OFFICE DEPOT INC Form DEF 14A March 10, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Filed by the Registrant x		Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "
Check the appropriate box:		
	Preliminary Proxy Statement	
	Confidential, for Use of the Comm	ission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
x	Definitive Proxy Statement	
	Definitive Additional Materials	
	Soliciting Material Pursuant to §24	0.14a-12 OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

 $(Exact\ Name\ of\ Registrant\ as\ Specified\ In\ Its\ Charter)$

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

:	No f	ee required	
	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.		
	(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:	
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:	
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):	
	(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:	
	(5)	Total fee paid:	
	Fee j	paid previously with preliminary materials.	
	Chec	ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee	

Table of Contents 2

was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:
(4)	Date Fried.

OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

6600 North Military Trail

Boca Raton, Florida 33496

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF

SHAREHOLDERS

DATE April 21, 2011

TIME 8:30 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time

LOCATION Boca Raton Marriott at Boca Center

5150 Town Center Circle Boca Raton, FL 33486 (561) 392-4600

ITEMS OF BUSINESS

1. To elect eleven (11) members of the Board of Directors named, and for the term described, in this Proxy Statement;

- 2. To ratify our Audit Committee s appointment of Deloitte & Touche, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current year;
- 3. To hold an advisory vote on executive compensation;
- 4. To hold an advisory vote on the frequency of holding an advisory vote on executive compensation;
- 5. To approve an Amendment to our 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan;
- 6. To consider a Proposal from a shareholder recommending that our Board of Directors amend the Company s Bylaws (and each appropriate document) to give holders of 10% or more of the Company s outstanding common stock (or the lowest percentage permitted by law above 10%) the power to call a special shareholder meeting; and

7. To transact any other business that may properly come before the meeting.

RECORD DATE

You must own Office Depot voting securities of record as of the close of business on

March 3, 2011 to attend and vote at our Annual Meeting of Shareholders and any

adjournment thereof.

ANNUAL REPORT Our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K is enclosed with these proxy materials.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Elisa D. Garcia C.

Executive Vice President, General Counsel &

Corporate Secretary

Boca Raton, Florida

March 10, 2011

Please note that for security reasons, we will require that you present the admission ticket included with this Proxy Statement and a positive picture identification if you attend our Annual Meeting. We reserve the right to exclude any person whose name does not appear on our official shareholder list as of our record date of March 3, 2011. If you hold shares in street name and do not have a ticket, you must bring a letter from your stockbroker, or a current brokerage statement, to indicate that the broker is holding shares for your benefit. We also reserve the right to request any person to leave the Annual Meeting who is disruptive, refuses to follow the rules established for the meeting or for any other reason. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices, signs and placards will NOT be permitted at the meeting.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
Purposes of the Meeting	1
Notice of Electronic Availability of Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K	1
Voting Your Shares	1
<u>Proxies</u>	2
Solicitation of Proxies	2
Shareholders Eligible to Vote at Our Annual Meeting; List of Shareholders Available	2
Establishing a Quorum	2
Effect of Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes	2
Householding of Annual Disclosure Documents	3
Required Vote	3
Matters to be Considered by Our Shareholders	4
Item 1: Election of Directors	4
Nominees for Directors of Office Depot	4
Biographical Information on the Nominees	6
Corporate Governance	10
Corporate Governance Guidelines	10
Board Leadership Structure	10
Director Independence	10
Board of Directors Role in Risk Oversight	11
How Nominees to Our Board of Directors are Selected	12
Communicating with our Board of Directors	13
Related Person Transactions Policy	13
Succession Planning	14
Code of Business Conduct (Code of Ethical Behavior)	14
Committees of Our Board of Directors	15
Audit Committee	15
Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee	15
Finance Committee	16
Compensation Committee	16
Executive and Director Compensation	19
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	19
Compensation Committee Report	34
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	34
Compensation Programs Risk Assessment	34
Summary Compensation Table	36
Other Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2010	38
Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2010	39
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year	41
Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2010	44
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	44
Director Compensation	45
Director Legacy Program	46
Director Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2010	46
Equity Compensation Paid to Directors for Fiscal Year 2010	48
Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	49
Tabular Information Regarding Potential Payments Upon Termination or a Change in Control 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan Vesting	60
2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan Vesting Stock Ownership Information	70
	71 71
Our Largest Shareholders; Ownership by Our Directors and Executive Officers Options Exercisable Within 60 Days of Record Date	
- <u>•</u>	73
Underwater Options Itom 2: Postfring Our Audit Committee & Appointment of Poloitte & Touche I I P as Our Independent	73
Item 2: Ratifying Our Audit Committee s Appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Our Independent	7.4
Registered Public Accounting Firm	74

i

Table of Contents

	Page Number
Information About Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	74
Audit & Other Fees	74
Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures	75
Financial Information Systems Design and Implementation Fees	75
<u>Independence</u>	75
Audit Committee Report	76
Item 3: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	78
Item 4: Advisory Vote on Frequency of Vote on Executive Compensation	79
Item 5: Approval of Amendment to the Office Depot, Inc. 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan	80
Item 6: Shareholder Proposal Special Shareowners Meetings	89
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	91
Copies of Form 10-K Available	91
2012 Shareholder Proposals	91
Other Matters	91

ii

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR THE

2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

OF OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

6600 North Military Trail

Boca Raton, Florida 33496

Telephone (561) 438-4800

This Proxy Statement is solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors of Office Depot, Inc. (Office Depot or the Company). This Proxy Statement contains important information about our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 21, 2011 (Annual Meeting), at 8:30 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time, at the Boca Raton Marriott at Boca Center, 5150 Town Center Circle, Boca Raton, Florida 33486. We are providing this Proxy Statement and accompanying proxy card to our shareholders on or about March 10, 2011. Our shareholders of record are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are requested to vote on the proposals described in this Proxy Statement.

Purposes of the Meeting. Important matters outlined in the Notice of this Meeting will be considered at our Annual Meeting. We have provided these proxy materials to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our board of directors (Board of Directors or Board, or individually, each a Director). Our Board of Directors asks that you authorize your proxies to vote as our Board of Directors recommends.

Notice of Electronic Availability of Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K. As permitted by rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), Office Depot is making this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report on Form 10-K available to its shareholders electronically via the Internet. You may access these materials at www.proxyvote.com. On or about March 10, 2011, we will mail to our U.S. and Canadian shareholders this Proxy Statement, our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K and proxy card.

Voting Your Shares. You may vote your shares in one of the following ways: (1) in person at the Annual Meeting; (2) by completing, signing and returning your proxy card to us in the enclosed postage-paid envelope; (3) by voting electronically using a touch-tone telephone at 800-690-6903; or (4) by using the Internet to vote your shares at www.proxyvote.com. If your shares are held in street name with a broker or similar party, you will need to contact your broker to determine whether you will be able to vote using one of these alternative methods. If you vote over the Internet, you may incur costs such as telephone and Internet access charges for which you will be responsible. If you choose to use the Internet or telephone to vote, you must do so by 6:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on April 20, 2011, the day before our Annual Meeting takes place.

Delaware law permits electronically transmitted proxies, provided that each such proxy contains or is submitted with information from which the inspectors of election can determine that such proxy was authorized by the shareholder. The voting procedures available to registered shareholders for the Annual Meeting are designed to authenticate each shareholder by use of a control number, to allow shareholders to vote their shares, and to confirm that their instructions have been properly recorded.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS:

that you vote FOR its nominees for Directors of the Company as described in Item 1;

that you vote FOR the ratification of our Audit Committee s appointment of Deloitte & Touche, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm as described in Item 2;

that you vote FOR, on an advisory basis, the approval of the executive compensation as described in Item 3;

that you vote FOR, on an advisory basis, the option of once every year as the frequency for an advisory vote on executive compensation as described in Item 4;

1

that you vote FOR the Amendment to the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan as described in Item 5; and

that you vote AGAINST the shareholder proposal described in Item 6.

We also strongly urge you to vote by means of the telephone or the Internet as this allows for automatic tally of your votes and also saves Office Depot the cost of return postage. However you choose to vote, we urge you to VOTE as early as possible.

Proxies. Our Board of Directors has appointed certain persons (proxies) to vote proxy shares in accordance with the instructions of our shareholders. If you authorize the proxies to vote your shares, but do NOT specify how your shares should be voted, they will vote your shares as our Board of Directors recommends. If any other matters are presented for consideration at our Annual Meeting, your shares also will be voted as our Board of Directors recommends. You can change or revoke your proxy: (1) by mailing your request to our Corporate Secretary at our corporate headquarters, at 6600 North Military Trail, Boca Raton, FL 33496, so that it is received not later than 4:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time, on April 20, 2011; (2) by filing a proxy with a later date; or (3) by voting your shares by ballot in person at the Annual Meeting.

Solicitation of Proxies. In addition to soliciting proxies by mail, we also may solicit proxies in person, by telephone or over the Internet. Our employees do not receive additional compensation for their solicitation services. Certain banking institutions, brokerage firms, custodians, trustees, nominees and fiduciaries who hold shares for the benefit of another party (the beneficial owner) may solicit proxies for us. If so, they will mail proxy information to, or otherwise communicate with, the beneficial owners of shares of our common stock held by them. We also have hired Morrow & Co., LLC to assist us in communicating with these institutions and forwarding solicitation materials to them, and we have agreed to pay Morrow & Co., LLC a fee of \$12,000 plus reimbursement of its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection with this service. We will also reimburse brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their expenses incurred in sending proxies and proxy materials to beneficial owners of our common stock.

Shareholders Eligible to Vote at Our Annual Meeting; List of Shareholders Available. Owners of our voting securities as of the close of business on March 3, 2011 (the Record Date) will be entitled to vote at our Annual Meeting. Our official stock ownership records will conclusively determine whether you are a holder of record as of the Record Date. A list of shareholders entitled to vote at the meeting will be available at our Annual Meeting and for ten days prior to the meeting between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time at our corporate headquarters in Boca Raton, Florida. As of March 3, 2011, there were 277,436,190 shares of common stock outstanding and owned by shareholders (i.e., excluding shares held in treasury by Office Depot). Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote on each matter considered at our Annual Meeting. In addition, as of March 3, 2011, there were 274,596 shares of 10% Series A Redeemable Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding which in the aggregate are entitled to 73,703,194 votes.

Establishing a Quorum. In order for us to transact business at our Annual Meeting, the holders of the majority of the outstanding voting securities must be present, either in person or by proxy. Shareholders choosing to abstain from voting and broker non-votes will be treated as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

Effect of Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes. Brokers who hold shares for the accounts of their clients may vote such shares either as directed by their clients or in their own discretion as permitted under the listing rules of the New York Stock Exchange. For purposes of the 2011 Annual Meeting, brokers are permitted to vote their clients proxies in their own discretion as to the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm if the clients have not furnished voting instructions 10 days prior to the meeting. All

2

Table of Contents

proposals other than the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm, are non-discretionary and brokers who have received no instructions from their clients do not have discretion to vote on those items. When a broker votes a client s shares on some but not all of the proposals at a meeting, the missing votes are referred to as broker non-votes. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as a vote for or against any matter. Broker non-votes will not be counted as shares entitled to vote and accordingly will not affect the outcome with respect to any matter to be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

Householding of Annual Disclosure Documents. Two or more shareholders sharing an address can request delivery of a single copy of our annual disclosure documents if they are receiving multiple copies by calling Broadridge at (800) 542-1061 or writing to them at Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717. In the same way, two or more shareholders sharing an address and receiving only a single copy of the annual disclosure documents can request to each receive a separate copy of the disclosure documents. If a broker or other nominee holds your shares, please contact Broadridge and inform them of your request by calling them at (800) 542-1061 or writing to them at Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717. Please be sure to include your name, the name of your brokerage firm, and your account number.

Required Vote.

Election of Directors. In an uncontested election, each nominee must be elected by a majority of the votes cast. This means that the number of votes cast FOR a nominee must exceed the number of votes cast AGAINST the nominee. A properly executed proxy marked ABSTAIN with respect to the election of one or more Directors or shares held by a broker for which voting instructions have not been given will not be voted with respect to the Director or Directors indicated, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. In a contested election (an election in which the number of candidates exceeds the number of director positions to be filled), the number of Director nominees that equals the number of director positions to be filled receiving the greatest number of votes cast will be elected as Directors.

Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. Pursuant to the Company's Amended and Restated Bylaws (Bylaws), ratification of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm requires that a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting be voted FOR the proposal. A properly executed proxy marked ABSTAIN with respect to this proposal will not be counted as a vote cast FOR or AGAINST that proposal. Thus, abstentions will not affect the outcome with respect to this matter.

Other Matters. Other than proposals that are subject to advisory votes, pursuant to the Company s Bylaws, approval of any other proposal to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting requires a majority of the votes present in person or represented by proxy (as counted for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum) and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting to be voted FOR the proposal. Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted as votes AGAINST the proposal.

3

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED BY OUR SHAREHOLDERS

ITEM 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nominees for Directors of Office Depot

At the 2011 Annual Meeting, the eleven (11) directors nominated below are to be elected to hold office until the 2012 Annual Meeting and until their successors have been elected and qualified. The eleven (11) nominees for election at the 2011 Annual Meeting are all currently Directors of the Company. The Company s Board of Directors has determined that ten (10) nominees satisfy the New York Stock Exchange s (the NYSE) definition of independent director. We do not know of any reason why any nominee would be unable to serve as a Director. If any nominee is unable to serve, the shares represented by all valid proxies will be voted for the election of such other person as the Board may nominate.

Neil R. Austrian*David I. FuenteJustin BatemanBrenda J. GainesThomas J. ColliganMyra M. HartMarsha J. EvansW. Scott Hedrick

Kathleen Mason James S. Rubin Raymond Svider

* Mr. Austrian is serving as our Interim Chair and Chief Executive Officer while the Company searches for a new chief executive officer. While serving in his current position, Mr. Austrian is not independent, however, pursuant to the NYSE listing rules, service as an interim chief executive officer will not disqualify Mr. Austrian from being considered independent following the completion of his interim employment.

Subject to our Corporate Governance Guidelines discussed below in the section captioned Corporate Governance, the eleven (11) nominees for the office of Director will be elected by majority vote. In an uncontested election, each Director nominee must be elected by a majority of the votes cast. This means that the number of votes cast FOR a Director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast AGAINST the nominee. Pursuant to the Company s Bylaws, abstentions are not considered to be votes cast therefore an abstention will have no effect on the election of Directors. In a contested election (an election in which the number of candidates exceeds the number of director positions to be filled), the number of Director nominees that equals the number of director positions to be filled receiving the greatest number of votes cast will be elected as Directors. All of our Directors form a single class of Directors and stand for election each year. Information about the nominees, their business experience and other relevant biographical information is set forth in the following section.

Should any of these nominees become unable to serve, our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee may propose a substitute nominee. If a substitute nominee is named, all proxies voting FOR the nominee who is unable to serve will be voted for the substitute nominee so named. If a substitute nominee is not named, all proxies will be voted for the election of the remaining nominees (or as directed on your proxy card). In no event will more than eleven (11) Directors be elected at our 2011 Annual Meeting. Each person nominated for election has agreed to serve if elected and management has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve.

Pursuant to Article II, Section 9 of our Bylaws, in any uncontested election of directors, any Director who is an incumbent Director who does not receive a greater number of votes cast FOR his or her election than votes cast AGAINST his or her election must immediately tender his or her resignation to the Board of Directors. After the Director tenders his or her resignation, the Board of Directors must then decide within 90 days of the date the Director submitted his or her resignation, through a process managed by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (and excluding the Director in question from all Board of Directors and Committee deliberations), whether to accept the Director s resignation. Absent a compelling reason, as determined by the Board of Directors, for the Director to remain on the Board, the Board of Directors shall accept the Director s resignation. If the Board of Directors determines that there is a compelling reason for the Director to remain on the Board and does not accept the Director s resignation, the Board must publicly disclose its decision either in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC or in a press release.

Table of Contents

If the Board of Directors accepts an incumbent Director s resignation, that Director will immediately cease to be a member of the Board of Directors. If the Board of Directors does not accept an incumbent Director s resignation, that Director will continue to serve until the next annual meeting of shareholders, or until the earlier of his or her subsequent resignation or removal. If a Director nominee who was not already serving as an incumbent Director is not elected at the annual meeting, under Delaware law and our Bylaws, that Director nominee would not become a director and would not serve on the Board of Directors as a holdover director.

YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED IN ITEM 1 ON YOUR PROXY CARD.

5

BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION ON THE NOMINEES

NEIL R. AUSTRIAN AGE: 71

Mr. Austrian has served as a Director on our Board since 1998. As Interim Chair and Chief Executive Officer (Interim Chair and CEO) of the Company, Mr. Austrian has in-depth insights into the Company is operations and its management which uniquely qualifies him for serving on our Company is Board. Mr. Austrian has served in this interim role since November 2010 and previously served in this role from October 2004 through March 2005. In addition, Mr. Austrian is experience as President and Chief Operating Officer of the National Football League from April 1991 until December 1999, makes him well suited to understand and oversee the complex managerial, strategic and financial considerations necessary to serve on the board of a corporation such as Office Depot. His experience at Dillon Reed & Co. Inc. as Managing Director from October 1987 until March 1991 provided him with a sound footing in finance, investment banking and deal negotiation. In addition, Mr. Austrian served as the Chief Financial Officer of Doyle Berbach Advertising, a public advertising agency, from 1974 until 1978, which enhanced his finance and strategic experience. Mr. Austrian is knowledge of all aspects of the business gained while serving as a director of Viking Office Products from 1988 until August 1998 when it merged with Office Depot, further strengthens his knowledge of our industry. Mr. Austrian also serves as a director of the Direct TV Group (formerly Hughes Electronics Company).

JUSTIN BATEMAN AGE: 37

Mr. Bateman has served as a Director on our Board since June 2009. He is a Partner with BC Partners, the U.S. investment arm of which he co-established in early 2008, and is based in the firm s New York office. Mr. Bateman initially joined BC Partners London office in 2000 from PricewaterhouseCoopers, where he spent three years in Transaction Services working on due diligence projects for both financial investors and corporate clients. In 2002 he left BC Partners to complete his MBA at INSEAD before rejoining the BC Partners London office. Over the years Mr. Bateman has participated in or been a board member of General Healthcare Group, Baxi Holdings, Ltd. and Regency Entertainment. He is currently a director of Intelsat S.A., the leading international provider of fixed satellite services. Mr. Bateman was appointed as a Director of the Company pursuant to the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement in connection with the Company s private equity investment transaction with BC Partners. Mr. Bateman serves as a non-voting observer on the Audit Committee and his experience as a chartered accountant and understanding of accounting issues is helpful in fulfilling the committee s oversight responsibilities. Mr. Bateman s analysis of and participation in the oversight of BC Partners portfolio companies provides him with the skills he needs to assist the Company with its strategic planning process. Mr. Bateman s education and experience in business and finance allows him to provide the Board significant managerial, strategic, financial and compliance-based expertise.

THOMAS J. COLLIGAN AGE: 66

Mr. Colligan has served as a Director on our Board since January 2010. He served as Vice Dean of The Wharton School s Aresty Institute of Executive Education from 2007 to June 2010 where he was responsible for the non-degree executive education programs. From 2004 to 2007, Mr. Colligan served as a managing director at Duke Corporate Education, a corporation that provides custom executive education and is affiliated with Duke University s Fuqua School of Business. Prior to joining Duke Corporate Education, he was Vice Chairman of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP from 2001 to 2004 and served there in other capacities from 1969 to 2004, including as a partner. Mr. Colligan also has advised Fortune 500 companies in various industries, including technology, telecommunications, pharmaceuticals and consumer products. Mr. Colligan is currently a director of CNH Global, N.V., and Targus Group International, Inc., a non-public company and leading global supplier of notebook carrying cases and accessories. He previously served as a director of Schering-Plough Corporation, Anesiva, Inc. and Educational Management Corporation. Mr. Colligan s experience as a former audit partner and Vice Chairman of PricewaterhouseCoopers qualifies him to serve on the Board of Directors and to provide guidance to the Company s internal audit function. In addition, Mr. Colligan s former position as Vice Dean of

6

Table of Contents

The Wharton School s Aresty Institute of Executive Education and his previous position as Managing Director at Duke Corporate Education have provided him a broad based understanding of new and developing business strategies that are helpful to our Board.

