GWG Holdings, Inc. Form 424B3 August 14, 2013

> Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3) File Nos. 333-174887 and 333-174887-01

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 1 to Prospectus dated June 4, 2013

Offering Amount \$250,000,000

GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

a Delaware corporation

Renewable Secured Debentures

The information contained in this prospectus supplement amends and updates the prospectus dated June 4, 2013 (relating to our post-effective amendment to registration statement on Form S-1/A, filed with the SEC on April 4, 2013) (SEC File Nos. 333-174887 and 333-174887-01) (and which we refer to herein simply as the "prospectus"), and should be read in conjunction therewith. GWG Life Settlements, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of GWG Holdings, Inc., is also a registrant under the referenced registration statement as a guarantor of the Renewable Secured Debentures offered hereby (the "debentures"). Please keep this prospectus supplement with your prospectus for future reference.

Investing in our debentures may be considered speculative and involves a high degree of risk, including the risk of losing your entire investment. See the "Risk Factors" section of our prospectus for the risks you should consider before buying our debentures. An investment in our debentures is not suitable for all investors. Persons should not invest in the debentures unless they can afford to lose their entire investment. See the "Suitability Standards" section of this prospectus supplement, which sets forth the suitability standards that investors must meet in order to purchase the debentures.

The security provided for the debentures and entitling them to be referred to as "secured" includes an unconditional guarantee given by GWG Life Settlements, LLC, a subsidiary of the issuer. The value of this unconditional guarantee is based almost entirely on GWG Life Settlement's own investment in another subsidiary, the primary assets of which are pledged as collateral for the repayment of amounts borrowed from a senior lender.

Capitalized terms contained in this prospectus supplement have the same meanings as in the prospectus unless otherwise stated herein.

RECENT EVENTS

On August 14, 2013, we filed our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013. This prospectus supplement has been prepared primarily to set forth certain information contained in that report.

Neither the SEC nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus supplement is August 14, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
SUITABILITY STANDARDS	1	
RISK RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	3	
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND		
RESULTS OF OPERATION	4	
FINANCIAL INFORMATION	25	
GWG Holdings, Inc. 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 746-1944 Fax: (612) 746-0445		
i		

SUITABILITY STANDARDS

Note: The following are the suitability standards for investors in our continuous offering of debentures. These standards update and replace, in their entirety, the suitability standards contained in the prospectus.

Pursuant to applicable state securities laws, debentures offered through this prospectus are suitable only as a long-term investment for persons of adequate financial means who have no need for liquidity in their investment. There is not expected to be any public market for the debentures, which means that it may be difficult or impossible for you to resell the debentures. As a result, we have established general suitability standards that are applicable to all investors in all states. In addition, we have established additional suitability standards (discussed in more detail below) in the following states—Arizona, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina and Washington.

In all cases, our general suitability standards require that investors have either (i) a net worth (not including home, furnishings, and personal automobiles) of at least \$70,000 and an annual gross income of at least \$70,000, or (ii) a net worth (not including home, furnishings, and personal automobiles) of at least \$250,000. Our general suitability standards also require that a potential investor (1) can reasonably benefit from an investment in us based on such investor's overall investment objectives and portfolio structuring; (2) is able to bear the economic risk of the investment based on the prospective debenture holder's overall financial situation; and (3) has apparent understanding of (a) the fundamental risks of the investment, (b) the risk that such investor may lose his or her entire investment, (c) the lack of liquidity of the debentures, (d) the qualifications of any advisor in our selling group who is recommending an investment in the debentures, and (e) the tax consequences of the investment.

As indicated above, additional suitability standards apply to the offer and sale of debentures in Arizona, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina and Washington. For investors in these states, both the general suitability standards and the additional suitability standards must be satisfied. The additional suitability standards are as follows:

State	
Arizona and	
North	
Dakota	

Suitability Requirements

Investors must have either (i) a minimum of \$150,000 (or \$200,000 when combined with a spouse) in gross income during the prior year and a reasonable expectation that the investor will have at least such income in the current year, or (ii) a minimum net worth of \$350,000 (or \$400,000 when combined with a spouse), exclusive of home, home furnishings and automobiles, with the investment in debentures offered hereby not exceeding 10% of the net worth of the investor (together with a spouse, if applicable).

Idaho

It is recommended by the Idaho Department of Finance that Idaho investors not invest, in the aggregate, more than 10% of their liquid net worth in this and similar investments which may be considered speculative. Liquid net worth is defined as that portion of net worth consisting of cash, cash equivalents and readily marketable securities.

Iowa, Maine, Nebraska, New Jersey, Oregon, South Carolina and Washington

Investors must be "accredited investors" as that term is defined in Rule 501(a) under the Securities Act of 1933.

Kansas

It is required by the Office of the Kansas Securities Commissioner that Kansas investors limit their aggregate investment in the securities of the company and other similar programs to not more than 10% of their liquid net worth. For these purposes, liquid net worth shall be defined as that portion of total net worth (total assets minus total liabilities) that is comprised of cash, cash equivalents and readily marketable securities, as determined in conformity with Generally Acceptable Accounting Principles.

Kentucky

Investors are required to have either (i) a minimum gross annual income of \$100,000 and a minimum net worth (excluding the value of homes, furnishings, and personal automobiles) of \$150,000, or (ii) a minimum net worth (excluding the value of homes, furnishings, and personal automobiles) of \$250,000.

Massachusetts and

New Mexico Investors must be "accredited investors" as that term is defined in Rule 501(a) under the Securities Act of 1933, and must limit their aggregate investment in the securities of the Company and other similar programs to not more than 10% of their liquid net worth. For this purpose, "liquid net worth" shall be defined as that portion of total net worth (i.e., total assets minus total liabilities) that consists of cash, cash equivalents and readily marketable securities, as determined in conformity with Generally

Accepted Accounting Principles.

Oklahoma Purchases by investors should not exceed 10% of their net worth. For this

purpose, "net worth" is determined exclusive of the value of a home, home

furnishings and automobiles.

We have agreed to condition our offering in the State of Washington on our compliance with Revised Code of Washington section 21.20.715, which requires that at least 50% of the principal amount of debentures we sell must have maturities of two years or more.

The minimum purchase for our debentures is \$25,000. To satisfy the minimum purchase requirements for retirement plans, unless otherwise prohibited by state law, a husband and wife may jointly contribute funds from their separate individual retirement accounts, or IRAs, provided that each such contribution is made in increments of \$500. You should note that an investment in our debentures will not, in itself, create a retirement plan and that, in order to create a retirement plan, you must comply with all applicable provisions of the Code. If you wish to purchase debentures in excess of the \$25,000 minimum, any additional purchase must be in amounts of at least \$1,000. In the case of sales to fiduciary accounts, these suitability standards must be met by the person who directly or indirectly supplied the funds for the purchase of our debentures or by the beneficiary of the account.

These suitability standards are intended to help ensure that, given the long-term nature of an investment in our debentures, our investment objectives and the relative illiquidity of our debentures, the debentures are an appropriate investment for prospective purchasers. Those selling debentures on our behalf must make every reasonable effort to determine that the purchase of our debentures is a suitable and appropriate investment for each debenture holder based on information known to selling group members and provided by the debenture holder in the Subscription Agreement. Each selected broker-dealer is required to maintain for six years records of the information used to determine that an investment in our debentures is suitable and appropriate for a debenture holder.

The investor suitability requirements stated above represent minimum suitability requirements we establish for prospective debenture holders. However, satisfaction of these requirements will not necessarily mean that the debentures are a suitable investment for a prospective investor, or that we will accept the prospective investor's Subscription Agreement. Furthermore, as appropriate, we may modify such requirements in our sole discretion, and such modifications may raise (but not lower) the suitability requirements for prospective debenture holders. If you do not meet the requirements described above, do not read further and immediately return this prospectus. In the event you do not meet such requirements, this prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell debentures to you.

RISK RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain matters discussed in this prospectus supplement are forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. Nevertheless, these forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about our operations and the investments we make, including, among other things, factors discussed in the "Risk Factors" section of our prospectus and the following:

- changes in the secondary market for life insurance;
 - our limited operating history;
- the valuation of assets reflected on our financial statements;
- the reliability of assumptions underlying our actuarial models;
 - our reliance on continued access to debt financing;
- the effect of an ongoing FINRA investigation into the selling practices of members of our selling group;
 - risks relating to the validity and enforceability of the life insurance policies we purchase;
 - our reliance on information provided and obtained by third parties;
 - federal and state regulatory matters;
- additional expenses, not reflected in our operating history, related to being a public reporting company;
 - competition in the secondary life insurance market;
 - the relative illiquidity of life insurance policies;
 - life insurance company credit exposure;
 - economic outlook;
 - the performance of our investments in life insurance policies;
 - our financing requirements;
 - litigation risks; and
 - restrictive covenants contained in borrowing agreements.

Some of the statements in this prospectus supplement that are not historical facts are "forward-looking" statements. Forward-looking statements can generally be identified by the use of words like "believes," "could," "possibly," "probably," "anticipates," "estimates," "projects," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "seek," "intend," "plan," "consider" these expressions or other variations, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. All forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual transactions, results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future transactions, results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The cautionary statements set forth in the "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in the prospectus, and in this prospectus supplement, identify important factors with respect to such forward-looking statements due to the life insurance focus of our business.

We base these forward-looking statements on current expectations and projections about future events and the information currently available to us. Although we believe that the assumptions for these forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove to be inaccurate. Consequently, no representation or warranty can be given that the estimates, opinions, or assumptions made in or referenced by this prospectus supplement will prove to be accurate. We caution you that the forward-looking statements in the prospectus and this prospectus supplement are only estimates and predictions. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements due to risks, uncertainties or actual events differing from the assumptions underlying these statements. These risks, uncertainties and assumptions include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the

prospectus and this prospectus supplement.

Although federal securities laws provide a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by a public company that files reports under the federal securities laws, this safe harbor is not available to certain issuers, including issuers that do not have their equity traded on a recognized national exchange or the Nasdaq Capital Market. Our common stock does not trade on any recognized national exchange or the Nasdaq Capital Market. As a result, we will not have the benefit of this safe harbor protection in the event of any legal action based upon a claim that the material provided by us contained a material misstatement of fact or was misleading in any material respect because of our failure to include any statements necessary to make the statements not misleading.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Note: The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company are derived from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013, filed with the SEC on August 14, 2012. We have not materially updated this discussion in any way, although it may be presented in a different order than in our Quarterly Report. As indicated in that report, this discussion and analysis is based on the beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management. The statements in this discussion and analysis concerning expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources, as well as other non-historical statements in this discussion and analysis, are forward-looking statements. See "Risks Relating to Forward-Looking Statements" above. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those suggested or implied by any forward-looking statements.

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus supplement, as well as our consolidated financial statements and related notes contained within the prospectus

Overview

We are engaged in the emerging secondary market for life insurance policies. We acquire life insurance policies in the secondary market from policy owners desiring to sell their policies at a discount to the face value of the insurance benefit. Once we purchase a policy, we continue paying the policy premiums in order to ultimately collect the face value of the insurance benefit. We generally hold the individual policies to maturity, in order to ultimately collect the policy's face value upon the insured's mortality. Our strategy is to build a profitable and large (greater than 300 policies) portfolio of policies that is diversified in terms of insurance carriers and the medical conditions of insureds. We believe that diversification among insurers and medical conditions will lower our overall risk exposure, and that a larger number of individual policies (diversification in overall number) will provide our portfolio with greater actuarial stability.

In the first six months of 2013, we recognized \$7,728,000 of revenue from the receipt of \$10,600,000 in policy benefits. In addition, we recognized revenue from the change in fair value of our life insurance policies, net of premiums and carrying costs, of \$8,346,000. Interest expense, including amortization of the deferred financing costs and preferred stock dividends, was \$9,409,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013, and selling, general and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 were \$5,915,000. Income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$2,368,000. Our net income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$1,824,000.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires us to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our judgments, estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions. We evaluate our judgments, estimates and assumptions on a regular basis and make changes accordingly. We believe that the judgments, estimates and assumptions involved in the accounting for the valuation of investments in life insurance

policies have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements and accordingly believe these to be our critical accounting estimates. Below we discuss the critical accounting policies associated with these estimates as well as certain other critical accounting policies.

Ownership of Life Insurance Policies—Fair Value Option

Our primary business involves the purchasing and financing of life insurance policies. As such, we account for the purchase of life insurance policies in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, which requires us to use either the investment method or the fair value method. The election is made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. We have elected to account for these life insurance policies as investments using the fair value method.

We initially record our purchase of life insurance policies at the transaction price, which is the amount paid for the policy, inclusive of all fees and costs associated with the acquisition. The fair value of the investment in insurance policies is evaluated at the end of each reporting period. Changes in the fair value of the life insurance policies are based on periodic evaluations and are recorded as changes in fair value of life insurance policies in our consolidated and combined statement of operations. The fair value is determined as the net present value of the life insurance portfolio's future expected cash flows that incorporates current life expectancy and discount rate assumptions.

In addition to reporting our results of operations and financial condition based on the fair value of our life insurance policies as required by GAAP, management also makes calculations based on the weighted average expected internal rate of return of the policies. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" below.

Valuation of Insurance Policies

Unobservable inputs, as discussed below, are a critical component of our estimate for the fair value of our investments in life insurance policies. We currently use a probabilistic method of valuing life insurance policies, which we believe to be the preferred and most prevalent valuation method in the industry. In this regard, the most significant assumptions we make are the life expectancy of the insured and the discount rate.

In determining the life expectancy estimate, we generally use actuarial medical reviews from independent medical underwriters. These medical underwriters summarize the health of the insured by reviewing historical and current medical records. The medical underwriters evaluate the health condition of the insured in order to produce an estimate of the insured's mortality—a life expectancy report. In the case of a small face policy (\$250,000 face value or less), we may use one life expectancy report or estimate life expectancy based on a modified methodology. The life expectancy report represents a range of probabilities for the insured's mortality against a group of cohorts with the same age, sex and smoking status. These mortality probabilities represent a mathematical curve known as a mortality curve, which is then used to generate a series of expected cash flows from the life insurance policy over the expected lifespan of the insured. A discount rate is used to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows. The discount rate represents the internal rate of return we expect to earn on investments in a policy or in the portfolio as a whole. The discount rate used to calculate fair value of our portfolio incorporates the guidance provided by ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

The table below provides the discount rate used to estimate the fair value of the life insurance policies for the period ending:

June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
11.84%	12.08%

The change in the discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the issuing insurance companies and our estimate of the risk premium an investor would require to receive the future cash flows derived from our portfolio of life insurance policies.

We engaged a third party, Model Actuarial Pricing Systems (MAPS), to prepare a third-party valuation of our life settlement portfolio. MAPS owns and maintains the portfolio pricing software used by the Company. MAPS processed policy data, future premium data, life expectancy data, and other actuarial information supplied by the Company to calculate a net present value for our portfolio using the specified discount rate of 11.84%. MAPS independently calculated the net present value of our portfolio of 241 policies to be \$193,892,000, which is the same fair value estimate used by the Company on the balance sheet as of June 30, 2013, and furnished us with a letter documenting its calculation. A copy of such letter is filed as Exhibit 99.1 to this report.

JOBS Act

On April 5, 2012, the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or JOBS Act, was enacted. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an "emerging growth company" can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act of 1933 for complying with new or revised accounting standards. This means that an "emerging growth company" can make an election to delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would apply to private companies. We have elected to delay such adoption of new or revised

accounting standards and, as a result, we may not comply with new or revised accounting standards at the same time as other public reporting companies that are not "emerging growth companies." This exemption will apply for a period of five years following our first sale of common equity securities under an effective registration statement or until we no longer qualify as an "emerging growth company" as defined under the JOBS Act, whichever is earlier.

Deferred Income Taxes

FASB ASC 740, Income Taxes, requires the Company to recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. A valuation allowance is established for any portion of deferred tax assets that is not considered more likely than not to be realized.

The Company has provided a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset related to a note receivable because it believes that, when realized for tax purposes, it will result in a capital loss that will not be utilized because the Company has no expectation of generating a capital gain within the applicable carry-forward period. Therefore, the Company does not believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will be realized.

