FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP Form 10-Q August 07, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-15185

First Horizon National Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

TN (State or other jurisdiction 62-0803242 (IRS Employer

 $incorporation\ of\ organization)$

Identification No.)

165 MADISON AVENUE

MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE (Address of principal executive office)

38103

(Zip Code)

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code) (901) 523-4444

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). "Yes x No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class
Common Stock, \$.625 par value

Outstanding on June 30, 2014 237,146,617

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION

INDEX

Part I. Financial Information	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	2
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	78
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	119
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	119
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	120
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	120
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	120
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	120
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	120
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	120
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	121
<u>Signatures</u>	122
Exhibit Index	123
Exhibit 31(a)	
Exhibit 31(b)	
Exhibit 32(a)	
Exhibit 32(b)	

PART I.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

3
4
5
6
7
8
8
10
11
13
24
26
27
28
29
31
32
42
44
46
52
58
59
76

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION

	First Horizon National Corporation			
		une 30	December 31	
(Dollars in thousands, except restricted and per share amounts)(Unaudited) Assets:	2014	2013	2013	
Cash and due from banks (Restricted \$ million on June 30, 2014; and \$1.2 million on June 30	0,			
2013 and December 31, 2013)	\$ 417,108	3 \$ 382,601	\$ 349,216	
Federal funds sold	51,537	52,169	66,079	
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (Note 16)	624,477	602,126	412,614	
Total cash and cash equivalents (Restricted \$ million on June 30, 2014; and \$1.2 million on				
June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2013)	1,093,122	1,036,896	827,909	
Interest-bearing cash	255,920	344,150	730,297	
Trading securities	1,150,280		801,718	
Loans held-for-sale	358,945	385,105	370,152	
Securities available-for-sale (Note 3) (a)	3,576,542	3,228,379	3,398,457	
Securities held-to-maturity (Note 3)	4,279)		
Loans, net of unearned income (Restricted \$.1 billion on June 30, 2014; June 30, 2013; and				
December 31, 2013) (Note 4) (a)	15,795,709	16,197,952	15,389,074	
Less: Allowance for loan losses (Restricted \$.7 million on June 30, 2014; \$3.8 million on				
June 30, 2013; and \$4.4 million on December 31, 2013) (Note 5)	243,628	3 261,934	253,809	
Total net loans (Restricted \$.1 billion on June 30, 2014; June 30, 2013; and December 31,				
2013) (a)	15,552,081	15,936,018	15,135,265	
Mortgage servicing rights (Note 6)	3,197	7 113,853	72,793	
Goodwill (Note 7) (a)	141,943		141,943	
Other intangible assets, net (Note 7)	20,025	5 23,144	21,988	
Capital markets receivables	174,224	151,660	45,255	
Premises and equipment, net (a)	300,533	314,764	305,244	
Real estate acquired by foreclosure (a)	57,552	69,901	71,562	
Derivative assets (Note 15)	162,067	7 235,759	181,866	
Other assets (Restricted \$.4 million on June 30, 2014; \$1.7 million on June 30, 2013; and				
\$1.9 million on December 31, 2013) (a)	1,372,040	1,605,344	1,685,384	
Total assets (Restricted \$.1 billion on June 30, 2014; June 30, 2013; and December 31,				
2013) (a)	\$ 24,222,750	\$ 24,852,800	\$ 23,789,833	
Liabilities and equity:				
Deposits:				
Savings	\$ 6,317,197	5 6,928,447	\$ 6,732,326	
Time deposits	808,822		951,755	
Other interest-bearing deposits	4,014,071		3,859,079	
Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more	503,597	602,921	553,957	
Interest-bearing	11,643,687		12,097,117	
Noninterest-bearing	4,513,800	4,603,954	4,637,839	
Total deposits	16,157,487	7 17,011,884	16,734,956	
Federal funds purchased	947,940	1,142,749	1,042,633	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (Note 16)	475,530		442,789	
		•		

Trading liabilities	706,119	596,869	368,348
Other short-term borrowings	1,073,250	446,909	181,146
Term borrowings (Restricted \$.1 billion on June 30, 2014; June 30, 2013; and December 31,			
2013)	1,501,209	1,800,255	1,739,859
Capital markets payables	95,299	90,231	21,173
Derivative liabilities (Note 15)	138,336	198,489	154,280
Other liabilities (a)	501,423	585,245	603,898
Total liabilities (Restricted \$.1 billion on June 30, 2014; June 30, 2013; and December 31, 2013) (a)	21,596,599	22,306,392	21,289,082
Equity:			
First Horizon National Corporation Shareholders Equity:			
Preferred stock Series A, non-cumulative perpetual, no par value, liquidation preference of \$100,000 per share (shares authorized 1,000; shares issued 1,000 on June 30, 2014, June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2013)	95,624	95,624	95,624
Common stock \$.625 par value (shares authorized 400,000,000; shares issued 237,146,617 o		,,,,,	,,,,,
June 30, 2014; 240,554,552 on June 30, 2013; and 236,369,554 on December 31, 2013)	148,217	150,347	147,731
Capital surplus	1,416,012	1,416,563	1,416,767
Undivided profits	792,978	777,108	695,207
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net (Note 9)	(122,111)	(188,665)	(150,009)
Total First Horizon National Corporation Shareholders Equity	2,330,720	2,250,977	2,205,320
Noncontrolling interest (a)	295,431	295,431	295,431
Total equity (a)	2,626,151	2,546,408	2,500,751
Total liabilities and equity (Restricted \$.1 billion on June 30, 2014; June 30, 2013; and December 31, 2013) (a)	\$ 24,222,750	\$ 24,852,800	\$ 23,789,833

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

⁽a) June 30, 2013 balance has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013.

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

				onths Ended ane 30	
(Dollars and shares in thousands except per share data, unless otherwise noted)(Unaudited)	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Interest income:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* 1 = 1 = 1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *	
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 142,710	\$ 151,314	\$ 281,367	\$ 304,599	
Interest on investment securities available-for-sale	23,650	20,664	46,784	41,526	
Interest on investment securities held-to-maturity	66		132		
Interest on loans held-for-sale	3,209	3,169	6,424	6,671	
Interest on trading securities	7,687	8,770	15,792	17,051	
Interest on other earning assets	37	74	444	543	
Total interest income	177,359	183,991	350,943	370,390	
Interest expense:					
Interest on deposits:					
Savings	2,792	3,689	5,875	8,086	
Time deposits	2,486	4,064	5,548	8,281	
Other interest-bearing deposits	746	1,013	1,564	2,158	
Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more	869	1,550	1,892	3,111	
Interest on trading liabilities	4,087	3,354	7,658	6,550	
Interest on short-term borrowings	1,195	1,156	2,300	2,462	
Interest on term borrowings	8,416	9,146	16,979	18,341	
Total interest expense	20,591	23,972	41,816	48,989	
•	·		ĺ	·	
Net interest income	156,768	160,019	309,127	321,401	
Provision for loan losses	5,000	15,000	15,000	30,000	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	151,768	145,019	294,127	291,401	
Noninterest income:					
Capital markets	47,680	69,265	104,520	148,428	
Deposit transactions and cash management	27,911	28,254	54,367	55,910	
Brokerage, management fees and commissions	12,843	10,540	25,119	19,888	
Mortgage banking	8,861	5,589	27,890	14,962	
Bankcard income	7,919	5,299	12,439	10,181	
Trust services and investment management	7,309	6,950	14,053	13,278	
Bank-owned life insurance	3,312	3,946	9,344	9,418	
Other service charges	3,143	3,503	5,988	6,589	
Insurance commissions	611	730	1,048	1,330	
Equity securities gains/(losses), net	(1,923)	4	3,734	28	
Debt securities gains/(losses), net	(=,-==)	(355)	-,,	(355)	
All other income and commissions (Note 8)	9,235	8,907	14,129	19,402	
Total noninterest income	126,901	142,632	272,631	299,059	
Adjusted gross income after provision for loan losses	278,669	287,651	566,758	590,460	
Noninterest expense:					
Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits (three and six months ended June 30, 2014, include \$1.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, and three and six months ended	119,659	130,500	238,888	269,684	

June 30, 2013, include \$2.9 million and \$5.4 million, respectively, of expense associated with pension and post-retirement plans reclassified from accumulated other

comprehensive income)				
Occupancy	11,944	11,785	29,536	24,607
Computer software	11,087	9,608	21,743	19,684
Operations services	8,804	8,842	17,786	16,912
Equipment rentals, depreciation, and maintenance	7,442	7,597	15,291	15,417
Legal and professional fees	6,151	14,065	21,190	25,236
Contract employment and outsourcing	5,318	8,581	9,643	17,620
Advertising and public relations	4,312	4,121	10,220	8,068
Communications and courier	3,948	4,531	8,172	8,968
FDIC premium expense	1,136	5,037	5,127	11,048
Amortization of intangible assets	981	928	1,963	1,856
Foreclosed real estate	(15,000)	1,287	1,223	2,726
All other expense (Note 8)	(15,889)	20,526	4,764	46,122
	4 4 7 2 2 2	227 100	207 744	16=010
Total noninterest expense	165,332	227,408	385,546	467,948
Income/(loss)before income taxes	113,337	60,243	181,212	122,512
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes (three and six months ended June 30, 2014, include				
\$.4 million and \$.7 million, respectively, and three and six months ended June 30, 2013,				
include \$1.1 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, of income tax benefit reclassified from	22.155	15.000	7 0.000	22 722
accumulated other comprehensive income)	32,157	15,008	50,802	32,738
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	81,180	45,235	130,410	89,774
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax (a)		1		431
Net income/(loss)	\$ 81,180	\$ 45,236	\$ 130,410	\$ 90,205
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	2,859	2,843	5,672	5,656
Net income/(loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$ 78,321	\$ 42,393	\$ 124,738	\$ 84,549
Preferred stock dividends	1,550	1,550	3,100	2,738
Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 76,771	\$ 40,843	\$ 121,638	\$ 81,811
	. ,	,	. ,	,
Basic earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations (Note 10)	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.34
Zusie eurinings (1988) per samte irom eonemang operations (1996-199	φ σιεε	Ψ 0.17	Ψ 0.02	φ σ.υ.
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations (Note 10)	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.34
Didica carmings/(1088) per share from continuing operations (1000-10)	φ 0.52	Φ 0.17	φ 0.51	Φ 0.54
Desir comings/(less) was show (Note 10)	ø 0.22	¢ 0.17	¢ 0.53	¢ 0.24
Basic earnings/(loss) per share (Note 10)	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.34
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share (Note 10)	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.34
Weighted average common shares (Note 10)	235,797	239,248	235,492	240,055
	Ź		·	
Weighted average common shares (Note 10) Diluted average common shares (Note 10)	235,797 237,250	239,248 240,891	235,492 237,325	240,055 241,859

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

⁽a) Due to the nature of the preferred stock issued by FHN and its subsidiaries, all components of Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax have been attributed solely to FHN as the controlling interest holder.

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	First Horizon National Corporation			
	Three Mo	nths Ended	Six Mont	hs Ended
		e 30	June	2 30
(Dollars in thousands) (unaudited)	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income/(loss)	\$ 81,180	\$ 45,236	\$ 130,410	\$ 90,205
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax:				
Unrealized fair value adjustments:				
Securities available-for-sale	17,358	(39,152)	26,837	(45,811)
Recognized pension and other employee benefit plans net periodic benefit costs	650	2,126	1,061	3,489
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	18,008	(37,026)	27,898	(42,322)
Comprehensive income/(loss)	99,188	8,210	158,308	47,883
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	2,859	2,843	5,672	5,656
Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to controlling interest	\$ 96,329	\$ 5,367	\$ 152,636	\$ 42,227

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

First Horizon National Corporation						
		2014			2013	
	Controlling	Noncontrolling		Controlling	Noncontrolling	
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)(Unaudited)	Interest	Interest	Total	Interest	Interest	Total
Balance, January 1	\$ 2,205,320	\$ 295,431	\$ 2,500,751	\$ 2,214,041	\$ 295,165	\$ 2,509,206
Net income/(loss)	124,738	5,672	130,410	84,549	5,656	90,205
Other comprehensive income/(loss) (a)	27,898		27,898	(42,322)		(42,322)
Comprehensive income/(loss)	152,636	5,672	158,308	42,227	5,656	47,883
•						
Preferred stock issuance (1,000 shares issued at						
\$100,000 per share net of offering costs)				95,624		95,624
Cash dividends declared:				70,02		,0,02
Preferred stock (\$3,100 per share and \$2,738 per share						
for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013,						
respectively)	(3,100)		(3,100)	(2,738)		(2,738)
Common stock (\$.10 per share)	(23,875)		(23,875)	(24,376)		(24,376)
Common stock repurchased (b)	(4,871)		(4,871)	(81,156)		(81,156)
Common stock issued for:						
Stock options and restricted stock equity awards	620		620	257		257
Stock-based compensation expense	5,687		5,687	8,291		8,291
Dividends declared noncontrolling interest of subsidiary						
preferred stock		(5,672)	(5,672)		(5,656)	(5,656)
Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans	(1,705)		(1,705)	(1,277)		(1,277)
Real estate investment trust (REIT) preferred stock						
issuance					92	92
Acquired noncontrolling interest-REIT (c)					174	174
Other changes in equity	8		8	84		84
Balance, June 30 (c)	\$ 2,330,720	\$ 295,431	\$ 2,626,151	\$ 2,250,977	\$ 295,431	\$ 2,546,408

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

⁽a) Due to the nature of the preferred stock issued by FHN and its subsidiaries, all components of Other comprehensive income/(loss) have been attributed solely to FHN as the controlling interest holder.

⁽b) 2013 includes \$77.9 million repurchased under the share repurchase program launched in 2011 including \$40.0 million associated with a prepaid variable share repurchase agreement.

⁽c) Second quarter 2013 balance has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013.

CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	First Horizon National Corpo Six Months Ended June 3		une 30	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)		2014		2013
Operating Activities				
Net income/(loss)	\$	130,410	\$	90,205
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided/(used) by operating activities:				
Provision for loan losses		15,000		30,000
Provision/(benefit) for deferred income taxes		9,597		34,642
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment		18,016		17,794
Amortization of intangible assets		1,963		1,856
Net other amortization and accretion		8,003		19,266
Net (increase)/decrease in derivatives		559		10,528
Fair value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights		(1,246)		(11,335)
Fair value adjustment on foreclosed real estate		1,391		2,951
Litigation and regulatory matters		490		6,070
(Gains)/losses on divestitures				(638)
Stock-based compensation expense		5,687		8,291
Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans		1,705		1,277
Equity securities (gains)/losses, net		(3,734)		(28)
Debt securities (gains)/losses, net				355
(Gains)/losses on extinguishment of debt		4,350		
Loss on deconsolidation of debt		1,960		
Net (gains)/losses on sale/disposal of fixed assets		2,114		774
Proceeds from sale of mortgage servicing rights		69,919		
Net (increase)/decrease in:				
Trading securities		(347,692)		(7,456)
Loans held-for-sale		11,207		16,832
Capital markets receivables		(128,969)		(33,888)
Interest receivable		2,076		1,882
Other assets		322,467		62,487
Net increase/(decrease) in:				
Capital markets payables		74,126		(20,098)
Interest payable		(595)		(2,118)
Other liabilities		(151,064)		(173,881)
Trading liabilities		337,771		32,440
		ĺ		,
Total adjustments		255,101		(1,997)
Net cash provided/(used) by operating activities		385,511		88,208
Investing Activities				
Available-for-sale securities:				
Sales		4,555		18,897
Maturities		310,067		568,419
Purchases		(449,425)		(760,374)
Premises and equipment:				
Sales		32		
Purchases		(15,451)		(15,945)
Net (increase)/decrease in:				
Loans		(431,786)		689,539
Interests retained from securitizations classified as trading securities		689		2,828
Interest-bearing cash		474,377		36,207
Cash receipts related to divestitures		·		1,638

Cash received for acquisition 54,872

Net cash provided/(used) by investing activities	(106,942)	596,081
Financing Activities		
Common stock:		
Stock options exercised	624	257
Cash dividends paid	(23,878)	(14,494)
Repurchase of shares (a)	(4,871)	(81,156)
Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans	(1,705)	(1,277)
Preferred stock issuance		95,624
Cash dividends paid preferred stock noncontrolling interest	(5,687)	(5,687)
Cash dividends paid Series A preferred stock	(3,100)	(1,188)
Term borrowings:		
Payments/maturities	(228,850)	(387,564)
Increases in restricted and secured term borrowings	2,089	3,552
Net increase/(decrease) in:		
Deposits	(578,136)	20,077
Short-term borrowings	830,158	(381,799)
Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities	(13,356)	(753,655)
	· í	
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	265,213	(69,366)
The increasor (decrease) in easi and easi equivalents	200,210	(0),500)
Coch and each equivalents at haginning of nation	927 000	1 106 262
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	827,909	1,106,262
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,093,122	\$ 1,036,896
Supplemental Disclosures		
Total interest paid	\$ 41,626	\$ 50,299
Total taxes paid	31,950	4,787
Total taxes refunded	1,880	4,687
Transfer from loans to other real estate owned	11,505	8,817

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

⁽a) 2013 includes \$77.9 million repurchased under the share repurchase program launched in 2011, including \$40.0 million associated with a prepaid variable share repurchase agreement.

Notes to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1 Financial Information

Basis of Accounting. The unaudited interim consolidated condensed financial statements of First Horizon National Corporation (FHN), including its subsidiaries, have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industries in which it operates. This preparation requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates and assumptions are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements and could differ from actual results. In the opinion of management, all necessary adjustments have been made for a fair presentation of financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless otherwise disclosed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The operating results for the interim 2014 periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected going forward. For further information, refer to the audited consolidated financial statements in the 2013 Annual Report to shareholders, which were filed as Exhibit 13 to FHN s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Summary of Accounting Changes. Effective January 2014, FHN adopted provisions of FASB ASU 2013-11 Income Taxes: Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. ASU 2013-11 provides guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. Generally, ASU 2013-11 requires that an unrecognized tax benefit should reduce a deferred tax asset (DTA) that has been established for a net operating loss (NOL), a tax credit carryforward, or other similar tax losses. However, if a filer does not have such carryforwards or similar tax losses at the reporting date, the uncertain tax position should be recorded as a liability. If a filer does have a DTA, but is not required by tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to use the DTA to settle additional taxes from the disallowance of a tax position and that is the filer—s intent, the uncertain tax position should be recognized as a liability in that situation as well and not netted with the DTA. The assessment of whether a DTA is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and DTA that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. The adoption of provisions of ASU 2013-11, did not have a material effect on FHN—s statement of condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Accounting Changes Issued but Not Currently Effective. In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-01, Equity Method and Joint Ventures: Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects. ASU 2014-01 permits reporting entities to make an accounting policy election to account for their investments in qualified affordable housing projects using a proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, an entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense/(benefit). A reporting entity should evaluate whether the conditions have been met to apply the proportional amortization method to an investment in a qualified affordable housing project through a limited liability entity at the time of initial investment on the basis of facts and circumstances that exist at that time. A reporting entity should reevaluate the conditions upon the occurrence of certain specified events. An investment in a qualified affordable housing project through a limited liability entity should be tested for impairment when there are events or changes in circumstances indicating that it is more likely than not that the carrying amount of the investment will not be realized. For those investments in qualified affordable housing projects not accounted for using the proportional amortization method, the investment should be accounted for as an equity method investment or a cost method investment. The decision to apply the proportional amortization method of accounting is an accounting policy decision that should be applied consistently to all qualifying affordable housing project investments rather than a decision to be applied to individual investments. The provisions of ASU 2014-01 are effective for annual periods, and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014, and will be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Early adoption is permitted. FHN is evaluating the effects of ASU 2014-01 on its portfolio of low income housing investments.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-04, Receivables Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors: Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. ASU 2014-04 clarifies that an in-substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Additionally, the amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. ASU 2014-04 is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. An entity can elect to adopt ASU 2014-04 using either a modified retrospective transition method, an entity should apply ASU 2014-04 by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to residential consumer mortgage loans and foreclosed residential real estate properties existing as of the beginning of the annual period for which the amendments are effective. Early adoption is permitted. FHN is evaluating the requirements of ASU 2014-04 with respect to its current foreclosure accounting practices.

Note 1 Financial Information (Continued)

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 does not change revenue recognition for financial instruments. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This is accomplished through a five-step recognition framework involving 1) the identification of contracts with customers, 2) identification of performance obligations, 3) determination of the transaction price, 4) allocation of the transaction price to the performance obligations and 5) recognition of revenue as performance obligations are satisfied. Additionally, qualitative and quantitative information is required for disclosure regarding the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early application is not permitted. Transition to the new requirements may be made by retroactively revising prior financial statements (with certain practical expedients permitted) or by a cumulative effect through retained earnings. If the latter option is selected, additional disclosures are required for comparability. FHN is evaluating the effects of ASU 2014-09 on its revenue recognition practices.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures. ASU 2014-11 makes two changes to accounting for repurchase agreements. First, it requires secured borrowing accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions. Second, it requires separate accounting for a transfer of a financial asset executed contemporaneously with a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty, which will result in secured borrowing accounting for the repurchase agreement. ASU 2014-11 also requires additional disclosures for repurchase transactions that are recognized as secured borrowings, including disaggregation by class of collateral, the remaining contractual tenor of the arrangements and the risks inherent in the agreements. Adoption of ASU 2014-11 will only affect FHN s disclosures as it does not execute repurchase-to maturity or repurchase financing transactions. These disclosure revisions are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and for interim periods beginning after March 15, 2015.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. ASU 2014-12 requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition in determining expense recognition for the award. Thus, compensation cost is recognized over the requisite service period based on the probability of achievement of the performance condition. Expense is adjusted after the requisite service period for changes in the probability of achievement. ASU 2014-12 is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The adoption of ASU 2014-12 will have no effect on FHN.

9

Table of Contents

Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures

On June 7, 2013, First Tennessee Bank National Association (FTBNA) acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Mountain National Bank (MNB) a community bank headquartered in Sevierville, Tennessee from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), as receiver, pursuant to a purchase and assumption agreement. Prior to the acquisition, MNB operated 12 branches in Sevier and Blount counties in eastern Tennessee.

Excluding purchase accounting adjustments, FHN acquired approximately \$452 million in assets, including approximately \$249 million in loans, and assumed approximately \$362 million of MNB deposits. There was no premium associated with the acquired deposits and assets were acquired at a discount of \$33 million from book value. FHN did not enter into a loss-sharing agreement with the FDIC associated with the MNB purchase. In relation to the acquisition FHN recorded \$7.7 million in goodwill, representing the excess of the estimated fair value of liabilities assumed over the estimated fair value of the assets acquired.

See Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, for additional information about the MNB acquisition.

On May 27, 2014, FTBNA entered into an agreement to purchase thirteen bank branches. The purchase of the branches is expected to close in the second half of 2014, subject to approval by regulators and customary closing conditions. The branches are in communities in Middle and East Tennessee including Waverly, Columbia, Lawrenceburg, Lewisburg, Sparta, Rockwood, Greeneville, Kingston, Bristol, Johnson City, and Kingsport. FTBNA would assume approximately \$660 million of deposits at a deposit premium of 3.32 percent based on deposit balances near the time the transaction closes. FTBNA will acquire an immaterial amount of loans as part of the transaction.

FHN acquires or divests assets from time to time in transactions that are considered business combinations or divestitures but are not material to FHN individually or in the aggregate.

10

Note 3 Investment Securities

The following tables summarize FHN s investment securities on June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	June 30, 2014			
		Gross	Gross	
	Amortize		Unrealized	Fair
(Dollars in thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Securities available-for-sale (AFS):				
U.S. treasuries	\$ 39,9	95 \$ 4	. \$	\$ 39,999
Government agency issued mortgage-backed securities (MBS)	724,7	785 39,679	(1,622)	762,842
Government agency issued collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO) 2,582,2	242 21,211	(34,065)	2,569,388
Other U.S. government agencies	1,9	973 88		2,061
States and municipalities	15,1	155		15,155
Equity and other (a)	187,1	106	(26)	187,097
Total securities available-for-sale (b)	\$ 3,551,2	256 \$ 60,999	\$ (35,713)	\$ 3,576,542
• •				
Securities held-to-maturity (HTM):				
States and municipalities	\$ 4,2	279 \$ 1,277	\$	\$ 5,556
-				
Total securities held-to-maturity	\$ 4,2	279 \$ 1,277	\$	\$ 5,556

- (a) Includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$87.9 million and FRB stock of \$66.0 million. The remainder is money market, venture capital, and cost method investments.
- (b) Includes \$3.4 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and for other purposes.

	June 30, 2013				
		Gross	Gross		
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair	
(Dollars in thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value	
Securities available-for-sale:					
U.S. treasuries	\$ 39,991	\$ 6	\$	\$ 39,997	
Government agency issued MBS (a)	959,851	36,205	(4,294)	991,762	
Government agency issued CMO	1,970,151	12,409	(28,522)	1,954,038	
Other U.S. government agencies	2,687	167		2,854	
States and municipalities	16,434	11		16,445	
Equity and other (b)	223,241	42		223,283	
Total securities available-for-sale (a)(c)	\$ 3,212,355	\$ 48,840	\$ (32,816)	\$ 3,228,379	

⁽a) Balance has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013.

⁽b) Includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$128.0 million and FRB stock of \$66.2 million. The remainder is money market, venture capital, and cost method investments.

⁽c) Includes \$3.0 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and for other purposes. The amortized cost and fair value by contractual maturity for the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities portfolios on June 30, 2014, are provided below:

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	Held-to-	Maturity	Available-for-Sale			
(D. Harris de constate)	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair Value		
(Dollars in thousands) Within 1 year	Cost \$	Value \$	Cost \$ 39,995	\$ 39,999		
After 1 year; within 5 years			3,473	3,561		
After 5 years; within 10 years						
After 10 years	4,279	5,556	13,655	13,655		
Subtotal	4,279	5,556	57,123	57,215		
Government agency issued MBS and CMO			3,307,027	3,332,230		
Equity and other			187,106	187,097		
Total	\$ 4,279	\$ 5,556	\$ 3,551,256	\$ 3,576,542		

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Note 3 Investment Securities (Continued)

The table below provides information on gross gains and gross losses from investment securities for the three and six months ended June 30:

	Three Mont June	Six Months Ended June 30		
(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2014	2013
Gross gains on sales of securities	\$ 77	\$ 12	\$ 5,734	\$ 42
Gross losses on sales of securities		(363)		(369)
Net gain/(loss) on sales of securities (a)	77	(351)	5,734	(327)
Venture capital investments (b)	(2,000)		(2,000)	
Total securities gain/(loss), net	\$ (1,923)	\$ (351)	\$ 3,734	\$ (327)

⁽a) Proceeds from sales for the three months ended June 30, 2014 were not material. Proceeds for the six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$5.7 million, inclusive of \$1.4 million of equity securities. Proceeds from the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, were \$18.9 million.