MARSHA J. EVANS AGE: 63

Ms. Evans has served as a Director on our Board since 2006. Ms. Evans was acting Commissioner of the Ladies Professional Golf Association from July 2009 to January 2010, President and Chief Executive Officer of the American Red Cross from 2002 to 2005, and National Executive Director of The Girl Scouts of the USA from 1998 to 2002. Ms. Evans retired from the U.S. Navy in 1998 with the rank of Rear Admiral. From 1995 to 1998 Ms. Evans served as superintendent of the Naval Postgraduate School in Monterey, California and from 1993 to 1995 she led the Navy s worldwide recruitment organization, during which time she developed extensive human resources experience. Currently, she is also a Director of Huntsman Corporation, Weight Watchers International, North Highland and The Estate of Lehman Brothers Holdings. Ms. Evans brings significant leadership experience to our Board of Directors and the Board relies on her perspectives on human resources and governance issues.

DAVID I. FUENTE AGE: 65

Mr. Fuente has been a Director on our Board since he joined Office Depot in 1987. Until December 2001, he served as Chair of our Board of Directors. From December 1987 until July 2000, Mr. Fuente also served as Chief Executive Officer of our Company. As Office Depot s former Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Fuente contributed significantly to the development of the Company from its inception. He is familiar with all aspects of the Company including its management, operations and financial requirements and demonstrates a practical understanding of organizations, processes, strategy, risk management and the methods to drive change and growth. Mr. Fuente s role and experience in the early development of the Company has provided the Company certain continuity in its operational and financial management. Mr. Fuente is the Chairman of the G 100, a think tank of chief executive officers and business leaders. He is also a Director of Ryder System, Inc. and Dick s Sporting Goods. His experience as a board member and as a former Chief Executive Officer of our Company, as well as his financial expertise bring unique skills to our Board of Directors.

BRENDA J. GAINES AGE: 61

Ms. Gaines has been a Director on our Board since 2002. Ms. Gaines retired in 2004 from her position as President and Chief Executive Officer of Diners Club North America, a Division of Citigroup, a position she held since 2002. She served as President of Diners Club North America from 1999 until 2002 and from 1994 until 1999, she served as Executive Vice President, Corporate Card Sales. Prior to that she served in various positions of increasing responsibility within Citigroup or its predecessor corporations since 1988. From 1985 until 1987, Ms. Gaines was Deputy Chief of Staff for the Mayor of the City of Chicago. She is currently a director of NICOR, Inc., the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and Tenet Healthcare Corporation, and is on the National Board of the Smithsonian Institution. Ms. Gaines also served as a director of CNA Financial Corp. from 2004 to 2007 and has served as a board member of the non-profit organization March of Dimes. Ms. Gaines has significant experience in financial services, including the credit card and payment industry. As Chief Executive Officer of Diners Club North America, she managed a company with three distinct customer groups, that included retail consumers, small businesses and large multinational corporations. While working as the Deputy Chief of Staff for the Mayor of the City of Chicago, Ms. Gaines developed valuable experience working with public agencies, which represent one of the Company s larger customer segments. This experience enables her to provide insights into the Company s core customers as well as the Company s products and services. Ms. Gaines has held a number of executive and board leadership positions in a number of public companies. Ms. Gaines service on other public company boards allows her to provide the Board of Directors with a variety of perspectives on corporate governance issues.

MYRA M. HART AGE: 70

Dr. Hart has served as a Director on our Board since 2004. She is currently a member of Harvard Business School s senior faculty. From 1995 to 2007, she served as Professor, Entrepreneurial Management, at the Harvard Business School. In 2007 and 2008, she was a visiting scholar at Babson College and Stanford University, respectively. From 1985 until 1990, Dr. Hart was a member of the Staples, Inc. founding management team, leading operations, strategic planning and growth implementation in new and existing markets. She is a Director of Nina McLemore, Inc. and Kraft Foods, Inc. and a former director of Summer Infant, Inc. and Royal Aloha. Dr. Hart is also a member of the National Board of the Smithsonian Institution, and a Trustee Emeritus of Cornell University. Dr. Hart s experience as a member of the founding management team of Staples, Inc. enables her to share with our Board suggestions about how similarly-situated companies effectively assess and undertake business considerations and opportunities. In addition, as a member of Harvard Business School s senior faculty, Dr. Hart has been able to offer Office Depot a variety of business and management solutions that are grounded in proven policies and practices taught at the business school. Dr. Hart s service on other public, private and non-profit boards also provides our Board with a diverse knowledge of best practices.

W. SCOTT HEDRICK AGE: 65

Mr. Hedrick has been a Director on our Board since 1991. From November 1986 until April 1991, he was a Director of The Office Club, Inc., which was acquired by Office Depot in 1991. Mr. Hedrick was appointed Lead Director in February 2011. He was a founder and has been a general partner of InterWest Partners, a venture capital fund, since 1979. Mr. Hedrick is also a director of Hot Topic, Inc. and a cluster of mutual funds managed by Capital Research and Management Company. As one of our longest-serving non-executive Directors, Mr. Hedrick brings an important institutional knowledge to our Board. His work with InterWest provides him with a solid basis for his analysis of our financial strategies. Mr. Hedrick service on the board of Hot Topic, Inc. gives him another view of the issues affecting retailers, which is useful to our Board of Directors.

KATHLEEN MASON AGE: 61

Ms. Mason has served as a Director on our Board since 2006. She currently serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of Tuesday Morning Corporation and has served in that position since July 2000. From July 1999 to November 1999, Ms. Mason served as President of Filene s Basement, a department store chain. From January 1997 to June 1999, Ms. Mason was President of HomeGoods, an off-price home fashion store and a subsidiary of TJX Companies. Ms. Mason was Chair and Chief Executive Officer of Cherry & Webb, a women s specialty store, from February 1987 to December 1996. Prior to those dates, she held management positions at Kaufmann s Division of the May Company, Mervyn s Division of Target, Inc. and the Limited. She is currently a director of Genesco, Inc. and previously served as a director of The Men s Warehouse, Inc., and of Hot Topic, Inc. Ms. Mason s senior executive positions at various large national retail companies gives her the experience to critically review the various business considerations necessary to run a successful consumer-driven business such as our North American Retail Division. Ms. Mason s broad exposure to numerous retailers provides our Board with relevant comparisons and her extensive retail knowledge gives her an insight into a number of issues facing Office Depot. As a sitting chief executive officer of a public retail company, Ms. Mason is able to offer our Board of Directors sound business and financial strategies to address evolving complex audit issues.

JAMES S. RUBIN AGE: 43

Mr. Rubin has served as a Director on our Board since June 2009. He is a Senior Partner of BC Partners, which he joined in May 2008 from One Equity Partners, where he was a founding partner since its inception in 2001. Mr. Rubin originated and executed transactions in a variety of industries with a particular focus on healthcare and business services and was also responsible for building One Equity s practice in India. Prior to forming One Equity, Mr. Rubin was a Vice President with Allen & Company Incorporated, a New York

8

Table of Contents

merchant bank specializing in media and entertainment transactions and advisory work. From 1996 to 1998, he held a number of senior policy positions with the Federal Communications Commission. Mr. Rubin is currently a board member of ATI Enterprises, a private post-secondary education company, and MultiPlan, one of the largest independent preferred provider organizations in the U.S. He is a member of the board of trustees of the Brookings Institute and the New York City non-profit Common Ground Communities, and serves on the board of The Dalton School. Mr. Rubin was appointed as a Director pursuant to the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement in connection with the Company s private equity investment transaction with BC Partners. Mr. Rubin s extensive background in the financial services industry allows him to provide proven financial advice to our Board. Mr. Rubin brings significant business and finance experience to our Board of Directors and provides new strategies and solutions to address an increasingly complex business environment.

RAYMOND SVIDER AGE: 48

Mr. Svider has served as a Director on our Board since June 2009. He has been co-Chairman of BC Partners since December 2008 and has been a Managing Partner of the firm since 2003. Mr. Svider joined BC Partners in 1992 in Paris before moving to London in 2000 to lead its investments in the technology and telecoms industries. Over the years, Mr. Svider has participated in or led a variety of investments including Tubesca, Nutreco, UTL, Neopost, Polyconcept, Neuf Telecom, Unity Media/Tele Columbus, and Intelsat S.A. He is currently Chairman of the board, the audit and compensation committees of Intelsat S.A., and a member of the board of ATI Enterprises, a private post-secondary education company, and MultiPlan, one of the largest independent preferred provider organizations in the U.S. Prior to joining BC Partners, Mr. Svider worked in investment banking at Wasserstein Perella in New York and Paris, and at the Boston Consulting Group in Chicago. Mr. Svider was appointed as a Director on our Board pursuant to the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement in connection with the Company s private equity investment transaction with BC Partners. As a Managing Partner of BC Partners since 2003, Mr. Svider has demonstrated significant leadership abilities and extensive knowledge of complex financial and operational issues facing large organizations. He brings an expertise in international operations and financial strategy to our Board of Directors. In addition, through his oversight of BC Partners portfolio companies, Mr. Svider has significant experience in developing various strategies to motivate and compensate executives.

9

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Guidelines

We have a strong commitment to good corporate governance practices and the independence of our Board of Directors from our management. These practices provide a framework within which the Board of Directors and management can pursue our strategic objectives and ensure long-term growth for the benefit of our shareholders. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines may be viewed at our corporate web site, www.officedepot.com under the headings Company Information/Investor Relations/Corporate Governance. In addition, a printed copy of our Corporate Governance Guidelines will be provided to any shareholder upon written request to our Corporate Secretary. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews the guidelines annually and any changes are recommended to the Board of Directors for approval.

Board Leadership Structure

Effective November 1, 2010, Mr. Stephen A. Odland resigned as Chair and Chief Executive Officer of the Company (former Chair and CEO) and Mr. Neil R. Austrian was appointed as the Company s Interim Chair and CEO until the Company finds a permanent replacement.

Prior to his resignation, Mr. Odland was required to serve as both Chair and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to the duties outlined in his employment agreement. Mr. Odland s combined role as Chair and Chief Executive Officer promoted unified leadership and direction for the Board of Directors and executive management and it allowed for a single, clear focus for the chain of command to execute the Company s strategic initiatives and business plans. Prior to Mr. Odland s resignation, Mr. Austrian served as our Lead Director. As Lead Director, Mr. Austrian had the following duties:

preside at all meetings of the Board of Directors where the Chair of the Board is not present;		
preside at all executive sessions of the independent Directors;		
call meetings of the independent Directors, as needed;		
meet regularly with the Chief Executive Officer (CEO);		
serve as a liaison between the CEO and the independent Directors;		
develop the agendas for meetings of the independent Directors;		
approve Board of Directors meeting agendas and schedules;		
review information sent to the Board of Directors; and		

meet with shareholders as appropriate.

In order to ensure continuity during the search for a permanent CEO, the Company chose not to separate the positions of Chair and CEO. As a result of Mr. Austrian s current position as Interim Chair and CEO, Mr. Austrian no longer serves as Lead Director. On February 16, 2010, the Board appointed Mr. Scott Hedrick to serve as Lead Director, to perform the duties described above.

In the future, consistent with the Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines it is the Board of Director s intention to separate the positions of Chair and CEO and that the position of Chair shall be held by an independent director on the Board of Directors.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors believes in strong and independent Directors. The Board of Directors evaluates the independence of each nominee for election as a Director of our Company in accordance with the Corporate Governance Guidelines, which incorporate the applicable listing standards of the NYSE. The Corporate

10

Table of Contents

Governance Guidelines require that a majority of our Board of Directors must be Independent within the meaning of the NYSE s listing standards (Independent Directors), and all Directors who sit on our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, must also be Independent Directors.

All members of our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee have been determined by our Board of Directors to be Independent Directors. Our Board of Directors has reviewed the various relationships between members of our Board of Directors and the Company and has affirmatively determined that none of our Directors has a material relationship with the Company, other than Mr. Austrian, who is currently serving as our Interim Chair and CEO until a permanent replacement is appointed. Mr. Austrian is also a member of the Finance Committee of our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors has also determined that Messrs. Bateman, Rubin and Svider are affiliates of the Company due to BC Partners—stock ownership of the Company. The Board of Directors concluded that a relationship with a shareholder of the Company in and of itself does not impair Messrs. Bateman, Rubin and Svider—s independent judgment in connection with their duties and responsibilities as Directors of the Company. The Board also determined that Mr. Colligan, who serves as a director of an entity that sells products to the Company, is independent.

As a result, all members of our Board of Directors other than Mr. Austrian, due to his interim CEO position, have been determined to be Independent Directors. This determination by our Board of Directors is based upon an individual evaluation of each of our Directors, his or her employment or board of directors affiliations, and a determination that the Independent Director has no business relationship with our Company other than his or her service on our Board of Directors. None of our Directors serves as an executive officer of a charitable organization to which we made contributions during 2010.

Board of Directors Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board of Directors has an active role in overseeing management of the Company s risks, directly and through its committees. The Board oversees a formal enterprise-wide approach to risk management, designed to support the achievement of organizational objectives, including strategic objectives, to improve long-term organizational performance and enhance shareholder value. A fundamental part of risk management is not only understanding the risks a company faces and what steps management is taking to manage those risks, but also understanding what level of risk is appropriate for the Company. The involvement of the full Board of Directors in setting the Company s business strategy is a key part of its assessment of management s appetite for risk and also a determination of what constitutes an appropriate level of risk for the Company. The full Board of Directors participates in an annual enterprise risk management assessment, which is led by the Company s Chief Compliance Officer. In the Company s continuing risk assessment process, risk is assessed quarterly by a steering committee, comprised of members of management representing our business units and corporate staff. This steering committee focuses on identifying and evaluating company-wide risks in four primary areas: financial risk, legal/compliance risk, operational/strategic risk and compensation risk. This company-wide risk portfolio is then presented to and evaluated by the Executive Committee, made up of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Business Unit Presidents, the Executive Vice President of E-Commerce & Direct Business, Executive Vice President of Human Resources and our Executive Vice President and General Counsel (collectively, the Executive Committee). The findings are then presented to the Board of Directors. In addition to the presentation made to the full Board of Directors at least once a year, the Audit Committee receives quarterly updates on certain risk areas the Board has identified for focus and the Independent Directors periodically discuss risk management during executive sessions without management present.

While the Board of Directors has the ultimate oversight responsibility for the risk management process, various committees of the Board of Directors also have responsibility for risk management. In particular, the Audit Committee focuses on assessing and mitigating financial risk, including internal controls, and receives an annual risk assessment report from the Company s internal auditors. As part of its annual executive compensation review in setting executive compensation, the Compensation Committee reviews the Company s management of executive compensation and retention risks and strives to create incentives that encourage a level

11

of risk-taking behavior consistent with the Company s business strategy. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks associated with Board organization, membership and structure, succession planning for our Directors and named executive officers (NEOs), and corporate governance.

How Nominees to Our Board of Directors are Selected

Candidates for election to our Board of Directors are nominated by our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and ratified by our Board of Directors for nomination to the shareholders. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity, however, it seeks to have a Board of Directors that represents diversity as to skills, experiences, age, race, gender and ethnicity. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee operates under a charter, which is available on our corporate web site at www.officedepot.com under the headings Company Information/Investor Relations/Corporate Governance.

Candidates Recommended by Shareholders. Our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will give due consideration to candidates recommended by shareholders. Shareholders may recommend candidates for the consideration of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee by submitting such recommendation directly to the Committee by mail, as described under the heading Corporate Governance; Communicating with our Board of Directors later in this Proxy Statement. In making recommendations, shareholders should be mindful of the discussion of minimum qualifications set forth in the following paragraph.

Qualifications for Nomination. Our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee believes that a Director should possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values, and be committed to representing the long-term interests of our shareholders. He or she must have an inquisitive and objective perspective, practical wisdom and mature judgment. We endeavor to have a Board representing a range of experiences in business and in areas that are relevant to the Company s business and operations. We believe that Directors with experience in significant leadership positions over an extended period, especially CEO positions, provide the Company with special insights. Because we continuously seek to strengthen our business and to grow by identifying and developing new markets for our products, strategic expertise, both on a domestic and international level, is important to us. We seek to have Directors with experience as executives, directors or in other leadership positions in our, or similar industries. We believe that an understanding of finance and financial reporting processes is important for our Directors as the Company measures its operating and strategic performance by reference to financial targets. We seek to have a number of Directors who qualify as audit committee financial experts, and we expect all of our Directors to be financially knowledgeable. We also seek to have Directors with a strong corporate governance background. Our Company s success depends, in part, on its success in growing its businesses outside the United States, thus we also seek to have Directors with a global business perspective. In addition, a Director must have an exemplary reputation and record for honesty in his or her personal dealings and business or professional activity. He or she also needs to exhibit qualities of independence in thought and action. All Directors should possess strong collaboration skills, have the potential to influence management and also have the ability to dedicate significant time to service on our Board. A candidate should be committed first and foremost to the interests of all our shareholders. Our evaluation of director nominees also considers the diversity of skills, experiences, age, race, gender and ethnicity as factors when recommending Directors. Persons who represent a particular special interest, ideology, narrow perspective or point of view would not, therefore, generally be considered good candidates for election to our Board of Directors.

Methods of Finding Qualified Nominees. Our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee identifies nominees in a number of ways. One method is the recommendation of sitting members of the Board of Directors, who personally know and have an understanding of the qualifications of a proposed nominee. A second method is an awareness of persons who are successful in business, the non-profit sector or a profession, whether personally known to a member of the Board of Directors or not. Such persons are contacted from time to time to ask whether they would be willing to serve. If they are willing, then the Committee conducts significant

12

Table of Contents

amounts of due diligence to ensure that a nominee possesses the qualifications, qualities and skills outlined above. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee also from time to time may engage search firms to assist the Committee in identifying potential nominees to our Board of Directors. These firms conduct searches on behalf of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and provide the Committee with names of potential director candidates. We pay these firms a fee for such services. As mentioned above, our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee also is open to receiving recommendations from shareholders as to potential candidates it might consider.

Messrs. Raymond Svider, James Rubin and Justin Bateman were appointed as Directors pursuant to the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement, dated as of June 23, 2009 (the Investor Rights Agreement), among the Company, BC Partners, Inc. and certain funds advised by BC Partners, Inc. (the Investors), whereby the Investors were entitled to nominate three directors to the Board and the Company agreed to use all reasonable efforts to cause the persons nominated by the Investors pursuant to the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement to be elected to the Board. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement, Mr. Rubin was appointed to the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Finance Committee and Mr. Svider was appointed to the Finance Committee and the Compensation Committee and Mr. Bateman is a non-voting observer of the Audit Committee. For more information regarding the Investor Rights Agreement, please refer to our Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 23, 2009.

Communicating with our Board of Directors

Our shareholders and any other parties interested in communicating with our Board of Directors may contact any member (or all members) of our Board of Directors, or the Independent Directors as a group, any Committee of our Board of Directors or any Chair of any such Committee by mail. To communicate with our Directors by mail, correspondence may be addressed to any individual Director by name, to the Independent Directors as a group, to any Committee of our Board of Directors by name or to any Committee Chair either by name or by title. All such mailings are to be sent c/o Corporate Secretary to our corporate headquarters at: 6600 North Military Trail, Boca Raton, FL 33496.

In addition, any person who desires to communicate any matter specifically and confidentially to our Audit Committee may contact the Audit Committee by addressing a letter to the Chair of the Audit Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, at our corporate headquarters address. Mark on the outside of the envelope that the communication inside is Confidential. Such communications to our Audit Committee may be submitted anonymously to the Audit Committee Chair, in which event the envelope will not be opened for any purpose, other than appropriate security inspections. Such mailing will be directed to the Chair of our Audit Committee for his or her review and follow-up action as he or she deems appropriate.

It is our Board of Directors policy that each of our Directors should attend the Annual Meeting, at which time they are available to answer questions that may be raised in the question and answer period. At our 2010 Annual Meeting, all Directors were in attendance.

Related Person Transactions Policy

Our Related Person Transactions Policy (the Policy) sets forth the procedures governing the review and approval or ratification of transactions between the Company, on the one hand, and (i) an executive officer; (ii) director; (iii) an immediate family member of an executive officer or director; (iv) any security holder who is known by the Company to own of record or beneficially more than five percent of any class of the Company s voting securities at the time of the transaction; or (v) an immediate family member of such five percent security holder, on the other hand. Persons in categories (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) are collectively referred to as Related Persons.

