was primarily due to an increase in the average deposit balance as well as an increase in the interest rate paid on deposits;

Interest expense on borrowings was $\$ 7.2$ million and $\$ 17.2$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 4.4$ million and $\$ 14.4$ million during the same periods in 2005 . This increase was primarily due to an increase in the average rate paid; and

The reversal of provision for credit losses was $\$ 129,000$ and $\$ 394,000$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to a $\$ 736,000$ reversal of provisions for credit losses and $\$ 2.2$ million provision for credit losses for the same periods in 2005. The provision for credit losses fluctuates based on management s assessment of the required allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments as well as net charge-offs. See Results of Operations Provision for Credit Losses and Financial Condition Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses for additional information regarding how our provision for credit losses and allowance for loan and lease losses is determined.
At January 1, 2006, we changed the way we computed intersegment interest charges received and paid. We determined that it was not practicable to restate intersegment interest revenue paid by treasury to community banking during prior periods for those changes. We also
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changed the composition of our business segments and how we allocate certain liabilities, revenues, and expenses. See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding these changes.

Non-interest Income

Non-interest income was $\$ 486,000$ and $\$ 590,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 942,000$ and $\$ 1.8$ million during the same periods in 2005 . Non-interest income is primarily comprised of income on equity method investments. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, non-interest income also included $\$ 1.3$ million in derivative gains related to the change in market value of purchased residential mortgage loans between the price commitment and settlement dates. There were no such gains or losses during the three months ended September 30, 2005 or during 2006.
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## MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

## Balance Sheet

Securities increased by $\$ 77.2$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Treasury loans are our purchased residential mortgage loan portfolio. Loans decreased by $\$ 16.9$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Brokered and institutional time deposits increased by $\$ 468.5$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Changes in securities and purchased loan balances reflect management $s$ investment preferences. Changes in deposits and borrowings reflect changes in treasury s asset funding requirements together with net funding requirements of other business segments that treasury supports in light of their net loan and core deposit position. As a result, during 2006 Treasury increased the balance of brokered institutional deposits in response to our overall decrease in core deposits and increase in loans.

## Matsco

Our Matsco division offers financial products and services to meet the needs of dentists and veterinarians. Matsco sprincipal financial products include practice start-up financing, practice expansion financing, practice acquisition financing, working capital, and financing for retirement planning. These products are structured as either equipment leases or loans.

The key measures we use to evaluate Matsco s performance are provided in the following table. The table also provides additional significant segment measures useful to understanding the performance of this segment:

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the three months ended September 30, For the nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Key Measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statement of operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 18,048 | \$ | 15,627 | \$ | 52,160 | \$ | 45,817 |
| Interest expense |  | 9,409 |  | 7,299 |  | 26,384 |  | 20,579 |
| Net interest income before provision for credit losses |  | 8,639 |  | 8,328 |  | 25,776 |  | 25,238 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | 2,399 |  | 937 |  | 220 |  | 6,712 |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses |  | 6,240 |  | 7,391 |  | 25,556 |  | 18,526 |
| Non-interest income |  | 898 |  | 790 |  | 2,460 |  | 2,607 |
| Operating expenses |  | 3,267 |  | 3,235 |  | 9,775 |  | 9,807 |
| Income before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocation | \$ | 3,871 | \$ | 4,946 | \$ | 18,241 | \$ | 11,326 |
| Balance sheet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets | \$ | 969,658 | \$ | 848,517 | \$ | 969,658 | \$ | 848,517 |
| Other Significant Segment Measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average loans | \$ | 923,735 | \$ | 826,225 | \$ | 900,738 | , | 801,040 |
| Yield earned on loans |  | 7.75\% |  | 7.50\% |  | 7.74\% |  | 7.65\% |
| Net charge-offs | \$ | $(1,486)$ | \$ | $(1,259)$ | \$ | $(3,350)$ | \$ | $(3,155)$ |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ | 1,839 | \$ | 1,896 | \$ | 5,810 | \$ | 5,919 |
| Interest charges (paid)/received from other segments and included in net interest (expense)/income, net | \$ | $(9,409)$ | \$ | $(7,299)$ | \$ | $(26,384)$ | \$ | $(20,579)$ |

## Edgar Filing: GREATER BAY BANCORP - Form 10-Q

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, Matsco s net income before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocation was $\$ 3.9$ million and $\$ 18.2$ million, respectively, compared to $\$ 4.9$ million and $\$ 11.3$ million during the same periods in 2005 . The decrease during the three month period ended September 30, 2006 was primarily due to an increase in the provision for credit losses. The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily due to a decrease in the provision for credit losses.