The Company has also provided a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset related to a tax basis capital loss generated with respect to its settlement and subsequent disposal of its investment in Athena Structured Funds. As there is no expectation of the Company generating capital gains within the applicable carry-forward period, the Company does not believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred asset will be realized.

A valuation allowance is required to be recognized to reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Realization of deferred tax assets depends upon having sufficient past or future taxable income in periods to which the deductible temporary differences are expected to be recovered or within any applicable carryback or carryforward periods. The Company believes that it is more likely than not that it will be able to realize all of its deferred tax assets other than that which is expected to result in a capital loss.

Deferred Financing and Issuance Costs

Financing costs incurred to obtain financing under the revolving credit facility have been capitalized and are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the revolving credit facility. The Series I Secured note obligations are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Renewable Secured Debentures are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Series A preferred stock is reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, including the fair value of warrants issued, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method as interest expense over the three-year redemption period.

Principal Revenue and Expense Items

We earn revenues from three primary sources as described below.

Policy Benefits Realized. We recognize the difference between the death benefits and carrying values of the policy when an insured event has occurred and the Company determines that settlement and ultimate collection of the death benefits is realizable and reasonably assured. Revenue from a transaction must meet both criteria in order to be recognized. We generally collect the face value of the life insurance policy from the insurance company within 45 days of the insured's mortality.

Sale of a Life Insurance Policy or a Portfolio of Life Insurance Policies. In an event of a sale of a policy the Company recognizes gain or loss as the difference between the sale price and the carrying value of the policy on the date of the receipt of payment on such sale.

Change in Fair Value of Life Insurance Policies. We have elected to carry our investments in life insurance policies at fair value in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Life Insurance Contracts. Accordingly, we value our investments in life insurance policies each reporting period in accordance with the fair value principles discussed herein, which includes the expected payment of premiums for future periods.

Our main components of expense are summarized below.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. We recognize and record expenses incurred in the operations of the purchasing and servicing of life insurance policies. These expenses include professional fees, salaries, and sales and marketing expenditures.

Interest Expense. We recognize and record interest expenses associated with the costs of financing our life insurance portfolio for the current period. These expenses include interest paid to our senior lender under our revolving credit facility, as well as all interest paid on our debentures and other outstanding indebtedness such as our subsidiary secured notes and dividends on convertible, redeemable preferred stock. When we issue long-term indebtedness, we amortize the issuance costs associated with such indebtedness over the outstanding term of the financing, and classify it as interest expense.

Results of Operations — Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to the Same Periods in 2012

The following is our analysis of the results of operations for the periods indicated below. This analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes.

Revenue. Revenue recognized from the receipt of policy benefits was \$5,218,000 and \$7,728,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. Revenue recognized from the receipt of policy benefits was \$4,083,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. Revenue recognized from the change in fair value of our life insurance policies, net of premiums and carrying costs, was \$2,515,000 and \$8,346,000 for the three and six month ended June 30, 2013 and \$784,000 and 1,386,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, we purchased a higher volume of life insurance policies than we did during the same period in 2012. The change in fair value related to new policies acquired during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013 was \$2,471,000 and \$8,770,000 respectively, and \$1,100,000 and \$1,414,000 for those acquired during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively. In each case, the increases in fair value were due to changes in the discount rates we use to calculate the net present value of cash flows expected from our portfolio of life insurance policies, change in fair value of policies acquired during the period, and aging of the policies. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and our estimate of the risk premium an investor in the portfolio would require. The discount rate used to estimate the fair value of the life insurance policies we own was 11.84% as of June 30, 2013, compared to 12.08% for the same date in 2012. The decrease in discount rate was due to an increase in the size and diversity of policies held in our portfolio of life insurance policies that resulted in lower risk premium to a potential buyer of the portfolio in its entirety. The carrying value of policies acquired during each quarterly reporting period are adjusted to their current value using the fair value discount rate applied to the portfolio as of that reporting date.

Expenses. Interest expense, including amortization of the deferred financing costs, was \$4,942,000 for the second quarter of 2013 and \$9,409,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013, \$2,380,000 for the second quarter of 2012 and \$4,818,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Year-to-date interest expense for the first six months of 2013 has increased \$4,591,000 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in interest expense was due to increased average debt outstanding, increased Series A preferred stock, and higher interest rate associated with the revolving line of credit. Selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$2,507,000, and \$1,624,000 for the second quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5,915,000 and \$3,081,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. This represents an increase of \$2,834,000 in selling, general, and administrative expenses during the first six months of 2013 compared to the same period of 2012. The increase is mostly due to employee expenses and benefits as well as higher sales and marketing costs associated with raising funds through renewable secured debentures.

Income Tax Expense. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded income tax expense of \$1,802,000, or 50.6% of income before taxes, and \$2,368,000 or 56.5% of income before taxes, compared to income tax expense of \$610,000 or 66.9% of income before taxes for the three month ended June 30, 2012 and income tax benefit of \$530,000, or 22.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The primary differences between the Company's June 30, 2013 effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate are the accrual of preferred stock dividend

expense, state taxes, and other non-deductible expenses. Excluding the impact of the dividends and other permanent differences, the effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2013 would have been 40.5%.

The most significant temporary differences between GAAP net income and taxable net income are the treatment of interest costs with respect to the acquisition of the life insurance policies and revenue recognition with respect to the mark-to-market of life insurance portfolio.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We finance our business through a combination of policy benefit revenues, origination fees, equity offerings, debt offerings, and a credit facility. We have used our debt offerings and credit facility primarily for a combination of policy acquisition, policy servicing and portfolio related financing expenditures. We charge an intercompany origination fee in the amount of one to four percent of the face value of a life insurance policy's benefit when we acquire the related life insurance policy. The origination fee we charge is included in the total purchase price we pay for a life insurance policy for purposes of our valuation and expected internal rate of return calculations, but is not netted against the purchase price we pay to a seller of an insurance policy. We generated cash flows of \$536,000 and \$290,000 from origination fees during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 respectively, and \$1,814,000 and \$438,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 respectively. The higher amount in 2013 was due to a higher volume of purchases of life insurance policies compared to that in the same period of 2012. Profit from intra-company origination fees for life insurance policies retained by the Company is eliminated from our consolidated statements of operations. As such, the origination fees collected under our life insurance policy financing arrangements are reflected in our consolidated statements of cash flows as cash flows from financing activities as they are paid in the form of borrowings used to finance the acquisition. Our revolving bank line allows GWG DLP Funding II to borrow the funds necessary to pay origination fees to GWG Life Settlements, LLC. Our borrowing agreements allow us to use net proceeds of the Renewable Secured Debentures for policy acquisition, which includes origination fees. If the policy acquisition is not financed, no fees are included in the consolidated cash flows. See "—Cash Flows" below for further information. We determine the purchase price of life insurance policies in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, using the fair value method. Under the fair value method, the initial investment is recorded at the transaction price. Since the origination fees are paid from a wholly owned subsidiary to the parent company, these fees are not included in the transaction price for our consolidated financial statements. For further discussion on our accounting policies for life settlements, please refer to note 1 to our consolidated financial statements.

As of June 30, 2013, we had approximately \$39.0 million in combined available cash and available borrowing base surplus capacity under our revolving credit facility for the purpose of paying premiums on existing policies, paying portfolio servicing expenses, and paying principal and interest on our outstanding financing obligations.

In September 2012, we concluded a Series A preferred stock offering, receiving an aggregate \$24.6 million in subscriptions for our Series A preferred stock. These subscriptions consisted of \$14.0 million in conversions of outstanding Series I subsidiary secured notes and \$10.6 million of new investments. We have used the proceeds from the sale of our Series A preferred stock, together with the origination fees we received to purchase and finance life insurance policies to fund our operational expenditures.

In June 2011, we registered a \$250.0 million debt offering of our Renewable Secured Debentures with the SEC, which registration became effective on January 31, 2012. As of June 30, 2013, we had received \$97.8 million in subscriptions for our Renewable Secured Debentures.

Additionally, our wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life Settlements, LLC, or GWG Life, issued Series I Securred notes beginning in November 2009 on a private placement basis to accredited investors only. As of June 30, 2013, we had approximately \$35.3 million in principal amount of Series I Secured notes outstanding. This offering was closed in November 2011.

The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding Series I Secured notes as of June 30, 2013 was 8.27% and the weighted-average maturity at that date was 2.61 years. The Series I Secured notes have renewal features. Since we first issued our Series I Secured notes, we have experienced \$98,592,000 in maturities, of which \$76,849,000 has renewed for an additional term as of June 30, 2013. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of

approximately 78% for investments in our subsidiary secured notes. Future contractual maturities of Series I Secured notes payable at June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

Six months ending December 31, 2013	\$9,358,000
2014	12,561,000
2015	6,675,000
2016	1,802,000
2017	4,085,000
Thereafter	818,000
	\$35,299,000

The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding Renewable Secured Debentures as of June 30, 2013 was 7.58% and the weighted-average maturity at that date was 3.92 years. Our Renewable Secured Debentures have renewal features. Since we first issued our Renewable Secured Debentures, we have experienced \$10,557,000 in maturities, of which \$7,336,000 has renewed for an additional term as of June 30, 2013. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 69% for investments in our Renewable Secured Debentures. Future contractual maturities of Renewable Secured Debentures at June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

Six months ending December 31, 2013	\$11,839,000
2014	15,272,000
2015	30,085,000
2016	16,359,000
2017	6,529,000
Thereafter	15,774,000
	\$95,858,000

The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are secured by all our assets, and are subordinate to our revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank. The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are pari passu with respect to our assets pursuant to an inter-creditor agreement (see notes 7 and 8 to our consolidated financial statements).

We maintain a \$100 million revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank through our wholly owned subsidiary GWG DLP Funding II, or DLP Funding II. As of June 30, 2013, we had \$79.0 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility and maintained an available borrowing base surplus of \$0.7 million (see note 6 to our consolidated financial statements).

We expect to meet our ongoing operational capital needs through a combination of policy benefit revenues, origination fees, and proceeds from financing transactions. We expect to meet our policy acquisition, servicing, and financing capital needs principally from the receipt of policy benefit revenues from our portfolio of life insurance policies, net proceeds from our offering of Renewable Secured Debentures, and from our revolving credit facility. Because we only receive origination fees when we purchase a policy, our receipt of those fees is contingent upon our consummation of policy purchases, which is, in turn, contingent upon our receipt of external funding. Despite recent adverse capital market conditions, including a prolonged credit crisis, we have demonstrated continued access to credit and financing markets. Furthermore, we expect to begin receiving insurance benefit payments on our portfolio of life insurance policies as the average age of the insureds increase and mortality events occur over time—which we expect to begin more significantly in 2015 and steadily increasing until 2018. As a result of the foregoing, we estimate that our liquidity and capital resources are sufficient for our current and projected financial needs. Nevertheless, if we are unable to continue our offering of Renewable Secured Debentures for any reason, and we are unable to obtain capital from other sources, we expect that our business would be materially and adversely affected. In addition, our business would be materially and adversely affected if we did not receive the policy benefits we forecast and if holders of our Renewable Secured Debentures or Series I Secured notes failed to renew with the frequency we have historically experienced. In such a case, we could be forced to sell our investments in life insurance policies, in order to service or satisfy our debt-related obligations and continue to pay policy premiums.

Capital expenditures have historically not been material and we do not anticipate making material capital expenditures in 2013 or beyond.

Debt Financings Summary

We had the following outstanding debt balances as of June 30, 2013:

Issuer/Borrower	Principal Amount Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate
GWG Holdings, Inc Renewable Secured Debentures	\$ 95,858,000	7.58%
GWG Life Settlements, LLC -Series I Notes, secured	35,299,000	8.27%
GWG DLP Funding II, LLC - Revolving credit facility	79,000,000	6.25%
Total	\$ 210,157,000	7.20%
9		

Our total revolving credit facility and other indebtedness balance as of June 30, 2013 was \$210,157,000. The total outstanding face amount under our Series I Secured notes outstanding at June 30, 2013 was \$35,299,000, less unamortized selling costs of \$793,000, resulting in a carrying amount of \$34,506,000. The total outstanding face amount of Renewable Secured Debentures outstanding at June 30, 2013 was \$95,858,000 plus \$1,940,000 of subscriptions in process, less unamortized selling costs of \$4,113,000, resulting in a carrying amount of \$93,685,000. The total outstanding under our revolving credit facility at June 30, 2013 was \$79,000,000. The fair value of our investments in life insurance assets of \$193,892,000 plus our cash balance of \$38,240,000 and our restricted cash balance of \$4,464,000, totaled \$236,596,000, representing an excess of life insurance assets over secured indebtedness of \$26,439,000 at June 30, 2013. The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are secured by all our assets and are structurally subordinate to our ownership of DLP Funding II, and the revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank. The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are pari passu with respect to shared collateral pursuant to an inter-creditor agreement.

The following forward-looking table seeks to illustrate the impact of the sale of our portfolio of life insurance assets at various discount rates in order to satisfy our debt obligations as of June 30, 2013. In all cases, the sale of the life insurance assets owned by DLP Funding II will be used to satisfy all amounts owing under the revolving credit facility with Autobahn/ DZ Bank. The net sale proceeds remaining after satisfying all obligations under the revolving credit facility would be applied to Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured Notes on a pari passu basis.

20 2012

As of June 30, 2013					
Portfolio Discount Rate	11%	12%	13%	14%	15%
Value of portfolio	\$ 203,065,367	\$ 192,218,326	\$ 182,255,258	\$ 173,084,553	\$ 164,625,845
Cash and cash equivalents	42,704,500	42,704,500	42,704,500	42,704,500	42,704,500
Total assets	245,769,867	234,922,826	224,959,758	215,789,053	207,330,345
Revolving credit facility					
Autobahn/DZ Bank	79,000,000	79,000,000	79,000,000	79,000,000	79,000,000
Net after revolving credit					
facility	166,769,867	155,922,826	145,959,758	136,789,053	128,330,345
Series I Secured notes and					
Renewable Secured					
Debentures	131,157,025	131,157,025	131,157,025	131,157,025	131,157,025
Net after Series I Secured					
notes and Renewable					
Secured Debentures	35,612,842	24,765,801	14,802,733	5,632,028	(2,827,430)
Impairment to Series I					
Secured notes and					
Renewable Secured					
Debentures	No impairment	No impairment	No impairment	No impairment	Impairment
	1	1	*		

The table illustrates that our ability to fully satisfy amounts owing under the Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured Notes would likely be impaired upon the sale of all our life insurance assets at a price equivalent to a discount rate of approximately 14.66% or higher. The discount rates used to calculate the fair value of our portfolio for mark-to-market accounting were 11.84% as of June 30, 2013, and 12.08% as of December 31, 2012. The table does not include any allowance for transactional fees and expenses associated with a portfolio sale (which expenses and fees could be substantial), and is provided to demonstrate how various discount rates used to value our portfolio could affect our ability to satisfy amounts owing under our debt obligations (including the debentures), in light of our senior secured lender's right to priority payments. You should read the above table in conjunction with the information contained in other sections of this report. This discussion and analysis is based on the beliefs of our management, as

well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management. The forward-looking presentation above is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those suggested or implied by the above table. Please see the caption "Risk Relating to Forward-Looking Statements" above.

Cash Flows

The payment of premiums and servicing costs to maintain life insurance policies represents our most significant requirement for cash disbursement. When a policy is purchased, we are able to calculate the minimum premium payments required to maintain the policy in-force. Over time as the insured ages, premium payments will increase; however, the probability of actually needing to pay the premiums decreases since mortality becomes more likely. These scheduled premiums and associated probabilities are factored into our expected internal rate of return and cash-flow modeling. Beyond premiums, we incur policy servicing costs, including annual trustee and tracking costs, and debt servicing costs, including principal and interest payments. Until we receive a stable amount of proceeds from the policy benefits, we intend to pay these costs from our credit facility and through the issuance of debt securities. We presently expect that by 2015, the cash inflows from the receipt of policy benefits will exceed the premium obligations on the remaining life insurance policies held within the portfolio.