The following tables provide information on investments within the available-for-sale portfolio that had unrealized losses as of June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Less than 12 months			As of June 30, 2014 12 months or longer				Total		
(Dollars in thousands)	Fair Value	_	nrealized Losses		Fair Value		realized Losses		Fair Talue	Unrealized Losses
Government agency issued CMO	\$ 437,212	\$	(2,276)	\$	1,004,964	\$ (31,789)	\$ 1.4	42,176	\$ (34,065)
Government agency issued MBS	34,041		(83)		108,491		(1,539)	1	42,532	(1,622)
Total debt securities	471,253		(2,359)		1,113,455	((33,328)	1,5	84,708	(35,687)
P. 1	42		(26)						42	(26)
Equity	43		(26)						43	(26)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 471,296	\$	(2,385)	\$	1,113,455	\$ (33,328)	\$ 1,5	84,751	\$ (35,713)
	Less than 12 months			As of June 30, 2013 12 months or longer			Total			
	Fair	U	nrealized		Fair	Un	realized	I	Fair	Unrealized
(Dollars in thousands)	Value		Losses		Value	L	osses	V	alue	Losses
Government agency issued CMO	\$ 1,233,820	\$	(28,365)	\$	15,967	\$	(157)	\$ 1,2	49,787	\$ (28,522)
Government agency issued MBS	192,747		(4,294)					1	92,747	(4,294)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 1,426,567	\$	(32,659)	\$	15,967	\$	(157)	\$ 1,4	42,534	\$ (32,816)

⁽b) Includes write-offs and /or unrealized fair value adjustments related to venture capital investments.

FHN has reviewed investment securities that were in unrealized loss positions in accordance with its accounting policy for other than temporary impairment (OTTI) and does not consider them other-than-temporarily impaired. For debt securities with unrealized losses, FHN does not intend to sell them and it is more-likely-than-not that FHN will not be required to sell them prior to recovery. The decline in value is primarily attributable to interest rates and not credit losses. For equity securities, FHN has both the ability and intent to hold these securities for the time necessary to recover the amortized cost.

Note 4 Loans

The following table provides the balance of loans by portfolio segment as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, and December 31, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Jun 2014	e 30 2013	December 31 2013		
	2014	2013	2013		
Commercial:					
Commercial, financial, and industrial (a)	\$ 8,402,836	\$ 8,368,067	\$ 7,923,576		
Commercial real estate	1,231,513	1,218,206	1,133,279		
Retail:					
Consumer real estate (b)	5,218,930	5,549,440	5,333,371		
Permanent mortgage (c)	594,001	746,154	662,242		
Credit card & other	348,429	316,085	336,606		
Loans, net of unearned income (a)	\$ 15,795,709	\$ 16,197,952	\$ 15,389,074		
Allowance for loan losses	243,628	261,934	253,809		
Total net loans (a)	\$ 15,552,081	\$ 15,936,018	\$ 15,135,265		

- (a) Balance as of June 30, 2013 has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013.
- (b) Balances as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, and December 31, 2013 include \$84.4 million, \$367.0 million, and \$333.8 million of restricted and secured real estate loans, respectively. See Note 14 Variable Interest Entities for additional information.
- (c) Balances as of June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2013 include \$12.4 million and \$11.2 million of restricted and secured real estate loans, respectively. See Note 14 Variable Interest Entities for additional information.

COMPONENTS OF THE LOAN PORTFOLIO

The loan portfolio is disaggregated into segments and then further disaggregated into classes for certain disclosures. GAAP defines a portfolio segment as the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses. A class is generally determined based on the initial measurement attribute (i.e., amortized cost or purchased credit-impaired (PCI)), risk characteristics of the loan, and FHN s method for monitoring and assessing credit risk. Commercial loan portfolio segments include commercial, financial and industrial (C&I) and commercial real estate (CRE). Commercial classes within C&I include general C&I, loans to mortgage companies, the trust preferred loans (TRUPS) (i.e. long-term unsecured loans to bank and insurance related businesses) portfolio and PCI loans. Loans to mortgage companies includes commercial lines of credit to qualified mortgage companies exclusively for the temporary warehousing of eligible mortgage loans prior to the borrower s sale of those mortgage loans to third party investors. Commercial classes within commercial real estate include income CRE, residential CRE and PCI loans. Retail loan portfolio segments include consumer real estate, permanent mortgage, and the credit card and other portfolio. Retail classes include HELOC, real estate (R/E) installment and PCI loans within the consumer real estate segment, permanent mortgage (which is both a segment and a class), and credit card and other.

Concentrations

FHN has a concentration of loans secured by residential real estate (37 percent of total loans), the majority of which is in the consumer real estate portfolio (33 percent of total loans). Loans to finance and insurance companies total \$1.7 billion (21 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 11 percent of the total loans). FHN had loans to mortgage companies, commercial lines of credit to qualified mortgage companies exclusively for the temporary warehousing of eligible mortgage loans prior to the borrower s sale of those mortgage loans to third party investors, totaling \$1.1 billion (13 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 7 percent of total loans) as of June 30, 2014. As a result, 34 percent of the C&I category was sensitive to impacts on the financial services industry.

Acquisition

On June 7, 2013, FHN acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of MNB from the FDIC. The acquisition included approximately \$249 million of loans. These loans were initially recorded at fair value which incorporates expected credit losses, among other things, in accordance with ASC 805 resulting in no carryover of allowance for loan loss (ALLL) from the acquiree. At acquisition, FHN designated certain

loans as PCI (see discussion below) with the remaining loans accounted for under ASC 310-20, Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs . For loans accounted for under ASC 310-20, the difference between the loans book value to MNB and the estimated fair value at the time of the acquisition will be accreted back into interest income over the remaining contractual life and the subsequent accounting and reporting will be similar to FHN s originated loan portfolio.

PCI Loans

ASC 310-30, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer, provides guidance for acquired loans that have experienced deterioration of credit quality between origination and the time of acquisition and for which the timely collection of the interest and principal is no longer reasonably assured PCI loans. FHN considered several factors when determining whether a loan met the definition of a PCI loan at the time of acquisition including accrual status, loan grade, delinquency trends, prior partial charge-offs, as well as both originated versus refreshed credit scores and ratios when available.

13

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

PCI loans were initially recorded at fair value which was estimated by discounting expected cash flows at acquisition date. The expected cash flow includes all contractually expected amounts (including interest) and incorporates an estimate for future expected credit losses, pre-payment assumptions, and yield requirement for a market participant, among other things. To the extent possible, certain PCI loans were aggregated into pools with composite interest rate and cash flows expected to be collected for the pool. Aggregation into loan pools is based on common risk characteristics that include similar credit risk or risk ratings, and one or more predominant risk characteristics. Generally, FHN pooled loans with smaller balances and common internal loan grades and portfolio types. Subsequent to the initial accounting at acquisition, each PCI pool is accounted for as a single unit.

Accretable yield is initially established at acquisition and is the excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the initial investment in the loan and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the loan, or pool of loans. Nonaccretable difference is the difference between the contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition. FHN estimates expected cash flows for PCI loans on a quarterly basis. Increases in expected cash flows from the last measurement will result in reversal of any nonaccretable difference (or allowance for loan losses to the extent any has been recorded) with a prospective positive impact on interest income. Decreases to the expected cash flows will result in an increase in the allowance for loan losses through increased provision expense.

FHN does not report PCI loans as nonperforming loans due to the accretion of interest income. Additionally, PCI loans that have been pooled and subsequently modified will not be reported as troubled debt restructurings since the pool is the unit of measurement.

The following table presents a rollforward of the accretable yield for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Mont June	Six Months Ended June 30		
(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 15,828	\$	\$ 13,490	\$
Additions (a)	224	6,650	335	6,650
Accretion	(1,927)	(218)	(3,584)	(218)
Adjustment for payoffs	(489)		(722)	
Adjustment for charge-offs	(5)		(69)	
Increase in accretable yield (b)	2,878		7,059	
•	,		,	
Balance, end of period (a)	\$ 16,509	\$ 6,432	\$ 16,509	\$ 6,432

- (a) Three and six months ended June 30, 2013 amounts have been re-presented as the PCI population was finalized in third quarter 2013.
- (b) Includes changes in the accretable yield due to both transfers from the nonaccretable difference and the impact of changes in the expected timing of the cash flows.

At June 30, 2014, the ALLL related to PCI loans was \$2.5 million and loan loss provision recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was \$.6 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. The following table reflects the outstanding principal balance and carrying amounts of the acquired PCI loans as of June 30, 2014, and 2013, and December 31, 2013:

	June	June 30, 2014 Carrying value Unpaid balance		30, 2013	December 31, 2013		
(Dollars in thousands)	Carrying value	Unpaid balance	Carrying value	Unpaid balance	Carrying value	Unpaid balance	
Commercial, financial and industrial (a)	\$ 6,738	\$ 8,256	\$ 7,748	\$ 9,568	\$ 7,077	\$ 9,169	
Commercial real estate (a)	32,938	45,295	40,480	56,927	38,042	53,648	
Consumer real estate (a)	733	1,074	897	1,307	878	1,291	
Credit card and other	11	16	18	26	12	21	

Total (a) \$40,420 \$ 54,641 \$49,143 \$ 67,828 \$46,009 \$ 64,129

(a) Balances as of June 30, 2013 have been re-presented as the PCI loan population was finalized in third quarter 2013.

14

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

Impaired Loans

The following tables provide information at June 30, 2014 and 2013, by class related to individually impaired loans and consumer TDR s. Recorded investment is defined as the amount of the investment in a loan, before valuation allowance but which does reflect any direct write-down of the investment. For purposes of this disclosure, PCI loans and LOCOM have been excluded.

(Dollars in thousands) Impaired loans with no related allowance	Recorded Investment	June 30, 2014 Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance		nths Ended 0, 2014 Interest Income Recognized	Six Mont June 30 Average Recorded Investment	0, 201 I1 I1	
recorded:								
Commercial:								
General C&I	\$ 15,489	\$ 17,280	\$	\$ 14,809	\$	\$ 17,594	\$	
TRUPS						1,625		
Income CRE	6,838	14,397		7,669		8,090		
Residential CRE	1,148	1,827		574		287		
Total	\$ 23,475	\$ 33,504	\$	\$ 23,052	\$	\$ 27,596	\$	
Retail:								
HELOC (a)	\$ 17,390	\$ 38,216	\$	\$ 16,771	\$	\$ 16,629	\$	
R/E installment loans (a)	7,464	10,009		8,932		9,818		
Permanent mortgage (a)	7,862	9,785		7,858		8,007		
<i>5 5</i> × <i>7</i>	,	ĺ		,		,		
Total	\$ 32,716	\$ 58,010	\$	\$ 33,561	\$	\$ 34,454	\$	
Impaired loans with related allowance recorded:								
Commercial:								
General C&I	\$ 32,395	\$ 38,331	\$ 3,150	\$ 30,059	\$ 78	\$ 26,146	\$	157
TRUPS	3,520	3,700	925	8,535		16,057		
Income CRE	8,842	10,214	641	10,331	62	11,214		164
Residential CRE	6,029	11,477	667	6,204	61	6,426		124
Total	\$ 50,786	\$ 63,722	\$ 5,383	\$ 55,129	\$ 201	\$ 59,843	\$	445
Retail:								
HELOC	\$ 77,283	\$ 78,492	\$ 17,475	\$ 75,285	\$ 457	\$ 73,539	\$	891
R/E installment loans	74,748	75,634	26,450	74,243	297	73,629		566
Permanent mortgage	111,604	125,012	19,323	112,796	706	113,145		1,429
Credit card & other	524	524	266	648	5	653		16
Total	\$ 264,159	\$ 279,662	\$ 63,514	\$ 262,972	\$ 1,465	\$ 260,966	\$	2,902
Total commercial	\$ 74,261	\$ 97,226	\$ 5,383	\$ 78,181	\$ 201	\$ 87,439	\$	445
Total retail	\$ 296,875	\$ 337,672	\$ 63,514	\$ 296,533	\$ 1,465	\$ 295,420	\$	2,902

Total impaired loans \$ 371,136 \$ 434,898 \$ 68,897 \$ 374,714 \$ 1,666 \$ 382,859 \$ 3,347

(a) All discharged bankruptcy loans are charged down to an estimate of net realizable value and do not carry any allowance.

15

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands)	Recorded Investment	June 30, 2013 Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance		nths Ended 0, 2013 Interest Income Recognized	Six Mont June 30 Average Recorded Investment	0, 201 In In	
Impaired loans with no related allowance								
recorded:								
Commercial:								
General C&I	\$ 47,432	\$ 55,225	\$	\$ 54,140	\$ 28	\$ 53,873	\$	108
TRUPS	6,500	6,500		8,250		15,250		
Income CRE	22,978	33,744		25,557	93	28,389		168
Residential CRE	10,967	15,997		12,630	59	12,803		122
Total	\$ 87,877	\$ 111,466	\$	\$ 100,577	\$ 180	\$ 110,315	\$	398
Retail:								
HELOC (a)	\$ 19,709	\$ 41,264	\$	\$ 20,383	\$	\$ 20,023	\$	
R/E installment loans (a)	12,193	15,184		12,761		11,258		
Permanent mortgage (a)	11,134	14,916		10,953		10,172		
Total	\$ 43,036	\$ 71,364	\$	\$ 44,097	\$	\$ 41,453	\$	
Impaired loans with related allowance recorded: Commercial:								
General C&I	\$ 24,216	\$ 30,555	\$ 2,433	\$ 13,985	\$ 37	\$ 17,258	\$	37
TRUPS	43,700	43,700	13,768	41,950	Ψ	38,700	Ψ	37
Income CRE	4,830	6,129	441	2,950	15	2,954		26
Residential CRE	2,081	3,944	111	1,041	16	521		16
	_,,,,,	2,5		-,				
Total	\$ 74,827	\$ 84,328	\$ 16,753	\$ 59,926	\$ 68	\$ 59,433	\$	79
Total	Ψ /1,02/	Ψ 01,320	Ψ 10,733	Ψ 37,720	Ψ	Ψ 37,133	Ψ	1)
Retail:								
HELOC	\$ 67,672	\$ 68,336	\$ 18,122	\$ 65,369	\$ 464	\$ 63,661	\$	890
R/E installment loans	78,624	79,594	24,271	73,549	404	74,157		689
Permanent mortgage	111,997	124,869	22,725	110,640	705	111,856		1,388
Credit card & other	717	717	240	732	8	767		16
Total	\$ 259,010	\$ 273,516	\$ 65,358	\$ 250,290	\$ 1,581	\$ 250,441	\$	2,983
Total	Ψ 237,010	φ 273,310	Ψ 05,550	Ψ 230,270	ψ 1,561	ψ 250,441	Ψ	2,703
Total commercial	\$ 162,704	\$ 195,794	\$ 16,753	\$ 160,503	\$ 248	\$ 169,748	\$	477
Total retail	\$ 302,046	\$ 344,880	\$ 65,358	\$ 294,387	\$ 1,581	\$ 291,894	\$	2,983
Total impaired loans	\$ 464,750	\$ 540,674	\$ 82,111	\$ 454,890	\$ 1,829	\$ 461,642	\$	3,460

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

⁽a) All discharged bankruptcy loans are charged down to an estimate of net realizable value and do not carry any allowance. **Asset Quality Indicators**

FHN employs a dual grade commercial risk grading methodology to assign an estimate for the probability of default (PD) and the loss given default (LGD) for each commercial loan using factors specific to various industry, portfolio, or product segments that result in a rank ordering of risk and the assignment of grades PD 1 to PD 16. Each PD grade corresponds to an estimated one-year default probability percentage; a PD 1 has the lowest expected default probability, and probabilities increase as grades progress down the scale. PD 1 through PD 12 are pass grades. PD grades 13-16 correspond to the regulatory-defined categories of special mention (13), substandard (14), doubtful (15), and loss (16). Pass loan grades are required to be reassessed annually or earlier whenever there has been a material change in the financial condition of the borrower or risk characteristics of the relationship. All commercial loans over \$1 million and certain commercial loans over \$500,000 that are graded 13 or worse are reassessed on a quarterly basis. LGD grades are assigned based on a scale of 1-12 and represent FHN s expected recovery based on collateral type in the event a loan defaults. See Note 5 Allowance for Loan Losses for further discussion on the credit grading system.

Total commercial loans

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following tables provide the balances of commercial loan portfolio classes with associated allowance, disaggregated by PD grade as of June 30, 2014 and 2013.

June 30, 2014 Loans to Allowance for Loan General Mortgage Residential Percentage TRUPS (a) **CRE Total** of Total (Dollars in thousands) C&I Companies Income CRE Losses PD Grade: \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 366,235 366,235 4% 1 \$ 2 3,110 235 260,581 263,926 3 245 3 360,700 76,569 983 438,252 5 307 4 387,884 77,110 7,591 472,585 5 **746** 5 760,726 62,031 158,071 6,041 986,869 10 2,579 6 991,013 199,651 189,927 4,738 1,385,329 14 1,674 7 1,189,915 182,749 285,384 6,087 1,664,135 17 2,696 8 227,419 1,300,343 13 2,739 771,697 301,174 53 5,911 9 924,514 123,423 108,523 10 5,896 686,657 1,563 10 40,228 494,711 5 5,379 375,862 77,058 26,275 361,870 1,517 2,128 391,790 4 8,397 11 2 32,356 994 1,857 12 136,560 169,910 5 13 120,903 325,882 8,938 2,007 457,730 6,435 14,15,16 137,500 9,385 49,842 4,944 201,671 2 37,666 Collectively evaluated for impairment 6,908,103 1,101,282 335,267 1,138,647 34,701 9,518,000 99 76,616 Individually evaluated for impairment 47,884 3,520 15,680 7,177 74,261 5,383 Purchased credit-impaired loans 6,780 33,351 1,957 42,088 2,413

\$ 338,787

\$ 1,187,678

\$ 43,835

\$ 9,634,349

100%

\$ 84,412

\$6,962,767

\$1,101,282

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands) PD Grade:	General C&I	Loans to Mortgage Companies	TRUPS (a)	June 30, 20	013 Residential CRE	Total	Percent of Total	Allowance for Loan Losses
1 D Grade.	\$ 227,858	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 227,858	2%	\$ 75
2	176,086	Ψ	Ψ	1,809	116	178,011	2	73
3	186.420			5,520	110	191,940	2	207
4	295,896			7,763	321	303,980	3	455
5	658,296			33,783	128	692,207	7	1,321
6	989,615	141,660		175,144	10,288	1,316,707	14	2,812
7	1,023,498	379,727		218,459	2,292	1,623,976	17	3,469
8	956,367	532,802		222,598	4,837	1,716,604	19	5,677
9	665,510	286,958		127,895	1,134	1,081,497	11	9,779
10	435,497	45,532		137,057	529	618,615	6	8,030
11	428,761	,		40,635	1,238	470,634	5	10,336
12	126,410			39,872	2,431	168,713	2	2,885
13	151,532		332,708	32,488	768	517,496	5	9,013
14,15,16	200,683	343	3,335	63,723	9,175	277,259	3	36,548
Collectively evaluated for impairment	6,522,429	1,387,022	336,043	1,106,746	33,257	9,385,497	98	90,680
Individually evaluated for impairment	71,648		46,433	27,808	13,048	158,937	2	16,753
шраннеш	71,048		40,433	27,000	13,046	130,937	2	10,733
Total commercial loans (b)	\$ 6,594,077	\$ 1,387,022	\$ 382,476	\$ 1,134,554	\$ 46,305	\$ 9,544,434	100%	\$ 107,433

The retail portfolio is comprised primarily of smaller-balance loans which are very similar in nature in that most are standard products and are backed by residential real estate. Because of the similarities of retail loan-types, FHN is able to utilize the Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) score, among other attributes, to assess the quality of consumer borrowers. FICO scores are refreshed on a quarterly basis in an attempt to reflect the recent risk profile of the borrowers. Accruing delinquency amounts are indicators of asset quality within the credit card and other retail portfolio.

⁽a) Balances as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, presented net of \$26.2 million and \$29.9 million, respectively, in lower of cost or market (LOCOM) valuation allowance. Based on the underlying structure of the notes, the highest possible internal grade is 13 .

⁽b) June 30, 2013 table excludes PCI loans.

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following tables reflect period end balances and average FICO scores by origination vintage for the HELOC, real estate installment, and permanent mortgage classes of loans as of June 30, 2014 and 2013:

HELOC		J	une 30, 2014			June 30, 2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	_		Average	Average		Average	Average
Origination Vintage		riod End	Origination FICO	Refreshed FICO	Period End	Origination FICO	Refreshed
Origination Vintage pre-2003	\$	8alance 68,332	708	703	Balance \$ 98,178	712	FICO 702
2003	Ψ	120,962	723	710	186,941	730	719
2004		346,431	725	713	447,817	727	718
2005		500,404	732	722	572,954	733	720
2006		365,886	740	728	421,023	740	726
2007		384,391	743	729	441,879	744	728
2008		208,637	753	748	240,776	754	747
2009		110,934	751	745	126,901	751	743
2010		106,954	753	750	128,058	753	750
2011		105,295	759	755	124,889	759	755
2012		128,733	759	759	153,692	759	759
2013		167,149	760	760	72,772	760	759
2014		51,982	760	762			
Total	\$ 2	2,666,090	741	732	\$ 3,015,880	740	730
R/E Installment Loans (Dollars in thousands)		J	une 30, 2014 Average	Average		June 30, 2013 Average	Average
	Pe	riod End	Origination	Refreshed	Period End	Origination	Refreshed
Origination Vintage		Balance	FICO	FICO	Balance	FICO	FICO
pre-2003	\$	18,623	680	683	\$ 29,998	683	684
2003		62,823	713	724	90,764	718	728
2004		47,502	700	699	61,949	702	705
2005		141,545	716	712	183,982	717	712
2006		156,538	714	702	197,308	716	704
2007		224,425	724	709	283,175	726	711
2008 2009		74,106	721				
2009				714	98,690	724	719
		33,506	739	732	46,487	746	740
2010		113,437	739 748	732 754	46,487 138,621	746 747	740 753
2010 2011		113,437 309,172	739 748 760	732 754 759	46,487 138,621 365,971	746 747 760	740 753 762
2010 2011 2012		113,437 309,172 653,179	739 748 760 764	732 754 759 765	46,487 138,621 365,971 727,688	746 747 760 764	740 753 762 764
2010 2011 2012 2013		113,437 309,172 653,179 497,720	739 748 760 764 757	732 754 759 765 756	46,487 138,621 365,971	746 747 760	740 753 762
2010 2011 2012		113,437 309,172 653,179	739 748 760 764	732 754 759 765	46,487 138,621 365,971 727,688	746 747 760 764	740 753 762 764
2010 2011 2012 2013	\$ 2	113,437 309,172 653,179 497,720	739 748 760 764 757	732 754 759 765 756	46,487 138,621 365,971 727,688	746 747 760 764	740 753 762 764

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Origination Vintage		FICO	FICO		FICO	FICO
pre-2004	\$ 169,338	724	720	\$ 226,048	725	726
2004	19,378	713	714	26,804	714	692
2005	37,572	737	737	43,459	737	713
2006	68,693	730	721	86,655	733	712
2007	207,116	733	712	248,727	733	711
2008	91,904	741	704	114,461	742	713
Total	\$ 594,001	729	713	\$ 746,154	731	713

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans

For all portfolio segments and classes other than PCI loans, loans are placed on nonaccrual status if it becomes evident that full collection of principal and interest is at risk, impairment has been recognized as a partial charge-off of principal balance, or on a case-by-case

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

basis if FHN continues to receive payments, but there are atypical loan structures or other borrower-specific issues. PCI loans are classified in the table below as accruing. FHN has a meaningful portion of loans that are classified as nonaccrual even though loan payments are being received; these include residential real estate loans where the borrower has been discharged of personal obligation through bankruptcy, and also current second lien loans behind first lien loans with performance issues. The determination of whether a TDR is placed on nonaccrual status generally follows the same internal policies and procedures as other portfolio loans. However, FHN will typically place a consumer real estate loan on nonaccrual status if it is 30 or more days delinquent at the time of modification and is determined to be a TDR, except for residential real estate secured loans discharged in bankruptcy (discharged bankruptcies) that are placed on nonaccrual regardless of delinquency status. Current stand-alone second liens are placed on nonaccrual status if they are junior to first liens that are 90 days or more past due or the first lien has been modified into a TDR.

The following table reflects accruing and non-accruing loans by class on June 30, 2014:

		Accruing				Non-Accruing				
		30-89 Days	90+ Days	Total		30-89 Days	90+ Days	Total Non-	Total	
(Dollars in thousands)	Current	Past Due	Past Due	Accruing	Current	Past Due	Past Due	Accruing	Loans	
Commercial (C&I):								_	_	
General C&I	\$ 6,900,880	\$ 9,707	\$ 704	\$ 6,911,291	\$ 13,105	\$ 3,850	\$ 27,741	\$ 44,696	\$ 6,955,987	
Loans to mortgage										
companies	1,097,367	3,782		1,101,149			133	133	1,101,282	
TRUPS (a)	335,267			335,267			3,520	3,520	338,787	
Purchased										
credit-impaired loans	5,226	322	1,232	6,780					6,780	
Total commercial (C&I)	8,338,740	13,811	1,936	8,354,487	13,105	3,850	31,394	48,349	8,402,836	
Commercial real estate:										
Income CRE	1,134,752	8,044		1,142,796	271	133	11,127	11,531	1,154,327	
Residential CRE	39,429			39,429	1,297		1,152	2,449	41,878	
Purchased										
credit-impaired loans	29,827	259	5,222	35,308					35,308	
Total commercial										
real estate	1,204,008	8,303	5,222	1,217,533	1,568	133	12,279	13,980	1,231,513	
Consumer real estate:										
HELOC	2,548,170	19,772	9,677	2,577,619	71,653	5,888	10,930	88,471	2,666,090	
R/E installment										
loans	2,490,461	11,264	7,889	2,509,614	32,881	3,002	6,568	42,451	2,552,065	
Purchased										
credit-impaired loans	775			775					775	
Total consumer real estate	5,039,406	31,036	17,566	5,088,008	104,534	8,890	17,498	130,922	5,218,930	
Permanent mortgage	546,846	5,559	4,573	556,978	16,935	3,410	16,678	37,023	594,001	

Credit card & other									
Credit card	184,014	2,010	1,564	187,588					187,588
Other	158,233	937	317	159,487			1,342	1,342	160,829
Purchased									
credit-impaired loans	12			12					12
Total credit card &									
other	342,259	2,947	1,881	347,087			1,342	1,342	348,429
	,	,	,	,			,	,	,
Total loans, net of									
unearned	\$ 15,471,259	\$ 61,656	\$ 31,178	\$ 15,564,093	\$ 136,142	\$ 16,283	\$ 79,191	\$ 231,616	\$ 15,795,709

⁽a) Total TRUPS includes LOCOM valuation allowance of \$26.2 million.

