

United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP
Form 10-Q
August 14, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012.**

OR

.. **Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from to .**

Commission File Number: 001-34535

United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

26-0431733
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1530
Oakland, California 94612
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(510) 522-9600
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

1320 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 145
Alameda, California 94502
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES 12 MONTH NATURAL GAS FUND, LP

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Condensed Financial Statements.</u>	1
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u>	16
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u>	37
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures.</u>	38
<u>Part II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings.</u>	39
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors.</u>	39
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.</u>	39
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.</u>	39
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.</u>	39
<u>Item 5. Other Information.</u>	39
<u>Item 6. Exhibits.</u>	40

Table of Contents

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Financial Statements.

Index to Condensed Financial Statements

Documents

	Page
<u>Condensed Statements of Financial Condition at June 30, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u>	2
<u>Condensed Schedule of Investments (Unaudited) at June 30, 2012</u>	3
<u>Condensed Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	4
<u>Condensed Statement of Changes in Partners' Capital (Unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2012</u>	5
<u>Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Financial Statements for the period ended June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)</u>	7

Table of Contents*United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP**Condensed Statements of Financial Condition**At June 30, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011*

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 5)	\$ 33,777,195	\$ 19,719,092
Equity in UBS Securities LLC trading accounts:		
Cash and cash equivalents	6,707,457	10,131,179
Unrealized loss on open commodity futures contracts	(2,185,700)	(8,583,320)
Receivable for units sold	2,593,326	
Receivable for General Partner (Note 3)	28,921	113,169
Dividend receivable	299	195
Interest receivable		8
Other assets	1,993	203
<i>Total assets</i>	\$ 40,923,491	\$ 21,380,526
Liabilities and Partners' Capital		
Investment payable	\$	\$ 8
Professional fees payable	50,143	151,075
General Partner management fees payable (Note 3)	22,321	14,661
Brokerage commissions payable	4,008	4,260
Other liabilities	1,452	976
<i>Total liabilities</i>	77,924	170,980
Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 3, 4, and 5)		
Partners' Capital		
General Partner		
Limited Partners	40,845,567	21,209,546
<i>Total Partners' Capital</i>	40,845,567	21,209,546
<i>Total liabilities and partners' capital</i>	\$ 40,923,491	\$ 21,380,526
Limited Partners' units outstanding	2,350,000	1,000,000
Net asset value per unit	\$ 17.38	\$ 21.21
Market value per unit	\$ 17.44	\$ 21.12

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents*United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP**Condensed Schedule of Investments (Unaudited)**At June 30, 2012*

	Number of Contracts	Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Open Commodity Contracts	% of Partners Capital
Open Futures Contracts - Long			
United States Contracts			
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG August 2012 contracts, expiring July 2012	104	\$ (597,280)	(1.46)
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG September 2012 contracts, expiring August 2012	103	(536,450)	(1.31)
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG October 2012 contracts, expiring September 2012	104	(552,110)	(1.35)
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG November 2012 contracts, expiring October 2012	103	(366,270)	(0.90)
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG December 2012 contracts, expiring November 2012	104	(307,210)	(0.75)
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG January 2013 contracts, expiring December 2012	103	(176,130)	(0.43)
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG February 2013 contracts, expiring January 2013	104	(57,450)	(0.14)
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG March 2013 contracts, expiring February 2013	103	(74,320)	(0.18)
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG April 2013 contracts, expiring March 2013	104	51,040	0.12
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG May 2013 contracts, expiring April 2013	103	205,570	0.50
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG June 2013 contracts, expiring May 2013	104	(37,770)	(0.09)
NYMEX Natural Gas Futures NG July 2013 contracts, expiring June 2013	103	262,680	0.64
Total Open Futures Contracts	1,242	\$ (2,185,700)	(5.35)
	Principal Amount	Market Value	
Cash Equivalents			
United States Treasury Obligation			
U.S. Treasury Bill, 0.09%, 10/11/2012	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,999,242	7.34
United States - Money Market Funds			
Fidelity Institutional Government Portfolio - Class I	2,508,989	2,508,989	6.14
Goldman Sachs Financial Square Funds - Government Fund - Class SL	1,502,066	1,502,066	3.68
Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund - Government Portfolio	6,509,036	6,509,036	15.94
Wells Fargo Advantage Government Money Market Fund - Class I	5,000,000	5,000,000	12.24
Total Money Market Funds		15,520,091	38.00
Total Cash Equivalents		\$ 18,519,333	45.34

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents*United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP**Condensed Statements of Operations (Unaudited)**For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011*

	Three months ended June 30, 2012	Three months ended June 30, 2011	Six months ended June 30, 2012	Six months ended June 30, 2011
Income				
Gain (loss) on trading of commodity contracts:				
Realized loss on closed positions	\$ (5,047,770)	\$ (1,127,760)	\$ (10,812,920)	\$ (3,068,370)
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on open positions	7,351,410	(799,220)	6,397,620	716,570
Dividend income	946	880	1,581	3,081
Interest income	1,521	123	2,398	227
Other income	3,150	1,000	7,000	5,000
Total income (loss)	2,309,257	(1,924,977)	(4,404,321)	(2,343,492)
Expenses				
General Partner management fees (Note 3)	62,288	69,828	105,990	128,076
Professional fees	25,071	49,868	50,143	99,188
Brokerage commissions	4,592	1,712	7,999	4,378
Other expenses	2,647	3,352	4,484	6,074
Total expenses	94,598	124,760	168,616	237,716
Expense waiver (Note 3)	(12,614)	(35,903)	(28,921)	(73,573)
Net expenses	81,984	88,857	139,695	164,143
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,227,273	\$ (2,013,834)	\$ (4,544,016)	\$ (2,507,635)
Net income (loss) per limited partnership unit	\$ 1.01	\$ (1.70)	\$ (3.83)	\$ (2.45)
Net income (loss) per weighted average limited partnership unit	\$ 1.10	\$ (1.81)	\$ (2.77)	\$ (2.44)
Weighted average limited partnership units outstanding	2,024,725	1,115,385	1,643,132	1,025,967

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents*United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP**Condensed Statement of Changes in Partners' Capital (Unaudited)**For the six months ended June 30, 2012*

	General Partner	Limited Partners	Total
Balances, at December 31, 2011	\$	\$ 21,209,546	\$ 21,209,546
Addition of 1,450,000 partnership units		25,843,236	25,843,236
Redemption of 100,000 partnership units		(1,663,200)	(1,663,200)
Net loss		(4,544,016)	(4,544,016)
 Balances, at June 30, 2012	 \$	 \$ 40,845,566	 \$ 40,845,566
 Net Asset Value Per Unit:			
At December 31, 2011	\$	21.21	
At June 30, 2012	\$	17.38	

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents*United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP**Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)**For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011*

	Six months ended June 30, 2012	Six months ended June 30, 2011
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net loss	\$ (4,544,016)	\$ (2,507,635)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Decrease in commodity futures trading account - cash and cash equivalents	3,423,722	2,204,949
Unrealized gain on futures contracts	(6,397,620)	(716,570)
Decrease in receivable from General Partner	84,248	78,116
(Increase) decrease in dividend receivable	(104)	808
Decrease in interest receivable	8	
Increase in other assets	(1,789)	(241)
Increase (decrease) in investment payable	(8)	12
Decrease in professional fees payable	(100,932)	(105,817)
Increase (decrease) in General Partner management fees payable	7,660	(1,045)
Decrease in brokerage commissions payable	(252)	(200)
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	476	(316)
<i>Net cash used in operating activities</i>	(7,528,607)	(1,047,939)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Addition of partnership units	23,249,910	10,130,630
Redemption of partnership units	(1,663,200)	(6,812,861)
<i>Net cash provided by financing activities</i>	21,586,710	3,317,769
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	14,058,103	2,269,830
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of period	19,719,092	30,313,275
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of period	\$ 33,777,195	\$ 32,583,105

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP

Notes to Condensed Financial Statements

For the period ended June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS

The United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP (US12NG) was organized as a limited partnership under the laws of the state of Delaware on June 27, 2007. US12NG is a commodity pool that issues limited partnership units (units) that may be purchased and sold on the NYSE Arca, Inc. (the NYSE Arca). US12NG will continue in perpetuity, unless terminated sooner upon the occurrence of one or more events as described in its Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership dated as of October 30, 2009 (the LP Agreement). The investment objective of US12NG is for the daily changes in percentage terms of its units per unit net asset value (NAV) to reflect the daily changes in percentage terms of the spot price of natural gas delivered at the Henry Hub, Louisiana, as measured by the daily changes in the average of the prices of 12 futures contracts for natural gas traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange (the NYMEX), consisting of the near month contract to expire and the contracts for the following 11 months for a total of 12 consecutive months contracts, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case it will be measured by the futures contract that is the next month contract to expire and the contracts for the following 11 consecutive months (the Benchmark Futures Contracts), less US12NG s expenses. When calculating the daily movement of the average price of the 12 contracts, each contract month is equally weighted. It is not the intent of US12NG to be operated in a fashion such that the per unit NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the spot price of natural gas or any particular futures contract based on natural gas. It is not the intent of US12NG to be operated in a fashion such that its per unit NAV will reflect the percentage change of the price of any particular futures contracts as measured over a time period greater than one day. United States Commodity Funds LLC (USCF), the general partner of US12NG, believes that it is not practical to manage the portfolio to achieve such an investment goal when investing in Futures Contracts (as defined below) and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments (as defined below). US12NG accomplishes its objective through investments in futures contracts for natural gas, crude oil, heating oil, gasoline and other petroleum-based fuels that are traded on the NYMEX, ICE Futures Exchange (ICE Futures) or other U.S. and foreign exchanges (collectively, Futures Contracts) and other natural gas-related investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts, forward contracts for natural gas, cleared swap contracts and over-the-counter transactions that are based on the price of natural gas, crude oil and other petroleum-based fuels, Futures Contracts for natural gas and indices based on the foregoing (collectively, Other Natural Gas-Related Investments). As of June 30, 2012, US12NG held 1,242 Futures Contracts for natural gas traded on the NYMEX.

US12NG commenced investment operations on November 18, 2009 and has a fiscal year ending on December 31. USCF is responsible for the management of US12NG. USCF is a member of the National Futures Association (the NFA) and became a commodity pool operator registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the CFTC) effective December 1, 2005. USCF is also the general partner of the United States Oil Fund, LP (USOF), the United States Natural Gas Fund, LP (USNG), the United States 12 Month Oil Fund, LP (US12OF), the United States Gasoline Fund, LP (UGA) and the United States Diesel-Heating Oil Fund, LP (formerly, the United States Heating Oil Fund, LP) (USDHO), which listed their limited partnership units on the American Stock Exchange (the AMEX) under the ticker symbols USO on April 10, 2006, UNG on April 18, 2007, USL on December 6, 2007, UGA on February 26, 2008 and UHN on April 9, 2008, respectively. As a result of the acquisition of the AMEX by NYSE Euronext, each of USOF s, USNG s, US12OF s, UGA s and USDHO s units commenced trading on the NYSE Arca on November 25, 2008. USCF is also the general partner of the United States Short Oil Fund, LP (USSO) and the United States Brent Oil Fund, LP (USBO), which listed their limited partnership units on the NYSE Arca under the ticker symbols DNO on September 24, 2009 and BNO on June 2, 2010, respectively. USCF is also the sponsor of the United States Commodity Index Fund (USCI), the United States Copper Index Fund (CPER), the United States Agriculture Index Fund (USAG) and the United States Metals Index Fund (USMI), each a series of the United States Commodity Index Funds Trust. USCI, CPER, USAG and USMI listed their units on the NYSE Arca under the ticker symbol USCI on August 10, 2010, CPER on November 15, 2011, USAG on April 13, 2012 and USMI on June 19, 2012, respectively. All funds listed previously are referred to collectively herein as the Related Public Funds. USCF has also filed registration statements to register units of the United States Sugar Fund (USSF), the United States Natural Gas Double Inverse Fund (UNGD), the United States Gasoil Fund (USGO) and the United States Asian Commodities Basket Fund (UAC), each a series of the United States Commodity Funds Trust I, and the US Golden Currency Fund (USGCF), a series of the United States Currency Funds Trust.

Table of Contents

Effective February 29, 2012, US12NG issues units to certain authorized purchasers (Authorized Purchasers) by offering baskets consisting of 50,000 units (Creation Baskets) through ALPS Distributors, Inc., as the marketing agent (the Marketing Agent). Prior to February 29, 2012, US12NG issued units to Authorized Purchasers by offering baskets consisting of 100,000 units through the Marketing Agent. The purchase price for a Creation Basket is based upon the NAV of a unit calculated shortly after the close of the core trading session on the NYSE Arca on the day the order to create the basket is properly received.

From July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012, Authorized Purchasers pay US12NG a \$350 fee for each order placed to create one or more Creation Baskets or to redeem one or more baskets (Redemption Baskets); prior to July 1, 2011, this fee was \$1,000. Units may be purchased or sold on a nationally recognized securities exchange in smaller increments than a Creation Basket or Redemption Basket. Units purchased or sold on a nationally recognized securities exchange are not purchased or sold at the per unit NAV of US12NG but rather at market prices quoted on such exchange.