We expect to begin servicing and paying down our outstanding indebtedness from these cash flows when we receive payments from the policy benefits. See "Business—Portfolio Management."

The amount of payments that we will be required to make over the next five years to cover the payment of premiums and servicing costs to maintain the current portfolio of life insurance policies is set forth in the table below.

	Premiums
Year	and Servicing
Six months ending December 31, 2013	\$10,561,000
2014	21,495,000
2015	23,275,000
2016	25,511,000
2017	28,046,000
Total	\$108,888,000

Most of the insurance policies owned by our wholly owned subsidiary, GWG DLP Funding II, are subject to a collateral arrangement with the agent to our revolving credit lender, as described in note 6 to the consolidated financial statements. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund purchases and premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under our revolving credit facility. The lender and its agent must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life or Holdings. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (GWG DLP Funding II, LLC, GWG Life Settlements, LLC, and GWG Holdings, Inc.) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by the agent. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used to fund repayments or a reserve account in a certain amount before any additional distributions may be made. In the future, these arrangements may restrict the cash flows available for payment of principal and interest on our debt obligations.

Inflation

Changes in inflation do not necessarily correlate with changes in interest rates. We presently do not foresee any material impact of inflation on our results of operations in the periods presented in our consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Operating Lease - The Company entered into an office lease with U.S. Bank National Association as the landlord. The lease was effective April 22, 2012 with a term through August 31, 2015. The lease is for 8,881 square feet of office space located at 220 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Company is obligated to pay base rent plus common area maintenance and a share of the building operating costs. Minimum lease payments under the lease are as follows:

Six months ending December 31, 2013	\$49,000
2014	\$104,000
2015	\$70,000
Total	\$223,000

Credit Risk

We review the credit risk associated with our portfolio of life insurance policies when estimating its fair value. In evaluating the policies' credit risk we consider insurance company solvency, credit risk indicators, economic conditions, ongoing credit evaluations, and company positions. We attempt to manage our credit risk related to life insurance policies typically by purchasing policies issued only from companies with an investment grade credit rating

by either Standard & Poor's, Moody's, or A.M. Best Company. As of June 30, 2013, 99.04% of our life insurance policies, by face value benefits, were issued by companies that maintained an investment grade rating (BBB- or better) by Standard & Poor's.

Interest Rate Risk

Our credit facility is floating-rate financing. In addition, our ability to offer interest rates that attract capital (including in the offer and sale of Renewable Secured Debentures) is generally impacted by prevailing interest rates. Furthermore, while our other indebtedness provides us with fixed-rate financing, our debt coverage ratio is calculated in relation to our total cost of financing. Therefore, fluctuations in interest rates impact our business by increasing our borrowing costs, and reducing availability under our debt financing arrangements. Furthermore, we calculate our portfolio earnings based upon the spread generated between the return on our life insurance portfolio and the cost of our financing. As a result, increases in interest rates will reduce the earnings we expect to achieve from our investments in life insurance policies.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We use non-GAAP financial measures when evaluating our financial results, for planning and forecasting purposes, and for maintaining compliance with covenants contained in our borrowing agreements. Non-GAAP financial measures disclosed by management are provided as additional information to investors in order to provide them with an alternative method for assessing our financial condition and operating results. These non-GAAP financial measures are not in accordance with GAAP and may be different from non-GAAP measures used by other companies, including other companies within our industry. This presentation of non-GAAP financial information is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for comparable amounts prepared in accordance with GAAP. See the notes to our consolidated financial statements and our audited financial statements contained herein.

We have elected to carry our investments in life insurance policies at fair value in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Life Insurance Contracts. Accordingly, we value our investments in life insurance policies at the conclusion of each reporting period in accordance with GAAP fair value accounting principles. In addition to GAAP, we are required to report non-GAAP financial measures to Autobahn/DZ Bank under certain financial covenants made to that lender under our revolving credit facility. As indicated above, we also use non-GAAP financial reporting to manage and evaluate the financial performance of our business.

GAAP-based fair value requires us to mark-to-market our investments in life insurance policies, which by its nature, is based upon Level 3 measurements that are unobservable. As a result, this accounting treatment imports financial market volatility and subjective inputs into our financial reporting. We believe this type of accounting reporting is at odds with one of the key attractions for purchasing life insurance policies: The non-correlated nature of the returns to be derived from such policies. Therefore, in contrast to a GAAP-based fair valuation, we seek to measure the accrual of the actuarial gain occurring within life insurance policies at their expected internal rate of return based on statistical mortality probabilities for an insured (using primarily the insured's age, sex and smoking status). The expected internal rate of return tracks actuarial gain occurring within the policies according to a mortality table as the insureds' age increases. By comparing the actuarial gain accruing within our life insurance policies against our costs during the same period, we can estimate, manage and evaluate the overall financial profitability of our business without regard to mark-to-market volatility. We use this information to balance our life insurance policy purchasing and manage our capital structure, including the issuance of debt and utilization of our other sources of capital, and to monitor our compliance with borrowing covenants. We believe that these non-GAAP financial measures provide information that is useful for investors to understand period-over-period operating results separate and apart from fair value items that may, or could, have a disproportionately positive or negative impact on results in any particular period.

Our credit facility requires us to maintain a "positive net income" and "tangible net worth" each of which are calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis on the method described above, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures. In addition, our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain an "excess spread," which is the difference between (i) the weighted average of our expected internal rate of return of our portfolio of life insurance policies and (ii) the weighted average of our credit facility's interest rate. These calculations are made using non-GAAP measures in the method described below, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures.

In addition, our Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I subsidiary secured notes require us to maintain a "debt coverage ratio" designed to ensure that the expected cash flows from our portfolio of life insurance policies is able to adequately service our total outstanding indebtedness. In addition, our Renewable Secured Debentures requires us to maintain a "subordination ratio" which limits the total amount of indebtedness that can be issued senior in rank to the Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I subsidiary secured notes. These ratios are calculated using non-GAAP measures in the method described below, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures.

Adjusted Non-GAAP Net Income. Our credit facility requires us to maintain a positive net income calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis. We calculate the adjusted net income by recognizing the actuarial gain accruing within our life insurance policies at the expected internal rate of return of the policies we own without regard to fair value. We net this actuarial gain against our costs during the same period to calculate our net income on a non-GAAP basis.

	Three Months Ended June				
	30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2013 2012		2013	2012	
GAAP net income	\$1,757,000	\$302,000	\$1,824,000	\$(1,851,000)	
Unrealized fair value gain (1)	(7,449,000)	(4,576,000)	(18,944,000)	(9,367,000)	
Adjusted cost basis increase (2)	8,641,000	5,540,000	18,897,000	11,309,000	
Accrual of unrealized actuarial gain (3)	5,165,000	3,783,000	10,198,000	7,839,000	
Total adjusted non-GAAP income (4)	\$8,114,000	\$5,049,000	\$11,975,000	\$7,930,000	

- (1) Reversal of unrealized fair value gain of life insurance policies for current period.
- (2) Adjusted cost basis is increased to include those acquisition and servicing expenses which are not capitalized by GAAP.
- (3) Accrual of actuarial gain at expected internal rate of return based on investment cost basis for the period.
- (4) We must maintain an annual positive consolidated net income, calculated on a non-GAAP basis, to maintain compliance with our revolving credit facility with DZ Bank/Autobahn.

Below is a full non-GAAP statement of operations in the form that we prepare and use internally to assess our performance, and that we provide to Autobahn/DZ Bank in satisfaction of certain covenants under our revolving credit facility.

Non-GAAP statement of operations:

	Three months ended June			
	30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Income				
Investments in life settlement contracts (Unrealized)	\$ 5,165,000	\$ 3,783,000	10,198,000	7,839,000
Investments in life settlement contracts (Realized)	5,218,000	4,083,000	7,728,000	4,083,000
Origination fees and other income	3,265,000	337,000	4,711,000	487,000
Total Income	13,648,000	8,203,000	22,637,000	12,409,000
Expenses				
Operations	1,873,000	2,144,000	5,915,000	4,100,000
Facility, Series I secured notes and renewable secured				
debentures marketing and deferred financing costs	1,859,000	400,000	2,379,000	909,000
Total Expenses	3,732,000	2,544,000	8,294,000	5,009,000
Net income before tax	9,916,000	5,659,000	14,343,000	7,400,000
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,802,000	610,000	2,368,000	(530,000)
Net Income	8,114,000	5,049,000	11,975,000	7,930,000
Income per share				
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.51	\$ 1.25	\$ 0.79
Fully diluted assuming conversion of preferred stock	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.79

Weighted average shares outstanding

Basic and diluted	9,969,989	9,989,000	9,548,205	9,989,000
Fully diluted assuming conversion of preferred stock	15,022,198	9,989,000	14,580,506	9,989,000
13				

Adjusted Non-GAAP Tangible Net Worth. Our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain a tangible net worth in excess of \$15 million calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis. We calculate the adjusted tangible net worth by recognizing the actuarial gain accruing within our life insurance policies at the expected internal rate of return of the policies we own without regard to fair value. We net this actuarial gain against our costs during the same period to calculate our tangible net worth on a non-GAAP basis.

		As of
	As of	December
	June 30,	31,
	2013	2012
GAAP net worth (1)	\$ 21,166,000	\$ 22,644,000
Less intangible assets	(6,033,000)	(3,650,000)
GAAP tangible net worth	15,133,000	18,994,000
Unrealized fair value gain (2)	(94,350,000)	(75,406,000)
Adjusted cost basis increase (3)	85,408,000	67,123,000
Accrual of unrealized actuarial gain (4)	38,042,000	27,845,000
Total adjusted non-GAAP tangible net worth (5)	\$ 44,233,000	\$ 38,556,000

- (1) Includes termination of redeemable member's interest prior to corporate conversion and preferred stock classified as temporary equity.
- (2) Reversal of cumulative unrealized fair value gain or loss of life insurance policies.
- (3) Adjusted cost basis is increased by acquisition and servicing expenses which are not capitalized under GAAP.
- (4) Accrual of cumulative actuarial gain at expected internal rate of return based on investment cost basis
- (5) We must maintain a total adjusted non-GAAP tangible net worth of \$15 million to maintain compliance with our revolving credit facility with DZ Bank/Autobahn.

Excess Spread. Our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain a 2.00% "excess spread" between our weighted-average expected internal rate of return of our portfolio of life insurance policies and the credit facility's interest rate. A presentation of our excess spread and our total excess spread is set forth below. Management uses the "total excess spread" to gauge expected profitability of our investments, and uses the "excess spread" to monitor compliance with our borrowing.

		As of
	As of	December
	June 30,	31,
	2013	2012
Weighted-average expected IRR (1)	12.54%	12.84%
Weighted-average revolving credit facility interest rate (2)	6.27%	2.02%
Excess spread (3)	6.27%	10.82%
Total weighted-average interest rate on indebtedness for borrowed money (4)	7.20%	5.39%
Total excess spread	5.34%	7.45%
•		

⁽¹⁾ This represents the weighted-average expected internal rate of return of the life insurance policies as of the measurement date based upon our investment cost basis of the insurance policies and the expected cash flows from the life insurance portfolio. The expected internal rate of return as of

December 31, 2012 includes an adjustment to increase, by an average of 8.67%, any life expectancy provided by 21st Services. As a result of this adjustment, our expected internal rate of return at December 31, 2012 decreased from 14.27% to 12.84%. Our investment cost basis is calculated as our cash investment in the life insurance policies, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measurements, and is set forth below:

	As of	As of
	June 30,	December 31,
Investment Cost Basis	2013	2012
GAAP fair value	\$ 193,892,000	\$ 164,317,000
Unrealized fair value gain (A)	(94,350,000)	(75,406,000)
Adjusted cost basis increase (B)	85,407,000	67,123,000
Investment cost basis (C)	\$ 184,949,000	\$ 156,034,000

- (A) This represents the reversal of cumulative unrealized GAAP fair value gain of life insurance policies.
- (B) Adjusted cost basis is increased to include those acquisition and servicing expenses that are not capitalized by GAAP.
- (C) This is the full cash investment cost basis in life insurance policies from which our expected internal rate of return is calculated.

- (2) This is the weighted-average revolving credit relating to our revolving credit facility interest rate as of the measurement date.
- (3) We must maintain an excess spread of 2.00% relating to our revolving credit facility to maintain compliance under such facility.
- (4) Represents the weighted-average interest rate paid on all outstanding indebtedness as of the measurement date, determined as follows:

		As of
As of	Dec	ember 31,
June 30, 2013	3	2012
\$ 79,000,000	\$ 7	1,000,000
35,299,000	38	8,570,000
95,858,000	5'	7,609,000
\$210,157,000	\$ 16	7,179,000
6.27	% 2.0)2 %
8.27	% 8.2	22 %
7.58	% 7.6	55 %
7.20	% 5.3	39 %
	June 30, 2013 \$ 79,000,000 35,299,000 95,858,000 \$ 210,157,000 6.27 8.27 7.58	June 30, 2013 \$ 79,000,000 \$ 7 35,299,000 3: 95,858,000 5: \$ 210,157,000 \$ 16 6.27 % 2.0 8.27 % 8.2 7.58 % 7.6

Debt Coverage Ratio and Subordination Ratio. Our Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I subsidiary secured notes requires us to maintain a "debt coverage ratio" of less than 90%. The "debt coverage ratio" is calculated by dividing the sum of our total indebtedness by the sum of our cash and cash equivalents and the net present value of the life insurance portfolio. The "subordination ratio" for our Renewable Secured Debentures is calculated by dividing the total indebtedness that is senior to Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I subsidiary secured notes by the sum of the company's cash and cash equivalents and the net present value of the life insurance portfolio. The "subordination ratio" must be less than 50%. For purposes of both ratio calculations, the net present value of the life insurance portfolio is calculated using a discount rate equal to the weighted average interest rate of all indebtedness.

		As of
	As of	December 31,
	June 30, 2013	2012
Life insurance portfolio policy benefits	\$650,655,000	\$ 572,246,000
Discount rate of future cash flows	7.20%	5.39%
Net present value of life insurance portfolio policy benefits	\$ 254,394,000	\$ 248,702,000
Cash and cash equivalents	42,704,000	29,590,000
Total Coverage	297,098,000	278,292,000
Revolving credit facility	79,000,000	71,000,000
Series I Subsidiary secured notes	35,299,000	38,570,000
Renewable Secured Debentures	95,858,000	57,609,000
Total Indebtedness	\$ 210,157,000	\$ 167,179,000
Debt Coverage Ratio	70.74%	60.07%
Subordination Ratio	26.59%	25.51%

As of June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with both the debt coverage ratio and the subordination ratio as required under our related financing agreements for Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I subsidiary secured notes.

Our Portfolio

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2013, is summarized and set forth below:

Life Insurance Portfolio Summary

Total portfolio face value of policy benefits	\$	650,655,000
Average face value per policy	\$	2,700,000
Average face value per insured life	\$	2,958,000
Average age of insured (yrs.) *		81.7
Average life expectancy estimate (yrs.) *		7.53
Total number of policies		241
	66% Males; 34%	
Demographics	Female	S
Number of smokers	No insureds are smokers	
Largest policy as % of total portfolio		1.54%
Average policy as % of total portfolio		0.41%
Average Annual Premium as % of face value		3.16%

^{*} Averages presented in the table are weighted averages.