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following table reflects accruing and non-accruing loans by class on June 30, 2013:

			Non-Accruing						
		Accr 30-89	90+			30-89	90+	Total	
		Days	Days	Total		Days	Days Past	Non-	Total
(Dollars in thousands)	Current	Past Due	Past Due	Accruing	Current	Past Due	Due	Accruing	Loans
Commercial (C&I):									
General C&I	\$ 6,506,132	\$ 9,662	\$ 650	\$ 6,516,444	\$ 33,855	\$ 8,398	\$ 32,124	\$ 74,377	\$ 6,590,821
Loans to mortgage	\$ 0,500,152	\$ 9,002	\$ 050	\$ 0,310, 444	\$ 33,633	φ 0,570	\$ 52,124	φ / 4 ,3//	\$ 0,370,021
companies	1,386,679			1,386,679			343	343	1,387,022
TRUPS (a)	336,043			336,043			46,433	46,433	382,476
Purchased	220,0.2			223,012			.0,.00	10,100	202,
credit-impaired									
loans	7,515	150	83	7,748					7,748
				ŕ					ĺ
Total commercial									
(C&I)	8,236,369	9,812	733	8,246,914	33,855	8,398	78,900	121,153	8,368,067
()	-,,	. , .		-, -,	,	-,	,.	,	-,,-
Commercial real									
estate:									
Income CRE	1,105,352	4,611	463	1,110,426	4,403		18,872	23,275	1,133,701
Residential CRE	33,715	203		33,918	748		9,359	10,107	44,025
Purchased	,			Í			ŕ	ĺ	ĺ
credit-impaired									
loans	39,234	1,246		40,480					40,480
Total commercial									
real estate	1,178,301	6,060	463	1,184,824	5,151		28,231	33,382	1,218,206
Consumer real									
estate:									
HELOC	2,896,298	24,339	15,830	2,936,467	62,663	5,549	11,201	79,413	3,015,880
R/E installment									
loans	2,471,693	14,671	6,178	2,492,542	28,894	3,361	7,866	40,121	2,532,663
Purchased									
credit-impaired									
loans	755	142		897					897
Total consumer real									
estate	5,368,746	39,152	22,008	5,429,906	91,557	8,910	19,067	119,534	5,549,440
Permanent									
mortgage	689,059	12,211	6,529	707,799	15,161	1,421	21,773	38,355	746,154
Credit card &									
other									
Credit card	184,687	1,475	1,216	187,378					187,378
Other	126,511	370	98	126,979	1,705	5		1,710	128,689
Culoi	17	1	70	18	1,703	<i>J</i>		1,710	18
		•		- 10					

Purchased credit-impaired loans									
Total credit card & other	311,215	1,846	1,314	314,375	1,705	5		1,710	316,085
Total loans, net of unearned	\$ 15,783,690	\$ 69,081	\$ 31,047	\$ 15,883,818	\$ 147,429	\$ 18,734	\$ 147,971	\$ 314,134	\$ 16,197,952

(a) Total TRUPS includes LOCOM valuation allowance of \$29.9 million.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

As part of FHN s ongoing risk management practices, FHN attempts to work with borrowers when necessary to extend or modify loan terms to better align with their current ability to repay. Extensions and modifications to loans are made in accordance with internal policies and guidelines which conform to regulatory guidance. Each occurrence is unique to the borrower and is evaluated separately. FHN considers regulatory guidelines when restructuring loans to ensure that prudent lending practices are followed. As such, qualification criteria and payment terms consider the borrower s current and prospective ability to comply with the modified terms of the loan.

A modification is classified as a TDR if the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and it is determined that FHN has granted a concession to the borrower. FHN may determine that a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty if the borrower is currently in default on any of its debt, or if it is probable that a borrower may default in the foreseeable future. Many aspects of a borrower s financial situation are assessed when determining whether they are experiencing financial difficulty, particularly as it relates to commercial borrowers due to the complex nature of loan structures, business/industry risk, and borrower/guarantor structures. Concessions could include extension of the maturity date, reductions of the interest rate (which may make the rate lower than current market for a new loan with similar risk), reduction of accrued interest, or principal forgiveness. When evaluating whether a concession has been granted, FHN also considers whether the borrower has provided additional collateral or guarantors, among other things, and whether such additions adequately compensate FHN for the restructured terms. The assessments of whether a borrower is experiencing (or is likely to experience) financial difficulty and whether a concession has been granted is subjective in nature and management s judgment is required when determining whether a modification is classified as a TDR.

For all classes within the commercial portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified through forbearance agreements (generally 6 to 12 months). Forbearance agreements could include reduced interest rates, reduced payments, release of guarantor, or entering into short sale agreements. FHN s proprietary modification programs for consumer loans are generally structured using parameters of U.S. government-sponsored programs such as Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP). Within the HELOC and R/E installment loans classes of the consumer portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified by reducing the interest rate (in increments of 25 basis points to a minimum of 1 percent for up to 5 years) and a possible maturity date extension to reach an affordable housing debt ratio. Permanent mortgage TDRs are typically modified by reducing the interest rate (in increments of 25 basis points to a minimum of 2 percent for up to 5 years) and a possible maturity date extension to reach an affordable housing debt ratio. After 5 years

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

the interest rate steps up 1 percent every year thereafter until it reaches the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac, Freddie, FHLMC) Weekly Survey Rate cap. Contractual maturities may be extended to 40 years on permanent mortgages and to 30 years for consumer real estate loans. Within the credit card class of the consumer portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified through either a short-term credit card hardship program or a longer-term credit card workout program. In the credit card hardship program, borrowers may be granted rate and payment reductions for 6 months to 1 year. In the credit card workout program, customers are granted a rate reduction to 0 percent and term extensions for up to 5 years to pay off the remaining balance.

Despite the absence of a loan modification, the discharge of personal liability through bankruptcy proceedings is considered a concession and as a result, FHN classifies all non-reaffirmed residential real estate loans after bankruptcy as nonaccruing TDRs.

On June 30, 2014 and 2013, FHN had \$350.9 million and \$379.2 million portfolio loans classified as TDRs, respectively. For TDRs in the loan portfolio, FHN had loan loss reserves of \$65.8 million and \$67.5 million, or 19 percent as of June 30, 2014, and 18 percent as of June 30, 2013. Additionally, \$139.5 million and \$133.9 million of loans held-for-sale as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively were classified as TDRs.

The following table reflects portfolio loans that were classified as TDRs during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Number	Pre-M Out	nths Ended Jur lodification standing d Investment	Post-N Ou Re	014 Modification tstanding ecorded vestment	Number	Six Months Ended June Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment		Post-M Ou Re	14 Modification tstanding ecorded vestment
Commercial (C&I):										
General C&I	2	\$	736	\$	522	2	\$	736	\$	522
Total commercial (C&I)	2		736		522	2		736		522
Commercial real estate: Income CRE	2		421		421	2		421		421
	2					2				421
Residential CRE	1		976		960	1		976		960
Total commercial real estate	3		1,397		1,381	3		1,397		1,381
Consumer real estate:										
HELOC	97		8,279		8,557	164		14,069		14,325
R/E installment loans	45		3,132		3,093	117		8,275		8,195
Total consumer real estate	142		11,411		11,650	281		22,344		22,520
Permanent mortgage	12		2,082		2,080	24		6,675		6,167
Credit card & other	14		60		57	34		147		142
Total troubled debt										
restructurings	173	\$	15,686	\$	15,690	344	\$	31,299	\$	30,732
			onths Ended June	Post-N	13 Modification tstanding			nths Ended June Modification	Post-I	3 Modification tstanding
			standing		ecorded			tstanding		ecorded
(Dollars in thousands)	Number		ed Investment		vestment	Number		ed Investment		vestment

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Commercial (C&I):						
General C&I	3	\$ 14,947	\$ 14,941	8	\$ 16,189	\$ 16,179
Total commercial (C&I)	3	14,947	14,941	8	16,189	16,179
Commercial real estate:						
Income CRE	1	288	288	1	288	288
Total commercial real estate	1	288	288	1	288	288
Consumer real estate:						
HELOC	92	8,758	8,734	207	16,517	16,285
R/E installment loans	97	13,390	13,326	276	19,675	19,559
Total consumer real estate	189	22,148	22,060	483	36,192	35,844
		ŕ	ŕ		ŕ	,
Permanent mortgage	14	8,306	8,385	26	13,043	13,237
Credit card & other	17	92	89	28	154	148
Total troubled debt						
restructurings	224	\$ 45,781	\$ 45,763	546	\$ 65,866	\$ 65,696

Note 4 Loans (Continued)

The following table presents TDRs which re-defaulted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and as to which the modification occurred 12 months or less prior to the re-default. Financing receivables that became classified as TDRs within the previous 12 months and for which there was a payment default during the period are calculated by first identifying TDRs that defaulted during the period and then determining whether they were modified within the 12 months prior to the default. For purposes of this disclosure, FHN generally defines payment default as 30 or more plus days past due.

		Months Ended e 30, 2014 Recorded		onths Ended ne 30, 2014 Recorded		
(Dollars in thousands)	Number	Investment	Number	Invest	tment	
Commercial (C&I):						
General C&I		\$	4	\$	512	
Total commercial (C&I)			4		512	
Commercial real estate:						
Income CRE			2		389	
Residential CRE						
Total commercial real estate			2		389	
Consumer real estate:						
HELOC	2	128	5		339	
R/E installment loans	5	305	7		368	
Total consumer real estate	7	433	12		707	
Permanent mortgage	2	781	2		781	
Credit card & other	2	4	2		4	
Total troubled debt restructurings	11	\$ 1,218	22	\$ 2	2,393	
		Months Ended e 30, 2013 Recorded		Months Ended une 30, 2013 Recorded		
(Dollars in thousands)	Number	Investment	Number	Invest		
Commercial (C&I):						
General C&I	1	\$ 220	3	\$ 2	2,824	
Total commercial (C&I)	1	220	3	2	2,824	
Commercial real estate:						
Income CRE						
Residential CRE						
Total commercial real estate						

Consumer real estate:

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

HELOC	2	133	9	477
R/E installment loans			4	129
Total consumer real estate	2	133	13	606
Total Consumer Teal estate	2	133	13	000
Permanent mortgage	1	211	10	4,609
Credit card & other	6	26	8	31
Total troubled debt restructurings	10	\$ 590	34	\$ 8,070

The determination of whether a TDR is placed on nonaccrual status generally follows the same internal policies and procedures as other portfolio loans. However, FHN will typically place a consumer real estate loan on nonaccrual status if it is 30 or more days delinquent upon modification into a TDR. For commercial loans, nonaccrual TDRs that are reasonably assured of repayment according to their modified terms may be returned to accrual status by FHN upon a detailed credit evaluation of the borrower s financial condition and prospects for repayment under the revised terms. For consumer loans, FHN s evaluation supporting the decision to return a modified loan to accrual status includes consideration of the borrower s sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable period prior to the date on which the loan is returned to accrual status, which is generally a minimum of six months. FHN may also consider a borrower s sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable time prior to the restructuring in assessing whether the borrower can meet the restructured terms, as it may indicate that the borrower is capable of servicing the level of debt under the modified terms. Otherwise, FHN will continue to classify restructured loans as nonaccrual. Consistent with regulatory guidance, upon sustained performance and classification as a TDR over FHN s year-end, the loan will be removed from TDR status as long as the modified terms were market-based at the time of modification.

Note 5 Allowance for Loan Losses

The ALLL includes the following components: reserves for commercial loans evaluated based on pools of credit graded loans and reserves for pools of smaller-balance homogeneous retail loans, both determined in accordance with ASC 450-20-50. The reserve factors applied to these pools are an estimate of probable incurred losses based on management is evaluation of historical net losses from loans with similar characteristics and are subject to qualitative adjustments by management to reflect current events, trends, and conditions (including economic considerations and trends). The slow economic recovery, performance of the housing market, unemployment levels, labor participation rate, the regulatory environment, regulatory guidance, and both positive and negative portfolio segment-specific trends, are examples of additional factors considered by management in determining the ALLL. Additionally, management considers the inherent uncertainty of quantitative models that are driven by historical loss data. The ALLL also includes reserves determined in accordance with ASC 310-10-35 for loans determined by management to be individually impaired and an allowance associated with PCI loans.

Commercial

For commercial loans, reserves are established using historical net loss factors by grade level, loan product, and business segment. An assessment of the quality of individual commercial loans is made utilizing credit grades assigned internally based on a dual grading system which estimates both the PD and loss severity in the event of default. PD grades range from 1-16 while estimated loss severities, or LGD grades, range from 1-12. This credit grading system is intended to identify and measure the credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the migration of loans between grading categories. It is also integral to the estimation methodology utilized in determining the allowance for loan losses since an allowance is established for pools of commercial loans based on the credit grade assigned. The appropriate relationship team performs the process of categorizing commercial loans into the appropriate credit grades, initially as a component of the approval of the loan, and subsequently throughout the life of the loan as part of the servicing regimen. The proper loan grade for larger exposures is confirmed by a senior credit officer in the approval process. To determine the most appropriate credit grade for each loan, the credit risk grading system employs scorecards for particular categories of loans that consist of a number of objective and subjective measures that are weighted in a manner that produces a rank ordering of risk within pass-graded credits. Loan grading discipline is regularly reviewed by Credit Risk Assurance to determine if the process continues to result in accurate loan grading across the portfolio. FHN may utilize availability of guarantors/sponsors to support lending decisions during the credit underwriting process and when determining the assignment of internal loan grades.

Retail

The ALLL for smaller-balance homogenous retail loans is determined based on pools of similar loan types that have similar credit risk characteristics. FHN manages retail loan credit risk on a class basis. Reserves by portfolio are determined using segmented roll-rate models that incorporate various factors including historical delinquency trends, experienced loss frequencies, and experienced loss severities. Generally, reserves for retail loans reflect inherent losses in the portfolio that are expected to be recognized over the following twelve months.

Individually Impaired

Generally, classified nonaccrual commercial loans over \$1 million and all commercial and consumer loans classified as TDRs are deemed to be impaired and are individually assessed for impairment measurement in accordance with ASC 310-10-35. For all commercial portfolio segments, TDRs and other individually impaired commercial loans are measured based on the present value of expected future payments discounted at the loan's effective interest rate (the DCF method), observable market prices, or for loans that are solely dependent on the collateral for repayment, the net realizable value. For loans measured using the DCF method or by observable market prices, if the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds this amount, a specific allowance is established as a component of the ALLL until such time as a loss is expected and recognized; for impaired collateral-dependent loans, FHN will charge off the full difference between the book value and the best estimate of net realizable value.

Generally, the allowance for TDRs in all consumer portfolio segments is determined by estimating the expected future cash flows using the modified interest rate (if an interest rate concession), incorporating payoff and net charge-off rates specific to the TDRs within the portfolio segment being assessed, and discounted using the pre-modification interest rate. The discount rates of variable rate TDRs are adjusted to reflect changes in the interest rate index to which the rates are tied. The discounted cash flows are then compared to the outstanding principal balance in order to determine required reserves. Residential real estate loans discharged through bankruptcy are collateral-dependent and are charged down to net realizable value.

Note 5 Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

The following table provides a rollforward of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

		Commercial	Consumer Permaner		Credit Card and	
(Dollars in thousands)	C&I	Real Estate	Real Estate	Mortgage	Other	Total
Balance as of April 1, 2013	\$ 86,105	\$ 15,138	\$ 131,417	\$ 25,448	\$ 7,110	\$ 265,218
Charge-offs	(6,896	(716)	(18,384)	(1,824)	(2,452)	(30,272)
Recoveries	4,101	1,470	5,030	624	763	11,988
Provision	10,192	(1,961)	2,785	2,855	1,129	15,000
Balance as of June 30, 2013	93,502	13,931	120,848	27,103	6,550	261,934
Balance as of January 1, 2013	\$ 96,191	\$ 19,997	\$ 128,949	\$ 24,928	\$ 6,898	\$ 276,963
Charge-offs	(11,332) (2,097)	(42,380)	(5,211)	(5,352)	(66,372)
Recoveries	6,597	2,116	10,534	768	1,328	21,343
Provision	2,046	(6,085)	23,745	6,618	3,676	30,000
Balance as of June 30, 2013	93,502	13,931	120,848	27,103	6,550	261,934
,	,	,	,	ŕ	,	,
Allowance individually evaluated for impairment	16,201	552	42,393	22,725	240	82,111
Allowance collectively evaluated for impairment	77,301		78,455	4,378	6,310	179,823
Loans, net of unearned as of June 30, 2013:	,	,	,	.,	-,	2,7,020
Individually evaluated for impairment	118,081	40,856	178,198	123,131	717	460,983
Collectively evaluated for impairment (a)	8,242,238		5,370,345	623,023	315,350	15,687,826
Purchased credit-impaired loans (b)	7,748		897	023,023	18	49,143
r archased crear impared rouns (b)	7,710	10,100	0,77		10	15,115
Total loans, net of unearned (a)	\$ 8,368,067	\$ 1,218,206	\$ 5,549,440	\$ 746,154	\$ 316,085	\$ 16,197,952
rotal rotals, not or another (a)	Ψ 0,200,007	Ψ 1,210,200	φ ε,ε ισ, ι ισ	Ψ / .0,10 .	φ 510,005	ψ 10,177,70 2
Balance as of April 1, 2014	\$ 72,732	\$ 15,523	\$ 123,409	\$ 22,521	\$ 13,061	\$ 247,246
Charge-offs	(5,449	. ,	(8,074)	(879)	(3,615)	(18,764)
Recoveries	1,517		5,470	694	733	10,146
Provision	(209		(2,768)	1,391	7,273	5,000
	,		, , ,	ĺ	·	ĺ
Balance as of June 30, 2014	68,591	15,821	118,037	23,727	17,452	243,628
	,	,	,			,
Balance as of January 1, 2014	\$ 86,446	\$ 10,603	\$ 126,785	\$ 22,491	\$ 7,484	\$ 253,809
Charge-offs	(11,256		(20,338)	(3,097)	(7,391)	(43,456)
Recoveries	3,119		10,444	1,272	1,429	18,275
Provision	(9,718	· ·	1,146	3,061	15,930	15,000
	() -	, ,	,	- /	.,	.,
Balance as of June 30, 2014	68,591	15,821	118,037	23,727	17,452	243,628
	00,01					_ 10,0_0
Allowance individually evaluated for impairment	4,075	1,308	43,925	19,323	266	68,897
Allowance collectively evaluated for impairment	64,473		74,071	4,404	17,185	172,276
	0 1, 170			.,		
Allowance purchased credit-impaired loans	43	2,370	41		1	2,455
Allowance purchased credit-impaired loans	43	2,370	41		1	2,455
Loans, net of unearned as of June 30, 2014:				119.466		
	51,404 8,344,652	22,857	41 176,885 5,041,270	119,466 474,535	524 347,893	2,455 371,136 15,381,698

Purchased credit-impaired loans	6,780	35,308	775	775		42,875
Total loans, net of unearned	\$ 8,402,836	\$ 1,231,513	\$ 5,218,930	\$ 594,001	\$ 348,429	\$ 15,795,709

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Balance as of June 30, 2013 has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013.
- (b) Balance as of June 30, 2013 has been re-presented as the PCI loan population was finalized in third quarter 2013.

25

Note 6 Mortgage Servicing Rights

FHN recognizes all classes of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) at fair value. Classes of MSR are established based on market inputs used to determine the fair value of the servicing asset and FHN s risk management practices. See Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities, the Determination of Fair Value section for a discussion of FHN s MSR valuation methodology. In third quarter 2013, FHN agreed to sell substantially all remaining legacy mortgage servicing which resulted in de-recognition of substantially all first lien MSR by the end of first quarter 2014. Accordingly the rollforward of MSR is presented for the comparative period only. See Note 15 Derivatives for a discussion of how FHN hedged the fair value of MSR prior to signing the definitive sales agreement. The balance of MSR included on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition represented the rights to service approximately \$16 billion of mortgage loans on June 30, 2013, for which a servicing right had been capitalized.

Following is a summary of changes in capitalized MSR as of June 30, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	First Liens	Second Liens		HELOC	Total
Fair value on January 1, 2013	\$ 111,314	\$	196	\$ 2,801	\$ 114,311
Reductions due to loan payments	(10,991)		(69)	(238)	(11,298)
Reductions due to exercise of cleanup calls	(495)				(495)
Changes in fair value due to:					
Changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions	11,330				11,330
Other changes in fair value	(82)		45	42	5
Fair value on June 30, 2013	\$ 111,076	\$	172	\$ 2,605	\$ 113,853

The ending balance of MSR as of June 30, 2014 was \$3.2 million. In first quarter 2014, FHN sold \$68.5 million of first lien MSR. Servicing, late, and other ancillary fees recognized within mortgage banking income were not material for the three months ended June 30, 2014. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, servicing, late, and other ancillary fees recognized within mortgage banking income were \$20.7 million and primarily represent previously unrecognized servicing fees in conjunction with servicing sales. Servicing, late, and other ancillary fees recognized within mortgage banking income were \$12.3 million and \$24.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. During second quarter 2013, FHN received annual servicing fees approximating .29 percent of the outstanding balance of underlying single-family residential mortgage loans and .34 percent inclusive of income related to excess interest.

In prior periods, FHN transferred MSR to third parties in transactions that did not qualify for sales treatment due to certain recourse provisions that were included within the sale agreements. In fourth quarter 2013, FHN determined that these provisions had lapsed and the balances related to these transactions were removed from FHN s Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. On June 30, 2013, FHN had \$12.3 million of MSR related to these transactions, which were included within the first liens mortgage loans column of the rollforward of MSR. The proceeds from these transfers were recognized within Other short-term borrowings in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

26

Note 7 Intangible Assets

The following is a summary of intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition:

		Other Intangible
(Dollars in thousands)	Goodwill	Assets (a)
December 31, 2012	\$ 134,242	\$ 22,700
Amortization expense		(1,856)
Additions (b)	6,237	2,300
June 30, 2013 (b)	\$ 140,479	\$ 23,144
December 31, 2013	\$ 141,943	\$ 21,988
Amortization expense		(1,963)
-		
June 30, 2014	\$ 141,943	\$ 20,025

The following is a summary of gross goodwill and accumulated impairment losses and write-offs detailed by reportable segments included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition through June 30, 2014. Gross goodwill, accumulated impairments, and accumulated divestiture related write-offs were determined beginning on January 1, 2002, when a change in accounting requirements resulted in goodwill being assessed for impairment rather than being amortized.

	Non-	Regional	Capital	m . 1
(Dollars in thousands)	Strategic	Banking	Markets	Total
Gross goodwill	\$ 199,995	\$ 36,238	\$ 98,004	\$ 334,237
Accumulated impairments	(114,123)			(114,123)
Accumulated divestiture related write-offs	(85,872)			(85,872)
December 31, 2012	\$	\$ 36,238	\$ 98,004	\$ 134,242
, .	•	,	, , , , , ,	,
Additions (a)		6,237		6,237
Impairments				
Divestitures				
Net change in goodwill during 2013 (a)		6,237		6,237
The change in good will during 2015 (u)		0,237		0,237
Gross goodwill	\$ 199,995	\$ 42,475	\$ 98,004	\$ 340,474
Accumulated impairments	(114,123)		,	(114,123)
Accumulated divestiture related write-offs	(85,872)			(85,872)
	(23,012)			(30,012)
June 30, 2013	\$	\$ 42,475	\$ 98,004	\$ 140,479

⁽a) Represents customer lists, acquired contracts, core deposit intangibles, and covenants not to compete.

⁽b) June 30, 2013 balance has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013. The gross carrying amount of other intangible assets subject to amortization is \$58.6 million on June 30, 2014, net of \$38.6 million of accumulated amortization. Estimated aggregate amortization expense is expected to be \$2.0 million for the remainder of 2014, and \$3.7 million, \$3.6 million, \$3.3 million, \$3.2 million, and \$3.0 million for the twelve-month periods of 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019, respectively.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Gross goodwill	\$ 199,995	\$ 43,939	\$ 98,004	\$ 341,938
Accumulated impairments	(114,123)			(114,123)
Accumulated divestiture related write-offs	(85,872)			(85,872)
December 31, 2013	\$	\$ 43,939	\$ 98,004	\$ 141,943
Additions				
Impairments				
Divestitures				
Net change in goodwill during 2014				
Gross goodwill	\$ 199,995	\$ 43,939	\$ 98,004	\$ 341,938
Accumulated impairments	(114,123)			(114,123)
Accumulated divestiture related write-offs	(85,872)			(85,872)
June 30, 2014	\$	\$ 43,939	\$ 98,004	\$ 141,943

⁽a) Second quarter 2013 balance has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013.

Note 8 Other Income and Other Expense

Following is detail of All other income and commissions and All other expense as presented in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income:

	Three Months June 30					Six Month June	
(Dollars in thousands)	2	2014		2013		2014	2013
All other income and commissions:							
ATM interchange fees	\$	2,746	\$	2,627	\$	5,243	\$ 5,011
Electronic banking fees		1,535		1,585		3,069	3,147
Deferred compensation (a)		1,184		(278)		1,841	1,315
Letter of credit fees		1,173		1,196		2,836	2,695
Gain/(loss) on extinguishment of debt (b)						(4,350)	
Other		2,597		3,777		5,490	7,234
Total	\$	9,235	\$	8,907	\$	14,129	\$ 19,402
All other expense:	ф	2 200	ф	2.076	ф	(2(0	¢ (100
Other insurance and taxes Tax credit investments	\$	3,209	\$	3,076	\$	6,269	\$ 6,122
		3,032		2,989		5,527	5,961
Travel and entertainment		2,645		2,372		4,469	4,220
Customer relations		1,680		1,255		2,923	2,533
Employee training and dues		1,200		1,229		2,066	2,483
Miscellaneous loan costs		839		1,163		1,553	2,159
Supplies		804		705		1,920	1,760
Litigation and regulatory matters (c)	(.	38,200)		900		(38,110)	6,070
Other		8,902		6,837		18,147	14,814
Total	\$ (15,889)	\$	20,526	\$	4,764	\$ 46,122

⁽a) Deferred compensation market value adjustments are mirrored by adjustments to employee compensation, incentives, and benefits expense.

⁽b) Six months ended June 30, 2014 loss is associated with the collapse of two HELOC securitization trusts.

⁽c) Three and six months ended June 30, 2014, include \$38.6 million related to agreements with insurance companies for the recovery of expenses FHN incurred in connection with the Sentinel litigation matter which was settled in 2011.