In November 2009, US12NG initially registered 30,000,000 units on Form S-1 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). On November 18, 2009, US12NG listed its units on the NYSE Arca under the ticker symbol UNL . On that day, US12NG established its initial per unit NAV by setting the price at \$50.00 and issued 200,000 units in exchange for \$10,000,000. US12NG also commenced investment operations on November 18, 2009 by purchasing Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX based on natural gas. As of June 30, 2012, US12NG had registered a total of 30,000,000 units.

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the SEC and, therefore, do not include all information and footnote disclosure required under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States of America. The financial information included herein is unaudited; however, such financial information reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which are, in the opinion of USCF, necessary for the fair presentation of the condensed financial statements for the interim period.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Revenue Recognition

Commodity futures contracts, forward contracts, physical commodities and related options are recorded on the trade date. All such transactions are recorded on the identified cost basis and marked to market daily. Unrealized gains or losses on open contracts are reflected in the condensed statements of financial condition and represent the difference between the original contract amount and the market value (as determined by exchange settlement prices for futures contracts and related options and cash dealer prices at a predetermined time for forward contracts, physical commodities, and their related options) as of the last business day of the year or as of the last date of the condensed financial statements. Changes in the unrealized gains or losses between periods are reflected in the condensed statements of operations. US12NG earns interest on its assets denominated in U.S. dollars on deposit with the futures commission merchant at the overnight Federal Funds Rate less 32 basis points. In addition, US12NG earns income on funds held at the custodian or futures commission merchant at prevailing market rates earned on such investments.

Brokerage Commissions

Brokerage commissions on all open commodity futures contracts are accrued on a full-turn basis.

Income Taxes

US12NG is not subject to federal income taxes; each partner reports his/her allocable share of income, gain, loss deductions or credits on his/her own income tax return.

In accordance with GAAP, US12NG is required to determine whether a tax position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by the applicable taxing authority, including resolution of any tax related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. US12NG files an income tax return in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and may file income tax returns in various U.S. states. US12NG is not subject to income tax return examinations by major taxing authorities for years before 2008. The tax benefit recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. De-recognition of a tax benefit previously recognized results in US12NG recording a tax liability that reduces net assets. However, US12NG's conclusions regarding this policy may be subject to review and adjustment at a later date based on factors including, but not limited to, on-going analysis of and changes to tax laws, regulations and interpretations thereof. US12NG recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax fees payable, if assessed. No interest expense or penalties have been recognized as of and for the

period ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Creations and Redemptions

Effective February 29, 2012, Authorized Purchasers may purchase Creation Baskets or redeem Redemption Baskets only in blocks of 50,000 units at a price equal to the NAV of the units calculated shortly after the close of the core trading session on the NYSE Arca on the day the order is placed. Prior to February 29, 2012, Authorized Purchasers could only purchase Creation Baskets or redeem Redemption Baskets in blocks of 100,000 units.

US12NG receives or pays the proceeds from units sold or redeemed within three business days after the trade date of the purchase or redemption. The amounts due from Authorized Purchasers are reflected in US12NG's condensed statements of financial condition as receivable for units sold, and amounts payable to Authorized Purchasers upon redemption are reflected as payable for units redeemed.

Partnership Capital and Allocation of Partnership Income and Losses

Profit or loss shall be allocated among the partners of US12NG in proportion to the number of units each partner holds as of the close of each month. USCF may revise, alter or otherwise modify this method of allocation as described in the LP Agreement.

Calculation of Per Unit Net Asset Value

US12NG's per unit NAV is calculated on each NYSE Arca trading day by taking the current market value of its total assets, subtracting any liabilities and dividing that amount by the total number of units outstanding. US12NG uses the closing price for the contracts on the relevant exchange on that day to determine the value of contracts held on such exchange.

Net Income (Loss) Per Unit

Net income (loss) per unit is the difference between the per unit NAV at the beginning of each period and at the end of each period. The weighted average number of units outstanding was computed for purposes of disclosing net income (loss) per weighted average unit. The weighted average units are equal to the number of units outstanding at the end of the period, adjusted proportionately for units added and redeemed based on the amount of time the units were outstanding during such period. There were no units held by USCF at June 30, 2012.

Offering Costs

Offering costs incurred in connection with the registration of additional units after the initial registration of units are borne by US12NG. These costs include registration fees paid to regulatory agencies and all legal, accounting, printing and other expenses associated with such offerings. These costs are accounted for as a deferred charge and thereafter amortized to expense over twelve months on a straight-line basis or a shorter period if warranted.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include money market funds and overnight deposits or time deposits with original maturity dates of six months or less.

Reclassification

Certain amounts in the accompanying condensed financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of condensed financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires USCF to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed financial statements, and the reported amounts of the revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates and assumptions.

Table of Contents

NOTE 3 FEES PAID BY THE FUND AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

USCF Management Fee

Under the LP Agreement, USCF is responsible for investing the assets of US12NG in accordance with the objectives and policies of US12NG. In addition, USCF has arranged for one or more third parties to provide administrative, custody, accounting, transfer agency and other necessary services to US12NG. For these services, US12NG is contractually obligated to pay USCF a fee, which is paid monthly, equal to 0.75% per annum of average daily total net assets.

Ongoing Registration Fees and Other Offering Expenses

US12NG pays all costs and expenses associated with the ongoing registration of its units subsequent to the initial offering. These costs include registration or other fees paid to regulatory agencies in connection with the offer and sale of units, and all legal, accounting, printing and other expenses associated with such offer and sale. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, US12NG did not incur any registration fees or other offering expenses.

Directors Fees and Expenses

US12NG is responsible for paying its portion of the directors and officers liability insurance for US12NG and the Related Public Funds and the fees and expenses of the independent directors who also serve as audit committee members of US12NG and the Related Public Funds organized as limited partnerships and, as of July 8, 2011, the Related Public Funds organized as a series of a Delaware statutory trust. US12NG shares the fees and expenses with each Related Public Fund, as described above, based on the relative assets of each fund computed on a daily basis. These fees and expenses for the year ending December 31, 2012 are estimated to be a total of \$540,000 for US12NG and the Related Public Funds.

Licensing Fees

As discussed in Note 4 below, US12NG entered into a licensing agreement with the NYMEX on December 4, 2007, as amended on October 20, 2011. Pursuant to the agreement, through October 19, 2011, US12NG and the Related Public Funds, other than USBO, USCI, CPER, USAG and USMI, paid a licensing fee that was equal to 0.04% for the first \$1,000,000,000 of combined net assets of the funds and 0.02% for combined net assets above \$1,000,000,000. On and after October 20, 2011, US12NG and the Related Public Funds, other than USBO, USCI, CPER, USAG and USMI, pay a licensing fee that is equal to 0.015% on all net assets. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, US12NG incurred \$2,120 and \$4,211, respectively, under this arrangement.

Investor Tax Reporting Cost

The fees and expenses associated with US12NG's audit expenses and tax accounting and reporting requirements are paid by US12NG. These costs are estimated to be \$75,000 for the year ending December 31, 2012.

Other Expenses and Fees and Expense Waivers

In addition to the fees described above, US12NG pays all brokerage fees and other expenses in connection with the operation of US12NG, excluding costs and expenses paid by USCF as outlined in Note 4 below. USCF has voluntarily agreed to pay certain expenses normally borne by US12NG to the extent that such expenses exceed 0.15% (15 basis points) of US12NG's NAV, on an annualized basis, through at least December 31, 2012. USCF has no obligation to continue such payments into subsequent periods. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, USCF waived \$28,921 in expenses. This voluntary expense waiver is in addition to those amounts USCF is contractually obligated to pay as described in Note 4.

NOTE 4 CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

US12NG is party to a marketing agent agreement, dated as of October 30, 2009, as amended from time to time, with the Marketing Agent and USCF, whereby the Marketing Agent provides certain marketing services for US12NG as outlined in the agreement. The fee of the Marketing Agent, which is borne by USCF, is equal to 0.06% on US12NG's assets up to \$3 billion and 0.04% on US12NG's assets in excess of \$3 billion.

The above fee does not include the following expenses, which are also borne by USCF: the cost of placing advertisements in various periodicals; web construction and development; or the printing and production of various marketing materials.

Table of Contents

US12NG is also party to a custodian agreement, dated November 3, 2009, as amended from time to time, with Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. (BBH&Co.) and USCF, whereby BBH&Co. holds investments on behalf of US12NG. USCF pays the fees of the custodian, which are determined by the parties from time to time. In addition, US12NG is party to an administrative agency agreement, dated November 3, 2009, as amended from time to time, with USCF and BBH&Co., whereby BBH&Co. acts as the administrative agent, transfer agent and registrar for US12NG. USCF also pays the fees of BBH&Co. for its services under such agreement and such fees are determined by the parties from time to time.

Currently, USCF pays BBH&Co. for its services, in the foregoing capacities, a minimum amount of \$75,000 annually for its custody, fund accounting and fund administration services rendered to US12NG and each of the Related Public Funds, as well as a \$20,000 annual fee for its transfer agency services. In addition, USCF pays BBH&Co. an asset-based charge of (a) 0.06% for the first \$500 million of US12NG s, USOF s, USNG s, US12OF s, UGA s, USDHO s, USSO s, USBO s, USCI s, CPER s, USAG s and USMI s combined net assets, (b) 0.0465% for US12NG s, USOF s, USNG s, US12OF s, UGA s, USDHO s, USSO s, USBO s, USCI s, CPER s, USAG s and USMI s combined net assets greater than \$500 million but less than \$1 billion, and (c) 0.035% once US12NG s, USOF s, USNG s, US12OF s, UGA s, USDHO s, USSO s, USBO s, USCI s, CPER s, USAG s and USMI s combined net assets exceed \$1 billion. The annual minimum amount will not apply if the asset-based charge for all accounts in the aggregate exceeds \$75,000. USCF also pays transaction fees ranging from \$7 to \$15 per transaction.

US12NG has entered into a brokerage agreement with UBS Securities LLC (UBS Securities). The agreement requires UBS Securities to provide services to US12NG in connection with the purchase and sale of Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments that may be purchased and sold by or through UBS Securities for US12NG s account. In accordance with the agreement, UBS Securities charges US12NG commissions of approximately \$7 to \$15 per round-turn trade, including applicable exchange and NFA fees for Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts. Such fees include those incurred when purchasing Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts when US12NG issues units as a result of a Creation Basket, as well as fees incurred when selling Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts when US12NG redeems units as a result of a Redemption Basket. Such fees are also incurred when Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts are purchased or redeemed for the purpose of rebalancing the portfolio.

US12NG and the NYMEX entered into a licensing agreement on December 4, 2007, as amended on October 20, 2011, whereby US12NG was granted a non-exclusive license to use certain of the NYMEX s settlement prices and service marks. Under the licensing agreement, US12NG and the Related Public Funds, other than USBO, USCI, CPER, USAG and USMI, pay the NYMEX an asset-based fee for the license, the terms of which are described in Note 3. US12NG expressly disclaims any association with the NYMEX or endorsement of US12NG by the NYMEX and acknowledges that NYMEX and New York Mercantile Exchange are registered trademarks of the NYMEX.

NOTE 5 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISKS AND CONTINGENCIES

US12NG engages in the trading of futures contracts, options on futures contracts and cleared swaps (collectively, derivatives). US12NG is exposed to both market risk, which is the risk arising from changes in the market value of the contracts, and credit risk, which is the risk of failure by another party to perform according to the terms of a contract.

US12NG may enter into futures contracts, options on futures contracts and cleared swaps to gain exposure to changes in the value of an underlying commodity. A futures contract obligates the seller to deliver (and the purchaser to accept) the future delivery of a specified quantity and type of a commodity at a specified time and place. Some futures contracts may call for physical delivery of the asset, while others are settled in cash. The contractual obligations of a buyer or seller may generally be satisfied by taking or making physical delivery of the underlying commodity or by making an offsetting sale or purchase of an identical futures contract on the same or linked exchange before the designated date of delivery.

The purchase and sale of futures contracts, options on futures contracts and cleared swaps require margin deposits with a futures commission merchant. Additional deposits may be necessary for any loss on contract value. The Commodity Exchange Act requires a futures commission merchant to segregate all customer transactions and assets from the futures commission merchant s proprietary activities.

Table of Contents

Futures contracts and cleared swaps involve, to varying degrees, elements of market risk (specifically commodity price risk) and exposure to loss in excess of the amount of variation margin. The face or contract amounts reflect the extent of the total exposure US12NG has in the particular classes of instruments. Additional risks associated with the use of futures contracts are an imperfect correlation between movements in the price of the futures contracts and the market value of the underlying securities and the possibility of an illiquid market for a futures contract.

All of the futures contracts held by US12NG were exchange-traded through June 30, 2012. The risks associated with exchange-traded contracts are generally perceived to be less than those associated with over-the-counter transactions since, in over-the-counter transactions, a party must rely solely on the credit of its respective individual counterparties. However, in the future, if US12NG were to enter into non-exchange traded contracts, it would be subject to the credit risks associated with counterparty non-performance. Over-the-counter transactions subject US12NG to the credit risk associated with counterparty non-performance. The credit risk from counterparty non-performance associated with such instruments is the net unrealized gain, if any, on the transaction. US12NG has credit risk under its futures contracts since the sole counterparty to all domestic and foreign futures contracts is the clearinghouse for the exchange on which the relevant contracts are traded. In addition, US12NG bears the risk of financial failure by the clearing broker.