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2013, organized by the insured's current age and the associated policy benefits, is summarized as set forth below:

Distribution of Policy Benefits by Current Age of Insured

Min Age	Max Age	Po	licy Benefits	Distribution
65	69	\$	1,156,000	0.18%
70	74		50,717,000	7.80%
75	79		175,961,000	27.04%
80	84		231,435,000	35.57%
85	89		183,315,000	28.17%
90	95		8,071,000	1.24%
Total		\$	650,655,000	100.00%

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2013, organized by the insured's current age and number of policies owned, is summarized as set forth below:

Distribution of Policies by Current Age of Insured

Min Age	Max Age	Policies	Distribution
65	69	3	1.24%
70	74	18	7.47%
75	79	60	24.90%
80	84	90	37.35%
85	89	65	26.97%
90	95	5	2.07%
Total		241	100.00%

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2013, organized by the insured's estimated life expectancy and associated policy benefits, is summarized as set forth below:

Distribution of Policy Benefits by Current Life Expectancies of Insured

Min LE (Months) 144	Max LE (Months) 177	Po \$	olicy Benefits 26,450,000	Distribution 4.07%
120	143		62,296,000	9.57%
96	119		194,159,000	29.84%
72	95		180,952,000	27.81%
48	71		156,084,000	23.99%
24	47		30,714,000	4.72%
Total		\$	650,655,000	100.00%

We track concentrations of pre-existing medical conditions among insured individuals within our portfolio based on information contained in life expectancy reports. We track these medical conditions with ten primary disease categories: (1) cardiovascular, (2) cerebrovascular, (3) dementia, (4) cancer, (5) diabetes, (6) respiratory disease, (7) neurological disorders, (8) other, no disease, or multiple. Our primary disease categories are summary generalizations based on the ICD-9 codes we track on each insured individuals within our portfolio. ICD-9 codes, published by the World Health Organization, are used worldwide for medical diagnoses and treatment systems, as well as morbidity and mortality statistics. Currently, cardiovascular is the only primary disease category within our portfolio that represents a concentration over 10%.

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of June 30, 2013, organized by the primary disease categories of the insured and associated policy benefits, is summarized as set forth below:

Distribution of Policy Benefits by Primary Disease Category

Primary Disease Category	Policy Benefits	Distribution
Cancer	\$ 40,400,000	6.21%
Cardiovascular	135,338,000	20.80%
Cerebrovascular	37,485,000	5.76%
Dementia	26,885,000	4.13%
Diabetes	35,967,000	5.53%
Multiple	155,510,000	23.90%
Neurological Disorders	13,000,000	2.00%
No Disease	69,986,000	10.76%
Other	90,384,000	13.89%
Respiratory Diseases	45,700,000	7.02%
	\$ 650,655,000	%
Total Policy Benefits		100.00

The primary disease category represents a general category of impairment. Within the primary disease category, there are a multitude of sub-categorizations defined more specifically by ICD-9 codes. For example, a primary disease category of cardiovascular includes subcategorizations such as atrial fibrillation, heart valve replacement, coronary atherosclerosis, etc. In addition, individuals may have more than one ICD-9 code describing multiple medical conditions within one or more primary disease categories. Where an individual's ICD-9 codes indicate medical conditions in more than one primary disease categories, we categorize the individual as having multiple primary

disease categories. We expect to continue to develop and refine our identification and tracking on the insured individuals medical conditions as we manage our portfolio of life insurance policies.

The possible insolvency or loss by a life insurance company is a significant risk to our business. To manage this risk, we seek to purchase policies that are issued by insurance companies with investment-grade ratings from either A.M. Best, Moody's or Standard & Poor's. To further mitigate risk, we seek to limit the face value of policy benefits issued by any one life insurance company within the total portfolio to 20%. State guaranty funds generally guaranty policy benefits up to \$200,000. In addition, to assure diversity and stability in our portfolio, we regularly review the various metrics of our portfolio relating to credit risk. We track industry rating agency reports and industry journals and articles in order to gain insight into possible financial problems of life insurance companies. Recently, some of the credit ratings on insurance companies were downgraded and we will no longer consider purchasing policies issued by these insurance companies. Finally, we will only purchase those life insurance policies that meet the underwriting standards established in the indenture.

17

As of June 30, 2013, 99.59% of insurance companies in our portfolio hold an investment-grade rating by Standard & Poor's (BBB- or better), and the face value of policy benefits issued by one life insurance company with in the portfolio was 15.18%. Of the forty insurance companies that insure the policies we own, ten companies insure approximately 75.52% of total face value of insurance benefits and the remaining thirty insurance companies insure the remaining approximately 24.48% of total face value of insurance benefits. The concentration risk of our ten largest insurance company holdings as of June 30, 2013 is set forth in the table below.

			Percentage		Ins. Co.
			of Policy		S&P
Rank	Po	olicy Benefits	Benefit Amt.	Insurance Company	Rating
1	\$	98,780,000	15.18%	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	A+
2	\$	78,995,000	12.14%	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
3	\$	67,193,000	10.33%	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
4	\$	55,769,000	8.57%	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
5	\$	51,715,000	7.95%	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
6	\$	39,250,000	6.03%	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
7	\$	32,735,000	5.03%	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
8	\$	25,450,000	3.91%	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
9	\$	22,333,000	3.43%	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
10	\$	19,200,000	2.95%	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail (as of June 30, 2013)

						S&P
Fa	ce Amount	Sex	Age (1)	LE (2)	Carrier	Rating
					ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$	1,100,000	M	92	38.7	Company	A-
\$	1,770,726	F	92	47.7	Aviva Life Insurance Company	A-
\$	3,200,000	M	92	75.9	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
					Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$	1,000,000	F	91	48.7	Company	AA-
					American General Life Insurance	
\$	1,000,000	F	90	25.1	Company	A+
\$	2,500,000	M	89	52.0	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA+
					American General Life Insurance	
\$	5,000,000	F	89	74.4	Company	A+
\$	2,000,000	F	89	36.6	Pruco Life Insurance Company	AA-
					John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$	5,000,000	F	89	41.0	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
\$	1,000,000	F	89	29.6	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
					John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$	5,000,000	M	88	49.5	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
					Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$	1,500,000	F	88	69.6	Company	AA-
					Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$	3,000,000	F	88	52.1	Company	AA-
\$	600,000	F	88	70.1	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA+

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail (as of June 30, 2013) (continued)

Face Amount	Sex	Age (1)	LE (2)	Carrier	S&P Rating
mount	SCA	rige (1)	DD (2)	United of Omaha Life Insurance	Ruting
\$1,000,000	F	87	70.1	Company	A+
Ψ 1,000,000	-	07	70.1	John Hancock Life Insurance	211
\$3,500,000	F	87	67.4	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
Ψ 5,500,000		07	07.1	AXA Equitable Life Insurance	7 1.7 1
\$ 2,500,000	F	87	72.3	Company	A+
Ψ 2,500,000	-	07	72.3	AXA Equitable Life Insurance	211
\$2,500,000	F	87	72.3	Company	A+
+ =,= = =,= = =				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 5,000,000	F	87	71.3	Company	A-
+ - , ,			, -10	Lincoln National Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	F	87	43.6	Company	AA-
+ -,,				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$ 715,000	F	87	80.8	Company	AA-
\$ 1,203,520	M	87	56.8	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA+
+ -,,				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$ 1,350,000	F	87	74.6	Company	AA-
¥ 1,000,000	-	0,	,	American General Life Insurance	1 11 1
\$ 2,000,000	F	87	40.7	Company	A+
4 2 ,000,000		0,	,	Lincoln National Life Insurance	
\$3,500,000	F	87	68.9	Company	AA-
\$2,200,000	•	0,	00.7	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance	1111
\$5,000,000	F	86	53.5	Company	AA+
42,000,000			00.0	American General Life Insurance	
\$ 2,500,000	F	86	66.9	Company	A+
\$ 2,500,000	M	86	53.0	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
4 2,2 3 3,3 3 3			22.0	Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$4,000,000	F	86	88.5	Company	AA-
+ 1,000,000			00.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	M	86	83.1	Company	A+
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 1,500,000	M	86	55.3	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
+ -,,-				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 1,500,000	M	86	55.3	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
+ -,2 00,000				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 1,000,000	M	86	75.7	Company	A+
\$ 1,000,000	112		,	Lincoln National Life Insurance	
\$ 500,000	M	86	70.2	Company	AA-
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$4,785,380	F	86	56.7	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
. , ,	_			Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance	_
\$8,985,000	M	86	45.6	Company	AA+
\$ 1,803,455	F	86	67.8	r)	AA-
. ,	_				_

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

John Hancock Life Insurance S 5,000,000 M 86 58.9 Company (U.S.A) AA- Transamerica Life Insurance AA- Company AA- Company AA- AA						
Metropolitan Life Insurance S 1,529,270 F 86 67.8 Company AA-					*	
\$ 1,529,270					* *	
John Hancock Life Insurance South Science					_	
\$5,000,000 M 86 58.9 Company (U.S.A) AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$2,225,000 F 86 90.4 Company AA- Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance \$3,000,000 F 86 94.3 Company AA+ Union Central Life Insurance \$1,500,000 M 86 50.8 Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- John Hancock Life Insurance \$2,000,000 M 86 57.0 Company (U.S.A) AA- American General Life Insurance \$3,000,000 M 86 54.9 Company A+ Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance \$1,682,773 M 85 84.1 Company BBB+ Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance \$5,000,000 F 85 60.0 Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance \$5,000,000 M 85 79.2 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$1,200,000 M 85 79.2 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AAA- AAA- AAA- AAA- AAA- AAA- AAA-	\$ 1,529,270	F	86	67.8	* *	AA-
Transamerica Life Insurance S 2,225,000 F 86 90.4 Company AA-					John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$2,225,000 F 86 90.4 Company AA- Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance \$3,000,000 F 86 94.3 Company AA+ Union Central Life Insurance \$1,500,000 M 86 50.8 Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 57.0 Company (U.S.A) AA- Marrican General Life Insurance \$2,000,000 M 86 54.9 Company A+ Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance \$1,682,773 M 85 84.1 Company BBB+ \$500,000 F 85 60.0 Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance \$5,000,000 F 85 71.9 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$5,000,000 M 85 79.2 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$5,000,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$5,000,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AAA- A	\$5,000,000	M	86	58.9	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance S 3,000,000 F 86 94.3 Company AA+					Transamerica Life Insurance	
S 3,000,000 F 86 94.3 Company AA+	\$ 2,225,000	F	86	90.4	Company	AA-
Union Central Life Insurance					Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance	
\$1,500,000 M 86 50.8 Company A+ \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$100,000 M 86 57.0 Company (U.S.A) AA- American General Life Insurance \$3,000,000 M 86 54.9 Company A+ Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance \$1,682,773 M 85 84.1 Company BBB+ Sun Life Assurance Company of \$5,000,000 F 85 60.0 Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance \$5,000,000 F 85 71.9 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$5,000,000 M 85 79.2 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$5,250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$5,000,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- ING Life Insurance and Annuity	\$3,000,000	F	86	94.3	Company	AA+
\$ 100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$ 100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$ 100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$ 100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA-					Union Central Life Insurance	
\$ 100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA- \$ 100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company AA-	\$1,500,000	M	86	50.8	Company	A+
\$ 100,000 M 86 39.1 Protective Life Insurance Company John Hancock Life Insurance \$ 2,000,000 M 86 57.0 Company (U.S.A) AA- American General Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 86 54.9 Company A+ Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance \$ 1,682,773 M 85 84.1 Company BBB+ Sun Life Assurance Company of \$ 500,000 F 85 60.0 Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 5,000,000 F 85 71.9 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 85 59.4 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 1,200,000 M 85 79.2 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$ 250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 85 103.1 Company A+ ING Life Insurance and Annuity	\$ 100,000	M	86	39.1	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
John Hancock Life Insurance \$2,000,000 M 86 57.0 Company (U.S.A) AA- American General Life Insurance \$3,000,000 M 86 54.9 Company Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance \$1,682,773 M 85 84.1 Company BBB+ Sun Life Assurance Company of \$500,000 F 85 60.0 Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance \$5,000,000 F 85 71.9 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$3,000,000 M 85 59.4 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$1,200,000 M 85 79.2 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance \$3,000,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$3,000,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- ING Life Insurance AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance	\$ 100,000	M	86	39.1	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
\$ 2,000,000 M 86 57.0 Company (U.S.A) AA-	\$ 100,000	M	86	39.1	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
American General Life Insurance Company A+ Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance S 1,682,773 M 85 84.1 Company BBB+ Sun Life Assurance Company of S 500,000 F 85 60.0 Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance S 3,000,000 M 85 59.4 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance C 1,200,000 M 85 79.2 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance C 250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance AXA Equitable Life Insurance C 3,000,000 M 85 103.1 Company A+ ING Life Insurance and Annuity					John Hancock Life Insurance	
American General Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 86 54.9 Company A+ Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance \$ 1,682,773 M 85 84.1 Company BBB+ Sun Life Assurance Company of \$ 500,000 F 85 60.0 Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 5,000,000 F 85 71.9 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 85 59.4 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 1,200,000 M 85 79.2 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$ 250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 85 103.1 Company A+ ING Life Insurance and Annuity	\$ 2,000,000	M	86	57.0	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance BBB+						
Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance BBB+ Company BBB+ Sun Life Assurance Company of Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance Sun Life Insurance Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance Company AA- AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company Com	\$3,000,000	M	86	54.9	Company	A+
\$ 1,682,773 M 85 84.1 Company BBB+ Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) BBB Transamerica Life Insurance Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance					Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance	
Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) BBB	\$ 1,682,773	M	85	84.1	•	BBB+
Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 5,000,000 F					* •	
\$5,000,000 F 85 71.9 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$3,000,000 M 85 59.4 Company AA- Transamerica Life Insurance \$1,200,000 M 85 79.2 Company AA- Metropolitan Life Insurance \$250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance \$3,000,000 M 85 103.1 Company A+ ING Life Insurance and Annuity	\$ 500,000	F	85	60.0	Canada (U.S.)	BBB
Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M					Transamerica Life Insurance	
Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M	\$5,000,000	F	85	71.9	Company	AA-
Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 1,200,000 M						
Transamerica Life Insurance \$ 1,200,000 M	\$3,000,000	M	85	59.4	Company	AA-
Metropolitan Life Insurance \$ 250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 85 103.1 Company A+ ING Life Insurance and Annuity	. , ,				* *	
Metropolitan Life Insurance \$ 250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 85 103.1 Company A+ ING Life Insurance and Annuity	\$ 1,200,000	M	85	79.2		AA-
\$ 250,000 M 85 79.4 Company AA- AXA Equitable Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 85 103.1 Company A+ ING Life Insurance and Annuity					* *	
AXA Equitable Life Insurance \$ 3,000,000 M 85 103.1 Company A+ ING Life Insurance and Annuity	\$ 250,000	M	85	79.4	*	AA-
\$ 3,000,000 M 85 103.1 Company A+ ING Life Insurance and Annuity						
ING Life Insurance and Annuity	\$3,000,000	M	85	103.1	•	A+
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. ,,				_ · ·	
55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	\$5,570,000	F	85	65.3	•	A-
	, - ,- , - , 0	_			y	

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail (as of June 30, 2013) (continued)

Face Amount	Sex	Age (1)	LE (2)	Carrier	S&P Rating
		ي ٠		ING Life Insurance and Annuity	Ü
\$ 5,570,000	F	85	65.3	Company	A-
\$ 1,000,000	F	85	73.9	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
				Penn Mutual Life Insurance	
\$ 5,000,000	F	85	50.1	Company	AA-
\$ 10,000,000	F	85	117.5	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 2,500,000	M	85	68.1	Company	AA-
\$ 1,000,000	F	85	57.9	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
\$ 2,000,000	F	85	57.9	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
				National Western Life Insurance	
\$ 800,000	M	85	71.0	Company	A
\$ 200,000	M	85	61.1	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+
				Penn Mutual Life Insurance	
\$ 4,445,467	M	85	68.5	Company	AA-
				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$ 7,500,000	M	85	50.8	Company	AA-
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 3,600,000	F	85	90.9	Company	A+
				American General Life Insurance	
\$ 1,000,000	M	85	54.1	Company	A+
				Lincoln National Life Insurance	
\$ 5,000,000	M	85	80.8	Company	AA-
				U.S. Financial Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	F	85	98.6	Company	A+
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 1,000,000	M	84	71.6	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	M	84	71.6	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$ 5,000,000	M	84	67.5	Company	AA-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 1,365,000	F	84	116.6	Company	AA-
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 1,000,000	F	84	105.0	Company	A-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	M	84	102.6	Company	AA-
				Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance	
\$ 8,500,000	M	84	82.8	Company	AA+
				Metropolitan Life Insurance	
\$ 500,000	M	84	102.2	Company	AA-
				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	M	84	52.4	Company	AA-