Note 9 Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Balances

The following table provides the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component, net of tax, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014:

(Dollars in thousands, unless otherwise noted)	Ga Se	nrealized in/(Loss) On ecurities ilable-For- Sale	Pension and Post Retirement Plans	Total
Balance as of April 1, 2014	\$	(1,762)	\$ (138,357)	\$ (140,119)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications, Net of tax expense of \$10.9 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale	·	17,358		17,358
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, Net of				650
tax expense of \$.4 million for pension and post retirement plans			650	650
Net current period other comprehensive income, Net of tax expense of \$10.9 million and \$.4 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale and pension and post retirement plans, respectively		17,358	650	18,008
Balance as of June 30, 2014	\$	15,596	\$ (137,707)	\$ (122,111)
Balance as of January 1, 2014	\$	(11,241)	\$ (138,768)	\$ (150,009)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications, Net of tax expense of \$16.8 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale		26,837		26,837
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, Net of tax expense of \$.7 million for pension and post retirement plans			1,061	1,061
Net current period other comprehensive income, Net of tax expense of \$16.8 million and \$.7 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities		24,025	1.061	27 000
available-for-sale and pension and post retirement plans, respectively		26,837	1,061	27,898
Balance as of June 30, 2014	\$	15,596	\$ (137,707)	\$ (122,111)

Note 9 Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Balances (Continued)

The following table provides the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component, net of tax, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands, unless otherwise noted)	Gair S	nrealized n/(Loss) On ecurities ilable-For- Sale	Pension and Post Retirement Plans	Total
Balance as of April 1, 2013	\$	48,591	\$ (200,230)	\$ (151,639)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications, Net of tax benefit of \$24.5 million and tax expense of \$.2 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale and pension and post retirement plans, respectively	Ψ	(39,152)	352	(38,800)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, Net of tax expense of \$1.1 million for pension and post retirement plans		(3),132)	1,774	1,774
Net current period other comprehensive income, Net of tax benefit of \$24.5 million and tax expense of \$1.3 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale and pension and post retirement plans, respectively		(39,152)	2,126	(37,026)
Balance as of June 30, 2013	\$	9,439	\$ (198,104)	\$ (188,665)
Balance as of January 1, 2013 Other comprehensive income before reclassifications, Net of tax benefit of \$28.7 million and tax expense of \$.1 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on	\$	55,250	\$ (201,593)	\$ (146,343)
securities available-for-sale and pension and post retirement plans, respectively		(45,811)	169	(45,642)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, Net of tax expense of \$2.1 million for pension and post retirement plans		, ,	3,320	3,320
Net current period other comprehensive income, Net of tax benefit of \$28.7 million and tax expense of \$2.2 million for unrealized gain/(loss) on securities available-for-sale and pension and post retirement plans, respectively		(45,811)	3,489	(42,322)
Balance as of June 30, 2013	\$	9,439	\$ (198,104)	\$ (188,665)

Note 10 Earnings Per Share

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the numerators used in calculating earnings/(loss) per share attributable to common shareholders:

		nths Ended e 30	Six Month June		
(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ 81,180	\$ 45,235	\$ 130,410	\$ 89,774	
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		1		431	
Net income/(loss)	81,180	45,236	130,410	90,205	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	2,859	2,843	5,672	5,656	
Net income/(loss) attributable to controlling interest	78,321	42,393	124,738	84,549	
Preferred stock dividends	1,550	1,550	3,100	2,738	
Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 76,771	\$ 40,843	\$ 121,638	\$ 81,811	
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ 81,180	\$ 45,235	\$ 130,410	\$ 89,774	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	2,859	2,843	5,672	5,656	
Preferred stock dividends	1,550	1,550	3,100	2,738	
Net income/(loss) from continuing operations available to common					
shareholders	\$ 76,771	\$ 40,842	\$ 121,638	\$ 81,380	

The component of Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to FHN as the controlling interest holder was \$78.3 million and \$42.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$124.7 million and \$84.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The following table provides a reconciliation of weighted average common shares to diluted average common shares:

		ths Ended	Six Months Ended June 30		
(Shares in thousands)	2014	2014 2013		2013	
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	235,797	239,248	235,492	240,055	
Effect of dilutive securities	1,453	1,643	1,833	1,804	
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	237,250	240,891	237,325	241,859	

The following tables provide a reconciliation of earnings/(loss) per common and diluted share:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months End June 30	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Earnings/(loss) per common share:				
Income/(loss) per share from continuing operations available to common shareholders	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.34
Net income/(loss) per share available to common shareholders	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.34

Diluted earnings/(loss) per common share:

Diluted income/(loss) per share from continuing operations available to common				
shareholders	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.34
Diluted income/(loss) per share available to common shareholders	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.34

For the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the dilutive effect for all potential common shares was 1.5 million and 1.6 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the dilutive effect for all potential common shares was 1.8 million. Stock options of 5.6 million and 8.6 million with weighted average exercise prices of \$21.17 and \$21.35 per share for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares because including such shares would be antidilutive. Stock options of 4.8 million and 8.7 million with weighted average exercise prices of \$24.26 and \$21.89 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, were also excluded from diluted shares.

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures

Contingencies

General

Contingent liabilities arise in the ordinary course of business. Often they are related to lawsuits, arbitration, mediation, and other forms of litigation. Various litigation matters are threatened or pending against FHN and its subsidiaries. Also, FHN at times receives requests for information, subpoenas, or other inquiries from federal, state, and local regulators, from other government authorities, and from other parties concerning various matters relating to FHN s current or former lines of business. Certain matters of that sort are pending at this time, and FHN is cooperating in those matters. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of these matters, particularly where the claimants seek very large or indeterminate damages, or where the cases present novel legal theories or involve a large number of parties, or where claims or other actions may be possible but have not been brought, FHN cannot reasonably determine what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters may be, or what the eventual loss or impact related to each matter may be. FHN establishes loss contingency liabilities for litigation matters when loss is both probable and reasonably estimable as prescribed by applicable financial accounting guidance. A liability generally is not established when loss for a matter either is not probable or its amount is not reasonably estimable. If loss for a matter is probable and a range of possible loss outcomes is the best estimate available, accounting guidance requires a liability to be established at the low end of the range.

Disclosure in this Note is provided in respect of several types of matters. (a) Disclosure is provided for each matter as to which FHN has determined that material loss is probable, which can include matters for which material loss liability has been established as of period-end and matters for which the amount of loss cannot be estimated. (b) Disclosure of an aggregate range of reasonably possible loss (RPL) associated with contingent liabilities is provided as to matters where there is more than a remote chance of an estimable, material loss outcome for FHN in excess of currently established loss liabilities, whether or not those established loss liabilities are material. Additional disclosure is provided for certain of those matters. (c) Disclosure is provided for several loss contingency litigation matters related to FHN s former mortgage securitizations not falling within loss categories (a) or (b). As a result, disclosure is provided for each pending litigation matter concerning a First Horizon proprietary securitization which FHN is defending. (d) Lastly, disclosure is provided for a material gain contingency matter which recently was resolved.

Based on current knowledge, and after consultation with counsel, management is of the opinion that loss contingencies related to threatened or pending litigation matters should not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of FHN, but may be material to FHN s operating results for any particular reporting period depending, in part, on the results from that period.

Litigation Loss Contingencies

As used in this Note, material loss contingency matters generally fall into at least one of the following categories: (i) FHN has determined material loss to be probable and has established a material loss liability in accordance with applicable financial accounting guidance, other than matters reported as having been substantially settled or otherwise substantially resolved; (ii) FHN has determined material loss to be probable but is unable to determine an amount or range of material loss liability; or (iii) FHN has determined that material loss is not probable but is reasonably possible, and that the amount or range of that material loss is estimable. As defined in applicable accounting guidance, loss is reasonably possible if there is more than a remote chance of a material loss outcome for FHN. Set forth below are disclosures for certain pending or threatened litigation matters, including all matters mentioned in clauses (i) or (ii) and certain matters mentioned in (iii). In addition, certain other matters are discussed relating to FHN s former mortgage origination and servicing businesses. In all litigation matters discussed, unless settled or otherwise resolved, FHN believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to pursue those defenses vigorously.

FHN reassesses the liability for litigation matters each quarter as the matters progress. At June 30, 2014, the aggregate amount of liabilities established for all material loss contingency matters was \$11.9 million. Of the matters discussed under the heading First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters below, only the Charles Schwab and Western & Southern suits are among those matters for which a liability has been established. The liabilities discussed in this paragraph are separate from those discussed under the heading Established Repurchase Liability below.

In each material loss contingency matter, except as otherwise noted, there is a more than slight chance that each of the following outcomes will occur: the plaintiff will substantially prevail; the defense will substantially prevail; the plaintiff will prevail in part; or the matter will be settled by the parties. At June 30, 2014, FHN estimates that for all material loss contingency matters, estimable reasonably possible losses in future periods in excess of currently established liabilities could aggregate in a range from zero to approximately \$115 million. Of those matters discussed under the heading First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters, only the Charles Schwab and the two FDIC suits

are included in that range.

Certain Matters Included in Reasonably Possible Loss Range

Debit Transaction Sequencing Litigation Matter. FTBNA is a defendant in a putative class action lawsuit concerning overdraft fees charged in connection with debit card transactions. A key claim is that the method used to order or sequence the transactions posted

32

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

each day was improper. The case is styled as *Hawkins v. First Tennessee Bank National Association*, before the Circuit Court for Shelby County, Tennessee, Case No. CT-004085-11. The plaintiff seeks actual damages of at least \$5 million, unspecified restitution of fees charged, and unspecified punitive damages, among other things. FHN s estimate of reasonably possible loss for this matter is subject to significant uncertainties regarding: whether a class will be certified and, if so, the definition of the class; claims as to which no dollar amount is specified; the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the ultimate outcome of potentially dispositive early-stage motions such as motions to dismiss; and the incomplete status of the discovery process.

RPL-Included First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters. Several pending litigation matters are discussed under the heading. First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters below. For certain of those FHN has been able to estimate reasonably possible loss. Those estimable matters are the Charles Schwab, FDIC (NY), and FDIC (AL) cases. The estimates for those matters are included in the range of reasonably possible loss discussed above. The estimates are subject to significant uncertainties regarding: the dollar amount claimed; the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the outcome of any settlement discussions; the outcome of potentially significant motions; the availability of significantly dispositive defenses; the identity and value of assets that FHN may be required to repurchase to the extent asset repurchase is sought; the incomplete status of the discovery process; and the lack of precedent claims.

Certain Matters Not Included in Reasonably Possible Loss Range

RPL-Excluded First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters. Several pending litigation matters are discussed under the heading. First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters below. For certain of those FHN has been able to estimate reasonably possible loss as mentioned in the preceding paragraph, and for others FHN has not. Those matters which currently are not estimable are the FHLB of San Francisco, Metropolitan Life, Royal Park, and certain indemnity cases. FHN is unable to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to significant uncertainties regarding: claims as to which the claimant specifies no dollar amount; the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the availability of significantly dispositive defenses such as statutes of limitations or repose; the outcome of potentially dispositive early-stage motions such as motions to dismiss; the identity and value of assets that FHN may be required to repurchase for those claims seeking asset repurchase; the non-started or incomplete status of the discovery process; the lack of a precise statement of damages; and lack of precedent claims.

Inquiry Regarding FHA-Insured Loans. Since second quarter 2012 FHN has been cooperating with the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Office of the Inspector General for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in a civil investigation regarding compliance with requirements relating to certain residential mortgage loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA). During second quarter 2013 DOJ and HUD provided FHN with preliminary findings of the investigation, which focused on a small sample of loans and remained incomplete. FHN prepared its own analysis of the sample and has provided certain information to DOJ and HUD. Discussions between the parties are continuing as to various matters, including certain factual information. The investigation could lead to a demand or claim under the federal False Claims Act and the federal Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, which allow treble and other special damages substantially in excess of actual losses. Currently FHN is not able to predict the eventual outcome of this matter. FHN has established no liability for this matter and is not able to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to significant uncertainties regarding: the potential remedies, including any amount of enhanced damages, that might be available or awarded; the availability of significantly dispositive defenses; FHN slack of information that would enable FHN to assess performance concerning its FHA-insured originations, nearly all of which FHN does not service; and the limited number of reported precedent claims and resolutions (involving other banking organizations) combined with a lack of underlying data connected with those resolutions.

The investigation has focused on loans originated by FHN on or after January 1, 2006. FHA-insured originations from January 1, 2006 through the August 31, 2008 divestiture of FHN s national mortgage platform totaled 47,817 loans with an aggregate original principal balance of \$8.2 billion. The amount of FHA-insured originations each year has declined substantially following the divestiture.

First Horizon Branded Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters

Prior to September 2008 FHN originated and sold home loan products through various channels and conducted its servicing business under the First Horizon Home Loans and First Tennessee Mortgage Servicing brands. Those sales channels included the securitization of loans into pools held by trustees and the sale of the resulting securities, sometimes called certificates, to investors. These activities are discussed in more detail below under the heading Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing.

At the time this report is filed, FHN, along with multiple co-defendants, is defending several lawsuits brought by investors which claim that the offering documents under which certificates relating to First Horizon branded securitizations (FH proprietary securitizations) were sold to them were materially deficient. The plaintiffs and venues of these suits are: (1) Charles Schwab Corp. in the Superior Court of San Francisco, California (Case No. 10-501610); (2) Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as receiver for Colonial Bank, in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama (Case No. CV-12-791-WKW-WC); and (3) FDIC as a receiver for Colonial Bank, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (Case No. 12 Civ. 6166 (LLS)(MHD)). The plaintiffs in the pending suits claim to have purchased certificates in a number of separate FH proprietary securitizations and demand that FHN repurchase their investments, or answer in damages or rescission, among other remedies sought.

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

In some of the pending suits underwriters are co-defendants and have demanded, under provisions in the applicable underwriting agreements, that FHN indemnify them for their expenses and any losses they may incur. In addition, FHN has received indemnity demands from underwriters in certain other suits as to which investors claim to have purchased senior certificates in FH proprietary securitizations. FHN has not been named a defendant in these suits, which FHN is defending indirectly as indemnitor. The plaintiffs and venues of these other suits are: (4) FHLB of San Francisco, in the Superior Court of San Francisco County, California (Case No. CGC-10-497840); (5) Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., in the Supreme Court of New York County, New York (No. 651360-2012); and (6) Royal Park Invs. SA/NV, in the Supreme Court of New York County, New York (No. 652607-2012).

In addition, a seventh case was pending at June 30, 2014 but the parties have agreed to settle. The plaintiff and venue for that matter is Western & Southern Life Insurance Co, among others, in the Court of Common Pleas, Hamilton County, Ohio (Case No. A1105352). The liability for litigation matters at June 30, 2014 mentioned above reflects the terms of the settlement, but does not reflect payment of the settlement which occurred in third quarter.

Details concerning the original purchase amounts and ending balances of the investments at issue in these pending suits, as to which FHN is a named defendant or as to which FHN has an agreement to indemnify an underwriter defendant, are set forth below. (Excluded from the amounts shown are certificates which were the subject of the Western & Southern litigation, which has been settled.) Information about the performance of the FH proprietary securitizations related to these suits is available in monthly reports published by the trustee for the securitization trusts. FHN believes that certain plaintiffs did not purchase the entire certificate in the securitizations in which they invested. Reporting by the trustee is at a certificate level and, as a result, ending certificate balances in the following table were adjusted to reflect FHN s estimate of the ending balance of each partial certificate purchased by these plaintiffs. Plaintiffs in the pending lawsuits claim to have purchased a total of \$225.7 million of certificates and the purchase prices of the certificates subject to the indemnification requests total \$331.4 million. Senior and Junior refer to the ranking of the investments in broad terms; in most cases the securitization provided for sub-classifications within the Senior or Junior groups.

	Alt-A		Jumbo	
(Dollars in thousands)	Senior	Junior	Senior	Junior
Vintage				
Original Purchase Price:				
2005	\$ 200,117	\$	\$ 30,000	\$
2006	77,906			
2007	199,012		50,000	
Total	\$ 477,035	\$	\$ 80,000	\$
2000	Ψ,σεε	Ψ	Ψ 00,000	Ψ
Ending Balance per the June 25, 2014, trust statements:				
2005	\$ 57,324	\$	\$ 10,659	\$
2006	35,573			
2007	93,538		15,437	
	,		,	
Total	\$ 186,435	\$	\$ 26,096	\$

If FHN were to repurchase certificates, it would recognize as a loss the difference between the amount paid (adjusted for any related litigation liability previously established) and the fair value of the certificates at that time.

The total ending certificate balance of the investments which are the subject of the current pending lawsuits was \$212.5 million as reported on the June 25, 2014, trust statements, with approximately 85 percent of the remaining balances performing. Cumulative losses on the investments which are the subject of the remaining lawsuits, as reported on the trust statements, represent approximately 5 percent of the original principal amount underlying the certificates purchased. Ending certificate balances reflect the remaining principal balance on the certificates, after the monthly principal and interest distributions and after reduction for applicable cumulative and current realized losses. Recognized cumulative losses may not take into account all outstanding principal and interest amounts advanced by the servicer due to nonpayment by the borrowers;

reimbursement of those advances to the servicer may increase cumulative losses. Losses often are reported by the trustee based on each certificate within a pool or group, which limits FHN s ability to ascertain losses at the individual investor level.

As discussed below under Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing, similar claims may be pursued by other investors, and loan repurchase, make-whole, or indemnity claims may be pursued by securitization trustees or other parties to transactions seeking indemnity. At June 30, 2014, except for the Charles Schwab and Western & Southern cases, FHN had not recognized a liability for exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from the foregoing or other potential claims by investors that the offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient, nor for exposure for repurchase of loans arising from potential claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made in FH proprietary securitizations at closing.

34

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

Litigation Gain Contingencies

The Chapter 11 Liquidation Trustee (the Trustee) of Sentinel Management Group Inc, (Sentinel) filed complaints against two subsidiaries, First Tennessee Bank National Association (FTBNA) and FTN Financial Securities Corp. (FTN), and two former FTN employees. The Trustee s claims related to Sentinel spurchases of Preferred Term Securities Limited (PreTSL) products and other securities from FTN and/or the FTN Financial Capital Markets division of FTBNA from March 2005 to August 2007.

In July 2011, the parties settled that suit. FHN recently settled a lawsuit it brought against certain insurers in which FHN sought to recoup expenses for the Sentinel settlement loss and various litigation costs. The financial effects of this recent settlement, which totaled \$47.1 million, have been recorded in second quarter 2014 as a \$38.6 million expense recovery to losses from litigation and regulatory matters and an \$8.5 million expense recovery to legal and professional fees.

In addition, FHN is pursuing additional recoveries under certain insurance policies related to litigation settlements and other litigation expenses associated with past proprietary mortgage securitizations. At June 30, 2014, the policy limits associated with those pursuits, excluding amounts previously received or recognized as receivable, aggregate approximately \$15 million.

Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing

Overview

Prior to September 2008, as a means to provide liquidity for its legacy mortgage banking business, FHN originated loans through its legacy mortgage business, primarily first lien home loans, with the intention of selling them. Some government-insured and government-guaranteed loans were originated with credit recourse retained by FHN and some other mortgages were originated to be held, but predominantly mortgage loans were intended to be sold without recourse for credit default. Sales typically were effected either as non-recourse whole-loan sales or through non-recourse proprietary securitizations. Conventional conforming single-family residential mortgage loans were sold predominately to two GSEs: the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae, Fannie, or FNMA), and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac, Freddie, or FHLMC). Federally insured or guaranteed whole-loans were pooled, and payments to investors were guaranteed through the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae, Ginnie, or GNMA). Collectively, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae are referred to as the Agencies. Many mortgage loan originations, especially those nonconforming mortgage loans that did not meet criteria for whole-loan sales to the GSEs or insurance through Ginnie Mae, were sold to investors, or certificate-holders, predominantly through First Horizon (FH) branded proprietary securitizations but also, to a lesser extent, through whole-loan sales to private non-Agency purchasers. In addition, FHN originated with the intent to sell and sold HELOCs and second lien mortgages through whole-loan sales to private purchasers and, to a lesser extent, through FH proprietary securitizations.

Regarding these past first lien loan sale activities, FHN has exposure to potential loss primarily through two avenues. First, purchasers of these mortgage loans may request that FHN repurchase loans or make the purchaser whole for economic losses incurred if it is determined that FHN violated certain contractual representations and warranties made at the time of these sales. Contractual representations and warranties differ based on deal structure and counterparty. For whole-loan sales, a claimant generally would be the purchaser or its assignee. For securitizations, a repurchase claimant generally would be the trustee. Second, investors in securitizations may attempt to achieve rescission of their investments or damages through litigation by claiming that the applicable offering documents were materially deficient. In addition, augmenting these avenues: the trustee for the securitized loans may seek repurchase of loans under contractual remedies; some of the loans that were sold or securitized were insured and the insurance carrier may seek repurchase or make-whole remedies by claiming that FHN violated certain contractual representations and warranties made in connection with the insurance contract; some of the loans sold to non-Agency whole-loan purchasers were included in securitizations of the purchasers, and the purchasers may seek repurchase or indemnification for losses and expenses caused by such a violation by FHN; and, some loans were originated under government insurance or guarantee programs and the government agency, or a person acting on its behalf, may seek contractual or statutory remedies based on claimed violations of the requirements of the respective program. In some cases FHN retained the servicing of the loans sold or securitized and so retained substantial visibility into the status of the loans; in many cases FHN did not retain servicing and has had very limited or no such direct visibility. Moreover, since 2008 FHN has sold significant amounts of servicing rights in several transactions. Under the most recent servicing sale agreement FHN sold, in late 2013 and early 2014, substantially all its remaining legacy servicing.

For many years ending in 2008, FHN originated and sold mortgage loans to the Agencies without recourse. From 2005 through 2008, \$69.5 billion of mortgage loans were sold to the Agencies, including \$57.6 billion of loans sold to GSEs and \$11.9 billion of loans guaranteed by Ginnie Mae. In addition, for many years ending in 2007, FHN securitized mortgage loans without recourse in FH proprietary transactions. From 2005 through 2007, FHN securitized \$26.7 billion of mortgage loans under the FH brand.

On August 31, 2008 FHN sold its national mortgage origination and servicing platforms along with a portion of its servicing assets and obligations. This is sometimes referred to as the 2008 sale, the 2008 divestiture, the platform sale, or other similar terms. FHN contracted to have its remaining servicing obligations sub-serviced. Since the platform sale FHN has sold substantially all remaining servicing assets and obligations, as mentioned above.

35

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

Loans Sold With Full or Limited Recourse

FHN also sold certain Agency mortgage loans with full recourse under agreements to repurchase the loans upon default. Loans sold with full recourse generally included mortgage loans sold to investors in the secondary market which were uninsurable under government mortgage loan programs due to issues associated with underwriting activities, documentation, or other concerns. For mortgage insured single-family residential loans, in the event of borrower nonperformance, FHN would assume losses to the extent they exceed the value of the collateral and private mortgage insurance (MI), the FHA insurance, or the Veteran's Administration (VA) guaranty. In fourth quarter 2013, FHN sold substantially all remaining servicing. Therefore, FHN no longer has direct visibility into the status of single-family residential loans that were sold on a full or limited recourse basis.

Loans sold with limited recourse include loans sold under government insured or guaranteed mortgage loan programs including the FHA and VA. FHN may absorb losses due to uncollected interest and foreclosure costs but has limited risk of credit losses in the event of foreclosure of the mortgage loan sold. Generally, the amount of recourse liability in the event of foreclosure is determined based upon the respective government program and/or the sale or disposal of the foreclosed property collateralizing the mortgage loan. Another instance of limited recourse is the VA/No bid. In this case, the VA guarantee is limited and FHN may be required to fund any deficiency in excess of the VA guarantee if the loan goes to foreclosure.

FHN also has potential loss exposure from claims that FHN violated FHA or VA requirements related to the origination of the loans and insurance or guarantee claims filed related to the loans. Additional information concerning a pending investigation related to FHA-insured lending is provided in Inquiry Regarding FHA-Insured Loans above.

Unless otherwise noted, the remaining discussion under this section, Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing, excludes information concerning full or limited recourse loan sales.

Agency Whole-Loan Sales

Each Agency has specific guidelines and criteria for originators and servicers of loans backing their respective securities, and the risk of credit loss with regard to the principal amount of the loans sold was generally transferred to the GSEs upon sale, or resides with the insuring government agency if the loans were guaranteed through Ginnie.

Even though these loans were sold without recourse for credit loss, FHN may be obligated to either repurchase a loan for the unpaid principal balance (UPB) or make the purchaser whole for the economic loss incurred if FHN breached representations or warranties made by FHN to the purchaser at the time of the sale. Such representations and warranties typically covered both substantive and process matters, such as the existence and sufficiency of file documentation and the absence of fraud by borrowers or other third parties such as appraisers. Since the mortgage platform sale in 2008 through June 30, 2014, Agencies, primarily the two GSEs, have accounted for the vast majority of repurchase/make-whole claims received.

GSE Definitive Resolution Agreements

In the fourth quarter of 2013 FHN entered into a definitive resolution agreement (DRA) with Fannie Mae, and in the first quarter of 2014 FHN entered into a DRA with Freddie Mac, in each case resolving certain legacy selling representation and warranty repurchase obligations associated with loans originated from 2000 to 2008 excluding certain loans FHN no longer serviced at the time of the DRA. Under each DRA, FHN remains responsible for repurchase obligations related to certain excluded defects (such as title defects and violations of the GSE s Charter Act) and FHN continues to have obligations related to mortgage insurance rescissions, cancellations, and denials. With respect to loans where there has been a prior bulk sale of servicing, FHN is not responsible for mortgage insurance cancellations and denials to the extent attributable to the acts of the current servicer.

As a result of the DRAs, the repurchase pipeline overall is smaller, and the proportion of GSE-related repurchase requests in the pipeline also is smaller, than in periods pre-dating the DRAs. The repurchase liability FHN has recorded as of June 30, 2014 contemplates, among other things, estimates of FHN s repurchase exposure related to loans excluded from the DRAs and estimates of FHN s repurchase exposure related to certain other whole-loan sales. See Other Whole-Loan Sales and Established Repurchase Liability below for additional information.

Other Whole-Loan Sales

Prior to the 2008 divestiture FHN sold first lien mortgage loans without recourse through whole-loan sales to non-Agency purchasers. FHN made contractual representations and warranties to the purchasers generally similar to those made to Agency purchasers. As of June 30, 2014, 21 percent of repurchase/make-whole claims in the pipeline relate to other whole-loan sales. These claims are included in FHN s liability methodology and the assessment of the adequacy of the repurchase and foreclosure liability.

36

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

Many of these loans were included by the purchasers in their own securitizations, not using the First Horizon brand. FHN s contractual representations and warranties to these loan purchasers generally included repurchase and indemnity covenants for losses and expenses applicable to the securitization caused by FHN s breach. Currently the following categories of actions are pending which involve FHN and non-Agency whole-loan sales: (i) FHN has received indemnification requests from purchasers of loans or their assignees in cases where FHN is not a defendant; (ii) FHN has received subpoenas seeking loan reviews in cases where FHN is not a defendant; (iii) FHN has received repurchase or make-whole demands from purchasers or their assignees; and (iv) FHN is a defendant in certain legal actions involving FHN-originated loans. In some cases the loans to be reviewed, or which otherwise are at issue, have not been identified specifically. Assignees can include securitizers or securitization trustees, among others. A loan is included in the repurchase pipeline only when an identifiable demand for repurchase has been made outside of active litigation.

First Horizon Branded Proprietary Mortgage Securitizations

From 2005 through 2007 FHN originated and sold certain non-agency, nonconforming mortgage loans, consisting of Jumbo and Alternative-A (Alt A) first lien mortgage loans, to private investors through 80 proprietary securitization trusts under the FH brand. Securitized loans generally were sold indirectly to investors as interests, commonly known as certificates, in the trusts. The certificates were sold to a variety of investors, including GSEs in some cases, through securities offerings under a prospectus or other offering documents. In most cases, the certificates were tiered into different risk classes, with junior classes exposed to trust losses first and senior classes exposed only after junior classes were exhausted. Through third quarter 2013, FHN continued to service substantially all of the remaining loans sold through FH proprietary securitizations. In third quarter FHN contracted to sell substantially all such servicing rights and obligations, with transfers occurring largely in fourth quarter 2013 and first quarter 2014. As of June 30, 2014, the aggregate remaining UPB in active FH proprietary securitizations from 2005 through 2007 was \$6.7 billion consisting of \$4.6 billion Alt-A mortgage loans and \$2.1 billion Jumbo mortgage loans.

Representations and warranties were made to the securitization trustee, as the nominal purchaser of the loans, for the benefit of investors. As such, FHN has exposure to the trustee for repurchase of loans arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made at closing. As of June 30, 2014, the repurchase request pipeline contained no repurchase requests related to FH proprietary first lien securitizations based on breaches of representations and warranties to the trustee.

Unlike loans sold to GSEs, contractual representations and warranties for FH proprietary first lien securitizations do not include specific representations regarding the absence of other-party fraud or negligence in the underwriting or origination of the mortgage loans. Securitization documents typically provide the investors with a right to request that the trustee investigate and initiate repurchase of a mortgage loan if FHN breached certain representations and warranties made at the time the securitization closed and such breach materially and adversely affects the interests of the investors in such mortgage loan. The securitization documents do not require the trustee to make an investigation into the facts or matters stated in any investor request or notice unless requested in writing to do so by the holders of certificates evidencing not less than 25 percent of the voting rights allocated to each class of certificates. The certificate holders also may be required to indemnify the trustee for its costs related to investigations made in connection with repurchase actions. FHN has no knowledge of any investor requests to the trustee of an FH proprietary securitization to investigate mortgage loans for possible breach of representations and warranties.