US12NG's cash and other property, such as short-term obligations of the United States of two years or less (Treasuries), deposited with a futures commission merchant are considered commingled with all other customer funds, subject to the futures commission merchant's segregation requirements. In the event of a futures commission merchant's insolvency, recovery may be limited to a pro rata share of segregated funds available. It is possible that the recovered amount could be less than the total of cash and other property deposited. The insolvency of a futures commission merchant could result in the complete loss of US12NG's assets posted with that futures commission merchant; however, the majority of US12NG's assets are held in cash and/or cash equivalents with US12NG's custodian and would not be impacted by the insolvency of a futures commission merchant. The failure or insolvency of US12NG's custodian, however, could result in a substantial loss of US12NG's assets.

USCF invests a portion of US12NG's cash in money market funds that seek to maintain a stable per unit NAV. US12NG is exposed to any risk of loss associated with an investment in such money market funds. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, US12NG held investments in money market funds in the amounts of \$15,520,091 and \$10,518,621, respectively. US12NG also holds cash deposits with its custodian. Pursuant to a written agreement with BBH&Co., uninvested overnight cash balances are swept to offshore branches of U.S. regulated and domiciled banks located in Toronto, Canada, London, United Kingdom, Grand Cayman, Cayman Islands and Nassau, Bahamas, which are subject to U.S. regulation and regulatory oversight. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, US12NG held cash deposits and investments in Treasuries in the amounts of \$24,964,561 and \$19,331,650, respectively, with the custodian and futures commission merchant. Some or all of these amounts may be subject to loss should US12NG's custodian and/or futures commission merchant cease operations.

For derivatives, risks arise from changes in the market value of the contracts. Theoretically, US12NG is exposed to market risk equal to the value of futures contracts purchased and unlimited liability on such contracts sold short. As both a buyer and a seller of options, US12NG pays or receives a premium at the outset and then bears the risk of unfavorable changes in the price of the contract underlying the option.

US12NG's policy is to continuously monitor its exposure to market and counterparty risk through the use of a variety of financial, position and credit exposure reporting controls and procedures. In addition, US12NG has a policy of requiring review of the credit standing of each broker or counterparty with which it conducts business.

The financial instruments held by US12NG are reported in its condensed statements of financial condition at market or fair value, or at carrying amounts that approximate fair value, because of their highly liquid nature and short-term maturity.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6 FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

The following table presents per unit performance data and other supplemental financial data for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 for the unitholders. This information has been derived from information presented in the condensed financial statements.

	For the six months ended June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	For the six months ended June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)
Per Unit Operating Performance:		
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$ 21.21	\$ 35.02
Total income (loss)	(3.74)	(2.29)
Total expenses	(0.09)	(0.16)
Net decrease in net asset value	(3.83)	(2.45)
Net asset value, end of period	\$ 17.38	\$ 32.57
Total Return	(18.06)%	(7.00)%
Ratios to Average Net Assets		
Total income (loss)	(15.50)%	(6.81)%
Management fees*	0.75%	0.75%
Total expenses excluding management fees*	0.44%	0.64%
Expenses waived*	(0.20)%	(0.43)%
Net expenses excluding management fees*	0.24%	0.21%
Net income (loss)	(15.99)%	(7.28)%

* Annualized

Total returns are calculated based on the change in value during the period. An individual unitholder's total return and ratio may vary from the above total returns and ratios based on the timing of contributions to and withdrawals from US12NG.

NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

US12NG values its investments in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820). ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. The changes to past practice resulting from the application of ASC 820 relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurement. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between: (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of US12NG (observable inputs) and (2) US12NG's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available under the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The three levels defined by the ASC 820 hierarchy are as follows:

Level I Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for *identical* assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Edgar Filing: United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP - Form 10-Q

Level II Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level I that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level II assets include the following: quoted prices for *similar* assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market-corroborated inputs).

Level III Unobservable pricing input at the measurement date for the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs shall be used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available.

Table of Contents

In some instances, the inputs used to measure fair value might fall within different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls shall be determined based on the lowest input level that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The following table summarizes the valuation of US12NG's securities at June 30, 2012 using the fair value hierarchy:

At June 30, 2012	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Short-Term Investments	\$ 18,519,333	\$ 18,519,333	\$	\$
Exchange-Traded Futures Contracts				
United States Contracts	(2,185,700)	(2,185,700)		

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, there were no transfers between Level I and Level II.

The following table summarizes the valuation of US12NG's securities at December 31, 2011 using the fair value hierarchy:

At December 31, 2011	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Short-Term Investments	\$ 12,278,369	\$ 12,278,369	\$	\$
Exchange-Traded Futures Contracts				
United States Contracts	(8,583,320)	(8,583,320)		

During the year ended December 31, 2011, there were no transfers between Level I and Level II.

US12NG has adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification 815 - Derivatives and Hedging, which require presentation of qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts and gains and losses on derivatives.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments**Derivatives not
Accounted for
as Hedging
Instruments**

	Condensed Statements of Financial Condition Location	Fair Value At June 30, 2012	Fair Value At December 31, 2011
Futures - Commodity Contracts	Assets	\$ (2,185,700)	\$ (8,583,320)

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Condensed Statements of Operations

	Location of Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	For the six months ended June 30, 2012		For the six months ended June 30, 2011	
		Realized Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	Change in Unrealized Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	Realized Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	Change in Unrealized Gain or (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income
Derivatives not Accounted for as Hedging Instruments					
Futures - Commodity Contracts	Realized loss on closed positions	\$ (10,812,920)		\$ (3,068,370)	
			\$ 6,397,620		\$ 716,570

Change in unrealized gain
on open positions

14

Table of Contents

NOTE 8 RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-11 require an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. ASU No. 2011-11 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The guidance requires retrospective application for all comparative periods presented. USCF is currently evaluating the impact ASU No. 2011-11 will have on US12NG s financial statements.

NOTE 9 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

US12NG has performed an evaluation of subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued. This evaluation did not result in any subsequent events that necessitated disclosures and/or adjustments.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed financial statements and the notes thereto of the United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP (US12NG) included elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Forward-Looking Information

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements regarding the plans and objectives of management for future operations. This information may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause US12NG's actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements, which involve assumptions and describe US12NG's future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words may, will, should, expect, anticipate, estimate, believe, intend or project, the negative of these words, other variations of comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that may be incorrect, and US12NG cannot assure investors that the projections included in these forward-looking statements will come to pass. US12NG's actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors.

US12NG has based the forward-looking statements included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q on information available to it on the date of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, and US12NG assumes no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Although US12NG undertakes no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, investors are advised to consult any additional disclosures that US12NG may make directly to them or through reports that US12NG in the future files with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K.

Introduction

US12NG, a Delaware limited partnership, is a commodity pool that issues units that may be purchased and sold on the NYSE Arca, Inc. (the NYSE Arca). The investment objective of US12NG is for the daily changes in percentage terms of its units' per unit net asset value (NAV) to reflect the daily changes in percentage terms of the spot price of natural gas delivered at the Henry Hub, Louisiana, as measured by the daily changes in the average of the prices of 12 futures contracts on natural gas traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange (the NYMEX), consisting of the near month contract to expire and the contracts for the following 11 months, for a total of 12 consecutive months' contracts, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case it will be measured by the futures contract that is the next month contract to expire and the contracts for the following 11 consecutive months (the Benchmark Futures Contracts), less US12NG's expenses.

Near month contract means the next contract traded on the NYMEX due to expire. Next month contract means the first contract traded on the NYMEX due to expire after the near month contract. When calculating the daily movement of the average price of the 12 contracts, each contract month is equally weighted. It is not the intent of US12NG to be operated in a fashion such that the per unit NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the spot price of natural gas or any particular futures contract based on natural gas. It is not the intent of US12NG to be operated in a fashion such that its per unit NAV will reflect the percentage change of the price of any particular futures contracts as measured over a time period greater than one day. The general partner of US12NG, United States Commodity Funds LLC (USCF), believes that it is not practical to manage the portfolio to achieve such an investment goal when investing in Futures Contracts (as defined below) and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments (as defined below).

US12NG invests in futures contracts for natural gas, crude oil, heating oil, gasoline and other petroleum-based fuels that are traded on the NYMEX, ICE Futures Exchange (ICE Futures) or other U.S. and foreign exchanges (collectively, Futures Contracts) and other natural gas-related investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts, forward contracts for natural gas, cleared swap contracts and over-the-counter transactions that are based on the price of natural gas, crude oil and other petroleum-based fuels, Futures Contracts and indices based on the foregoing (collectively, Other Natural Gas-Related Investments). For convenience and unless otherwise specified, Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments collectively are referred to as Natural Gas Interests in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

US12NG seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing in a combination of natural gas Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments such that daily changes in its per unit NAV, measured in percentage terms, will closely track the daily changes in the average of the prices of the Benchmark Futures Contracts, also measured in percentage terms. USCF believes the daily changes in the average of the prices of the Benchmark Futures Contracts have historically exhibited a close correlation with the daily changes in the spot price of natural gas. It is not the intent of US12NG to be operated in a fashion such that the per unit NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the spot price of natural gas or any particular futures contract based on natural gas. It is not the intent of US12NG to be operated in a fashion such that its per unit NAV will reflect the percentage change of the price of any particular futures contracts as measured over a time period greater than one day. USCF believes that it is not practical to manage the portfolio to achieve such an investment goal when investing in listed natural gas Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments.

Impact of Accountability Levels, Position Limits and Price Fluctuation Limits. Futures contracts include typical and significant characteristics. Most significantly, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the CFTC) and U.S. designated contract markets such as the NYMEX have established accountability levels and position limits on the maximum net long or net short futures contracts in commodity interests that any person or group of persons under common trading control (other than as a hedge, which an investment by US12NG is not) may hold, own or control. The net position is the difference between an individual's or firm's open long contracts and open short contracts in any one commodity. In addition, most U.S.-based futures exchanges, such as the NYMEX, limit the daily price fluctuation for futures contracts. Currently, the ICE Futures imposes position and accountability limits that are similar to those imposed by U.S.-based futures exchanges but does not limit the maximum daily price fluctuation, while some other non-U.S. futures exchanges have not adopted such limits.

The accountability levels for the Benchmark Futures Contracts and other Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX are not a fixed ceiling, but rather a threshold above which the NYMEX may exercise greater scrutiny and control over an investor's positions. The current accountability level for any one-month in the Benchmark Futures Contracts is 6,000 net contracts. In addition, the NYMEX imposes an accountability level for all months of 12,000 net futures contracts for investments in futures contracts for natural gas. If US12NG and the Related Public Funds (as defined below) exceed these accountability levels for investments in the futures contract for natural gas, the NYMEX will monitor US12NG's and the Related Public Funds' exposure and ask for further information on their activities, including the total size of all positions, investment and trading strategy, and the extent of liquidity resources of US12NG and the Related Public Funds. If deemed necessary by the NYMEX, it could also order US12NG and the Related Public Funds to reduce their aggregate net position back to the accountability level. In addition, the ICE Futures maintains the same accountability levels, position limit and monitoring authority for its natural gas contracts as the NYMEX. As of June 30, 2012, US12NG held 1,242 Natural Gas NG Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG did not exceed accountability levels.

Position limits differ from accountability levels in that they represent fixed limits on the maximum number of futures contracts that any person may hold and cannot allow such limits to be exceeded without express CFTC authority to do so. In addition to accountability levels and position limits that may apply at any time, the NYMEX and the ICE Futures impose position limits on contracts held in the last few days of trading in the near month contract to expire. It is unlikely that US12NG will run up against such position limits because US12NG's investment strategy is to close out its positions and roll from the near month contract to expire and the eleven following months to the next month contract to expire and the eleven following months during one day each month. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG did not exceed any position limits imposed by the NYMEX and ICE Futures.

On October 18, 2011, the CFTC adopted new rules, which establish position limits and limit formulas for certain physical commodity futures including Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts, executed pursuant to the rules of designated contract markets (*i.e.*, certain regulated exchanges) and commodity swaps that are economically equivalent to such futures and options contracts. See *Introduction - Futures Contracts and Position Limits* in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for information regarding the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act).

The regulation of commodity interests in the United States is subject to ongoing modification by governmental and judicial action. On July 21, 2010, a broad financial regulatory reform bill, the Dodd-Frank Act, was signed into law. All of the Dodd-Frank Act's provisions became effective on July 16, 2011, but the actual implementation of some of the provisions is subject to continuing uncertainty because implementing rules and regulations have not been completely finalized and have been challenged in court. Pending final resolution of all applicable regulatory requirements, some specific examples of how the new Dodd-Frank Act provisions and rules adopted thereunder could impact US12NG are discussed below.