13

Table of Contents

This Policy applies to all related person transactions, and under the Policy a related person transaction is any transaction:

In which the Company was or is to be a participant;

In which the amount exceeds \$120,000; and

In which any Related Person has, or will have, a direct or indirect material interest.

Including any contribution of \$120,000 or more to a charitable organization of which a Related Person is a trustee, director, executive officer or has a similar relationship.

No Related Person transaction shall be approved or ratified if such transaction is contrary to the best interests of the Company. Unless different terms are specifically approved or ratified by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, any approved or ratified transaction must be on terms that are no less favorable to the Company than would be obtained in a similar transaction with an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances. All related person transactions or series of similar transactions must be presented to the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee for review and pre-approval or ratification. A copy of the Policy is available for review on the Company s web site at www.officedepot.com under the headings Company Information/Investor Relations/Corporate Governance.

From time to time the Company may engage in purchase and sale transactions for office products with BC Partners or its portfolio companies. These transactions are conducted on an arms length basis and are not material to BC Partners.

Succession Planning

At least annually, the Board of Directors formally discusses CEO and senior management succession with the CEO and also in executive session with only non-management Directors present. The process includes an evaluation of the requirements for the CEO and each senior management position and the regular review of potential permanent and interim candidates for CEO and senior management positions. The Board is currently undertaking a search process to identify a permanent CEO following Mr. Odland s departure in November 2010.

Code of Business Conduct (Code of Ethical Behavior)

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethical Behavior for all of our employees. This Code also applies to our Directors. A copy of this Code may be viewed at our corporate website, *www.officedepot.com* under the headings Company Information/Investor Relations/Corporate Governance. In addition, a printed copy of our Code of Ethical Behavior will be provided to any shareholder upon written request to our Corporate Secretary at the address for our corporate headquarters listed elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

The Company has established the confidential Office Depot Hotline (the Hotline) to assist our employees in complying with their ethical and legal obligations and reporting suspected violations of applicable laws, our policies or established procedures. The Hotline enables our associates, vendors and the public to express their concerns about possible violations of law or our policies without fear of retribution or retaliation of any kind. It is our express policy that no retaliatory action be taken against any associate for using the Hotline procedure. The Hotline is operated by an independent third party, not by Company personnel. The Hotline can be accessed by either calling the following toll-free number or visiting the following web site:

1-866-634-6854

www.odhotline.com

14

COMMITTEES OF OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors has established four (4) standing committees (i) Audit, (ii) Compensation, (iii) Corporate Governance and Nominating, and (iv) Finance. Our Board of Directors met nine (9) times during fiscal 2010. All of our Directors attended more than 75% of the total number of Board of Directors meetings and meetings of the committees on which they serve, except for Mr. Fuente whose absences were due to illness.

The table below shows the membership for each of the Board of Directors standing committees in 2010:

		Corporate Governance &	
Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating Committee	Finance Committee
Thomas J. Colligan(1)	Lee A. Ault, III	Lee A. Ault, III	Neil R. Austrian
Brenda J. Gaines*	David W. Bernauer	Neil R. Austrian*(2)	David W. Bernauer
Myra M. Hart	Marsha J. Evans*	Brenda J. Gaines	David I. Fuente*
Kathleen Mason	W. Scott Hedrick	W. Scott Hedrick(2)	James S. Rubin
	Raymond Svider	James S. Rubin	Raymond Svider

- Committee Chair for 2010.
- 1 Mr. Colligan will serve as the Chair of the Audit Committee for 2011.

review the effectiveness of our internal controls; and

2 Mr. Austrian served as Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee until being appointed Interim Chair and CEO of the Company as of November 1, 2010. Mr. Hedrick is acting as interim Chair of this committee until Mr. Austrian is asked to resume that position or a permanent Chair is appointed.

Each of the four committees of our Board of Directors has a written charter that is reviewed and approved annually by our Board of Directors, is available for review on our corporate website, *www.officedepot.com* under the headings Company Information/Investor Relations/Corporate Governance and is available in hard copy upon written request to our Corporate Secretary.

Audit Committee

In 2010, the Audit Committee had four members and met five (5) times. Our Board of Directors has reviewed and made the determinations required by the listing standards of the NYSE and regulations of the SEC regarding the independence and financial literacy of the members of our Audit Committee. All members of the Audit Committee have been determined by the Board of Directors to be Independent Directors and financially literate. In addition, our Board of Directors has determined that the following members of our Audit Committee qualify as audit committee financial experts within the meaning of the applicable regulations of the SEC: Thomas J. Colligan, Brenda J. Gaines and Kathleen Mason.

The Audit Committee is responsible for the performance of our internal audit function as well as ensuring our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, assessing and mitigating financial risks to the Company and insuring the integrity of our financial reporting process. The Audit Committee s responsibilities, discussed in detail in its charter, include, among other duties, the duty to:

oversee the financial reporting process;

meet with internal and external auditors regarding audit results;

engage and ensure the independence of our independent registered public accounting firm;

oversee compliance with our Code of Ethical Behavior.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

In 2010, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee had five members and met four (4) times. Mr. Austrian served as Chair of Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee until being appointed Interim

15

Table of Contents

Chair and CEO of the Company effective November 1, 2010. Since Mr. Austrain s appointment as Interim Chair and CEO of the Company, Mr. W. Scott Hedrick is acting as the interim Chair of our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee until Mr. Austrian is asked to resume that position or a permanent Chair is appointed. All members of the Committee have been determined by our Board of Directors to be Independent Directors.

Our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for establishing and monitoring the effectiveness of the overall corporate governance philosophy and the Director nomination process. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee s responsibilities include, among other duties, the duty to:

review and make recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning the size and composition of our Board of Directors and its committees and the recruitment and selection of Directors;

nominate Director candidates for election at annual meetings; and

review and make recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning our corporate governance policies and practices. In addition, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is also responsible for reviewing and approving any transactions between the Company and any Related Person. See Corporate Governance; Related Person Transactions Policy.

Finance Committee

In 2010, the Finance Committee had five members and met seven (7) times. Our Finance Committee is responsible for overseeing our capital structure, financial policies and business and financial plans. The Finance Committee s responsibilities, discussed in detail in its charter, include, among other duties, the duty to:

review our financial policies and procedures;

review annual capital budgets and major spending requests from management;

monitor our financial standing and financial ratings;

review our long-range financial objectives; and

provide oversight and advice to management regarding our capital allocation, spending and structure.

Compensation Committee

In 2010, the Compensation Committee had five members and met sixteen (16) times. All members of the Committee have been determined by our Board of Directors to be Independent Directors.

Compensation Committee Responsibilities and Authority

Our Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing and monitoring the effectiveness of the overall compensation philosophy and policies of our Company. As set forth in its charter, the Compensation Committee s responsibilities, include, among other duties, the duty to:

review the performance and approve the compensation of each of our executive officers except for our CEO, whose performance and compensation will be reviewed and established by the independent members of the full Board of Directors; and

provide oversight of all cash compensation, equity compensation, benefits and perquisites for our executive officers and Directors.

16

Table of Contents

During the course of the year, the Compensation Committee: reviews and approves any new employment arrangements for members of the Executive Committee, other than the CEO (for whom it makes recommendations to the Board); reviews, approves or recommends changes in the perquisites and benefits provided to members of the Executive Committee; reviews the composition of the Peer Group used for benchmarking purposes and the Company s executive compensation programs and policies; reviews the Company s executive compensation disclosures; approves new executive compensation plans and material amendments to existing executive compensation plans; engages and directly monitors independent compensation consultant(s) to study and make recommendations regarding Director or Executive Committee compensation matters; and reviews management s assessment of the risks related to the Company s incentive compensation practices and programs.

In connection with its review of performance of our executive officers, the Compensation Committee also reviews the financial results of the Company for the purposes of determining compensation program levels and if performance goals were attained. The Compensation Committee obtains the data regarding the Company s financial results for the year from management and discusses the financial results with its compensation consultant and others as it may deem necessary, and then reports the results to the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee reviews the individual performance ratings for the NEOs, other than the CEO.

The Chair of the Compensation Committee works with the Executive Vice President, Human Resources, members of our Human Resources department and with the Executive Vice President and General Counsel to set individual meeting agendas for the Compensation Committee that are consistent with an annual calendar of regular activities that has been approved by the Compensation Committee and reported to the Board of Directors. As needed, telephonic Compensation Committee meetings are held which are not part of the pre-established annual calendar.

Compensation Committee Charter

The Compensation Committee charter is reviewed annually to ensure that the Compensation Committee is fulfilling its duties in aligning our executive compensation program with shareholder value creation, ensuring that we attract and retain talented executives and officers and are being responsive to the legitimate needs of our shareholders. There were changes to the Compensation Committee Charter in 2010 and the revised charter is posted on our web site.

Delegation of Authority; Subcommittees

The Compensation Committee has delegated authority to the Chair of the Committee to approve, upon the recommendation of the CEO and the Executive Vice President, Human Resources, new hire equity grants for officers who are not executive officers, provided that such grants do not exceed a level that is 25% above the annual target long-term incentive in effect during the year of the grant for officers at the same level as the new hire, and otherwise follows policies approved by the Compensation Committee. Grants and awards to executive officers and Directors are reserved to the full Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has also delegated authority to the Company s internal Compensation and Benefits Committee (which consists of the Executive Vice President, Human Resources, Executive Vice President and General Counsel and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer), the power to administer and make certain non-material amendments to our qualified 401(k) plan and our health and welfare plans that are subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and our non-qualified deferred compensation plans. The Compensation Committee has also been delegated the power to administer and make amendments and grants under our long-term equity plans, but only to the extent that such amendment does not affect the rights or obligations of any participant in the long term equity plans.

17

Table of Contents

Involvement of Compensation Consultants and Executive Management in Compensation Decisions

The Compensation Committee directly engages Hay Group, a human resources and compensation consulting firm, as its independent advisor with respect to executive compensation. Hay Group works from time to time with members of the Compensation Committee, particularly in executive sessions of the Compensation Committee; and at the request of the Compensation Committee, with management to gain a better understanding of the Company s pay policies and practices and to facilitate the development of the Company s executive compensation strategies and determination of appropriate compensation levels. In 2010, the Hay Group provided the following services to the Compensation Committee: advice on setting annual compensation for Board of Directors and executives based on Company performance and Peer Group benchmarking; advice on the design of the annual awards under the short and long-term incentive plans; attendance at all Compensation Committee meetings, providing advice on compensation issues raised, and assisting the Chair with preparation for meetings. In 2010, the Compensation Committee also purchased survey information from Hay Group to assist in the determination of competitive compensation for positions below the Executive Committee level.

The Compensation Committee believes that even the best advice of a compensation consultant or other outside advisors must be combined with the input from management and the Compensation Committee s own individual experiences and best judgment to arrive at the proper alignment of compensation philosophy, programs, and practices. The CEO, CFO, the Executive Vice President, Human Resources and the Executive Vice President and General Counsel interact closely with the Compensation Committee. These individuals work with the Compensation Committee to provide perspectives on reward strategies and how to align those strategies with the Company s business and management retention goals. They provide feedback and insights into the effectiveness of the Company s compensation programs and practices. The Compensation Committee looks to the Legal and Human Resources Departments for advice in the design and implementation of compensation plans, programs, and practices. In addition, the CEO, the Executive Vice President, Human Resources, the Executive Vice President and General Counsel, and certain other members of the Human Resources and Legal Departments often attend portions of Compensation Committee meetings to participate in the presentation of materials and to discuss management s point of view regarding compensation issues. The Compensation Committee requires management input to properly assess the internal impact of regulatory changes and potential program changes. Management is asked to provide advantages and disadvantages of decision items so that the Compensation Committee has a full range of information from both internal and external sources upon which to make its decisions. There is no predetermined weight given to management s input in making compensation program decisions.

Executive Session

At each meeting, the Compensation Committee meets in executive session without members of management present for the purpose of discussing matters independently from management.

18

EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

The Company continued to experience a difficult economic environment in 2010, attributable in part to its reliance on small businesses that were affected by the weak liquidity environment and slow global economic recovery. This has had a disproportionate impact on the Company s small business customers and their demands for the Company s products when compared to other companies in the Peer Group (as defined in the Competitive Benchmarking section later in this Proxy Statement). In response to the restrictions on credit availability, both consumers and businesses have reduced their spending on office products and related services. In addition, businesses remain cautious in their spending and hiring practices, directly affecting the consumption of the Company s products and related services. Shrinking budgets and spending cuts also severely affected many public sector customer purchases. This reduction in purchases of office products and related services, along with the fact that the Company s North American business is more heavily concentrated in Florida and California, two states among the hardest hit by the economic downturn, had a disproportionate impact on the Company s performance.

The following sections Compensation Actions for 2010 and Key Compensation Developments highlight the Company s decision-making with regard to compensation in light of the economic environment.

Compensation Actions for 2010

The Compensation Committee and management believe that our compensation programs are meaningfully tied to execution of the Company s business plans, include incentives to reward long-term growth in shareholder value and reflect the competitive marketplace for qualified and experienced executives.

The Compensation Committee is actively involved with creating and evaluating NEO compensation to assure that our program rewards operating and financial performance. The Company s NEOs include the CEO, the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and the three Division Precidents

Key Compensation Developments

The Compensation Committee took many steps in 2010 to further its objective of paying for performance and providing greater consistency in compensation practices. Some of the key developments include:

In 2010, the Compensation Committee responded to the continued deterioration of the economic environment by establishing the following performance measures for the Company s annual cash bonus program: (1) an EBITDA target to ensure that the Company showed earnings improvement while continuing to reduce costs; (2) a free cash flow target to ensure ongoing liquidity and retain creditor and vendor confidence; and (3) a sales target to focus our NEOs on growing sales.

In February 2010, the Compensation Committee renegotiated the employment agreement with the former Chair and CEO, Steve Odland, in order to eliminate Mr. Odland s ability to terminate his employment for good reason, triggered by the previously disclosed 2009 investment of BC Partners, in exchange for a retention award of \$5 million. The retention award was subject to vesting in three equal installments over a three-year period, or to acceleration, in certain circumstances, such as an involuntary termination without cause. The renegotiated agreement provided for a more restrictive change in control definition and triggers and eliminated certain tax gross-up benefits.

In April 2010, the Compensation Committee revised the employment and change in control agreements with Charles Brown, President, International Division, to ensure retention and elimination of certain walk-away rights triggered by the BC Partners investment in 2009.

19

In connection with the resignation of the former Chair and CEO, effective November 1, 2010, the Compensation Committee negotiated Mr. Odland s severance arrangement and a letter agreement with Neil Austrian to serve as the Company s Interim Chair and CEO. The Compensation Committee also negotiated a retention agreement in order to secure the continued service of Michael Newman our CFO.

The Compensation Committee updated the Company s Peer Group used to evaluate executive compensation to more accurately reflect the Company s competitors and peers.

The Company continued to maintain limits on NEO benefits.

The Compensation Committee approved and the Board adopted an incentive pay recoupment policy in the event of a financial restatement

The Compensation Committee maintained a freeze on base salaries of the NEOs in 2010 and did not change the target mix of fixed and variable compensation.

In April 2010, the Board and the Company s shareholders authorized a stock option exchange program, which began in May 2010 and was completed in June 2010, for all eligible employees of the Company, excluding the 2009 NEOs, who had stock options outstanding with an exercise price greater than \$11.00. This exchange returned 600,000 shares to the available share pool and provided employees who held underwater options with new options at the then current market price.

As part of its oversight of risk in incentive compensation, the Compensation Committee reviewed a risk assessment of the Company s global incentive plans jointly with the Audit Committee.

In December 2010, the Compensation Committee approved new change in control agreements for the NEOs. The Interim Chair and CEO did not receive a change in control agreement. The new change in control agreements eliminated gross-up provisions, standardized the definition of change in control among the Company s plans and agreements, and retained the severance payment following a termination after a change in control.

Compensation Framework

Compensation Philosophy

This section describes the general compensation philosophy followed by the Compensation Committee and how this philosophy is reflected in the key principles and elements of the Company s compensation program for the NEOs.

The executive compensation program is constructed to align the interests of executive management with shareholders, attract and retain highly qualified individuals and create long-term value while not incentivizing excessive risk taking. The Compensation Committee is guided by the following key principles in determining the compensation structure for the Company s executives:

Competition. Compensation should reflect the competitive marketplace, so the Company can attract, retain, and motivate talented executives throughout the volatility of business cycles.

Accountability for Business Performance. Compensation should be tied in part to the Company s financial and operating performance, so that executives are held accountable through their compensation for the performance of the businesses for which they are responsible and for achieving the business plan.

Accountability for Long-Term Performance. Compensation should include meaningful incentives to create long-term shareholder value while not incentivizing excessive risk taking.

20

Table of Contents

To implement the key principles, the compensation structure consists of the following elements: base salary, performance-based annual cash bonus, and long-term equity incentives. Balanced benefits and perquisites are also provided to attract and retain talented executives, and as competitively necessary.

Base Salary. The base salary component is designed to provide a competitively comparable fixed amount of compensation that is less than a majority of total target compensation, which is comprised of base salary, short and long-term incentives, and benefits.

Annual Cash Bonus Plan. The performance-based annual cash bonus is designed to link compensation to shareholder interests through the establishment of annual performance metrics, such as Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization (EBITDA), positive free cash flow, and sales, that affect the Company s market value. Bonus target percentages are set targeting toward the median of the Peer Group, and the bonus is paid at target for reaching annual plan financial goals, but is leveraged up and down for above and below plan performance, respectively. The total target cash level approximates the Peer Group median when the Company meets its performance goals. The annual cash bonus plan is designed to provide increased incentive payouts when Company performance exceeds target levels, and to provide reduced incentive payouts when performance is below target but above threshold levels. The annual cash bonus plan design also includes a negative discretion portion of the annual performance-based cash bonus to enable the Compensation Committee to address issues such as risk, quality of performance, and adherence to the Company s Code of Ethical Behavior.

Long-Term Incentive Plan. The long-term incentive component is designed to complement short-term performance rewards and focus plan participants on sustained long-term performance results that create value for the Company s shareholders. The long-term incentive is instrumental in retaining the NEOs and permits the granting of non-qualified stock options, restricted stock, performance shares, and/or performance-based cash. A minimum of 50% of the equity component for the NEOs will be tied to performance. Long-term incentive opportunities are structured to approximate the median of the Peer Group.

Establishing Executive Compensation

Role of the Compensation Committee. At the beginning of each year, the Compensation Committee conducts an initial review of management s recommendations with respect to executive compensation design for the following year. In the fourth quarter of each year, the Compensation Committee evaluates the recommendations, consults with Hay Group, the Compensation Committee s independent compensation consultant, and reviews the Company s Peer Group data. After financial results for the year are prepared, the Compensation Committee reviews performance against targets and reviews the proposed executive compensation design with this backdrop before providing approval of the salary, bonus target percentages, and long-term incentive grants and awards for the NEOs (other than the CEO) for the following year. The Compensation Committee recommends to the Board for approval the salary, bonus target percentages, and long-term incentive grants and awards for the CEO. The Compensation Committee also approves the Company-wide policies, including financial and performance goals, to be used in assessing annual performance and determining the target annual bonuses for the upcoming year.

During the course of the year, the Compensation Committee: reviews and approves any new employment arrangements for NEOs, other than the CEO (for whom it makes recommendations to the Board); reviews, approves or recommends changes in the perquisites and benefits provided to the NEOs; reviews the composition of the Peer Group used for benchmarking purposes and the Company's executive compensation programs and policies; reviews the Company's executive compensation disclosures in the Company's proxy statement; approves new executive compensation plans and material amendments to existing executive compensation plans; engages and directly monitors independent compensation consultant(s) to study and make recommendations regarding Director or NEO compensation matters; and reviews management s assessment of the risks related to the Company's incentive compensation practices and programs. The Compensation Committee meets at least quarterly, during which it also meets in executive session without members of management present.

21

Table of Contents

Following the end of each year, the Compensation Committee reviews the Company s performance results relative to goals set at the beginning of such year as part of determining any bonus to be approved and paid for that year. It also reviews the status for long-term incentive programs that have pay-outs determined by performance over a period of multiple years.