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

				John Hancock Variable Life	
\$ 1,800,000	M	84	56.2	Insurance Company	AA-
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 2,000,000	M	84	115.4	Company	A-
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 2,000,000	M	84	115.4	Company	A-
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 2,000,000	M	84	115.4	Company	A-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 1,500,000	M	83	67.5	Company	AA-
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$3,750,000	M	83	94.5	Company	A+
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$1,000,000	M	83	87.7	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	F	83	105.0	Company	A+
				Sun Life Assurance Company of	
\$3,000,000	F	83	95.6	Canada (U.S.)	BBB
				Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance	
\$ 829,022	F	83	34.5	Company	BBB+
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 1,500,000	M	83	74.1	Company	A+
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$5,000,000	M	83	90.0	Company	A-
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 1,500,000	M	83	70.1	Company	A-
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$1,500,000	M	83	70.1	Company	A-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$3,000,000	F	83	114.0	Company	AA-
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 750,000	M	83	35.6	Company	A-
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 750,000	M	83	35.6	Company	A-
				• •	

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail (as of June 30, 2013) (continued)

nce AA- nuity A- rance A+
nuity A- rance A+
A- rance A+
rance A+
A+
ınce
A+
nnce
A+
nce
AA-
nnce
A+
nce
AA-
rance
A+
any A+
nnce
A+
mpany AA-
nce
AA-
nce
AA-
oany A+
nuity
A-
nce
AA-
nce
AA-
nce
AA-
rance
A+
mpany A-
ance
A+
nce
AA-
BBB+

Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company

				Company	
\$3,500,000	F	82	114.1	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+
				American National Insurance	
\$10,000,000	F	82	74.2	Company	A
\$ 500,000	M	82	58.5	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 5,000,000	M	81	75.3	Company	A+
				Metropolitan Life Insurance	
\$ 500,000	M	81	119.0	Company	AA-
\$ 2,000,000	M	81	56.4	National Life Insurance Company	A
				U.S. Financial Life Insurance	
\$ 3,000,000	M	81	71.9	Company	A+
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 4,200,000	F	81	147.7	Company	AA-
				American National Insurance	
\$ 1,900,000	M	81	85.2	Company	A
\$ 500,000	M	81	63.3	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
\$ 500,000	M	81	63.3	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	M	81	100.3	Company	A+
				Jackson National Life Insurance	
\$ 250,000	M	81	49.0	Company	AA
				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$ 1,500,000	M	81	123.5	Company	AA-
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$3,500,000	F	81	95.8	Company	AA+
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 750,000	M	81	69.4	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$4,500,000	M	81	77.2	Company	AA+
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 2,275,000	M	81	110.4	Company	A-
\$ 2,000,000	M	81	83.8	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+

21

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail (as of June 30, 2013) (continued)

Face Amount	Sex	Age (1)	LE (2)	Carrier	S&P Rating
Amount	SCA	Agc (1)	LL (2)	AXA Equitable Life Insurance	Kating
\$3,500,000	M	81	88.6	•	A+
\$ 6,217,200	F	81	112.5	Company Phoenix Life Insurance Company	BB-
\$ 0,217,200	Г	01	112.3		DD-
\$ 2,000,000	M	81	117.5	Metropolitan Life Insurance	AA-
\$3,000,000	IVI	81	117.3	Company Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	AA-
¢ 2 000 000	17	0.1	107.4		A A
\$ 2,000,000 \$ 3,000,000	F	81	107.4	Company	AA- AA-
\$ 3,000,000	M	80	86.2	Protective Life Insurance Company American General Life Insurance	AA-
¢ 1 500 000	3.4	00	06.0		۸.
\$ 1,500,000	M	80	86.2	Company	A+
Φ 2 000 000	_	00	100.7	Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	F	80	123.7	Company	AA-
¢ 2 700 000	-	0.0	110.0	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$ 3,500,000	F	80	110.8	Company	AA-
*				Lincoln National Life Insurance	
\$ 1,000,000	M	80	85.7	Company	AA-
\$ 1,500,000	M	80	72.7	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
				American General Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	M	80	127.8	Company	A+
				Sun Life Assurance Company of	
\$ 5,000,000	F	80	92.2	Canada (U.S.)	BBB
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 1,995,000	F	80	90.4	Company	AA-
				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$4,000,000	M	80	90.6	Company	AA-
\$ 1,250,000	F	80	93.9	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA+
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 2,500,000	F	80	92.8	Company	A-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	M	80	81.4	Company	AA-
				Ohio National Life Assurance	
\$ 2,000,000	M	80	96.6	Corporation	AA
				Ohio National Life Assurance	
\$ 1,000,000	M	80	96.6	Corporation	AA
				Reassure America Life Insurance	
\$ 350,000	M	80	72.4	Company	AA
				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	M	80	95.7	Company	AA-
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	M	79	121.7	Company	A+
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$8,000,000	M	79	102.9	Company	A+
\$ 550,000	M	79	124.0	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 1,680,000	F	79	89.3	Company	A+
				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$ 1,000,000	F	79	117.2	Company	AA-
				Metropolitan Life Insurance	
\$ 1,250,000	M	79	144.5	Company	AA-
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 1,000,000	M	79	116.0	Company	A+
\$ 1,250,000	F	79	94.0	Principal Life Insurance Company	A+
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 1,000,000	M	79	61.0	Company	A+
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 3,000,000	M	79	112.3	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
				Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	M	79	96.7	Company	AA-
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 1,750,000	M	79	105.3	Company	A+
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$ 5,000,000	M	79	61.7	Company	A+
				American General Life Insurance	
\$ 250,000	M	79	83.3	Company	A+
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 10,000,000	M	79	112.6	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
\$ 3,000,000	F	79	116.1	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
\$ 7,000,000	M	79	111.2	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
				Metropolitan Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	M	78	60.2	Company	AA-
\$ 130,000	M	78	68.3	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A-
				Empire General Life Assurance	
\$ 1,000,000	M	78	143.0	Corporation	AA-
\$ 2,000,000	F	78	108.5	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
22					

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail (as of June 30, 2013) (continued)

Face	G	A (1)	1.5 (2)		S&P
Amount	Sex	Age (1)	LE (2)	Carrier	Rating
¢ 2 000 000	Б	70	120.7	Transamerica Life Insurance	A A
\$ 2,000,000	F	78	130.7	Company	AA-
\$ 3,000,000	M	78	132.9	Principal Life Insurance Company	A+
¢ 5 000 000	М	70	06.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance	۸.
\$5,000,000	M	78	96.0	Company	A+
ф 5 000 000	3.4	70	06.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance	Α.
\$ 5,000,000	M	78	96.0	Company	A+
¢ 500,000	M	70	90.0	Transamerica Life Insurance	A A
\$ 500,000	M	78	89.9	Company	AA-
\$3,000,000	M	77	65.7	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
\$3,000,000	M	77	65.7	Minnesota Life Insurance Company	A+
\$ 3,000,000	M	77	65.7	Prudential Life Insurance Company	AA-
¢ 2 000 000	3.4	77	1150	ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$3,000,000	M	77	115.9	Company	A-
# 4 000 000	3.6	77	100.0	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$4,000,000	M	77	108.0	Company	AA-
Φ 2 CO1 FOO	3.6	77	06.5	Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 3,601,500	M	77	96.5	Company	AA-
# 1 000 000	3.6	77	1115	Sun Life Assurance Company of	DDD
\$ 1,000,000	M	77	114.7	Canada (U.S.)	BBB
\$5,000,000	M	77	138.1	Principal Life Insurance Company	A+
4.7.000.000	3.6	77	0.4.0	John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 5,000,000	M	77	94.2	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
\$ 7,000,000	M	77	105.6	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+
# 2 25 0 000	3.6	77	00.4	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance	
\$ 2,250,000	M	77	80.4	Company	AA+
4.200.000	_		1060	American National Insurance	
\$4,300,000	F	77	126.0	Company	A
φ. σ. 000 000	-	77	150.0	ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 5,000,000	F	77	150.0	Company	A-
Φ 750,000	3.6	77	02.0	Lincoln National Life Insurance	
\$ 750,000	M	77	83.9	Company	AA-
\$ 3,000,000	M	77	114.3	Principal Life Insurance Company	A+
φ. σ. 000 000	3.6	7.6	100.6	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	M	76	108.6	Company	AA-
* * 000 000			0.6.0	John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 5,000,000	M	76	96.2	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
# # 00.000	3.4	7.6	70.0	John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 500,000	M	76	78.3	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
			4450	John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 5,000,000	M	76	113.9	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 1,009,467	M	76	69.3	Company (U.S.A)	AA-

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

				MetLife Investors USA Insurance	
\$4,000,000	M	76	91.7	Company	AA-
				Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance	
\$ 2,500,000	M	76	107.2	Company	AA+
				Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance	
\$ 2,500,000	M	76	107.2	Company	AA+
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	M	76	83.8	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
\$ 500,000	F	76	115.1	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA+
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$3,750,000	M	76	81.4	Company	A+
				Metropolitan Life Insurance	
\$1,000,000	M	76	109.8	Company	AA-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	F	76	61.6	Company	AA-
				Metropolitan Life Insurance	
\$1,000,000	M	75	131.1	Company	AA-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 2,840,000	M	75	123.4	Company	AA-
				U.S. Financial Life Insurance	
\$ 750,000	M	75	135.8	Company	A+
				John Hancock Life Insurance	
\$ 1,750,000	M	75	83.3	Company (U.S.A)	AA-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$5,000,000	M	75	117.2	Company	AA-
\$ 600,000	M	75	106.7	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
\$ 2,000,000	F	74	162.3	Aviva Life Insurance Company	A-
\$7,000,000	F	74	161.7	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A
\$ 1,000,000	M	74	116.4	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A
\$5,000,000	M	74	93.5	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
\$5,000,000	M	73	176.0	Prudential Life Insurance Company	AA-
				ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
\$ 200,000	M	73	123.8	Company	A-
				Metropolitan Life Insurance	
\$8,000,000	M	73	126.5	Company	AA-
\$5,000,000	M	73	57.7	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+
\$ 850,000	M	73	89.0	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail (as of June 30, 2013) (continued)

Face Amount	Sex	Age (1)	LE (2)	Carrier	S&P Rating
Amount	SCA	11ge (1)	LL (2)	U.S. Financial Life Insurance	Ruting
\$ 2,000,000	M	73	115.8	Company	A+
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				American General Life Insurance	
\$ 2,000,000	M	72	146.1	Company	A+
				General American Life Insurance	
\$3,000,000	F	72	135.7	Company	AA-
				Midland National Life Insurance	
\$ 500,000	M	71	83.2	Company	A+
				AXA Equitable Life Insurance	
\$3,000,000	M	71	116.3	Company	A+
				United of Omaha Life Insurance	
\$1,000,000	M	71	113.7	Company	A+
				American General Life Insurance	
\$ 2,500,00	0 M	70	130.0	Company	A+
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 1,167,00	0 M	70	49.8	Company	AA-
				Metropolitan Life Insurance	
\$ 1,500,00	0 M	70	119.5	Company	AA-
				Transamerica Life Insurance	
\$ 500,00	0 M	68	113.0	Company	AA-
				North American Company for Life	
\$ 500,00	0 M	68	113.0	And Health Insurance	A+
\$ 156,53	8 F	65	136.1	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
\$ 650,655,27	7				

⁽¹⁾ The insured's age is current as of the measurement date.

⁽²⁾ The insured's life expectancy estimate, other than for a small face value insurance policy benefit, is the average of two life expectancy estimates provided by independent third-party medical actuarial underwriting firms at the time of purchase, actuarially adjusted through the measurement date. This listing includes an adjusting increase of an average of 8.67% to life expectancies provided by 21st Services. Numbers in this column represent months. For more information, please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. Table of Contents

	Page
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 (unaudited) and December	F-1
31, 2012	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended	F-2
June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended	F-4
June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity (unaudited)	F-5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6

25

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2013 (unaudited)	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$38,240,633	\$27,497,044
Restricted cash	4,463,867	2,093,092
Investment in life settlements, at fair value	193,891,894	164,317,183
Other assets	7,041,894	4,040,716
TOTAL ASSETS	\$243,638,288	\$197,948,035
LIABILITIES & EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
LIABILITIES		
Revolving credit facility	\$79,000,000	\$71,000,000
Series I Secured notes payable	34,505,914	37,844,711
Renewable secured debentures	93,684,735	55,718,950
Interest payable	5,771,063	3,477,320
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,642,728	1,761,558
Deferred taxes, net	7,868,201	5,501,407
TOTAL LIABILITIES	222,472,641	175,303,946
CONVERTING E DEDEEMAND E DREEDRED CTOCK		
CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK (par value \$0.001; shares authorized 40,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 3,356,787 and 3,361,076; liquidation preference of \$25,176,000 and \$25,208,000, respectively)	24,322,651	23,905,878
EQUITY		
Common stock (par value \$0.001: shares authorized 210,000,000; shares issued and outstanding is 9,124,000 and 9,989,000 on June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012,		
respectively)	9,124	9,989
Additional paid-in capital	3,253,670	6,971,844
Accumulated deficit	(6,419,798)	(8,243,622)
TOTAL EQUITY (DEFICIT)	(3,157,004)	(1,261,789)
TOTAL LIABILITIES & EQUITY (DEFICIT)	\$243,638,288	\$197,948,035

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
REVENUE				
Gain on life settlements, net	\$7,733,245	\$4,867,478	\$16,073,601	\$5,469,246
Interest and other income	3,274,323	47,563	3,441,994	48,894
TOTAL REVENUE	11,007,568	4,915,041	19,515,595	5,518,140
EXPENSES				
Employee compensation and benefits	1,063,923	583,338	3,001,343	1,117,084
Legal and professional fees	351,910	337,179	789,200	701,403
Interest expense	4,941,942	2,379,578	9,409,157	4,817,991
Other expenses	1,091,132	703,659	2,124,277	1,262,652
TOTAL EXPENSES	7,448,907	4,003,754	15,323,977	7,899,130
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	3,558,661	911,287	4,191,618	(2,380,990)
INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT)	1,801,971	609,588	2,367,794	(529,860)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$1,756,690	\$301,699	\$1,823,824	\$(1,851,130)
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE				
Basic	\$0.18	\$0.03	\$0.19	\$(0.19)
Diluted	\$0.12	\$0.03	\$0.13	\$(0.19)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING				
Basic	9,969,989	9,989,000	9,548,205	9,989,000
Diluted	15,022,198	9,989,000	14,580,506	9,989,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30, June 30, 2013 2012		Six Mont June 30, 2013	hs Ended June 30, 2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income (loss)	\$1,756,690	\$301,699	\$1,823,824	\$(1,851,130)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net	ψ1,730,030	Ψ301,077	Ψ1,023,024	φ(1,031,130)
cash flows from operating activities:				
Gain on life settlements	(7,449,180)	(4,575,941)	(18,943,905)	(10,990,249)
Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs	837,133	342,297	1,930,880	909,457
Deferred income taxes	1,802,920	609,588	2,366,794	(529,860)
Convertible, redeemable preferred stock dividends	1,002,720	000,000	2,500,771	(52),000
payable	178,235	294,935	261,937	421,010
(Increase) decrease in operating assets:	-, -,	_, .,,,		,
Other assets	(4,164,004)	(298,060)	(3,614,132)	1,057,285
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:	() -))	(1 1)1 1 1	(-)- , - ,	, ,
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	402,739	101,452	1,694,797	659,134
1 3	,	,	, ,	,
NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING				
ACTIVITIES	(6,635,467)	(3,224,030)	(14,479,805)	(10,324,353)
	,	,		, , , ,
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Investment in life settlements	(2,972,944)	(1,468,770)	(12,885,993)	(2,622,030)
Proceeds from settlement of life settlements	1,382,152	416,665	2,872,152	416,665
NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING				
ACTIVITIES	(1,590,792)	(1,052,105)	(10,013,841)	(2,205,365)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net proceeds from revolving credit facility	-	3,500,000	8,000,000	6,000,000
Proceeds from issuance of Series I Secured notes				
payable	-	-	-	50,000
Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes				
payable	(2,423,052)	(1,918,420)	(3,930,876)	(3,468,957)
Proceeds from issuance of renewable secured				
debentures	18,588,867	12,695,213	42,439,661	15,757,086
Payments for redemption and issuance of renewable				
secured debentures	(3,033,303)	(712,587)	(5,336,571)	(712,587)
Proceeds from restricted cash	2,160,333	(3,282,199)	(2,370,775)	(57,361)
Repurchase of common stock	(3,252,400)	-	(3,252,400)	-
Issuance (redemptions) of convertible, redeemable				
preferred stock	(125,135)	1,350,910	(311,804)	5,787,375
Payments of issuance cost for preferred stock		(810,722)	-	(1,609,362)
NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY				
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	11,915,310	10,822,195	35,237,235	21,746,194