Table of Contents

Futures Contracts and Position Limits

Provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act include the requirement that position limits be established on a wide range of commodity interests including energy-based and other commodity futures contracts, certain cleared commodity swaps and certain over-the-counter commodity contracts; new registration, recordkeeping, capital and margin requirements for swap dealers and major swap participants as determined by the new law and applicable regulations; and the forced use of clearinghouse mechanisms for many swap transactions that are currently entered into in the over-the-counter market. The new law and the rules thereunder may negatively impact US12NG's ability to meet its investment objective either through limits or requirements imposed on it or upon its counterparties. Further, increased regulation of, and the imposition of additional costs on, swap transactions under the new legislation and implementing regulations could cause a reduction in the swap market and the overall derivatives markets, which could restrict liquidity and adversely affect US12NG. In particular, new position limits imposed on US12NG or its counterparties may impact US12NG's ability to invest in a manner that most efficiently meets its investment objective, and new requirements, including capital and mandatory clearing, may increase the cost of US12NG's investments and doing business, which could adversely impact the ability of US12NG to achieve its investment objective.

On October 18, 2011, the CFTC adopted regulations implementing position limits and limit formulas for 28 core physical commodity futures contracts, including the Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts executed pursuant to the rules of designated contract markets (i.e., certain regulated exchanges) and commodity swaps that are economically equivalent to such futures and options contracts (collectively, Referenced Contracts). The new regulations require, among other things, aggregation of position limits that would apply across different trading venues to contracts based on the same underlying commodity. However, the regulations would require aggregation of Referenced Contracts held by separate Related Public Funds (as defined below) only if such Related Public Funds had identical trading strategies. USCF does not believe any of the Related Public Funds should be viewed as having identical trading strategies for purposes of the CFTC's aggregation rules.

Although the regulations became effective on January 17, 2012, the position limit rules will be implemented in two phases: spot-month position limits and non-spot-month position limits. Spot-month limits will be effective sixty days after the term swap is defined under the Dodd-Frank Act (see below). The limits adopted will be based on the spot-month position limit levels currently in place at applicable futures exchanges (or designated contract market or DCM). Thereafter, the spot-month limits will be adjusted annually for energy contracts. These subsequent limits will be based on the CFTC's determination of deliverable supply in consultation with the futures exchanges. Spot-month position limit levels will be set generally at 25% of estimated deliverable supply, and limits will be applied separately for physical-delivery and cash-settled contracts in the same commodity. Cash-settled NYMEX Henry Hub Natural Gas contracts, however, will be subject to a cash-settled spot-month position limit and an aggregate limit (extending across positions in both physical delivery and cash-settled natural gas contracts), each set at five times the limit that applies to the physical-delivery NYMEX Henry Hub Natural Gas contract.

Non-spot-month position limits will go into effect by CFTC order after the CFTC has received one year of open interest data on physical commodity cleared and uncleared swaps under the swaps large trader reporting rule. The non-spot month limits will be adjusted biennially based on Referenced Contract open interest. Non-spot-month position limits (i.e., limits applied to positions in all contract months combined or in a single contract month) will be set using the 10/2.5 percent formula: 10 percent of the contract's first 25,000 of open interest and 2.5 percent thereafter. These limits will be reset biennially based on two years of open interest data.

On December 2, 2011, the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) and the International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) filed a lawsuit challenging the CFTC's position limits rule. The lawsuit asserts that the position limits rule inadequately fulfills the required cost-benefit analysis. It is not known at this time what effect this lawsuit will have on the implementation of the new position limits rule.

Table of Contents

Based on its current understanding of the final position limit regulations, USCF does not anticipate significant negative impact on the ability of US12NG to achieve its investment objective. However, as of the filing of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, additional studies are required to be conducted before all requirements of the final rules are implemented, and therefore, it cannot be determined with certainty what impact such regulations will have on US12NG.

Swap Transactions

The Dodd-Frank Act imposes new regulatory requirements on certain swap transactions that US12NG is authorized to engage in that may ultimately impact the ability of US12NG to meet its investment objective. On May 23, 2011, the CFTC and the SEC published joint proposed rules defining the term swap and thus providing more clarity regarding which transactions will be regulated as such under the Dodd-Frank Act. On July 13, 2012, the CFTC published a pre-publication version of the joint CFTC and SEC final rules that provide further definition of the terms swap and security-based swap. The term swap is broadly defined to include various types of over-the-counter derivatives, including swaps and options. The effective date of these final rules will be October 12, 2012, 60 days after the publication of such final rules in the Federal Register, which were published on August 13, 2012.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires that certain transactions ultimately falling within the definition of swap be executed on organized exchanges or swap execution facilities and cleared through regulated clearing organizations (which are referred to in the Dodd-Frank Act as derivative clearing organizations (DCOs)). However, as described above, it is currently unknown which swaps will be subject to such trading and clearing requirements. If a swap is required to be cleared, the initial margin will be set by the clearing organization, subject to certain regulatory requirements and guidelines. Initial and variation margin requirements for swap dealers and major swap participants who enter into uncleared swaps and capital requirements for swap dealers and major swap participants who enter into both cleared and uncleared trades will be set by the CFTC, the SEC or the applicable Prudential Regulator. On May 23, 2012, the CFTC published final regulations, which became effective as of July 23, 2012, to determine which entities will be regulated as swap dealers and major swap participants and thus have to comply with these capital and margin requirements (as well as a multitude of other requirements under the Dodd-Frank Act). However, most of the requirements imposed will not become effective until October 12, 2012 when additional final rules defining the terms swap, security-based swap and mixed swap become effective. In general, increased regulation of, and the imposition of additional costs on, swap transactions could have an adverse effect on US12NG by, for example, reducing the size of and therefore liquidity in the derivatives market, increasing transaction costs and decreasing the ability to customize derivative transactions. The final rule regarding review of swaps for mandatory clearing went effective September 26, 2011.

On July 14, 2011, the CFTC issued an order providing temporary relief from certain swaps-related provisions of Title VII that would have automatically taken effect on July 16, 2011. The final order granted temporary exemptive relief that, by its terms, expires upon the earlier of the effective date of the required final rulemaking or December 31, 2011. On October 18, 2011, the CFTC issued an order, which modified the July 14, 2011 order by extending the temporary exemptive relief to the earlier of the effective date of the required final rulemaking or July 16, 2012. On July 13, 2012, the CFTC issued an order to, in pertinent part, extend the temporary exemptive relief to December 31, 2012.

On February 7, 2012, the CFTC published a rule requiring each futures commission merchant (FCM) and DCO to segregate cleared swaps and related collateral posted by a customer of the FCM from the assets of the FCM or DCO, although such property can be commingled with the property of other cleared swaps customers of the FCM or DCO. This rule addresses losses incurred by a DCO in a so-called double default scenario in which a customer of an FCM defaults in its obligations to the FCM and the FCM, in turn, defaults in its obligations to the DCO. Under this scenario, the DCO can only access the collateral attributable to other customers of the DCO whose cleared swap positions are in a loss position following the primary customer's default. This rule is scheduled to become effective on November 8, 2012. Some market participants have expressed concern that the requirements of this segregation rule may result in higher initial margins or higher fees. US12NG does not anticipate any impact to its operations in order to meet the requirements of the new rule.

Additionally, the CFTC published rules on February 17, 2012 and April 3, 2012 that require swap dealers and major swap participants to: 1) adhere to business conduct standards, 2) implement policies and procedures to ensure compliance with the Commodity Exchange Act and 3) maintain records of such compliance. These new requirements may impact the documentation requirements for both cleared and non-cleared swaps and cause swap dealers and major swap participants to face increased compliance costs that, in turn, may be passed along to counterparties (such as US12NG) in the form of higher fees and expenses that related to trading swaps.

Table of Contents

On February 24, 2012, the CFTC amended certain disclosure obligations to require that the operator of a commodity pool that invests in swaps include standardized swap risk disclosures in the pool's disclosure documents by December 31, 2012.

The CFTC issued a final rule on May 23, 2012 interpreting the definition of "eligible contract participant," as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act, in such a manner that US12NG may be limited as to the counterparties with which it may enter into currency forward contracts. Additionally, US12NG may under certain circumstances related to the amount of assets under management no longer qualify as an eligible contract participant. US12NG's ability to maintain a certain minimum level of assets to qualify as an eligible contract participant allows US12NG to enter into swaps on swap execution facilities as well as on a bilateral, off-exchange basis. The loss of status as an eligible contract participant and the resulting loss of eligible counterparties and investment options could impact US12NG's ability to achieve its investment objective.

The effect of the future regulatory change on US12NG is impossible to predict, but it could be substantial and adverse.

USCF, which is registered as a commodity pool operator ("CPO") with the CFTC, is authorized by the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of US12NG (the "LP Agreement") to manage US12NG. USCF is authorized by US12NG in its sole judgment to employ and establish the terms of employment for, and termination of, commodity trading advisors or FCMs.

Price Movements

Natural gas futures prices were volatile during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The average price of the Benchmark Futures Contracts started the period at \$3.303. It hit a peak on January 4, 2012 of \$3.400 and fell during the course of the period. The average low price of the period was on April 19, 2012, when the average price of the Benchmark Futures Contracts was \$2.661. The average price of the Benchmark Futures Contracts on June 30, 2012 was \$3.289, a decrease of approximately 0.42% over the period. US12NG's per unit NAV initially rose during the period from a starting level of \$21.21 to a high on January 4, 2012 of \$21.83. US12NG's NAV reached its low for the period on April 19, 2012 at \$14.96. The per unit NAV on June 30, 2012 was \$17.38, down approximately 18.06% over the period. The average Benchmark Futures Contract prices listed above began with the February 2012 to January 2013 contracts and ended with the August 2012 to July 2013 contracts. The decrease of approximately 0.42% on the average price of the Benchmark Futures Contracts listed above is a hypothetical return only and could not actually be achieved by an investor holding Futures Contracts. An investment in natural gas Futures Contracts would need to be rolled forward during the time period described in order to simulate such a result. Furthermore, the change in the nominal price of these differing natural gas Futures Contracts, measured from the start of the period to the end of the period, does not represent the actual benchmark results that US12NG seeks to track, which are more fully described below in the section titled *Tracking US12NG's Benchmark*.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the natural gas futures market was in a state of contango, meaning that the price of the near month natural gas Futures Contract was typically lower than the price of the next month natural gas Futures Contract, or contracts further away from expiration. A contango market is one in which the price of the near month natural gas Futures Contract is less than the price of the next month natural gas Futures Contract, or contracts further away from expiration. For a discussion of the impact of backwardation and contango on total returns, see *Term Structure of Natural Gas Futures Prices and the Impact on Total Returns* below.

Valuation of Futures Contracts and the Computation of the Per Unit NAV

The per unit NAV of US12NG's units is calculated once each NYSE Arca trading day. The per unit NAV for a particular trading day is released after 4:00 p.m. New York time. Trading during the core trading session on the NYSE Arca typically closes at 4:00 p.m. New York time. US12NG's administrator uses the NYMEX closing price (determined at the earlier of the close of the NYMEX or 2:30 p.m. New York time) for the contracts held on the NYMEX, but calculates or determines the value of all other US12NG investments, including cleared swaps, or other futures contracts, as of the earlier of the close of the NYSE Arca or 4:00 p.m. New York time.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations and the Natural Gas Market

Results of Operations. On November 18, 2009, US12NG listed its units on the NYSE Arca under the ticker symbol UNL. On that day, US12NG established its initial offering price at \$50.00 per unit and issued 200,000 units to the initial authorized purchaser, Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corp., in exchange for \$10,000,000 in cash.

Since its initial offering of 30,000,000 units, US12NG has not registered any subsequent offerings of its units. As of June 30, 2012, US12NG had issued 4,200,000 units, 2,350,000 of which were outstanding. As of June 30, 2012, there were 25,800,000 units registered but not yet issued.

More units may have been issued by US12NG than are outstanding due to the redemption of units. Unlike funds that are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, units that have been redeemed by US12NG cannot be resold by US12NG. As a result, US12NG contemplates that additional offerings of its units will be registered with the SEC in the future in anticipation of additional issuances and redemptions.

As of June 30, 2012, US12NG had the following authorized purchasers: Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, JP Morgan Securities Inc., Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corporation, Morgan Stanley & Company Inc., NewEdge USA LLC, Nomura Securities International Inc., SG Americas Securities LLC and Virtu Financial BD LLC.

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

As of June 30, 2012, the total unrealized loss on natural gas Futures Contracts owned or held on that day was \$2,185,700 and US12NG established cash deposits and investments in short-term obligations of the United States of two years or less (Treasuries) and money market funds that were equal to \$40,484,652. US12NG held 83.43% of its cash assets in overnight deposits and money market funds at its custodian bank, while 16.57% of the cash balance was held as Treasuries and margin deposits for the Futures Contracts purchased. The ending per unit NAV on June 30, 2012 was \$17.38.

By comparison, as of June 30, 2011, the total unrealized loss on natural gas Futures Contracts owned or held on that day was \$1,458,490, and US12NG established cash deposits and investments in money market funds that were equal to \$37,344,848. US12NG held 87.25% of its cash assets in overnight deposits and money market funds at its custodian bank, while 12.75% of the cash balance was held as margin deposits for the Futures Contracts purchased. The increase in cash assets in overnight deposits, Treasuries and money market funds for June 30, 2012, as compared to June 30, 2011, was the result of US12NG's greater size during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as measured by total net assets. The ending per unit NAV on June 30, 2011 was \$32.57. The decrease in the per unit NAV for June 30, 2012 as compared to June 30, 2011, was primarily a result of sharply lower prices for natural gas and the related decline in the value of the Futures Contracts that US12NG had invested in between the period ended June 30, 2011 and the period ended June 30, 2012.