Net Interest Income after Provision for Credit Losses

Net interest income after provision for credit losses was $\$ 6.2$ million and $\$ 25.6$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 , respectively, compared to $\$ 7.4$ million and $\$ 18.5$ million during the same periods in 2005 . The specific factors affecting net interest income after reversal of credit losses include the following:

Interest income on loans was $\$ 18.0$ million and $\$ 52.2$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 15.6$ million and $\$ 45.8$ million during the same periods in 2005; and
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## MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

The provision for credit losses was $\$ 2.4$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 937,000$ for the same period in 2005. The provision for credit losses was $\$ 220,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to a $\$ 6.7$ million for the same period in 2005. The provision of $\$ 220,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 is lower than the $\$ 2.4$ million provision for the three months ended September 30, 2006 due to a negative provision of $\$ 3.5$ million in the first quarter of 2006 that resulted from a lower loss given default rate assumption used in loan loss reserve model. The provision for credit losses fluctuates based on management s assessment of the required allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments as well as net charge-offs. See Results of Operations Provision for Credit Losses and Financial Condition Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses for additional information regarding how our provision for credit losses and allowance for loan and lease losses is determined.

## Non-interest Income

Non-interest income is primarily comprised of other loan related income and other income. Other loan related income decreased to $\$ 554,000$ and $\$ 1.5$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 572,000$ and $\$ 1.8$ million during the same periods in 2005. This decrease is due to lower late fees. Other income was $\$ 344,000$ and $\$ 924,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and $\$ 218,000$ and $\$ 841,000$ for the same periods in 2005.

## Operating Expenses

Operating expenses were $\$ 3.3$ million and $\$ 9.8$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 3.2$ million and $\$ 9.8$ million for the same periods in 2005.

## Balance Sheet

Assets increased during the first nine months of 2006 by $\$ 91.2$ million reflecting Matsco s excess of loan originations over principal payoffs and net charge-offs during the period. An increase in Matsco s intersegment borrowings provided funding to support loan portfolio growth.

## $A B D$

ABD is a commercial insurance brokerage and employee benefits consulting firm with offices located in California, Colorado, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington, and clients nationally. ABD is engaged in marketing commercial, personal property, casualty, employee benefits, life and retirement insurance products and providing risk management consulting services.
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## MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

The key measures we use to evaluate insurance brokerage services performance are provided in the following table. The table also provides additional significant segment measures useful to understanding the performance of this segment:

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the three months ended September 3For the nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Key Measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statement of operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 348 | \$ | 484 | \$ | 875 | S | 1,229 |
| Interest expense |  | 201 |  | 240 |  | 505 |  | 590 |
| Net interest income |  | 147 |  | 244 |  | 370 |  | 639 |
| Insurance commissions and fees and non-interest income |  | 41,997 |  | 40,445 |  | 127,668 |  | 118,017 |
| Operating expenses |  | 36,320 |  | 35,259 |  | 107,203 |  | 97,722 |
| Income before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocation | \$ | 5,824 | \$ | 5,430 | \$ | 20,835 | \$ | 20,934 |
| Balance sheet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets (1) | \$ | 371,220 | \$ | 363,191 | \$ | 371,220 | \$ | 363,191 |
| Other Significant Segment Measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance commissions and fees | \$ | 41,868 | \$ | 40,070 | \$ | 127,439 | \$ | 117,642 |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ | 26,581 | \$ | 25,725 | \$ | 77,742 | \$ | 70,738 |

(1) Assets include goodwill of $\$ 210.0$ million and $\$ 205.4$ million as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Insurance brokerage services income before provision for income taxes and intercompany allocation was $\$ 5.8$ million and $\$ 20.8$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 5.4$ million and $\$ 20.9$ million during the same periods in 2005.