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH	2 690 051	6 546 060	10 742 590	0 216 476
EQUIVALENTS	3,689,051	6,546,060	10,743,589	9,216,476
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS				
BEGINNING OF PERIOD	34,551,582	4,548,765	27,497,044	1,878,349
END OF PERIOD	\$38,240,633	\$11,094,825	\$38,240,633	\$11,094,825

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS – CONTINUED (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended			nded	
		June 30, 2013		June 30, 2012		June 30, 2013		June 30, 2012
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION								
Interest paid	\$	3,214,000	\$	1,313,000	\$	6,512,000	\$	2,462,000
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES								
Series I secured notes:								
Non-cash conversion of accrued interest and								
commissions payable to principal	\$	86,000	\$	4,000	\$	150,000	\$	8,000
Renewable secured debentures:								
Non-cash conversion of accrued interest and								
commission payable to principal	\$	59,000	\$	37,000	\$	100,000	\$	70,000
Convertible, redeemable preferred stock								
Non-cash conversion of dividends payable	\$	178,000	\$	138,000	\$	262,000	\$	250,000
Non-cash accretion of convertible, redeemable								
preferred stock to redemption value	\$	209,000	\$	435,000	\$	467,000	\$	775,000
Non-cash conversion of Series I secured notes	\$	-	\$	1,130,000	\$	-	\$	4,220,000
Investment in life settlements included in								
accounts payable	\$	262,000	\$	108,000	\$	262,000	\$	108,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (unaudited)

	Common	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	(par)	Capital	Deficit	Equity
Balance, December 31, 2011	9,989,000	\$ 9,989	\$ 8,169,303	\$ (7,230,723)	\$948,569
Net income	-	-	-	(1,012,899)	(1,012,899)
Issuance of warrants to purchase common stock	-	-	380,946	-	380,946
Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value	-	-	(1,578,405)	-	(1,578,405)
Balance, December 31, 2012	9,989,000	9,989	6,971,844	(8,243,622)	(1,261,789)
Net income				1,823,824	1,823,824
Repurchase of common stock	(865,000)	(865) (3,251,535)		(3,252,400)
Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value			(466,639)		(466,639)
Balance, June 30, 2013	9,124,000	\$ 9,124	\$ 3,253,670	\$ (6,419,798)	\$(3,157,004)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

(1) Nature of business and summary of significant accounting policies

Nature of business - GWG Holdings, Inc. (Holdings) (previously GWG Holdings, LLC) and Subsidiaries, located in Minneapolis, Minnesota, facilitates the purchase of life insurance policies for its own investment portfolio through its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life Settlements, LLC (GWG Life), and its subsidiaries, GWG Trust, LLC (Trust), GWG DLP Funding II, LLC (DLP II) and its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG DLP Master Trust II (the Trust II). Holdings converted from a limited liability company into a corporation effective June 10, 2011 and as a result of this change all member units were converted into common stock. Holdings finances the acquisition of life insurance policies, and pays policy premiums, through funds available on its line of credit and the issuance of debt and equity securities. GWG Member, LLC a wholly owned subsidiary formed November 2010 to facilitate the acquisition of policies, has not commenced operations as of June 30, 2013. The entities were legally organized in Delaware and are collectively referred herein to as GWG, or the Company.

On July 11, 2011 the Company entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Group, LTD and Athena Structured Funds PLC. Under this agreement, Holdings issued to Athena Securities Group, LTD (Athena) 989,000 shares of common stock, which was equal to 9.9% of the outstanding shares in the Company in exchange for shares equal to 9.9% of the outstanding shares in Athena Structured Funds, PLC (Athena Funds) and cash of \$5,000. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 505-50, the Company recorded the share-based payment transaction with Athena at the fair value of the Company's 989,000 shares of common stock issued as it was the most reliable measurable form of consideration in this exchange the total value ascribed to the common stock issued to Athena was \$3.6 million. The \$5,000 cash paid by Athena, which represents the fair value of the shares of Athena Funds, is included in financing activities of the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

On June 28, 2013, GWG Holdings, Inc. entered into a new Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Limited and Athena Securities Group Limited. The June 28, 2013 agreement terminated the parties' original Purchase and Sale Agreement dated July 11, 2011. Under the new agreement, GWG Holdings appointed Athena Securities Group Limited (i) as GWG Holdings' exclusive representative for the offer and sale of GWG Holdings' Renewable Secured Debentures in Ireland, and (ii) as a distributor for the offer and sale of those debentures in Europe and the Middle East, in each case until May 8, 2014. Any compensation payable to Athena Securities Group Limited will be in accordance with the compensation disclosures set forth in GWG Holdings' prospectus for the offering filed with the SEC on dated June 4, 2013. In addition, the new agreement effected the sale by Athena Securities Limited to GWG Holdings of 865,000 shares of GWG Holdings' common stock, and GWG Holdings' sale back to Athena Securities Group Limited of certain shares of GWG Securities International Public Limited Company (formerly known as Athena Structured Funds PLC) originally transacted under the original July 11, 2011 agreement. The Company recorded a non-cash gain on the transaction of \$3,252,000.

Basis of presentation - The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the condensed consolidated statement of changes in equity for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and six months ended June 30, 2013, and the related information presented in these notes, have been prepared by management in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X, without audit. To the extent that information and notes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for complete financial statements are contained in or are consistent with the consolidated audited financial statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended

December 31, 2012, such information and notes have not been duplicated herein. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of results have been included. The condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as of that date. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2013. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Use of estimates - The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company regularly evaluates estimates and assumptions. The Company bases its estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience, and various other factors that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the accrual of costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual results experienced by the Company may differ materially and adversely from the Company's estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and the actual results, future results of operations will be affected. The most significant estimates with regard to these consolidated financial statements relates to (1) the determination of the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies, and (2) the value of deferred tax assets and liabilities.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Life settlements - ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, allows a reporting entity the election to account for its investments in life settlements using either the investment method or the fair value method. The election shall be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. Under the investment method, an investor shall recognize the initial investment at the purchase price plus all initial direct costs. Continuing costs (policy premiums and direct external costs, if any) to keep the policy in force shall be capitalized. Under the fair value method, an investor shall recognize the initial investment at the purchase price. In subsequent periods, the investor shall re-measure the investment at fair value in its entirety at each reporting period and shall recognize the change in fair value in current period income net of premiums paid. The Company uses the fair value method to account for all life settlements.

The Company recognizes realized gains (revenue) from life settlement contracts upon one of the two following events:

- 1) Receipt of death notice or verified obituary of insured
- 2) Sale of policy and filing of change of ownership forms and receipt of payment

The Company recognizes the difference between the death benefits and carrying values of the policy when an insured event has occurred and the Company determines that settlement and ultimate collection of the death benefits is realizable and reasonably assured. Revenue from a transaction must meet both criteria in order to be recognized. In an event of a sale of a policy the Company recognizes gain or loss as the difference between the sale price and the carrying value of the policy on the date of the receipt of payment on such sale.

Deposits and initial direct costs advanced on unsettled policy acquisitions are recorded as other assets until policy ownership has been transferred to the Company. Such deposits and direct cost advances were \$431,000 and \$785,000 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Deferred financing and issuance costs – Financing costs incurred to obtain financing under the revolving credit facility, as described in note 6, have been capitalized and are amortized using the straight-line method (which approximated the interest method) over the term of the revolving credit facility. Amortization of deferred financing costs was \$89,000 and \$58,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$276,000 and \$116,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The future amortization is \$179,000 and \$358,000 for the six months ending December 31, 2013 and the year ending December 31, 2014, respectively. The Series I Secured notes payable, as described in note 7, are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Renewable Secured Debentures, as described in note 8, are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Series A preferred stock, as described in note 9, is reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, including the fair value of warrants issued, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method as interest expense over the three-year redemption period.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Earnings (loss) per share – Basic per share earnings (loss) attributable to non-redeemable interests is calculated using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated based on the potential dilutive impact, if any, of the Company's convertible, redeemable preferred stock and outstanding warrants.

Recently adopted pronouncements - In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS", which amends disclosure requirements related to categorization within the fair value hierarchy. This update results in common principles and requirements for measuring fair value and disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The guidance became effective for the annual period beginning January 1, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Other pronouncements issued by the FASB or other authoritative accounting standards groups with future effective dates are either not applicable or are not expected to be significant to the Company.

(2) Restrictions on cash

The Company is required by its lenders to maintain collection and escrow accounts. These accounts are used to pay annual premiums of insurance policies, pay interest and other charges under the revolving credit facility, and collect policy benefits. DZ Bank AG, as agent for Autobahn Funding Company, LLC, the lender for the revolving credit facility as described in note 6, authorizes the disbursements from these accounts. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 there was a balance of \$4,464,000, and \$2,093,000, respectively, maintained in these restricted cash accounts.

(3) Investment in life insurance policies

The life insurance policies (Level 3 fair value measurements) are valued based on unobservable inputs that are significant to the overall fair value measurement. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in gain or loss on life insurance policies in the consolidated statements of operations (net of the cash premiums paid on the policies). The fair value is determined on a discounted cash flow basis that incorporates life expectancy assumptions. Life expectancy reports have been obtained from widely accepted life expectancy providers. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and our estimate of the risk premium an investor in the policy would require. As a result of management's analysis, discount rates of 11.84% and 12.08% were applied to the portfolio as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

A summary of the Company's life insurance policies accounted for under the fair value method and their estimated maturity dates, based on remaining life expectancy, is as follows:

		As of June 30,	2013	As	s of December 3	1, 2012
	Number			Number		
Years Ending December	of	Estimated		of	Estimated	
31,	Contracts	Fair Value	Face Value	Contracts	Fair Value	Face Value
2013	-	\$ -	- \$	-	\$ -	\$ -
2014	_	_	_	_	_	_

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

2015	2	1,239,000	2,000,000	2	1,163,000	2,000,000
2016	10	7,059,000	12,729,000	13	11,608,000	22,229,000
2017	18	25,099,000	56,173,000	17	21,155,000	53,439,000
2018	31	32,382,000	77,629,000	31	28,252,000	75,668,000
2019	40	34,198,000	99,668,000	35	26,947,000	84,579,000
Thereafter	140	93,915,000	402,456,000	113	75,192,000	334,331,000
Totals	241	\$193,892,000	\$650,655,000	211	\$ 164,317,000	\$ 572,246,000

The Company recognized death benefits of \$6,600,000 and \$4,500,000 during the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to policies with a carrying value of \$1,382,000 and \$417,000, respectively. The Company recorded realized gains of \$5,218,000 and \$4,083,000 on such policies. The Company recognized death benefits of \$10,600,000 and \$4,500,000 during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to policies with a carrying value of \$2,872,000 and \$417,000, respectively. The Company recorded realized gains of \$7,728,000 and \$4,083,000 on such policies. Subsequent to June 30, 2013, two policies with an aggregate death benefit of \$2,500,000 have matured.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Reconciliation of gain on life settlements:

	Three Months Ended June			
	30,		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Change in fair value	\$7,449,000	\$4,576,000	\$18,944,000	\$9,367,000
Premiums and other annual fees	(4,934,000)	(3,792,000)	(10,598,000)	(7,981,000)
Policy maturities	5,218,000	4,083,000	7,728,000	4,083,000
Gain on life settlements, net	\$7,733,000	\$4,867,000	\$16,074,000	\$5,469,000

The estimated expected premium payments to maintain the above life insurance policies in force for the next five years, assuming no mortalities, are as follows:

Y	ears	Ending	Decem	ber 31,
		_		

Six months ending December 31, 2013	\$10,272,000
2014	21,206,000
2015	22,986,000
2016	25,222,000
2017	27,757,000
	\$107 443 000

Management anticipates funding the estimated premium payments as noted above with proceeds from the DZ Bank revolving credit facility and through additional debt and equity financing as well as from cash proceeds from maturities of life insurance policies. The proceeds of these capital sources are also intended to be used for the purchase, financing, and maintenance of additional life insurance policies.

(4) Fair value definition and hierarchy

ASC 820 establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework which prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is affected by a number of factors, including the type of investment, the characteristics specific to the investment and the state of the marketplace including the existence and transparency of transactions between market participants. Assets and liabilities with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices in an orderly market generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value. ASC 820 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the "exit price") in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

Level 1 - Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Level 2 - Valuations based on one or more quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 - Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The availability of observable inputs can vary by types of assets and liabilities and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, whether an instrument is established in the marketplace, the liquidity of markets and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by management in determining fair value is greatest for assets and liabilities categorized in Level 3.

Level 3 Valuation Process

The estimated fair value of the Company's life settlements are determined on a quarterly basis by the Company's portfolio management committee, taking into consideration changes in discount rate assumptions, estimated premium payments and life expectancy assumptions, as well as any changes in economic and other relevant conditions. These inputs are then used to estimate the discounted cash flows using the MAPS probabilistic portfolio pricing model, which estimates the cash flows using various probabilities and scenarios. The valuation process includes a review by senior management as of each valuation date. Management will also engage a third party expert to independently test the accuracy of the valuations using the inputs provided by management.

Life insurance policies represent financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The following table reconciles the beginning and ending fair value of the Company's Level 3 investments in life insurance policies for the three and six month periods ending June 30, as follows:

	Three month	ended June 30,	Six months ended June 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Beginning balance	\$185,020,000	\$128,112,000	\$164,317,000	\$122,169,000	
Purchases	2,805,000	1,576,000	13,503,000	2,728,000	
Maturities (cash in excess of carrying value)	(1,382,000)	(416,000)	(2,872,000)	(416,000)	
Net change in fair value	7,449,000	4,576,000	18,944,000	9,367,000	
Ending balance (June 30)	\$193,892,000	\$133,848,000	\$193,892,000	\$133,848,000	

The fair value of a portfolio of life insurance policies is based on information available to the Company at the reporting date. Fair value is based upon a discounted cash flow model that incorporates life expectancy assumptions. Life expectancy reports are obtained from independent and third-party widely accepted life expectancy providers at policy acquisition. The life expectancy values of each policy holder, as determined at policy acquisition, are rolled down monthly for the passage of time by the MAPS actuarial software the Company uses for ongoing valuation of its portfolio of life insurance policies. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and management's estimate of the risk premium an investor in the portfolio of life insurance policies would require.

On January 22, 2013, one of the independent medical actuarial underwriting firms we utilize, 21st Services, announced advancements in its underwriting methodology, resulting in revised estimated life expectancy mortality tables for life settlement transactions. We have been advised by 21st Services that the changes are very granular and relate to both specific medical conditions and lifestyles of insureds. These changes are the result of the application of additional medical information that has been gathered by 21st Services over a period of time, and which has now been applied to the inputs and methodologies used to develop the actuarial life expectancies. While we do not believe these revised methodologies indicate the previous estimated life expectancies were inaccurate, we believe the revised

methodologies provide additional information that should be considered in updating our estimate of the life expectancies of the insureds within our portfolio of life settlement contracts as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Based upon our evaluation and analysis of data made available by 21st Services, as well as information regarding the insureds within our portfolio, we have estimated the impact of the changes in 21st Services' methodologies for determining life expectancies on a policy-by-policy basis within our portfolio and applied such changes to the life expectancy inputs used to estimate fair value. We have adjusted the original life expectancies provided by 21st Services based on four factors, the impact of each analyzed individually for each insured in the GWG portfolio. The four factors are gender, anti-selection, age, and primary impairment. While the analysis and adjustments were applied on an individual policy basis, the result was an average overall increase in the original life expectancy estimates of 8.67%. We have a standard practice of obtaining two third-party life expectancy estimates for each policy in our portfolio. As a result, the effective change in life expectancy on the portfolio was an average of approximately 4.33%, which resulted in an aggregate decrease in the fair value of our life settlements portfolio of \$12.4 million as of December 31, 2012. Life expectancy reports by their very nature are estimates. Due to the estimating changes made by 21st Services, and because refinement in estimating methods is on-going, we plan to obtain new life expectancy reports for all policies purchased where we used a life expectancy report from 21st Services. As part of our on-going process to maintain current information regarding the insureds included in our portfolio, we are updating the life expectancy estimates in our portfolio valuation process as new information becomes available.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The fair value of life insurance policies is estimated using present value calculations of estimated cash flows based on the data specific to each individual life insurance policy. Estimated future policy premium payments are calculated based on the terms of the policy and the premium payment history. The following summarizes the unobservable inputs utilized in estimating the fair value of the portfolio of life insurance policies:

	As of	As of
	June 30,	December
	2013	31, 2012
Weighted average age of insured	81.7	81.3
Weighted average life expectancy, months*	90.4	91.6
Average face amount per policy	\$ 2,699,814	\$ 2,712,063
Discount rate	11.84%	12.08%

^{*} Standard life expectancy as adjusted for insured's specific circumstances.