Portfolio Expenses. US12NG's expenses consist of investment management fees, brokerage fees and commissions, certain offering costs, licensing fees, the fees and expenses of the independent directors of USCF and expenses relating to tax accounting and reporting requirements. The management fee that US12NG pays to USCF is calculated as a percentage of the total net assets of US12NG. US12NG pays USCF a management fee of 0.75% of its average daily total net assets. The fee is accrued daily and paid monthly.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the average daily total net assets of US12NG were \$28,419,294. The management fee incurred by US12NG during the period amounted to \$105,990. By comparison, during the six months ended June 30, 2011, the average daily total net assets of US12NG were \$34,436,602. The management fee paid by US12NG during the period amounted to \$128,076.

In addition to the management fee, US12NG pays all brokerage fees and other expenses, including tax reporting costs, licensing fees for the use of intellectual property, ongoing registration or other fees paid to the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) and any other regulatory agency in connection with offers and sales of its units subsequent to the initial offering and all legal, accounting, printing and other expenses associated therewith. The gross total of these fees and expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$62,626, as compared to \$109,640 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in gross total expenses excluding management fees for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, was primarily due to decreased tax reporting, audit, licensing and other fees during the six months ended June 30, 2012. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, US12NG did not incur any ongoing registration fees or other expenses relating to the registration and offering of additional units. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, an expense waiver was in effect which offset certain of the expenses incurred by US12NG. The total amount of the expense waiver was \$28,921 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and \$73,573 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the expenses of US12NG, including management fees, commissions, and all other expenses, before allowance for the expense waiver, totaled \$168,616 and \$237,716, respectively, and after allowance for the expense waiver, totaled \$139,695 and \$164,143, respectively.

Table of Contents

US12NG is responsible for paying its portion of the directors' and officers' liability insurance of US12NG and the United States Oil Fund, LP, the United States Natural Gas Fund, LP, the United States 12 Month Oil Fund, LP, the United States Gasoline Fund, LP, the United States Diesel-Heating Oil Fund, LP (formerly, the United States Heating Oil Fund, LP), the United States Short Oil Fund, LP, the United States Brent Oil Fund, LP, the United States Commodity Index Fund, the United States Copper Index Fund, the United States Agriculture Index Fund and the United States Metals Index Fund (collectively, the Related Public Funds) and the fees and expenses of the independent directors who also serve as audit committee members of US12NG and the Related Public Funds organized as limited partnerships and, as of July 8, 2011, the Related Public Funds organized as a series of a Delaware statutory trust. US12NG shares the fees and expenses with each Related Public Fund, as described above, based on the relative assets of each fund computed on a daily basis. These fees and expenses for the year ending December 31, 2012 are estimated to be a total of \$540,000 for US12NG and the Related Public Funds. By comparison, for the year ended December 31, 2011, these fees and expenses amounted to a total of \$607,582 for US12NG and the Related Public Funds. US12NG's portion of such fees and expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$3,808.

US12NG also incurs commissions to brokers for the purchase and sale of Futures Contracts, Other Natural Gas-Related Investments or Treasuries. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, total commissions accrued to brokers amounted to \$7,999. Of this amount, \$3,938 was a result of rebalancing costs and \$4,061 was the result of trades necessitated by creation and redemption activity. By comparison, during the six months ended June 30, 2011, total commissions accrued to brokers amounted to \$4,378. The increase in the total commissions accrued to brokers for the six months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, was primarily a function of increased brokerage fees due to an increase in creation and redemption activity during the six months ended June 30, 2012. As an annualized percentage of average daily total net assets, the figure for the six months ended June 30, 2012 represents approximately 0.06% of average daily total net assets. By comparison, the figure for the six months ended June 30, 2011 represented approximately 0.02% of average daily total net assets. However, there can be no assurance that commission costs and portfolio turnover will not cause commission expenses to rise in future quarters.

US12NG did not incur transaction costs related to investments in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, including over-the-counter swaps, during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

The fees and expenses associated with US12NG's audit expenses and tax accounting and reporting requirements are paid by US12NG. These costs are estimated to be \$75,000 for the year ending December 31, 2012. USCF has voluntarily agreed to pay certain expenses normally borne by US12NG to the extent that such expenses exceed 0.15% (15 basis points) of US12NG's NAV, on an annualized basis, through at least December 31, 2012. USCF has no obligation to continue such payments into subsequent periods. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, USCF waived \$28,921 in expenses. This voluntary expense waiver is in addition to those amounts USCF is contractually obligated to pay as described in Note 4 in Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Dividend and Interest Income. US12NG seeks to invest its assets such that it holds Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments in an amount equal to the total net assets of its portfolio. Typically, such investments do not require US12NG to pay the full amount of the contract value at the time of purchase, but rather require US12NG to post an amount as a margin deposit against the eventual settlement of the contract. As a result, US12NG retains an amount that is approximately equal to its total net assets, which US12NG invests in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents. This includes both the amount on deposit with the FCM as margin, as well as unrestricted cash and cash equivalents held with US12NG's custodian bank. The Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents earn income that accrues on a daily basis. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG earned \$3,979 in dividend and interest income on such cash and/or cash equivalents. Based on US12NG's average daily total net assets, this was equivalent to an annualized yield of 0.03%. US12NG purchased Treasuries during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and also held cash and/or cash equivalents during this time period. By comparison, for the six months ended June 30, 2011, US12NG earned \$3,308 in dividend and interest income on such cash and/or cash equivalents. Based on US12NG's average daily total net assets, this was equivalent to an annualized yield of 0.02%. US12NG did not purchase Treasuries during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and held only cash and/or cash equivalents during this time period. Interest rates on short-term investments held by US12NG, including cash, cash equivalents and Treasuries, were slightly higher during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. As a result, the amount of income earned by US12NG as a percentage of average daily total net assets was higher during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

Portfolio Expenses. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the average daily total net assets of US12NG were \$33,402,704. The management fee incurred by US12NG during the period amounted to \$62,288. By comparison, during the three months ended June 30, 2011, the average daily total net assets of US12NG were \$37,343,592. The management fee paid by US12NG during the period amounted to \$69,828.

In addition to the management fee, US12NG pays all brokerage fees and other expenses, including tax reporting costs, licensing fees for the use of intellectual property, ongoing registration or other fees paid to the SEC, FINRA and any other regulatory agency in connection with offers and sales of its units subsequent to the initial offering and all legal, accounting, printing and other expenses associated therewith. The gross total of these fees and expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$32,310, as compared to \$54,932 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in gross total expenses excluding management fees for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, was primarily due to decreased tax reporting, audit, licensing and other fees during the three months ended June 30, 2012. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, US12NG did not incur any ongoing registration fees or other expenses relating to the registration and offering of additional units. During the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, an expense waiver was in effect which offset certain of the expenses incurred by US12NG. The total amount of the expense waiver was \$12,614 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and \$35,903 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the expenses of US12NG, including management fees, commissions, and all other expenses, before allowance for the expense waiver, totaled \$94,598 and \$124,760, respectively, and after allowance for the expense waiver, totaled \$81,984 and \$88,857, respectively.

US12NG is responsible for paying its portion of the directors' and officers' liability insurance of US12NG and the Related Public Funds and the fees and expenses of the independent directors who also serve as audit committee members of US12NG and the Related Public Funds organized as limited partnerships and, as of July 8, 2011, the Related Public Funds organized as a series of a Delaware statutory trust. US12NG shares the fees and expenses with each Related Public Fund, as described above, based on the relative assets of each fund computed on a daily basis. These fees and expenses for the year ending December 31, 2012 are estimated to be a total of \$540,000 for US12NG and the Related Public Funds. By comparison, for the year ended December 31, 2011, these fees and expenses amounted to a total of \$607,582 for US12NG and the Related Public Funds. US12NG's portion of such fees and expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$3,808.

US12NG also incurs commissions to brokers for the purchase and sale of Futures Contracts, Other Natural Gas-Related Investments or Treasuries. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, total commissions accrued to brokers amounted to \$4,592. Of this amount, \$2,869 was a result of rebalancing costs and \$1,723 was the result of trades necessitated by creation and redemption activity. By comparison, during the three months ended June 30, 2011, total commissions accrued to brokers amounted to \$1,712. The increase in the total commissions accrued to brokers for the three months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, was primarily a function of increased brokerage fees due to an increase in creation and redemption activity during the three months ended June 30, 2012. As an annualized percentage of average daily total net assets, the figure for the three months ended June 30, 2012 represents approximately 0.06% of average daily total net assets. By comparison, the figure for the three months ended June 30, 2011 represented approximately 0.02% of average daily total net assets. However, there can be no assurance that commission costs and portfolio turnover will not cause commission expenses to rise in future quarters.

US12NG did not incur transaction costs related to investments in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, including over-the-counter swaps, during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

The fees and expenses associated with US12NG's audit expenses and tax accounting and reporting requirements are paid by US12NG. These costs are estimated to be \$75,000 for the year ending December 31, 2012. USCF has voluntarily agreed to pay certain expenses normally borne by US12NG to the extent that such expenses exceed 0.15% (15 basis points) of US12NG's NAV, on an annualized basis, through at least December 31, 2012. USCF has no obligation to continue such payments into subsequent periods. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, USCF waived \$12,614 in expenses. This voluntary expense waiver is in addition to those amounts USCF is contractually obligated to pay as described in Note 4 in Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Dividend and Interest Income. US12NG seeks to invest its assets such that it holds Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments in an amount equal to the total net assets of its portfolio. Typically, such investments do not require US12NG to pay the full amount of the contract value at the time of purchase, but rather require US12NG to post an amount as a margin deposit against the eventual settlement of the contract. As a result, US12NG retains an amount that is approximately equal to its total net assets, which US12NG invests in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents. This includes both the amount on deposit with the FCM as margin, as well as unrestricted cash and cash equivalents held with US12NG's custodian bank. The Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents earn income that accrues on a daily basis. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG earned \$2,467 in dividend and interest income on such cash and/or cash equivalents. Based on US12NG's average daily total net assets, this was equivalent to an annualized yield of 0.03%. US12NG purchased Treasuries during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and also held cash and/or cash equivalents during this time period. By comparison, for the three months ended June 30, 2011, US12NG earned \$1,003 in dividend and interest income on such cash and/or cash equivalents. Based on US12NG's average daily total net assets, this was equivalent to an annualized yield of 0.01%. US12NG did not purchase Treasuries during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and held only cash and/or cash equivalents during this time period. Interest rates on short-term investments held by US12NG, including cash, cash equivalents and Treasuries, were slightly higher during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. As a result, the amount of income earned by US12NG as a percentage of average daily total net assets was slightly higher during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Tracking US12NG's Benchmark

USCF seeks to manage US12NG's portfolio such that changes in its average daily per unit NAV, on a percentage basis, closely track the daily changes in the average of the prices of the Benchmark Futures Contracts, also on a percentage basis. Specifically, USCF seeks to manage the portfolio such that over any rolling period of 30 valuation days, the average daily change in US12NG's per unit NAV is within a range of 90% to 110% (0.9 to 1.1) of the average daily change in the prices of the Benchmark Futures Contracts. As an example, if the average daily movement of the average of the prices of the Benchmark Futures Contracts for a particular 30-valuation day time period was 0.50% per day, USCF would attempt to manage the portfolio such that the average daily movement of the per unit NAV during that same time period fell between 0.45% and 0.55% (*i.e.*, between 0.9 and 1.1 of the benchmark's results). US12NG's portfolio management goals do not include trying to make the nominal price of US12NG's per unit NAV equal to the average of the nominal prices of the current Benchmark Futures Contracts or the spot price for natural gas. USCF believes that it is not practical to manage the portfolio to achieve such an investment goal when investing in listed natural gas Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments.

For the 30 valuation days ended June 30, 2012, the simple average daily change in the average of the Benchmark Futures Contracts was (0.028)%, while the simple average daily change in the per unit NAV of US12NG over the same time period was (0.030)%. The average daily difference was (0.002)% (or (0.2) basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the average price of the Benchmark Futures Contracts, the average error in daily tracking by the per unit NAV was (1.00)%, meaning that over this time period US12NG's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal. The first chart below shows the daily movement of US12NG's per unit NAV versus the daily movement of the Benchmark Futures Contracts for the 30-valuation day period ended June 30, 2012. The second chart below shows the monthly total returns of US12NG as compared to the monthly value of the Benchmark Futures Contracts since inception.