Insurance Commissions and Fees and Other Non-interest Income
ABD s primary source of revenue is insurance commissions and fees earned on the placement of insurance products and insurance related consulting services. These revenues were $\$ 41.9$ million and $\$ 127.4$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and $\$ 40.1$ million and $\$ 117.6$ million during the same periods in 2005 . Revenue increases are primarily the result of the acquisition of Lucini/Parish in May 2005. Lucini/Parish generated insurance commissions and fees of $\$ 6.2$ million and $\$ 19.4$ million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, from $\$ 5.6$ million and $\$ 9.3$ million during the same periods in 2005.

## Operating Expenses

ABD s principal expenses are compensation and benefits, occupancy and equipment, and intangible asset amortization. Compensation and benefits were $\$ 26.6$ million, $\$ 77.7$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and $\$ 25.7$ million, and $\$ 70.7$ million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, was primarily related to the acquisition of Lucini/Parish, which resulted in increases in compensation and benefits expenses of $\$ 5.6$ million.

## All Other Segments

Our other operating segments include equipment lease financing through Greater Bay Capital, asset-based lending and accounts receivable factoring through Greater Bay Business Funding, loans to small businesses on which the SBA generally provides guarantees, and trust services
through Greater Bay Trust Company.

## RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS

See Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of recent accounting developments.
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## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

## Economic Value Interest Rate Sensitivity

Interest rate sensitivity is computed by estimating percentage changes in economic value over a range of potential yield curve shocks. Economic value sensitivity is defined as the economic value of our assets less the economic value of our liabilities plus or minus the economic value of off-balance sheet items. The economic value of each asset, liability and off-balance sheet item is its discounted present value of expected cash flows. Discount rates are based on implied forward market rates of interest, adjusted for assumed shock scenario interest rate changes. The following table shows our projected percentage change in economic value sensitivity for parallel yield curve shocks as of the dates indicated relative to the value if wholesale market rates remain static.

|  | Projected change in economic value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change in interest rates | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| 100 basis point rise | 1.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 100 basis point decline | -2.5\% | -2.2\% |

The economic value of portfolio equity sensitivity from September 30, 2005 to September 30, 2006 has shifted slightly due to changes in wholesale rates, a change in the composition of customer loans and deposits, and shortened wholesale borrowing maturities.

## Forecasted Net Interest Income Interest Rate Sensitivity

The impact of interest rate changes on the next 12 months net interest income is measured using income simulation. The various products in our balance sheet are modeled to simulate their income (and cash flow) behavior in relation to interest rate movements. Income for the next 12 months is calculated using the implied forward curve and for immediate and sustained yield curve shocks.

The income simulation model includes various assumptions about the repricing behavior for each product and new business volumes and rate behaviors. Many of our assets are floating rate loans, which would subsequently reprice in response to changes in market interest rates with the repricing being the same extent as the change in the underlying contracted index. Our administered rate open-account deposit products are assumed to reprice more slowly, changing less than the assumed wholesale market rate change. The following table shows our projected percentage change in 12 month net interest income as a consequence of parallel yield curve shocks from the implied forward curve:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Projected change } \text { in } \text { net interest } \text { income } \\ \text { September }\end{array} & \mathbf{S e p m b e r}\end{array}\right]$

Net interest income sensitivity from September 30, 2005 to September 30, 2006 evolved from a slightly asset-sensitive position to a relatively neutral position.
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## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, we carried out an evaluation as of September 30, 2006 under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of September 30, 2006.

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, these controls.
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## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

None

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

## ITEM 2. Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
Set forth below is certain information regarding repurchases of the our common stock during the periods presented:

(1) Represent shares surrendered in connection with the payment of either the exercise price or withholding taxes due with respect to outstanding stock options or restricted stock grants.
On March 23, 2005, we announced a common stock repurchase program that authorized the purchase of up to and including $\$ 80.0$ million in common stock. We did not repurchase any of our shares of common stock during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 under the repurchase program. As of September 30, 2006, there was $\$ 30.7$ million remaining under the repurchase program.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
None
ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