Role of the Board. The Company s Board of Directors receives reports from the Compensation Committee on its actions and recommendations following every Compensation Committee meeting and acts as it determines appropriate on the Compensation Committee s recommendations. The Board also approves the terms of the compensation package and employment agreement for the CEO.

Role of Management. The Compensation Committee believes that even the best advice of a compensation consultant or other outside advisors must be combined with the input from management and the Compensation Committee s own individual experiences and best judgment to arrive at the proper alignment of compensation philosophy, programs, and practices. The role of management in establishing executive compensation is further described in the Involvement of Compensation Consultants and Executive Management in Compensation Decisions section under the Committees of Our Board of Directors section.

Role of Independent Compensation Consultant. In relation to their engagement, as discussed in the Involvement of Compensation Consultants and Executive Management in Compensation Decisions section, Hay Group also provided compensation data and advised on the negotiation of executive employment agreements including: the amended employment agreement with our former Chair and CEO; the letter agreement with our Interim Chair and CEO, and retention agreements with certain NEOs; advised on Company governance policies and transition following our former Chair and CEO s departure; and reviewed and advised on the development of the Peer Group.

Competitive Benchmarking

The Compensation Committee believes benchmarking is a useful method to gauge the compensation level for executive talent within competitive job markets that are germane to the Company. The Compensation Committee reviews data gathered from Peer Group proxies as well as survey data from Hay Group for benchmarking purposes. However, the Peer Group and Hay Group survey data are not used in isolation. NEO compensation also takes into consideration the Company s financial performance and the financial performance of the Peer Group. This information is then used to analyze each NEO s individual performance and tenure in his/her current position. After a review of the benchmarking data and the other factors mentioned, the Compensation Committee determines any changes to the NEO compensation positioning, target total direct compensation structures (sum of salary, annual bonus, and equity awards), variable compensation program design, and/or benefit and perquisite offerings, if necessary. When making compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee considers each element of compensation individually, but also considers the total direct compensation as well as the mix of compensation paid to the NEOs. Data from both the Proxy Statements and Hay Group s retail industry survey provide specific Peer Group NEO information concerning base salaries, bonuses, long-term incentives, and benefit/perquisite prevalence.

The Compensation Committee developed specific criteria to select the Company s Peer Group and reviews the criteria annually. The guiding criteria used in 2009 were updated by the Compensation Committee in July 2010 and included, but were not limited to: companies with revenues within one half to two times the Company s revenue; a retail or direct to customer business model; a business to business model; significant global operations; and a significant distribution function. Companies selected for the Peer Group were required to have a number of these characteristics, but not all of them. Following the Compensation Committee s review, six companies were removed from the Peer Group (AutoNation, Avon Products, Marriott Intl, Nike, Sherwin-Williams, and Xerox Corp.) while three new companies were added (Amazon.com, GameStop, and W.W. Grainger).

22

Table of Contents

For 2010, the Company s Peer Group consisted of the following nineteen organizations:

Amazon.comJ.C. Penney Co., Inc.Starbucks CorporationArrow Electronics, Inc.Kohl s CorporationTech Data CorporationAvnet, Inc.Limited Brands, Inc.TJX Companies, Inc.Best Buy Co., Inc.Macy s, Inc.W.W. GraingerGameStopOffice Max Inc.Yum! Brands, Inc.

Gap Inc. Rite Aid Corporation

Genuine Parts Company Staples, Inc.

The Company s financial performance was evaluated relative to the Peer Group for fiscal year 2009 (the fiscal year that had been completed when the majority of the executive compensation decisions for 2010 were made) sales, total shareholder return (TSR), and earnings before interest and taxes compound annual growth rate (EBIT CAGR) for 1, 3, and 5 years. The following table details the Company s performance on a percentile basis in comparison to the Peer Group:

1,3,5 Year Performance Analysis Through Fiscal Year 2009

	SALES	TSR			EBIT CAGR			
	(\$000)	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year	
25th Percentile	\$ 10,477	36%	-15%	-5%	-19%	-14%	-3%	
Median	\$ 14,932	53%	-4%	1%	1%	-3%	1%	
75th Percentile	\$ 19,970	77%	4%	4%	14%	5%	7%	
OFFICE DEPOT	\$ 12,144	116%	-45%	-18%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Percent Rank vs. Peer Group	40%	87%	Min%	Min%	Min%	Min%	Min%	

Hay Group provided the Compensation Committee with information on the Company s performance relative to the Peer Group using data from a third party source. The Compensation Committee reviewed TSR and EBIT CAGR for 2009 for the Peer Group. The Company s performance was in the bottom half for all metrics except the 1-year TSR, which was in the upper quartile. In 2009, the Company had a negative EBIT, which does not permit a percentage change calculation year-over-year. Therefore, the Company s position in the chart is shown as N/A. To provide additional insight, the Compensation Committee reviewed actual EBIT numbers to understand how the Company s actual performance compared to the Peer Group year-by-year. The Compensation Committee discussed the Company s low TSR and EBIT performance, which is in part attributable to the Company s reliance on small businesses and individuals who are affected by the weak liquidity environment and current global economic recovery. The Compensation Committee reviewed compensation actually received by the NEOs, including incentives and the value of past equity grants, and noted that actual compensation delivered was significantly below original target levels due to the Company s performance. In addition, the Compensation Committee discussed plans for business improvement and continued alignment of compensation opportunities with future performance.

In 2010, the former Chair and CEO s total direct compensation was benchmarked solely against the Peer Group because the Compensation Committee believes that CEO positions within the Peer Group are of similar scope and complexity. In addition to proxy data, Hay Group survey data was utilized to review the compensation of the other NEOs since proxy information may not adequately reflect the actual job content of the Company s non-CEO NEOs.

Pay-For-Performance

The Compensation Committee believes that the executive compensation program is designed and structured to pay-for-performance. Under the Company s pay-for-performance structure, at least 50% of the equity awards to the NEOs will be performance-based equity awards that are earned or paid out based on the achievement of reasonable performance targets. For 2010, the performance target set by the Compensation Committee for the equity awards was a 25% premium on the exercise price for 50% of the stock options granted to the NEOs under the long-term incentive plan.

In addition, with respect to the annual cash bonus plan awards for the NEOs, the Company sets quantitative performance criteria to determine the hurdle rates and payouts. The performance criteria and hurdle rates for 2010 are later disclosed in the section entitled 2010 Elements of Compensation for NEOs Annual Cash Bonus Plan.

Aligning Executive Compensation with Shareholder Interests

The Compensation Committee believes there should be a key link between compensation and shareholder interests. The Compensation Committee recognizes that shareholder interests are protected when the Company pays the NEOs competitively, but not in excess of market, relative to competitive benchmarks such as the Company s Peer Group and applicable survey data. When designing the compensation program, the Compensation Committee strives to provide an appropriate mix of different compensation elements, including short-term and long-term, fixed and variable, and cash and equity components, and to provide smaller rewards for short-term performance and larger rewards for long-term performance. The Compensation Committee also designs the compensation program to provide meaningful rewards to the NEOs which align with the short-term and long-term objectives of the Company without encouraging undue risk taking.

NEOs receive their short-term, fixed, cash component in base salary, their short-term, variable, cash component under the Annual Cash Bonus Plan, and their long-term variable equity component under the long-term incentive plans. Cash payments primarily reward short-term performance, while equity rewards encourage our NEOs to deliver results over a longer period of time and serve as a retention tool. The Compensation Committee believes that a portion of NEO compensation should be at risk by basing it on the Company s operating and stock price performance over the long term, which disincentivizes taking excessive short-term risks which may negatively impact long-term performance. In establishing the total compensation package, at least half of total target compensation is awarded in variable pay. Variable pay is reviewed by the Compensation Committee on an annual basis to ensure that the metrics selected provide appropriate rewards for the achievement of the goals and priorities identified by the Board and the NEOs in the annual operating plan as key to the Company s stability and growth.

The Compensation Committee periodically monitors the Company s incentive plans throughout the year to ensure that the incentive plans do not encourage undue risk taking and appropriately balance risk and reward consistent with the Company s enterprise risk management efforts.

24

2010 Elements of Compensation for NEOs

The following table summarizes key elements of compensation for the NEOs, related evaluation metrics and key actions taken by the Compensation Committee during 2010.

Elements Base Salary	2010 Evaluation Metrics Individual s Role and Performance Based on:	2010 Key Actions Taken Former Chair and CEO had not received a base salary increase since joining the Company in 2005 and did not receive an increase in 2010
	Size, scope and complexity of role	
	Relative position compared to market pay information	No increase in NEO base salaries since 2008 (except for a promotion in the President, North American Retail Division position in 2010)
		Addressed Mr. Austrian s compensation as Interim Chair and CEO, including determination of base salary
Annual Cash Bonus	Performance Measures:	Change in bonus target percentage for CFO to achieve parity among NEOs other than CEO; CFO was also guaranteed a bonus at target for 2010
	EBITDA	
		59% of bonus authorized for 2010 because after charges, certain financial thresholds were achieved
	Free Cash Flow	
	Sales	
Long-Term Incentive	Non-Qualified Stock Option Grants Based on:	Half of the non-qualified stock option grants awarded to the NEOs were priced at a 25% premium
	Competitive market data	
	Retention considerations and long-term contributions, performance and growth potential	
Benefits and Perquisites	Benefits Position Based on Peer Group:	In January 2011, the Company restarted a matching contribution to the 401(k) plan for all participants equal

Table of Contents 39

to 50% of employee deferrals on the first 4% of eligible

Company had no pension plan, no retiree medical benefits, no active deferred compensation plan, and no company match under 401(k) plan

earnings (up to plan limits). Matching contribution is below market

Company provides conservative benefits (health and life insurance) but, consistent with the Peer Group, does not provide substantial perquisites

Private use of airplane by former Chair and CEO

Car allowance

25

Elements Stock Ownership Guidelines

2010 Evaluation Metrics Stock Ownership Requirements:

2010 Key Actions TakenNo change to stock ownership guidelines

Peer Group data included multiple of base salary and target share guidelines, with the multiple of base salary being the prevalent methodology in the Peer Group Current guidelines deemed competitive according to market data

The current guidelines used for NEOs are within the target range of the Peer Group

Other Benefits

Retention Arrangements and Change in Control Protections

Retention arrangements entered into for CFO and President, International Division

New change in control agreements were approved and executed with all NEOs, other than the former Chair and CEO and Interim Chair and CEO

A more detailed discussion of the elements of compensation for the NEOs follows.

Base Salary. The Compensation Committee views a competitive annual base salary as an important component of compensation that will be paid even if the Company does not achieve its annual financial performance goals in order to attract, retain, and motivate executive talent. Annual base salaries also serve as the foundation for the annual cash bonus plan, which calculates the annual cash incentive opportunity as a percentage of annual base salary. Base salary for the NEOs is designed to provide a competitive fixed amount of compensation which amounts to no more than half of an NEO s total target compensation. The Compensation Committee reviews the NEO base salaries each year and considers the Company s financial performance in the prior year as well as the Company s compensation objectives and market competitiveness when adjusting salaries in February of each year.

In 2010, Mr. Odland s base salary was 15% below the median of the Company s Peer Group for 2009. On average, the non-CEO NEOs base salaries were 5% below the median of the Peer Group. During the review process in February 2010, based on the Company s performance in 2009 and the competitiveness of NEOs salary to market data, the Compensation Committee did not increase base salaries of the NEOs for fiscal year 2010 even though base salaries were below the Peer Group median. Mr. Odland did not receive a base salary increase from the time he joined the Company in 2005 through his resignation in 2010. In 2010, Company performance continued to lag behind the Peer Group and the market. The Compensation Committee believes that based on the Company s overall performance in 2010, its decision not to increase salaries for the NEOs is consistent with its compensation philosophy and with its compensation objectives of aligning executive compensation with shareholder interests and paying for performance. In April 2010, Mr. Peters was promoted to President, North American Retail Division, and received a salary adjustment from \$440,000 to \$625,000 in connection with his promotion which is in-line with the Company s other division Presidents. Mr. Austrian receives a monthly base salary of \$200,000, which began accruing as of October 25, 2010, for his services as Interim Chair and CEO, which became effective November 1, 2010, as further described later in the NEO Employment Arrangements section.

Annual Cash Bonus Plan. The Compensation Committee views the annual cash bonus plan as a key link between compensation and shareholder interests as it provides meaningful rewards for management and aligns the execution of short-term Company objectives without encouraging undue risk taking. The performance metrics used in 2010: EBITDA, free cash flow and sales, are key measures that drive the Company s stock price. The target bonus percentages are set approximately at the Peer Group median.

Table of Contents

In establishing the 2010 performance metrics, the Compensation Committee considered the 2010 annual operating plan and the key performance measures for the Company s business. The objective was to create an incentive program that remained relevant throughout the 2010 fiscal year and that was aligned with the Company s primary corporate initiatives. The financial targets under the 2010 annual cash bonus plan were designed to provide annual cash incentives for the eligible employees, including the NEOs, based upon the Company s ability to meet annual financial performance targets that would ensure the successful continued operation of the Company s business. When establishing the 2010 bonus metrics, the Compensation Committee identified the three financial measures critical to the protection and creation of shareholder wealth to be: free cash flow, EBITDA, and a quantitative sales metric. The Compensation Committee included a quantitative sales metric in 2010 to incentivize employees on growing sales. The Compensation Committee believed it was critical for the Company to reverse the decreasing sales trend it had experienced over the past couple of years and to promote business growth. The EBITDA and cash flow metrics were retained from the 2009 annual cash bonus plan, with an increased focus on improved operating performance while continuing to reduce costs. While the 2009 bonus plan included a qualitative metric providing the Compensation Committee with flexibility to reward the NEOs for taking steps to strengthen the Company's performance not reflected in financial results, the 2010 bonus plan metrics were all quantitative. The Compensation Committee determined that target parameters for fiscal year 2010, 25% of the award under the annual cash bonus plan would be based on attaining free cash flow of \$73 million, 50% would be based on attaining EBITDA of \$345 million, and 25% would be based on attaining sales of \$12 billion. Also, the target bonus was designed to allow a leveraging up if objectives were over achieved or down if below target performance resulted.

The target parameters for each of the metrics were based on the 2010 annual operating plan approved by the Board. The threshold parameters for each of the metrics was based on the minimum level of performance the Compensation Committee was willing to reward. The maximum parameters for each of the metrics was based on the competitive information available for a reasonable ceiling for payment for extraordinary performance without incentivizing excess risk taking. The threshold and maximum goals for the free cash flow target were 50% and 150% of target, respectively, with a corresponding payout of 25% and 150% of target, respectively. The threshold and maximum goals for the EBITDA target were 75% and 125% of target, respectively, with a corresponding payout of 25% and 150% of target, respectively. The threshold and maximum goals for the sales target were 95% and 105% of target, respectively, with a corresponding payout of 25% and 150% of target, respectively.

The metrics for the NEOs were based on total Company performance since the Compensation Committee believes that the NEOs primary job is to direct the overall performance of the Company. Target payouts for each NEO under the annual cash bonus plan are expressed as a percentage of annual base salary earned during the year. Target payouts increase with job scope and complexity, thereby increasing variable pay opportunity for NEO positions because they have a greater impact on the Company's annual results. The Compensation Committee attempts to approximate the median target bonuses based on Peer Group compensation levels. In 2010, the target payout for Mr. Odland remained 160% of his base salary under the terms of his amended and restated employment agreement in February 2010 (the Amended Employment Agreement). The 2010 target payout for the Division Presidents (i.e., North American Retail Division, Business Solutions Division, and International Division) remained 75% of their respective base salaries. Mr. Peters had a target payout of 70% prior to his promotion to President, North American Retail Division. The 2010 target payout for the CFO was adjusted from 70% to 75% of his base salary for 2010 to achieve parity with the target payout for the Division Presidents; however, under the Retention Agreement entered into on November 4, 2010 between Mr. Newman and the Company, which is later described in further detail under the NEO Employment Arrangements section and the Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control section, Mr. Newman s 2010 bonus under the annual cash bonus plan was guaranteed at the target amount regardless of Company performance as part of the consideration to retain Mr. Newman s services as CFO.

When determining the payout under the 2010 corporate Annual Cash Bonus Plan, the Compensation Committee reviewed the level of achievement for each of the metrics under the Company s audited financial

27

Table of Contents

statements approved by the Board s Audit Committee. For purposes of the 2010 corporate Annual Cash Bonus Plan, the Company achieved free cash flow of \$44 million, EBITDA of \$308 million, and sales of \$11.8 billion, after adjustments approved by the Compensation Committee. The Company achieved at or above the threshold level for each metric. For the EBITDA and free cash flow metrics, the Compensation Committee determined the final achievement level by excluding certain unplanned and extraordinary items including: severance payments related to the former Chair and CEO s departure, related retention arrangements for certain NEOs, certain international restructuring charges, software write-offs and foreign exchange rate adjustments. Following the application of these adjustments, the Compensation Committee authorized 2010 bonuses under the corporate Annual Cash Bonus Plan to be paid at 59% of target to all eligible employees, including the NEOs. The 2011 corporate Annual Cash Bonus Plan will include EBIT, free cash flow, and a gross margin measure as the metrics for measuring bonus target payout.

Long-Term Incentive Plans. Generally, the Company s annual long-term equity incentive compensation awards are made pursuant to the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee believes a market competitive long-term incentive component is an integral part of the overall executive compensation program, and the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan is imperative in retaining the NEOs as it is designed to provide meaningful rewards for sustained long-term performance results without encouraging undue risk taking. In considering the appropriate duration for the performance cycle under the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee believes it is important to establish a vesting period that is long enough to meaningfully evaluate the performance of long-term strategies and the effect on value return to shareholders. The 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan rewards participants for the creation of long-term shareholder value by using a three-year vesting period and a seven-year exercise period. In addition, for each award, the Compensation Committee establishes the target award opportunity based on the individual s position, responsibilities, prior performance, and potential for long-term contributions, future performance and growth potential. In addition, the Compensation Committee considers the 2007 Long-Tem Incentive Plan to be critical to the alignment of executive compensation with shareholder value creation. The Compensation Committee believes that providing equity grants is an effective means to focus the NEOs on delivering long-term value to shareholders.

In fiscal year 2010, the factors considered by the Compensation Committee in determining long-term incentive awards included current market conditions, business results, individual performance, leadership potential and retention. The NEOs equity incentive grants were determined using a number of factors which included a competitive analysis of long-term equity awards provided to NEOs in the Peer Group, an assessment of each NEO s performance compared to the business plan, an assessment of Company performance in comparison to Peer Group and broader market performance, and a discretionary assessment of each NEO s performance. The Compensation Committee believes the discretionary component of the analysis enables the Company to reward, incentivize, and retain individuals differently within each level, as appropriate. When making decisions regarding the discretionary component, the Compensation Committee considered prior year performance, challenges facing each individual s business unit, the individual s performance, the individual s level of responsibility, and competition for the individual s talents within the industry.

In an effort to promote retention and to focus executives on driving share price appreciation to create value for shareholders, the long-term incentive grant to NEOs in March 2010 was delivered entirely in non-qualified stock options which have a seven-year contractual term and vest one-third on each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of the date the Compensation Committee authorized the grants (the grant date); provided that, each NEO remains in the Company s employment until each anniversary date. Half of these options were granted at a 25% premium over the fair market value. Mr. Odland s equity grant for 2010 was 800,000 non-qualified stock options, which was based on the Compensation Committee s consideration of the following items: (i) long-term incentive grants to chief executive officers in the Peer Group, (ii) availability of shares under the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan and the annual burn rate of shares under the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan based on ISS calculation methodology, (iii) the size of the 2009 equity grant to Mr. Odland, and (iv) the Company s financial performance. Half of these options were granted at the grant date fair market value of \$7.71 and half at

28

Table of Contents

a 25% premium price of \$9.638. The other NEOs long term incentive equity grant prices were similarly determined.

In addition to the annual grant in March, the following events related to the Company s long-term incentive plans took place in 2010.