Table of Contents

Since the commencement of the offering of US12NG units to the public on November 18, 2009 to June 30, 2012, the simple average daily change in the average price of its Benchmark Futures Contracts was (0.139)%, while the simple average daily change in the per unit NAV of US12NG over the same time period was (0.142)%. The average daily difference was 0.003% (or 0.3 basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the average price of the Benchmark Futures Contracts, the average error in daily tracking by the per unit NAV was (0.351)%, meaning that over this time period US12NG's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

Table of Contents

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

An alternative tracking measurement of the return performance of US12NG versus the return of its Benchmark Futures Contracts can be calculated by comparing the actual return of US12NG, measured by changes in its per unit NAV, versus the expected changes in its per unit NAV under the assumption that US12NG's returns had been exactly the same as the daily changes in its Benchmark Futures Contracts.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the actual total return of US12NG as measured by changes in its per unit NAV was (18.06)%. This is based on an initial per unit NAV of \$21.21 on December 31, 2011 and an ending per unit NAV as of June 30, 2012 of \$17.38. During this time period, US12NG made no distributions to its unitholders. However, if US12NG's daily changes in its per unit NAV had instead exactly tracked the changes in the daily total return of the Benchmark Futures Contracts, US12NG would have had an estimated per unit NAV of \$17.46 as of June 30, 2012, for a total return over the relevant time period of (17.68)%. The difference between the actual per unit NAV total return of US12NG of (18.06)% and the expected total return based on the Benchmark Futures Contracts of (17.68)% was an error over the time period of (0.38)%, which is to say that US12NG's actual total return underperformed the benchmark result by that percentage. USCF believes that a portion of the difference between the actual total return and the expected benchmark total return can be attributed to the net impact of the expenses that US12NG pays, offset in part by the income that US12NG collects on its cash and cash equivalent holdings. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG earned dividend and interest income of \$3,979, which is equivalent to a weighted average income rate of 0.03% for such period. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG also collected \$7,000 from its Authorized Purchasers for creating or redeeming baskets of units. This income also contributed to US12NG's actual total return. However, if the total assets of US12NG continue to increase, USCF believes that the impact on actual total returns of these fees from creations and redemptions will diminish as a percentage of the actual total return. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG incurred total net expenses of \$139,695. Income from dividends and interest and Authorized Purchaser collections net of expenses was \$(128,716), which is equivalent to an annualized weighted average net income rate of (0.91)% for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

By comparison, for the six months ended June 30, 2011, the actual total return of US12NG as measured by changes in its per unit NAV was (7.00)%. This was based on an initial per unit NAV of \$35.02 on December 31, 2010 and an ending per unit NAV as of June 30, 2011 of \$32.57. During this time period, US12NG made no distributions to its unitholders. However, if US12NG's daily changes in its per unit NAV had instead exactly tracked the changes in the daily total return of the Benchmark Futures Contracts, US12NG would have had an estimated per unit NAV of \$32.73 as of June 30, 2011, for a total return over the relevant time period of (6.59)%. The difference between the actual per unit NAV total return of US12NG of (7.00)% and the expected total return based on the Benchmark Futures Contracts of (6.59)% was an error over the time period of (0.41)%, which is to say that US12NG's actual total return underperformed the benchmark result by that percentage. USCF believes that a portion of the difference between the actual total return and the expected benchmark total return can be attributed to the net impact of the expenses that US12NG paid, offset in part by the income that US12NG collected on its cash and cash equivalent holdings. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, US12NG earned dividend and interest income of \$3,308, which was equivalent to a weighted average income rate of 0.02% for such period. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2011, US12NG also collected \$5,000 from its Authorized Purchasers for creating or redeeming baskets of units. This income also contributed to US12NG's actual total return. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, US12NG incurred total net expenses of \$164,143. Income from dividends and interest and Authorized Purchaser collections net of expenses was \$(155,835), which was equivalent to an annualized weighted average net income rate of (0.91)% for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

There are currently three factors that have impacted or are most likely to impact US12NG's ability to accurately track its Benchmark Futures Contracts.

First, US12NG may buy or sell its holdings in the then current Benchmark Futures Contracts at a price other than the closing settlement price of that contract on the day during which US12NG executes the trade. In that case, US12NG may pay a price that is higher, or lower, than that of the Benchmark Futures Contracts, which could cause the changes in the daily per unit NAV of US12NG to either be too high or too low relative to the daily changes in the Benchmark Futures Contracts. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, USCF attempted to minimize the effect of these transactions by seeking to execute its purchase or sale of the Benchmark Futures Contracts at, or as close as possible to, the end of the day settlement price. However, it may not always be possible for US12NG to obtain the closing settlement price and there is no assurance that failure to obtain the closing settlement price in the future will not adversely impact US12NG's attempt to track the Benchmark Futures Contracts over time.

Second, US12NG earns dividend and interest income on its cash, cash equivalents and Treasuries. US12NG is not required to distribute any portion of its income to its unitholders and did not make any distributions to unitholders during the six months ended June 30, 2012. Interest payments, and any other income, were retained within the portfolio and added to US12NG's NAV. When this income exceeds the level of US12NG's expenses for its management fee, brokerage commissions and other expenses (including ongoing registration fees, licensing fees and the fees and expenses of the independent directors of USCF), US12NG will realize a net yield that will tend to cause daily changes in the per unit NAV of US12NG to track slightly higher than daily changes in the average of the prices of the Benchmark Futures Contracts. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG earned, on an annualized basis, approximately 0.03% on its cash and cash equivalent holdings. It also incurred cash expenses on an annualized basis of 0.75% for management fees, approximately 0.06% in brokerage commission costs related to the purchase and sale of futures contracts and approximately 0.18% for other net expenses. The foregoing fees and expenses resulted in a net yield on an annualized basis of approximately (0.96)% and affected US12NG's ability to track its benchmark. If short-term interest rates rise above the current levels, the level of deviation created by the yield would decrease. Conversely, if short-term interest rates were to decline, the amount of error created by the yield would increase. When short-term yields drop to a level lower than the combined expenses of the management fee and the brokerage commissions, then the tracking error becomes a negative number and would tend to cause the daily returns of the per unit NAV to underperform the daily returns of the Benchmark Futures Contracts.

Third, US12NG may hold Other Natural Gas-Related Investments in its portfolio that may fail to closely track the Benchmark Futures Contracts total return movements. In that case, the error in tracking the changes in the average of the Benchmark Futures Contracts could result in daily changes in the per unit NAV of US12NG that are either too high, or too low, relative to the daily changes in the average of the Benchmark Futures Contracts. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG did not hold Other Natural Gas-Related Investments. If US12NG increases in size, and due to its obligations to comply with regulatory limits, US12NG may invest in Other Natural Gas-Related Investments which may have the effect of increasing transaction related expenses and may result in increased tracking error.

USCF anticipates that interest rates will continue to remain at historical lows and therefore, it is anticipated that fees and expenses paid by US12NG will continue to be higher than interest earned by US12NG. As such, USCF anticipates that US12NG will continue to underperform its benchmark until such a time when interest earned at least equals or exceeds the fees and expenses paid by US12NG.

Table of Contents

Term Structure of Natural Gas Futures Prices and the Impact on Total Returns. Several factors determine the total return from investing in a futures contract position. One factor that impacts the total return that will result from investing in near month natural gas futures contracts and rolling those contracts forward each month is the price relationship between the current near month contract and the next month contract. For example, if the price of the near month contract is higher than the next month contract (a situation referred to as backwardation in the futures market), then absent any other change there is a tendency for the price of a next month contract to rise in value as it becomes the near month contract and approaches expiration. Conversely, if the price of a near month contract is lower than the next month contract (a situation referred to as contango in the futures market), then absent any other change there is a tendency for the price of a next month contract to decline in value as it becomes the near month contract and approaches expiration.

As an example, assume that the price of natural gas for immediate delivery (the spot price), was \$7 per 10,000 million British thermal units (MMBtu), and the value of a position in the near month futures contract was also \$7. Over time, the price of 10,000 MMBtu of natural gas will fluctuate based on a number of market factors, including demand for natural gas relative to its supply. The value of the near month contract will likewise fluctuate in reaction to a number of market factors. If investors seek to maintain their position in a near month contract and not take delivery of the natural gas, every month they must sell their current near month contract as it approaches expiration and invest in the next month contract.

If the futures market is in backwardation, *e.g.*, when the expected price of natural gas in the future would be less, the investor would be buying a next month contract for a lower price than the current near month contract. Using the \$7 per MMBtu price above to represent the front month price, the price of the next month contract could be \$6.86 per barrel, that is, 2% cheaper than the front month contract. Hypothetically, and assuming no other changes to either prevailing natural gas prices or the price relationship between the spot price, the near month contract and the next month contract (and ignoring the impact of commission costs and the income earned on cash and/or cash equivalents), the value of the \$6.86 next month contract would rise as it approaches expiration and becomes the new near month contract with a price of \$7. In this example, the value of an investment in the second month contract would tend to rise faster than the spot price of natural gas, or fall slower. As a result, it would be possible in this hypothetical example for the spot price of natural gas to have risen 10% after some period of time, while the value of the investment in the second month futures contract would have risen 12%, assuming backwardation is large enough or enough time has elapsed. Similarly, the spot price of natural gas could have fallen 10% while the value of an investment in the futures contract could have fallen only 8%. Over time, if backwardation remained constant, the difference would continue to increase.

If the futures market is in contango, the investor would be buying a next month contract for a higher price than the current near month contract. Using again the \$7 per MMBtu price above to represent the front month price, the price of the next month contract could be \$7.14 per barrel, that is, 2% more expensive than the front month contract. Hypothetically, and assuming no other changes to either prevailing natural gas prices or the price relationship between the spot price, the near month contract and the next month contract (and ignoring the impact of commission costs and the income earned on cash and/or cash equivalents), the value of the next month contract would fall as it approaches expiration and becomes the new near month contract with a price of \$7. In this example, it would mean that the value of an investment in the second month would tend to rise slower than the spot price of natural gas, or fall faster. As a result, it would be possible in this hypothetical example for the spot price of natural gas to have risen 10% after some period of time, while the value of the investment in the second month futures contract will have risen only 8%, assuming contango is large enough or enough time has elapsed. Similarly, the spot price of natural gas could have fallen 10% while the value of an investment in the second month futures contract could have fallen 12%. Over time, if contango remained constant, the difference would continue to increase.

Table of Contents

The chart below compares the price of the near month contract to the average price of the near 12-month contracts over the last 10 years (2002-2011) for natural gas. When the price of the near month contract is higher than the average price of the near 12-month contracts, the market would be described as being in backwardation. When the price of the near month contract is lower than the average price of the near 12-month contracts, the market would be described as being in contango. Although the prices of the near month contract and the average price of the near 12-month contracts do tend to move up or down together, it can be seen that at times the near month prices are clearly higher than the average price of the near 12-month contracts (backwardation), and other times they are below the average price of the near 12-month contracts (contango). In addition, investors can observe that natural gas prices, both front month and second month, often display a seasonal pattern in which the price of natural gas tends to rise in the early winter months and decline in the summer months. This mirrors the physical demand for natural gas, which typically peaks in the winter.

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

An alternative way to view backwardation and contango data over time is to subtract the dollar price of the near month natural gas Futures Contract from the dollar price of the near 12 month natural gas Futures Contracts. If the resulting number is a positive number, then the near month price is higher than the average price of the near 12 months and the market could be described as being in backwardation. If the resulting number is a negative number, then the near month price is lower than the average price of the near 12 months and the market could be described as being in contango. The chart below shows the results from subtracting the average dollar price of the near 12-month contracts from the near month price for the 10 year period between 2002 and 2011. Investors will note that the natural gas market spent time in both backwardation and contango. Investors will further note that the markets display a seasonal pattern that corresponds to the seasonal demand patterns for natural gas above. That is, in many, but not all, cases the average price of the near 12-month contracts is higher than the near month during the approach to the winter months as the price of natural gas for delivery in those winter months rises on expectations of demand. At the same time, the price of the near month, when that month is just before the onset of winter, does not rise as far or as fast as the average price of the near 12-month contracts whose delivery falls during the winter season.

Table of Contents

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

An investment in a portfolio that involved owning only the near month contract would likely produce a different result than an investment in a portfolio that owned an equal number of each of the near 12 months worth of contracts. Generally speaking, when the natural gas futures market is in backwardation, the near month only portfolio would tend to have a higher total return than the 12 month contract portfolio. Conversely, if the natural gas futures market was in contango, the portfolio containing 12 months worth of contracts would tend to outperform the near month only portfolio. The chart below shows the annual results of owning a portfolio consisting of the near month contract and a portfolio containing the near 12 months worth of contracts. In addition, the chart shows the annual change in the spot price of natural gas. In this example, each month, the near month only portfolio would sell the near month contract at expiration and buy the next month out contract. The portfolio holding an equal number of the near 12 months worth of contracts would sell the near month contract at expiration and replace it with the contract that becomes the new 12 month contract.

Table of Contents

****PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS***

HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS HAVE MANY INHERENT LIMITATIONS, SOME OF WHICH ARE DESCRIBED BELOW. NO REPRESENTATION IS BEING MADE THAT US12NG WILL OR IS LIKELY TO ACHIEVE PROFITS OR LOSSES SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN. IN FACT, THERE ARE FREQUENTLY SHARP DIFFERENCES BETWEEN HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS AND THE ACTUAL RESULTS ACHIEVED BY ANY PARTICULAR TRADING PROGRAM.