GSEs and certain other quasi-governmental entities were among the purchasers of certificates in FH proprietary securitizations. As such, they are entitled to the benefits of the same representations and warranties as other investors. However, under federal law some entities of that sort are permitted to undertake, independently of other investors, reviews of FHN s mortgage loan origination and servicing files. Such reviews are commenced using a subpoena process. If, because of such reviews, an entity determines there has been a breach of a representation or warranty that has had a material and adverse effect on the interests of the investors in any mortgage loan, the entity may attempt to persuade or compel enforcement of a repurchase obligation against FHN by the securitization trustee. As discussed in more detail below in Other Government Entity Loan Reviews, FHN has received several such subpoenas.

In addition, the FH proprietary securitization trustee generally may initiate a loan review, without prior official action by investors, for the purpose of determining compliance with applicable representations and warranties with respect to any or all of the active FH proprietary securitizations. If non-compliance is discovered, the trustee may seek repurchase or other relief. At June 30, 2014, FHN s trustee had made no claims against FHN and no litigation by the trustee was pending against FHN. Accordingly, FHN is not able to estimate any liability for this risk. FHN similarly is not able to estimate a range of reasonably possible losses associated with this risk, and no such amounts are included in the aggregate range discussed above. Those inabilities are due to significant uncertainties regarding: the absence of claims made; the nature and outcome of any claims process or related settlement discussions if pursued; the outcome of litigation if litigation is pursued; the identity and value of assets that FHN may be required to repurchase to the extent asset repurchase is sought; and the lack of precedent claims.

Also unlike loans sold to the GSEs, interests in securitized loans were sold as securities under prospectuses or other offering documents subject to the disclosure requirements of applicable federal and state securities laws. As an alternative to pursuing a claim for breach of representations and warranties through the trustee as mentioned above, an investor could pursue (and in certain cases mentioned below, have pursued or are pursuing) a claim alleging that the prospectus or other disclosure documents were deficient by

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

containing materially false or misleading information or by omitting material information. A claim for such disclosure deficiencies typically could be brought under applicable federal or state securities statutes. Statutory remedies typically include rescission of the investment or monetary damages measured in relation to the original investment made. Any such statutory claim would be subject to applicable limitation periods and other statutory defenses. If a plaintiff properly made and proved its allegations, the plaintiff might attempt to claim that damages could include loss of market value on the investment even if there were little or no credit loss in the underlying loans. Claims based on alleged disclosure deficiencies also could be brought as traditional fraud or negligence claims with a wider scope of damages possible. Each investor could bring such a claim individually, without acting through the trustee to pursue a claim for breach of representations and warranties, and investors could attempt joint claims or attempt to pursue claims on a class-action basis. Claims of this sort have been resolved in a litigation context, unlike FHN s GSE repurchase experience, and several claims still are pending. FHN s analysis of loss content and establishment of appropriate liabilities in these cases follow principles and practices associated with litigation matters, including an analysis of available procedural and substantive defenses in each particular case, a determination of whether material loss is probable, and (if so) an estimation of the amount of ultimate loss, if any can be estimated. Alternatively, under applicable financial accounting guidance, a liability may be established or increased in the course of negotiations for settlement of a matter, whether or not a settlement results.

Monoline Insurance

Monoline insurance was a form of credit enhancement provided to a securitization by an insurer not affiliated with FHN. Subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, the insurer guaranteed payments of accrued interest and principal due to the investors. None of the FH proprietary first lien securitizations involved the use of monoline insurance for the benefit of all classes of security holders. In certain limited situations, insurance was provided for a specific senior retail class of holders within an individual securitization. The only insured certificate more recent than 2004 is from 2005 and covered \$25.0 million of original certificate balance. The trustee statement dated June 25, 2014, reported to FHN that the remaining outstanding certificate balance for that class was \$23.3 million.

FHN understands that some monoline insurers have commenced lawsuits against others in the industry seeking to rescind policies of this sort due to alleged misrepresentations as to the quality of the loan portfolio insured. FHN has not received notice of a monoline lawsuit against FHN involving the certificates mentioned above or the HELOC securitizations mentioned in the next section.

Other First Horizon Branded Proprietary Securitizations

FHN originated and sold home equity lines and second lien loans through certain FH proprietary securitization trusts, most of which related to home equity line of credit (HELOC) loans. As of June 30, 2014, only one of those securitizations remains active; the rest have been resolved through clean-up calls or other means. The remaining trust issued notes backed by HELOC loans and publicly offered the asset-backed notes to investors pursuant to a prospectus. The Trustee statement dated June 25, 2014, reported that the cumulative original and current outstanding certificate balance of the remaining FH proprietary HELOC securitization is \$299.8 million and \$72.1 million, respectively.

The loans in the remaining FH HELOC securitization trust are included on FHN s balance sheet in accordance with GAAP as a consolidated variable interest entity (VIE). These loans and the associated credit risk are reflected in FHN s consolidated condensed financial statements.

The asset-backed notes issued in the FH proprietary HELOC securitizations were wrapped by monoline insurers. The monoline insurers also have certain contractual rights to pursue repurchase and indemnification. Because the underlying loans and their associated loss content are recorded on FHN s balance sheet, FHN reviews the portfolio each quarter for inherent loss and has established reserves for loss content. For that reason, FHN does not include these requests in the repurchase pipeline reported for first lien mortgages, and FHN believes that any ultimate cash payouts related to these loans are unlikely to have any material impact upon FHN s financial results as such payouts would be reflected as reductions to the existing balance of restricted or secured term borrowings.

Other Government Entity Loan Reviews

Certain government entities acting on behalf of several purchasers of FH proprietary and other securitizations have subpoenaed information from FHN and others. In 2009 FHN was subpoenaed by the federal regulator of credit unions, the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), related to FH proprietary securitization investments by certain federal credit unions. There has been little communication with FHN associated with this matter since 2010. FHN has been subpoenaed by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) acting as conservator for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac related to securitization investments by those institutions. In addition, the FHLB of San Francisco and FHLB of Atlanta have

subpoenaed FHN for purposes of a loan origination review related to certain of their securitization investments. Collectively, the NCUA, FHFA, and FHLB subpoenas seek information concerning a number of FH proprietary first lien securitizations and a FH proprietary HELOC securitization during 2005 and 2006. In addition, the FDIC, acting on behalf of certain failed banks, has also subpoenaed FHN related to FH proprietary securitization investments by those institutions.

38

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

The FDIC, FHFA and FHLB of San Francisco subpoenas also concern loans sold by FHN to non-Agency purchasers on a whole-loan basis which were included by those purchasers in non-FH securitizations. See Other Whole-Loan Sales above for additional information concerning loans originated and sold by FHN that were included in the purchasers own securitizations. In addition, the FHLB of Seattle has subpoenaed FHN in connection with FHN-originated loans that were included in non-FH securitizations. The FDIC subpoena fails to identify the specific investments made by the failed banks. Other than the dollar amounts of those investments which are the subject of the FDIC s active litigation as receiver for Colonial Bank, FHN has limited information regarding at least some of the loans under review or the dollar amounts invested in relation to the FDIC, FHFA, and FHLB subpoenas. The FDIC subpoenas partially overlap with the ongoing litigation matters mentioned above under Litigation Loss Contingencies, and with a now-settled litigation matter with the FHFA.

The subpoenas discussed above relate to ongoing reviews which ultimately could result in claims against FHN. The original and current (as of June 25, 2014 trust statements) combined first lien certificate balances of the related FH proprietary securitizations in which the credit unions invested were \$321.6 million and \$104.0 million, respectively. The original and current (as of June 25, 2014 trust statements) HELOC certificate balances of the related FH proprietary HELOC securitization in which the credit unions invested was \$299.8 million and \$72.1 million. The original and current certificate balances of the FH proprietary securitizations in which the FHLB of San Francisco invested are \$501.1 million and \$147.5 million, respectively. The original and current certificate balances of the FH proprietary securitizations in which the FHLB of Atlanta invested are \$56.1 million and \$7.3 million, respectively. There are limitations as to FHN s knowledge of the amount of FH proprietary securitizations investments that are subject to the FDIC, FHFA and FHLB of San Francisco subpoenas. Since the reviews at this time are not repurchase claims, the associated loans are not considered part of the repurchase pipeline.

Private Mortgage Insurance

MI was required by GSE rules for certain of the loans sold to GSEs and was also provided for certain of the loans that were securitized. MI generally was provided for the first lien loans sold or securitized having a loan-to-value ratio at origination of greater than 80 percent. Although unresolved MI cancellation notices related to GSE-owned loans are not formal repurchase requests, FHN includes these in the active repurchase request pipeline. FHN tracks and monitors MI cancellation notices received and considers the amount of loans sold to GSEs where MI coverage has ultimately been lost when assessing the overall adequacy of FHN s repurchase liability. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, \$505.6 million and \$473.9 million, respectively, of loans sold or securitized have lost MI coverage.

Established Repurchase Liability

In fourth quarter 2013, FHN entered into a DRA, discussed above in GSE Definitive Resolution Agreements, to resolve certain selling representation and warranty repurchase obligations with Fannie Mae. In connection with the DRA, FHN received additional information which has been used to estimate repurchase liability levels at September 30, 2013 and later periods. Compared with earlier periods, that information encompassed a broader population of loans including older vintages and expanded selection criteria from the remaining loan populations. The new information added the origination vintages of 2000 through 2004, expanded the scope of selections, and included estimates for losses from loans in early stage delinquency, modifications, and loans determined to have a higher probability of default. In first quarter 2014, FHN entered into a DRA, also discussed above, with Freddie Mac. In connection with that DRA FHN also received certain additional information which FHN used to estimate repurchase liability levels at year-end 2013 and afterward. FHN used all available information to estimate losses related to potential repurchase obligations not included in the DRAs including future MI rescissions, prior bulk servicing sales where FHN is no longer the directly responsible party but still has repurchase obligations, and obligations related to certain other loan sales, including repurchase rates, and loss severities.

Based on currently available information and experience to date, FHN has evaluated its exposure under these obligations and accordingly has accrued for losses of \$141.6 million and \$124.4 million as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, including a smaller amount related to equity-lending junior lien loan sales. Accrued liabilities for FHN s estimate of these obligations are reflected in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Charges to increase the liability are included within Repurchase and foreclosure provision on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. The estimates are based upon currently available information and fact patterns that exist as of the balance sheet dates and could be subject to future changes. Changes to any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate of FHN s liability.

Servicing and Foreclosure Practices

Through third quarter 2013, FHN serviced a predominately first lien mortgage loan portfolio with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$15 billion as of September 30, 2013. In fourth quarter 2013 and first quarter 2014, sales of substantially all remaining servicing were consummated under a contract discussed below. As a result, the loan portfolio serviced by FHN at June 30, 2014 had an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$277 million.

39

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

Prior to those recent sales, a substantial portion of FHN s first lien portfolio was serviced through subservicing arrangements. FHN s national mortgage and servicing platforms were sold in August 2008 and the related servicing activities, including foreclosure and loss mitigation practices, of the then-remaining portion of FHN s mortgage servicing portfolio were outsourced through a three year subservicing arrangement (the 2008 subservicing agreement) with the platform buyer (the 2008 subservicer). FHN entered into a replacement agreement in 2011 with a new subservicer (the 2011 subservicer). In third quarter 2013 FHN contracted to sell substantially all of its remaining servicing obligations and servicing assets (including advances) to the 2011 subservicer. The servicing was transferred to the buyer in stages, with substantial completion occurring during first quarter 2014. Servicing still retained by FHN continues to be subserviced by the 2011 subservicer.

The first lien loan portfolio is held primarily by private security holders and GSEs, with less significant portions held by other private investors. In connection with its servicing activities, FHN collected and remitted the principal and interest payments on the underlying loans for the account of the appropriate investor. In the event of delinquency or non-payment on a loan in a private or agency securitization: (1) the terms of the private securities agreements generally require the servicer to continue to make monthly advances of principal and interest (P&I) to the trustee for the benefit of the investors; (2) the terms of the majority of the agency agreements may require the servicer to make advances of P&I, or in certain circumstances to repurchase the loan out of the trust pool; and (3) the servicer may be required to advance escrow and other payments. In the event advances are ultimately made by the servicer to satisfy these servicing obligations, these servicing advances are recoverable from: (a) the liquidation proceeds of the property securing the loan, in the case of private securitizations; (b) the proceeds of the foreclosure sale by the government agency, in the case of government agency-owned loans; and (c) in certain circumstances, mortgage payment pool funds. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, FHN has recognized servicing advances of \$27.6 million and \$281.7 million, respectively. Servicing advances are included in Other assets on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Those advances are part of the assets sold under the servicing sale agreement FHN entered into late in 2013; substantially all transfers under that agreement occurred in fourth quarter 2013 and first quarter 2014.

FHN is subject to losses in its current and former loan servicing portfolio due to loan foreclosures. Foreclosure exposure arises from certain government agency agreements, as well as agreements with MI insurers, which limit the agency s repayment guarantees on foreclosed loans and allow compensatory fees and penalties and curtailments of claims for violations of agreements or insurance policies, resulting in losses to the servicer. Foreclosure exposure also includes real estate costs, marketing costs, and costs to maintain properties, especially during protracted resale periods in geographic areas of the country negatively impacted by declining home values.

In 2011 regulators entered into consent decrees with several institutions, including the 2008 subservicer, requiring comprehensive revision of loan modification and foreclosure processes, including the remediation of borrowers that have experienced financial harm. In 2012 the 2008 subservicer, along with certain others, entered into a settlement agreement with the OCC which replaced the consent decree.

Under FHN s 2008 subservicing agreement, the 2008 subservicer had the contractual right to follow FHN s prior servicing practices as they existed 180 days prior to August 2008 until the 2008 subservicer became aware that such practices did not comply with applicable servicing requirements, subject to the subservicer s obligation to follow accepted servicing practices, applicable law, and new requirements, including evolving interpretations of such practices, law and requirements. In the event of a dispute such as that described below between FHN and the 2008 subservicer over any liabilities for the subservicer s servicing and management of foreclosure or loss mitigation processes, FHN cannot predict the costs that may be incurred.

FHN s 2008 subservicer has presented invoices and made demands under the 2008 subservicing agreement that FHN pay certain costs related to tax service contracts, miscellaneous transfer costs, servicing timeline penalties, compensatory damages, and curtailments charged prior to the servicing transfer by GSEs and a government agency in connection with FHN s transfer of subservicing to its 2011 subservicer in the amount of \$8.6 million. The 2008 subservicer also is seeking reimbursement from FHN for expenditures the 2008 subservicer has incurred or anticipates it will incur under the consent decree and supervisory guidance relating to foreclosure review (collectively, foreclosure review expenditures). The foreclosure review expenditures for which the 2008 subservicer has sought reimbursement total \$34.9 million. Although the most recent request was made in 2012, additional reimbursement requests may be made. FHN disagrees with the 2008 subservicer s position and has made no reimbursements. In the event that the 2008 subservicer pursues its position through litigation, FHN believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to defend itself vigorously. FHN also believes that certain amounts billed to FHN by agencies for penalties and curtailments on claims by MI insurers for actions by the 2008 subservicer prior to the 2011 subservicing transfer but billed after that date are owed by the 2008 subservicer. This disagreement has the potential to result in litigation and, in any such future litigation, the claim against FHN may be substantial.

Other Disclosures Visa Matters

FHN is a member of the Visa USA network. In October 2007, the Visa organization of affiliated entities completed a series of global restructuring transactions to combine its affiliated operating companies, including Visa USA, under a single holding company, Visa Inc. (Visa). Upon completion of the reorganization, the members of the Visa USA network remained contingently liable for certain Visa litigation matters (the Covered Litigation). Based on its proportionate membership share of Visa USA, FHN recognized a

40

Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

contingent liability in fourth quarter 2007 related to this contingent obligation. In March 2008, Visa completed its initial public offering (IPO) and funded an escrow account from its IPO proceeds to be used to make payments related to the Visa litigation matters. FHN received approximately 2.4 million Class B shares in conjunction with Visa s IPO.

Conversion of these shares into Class A shares of Visa and, with limited exceptions, transfer of these shares is restricted until the final resolution of the covered litigation. In conjunction with the prior sales of Visa Class B shares in December 2010 and September 2011, FHN and the purchasers entered into derivative transactions whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. The conversion ratio is adjusted when Visa deposits funds into the escrow account to cover certain litigation.

In July 2012, Visa and MasterCard announced a joint settlement (the Settlement) related to the Payment Card Interchange matter, one of the Covered Litigation matters. Based on the amount of the Settlement attributable to Visa and an assessment of FHN s contingent liability accrued for Visa litigation matters, the Settlement did not have a material impact on FHN. As a result of the Settlement, Visa funded an additional \$150 million into the escrow account in July 2012, and as a result FHN made a payment to the derivative counterparty of \$.8 million. As of June 30, 2014, the conversion ratio is 42 percent, and the contingent liability is \$.8 million. Future funding of the escrow would dilute this exchange rate by an amount that is not determinable at present.

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the derivative liabilities were \$4.7 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

FHN now holds approximately 1.1 million Visa Class B shares. FHN s Visa shares are not considered to be marketable and therefore are included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition at their historical cost of \$0. The Settlement has been approved by the court but that approval has been appealed by certain of the plaintiffs. Accordingly, the outcome of this matter remains uncertain. Additionally, other Covered Litigation matters are also pending judicial resolution, including new matters filed by class members who opted-out of the Settlement. So long as any Covered Litigation matter remains pending, FHN s ability to transfer its Visa holdings continues to be restricted.

Other Disclosures Indemnification Agreements and Guarantees

In the ordinary course of business, FHN enters into indemnification agreements for legal proceedings against its directors and officers and standard representations and warranties for underwriting agreements, merger and acquisition agreements, loan sales, contractual commitments, and various other business transactions or arrangements. The extent of FHN s obligations under these agreements depends upon the occurrence of future events; therefore, it is not possible to estimate a maximum potential amount of payouts that could be required with such agreements.

41

Note 12 Pension, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits

Pension plan. FHN sponsors a noncontributory, qualified defined benefit pension plan to employees hired or re-hired on or before September 1, 2007. Pension benefits are based on years of service, average compensation near retirement or other termination, and estimated social security benefits at age 65. Benefits under the plan are frozen so that years of service and compensation changes after 2012 do not affect the benefit owed. The contributions are based upon actuarially determined amounts necessary to fund the total benefit obligation. FHN did not make any contributions to the qualified pension plan in 2013. Future decisions to contribute to the plan will be based upon pension funding requirements under the Pension Protection Act, the maximum deductible under the Internal Revenue Code, and the actual performance of plan assets. Management has assessed the need for future contributions, and does not currently anticipate that FHN will make a contribution to the qualified pension plan in 2014.

FHN also maintains non-qualified plans including a supplemental retirement plan that covers certain employees whose benefits under the qualified pension plan have been limited by tax rules. These other non-qualified plans are unfunded, and contributions to these plans cover all benefits paid under the non-qualified plans. Payments made under the non-qualified plans were \$5.4 million for 2013. FHN anticipates making benefit payments under the non-qualified plans of \$5.1 million in 2014.

Savings plan. FHN provides all qualifying full-time employees with the opportunity to participate in the FHN tax qualified 401(k) savings plan. The qualified plan allows employees to defer receipt of earned salary, up to tax law limits, on a tax-advantaged basis. Accounts, which are held in trust, may be invested in a wide range of mutual funds and in FHN common stock. Up to tax law limits, FHN provides a 100 percent match for the first 6 percent of salary deferred. Through a non-qualified savings restoration plan, FHN provides a restorative benefit to certain highly-compensated employees who participate in the savings plan and whose contribution elections are capped by tax limitations.

Other employee benefits. FHN provides postretirement life insurance benefits to certain employees and also provides postretirement medical insurance benefits to retirement-eligible employees. The postretirement medical plan is contributory. For the 2013 plan year, certain retiree contributions were adjusted based on criteria that were a combination of the employee s age and/or years of service. For the 2014 plan year FHN contributes a fixed amount for each participant. FHN s postretirement benefits include prescription drug benefits. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Act) introduced a prescription drug benefit under Medicare Part D as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care that provide a benefit that is actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. Beginning in 2014, FHN does not currently anticipate receiving a prescription drug subsidy under the Act.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended June 30 are as follows:

	Pension	Benefits	Other Benefits		
(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Components of net periodic benefit cost					
Service cost	\$ 17	\$ 62	\$ 55	\$ 134	
Interest cost	8,660	8,089	458	548	
Expected return on plan assets	(10,018)	(8,727)	(255)	(197)	
Amortization of unrecognized:					
Prior service cost/(credit)	87	88	(291)	8	
Actuarial (gain)/loss	1,635	2,389	(252)	17	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 381	\$ 1,901	\$ (285)	\$ 510	
ASC 715 settlement expense		370			
Total periodic benefit costs	\$ 381	\$ 2,271	\$ (285)	\$ 510	

Note 12 Pension, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits (Continued)

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

		Pension Benefits			Other	Benefits			
(Dollars in thousands)	20	2014		2014		013	2014	2	2013
Components of net periodic benefit cost									
Service cost	\$	34	\$	124	\$ 110	\$	268		
Interest cost	1	7,320	1	6,174	916		1,096		
Expected return on plan assets	(2	0,036)	(1	7,454)	(510)		(394)		
Amortization of unrecognized:									
Prior service cost/(credit)		174		176	(582)		16		
Actuarial (gain)/loss		3,270		4,789	(378)		34		
Net periodic benefit cost		762		3,809	(444)		1,020		
•				,	. ,		Í		
ASC 715 settlement expense				370					
100 / 10 bettement expense				5,0					
Total naviadia hanafit aasta	¢	760	\$	4 170	¢ (444)	¢.	1.020		
Total periodic benefit costs	3	762	Э	4,179	\$ (444)	•	1,020		

Note 13 Business Segment Information

FHN has four business segments: regional banking, capital markets, corporate, and non-strategic. The regional banking segment offers financial products and services, including traditional lending and deposit taking, to retail and commercial customers largely in Tennessee and other selected markets. Regional banking provides investments, financial planning, trust services and asset management, credit card, and cash management. Additionally, the regional banking segment includes correspondent banking which provides credit, depository, and other banking related services to other financial institutions nationally. The capital markets segment consists of fixed income sales, trading, and strategies for institutional clients in the U.S. and abroad, as well as loan sales, portfolio advisory, and derivative sales. The corporate segment consists of unallocated corporate expenses, expense on subordinated debt issuances, bank-owned life insurance, unallocated interest income associated with excess equity, net impact of raising incremental capital, revenue and expense associated with deferred compensation plans, funds management, tax credit investment activities, acquisition-related costs, and various charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives. The non-strategic segment consists of the wind-down national consumer lending activities, legacy mortgage banking elements including servicing fees (in periods subsequent to first quarter 2014 these amounts will be significantly reduced), and the associated ancillary revenues and expenses related to these businesses. Non-strategic also includes the wind-down trust preferred loan portfolio and exited businesses along with the associated restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency charges.

Periodically, FHN adapts its segments to reflect managerial or strategic changes. FHN may also modify its methodology of allocating expenses and equity among segments which could change historical segment results. Total revenue, expense, and asset levels reflect those which are specifically identifiable or which are allocated based on an internal allocation method. Because the allocations are based on internally developed assignments and allocations, they are to an extent subjective. Generally, all assignments and allocations have been consistently applied for all periods presented. The following table reflects the amounts of consolidated revenue, expense, tax, and assets for each segment for the three and six months ended June 30:

	Г	Three Months	Six Months Ended June			June 30		
(Dollars in thousands)		2014		2013		2014		2013
Consolidated								
Net interest income	\$	156,768	\$	160,019	\$	309,127	\$	321,401
Provision for loan losses		5,000		15,000		15,000		30,000
Noninterest income		126,901		142,632		272,631		299,059
Noninterest expense		165,332		227,408		385,546		467,948
Income/(loss) before income taxes		113,337		60,243		181,212		122,512
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		32,157		15,008		50,802		32,738
Income/(loss) from continuing operations		81,180		45,235		130,410		89,774
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax				1				431
Net income/(loss)	\$	81,180	\$	45,236	\$	130,410	\$	90,205
		,		Ž		,		,
Average assets	\$ 2	3,651,952	\$2	4,582,818	\$ 2	3,783,281	\$ 2	4,812,407
		- , , =		, ,		-,,=-		,- ,

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Note 13 Business Segment Information (Continued)

	Three Months Ended June 30					Six Months Ended June 30				
(Dollars in thousands)		2014	Liided	2013		2014	ilucu	2013		
Regional Banking		2011		2013		2011		2015		
Net interest income	\$	148,654	\$	148,220	\$	290,664	\$	295,340		
Provision/(provision credit) for loan losses	Ψ.	8,425		13,201	Ψ.	21,415	Ψ.	10,716		
Noninterest income		66,226		61,885		126,218		121,029		
Noninterest expense		133,564		129,584		266,614		260,661		
		,		- ,				,		
Income/(loss) before income taxes		72,891		67,320		128,853		144,992		
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		25,843		24,146		45,723		52,367		
1 To vision (benefit) for meonic taxes		20,040		21,110		40,720		32,307		
Net income/(loss)	\$	47,048	\$	43,174	\$	83,130	\$	92,625		
Net Income/(loss)	Φ	47,040	ф	45,174	Ф	65,150	ф	92,023		
	ф	12.055.201	Φ.	12 020 020	ф.	10.000 505	Φ.	12040 706		
Average assets	\$	13,055,204	\$ 1	12,939,928	\$ 1	12,837,505	\$	12,948,706		
Capital Markets										
Net interest income	\$,	\$	4,097	\$	6,068	\$	8,065		
Noninterest income		47,564		68,199		104,322		144,811		
Noninterest expense (a)		111		59,822		52,705		121,355		
Income/(loss) before income taxes		50,043		12,474		57,685		31,521		
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		19,146		4,651		21,991		11,873		
Net income/(loss)	\$	30,897	\$	7,823	\$	35,694	\$	19,648		
Average assets	\$	2,074,028	\$	2,407,287	\$	2,056,039	\$	2,432,394		
		,- ,	•	, ,	·	,,	•	, - ,		
Corporate										
Net interest income/(expense)	\$	(10,522)	\$	(11,182)	\$	(19,635)	\$	(21,241)		
Noninterest income	Ψ	5,214	Ψ	3,811	Ψ	18,429	Ψ	11,666		
Noninterest expense		15,798		17,141		35,376		34,754		
- tommerest emperise		10,,,,		17,111		00,070		0 1,70 1		
Income/(loss) before income taxes		(21,106)		(24,512)		(36,582)		(44,329)		
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		(21,100) $(17,270)$		(15,698)		(29,036)		(27,781)		
1 TOVISION/(DEHETIC) FOR INCOME CAXES		(17,270)		(13,090)		(27,030)		(27,701)		
Not in some /(loss)	\$	(2.926)	Ф	(0.014)	Φ	(7,546)	¢	(16.540)		
Net income/(loss)	Э	(3,836)	\$	(8,814)	\$	(7,540)	\$	(16,548)		
	ф	- 244 0- -	ф	5.060.050	ф	= =00 044	Φ.	5 1 61 2 00		
Average assets	\$	5,344,855	\$	5,068,970	\$	5,599,344	\$	5,161,208		
Non-Strategic										
Net interest income	\$	16,046	\$	18,884	\$	32,030	\$	39,237		
Provision/(provision credit) for loan losses		(3,425)		1,799		(6,415)		19,284		
Noninterest income		7,897		8,737		23,662		21,553		
Noninterest expense		15,859		20,861		30,851		51,178		
Income/(loss) before income taxes		11,509		4,961		31,256		(9,672)		
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes		4,438		1,909		12,124		(3,721)		
Income/(loss) from continuing operations		7,071		3,052		19,132		(5,951)		
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax				1				431		

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income/(loss)	\$	7,071	\$	3,053	\$	19,132	\$	(5,520)
Average assets	\$ 3,	,177,865	\$ 4	,166,633	\$ 3	3,290,393	\$ 4	1,270,099

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation

(a) Three and six months ended June 30, 2014, includes \$47.1 million related to agreements with insurance companies for the recovery of litigation and legal expenses FHN incurred in connection with the Sentinel litigation matter which was settled in 2011.