Lapse of Performance Shares Under 2008 Long-Term Retention Plan

The 2008 Long-Term Retention Plan for the Executive Committee provided an incentive payout if certain Earnings Per Share (EPS) fiscal year targets were met for the Company within a multi-year performance period which included the 2008, 2009, and 2010 fiscal years (performance period). Messrs. Odland, Brown, and Schmidt were eligible to participate. In October 2009, Mr. Odland became fully vested in his 155,280 performance shares due to certain change in control provisions in his employment agreement that were triggered as a result of the private equity investment by BC Partners in June 2009 as previously disclosed (the BC Partners Investment). Under the 2008 Long-Term Retention Plan, the performance criteria required to be achieved during the performance period were as follows: (i) achievement of a minimum of \$1.60 EPS for a fiscal year will result in the release of 50% of the performance shares granted, and (ii) achievement of a minimum of \$1.80 EPS for a fiscal year will result in the release of the remaining 50% of performance shares granted. Since neither of the EPS fiscal year targets were met within the performance period, all of the remaining performance shares were automatically forfeited and returned to the available share pool.

Cash Payment Under 2008 Long-Term Retention Plan for Officers

Prior to his promotion in April 2010, Mr. Peters was eligible to receive a \$250,000 cash grant made on March 5, 2008 as part of the 2008 long-term retention plan for officers below the Executive Committee. This plan provided a retention payout to eligible employees who remained continuously employed with the Company from March 5, 2008 through the payment date in January 2011. Mr. Peters earned and received his cash award in January 2011 along with the other vested plan participants.

Stock Option Grant to Interim Chair and CEO

Pursuant to his letter agreement, the Interim Chair and CEO was granted a non-qualified stock option to purchase 400,000 shares of common stock of the Company (the Option) under the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan. This grant was based on the Compensation Committee s consideration of: (i) information provided by Hay Group on compensation paid to interim chief executive officers, (ii) Mr. Austrian s past performance as the Company s interim CEO in 2005, (iii) Mr. Austrian s knowledge of the Company due to service as the Lead Director, and (iv) the anticipated term of Mr. Austrian s service as Interim Chair and CEO. For additional information regarding the Option, see the following NEO Employment Arrangements section and the Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination of Change in Control section later in this Proxy Statement.

Benefits and Perquisites. The Company provides the NEOs with a set of core benefits (e.g., coverage for medical, dental, vision care, prescription drugs, annual physical, basic life insurance, long-term disability coverage, and car allowance) plus voluntary benefits that an NEO may select (e.g., supplemental life insurance). The Company s overall benefits philosophy is to focus on the provision of these core benefits, with NEOs able to use their cash compensation to obtain such other benefits as they individually determine to be appropriate. The former Chair and CEO was entitled, pursuant to his Amended Employment Agreement, to the use of a Company provided aircraft for personal travel, but such usage was limited to not more than 100 hours of such personal air travel per year. In order to help meet the Company s cost objectives, in 2010, the Compensation Committee did not reinstate any of the NEO benefits that had been eliminated in prior years, including the Company matching contribution to the 401(k) plan. The Company restarted a matching contribution to the 401(k) plan in January 2011 for all participants to motivate and retain employees. The Company continues to maintain three non-qualified deferred compensation plans which remain frozen to new contributions. Mr. Austrian will not receive any of the core benefits and perquisites during his service as Interim Chair and CEO.

NEO Employment Arrangements

The Company has entered into various employment arrangements, including written offer letters, employment agreements, change in control agreements, and retention agreements with certain of our NEOs, that provide for the payment of additional and future compensation of such NEOs for satisfying specified service requirements, in the event of certain types of terminations, and in some cases, in the event of a change in control of the Company. The terms of these arrangements are usually established in connection with the NEOs initial hire by the Company; however, in 2010, additional arrangements were prompted in connection with the BC Partners Investment and Mr. Odland s resignation. The termination of employment provisions in the employment arrangements were entered into to address competitive concerns at the time the NEOs were recruited, by providing those individuals with a fixed amount of compensation that would offset the potential risk of leaving their prior employer or forgoing other opportunities to join the Company.

The Compensation Committee believes the change in control agreements effectively create incentives for the NEOs to build shareholder value and to obtain the highest value possible should the Company be acquired in the future, despite the risk of losing employment and potentially not having the opportunity to otherwise vest in equity awards which comprise a significant component of each NEO s compensation. In addition, these arrangements are necessary to attract and retain qualified executives who may have other job alternatives that may appear to them to be less risky absent these arrangements, which is particularly important to the Company given the high levels of competition for executive talent in the retail sector.

Amendment and Restatement of Former Chair and CEO Employment Agreement. In February 2010, the Company and Mr. Odland entered into the Amended Employment Agreement, which amended the severance and change in control provisions and eliminated the tax gross-up benefits contained in his employment agreement originally dated March 11, 2005, as amended February 28, 2008. The Amended Employment Agreement provided for the payment of a cash retention award to Mr. Odland subject to certain conditions. The Amended Employment Agreement became effective on February 19, 2010 for a term ending on March 11, 2011 with automatic renewals for additional one year periods on each successive March 11 unless either party provided ninety (90) days prior written notice that the term of employment was not to be extended. The Compensation Committee approved the Amended Employment Agreement in order to retain our former Chair and CEO who had the right to leave the Company with substantial benefits under his previous employment agreement as a result of the BC Partners Investment. The amendment of Mr. Odland s previous employment agreement preserved certain benefits for Mr. Odland but eliminated his walk-away right and tax gross-up benefits.

Mr. Odland resigned from his positions as the Company s Chair and CEO effective November 1, 2010. Pursuant to his Amended Employment Agreement, in connection with his resignation, he will receive the following payments within five days following May 1, 2011: cash severance of \$5.2 million, a \$5 million retention award which vested upon separation, an amount equal to 24 times COBRA and other welfare benefit plan monthly premiums, the pro rata portion of his 2010 earned bonus which will be payable in 2011 under the annual cash bonus plan, and the full and immediate vesting of all time vested long-term equity awards. Mr. Odland will have the lesser of 24 months or the remaining option term to exercise his stock options. The foregoing payments shall be payable six months following his separation date to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). Mr. Odland s cash severance was negotiated under his Amended Employment Agreement based on consideration of certain factors including the competitive environment for Mr. Odland s position, the retail industry, and the Peer Group.

Interim Chair and CEO Letter Agreement. Mr. Austrian agreed to serve as the Interim Chair and CEO effective November 1, 2010, until the Company hires a permanent replacement. The Company and Mr. Austrian entered into a Letter Agreement dated November 2, 2010, that sets forth the compensatory arrangements for Mr. Austrian (the Letter Agreement), which reflect the unique nature of the newly created interim position until a permanent CEO is hired by the Company.

30

Prior to his appointment as Interim Chair and CEO, Mr. Austrian served as the Company s Lead Director, Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, and as a member of the Finance Committee since March 2006. Mr. Austrian has stepped down as Lead Director and his service on all committees of the Board requiring director independence has been suspended, but he will continue to serve as a member of the Board and the Finance Committee, and will be allowed to attend any and all meetings of the other Board s committees in his capacity as interim CEO. During his service as Interim Chair and CEO, Mr. Austrian will not receive the cash compensation portion of the Company s annual retainer for its Board members; however, he will remain eligible to participate in the equity portion of the annual retainer. Mr. Austrian does not participate in any of the benefit programs available to other executives. By structuring Mr. Austrian s compensation in this way, the Compensation Committee sought to find a compensation structure that would recognize Mr. Austrian s unique ability to step in as Interim Chair and CEO at a transitional time and lead the Company in a new direction. Because Mr. Austrian had served in this role before, it was determined that he was the most appropriate person to serve in this transitional role. The Compensation Committee also recognized the changes that Mr. Austrian would be expected to make in order to lead the Company on a day-to-day basis. The Compensation Committee determined that a \$200,000 per month base salary was sufficient to ensure that Mr. Austrian was compensated at an appropriate level, and the grant of 400,000 stock options would focus him on creating long-term shareholder value. The vesting schedule of the stock options grant was designed to ensure that Mr. Austrian would stay in the role until a new CEO was hired and successfully on-boarded. For additional information, see the Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control sectio

Employment Arrangements with Michael Newman. Mr. Newman s Employment Offer Letter was amended to change his target payout under the annual cash bonus plan from 70% to 75% of his base salary beginning with the 2010 performance period. This amendment was approved by the Compensation Committee in order to provide Mr. Newman with the same target payout under the annual cash bonus plan as all of the other NEOs, excluding the CEO. In connection with Mr. Odland s resignation, the Company determined it was in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to ensure that it will continue to have Mr. Newman s dedication and desired to provide him with a cash payment if he remained employed by the Company for a specified period of time. The Company therefore entered into a Retention Agreement with Mr. Newman on November 4, 2010, under which he is eligible to earn a retention payment of up to \$1,937,500 (the Retention Payment). In addition, Mr. Newman s 2010 bonus under the annual cash bonus plan was guaranteed at the target amount regardless of Company performance. The Retention Agreement was a result of negotiations with Mr. Newman and ensures continuity in management while completing a search for a permanent CEO. In addition, the Retention Agreement assured Mr. Austrian, the Interim Chair and CEO, that he had the support of, and a commitment from, Mr. Newman, as the Company s CFO during this search. For additional information, see the Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control section later in this Proxy Statement.

Amendment of Employment Offer Letter for Kevin Peters. Mr. Peters Employment Offer Letter was amended to reflect his promotion to President, North American Retail Division effective as of April 21, 2010. The amendment included a change in his base salary from \$440,000 to \$625,000 and a change in his target payout under the annual cash bonus plan from 70% to 75% of his base salary, with both changes effective as of his promotion. This amendment was approved by the Compensation Committee in order to provide Mr. Peters with the same base salary and target payout under the annual cash bonus plan as the other Division Presidents. For additional information, see the Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control section later in this Proxy Statement.

Amendment of Employment and Change In Control Agreements for Charles Brown. Following the BC Partners Investment, the change in control agreement for Charles Brown, President, International Division, was triggered. Mr. Brown s change in control agreement, which was executed prior to any contemplated transaction with BC Partners, provided for an enhanced severance benefit which was payable upon his subsequent termination of employment by the Company without cause or his own resignation for good reason (as

31

defined in the change in control agreement). For Mr. Brown, good reason included his ability to terminate employment for any reason during the 30-day period immediately preceding the first anniversary of the effective date of the change in control. Upon such termination, Mr. Brown could receive approximately \$3 million. To retain Mr. Brown and ensure the continued leadership and management of the International Division by Mr. Brown, without any impact from the benefits otherwise triggered under Mr. Brown's change in control agreement as a result of the BC Partners Investment, in April 2010, the Compensation Committee approved an amendment to Mr. Brown's employment agreement providing for a retention award in consideration of the elimination of Mr. Brown's walk-away right under his change in control agreement. This retention award is in the amount of \$3 million, subject to vesting in three equal annual installments beginning on September 14, 2010 and ending on September 14, 2012 if Mr. Brown remains in continued employment with the Company through each of the vesting dates, and is only payable upon Mr. Brown's termination. For additional information, see the Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control section later in this Proxy Statement.

Change in Control Agreements for NEOs. Following the BC Partners Investment, the change in control agreements for Messrs. Newman, Brown, Peters, and Schmidt were triggered. These change in control agreements provided for a one year change in control period and would have provided enhanced severance benefits had these NEOs been terminated without cause. These agreements expired on October 14, 2010. The Compensation Committee approved new change in control agreements for Messrs. Newman, Brown, Peters, and Schmidt which were entered into on December 16 and 17, 2010. The Interim Chair and CEO did not receive a change in control agreement. The new change in control agreements provide for a double trigger (requiring a change in control and termination (as defined in the agreements)), standardize the definition of Change in Control among agreements and incentive plans, and continue to provide for enhanced payments and benefits in the event of a change in control of the Company to diminish the potential distraction due to personal uncertainties and risks that inevitably arise when a change in control is threatened or pending. A full description of the change in control agreements is included under the heading Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control section later in this Proxy Statement.

Other Policies and Matters Related to Executive Compensation

Incentive Plan Risk Review. The Compensation Committee has generally reviewed, analyzed and discussed the executive compensation incentive programs in the context of how the current global economic and financial situation might affect the program. The Compensation Committee, in conjunction with the Audit Committee, received reports on all of the Company s 100+ global incentive plans, which were discussed to ensure that the plans did not incentivize excessive risk and rewarded the behavior the Company wishes to reward. The committees jointly reported to the Board that they do not believe that any aspects of the compensation program encourage the NEOs to take unnecessary and excessive risks. The committees highlighted the progress the Company has made in strengthening governance and ensuring a strong correlation between the Company s operating objectives and the objectives of the incentive programs. Additionally, the financial goals set forth in the corporate Annual Cash Bonus Plan are based upon performance targets that the Compensation Committee believes are attainable without the need to take inappropriate risks or make material changes to the Company s business or strategy. In addition, the LTIP awards that vest over a three-year period mitigate against taking short-term risks. Finally, the equity component of the Company s compensation program coupled with the Company s stock ownership guidelines, which expose NEOs to the loss of the value of retained equity if stock prices decline, incentivize NEOs to focus on long-term share appreciation.

Recoupment Policy. In February 2010, the Board adopted a policy for recoupment of incentive compensation. The policy provides that if the Company restates its reported financial results for any period beginning after January 1, 2010, the Board will review the bonus and other awards made to executive officers based on financial results during the period subject to the restatement. To the extent practicable and in the best interests of shareholders, the Board will seek to recover or cancel any such awards that were based on having met or exceeded performance targets that would not have been met under the restated financial results.

32

Table of Contents

Anti-Hedging Policy. In February 2011, the Board adopted an anti-hedging policy which prohibits hedging transactions with respect to Company securities by our Directors, executive officers and all other employees.

Stock Ownership Guidelines for NEOs. The Compensation Committee believes that the NEOs should maintain a meaningful equity interest in the Company through the ownership of stock that they acquire either with their own funds or by retaining restricted stock that has vested rather than disposing of such stock. The Compensation Committee has established executive stock ownership guidelines to encourage and require stock ownership by the CEO and other NEOs. Under these guidelines, the CEO is expected to hold Company stock equal to at least five times his base salary. Other NEOs are expected to hold Company stock equal to at least one and a half times their base salaries. NEOs have five years from date of hire to satisfy this stock ownership requirement, and stock must be held until the earlier of retirement or termination of employment. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the ownership guidelines and each NEO s progress toward meeting these guidelines.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee, along with Hay Group, determined that the current stock ownership guidelines (established in 2005), which are based on beneficial ownership, were still appropriate. In determining stock ownership, the following items are included: (i) shares owned, including stock with lapsed restrictions, (ii) restricted stock, and (iii) vested, in-the-money stock options. The Compensation Committee reviewed the guidelines in October 2010 based on the Peer Group information and approved continuing to use the current guidelines. In 2011, the Compensation Committee will again review the current ownership guidelines and determine if any changes are warranted in either the level of shares required to be held or in the methodology to calculate the ownership level (i.e., multiple of salary or fixed number of shares). As of March 4, 2011, three of our NEOs (excluding the Interim Chair and CEO who is subject to the stock ownership guidelines for Directors) satisfied their stock ownership requirements. The remaining NEO is still within his five-year time frame set forth for compliance.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation. Section 162(m) of the Code generally does not allow a tax deduction to public companies for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the CEO or any of the other NEOs, excluding the CFO. Certain compensation is specifically exempt from the deduction limit to the extent that it does not exceed \$1 million during any fiscal year or is performance based as defined in Code Section 162(m). The Compensation Committee strives to structure NEO compensation to come within the deductibility limits set in Code Section 162(m) whenever possible. However, the Compensation Committee believes that it must maintain the flexibility to take actions which it deems to be in the best interests of the Company but which may not qualify for tax deductibility under Code Section 162(m).

In fiscal year 2010, no NEO received an annual base salary in excess of \$1,000,000. Depending on the length of the search for a permanent replacement, Mr. Austrian s base salary could exceed \$1,000,000 in 2011. A portion of the compensation paid to Messrs. Brown and Schmidt will not be deductible for tax purposes for 2010 pursuant to Code Section 162(m). For fiscal year 2010, the lost deduction was approximately \$756,000.

Regulatory Requirements. In addition to Code Section 162(m), the Compensation Committee considered other tax and accounting provisions in developing the pay programs for the NEOs. These include the special rules applicable to fair value based methods of accounting for stock compensation and the overall income tax rules applicable to various forms of compensation. While the Compensation Committee tried to compensate the NEOs in a manner that produced favorable tax and accounting treatment, the main objective was to develop fair and equitable compensation arrangements that appropriately incentivized, rewarded and retained the NEOs.

33

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company has reviewed and discussed this Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with the Executive Committee of the Company and, based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and, through incorporation by reference from this Proxy Statement, the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 25, 2010.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE:

Marsha J. Evans (Chair)

Lee A. Ault

David W. Bernauer

W. Scott Hedrick

Raymond Svider

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

During fiscal year 2010, the Compensation Committee consisted of: Lee A. Ault, David W. Bernauer, Marsha J. Evans, W. Scott Hedrick and Raymond Svider. All members of the Committee were Independent Directors, and no member was an employee or former employee of the Company. During fiscal year 2010, none of the Company s executive officers served on the board of directors or compensation committee (or other committee serving an equivalent function) of another entity whose executive officer served on the Company s Board of Directors or Compensation Committee.

COMPENSATION PROGRAMS RISK ASSESSMENT

The Compensation Committee has assessed the Company s compensation programs and has concluded that the Company s compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company has conducted a risk assessment that included a detailed qualitative and quantitative analysis of its compensation and benefit programs to which employees at all levels of the organization may participate, including the NEOs. The Compensation Committee considered how the design of the Company s compensation programs companies to compensation programs maintained by the Peer Group. Based on the Company s assessment, the Compensation Committee believes that the Company s compensation and benefit programs have been appropriately designed to attract and retain talent and properly incentivize employees to act in the best interests of the Company. The Company s programs contain various factors to ensure its employees, including the NEOs, are not encouraged to take unnecessary risks in managing the Company s business, such as:

oversight of compensation and benefits programs (or components of programs) by the Compensation Committee;

discretion provided to the Compensation Committee (including negative discretion) to set targets, monitor performance and determine final incentive award payouts;

oversight of compensation and benefits programs (or components of programs) by a broad-based group of functions within the Company, including Human Resources;

a variety of programs that provide focus on both short-and long-term goals and that provide a balanced mixture of cash and equity compensation;

caps on the maximum payouts available under short-term incentive programs;

34

Table of Contents

incentives focused primarily on the use of financial metrics based on the annual operating plan which is approved by the Board;

service-based vesting conditions with respect to equity-based awards; and

incentive pay recoupment policy which provides for recoupment of incentive compensation in the event of a financial restatement. The Compensation Committee periodically monitors the Company s incentive plans throughout the year to ensure that the incentive plans do not encourage undue risk taking and appropriately balance risk and reward consistent with the Company s enterprise risk management efforts.

35

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table provides a summary of the annual and long-term compensation that the Company paid to its NEOs (or deferred for, or that was attributable to/earned) for services rendered during the 2008, 2009, and 2010 fiscal years.