ONE OF THE LIMITATIONS OF HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS IS THAT THEY ARE GENERALLY PREPARED WITH THE BENEFIT OF HINDSIGHT. IN ADDITION, HYPOTHETICAL TRADING DOES NOT INVOLVE FINANCIAL RISK, AND NO HYPOTHETICAL TRADING RECORD CAN COMPLETELY ACCOUNT FOR THE IMPACT OF FINANCIAL RISK IN ACTUAL TRADING.

FOR EXAMPLE, THE ABILITY TO WITHSTAND LOSSES OR TO ADHERE TO A PARTICULAR TRADING PROGRAM IN SPITE OF TRADING LOSSES ARE MATERIAL POINTS WHICH CAN ALSO ADVERSELY AFFECT ACTUAL TRADING RESULTS. THERE ARE NUMEROUS OTHER FACTORS RELATED TO THE MARKETS IN GENERAL OR TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ANY SPECIFIC TRADING PROGRAM WHICH CANNOT BE FULLY ACCOUNTED FOR IN THE PREPARATION OF HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS AND ALL OF WHICH CAN ADVERSELY AFFECT ACTUAL TRADING RESULTS.

BECAUSE THERE ARE NO ACTUAL TRADING RESULTS TO COMPARE TO THE HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS, INVESTORS SHOULD BE PARTICULARLY WARY OF PLACING UNDUE RELIANCE ON THESE HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS.

Table of Contents

As seen in the chart above, there have been periods of both positive and negative annual total returns for both hypothetical portfolios over the last 10 years. In addition, there have been periods during which the near month only approach had higher returns, and periods where the 12 month approach had higher total returns. The above chart does not represent the performance history of US12NG or any Related Public Fund.

Historically, the natural gas futures markets have experienced periods of contango and backwardation. Because natural gas demand is seasonal, it is possible for the price of natural gas Futures Contracts for delivery within one or two months to rapidly move from backwardation into contango and back again within a relatively short period of time of less than one year. While the investment objective of US12NG is not to have the market price of its units match, dollar for dollar, changes in the spot price of natural gas, contango impacted the total return on an investment in US12NG units during the six months ended June 30, 2012 relative to a hypothetical direct investment in natural gas. For example, an investment in US12NG units made on December 31, 2011 and held to June 30, 2012 decreased based upon the changes in the per unit NAV for US12NG units on those days, by approximately 18.06%, while the spot price of natural gas for immediate delivery during the same period decreased by approximately 0.42% and the average price of the Benchmark Futures Contracts decreased by approximately \$0.014 (note: this comparison ignores the potential costs associated with physically owning and storing natural gas, which could be substantial). By comparison, during the period from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011, contango impacted the total return on an investment in US12NG units relative to a hypothetical direct investment in natural gas. For example, an investment in US12NG units made on December 31, 2010 and held to June 30, 2011 decreased, based upon the changes in the per unit NAV for US12NG units on those days, by approximately 7.00%, while the spot price of natural gas for immediate delivery during the same period increased by approximately 0.30% (note: this comparison ignores the potential costs associated with physically owning and storing natural gas, which could be substantial).

USCF believes that holding futures contracts whose expiration dates are spread out over a 12 month period of time will cause the total return of such a portfolio to vary compared to a portfolio that holds only a single month's contract (such as the near month contract). In particular, USCF believes that the total return of a portfolio holding contracts with a range of expiration months will be impacted differently by the price relationship between different contract months of the same commodity future compared to the total return of a portfolio consisting of the near month contract. USCF believes that based on historical evidence a portfolio that held futures contracts with a range of expiration dates spread out over a 12 month period of time would typically be impacted less by the positive effect of backwardation, and less by the negative effect of contango, compared to a portfolio that held contracts of a single near month. As a result, absent the impact of any other factors, a portfolio of 12 different monthly contracts would tend to have a lower total return than a near month only portfolio in a backwardation market and a higher total return in a contango market. However there can be no assurance that such historical relationships would provide the same or similar results in the future.

Periods of contango or backwardation do not materially impact US12NG's investment objective of having the daily percentage changes in its per unit NAV track the daily percentage changes in the average of the prices of the Benchmark Futures Contracts since the impact of backwardation and contango tend to equally impact the daily percentage changes in price of both US12NG's units and the Benchmark Futures Contracts. It is impossible to predict with any degree of certainty whether backwardation or contango will occur in the future. It is likely that both conditions will occur during different periods and, because of the seasonal nature of natural gas demand, both may occur within a single year's time.

Natural Gas Market. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, natural gas prices in the United States were impacted by several factors. As of June 30, 2012, the amount of natural gas in storage had reached 3.102 billion cubic feet, which was approximately 22% and 23% above the five-year average and 2011 levels, respectively. Prices were volatile and sharply higher during the second quarter of 2012 but lower for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Reduced natural gas production and a decline of surplus storage over historical levels contributed to an increase in natural gas prices during the second quarter of 2012. However, the continued existence of a historic storage surplus ultimately led to a decline in prices for the six months ended June 30, 2012, with prices reaching a low of \$1.983 on April 12, 2012.

Table of Contents

Natural Gas Price Movements in Comparison to Other Energy Commodities and Investment Categories. USCF believes that investors frequently measure the degree to which prices or total returns of one investment or asset class move up or down in value in concert with another investment or asset class. Statistically, such a measure is usually done by measuring the correlation of the price movements of the two different investments or asset classes over some period of time. The correlation is scaled between 1 and -1, where 1 indicates that the two investment options move up or down in price or value together, known as positive correlation, and -1 indicates that they move in completely opposite directions, known as negative correlation. A correlation of 0 would mean that the movements of the two are neither positively nor negatively correlated, known as non-correlation. That is, the investment options sometimes move up and down together and other times move in opposite directions.

For the ten-year time period between June 30, 2002 and June 30, 2012, the chart below compares the monthly movements of natural gas prices versus the monthly movements of the prices of several other energy commodities, such as crude oil, heating oil, and unleaded gasoline, as well as several major non-commodity investment asset classes, such as large cap U.S. equities, U.S. government bonds and global equities. It can be seen that over this particular time period, the movement of natural gas on a monthly basis was not strongly correlated with the movements of unleaded gasoline, crude oil, large cap U.S. equities, U.S. government bonds or global equities. Movements in natural gas had a positive, yet moderate, correlation to movements in heating oil.

Correlation Matrix	Large Cap U.S. Equities (S&P 500)	U.S. Gov t. Bonds (EFFAS U.S. Gov t. Bond Index)	Global Equities (FTSE World Index)	Crude Oil	Heating Oil	Unleaded Gasoline	Natural Gas
June 30, 2002-2012							
Large Cap U.S. Equities (S&P 500)	1.000	(0.337)	0.968	0.282	0.234	0.189	0.042
U.S. Gov t. Bonds (EFFAS U.S. Gov t. Bond Index)		1.000	(0.315)	(0.184)	(0.130)	(0.222)	0.074
Global Equities (FTSE World Index)			1.000	0.369	0.314	0.247	0.092
Crude Oil				1.000	0.833	0.724	0.391
Heating Oil					1.000	0.707	0.505
Unleaded Gasoline						1.000	0.281
Natural Gas							1.000

Source: Bloomberg, NYMEX

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

The chart below covers a more recent, but much shorter, range of dates than the above chart. It can be seen that over this particular time period, the movement of natural gas on a monthly basis was essentially non-correlated with large cap U.S. equities, U.S. government bonds, global equities, crude oil and heating oil and had a negative, yet moderate, correlation with unleaded gasoline.

Table of Contents

Correlation Matrix	Large Cap U.S. Equities (S&P 500)	U.S. Gov t. Bonds (EFFAS U.S. Gov t. Bond Index)	Global Equities (FTSE World Index)	Crude Oil	Heating Oil	Unleaded Gasoline	Natural Gas
12 Months ended June 30, 2012							
Large Cap U.S. Equities (S&P 500)	1.000	(0.777)	0.980	0.803	0.748	0.637	0.142
U.S. Gov t. Bonds (EFFAS U.S. Gov t. Bond Index)		1.000	(0.744)	(0.506)	(0.385)	(0.532)	(0.008)
Global Equities (FTSE World Index)			1.000	0.783	0.790	0.629	0.187
Crude Oil				1.000	0.850	0.456	0.160
Heating Oil					1.000	0.658	0.045
Unleaded Gasoline						1.000	(0.441)
Natural Gas							1.000

Source: Bloomberg, NYMEX

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

Investors are cautioned that the historical price relationships between natural gas and various other energy commodities, as well as other investment asset classes, as measured by correlation may not be reliable predictors of future price movements and correlation results. The results pictured above would have been different if a different range of dates had been selected. USCF believes that natural gas has historically not demonstrated a strong correlation with equities or bonds over long periods of time. However, USCF also believes that in the future it is possible that natural gas could have long-term correlation results that indicate prices of natural gas more closely track the movements of equities or bonds. In addition, USCF believes that, when measured over time periods shorter than ten years, there will always be some periods where the correlation of natural gas to equities and bonds will be either more strongly positively correlated or more strongly negatively correlated than the long-term historical results suggest.

The correlations between natural gas, crude oil, heating oil and gasoline are relevant because USCF endeavors to invest US12NG's assets in natural gas Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments so that daily changes in percentage terms in US12NG's per unit NAV correlate as closely as possible with daily changes in percentage terms in the average of the prices of the Benchmark Futures Contracts. If certain other fuel-based commodity Futures Contracts do not closely correlate with the Benchmark Futures Contracts, then their use could lead to greater tracking error. As noted above, USCF also believes that the changes in percentage terms in the average of the prices of the Benchmark Futures Contracts will closely correlate with changes in percentage terms in the spot price of natural gas.

Critical Accounting Policies

Preparation of the condensed financial statements and related disclosures in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the application of appropriate accounting rules and guidance, as well as the use of estimates. US12NG's application of these policies involves judgments and actual results may differ from the estimates used.

USCF has evaluated the nature and types of estimates that it makes in preparing US12NG's condensed financial statements and related disclosures and has determined that the valuation of its investments, which are not traded on a United States or internationally recognized futures exchange (such as forward contracts and over-the-counter contracts) involves a critical accounting policy. The values which are used by US12NG for its Futures Contracts are provided by its commodity broker who uses market prices when available, while over-the-counter contracts are valued based on the present value of estimated future cash flows that would be received from or paid to a third party in settlement of these derivative contracts prior to their delivery date and valued on a daily basis. In addition, US12NG estimates interest and dividend income on a daily basis using prevailing rates earned on its cash and cash equivalents. These estimates are adjusted to the actual amount received on a monthly basis and the difference, if any, is not considered material.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

US12NG has not made, and does not anticipate making, use of borrowings or other lines of credit to meet its obligations. US12NG has met, and it is anticipated that US12NG will continue to meet, its liquidity needs in the normal course of business from the proceeds of the sale of its investments, or from the Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents that it intends to hold at all times. US12NG's liquidity needs include: redeeming units, providing margin deposits for its existing natural gas Futures Contracts or the purchase of additional natural gas Futures Contracts and posting collateral for its over-the-counter contracts and payment of its expenses, summarized below under Contractual Obligations.

US12NG currently generates cash primarily from: (i) the sale of baskets consisting of 50,000 units (Creation Baskets) and (ii) income earned on Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents. US12NG has allocated substantially all of its net assets to trading in Natural Gas Interests. US12NG invests in Natural Gas Interests to the fullest extent possible without being leveraged or unable to satisfy its current or potential margin or collateral obligations with respect to its investments in Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments. A significant portion of US12NG's NAV is held in cash and cash equivalents that are used as margin and as collateral for its trading in Natural Gas Interests. The balance of the assets is held in US12NG's account at its custodian bank and in Treasuries at the FCM. Income received from US12NG's money market funds and Treasuries is paid to US12NG. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG's expenses exceeded the income US12NG earned and the cash earned from the sale of Creation Baskets and the redemption of Redemption Baskets. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG was forced to use other assets to pay expenses, which could cause a drop in US12NG's NAV over time. To the extent expenses exceed income, US12NG's NAV will be negatively impacted.

US12NG's investments in Natural Gas Interests may be subject to periods of illiquidity because of market conditions, regulatory considerations and other reasons. For example, most commodity exchanges limit the fluctuations in futures contracts prices during a single day by regulations referred to as daily limits. During a single day, no trades may be executed at prices beyond the daily limit. Once the price of a futures contract has increased or decreased by an amount equal to the daily limit, positions in the contracts can neither be taken nor liquidated unless the traders are willing to effect trades at or within the specified daily limit. Such market conditions could prevent US12NG from promptly liquidating its positions in Futures Contracts. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG was not forced to purchase or liquidate any of its positions while daily limits were in effect; however, US12NG cannot predict whether such an event may occur in the future.