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities

ASC 810 defines a VIE as an entity where the equity investors, as a group, lack either (1) the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities of an entity that most significantly impact the entity seconomic performance, (2) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, (3) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, or (4) when the equity investors, as a group, do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities by itself. A variable interest is a contractual ownership, or other interest, that fluctuates with changes in the fair value of the VIE s net assets exclusive of variable interests. Under ASC 810, as amended, a primary beneficiary is required to consolidate a VIE when it has a variable interest in a VIE that provides it with a controlling financial interest. For such purposes, the determination of whether a controlling financial interest exists is based on whether a single party has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE seconomic performance and the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant.

Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its mortgage banking and consumer lending operations. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIEs as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts—economic performance. In situations where the retention of MSR and other retained interests, including residual interests and subordinated bonds, results in FHN potentially absorbing losses or receiving benefits that are significant to the trusts, FHN is considered the primary beneficiary, as it is also assumed to have the power as servicer to most significantly impact the activities of such VIEs. Consolidation of the trusts results in the recognition of the trusts—proceeds as restricted borrowings since the cash flows on the securitized loans can only be used to settle the obligations due to the holders of the trusts—securities. In third quarter 2013, FHN agreed to sell the servicing related to one of these securitization trusts that was previously consolidated. Upon closing of this sale in January 2014, the securitization trust was de-consolidated and prospectively considered a non-consolidated VIE. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN.

The only trust included in the June 30, 2014 balance of consolidated proprietary residential mortgage securitizations is a HELOC securitization trust that has entered a rapid amortization period and for which FHN is obligated to provide subordinated funding. During this period, cash payments from borrowers are accumulated to repay outstanding debt securities while FHN continues to make advances to borrowers when they draw on their lines of credit. FHN then transfers the newly generated receivables into the securitization trust and is reimbursed only after other parties in the securitization have received all of the cash flows to which they are entitled. If loan losses requiring draws on the related monoline insurers policies, which protect bondholders in the securitization, exceed a certain level, FHN may not receive reimbursement for all of the funds advanced to borrowers, as the senior bondholders and the monoline insurers typically have priority for repayment. This securitization trust is currently consolidated by FHN due to FHN s status as the Master Servicer for the securitization and the retention of a significant residual interest. Because the trust is consolidated, amounts funded from monoline insurance policies are considered as additional restricted term borrowings in FHN s Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

FHN has established certain rabbi trusts related to deferred compensation plans offered to its employees. FHN contributes employee cash compensation deferrals to the trusts and directs the underlying investments made by the trusts. The assets of these trusts are available to FHN s creditors only in the event that FHN becomes insolvent. These trusts are considered VIEs as there is no equity at risk in the trusts since FHN provided the equity interest to its employees in exchange for services rendered. FHN is considered the primary beneficiary of the rabbi trusts as it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the rabbi trusts through its ability to direct the underlying investments made by the trusts. Additionally, FHN could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts due to its right to receive any asset values in excess of liability payoffs and its obligation to fund any liabilities to employees that are in excess of a rabbi trust s assets.

46

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)

The following table summarizes VIEs consolidated by FHN as of June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Jur On-Balance Sheet	June 30, 2014			e 30, 2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Consumer Loan Securitization Carrying Value	Used to Compe	obi Trusts for Deferred nsation Plans ying Value	On-Balance Sheet Consumer Loan Securitizations Carrying Value	Used Compe	bbi Trusts for Deferred ensation Plans
Assets:	v aiuc	Carr	Jing value	v aruc	Curi	ying value
Cash and due from banks Loans, net of unearned income Less: Allowance for loan losses	\$ 84,381 725		N/A N/A N/A	\$ 1,206 109,222 3,839		N/A N/A N/A
Total net loans	83,656		N/A	105,383		N/A
Other assets	410	\$	66,360	1,687	\$	61,447
Total assets	\$ 84,066	\$	66,360	\$ 108,276	\$	61,447
Liabilities:						
Term borrowings	\$ 74,103		N/A	\$ 99,487		N/A
Other liabilities	4	\$	50,816	21	\$	47,507
Total liabilities	\$ 74,107	\$	50,816	\$ 99,508	\$	47,507

Nonconsolidated Variable Interest Entities

Low Income Housing Partnerships. First Tennessee Housing Corporation (FTHC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FTBNA, makes equity investments as a limited partner in various partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital and to support FHN s community reinvestment initiatives. The activities of the limited partnerships include the identification, development, and operation of multi-family housing that is leased to qualifying residential tenants generally within FHN s primary geographic region. LIHTC partnerships are considered VIEs as FTHC, the holder of the equity investment at risk, does not have the ability to direct the activities that most significantly affect the performance of the entity through voting rights or similar rights. FTHC could absorb losses that are significant to the LIHTC partnerships as it has a risk of loss for its initial capital contributions and funding commitments to each partnership. The general partners are considered the primary beneficiaries as managerial functions give them the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the partnerships economic performance and the general partners are exposed to all losses beyond FTHC s initial capital contributions and funding commitments.

New Market Tax Credit LLCs. First Tennessee New Markets Corporation (FTNMC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FTBNA, makes equity investments through wholly-owned subsidiaries as a limited member in various limited liability companies (LLCs) that sponsor community development projects utilizing the New Market Tax Credit (NMTC) pursuant to Section 45 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital and to support FHN s community reinvestment initiatives. The activities of the LLCs include providing investment capital for low-income communities within FHN s primary geographic region. A portion of the funding of FTNMC s investment in a NMTC LLC is obtained via a loan from an unrelated third-party that is typically a community development enterprise. The NMTC LLCs are considered VIEs as FTNMC, the holder of the equity investment at risk, does not have the ability to direct the activities that most significantly affect the performance of the entity through voting rights or similar rights. While FTNMC could absorb losses that are significant to the NMTC LLCs as it has a risk of loss for its initial capital contributions, the managing members are considered the primary beneficiaries as managerial functions give them the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the NMTC LLCs economic

performance and the managing members are exposed to all losses beyond FTNMC s initial capital contributions.

Small Issuer Trust Preferred Holdings. FTBNA holds variable interests in trusts which have issued mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities (trust preferreds) for smaller banking and insurance enterprises. FTBNA has no voting rights for the trusts activities. The trusts only assets are junior subordinated debentures of the issuing enterprises. The creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FTBNA. These trusts meet the definition of a VIE as the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. Based on the nature of the trusts activities and the size of FTBNA is holdings, FTBNA could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts regardless of whether a majority of a trust is securities are held by FTBNA. However, since FTBNA is solely a holder of the trusts securities, it has no rights which would give it the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance and thus it is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

On-Balance Sheet Trust Preferred Securitization. In 2007, FTBNA executed a securitization of certain small issuer trust preferreds for which the underlying trust meets the definition of a VIE as the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance.

47

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)

FTBNA could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trust based on the size and priority of the interests it retained in the securities issued by the trust. However, since FTBNA did not retain servicing or other decision making rights, FTBNA is not the primary beneficiary as it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trust s economic performance. Accordingly, FTBNA has accounted for the funds received through the securitization as a term borrowing in its Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trust.

Proprietary Trust Preferred Issuances. FHN has previously issued junior subordinated debt to First Tennessee Capital II (Capital II is considered a VIE as FHN s capital contributions to this trust are not considered at risk in evaluating whether the holders of the equity investments at risk in the trust have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance. FHN is not the trust s primary beneficiary as FHN s capital contributions to the trust are not considered variable interests as they are not at risk. Consequently, Capital II is not consolidated by FHN.

Proprietary Residential Mortgage Securitizations. FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its mortgage banking operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Additionally, FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIEs as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. While FHN is assumed to have the power as servicer to most significantly impact the activities of such VIEs in situations where FHN does not have the ability to participate in significant portions of a securitization trust s cash flows, it is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trust. Therefore, these trusts are not consolidated by FHN. Upon closing of the servicing sales in first quarter 2014, FHN s interests in these securitizations declined substantially.

Agency Residential Mortgage Securitizations. During fourth quarter 2013, FHN completed the sale of substantially all servicing for Agency securitizations resulting in the de-recognition of its interests in these trusts.

Prior to third quarter 2008, FHN transferred first lien mortgages that were included in Agency-sponsored securitizations and retained MSR and in certain situations various other interests. Except for recourse due to breaches of standard representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts held no recourse to the assets of FHN. Additionally, FHN had no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. The Agencies or designated third parties status as Master Servicer and the rights they hold consistent with their guarantees on the securities issued provide them with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. Thus, such trusts were not consolidated by FHN as it was not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where it could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that were significant to the trusts.

In relation to certain Agency securitizations, FHN purchased the servicing rights on securitized loans from the loan originator and held other retained interests. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts—economic performance. As the Agencies serve as Master Servicer for the securitized loans and hold rights consistent with their guarantees on the securities issued, they have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts—economic performance. Thus, FHN was not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where it could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that were significant to the trusts. FHN had no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

On-Balance Sheet Consumer Loan Securitizations. Prior to March 31, 2014 FHN held variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its consumer lending operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts held no recourse to the assets of FHN. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts were considered VIEs as the holders of equity at risk did not have the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts—economic performance. The nonconsolidated proprietary residential mortgage securitizations as of June 30, 2013, consisted of two HELOC securitization trusts that had entered a rapid amortization period and for which FHN was obligated to provide subordinated funding. These securitization trusts were not consolidated by FHN as it was not the Master Servicer for the securitizations. FHN s holding of a unilateral call right to reclaim specific assets in the trusts precluded sale accounting for the related securitization transactions. Thus, even though FHN was not the Master Servicer, the related transactions were accounted for as secured borrowings, with the associated loans and secured debt remaining within FHN s Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements. These trusts were collapsed in first quarter 2014 as the collateral (loans) of the trust were repurchased and FHN reduced the associated secured borrowing on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Holdings & Short Positions in Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities. FHN holds securities issued by various Agency securitization trusts. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)

have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities economic performance. FHN could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts based on the nature of the trusts activities and the size of FHN s holdings. However, FHN is solely a holder of the trusts securities and does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance, and is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

Commercial Loan Troubled Debt Restructurings. For certain troubled commercial loans, FTBNA restructures the terms of the borrower s debt in an effort to increase the probability of receipt of amounts contractually due. Following a troubled debt restructuring, the borrower entity typically meets the definition of a VIE as the initial determination of whether an entity is a VIE must be reconsidered as events have proven that the entity s equity is not sufficient to permit it to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or a restructuring of the terms of its financing. As FTBNA does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact such troubled commercial borrowers operations, it is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where, based on the size of the financing provided, FTBNA is exposed to potentially significant benefits and losses of the borrowing entity. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the borrowing entities beyond certain funding commitments established upon restructuring of the terms of the debt that allows for preparation of the underlying collateral for sale.

Managed Discretionary Trusts. FHN serves as manager over certain discretionary trusts, for which it makes investment decisions on behalf of the trusts beneficiaries in return for a reasonable management fee. The trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power, through voting rights or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities economic performance. The management fees FHN receives are not considered variable interests in the trusts as all of the requirements related to permitted levels of decision maker fees are met. Therefore, the VIEs are not consolidated by FHN as it is not the trusts primary beneficiary. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

The following table summarizes FHN s nonconsolidated VIEs as of June 30, 2014:

	Maximum	Liability	
(Dollars in thousands)	Loss Exposure	Recognized	Classification
Туре			
Low income housing partnerships (a) (b)	\$ 45,235	\$	Other assets
New market tax credit LLCs (b) (c)	22,211		Other assets
Small issuer trust preferred holdings (d)	364,942		Loans, net of unearned income
On-balance sheet trust preferred securitization	52,682	61,491	(e)
Proprietary trust preferred issuances (f)	N/A	206,186	Term borrowings
Proprietary and agency residential mortgage			
securitizations	35,118		(g)
Holdings of agency mortgage-backed			
securities (d)	3,703,941		(h)
Short positions in agency mortgage-backed			
securities (f)	N/A	1,092	Trading liabilities
Commercial loan troubled debt			
restructurings (i) (j)	57,157		Loans, net of unearned income
Managed discretionary trusts (f)	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁽a) Maximum loss exposure represents \$38.9 million of current investments and \$6.4 million of contractual funding commitments. Only the current investment amount is included in Other assets.

(d)

⁽b) A liability is not recognized as investments are written down over the life of the related tax credit.

⁽c) Maximum loss exposure represents current investment balance. Of the initial investment, \$18.0 million was funded through loans from community development enterprises.

- Maximum loss exposure represents the value of current investments. A liability is not recognized as FHN is solely a holder of the trusts securities.
- (e) Includes \$112.5 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income, and \$1.7 million classified as Trading securities which are offset by \$61.5 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (f) No exposure to loss due to the nature of FHN s involvement.
- (g) Includes \$.9 million and \$.2 million classified as MSR related to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitizations, respectively, and \$6.4 million classified as Trading securities related to proprietary residential mortgage securitizations. Aggregate servicing advances of \$27.6 million are classified as Other assets.
- (h) Includes \$371.7 million classified as Trading securities and \$3.3 billion classified as Securities available-for-sale.
- (i) Maximum loss exposure represents \$54.0 million of current receivables and \$3.1 million of contractual funding commitments on loans related to commercial borrowers involved in a troubled debt restructuring.
- (j) A liability is not recognized as the loans are the only variable interests held in the troubled commercial borrowers operations.

49

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)

The following table summarizes FHN s nonconsolidated VIEs as of June 30, 2013:

	Maximum	Liability	
(Dollars in thousands)	Loss Exposure	Recognized	Classification
Туре			
Low income housing partnerships (a) (b)	\$ 49,949	\$	Other assets
New market tax credit LLCs (b) (c)	23,254		Other assets
Small issuer trust preferred holdings (d)	412,397		Loans, net of unearned income
On-balance sheet trust preferred securitization	54,314	59,860	(e)
Proprietary trust preferred issuances (f)	N/A	206,186	Term borrowings
Proprietary and agency residential mortgage			
securitizations	396,441		(g)
On-balance sheet consumer loan			
securitizations	17,474	252,651	(h)
Holdings of agency mortgage-backed			
securities (d)	3,509,648		(i)
Short positions in agency mortgage-backed			
securities (f)	N/A	24,904	Trading liabilities
Commercial loan troubled debt			
restructurings (j) (k)	79,338		Loans, net of unearned income
Managed discretionary trusts (f)	N/A	N/A	N/A

- (a) Maximum loss exposure represents \$48.2 million of current investments and \$1.7 million of contractual funding commitments. Only the current investment amount is included in Other assets.
- (b) A liability is not recognized as investments are written down over the life of the related tax credit.
- (c) Maximum loss exposure represents current investment balance. Of the initial investment, \$18.0 million was funded through loans from community development enterprises.
- (d) Maximum loss exposure represents the value of current investments. A liability is not recognized as FHN is solely a holder of the trusts securities.
- (e) Includes \$112.5 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income, and \$1.7 million classified as Trading securities which are offset by \$59.9 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (f) No exposure to loss due to the nature of FHN s involvement.
- (g) Includes \$68.2 million and \$30.7 million classified as MSR and \$7.5 million and \$8.4 million classified as Trading securities related to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitizations, respectively. Aggregate servicing advances of \$281.7 million are classified as Other assets.
- (h) Includes \$270.1 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income which are offset by \$252.7 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (i) Includes \$563.1 million classified as Trading securities and \$2.9 billion classified as Securities available-for-sale.
- (j) Maximum loss exposure represents \$77.1 million of current receivables and \$2.2 million of contractual funding commitments on loans related to commercial borrowers involved in a troubled debt restructuring.
- (k) A liability is not recognized as the loans are the only variable interests held in the troubled commercial borrowers operations. Prior to 2009, FHN utilized loan sales and securitizations as a significant source of liquidity for its mortgage banking operations. FHN no longer retains financial interests in loans it transfers to third parties.

Retained Interests

With the sales of substantially all servicing by the end of first quarter 2014, prior transfers of financial assets in which FHN has continuing involvement are no longer significant. See Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for information regarding FHN s repurchase exposure for claims that FHN breached its standard representations and warranties made in connection with the sale of loans to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitization trusts.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, cash flows received and paid related to loan sales and securitizations where FHN had continuing involvement were as follows:

	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended
(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2013
Proceeds from initial sales	\$	\$ 10,843
Servicing fees retained (a)	12,692	25,281
Purchases of GNMA guaranteed mortgages	31,814	70,855
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets (b) (c)	79,613	224,350
Other cash flows received on retained interests	1,415	2,828

- (a) Included servicing fees on MSR associated with loan sales and purchased MSR.
- (b) Included repurchases of delinquent and performing loans, foreclosed assets, and make-whole payments for economic losses incurred by purchaser. Also included buyouts from GSEs in order to facilitate foreclosures.
- (c) Six months ended June 30, 2013, included \$74.7 million of cash paid related to clean-up calls exercised by FHN.

50

Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)

The principal amount of loans transferred through loan sales and securitizations and other loans managed with them in which FHN had continuing involvement, the principal amount of delinquent loans, and the net credit losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

	Principal Amount of		
	Residential Real	Net Cred	it Losses (c)
	Estate Loans (a) (b) (c)	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended
		June 30,	
(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013	2013	June 30, 2013
Total loans managed or transferred	\$ 13,691,924	\$ 78 055	\$ 140.682

- (a) Amounts represent real estate residential loans in FHN s portfolio, held-for-sale, and loans that have been transferred in proprietary securitizations and whole loan sales in which FHN had a retained interest other than servicing rights. Also included \$4.8 billion of loans transferred to GSEs with any type of retained interest other than servicing rights.
- (b) Includes \$.7 billion where the principal amount is 90 days or more past due or nonaccrual. Included in this amount was \$38.1 million of GNMA guaranteed mortgages.
- (c) No delinquency or net credit loss data is provided for the loans transferred to FNMA or FHLMC because these agencies retain credit risk. See Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for discussion related to repurchase obligations for loans transferred to GSEs or private investors.

51

Note 15 Derivatives

In the normal course of business, FHN utilizes various financial instruments (including derivative contracts and credit-related agreements) through its capital markets and risk management operations, as part of its risk management strategy and as a means to meet customers needs. Additionally, FHN used derivatives to hedge MSR, but such hedges were terminated in third quarter 2013 when FHN entered into an agreement to sell substantially all MSR. Derivative instruments are subject to credit and market risks in excess of the amount recorded on the balance sheet as required by GAAP. The contractual or notional amounts of these financial instruments do not necessarily represent credit or market risk. However, they can be used to measure the extent of involvement in various types of financial instruments. Controls and monitoring procedures for these instruments have been established and are routinely reevaluated. The Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) monitors the usage and effectiveness of these financial instruments.

Credit risk represents the potential loss that may occur if a party to a transaction fails to perform according to the terms of the contract. The measure of credit exposure is the replacement cost of contracts with a positive fair value. FHN manages credit risk by entering into financial instrument transactions through national exchanges, primary dealers or approved counterparties, and using mutual margining and master netting agreements whenever possible to limit potential exposure. FHN also maintains collateral posting requirements with certain counterparties to limit credit risk. On June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, FHN had \$101.6 million and \$111.9 million of cash receivables and \$71.3 million and \$93.1 million of cash payables related to collateral posting under master netting arrangements, inclusive of collateral posted related to contracts with adjustable collateral posting thresholds and over collateralized positions, with derivative counterparties. With exchange-traded contracts, the credit risk is limited to the clearinghouse used. For non-exchange traded instruments, credit risk may occur when there is a gain in the fair value of the financial instrument and the counterparty fails to perform according to the terms of the contract and/or when the collateral proves to be of insufficient value. See additional discussion regarding master netting agreements and collateral posting requirements later in this note under the heading. Master Netting and Similar Agreements. Market risk represents the potential loss due to the decrease in the value of a financial instrument caused primarily by changes in interest rates or the prices of debt instruments. FHN manages market risk by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken. FHN continually measures this risk through the use of models that measure value-at-risk and earnings-at-risk.

Derivative Instruments. FHN enters into various derivative contracts both in a dealer capacity, to facilitate customer transactions, and as a risk management tool. Where contracts have been created for customers, FHN enters into transactions with dealers to offset its risk exposure. Contracts with dealers that require central clearing are novated to a clearing agent who becomes FHN s counterparty. Derivatives are also used as a risk management tool to hedge FHN s exposure to changes in interest rates or other defined market risks.

Forward contracts are over-the-counter contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specified price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Futures contracts are exchange-traded contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specified price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Interest rate option contracts give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a specified quantity of a financial instrument, at a specified price, during a specified period of time. Caps and floors are options that are linked to a notional principal amount and an underlying indexed interest rate. Interest rate swaps involve the exchange of interest payments at specified intervals between two parties without the exchange of any underlying principal. Swaptions are options on interest rate swaps that give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to enter into an interest rate swap agreement during a specified period of time.

Capital Markets

Capital markets trades U.S. Treasury, U.S. Agency, mortgage-backed, corporate and municipal fixed income securities, and other securities principally for distribution to customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts. Capital markets also enters into interest rate contracts, including caps, swaps, and floors, for its customers. In addition, capital markets enters into futures and option contracts to economically hedge interest rate risk associated with a portion of its securities inventory. These transactions are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized currently in Capital markets noninterest income. Related assets and liabilities are recorded on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as Derivative assets and Derivative liabilities. The FTN Financial Risk Committee and the Credit Risk Management Committee collaborate to mitigate credit risk related to these transactions. Credit risk is controlled through credit approvals, risk control limits, and ongoing monitoring procedures. Total trading revenues were \$40.5 million and \$58.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$90.1 million and \$126.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Total revenues are inclusive of both derivative and non-derivative financial instruments, and are included in Capital markets noninterest income.

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

The following tables summarize FHN s derivatives associated with capital markets trading activities as of June 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	June 30, 2014 Assets	Liabilities
Customer Interest Rate Contracts	\$ 1,760,032	\$ 80,710	\$ 4,948
Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts	1,760,032	4,948	80,710
Option Contracts Purchased	17,500	29	
Option Contracts Written	5,000		4
Forwards and Futures Purchased	2,378,633	4,571	330
Forwards and Futures Sold	2,487,732	548	4,980
	NI di col	June 30, 2013	X 1.1 9141
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities
Customer Interest Rate Contracts	\$ 1,706,209	Assets \$ 88,851	\$ 8,925
		Assets	
Customer Interest Rate Contracts Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts	\$ 1,706,209 1,706,209	Assets \$ 88,851 8,925	\$ 8,925
Customer Interest Rate Contracts Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts Option Contracts Purchased	\$ 1,706,209 1,706,209 40,000	Assets \$ 88,851 8,925	\$ 8,925 88,851

Interest Rate Risk Management

FHN s ALCO focuses on managing market risk by controlling and limiting earnings volatility attributable to changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk exists to the extent that interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have different maturity or repricing characteristics. FHN uses derivatives, including swaps, caps, options, and collars, that are designed to moderate the impact on earnings as interest rates change. Interest paid or received for swaps utilized by FHN to hedge the fair value of long term debt is recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liabilities whose risk is being managed. FHN s interest rate risk management policy is to use derivatives to hedge interest rate risk or market value of assets or liabilities, not to speculate. In addition, FHN has entered into certain interest rate swaps and caps as a part of a product offering to commercial customers that includes customer derivatives paired with upstream offsetting market instruments that, when completed, are designed to mitigate interest rate risk. These contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting and are measured at fair value with gains or losses included in current earnings in Noninterest expense on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

FHN has entered into pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain term borrowings totaling \$554.0 million on June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These swaps have been accounted for as fair value hedges under the shortcut method. The balance sheet amount of these swaps was \$28.1 million and \$50.9 million in Derivative assets on June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

FHN has designated a derivative transaction in a hedging strategy to manage interest rate risk on its \$500 million noncallable senior debt maturing in December 2015. This derivative qualifies for hedge accounting under ASC 815-20 using the long-haul method. FHN entered into a pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swap to hedge the interest rate risk on this debt. The balance sheet amount of this swap was \$14.0 million and \$20.7 million in Derivative assets as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. There was no ineffectiveness related to this hedge.

FHN designates derivative transactions in hedging strategies to manage interest rate risk on subordinated debt related to its trust preferred securities. These qualify for hedge accounting under ASC 815-20 using the long-haul method. FHN hedges the interest rate risk of the subordinated debt totaling \$200 million using pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps. The balance sheet amount of these swaps was \$12.1 million and \$18.7 million in Derivative liabilities as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. There was no ineffectiveness related to these hedges.

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

The following tables summarize FHN s derivatives associated with interest rate risk management activities as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

			Thre	Gains/(Losses) Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ende			
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities	2014		30, 2014	
Customer Interest Rate Contracts Hedging							
Hedging Instruments and Hedged Items:							
Customer Interest Rate Contracts (a)	\$ 759,266	\$ 28,143	\$ 997	\$ 2,714	\$	2,069	
Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts (a)	775,204	997	28,643	(2,714)		(2,069)	
Debt Hedging							
Hedging Instruments:							
Interest Rate Swaps (b)	\$ 1,254,000	\$ 42,121	\$ 12,095	\$ (3,628)	\$	(3,239)	
Hedged Items:							
Term Borrowings (b)	N/A	N/A	\$ 1,254,000(c)	\$ 3,628(d) \$	3,239(d)	

			Three Months Ended				
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities	June 30, 2013		onths Ended 2 30, 2013	
Customer Interest Rate Contracts Hedging							
Hedging Instruments and Hedged Items:							
Customer Interest Rate Contracts (a)	\$ 890,611	\$ 36,742	\$ 3,027	\$ (15,896)	\$	(21,209)	
Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts (a)	890,611	3,027	37,242	16,196		21,909	
Debt Hedging							
Hedging Instruments:							
Interest Rate Swaps (b)	\$ 1,254,000	\$71,606	\$ 18,722	\$ (24,774)	\$	(42,348)	
Hedged Items:							
Term Borrowings (b)	N/A	N/A	\$1,254,000(c)	\$ 24,774(d)) \$	42,348(d)	

Gains/(Losses)

- (a) Gains/losses included in the Other expense section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
- (b) Gains/losses included in the All other income and commissions section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
- (c) Represents par value of term borrowings being hedged.
- (d) Represents gains and losses attributable to changes in fair value due to interest rate risk as designated in ASC 815-20 hedging relationships.