			Summary Compe	nsation Table f	or Fiscal Years 20	008 - 2010		
Named Officers and Principal Positions Neil Austrian Interim Chief	Year 2010	(c) (1) Salary (\$) \$ 415,385	(d) (2) Bonus (\$)	(3) Stock Awards (\$)	(3) Option Awards (\$) (\$ \$ 984,400(6)	(4) Non-Equity I Incentiv Con Plan F Compensation (\$	npensationAll Other CarningsCompensation	(j) Total (\$) \$ 1,399,785
Executive Officer								
Michael Newman Chief Financial Officer	2010 2009 2008	\$ 625,000 \$ 625,000 \$ 199,519	\$ 468,750(7) \$ 100,000		\$ 1,580,200 \$ 200,400 \$ 1,500,000	\$ 437,500 \$ 148,077	\$ 40,924 \$ 164,875 \$ 61,010	\$ 2,714,874 \$ 1,427,775 \$ 2,008,606
Charles Brown President, International	2010 2009 2008	\$ 625,000 \$ 625,000 \$ 625,000	\$ 1,000,000(8) \$ 250,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 1,580,200 \$ 267,200 \$ 1,400,000	\$ 276,563	\$ 44,543 \$ 653,663 \$ 69,035	\$ 3,526,306 \$ 1,545,863 \$ 2,844,035
Kevin Peters President, North American Retail	2010	\$ 568,077			\$ 1,802,447(9)	\$ 247,380	\$ 55,120	\$ 2,673,024
Steve Schmidt President, Business Solutions Division	2010 2009 2008	\$ 625,000 \$ 625,000 \$ 625,000		\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,580,200 \$ 606,200	\$ 276,563 \$ 468,750	\$ 53,957 \$ 36,944 \$ 278,767	\$ 2,535,720 \$ 1,736,894 \$ 2,803,767
Steve Odland Former Chief Executive Officer	2010 2009 2008	\$ 846,154 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000		\$ 5,000,000	\$ 3,160,400 \$ 681,360	\$ \$ 1,600,000	\$ 11,261,929 \$ 113,191 \$ 288,700	\$ 15,268,483 \$ 3,394,551 \$ 6,288,700

- (1) Column (c) is used to record salary amounts that include cash compensation earned by each NEO during fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 as well as any amounts earned in those years but contributed into the 401(k) Plan and/or deferred at the election of the NEO into our deferred compensation program. For a discussion of the deferred compensation program, please see the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation section later in this Proxy Statement.
- (2) Column (d) is used to record non-equity discretionary (non-incentive based) bonuses made to our NEOs. Non-equity incentive-based bonuses paid under our Bonus Plan are disclosed in column (g).
- (3) The dollar amounts in columns (e) and (f) reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of equity awards granted within the fiscal year in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 for stock-based compensation (Formerly FAS 123R). These amounts reflect the total grant date fair value for these awards, and do not correspond to the actual cash value that will be recognized by each of the NEOs when received. Assumptions used in the calculation of these award amounts are included in Note A to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 25, 2010.
- (4) The amounts in column (g) reflect cash awards earned under the 2010 Bonus Plan, which is previously discussed further in the Compensation Discussion Analysis under the Annual Cash Bonus Plan section. While such amounts were earned for fiscal year 2010 performance, they will not be paid to the NEOs until March 11, 2011.

(5)

The Other Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2010 that follows reflects the types and dollar amounts of perquisites, other personal benefits, and severance arrangements provided to the NEOs during fiscal year 2010. For purposes of computing the dollar amounts of the items listed in the following table, the actual out-of-pocket costs to the Company of providing the perquisites, other personal benefits, and severance arrangements to the NEOs was used. Each perquisite, other personal benefit, and severance arrangement included in the Table that follows is described in more detail in the narratives immediately following the Table.

(6) Effective November 1, 2010, Mr. Austrian was appointed as the Interim Chair and CEO of the Company. Set forth in this table is the compensation that Mr. Austrian will receive for his service as Interim Chair and CEO pursuant to the terms of his Letter Agreement with the Company, which is described under the Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control section later in this Proxy Statement. In addition to the compensation described in the Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Award Tables, in 2010, Mr. Austrian also received compensation for his service as a director of the Company prior to his appointment as Interim Chair and CEO, which is reflected later in the Director Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2010.

36

Table of Contents

- (7) Pursuant to the terms of his Retention Agreement which is described under the Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control section later in this Proxy Statement, for 2010, Mr. Newman was entitled to receive a bonus under the Company s annual corporate bonus plan at the target amount regardless of Company performance. Mr. Newman s target amount in 2010 was 75% of his base salary.
- (8) Retention bonus paid pursuant to Mr. Brown s amended employment agreement which is described under the Summary of Executive Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control section later in this Proxy Statement. The retention bonus was accrued on September 14, 2010 and will be paid in cash upon Mr. Brown s termination of employment.
- (9) Mr. Peters was promoted to President, North American Retail Division on April 21, 2010. Prior to the filing of this Proxy Statement, Mr. Peters was not an NEO of the Company. Accordingly, Mr. Peters was entitled to participate in the Company s one-time voluntary option exchange offer on May 10, 2010. The amount reflected for Mr. Peters includes \$1,580,200, which is the grant date fair value of the option award that Mr. Peters received in March 2010, and \$222,247, which is the grant date fair value of the new options that Mr. Peters received as a result of the option exchange program when he exchanged his previously granted options for new options.

37

	Other Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2010										
Summary Compensation Table, Column (i) Components											
(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (1) (2) (3) Personal Aircraft Company											
Named Officers	Car A	llowance	Exec Med	Exe	c Welfare	Usage		Severance	Event		Total
Neil Austrian(7)											
Michael Newman	\$	15,600	\$ 16,939	\$	8,385	((4)			\$	40,924
Charles Brown	\$	15,600	\$ 20,182	\$	8,761					\$	44,543
Kevin Peters	\$	15,600	\$ 21,587	\$	8,179				\$ 9,754(6)	\$	55,120
Steve Schmidt	\$	15,600	\$ 16,939	\$	10,129				\$ 11,289(6)	\$	53,957
Steve Odland	\$ 2	21,634	\$ 16,818	\$	6,284	\$ 62,2	96(4)	\$ 11,154,897(5)		\$ 1	1,261,929

- (1) The amounts in column (b) reflect the payments made to each NEO during 2010 as part of the Executive Car Allowance Program.
- (2) The amounts in column (c) reflect the cost to the Company for insurance premiums (medical, dental and vision) in connection with the NEOs participation in the Executive Benefits Program. In addition, for Mr. Peters, the amount includes an additional \$1,405, which reflects the cost to the Company for a health physical in connection with Mr. Peters participation in the Executive Benefits Program.
- (3) The amounts in column (d) reflect the cost to the Company for insurance premiums associated with welfare benefits (LTD, STD, basic life insurance and AD&D) in connection with the NEOs participation in the Executive Benefits Program. Amounts also include imputed income for premiums paid on life insurance in excess of \$50,000.
- (4) The incremental cost of personal use of company leased aircraft by Mr. Odland includes the actual cost of fuel and additives, trip-related crew hotels and meals, in-flight food and beverages, landing and ground handling fees, hangar or aircraft parking costs, certain other smaller variable costs for each personal trip leg plus an allocation of maintenance costs based on the per mile cost to maintain the planes multiplied by the number of personal miles flown. Fixed costs that would be incurred in any event to operate company aircraft (e.g., aircraft and hangar lease costs, depreciation, and flight crew salaries) are not included. Mr. Newman s personal use of company leased aircraft resulted in no incremental cost to the Company.
- (5) On October 24, 2010, Mr. Odland resigned as Chair and CEO of the Company, effective November 1, 2010. In connection with his separation from the Company, Mr. Odland became entitled to receive: 1) cash severance of \$5.2 million, 2) \$5 million attributable to a previously negotiated retention award, 3) 2010 pro-rated bonus at earned rate, and 4) 24 times COBRA and other welfare benefit plan monthly premiums. Payment of all amounts will be made on May 1, 2011.
- (6) Represents payments to Messrs. Peters and Schmidt for personal expenses incurred while attending a Company-sponsored event and the related gross-up payments for the event.
- (7) As outlined in Mr. Austrian s Letter Agreement for his position as Interim Chair and CEO, he is not eligible to participate in any health and welfare benefit plans.

38

			Gı	rants of Pla	ın-B	ased Awar	ds in Fiscal Year 2	2010					
(a)	(b)		(c-e)			(f-h) Estimated Fu Payouts Un		(i)	(j) All Other Option	(k)		(1)	
				uture Payo Incentive Pl			Equity Incentiv	ve Plan	Stock Awards	er Awards: Number	(5) Exercise or Base Price of		
Named Officers	Grant Date	(1) Threshold (\$)	Т	(1)(2) arget (\$)	N	(1) IaximumTl (\$)	(3)(4) preshold Target (#) (#)	Maxin	Shares num /	Underlying Options (#)	Option Awards (\$/Sh)		(6) rant Date air Value
PEO Neil Austrian	11/2/2010	NA		NA		NA	` '	` '		400,000(7)	\$ 4.430	\$	984,400
PFO Mike Newman	3/8/2010 3/8/2010	\$ 117,188	\$	468,750	\$	703,125	200,000(c) 200,000(c)				\$ 7.710 \$ 9.638	\$ \$	832,000 748,200
Charles Brown	3/8/2010 3/8/2010	\$ 117,188	\$	468,750	\$	703,125	200,000(1			\$ 7.710 \$ 9.638	\$ \$	832,000 748,200
Kevin Peters	3/8/2010 3/8/2010	\$ 117,188	\$	468,750	\$	703,125	200,000(200,000(\$ 7.710 \$ 9.638	\$ \$	832,000 748,200
Steve Schmidt	3/8/2010 3/8/2010	\$ 117,188	\$	468,750	\$	703,125	200,000(200,000(1			\$ 7.710 \$ 9.638	\$ \$	832,000 748,200
Former PEO Steve Odland	3/8/2010 3/8/2010	\$ 400,000	\$ 1	,600,000	\$ 2	2,400,000	400,000(400,000(\$ 7.710 \$ 9.638		,664,000

- (1) Column (c) reflects the minimum payments each NEO could expect to receive if the Company reached at least its threshold performance goal in 2010 under the 2010 Annual Corporate Bonus Plan. Threshold was set at 25% of target for all NEOs. The Bonus Plan award was based upon the Company s ability to meet annual financial performance targets set by the Compensation Committee. Each of the Company s financial performance goals were targeted to pay out at 100% upon achievement with a maximum payout of 150% of target to be paid if target was exceeded. Column (e) reflects the maximum payments each NEO could expect to receive if target was exceeded. Performance below the Plan threshold resulted in no bonus being paid for that metric. See the Annual Cash Bonus Plan section earlier in this Proxy Statement for additional details on the 2010 Annual Corporate Bonus Plan.
- (2) The amounts shown in column (d) reflect the target payments each NEO could expect to receive if the Company reached its target performance goals in 2010 under the 2010 Annual Corporate Bonus Plan. Each NEO s target annual bonus is expressed as a percentage of such officer s bonus eligible earnings. For 2010, Mr. Odland s target bonus percentage was 160% of annual bonus eligible earnings. For 2010, the target bonus percentage was 75% for Messrs. Newman, Brown, Peters and Schmidt. Mr. Austrian was not eligible to participate in the 2010 Annual Corporate Bonus Plan.
- (3) Column (g) represents awards of nonqualified stock options under the Company s 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the LTIP). One-half of the annual option grant was delivered through 25% premium-priced options. All options granted under the 2010 annual option grant vest and become exercisable ratably over the three-year period following the grant date.
- (4) The (O) reflected next to the target share amounts in column (g) above is used to designate nonqualified stock options granted at-the-money, and the (P) reflected next to the target share amounts is used to designate premium-priced nonqualified stock options granted at a 25% premium.
- (5) Under the LTIP, grants of stock options must have an exercise price equal to or greater than their fair market value on the grant date. The LTIP defines fair market value as the closing stock price on the New York Stock Exchange on the grant date.
- (6) Computed in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 for stock-based compensation (formerly FAS 123R). See Note A of the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 25, 2010 regarding assumptions underlying valuation of equity awards.

Table of Contents

Mr. Austrian was granted a non-qualified stock option to purchase 400,000 shares of common stock of the Company (the Option) pursuant to and subject to the terms of the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan. The Option vested with respect to one third (1/3) of the shares on November 2, 2010 and will vest 1/3 on each of the first and second anniversaries of November 2, 2010 (each a Scheduled Vesting Date), subject to Mr. Austrian, on each Scheduled Vesting Date, continuing to serve as: (i) Interim Chair and CEO through the date of commencement of employment of a successor CEO of the Company (the Successor CEO Event), and (ii) as a Director, from and after the employment of such Successor CEO. Under the Letter Agreement, if Mr. Austrian continues to be employed as Interim Chair and CEO through the Successor CEO Event, then as of such date, the Option shall vest (to the extent not previously vested) with respect to 50% of the shares subject to the Option, and the then remaining unvested shares covered by the Option shall vest, subject to Mr. Austrian s continued services as a member of the Board, on the first anniversary of the Successor CEO Event. In addition, the Option, unless previously forfeited by Mr. Austrian pursuant to a Forfeiture Event, shall become 100% vested upon the occurrence of any of the following events: (x) if prior to the occurrence of a Successor CEO Event, (A) Mr. Austrian s employment as Interim Chair and CEO of the Company terminates for any reason other than because of his resignation or for termination by the Company for good cause, or (B) Mr. Austrian has not been re-elected to the Board, despite having offered himself as a candidate for re-election to the Board; (y) following the occurrence of a Successor CEO Event, if Mr. Austrian dies, ceases performing services as a Director upon becoming Disabled (as defined in the Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement (Option Agreement)), or has not been re-elected to the Board, despite having offered himself as a candidate for re-election to the Board (other than following the occurrence of a Director Good Cause Event); or (z) immediately prior to the date of any Change in Control of the Company (as defined in the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan). The Option shall expire on November 2, 2017, subject to earlier expiration due to certain termination events. Undefined terms used herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in Mr. Austrian s Option Agreement.

40

		Outstanding Equ	iity Awards at 201	0 Fiscal Year	-End					
Option Awards Stock Awards										
(a)	(b)	Ор (c)	(d) Equity Incentive Plan	(e)	(f) 0		(h) Eq Market Value or of Shares or	uity Incent PlarRigl Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or	(j) nity Incentive Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or ive Other nts That Have Vested (\$) Number of Unearned Shares, Units or	
Named Officers PEO Neil Austrian	of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Have Not	Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Other Rights t That Have Not Vested (#)	Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	
PEO Neil Austrian	11,250(2) 7,500(2) 7,500(2) 3,750(2) 7,500(2) 15,611(2) 44,155(2) 38,736(2) 133,333(3)		266,667	\$ 9.2750 \$ 19.7250 \$ 11.4850 \$ 17.5450 \$ 17.5450 \$ 33.0650 \$ 11.2700 \$ 0.8500 \$ 4.4300	4/26/11 4/25/12 2/14/13 2/18/11 2/18/14 2/14/13 3/5/15 3/4/16					
PFO Michael Newman	269,251(4) 50,000(15) 50,000(15)	134,626(4) 100,000(15) 100,000(15) 200,000(16) 200,000(16)		\$ 6.8000 \$ 0.8500 \$ 1.0625 \$ 7.7100 \$ 9.6380	8/27/15 3/4/16 3/4/16 3/8/17 3/8/17					
Charles Brown	16,667(5) 50,000(6) 40,000(7) 40,000(8) 40,000(9) 18,750(10) 50,000(11) 25,000(12) 190,282(14) 66,666(15) 66,666(15)	95,141(14) 133,334(15) 133,334(15) 200,000(16)		\$ 9.2000 \$ 13.7900 \$ 16.0650 \$ 11.4850 \$ 17.5450 \$ 17.5450 \$ 18.0850 \$ 28.2450 \$ 11.2700 \$ 0.8500 \$ 1.0625 \$ 7.7100	2/12/11 10/8/11 2/4/12 2/14/13 2/18/14 2/18/11 2/11/12 7/26/12 3/5/15 3/4/16 3/8/17					

		Outstanding	g Equity Aw	ards at 2010	Fiscal Year-l	End			
	Option Awards						Stock Awa	rds	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i) Equit	(j) y Incentive Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or
Named Officers	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying	quity Incenti Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)		Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	of	Other
		200,000(16))	\$ 9.6380	3/8/17				
Kevin Peters	37,500(15) 37,500(15)	75,000(15) 75,000(15) 200,000(16) 200,000(16) 74,881(17))))	\$ 0.8500 \$ 1.0625 \$ 7.7100 \$ 9.6380 \$ 5.1300	3/4/16 3/4/16 3/8/17 3/8/17 6/8/17	17,747(14)	\$ 96,721(1)	8)	
Steve Schmidt	112,047(13)	466,667(15) 133,334(15) 200,000(16) 200,000(16)))	\$ 28.7300 \$ 0.8500 \$ 1.0625 \$ 7.7100 \$ 9.6380	7/24/14 3/4/16 3/4/16 3/8/17 3/8/17	41,408(14)	\$ 225,674(1)	8)	
Former PEO Steve Odland	1,000,000(1) 1,000,000(1) 400,000(1) 400,000(1)			\$ 19.1200 \$ 22.9440 \$ 7.7100 \$ 9.6380	11/1/12 11/1/12 11/1/12 11/1/12				

⁽¹⁾ In connection with Mr. Odland s resignation from the Company, effective November 1, 2010, all of Mr. Odland s unvested equity was fully vested on his termination date; and he has 24 months following his termination date to exercise any outstanding options pursuant to his Amended Employment Agreement.

⁽²⁾ Represents annual grants of stock options made to Mr. Austrian as part of his compensation for serving on the Board of Directors for prior years.

Mr. Austrian was granted non-qualified stock options to purchase 400,000 shares of common stock of the Company pursuant to and subject to the terms of the Company s 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan. The options were granted with an exercise price of \$4.43. For further discussion of the condition of the grant, see footnote 7 under the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2010 Table earlier in this Proxy Statement.

(4) Represents stock options granted to Mr. Newman on August 27, 2008 under the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan as part of his sign-on with the Company. These options vest in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.

42

Table of Contents

- (5) Represents an annual grant of stock options made to Mr. Brown on February 12, 2001 under the Company s Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan. The shares vested in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- (6) Represents an annual grant of stock options made to Mr. Brown on October 8, 2001 under the Company s Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan. The shares vested in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- (7) Represents an annual grant of stock options made to Mr. Brown on February 4, 2002 under the Company s Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan. The grant consisted of 33,776 shares of nonqualified stock options that vested in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date and 6,224 shares of incentive stock options that cliff-vested on the third anniversary of the grant date.
- (8) Represents an annual grant of stock options made to Mr. Brown on February 14, 2003 under the Company s Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan. The grant consisted of 31,293 shares of nonqualified stock options that vested in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date and 8,707 shares of incentive stock options that cliff-vested on the third anniversary of the grant date.
- (9) Represents an annual grant of stock options made to Mr. Brown on February 18, 2004 under the Company s Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan. The grant consisted of 34,301 shares of nonqualified stock options that vested in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date and 5,699 shares of incentive stock options that cliff-vested on the third anniversary of the grant date.
- (10) Represents performance-accelerated stock options granted to Mr. Brown on February 18, 2004 under the Company s Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan. Shares under this grant vest upon the attainment of certain performance criteria. Mr. Brown vested in half of these shares on April 7, 2005 and the remaining half on August 5, 2005.
- (11) Represents an annual grant of stock options made to Mr. Brown on February 11, 2005 under the Company s Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan. The shares vested in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- (12) Represents a grant of stock options to Mr. Brown on July 26, 2005 under the Company s Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan after being promoted to President of the Company s International Division. The shares vested in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- (13) Represents stock options granted to Mr. Schmidt on July 24, 2007 under the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan as part of his sign-on with the Company. These awards vested in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- (14) Represents an annual grant of stock options and/or restricted stock made to Messrs. Brown, Peters, and Schmidt on March 5, 2008 under the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan. The shares vest in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- (15) Represents an annual grant of stock options made to Messrs Brown, Newman, Peters and Schmidt on March 4, 2009 under the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan. 50% of the grant consisted of at-the-money options and 50% consisted of premium-priced options. The options vest in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- (16) Represents an annual grant of stock options made to Messrs Brown, Newman, Peters and Schmidt on March 8, 2010 under the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan. 50% of the grant consisted of at-the-money options and 50% consisted of premium-priced options. The options vest in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- (17) Represents new at-the-money stock options granted to Mr. Peters in exchange for old out-of-the-money stock options as part of the Offer to Exchange that the Company offered to its employees on June 8, 2010. Because Mr. Peters was not an NEO for 2009, he was able to participate in the exchange.
- (18) Market value of unvested restricted stock awards computed by multiplying the number of shares by \$5.45, the closing price of the Company s common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 23, 2010, the last day the New York Stock Exchange was open during the Company s 2010 fiscal year, which ended on December 25, 2010.