Since the initial offering of US12NG, all payments with respect to US12NG's expenses were paid by USCF. US12NG does not have an obligation or intention to refund such payments by USCF. USCF is under no obligation to pay US12NG's current or future expenses. US12NG is responsible for expenses incurred subsequent to the initial offering of units relating to: (i) management fees, (ii) brokerage fees and commissions, (iii) licensing fees for the use of intellectual property, (iv) ongoing registration expenses in connection with offers and sales of its units subsequent to the initial offering, (v) other expenses, including tax reporting costs, (vi) fees and expenses of the independent directors of USCF and (vii) other extraordinary expenses not in the ordinary course of business, while USCF has been responsible for expenses relating to the fees of US12NG's Marketing Agent, Administrator and Custodian and registration expenses relating to the initial offering of units. If USCF and US12NG are unsuccessful in raising sufficient funds to cover these respective expenses or in locating any other source of funding, US12NG will terminate and investors may lose all or part of their investment.

Market Risk

Trading in Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, such as forwards, involves US12NG entering into contractual commitments to purchase or sell natural gas at a specified date in the future. The aggregate market value of the contracts will significantly exceed US12NG's future cash requirements since US12NG intends to close out its open positions prior to settlement. As a result, US12NG is generally only subject to the risk of loss arising from the change in value of the contracts. US12NG considers the fair value of its derivative instruments to be the unrealized gain or loss on the contracts. The market risk associated with US12NG's commitments to purchase natural gas is limited to the aggregate market value of the contracts held. However, should US12NG enter into a contractual commitment to sell natural gas, it would be required to make delivery of the natural gas at the contract price, repurchase the contract at prevailing prices or settle in cash. Since there are no limits on the future price of natural gas, the market risk to US12NG could be unlimited.

Table of Contents

US12NG's exposure to market risk depends on a number of factors, including the markets for natural gas, the volatility of interest rates and foreign exchange rates, the liquidity of the Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments markets and the relationships among the contracts held by US12NG. Drastic market occurrences could ultimately lead to the loss of all or substantially all of an investor's capital.

Credit Risk

When US12NG enters into Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments, it is exposed to the credit risk that the counterparty will not be able to meet its obligations. The counterparty for the Futures Contracts traded on the NYMEX and on most other futures exchanges is the clearinghouse associated with the particular exchange. In general, in addition to margin required to be posted by the clearinghouse in connection with cleared trades, clearinghouses are backed by their members who may be required to share in the financial burden resulting from the nonperformance of one of their members and, therefore, this additional member support should significantly reduce credit risk. Some foreign exchanges are not backed by their clearinghouse members but may be backed by a consortium of banks or other financial institutions. There can be no assurance that any counterparty, clearinghouse, or their members or their financial backers will satisfy their obligations to US12NG in such circumstances.

USCF attempts to manage the credit risk of US12NG by following various trading limitations and policies. In particular, US12NG generally posts margin and/or holds liquid assets that are approximately equal to the market value of its obligations to counterparties under the Futures Contracts and Other Natural Gas-Related Investments it holds. USCF has implemented procedures that include, but are not limited to, executing and clearing trades only with creditworthy parties and/or requiring the posting of collateral or margin by such parties for the benefit of US12NG to limit its credit exposure. UBS Securities LLC, US12NG's commodity broker, or any other broker that may be retained by US12NG in the future, when acting as US12NG's FCM in accepting orders to purchase or sell Futures Contracts on United States exchanges, is required by CFTC regulations to separately account for and segregate as belonging to US12NG, all assets of US12NG relating to domestic Futures Contracts trading. These FCMs are not allowed to commingle US12NG's assets with their other assets. In addition, the CFTC requires commodity brokers to hold in a secure account US12NG's assets related to foreign Futures Contracts trading.

If, in the future, US12NG purchases over-the-counter contracts, see *Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk* in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of over-the-counter contracts.

As of June 30, 2012, US12NG held cash deposits and investments in Treasuries and money market funds in the amount of \$40,484,652 with the custodian and FCM. Some or all of these amounts may be subject to loss should US12NG's custodian and/or FCM cease operations.

Off Balance Sheet Financing

As of June 30, 2012, US12NG had no loan guarantee, credit support or other off-balance sheet arrangements of any kind other than agreements entered into in the normal course of business, which may include indemnification provisions relating to certain risks that service providers undertake in performing services which are in the best interests of US12NG. While US12NG's exposure under these indemnification provisions cannot be estimated, they are not expected to have a material impact on US12NG's financial position.

European Sovereign Debt

US12NG had no direct exposure to European sovereign debt as of June 30, 2012 and has no direct exposure to European sovereign debt as of the filing of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Redemption Basket Obligation

In order to meet its investment objective and pay its contractual obligations described below, US12NG requires liquidity to redeem units, which redemptions must be in blocks of 50,000 units called Redemption Baskets. (Prior to February 29, 2012, the size of the redemption basket was 100,000 units). US12NG has to date satisfied this obligation by paying from the cash or cash equivalents it holds or through the sale of its Treasuries in an amount proportionate to the number of units being redeemed.

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations

US12NG's primary contractual obligations are with USCF. In return for its services, USCF is entitled to a management fee calculated monthly as a fixed percentage of US12NG's NAV, currently 0.75% of US12NG's NAV on its average daily total net assets.

USCF agreed to pay the start-up costs associated with the formation of US12NG, primarily its legal, accounting and other costs in connection with USCF's registration with the CFTC as a CPO and the registration and listing of US12NG and its units with the SEC, FINRA and NYSE Arca (formerly, AMEX), respectively. However, since US12NG's initial offering of units, offering costs incurred in connection with registering and listing additional units of US12NG have been directly borne on an ongoing basis by US12NG, and not by USCF.

USCF pays the fees of US12NG's marketing agent, ALPS Distributors, Inc., and the fees of the custodian and transfer agent, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. (BBH&Co.), as well as BBH&Co.'s fees for performing administrative services, including those in connection with the preparation of US12NG's condensed financial statements and its SEC, NFA and CFTC reports. USCF and US12NG have also entered into a licensing agreement with the NYMEX pursuant to which US12NG and the Related Public Funds, other than USBO, USCI, CPER, USAG and USMI, pay a licensing fee to the NYMEX. US12NG pays the fees and expenses associated with its tax accounting and reporting requirements. USCF has voluntarily agreed to pay certain expenses normally borne by US12NG to the extent that such expenses exceed 0.15% (15 basis points) of US12NG's NAV, on an annualized basis, through at least December 31, 2012. USCF has no obligation to continue such payments into subsequent periods.

In addition to USCF's management fee, US12NG pays its brokerage fees (including fees to a FCM), over-the-counter dealer spreads, any licensing fees for the use of intellectual property, and, subsequent to the initial offering, registration and other fees paid to the SEC, FINRA, or other regulatory agencies in connection with the offer and sale of units, as well as legal, printing, accounting and other expenses associated therewith, and extraordinary expenses. The latter are expenses not incurred in the ordinary course of US12NG's business, including expenses relating to the indemnification of any person against liabilities and obligations to the extent permitted by law and under the LP Agreement, the bringing or defending of actions in law or in equity or otherwise conducting litigation and incurring legal expenses and the settlement of claims and litigation. Commission payments to a FCM are on a contract-by-contract, or round turn, basis. US12NG also pays a portion of the fees and expenses of the independent directors of USCF. See Note 3 to the Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited) in Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

The parties cannot anticipate the amount of payments that will be required under these arrangements for future periods, as US12NG's per unit NAVs and trading levels to meet its investment objective will not be known until a future date. These agreements are effective for a specific term agreed upon by the parties with an option to renew, or, in some cases, are in effect for the duration of US12NG's existence. Either party may terminate these agreements earlier for certain reasons described in the agreements.

As of June 30, 2012, US12NG's portfolio consisted of 1,242 Natural Gas Futures NG Contracts traded on the NYMEX. For a list of US12NG's current holdings, please see US12NG's website at www.unitedstates12monthnaturalgasfund.com.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Over-the-Counter Derivatives (Including Spreads and Straddles)

In the future, US12NG may purchase over-the-counter contracts (OTC Contracts). Unlike most exchange-traded futures contracts or exchange-traded options on such futures, each party to an OTC Contract bears the credit risk that the other party may not be able to perform its obligations under its contract.

To reduce the credit risk that arises in connection with such contracts, US12NG will generally enter into an agreement with each counterparty based on the Master Agreement published by ISDA that provides for the netting of its overall exposure to its counterparty, if the counterparty is unable to meet its obligations to US12NG due to the occurrence of a specified event, such as the insolvency of the counterparty.

Table of Contents

USCF assesses or reviews, as appropriate, the creditworthiness of each potential or existing counterparty to an OTC Contract pursuant to guidelines approved by USCF's board of directors (the Board). Furthermore, USCF on behalf of US12NG only enters into OTC Contracts with counterparties who are, or are affiliates of, (a) banks regulated by a United States federal bank regulator, (b) broker-dealers regulated by the SEC, (c) insurance companies domiciled in the United States, or (d) producers, users or traders of energy, whether or not regulated by the CFTC. Any entity acting as a counterparty shall be regulated in either the United States or the United Kingdom unless otherwise approved by the Board after consultation with its legal counsel. Existing counterparties are also reviewed periodically by USCF. US12NG will also require that the counterparty be highly rated and/or provide collateral or other credit support. Even if collateral is used to reduce counterparty credit risk, sudden changes in the value of OTC transactions may leave a party open to financial risk due to a counterparty default since the collateral held may not cover a party's exposure on the transaction in such situations.

In general, valuing OTC derivatives is less certain than valuing actively traded financial instruments such as exchange-traded futures contracts and securities or cleared swaps because the price and terms on which such OTC derivatives are entered into or can be terminated are individually negotiated, and those prices and terms may not reflect the best price or terms available from other sources. In addition, while market makers and dealers generally quote indicative prices or terms for entering into or terminating OTC Contracts, they typically are not contractually obligated to do so, particularly if they are not a party to the transaction. As a result, it may be difficult to obtain an independent value for an outstanding OTC derivatives transaction.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, US12NG did not employ any hedging methods such as those described above since all of US12NG's investments were made over an exchange. Therefore, during such period, US12NG was not exposed to counterparty risk.

US12NG anticipates that the use of Other Natural Gas-Related Investments together with its investments in Futures Contracts will produce price and total return results that closely track the investment goals of US12NG. However, there can be no assurance of this. OTC Contracts may result in higher transaction-related expenses than the brokerage commissions paid in connection with the purchase of Futures Contracts, which may impact US12NG's ability to successfully track the Benchmark Futures Contracts.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

US12NG maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that material information required to be disclosed in US12NG's periodic reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

The duly appointed officers of USCF, including its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, who perform functions equivalent to those of a principal executive officer and principal financial officer of US12NG if US12NG had any officers, have evaluated the effectiveness of US12NG's disclosure controls and procedures and have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures of US12NG have been effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Change in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in US12NG's internal control over financial reporting during US12NG's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, US12NG's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Not applicable.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in US12NG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, filed on March 28, 2012, except for the addition of the risk factor set forth below.

US12NG is organized and operated as a limited partnership in accordance with the provisions of the LP Agreement and applicable state law, and therefore, US12NG has a more complex tax treatment than traditional mutual funds.

US12NG is organized and operated as a limited partnership in accordance with the provisions of the LP Agreement and applicable state law. No U.S. federal income tax is paid by US12NG on its income. Instead, US12NG will furnish unitholders each year with tax information on IRS Schedule K-1 (Form 1065) and each U.S. unitholder is required to report on its U.S. federal income tax return its allocable share of the income, gain, loss and deduction of US12NG. This must be reported without regard to the amount (if any) of cash or property the unitholder receives as a distribution from US12NG during the taxable year. A unitholder, therefore, may be allocated income or gain by US12NG but receive no cash distribution with which to pay the tax liability resulting from the allocation, or may receive a distribution that is insufficient to pay such liability.

In addition to federal income taxes, unitholders may be subject to other taxes, such as state and local income taxes, unincorporated business taxes, business franchise taxes, and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that may be imposed by the various jurisdictions in which US12NG does business or owns property or where the unitholders reside. Although an analysis of those various taxes is not presented here, each prospective unitholder should consider their potential impact on its investment in US12NG. It is each unitholder's responsibility to file the appropriate U.S. federal, state, local and foreign tax returns.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Not applicable.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

Monthly Account Statements

Pursuant to the requirement under Rule 4.22 under the Commodity Exchange Act, each month US12NG publishes an account statement for its unitholders, which includes a Statement of Income (Loss) and a Statement of Changes in Net Asset Value. The account statement is furnished to the SEC on a current report on Form 8-K pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act and posted each month on US12NG's website at www.unitedstates12monthnaturalgasfund.com.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

Listed below are the exhibits, which are filed as part of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q (according to the number assigned to them in Item 601 of Regulation S-K):

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.1(1)	Amendment Agreement to the Administrative Agency Agreement.
10.2(1)	Amendment Agreement to the Custodian Agreement.
10.3(1)	Amendment Agreement to the Marketing Agent Agreement.
31.1(1)	Certification by Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2(1)	Certification by Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1(1)	Certification by Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2(1)	Certification by Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS(2)	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH(2)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
101.CAL(2)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF(2)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB(2)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
101.PRE(2)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

(1) Filed herewith.

(2) In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits is furnished and deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP (Registrant)

By: United States Commodity Funds LLC, its general partner

By: /s/ Nicholas D. Gerber
Nicholas D. Gerber
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal executive officer)
Date: August 14, 2012

By: /s/ Howard Mah
Howard Mah
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal financial and accounting officer)
Date: August 14, 2012