## ITEM 5. Other Information

At the annual shareholders meeting held on May 30, 2006, the shareholders approved a proposal (by a vote of $58 \%$ in favor and $42 \%$ against) recommending that the Board consider amending its articles of incorporation to require that preferred stock be used only for two purposes increasing capital or making bona-fide arms-length acquisitions and not be used as a poison pill. In the proxy statement, the Board had recommended a vote against this proposal for the following reasons:

A restriction on the Board s ability to issue preferred stock could intrude on the Board sfiduciary duties. By restricting the Board sflexibility in issuing preferred stock, shareholder interests may not be served. Other purposes that would benefit shareholder interests include the issuance of preferred stock in strategic partnerships, stock splits/dividends/distributions, and employee compensation programs.
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In early 2006, the Board amended the shareholder rights plan (sometimes referred to as a poison pill) to conform the plan to the guidelines established by Institutional Shareholder Services, including a requirement that the Board seek shareholder approval for any new plan when the existing plan expires in 2008.

Shareholder rights plans serve legitimate long-term shareholder interests by protecting shareholder value in the event of an unwanted acquisition attempt.

Studies have shown that shareholder rights plans often increase a Board s bargaining power in an acquisition transaction and in many cases result in a higher transaction premium.
The Board believes that these reasons continue to support its position, as stated in the proxy statement, that the articles of incorporation not be amended to restrict the Board s ability to issue preferred stock. Accordingly, the Board will not be implementing the shareholder proposal.

## ITEM 6. Exhibits

The Exhibits listed below are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Report.

Exhibit No. Description of Exhibits
10.1 Greater Bay Bancorp Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan Director Restricted Stock Award Agreement, as amended. (1)
10.2 Greater Bay Bancorp and Greater Bay Bank, N.A. Director Compensation. (2)
31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Forms 8-K identified in the exhibit index have SEC file number 000-25034
(1) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 14, 2006.
(2) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 31, 2006.
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## SIGNATURE

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Greater Bay Bancorp
(Registrant)
By: /s/ James S. Westrall
James S. Westfall
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Date: November 9, 2006
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## EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. <br> 10.1 | Description of Exhibits <br> Greater Bay Bancorp Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan Director Restricted Stock Agreement, as amended. (1) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10.2 | Greater Bay Bancorp and Greater Bay Bank, N.A. Director Compensation. (2) |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

Forms 8-K identified in the exhibit index have SEC file number 000-25034
(1) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 14, 2006.
(2) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 31, 2006.


[^0]:    (1) The unamortized initial deferred costs ( IDC ) on capital leases is included in the balance of deferred fees and costs, net. Previously, we reported unamortized IDC along with capital leases in commercial loans and consumer and other loans. We have conformed 2005 to the current presentation. This reclassification did not change the reported balance of total loans, net. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, debit balances of $\$ 15.4$ million and $\$ 15.5$ million, respectively, of deferred costs and fees on leases were reclassified from commercial loans and consumer loans into net deferred costs and fees.
    During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005, we originated lease contracts with an original equipment cost of $\$ 34.2$ million and $\$ 14.0$ million, respectively, whose residual value is insured, and as a result are classified as direct financing leases and recorded as commercial loans.

[^1]:    (1) Stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes the recognition of expenses related to prior periods of $\$ 1.1$ million associated with holders whose vesting may be accelerated due to retirement age provisions in their restricted stock grant agreements. Stock-based compensation expense for stock options during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes the recognition of expenses related to prior periods of $\$ 444,000$ based on the review of historical practices associated with the granting of stock options.
    The adoption of SFAS No. 123R resulted in a cumulative benefit from accounting change that reflects the net cumulative impact of estimating future forfeitures in the determination of period expense, rather than recording forfeitures when they occur as previously permitted for previously expensed restricted stock grants. Share-based compensation expense for grants issued to our employees is included in compensation and benefits and the expense for grants issued to our directors is included in director fees, within other expenses in our consolidated statements of operations. We consider share-based compensation when determining deferral rates for direct costs associated with originating loans. Except for such deferred costs, none of the share-based compensation cost has been capitalized.

[^2]:    (1) The employee stock purchase plan was terminated effective September 30, 2005.

[^3]:    A $\$ 963,000$ increase in non-interest income primarily due to a $\$ 1.8$ million increase in insurance commissions and fees resulting from increased business during 2006. These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 1.2$ million decrease in gains recognized in connection