These assumptions are, by their nature, inherently uncertain and the effect of changes in estimates may be significant. The techniques used in estimating the present value of estimated cash flows are derived from valuation techniques generally used in the industry that include inputs for the asset that are not based on observable market data. The extent to which the fair value could reasonable vary in the near term has been quantified by evaluating the effect of changes in significant underlying assumptions used to estimate the fair value. If the life expectancies were increased or decreased by 4 and 8 months on each outstanding policy and the discount factors were increased or decreased by 1% and 2%, while all other variables are held constant, the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies would increase or (decrease) by the amounts summarized below:

	plus 8 months	Change in life minus 8 months	e expectancy plus 4 months	minus 4 months
June 30, 2013	\$ (28,173,000)	\$29,545,000	\$ (14,258,000)	\$ 14,601,000
December 31, 2012	\$ (24,072,000)	\$ 25,268,000	\$ (12,185,000)	\$12,484,000
	plus 2%	Change in di minus 2%	iscount rate plus 1%	minus 1%
June 30, 2013	\$ (19,390,000)	\$ 23,002,000	\$ (10,098,000)	\$10,998,000
December 31, 2012	\$ (16,811,000)	\$19,978,000	\$ (8,759,000)	\$ 9,547,000

Carrying value of receivables, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to their short-term maturities and low credit risk. The estimated fair value of the Company's Series I Secured notes payable is approximately \$35,703,000 based on a weighted-average market interest rate of 7.45% based on an income approach. The Company began issuing Renewable Secured Debentures in the first quarter of 2012. The current interest rates on the Renewable Secured Debentures approximate market rates. The carrying value of the Renewable Secured Debentures approximates fair value. The carrying value of the revolving credit facility reflects interest

charged at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin. The margin represents our credit risk, and the strength of the portfolio of life insurance policies collateralizing the debt. The overall rate reflects market, and the carrying value of the revolver approximates fair value. All of the financial instruments are level 3 fair value measurements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The Company has issued warrants to purchase common stock in connection with the issuance of its convertible, redeemable preferred stock. Warrants were determined by the Company as permanent equity. The fair value measurements associated with the warrants, measured at issuance represent level 3 instruments.

	Warrants	Fair value per				
Month issued	issued	share		Risk free rate	Volatility	Term
December 2011	137,874	\$	0.11	0.42%	25.25%	3 years
March 2012	76,260	\$	0.26	0.38%	36.20%	3 years
June 2012	323,681	\$	0.58	0.41%	47.36%	3 years
July 2012	289,093	\$	0.58	0.41%	47.36%	3 years
September 2012	5,000	\$	0.36	0.31%	40.49%	3 years
_	831,908					

Volatility is based upon the weekly percentage change in the stock price of selected comparable insurance companies. In June 2012, we evaluated the comparable companies used, and made certain changes to those used. The percentage change is calculated on the average price of those selected stocks at the weekly close of business for the year preceding the balance sheet date. We compare annual volatility based on this weekly information.

(5) Notes receivable from related parties

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had receivables totaling \$5,000,000 due from an affiliate, Opportunity Finance, LLC, which were fully reserved. Opportunity Finance ceased operations in 2008.

(6) Credit facilities

Revolving credit facility – Autobahn Funding Company LLC

On July 15, 2008, DLP II and United Lending entered into a revolving credit facility pursuant to a Credit and Security Agreement (Agreement) with Autobahn Funding Company LLC (Autobahn), providing the Company with a maximum borrowing amount of \$100,000,000. Autobahn is a commercial paper conduit that issues commercial paper to investors in order to provide funding to DLP II and United Lending. DZ Bank AG acts as the agent for Autobahn. The original Agreement was to expire on July 15, 2013. On January 29, 2013, Holdings, together with GWG Life and DLP II, entered into an Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with Autobahn, extending the facility expiration date to December 31, 2014, and removing United Lending as a party to the amended and restated Agreement. The amount outstanding under this facility as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, was \$79,000,000 and \$71,000,000, respectively.

The Agreement requires DLP II to pay, on a monthly basis, interest at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin, as defined in the Agreement. The effective rate was 6.27% and 2.02% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The weighted-average effective interest rate was 6.26% and 2.12% (excluding the unused line fee) for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 6.06% and 2.19% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Agreement also requires payment of an unused line fee on the unfunded amount under the revolving credit facility. The note is secured by substantially all of DLP II assets, which consist primarily of life settlement policies.

The Agreement has certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Agreement generally prohibits the Company from:

changing its corporate name, offices, and jurisdiction of incorporation changing any deposit accounts or payment instructions to insurers; changing any operating policies and practices such that it would be reasonably likely to adversely affect the collectability of any asset in any material respect; merging or consolidating with, or selling all or substantially all of its assets to, any third party; selling any collateral or creating or permitting to exist any adverse claim upon any collateral; engaging in any other business or activity than that contemplated by the Agreement; incurring or guaranteeing any debt for borrowed money; amending the Company's certificate of incorporation or bylaws, making any loans or advances to, investments in, or paying any dividends to, any person unless both before and after any such loan, advance, investment or dividend there exists no actual event of default, potential event of default or termination event;

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

removing an independent director on the board of directors except for cause or with the consent of the lender; or

making payment on or issuing any subsidiary secured notes or debentures, or amending any agreements respecting such notes or debentures, if an event of default, potential event of default or termination event exists or would arise from any such action.

In addition, the Company has agreed to maintain (i) a positive consolidated net income (as defined and calculated under the Agreement) for each complete fiscal year and (ii) a tangible net worth (again, as defined and calculated under the Agreement) of not less than \$15 million, and (iii) maintain a borrowing base surplus or cash cushion sufficient to pay three to twelve months (increasing throughout 2013) of premiums and facility fees.

Consolidated net income and tangible net worth as of and for the 4 quarter period ended June 30, 2013, as calculated under the agreement, were \$5,905,000 and \$44,233,000, respectively.

Advances under the Agreement are subject to a borrowing base formula, which limits the availability of advances on the borrowing base calculation based on attributes of policies pledged to the facility. Over-concentration of policies by insurance carrier, over-concentration of policies by insurance carriers with ratings below a AA- rating, and the premiums and facility fees reserve are the three primary factors with the potential of limiting availability of funds on the facility. Total funds available for additional borrowings under the borrowing base formula criteria at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, were \$667,000 and \$15,043,000 respectively.

On July 15, 2008, Holdings delivered a performance guaranty in favor of Autobahn pursuant to which it guaranteed the obligations of GWG Life, in its capacity as the seller and master servicer, under the Credit and Security Agreement and related documents. On January 29, 2013 and in connection with the Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement, Holdings delivered a reaffirmation of its performance guaranty. The obligations of Holdings under the performance guaranty and subsequent reaffirmation do not extend to the principal and interest owed by DLP II as the borrower under the credit facility.

(7) Series I Secured notes payable

Series I Secured notes payable have been issued in conjunction with the GWG Series I Secured notes private placement memorandum dated August 25, 2009 (last revised November 15, 2010). On June 14, 2011 the Company closed the offering to additional investors, however, existing investors may elect to continue advancing amounts outstanding upon maturity subject to the Company's option. Series I Secured notes have maturity dates ranging from six months to seven years with fixed interest rates varying from 5.65% to 9.55% depending on the term of the note. Interest is payable monthly, quarterly, annually or at maturity depending on the terms of the note. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 the weighted-average interest rates of Series I Secured notes were 8.27%, and 8.22% respectively. The notes are secured by assets of GWG Life. The principal amount outstanding under these Series I Secured notes was \$35,299,000 and \$38,570,000 at June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. The difference between the amount outstanding on the Series I Secured notes and the carrying amount on the consolidated balance sheet is due to netting of unamortized deferred issuance costs. Overall, interest expense includes amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs of \$161,000 and \$217,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and \$237,000 and \$746,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. Future expected amortization of deferred financing costs is \$793,000 over the next six years.

On November 15, 2010, the holders of a majority of the membership interests in the company (then a limited liability company), Messrs. Jon R. Sabes and Steven F. Sabes, pledged their ownership interests in the Company to the Series I Trust as security for advances under the Series I Trust arrangement.

The use of proceeds from the issuances of Series I Secured notes was limited to the following: (1) payment of commissions of Series I Secured note sales, (2) purchase life insurance policies, (3) pay premiums of life insurance policies, (4) pay principal and interest to Senior Liquidity Provider (DZ Bank), (5) pay portfolio or note operating fees or costs, (6) pay trustee (Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.), (7) pay servicer and collateral fees, (8) pay principal and interest on Series I Secured notes, (9) make distributions to equity holders for tax liability related to portfolio, (10) purchase interest rate caps, swaps, or hedging instruments, (11) pay GWG Series I Trustee fees, and (12) pay offering expenses.

On November 1, 2011, GWG entered into a Third Amended and Restated Note Issuance and Security Agreement with Lord Securities Corporation after receiving majority approval from the holders of Series I Secured notes. Among other things, the amended and restated agreement modified the use of proceeds and certain provisions relating to the distribution of collections and subordination of cash flow. Under the amended and restated agreement, GWG is no longer restricted as to its use of proceeds or subject to restrictions on certain distributions of collections and subordination of cash flows.

Future contractual maturities of Series I Secured notes payable at June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Years	Fnding	December	31
1 Cars	Liluing	December	$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{I}}$

Six months ending December 31, 2013	\$9,358,000
2014	12,561,000
2015	6,675,000
2016	1,802,000
2017	4,085,000
Thereafter	818,000
	\$35,299,000

(8) Renewable secured debentures

The Company has registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, effective January 2012, the offer and sale of \$250,000,000 of secured debentures. Renewable Secured Debentures have maturity dates ranging from six months to seven years with fixed interest rates varying from 4.75% to 9.50% depending on the term of the note. Interest is payable monthly, annually or at maturity depending on the terms of the debenture. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the weighted-average interest rate of Renewable Secured Debentures was 7.58% and 7.65%, respectively. The debentures are secured by assets of GWG Life and GWG Holdings. The amount outstanding under these Renewable Secured Debentures was \$95,858,000 and \$57,609,000 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The difference between the amount outstanding on the Renewable Secured Debentures and the carrying amount on the consolidated balance sheets is due to netting of unamortized deferred issuance costs and cash receipts for new issuances in process. Amortization of deferred issuance costs was \$344,000 and \$622,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and \$44,000 and \$47,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. Future expected amortization of deferred financing costs is \$4,114,000. Subsequent to June 30, 2013, the Company has issued approximately an additional \$10,300,000 in principal amount of these secured debentures.

The use of proceeds from the issuances of Renewable Secured Debentures is limited to the following: (1) payment of commissions on sales of Renewable Secured Debentures, (2) payment of offering expenses, (3) purchase of life insurance policies, (4) Payment of premiums on life insurance policies, (5) payment of principal and interest on Renewable Secured Debentures, (6) payment of portfolio operations expenses, and (7) for general working capital.

Future contractual maturities of Renewable Secured Debentures at June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Years	Ending	December	31.

Tears Ename December 51,	
Six months ending December 31, 2013	\$11,839,000
2014	15,272,000
2015	30,085,000
2016	16,359,000
2017	6,529,000
Thereafter	15,774,000
	\$95,858,000

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

The Company entered into an indenture effective October 19, 2011 with Holdings as obligor, GWG Life as guarantor, and Bank of Utah as trustee for the benefit of the debenture holders. The indenture has certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(9) Convertible, redeemable preferred stock

The Company began offering 3,333,333 shares of convertible redeemable preferred stock (Series A preferred stock) for sale to accredited investors in a private placement on July 31, 2011. The offering of Series A preferred stock concluded on September 2, 2012 and resulted in 3,278,000 shares being issued for gross consideration of \$24,582,000. As of June 30, 2013, 121,000 shares have been issued as a result of conversion of \$846,000 in dividends into shares of Series A preferred stock. The Series A preferred stock was sold at an offering price of \$7.50 per share. Series A preferred stock has a preferred yield of 10% per annum, and each share has the right to convert into 1.5 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company may elect to automatically convert the Series A preferred stock to common stock as described below. Series A preferred shareholders also received three-year warrants to purchase, at an exercise price per share of \$6.25, one share of common stock for every 20 shares of Series A preferred stock purchased. The warrants are exercisable immediately. In the Certificate of Designations for the Series A preferred stock dated July 31, 2011, the Company has agreed to permit preferred shareholders to sell their shares back to the Company for the stated value of \$7.50 per share, plus accrued dividends, according to the following schedule:

Up to 33% of the holder's unredeemed shares one year after issuance: Up to 66% of the holder's unredeemed shares two years after issuance; and Up to 100% of the holder's unredeemed shares three years after issuance.

The Company's obligation to redeem Series A preferred shares will terminate upon the Company completing a registration of its common stock with the SEC. The Company may redeem the Series A preferred shares at a price equal to 110% of their liquidation preference (\$7.50 per share) at any time after December 15, 2012.

At the election of the Company, the Series A preferred shares may be automatically converted into the common stock of the Company in the event of either (1) a registered offering of the Company's common stock with the SEC aggregating gross proceeds of at least \$5.0 million at a price equal to or greater than \$5.50 per share of common stock, or (2) the consent of shareholders holding at least a majority of the then-outstanding shares of Series A preferred stock. As of June 30, 2013, the Company had issued 3,400,000 preferred shares resulting in gross consideration of \$25,437,000 (including cash proceeds, conversion of Series I Secured notes and accrued interest on Series I notes, and conversion of preferred dividends payable). In 2013, the Company redeemed 43,000 shares valued at \$322,000 resulting in 3,357,000 shares outstanding with the gross value of \$25,115,000. The Company incurred Series A preferred stock issuance costs of \$2,838,000, of which \$2,045,000 was amortized to additional paid in capital as of June 30, 2013, resulting in a carrying amount of \$24,323,000.

The Company determined that the grant date fair value of the outstanding warrants attached to the Series A preferred stock was \$395,000 for warrants issued through June 30, 2013. The Company may redeem outstanding warrants prior to their expiration, at a price of \$0.01 per share upon 30 days written notice to the investors at any time after (i) the Company has completed a registration of its common stock with the SEC and (ii) the volume of weighted average sale price per share of common stock equals or exceeds \$7.00 per share for ten consecutive trading days ending on the third business day prior to proper notice of such redemption. Total warrants outstanding as of June 30, 2013, were 831,909 with a weighted average remaining life of 1.85 years. Total warrants outstanding at December 31, 2012, were 831,909 with a weighted average remaining life of 2.34 years.

Dividends on the Series A preferred stock may be paid in either cash or additional shares of Series A preferred stock at the election of the holder and approval of the Company. The dividends are reported as an expense and included in

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

the caption interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company declared and accrued dividends of \$628,000 and \$573,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$1,263,000 and \$990,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, pursuant to a board resolution declaring the dividend. 25,000 and 20,000 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued in lieu of cash dividends in the three month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and 37,000 and 35,000 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued in lieu of cash dividends in the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 respectively. The shares issued in lieu of cash dividends were issued at \$7.00 per share. As of June 30, 2013, Holdings has \$628,000 of accrued preferred dividends which were paid or converted to shares of Series A preferred stock on July 15, 2013.