43

Option	Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year	2010		
	Option	Awards	Stock A	Awards
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) Number of	(e)
	Number of Shares Acquired on	(5) Value Realized on	Shares Acquired on Vesting	(4) Value Realized on
Named Officers	Exercise (#)	Exercise (\$)	(#)	Vesting (\$)
PEO Neil Austrian			21,714(3)	\$ 159,997
PFO Mike Newman				
Charles Brown				
Kevin Peters			17,746(1)	\$ 131,498
Steve Schmidt	299,999	\$ 2,224,695	58,812(2)	\$ 383,237
Former PEO Steve Odland	1,020,000	\$ 3,023,025		

- (1) Represents three-year pro rata vesting of restricted stock granted in 2007 under the LTIP.
- (2) Represents three-year pro rata vesting of restricted stock granted in 2007 upon Mr. Schmidt s hiring.
- (3) Represents immediate vesting of restricted stock granted in 2010 for Mr. Austrian s annual retainer and chair fees as a Director.
- (4) Value of restricted stock calculated by multiplying the number of shares by the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the NYSE on the vesting date.
- (5) Value of options calculated by multiplying the number of options by the difference between the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the NYSE on the exercise date and the exercise price.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

In addition to offering a traditional qualified defined contribution retirement savings plan (i.e., 401(k) plan), the Company continues to maintain three non-qualified deferred compensation plans for the benefit of its executive officers, including the NEOs, which remain frozen to new contributions. The following table reflects information related to the Company s non-qualified deferred compensation plans. Information regarding the Company s 401(k) plan, which is generally available to all employees, is not included.

	Nonqualified D	eferred Compensation	on		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) (1)	(e)	(f) (2)
Named Officers	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
PEO Neil Austrian					
PFO Mike Newman					
Charles Brown			\$ 12,818		\$ 131,000
Kevin Peters					
Steve Schmidt			\$ 2,200		\$ 24,732
Former PEO Steve Odland			\$ 22,432		\$ 208,002

- (1) Amounts shown in this column are not otherwise reported as compensation in the last completed fiscal year in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) In 2008, as permitted under the Internal Revenue Code Section 409A transition rules, participants in the non-qualified deferred compensation plans were provided with the ability to make an election to change the method of distribution of their accounts. Messrs. Brown, Schmidt and Odland each made an election in 2008 to change their account distribution method and instead received a full distribution of their account in July 2009. Following the distribution of each NEO s account, the remaining account balance for each NEO reflects the Company matching contributions that may not be withdrawn until an NEO terminates service with the Company.

44

Director Compensation

In December 2009, the Compensation Committee set the compensation of outside Directors at an annual targeted economic value (annual retainer fee) of \$200,000, with \$75,000 to be in the form of cash and the remainder in the form of restricted stock, which is fully vested at the time of grant as required under the terms of the 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan. The restricted stock is granted in a lump sum as soon as administratively practicable following the release of election results from the annual shareholder meeting which is held in April. Directors may elect to defer the time of release or payment and/or the form of payment of any shares of restricted stock by converting such stock to restricted stock units based on the stock is closing price on the date of grant. The purpose of this allocation of compensation with the majority of the annual retainer fee payable in restricted stock is to more closely align the compensation of the Directors with the interests of long-term shareholders of the Company. The reduction in the annual retainer fee from \$250,000 to \$200,000 was to align the compensation of the Directors with the median compensation of the boards of directors of the Company is Peer Group (described in the Competitive Benchmarking section earlier in this Proxy Statement). A separate compensation arrangement has been established for the three Directors added to the Board in 2009 pursuant to the terms of the Investor Rights Agreement in connection with the Company is transaction with BC Partners. Because of the equity held by BC Partners as a result of the securities purchase and because the three additional representatives are employed by BC Partners, the compensation of the Directors is payable in equal quarterly installments at the end of each quarter during which the Director served. No deferrals of cash are permitted.

The Audit Committee Chair receives additional compensation of \$25,000 annually for serving in that role, the Lead Director and the Compensation Committee Chair each receive additional compensation of \$20,000 annually for serving in their respective roles, with all other Committee Chairs each receiving \$15,000 annually for serving as chairs of their respective committees. Previously, the Compensation Committee Chair fee was \$15,000 and the Lead Director did not receive a chair fee; however, in order to recognize the substantial work being performed for both positions, the Board approved the change in the annual fee to \$20,000 for each. The additional compensation for services as the Lead Director or as a committee chair must be taken in the form of restricted stock unless the Director elects to convert such stock to restricted stock units. The Compensation Committee eliminated the use of stock options under the compensation arrangement after reviewing the board compensation levels of the Peer Group and other data provided by the Compensation Committee s compensation consultant, the Hay Group. By granting equity compensation to Directors using restricted stock, long-term interest of the Directors in the Company is achieved without the additional risk that is created by an option grant.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee approved the continued use of the stock ownership guidelines for the Directors, which requires that all Directors, with the exception of the Directors representing BC Partners, own an amount of the Company s stock equal to one times the annual retainer fee (excluding chair retainer fees) to be achieved within three years from the initial date of Board service. As of March 4, 2011, the current holdings for each Director is appropriate considering the current market value. As of March 4, 2011, nine of the ten Directors subject to the stock ownership guidelines had satisfied their ownership requirements. The tenth Director is still within his three-year time frame set forth for compliance.

The Directors were not eligible to participate in the Stock Option Exchange, which is discussed further in the Long-Term Incentive Plans section earlier in this Proxy Statement.

Following his appointment as Interim Chair and CEO, Mr. Austrian stepped down as Lead Director and his service on all committees of the Board requiring director independence was suspended, but he continues to serve as a Director and a member of the Finance Committee, and is allowed to attend any and all meetings of the other Board s committees in his capacity as interim CEO. Mr. Austrian will not receive the cash compensation portion of the Company s annual retainer fee for Directors for the period he serves as Interim Chair and CEO; however, he is eligible to participate in the equity portion of the annual retainer fee.

45

Director Legacy Program

A predecessor company, Viking Office Products, Inc., established a director legacy program in 1996. Under this program, any member of the Viking board of directors was permitted to nominate one or more charitable organizations to receive a future charitable contribution from Viking in the amount of \$1,000,000. A portion of the gift is made at the time of the Director's retirement and the remainder is to be paid at the time of the Director's death. In order to fund these charitable gift payments, Viking took out a life insurance policy on each Director's life. In 1998, the Company acquired Viking, and now the Company is the owner and beneficiary of the policies. Director participants and their estates have no legal right to the policy or its proceeds. The Company uses all of the proceeds of the life insurance policies to fund the charitable gifts designated by the Director participant. All of the premiums of the life insurance policies have been paid in full and no further premiums are required. There are no additional costs related to the maintenance of this program. After the 2011 Annual Meeting, Mr. Austrian will be the only remaining participant in this program as he will be the only remaining member of the current Board of Directors who was a member of the board of directors of Viking. Mr. Ault, who is retiring from the Board effective as of the 2011 Annual Meeting, was a participant in this program.

	Dir	ector Compen	sation Table	for Fiscal Yea	ar 2010			
(a)	(b) Fees Earned	(c) (3)(4)(9)	(d) (10)	(e) Non-Equity Incentive	(f) Change in Pension Value and NQ Deferred Compensation	ı	(g)	(h)
(1)	or Paid in	Stock	Option	Plan	Earnings		ll Other	(8)
Name	Cash (\$)	Awards (\$)	Awards (\$)(Compensation (S	\$) (\$)	Con	npensation	Total
Lee Ault	\$ 75,000	\$ 125,000						\$ 200,000
Neil Austrian	\$ 60,938(2)	\$ 160,000				\$	2,186(6)	\$ 223,124
Justin Bateman	\$ 200,000(8)							\$ 200,000
David Bernauer	\$ 75,000	\$ 125,000				\$	30,000(5)	\$ 230,000
Thomas Colligan	\$ 75,000	\$ 125,000						\$ 200,000
Marsha Evans	\$ 75,000	\$ 145,000						\$ 220,000
David Fuente	\$ 75,000	\$ 140,000				\$	54,468(5)(7)	\$ 269,468
Brenda Gaines	\$ 75,000	\$ 150,000						\$ 225,000
Myra Hart	\$ 75,000	\$ 125,000						\$ 200,000
Scott Hedrick	\$ 75,000	\$ 125,000						\$ 200,000
Kathleen Mason	\$ 75,000	\$ 125,000						\$ 200,000
James Rubin	\$ 200,000(8)							\$ 200,000
Raymond Svider	\$ 200,000(8)							\$ 200,000

- (1) Mr. Odland, the Company s former Chair and CEO, is not included in this Table as he was an employee of the Company and received no additional compensation for his services as a Director. The compensation received by Mr. Odland as an employee of the Company is shown in the Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2008 to 2010.
- (2) Upon Mr. Austrian s appointment to Interim Chair and CEO of the Company on November 1, 2010, his cash compensation as a Director was suspended. Mr. Austrian s cash fees for services as a Director were prorated for the portion of the year in which he only served as a Director. Since Mr. Austrian s annual equity grant for 2010 vested immediately upon its grant on April 22, 2010 following his re-election to the Board, he received the full amount of his 2010 equity compensation grant rather than a pro rata portion. In addition to the compensation reported in this table, Mr. Austrian s compensation for serving as Interim Chair and CEO is included on the Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Years 2008-2010 earlier in this Proxy Statement.
- (3) The dollar amounts in column (c) reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of equity awards granted within the fiscal year in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 for stock-based compensation (Formerly FAS 123R). These amounts reflect the total grant date fair value for these awards, and do not correspond to the actual cash value that will be recognized by each of the Directors when received. See Note A of the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report regarding assumptions underlying valuation of equity awards.

46

- (4) The Equity Compensation Paid to Directors for Fiscal Year 2010 Table later in this Proxy Statement represents the aggregate grant date fair value of awards of restricted stock granted to our Directors under the Company s LTIP in 2010. Annual awards are calculated by a dollar value that is then translated into restricted stock. The per share price of the restricted stock award on the grant date of April 22, 2010 was \$8.21. All restricted stock awards made to Directors were immediately vested.
- (5) All Other Compensation includes the incremental cost to the Company of charitable matching contributions in the amount of \$30,000 made by the Company on behalf of each of Messrs. Bernauer and Fuente in 2010.
- (6) All Other Compensation includes the incremental cost of personal use of company leased aircraft for Mr. Austrian (\$2,186), including the actual cost of fuel and additives, trip-related crew hotels and meals, in-flight food and beverages, landing and ground handling fees, hangar or aircraft parking costs, certain other smaller variable costs for each personal trip leg plus an allocation of maintenance costs based on the per mile cost to maintain the planes multiplied by the number of personal miles flown. Fixed costs that would be incurred in any event to operate company aircraft (e.g., aircraft and hangar lease costs, depreciation, and flight crew salaries) are not included.
- (7) All Other Compensation also includes for Mr. Fuente the cost of participating in our Executive Medical Plan (\$24,468). Mr. Fuente is entitled to these benefits pursuant to the residual terms of the employment agreement that the Company had with Mr. Fuente when he was the CEO of Office Depot. Mr. Fuente served as CEO of Office Depot from 1987 to 2000.
- (8) Total as shown reflects total of columns (b), (c) and (g). These amounts reflect the total grant date fair value for these awards, and do not correspond to the actual cash value that will be recognized by each of the Directors when received. See footnotes 3 and 4 above. Except for the Directors who are representatives of BC Partners, the Directors receive annual compensation of: (a) \$75,000 in cash, and (b) the remainder of the annual retainer fee and chair fees, if applicable, in restricted stock as discussed further in the Director Compensation section earlier in this Proxy Statement. The BC Partners Directors received their 2010 compensation entirely in cash.
- (9) As of December 25, 2010, there were no outstanding shares of restricted stock held by any Directors. Beginning in 2007, all annual grants of equity to the Directors fully vest at the grant date. As of December 25, 2010, the following Directors held the following number of restricted stock units based on our common stock: Marsha Evans 25,481, David Fuente 16,859, Myra Hart 15,225, and Scott Hedrick 15,225. Please see the table Equity Compensation Paid to Directors for Fiscal Year 2010 later in this Proxy Statement for all equity granted in 2010.
- (10) As of December 25, 2010, the following Directors held options to purchase the following number of shares of our common stock: Lee Ault 57,311, Neil Austrian 536,002 (also shown in the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year-End table earlier in this Proxy Statement), David Bernauer 132,426, Thomas Colligan 0, Marsha Evans 19,368, David Fuente 46,061, Brenda Gaines 120,060, Myra Hart 110,375, Scott Hedrick 122,080, and Kathleen Mason 56,013.

47

	Equity Compensation Paid	To Director	s For Fiscal Year 20	10		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) Grant Date	(e)	(f) (1) Grant Date	(g) Total Value of Equity
Dimenters.	Count Date	Option	Fair Value of	Stock	Fair Value of	Awards for
Directors Lee Ault	Grant Date 4/22/10	Awards	Option Awards	Awards 15,225	\$ 125,000	2010 \$ 125,000
Neil Austrian(2)	4/22/10			17,052	\$ 140,000	\$ 125,000
Nell Australi(2)	7/29/10			4,662	\$ 20,000	\$ 160,000
David Bernauer	4/22/10			15,225	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
Thomas Colligan	4/22/10			15,225	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
Marsha Evans	4/22/10			17.052	\$ 140,000	Ψ 123,000
Warsha Dvans	7/29/10			1.166	\$ 5,000	\$ 145,000
David Fuente	4/22/10			17.052	\$ 140,000	\$ 140,000
Brenda Gaines	4/22/10			18.270	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
Myra Hart	4/22/10			15,225	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
Scott Hedrick	4/22/10			15,225	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000
Kathleen Mason	4/22/10			15,225	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are determined using the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the grant date. See footnote 3 in the previous Director Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2010 for additional information.

⁽²⁾ In addition to the equity awards reflected in this table, Mr. Austrian also received equity awards in 2010 for his services as Interim Chair and CEO, which are included in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2010 Table earlier in this Proxy Statement.

SUMMARY OF EXECUTIVE AGREEMENTS AND POTENTIAL PAYMENTS

UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

We have entered into Employment Agreements, Offer Letters, Retention Agreements and Change in Control Agreements with the Company s NEOs. Material items addressed in these agreements include the term of the arrangement (including renewal provisions), the elements of the executive s compensation, the amounts and benefits payable on various termination events (including a change in control of the Company) and restrictions relating to non-competition, non-solicitation and confidentiality of information imposed on the executive management, all of which are described in more detail below.

Key Definitions

Cause. The following actions constitute cause for all respective agreements described in this section. Differences reflect various combinations of the time at which agreements were reached, the level of the position and/or market conditions at the time of the initial hire:

Actions that Constitute Cause	Neil Austrian(1)	Michael Newman(2)(3)	Charles Brown	Kevin Peters(2)	Steven Schmidt(2)	Steve Odland (former CEO)(5)
Willful engagement in illegal conduct or gross misconduct which is materially and demonstrably injurious to the Company			X(4)			X
Engagement in illegal conduct or gross misconduct in violation of the Company s Code of Ethical Behavior		X	X(2)	X	X	
Conviction of a felony or willful malfeasance or gross negligence in discharging executive s duties, resulting in material harm to the Company	X					
Willful and material violation of a material provision of the Company s written policies, including the Company s Code of Ethical Behavior						X
Willful and continued failure to perform substantially executive s duties (other than from incapacity due to physical or mental illness), after written demand for substantial performance by the Board						X
Willful and continued failure to perform substantially executive s duties (other than from incapacity due to physical or mental illness), after written demand for substantial performance by the CEO			X(4)			
Continued failure to perform substantially executive s duties, after written demand for substantial performance by the Board of Directors or the CEO		X	X(2)	X	X	

(1) As set forth in the Letter Agreement for Neil Austrian.

Table of Contents

agreement

- (2) As set forth in the Change in Control Agreement dated in December, 2010.
- (3) As set forth in the Retention Agreement for Michael Newman dated November 4, 2010.
- (4) As set forth in the Executive Employment Agreement for Charles Brown as amended and restated effective December 31, 2008 and subsequently amended April 26, 2010.
- (5) As set forth in the Amended Employment Agreement for Steve Odland effective as of February 19, 2010.

Good Reason. The following actions constitute good reason for all respective agreements described in this section. Differences reflect various combinations of the time at which agreements were reached, the level of the position and/or market conditions at the time of the initial hire:

Actions that Constitute Good Reason	Neil	Michael Newman(2)	Charles Brown	Kevin Peters(2)	Steven Schmidt(2)	Steve Odland (former CEO)(4)
Assignment of duties materially inconsistent with executive s position, authority, duties or responsibilities or any other action by the Company that diminishes executive s position, authority, duties or responsibilities	Austrian(1)	Newman(2)	Brown	Tettis(2)	Schillet(2)	X
Assignment of duties inconsistent with executive s position, authority, duties or responsibilities or any other action by the Company that diminishes executive s position, authority, duties or responsibilities			X(3)			
Failure by the Company to comply with the compensation and benefit provisions of the agreement			X(3)			
Requirement that executive be based at any location not within vicinity of the Company s headquarters			X(3)			
Purported termination of executive s employment other than as expressly permitted by the agreement			X(3)			
Material diminution of executive s authorities, duties or responsibilities		X	X(2)	X	X	
Material failure by the Company to comply with the compensation and benefit provisions in the agreement		X	X(2)	X	X	
Material change in the office or location at which executive is based or requiring executive to travel on Company business to a substantially greater extent than required immediately prior to the						
change in control effective date Material failure by the Company to require the Company s successor to assume and perform the agreement		X X	X(2) X(2)	X X	X X	
Failure to maintain executive in the position(s) set forth in the		Λ	$\Lambda(2)$	Λ	Λ	

50

X

Actions that Constitute Good Reason	Neil Austrian(1)	Michael Newman(2)	Charles Brown	Kevin Peters(2)	Steven Schmidt(2)	Steve Odland (former CEO)(4)
Material breach by the Company of a material provision of the agreement, and failure of Company to cure such breach within 30 days after executive s written notice						X
Requirement that executive be based at any location more than 35 miles from the Company s principal office						X
A termination by executive for any reason during the 30-day period immediately preceding the first anniversary of the effective date of a change in control						X
The Company s refusal to extend the employment term						X

- (1) The Letter Agreement for Neil Austrian does not include a reference to Good Reason.
- (2) As set forth in the Change in Control Agreement dated in December, 2010.
- (3) As set forth in the Executive Employment Agreement for Charles Brown as amended and restated effective December 31, 2008 and subsequently amended April 26, 2010.
- (4) As set forth in the Amended Employment Agreement for Steve Odland.

Change in Control. The following conditions constitute a change in control for all respective agreements described in this section. Differences reflect various combinations of the time at which agreements were reached, the level of the position and/or market conditions at the time of the initial hire:

	Neil Michael	Charles	Kevin	Steven	Steve Odland (former
Actions that Constitute Change in Control	Austrian(1) Newman(2)(3)	Brown(2)(3)	Peters(2)(3)	Schmidt(2)(3)	CEO)(4)
If any person or group (other than an exempt person) becomes the					
beneficial owner of 30% or more of the combined voting power of					
the outstanding securities of the Company without the approval of the Company s Board of Directors (2)	X	X	X	X	
the Company's Board of Directors (2)	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	
If any person (other than an exempt person) is or becomes the					
beneficial owner of greater than 50% of the combined voting					
power of the outstanding securities of the Company	X	X	X	X	
If during any period of two consecutive years, individuals who					
were Directors at the beginning of the period and any new					
Directors whose election by the Board or nomination for election					
by the shareholders was approved by at least two-thirds of the					
Directors then still in office cease for any reason to constitute a					
majority of the Board	X	X	X	X	

Actions that Constitute Change in Control	Neil Michael Austrian(1) Newman(2)(3)	Charles Brown(2)(3)	Kevin Peters(2)(3)	Steven Schmidt(2)(3)	Steve Odland (former CEO)(4)
Upon consummation of a merger or consolidation of the Company with any other corporation (subject to certain exceptions)	X	X	X	X	X
Upon a sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company s assets, other than a sale to an exempt person	X	X	X	X	X
Upon approval by shareholders of a plan of complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company	X	X	X	X	X
Acquisition by any person or group other than an exempt person of: (i) 20% or more of the combined voting power of the Company s then outstanding securities without the prior approval of the Company s Board of Directors, or (ii) greater than 50% of the combined voting power of the Company s then outstanding securities, whether or not the Company s Board of Directors has first given its approval					X
Individuals who were Directors on February 19, 2010 (incumben board) cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the Board; however, incumbent board: (i) includes any new Director whose election or nomination by the shareholders was approved by a majority vote of the Directors then comprising the incumbent board, and (ii) excludes any new Director whose initial assumption of office occurs as a result of an actual or threatened election contest with respect to the election or removal of Directors or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or					
consents by or on behalf of a person other than the Board					X

- (1) A change in control is not referenced in the Letter Agreement with Neil Austrian.
- (2) Any sale or transfer by BC Partners or their affiliates of their equity interest in the Company, other than a sale with other shareholders, will be disregarded for purposes of this definition. Any acquisition or continued holding by BC Partners or their affiliates of equity interests shall be disregarded for purposes of the 30% threshold.
- (3) As set forth in the Change in Control Agreement dated in December, 2010.
- (4) As set forth in the Amended Employment Agreement for Steve Odland.