FHN hedges held-to-maturity trust preferred loans with a principal balance of \$6.5 million as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, which have an initial fixed rate term before conversion to a floating rate. FHN has entered into pay fixed, receive floating interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk associated with this initial term. These hedge relationships qualify as fair value hedges under ASC 815-20. The impact of these swaps was \$.9 million and \$1.1 million in Derivative liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Interest paid or received for these swaps is recognized as an adjustment of the interest income of the assets whose risk is being hedged. Basis adjustments remaining at the end of the hedge term are being amortized as an adjustment to interest income over the remaining life of the loans. Gains or losses are included in Other income and commissions on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Table of Contents 88

54

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

The following tables summarize FHN s derivative activities associated with held-to-maturity trust preferred loans as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

			Thre	Gains/(Losses) Three Months Ended				
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities	June 30, 2014	-	ths Ended 30, 2014		
Loan Portfolio Hedging								
Hedging Instruments:								
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 6,500	N/A	\$ 900	\$ 42	\$	105		
Hedged Items:								
Trust Preferred Loans (a)	N/A	\$6,500(b)	N/A	\$ (41)(c)	\$	(104)(c)		

				Guillo (Losses)						
			Thr	Three Months Ended						
				June 30, Six Months F						
				30,	Six Mor	nths Ended				
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities	2013	June	30, 2013				
Loan Portfolio Hedging										
Hedging Instruments:										
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 6,500	N/A	\$ 1,118	\$ 337	\$	924				
Hedged Items:										
Trust Preferred Loans (a)	N/A	\$ 6,500(b)	N/A	\$ (334)(c)	\$	(921)(c)				

Gains/(Losses)

- (a) Assets included in the Loans, net of unearned income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.
- (b) Represents principal balance being hedged.
- (c) Represents gains and losses attributable to changes in fair value due to interest rate risk as designated in ASC 815-20 hedging relationships.

Other Derivatives

In conjunction with the sales of a portion of its Visa Class B shares, FHN and the purchaser entered into derivative transactions whereby FHN will make or receive cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. As of June 30, 2014, the derivative liabilities associated with the sales of Visa Class B shares were \$4.7 million compared to \$2.2 million as of June 30, 2013. See the Visa Matters section of Note 11 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for more information regarding FHN s Visa shares.

FHN utilizes cross currency swaps and cross currency interest rate swaps to economically hedge its exposure to foreign currency risk and interest rate risk associated with non-U.S. dollar denominated loans. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, these loans were valued at \$.8 million and \$.6 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the balance sheet amount and the gains/losses associated with these derivatives were not material.

Legacy Mortgage Servicing Operations

Retained Interests

Prior to first quarter 2014, FHN had significantly larger amounts of retained mortgage servicing rights. FHN revalued MSR to current fair value each month with changes in fair value included in servicing income in Mortgage banking noninterest income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. FHN entered into interest rate contracts (potentially including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) to hedge against the effects of changes in fair value of its MSR associated with increased prepayment activity that generally results

from declining interest rates. Substantially all capitalized MSR were hedged for economic purposes. In third quarter 2013, in conjunction with the agreement to sell legacy mortgage servicing, FHN removed all hedges associated with MSR and interest-only securities.

FHN utilized derivatives as an economic hedge (potentially including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) to protect the value of its interest-only securities that change in value inversely to the movement of interest rates. Interest-only securities are included in Trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives and the hedged interest-only securities are recognized currently in earnings in Mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

The following table summarizes FHN s derivatives associated with legacy mortgage servicing activities as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013:

				Gains/(Losses)				
			Th	Three Months Ended				
(Dollars in thousands)	Notional	Assets	Liabilities	June 30, 2013		onths Ended e 30, 2013		
Retained Interests Hedging								
Hedging Instruments:								
Forwards and Futures	\$ 35,000	\$ 92	\$ 459	\$ (2,795)	\$	(3,206)		
Interest Rate Swaps and Swaptions	407,000	168	3,376	(6,314)		(5,580)		
Hedged Items:								
Mortgage Servicing Rights	N/A	\$ 111,207	N/A	\$ 9,153	\$	10,330		
Other Retained Interests	N/A	15,872	N/A	1,313		1,794		
Master Netting and Similar Agreements								

As previously discussed, FHN uses master netting agreements, mutual margining agreements and collateral posting requirements to minimize credit risk on derivative contracts. Master netting and similar agreements are used when counterparties have multiple derivatives contracts that allow for a right of setoff, meaning that a counterparty may net offsetting positions and collateral with the same counterparty under the contract to determine a net receivable or payable. The following discussion provides an overview of these arrangements which may vary due to the derivative type and market in which a derivative transaction is executed.

Interest rate derivatives are subject to agreements consistent with standard agreement forms of the International Swap and Derivatives Association (ISDA). Currently, all interest rate derivative contracts are entered into as over-the-counter transactions and collateral posting requirements are based on the net asset or liability position with each respective counterparty. For contracts that require central clearing, novation to a central counter party clearinghouse occurs and collateral is posted. Cash collateral received (posted) for interest rate derivatives is recognized as a liability (asset) on FHN s balance sheet.

Interest rate derivatives with customers that are smaller financial institutions typically require posting of collateral by the counterparty to FHN. This collateral is subject to a threshold with daily adjustments based upon changes in the level or fair value of the derivative position. Positions and related collateral can be netted in the event of default. Collateral pledged by a counterparty is typically cash or securities. The securities pledged as collateral are not recognized within FHN s Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Interest rate derivatives associated with lending arrangements share the collateral with the related loan(s). The derivative and loan positions may be netted in the event of default. For disclosure purposes, the entire collateral amount is allocated to the loan.

Interest rate derivatives with larger financial institutions entered into prior to required central clearing typically contain provisions whereby the collateral posting thresholds under the agreements adjust based on the credit ratings of both counterparties. If the credit rating of FHN and/or FTBNA is lowered, FHN could be required to post additional collateral with the counterparties. Conversely, if the credit rating of FHN and/or FTBNA is increased, FHN could have collateral released and be required to post less collateral in the future. Also, if a counterparty s credit ratings were to decrease, FHN and/or FTBNA could request the posting of additional collateral; whereas if a counterparty s credit ratings were to increase, the counterparty could request the release of excess collateral. Collateral for these arrangements is adjusted daily based on changes in the net fair value position with each counterparty.

The net fair value, determined by individual counterparty, of all derivative instruments with adjustable collateral posting thresholds was \$116.8 million of assets and \$91.6 million of liabilities on June 30, 2014, and \$148.8 million of assets and \$116.5 million of liabilities on June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, FHN had received collateral of \$190.6 million and \$218.3 million and posted collateral of \$92.3 million and \$102.6 million, respectively, in the normal course of business related to these agreements.

Certain agreements entered into prior to required central clearing also contain accelerated termination provisions, inclusive of the right of offset, if a counterparty s credit rating falls below a specified level. If a counterparty s debt rating (including FHN s and FTBNA s) were to fall below these minimums, these provisions would be triggered, and the counterparties could terminate the agreements and request immediate settlement

of all derivative contracts under the agreements. The net fair value, determined by individual counterparty, of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent accelerated termination provisions was \$116.8 million of assets and \$23.2 million of liabilities on June 30, 2014, and \$148.7 million of assets and \$30.0 million of liabilities on June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, FHN had received collateral of \$190.6 million and \$218.3 million and posted collateral of \$28.8 million and \$23.4 million, respectively, in the normal course of business related to these contracts.

Capital Markets buys and sells various types of securities for its customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts, and are generally not subject to master netting agreements. Forwards purchased and sold through legacy mortgage banking activities typically consisted of mortgage to be announced (TBA) trades for which FHN utilized a clearinghouse for settlement. In the event of default, all open positions can be offset. For futures and options, FHN transacts through a third party, and the transactions are subject to margin and collateral maintenance requirements. In the event of default, open positions can be offset along with the associated collateral.

56

Note 15 Derivatives (Continued)

For this disclosure, FHN considers the impact of master netting and other similar agreements which allow FHN to settle all contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative asset or liability position with the related securities and cash collateral. The application of the collateral cannot reduce the net derivative asset or liability position below zero, and therefore any excess collateral is not reflected in the tables below.

The following table provides a detail of derivative assets and collateral received as presented on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of June 30:

					not offset in the of Condition	
	Gross amounts of recognized	Gross amounts offset in the Statement of	Net amounts of assets presented in the Statement of Condition	Derivative liabilities available for	Collateral	
(Dollars in thousands)	assets	Condition	(a)	offset	Received	Net amount
Derivative assets:						
2014 (b)	\$ 156,919	\$	\$ 156,919	\$ (26,475)	\$ (129,064)	\$ 1,380
2013 (b)	209,411		209,411	(37,505)	(170,712)	1,194

- (a) Included in Derivative Assets on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, \$5.1 million and \$26.4 million, respectively, of derivative assets (primarily capital markets forward contracts) have been excluded from these tables because they are generally not subject to master netting or similar agreements.
- (b) 2014 is comprised entirely of interest rate derivative contracts. 2013 includes \$209.3 million of interest rate derivative contracts and \$.1 million of forwards and futures contracts.

The following table provides a detail of derivative liabilities and collateral pledged as presented on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of June 30:

					Gross amounts : Statement o	not offset in the of Condition	
	oss amounts of ecognized	Gross amounts offset in the Statement of	Net liabili	amounts of ties presented e Statement	Derivative assets available	Collateral	
(Dollars in thousands)	iabilities	Condition		ondition (a)	for offset	pledged	Net amount
Derivative liabilities:						1 6	
2014 (b)	\$ 128,293	\$	\$	128,293	\$ (26,475)	\$ (88,935)	\$ 12,883
2013 (b)	161,720			161,720	(37,505)	(116,510)	7,705

- (a) Included in Derivative Liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, \$10.0 million and \$36.8 million, respectively, of derivative liabilities (primarily capital markets forward contracts) have been excluded from these tables because they are generally not subject to master netting or similar agreements.
- (b) 2014 is comprised entirely of interest rate derivative contracts. 2013 includes \$161.3 million of interest rate derivative contracts and \$.5 million of forwards and futures contracts.

Note 16 Master Netting and Similar Agreements - Repurchase, Reverse Repurchase, and Securities Borrowing and Lending Transactions

For repurchase, reverse repurchase and securities borrowing and lending transactions, FHN and each counterparty have the ability to offset all open positions and related collateral in the event of default. Due to the nature of these transactions, the value of the collateral for each transaction approximates the value of the corresponding receivable or payable. For repurchase agreements within FHN s capital markets business, transactions are collateralized by securities which are delivered on the settlement date and are maintained throughout the term of the transaction. For FHN s repurchase agreements through banking activities, securities are typically pledged at the time of the transaction and not released until settlement. For asset positions, the collateral is not included on FHN s Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. For liability positions, securities collateral pledged by FHN is generally represented within FHN s trading or available-for-sale securities portfolios.

For this disclosure, FHN considers the impact of master netting and other similar agreements that allow FHN to settle all contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net asset or liability position with the related securities collateral. The application of the collateral cannot reduce the net asset or liability position below zero, and therefore any excess collateral is not reflected in the tables below.

The following table provides a detail of Securities purchased under agreements to resell as presented on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition and collateral pledged by FHN as of June 30:

		Gross amounts not offset in the						
			Statement of Condition					
				Offsetting				
		Gross amounts		securities	Securities collateral			
	Gross amount	s offset in the	Net amounts of	sold	(not recognized			
	of	Statement	assets presented	under agreements	on			
	recognized	of	in the Statement	to	FHN s Statement			
(Dollars in thousands)	assets	Condition	of Condition	repurchase	of Condition)	Net amount		
Securities purchased under agreements to								
resell:								
2014	\$ 624,477	\$	\$ 624,477	\$ (61,094)	\$ (555,665)	\$ 7,718		
2013	602,126		602,126	(3,455)	(589,180)	9,491		

The following table provides a detail of Securities sold under agreements to repurchase as presented on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition and collateral pledged by counterparties as of June 30:

				Gross amounts n Statement of			
(Dollars in thousands)	Gross amounts of recognized liabilities	Gross amounts offset in the Statement of Condition	Net amounts of liabilities presented in the Statement of Condition	Offsetting	Securities	Net an	nount
Securities sold under agreements to					0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 100	
repurchase:							
2014	\$ 475,530	\$	\$ 475,530	\$ (61,094)	\$ (414,373)	\$	63
2013	433,761		433,761	(3,455)	(430,295)		11

Table of Contents 95

58

Table of Contents

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities

FHN groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. This hierarchy requires FHN to maximize the use of observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. Each fair value measurement is placed into the proper level based on the lowest level of significant input. These levels are:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect management sestimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques. Transfers between fair value levels are recognized at the end of the fiscal quarter in which the associated change in inputs occurs.

59

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents the balance of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2014:

(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	June 3 Level 2	Total	
Trading securities capital markets:	Ecvel 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
U.S. treasuries	\$	\$ 235,389	\$	\$ 235,389
Government agency issued MBS	Ψ	195,911	Ψ	195,911
Government agency issued CMO		175,799		175,799
Other U.S. government agencies		71,228		71,228
States and municipalities		41,144		41,144
Corporate and other debt		402,348	5	402,353
Equity, mutual funds, and other		22,040		22,040
Total trading securities capital markets		1,143,859	5	1,143,864
Trading securities mortgage banking:				
Principal only			4,707	4,707
Interest only			322	322
Subordinated bonds			1,387	1,387
Total trading securities mortgage banking			6,416	6,416
Loans held-for-sale			232,487	232,487
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. treasuries		39,999		39,999
Government agency issued MBS		762,842		762,842
Government agency issued CMO		2,569,388		2,569,388
Other U.S. government agencies			2,061	2,061
States and municipalities		13,655	1,500	15,155
Venture capital			2,300	2,300
Equity, mutual funds, and other	25,995			25,995
Total securities available-for-sale	25,995	3,385,884	5,861	3,417,740
Mortgage servicing rights			3,197	3,197
Other assets:				
Deferred compensation assets	24,860			24,860
Derivatives, forwards and futures	5,119			5,119
Derivatives, interest rate contracts		156,948		156,948
Total other assets	29,979	156,948		186,927
Total assets	\$ 55,974	\$ 4,686,691	\$ 247,966	\$ 4,990,631
Trading liabilities capital markets:	¢	¢ 470.210	¢	¢ 470.010
U.S. treasuries	\$	\$ 479,210	\$	\$ 479,210
Government agency issued MBS		1,092		1,092

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Other U.S. government agencies		11,167		11,167
States and municipalities		3,216		3,216
Corporate and other debt		211,434		211,434
Total trading liabilities capital markets		706,119		706,119
Total trading habilities cupital markets		700,117		700,117
Other liabilities:				
Other habilities:				
Derivatives, forwards and futures	5,310			5,310
Derivatives, interest rate contracts		128,297		128,297
Derivatives, other		4	4,725	4,729
Total other liabilities	5,310	128,301	4,725	138,336
1 out office manifest	5,510	120,501	1,723	130,330
T-4-11:-1:14:	¢ 5210	¢ 924.420	¢ 4705	¢ 044.455
Total liabilities	\$ 5,310	\$ 834,420	\$ 4,725	\$ 844,455

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

The following table presents the balance of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2013:

		June 3	30, 2013	
(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Trading securities capital markets:				
U.S. treasuries	\$	\$ 99,761	\$	\$ 99,761
Government agency issued MBS		446,797		446,797
Government agency issued CMO		116,347		116,347
Other U.S. government agencies		158,226		158,226
States and municipalities		11,950		11,950
Corporate and other debt		415,346	5	415,351
Equity, mutual funds, and other		3,044		3,044
		ŕ		ŕ
Total trading securities capital markets		1,251,471	5	1,251,476
5		, - , -		, , , , , ,
Trading securities mortgage banking:				
Principal only			5,264	5,264
Interest only			10,608	10,608
·			Ź	,
Total trading securities mortgage banking			15,872	15,872
Total trading securities moregage banking			13,072	13,072
Loans held-for-sale			235,080	235,080
Securities available-for-sale:			255,080	255,080
U.S. treasuries		39,997		39,997
		991,762		991,762
Government agency issued MBS (a) Government agency issued CMO		,		1,954,038
Other U.S. government agencies		1,954,038	2,854	2,854
States and municipalities		14.045	,	16,445
		14,945	1,500	4,300
Venture capital	14 475		4,300	,
Equity, mutual funds, and other	14,475			14,475
Total securities available-for-sale (a)	14,475	3,000,742	8,654	3,023,871
Total socialities a value of sale (a)	11,170	2,000,712	0,00 .	0,020,071
Mortgage servicing rights			113,853	113,853
Other assets:			113,633	113,633
Deferred compensation assets	22,302			22,302
Derivatives, forwards and futures	26,402			26,402
Derivatives, interest rate contracts	20,402	209,357		20,402
Derivatives, interest rate contracts		209,337		209,337
Total other assets	48,704	209,357		258.061
Total other assets	40,704	209,337		238,001
W (1 () ()	Φ. C2. 170	Φ 4 461 5 7 0	ф 272 4 <i>6</i> 4	ф. 4.000 212
Total assets (a)	\$ 63,179	\$ 4,461,570	\$ 373,464	\$ 4,898,213
Trading liabilities capital markets:				
U.S. treasuries	\$	\$ 267,427	\$	\$ 267,427
Government agency issued MBS		3,977		3,977
Government agency issued CMO		20,927		20,927
Other U.S. government agencies		43,425		43,425
Corporate and other debt		258,359		258,359

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Equity, mutual funds, and other		2,754		2,754
Total trading liabilities capital markets		596,869		596,869
Other short-term borrowings			12,349	12,349
Other liabilities:				
Derivatives, forwards and futures	35,027			35,027
Derivatives, interest rate contracts		161,267		161,267
Derivatives, other			2,195	2,195
Total other liabilities	35,027	161,267	2,195	198,489
Total liabilities	\$ 35,027	\$ 758,136	\$ 14,544	\$ 807,707

⁽a) Balance has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Changes in Recurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Trading securities	s for-sale	Securities av Investment portfolio	inded June 30, 2014 ailable-for-sale Venture Capital	Mortgage servicing rights, net	Net derivative
Balance on April 1, 2014	\$ 6,593	\$ 229,2	19 \$ 3,682	\$ 4,300	\$ 4,687	\$ (4,945)
Total net gains/(losses) included in:						
Net income	43	8,2	14	(2,000)	113	(101)
Other comprehensive income /(loss)			(15)			
Purchases		4′	76			
Issuances						
Sales					(1,400)	
Settlements	(215) (4,60	07) (106)		(203)	321
Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3		(8)	15)(b)			
Balance on June 30, 2014	\$ 6,421	\$ 232,48	\$ 3,561	\$ 2,300	\$ 3,197	\$ (4,725)
Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net						
income	\$ 74	(a) \$ 8,2	14(a) \$	\$ (2,000)(c)	\$ 77(a)	\$ (101)(d)
	Ψ ,.	(u)	Ψ (ω)	ψ (2 ,000)(0)	Ψ //(Δ)	φ (101)(α)
	Trading	Loans held-	Three Months End Securities available-for-sale Investment Ventur	e Mortgage	Net derivative	Other short-term
(Dollars in thousands)	securities	for-sale	portfolio Capita	ıl rights, net	liabilities	borrowings
Balance on April 1, 2013	\$ 16,459	\$ 232,684	\$4,776 \$4,30	0 \$109,102	\$ (2,050)	\$ (10,984)
Total net gains/(losses) included in:						
Net income	1,608	(2,310)		10,502	(466)	(1,365)
Other comprehensive income /(loss)			(39)			
Purchases		19,042				

(2,190)

\$15,877

(b)

income

Issuances Sales

Settlements

Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3

Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net

Balance on June 30, 2013

Table of Contents 101

(10,372)

\$ 235,080

(1,156)(a) (2,310)(a) \$

(3,964)(b)

(383)

\$4,354 \$4,300 \$113,853

(5,751)

\$ 10,391(a) \$

321

\$ (2,195)

\$ (12,349)

(466)(d) \$ (1,365)(a)

⁽a) Primarily included in mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Transfers out of recurring loans held-for-sale level 3 balances reflect movements out of loans held-for-sale and into real estate acquired by foreclosure (level 3 nonrecurring).

- (c) Represents recognized gains and losses attributable to venture capital investments classified within securities available-for-sale that are included in securities gains/(losses) in noninterest income.
- (d) Included in Other expense.

62

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Changes in Recurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands) Balance on January 1, 2014	Trading securities \$ 7,200	Loans held- for-sale \$ 230,456		led June 30, 2014 ilable-for-sale Venture Capital \$ 4,300	Mortgaş servicin rights, n \$ 72,79	g No	et derivative liabilities (2,915)
Total net gains/(losses) included in: Net income	(42)	9,401		(2,000)	1,24	16	(2,442)
Other comprehensive income / (loss)	(42)	9,401	(32)	(2,000)	1,22	+0	(2,442)
Purchases	1,559	4,582	(32)				
Issuances	1,000	1,502					
Sales	(1,715)				(69,9)	19)	
Settlements	(581)	(8,800)	(233)		(92	23)	632
Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3		(3,152)(b)					
Balance on June 30, 2014	\$ 6,421	\$ 232,487	\$ 3,561	\$ 2,300	\$ 3,19	97 \$	(4,725)
Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income	\$ 34(a)	\$ 9,401(a)	\$	\$ (2,000)(c)	\$ 15	50(a) \$	(2,442)(d)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Securities						
	Trading	Loans held-	available Investment	-for-sale	Mortgage servicing	Net derivative	Other short-term
(Dollars in thousands)	securities	for-sale	portfolio	Capital	rights, net	liabilities	borrowings
Balance on January 1, 2013	\$ 17,992	\$ 221,094	\$ 5,253	\$4,300	\$ 114,311	\$ (2,175)	\$ (11,156)
Total net gains/(losses) included in:							
Net income	2,529	(2,135)			11,335	(652)	(1,193)
Other comprehensive income /(loss)			(76)				
Purchases		37,509					
Issuances							
Sales							
Settlements	(4,644)	(14,600)	(823)		(11,793)	632	
Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3		(6,788)(b)					
Balance on June 30, 2013	\$ 15,877	\$ 235,080	\$ 4,354	\$ 4,300	\$ 113,853	\$ (2,195)	\$ (12,349)
Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income	\$ 1,587(a)	\$ (2,135)(a)	\$	\$	\$ 11,316(a)	\$ (652)(d)	\$ (1,193)(a)

(b)

⁽a) Primarily included in mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Transfers out of recurring loans held-for-sale level 3 balances reflect movements out of loans held-for-sale and into real estate acquired by foreclosure (level 3 nonrecurring).

- (c) Represents recognized gains and losses attributable to venture capital investments classified within securities available-for-sale that are included in securities gains/(losses) in noninterest income.
- (d) Included in Other expense.

63

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

From time to time, FHN may be required to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. These adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of LOCOM accounting or write-downs of individual assets. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis which were still held on the balance sheet at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, the following tables provide the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment, the related carrying value, and the fair value adjustments recorded during the respective periods.

		Carrying v	alue at June 30,	2014	1	ee Months Ended une 30, 2014	Jı	Months Ended une 30, 2014
(D. Harris de consulta)	T1 1	Level 2	I1 2	Total		Net		Net
(Dollars in thousands) Loans held-for-sale SBAs	Level 1	\$ 3,471	Level 3 \$	\$ 3,471	gain \$	ns/(losses)	gain \$	is/(losses) 43
	φ	\$ 3,471	9,004	9,004	Ф	7	Ф	(10)
Loans held-for-sale first mortgages Loans, net of unearned income (a)			53,652	53,652		757		1,245
Real estate acquired by foreclosure (b)			38,781	38,781		(533)		(1,391)
Other assets (c)			61,093	61,093		(849)		(2,101)
]	(617) ee Months Ended une 30,]	(2,214) Months Ended une 30,
		Carrying value at June 30, 2013		2013			2013	
						Net		Net
(Dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	_	is/(losses)	_	s/(losses)
Loans held-for-sale first mortgages	\$	\$	\$ 10,607	\$ 10,607	\$	(144)	\$	(60)
Loans, net of unearned income (a)			105,826	105,826		(1,771)		(1,977)
Real estate acquired by foreclosure (b) (d)			51,342	51,342		(1,933)		(2,952)
Other assets (c)			71,483	71,483		(1,437)		(3,046)
					\$	(5,285)	\$	(8,035)

Certain previously reported amount have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are required to be based on the appraised value of the collateral. Write-downs on these loans are recognized as part of provision.
- (b) Represents the fair value and related losses of foreclosed properties that were measured subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets. Balance excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.
- (c) Represents tax credit investments.
- (d) Balance has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013.

In first quarter 2013, FHN exercised clean-up calls on first lien mortgage proprietary securitization trusts. In accordance with accounting requirements, FHN initially recognized the associated loans at fair value. Fair value was primarily determined through reference to observable inputs, including current market prices for similar loans. Since these loans were from the 2003 vintage, adjustments were made for the higher

yields associated with the loans in comparison to more currently originated loans being sold. This resulted in recognition of an immaterial premium for these transactions.

64

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Level 3 Measurements

The following tables provide information regarding the unobservable inputs utilized in determining the fair value of level 3 recurring and non-recurring measurements as of June 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Dollars in Thousands)

(Dollars in Thousands)	Fair Value at June 30,			
Level 3 Class	2014	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Values Utilized
Trading securities mortgage (a)	\$ 6,416	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speeds	43% - 47%
			Discount rate	40% - 85%
Loans held-for-sale residential real estate	241,491	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speeds First mortgage	6% - 10%
			Prepayment speeds Heloc	5% - 15%
			Credit spreads	2% - 4%
			Delinquency adjustment	15% - 25% added to
			factor	credit spread
			Loss severity trends First mortgage	50% - 60% of UPB
			Loss Severity trends Heloc	
			Draw rate Heloc	5% - 12%
Venture capital investments	2,300	Industry comparables	Adjustment for minority interest and small business status	40% - 50% discount
		Industry specific	Reduction of cash flow	40%
		discount	estimates due to industry	
			uncertainty	
		Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	25% - 30%
			Earnings capitalization rate	20% - 25%
Mortgage servicing rights (a)	3,197	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speeds	15.2 CPR
			Discount rate	9.8%
			Cost to service	\$141.40/Loan
			Earnings on escrow	1.385%
Derivative liabilities, other	4,725	Discounted cash flow	Visa covered litigation resolution amount	\$4.4 billion - \$5.2 billion
			Probability of resolution scenarios	10% - 30%
			Time until resolution	12 - 42 months
Loans, net of unearned income (b)	53,652	Appraisals from comparable properties	Marketability adjustments for specific properties	0% - 10% of appraisal
		Other collateral valuations	Borrowing base certificates adjustment	20% - 50% of gross value
			Financial	0% - 25% of reported
			Statements/Auction values adjustment	value
Real estate acquired by foreclosure (c)	38,781	Appraisals from comparable properties	Adjustment for value changes since appraisal	0% - 10% of appraisal
Other assets (d)	61,093	Discounted cash flow	Adjustments to current sales yields for specific properties	0% - 15% adjustment to yield
		Appraisals from comparable properties	Marketability adjustments for specific properties	0% - 25% of appraisal

- (a) The unobservable inputs for principal-only and interest-only trading securities, MSR and subordinated bonds are discussed in the Mortgage servicing rights and other retained interests paragraph.
- (b) Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are required to be based on the appraised value of the collateral. Write-downs on these loans are recognized as part of provision.
- (c) Represents the fair value of foreclosed properties that were measured subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets. Balance excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.
- (d) Represents tax credit investments.