(10) Income taxes

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded income tax expense of \$1,802,000 and \$2,368,000, or 50.6% and 56.5%, respectively, of income before taxes, compared to the recognition of an income tax expense of \$610,000, or 66.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and recognizing an income tax benefit of \$530,000, or 22.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The primary differences between the Company's June 30, 2013 effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate are the accrual of preferred stock dividend expense, state taxes, and other non-deductible expenses.

The most significant temporary differences between GAAP net income and taxable net income are the treatment of interest costs with respect to the acquisition of the life insurance policies and revenue recognition with respect to the mark-to-market of life insurance portfolio.

(11) Earnings per share and proforma information

The Company began issuing Series A preferred stock September, 1, 2011, as described in note 9. The Series A preferred stock is dilutive to the earnings per share calculation at June 30, 2013 and 2012. The Company has also issued warrants to purchase common stock in conjunction with the sale of convertible preferred stock, as discussed in note 9. The warrants are anti-dilutive at June 30, 2013 and 2012 and have not been included in the fully diluted earnings per share calculation.

(12) Commitments

The Company entered into an office lease with U.S. Bank National Association as the landlord. The lease was effective April 22, 2012 with a term through August 31, 2015. The lease is for 8,881 square feet of office space located at 220 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Company is obligated to pay base rent plus common area maintenance and a share of the building operating costs. Rent expenses under this and previous agreements were \$98,000 and \$73,000 during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Rent expenses under this and previous agreements were \$50,000 and \$36,000 during the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Minimum lease payments under the lease agreement effective April 22, 2012 are as follows:

Six months ending December 31, 2013	\$49,000
2014	104,000
2015	70,000
Total	\$223,000

(13) Contingencies

Litigation - In the normal course of business, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability resulting from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Opportunity Finance, LLC, owned by Jon Sabes and Steven Sabes, is subject to litigation clawback claims by the bankruptcy trustee for third-party matters for payments that may have been deemed preference payments. In addition, Jon Sabes and Steven Sabes are subject to litigation clawback claims by the bankruptcy trustee for third-party matters for payments received from Opportunity Finance that may have been deemed preference payments. If the parties are unsuccessful in defending against these claims, their equity ownership in the Company may be sold or transferred to other parties to satisfy such claims. In addition, the Company loaned \$1,000,000 to Opportunity Finance, LLC in 2006, and was repaid in full plus interest of \$177,000. This investment amount may also be subject to clawback claims by the bankruptcy court. These matters may also distract management and reduce the time and attention that they are able to devote to the Company's operations.

(14) Guarantees of secured debentures

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

Holdings has registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission the offer and sale \$250,000,000 of secured debentures as described in note 8. The secured debentures are secured by the assets of Holdings as described in note 8 and a pledge of all the common stock by the largest shareholders. Obligations under the debentures are guaranteed by Holding's subsidiary GWG Life. This guarantee involves the grant of a security interest in all the assets of GWG Life. GWG Life is a wholly owned subsidiary of Holdings and the payment of principal and interest on the secured debentures is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by GWG Life. Substantially all of the Company's life insurance policies are held by DLP II, a wholly owned subsidiary of GWG Life. The policies held by DLP II are not collateral for the debenture obligations as such policies are collateral for the credit facility.

The consolidating financial statements are presented in lieu of separate financial statements and other related disclosures of the subsidiary guarantors and issuer because management does not believe that separate financial statements and related disclosures would be material to investors. There are currently no significant restrictions on the ability of Holdings or GWG Life, the guarantor subsidiary, to obtain funds from its subsidiaries by dividend or loan, except as follows. DLP II is a borrower under a credit agreement with Autobahn, with DZ Bank AG as agent, as described in note 6. The significant majority of insurance policies owned by the Company are subject to a collateral arrangement with DZ Bank AG described in notes 3 and 6. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under the revolving credit facility. DZ Bank AG and Autobahn must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (GWG DLP Funding II, LLC, GWG Life Settlements, LLC, and GWG Holdings, Inc.) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by DZ Bank AG. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used for repayments of borrowings before any additional distributions may be made.

The following represents consolidating financial information as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, with respect to the financial position, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 with respect to results of operations and cash flows of Holdings and its subsidiaries. The parent column presents the financial information of Holdings, the primary obligor of the secured debentures. The guarantor subsidiary column presents the financial information of GWG Life, the guarantor subsidiary of the secured debentures, presenting its investment in DLP II and Trust under the equity method. The non-guarantor subsidiaries column presents the financial information of all non-guarantor subsidiaries including DLP II and Trust.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

June 30, 2013	Parent	Guarantor Sub	Non-Guarantor Sub	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36,989,169	\$ 1,251,464	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 38,240,633
Restricted cash	-	2,501,500	1,962,367	-	4,463,867
Investment in life settlements, at					
fair value	-	-	193,891,894	-	193,891,894
Other assets	263,555	328,363	6,449,976	-	7,041,894
Investment in subsidiaries	88,702,942	122,020,978	-	(210,723,920)	-
TOTAL ASSETS	\$125,955,666	\$126,102,305	\$202,304,237	\$(210,723,920)	\$243,638,288
LIABILITIES & STOCK	HOLDERS'	EQUITY(D	EFICIT)		
LIABILITIES					
Revolving credit facility	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 79,000,000	\$ -	\$ 79,000,000
Series I Secured notes payable	-	34,505,914	-	-	34,505,914
Secured renewable debentures	93,684,735	-	-		93,684,735

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

Interest payable	2,577,524	2,686,747	506,792	-	5,771,063
Accounts payable and accrued					
expenses	659,559	206,702	776,467	-	1,642,728
Deferred taxes, net	7,868,201	-	-	-	7,868,201
TOTAL LIABILITIES	104,790,019	37,399,363	80,283,259	-	222,472,641
CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE					
PREFERRED STOCK	24,322,651	-	-	-	24,322,651
EQUITY (DEFICIT)					
Member capital	_	88,702,942	122,020,978	(210,723,920)	-
Common stock	9,124	-	-	-	9,124
Additional paid-in capital	3,253,670	-	-	-	3,253,670
Accumulated deficit	(6,419,798)	-	-	-	(6,419,798)
TOTAL EQUITY (DEFICIT)	(3,157,004)	88,702,942	122,020,978	(210,723,920)	(3,157,004)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND					
EQUITY (DEFICIT)	\$125,955,666	\$126,102,305	\$202,304,237	\$(210,723,920)	\$243,638,288
Additional paid-in capital Accumulated deficit TOTAL EQUITY (DEFICIT) TOTAL LIABILITIES AND	3,253,670 (6,419,798) (3,157,004)				3,253,670 (6,419,798) (3,157,004)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (continued)

				No	on-Guarantor		
December 31, 2012	Parent	Gu	arantor Sub		Sub	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$25,035,579	\$	2,461,465	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 27,497,044
Restricted cash	-		1,748,700		344,392		2,093,092
Investment in life settlements, at							
fair value	-		-		164,317,183	-	164,317,183
Other assets	96,994		211,592		3,732,130	-	4,040,716
Investment in subsidiaries	60,608,585		96,914,613		_	(157,523,198)	_
	, ,		, ,				
TOTAL ASSETS	\$85,741,158	\$ 1	101,336,370	\$	168,393,705	\$ (157,523,198)	\$197,948,035
	, , . ,	Ċ	, , , , , , , , , , , ,	·	, ,	1 () , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
LIABILITIES & STOCKH	OLDERS'	ΕO	UITY(D	Εŀ	FICIT)		
		_ <					
LIABILITIES							
Revolving credit facility	\$ -	\$	_	\$	71,000,000	\$ -	\$ 71,000,000
Series I Secured notes payable	_	4	37,844,711	4	-	_	37,844,711
Secured renewable debentures	55,718,950		-		_		55,718,950
Interest payable	905,017		2,444,097		128,206	_	3,477,320
Accounts payable and accrued	703,017		2,111,027		120,200		3,177,320
expenses	971,695		490,497		302,366	_	1,761,558
Deferred taxes, net	5,501,407		-		502,500	_	5,501,407
TOTAL LIABILITIES	63,097,069		40,776,305		71,430,572	_	175,303,946
TOTAL ENABLETTIES	03,077,007		10,770,303		71,430,372		175,505,740
CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE							
PREFERRED STOCK	23,905,878						23,905,878
I KLI LKKLD STOCK	23,703,676						25,705,676
EQUITY (DEFICIT)							
Member capital			60,560,065		96,963,133	(157,523,198)	
Common stock	9,989		00,500,005		90,903,133	(137,323,196)	9,989
Additional paid-in capital	6,971,844		_		_	-	6,971,844
Accumulated deficit			-		-	-	
	(8,243,622)		-		- 06.062.122	(157 522 100)	(8,243,622) (1,261,789)
TOTAL EQUITY (DEFICIT)	(1,261,789)		60,560,065		96,963,133	(157,523,198)	(1,201,789)
TOTAL LIADILITIES AND							
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND	ΦΩ 5 741 15 Ω	Φ.1	101 226 270	ф	160 202 707	Φ (1 <i>57, 500, 1</i> 00)	ф 107 040 025
EQUITY (DEFICIT)	\$85,741,158	\$]	101,336,370	\$	168,393,705	\$(157,523,198)	\$ 197,948,035
F-18							

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

For the six months ended June 30, 2013 REVENUE	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Contract servicing fees	\$-	\$1,814,202	\$ -	\$(1,814,202)	\$ -
Gain on life settlements, net	-	-	16,073,601	-	16,073,601
Interest and other income	3,267,454	977,855	37,620	(840,935)	3,441,994
TOTAL REVENUE	3,267,454	2,792,057	16,111,221	(2,655,137)	19,515,595
EXPENSES					
Origination and servicing fees			1,814,202	(1,814,202)	
Employee compensation and	-	-	1,014,202	(1,614,202)	-
benefits	2,213,056	788,287	_	_	3,001,343
Legal and professional fees	672,317	116,883	_	_	789,200
Interest expense	4,976,599	1,849,861	2,582,697	_	9,409,157
Other expenses	1,283,269	816,009	865,934	(840,935)	2,124,277
o unor empensos	1,200,200	010,000	000,50.	(0.0,500)	_,;_,,_,,
TOTAL EXPENSES	9,145,241	3,571,040	5,262,833	(2,655,137)	15,323,977
				, , ,	
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY					
IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES	(5,877,787)	(778,983)	10,848,388	-	4,191,618
EQUITY IN INCOME OF					
SUBSIDIARIES	10,069,405	10,896,907	10,848,388	(20,966,312)	-
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	4,191,618	10,117,924	10,848,388	(20,966,312)	4,191,618
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	2,367,794	- -	- * 10.040.200	- *(20.066.212)	2,367,794
NET INCOME	\$1,823,824	\$10,117,924	\$ 10,848,388	\$(20,966,312)	\$1,823,824
		C	N C		
For the six months ended June 30, 2012	Domant	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
REVENUE	Parent	Subsidiary	Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Contract servicing fees	\$-	\$438,250	\$ -	\$ (438,250)	\$ -
Gain on life settlements, net	φ-	229,277	5,239,969	ψ (1 30,230)	5,469,246
Interest and other income	3,049	3,192	42,653	_	48,894
merest and other meetic	3,017	3,172	12,055		10,071
TOTAL REVENUE	3,049	670,719	5,282,622	(438,250)	5,518,140
	-,,	,,		(123,200)	-,,
EXPENSES					
Origination and servicing fees	-	(6,500)	444,750	(438,250)	-
	-	1,117,084	-	-	1,117,084

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

Employee compensation and benefits					
Legal and professional fees	655,600	45,803	-	-	701,403
Interest expense	1,268,211	2,696,548	853,232	-	4,817,991
Other expenses	534,100	703,552	25,000	-	1,262,652
TOTAL EXPENSES	2,457,911	4,556,487	1,322,982	(438,250)	7,899,130
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY	(2.454.962)	(2.005.7(0)	2.050.640		(2.200.000.)
IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES	(2,454,862)	(3,885,768)	3,959,640	-	(2,380,990)
EQUITY IN INCOME OF					
SUBSIDIARIES	73,872	4,017,864	-	(4,091,736)	-
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(2,380,990)	132,096	3,959,640	(4,091,736)	(2,380,990)
INCOME TAX BENEFIT	(529,860)	-	-	-	(529,860)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$(1,851,130)	\$132,096	\$ 3,959,640	\$ (4,091,736)	\$ (1,851,130)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations (continued)

For the three months ended June 30, 2013 REVENUE	B Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Contract servicing fees	\$-	\$536,100	\$ -	\$ (536,100)	\$ -
Gain on life settlements, net	-	-	7,733,245	-	7,733,245
Interest and other income	3,259,362	841,286	14,610	(840,935)	3,274,323
TOTAL REVENUE	3,259,362	1,377,386	7,747,855	(1,377,035)	11,007,568
EXPENSES					
EXPENSES			52C 100	(526 100)	
Origination and servicing fees	-	207.560	536,100	(536,100)	1.062.022
Employee compensation and benefits		397,569	-	-	1,063,923
Legal and professional fees	272,794	79,116	1 242 026	-	351,910
Interest expense	2,655,430	942,686	1,343,826	(0.40,025	4,941,942
Other expenses	649,112	429,520	853,435	(840,935)	1,091,132
TOTAL EVDENCES	4 242 600	1 040 001	2.722.261	(1.277.025)	7 449 007
TOTAL EXPENSES	4,243,690	1,848,891	2,733,361	(1,377,035)	7,448,907
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES	(984,328)	(471,505)	5,014,494	-	3,558,661
EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES	4,543,289	5,014,494	-	(9,557,783)	-
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	3,558,961	4,542,989	5,014,494	(9,557,783)	3,558,661
INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT)	1,802,271	(300)			1,801,971
NET INCOME	\$1,756,690	\$4,543,289	\$ 5,014,494	\$(9,557,783)	
NET INCOME	\$1,750,090	\$4,545,269	Φ 3,014,434	Φ (9,551,765)	\$ 1,730,090
For the three months ended June 30, 2012 REVENUE	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Contract servicing fees	\$-	\$290,050	\$ -	\$(290,050)	\$ -
Gain on life settlements, net	_	79,307	4,788,171	-	4,867,478
Interest and other income	2,745	2,237	42,581	-	47,563
	,	,	,		,
TOTAL REVENUE	2,745	371,594	4,830,752	(290,050)	4,915,041
EXPENSES					
Origination and servicing fees	-	_	290,050	(290,050)	-
Employee compensation and					
benefits	-	583,338	-	-	583,338

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

Legal and professional fees	364,698	(27,519)	-	-	337,179
Interest expense	814,038	1,140,239	425,301	-	2,379,578
Other expenses	387,691	303,468	12,500	-	703,659
TOTAL EXPENSES	1,566,427	1,999,526	727,851	(290,050)	4,003,754
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY					
IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES	(1,563,682)	(1,627,932)	4,102,901	-	911,287
EQUITY IN INCOME OF					
SUBSIDIARIES	2,474,969	4,132,013	-	(6,606,982)	-
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	911,287	2,504,081	4,102,901	(6,606,982)	911,287
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	609,588	-	-	-	609,588
NET INCOME	\$301,699	\$2,504,081	\$ 4,102,901	\$ (6,606,982)	\$ 301,699

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

For the six months ended June 30, 2013		Parent	Guarantor Sub	No	on-Guarantor Sub	Eliminations	Consolidated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES							
Net income	\$	1,823,824	\$10,117,924	\$	10,848,388	\$ (20,966,312)	\$ 1,823,824
Adjustments to reconcile net							
income to cash:							
Equity income of subsidiaries		(982,826)	(867,920)		-	1,850,746	-
Gain on life settlements		-	-		(18,943,905)	-	(18,943,905)
Amortization of deferred financing							
and issuance costs		737,959	434,431		758,490	-	1,930,880
Deferred income taxes		2,366,794	-		_	-	2,366,794
Preferred stock issued for dividends		261,937	-		-	-	261,937
(Increase) decrease in operating							
assets:							
Other assets	(27,278,091)					