52

Agreements with Neil Austrian, Interim Chair and CEO

In connection with his appointment as Interim Chair and CEO, the Company entered into a letter agreement (Letter Agreement) and a Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement (the Option Agreement), each dated November 2, 2010, with Mr. Austrian to reflect the unique nature of the newly created interim position until a permanent CEO is hired by the Company.

Under the Letter Agreement, Mr. Austrian is eligible to receive a monthly salary of \$200,000. Mr. Austrian was also granted a non-qualified stock option to purchase 400,000 shares of common stock of the Company (the Option) pursuant to and subject to the terms of the Company s 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan). The Option was granted with an exercise price of \$4.43, and with such other customary terms as determined by the Compensation Committee under the Incentive Plan and as set forth in the Option Agreement. The Option vested with respect to one third (1/3) of the shares on the grant date of November 2, 2010, and will vest 1/3 on each of the first and second anniversaries of the grant date (each a Scheduled Vesting Date), subject to Mr. Austrian, on each Scheduled Vesting Date, continuing to serve as, (i) CEO through the date of commencement of employment of a successor CEO of the Company (Successor CEO Event), and as (ii) a member of the Board of the Directors of the Company, from and after the employment of such Successor CEO.

Under the Letter Agreement, if Mr. Austrian continues to be employed as interim CEO through the Successor CEO Event, then as of such date, the Option shall vest (to the extent not previously vested) with respect to 50% of the shares subject to the Option, and the then remaining unvested shares covered by the Option shall vest, subject to Mr. Austrian s continued services as a member of the Board of Directors on the first anniversary of the Successor CEO Event. In addition, the Option, unless previously forfeited by Mr. Austrian pursuant to a Forfeiture Event (defined below), shall become 100% vested upon the occurrence of any of the following events:

if prior to the occurrence of a Successor CEO Event, (A) Mr. Austrian s employment as CEO of the Company terminates for any reason other than because of his resignation or for termination by the Company for good cause or (B) Mr. Austrian has not been re-elected to the Board, despite having offered himself as a candidate for re-election to the Board;

following the occurrence of a Successor CEO Event, if Mr. Austrian dies, ceases performing services as a Director upon becoming Disabled (as defined in the Option Agreement), or has not been re-elected to the Board, despite having offered himself as a candidate for re-election to the Board (other than following the occurrence of a Director Good Cause Event (defined below)); or

immediately prior to the date of any Change in Control of the Company (as defined in the Incentive Plan). The Option shall expire on November 2, 2017, subject to earlier expiration due to certain termination events as described in Section 2(b) of the Option Agreement.

Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, the unvested portion of the Option, if any, shall be forfeited without consideration if Mr. Austrian resigns or his employment as CEO is terminated by the Company with good cause, in either case, prior to the Successor CEO Event or, thereafter, in the event of (A) Mr. Austrian s resignation as a member of the Board, or (B) termination for cause (as defined in the Letter Agreement).

The Letter Agreement may be terminated by either party with sixty (60) days notice to the other, and will terminate immediately upon Mr. Austrian s death or upon termination of his employment by the Company for good cause.

Agreements with Michael Newman, Executive Vice President and CFO

The Company s Executive Vice President and CFO, Michael Newman, is employed pursuant to the terms of an employment offer letter agreement dated August 22, 2008, which was subsequently amended effective December 31, 2008 to bring the agreement into documentary compliance with Section 409A of the Internal

Table of Contents

72

Table of Contents

Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). In addition, the agreement was further amended effective April 23, 2010 to recognize the change in Mr. Newman starget bonus percentage under the annual cash bonus plan from 70% to 75% of his base salary beginning with the 2010 performance period. The Company is also party to a retention agreement with Mr. Newman dated November 4, 2010 and a Change in Control Agreement with Mr. Newman dated December 17, 2010.

Employment Offer Letter Agreement. Under the Employment Offer Letter Agreement dated August 22, 2008, as amended effective December 31, 2008 and April 23, 2010, Mr. Newman is eligible to receive the following:

a base salary of \$625,000 per annum, subject to annual review by the Compensation Committee;

the right to participate in the Company s bonus plans and equity plans for senior executive officers; and

certain benefits and perquisites.

Mr. Newman s employment is terminable at will by either Mr. Newman or the Company. If Mr. Newman s employment is involuntarily terminated by the Company for no fault of his own, then he is eligible to receive:

A payment of 18 months of the executive s annual base salary on the date of termination;

a payment of 18 times the difference between the Company s monthly COBRA premium for the type of coverage in effect for executive on the date of termination and the applicable active employee monthly premium for such coverage;

a pro-rata annual bonus, based on actual performance, for the year of termination; and

a target annual bonus for the calendar year prior to the year of termination, if the annual bonus for such year has not yet been paid as of the date of termination.

Mr. Newman s severance entitlements are conditional upon his execution of a release of claims against the Company and its affiliates, and his compliance with the terms of that release.

Restrictive Covenants. Mr. Newman is also subject to a Non-Compete Agreement that subjects him to various restrictive covenants that can limit his post-employment activity. These include an 18-month non-competition period that bars employment or engaging in any business for any competitor. Other restrictions include non-solicitation and non-interference provisions. In addition, non-disclosure provisions protect the Company s confidential information and work product.

Retention Agreement. Under the Retention Agreement between the Company and Mr. Newman dated November 4, 2010, Mr. Newman is eligible to earn a retention payment of up to \$1,937,500 (the Retention Payment), if he remains actively employed until the last day of the retention period which expires on the date the Company files its Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2011, but no later than December 31, 2012 (the Retention Period). The Retention Payment is payable to Mr. Newman in two installments as follows as long as he remains actively employed with the Company until the last day of the Retention Period:

(i) the first installment of \$937,500 shall vest upon the earlier of: the date in 2011 that the Company files its Form 10-K for the 2010 fiscal year, or December 31, 2011, and shall be payable to Mr. Newman on the earlier of: within thirty (30) days after the Company files its Form 10-K for the 2010 fiscal year or December 31, 2011; and

(ii) the second installment of \$1,000,00 shall vest upon the earlier of: the date in 2012 that the Company files its Form 10-K for the 2011 fiscal year, or December 31, 2012, and shall be payable to Mr. Newman on the earlier of: within thirty (30) days after the Company files its Form 10-K for the 2011 fiscal year or December 31, 2012.

54

Table of Contents

If prior to the vesting of one or both installments of the Retention Payment, Mr. Newman s employment is terminated: (i) by the Company as a result of a termination for cause (as defined in the Retention Agreement), or (ii) by Mr. Newman for any reason, the remaining portion of the Retention Payment which has not yet vested shall be immediately forfeited. If Mr. Newman s employment is involuntarily terminated prior to the end of the Retention Period by the Company for any reason other than cause, any such termination shall result in an immediate vesting of the remaining portion of the Retention Payment which has not yet vested. Such Retention Payment shall be payable to Mr. Newman in addition to any severance benefits that may be payable to him pursuant to the terms in his Offer Letter, as amended, upon separation from employment, or as set forth in any then applicable change in control agreement between Mr. Newman and the Company. If, as a result of Mr. Newman s misconduct, the Company is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to the Company s material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws, the Board may seek recoupment of the Retention Payments.

In addition, Mr. Newman was guaranteed to receive his 2010 bonus under the annual cash bonus plan at his 75% target amount regardless of Company performance, with such bonus to be payable in 2011 at the time specified for the payment of bonuses generally under the 2010 annual cash bonus plan.

Change in Control Agreement. The Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Mr. Newman dated December 17, 2010 governs the terms and conditions of Mr. Newman s employment with the Company for the one year period following the date of a change in control (as defined in the Change in Control Agreement). During this period, if the Company terminates Mr. Newman s employment other than for cause, death or disability or if Mr. Newman resigns for good reason (as such terms are defined in the Change in Control Agreement) following the change in control, Mr. Newman will be eligible to receive:

certain accrued compensation, obligations and other benefits (as provided in the Change in Control Agreement);

a pro rata portion of the target annual bonus;

two times the sum of annual base salary and target annual bonus;

a payment of 18 times the Company s monthly COBRA premium for the type of coverage in effect for executive on the date of termination; and

a 24-month executive outplacement services package.

If Mr. Newman dies or the Company terminates Mr. Newman s employment on account of disability following the change in control, Mr. Newman will be eligible to receive the payments and benefits described in the first two bullets above.

Agreements with Charles Brown, President, International Division

The Company entered into an Executive Employment Agreement initially dated October 8, 2001 with Charles Brown, who was Executive Vice President and CFO when the Executive Employment Agreement was initially entered into and who was subsequently named President, International Division in 2005, in addition to his role as CFO which he maintained until a replacement was hired for such position. Mr. Brown s Executive Employment Agreement was amended as of July 26, 2005 to recognize Mr. Brown s promotion to President, International Division, amended and restated effective December 31, 2008 to bring the agreement into documentary compliance with Code Section 409A, and amended as of April 26, 2010 to recognize the addition of a special retention bonus in exchange for the elimination of a walk-away right which existed under the provisions of Mr. Brown s Change in Control Agreement in effect at the time. The Company is also a party to a Change in Control Agreement with Mr. Brown dated December 16, 2010.

Table of Contents

Executive Employment Agreement. Under the Executive Employment Agreement with Mr. Brown dated October 8, 2001, as amended effective July 26, 2005, December 31, 2008, and April 26, 2010, the agreement term runs for successive one-year periods renewing on July 25 of each year unless either Mr. Brown or the Company gives at least 6 months prior written notice that the term is not to be extended. Under the Executive Employment Agreement, Mr. Brown is eligible to receive the following:

a base salary of \$625,000 per annum, subject to annual review and upward adjustment by the Compensation Committee;

an annual bonus through participation in the Company s annual bonus plan for senior executives or other bonus plans offered to him; and

certain benefits and perquisites.

Mr. Brown s employment may be terminated by the Company or by Mr. Brown at any time, subject to the terms and conditions of the Executive Employment Agreement. The respective rights and obligations of Mr. Brown and the Company depend upon the party that initiates the termination and the reason for the termination.

The following table summarizes the termination events (each as defined in Mr. Brown s Executive Employment Agreement) and the payments Mr. Brown is eligible to receive upon each event.

Termination Event

Payment

1. Termination for cause or resignation without good reason

accrued compensation and benefits (including vested and earned but unpaid amounts under incentive plans and other employee programs); and

a special retention bonus of up to \$3,000,000 to the extent vested as of the termination date, with such special retention bonus to vest \$1,000,000 on each of September 14, 2010, September 14, 2011, and September 14, 2012; provided that, Mr. Brown is employed with the Company continuously through each vesting date.

2. Termination resulting from death or disability

accrued compensation and benefits (including vested and earned but unpaid amounts under incentive plans and other employee programs);

a pro rata portion of the target annual bonus; and

a special retention bonus of \$3,000,000.

3. Termination without cause or resignation for good reason

accrued compensation and benefits (including vested and earned but unpaid amounts under incentive plans and other employee programs);

one point five (1.5) times base salary;

a pro rata portion of the target annual bonus;

a payment of 18 times the Company s monthly COBRA and other welfare plan premiums for the type of coverage in effect for executive on the date of termination; and

a special retention bonus of \$3,000,000.

56

Table of Contents

Restrictive Covenants. Mr. Brown s Executive Employment Agreement subjects him to various restrictive covenants that can limit his post-employment activity. These include a 12-month non-competition period that bars employment or engaging in any business for any competitor. Other restrictions include non-solicitation and non-interference provisions. In addition, non-disclosure provisions protect the Company s confidential information and work product.

Change in Control Agreement. The substantive provisions of the Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Mr. Brown dated December 16, 2010 accord with those described above for Mr. Newman s Change in Control Agreement dated December 17, 2010.

Agreements with Kevin Peters, President, North American Retail Division

The Company s President, North American Retail Division, Kevin Peters, is employed pursuant to the terms of an employment offer letter agreement with Mr. Peters, dated September 20, 2007, which was subsequently amended effective December 31, 2008 to bring the agreement into documentary compliance with Code Section 409A. In addition, the agreement was amended effective April 21, 2010 to recognize his promotion to President, North American Retail Division. The Company is also a party to a Change in Control Agreement with Mr. Peters dated December 17, 2010.

Employment Offer Letter Agreement. Under the Employment Offer Letter Agreement with Mr. Peters, as amended effective December 31, 2008 and April 21, 2010, Mr. Peters is eligible to receive the following:

a base salary of \$625,000 per annum, subject to annual review by the Compensation Committee;

the right to participate in the Company s bonus plans and equity plans for senior executive officers; and

certain benefits and perquisites.

Mr. Peters employment is terminable at will by either Mr. Peters or the Company. If Mr. Peters employment is involuntarily terminated by the Company for no fault of his own, then he is eligible to receive:

A payment of 18 months of the executive s annual base salary on the date of termination;

a payment of 18 times the Company s monthly COBRA premium for the type of coverage in effect for executive on the date of termination:

a pro-rata target annual bonus for the year of termination; and

a target annual bonus for the calendar year prior to the year of termination, if the annual bonus for such year has not yet been paid as of the date of termination.

Mr. Peters severance entitlements are conditional upon his execution of a release of claims against the Company and its affiliates, successors and assigns and his compliance with the terms of that release.

Restrictive Covenants. Mr. Peters is also subject to a Non-Compete Agreement that subjects him to various restrictive covenants that can limit his post-employment activity. These include an 18-month non-competition period that bars employment or engaging in any business for any competitor. Other restrictions include non-solicitation and non-interference provisions. In addition, non-disclosure provisions protect the Company s confidential information and work product.

Change in Control Agreement. The substantive provisions of the Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Mr. Peters dated December 17, 2010 accord with those described above for Mr. Newman s Change in Control Agreement dated December 17, 2010.

Table of Contents

Agreements with Steven Schmidt, President, Business Solutions Division

The Company s President, Business Solutions Division, Steven Schmidt, is employed pursuant to the terms of an employment offer letter agreement with Mr. Schmidt, dated July 10, 2007, which was subsequently amended effective December 31, 2008 to bring the agreement into documentary compliance with Section 409A. The Company is also a party to a Change in Control Agreement with Mr. Schmidt dated December 16, 2010.

Employment Offer Letter Agreement. Under the Employment Offer Letter Agreement with Mr. Schmidt dated July 10, 2007, as amended effective December 31, 2008, Mr. Schmidt is eligible to receive the following:

a base salary of \$625,000 per annum, subject to annual review by the Compensation Committee;

the right to participate in the Company s bonus plans and equity plans for senior executive officers; and

certain benefits and perquisites.

Mr. Schmidt s employment is terminable at will by either Mr. Schmidt or the Company. If Mr. Schmidt s employment is involuntarily terminated by the Company for no fault of his own, then he is eligible to receive:

A payment of 18 months of the executive s annual base salary on the date of termination;

a payment of 18 times the Company s monthly COBRA premium for the type of coverage in effect for executive on the date of termination:

a pro-rata target annual bonus for the year of termination; and

a target annual bonus for the calendar year prior to the year of termination, if the annual bonus for such year has not yet been paid as of the date of termination.

Mr. Schmidt's severance entitlements are conditional upon his execution of a release of claims against the Company and its affiliates, successors, and assigns, and his compliance with the terms of that release.

Restrictive Covenants. Mr. Schmidt is also subject to a Non-Compete Agreement that subjects him to various restrictive covenants that can limit his post-employment activity. These include an 18-month non-competition period that bars employment or engaging in any business for any competitor. Other restrictions include non-solicitation and non-interference provisions. In addition, non-disclosure provisions protect the Company's confidential information and work product.

Change in Control Agreement. The substantive provisions of the Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Mr. Schmidt dated December 16, 2010 accord with those described above for Mr. Newman s Change in Control Agreement dated December 17, 2010.

Amended Employment Agreement with Steve Odland

On February 19, 2010, the Company entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Odland (Amended Employment Agreement) that amended certain provisions related to severance and change in control arrangements and eliminated tax gross-up benefits contained in his Employment Agreement originally dated March 11, 2005, as amended on February 28, 2008 (Previous Employment Agreement). Specifically, the Amended Employment Agreement, among other things, eliminated Mr. Odland s ability to terminate his employment for any reason during the 30-day period immediately preceding the first anniversary of the effective date of the change in control

triggered by the BC Partners equity purchase. The Amended Employment Agreement also provided for the payment of a cash retention award to Mr. Odland subject to certain conditions. The Amended Employment Agreement became effective on February 19, 2010 for a term ending March 11, 2011, which would have automatically renewed for an additional one year period on each successive March 11 unless the Company or Mr. Odland provided 90 days prior written notice that the term of employment should not be extended. Mr. Odland resigned from his position as the Company s Chair and CEO effective November 1, 2010.

58

Table of Contents

Compensation, Benefits and Perquisites. The compensation arrangements under Mr. Odland s Amended Employment Agreement were similar to those in his Previous Employment Agreement and are described below.

Mr. Odland was eligible for the following:

a base salary at the annual rate of at least \$1,000,000, subject to annual review and upward adjustment by the Compensation Committee;

an annual bonus, pursuant to the terms of the Company s bonus program for executives, with a target level of 160% of base salary if target annual performance is met. The annual performance targets are established by the Compensation Committee;

participation in all long-term equity incentive plans and programs that cover the Company s senior executives, as well as in the welfare benefit plans and arrangements generally made available to the Company s other senior executives; and

participation in the Company s benefit and perquisite programs in effect from time to time for the Company s senior executives, including (i) a \$25,000 annual car allowance and (ii) personal use of the Company s corporate aircraft, but such usage is limited to a maximum of 100 hours per calendar year and is subject to income imputation.

As a result of the change in control that occurred pursuant to the BC Partners transaction in 2009, all unvested stock options, restricted stock and other long-term equity or other long-term incentive awards then held by Mr. Odland became fully (100%) vested.

Pursuant to his Amended Employment Agreement, in connection with his resignation effective November 1, 2010, Mr. Odland received:

accrued compensation and benefits (including accrued, unused vacation and other benefits);

a pro rata portion of annual bonus, based on actual performance;

\$5 million retention award;

a payment of 24 times the Company s monthly COBRA and other welfare benefit plan premiums for the type of coverage in effect for executive on the date of termination;

a cash severance payment equal to two times the sum of base salary and target bonus which amount shall be no less than \$5.2 million;

full and immediate vesting of all time-vested restricted stock, stock options and all other time-vested long-term equity or other long-term incentive awards; and

the lesser of (i) 24 months or (ii) the remaining option term to exercise stock options.

Restrictive Covenants. In the Amended Employment Agreement, Mr. Odland agreed to various restrictive covenants that could limit certain post-employment activity. These included a two-year non-competition agreement that barred employment or engaging in any business for any

competitor, including office products retailers (other than a business selling office products and supplies as a minor portion of its business). Other restrictions included non-solicitation and non-interference provisions relating to the Company s employees or employees of any subsidiary, as well as non-solicitation provisions relating to customers, suppliers and other business relations. In addition, non-disclosure provisions protected the Company s confidential information and work product. Among other remedies, the Company is entitled to cease making payments under Mr. Odland s Amended Employment Agreement in the event he violates his post-employment covenants.

Table of Contents

TABULAR INFORMATION REGARDING POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION

OR A CHANGE IN CONTROL

The following tables quantify the potential termination and change in control payment amounts assuming a hypothetical triggering event had occurred under each of the NEO employment agreements, change in control agreements and equity plans as of December 23, 2010. The terms and conditions of the post employment and change in control provisions for each of the NEOs are described in detail above.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or a Change in Control

Neil Austrian

	Termination Resulting from Death (a)	Termination Resulting from Disability (b)	Termination Resulting from Retirement (c)	Termination for Cause (d)	Termination or Resignation w/ Good Reason Prior To Change in	Involuntary Termination or Resignation w/ Good Reason Upon or After Change in Control (w/o Cause) (f)	Termination for All Other Reasons (Voluntary) (g)	Change in Control without Termination (h)
Bonus(1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Benefits(1)	\$	\$						