65

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Fair Value at June 30,			
Level 3 Class	2013	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Values Utilized
Trading securities mortgage	\$ 15,872	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speeds	17%
			Discount rate	27%
Loans held-for-sale residential real estate	245,687	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speeds	6% - 10%
			Credit spreads	2% - 4%
			Delinquency adjustment	15% - 25% added to
			factor	credit spread
			Loss severity trends	50% - 60% of UPB
Venture capital investments	4,300	Industry comparables	Adjustment for minority	40% - 50% discount
			interest and small business	
			status	
		Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	25% - 30%
			Earnings capitalization rate	20% - 25%
Mortgage servicing rights	113,853	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speeds	19.1 CPR
			Discount rate	11.7%
			Cost to service	\$117.90/Loan
			Earnings on escrow	1.385%
Other short-term borrowings	12,349	Discounted cash flow	(a)	(a)
Derivative liabilities, other	2,195	Discounted cash flow	Visa covered litigation	\$4.4 billion - \$5.0 billion
			resolution amount	
			Probability of resolution	10% - 60%
			scenarios	
			Time until resolution	6 - 24 months
Loans, net of unearned income (b)	105,826	Appraisals from	Marketability adjustments	0% - 10% of appraisal
		comparable properties	for specific properties	
		Other collateral	Borrowing base certificates	20% - 50% of gross value
		valuations	adjustment	
			Financial	0% - 25% of reported
			Statements/Auction Values	value
			adjustment	
Real estate acquired by foreclosure (c) (d)	51,342	Appraisals from	Adjustment for value	0% - 10% of appraisal
		comparable properties	changes since appraisal	
Other assets (e)	71,483	Discounted cash flow	Adjustments to current sales	0% - 15% adjustment to
			yields for specific properties	yield
		Appraisals from	Marketability adjustments	0% - 25% of appraisal
		comparable properties	for specific properties	

- (a) The inputs and associated ranges for Other short-term borrowings mirror those of the related MSR.
- (b) Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are required to be based on the appraised value of the collateral. Write-downs on these loans are recognized as part of provision.
- (c) Represents the fair value of foreclosed properties that were measured subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets. Balance excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.
- (d) Balance has been re-presented due to purchase accounting adjustments made in third quarter 2013.
- (e) Represents tax credit investments.

Mortgage servicing rights and other retained interests. Prepayment rates and credit spreads (part of the discount rate) are significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of FHN s MSR, principal only strips, excess interest IO, and subordinated bonds. Cost to service and earnings on escrow are additional unobservable inputs included in the valuation of MSR. Increases in prepayment rates, credit spreads and costs to service in isolation would result in significantly lower fair value measurements for the associated assets. Conversely, decreases in prepayment rates, credit spreads and costs to service in isolation would result in significantly higher fair value measurements for the associated assets. An increase/(decrease) in earnings on escrow in isolation would be accompanied by an increase/(decrease) in the value of the

related MSR. Generally, when market interest rates decline and other factors favorable to prepayments occur, there is a corresponding increase in prepayment rates as customers are expected to refinance existing mortgages under more favorable interest rate terms. Generally, changes in discount rates directionally mirror the changes in

66

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

market interest rates. In third quarter 2013, FHN agreed to sell substantially all its remaining legacy mortgage servicing. Sales commenced in fourth quarter 2013 and were substantially completed in first quarter 2014. FHN used the price in the definitive agreement, as adjusted for the portion of pricing that was not specific to the MSR and excess interest, as a third-party pricing source in the valuation of the remaining servicing assets as of June 30, 2014.

Prior to the contracted servicing sale, the MSR Hedging Working Group reviewed the overall assessment of the estimated fair value of MSR and excess interests weekly and was responsible for approving the critical assumptions used by management to determine the estimated fair value of FHN s retained interests. In addition, this working group reviewed the source of significant changes to the carrying values each quarter and was responsible for hedges and approving hedging strategies during periods when the MSR was hedged. Hedges were terminated upon execution of the definitive agreement to sell servicing. Subsequent to the contracted servicing sale, FHN s Corporate Accounting Department monitors sale activity and changes in the fair value of MSR and excess interest monthly.

Prior to the contracted servicing sale, FHN also engaged in a process referred to as price discovery on a quarterly basis to assess the reasonableness of the estimated fair value of retained interests. Price discovery was conducted through a process of obtaining the following information: (1) quarterly informal (and an annual formal) valuation of the servicing portfolio by prominent independent mortgage-servicing brokers and (2) a collection of surveys and benchmarking data made available by independent third parties that include peer participants in the mortgage banking business. Although there was no single source of market information that could be relied upon to assess the fair value of MSR or excess interests, FHN reviewed all information obtained during price discovery to determine whether the estimated fair value of MSR was reasonable when compared to market information.

Loans held-for-sale. Prepayment rates, credit spreads, and delinquency adjustment factors are significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of FHN s residential real estate loans held-for-sale. Loss severity trends are also assessed to evaluate the reasonableness of fair value estimates resulting from discounted cash flows methodologies as well as to estimate fair value for newly repurchased loans and loans that are near foreclosure. Significant increases (decreases) in any of these inputs in isolation would result in significantly lower (higher) fair value measurements. Draw rates are an additional significant unobservable input for HELOCs. Increases (decreases) in the draw rate estimates for HELOCs would increase (decrease) their fair value. All observable and unobservable inputs are re-assessed monthly. Fair value measurements are reviewed at least monthly by FHN s Corporate Accounting Department.

Venture capital investments. The unobservable inputs used in the estimation of fair value for Venture capital investments are adjustments for minority interest and small business status when compared to industry comparables, reduction of cash flow estimates due to industry uncertainty and the discount rate and earnings capitalization rate for a discounted cash flow analysis. For both valuation techniques, the inputs are intended to reflect the nature of the small business and the status of equity tranches held by FHN in relation to the overall valuation. The valuation of venture capital investments is reviewed at least quarterly by FHN s Equity Investment Review Committee. Changes in valuation are discussed with respect to the appropriateness of the adjustments in relation to the associated triggering events.

Derivative liabilities. The determination of fair value for FHN s derivative liabilities associated with its prior sales of Visa Class B shares include estimation of both the resolution amount for Visa s Covered Litigation matters as well as the length of time until the resolution occurs. Significant increases (decreases) in either of these inputs in isolation would result in significantly higher (lower) fair value measurements for the derivative liabilities. Additionally, FHN performs a probability weighted multiple resolution scenario to calculate the estimated fair value of these derivative liabilities. Assignment of higher (lower) probabilities to the larger potential resolution scenarios would result in an increase (decrease) in the estimated fair value of the derivative liabilities. The valuation inputs and process are discussed with senior and executive management when significant events affecting the estimate of fair value occur. Inputs are compared to information obtained from the public issuances and filings of Visa, Inc. as well as public information released by other participants in the applicable litigation matters.

Loans, net of unearned income and Real estate acquired by foreclosure. Collateral-dependent loans and Real estate acquired by foreclosure are primarily valued using appraisals based on sales of comparable properties in the same or similar markets. Multiple appraisal firms are utilized to ensure that estimated values are consistent between firms. This process occurs within FHN s Credit Risk Management and Loan Servicing functions (primarily consumer) and the Credit Risk Management Committee reviews valuation methodologies and loss information for reasonableness. Back testing is performed during the year through comparison to ultimate disposition values and is reviewed quarterly within the Credit Risk Management function. Other collateral (receivables, inventory, equipment, etc.) is valued through borrowing base certificates, financial statements and/or auction valuations. These valuations are discounted based on the quality of reporting, knowledge of the marketability/collectability of the collateral and historical disposition rates.

Other assets tax credit investments. The estimated fair value of tax credit investments is generally determined in relation to the yield (i.e., future tax credits to be received) an acquirer of these investments would expect in relation to the yields experienced on current new issue and/or secondary market transactions. Thus, as tax credits are recognized, the future yield to a market participant is reduced, resulting in consistent impairment of the individual investments. Individual investments are reviewed for impairment quarterly, which

67

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

may include the consideration of additional marketability discounts related to specific investments. Unusual valuation adjustments, and the associated triggering events, are discussed with senior and executive management, when appropriate. A portfolio review is conducted annually, with the assistance of a third party, to assess the reasonableness of current valuations.

Fair Value Option

FHN elected the fair value option on a prospective basis for almost all types of mortgage loans originated for sale purposes under the Financial Instruments Topic (ASC 825). FHN determined that the election reduced certain timing differences and better matched changes in the value of such loans with changes in the value of derivatives used as economic hedges for these assets at the time of election. After the 2008 divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and the significant decline of mortgage loans originated for sale, FHN discontinued hedging the mortgage warehouse.

Repurchased loans are recognized within loans held-for-sale at fair value at the time of repurchase, which includes consideration of the credit status of the loans and the estimated liquidation value. FHN has elected to continue recognition of these loans at fair value in periods subsequent to reacquisition. Due to the credit-distressed nature of the vast majority of repurchased loans and the related loss severities experienced upon repurchase, FHN believes that the fair value election provides a more timely recognition of changes in value for these loans that occur subsequent to repurchase. Absent the fair value election, these loans would be subject to valuation at the LOCOM value, which would prevent subsequent values from exceeding the initial fair value, determined at the time of repurchase but would require recognition of subsequent declines in value. Thus, the fair value election provides for a more timely recognition of any potential future recoveries in asset values while not affecting the requirement to recognize subsequent declines in value.

Prior to 2010, FHN transferred certain servicing assets in transactions that did not qualify for sale treatment due to certain recourse provisions. In fourth quarter 2013, these recourse provisions expired and the transaction was recognized as a sale. The associated proceeds were recognized within other short-term borrowings in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. Since the servicing assets were recognized at fair value and changes in the fair value of the related financing liabilities mirrored the change in fair value of the associated servicing assets, management elected to account for the financing liabilities at fair value. Since the servicing assets had already been delivered to the buyer, the fair value of the financing liabilities associated with the transaction did not reflect any instrument-specific credit risk.

The following tables reflect the differences between the fair value carrying amount of residential real estate loans held-for-sale measured at fair value in accordance with management selection and the aggregate unpaid principal amount FHN is contractually entitled to receive at maturity.

	June 30, 2014			
	Fair value carrying	Aggregate unpaid		value carrying at less aggregate
(Dollars in thousands)	amount	principal	unp	aid principal
Residential real estate loans held-for-sale reported at fair				
value:				
Total loans	\$ 232,487	\$ 367,173	\$	(134,686)
Nonaccrual loans	69,571	134,014		(64,443)
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	7,291	13,504		(6,213)
		June 30, 20	13	
			Fair	value carrying
	Fair value	Aggregate	aı	mount less
	carrying	unpaid	ä	aggregate
(Dollars in thousands)	amount	principal	unp	aid principal
Residential real estate loans held-for-sale reported at fair				
value:				
Total loans	\$ 235,080	\$ 381,725	\$	(146,645)
Nonaccrual loans	66,221	139,935		(73,714)
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	10,887	17,792		(6,905)

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

68

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Assets and liabilities accounted for under the fair value election are initially measured at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Such changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities for which FHN elected the fair value option are included in current period earnings with classification in the income statement line item reflected in the following table:

	Three Months Ended June 30			Six Months Ended June 30	
(Dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Changes in fair value included in net income:					
Mortgage banking noninterest income					
Loans held-for-sale	\$ 8,214	\$ (2,310)	\$ 9,401	\$ (2,135)	
Other short-term borrowings		(1,365)		(1,193)	

For the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the amounts for residential real estate loans held-for-sale include a gain of \$.9 million and a loss of \$.5 million, respectively, in pretax earnings that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the amounts for loans held-for-sale include gains of \$2.6 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, in pretax earnings that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk. The portion of the fair value adjustments related to credit risk was determined based on both a quality adjustment for delinquencies and the full credit spread on the non-conforming loans. Interest income on residential real estate loans held-for-sale measured at fair value is calculated based on the note rate of the loan and is recorded in the interest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income as interest on loans held-for-sale.

Determination of Fair Value

In accordance with ASC 820-10-35, fair values are based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following describes the assumptions and methodologies used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments and MSR recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition and for estimating the fair value of financial instruments for which fair value is disclosed under ASC 825-10-50.

Short-term financial assets. Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and interest bearing deposits with other financial institutions and the Federal Reserve are carried at historical cost. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization.

Trading securities and trading liabilities. Trading securities and trading liabilities are recognized at fair value through current earnings. Trading inventory held for broker-dealer operations is included in trading securities and trading liabilities. Broker-dealer long positions are valued at bid price in the bid-ask spread. Short positions are valued at the ask price. Inventory positions are valued using observable inputs including current market transactions, LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves, credit spreads, and consensus prepayment speeds.

Trading securities also include retained interests in prior securitizations that qualify as financial assets, which primarily include interest-only strips, principal-only strips and subordinated bonds. In third quarter 2013, FHN agreed to sell substantially all of its remaining legacy mortgage servicing, including excess interest. Since that time FHN has used the price in the definitive agreement, as adjusted for the portion of pricing that was not specific to the excess interest, as a third-party pricing source in the valuation of the excess interest. FHN uses inputs including yield curves, credit spreads, and prepayment speeds to determine the fair value of principal-only strips. Subordinated bonds are bonds with junior priority and are valued using an internal model which includes, contractual terms, frequency and severity of loss (credit spreads), prepayment speeds of the underlying collateral, and the yield that a market participant would require.

The fair value of excess interest was determined using prices from closely comparable assets such as MSR that are tested against prices determined using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows. Inputs utilized in valuing excess interest are consistent with those used to value the related MSR. The fair value of excess interest typically changes based on changes in the discount rate and differences between modeled prepayment speeds and credit losses and actual experience. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by brokers and other service providers. FHN also periodically compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions with brokers, service providers, recent market activity, and against its own experience.

Securities available-for-sale. Securities available-for-sale includes the investment portfolio accounted for as available-for-sale under ASC 320-10-25, federal bank stock holdings, short-term investments in mutual funds, and venture capital investments. Valuations of available-for-sale securities are performed using observable inputs obtained from market transactions in similar securities. Typical inputs include LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves, consensus prepayment estimates, and credit spreads. When available, broker quotes

69

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

are used to support these valuations. Certain government agency debt obligations with limited trading activity are valued using a discounted cash flow model that incorporates a combination of observable and unobservable inputs. Primary observable inputs include contractual cash flows and the treasury curve. Significant unobservable inputs include estimated trading spreads and estimated prepayment speeds.

Investments in the stock of the Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Banks are recognized at historical cost in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition which is considered to approximate fair value. Short-term investments in mutual funds are measured at the funds—reported closing net asset values. Investments in equity securities are valued using quoted market prices. Venture capital investments are typically measured using significant internally generated inputs including adjustments to industry comparables and discounted cash flows analysis.

Securities held-to-maturity. Securities held-to-maturity reflects debt securities for which management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. To the extent possible, valuations of held-to-maturity securities are performed using observable inputs obtained from market transactions in similar securities. Typical inputs include LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves and credit spreads. Debt securities with limited trading activity are valued using a discounted cash flow model that incorporates a combination of observable and unobservable inputs. Primary observable inputs include contractual cash flows, the treasury curve and credit spreads from similar instruments. Significant unobservable inputs include estimated credit spreads for individual issuers and instruments as well as prepayment speeds, as applicable.

Loans held-for-sale. For applicable loans current transaction prices and /or bid values on similar assets are used in valuing residential real estate loans held-for-sale. Uncommitted bids may be adjusted based on other available market information. For all other loans FHN determines the fair value of residential real estate loans held-for-sale using a discounted cash flow model which incorporates both observable and unobservable inputs. Typical inputs include contractual cash flow requirements, current mortgage rates for similar products, estimated prepayment rates, credit spreads and delinquency penalty adjustments. Adjustments for delinquency and other differences in loan characteristics are typically reflected in the model s discount rates. Loss severity trends and the value of underlying collateral are also considered in assessing the appropriate fair value for severely delinquent loans and loans in foreclosure. The valuation of HELOCs also incorporates estimates of loan draw rates as well as estimated cancellation rates for loans expected to become delinquent.

Loans held-for-sale also includes loans made by the Small Business Administration (SBA), which are accounted for at LOCOM. The fair value of SBA loans is determined using an expected cash flow model that utilizes observable inputs such as the spread between LIBOR and prime rates, consensus prepayment speeds, and the treasury curve. The fair value of other non-residential real estate loans held-for-sale is approximated by their carrying values based on current transaction values.

Loans, **net** of **unearned** income. Loans, net of unearned income are recognized at the amount of funds advanced, less charge-offs and an estimation of credit risk represented by the allowance for loan losses. The fair value estimates for disclosure purposes differentiate loans based on their financial characteristics, such as product classification, vintage, loan category, pricing features, and remaining maturity.

The fair value of floating rate loans is estimated through comparison to recent market activity in loans of similar product types, with adjustments made for differences in loan characteristics. In situations where market pricing inputs are not available, fair value is considered to approximate book value due to the monthly repricing for commercial and consumer loans, with the exception of floating rate 1-4 family residential mortgage loans which reprice annually and will lag movements in market rates. The fair value for floating rate 1-4 family mortgage loans is calculated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same time period.

Prepayment assumptions based on historical prepayment speeds and industry speeds for similar loans have been applied to the floating rate 1-4 family residential mortgage portfolio.

The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated through comparison to recent market activity in loans of similar product types, with adjustments made for differences in loan characteristics. In situations where market pricing inputs are not available, fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same time period. Prepayment assumptions based on historical prepayment speeds and industry speeds for similar loans have been applied to the fixed rate mortgage and installment loan portfolios.

For all loan portfolio classes, adjustments are made to reflect liquidity or illiquidity of the market. Such adjustments reflect discounts that FHN believes are consistent with what a market participant would consider in determining fair value given current market conditions.

Individually impaired loans are measured using either a discounted cash flow methodology or the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell, if the loan is considered collateral-dependent. In accordance with accounting standards, the

70

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

discounted cash flow analysis utilizes the loan's effective interest rate for discounting expected cash flow amounts. Thus, this analysis is not considered a fair value measurement in accordance with ASC 820. However, the results of this methodology are considered to approximate fair value for the applicable loans. Expected cash flows are derived from internally-developed inputs primarily reflecting expected default rates on contractual cash flows. For loans measured using the estimated fair value of collateral less costs to sell, fair value is estimated using appraisals of the collateral values are monitored and additional write-downs are recognized if it is determined that the estimated collateral values have declined further. Estimated costs to sell are based on current amounts of disposal costs for similar assets. Carrying value is considered to reflect fair value for these loans.

Mortgage servicing rights. FHN recognizes all classes of MSR at fair value. In third quarter 2013, FHN agreed to sell substantially all of its remaining legacy mortgage servicing. Since that time FHN has used the price in the definitive agreement, as adjusted for the portion of pricing that was not specific to the MSR, as a third-party pricing source in the valuation of the MSR held at June 30, 2014.

Since sales of MSR tend to occur in private transactions and the precise terms and conditions of the sales are typically not readily available, there is a limited market to refer to in determining the fair value of MSR. As such, prior to the 2013 sale agreement, FHN primarily relied on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its MSR. This model calculated estimated fair value of the MSR using predominant risk characteristics of MSR such as interest rates, type of product (fixed vs. variable), age (new, seasoned, or moderate), agency type and other factors. FHN used assumptions in the model that it believed were comparable to those used by brokers and other service providers. FHN also periodically compared its estimates of fair value and assumptions with brokers, service providers, recent market activity, and against its own experience.

Derivative assets and liabilities. The fair value for forwards and futures contracts is based on current transactions involving identical securities. Futures contracts are exchange-traded and thus have no credit risk factor assigned as the risk of non-performance is limited to the clearinghouse used.

Valuations of other derivatives (primarily interest rate related swaps, swaptions, caps, and collars) are based on inputs observed in active markets for similar instruments. Typical inputs include the LIBOR curve, Overnight Indexed Swap (OIS) curve, option volatility, and option skew. In measuring the fair value of these derivative assets and liabilities, FHN has elected to consider credit risk based on the net exposure to individual counterparties. Credit risk is mitigated for these instruments through the use of mutual margining and master netting agreements as well as collateral posting requirements. Any remaining credit risk related to interest rate derivatives is considered in determining fair value through evaluation of additional factors such as customer loan grades and debt ratings. Foreign currency related derivatives also utilize observable exchange rates in the determination of fair value.

In conjunction with the sales of portions of its Visa Class B shares, FHN and the purchasers entered into derivative transactions whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. The fair value of these derivatives has been determined using a discounted cash flow methodology for estimated future cash flows determined through use of probability weighted scenarios for multiple estimates of Visa saggregate exposure to covered litigation matters, which include consideration of amounts funded by Visa into its escrow account for the covered litigation matters. Since this estimation process required application of judgment in developing significant unobservable inputs used to determine the possible outcomes and the probability weighting assigned to each scenario, these derivatives have been classified within Level 3 in fair value measurements disclosures.

Real estate acquired by foreclosure. Real estate acquired by foreclosure primarily consists of properties that have been acquired in satisfaction of debt. These properties are carried at the lower of the outstanding loan amount or estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell the real estate. Estimated fair value is determined using appraised values with subsequent adjustments for deterioration in values that are not reflected in the most recent appraisal. Real estate acquired by foreclosure also includes properties acquired in compliance with HUD servicing guidelines which are carried at the estimated amount of the underlying government insurance or guarantee.

Nonearning assets. For disclosure purposes, nonearning assets include cash and due from banks, accrued interest receivable, and capital markets receivables. Due to the short-term nature of cash and due from banks, accrued interest receivable, and capital markets receivables, the fair value is approximated by the book value.

Other assets. For disclosure purposes, other assets consist of tax credit investments and deferred compensation assets that are considered financial assets. Tax credit investments are written down to estimated fair value quarterly based on the estimated value of the associated tax

credits. Deferred compensation assets are recognized at fair value, which is based on quoted prices in active markets.

Defined maturity deposits. The fair value of these deposits is estimated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted by using the current market rates of similar instruments applicable to the remaining maturity. For disclosure purposes, defined maturity deposits include all certificates of deposit and other time deposits.

71

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

Undefined maturity deposits. In accordance with ASC 825, the fair value of these deposits is approximated by the book value. For the purpose of this disclosure, undefined maturity deposits include demand deposits, checking interest accounts, savings accounts, and money market accounts.

Short-term financial liabilities. The fair value of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings are approximated by the book value. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization. Prior to fourth quarter 2013, Other short-term borrowings included a liability associated with transfers of MSR that did not qualify for sale accounting. This liability was accounted for at elected fair value, which was measured consistent with the related MSR, as previously described.

Term borrowings. The fair value of term borrowings is based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes for the identical liability when traded as an asset. When pricing information for the identical liability is not available, relevant prices for similar debt instruments are used with adjustments being made to the prices obtained for differences in characteristics of the debt instruments. If no relevant pricing information is available, the fair value is approximated by the present value of the contractual cash flows discounted by the investor s yield which considers FHN s and FTBNA s debt ratings.

Other noninterest-bearing liabilities. For disclosure purposes, other noninterest-bearing liabilities include accrued interest payable and capital markets payables. Due to the short-term nature of these liabilities, the book value is considered to approximate fair value.

Loan commitments. Fair values of these commitments are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing.

Other commitments. Fair values of these commitments are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements.

The following fair value estimates are determined as of a specific point in time utilizing various assumptions and estimates. The use of assumptions and various valuation techniques, as well as the absence of secondary markets for certain financial instruments, will likely reduce the comparability of fair value disclosures between financial institutions. Due to market illiquidity, the fair values for loans, net of unearned income, loans held-for-sale, and term borrowings as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, involve the use of significant internally-developed pricing assumptions for certain components of these line items. These assumptions are considered to reflect inputs that market participants would use in transactions involving these instruments as of the measurement date. Assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments (including MSR) have not been included in the following table such as the value of long-term relationships with deposit and trust customers, premises and equipment, goodwill and other intangibles, deferred taxes, and certain other assets and other liabilities. Accordingly, the total of the fair value amounts does not represent, and should not be construed to represent, the underlying value of the Company.

72

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

The following tables summarize the book value and estimated fair value of financial instruments recorded in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as well as unfunded commitments as of June 30, 2014 and 2013.

	Book	June 30, 2014 Fair Value			
(Dollars in thousands)	Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:					
Loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan losses					
Commercial:					
Commercial, financial and industrial	\$ 8,334,245	\$	\$	\$ 8,244,011	\$ 8,244,011
Commercial real estate	1,215,692			1,168,748	1,168,748
Retail:					
Consumer real estate	5,100,893			4,810,173	4,810,173
Permanent mortgage	570,274			513,946	513,946
Credit card & other	330,977			332,924	332,924
Total loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan					
losses	15,552,081			15,069,802	15,069,802
Short-term financial assets	13,332,001			15,005,002	13,002,002
Interest-bearing cash	255,920	255,920			255,920
Federal funds sold	51,537	233,720	51,537		51,537
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	624,477		624,477		624,477
Securities purchased under agreements to resen	024,477		024,477		024,477
	021 024	255.020	676.014		021 024
Total short-term financial assets	931,934	255,920	676,014		931,934
Trading securities (a)	1,150,280		1,143,859	6,421	1,150,280
Loans held-for-sale (a)	358,945		3,471	355,474	358,945
Securities available-for-sale (a) (b)	3,576,542	25,995	3,385,884	164,663	3,576,542
Securities held-to-maturity	4,279			5,556	5,556
Derivative assets (a)	162,067	5,119	156,948		162,067
Other assets					
Tax credit investments	61,093			61,093	61,093
Deferred compensation assets	24,860	24,860			24,860
Total other assets	85,953	24,860		61,093	85,953
Nonearning assets	11,11	,		,,,,	,
Cash & due from banks	417,108	417,108			417,108
Capital markets receivables	174,224	11,,100	174,224		174,224
Accrued interest receivable	67,132		67,132		67,132
recided interest receivable	07,132		07,132		07,132
	(50.464	417 100	241.256		(50.464
Total nonearning assets	658,464	417,108	241,356		658,464
Total assets	\$ 22,480,545	\$ 729,002	\$ 5,607,532	\$ 15,663,009	\$ 21,999,543
Liabilities:					
Deposits:					
Defined maturity	\$ 1,312,419	\$	\$ 1,319,686	\$	\$ 1,319,686
Undefined maturity	14,845,068	Ŧ	14,845,068	Ŧ	14,845,068
Choching mainly	1 1,0 15,000		1 1,0 13,000		1 1,0 15,000
T. (11 ')	16 157 407		16 164 754		16 164 754
Total deposits	16,157,487		16,164,754		16,164,754
Trading liabilities (a)	706,119		706,119		706,119

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Short-term financial liabilities					
Federal funds purchased	947,946		947,946		947,946
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	475,530		475,530		475,530
Other short-term borrowings	1,073,250		1,073,250		1,073,250
Total short-term financial liabilities	2,496,726		2,496,726		2,496,726
Term borrowings					
Real estate investment trust-preferred	45,862			49,350	49,350
Term borrowings new market tax credit investment	18,000			17,940	17,940
Borrowings secured by residential real estate	74,103			63,951	63,951
Other long term borrowings	1,363,244		1,357,728		1,357,728
Total term borrowings	1,501,209		1,357,728	131,241	1,488,969
Derivative liabilities (a)	138,336	5,310	128,301	4,725	138,336
Other noninterest-bearing liabilities					
Capital markets payables	95,299		95,299		95,299
Accrued interest payable	23,218		23,218		23,218
Total other noninterest-bearing liabilities	118,517		118,517		118,517
8	- ,-		-,-		- /-
Total liabilities	\$ 21,118,394	\$ 5,310	\$ 20,972,145	\$ 135,966	\$ 21,113,421
	, ,,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, ,,

⁽a) Classes are detailed in the recurring and nonrecurring measurement tables.

⁽b) Level 3 includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$87.9 million and FRB stock of \$66.0 million.

Note 17 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)

		June 30, 2013			
	Book			Fair Value	
		Level	Level		
(Dollars in thousands)	Value	1	2	Level 3	Total
Assets:					
Loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan losses					
Commercial:					
Commercial, financial and industrial (a)	\$ 8,274,565	\$	\$	\$ 8,073,950	\$ 8,073,950
Commercial real estate	1,204,275			1,166,153	1,166,153
Petail:					

Retail: