

Resource Capital Corp.
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-32733

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-2287134

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

712 5th Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, New York 10019

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(212) 506-3870

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer R

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock on August 6, 2013 was 127,000,362 shares.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT
ON FORM 10-Q

	PAGE
PART I	
Item 1: Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 30, 2013 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2012</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2013</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - June 30, 2013 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>10</u>
Item 2: <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>62</u>
Item 3: <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>99</u>
Item 4: <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>100</u>
PART II	
Item 6: <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>101</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>104</u>

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	June 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
ASSETS ⁽¹⁾		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 168,402	\$ 85,278
Restricted cash	100,961	94,112
Investment securities, trading	12,266	24,843
Property available-for-sale	19,620	—
Investment securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value	194,649	195,200
Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value	40,359	36,390
Linked transactions, net at fair value	25,281	6,835
Loans held for sale	20,127	48,894
Investment in real estate	55,361	75,386
Loans, pledged as collateral and net of allowances of \$14.1 million and \$17.7 million	1,658,611	1,793,780
Loans receivable—related party	7,962	8,324
Investments in unconsolidated entities	63,405	45,413
Interest receivable	8,090	7,763
Deferred tax asset	3,120	2,766
Principal paydown receivable	3,133	25,570
Intangible assets	12,196	13,192
Prepaid expenses	6,118	10,396
Other assets	2,819	4,109
Total assets	\$ 2,402,480	\$ 2,478,251
LIABILITIES ⁽²⁾		
Borrowings	\$ 1,558,910	\$ 1,785,600
Distribution payable	26,694	21,655
Accrued interest expense	3,276	2,918
Derivatives, at fair value	12,705	14,687
Accrued tax liability	3,817	13,641
Deferred tax liability	8,376	8,376
Accounts payable and other liabilities	11,258	18,029
Total liabilities	1,625,036	1,864,906
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 8.50% Series A 100,000,000 shares authorized, 676,373 shares issued and outstanding	1	1
Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 8.25% Series B 100,000,000 shares authorized, 3,072,767 and 1,126,898 shares issued and outstanding	3	1
Common stock, par value \$0.001: 500,000,000 shares authorized; 126,992,913 and 105,118,093 shares issued and outstanding (including 3,011,215 and 3,308,343 unvested restricted shares)	127	105
Additional paid-in capital	1,022,253	836,053

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(20,106) (27,078)
Distributions in excess of earnings	(224,834) (195,737)
Total stockholders' equity	777,444	613,345	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$2,402,480	\$2,478,251	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

3

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	June 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
(1) Assets of consolidated Variable Interest Entities ("VIEs") included in the total assets above:		
Restricted cash	\$94,285	\$90,108
Investments securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value	136,249	135,566
Loans held for sale	20,127	14,894
Loans, pledged as collateral and net of allowances of \$9.5 million and \$15.2 million	1,410,187	1,678,719
Interest receivable	5,654	5,986
Prepaid expenses	220	328
Principal paydown receivable	31	25,570
Other assets	35	333
Total assets of consolidated VIEs	\$1,666,788	\$1,951,504
(2) Liabilities of consolidated VIEs included in the total liabilities above:		
Borrowings	\$1,345,454	\$1,614,882
Accrued interest expense	2,429	2,666
Derivatives, at fair value	12,237	14,078
Accounts payable and other liabilities	683	698
Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs	\$1,360,803	\$1,632,324

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
REVENUES				
Interest income:				
Loans	\$26,184	\$23,012	\$53,996	\$46,627
Securities	3,896	3,551	7,538	6,956
Interest income – other	635	3,157	2,501	5,986
Total interest income	30,715	29,720	64,035	59,569
Interest expense	11,134	8,869	22,299	17,252
Net interest income	19,581	20,851	41,736	42,317
Rental income	5,052	2,034	11,226	3,953
Dividend income	17	17	33	34
Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries	72	(1,761)	(353)	(690)
Fee income	1,527	2,141	2,937	3,751
Net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans	2,394	1,422	2,785	1,802
Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading	(1,751)	1,424	(635)	3,568
Unrealized (loss) gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net	(5,245)	134	(5,504)	253
Total revenues	21,647	26,262	52,225	54,988
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Management fees – related party	2,915	4,548	5,893	7,991
Equity compensation – related party	2,155	1,140	5,746	2,008
Professional services	903	617	2,349	1,717
Insurance	212	159	374	317
Rental operating expense	3,624	1,309	7,561	2,629
General and administrative	1,267	1,470	3,140	2,533
Depreciation and amortization	999	1,364	2,137	2,725
Income tax expense	1,737	384	3,499	2,999
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	535	32	556	171
(Benefit) provision for loan losses	(1,242)	4,253	(200)	6,431
Total operating expenses	13,105	15,276	31,055	29,521
	8,542	10,986	21,170	25,467
OTHER REVENUE (EXPENSE)				
Gain on the extinguishment of debt	—	5,464	—	5,464
Total other revenue (expense)	—	5,464	—	5,464
NET INCOME	8,542	16,450	21,170	30,931
Net income allocated to preferred shares	(1,800)	(25)	(3,111)	(25)
Net income from non-controlling interests	(209)	—	—	—
NET INCOME ALLOCABLE TO COMMON SHARES	\$6,533	\$16,425	\$18,059	\$30,906
NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE – BASIC	\$0.05	\$0.20	\$0.16	\$0.38

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE – DILUTED	\$0.05	\$0.20	\$0.16	\$0.37
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING – BASIC	120,738,176	83,466,810	112,508,254	82,334,303
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING – DILUTED	122,283,503	84,188,216	113,832,183	83,040,604

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

5

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(in thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30,		June 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Net income	\$8,542	\$16,450	\$21,170	\$30,931	
Other comprehensive income:					
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income	(4,498) 922	(5,125) 934	
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net	4,699	(2,203) 9,922	8,396	
Reclassification adjustments associated with unrealized losses (gains) from interest rate hedges included in net income	138	55	193	112	
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives, net	1,330	(266) 1,982	(360)
Total other comprehensive income	1,669	(1,492) 6,972	9,082	
Comprehensive income allocable to common shares	\$10,211	\$14,958	\$28,142	\$40,013	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013
(in thousands, except share and per share data)
(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Preferred		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Retained Earnings	Distributions in Excess of Earnings	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Series A	Series B					
Balance, December 31, 2012	105,118,093	\$ 105	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 836,053	\$ (27,078)	\$ —	\$ (195,737)	\$ 613,345
Proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan	2,926,167	3	—	—	18,164	—	—	—	18,167
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	18,687,500	19	—	—	118,259	—	—	—	118,278
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	—	—	2	—	48,349	—	—	—	48,351
Offering costs	—	—	—	—	(4,970)	—	—	—	(4,970)
Stock based compensation	263,343	—	—	—	652	—	—	—	652
Amortization of stock based compensation	—	—	—	—	5,746	—	—	—	5,746
Forfeitures	(2,190)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net Income	—	—	—	—	—	—	21,170	—	21,170
Preferred dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3,111)	—	(3,111)
Securities available-for-sale, fair value adjustment, net	—	—	—	—	—	4,797	—	—	4,797
Designated derivatives, fair value adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	2,175	—	—	2,175
Distributions on common stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	(18,059)	(29,097)	(47,156)
Balance, June 30, 2013	126,992,913	\$ 127	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 1,022,253	\$ (20,106)	\$ —	\$ (224,834)	\$ 777,444

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2013	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$21,170	\$30,931
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	(200) 6,431
Depreciation of investments in real estate and other	1,202	789
Amortization of intangible assets	996	1,936
Amortization of term facilities	495	455
Accretion of net discounts on loans held for investment	(6,930) (8,013
Accretion of net discounts on securities available-for-sale	(1,430) (1,559
Amortization of discount on notes of CDOs	1,772	689
Amortization of debt issuance costs on notes of CDOs	1,965	2,356
Amortization of stock-based compensation	5,746	2,008
Amortization of terminated derivative instruments	193	113
Distribution accrued to preferred stockholders	(3,111) —
Accretion of interest-only available-for-sales securities	(485) —
Non-cash incentive compensation to the Manager	—	613
Deferred income tax benefits	(115) (1,718
Purchase of securities, trading	(10,044) (8,348
Principal payments on securities, trading	3,272	898
Proceeds from sales of securities, trading	18,713	5,531
Net realized and unrealized loss (gain) on investment securities, trading	635	(3,568
Net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans	(2,785) (1,802
Gain on early extinguishment of debt	—	(1,835
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	548	171
Linked transactions fair value adjustments	6,385	—
Equity in losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries	353	690
Minority interest equity	1,759	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	6,635	(13,839
Net cash provided by operating activities	46,739	12,929

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

(Unaudited)

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:

(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	(5,926) 64,085	
Purchase of securities available-for-sale	(96,031) (39,184)
Principal payments on securities available-for-sale	20,040	17,954	
Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale	7,025	6,719	
Investment in unconsolidated entity	(15,534) 1,470	
Improvement of real estate held-for-sale	(404) (138)
Proceeds from sale of real estate held-for-sale	—	2,886	
Purchase of loans	(377,679) (340,523)
Principal payments received on loans	386,686	240,407	
Proceeds from sale of loans	170,450	93,236	
Distributions from investments in real estate	522	851	
Improvements in investments in real estate	(365) (504)
Purchase of intangible asset	—	(1,517)
Principal payments received on loans – related parties	362	137	
Net cash provided by investing activities	89,146	45,879	

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Net proceeds from issuances of common stock (net of offering costs of \$4,265 and \$0)	114,018	—	
Net proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan (net of offering costs of \$0 and \$19)	18,164	32,282	
Proceeds from issuance of 8.5% Series A redeemable preferred shares (net of offering costs of \$486 and \$0)	—	5,832	
Proceeds from issuance of 8.25% Series B redeemable preferred shares (net of offering costs of \$707 and \$0)	47,644	—	
Proceeds from borrowings:			
Repurchase agreements	104,325	44,295	
Payments on borrowings:			
Collateralized debt obligations	(286,962) (108,881)
Retirement of debt	—	(4,850)
Payment of debt issuance costs	(1,178) (586)
Payment of equity to third party sub-note holders	(2,661) (1,219)
Distributions paid on preferred stock	(2,446) —	
Distributions paid on common stock	(43,665) (36,900)
Net cash (used in) financing activities	\$(52,761) \$(70,027)
NET (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	83,124	(11,219)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	85,278	43,116	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$168,402	\$31,897	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE:			
Interest expense paid in cash	\$20,214	\$8,253	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 – ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Resource Capital Corp. and subsidiaries' (collectively the "Company") principal business activity is to purchase and manage a diversified portfolio of commercial real estate-related assets and commercial finance assets. The Company's investment activities are managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc. ("Manager") pursuant to a management agreement (the "Management Agreement"). The Manager is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. ("Resource America") (NASDAQ: REXI). The following subsidiaries are consolidated in the Company's financial statements:

- RCC Real Estate, Inc. ("RCC Real Estate") holds real estate investments, including commercial real estate loans, commercial real estate-related securities and investments in real estate. RCC Real Estate owns 100% of the equity of the following variable interest entities ("VIEs"):

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1 ("RREF CDO 2006-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and qualified real estate investment trust ("REIT") subsidiary ("QRS"). RREF CDO 2006-1 was established to complete a collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") issuance secured by a portfolio of commercial real estate loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS").

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1 ("RREF CDO 2007-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and QRS. RREF CDO 2007-1 was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of commercial real estate loans, CMBS and property available-for-sale.

- RCC Commercial, Inc. ("RCC Commercial") holds bank loan investments. RCC Commercial owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos CDO III, Ltd. ("Apidos CDO III"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). Apidos CDO III was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and asset-backed securities ("ABS").

- RCC Commercial II, Inc. ("Commercial II") holds bank loan investments. Commercial II owns 100% and 68.3%, respectively, of the equity of the following VIEs:

Apidos Cinco CDO, Ltd. ("Apidos Cinco CDO"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos Cinco CDO was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans, ABS and corporate bonds.

Whitney CLO I, Ltd. ("Whitney CLO I"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Whitney CLO I is a collateralized loan obligation ("CLO") issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and corporate bonds. The Company is the primary beneficiary of Whitney CLO I and therefore consolidates 100% of this VIE in its financial statements.

- RCC Commercial III, Inc. ("Commercial III") holds bank loan investments and commercial real estate-related securities. Commercial III owns 90% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos CDO I, Ltd. ("Apidos CDO I"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos CDO I was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and ABS.

- Resource TRS, Inc. ("Resource TRS"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's equity investment in a leasing company and holds all of its investment securities, trading. Resource TRS owns 100% of the following :

- Resource TRS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, established to invest in structured finance securities through an investment manager, including securities issued by CDOs, ABS and CMBS.

- Resource TRS II, Inc. ("Resource TRS II"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's management rights in bank loan CLOs not originated by the Company. Resource TRS II owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

Resource Capital Asset Management (“RCAM”), a domestic limited liability company, is entitled to collect senior, subordinated, and incentive fees related to four CLO issuers to which it provides management services through CVC Credit Partners, LLC, formerly Apidos Capital Management, a subsidiary of CVC Capital Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a private equity firm (“CVC”). Resource America, Inc. owns a 33% interest in CVC Credit Partners, LLC (“CVC Credit Partners”). Whitney CLO I, one of the RCAM CLOs, is consolidated in the Company's financial statements as a result of a purchase of its preferred equity which gave the Company a controlling interest.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

10

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Resource TRS III, Inc. (“Resource TRS III”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company’s interests in a bank loan CDO originated by the Company. Resource TRS III owns 33% of the equity of the following VIE: Apidos CLO VIII, Ltd (“Apidos CLO VIII”), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos CLO VIII was established to complete a CLO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and corporate bonds. The Company is the primary beneficiary of Apidos CLO VIII and therefore consolidates 100% of this VIE in its financial statements.

Resource TRS IV, Inc. (“Resource TRS IV”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's equity investment in hotel condominium units acquired in conjunction with a loan foreclosure.

Resource TRS V, Inc. (“Resource TRS V”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's equity investment in a held for sale condominium complex.

RSO EquityCo, LLC owns 10% of the equity of Apidos CDO I and 10% of the equity of Apidos CLO VIII.

Long Term Care Conversion, Inc. (LTCC), a TRS directly owned by the Company is a Delaware corporate which owns 100% of the following entity:

Long Term Care Conversion, Funding (LTCC Funding), a New York limited liability company, owns a 30% equity interest in Life Care Funding, LLC (LCF) and provides funding through a financing facility to fund the acquisition of life settlement contracts.

LCF, a New York limited liability company, is a joint venture between LTCC and Life Care Funding Group Partners and was established for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company.

All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Investment Securities

The Company classifies its investment portfolio as trading or available-for-sale. The Company, from time to time, may sell any of its investments due to changes in market conditions or in accordance with its investment strategy. The Company’s investment securities, trading are reported at fair value (see Note 19). To determine fair value, the Company uses a third-party valuation firm utilizing appropriate prepayment, default, and recovery rates. These valuations are validated utilizing dealer quotes or bids. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third-party valuation firm and the dealer quote or bid, the Company will evaluate the difference which could result in an updated valuation from the third party or a revised dealer quote. Any changes in fair value are recorded in the Company’s results of operations as net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities, trading.

The Company’s investment securities available-for-sale are reported at fair value (see Note 19). To determine fair value, the Company uses a dealer quote, which typically will be the dealer who sold the Company the security. The Company has been advised that, in formulating their quotes, dealers may use recent trades in the particular security, if any, market activity in similar securities, if any, or internal valuation models. These quotes are non-binding. Based on how dealers develop their quotes, market liquidity and levels of trading, the Company categorizes these investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the quotes it receives by applying its own valuation models. If there is a material difference between a quote the Company receives and the value indicated by its valuation models, the Company will evaluate the difference. As part

of that evaluation, the Company will discuss the difference with the dealer, who may revise its quote based upon these discussions. Alternatively, the Company may revise its valuation models.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

11

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its available-for-sale investments for other-than-temporary impairment. An available-for-sale investment is impaired when its fair value has declined below its amortized cost basis. An impairment is considered other-than-temporary when the amortized cost basis of the investment or some portion thereof will not be recovered. In addition, the Company's intent to sell as well as the likelihood that the Company will be required to sell the security before the recovery of the amortized cost basis is considered. Where credit quality is believed to be the cause of the other-than-temporary impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized as an impairment loss in the statement of operations. Where other market components are believed to be the cause of the impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized as other comprehensive loss.

Investment security transactions are recorded on the trade date. Realized gains and losses on investment securities are determined on the specific identification method.

Investment Interest Income Recognition

Interest income on the Company's mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities is accrued using the effective yield method based on the actual coupon rate and the outstanding principal amount of the underlying mortgages or other assets. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income over the lives of the securities also using the effective yield method, adjusted for the effects of estimated prepayments. For an investment purchased at par, the effective yield is the contractual interest rate on the investment. If the investment is purchased at a discount or at a premium, the effective yield is computed based on the contractual interest rate increased for the accretion of a purchase discount or decreased for the amortization of a purchase premium. The effective yield method requires the Company to make estimates of future prepayment rates for its investments that can be contractually prepaid before their contractual maturity date so that the purchase discount can be accreted, or the purchase premium can be amortized, over the estimated remaining life of the investment. The prepayment estimates that the Company uses directly impact the estimated remaining lives of its investments. Actual prepayment estimates are reviewed as of each quarter end or more frequently if the Company becomes aware of any material information that would lead it to believe that an adjustment is necessary. If prepayment estimates are incorrect, the amortization or accretion of premiums and discounts may have to be adjusted, which would have an impact on future income.

Allowance for Loan Loss

The Company maintains an allowance for loan loss. Loans held for investment are first individually evaluated for impairment so specific reserves can be applied. Loans for which a specific reserve is not applicable are then evaluated for impairment as a homogeneous pool of loans with substantially similar characteristics so that a general reserve can be established, if needed. The reviews are performed at least quarterly.

The Company considers a loan to be impaired if one of two conditions exists. The first condition is if, based on current information and events, management believes it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The second condition is if the loan is deemed to be a troubled-debt restructuring ("TDR") where a concession has been given to a borrower in financial difficulty. These TDRs may not have an associated specific loan loss allowance if the principal and interest amount is considered recoverable based on current market conditions, expected collateral performance and / or guarantees made by the borrowers.

When a loan is impaired under either of these two conditions, the allowance for loan losses is increased by the amount of the excess of the amortized cost basis of the loan over its fair value. Fair value may be determined based on the present value of estimated cash flows; on market price, if available; or on the fair value of the collateral less estimated disposition costs. When a loan, or a portion thereof, is considered uncollectible and pursuit of collection is not warranted, the Company will record a charge-off or write-down of the loan against the allowance for loan losses.

An impaired loan may remain on accrual status during the period in which the Company is pursuing repayment of the loan; however, the loan would be placed on non-accrual status at such time as (i) management believes that scheduled debt service payments will not be met within the coming 12 months; (ii) the loan becomes 90 days delinquent; (iii) management determines the borrower is incapable of, or has ceased efforts toward, curing the cause of the impairment; or (iv) the net realizable value of the loan's underlying collateral approximates the Company's carrying value for such loan. While on non-accrual status, the Company recognizes interest income only when an actual payment is received.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

12

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Investments in Real Estate

Investments in real estate are carried net of accumulated depreciation. Costs directly related to the acquisition are expensed as incurred. Ordinary repairs and maintenance which are not reimbursed by the tenants are expensed as incurred. Costs related to the improvement of the real property are capitalized and depreciated over their useful lives. Acquisitions of real estate assets and any related intangible assets are recorded initially at fair value under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") ASC Topic 805, "Business Combinations." The Company allocates the purchase price of its investments in real estate to land, building, site improvements, the value of in-place leases and the value of above or below market leases. The value allocated to above or below market leases is amortized over the remaining lease term as an adjustment to rental income. The Company amortizes the value allocated to in-place leases over the weighted average remaining lease term to depreciation and amortization expense. The Company depreciates real property using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Category	Term
Building	25 – 40 years
Site improvements	Lesser of the remaining life of building or useful lives

Long-Lived and Intangible Assets

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability is based on an estimate of the future undiscounted cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the long-lived asset's use and eventual disposition. If impairment has occurred, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the fair value of the asset.

There were no impairment charges recorded on the Company's investment in real estate or intangible assets during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Recent Accounting Standards

In July 2013, the FASB issued guidance which permits the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (OIS) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes. This guidance is effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The Company does not expect adoption will have a material impact on the its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2013, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies the characteristics of an investment company, provides comprehensive guidance for assessing whether an entity is an investment company and requires an investment company to measure noncontrolling ownership interests in other investment companies at fair value rather than using the equity method of accounting. The guidance also requires additional disclosure. This guidance is effective for an entity's interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years that begin after December 15, 2013. Earlier application is prohibited. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of adoption, but does not expect adoption will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013 the FASB issued guidance which amends required information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period.

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. The amendment in this guidance was effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company provided the enhanced footnote disclosure as required by this amendment in its consolidated financial statements (see Note 16).

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

13

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

In January 2013, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies the scope of accounting for certain derivatives including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The amendments in this guidance were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and must be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The Company provided the enhanced footnote disclosure as required by this amendment in its consolidated financial statements (see Note 21).

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2012 consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2013 presentation.

NOTE 3 – VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company has evaluated its securities, loans, investments in unconsolidated entities, liabilities to subsidiary trusts issuing preferred securities (consisting of unsecured junior subordinated notes) and its CDOs in order to determine if they qualify as VIEs. The Company monitors these investments and, to the extent it has determined that it owns a material investment in the current controlling class of securities of a particular entity, analyzes the entity for potential consolidation. The Company will continually analyze investments and liabilities, including when there is a reconsideration event, to determine whether such investments or liabilities are VIEs and whether any such VIE should be consolidated. This analysis requires considerable judgment in determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE and could result in the consolidation of an entity that would otherwise not have been consolidated or the non-consolidation of an entity that would have otherwise been consolidated.

Consolidated VIEs (the Company is the primary beneficiary)

Based on management's analysis, the Company is the primary beneficiary of seven VIEs: Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, RREF CDO 2006-1, RREF CDO 2007-1 and Whitney CLO I. In performing the primary beneficiary analysis for six of these VIEs (other than Whitney CLO I, which is discussed below), it was determined that the persons that have the power to direct the activities that are most significant to each of these VIEs and the Company who has the right to receive benefits and the obligation to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to these VIEs, are a related party group. It was then determined that the Company was the party within that group that is more closely associated to each such VIE because of its preferred equity (and in some cases debt) interest in them.

These CDO and CLO entities were formed on behalf of the Company (except for Whitney CLO I, referred to below) to invest in real estate-related securities, CMBS, property available-for-sale, bank loans, corporate bonds and asset-backed securities, or ABS, and were financed by the issuance of debt securities. The manager manages these entities on behalf of the Company. By financing these assets with long-term borrowings through the issuance of CDO and CLO bonds, the Company seeks to generate attractive risk-adjusted equity returns and to match the term of its assets and liabilities. The primary beneficiary determination for each of these VIEs was made at each VIE's inception. Whitney CLO I, the seventh entity, is one in which the Company acquired the rights to manage the assets held by the entity as collateral for its CLOs in February 2011. For a discussion on the primary beneficiary analysis for Whitney CLO I, see "— Unconsolidated VIEs – Resource Capital Asset Management," below. For a discussion of the Company's CDOs and CLOs, see Note 1, and for a discussion of the debt issued through the CDOs and CLOs, see Note 12.

For CLOs in which the Company does not own 100% of the subordinated notes, the Company imputes an interest rate using expected cash flows over the life of the CLO and records the third party's share of the cash flows as interest expense on the consolidated statement of income.

The Company has exposure to CDO and CLO losses to the extent of its subordinated debt and preferred equity interests in them. The Company is entitled to receive payments of principal and interest on the debt securities it holds and, to the extent revenues exceed debt service requirements and other expenses of the CDO or CLO, distributions with respect to its preferred equity interests. As a result of consolidation, debt and equity interests the Company holds in these CDOs and CLOs have been eliminated, and the Company's consolidated balance sheet reflects both the assets held and debt issued by the CDOs and CLOs to third parties and any accrued expense to third parties. The Company's operating results and cash flows include the gross amounts related to CDO and CLO assets and liabilities as opposed to the Company's net economic interests in the CDO and CLO entities. Assets and liabilities related to the CDOs and CLOs are disclosed, in the aggregate, on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

14

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

The creditors of the Company's seven consolidated VIEs have no recourse to the general credit of the Company. However, in its capacity as manager, the Company has voluntarily supported two credits in one of its commercial real estate CDOs as the credits went through a restructuring in order to maximize their future cash flows. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company provided financial support of \$0 and \$199,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company provided no financial support. The Company has provided no financial support to any of its other VIEs nor does it have any requirement to do so, although it may choose to do so in the future to maximize future cash flows on such investments by the Company. There are no explicit arrangements or implicit variable interests that obligate the Company to provide financial support to any of its consolidated VIEs, although the Company may choose to do so in the future.

The following table shows the classification and carrying value of assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs as of June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Apidos I	Apidos III	Apidos Cinco	Apidos VIII	Whitney CLO I	RREF 2006	RREF 2007	Total
ASSETS								
Restricted cash ⁽¹⁾	\$24,053	\$4,849	\$24,828	\$9,908	\$14,342	\$15,595	\$710	\$94,285
Investment securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value	8,006	6,410	12,359	1,940	29,794	11,388	66,352	136,249
Loans, pledged as collateral	110,092	159,424	309,278	321,792	77,108	173,959	258,534	1,410,187
Loans held for sale	850	234	299	17,955	789	—	—	20,127
Interest receivable	(90)	642	1,074	788	318	1,239	1,683	5,654
Prepaid assets	24	21	22	29	45	16	63	220
Principal receivable	—	8	23	—	—	—	—	31
Other assets	—	—	35	—	—	—	—	35
Total assets ⁽²⁾	\$142,935	\$171,588	\$347,918	\$352,412	\$122,396	\$202,197	\$327,342	\$1,666,788
LIABILITIES								
Borrowings	\$126,093	\$159,068	\$323,152	\$330,123	\$108,027	\$116,111	\$182,880	\$1,345,454
Accrued interest expense	292	68	328	1,374	218	46	103	2,429
Derivatives, at fair value	—	—	—	—	—	1,455	10,782	12,237
Accounts payable and other liabilities	146	27	34	387	69	18	2	683
Total liabilities	\$126,531	\$159,163	\$323,514	\$331,884	\$108,314	\$117,630	\$193,767	\$1,360,803

(1) Includes \$29.3 million available for reinvestment in certain of the CDOs.

(2) Assets of each of the consolidated VIEs may only be used to settle the obligations of each respective VIE. Unconsolidated VIEs (the Company is not the primary beneficiary, but has a variable interest)

Based on management's analysis, the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the VIEs discussed below since it does not have both (i) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (ii) the obligation to absorb the losses of the VIE or the right to receive the benefits from the VIE, which could be significant to the VIE. Accordingly, the following VIEs are not consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2013. The Company's maximum exposure to risk for each of these unconsolidated VIEs is set forth in the "Maximum Risk Exposure" column in the table below.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

15

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc.

On November 16, 2011, the Company, together with LEAF Financial, a subsidiary of Resource America, and Leaf Commercial Capital, Inc. (“LCC”), subsidiaries of Resource America, entered into a stock purchase agreement and related agreements (collectively the “SPA”) with Eos Partners, L.P., a private investment firm, and its affiliates (“Eos”). In exchange for its prior interests in its lease related investments, the Company received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (the “Series A Preferred Stock”), 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “Series B Preferred Stock”) and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “Series D Preferred Stock”), collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis assuming conversion, a 26.7% interest in LCC. Several approaches were used, including discounted expected cash flows, market approach and comparable sales transactions to estimate the fair value of its investment in LCC as a result of the transaction. These approaches required assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows, market multiples, and discount rates, which were based on the current economic environment and credit market conditions. On January 18, 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,682 shares of newly issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock (the "Series A-1 Preferred Stock") for \$3.7 million. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,323 shares of newly issued Series E Preferred Stock (the "Series E Preferred Stock") for \$3.3 million. The Series E Preferred Stock has priority over all other classes of preferred stock. The Company's fully-diluted interest in LCC assuming conversion is 27.5%. The Company's investment in LCC was held at \$40.0 million and \$33.1 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of LCC because it does not participate in any management or portfolio decisions, holds only two of six board positions, and only controls 27.5% of the voting rights in the entity. Furthermore, Eos holds consent rights with respect to significant LCC actions, including incurrence of indebtedness, consummation of a sale of the entity, liquidation or initiating a public offering.

In connection with this transaction, the Company and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LCC which owns equipment, equipment leases and notes. LEAF Receivables Funding 3 was included in the assets contributed to LCC by the Company. As part of the SPA, the Company and Resource America agreed that, to the extent the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is less than approximately \$18.7 million (the value of the equity of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 on the date it was contributed to LCC by the Company), as of the final testing date, which must be within 90 days following December 31, 2013, they will be jointly and severally obligated to contribute cash to LCC to make up the deficit. The Company does not believe it is probable that it will be required to fund LCC in accordance with the SPA based on estimated operating results because LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is currently profitable and is expected to be profitable through the year ended December 31, 2013.

Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures

The Company has a 100% interest in the common shares of Resource Capital Trust I (“RCT I”) and RCC Trust II (“RCT II”), valued at \$1.5 million in the aggregate (or 3% of each trust). RCT I and RCT II were formed for the purposes of providing debt financing to the Company, as described below. The Company completed a qualitative analysis to determine whether or not it is the primary beneficiary of each of the trusts and determined that it was not the primary beneficiary of either trust because it does not have the power to direct the activities most significant to the trusts, which include the collection of principal and interest and protection of collateral through servicing rights. Accordingly, neither trust is consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common shares as investments in unconsolidated trusts using the cost method and records dividend income when declared by RCT I and RCT II. The trusts each hold subordinated debentures for which the Company is the obligor in the amount of \$25.8 million for RCT I and \$25.8 million for RCT II. The debentures were funded by the issuance of trust preferred securities of RCT I and RCT II. The Company will continuously reassess whether it should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

16

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Resource Capital Asset Management CLOs

In February 2011, the Company purchased a company that manages \$1.9 billion of bank loan assets through five CLOs. As a result, the Company is entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive management fees from these CLOs. The purchase price of \$22.5 million resulted in an intangible asset that was allocated to each of the five CLOs and is being amortized over the expected life of each CLO. The unamortized balance of the intangible asset was \$12.1 million and \$13.1 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company recognized fee income of \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and \$1.8 million and \$3.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. With respect to four of these CLOs, the Company determined that it does not hold a controlling interest and, therefore, is not the primary beneficiary. One of these CLOs was liquidated in January 2013. With respect to the fifth CLO, Whitney CLO I, in October 2012, the Company purchased 66.6% of its preferred equity, which was determined to be a reconsideration event. Based upon that purchase, the Company determined that it does have an obligation to absorb losses and/or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to Whitney CLO I and that a related party has the power to direct the activities that are most significant to the VIE. As a result, together with the related party, the Company has both the power to direct and the right to receive benefits and the obligation to absorb losses. It was then determined that, between the Company and the related party, the Company was the party within that group that is more closely associated with Whitney CLO I because of its preferred equity interest in Whitney CLO I. The Company, therefore, consolidated Whitney CLO I. In May 2013, the Company purchased additional equity in this CLO which increased its equity ownership to 68.3% of the outstanding preferred equity of Whitney CLO I.

Real Estate Joint Ventures

On December 1, 2009, the Company purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (a VIE that holds interests in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America. This joint venture, which is structured as a credit facility with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, finances the acquisition of distressed properties and mortgage loans and has the objective of repositioning both the directly-owned properties and the properties underlying the mortgage loans to enhance their value. The Company acquired the membership interests for \$2.1 million. The joint venture agreement requires the Company to contribute 3% to 5% (depending on the terms of the agreement pursuant to which the particular asset is being acquired) of the total funding required for each asset acquisition as needed up to a specified amount. The Company provided funding of \$34,000 and \$136,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and \$87,000 and \$319,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, for these investments. Resource Real Estate Management, LLC (“RREM”), an affiliate of Resource America, acts as asset manager of the venture and receives a monthly asset management fee. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded losses of \$101,000 and \$214,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded losses of \$486,000 and a gain of \$585,000, respectively. Using the equity method of accounting, these losses were recorded in equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The Company’s investment in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$1.4 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

On June 19, 2012, the Company entered into a second joint venture with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, to purchase two condominium developments. The Company purchased a 7.5% equity interest in the venture. The Company may be subject to a capital call based on its pro rata share of equity interest in the venture up to the earlier of the end of the investment period, ending in May 2015, or the date the aggregate of all capital contributions exceeds \$500.0 million. RREM was appointed as the asset manager of the venture to perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also

responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. The Company's investment in the joint venture at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$569,000 and \$526,000, respectively. Using the equity method of accounting, the Company recognized equity in earnings related to this investment of \$19,000 and \$43,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. No such income was recorded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The Company has determined that it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of each of these ventures, which include asset underwriting and acquisition, lease review and approval, and loan asset servicing, and, therefore, the Company is not the primary beneficiary of either.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

17

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund

In May and June 2013, the Company invested a total of \$10.0 million in CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund, a fund which seeks to generate returns targeting corporate credit through a mater-feeder fund structure. While a related party manages the investments in this fund, The Company determined that it does not have an obligation to absorb losses and/or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to this VIE. Therefore, the Company is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary and the fund is not consolidated onto the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company records its investment in the fund using the equity method. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized \$93,000 of income in equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated entities on the consolidated income statement. The investment balance of \$10.1 million at June 30, 2013 is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Life Care Funding

In 2013, Long Term Care Conversion, Inc. (LTCC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of RCC invested \$2.0 million into Life Care Funding, LLC (LCF) for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts. Although the Investment Committee and Board are controlled by the joint venture partner, the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC's approval to make any investments and the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC approval for all material business operations. As a result, the Company determined that there was joint control and we will not consolidate LCF. Using the equity method, the Company recognized a loss of \$242,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, as equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries. The Company's investment in LCF was \$1.8 million at June 30, 2013.

The following table shows the classification, carrying value and maximum exposure to loss with respect to the Company's unconsolidated VIEs as of June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Unconsolidated Variable Interest Entities								
	LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc.	Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures	Resource Capital Asset Management CLOs	RRE VIP Borrower, LLC	Värde Investment Partners, LP	Life Care Funding	CVC Global Opps Fund	Total	Maximum Exposure to Loss ⁽¹⁾
Investment in unconsolidated entities	\$40,045	\$1,548	\$—	\$1,373	\$569	\$1,758	\$10,093	\$55,386	\$55,386
Intangible assets	—	—	12,140	—	—	—	—	12,140	\$12,140
Total assets	40,045	1,548	12,140	1,373	569	1,758	10,093	67,526	
Borrowings	—	50,908	—	—	—	—	—	50,908	N/A
Total liabilities	—	50,908	—	—	—	—	—	50,908	N/A
Net asset (liability)	\$40,045	\$(49,360)	\$12,140	\$1,373	\$569	\$1,758	\$10,093	\$16,618	N/A

(1) The Company's maximum exposure to loss at June 30, 2013 does not exceed the carrying amount of its investment, subject to the LEAF Receivables Funding 3's contingent obligation as described above.

Other than the contingent liability arrangement described above in connection with LCC and the commitments to fund its real estate joint ventures, there were no explicit arrangements or implicit variable interests that could require the Company to provide financial support to any of its unconsolidated VIEs.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

18

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

NOTE 4 – SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Non-cash financing activities include the following:		
Distributions on common stock declared but not paid	\$25,399	\$17,254
Distribution on preferred stock declared but not paid	\$1,944	\$25
Income taxes paid in cash	\$9,113	\$8,253
Issuance of restricted stock	\$151	\$482

NOTE 5 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES, TRADING

The following table summarizes the Company's structured notes and residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") which are classified as investment securities, trading and carried at fair value (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
June 30, 2013:				
Structured notes	\$8,471	\$4,146	\$(1,000)) \$11,617
RMBS	1,956	—	(1,307)) 649
Total	\$10,427	\$4,146	\$(2,307)) \$12,266
December 31, 2012:				
Structured notes	\$9,413	\$10,894	\$(1,028)) \$19,279
RMBS	6,047	858	(1,341)) 5,564
Total	\$15,460	\$11,752	\$(2,369)) \$24,843

The Company purchased three securities and sold six securities during the six months ended June 30, 2013, for a net gain of \$6.9 million. The Company held 10 and 13 investment securities, trading as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

NOTE 6 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

The Company pledges a portion of its CMBS as collateral against its borrowings under repurchase agreements and derivatives. If the Company finances the purchase of securities with repurchase agreements with the same counterparty from whom the securities are purchased and both transactions are entered into contemporaneously or in contemplation of each other, the transactions are presumed not to meet sale accounting criteria and the Company will account for the purchase of such securities and the repurchase agreement on a net basis and record a forward purchase commitment to purchase securities (each, a "Linked Transaction") at fair value on the Company's consolidated balance sheet in the line item Linked Transactions, at fair value. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities underlying the Linked Transactions and associated interest income and expense are reported as unrealized (loss) gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net on the Company's consolidated statement of income. CMBS that are accounted for as components of Linked Transactions are not reflected in the tables set forth in this note, as they are accounted for as derivatives. (see Notes 2 and 20).

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
 JUNE 30, 2013
 (Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the Company's investment securities, including those pledged as collateral and classified as available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost ⁽¹⁾	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
June 30, 2013:				
CMBS	\$184,627	\$7,467	\$(15,595)) \$176,499
ABS	23,687	1,898	(609)) 24,976
Corporate bonds	34,096	117	(680)) 33,533
Other asset-backed	—	—	—	—
Total	\$242,410	\$9,482	\$(16,884)) \$235,008
December 31, 2012:				
CMBS	\$182,828	\$4,626	\$(16,639)) \$170,815
ABS	26,479	1,700	(1,127)) 27,052
Corporate Bonds	33,767	111	(178)) 33,700
Other asset-backed	—	23	—	23
Total	\$243,074	\$6,460	\$(17,944)) \$231,590

(1) As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$194.6 million and \$195.2 million, respectively, of securities were pledged as collateral security under related financings.

The following table summarizes the estimated maturities of the Company's CMBS, ABS, and corporate bonds according to their estimated weighted average life classifications (in thousands, except percentages):

Weighted Average Life	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Coupon
June 30, 2013:			
Less than one year	\$45,517	(1) \$50,111	4.84%
Greater than one year and less than five years	146,889	149,325	4.77%
Greater than five years and less than ten years	38,234	38,031	2.49%
Greater than ten years	4,368	4,943	4.03%
Total	\$235,008	\$242,410	4.40%
December 31, 2012:			
Less than one year	\$42,618	(1) \$46,522	4.09%
Greater than one year and less than five years	122,509	131,076	4.55%
Greater than five years and less than ten years	61,780	60,801	3.31%
Greater than ten years	4,683	4,675	4.03%
Total	\$231,590	\$243,074	4.12%

(1) The Company expects that the maturity date of these CMBS will either be extended or the CMBS will be paid in full.

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

The contractual maturities of the CMBS investment securities available-for-sale range from August 2013 to April 2027. The contractual maturities of the ABS investment securities available-for-sale range from November 2015 to August 2022. The contractual maturities of the corporate bond investment securities available-for-sale range from May 2014 to February 2022 .

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

20

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

The following table shows the fair value and gross unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time, of those individual investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position during the periods specified (in thousands):

	Less than 12 Months		More than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2013:						
CMBS	\$67,441	\$(9,410)	\$11,400	\$(6,185)	\$78,841	\$(15,595)
ABS	259	(3)	6,474	(606)	6,733	(609)
Corporate bonds	25,283	(680)	—	—	25,283	(680)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$92,983	\$(10,093)	\$17,874	\$(6,791)	\$110,857	\$(16,884)
December 31, 2012:						
CMBS	\$25,803	\$(442)	\$38,734	\$(16,197)	\$64,537	\$(16,639)
ABS	—	—	5,961	(1,115)	5,961	(1,115)
Corporate bonds	19,445	(190)	—	—	19,445	(190)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$45,248	\$(632)	\$44,695	\$(17,312)	\$89,943	\$(17,944)

The Company held 13 and 19 CMBS investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company held nine ABS investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company had no corporate bonds that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The unrealized losses in the above table are considered to be temporary impairments due to market factors and are not reflective of credit deterioration.

The determination of other-than-temporary impairment is a subjective process, and different judgments and assumptions could affect the timing of loss realization. The Company reviews its portfolios and makes other-than-temporary impairment determinations at least quarterly. The Company considers the following factors when determining if there is an other-than-temporary impairment on a security:

- the length of time the market value has been less than amortized cost;
- the severity of the impairment;
- the expected loss of the security as generated by a third-party valuation model;
- original and current credit ratings from the rating agencies;
- underlying credit fundamentals of the collateral backing the securities;
- whether, based upon the Company's intent, it is more likely than not that the Company will sell the security before the recovery of the amortized cost basis; and
- third-party support for default, for recovery, prepayment speed and reinvestment price assumptions.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company held \$176.5 million and \$170.8 million, respectively, (net of net unrealized losses of \$8.1 million and \$12.0 million, respectively), of CMBS recorded at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses dealer quotes which are provided by the Company's trade or financing counterparties (see Note 2).

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company held \$25.0 million and \$27.1 million, respectively, (net of net unrealized gains of \$1.3 million and \$574,000, respectively, of ABS recorded at fair value) (see Note 2). To determine their fair value, the Company uses dealer quotes.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

21

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company held \$33.5 million and \$33.7 million, respectively, (net of net unrealized losses of \$563,000 and losses of \$67,000, respectively), of corporate bonds recorded at fair value (see Note 2). To determine their fair value, the Company uses dealer quotes.

The Company's securities classified as available-for-sale have increased in fair value on a net basis as of June 30, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012, primarily due to improving dealer quotes and new purchases in 2013. The Company performs an on-going review of third-party reports and updated financial data on the underlying properties in order to analyze current and projected security performance. Rating agency downgrades are considered with respect to the Company's income approach when determining other-than-temporary impairment and, when inputs are subjected to testing for economic changes within possible ranges, the resulting projected cash flows reflect a full recovery of principal and interest indicating no impairment. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairment on positions that supported the Company's CMBS investment.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company sold a portion of 12 and 14 corporate bond positions with a total par value of \$2.3 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, and recognized a gain of \$44,000 and \$62,000, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company sold two and five corporate bond positions with a total par value of \$1.3 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, and recognized gains of \$11,000 and \$22,000, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 the Company had two corporate bond positions redeemed with a total par value of \$3.5 million, and recognized a loss of \$11,000. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company had one corporate bond position redeemed with a total par value of \$182,000, and recognized a gain of \$39,000.

Changes in interest rates may also have an effect on the rate of mortgage principal prepayments and, as a result, prepayments on CMBS in the Company's investment portfolio. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the aggregate discount due to interest rate changes exceeded the aggregate premium on the Company's CMBS by approximately \$5.0 million and \$8.0 million, respectively. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the aggregate discount on the Company's ABS portfolio was \$2.8 million and \$3.1 million, respectively. There were no premiums on the Company's ABS investment portfolio at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. At June 30, 2013 the aggregate discount on the Company's corporate bond portfolio was \$96,000. At December 31, 2012, the aggregate premium on the Company's corporate bond portfolio was \$608,000.

NOTE 7 – INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE

The table below summarizes the Company's investments in real estate (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2013		As of December 31, 2012	
	Book Value	Number of Properties	Book Value	Number of Properties
Multi-family property	\$22,033	1	\$42,179	2
Office property	10,149	1	10,149	1
Hotel property	25,668	1	25,608	1
Subtotal	57,850		77,936	
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(2,489))	(2,550))
Investments in real estate	\$55,361		\$75,386	

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company made no acquisitions. During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the Company entered into a listing agreement for one of its investments in real estate. This asset has been reclassified to property available-for-sale on the balance sheet. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the

Company foreclosed on one self-originated loan and converted the loan to equity with a fair value of \$25.5 million at acquisition. The loan was collateralized by a 179 unit hotel property in Coconut Grove, Florida. The property had an occupancy rate of 75% at acquisition.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

22

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
 JUNE 30, 2013
 (Unaudited)

The following table is a summary of the aggregate estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired on the respective date of acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands). There were no such acquisitions during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Description	December 31, 2012
Assets acquired:	
Investments in real estate	\$25,500
Cash and cash equivalents	—
Restricted cash	—
Intangible assets	—
Other assets	(89)
Total assets acquired	25,411
Liabilities assumed:	
Accounts payable and other liabilities	3,750
Total liabilities assumed	3,750
Estimated fair value of net assets acquired	\$21,661

NOTE 8 – LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT

The following is a summary of the Company's loans (in thousands):

Loan Description	Principal	Unamortized (Discount) Premium ⁽¹⁾	Carrying Value ⁽²⁾
June 30, 2013:			
Bank loans ⁽³⁾	\$1,015,953	\$(15,066)) \$1,000,887
Commercial real estate loans:			
Whole loans	611,271	(2,564)) 608,707
B notes	16,364	(99)) 16,265
Mezzanine loans	67,095	(85)) 67,010
Total commercial real estate loans	694,730	(2,748)) 691,982
Subtotal loans before allowances	1,710,683	(17,814)) 1,692,869
Allowance for loan loss	(14,131)) —	(14,131)
Total	\$1,696,552	\$(17,814)) \$1,678,738
December 31, 2012:			
Bank loans ⁽³⁾	\$1,218,563	\$(25,249)) \$1,193,314
Commercial real estate loans:			
Whole loans ⁽⁴⁾	569,829	(1,891)) 567,938
B notes	16,441	(114)) 16,327
Mezzanine loans	82,992	(206)) 82,786
Total commercial real estate loans	669,262	(2,211)) 667,051
Subtotal loans before allowances	1,887,825	(27,460)) 1,860,365
Allowance for loan loss	(17,691)) —	(17,691)
Total	\$1,870,134	\$(27,460)) \$1,842,674

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

23

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

- Amounts include deferred amendment fees of \$325,000 and \$450,000 and deferred upfront fees of \$285,000 and \$334,000 being amortized over the life of the bank loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (1) respectively. Amounts include loan origination fees of \$2.5 million and \$1.9 million and loan extension fees of \$0 and \$214,000 being amortized over the life of the commercial real estate loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.
- (2) Substantially all loans are pledged as collateral under various borrowings at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.
- (3) Amounts include \$20.1 million and \$14.9 million of bank loans held for sale at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.
- (4) Amount includes \$34.0 million from two whole loans which are classified as loans held for sale at December 31, 2012.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately 41.2% and 47.7%, respectively, of the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio was concentrated in commercial real estate loans located in California; approximately 7.6% and 7.9%, respectively, in Arizona; and approximately 18.5% and 11.1%, respectively, in Texas. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately 14.3% and 13.2%, of the Company's bank loan portfolio was concentrated in the collective industry grouping of healthcare, education and childcare.

At June 30, 2013, the Company's bank loan portfolio consisted of \$1.0 billion (net of allowance of \$4.3 million) of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the three month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.5% and three month LIBOR plus 10.0% with maturity dates ranging from December 2013 to June 2021.

At December 31, 2012, the Company's bank loan portfolio consisted of \$1.2 billion (net of allowance of \$9.7 million) of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the three month LIBOR plus 1.5% and three month LIBOR plus 8.8% with maturity dates ranging from August 2013 to January 2021.

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's bank loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Less than one year	\$17,611	\$10,028
Greater than one year and less than five years	596,981	821,568
Five years or greater	386,295	361,718
	\$1,000,887	\$1,193,314

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

24

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

The following is a summary of the Company's commercial real estate loans held for investment (dollars in thousands):

Description	Quantity	Amortized Cost	Contracted Interest Rates	Maturity Dates ⁽³⁾
June 30, 2013:				
Whole loans, floating rate ⁽¹⁾	44	\$608,707	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 8.0%	September 2013 to February 2019
B notes, fixed rate	1	16,265	8.68%	April 2016
Mezzanine loans, fixed rate ⁽⁶⁾	4	67,010	0.50% to 20.00%	September 2014 to September 2019
Total ⁽²⁾	49	\$691,982		
December 31, 2012:				
Whole loans, floating rate ^{(1) (4) (5)}	37	\$567,938	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 5.50%	June 2013 to February 2019
B notes, fixed rate	1	16,327	8.68%	April 2016
Mezzanine loans, floating rate	2	15,845	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 7.45%	August 2013 to December 2013
Mezzanine loans, fixed rate ⁽⁶⁾	3	66,941	0.50% to 20.00%	September 2014 to September 2019
Total ⁽²⁾	43	\$667,051		

Whole loans had \$10.8 million and \$8.9 million in unfunded loan commitments as of June 30, 2013 and

(1) December 31, 2012, respectively. These commitments are funded as the borrowers request additional funding and have satisfied the requirements to obtain this additional funding.

(2) The total does not include an allowance for loan loss of \$9.8 million and \$8.0 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(3) Maturity dates do not include possible extension options that may be available to the borrowers.

(4) Floating rate whole loans include a \$2.0 million portion of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 15.0% as of December 31, 2012.

(5) Amount includes \$34.0 million from two whole loans that were classified as loans held for sale at December 31, 2012.

Fixed rate mezzanine loans include a mezzanine loan that was modified into two tranches which both currently pay (6) interest at 0.50%. In addition, the subordinate tranche accrues interest at LIBOR plus 18.50% which is deferred until maturity.

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's commercial real estate loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

Description	2013	2014	2015 and Thereafter	Total
June 30, 2013:				
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$16,265	\$16,265
Mezzanine loans	—	20,813	46,197	67,010
Whole loans	4,000	—	604,707	608,707

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Total ⁽¹⁾	\$4,000	\$20,813	\$667,169	\$691,982
December 31, 2012:				
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$16,327	\$16,327
Mezzanine loans	5,328	20,694	56,764	82,786
Whole loans	71,799	—	496,139	567,938
Total ⁽¹⁾	\$77,127	\$20,694	\$569,230	\$667,051

(Back to Index)

25

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

(1) Weighted average life of commercial real estate loans assumes full exercise of extension options available to borrowers.

The following is a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan loss with respect to the Company's commercial real estate and bank loans (in thousands, except percentages) by asset class:

Description	Allowance for Loan Loss	Percentage of Total Allowance
June 30, 2013:		
B notes	\$ 197	1.39%
Mezzanine loans	632	4.47%
Whole loans	9,015	63.80%
Bank loans	4,287	30.34%
Total	\$ 14,131	
December 31, 2012:		
B notes	\$ 206	1.16%
Mezzanine loans	860	4.86%
Whole loans	6,920	39.12%
Bank loans	9,705	54.86%
Total	\$ 17,691	

As of June 30, 2013, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$14.1 million consisting of a \$4.3 million allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio and a \$9.8 million allowance on the Company's commercial real estate portfolio as a result of the provisions taken on four bank loans and one commercial real estate loan as well as the maintenance of a general reserve with respect to these portfolios. The bank loan allowance decreased \$5.4 million from \$9.7 million as of December 31, 2012 to \$4.3 million as of June 30, 2013 as a result of improved credit conditions. The whole loan allowance increased \$2.1 million from \$6.9 million as of December 31, 2012 to \$9.0 million as of June 30, 2013 as a result of specific provisions taken on one commercial real estate loan.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$17.7 million consisting of a \$9.7 million allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio and a \$8.0 million allowance on the Company's commercial real estate portfolio as a result of the impairment of one bank loan and four commercial real estate loans as well as the maintenance of a general reserve with respect to these portfolios.

NOTE 9 – INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

In May 2013, the Company entered into a limited partnership agreement with CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership which generally invests in assets through a master-feeder fund structure ("the Master Fund"). The Company invested \$10.0 million as of June 30, 2013. The General Partner of the Partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. The investment manager of the partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Credit Partners, LLC. CVC Capital Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a Luxembourg company, together with its affiliates, and Resource America, own a majority and a significant minority, respectively, of the investment manager. The fund will pay the investment manager a quarterly management fee in advance calculated at the rate of 1.5% annually based on the balance of each limited partner's capital account. The Company's management fee was waived upon entering the agreement given that the Company is a related party of CVC Credit Partners, LLC. The investment balance of \$10.1 million at June 30, 2013 is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

In January 2013, Long Term Care Conversion, Inc. (LTCC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of RCC invested \$2.0 million into Life Care Funding, LLC (LCF) for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts. Although the Investment Committee and Board are controlled by the joint venture partner, the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC's unanimous approval to make any investments and the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC approval for all material business operations. As a result, the Company determined that there was joint control and, therefore, neither Company nor its joint venture partner will consolidate LCF. Using the equity method, the Company recognized a loss of \$242,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, as equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries. The Company's investment in LCF was \$1.8 million at June 30, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

26

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

On June 19, 2012, the Company entered into a joint venture with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, to purchase two condominium developments. The Company purchased a 7.5% equity interest in the venture. RREM, was appointed as the asset manager of the venture to perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable (see Note 3). RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM receives an annual asset management fee equal to 1.0% of outstanding contributions. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM of \$10,000 and \$26,000, respectively, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. There were no such fees for the three and six months ended months ended June 30, 2012. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded earnings of \$19,000 and \$43,000, which were recorded in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. There was no such income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The investment balance of \$569,000 and \$526,000 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method. The Company will continuously reassess whether it should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

On November 16, 2011, the Company, together with LEAF Financial and LCC, entered into a SPA with Eos Partners, L.P. In exchange for its prior interest in LCC, the Company received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock, collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis assuming conversion, a 26.7% interest in LCC. The Company's investment in LCC was valued at \$36.3 million based on a third-party valuation. Several approaches were used, including discounted expected cash flows, market approach and comparable sales transactions to estimate the fair value of its investment in LCC as a result of the transaction. These approaches required assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows, market multiples, and discount rates, which were based on the current economic environment and credit market conditions. The Company recorded a loss of \$2.2 million in conjunction with the transaction. On January 18, 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,682 shares of newly issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock for \$3.7 million. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,323 shares of newly issued Series E Preferred Stock for \$3.3 million. The Series E Preferred Stock has priority over all other classes of preferred stock. The Company's fully-diluted basis assuming conversion is 27.5%. The Company's interest in the investment is accounted for under the equity method. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded earnings of \$304,000 and a loss of \$32,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statements of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a \$1.3 million loss which was recored in other expense on the consolidated statements of income. The Company's investment in LCC was valued at \$40.0 million and \$33.1 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. In accordance with the SPA, the Company and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LCC which owns equipment, equipment leases and notes. To the extent that the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is less than approximately \$18.7 million (the value of the equity of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 on the date it was contributed to LCC by the Company), as of the final testing date within 90 days of December 31, 2013, the Company and Resource America have agreed to be jointly and severally obligated to contribute cash to LCC to make up the deficit. The Company does not believe it is probable that it will be required to fund LCC in accordance with the SPA based on estimated operating results because LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is

currently profitable and is expected to be profitable through the year ended December 31, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

27

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

On December 1, 2009, the Company purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (an unconsolidated VIE that holds the Company's interests in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America at book value. This joint venture, which is structured as a credit facility with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, finances the acquisition of distressed properties and mortgage loans and has the objective of repositioning both the directly-owned properties and the properties underlying the mortgage loans to enhance their value (see Note 3). The Company acquired the membership interests for \$2.1 million. The agreement requires the Company to contribute 3% to 5% (depending on the asset agreement) of the total funding required for each asset acquisition on a monthly basis. RREM, an affiliate of Resource America, acts as asset manager of the venture and receives a monthly asset management fee equal to 1% of the combined investment calculated as of the last calendar day of the month. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company paid RREM management fees of \$8,000 and \$16,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company paid RREM management fees of \$12,000 and \$24,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded losses of \$101,000 and \$214,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded losses of \$486,000 and earnings of \$585,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The investment balance of \$1.4 million and \$2.3 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

The Company has a 100% interest valued at \$1.5 million in the common shares (3% of the total equity) in two trusts, RCT I and RCT II and determined it was not the primary beneficiary of either trust. The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common shares of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts using the cost method and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 the Company recognized \$602,000 and \$1.2 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures it issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$48,000 and \$95,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$623,000 and \$1.3 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures it issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$45,000 and \$90,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. The Company will continuously reassess whether it should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

28

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

NOTE 10 –FINANCING RECEIVABLES

The following tables show the allowance for loan losses and recorded investments in loans for the years indicated (in thousands):

	Commercial Real Estate Loans	Bank Loans	Loans Receivable-Related Party	Total
June 30, 2013:				
Allowance for Loan Losses:				
Allowance for losses at January 1, 2013	\$7,986	\$9,705	\$ —	\$17,691
Provision (benefit) for loan loss	1,948	(2,148)) —	(200)
Loans charged-off	(90)) (3,270)) —	(3,360)
Allowance for losses at June 30, 2013	\$9,844	\$4,287	\$ —	\$14,131
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$4,000	\$3,351	\$ —	\$7,351
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$5,844	\$936	\$ —	\$6,780
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$—
Loans:				
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$184,383	\$12,564	\$ 7,962	\$204,909
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$507,599	\$988,323	\$ —	\$1,495,922
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$—
December 31, 2012:				
Allowance for Loan Losses:				
Allowance for losses at January 1, 2012	\$24,221	\$3,297	\$ —	\$27,518
Provision for loan loss	5,225	11,593	—	16,818
Loans charged-off	(21,460)) (5,185)) —	(26,645)
Allowance for losses at December 31, 2012	\$7,986	\$9,705	\$ —	\$17,691
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$2,142	\$3,236	\$ —	\$5,378
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$5,844	\$6,469	\$ —	\$12,313
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$—
Loans:				
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$177,055	\$4,688	\$ 8,324	\$190,067
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$489,996	\$1,187,875	\$ —	\$1,677,871
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$751	\$ —	\$751

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Credit quality indicators

Bank Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to bank loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 5 representing its lowest rating. The Company also designates loans that are sold after the period end as held for sale at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. The Company considers metrics such as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest, enterprise valuation, default probability, ratings from rating agencies, and industry dynamics in grading its bank loans.

Credit risk profiles of bank loans were as follows (in thousands):

	Rating 1	Rating 2	Rating 3	Rating 4	Rating 5	Held for Sale	Total
As of June 30, 2013							
Bank loans	\$888,643	\$45,933	\$30,731	\$2,889	\$12,564	\$20,127	\$1,000,887

As of December 31, 2012

Bank loans	\$1,095,148	\$33,677	\$27,837	\$16,318	\$5,440	\$14,894	\$1,193,314
------------	-------------	----------	----------	----------	---------	----------	-------------

All of the Company's bank loans are performing with the exception of five loans with an amortized cost of \$12.6 million as of June 30, 2013, two of which defaulted during the three months ended June 30, 2013. As of December 31, 2012, all of the Company's bank loans were performing with the exception of five loans with an amortized cost of \$5.4 million, one of which defaulted as of December 31, 2012, three of which defaulted as of March 31, 2012 (including a loan acquired with deteriorated credit quality as a result of the acquisition of Whitney CLO I), and one of which defaulted on December 31, 2011.

Commercial Real Estate Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to commercial real estate loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-4 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 4 representing its lowest rating. The Company also designates loans that are sold after the period end at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. In addition to the underlying performance of the loan collateral, the Company considers metrics such as the strength of underlying sponsorship, payment history, collectability of interest, structural credit enhancements, market trends and loan terms in grading its commercial real estate loans.

Credit risk profiles of commercial real estate loans were as follows (in thousands):

	Rating 1	Rating 2	Rating 3	Rating 4	Held for Sale	Total
As of June 30, 2013						
Whole loans	\$553,333	\$—	\$55,374	\$—	\$—	\$608,707
B notes	16,265	—	—	—	—	16,265
Mezzanine loans	28,938	—	38,072	—	—	67,010
	\$598,536	\$—	\$93,446	\$—	\$—	\$691,982
As of December 31, 2012						
Whole loans	\$427,456	\$—	\$106,482	\$—	\$34,000	\$567,938

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

B notes	16,327	—	—	—	—	16,327
Mezzanine loans	38,296	—	44,490	—	—	82,786
	\$482,079	\$—	\$150,972	\$—	\$34,000	\$667,051

All of the Company's commercial real estate loans were performing as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

30

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Loan Portfolios Aging Analysis

The following table shows the loan portfolio aging analysis as of the dates indicated at cost basis (in thousands):

	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable	Total Loans > 90 Days and Accruing
June 30, 2013:							
Whole loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$608,707	\$608,707	\$—
B notes	—	—	—	—	16,265	16,265	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—	—	67,010	67,010	—
Bank loans	9,749	—	2,815	12,564	988,323	1,000,887	—
Loans receivable- related party	—	—	—	—	7,962	7,962	—
Total loans	\$9,749	\$—	\$2,815	\$12,564	\$1,688,267	\$1,700,831	\$—
December 31, 2012:							
Whole loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$567,938	\$567,938	\$—
B notes	—	—	—	—	16,327	16,327	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—	—	82,786	82,786	—
Bank loans	1,549	—	3,891	5,440	1,187,874	1,193,314	—
Loans receivable- related party	—	—	—	—	8,324	8,324	—
Total loans	\$1,549	\$—	\$3,891	\$5,440	\$1,863,249	\$1,868,689	\$—

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

31

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Impaired Loans

The following tables show impaired loans in the categories indicated (in thousands):

	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
June 30, 2013:					
Loans without a specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$129,546	\$129,546	\$—	\$117,221	\$5,575
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Mezzanine loans	\$38,072	\$38,072	\$—	\$38,072	\$986
Bank loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Loans receivable - related party	\$6,061	\$6,061	\$—	\$—	\$348
Loans with a specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$(4,000)) \$23,333	\$1,442
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Mezzanine loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Bank loans	\$12,564	\$12,564	\$(3,351)) \$—	\$—
Loans receivable - related party	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Total:					
Whole loans	\$154,546	\$154,546	\$(4,000)) \$140,554	\$7,017
B notes	—	—	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	38,072	38,072	—	38,072	986
Bank loans	12,564	12,564	(3,351)) —	—
Loans receivable - related party	6,061	6,061	—	—	348
	\$211,243	\$211,243	\$(7,351)) \$178,626	\$8,351
December 31, 2012:					
Loans without a specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$115,841	\$115,841	\$—	\$114,682	\$3,436
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Mezzanine loans	\$38,072	\$38,072	\$—	\$38,072	\$367
Bank loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Loans receivable - related party	\$6,754	\$6,754	\$—	\$—	\$851
Loans with a specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$23,142	\$23,142	\$(2,142)) \$22,576	\$801
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Mezzanine loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Bank loans	\$5,440	\$5,440	\$(3,236)) \$—	\$—
Loans receivable - related party	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Total:					
Whole loans	\$138,983	\$138,983	\$(2,142)) \$137,258	\$4,237
B notes	—	—	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	38,072	38,072	—	38,072	367
Bank loans	5,440	5,440	(3,236)) —	—
Loans receivable - related party	6,754	6,754	—	—	851
	\$189,249	\$189,249	\$(5,378)) \$175,330	\$5,455

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

32

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Troubled- Debt Restructurings

The following tables show troubled-debt restructurings in the Company's loan portfolio (in thousands):

	Number of Loans	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013:			
Whole loans	—	\$—	\$—
B notes	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—
Bank loans	—	—	—
Loans receivable - related party	—	—	—
Total loans	—	\$—	\$—
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012:			
Whole loans	—	\$—	\$—
B notes	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—
Bank loans	—	—	—
Loans receivable	—	—	—
Loans receivable - related party	—	—	—
Total loans	—	\$—	\$—
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013:			
Whole loans	2	\$56,328	\$56,328
B notes	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—
Bank loans	—	—	—
Loans receivable - related party	1	6,592	6,592
Total loans	3	\$62,920	\$62,920
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012:			
Whole loans	3	\$92,912	\$76,597
B notes	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—
Bank loans	—	—	—
Loans receivable	—	—	—
Loans receivable - related party	1	7,797	7,797
Total loans	4	\$100,709	\$84,394

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no troubled-debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

NOTE 11 – INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets represent identifiable intangible assets acquired as a result of the Company's acquisition of RCAM in February 2011, its conversion of loans to investments in real estate in June 2011, and the acquisition of real estate in August 2011. The Company amortizes identified intangible assets to expense over their estimated lives or period of benefit using the straight-line method. The Company evaluates intangible assets for impairment as events and circumstances change. In October 2012, the Company purchased 66.6% of preferred equity and began consolidating Whitney CLO I, one of the RCAM CLOs (see Note 3). As a result of this transaction and the consolidation of Whitney CLO I, the Company wrote-off the unamortized balance of \$2.6 million, the intangible asset associated with this CLO, which was recorded in gain/(loss) on consolidation in the consolidated statement of income during the year ended December 31, 2012. In May 2013, the Company purchased additional equity, increasing its ownership percentage to 68.3%. Due to a 2013 event whereby a second CLO liquidated, the Company accelerated the amortization of the remaining balance of its intangible asset and recorded a \$657,000 charge to depreciation and amortization on the consolidated statement of income during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Company expects to record amortization expense on intangible assets of approximately \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017. The weighted average amortization period was 8.2 years and 8.7 years at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively and the accumulated amortization was \$11.5 million and \$10.5 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The following table summarizes intangible assets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands).

	Beginning Balance	Accumulated Amortization	Net Asset
June 30, 2013:			
Investment in RCAM	\$21,213	\$(9,072)) \$12,141
Investments in real estate:			
In-place leases	2,461	(2,408)) 53
Above (below) market leases	29	(27)) 2
	2,490	(2,435)) 55
Total intangible assets	\$23,703	\$(11,507)) \$12,196
December 31, 2012:			
Investment in RCAM	\$21,213	\$(8,108)) \$13,105
Investments in real estate:			
In-place leases	2,461	(2,379)) 82
Above (below) market leases	29	(24)) 5
	2,490	(2,403)) 87
Total intangible assets	\$23,703	\$(10,511)) \$13,192

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million, respectively of fee income related to the investment in RCAM. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$1.8 million and \$3.7 million, respectively of fee income related to the investment in RCAM.

NOTE 12 – BORROWINGS

The Company historically has financed the acquisition of its investments, including investment securities, loans and lease receivables, through the use of secured and unsecured borrowings in the form of CDOs, securitized notes, repurchase agreements, secured term facilities, warehouse facilities and trust preferred securities issuances. Certain

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

information with respect to the Company's borrowings at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is summarized in the following table (in thousands, except percentages):

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

34

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
 JUNE 30, 2013
 (Unaudited)

	Outstanding Borrowings	Weighted Average Borrowing Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity	Value of Collateral
June 30, 2013:				
RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾	\$ 115,294	1.64%	33.1 years	\$ 167,682
RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes ⁽²⁾	181,062	0.86%	33.3 years	236,129
Apidos CDO I Senior Notes ⁽³⁾	126,007	1.34%	4.1 years	142,748
Apidos CDO III Senior Notes ⁽⁴⁾	158,457	0.85%	7.0 years	170,079
Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes ⁽⁵⁾	320,848	0.78%	6.8 years	342,487
Apidos CLO VIII Senior Notes ⁽⁶⁾	302,250	2.13%	8.3 years	349,607
Apidos CLO VIII Securitized Borrowings ⁽¹¹⁾	19,250	16.45%	8.3 years	—
Whitney CLO I Senior Notes ⁽¹⁰⁾	101,982	2.47%	3.7 years	121,026
Whitney CLO I Securitized Borrowings ⁽¹¹⁾	5,467	9.14%	3.7 years	—
Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures ⁽⁷⁾	50,908	4.23%	23.2 years	—
Repurchase Agreements ⁽⁸⁾	163,785	2.19%	18 days	224,957
Mortgage Payable ⁽⁹⁾	13,600	4.14%	5.1 years	18,100
Total	\$ 1,558,910	1.78%	11.9 years	\$ 1,772,815
December 31, 2012:				
RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾	\$ 145,664	1.42%	33.6 years	\$ 295,759
RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes ⁽²⁾	225,983	0.81%	33.8 years	292,980
Apidos CDO I Senior Notes ⁽³⁾	202,969	1.07%	4.6 years	217,745
Apidos CDO III Senior Notes ⁽⁴⁾	221,304	0.80%	7.5 years	232,655
Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes ⁽⁵⁾	320,550	0.82%	7.4 years	344,105
Apidos CLO VIII Senior Notes ⁽⁶⁾	300,951	2.16%	8.8 years	351,014
Apidos CLO VIII Securitized Borrowings ⁽¹¹⁾	20,047	15.27%	8.8 years	—
Whitney CLO I Senior Notes ⁽¹⁰⁾	171,555	1.82%	4.2 years	191,704
Whitney CLO I Securitized Borrowings ⁽¹¹⁾	5,860	9.50%	4.2 years	—
Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures ⁽⁷⁾	50,814	4.26%	23.7 years	—
Repurchase Agreements ⁽⁸⁾	106,303	2.28%	18 days	145,234
Mortgage Payable ⁽⁹⁾	13,600	4.17%	5.6 years	18,100
Total	\$ 1,785,600	1.62%	12.5 years	\$ 2,089,296

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$115.7 million and \$146.4 million less unamortized issuance costs of (1) \$409,000 and \$728,000 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in August 2006.

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$182.0 million and \$227.4 million less unamortized issuance costs of (2) \$909,000 and \$1.4 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in June 2007.

(3) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$126.0 million and \$203.2 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$43,000 and \$274,000 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in

August 2005.

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$158.8 million and \$222.0 million less unamortized issuance costs of (4) \$306,000 and \$659,000 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in May 2006.

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$322.0 million and \$322.0 million less unamortized issuance costs of (5) \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in May 2007.

Amount represents principal outstanding of \$317.6 million and \$317.6 million, less unamortized issuance costs of (6) \$4.3 million and \$4.7 million, and less unamortized discounts of \$11.0 million and \$11.9 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in October 2011.

(Back to Index)

35

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

- (7) Amount represents junior subordinated debentures issued to RCT I and RCT II in May 2006 and September 2006, respectively.
Amount represents principal outstanding of \$48.7 million and \$47.5 million less unamortized deferred debt costs of \$82,000 and \$23,000 and accrued interest costs of \$28,000 and \$37,000 related to CMBS repurchase facilities as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and principal outstanding of \$116.1 million and \$59.1 million less unamortized deferred debt costs of \$1.1 million and \$348,000 and accrued interest costs of \$143,000 and (8) \$79,000 related to CRE repurchase facilities as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Amount does not reflect CMBS repurchase agreement borrowings that are components of Linked Transactions. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had repurchase agreements of \$66.6 million and \$20.4 million, respectively, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and, as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the above table. (See Note 20).
Amount represents principal outstanding of \$13.6 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This real estate transaction closed in August 2011. The asset has been reclassified to property (9) available-for-sale and is held at its amortized cost of \$19.6 million on the consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2013.
Amount represents principal outstanding of \$103.7 million and \$174.1 million less unamortized discounts of \$1.7 million and \$2.5 million as of June 30, 2013 and as of December 31, 2012. In October 2012 the Company (10) purchased a \$20.9 million equity interest in Whitney CLO I which represents 66.6% of the outstanding preference shares. The transaction gave the Company a controlling interest in the CLO. In May 2013 the Company purchased \$550,000 additional equity interest in Whitney CLO I and now holds 68.3% of the outstanding preference shares.
(11) The securitized borrowings are collateralized by the same assets as the Apidos CLO VIII Senior Notes and the Whitney CLO I Senior Notes, respectively.

Collateralized Debt Obligations

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1

In June 2007, the Company closed RREF CDO 2007-1, a \$500.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities. The investments held by RREF CDO 2007-1 collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. RREF CDO 2007-1 issued a total of \$265.6 million of senior notes at par to unrelated investors. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class H senior notes (rated BBB+:Fitch), class K senior notes (rated BBB -:Fitch), class L senior notes (rated BB:Fitch) and class M senior notes (rated B:Fitch) for \$68.0 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2007-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$41.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2007-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2007-1. The reinvestment period for RREF 2007-1 ended in June 2012 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of June 30, 2013, \$60.0 million of Class A-1 notes have been paid down.

The senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2007-1 consist of the following classes: (i) \$180.0 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.28%; (ii) \$50.0 million of unissued class A-1R notes, which allow the CDO to fund future funding obligations under the existing whole loan participations that have future funding commitments; the undrawn balance of the class A-1R notes accrued a commitment fee at a rate per annum equal to

0.18%, the drawn balance bore interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iii) \$57.5 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.46%; (iv) \$22.5 million of class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%; (v) \$7.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.423%; (vi) \$26.8 million of class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.95%; (vii) \$11.9 million of class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.15%; (viii) \$11.9 million of class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (ix) \$11.3 million of class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.55%; (x) \$11.3 million of class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.30%; (xi) \$11.3 million of class J notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.95%; (xii) \$10.0 million of class K notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%; (xiii) \$18.8 million of class L notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 7.50% and (xiv) \$28.8 million of class M notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 8.50%. All of the notes issued mature in September 2046, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 2017 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors and net of repurchased notes was 0.86% and 0.81% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

36

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 the Company repurchased \$50.0 million of the Class A-1R notes in RREF CDO 2007-1 at a weighted average price of 90.00% to par which, after fees paid to an investment bank to finance the transaction and related expenses, resulting in a \$3.6 million gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company did not repurchase any notes.

As a result of the Company's ownership of senior notes, both the notes repurchased subsequent to closing and those retained at the CDO's closing eliminate in consolidation.

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1

In August 2006, the Company closed RREF CDO 2006-1, a \$345.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2006-1 collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. RREF CDO 2006-1 issued a total of \$308.7 million of senior notes at par to investors of which RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class J senior notes (rated BB: Fitch) and class K senior notes (rated B:Fitch) for \$43.1 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2006-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$36.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2006-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2006-1. The reinvestment period for RREF 2006-1 ended in September 2011 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of June 30, 2013, \$76.9 million, respectively, of Class A-1 notes have been paid down.

The senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2006-1 consist of the following classes: (i) \$129.4 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (ii) \$17.4 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.35%; (iii) \$5.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.842%; (iv) \$6.9 million of class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.40%; (v) \$20.7 million of class C notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.62%; (vi) \$15.5 million of class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%; (vii) \$20.7 million of class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (viii) \$19.8 million of class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.60%; (ix) \$17.3 million of class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.90%; (x) \$12.9 million of class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.75%, (xi) \$14.7 million of class J notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00% and (xii) \$28.4 million of class K notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00%. All of the notes issued mature in August 2046, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after August 2016 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors and net of repurchased notes was 1.64% and 1.42% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company repurchased \$5.25 million of the Class A-1 notes and \$4.0 million of the Class C notes in RREF CDO 2006-1 at a weighted average price of 82.48% to par which resulted in a 1.5 million gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company did not repurchase any notes.

As a result of the Company's ownership of senior notes, both the notes repurchased subsequent to closing and those retained at the CDO's closing eliminate in consolidation.

Whitney CLO I

In February 2011, the Company acquired the rights to manage the assets held by Whitney CLO I. In October 2012, the Company purchased a \$20.9 million preferred equity interest at a discount of 42.5% which represents 66.6% of the

outstanding preference shares in Whitney CLO I. In May 2013 the Company purchased an additional \$550,000 equity interest in Whitney CLO I and as of June 30, 2013 holds 68.3% of the outstanding preference shares. Based upon those purchases, the Company determined that it had a controlling interest and consolidated Whitney CLO I. The preferred equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Whitney CLO I.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

37

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

The balance of senior notes issued to investors when the Company acquired a controlling interest in October 2012 were as follows: (i) \$48.8 million of class A-1L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.32%; (ii) \$26.5 million of class A-1LA notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.29%; (iii) \$36.5 million of class A-1LB notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.45%; (iv) \$19.75 million of class A-2F notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 5.19%; (v) \$15.0 million of class A-2L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.57%; (vi) \$25.0 million of class A-3L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.05%; (vii) \$23.5 million of class B-1LA notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.1%; (viii) \$14.36 million of class B-1LB notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.0%. All of the notes issued mature on March 1, 2017. The Company has the right to call the notes anytime after March 1, 2009 until maturity in March 2017. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 2.47% and 1.82% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The reinvestment period for Whitney CLO I ended in March 2011 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. Since October 2012 when the Company began consolidating Whitney CLO I, \$46.2 million of Class A-1L, \$26.5 million of Class A-1LA notes and \$33.1 million of Class A-1LB notes have been paid down.

Apidos CLO VIII

In October 2011, the Company closed Apidos CLO VIII, a \$350.0 million CLO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CLO VIII collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CLO VIII issued a total of \$317.6 million of senior notes at a discount of 4.4% to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$15.0 million interest representing 43% of the outstanding subordinated debt. The remaining 57% of subordinated debt is owned by unrelated third parties. The reinvestment period for Apidos CLO VIII will end in October 2014. The subordinated debt interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CLO VIII.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CLO VIII consist of the following classes: (i) \$231.2 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.50%; (ii) \$35.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%; (iii) \$17.3 million of class B-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.50%; (iv) \$6.8 million of class B-2 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.50%; (v) \$14.1 million of class C notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 3.10% and (vi) \$13.2 million of class D notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 4.50%. All of the notes issued mature on October 17, 2021, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime from October 17, 2013 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 2.13% and 2.16% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Apidos Cinco CDO

In May 2007, the Company closed Apidos Cinco CDO, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos Cinco CDO collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos Cinco CDO issued a total of \$322.0 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$28.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The reinvestment period for Apidos Cinco CDO will end in May 2014. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos Cinco CDO.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos Cinco CDO consist of the following classes: (i) \$37.5 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.24%; (ii) \$200.0 million of class A-2a notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.23%; (iii) \$22.5 million of class A-2b notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iv) \$19.0 million of class A-3 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.42%; (v) \$18.0 million of class B notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.80%; (vi) \$14.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.25% and (vii) \$11.0 million of class D

notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature on May 14, 2020, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after May 14, 2011 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.78% and 0.82% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Apidos CDO III

In May 2006, the Company closed Apidos CDO III, a \$285.5 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO III collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CDO III issued a total of \$262.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$23.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CDO III.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

38

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO III consist of the following classes: (i) \$212.0 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$19.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.45%; (iii) \$15.0 million of class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$10.5 million of class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.75%; and (v) \$6.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature on September 12, 2020, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after September 12, 2011 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.85% and 0.80% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The reinvestment period for Apidos CDO III ended in June 2012 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of June 30, 2013, \$103.7 million of Class A-1 notes have been paid down.

Apidos CDO I

In August 2005, the Company closed Apidos CDO I, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO I collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CDO I issued a total of \$321.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$28.5 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CDO I.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO I consist of the following classes: (i) \$259.5 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$15.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.42%; (iii) \$20.5 million of class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$13.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.85%; and (v) \$8.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 9.25%. All of the notes issued mature on July 27, 2017, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 27, 2010 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 1.34% and 1.07% and at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The reinvestment period for Apidos CDO I ended in July 2011 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of June 30, 2013, \$193.5 million of Class A-1 Notes have been paid down.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company repurchased \$2.0 million of the Class B notes in Apidos CDO I at a weighted average price of 85.11% to par which resulted in a \$298,000 gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company did not repurchase any notes.

Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures

In May 2006 and September 2006, the Company formed RCT I and RCT II, respectively, for the sole purpose of issuing and selling capital securities representing preferred beneficial interests. Although the Company owns 100% of the common securities of RCT I and RCT II, RCT I and RCT II are not consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements because the Company is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of these entities. In connection with the issuance and sale of the capital securities, the Company issued junior subordinated debentures to RCT I and RCT II of \$25.8 million each, representing the Company's maximum exposure to loss. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II are included in borrowings and are being amortized into interest expense in the consolidated statements of income using the effective yield method over a ten year period.

The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at June 30, 2013 were \$310,000 and \$330,000, respectively. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated

debentures for RCT I and RCT II at December 31, 2012 were \$358,000 and \$377,000, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at June 30, 2013, were 4.23% and 4.23%, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at December 31, 2012, were 4.26% and 4.26%, respectively.

The rights of holders of common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subordinate to the rights of the holders of capital securities only in the event of a default; otherwise, the common securities' economic and voting rights are pari passu with the capital securities. The capital and common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subject to mandatory redemption upon the maturity or call of the junior subordinated debentures held by each. Unless earlier dissolved, RCT I will dissolve on May 25, 2041 and RCT II will dissolve on September 29, 2041. The junior subordinated debentures are the sole assets of RCT I and RCT II, mature on September 30, 2036 and October 30, 2036, respectively, and may be called at par by the Company any time after September 30, 2011 and October 30, 2011, respectively. The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common securities of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

39

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Repurchase and Credit Facilities

CMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In February 2011, the registrant's wholly-owned subsidiaries, RCC Commercial Inc. and RCC Real Estate, Inc. (collectively, the "RCC Subsidiaries"), entered into a master repurchase and securities contract (the "2011 Facility") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"). Under the 2011 Facility, from time to time, the parties may enter into transactions in which the RCC Subsidiaries and Wells Fargo agree to transfer from the RCC Subsidiaries to Wells Fargo all of their right, title and interest to certain commercial mortgage backed securities and other assets (the "Assets") against the transfer of funds by Wells Fargo to the RCC Subsidiaries, with a simultaneous agreement by Wells Fargo to transfer back to the RCC Subsidiaries such Assets at a date certain or on demand, against the transfer of funds from the RCC Subsidiaries to Wells Fargo. The maximum amount of the Facility is \$100.0 million which has a two year term with a one year option to extend, and an interest rate equal to the one-month LIBOR plus 1.00% plus a .25% initial structuring fee and a .25% extension fee upon exercise. On February 1, 2013, the Companies exercised the option to extend the 2011 Facility to January 31, 2014 and negotiated another one year option to extend to January 31, 2015. The RCC Subsidiaries may enter into interest rate swaps and cap agreements for securities whose average life exceeds two years to mitigate interest rate risk under the 2011 Facility.

The 2011 Facility contains customary events of default, including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties, cross-defaults, guarantor defaults, and the institution of bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings that remain unstayed. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of all obligations of the RCC Subsidiaries to repay the purchase price for purchased assets.

The 2011 Facility also contains margin call provisions relating to a decline in the market value of a security. Under these circumstances, Wells Fargo may require the RCC Subsidiaries to transfer cash in an amount sufficient to eliminate any margin deficit resulting from such a decline.

Under the terms of the 2011 Facility and pursuant to a guarantee agreement dated February 1, 2011 (the "2011 Guaranty"), the the Company agreed to unconditionally and irrevocably guarantee to Wells Fargo the prompt and complete payment and performance of (a) all payment obligations owing by the RCC Subsidiaries to Wells Fargo under or in connection with the Facility and any other governing agreements and any and all extensions, renewals, modifications, amendments or substitutions of the foregoing; (b) all expenses, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements, that are incurred by Wells Fargo in the enforcement of any of the foregoing or any obligation of the registrant; and (c) any other obligations of the RCC Subsidiaries with respect to Wells Fargo under each of the governing documents. The 2011 Guaranty includes covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's leverage and debt service ratios and require maintenance of certain levels of cash and net worth. RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial were in compliance with all debt covenants as of June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial had borrowed \$46.8 million (net of \$82,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the RCC Subsidiaries had guaranteed. At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$53.7 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.26%, or 1.45%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$42.5 million (net of \$23,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the RCC Subsidiaries had guaranteed. At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$51.4 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%, or 1.53%. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company also had repurchase agreements of \$12.3 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.38% or 1.58%, and \$11.9 million, with a weighted

average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.32% or 1.53% , respectively, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). The borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$15.2 million and \$14.6 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

40

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands):

	Amount at Risk ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
June 30, 2013:				
Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. ⁽²⁾	\$9,722	18	1.45	%
December 31, 2012:				
Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. ⁽²⁾	\$10,722	18	1.53	%

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

(2) \$12.3 million and \$12.2 million of linked repurchase agreement borrowings are being included as derivative instruments as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, (see Note 20).

CRE – Term Repurchase Facility

On February 27, 2012, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement (the "2012 Facility") with Wells Fargo to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The 2012 facility had a maximum amount of \$150.0 million and an initial 18 month term with two one year options to extend. The Company paid an origination fee of 37.5 basis points (0.375%). The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the 2012 Facility. On April 2, 2013, RCC Real Estate entered into an amendment which increased the size to \$250.0 million and extended the current term of the 2012 Facility to February of 2015 and provides two additional one year extension options at RSO's discretion. RCC Real Estate paid an additional structuring fee of \$101,000 and an extension fee of \$938,000 in connection with the amendment and will amortize the additional fees over the term of the extension. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$115.0 million (net of \$1.1 million of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the Company had guaranteed. At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the 2012 Facility were secured by several commercial real estate loans with an estimated fair value of \$167.7 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.46%, or 2.65%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$58.8 million (net of \$348,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the Company had guaranteed. At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the 2012 Facility were secured by several commercial real estate loans with an estimated fair value of \$85.4 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.67%, or 2.88%.

This 2012 Facility contains customary events of default, including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties, cross-defaults, guarantor defaults, and the institution of bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings that remain unstayed. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of all obligations of the Companies to repay the purchase price for purchased assets.

The 2012 Facility also contains margin call provisions relating to a decline in the market value of an security. Under these circumstances, Wells Fargo may require the Company to transfer cash in an amount sufficient to eliminate any margin deficit resulting from such a decline.

Under the terms of the 2012 Facility and pursuant to a guarantee agreement dated February 27, 2012 (the "2012 Guaranty"), the Company agreed to unconditionally and irrevocably guarantee to Wells Fargo the prompt and complete payment and performance of (a) all payment obligations owing by the Companies to Wells Fargo under or in

connection with the 2012 Facility and any other governing agreements and any and all extensions, renewals, modifications, amendments or substitutions of the foregoing; (b) all expenses, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements, that are incurred by Wells Fargo in the enforcement of any of the foregoing or any obligation of the registrant; and (c) any other obligations of the Companies with respect to Wells Fargo under each of the governing documents. The 2012 Guaranty includes covenants that, among other things, limit the registrant's leverage and debt service ratios and require maintenance of certain levels of cash and net worth. RCC Real Estate was in compliance with all debt covenants as of June 30, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

41

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
 JUNE 30, 2013
 (Unaudited)

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under the facility (dollars in thousands);

	Amount at Risk ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
June 30, 2013:				
Wells Fargo	\$52,221	18	2.65	%
December 31, 2012				
Wells Fargo	\$26,332	18	2.88	%

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

Short-Term Repurchase Agreements

On November 6, 2012, the Company entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with JP Morgan Securities LLC to finance the origination of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity with monthly resets of interest rates. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$18.8 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$26.4 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.83%, or 1.03%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$4.7 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$7.2 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%, or 1.01%.

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands);

	Amount at Risk ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
June 30, 2013:				
JP Morgan Securities, LLC ⁽²⁾	\$7,716	29	1.03	%
December 31, 2012:				
JP Morgan Securities, LLC ⁽²⁾	\$2,544	11	1.01	%

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

(2) \$18.8 million and \$4.7 million linked repurchase agreement borrowings are being included as derivative instruments as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. (See Note 20).

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

On February 14, 2012, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC to finance the origination of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity date with monthly resets of interest rates. The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$1.8 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed. At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by one CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$2.8 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.90%, or 1.08%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$1.9 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed. At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by one CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$3.1 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.01%, or 1.46%. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company also had repurchase agreements of \$23.1 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.02% or 1.21%, and \$3.5 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25% or 1.46%, respectively, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20).

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands);

	Amount at Risk ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
June 30, 2013:				
Wells Fargo Securities, LL C ⁽²⁾	\$9,048	28	1.08	%
December 31, 2012:				
Wells Fargo Securities, LLC ⁽²⁾	\$1,956	28	1.46	%

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

(2) \$23.1 million and \$3.5 million of linked repurchase agreement borrowings are being included as derivative instruments as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. (See Note 20).

On March 8, 2005, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. to finance the origination of CMBS and commercial real estate loans. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has an initial 12 month term with monthly resets of interest rates. The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$12.3 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$18.9 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.21%, or 1.40%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$3.1 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$5.1 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25%, or 1.46%.

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands);

	Amount at Risk ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average Maturity in Days	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
June 30, 2013:				
Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.	\$6,671	28	1.40	%
December 31, 2012				
Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.	\$2,069	7	1.46	%

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

43

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

Mortgage Payable

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through RCC Real Estate, purchased WhisperTree Apartments, a 504 unit multi-family property located in Houston, Texas, for \$18.1 million. The property was 95% occupied at acquisition. In conjunction with the purchase of the property, the Company entered into a seven year mortgage of \$13.6 million with a lender. The mortgage bears interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 3.95%. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 the borrowing rate was 4.14% and 4.17%, respectively.

NOTE 13 – SHARE ISSUANCE AND REPURCHASE

In April 2013, the Company sold 18,687,500 shares of common stock in an underwritten public common stock follow-on offering, including 2,437,500 shares exercised through the underwriters' over-allotment option, at a price of \$6.33 per share. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$114.6 million after payment of underwriting discounts and commissions of approximately \$3.6 million and before other offering expenses of approximately \$200,000.

On March 15, 2013, the Company and Resource Capital Manager entered into an At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement with MLV & Co, LLC ("MLV") to sell up to 1,500,000 shares of its 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock from time to time through an "at the market" equity offering program under which MLV will act as sales agent. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the Company issued 821,473 shares at a weighted average offering price of \$24.91. As of June 30, 2013, 1,072,767 shares have been issued under this agreement at a weighted average offering price of \$24.93. This agreement superseded the November 19, 2012 agreement with MLV.

Under the previous agreement, MLV had agreed to sell up to 1,000,000 shares the Company's 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock from time to time. As of June 30, 2013, all shares under this agreement have been issued at a weighted average price of \$24.77.

Under a dividend reinvestment plan authorized by the board of directors on March 21, 2013, the Company is authorized to issue up to 20.0 million shares of common stock. Under this plan, the Company issued 20,495 shares during the three months ended June 30, 2013 at a weighted-average net share price of \$6.42 and received proceeds of \$132,000 (net of costs). The Company had issued a total of 26,459 shares of common stock under this plan since inception. This plan superseded the February 2012 plan.

Under a dividend reinvestment plan authorized by the board of directors on February 16, 2012, the Company was authorized to issue up to 15,000,000 shares of common stock. Under this plan, the Company issued 2,899,708 shares in January 2013 and February 2013 at a weighted average share price of \$6.20 per share. The Company issued a total of 13,095,754 million shares of common stock under this plan since inception.

NOTE 14 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes restricted common stock transactions:

	Non-Employee Directors	Non-Employees	Total
Unvested shares as of January 1, 2013	19,509	3,288,834	3,308,343
Issued	38,704	114,000	152,704
Vested	(19,509)	(428,133)	(447,642)
Forfeited	—	(2,190)	(2,190)
Unvested shares as of June 30, 2013	38,704	2,972,511	3,011,215

The Company is required to value any unvested shares of restricted common stock granted to non-employees at the current market price. The estimated fair value of the unvested shares of restricted stock granted during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, including the grant date fair value of shares issued to the Company's six non-employee directors, was \$997,000 and \$2.7 million, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

44

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

On February 1, 2013, March 8, 2013, March 12, 2013, and June 6, 2013, the Company issued 3,582, 13,572, 16,065, and 5,485 shares of restricted common stock, respectively, under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan to the Company's non-employee directors as part of their annual compensation. These shares vest in full on the first anniversary of the date of grant.

On March 21, 2013, the Company issued 2,000 shares of restricted common stock under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These restricted shares will vest 33.3% on March 21, 2014. The balance will vest 33.3% annually thereafter through March 21, 2016.

In connection with a grant of restricted common stock made on August 25, 2011, the Company agreed to issue up to 336,000 additional shares of common stock if certain loan origination performance thresholds are achieved by personnel from the Company's loan origination team. The performance criteria are measured at the end of three annual measurement periods beginning April 1, 2011. The agreement also provides dividend equivalent rights pursuant to which the dividends that would have been paid on the shares had they been issued on the date of grant will be paid at the end of each annual measurement period if the performance criteria are met. If the performance criteria are not met, the accrued dividends will be forfeited. As a consequence, the Company does not record the dividend equivalent rights until earned. On March 30, 2012, the second annual measurement period ended and 112,000 shares were earned on April 1, 2013. These shares will vest over the subsequent 18 months at the rate of one-sixth per quarter. In addition, at March 30, 2013, \$168,000 of accrued dividend equivalent rights were earned. At June 30, 2013, there was an additional \$212,800 of dividends payable upon achievement of the performance criteria. If earned, any future performance shares issued will vest over the subsequent 18 months at the rate of one-sixth per quarter.

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's unvested stock options as of June 30, 2013:

Unvested Options	Options	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested at January 1, 2013	26,667	\$6.40
Granted	—	
Vested	(13,333)) 6.40
Forfeited	—	—
Unvested at June 30, 2013	13,334	\$6.40

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's vested stock options as of June 30, 2013:

Vested Options	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Vested as of January 1, 2013	614,999	\$14.80		
Vested	13,333	\$6.40		
Exercised	—			
Forfeited	(1,000)) \$15.00		
Vested at June 30, 2013	627,332	\$14.62	2	\$17

There were no options granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The outstanding stock options have a weighted average remaining contractual term of two years.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

45

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
 JUNE 30, 2013
 (Unaudited)

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the components of equity compensation expense were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2013	2012	June 30, 2013	2012
Options granted to Manager and non-employees	\$(4)	\$(1)	\$3	\$1
Restricted shares granted to Manager and non-employees	2,102	1,113	5,652	1,951
Restricted shares granted to non-employee directors	57	28	91	56
Total equity compensation expense	\$2,155	\$1,140	\$5,746	\$2,008

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Manager received 110,639 shares as incentive compensation valued at \$653,000 pursuant to the Management Agreement. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Manager received 28,252 shares as incentive compensation valued at \$154,000 pursuant to the Management Agreement. The Manager did not receive any incentive management fee for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and the three months ended March 31, 2012. The incentive management fee is paid one quarter in arrears.

Apart from incentive compensation payable under the Management Agreement, the Company has established no formal criteria for equity awards as of June 30, 2013. All awards are discretionary in nature and subject to approval by the Compensation Committee.

NOTE 15 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods presented as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2013	2012	June 30, 2013	2012
Basic:				
Net income allocable to common shares	6,533	16,425	18,059	30,906
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	120,738,176	83,466,810	112,508,254	82,334,303
Basic net income per share	\$0.05	\$0.20	\$0.16	\$0.38

Diluted:

Net income allocable to common shares	6,533	16,425	18,059	30,906
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	120,738,176	83,466,810	112,508,254	82,334,303
Additional shares due to assumed conversion of dilutive instruments	1,545,327	721,406	1,323,929	706,301
Adjusted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding	122,283,503	84,188,216	113,832,183	83,040,604
Diluted net income per share	\$0.05	\$0.20	\$0.16	\$0.37

Potentially dilutive shares relating to 640,666 options for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 641,666 options for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were not included in the calculation of diluted net income per share because the effect was anti-dilutive.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

46

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

NOTE 16 - ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The following table, which is presented gross of tax, presents the changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Net unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives	Net unrealized (loss) gain on securities, available-for-sale	Net unrealized (loss) gain
January 1, 2013	\$(15,595)) \$(11,483) \$(27,078
Other comprehensive gain before reclassifications	1,982	9,922	11,904
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	193	(5,125) (4,932
Net current-period other comprehensive income	2,175	4,797	6,972
June 30, 2013	\$(13,420)) \$(6,686) \$(20,106

NOTE 17 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Relationship with Resource America and Certain of its Subsidiaries

Relationship with Resource America. At June 30, 2013, Resource America owned 2,781,403 shares, or 2.2%, of the Company's outstanding common stock. In addition, Resource America held 2,166 options to purchase common stock. The Company is managed by the Manager, which is an affiliate of Resource America, pursuant to a Management Agreement that provides for both base and incentive management fees. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Manager earned base management fees of approximately \$3.0 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, and no incentive management fees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Manager earned base management fees of approximately \$1.9 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, and incentive management fees of \$1.8 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. The Company also reimburses the Manager and Resource America for expenses, including the expense of employees of Resource America who perform legal, accounting, due diligence and other services that outside professionals or consultants would otherwise perform, and for the wages, salaries and benefits of several Resource America personnel dedicated to the Company's operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company paid the Manager \$955,000 and \$1.8 million, respectively, as expense reimbursements. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company paid the Manager \$998,000 and \$1.6 million, respectively, as expense reimbursements. On November 24, 2010, the Company entered into an Investment Management Agreement with Resource Capital Markets, Inc. ("RCM"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America. The initial agreement provided that: (a) RCM may invest up to \$5.0 million of the Company's funds, with the investable amount being adjusted by portfolio gains/(losses) and collections, and offset by expenses, taxes and realized management fees, and (b) RCM can earn a management fee in any year that the net profits earned exceed a preferred return. On June 17, 2011, the Company entered into a revised Investment Management Agreement with RCM which provided an additional \$8.0 million of the Company's funds and established a management fee of 20% of the amount by which the net profits exceed the preferred return. RCM earned \$266,000 in management fees during the first quarter of 2013 which was later reversed in the quarter ended June 30, 2013 due to unrealized losses recognized and the portfolio not exceeding a preferred return. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, RCM earned \$722,000 and \$1.5 million in management fees, respectively. The Company has reinvested gains from its activity and holds \$12.3 million in fair market value of trading securities as of June 30, 2013, a decrease of \$12.5 million from \$24.8 million at fair market value as of December 31, 2012. In addition, the Company and RCM have established an escrow account that allocates the net profit or net losses of the portfolio on a yearly basis based on the net asset value of the account. During the three and

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

six months ended June 30, 2013, RCM earned \$0 and \$35,000, respectively, as its share of the net profits as defined in the Investment Management Agreement. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, RCM earned \$112,000 and \$226,000, respectively, as its share of the net profits as defined in the Investment Management Agreement.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

47

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

At June 30, 2013, the Company was indebted to the Manager for \$1.4 million, comprised of base management fees of \$1.0 million and expense reimbursements of \$442,000. At December 31, 2012, the Company was indebted to the Manager for \$3.8 million, comprised of base management fees of \$833,000, incentive management fees of \$2.6 million and expense reimbursements of \$307,000. At June 30, 2013, the Company was indebted to RCM, under the Company's Investment Management Agreement for \$111,000, comprised of expense reimbursements. At December 31, 2012, the Company was indebted to RCM under the Company's Investment Management Agreement for \$4.3 million, comprised of \$4.3 million of incentive management fees and \$48,000 of expense reimbursements. The Company had executed seven CDO transactions as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, which were structured for the Company by the Manager. Under the Management Agreement, the Manager was not separately compensated by the Company for executing these transactions and is not separately compensated for managing the CDO entities and their assets.

Relationship with LEAF Financial. LEAF Financial, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, originates and manages equipment leases and notes on behalf of the Company.

On March 5, 2010, the Company entered into agreements with Lease Equity Appreciation Fund II, L.P. ("LEAF II") (an equipment leasing partnership sponsored by LEAF Financial and of which a LEAF Financial subsidiary is the general partner), pursuant to which the Company provided and funded an \$8.0 million credit facility to LEAF II. The credit facility initially had a one year term at 12% per year, payable quarterly, and was secured by all the assets of LEAF II including its entire ownership interest in LEAF II Receivables Funding. The Company received a 1% origination fee in connection with establishing the facility. The facility originally matured on March 3, 2011 and was extended until September 3, 2011 with a 1% extension fee paid on the outstanding loan balance. On June 3, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2012 and decrease the interest rate from 12% to 10% per annum resulting in a troubled-debt restructuring under current accounting guidance. On February 15, 2012, the credit facility was further amended to extend the maturity to February 15, 2013 with a 1% extension fee accrued and added to the amount outstanding. On January 11, 2013, the Company entered into another amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2014 with an additional 1% extension fee accrued and added to the amount outstanding. The loan is current and performing with balances outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 of \$6.1 million and \$6.8 million, respectively.

On November 16, 2011, the Company, together with LEAF Financial and LCC, subsidiaries of Resource America, entered into the SPA with Eos Partners, L.P., a private investment firm, and its affiliates (see Note 9). In exchange for its prior interest in LCC, the Company received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock, collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis, a 26.7% interest in LCC. On January 18, 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,682 shares of newly issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock for \$3.7 million. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,323 shares of newly issued Series E Preferred Stock for \$3.3 million (see Note 9). The Series E Preferred Stock has priority over all other classes of preferred stock. The Company's fully-diluted interest in LCC assuming conversion is 27.5%. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded earnings of \$304,000 and a loss of \$32,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statements of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a \$1.3 million loss which was recorded in other expense on the consolidated statements of income. The Company's investment in LCC was valued at \$40.0 million and \$33.1 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

In accordance with the SPA, the Company and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LCC which owns equipment, equipment leases and notes. To the extent that the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is less than approximately \$18.7 million (the value of the equity of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 on the date it was contributed to LCC by the Company), as of the final testing date within 90 days of December 31, 2013, the Company and Resource America have agreed to be jointly and severally obligated to contribute cash to LCC to make up the deficit. The LRF3 equity as of March 31, 2013 was in excess of this commitment and, therefore, the Company was not required to record a liability with respect to this obligation.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

48

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Relationship with CVC Credit Partners. On April 17, 2012, Apidos Capital Management (“ACM”), a former subsidiary of Resource America, was sold to CVC Credit Partners, LLC (CVC Credit Partners), a joint venture entity in which Resource America owns a 33% interest. CVC Credit Partners manages internally and externally originated bank loan assets on the Company’s behalf. On February 24, 2011, a subsidiary of the Company purchased 100% of the ownership interests in Churchill Pacific Asset Management LLC (“CPAM”) from Churchill Financial Holdings LLC for \$22.5 million. CPAM subsequently changed its name to RCAM. Through RCAM, the Company is entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive fees related to five CLO holdings of approximately \$1.9 billion in assets managed by RCAM. RCAM is assisted by CVC Credit Partners in managing the five CLOs. CVC Credit Partners is entitled to 10% of all subordinated fees and 50% of the incentive fees received by RCAM. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, CVC Credit Partners earned subordinated fees of \$174,000 and \$355,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, CVC Credit Partners earned subordinated fees of \$203,000 and \$414,000, respectively. In October 2012, the Company purchased 66.6% of the preferred equity in one of the RCAM CLOs. In May 2013, the Company purchased additional equity in this CLO, increasing its ownership percentage to 68.3%. On February 15, 2013, another RCAM-managed CLO elected to redeem its outstanding notes in whole. In May 2013, the Company entered into a limited partnership agreement with CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership which generally invests in assets through a master-feeder fund structure (“the Master Fund”). The Company invested \$10.0 million as of June 30, 2013. The General Partner of the Partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. The investment manager of the partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Credit Partners, LLC. CVC Capital Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a Luxembourg company, together with its affiliates, and Resource America, own a majority and a significant minority, respectively, of the investment manager. The fund will pay the investment manager a quarterly management fee in advance calculated at the rate of 1.5% annually based on the balance of each limited partner's capital account. The Company's management fee was waived upon entering the agreement given that the Company is a related party of CVC Credit Partners. The fund's investment balance of \$10.1 million is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

Relationship with Resource Real Estate. Resource Real Estate, a subsidiary of Resource America, originates, finances and manages the Company’s commercial real estate loan portfolio, including whole loans, A notes, B notes, mezzanine loans, and investments in real estate. The Company reimburses Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs associated with all loans originated. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company was indebted to Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs in connection with the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio of \$0 and \$20,000, respectively.

On August 9, 2006, the Company, through its subsidiary, RCC Real Estate, originated a loan to Lynnfield Place, a multi-family apartment property, in the amount of \$22.4 million. The loan was then purchased by RREF CDO 2006-1. The loan, which matures on May 9, 2018, carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread of 3.50% with a LIBOR floor of 2.50%. On June 14, 2011, RCC Real Estate converted this loan, collateralized by a multi-family building, to equity. The loan was kept outstanding and continues to be used as collateral in RREF CDO 2006-1. Resource Real Estate Management, LLC (“RREM”), an affiliate of Resource America, was appointed as the asset manager as of August 1, 2011. RREM performs lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and/or entitlements and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM is entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$34,000 and \$69,000 during the three and six months

ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$34,000 and \$67,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

49

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

On December 1, 2009, the Company purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (an unconsolidated VIE that holds the Company's interests in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America at book value for \$2.1 million. This joint venture, which is structured as a credit facility with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, finances the acquisition of distressed properties and mortgage loans and has the objective of repositioning both the directly-owned properties and the properties underlying the mortgage loans to enhance their value. The agreement requires the Company to contribute 3% to 5% (depending on the terms of the agreement pursuant to which the particular asset is being acquired) of the total funding required for each asset acquisition as needed up to a specified amount. RREM acts as asset manager of the venture and receives a monthly asset management fee equal to 1.0% of the combined investment calculated as of the last calendar day of the month. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company paid RREM management fees of \$8,000 and \$16,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company paid RREM management fees of \$12,000 and \$24,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded losses of \$101,000 and \$214,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a loss of \$486,000 and earnings of \$585,000, respectively. Using the equity method of accounting, these losses and gains were recorded in equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The Company's investment balance of \$1.4 million and \$2.3 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets using the equity method.

On January 15, 2010, the Company loaned \$2.0 million to Resource Capital Partners, Inc. ("RCP"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, so that it could acquire a 5.0% limited partnership interest in Resource Real Estate Opportunity Fund, L.P. ("RRE Opportunity Fund"). RCP is the general partner of the RRE Opportunity Fund. The loan is secured by RCP's partnership interest in the RRE Opportunity Fund. The promissory note bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance. In the event of default, interest will accrue and be payable at a rate of 5.0% in excess of the fixed rate. Interest is payable quarterly. Mandatory principal payments must also be made to the extent distributable cash or other proceeds from the partnership represent a return of RCP's capital. The loan matures on January 14, 2015, and RCP has options to extend the loan for two additional 12-month periods. No principal payments were made during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The loan balance was \$1.6 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

On June 21, 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to form CR SLH Partners, L.P. ("SLH Partners") to purchase a defaulted promissory note secured by a mortgage on a multi-family apartment building. The Company purchased a 10% equity interest in the venture and also loaned SLH Partners \$7.0 million to finance the project secured by a first mortgage lien on the property. On May 23, 2012, SLH Partners repaid the \$7.0 million loan in its entirety. The loan had a maturity date of September 21, 2012 and bore interest at a fixed rate of 10.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance, payable monthly. The Company received a commitment fee equal to 1.0% of the loan amount at the origination of the loan and received a \$70,000 exit fee upon repayment. RREM was appointed as the asset manager of the venture. RREM performs lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM receives an annual asset management fee equal to 2.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property. The Company held a \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million preferred equity investment in SLH Partners as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through RCC Real Estate, entered into an agreement to purchase Whispartree Apartments, a multi-family apartment building, for \$18.1 million. RREM was appointed as asset manager. RREM

performs lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM is entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to the greater of 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property or \$12,600. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$50,000 and \$97,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$41,000 and \$79,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the Company entered into a listing agreement for this property. This asset has been reclassified to property available-for-sale on the balance sheet.

(Back to Index)

50

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

On June 19, 2012, the Company entered into a joint venture with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, to purchase two condominium developments. The Company purchased a 7.5% equity interest in the venture. RREM was appointed as the asset manager of the venture to perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM receives an annual asset management fee equal to 1% of outstanding contributions. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM of \$10,000 and \$26,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. There were no such fees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The Company's investment in the joint venture at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$569,000 and \$526,000, respectively. Using the equity method of accounting, the Company recognized equity in earnings related to this investment of \$19,000 and \$43,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. No such income was recorded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

Relationship with The Bancorp. The Bancorp, Inc. (Nasdaq: TBBK), or TBBK, is a bank holding company that was organized in 2000 with Resource America's participation. Mr. Daniel G. Cohen, or Mr. D. Cohen, is the chairman of the board and Mrs. Betsy Z. Cohen, or Mrs. B. Cohen, is the Chief Executive Officer of TBBK and its subsidiary bank. Mrs. B. Cohen is the wife of Mr. E. Cohen, and Mr. E. Cohen and Mrs. B. Cohen are the parents of Messrs. J. Cohen, our President and chief executive officer, and D. Cohen. Walter Beach, a director of TBBK since 1999, has also served as a director of the Company since March 2005. On July 7, 2011, the Company and RCC Real Estate entered into a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with Bancorp. The note matured on June 30, 2012 and was not renewed. There were no outstanding borrowings as of June 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

Relationship with Law Firm. Until 1996, Edward E. Cohen, a director who was the Company's Chairman from its inception until November 2009, was of counsel to Ledgewood, P.C., a law firm. In addition, one of the Company's executive officers, Jeffrey F. Brotman, was employed by Ledgewood until 2007. Mr. E. Cohen receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with Ledgewood and its redemption of his interest in the firm. Mr. Brotman also receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with the firm. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company paid Ledgewood \$40,000 and \$86,000, respectively, in connection with legal services rendered to the Company as compared to \$83,000 and \$116,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

NOTE 18 – DISTRIBUTIONS

In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company must currently distribute at least 90% of its taxable income. In addition, the Company must distribute 100% of its taxable income in order not to be subject to corporate federal income taxes on retained income. The Company anticipates it will distribute substantially all of its taxable income to its stockholders. Because taxable income differs from cash flow from operations due to non-cash revenues or expenses (such as provisions for loan and lease losses and depreciation), in certain circumstances, the Company may generate operating cash flow in excess of its distributions or, alternatively, may be required to borrow to make sufficient distribution payments.

On June 14, 2013, the Company declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock or \$25.4 million in the aggregate, which was paid on July 26, 2013, to stockholders of record as of June 28, 2013.

On June 18, 2013, the Company declared distributions totaling \$359,000 or \$0.53 per share to its Series A Preferred share stockholders, declared on June 18, 2013 and paid on July 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of July 1, 2013.

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

On June 18, 2013, the Company declared distributions totaling \$1.6 million or \$0.52 per share to its Series B Preferred share stockholders, declared on June 18, 2013 and paid on July 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of July 1, 2013.

On March 15, 2013, the Company declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock or \$21.6 million in the aggregate, which was paid on April 26, 2013, to stockholders of record as of March 28, 2013.

On March 18, 2013, the Company declared distributions totaling \$359,000 or \$0.53 per share to its Series A Preferred share stockholders, declared on March 18, 2013 and paid on April 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of April 1, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

51

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

On March 18, 2013, the Company declared distributions totaling \$1.2 million or \$0.52 per share to its Series B Preferred share stockholders, declared on March 18, 2013 and paid on April 30, 2013 to its preferred share stockholders of record as of April 1, 2013.

NOTE 19 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In analyzing the fair value of its investments accounted for on a fair value basis, the Company follows the fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The Company determines fair value based on quoted prices when available or, if quoted prices are not available, through the use of alternative approaches, such as discounting the expected cash flows using market interest rates commensurate with the credit quality and duration of the investment. The hierarchy followed defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset and liability or can be corroborated with observable market data for substantially the entire contractual term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that reflect the entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in the pricing of the asset or liability and are consequently not based on market activity, but rather through particular valuation techniques.

The determination of where an asset or liability falls in the hierarchy requires significant judgment. The Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures each quarter; depending on various factors, it is possible that an asset or liability may be classified differently from quarter to quarter. However, the Company expects that changes in classifications between levels will be rare.

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The following is a discussion of these assets and liabilities as well as the valuation techniques applied to each for fair value measurement.

The Company reports its investment securities available-for-sale at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses a dealer quote which typically will be the dealer who sold the Company the security. The Company has been advised that, in formulating their quotes, dealers may use recent trades in the particular security, if any, market activity in similar securities, if any, or internal valuation models. These quotes are non-binding. Based on how dealers develop their quotes, market liquidity and levels of trading, the Company categorizes these investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the quotes it receives by applying its own valuation models. If there is a material difference between a quote the Company receives and the value indicated by its valuation models, the Company will evaluate the difference. As part of that evaluation, the Company will discuss the difference with the dealer, who may revise its quote based upon these discussions. Alternatively, the Company may revise its valuation models.

The Company reports its investment securities, trading at fair value, based on an independent third-party valuation. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the valuation it receives by using a dealer quote. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third party and a quote the Company receives, the Company will evaluate the difference. Any changes in fair value are recorded on the Company's results of operations as net unrealized gain on investment securities, trading.

The CMBS underlying the Company's Linked Transactions are valued using the same techniques as those used for the Company's other CMBS. The value of the underlying CMBS is then netted against the carrying amount (which approximates fair value) of the repurchase agreement borrowing at the valuation date. The fair value of Linked Transactions also includes accrued interest receivable on the CMBS and accrued interest payable on the underlying

repurchase agreement borrowings. The Company's Linked Transactions are classified as Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

52

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

Derivatives (interest rate swaps and interest rate caps), both assets and liabilities, are reported at fair value, and are valued by a third-party pricing agent using an income approach with models that use, as their primary inputs, readily observable market parameters. This valuation process considers factors including interest rate yield curves, time value, credit factors and volatility factors. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. The Company assesses the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and, if material, categorizes those derivatives within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The following table presents information about the Company's assets (including derivatives that are presented net) measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
June 30, 2013:				
Assets:				
Investment securities, trading	\$—	\$—	\$12,266	\$12,266
Investment securities available-for-sale	12,775	110,924	111,309	235,008
CMBS - linked transactions	—	9,517	15,764	25,281
Total assets at fair value	\$12,775	\$120,441	\$139,339	\$272,555
Liabilities:				
Derivatives (net)	\$—	\$469	\$12,236	\$12,705
Total liabilities at fair value	\$—	\$469	\$12,236	\$12,705
December 31, 2012:				
Assets:				
Investment securities, trading	\$—	\$—	\$24,843	\$24,843
Investment securities available-for-sale	9,757	132,561	89,272	231,590
CMBS - linked transactions	—	4,802	2,033	6,835
Total assets at fair value	\$9,757	\$137,363	\$116,148	\$263,268
Liabilities:				
Derivatives (net)	\$—	\$610	\$14,077	\$14,687
Total liabilities at fair value	\$—	\$610	\$14,077	\$14,687

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
 JUNE 30, 2013
 (Unaudited)

The following table presents additional information about assets which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

	Level 3
Beginning balance, January 1, 2013	\$116,148
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):	
Included in earnings	8,385
Purchases	62,315
Sales	(30,841)
Paydowns	(14,013)
Unrealized gains (losses) – included in accumulated other comprehensive income	(2,655)
Transfers from level 2	—
Ending balance, June 30, 2013	\$139,339

The following table presents additional information about liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

	Level 3
Beginning balance, January 1, 2013	\$14,077
Unrealized losses – included in accumulated other comprehensive income	(1,841)
Ending balance, June 30, 2013	\$12,236

The Company had \$535,000 and \$556,000 of losses included in earnings due to the other-than-temporary impairment charges of 13 assets that were traded at losses during each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The Company had \$32,000 and \$171,000 of impairment losses included in earnings due to other-than-temporary impairment charges on 1 and 2 securities during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These losses are included in the consolidated statements of income as net impairment losses recognized in earnings.

Loans held for sale consist of bank loans and commercial real estate loans (“CRE loans”) identified for sale due to credit concerns. Interest on loans held for sale is recognized according to the contractual terms of the loan and included in interest income on loans. The fair value of bank loans held for sale and impaired bank loans is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for these loans. As such, the Company classifies these loans as nonrecurring Level 2. For the Company’s CRE loans where there is no primary market, fair value is measured using discounted cash flow analysis and other valuation techniques and these loans are classified as nonrecurring Level 3. The amount of nonrecurring fair value losses for impaired loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$2.4 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, as compared to \$3.4 million and \$4.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and is included in the consolidated statements of income as provision for loan losses. The following table summarizes the financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
June 30, 2013:				
Assets:				
Loans held for sale	\$—	\$20,127	\$—	\$20,127
Impaired loans	—	7,987	—	7,987
Total assets at fair value	\$—	\$28,114	\$—	\$28,114

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2012:

Assets:

Loans held for sale	\$—	\$ 14,894	\$ 34,000	\$ 48,894
Impaired loans	—	4,366	21,000	25,366
Total assets at fair value	\$—	\$ 19,260	\$ 55,000	\$ 74,260

(Back to Index)

54

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

For Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2013, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements were as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value at June 30, 2013	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Input Value
Interest rate swap agreements	\$12,705	Discounted cash flow	Weighted average credit spreads	5.00%

The Company is required to disclose the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The fair value of short-term financial instruments such as cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, principal paydown receivable, interest receivable, distribution payable and accrued interest expense approximates their carrying value on the consolidated balance sheet. The fair value of the Company's investment securities-trading is reported in Note 5. The fair value of the Company's investment securities available-for-sale is reported in Note 6. The fair value of the Company's derivative instruments and linked transactions is reported in Note 20.

Loans held-for-investment: The fair value of the Company's Level 2 Loans held-for-investment was primarily measured using a third-party pricing service. The fair value of the Company's Level 3 Loans held-for-investment was measured by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Loans receivable-related party are estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

CDO notes are valued using the dealer quotes, typically the dealer who underwrote the CDO in which the notes are held.

Junior subordinated notes are estimated by obtaining quoted prices for similar assets in active markets.

The fair values of the Company's remaining financial instruments that are not reported at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets are reported below (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements				
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets of Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
June 30, 2013:					
Loans held-for-investment	\$1,658,611	\$1,662,941	\$—	\$980,520	\$682,421
Loans receivable-related party	\$7,962	\$7,962	\$—	\$—	\$7,962
CDO notes	\$1,330,617	\$1,162,243	\$—	\$1,162,243	\$—
Junior subordinated notes	\$50,908	\$17,402	\$—	\$—	\$17,402
Repurchase agreement	\$163,785	\$163,785	\$—	\$—	\$163,785
December 31, 2012:					
Loans held-for-investment	\$1,793,780	\$1,848,617	\$—	\$1,186,642	\$661,975
Loans receivable-related party	\$8,324	\$8,324	\$—	\$—	\$8,324

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

CDO notes	\$1,614,883	\$1,405,124	\$—	\$1,405,124	\$—
Junior subordinated notes	\$50,814	\$17,308	\$—	\$—	\$17,308
Repurchase agreement	\$106,303	\$106,303	\$—	\$—	\$106,303

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

55

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

NOTE 20 – INTEREST RATE RISK AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

A significant market risk to the Company is interest rate risk. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond the Company's control. Changes in the general level of interest rates can affect net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred in connection with the interest-bearing liabilities, by affecting the spread between the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in the level of interest rates also can affect the value of the Company's interest-earning assets and the Company's ability to realize gains from the sale of these assets. A decline in the value of the Company's interest-earning assets pledged as collateral for borrowings could result in the counterparties demanding additional collateral pledges or liquidation of some of the existing collateral to reduce borrowing levels. The Company seeks to manage the extent to which net income changes as a function of changes in interest rates by matching adjustable-rate assets with variable-rate borrowings. During periods of changing interest rates, interest rate mismatches could negatively impact the Company's consolidated financial condition, consolidated results of operations and consolidated cash flows. In addition, the Company mitigates the potential impact on net income of periodic and lifetime coupon adjustment restrictions in its investment portfolio by entering into interest rate hedging agreements such as interest rate caps and interest rate swaps.

The Company has made an accounting policy election to use the exception in FASB ASC 820-10-35-18D (commonly referred to as the "portfolio exception") with respect to measuring counterparty credit risk for derivative instruments, consistent with the guidance in FASB ASC 820-10-35-18G. The basis for use of this exception, as provided in FASB ASC 820-10-35-18E is as follows:

The Company manages credit risk for its derivative positions on a counterparty-by-counterparty basis (that is, on the basis of its net portfolio exposure with each counterparty), consistent with its risk management strategy for such transactions. The Company manages credit risk by considering indicators of risk such as credit ratings, and by negotiating terms in its ISDA master netting arrangements (or similar agreements) and, if applicable, any associated Credit Support Annex ("CSA") documentation, with each individual counterparty. Credit risk plays a central role in the decision of which counterparties to consider for such relationships and when deciding with whom it will enter into derivative transactions.

Since the effective date of FASB ASC 820, management has monitored and measured credit risk and calculated credit valuation adjustments ("CVAs") for its derivative transactions on the basis of its relationships at the counterparty portfolio/ISDA master netting arrangement level. Management receives reports from an independent third-party valuation specialist on a monthly basis providing the CVAs at the counterparty portfolio level for purposes of reviewing and managing its credit risk exposures. Since the portfolio exception applies only to the fair value measurement and not to financial statement presentation, the portfolio-level adjustments are then allocated in a reasonable and consistent manner each period to the individual assets or liabilities that make up the group, in accordance with other applicable accounting guidance and the Company's accounting policy elections.

Derivative transactions are required under FASB ASC 815 to be measured at fair value in the statement of financial position each reporting period.

Finally, the Company notes that key market participants take into account the existence of arrangements that mitigate credit risk exposure in the event of default (in the Company's case, ISDA master netting arrangements with the counterparty).

At June 30, 2013, the Company had 16 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 5.00% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these

contracts was \$131.5 million at June 30, 2013. The counterparties for the Company's designated interest rate hedge contracts at such date were Credit Suisse International and Wells Fargo, with which the Company had master netting agreements.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had 16 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 4.94% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these contracts was \$135.2 million at December 31, 2012. The counterparties for the Company's designated interest rate hedge contracts at such date were Credit Suisse International and Wells Fargo, with which the Company had master netting agreements.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

56

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2013

(Unaudited)

The estimated fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps was \$(12.7) million and \$(14.7) million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company had aggregate unrealized losses of \$13.4 million and \$15.6 million on the interest rate swap agreements as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. In connection with the August 2006 close of RREF CDO 2006-1, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$119,000, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2006-1. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the June 2007 close of RREF CDO 2007-1, the Company realized a swap termination gain of \$2.6 million, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2007-1. The accretion is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the termination of a \$53.6 million swap related to RREF CDO 2006-1 during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$4.2 million, which is being amortized over the term of a new \$45.0 million swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the payoff of a fixed-rate commercial real estate loan during the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company terminated a \$12.7 million swap and realized a \$574,000 swap termination loss, which is being amortized over the original term of the terminated swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The following tables present the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the balance sheets and on the consolidated statement of income for the years presented:

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of June 30, 2013

(in thousands)

	Liability Derivatives		Fair Value
	Notional Amount	Balance Sheet Location	
As of June 30,			
Interest rate swap contracts 2013	\$131,508	Derivatives, at fair value	\$(12,705)
		Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$13,420

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Income for the

Six Months Ended June 30,

(in thousands)

	Liability Derivatives		Unrealized Loss (1)
	Notional Amount	Statement of Operations Location	
Interest rate swap contracts 2013	\$131,508	Interest expense	\$3,388

(1) Negative values indicate a decrease to the associated balance sheets or consolidated statements of income line items.

Linked Transactions

The Company's Linked Transactions are evaluated on a combined basis, reported as forward (derivative) instruments and presented as assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets at fair value. The fair value of Linked

Transactions reflect the value of the underlying CMBS, interest receivable, linked repurchase agreement borrowings and accrued interest payable on such instruments. The Company's linked transactions are not designated as hedging instruments and, as a result, the change in the fair value and net interest income from Linked Transactions is reported in other income on the Company's consolidated statement of income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

57

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
 JUNE 30, 2013
 (Unaudited)

The following tables present certain information about the CMBS and repurchase agreements underlying the Company's Linked Transactions at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of
 (in thousands)

	Asset Derivatives		Fair Value
	Designation	Balance Sheet Location	
As of June 30, Linked Transactions at fair value, 2013	Non-Hedging	Linked Transactions, net at fair value	\$25,281
As of December 31, Linked Transactions at fair value, 2012	Non-Hedging	Linked Transactions, net at fair value	\$6,835

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Income for the
 Six Months Ended June 30,
 (in thousands)

	Asset Derivatives		Revenues ⁽¹⁾
	Designation	Statement of Operations Location	
Linked Transactions at fair value, 2013	Non-Hedging	Unrealized gain/(loss) and net interest income on linked transactions, net	\$(5,504)
Linked Transactions at fair value, 2012	Non-Hedging	Unrealized gain/(loss) and net interest income on linked transactions, net	\$253

(1) Negative values indicate a decrease to the associated balance sheets or consolidated statements of income line items.

The following table presents certain information about the components of the unrealized net gains and net interest income from Linked Transactions included in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Components of Unrealized Net Gains and Net Interest				
Income (Expense) from Linked Transactions				
Interest income attributable to CMBS underlying linked transactions	\$756	\$201	\$1,204	\$380
Interest expense attributable to linked repurchase agreement borrowings underlying linked transactions	(207)	(67)	(323)	(127)
Change in fair value of linked transactions included in earnings	(5,794)	—	(6,385)	—
	\$(5,245)	\$134	\$(5,504)	\$253

Unrealized (loss) gain and net interest income from
linked transactions, net

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

58

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the Company's CMBS Linked Transactions calculated basis of fair value (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
June 30, 2013:				
CMBS linked transactions	\$97,644	\$503	\$(6,540)) \$91,607
December 31, 2012:				
CMBS linked transactions	\$27,082	\$190	\$(22)) \$27,250

The following table summarizes the estimated maturities of the Company's CMBS Linked Transactions according to their estimated weighted average life classifications (in thousands, except percentages):

Weighted Average Life	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Coupon
June 30, 2013:			
Less than one year	\$6,741	\$6,685	5.12%
Greater than one year and less than five years	15,062	15,738	5.17%
Greater than five years and less than ten years	56,171	60,061	2.88%
Greater than ten years	13,633	15,160	3.34%
Total	\$91,607	\$97,644	3.47%
December 31, 2012:			
Less than one year	\$9,827	\$9,822	5.09%
Greater than one year and less than five years	5,444	5,446	6.11%
Greater than five years and less than ten years	11,979	11,814	2.69%
Total	\$27,250	\$27,082	4.23%

The following table shows the fair value, gross unrealized losses and the length of time the CMBS Linked Transactions underlying assets have been in a continuous unrealized loss position during the periods specified (in thousands):

	Less than 12 Months		More than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
June 30, 2013:						
CMBS Linked Transactions	\$71,488	\$(6,540)) \$—	\$—	\$71,488	\$(6,540)
December 31, 2012:						
CMBS Linked Transactions	\$20,894	\$(22)) \$—	\$—	\$20,894	\$(22)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

59

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2013
(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the Company's CMBS linked transactions (in thousands, except percentages):

	Fair Value at		Upgrades/ Downgrades	MTM Change Same Ratings	Fair Value at June 30, 2013
	December 31, 2012	Net Purchases			
Moody's Ratings Category:					
Aaa	\$14,585	\$9,958	\$—	\$9,053	\$33,596
Aa1 through Aa3	—	9,029	—	—	9,029
A1 through A3	5,444	—	(5,501)	57	—
Baa1 through Baa3	—	—	5,501	—	5,501
Ba1 through Ba3	—	8,536	—	—	8,536
B1 through B3	—	12,229	—	—	12,229
Non-Rated	7,221	11,499	—	3,996	22,716
Total	\$27,250	\$51,251	\$—	\$13,106	\$91,607
S&P Ratings Category:					
AAA	\$21,806	\$—	\$—	\$1,751	\$23,557
BBB+ through BBB-	—	4,832	—	—	4,832
B+ through B-	5,444	11,340	—	57	16,841
Non-Rated	—	35,079	—	11,298	46,377
Total	\$27,250	\$51,251	\$—	\$13,106	\$91,607

The following table summarizes the Company's CMBS linked repurchase agreements (in thousands, except percentages):

Maturity or Repricing	As of June 30, 2013		As of December 31, 2012		
	Balance	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Balance	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
Within 30 days	\$66,577	1.46	% \$20,415	1.40	%
>30 days to 90 days	—	—	% —	—	%
Total	\$66,577	—	% \$20,415	1.40	%

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

NOTE 21 - OFFSETTING OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The Company has no offsetting of financial assets. The following table presents a summary of the Company's offsetting of financial liabilities and derivative liabilities as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	(i) Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	(ii) Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	(iii) = (i) - (ii) Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	(iv) Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet Financial Instruments (1)	Cash Collateral Pledged (1)	(v) = (iii) - (iv) Net Amount
June 30, 2013						
Derivative hedging instruments, at fair value (2)	\$ 12,705	\$—	\$ 12,705	\$—	\$ 500	\$ 12,205
Repurchase agreements (3)	163,785	—	163,785	163,785	—	—
Total	\$ 176,490	\$—	\$ 176,490	\$ 163,785	\$ 500	\$ 12,205
December 31, 2012						
Derivative hedging instruments, at fair value (2)	\$ 14,687	\$—	\$ 14,687	\$—	\$ 500	\$ 14,187
Repurchase agreements (3)	106,303	—	106,303	106,303	—	—
Total	\$ 120,990	\$—	\$ 120,990	\$ 106,303	\$ 500	\$ 14,187

Amounts disclosed in the Financial Instruments column of the table above represents collateral pledged that is available to be offset against liability balances associated with repurchase agreement and derivative transactions.

(1) Amounts disclosed in the Cash Collateral Pledged column of the table above represents amounts pledged as collateral against derivative transactions.

(2) The fair value of securities pledged against the Company's swaps was \$10.1 million and \$13.1 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(3) The fair value of securities pledged against the Company's repurchase agreements was \$225.0 million and \$145.2 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

In the Company's consolidated balance sheets, all balances associated with the repurchase agreement and derivatives transactions are presented on a gross basis.

Certain of the Company's repurchase agreement and derivative transactions are governed by underlying agreements that generally provide for a right of offset in the event of default or in the event of a bankruptcy of either party to the transaction.

NOTE 22 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the filing of this form and determined that there have not been any events that have occurred that would require adjustments to or disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, except the following.

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

The Company received \$1.9 million in proceeds from the issuance of 80,085 shares of preferred stock through the Company's at-the-market program during July 2013.

On July 19, 2013, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company entered into a \$200 million Master Repurchase Agreement with Deutsche Bank AG to be used to finance the Company's core commercial real estate lending business. The financing facility matures initially on July 19, 2014, with the right to extend an additional two years to July 16, 2016.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

61

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information to assist you in understanding our financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those expressed in or implied by those forward-looking statements. Please see "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for a discussion of certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions associated with those statements.

Overview

We are a specialty finance company whose principal business activities are to originate, purchase and manage a diversified portfolio of commercial real estate-related assets and commercial finance assets. We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Our objective is to provide our stockholders with total returns over time, including quarterly distributions and capital appreciation, while seeking to manage the risks associated with our investment strategy. We invest in a combination of real estate-related assets and, to a lesser extent, higher-yielding commercial finance assets. We have financed a substantial portion of our portfolio investments through borrowing strategies seeking to match the maturities and repricing dates of our financings with the maturities and repricing dates of those investments, and have sought to mitigate interest rate risk through derivative instruments.

We are externally managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc., or the Manager, a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. (NASDAQ: REXI), or Resource America, a specialized asset management company that uses industry-specific expertise to evaluate, originate, service and manage investment opportunities through its commercial real estate, commercial finance and financial fund management operating segments. As of June 30, 2013, Resource America managed approximately \$16.1 billion of assets in these sectors. To provide its services, the Manager draws upon Resource America, its management team and their collective investment experience.

We generate our income primarily from the spread between the revenues we receive from our assets and the cost to finance the purchase of those assets, from management of assets and from hedging interest rate risks. We generate revenues from the interest and fees we earn on our whole loans, A notes, B notes, mezzanine debt, commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, bank loans, other asset-backed securities, or ABS, and structured note investments. We also generate revenues from the rental and other income from real properties we own, from management of externally originated bank loans and from our investment in an equipment leasing business. Historically, we have used a substantial amount of leverage to enhance our returns and we have financed each of our different asset classes with different degrees of leverage. The cost of borrowings to finance our investments is a significant part of our expenses. Our net income depends on our ability to control these expenses relative to our revenue. In our bank loan, CMBS and ABS portfolios, we historically have used warehouse facilities as a short-term financing source and collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs and, to a lesser extent, other term financing as long-term financing sources. In our commercial real estate loan portfolio, we historically have used repurchase agreements as a short-term financing source, and CDOs and, to a lesser extent, other term financing, as long-term financing sources. Our other term financing has consisted of long-term match-funded financing provided through long-term bank financing and asset-backed financing programs, depending upon market conditions and credit availability.

Although economic conditions in the United States have improved, previous conditions in real estate and credit markets continue to affect both us and a number of our commercial real estate borrowers. Over a period of several years, we have entered into loan modifications with respect to 17 of our outstanding commercial real estate loans. During the past three years, we have added to our provision for loan losses to reflect the effect of these conditions on our borrowers and have recorded both temporary and other than temporary impairments in the market valuation of CMBS and ABS in our investment portfolio. However, during 2012 and into 2013, the improved economic conditions led to a stabilization in the credit quality of our portfolio and, as a result, our provisions for loan losses have decreased significantly, we reduced provisions by \$1.2 million and by \$200,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to increased provisions of \$4.3 million and \$6.4 million for

the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, have decrease substantially. Our asset impairments have increased slightly, we recognized asset impairments of \$535,000 and \$556,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively as compared to \$32,000 and \$171,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. We also saw a marked improvement in other comprehensive income with respect to our available for sale securities portfolio and interest rate derivatives to a loss of \$20.1 million at June 30, 2013 from a loss of \$27.1 million at December 31, 2012. While we believe we have appropriately valued the assets in our investment portfolio at June 30, 2013, we cannot assure you that further impairments will not occur or that our assets will otherwise not be adversely affected by market conditions.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

62

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Improved economic conditions have also resulted in several other positive operating developments for us. Our ability to access the credit and capital markets has become relatively easier. We have sourced several credit facilities during last 12 months through June 30, 2013 and have used these facilities to finance investments in \$169.6 million of commercial real estate loans and \$97.9 million of CMBS as of June 30, 2013. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we were able to access the equity capital markets, selling \$18.0 million of common stock through our dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan and selling 18,687,500 shares of common stock in an April 2013 underwritten public common stock follow-on offering, including 2,437,500 shares exercised through the underwriters' over-allotment option, at a price of \$6.33 per share for net proceeds of approximately \$114.6 million. We supplemented our common equity capital raises with issuances of preferred stock. During 2012, we entered into an at-the-market sales agreement through which we sold \$47.4 million of 8.25% Series B preferred stock during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Following the end of the June 2013 quarter, we received \$1.9 million in proceeds from the issuance of 80,085 shares of preferred stock through our at-the-market program.

We continue to engage in discussions with potential financing sources about providing commercial real estate term financing to augment and cautiously grow our loan and security portfolio. On July 19, 2013, we completed a commercial real estate, or CRE, term financing facility with Deutsche Bank AG, or DB, to augment our CRE loan origination platform. The DB facility has an initial one-year term with the right to extend for an additional two years to July 16, 2016. We expect to be able to grow our portfolio to the amount required to begin exploring long-term securitization opportunities during 2013. We caution investors that even as credit becomes more available through these markets, we may not be able to obtain economically favorable terms.

In terms of our investments and investment portfolio growth, we continued to see increased opportunities to deploy our capital. In the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, we have underwritten 18 new CRE loans for a total of \$223.6 million, which were financed by a combination of capital recycled through a real estate CDO securitization and through our new credit facilities and new equity capital. We also purchased 40 newly-underwritten CMBS for \$170.3 million during the same period, of which \$31.4 million were financed through a facility with Wells Fargo, \$66.5 million was purchased short term repurchase agreements and \$72.4 million was purchased unlevered with available cash. We have also used recycled capital in two of our bank loan collateralized loan obligation, or CLO, structures with remaining reinvestment periods, (Apidos Cinco and Apidos CLO VIII), to make new investments at discounts to par. The reinvestment periods for Apidos Cinco and Apidos CLO VIII continue to May 2014 and October 2014, respectively. We expect that the reinvested capital and related discounts will produce modest additional income as the discounts are accreted into interest income. In addition, the purchase of these investments at discounts allows us to build collateral in the CLO structures since we receive credit in at par. From net discounts of approximately \$13.9 million at June 30, 2013, we recognized income of approximately \$3.0 million in our bank loan CLO portfolio for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and expect to accrete approximately \$2.1 million into income in the remainder of calendar year 2013. We have no further capacity in three of our bank loan CLOs, and both of our two real estate CDOs seen their reinvestment periods. In addition, to our newly-minted financing facility with DB for \$200.0 million of capacity, we intend to use the existing capacity in our CMBS and CRE, term credit facilities with Wells Fargo of \$40.8 million and \$133.9 million, respectively, as of June 30, 2013 to help finance new investments.

Due to these recent investments, our increased ability to access credit markets, our recent capital markets efforts and our investment of a significant portion of our available unrestricted and restricted cash balances during the six months ended June 30, 2012, we expect to continue to modestly increase our CRE interest income in the remainder of 2013. However, because we believe that economic conditions in the United States are fragile, and could be significantly harmed by occurrences over which we have no control, we cannot assure you that we will be able to meet our expectations, or that we will not experience net interest income reductions.

As of June 30, 2013, we had invested 77% of our portfolio in CRE assets, 18% in commercial bank loans and 5% in other assets. As of December 31, 2012, we had invested 77% of our portfolio in CRE assets, 15% in commercial bank loans and 8% in other investments.

Results of Operations

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 as compared to Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Our net income allocable to common shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$6.5 million, or \$0.05 per share (basic and diluted) and \$18.1 million, or \$0.16 per shares (basic and diluted), respectively, as compared to net income allocable to common shares of \$16.4 million, or \$0.20 per share (basic and diluted) and \$30.9 million, or \$0.38 per shared-basic (\$0.37 per share-diluted) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

63

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Interest Income

The following tables set forth information relating to our interest income recognized for the periods presented (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Interest Income	Weighted Average Yield	Average Balance	Interest Income	Weighted Average Yield	Average Balance
Interest income:						
Interest income from loans:						
Bank loans	\$15,359	5.75%	\$1,052,222	\$14,323	4.73%	\$1,173,348
Commercial real estate loans	10,825	5.95%	\$734,497	8,689	5.07%	\$678,419
Total Interest income from loans	26,184			23,012		
Interest income from securities:						
CMBS-private placement	2,818	4.86%	\$228,520	2,800	5.31%	\$217,659
ABS	299	4.45%	\$26,606	387	4.85%	\$32,030
Corporate bonds	299	3.62%	\$33,210	47	12.70%	\$1,447
Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS	480	13.47%	\$14,266	317	2.98%	\$42,566
Total interest income from securities	3,896			3,551		
Interest income - other:						
Preference payments on structured notes	548	5.02%	\$43,695	3,109	21.62%	\$57,521
Temporary investment in over-night repurchase agreements	87	N/A	N/A	48	N/A	N/A
Total interest income - other	635			3,157		
Total interest income	\$30,715			\$29,720		
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Interest Income	Weighted Average Yield	Average Balance	Interest Income	Weighted Average Yield	Average Balance
Interest income:						
Interest income from loans:						
Bank loans	\$33,203	5.91%	\$1,116,192	\$29,576	4.88%	\$1,187,753
Commercial real estate loans	20,793	5.80%	\$719,688	17,051	4.94%	\$681,800
Total Interest income from loans	53,996			46,627		
Interest income from securities:						
CMBS-private placement	5,605	4.98%	\$217,384	5,488	5.33%	\$211,744
ABS	767	5.69%	\$26,895	782	4.81%	\$32,127
Corporate bonds	597	3.53%	\$33,773	86	16.02%	\$1,014
Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS	569	7.35%	\$15,487	600	2.68%	\$44,737
Total interest income from securities	7,538			6,956		
Interest income - other:						
Preference payments on structured notes	2,360	11.07%	\$42,616	5,881	20.30%	\$57,933

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Temporary investment in over-night repurchase agreements	141	N/A	N/A	105	N/A	N/A
Total interest income - other	2,501			5,986		
Total interest income	\$64,035			\$59,569		

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

64

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Type of Security	Coupon Interest	Unamortized (Discount) Premium	Net Amortization/ Accretion	Interest Income	Fee Income	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013						
Bank loans	4.26	% \$(14,456)	\$2,842	\$11,452	\$1,065	\$15,359
Commercial real estate loans	5.70	% \$(110)	9	10,311	505	10,825
Total interest income from loans			2,851	21,763	1,570	26,184
CMBS-private placement	3.73	% \$(7,135)	547	2,271	—	2,818
ABS	2.07	% \$(2,788)	158	141	—	299
Corporate bonds	3.69	% \$(96)	(6)	305	—	299
Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS			—	480	—	480
Total interest income from securities			699	3,197	—	3,896
Preference payments on structured notes			—	548	—	548
Other			—	87	—	87
Total interest income - other			—	635	—	635
Total interest income			\$3,550	\$25,595	\$1,570	\$30,715
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012						
Bank loans	4.16	% \$(22,768)	\$3,549	\$10,470	\$304	\$14,323
Commercial real estate loans	5.10	% \$(144)	8	8,497	184	8,689
Total interest income from loans			3,557	18,967	488	23,012
CMBS-private placement	3.62	% \$(10,968)	516	2,284	—	2,800
ABS	2.35	% \$(3,488)	166	221	—	387
Corporate bonds	7.79	% \$(113)	18	29	—	47
Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS			—	317	—	317
Total interest income from securities			700	2,851	—	3,551
Preference payments on structured notes			—	3,109	—	3,109
Other			—	48	—	48
Total interest income - other			—	3,157	—	3,157
Total interest income			\$4,257	\$24,975	\$488	\$29,720

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Type of Security	Coupon Interest	Unamortized (Discount) Premium	Net Amortization/ Accretion	Interest Income	Fee Income	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013						
Bank loans	4.30	% \$(14,456)	\$6,912	\$24,262	\$2,029	\$33,203
Commercial real estate loans	5.57	% \$(110)	17	19,780	996	20,793
Total interest income from loans			6,929	44,042	3,025	53,996
CMBS-private placement	3.77	% \$(7,135)	1,152	4,453	—	5,605
ABS	2.05	% \$(2,788)	348	419	—	767
Corporate bonds	3.61	% \$(96)	(13)	610	—	597
Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS			—	569	—	569
Total interest income from securities			1,487	6,051	—	7,538
Preference payments on structured notes			—	2,360	—	2,360
Other			—	141	—	141
Total interest income - other			—	2,501	—	2,501
Total interest income			\$8,416	\$52,594	\$3,025	\$64,035
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012						
Bank loans	4.13	% \$(22,768)	\$7,997	\$20,863	\$716	\$29,576
Commercial real estate loans	5.03	% \$(144)	16	16,838	197	17,051
Total interest income from loans			8,013	37,701	913	46,627
CMBS-private placement	3.81	% \$(10,968)	1,197	4,291	—	5,488
ABS	2.38	% \$(3,488)	344	438	—	782
Corporate bonds	7.66	% \$(113)	42	44	—	86
Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS			—	600	—	600
Total interest income from securities			1,583	5,373	—	6,956
Preference payments on structured notes			—	5,881	—	5,881
Other			—	105	—	105
Total interest income - other			—	5,986	—	5,986
Total interest income			\$9,596	\$49,060	\$913	\$59,569

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 as compared to Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Aggregate interest income increased \$995,000 (3%) and \$4.5 million (7%) to \$30.7 million and \$64.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$29.7 million and \$59.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. We attribute these increases to the following:

Interest Income from Loans. Aggregate interest income from loans increased \$3.2 million (14%) and \$7.4 million (16%) to \$26.2 million and \$54.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$23.0 million and \$46.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

66

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Interest income on bank loans increased \$1.0 million (7%) and \$3.6 million (12%) to \$15.4 million and \$33.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$14.3 million and \$29.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. This increase resulted primarily from an increase in the weighted average yield to 5.75% and 5.91% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to 4.73% and 4.88% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, principally as a result of the increase in accretion income due to a change in accounting estimate from Apidos CLO VIII, a consolidated variable interest entity, or VIE, for which we began acquiring assets in July 2011 and from Whitney CLO which we began consolidating in October 2012 when we acquired a controlling interest. The increase in accretion income from Apidos CDO VIII was partially offset by a decrease in accretion income from two of our legacy CLO structures (Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III); those CLOs have decreasing asset and discount balances as both have reached the end of their reinvestment periods

The increase in the weighted average yield was partially offset by a decrease in the weighted average loan balance of \$121.1 million and \$71.6 million to \$1.1 billion and \$1.1 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$1.2 billion and \$1.2 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, principally due to Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III having reached the end of their reinvestment periods and must use principal proceeds from bank loan payoffs and paydowns to repay outstanding debt. This decrease in the weighted average loan balance was partially offset by the consolidation of Whitney CLO I which we began consolidating in October 2012 when we acquired a controlling interest.

Interest income on commercial real estate, or CRE, loans increased \$2.1 million (25%) and \$3.7 million (22%) to \$10.8 million and \$20.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$8.7 million and \$17.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These increases are a result of the following combination of factors:

- an increase in the weighted average yield to 5.95% and 5.80% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from 5.07% and 4.94% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as a result of newly originated real estate loans with higher stated interest rates than our legacy portfolio and as a result of exit fees from four loans that paid off during the six months ended June 30, 2013; and

- an increase of \$56.1 million and \$37.9 million in the weighted average loan balance to \$734.5 million and \$719.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$678.4 million and \$681.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as we reinvested proceeds from payoffs and paydowns, classified as restricted CDO cash on our balance sheet, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, with the majority of these proceeds being reinvested during the second and third quarters of 2012. In addition, we began to originate new loans financed by our Wells Fargo CRE credit facility coupled with new equity raised in 2012 and 2013.

Interest Income from Securities. Aggregate interest income from securities increased \$345,000 (10%) and \$582,000 (8%) to \$3.9 million and \$7.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$3.6 million and \$7.0 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These increases resulted principally from the following:

- Interest income on CMBS-private placement increased \$18,000 (1%) and decreased \$117,000 (2%) to \$2.8 million and \$5.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$2.8 million and \$5.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The decrease for the six months ended June 30, 2013 resulted from a decrease in the weighted average yield of assets to 4.86% and 4.98% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to 5.31% and 5.33% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The decrease primarily resulted from the decrease in accretion income as a result of the purchase price at which we are purchasing assets. The new assets financed by our Wells facility are typically purchased at a premium. Our assets had previously been purchased at a discount.

- The decrease in yield for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was entirely offset by an increase in weighted average balance of assets of \$10.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and partially offset by an increase in the weighted average balance of assets to \$71.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$228.5 million and \$217.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$217.7 million and \$211.7 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The decreases were primarily a result of the purchase of

assets on our Wells Fargo CMBS facility beginning in February 2011 as well as purchases using proceeds from our stock offerings in 2012. This was partially offset both periods by the reclassification of assets to linked transactions when certain assets were financed.

Interest income from ABS decreased \$88,000 (23%) and \$15,000 (2%) to \$299,000 and \$767,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$387,000 and \$782,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as a result of the following:

a decrease of \$5.4 million and \$5.2 million in the weighted average loan balance to \$26.6 million and \$26.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$32.0 million and \$32.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as a result of \$5.4 million in paydowns from July 2012 through June 2013; and

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

67

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

a decrease in the weighted average yield to 4.45% for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from 4.85% during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as a result of a decrease in LIBOR which is a reference index for the rates receivable on these securities. The decrease in LIBOR was entirely offset by an increase in the rate for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to 5.69% from 4.81% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily as a result of paydowns which accelerated accretion income.

Interest income from corporate bonds increased \$252,000 (536%) and \$511,000 (594%) to \$299,000 and \$597,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$47,000 and \$86,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and was the result of our acquisition of 66.6% in October 2012 and an additional 1.7% in May 2013 of the equity in Whitney CLO I which resulted in us consolidating this entity.

Interest income on RMBS increased \$163,000 (51%) and decreased \$31,000 (5%) to \$480,000 and \$569,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$317,000 and \$600,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The increase for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was the result of a paydown on a security that was recognized as income during the three months ended June 30, 2013. This was partially offset for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and entirely offset for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as a result of a decrease in the weighted average balance of securities of \$28.3 million and \$29.3 million to \$14.3 million and \$15.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$42.6 million and \$44.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The decrease is almost entirely the result of the sale of four positions during the year ended December 31, 2012 and two positions during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Interest Income - Other. Aggregate interest income-other decreased \$2.5 million (80%) and \$3.5 million (58%) to \$635,000 and \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$3.2 million and \$6.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and is primarily related to our trading securities investment program with Resource Capital Markets, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America that invested \$13.0 million of our funds under an investment management agreement. The payments vary from period to period and are based on cash flows from the underlying securities rather than on a contractual interest rate. The decrease was related to a decrease in the weighted average balance of 13.8 million and 15.3 million to \$43.7 million and \$42.6 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$57.5 million and \$57.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, primarily as a result of the sale of 12 positions in September 2012 and an additional four positions during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Interest Expense

The following tables sets forth information relating to our interest expense incurred for the periods presented by asset class (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Interest Expense	Weighted Average Yield	Balance	Interest Expense	Weighted Average Yield	Balance
Interest expense:						
Bank loans	\$5,489	1.94	% \$1,115,313	\$3,754	1.34	% \$1,171,254
Commercial real estate loans	2,066	2.11	% \$388,810	2,172	1.85	% \$470,697
CMBS-private placement	216	1.75	% \$49,486	281	1.90	% \$59,807
Hedging instruments	1,738	5.46	% \$124,281	1,841	5.12	% \$141,285
Securitized borrowings	880	14.73	% \$24,058	—	N/A	N/A
General	745	4.52	% \$65,148	821	6.31	% \$51,548
Total interest expense	\$11,134			\$8,869		

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Interest Expense	Weighted Average Yield	Balance	Interest Expense	Weighted Average Yield	Balance
Interest expense:						
Bank loans	\$ 11,117	1.89	% \$ 1,166,702	\$ 7,567	1.32	% \$ 1,188,170
Commercial real estate loans	4,123	2.07	% \$ 397,733	3,730	1.54	% \$ 474,272
CMBS-private placement	431	1.79	% \$ 46,611	499	1.95	% \$ 53,592
Hedging instruments	3,388	5.32	% \$ 124,590	3,834	5.12	% \$ 146,905
Securitized borrowings	1,759	14.75	% \$ 24,533	—	N/A	N/A
General	1,481	4.53	% \$ 65,148	1,622	6.24	% \$ 51,458
Total interest expense	\$ 22,299			\$ 17,252		

Type of Security	Coupon Interest	Unamortized Deferred Debt and Loan Origination Costs	Net Amortization	Interest Expense	Other	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013						
Bank loans	1.40	% \$ 5,813	\$ 627	\$ 4,862	\$—	\$ 5,489
Commercial real estate loans	1.36	% \$(100)	494	1,572	—	2,066
CMBS-private placement	1.45	% \$ 82	35	181	—	216
Hedging	5.02	% \$ 714	—	1,738	—	1,738
Securitized borrowings	14.73	% \$—	—	880	—	880
General	4.23	% \$ 640	49	696	—	745
Total interest expense			\$ 1,205	\$ 9,929	\$—	\$ 11,134
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012						
Bank loans	1.37	% \$ 8,627	\$ 606	\$ 3,148	\$—	\$ 3,754
Commercial real estate loans	1.03	% \$ 2,450	902	1,270	—	2,172
CMBS-private placement	1.55	% \$ 159	—	281	—	281
Hedging	4.96	% \$ 1,023	—	1,841	—	1,841
Securitized borrowings	15.27	% \$—	—	—	—	—
General	4.42	% \$ 827	45	776	—	821
Total interest expense			\$ 1,553	\$ 7,316	\$—	\$ 8,869

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Type of Security	Coupon Interest	Unamortized Deferred Debt and Loan Origination Costs	Net Amortization	Interest Expense	Other	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013						
Bank loans	1.37	% \$5,813	\$1,289	\$9,828	\$—	\$11,117
Commercial real estate loans	1.03	% \$(100)	1,136	2,987	—	4,123
CMBS-private placement	1.55	% \$82	80	351	—	431
Hedging	4.96	% \$714	—	3,388	—	3,388
Securitized borrowings	14.75	% \$—	—	1,759	—	1,759
General	4.42	% \$640	97	1,384	—	1,481
Total interest expense			\$2,602	\$19,697	\$—	\$22,299
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012						
Bank loans	1.38	% \$8,627	\$1,152	\$6,415	\$—	\$7,567
Commercial real estate loans	1.00	% \$2,450	902	2,828	—	3,730
CMBS-private placement	1.53	% \$159	—	499	—	499
Hedging	4.96	% \$1,023	—	3,834	—	3,834
Securitized borrowings	15.27	% \$—	—	—	—	—
General	4.46	% \$827	90	1,532	—	1,622
Total interest expense			\$2,144	\$15,108	\$—	\$17,252

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 as compared to Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Aggregate interest expense increased \$2.3 million (26%) and \$5.0 million (29%) to \$11.1 million and \$22.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$8.9 million and \$17.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. We attribute these increases to the following:

Interest expense on bank loans was \$5.5 million and \$11.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$3.8 million and \$7.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, increases of \$1.7 million (46%) and \$3.6 million (47%). These increases resulted primarily from increases in the weighted average yield to 1.94% and 1.89% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to 1.34% and 1.32% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, primarily due to an increase in expense related to Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO as a result of a change in accounting estimate. The increase in the yield is also the result of debt amortization as Apidos I and Apidos III reached the end of their reinvestment periods. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the CDOs paid down \$126.2 million and \$210.8 million, respectively in principal amount of its CDO notes. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the CDOs paid down \$40.3 million and \$58.8 million, respectively in principal amount of its CDO notes.

Interest expense on commercial real estate loans was \$2.1 million and \$4.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$2.2 million and \$3.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively a decrease of \$106,000 (5%) and an increase of \$393,000 (11%) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The increase in the rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 to 2.11% and 2.07%, respectively from 1.85% and 1.54% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively as a result of note paydowns which which increased the weighted average cost of these borrowings as lower paying debt is repaid.

The increase in interest rate on commercial real estate loans was entirely offset during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and partially offset during the six months ended June 30, 2013 by decreases in the weighted average balance of debt to \$388.8 million and \$397.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$470.7 million and \$474.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, primarily as a result of the debt amortization of RREF CDO 2006 and RREF 2007-1 as they reached the end of their reinvestment periods in 2011 and 2012, respectively. During the period from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, the CDOs have paid down a total of \$113.9 million of A-1 notes.

Hedge expense decreased \$103,000 (6%) and \$446,000 (12%) to \$1.7 million and \$3.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$1.8 million and \$3.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The decreases in the hedging expense was primarily due to the scheduled amortization on macro swaps and to a lesser extent, changes in libor.

Securitized borrowings expense was \$880,000 and \$1.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. This interest expense is related to our subordinated investments in Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I. The interest expense is imputed by an estimated internal rate of return based on expected cash flows over the life of each CLO.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

71

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Other Revenue

The following table sets forth information relating to other revenue we generated during the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Other revenue:				
Rental income	\$5,052	\$2,034	\$11,226	\$3,953
Dividend income	17	17	33	34
Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries	72	(1,761)	(353)	(690)
Fee income	1,527	2,141	2,937	3,751
Net realized gain on investment securities available-for-sale and loans	2,394	1,422	2,785	1,802
Net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities, trading	(1,751)	1,424	(635)	3,568
Unrealized gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net	(5,245)	134	(5,504)	253
Total other revenue	\$2,066	\$5,411	\$10,489	\$12,671

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 as compared to Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Rental income increased \$3.0 million (148%) and \$7.3 million (184%) to \$5.1 million and \$11.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from \$2.0 million and \$4.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The increase is primarily related to income generated by a hotel property which we acquired by converting a loan to an equity position in September 2012.

Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries increased \$1.8 million (104%) and \$337,000 (49%) to income of \$72,000 and a loss of \$353,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from losses of \$1.8 million and \$690,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These increases are primarily related to our investment in LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc., or LCC, an equipment leasing firm to which we had contributed our former equipment leasing assets, (see "Financial Condition - Investment in Unconsolidated Entities") and recognized losses of \$1.3 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we recognized income of \$304,000 and an expense of \$32,000, respectively. The decrease in losses was partially offset for the six months ended June 30, 2012 by a gain recognized on the sale of a property in our real estate joint venture.

We generated management fee income of \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$2.1 million and \$3.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, which is related to our February 2011 acquisition of a company that manages bank loan assets and entitles us to collect senior, subordinated, and incentive fees related to five collateralized loan obligation issuers, or CLOs. The decrease during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 is related to the consolidation of Whitney CLO I in October 2012 as a result of our acquisition of a controlling interest. The related fee income now eliminates in consolidation. In addition, a second CLO in that portfolio liquidated in January 2013 and, as a result, no longer provides fee income.

Net realized gain on investment securities available-for-sale and loans increased \$972,000 (68%) and \$983,000 (55%) to \$2.4 million and \$2.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$1.4 million and \$1.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, primarily as a result of gains on the sale of Apidos loans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as a result of improved pricing and increased sales volume, particularly in Apidos CLO VIII.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities-trading decreased \$3.2 million (223%) and \$4.2 million (118%) to losses of \$1.8 million and \$635,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to gains of \$1.4 million and \$3.6 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, primarily as a result of our previous conversion of unrealized gains to realized gains, most significantly in September 2012 when we sold 12 securities. The remaining portfolio has decreased substantially as there were 10 at June 30, 2013 as compared to 26 positions outstanding at June 30, 2012, respectively, and as a result, there is less opportunity to realize gains. In addition, marks decreased at June 30, 2013 as a result of a downturn in the market for these types of securities.

Unrealized gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net, decreased \$5.4 million (4,014%) and \$5.8 million (2,275%) to losses of \$5.2 million and \$5.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, from gains of \$134,000 and \$253,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These amounts are related to our CMBS securities that are purchased with repurchase agreements with the same counterparty from whom the securities are purchased. These transactions are entered into contemporaneously or in contemplation of each other and are presumed not to meet sale accounting criteria. We account for these transactions on a net basis and record a forward purchase commitment to purchase securities (each, a "Linked Transaction") at fair value. The increase in expense resulted from an increase in our Linked Transactions with longer duration to maturity at June 30, 2013 as compared to June 30, 2012. In addition, the securities underlying those linked transactions we held at June 30, 2012 had decreased in value at June 30, 2013 as a result of a downturn in the market for these types of longer duration to maturity securities.

Operating Expenses

The following table sets forth information relating to our operating expenses incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Operating expenses:				
Management fees – related party	\$2,915	\$4,548	\$5,893	\$7,991
Equity compensation – related party	2,155	1,140	5,746	2,008
Professional services	903	617	2,349	1,717
Insurance	212	159	374	317
Rental operating expense	3,624	1,309	7,561	2,629
General and administrative	1,267	1,470	3,140	2,533
Depreciation and amortization	999	1,364	2,137	2,725
Income tax expense	1,737	384	3,499	2,999
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	535	32	556	171
(Benefit) provision for loan losses	(1,242) 4,253	(200) 6,431
Total operating expenses	\$13,105	\$15,276	\$31,055	\$29,521

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 as compared to Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Management fees - related party decreased \$1.6 million (36%) and \$2.1 million (26%) to \$2.9 million and \$5.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$4.5 million and \$8.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These expenses represent compensation in the form of base management fees and incentive management fees pursuant to our management agreement as well as fees to the Manager of our structured note portfolio. The changes are described below:

Incentive management fees to our Manager, which are based upon the excess of adjusted operating earnings, as defined in the management agreement, over a variable base rate, were \$1.8 million and \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. There were no fees during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as a result of the charge-off of assets in our CRE and bank loan portfolios. The incentive fee is calculated for each quarter and the calculation in any quarter is not affected by the results of any other quarter.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

73

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Base management fees increased by \$1.1 million (59%) and \$1.8 million (47%) to \$3.0 million and \$5.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$1.9 million and \$3.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These increases were due to increased stockholders' equity, a component in the formula by which base management fees are calculated, primarily as a result of the receipt of \$91.2 million of proceeds from the sales of common stock through our Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, or DRIP, from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013 as well as the receipt of \$55.6 million from the proceeds from our September 2012 secondary common stock offering and the receipt of \$114.6 million from the proceeds of our April 2013 secondary common stock offering. In addition, we had two issuances of preferred stock. First, in June 2012 we sold \$6.0 million 8.5% Series A cumulative preferred shares, or Series A preferred shares. We also entered into an at-the-market sales agreement and sold \$9.8 million of Series A through September 2012. In October 2012, we issued \$24.2 million of 8.25% Series B preferred shares, or Series B preferred shares. We also entered into an at-the-market sales agreement and sold \$50.5 million of Series B preferred shares through June 30, 2013.

Incentive management fees related to our structured finance manager decreased by \$1.1 million (132%) and \$1.7 million (98%) to a benefit of \$266,000 and an expense of \$35,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to expenses of \$834,000 and \$1.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The decrease in fees is primarily related to the sale of 12 securities in September 2012, resulting in fewer assets earning subordinated payments as well as the decrease in the remaining market value on these securities due to a downturn in the market for these types of assets at June 30, 2013.

Equity compensation - related party increased \$1.0 million (89%) and \$3.7 million (186%) to \$2.2 million and \$5.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$1.1 million and \$2.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. These expenses relate to the amortization of annual grants of restricted common stock to our non-employee independent directors, and annual and discretionary grants of restricted stock to employees of Resource America who provide investment management services to us through our Manager. The increase in expense was primarily the result of the increase in our stock price and its impact on our quarterly remeasurement of the value of unvested stock as well as the issuance of new grants during 2013 and 2012. Professional services increased \$286,000 (46%) and \$632,000 (37%) to \$903,000 and \$2.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$617,000 and \$1.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The increase for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily the result of increases of \$367,000 and \$611,000, respectively, related to collateral management fees of Apidos VIII paid to a third party. We began consolidating Apidos VIII in October 2011.

Rental operating expense increased \$2.3 million (177%) and \$4.9 million (188%) to \$3.6 million and \$7.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$1.3 million and \$2.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and is primarily related to operations of a hotel property we acquired by conversion of a loan in September 2012.

General and administrative expense decreased \$203,000 (14%) and increased \$607,000 (24%) to \$1.3 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$1.5 million and \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The changes are primarily the result of the following combination of factors:

- a decrease of \$185,000 and an increase of \$265,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, in payroll expense due to decreased bonuses for accounting and administrative professionals; and
- increases of \$55,000 and \$135,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, primarily related to the payment of fees to the investment committee of our board of directors for their services. We resumed paying these fees in April 2012.

Depreciation and amortization decreased \$365,000 (27%) and \$588,000 (22%) to \$999,000 and \$2.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$1.4 million and \$2.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The principal reason for the decrease was the reclassification of one property as being held-for-sale during the three months ended June 30, 2013, at the time reclassification and depreciation ceased. In addition, amortization on our intangible assets decreased as a result of the call of one of the related CLOs in January 2013 for which the majority of expense was recognized in December 2012 and as a result of

the consolidation of a CLO which caused the amortization of the related intangible asset to be accelerated into the fourth quarter of 2012. The decreases in expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 were partially offset by an increase in expense related to a hotel property that we acquired by conversion of a loan in September 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

74

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Income tax expense increased \$1.4 million (352%) and \$500,000 (17%) to \$1.7 million and \$3.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to \$384,000 and \$3.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The increase in income tax expense is attributable to more pre-tax income at our TRSs during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Our provision for loan losses decreased \$5.5 million (129%) and \$6.6 million (103%) to benefits of \$1.2 million and \$200,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to provisions of \$4.3 million and \$6.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2013	2012	June 30, 2013	2012
CRE loan portfolio	\$688	\$3,486	\$1,948	\$3,836
Bank loan portfolio	(1,930) 767	(2,148) 2,595
	\$ (1,242) \$4,253	\$ (200) \$6,431

CRE Loan Portfolio

The principal reason for the decrease during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was that, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we had pending sales transactions on two loans cross-collateralized by a portfolio of multi-family units in San Francisco that we wrote down to the anticipated sale value and an additional provision on one other loan. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the sale provision was on one previously impaired loan.

Bank Loan Portfolio

The bank loan provision decreased by \$2.7 million (352%) and \$4.7 million (183%) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, to benefits of \$1.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, as compared to provision of \$767,000 and \$2.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The principal reason for the decrease for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, was due to improved credit conditions as well as the sales and payoffs of four loans in the general reserve and two impaired loans that were sold and written off during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. All four loans had been reserved in prior periods.

Other revenue (expense)

The following table sets forth information relating to our other revenue (expense) incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2013	2012	June 30, 2013	2012
Other revenue (expense)				
Gain on the extinguishment of debt	\$—	\$5,464	\$—	\$5,464
Total other revenue (expense)	\$—	\$5,464	\$—	\$5,464

The gain on the extinguishment of debt for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 is due to the repurchase of a portion of the debt issued by Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1, or RREF CDO 2006-1, a qualified REIT subsidiary established to complete a CDO issuance secured by CRE loans and CMBS, RREF CDO 2007-1 and Apidos CDO I. There were no such repurchases and related gains for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Financial Condition

Summary.

Our total assets at June 30, 2013 were \$2.4 billion as compared to \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2012. The decrease in total assets was principally due to the expiration of the reinvestment period in five of our CDOs resulting in paydowns of the assets.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Investment Portfolio.

The table below summarizes the amortized cost and net carrying amount of our investment portfolio as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, classified by interest rate type. The following table includes both (i) the amortized cost of our investment portfolio and the related dollar price, which is computed by dividing amortized cost by par amount, and (ii) the net carrying amount of our investment portfolio and the related dollar price, which is computed by dividing the net carrying amount by par amount (in thousands, except percentages):

	Amortized cost	Dollar price	Net carrying amount	Dollar price	Net carrying amount less amortized cost	Dollar price
June 30, 2013						
Floating rate						
RMBS	\$1,956	21.08	% \$649	6.99	% \$(1,307)	(14.09)%
CMBS-private placement	27,439	100.00	% 15,293	55.73	% (12,146)	(44.27)%
Structured notes	8,471	22.72	% 11,617	31.15	% 3,146	8.43%
Mezzanine loans ⁽¹⁾	16,746	100.10	% 16,541	98.87	% (205)	(1.23)%
Whole loans ⁽¹⁾	608,707	99.58	% 599,693	98.11	% (9,014)	(1.47)%
Bank loans ⁽²⁾	980,760	98.49	% 976,472	98.06	% (4,288)	(0.43)%
Loans held for sale ⁽³⁾	20,127	93.70	% 20,127	93.70	% —	—%
ABS Securities	23,687	89.47	% 24,976	94.34	% 1,289	4.87%
Corporate bonds	34,096	101.11	% 33,533	99.44	% (563)	(1.67)%
Total floating rate	1,721,989	96.31	% 1,698,901	95.02	% (23,088)	(1.29)%
Fixed rate						
CMBS-private placement	157,188	77.61	% 161,206	79.60	% 4,018	1.99%
CMBS-linked transactions	31,109	108.37	% 25,281	88.07	% (5,828)	(20.30)%
B notes ⁽¹⁾	16,265	99.40	% 16,068	98.19	% (197)	(1.21)%
Mezzanine loans ⁽¹⁾	50,264	99.80	% 49,837	98.95	% (427)	(0.85)%
Loans receivable-related party	7,962	100.00	% 7,962	100.00	% —	—%
Total fixed rate	262,788	85.90	% 260,354	85.10	% (2,434)	(0.80)%
Other (non-interest bearing)						
Property available-for-sale	19,620	100.00	% 19,620	100.00	% —	—%
Investment in real estate	55,361	100.00	% 55,361	100.00	% —	—%
Investment in unconsolidated entities	63,405	100.00	% 63,405	100.00	% —	—%
Total other	138,386	100.00	% 138,386	100.00	% —	—%
Grand total	\$2,123,163	95.12	% \$2,097,641	93.97	% \$(25,522)	(1.15)%

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

	Amortized cost	Dollar price	Net carrying amount	Dollar price	Net carrying amount less amortized cost	Dollar price	
December 31, 2012							
Floating rate							
RMBS	\$6,047	36.14	% \$5,564	33.25	% \$(483)	(2.89)%
CMBS-private placement	28,147	100.00	% 12,814	44.52	% (15,333)	(54.48)%
Structured notes	9,413	26.67	% 19,279	54.62	% 9,866		27.95%
Other ABS	—	—	% 23	0.27	% 23		0.27%
Mezzanine loans ⁽¹⁾	15,845	99.95	% 15,644	98.68	% (201)	(1.27)%
Whole loans ⁽¹⁾	533,938	99.64	% 527,018	98.35	% (6,920)	(1.29)%
Bank loans ⁽²⁾	1,178,420	97.09	% 1,168,715	97.08	% (9,705)	(0.01)%
Loans held for sale ⁽³⁾	48,894	92.42	% 48,894	92.38	% —		(0.04)%
ABS Securities	25,885	89.20	% 26,470	91.21	% 585		2.02%
Corporate bonds	34,361	101.80	% 34,282	101.57	% (79)	(0.23)%
Total floating rate	1,880,950	95.98	% 1,858,703	94.85	% (22,247)	(1.13)%
Fixed rate							
CMBS-private placement	154,681	68.14	% 158,001	69.61	% 3,320		1.47%
CMBS-linked transactions	6,677	111.39	% 6,835	114.03	% 158		2.64%
B notes ⁽¹⁾	16,327	99.30	% 16,121	98.05	% (206)	(1.25)%
Mezzanine loans ⁽¹⁾	66,941	99.70	% 66,282	98.73	% (659)	(0.97)%
Loans receivable-related party	8,324	100.00	% 8,324	100.00	% —		—%
Total fixed rate	252,950	77.23	% 255,563	78.00	% 2,613		0.77%
Other (non-interest bearing)							
Investment in real estate	75,386	100.00	% 75,386	100.00	% —		—%
Investment in unconsolidated entities	45,413	100.00	% 45,413	100.00	% —		—%
Total other	120,799	100.00	% 120,799	100.00	% —		—%
Grand total	\$2,254,699	93.70	% \$2,235,065	92.87	% \$(19,634)	(0.83)%

Net carrying amount includes an allowance for loan losses of \$9.8 million at June 30, 2013, allocated as follows: B notes \$197,000, mezzanine loans \$632,000 and whole loans \$9.0 million. Net carrying amount includes an allowance for loan losses of \$8.0 million at December 31, 2012, allocated as follows: B notes \$206,000, mezzanine loans \$860,000 and whole loans \$6.9 million.

(2) Net carrying amount includes allowance for loan losses of \$4.3 million and \$9.7 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(3) Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market. Amortized cost is equal to fair value.

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities-Private Placement. In the aggregate, we purchased our CMBS-private placement portfolio at a net discount. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the remaining discount to be accreted into income over the remaining lives of the securities was \$972,000 and \$9.3 million, respectively. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the remaining premium to be amortized into income over the remaining lives of the securities was \$8.1 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. These securities are classified as available-for-sale and, as a result, are carried at their fair value.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, there was no other-than-temporary impairment on positions recognized that supported the CMBS-private placement portfolio. Our securities classified as available-for-sale have increased in fair value on a net basis as of June 30, 2013 as compared to June 30, 2012 primarily due to improving dealer pricing on the existing portfolio and new purchases in 2013. We perform an

on-going review of third-party reports and updated financial data on the underlying property financial information to analyze current and projected loan performance. Rating agency downgrades are considered with respect to our income approach when determining other-than-temporary impairment and, when inputs are stressed, the resulting projected cash flows reflect a full recovery of principal.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

77

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table summarizes our CMBS-private placement (in thousands, except percentages):

Moody's Ratings Category:	Fair Value at December 31, 2012	Net Purchases	Upgrades/Downgrades	MTM Change Same Ratings	Fair Value at June 30, 2013
Aaa	\$66,830	\$13,710	\$ —	\$(23,757)) \$56,783
Aa1 through Aa3	4,926	—	—	365) 5,291
A1 through A3	8,944	—	—	(33)) 8,911
Baa1 through Baa3	44,624	4,068	(4,987)	(3,990)) 39,715
Ba1 through Ba3	3,737	6,249	—	2,914) 12,900
B1 through B3	7,315	4,098	(2,501)	3,473) 12,385
Caa1 through Caa3	8,052	—	(200)	85) 7,937
Ca through C	8,168	—	—	621) 8,789
Non-Rated	18,219	7,220	—	(1,651)) 23,788
Total	\$170,815	\$35,345	\$ (7,688)	\$(21,973)) \$176,499

S&P Ratings Category:

AAA	\$52,640	\$15,581	\$ —	\$(18,972)) \$49,249
A+ through A-	7,433	—	—	626) 8,059
BBB+ through BBB-	13,248	—	—	973) 14,221
BB+ through BB-	31,691	8,928	4,864	(10,847)) 34,636
B+ through B-	15,963	10,347	—	(651)) 25,659
CCC+ through CCC-	8,959	—	—	1,995) 10,954
D	1,150	—	—	1,684) 2,834
Non-Rated	39,731	489	—	(9,333)) 30,887
Total	\$170,815	\$35,345	\$ 4,864	\$(34,525)) \$176,499

Investment Securities, Trading. The following table summarizes our structured notes and RMBS securities, which are classified as investment securities, trading, and are carried at fair value (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
June 30, 2013:				
Structured notes	\$8,471	\$4,146	\$(1,000)) \$11,617
RMBS	1,956	—	(1,307)) 649
Total	\$10,427	\$4,146	\$(2,307)) \$12,266
December 31, 2012:				
Structured notes	\$9,413	\$10,894	\$(1,028)) \$19,279
RMBS	6,047	858	(1,341)) 5,564
Total	\$15,460	\$11,752	\$(2,369)) \$24,843

We purchased three and sold six securities during the six months ended June 30, 2013, for a net gain of \$6.9 million. We held 10 and 13 investment securities, trading as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Real Estate Loans. The following table is a summary of the loans in our commercial real estate loan portfolio at the dates indicated (in thousands):

Description	Quantity	Amortized Cost	Contracted Interest Rates	Maturity Dates ⁽³⁾
June 30, 2013				
Whole loans, floating rate ⁽¹⁾	44	\$608,707	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 8.0%	September 2013 to February 2019
B notes, fixed rate	1	16,265	8.68%	April 2016
Mezzanine loans, fixed rate ⁽⁶⁾	4	67,010	0.50% to 20.00%	September 2014 to September 2019
Total ⁽²⁾	49	\$691,982		
December 31, 2012				
Whole loans, floating rate ^{(1) (4) (5)}	37	\$567,938	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 5.50%	June 2013 to February 2019
B notes, fixed rate	1	16,327	8.68%	April 2016
Mezzanine loans, floating rate	2	15,845	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 7.45%	August 2013 to December 2013
Mezzanine loans, fixed rate ⁽⁶⁾	3	66,941	0.50% to 20.00%	September 2014 to September 2019
Total ⁽²⁾	43	\$667,051		

Whole loans had \$10.8 million and \$8.9 million in unfunded loan commitments as of June 30, 2013 and (1) December 31, 2012, respectively. These commitments are funded as the borrowers request additional funding and have satisfied the requirements to obtain this additional funding.

(2) The total does not include an allowance for loan losses of \$9.8 million and \$8.0 million recorded as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(3) Maturity dates do not include possible extension options that may be available to the borrowers.

(4) Floating rate whole loans include a \$2.0 million portion of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 15.0% as of December 31, 2012.

(5) Amount includes \$34.0 million principal amount of two whole loans that are classified as loans held for sale at December 31, 2012.

Fixed rate mezzanine loans include a mezzanine loan that was modified into two tranches which both currently pay (6) interest at 0.50%. In addition, the subordinate tranche accrues interest at LIBOR plus 18.50% which is deferred until maturity.

Bank Loans. At June 30, 2013, our consolidated securitizations, Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CDO VIII and Whitney CLO I, held a total of \$1.0 billion of bank loans at fair value. The bank loans held by these entities secure the CDO notes they issued and are not available to satisfy the claims of our creditors. The aggregate fair value of bank loans held decreased by \$200.8 million over their holdings at December 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to paydowns and sales of bank loans during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, primarily in our three CLOs where the reinvestment period has ended and principal collections must be used to pay down outstanding notes.

We have determined that Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CDO VIII and Whitney CLO I are variable interest entities, or VIEs, and that we are the primary beneficiary of each. As of June 30, 2013, we consolidated Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I. We own 100% of the equity of Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III and Apidos CDO Cinco. We own approximately 43% of the equity of Apidos CLO VIII and 68.3% of the equity of Whitney CLO I.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table summarizes our bank loan investments (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amortized cost	Fair Value ⁽¹⁾	Amortized cost	Fair Value ⁽¹⁾
Moody's ratings category:				
Baa1 through Baa3	\$ 14,823	\$ 14,880	\$ 41,831	\$ 42,337
Ba1 through Ba3	497,683	502,246	645,502	655,039
B1 through B3	432,501	433,148	443,775	449,232
Caa1 through Caa3	34,694	32,052	27,523	23,869
Ca	5,062	3,141	6,819	3,582
No rating provided	16,124	15,964	27,864	28,154
Total	\$ 1,000,887	\$ 1,001,431	\$ 1,193,314	\$ 1,202,213
S&P ratings category:				
BBB+ through BBB-	\$ 84,960	\$ 85,620	\$ 128,072	\$ 129,648
BB+ through BB-	408,790	409,149	483,091	490,823
B+ through B-	460,442	465,127	529,331	535,632
CCC+ through CCC-	25,958	23,738	28,567	25,522
CC+ through CC-	280	299	2,831	1,451
C+ through C-	—	—	—	—
D	8,255	5,841	2,021	1,237
No rating provided	12,202	11,657	19,401	17,900
Total	\$ 1,000,887	\$ 1,001,431	\$ 1,193,314	\$ 1,202,213
Weighted average rating factor	2,037		1,974	

(1) The bank loan portfolio's fair value is determined using dealer quotes.

The following table provides information as to the lien position and status of our bank loans, which we consolidate (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost					Total
	Apidos I	Apidos III	Apidos Cinco	Apidos VIII	Whitney CLO I	
June 30, 2013						
Loans held for investment:						
First lien loans	\$ 105,193	\$ 154,705	\$ 298,893	\$ 311,795	\$ 77,877	\$ 948,463
Second lien loans	3,354	3,038	8,670	9,710	549	25,321
Defaulted first lien loans	2,396	2,178	1,734	—	—	6,308
Defaulted second lien loans	334	334	—	—	—	668
Total	111,277	160,255	309,297	321,505	78,426	980,760
First lien loans held for sale at fair value	850	234	299	17,955	789	20,127
Total	\$ 112,127	\$ 160,489	\$ 309,596	\$ 339,460	\$ 79,215	\$ 1,000,887
December 31, 2012						
Loans held for investment:						
First lien loans	\$ 174,208	\$ 206,960	\$ 298,885	\$ 321,022	\$ 147,791	\$ 1,148,866
Second lien loans	3,559	3,237	8,306	9,035	729	24,866
Subordinated second lien loans	2,207	1,200	615	—	—	4,022
Defaulted first lien loans	333	333	—	—	—	666
Total	180,307	211,730	307,806	330,057	148,520	1,178,420

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

First lien loans held for sale at fair value	2,671	2,770	3,657	5,796	—	14,894
Total	\$182,978	\$214,500	\$311,463	\$335,853	\$148,520	\$1,193,314

(Back to Index)

80

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Asset-backed securities. In November 2011, the investment securities held-to-maturity portfolio was reclassified to investment securities available-for-sale since management no longer intended to hold these positions until maturity. These investments are now held at fair value with any unrealized gain or loss reported in the stockholder's equity section of the balance sheet. At June 30, 2013, we held a total of \$25.0 million of ABS at fair value through Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO and Apidos CLO VIII all of which secure the debt issued by these entities. At December 31, 2012, we held a total of \$27.1 million fair value of ABS through Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III and Apidos Cinco CDO, all of which secure the debt issued by these entities. The decrease in total ABS was due to paydowns during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 partially offset by an increase in fair value due to improved market prices.

The following table summarizes our ABS at fair value (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Moody's ratings category:				
Aaa	\$5,563	\$6,105	\$5,856	\$6,414
Aa1 through Aa3	1,098	1,229	1,086	1,192
A1 through A3	4,536	5,088	6,590	7,116
Baa1 through Baa3	2,866	3,258	2,790	3,108
Ba1 through Ba3	5,152	4,867	5,115	4,614
B1 through B3	3,620	3,468	4,131	3,641
Caa1 through Caa3	—	—	80	81
No rating provided	852	961	831	886
Total	\$23,687	\$24,976	\$26,479	\$27,052
S&P ratings category:				
AAA	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
AA+ through AA-	6,662	7,334	6,943	7,608
A+ through A-	6,664	7,537	6,539	7,319
BBB+ through BBB-	738	809	300	327
BB+ through BB-	4,999	4,879	7,518	7,054
B+ through B-	1,561	1,545	2,059	2,011
CCC+ through CCC-	—	—	80	81
No rating provided	3,063	2,872	3,040	2,652
Total	\$23,687	\$24,976	\$26,479	\$27,052
Weighted average rating factor	694		690	

Corporate bonds. At June 30, 2013, our consolidated securitizations, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CDO VIII and Whitney CLO I, held a total of \$33.5 million of corporate bonds at fair value, which secure the debt issued by these entities. These investments are held at fair value with any unrealized gain or loss reported in the stockholder's equity section of the balance sheet. The aggregate fair value of corporate bonds held decreased by \$167,000 over their holdings at December 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in market prices, as well as the sale of corporate bonds during the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Moody's ratings category:				
Aaa	\$4,323	\$4,090	\$4,345	\$4,359
Aa1 through Aa3	2,058	1,947	2,068	2,063
A1 through A3	5,596	5,552	5,606	5,582
Baa1 through Baa3	—	—	721	707
Ba1 through Ba3	5,457	5,362	4,491	4,445
B1 through B3	3,456	3,505	8,757	8,795
Caa1 through Caa3	950	932	—	—
Ca	697	708	—	—
No rating provided	11,559	11,437	7,779	7,749
Total	\$34,096	\$33,533	\$33,767	\$33,700
S&P ratings category:				
AAA	4,323	4,090	4,345	4,359
AA+ through AA-	2,058	1,947	2,068	2,063
A+ through A-	3,129	3,063	3,144	3,110
BBB+ through BBB-	1,228	1,177	1,239	1,227
BB+ through BB-	1,170	1,170	1,414	1,407
B+ through B-	9,904	9,883	14,330	14,322
CCC+ through CCC-	3,446	3,347	—	—
No rating provided	8,838	8,856	7,227	7,212
Total	\$34,096	\$33,533	\$33,767	\$33,700
Weighted average rating factor	\$842		\$1,045	

Investment in Unconsolidated Entities. In May 2013, we entered into a limited partnership agreement with CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership which generally invests in assets through a master-feeder fund structure ("the Master Fund"). We invested \$10.0 million as of June 30, 2013. The General Partner of the Partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. The investment manager of the Partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Credit Partners, LLC. CVC Capital Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a Luxembourg company, together with its affiliates, and Resource America, own a majority and a significant minority, respectively, of the investment manager. The fund will pay the investment manager a quarterly management fee in advance calculated at the rate of 1.5% annually based on the balance of each limited partner's capital account. Our management fee was waived upon entering the agreement given that we are a related party of CVC Credit Partners, LLC. The investment balance of \$10.1 million at June 30, 2013 is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on our consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

In January 2013, Long Term Care Conversion, Inc., or LTCC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of RCC invested \$2.0 million into Life Care Funding, LLC, or LCF, for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts. Although the investment committee and board of LCF are controlled by the joint venture partner, the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC's unanimous approval to make any investments and the joint venture partner must obtain LTCC approval for all material business operations. As a result, we determined that there was joint control and therefore, neither we nor our joint venture partner will consolidate LCF. Using the equity method, we recognized a loss of \$242,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries. Our investment in LCF was \$1.8 million at June 30, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

On June 19, 2012, we entered into a joint venture with Värde Investment Partners, LP, acting as lender, to purchase two condominium developments. We purchased a 7.5% equity interest in the venture. RREM, was appointed as the asset manager of the venture to perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable (see Note 3). RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM receives an annual asset management fee equal to 1.0% of outstanding contributions. We incurred fees payable to RREM of \$10,000 and \$26,000, respectively, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. There were no such fees for the three and six months ended months ended June 30, 2012. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded earnings of \$19,000 and \$43,000, which were recorded in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. There was no such income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The investment balance of \$569,000 and \$526,000 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on our consolidated balance sheet using the equity method. We will continuously reassess whether we should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

On November 16, 2011, together with LEAF Financial and LCC, we entered into a SPA with Eos Partners, L.P. In exchange for our prior interest in LCC, we received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock, collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis assuming conversion, a 26.7% interest in LCC. Our investment in LCC was valued at \$36.3 million based on a third-party valuation. Several approaches were used in valuing our interest, including discounted expected cash flows, market approach and comparable sales transactions to estimate the fair value of our investment in LCC. These approaches required assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows, market multiples, and discount rates, which were based on the current economic environment and credit market conditions. We recorded a loss of \$2.2 million in conjunction with the transaction. On January 18, 2013, we entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,682 shares of newly issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock for \$3.7 million. During the second quarter of 2013, we entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,323 shares of newly issued Series E Preferred Stock for \$3.3 million. The Series E Preferred Stock has priority over all other classes of preferred stock. Our fully-diluted basis assuming conversion is 27.5%. Our interest in the investment is accounted for under the equity method. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded earnings of \$304,000 and a loss of \$32,000, respectively, which were recorded in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statements of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded a \$1.3 million loss which was recored in other expense on the consolidated statements of income. Our investment in LCC was held at \$40.0 million and \$33.1 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

In accordance with the stock purchase agreement, we and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3, or LRF 3, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LCC which owns equipment, equipment leases and notes and which we contributed to LCC in connection with the above transaction. To the extent that the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LRF 3 is less than approximately \$18.7 million (the value of the equity of LRF 3 on the date it was contributed to LCC by us), as of the final testing date within 90 days of December 31, 2013, we and Resource America have agreed to be jointly and severally obligated to contribute cash to LCC to make up the deficit. We do not believe it is probable that we will be required to fund LCC in accordance with the SPA based on estimated operating results because LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is currently profitable and is expected to be profitable through the year ended December 31, 2013.

On December 1, 2009, we purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (an unconsolidated VIE that holds our interests in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America at book value. This joint venture, which is structured as a credit facility with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, finances the acquisition of distressed properties and mortgage loans and has the objective of repositioning both the directly-owned properties and the properties underlying the mortgage loans to enhance their value (see Note 3). We acquired the membership interests for \$2.1 million. The agreement requires us to contribute 3% to 5% (depending on the asset agreement) of the

total funding required for each asset acquisition on a monthly basis. Resource Real Estate Management, LLC, or RREM, an affiliate of Resource America, acts as asset manager of the venture and receives a monthly asset management fee equal to 1% of the combined investment calculated as of the last calendar day of the month. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we paid RREM management fees of \$8,000 and \$16,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we paid RREM management fees of \$12,000 and \$24,000, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded losses of \$101,000 and \$214,000, respectively, which were recorded in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded losses of \$486,000 and earnings of \$585,000, respectively, which were recorded in equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The investment balance of \$1.4 million and \$2.3 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on our consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

83

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We have a 100% interest valued at \$1.5 million in the common shares (3% of the total equity) in two trusts, Resource Capital Trust I, or RCT I, and RCC Trust II, or RCT II. We completed a qualitative analysis to determine whether or not we are the primary beneficiary of each of the trusts. We do not have the power to direct the activities of either trust, nor do we have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to these trusts. Therefore, we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of either trust and accordingly, they are not consolidated into our consolidated financial statements. We record our investments in RCT I and RCT II's common shares of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts using the cost method and record dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we recognized \$602,000 and \$1.2 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$48,000 and \$95,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized \$623,000 and \$1.3 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$45,000 and \$90,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. We will continuously reassess whether we should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

Financing Receivables

The following tables show the allowance for loan losses and recorded investments in loans for the years indicated (in thousands):

	Commercial Real Estate Loans	Bank Loans	Loans Receivable-Related Party	Total
June 30, 2013:				
Allowance for losses at January 1, 2013	\$7,986	\$9,705	\$ —	\$17,691
Provision (benefit) for loan loss	1,948	(2,148)) —	(200)
Loans charged-off	(90)) (3,270)) —	(3,360)
Allowance for losses at June 30, 2013	\$9,844	\$4,287	\$ —	\$14,131
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$4,000	\$3,351	\$ —	\$7,351
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$5,844	\$936	\$ —	\$6,780
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$—
Loans:				
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$184,383	\$12,564	\$ 7,962	\$204,909
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$507,599	\$988,323	\$ —	\$1,495,922
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$—
December 31, 2012				
Allowance for losses at January 1, 2012	\$24,221	\$3,297	\$ —	\$27,518
Provision for loan loss	5,225	11,593	—	16,818
Loans charged-off	(21,460)) (5,185)) —	(26,645)
Allowance for losses at December 31, 2012	\$7,986	\$9,705	\$ —	\$17,691
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$2,142	\$3,236	\$ —	\$5,378
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$5,844	\$6,469	\$ —	\$12,313
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$—
Loans:				
Ending balance:				
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$177,055	\$4,688	\$ 8,324	\$190,067
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$489,996	\$1,187,875	\$ —	\$1,677,871
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$751	\$ —	\$751

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

84

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Credit quality indicators

Bank Loans

We use a risk grading matrix to assign grades to bank loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing our highest rating and 5 representing our lowest rating. We also designate loans that are sold after the period end as held for sale and carry them at the lower of our fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. We consider such things as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest, enterprise valuation, default probability, ratings from rating agencies, and industry dynamics in grading our bank loans.

Credit risk profiles of bank loans were as follows (in thousands):

	Rating 1	Rating 2	Rating 3	Rating 4	Rating 5	Held for Sale	Total
As of June 30, 2013							
Bank loans	\$888,643	\$45,933	\$30,731	\$2,889	\$12,564	\$20,127	\$1,000,887

As of December 31, 2012

Bank loans	\$1,095,148	\$33,677	\$27,837	\$16,318	\$5,440	\$14,894	\$1,193,314
------------	-------------	----------	----------	----------	---------	----------	-------------

All of our bank loans are performing with the exception of five loans with an amortized cost of \$12.6 million as of June 30, 2013, two of which defaulted during the three months ended June 30, 2013. As of December 31, 2012, all of our bank loans were performing with the exception of five loans with an amortized cost of \$5.4 million, one of which defaulted as of December 31, 2012, three of which defaulted as of March 31, 2012 (including a loan acquired with deteriorated credit quality as a result of the acquisition of Whitney CLO I), and one of which defaulted on December 31, 2011.

Commercial Real Estate Loans

We use a risk grading matrix to assign grades to commercial real estate loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-4 with 1 representing our highest rating and 4 representing our lowest rating. We also designate loans that are sold after the period end as held for sale and carry them at the lower of our fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. In addition to the underlying performance of the loan collateral, we consider such things as the strength of underlying sponsorship, payment history, collectability of interest, structural credit enhancements, market trends and loan terms in grading our commercial real estate loans.

Credit risk profiles of commercial real estate loans were as follows (in thousands):

	Rating 1	Rating 2	Rating 3	Rating 4	Held for Sale	Total
As of June 30, 2013						
Whole loans	\$553,333	\$—	\$55,374	\$—	\$—	\$608,707
B notes	16,265	—	—	—	—	16,265
Mezzanine loans	28,938	—	38,072	—	—	67,010
	\$598,536	\$—	\$93,446	\$—	\$—	\$691,982

As of December 31, 2012

Whole loans	\$427,456	\$—	\$106,482	\$—	\$34,000	\$567,938
B notes	16,327	—	—	—	—	16,327
Mezzanine loans	38,296	—	44,490	—	—	82,786
	\$482,079	\$—	\$150,972	\$—	\$34,000	\$667,051

All of our commercial real estate loans were performing as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Loan Portfolios Aging Analysis

The following table shows the loan portfolio aging analysis for the years indicated at cost basis (in thousands):

	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable	Total Loans > 90 Days and Accruing
June 30, 2013:							
Whole loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$608,707	\$608,707	\$—
B notes	—	—	—	—	16,265	16,265	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—	—	67,010	67,010	—
Bank loans	9,749	—	2,815	12,564	988,323	1,000,887	—
Loans receivable- related party	—	—	—	—	7,962	7,962	—
Total loans	\$9,749	\$—	\$2,815	\$12,564	\$1,688,267	\$1,700,831	\$—
December 31, 2012:							
Whole loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$567,938	\$567,938	\$—
B notes	—	—	—	—	16,327	16,327	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—	—	82,786	82,786	—
Bank loans	1,549	—	3,891	5,440	1,187,874	1,193,314	—
Loans receivable- related party	—	—	—	—	8,324	8,324	—
Total loans	\$1,549	\$—	\$3,891	\$5,440	\$1,863,249	\$1,868,689	\$—

Impaired Loans

The following tables show impaired loans in the categories indicated (in thousands):

	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
June 30, 2013:					
Loans without a specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$129,546	\$129,546	\$—	\$117,221	\$5,575
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Mezzanine loans	\$38,072	\$38,072	\$—	\$38,072	\$986
Bank loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Loans receivable - related party	\$6,061	\$6,061	\$—	\$—	\$348
Loans with a specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$(4,000)	\$23,333	\$1,442
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Mezzanine loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Bank loans	\$12,564	\$12,564	\$(3,351)	\$—	\$—
Loans receivable - related party	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Total:					
Whole loans	\$154,546	\$154,546	\$(4,000)	\$140,554	\$7,017
B notes	—	—	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	38,072	38,072	—	38,072	986
Bank loans	12,564	12,564	(3,351)	—	—

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Loans receivable - related party	6,061	6,061	—	—	348
	\$211,243	\$211,243	\$(7,351) \$178,626	\$8,351
December 31, 2012:					
Loans without a specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$115,841	\$115,841	\$—	\$114,682	\$3,436
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Mezzanine loans	\$38,072	\$38,072	\$—	\$38,072	\$367
Bank loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Loans receivable - related party	\$6,754	\$6,754	\$—	\$—	\$851
Loans with a specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$23,142	\$23,142	\$(2,142) \$22,576	\$801
B notes	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Mezzanine loans	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Bank loans	\$5,440	\$5,440	\$(3,236) \$—	\$—
Loans receivable - related party	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Total:					
Whole loans	\$138,983	\$138,983	\$(2,142) \$137,258	\$4,237
B notes	—	—	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	38,072	38,072	—	38,072	367
Bank loans	5,440	5,440	(3,236) —	—
Loans receivable - related party	6,754	6,754	—	—	851
	\$189,249	\$189,249	\$(5,378) \$175,330	\$5,455

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Troubled-Debt Restructurings

The following tables show troubled-debt restructurings in our loan portfolio (in thousands):

	Number of Loans	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013:			
Whole loans	—	\$—	\$—
B notes	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—
Bank loans	—	—	—
Loans receivable - related party	—	—	—
Total loans	—	\$—	\$—
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012:			
Whole loans	—	\$—	\$—
B notes	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—
Bank loans	—	—	—
Loans receivable	—	—	—
Loans receivable - related party	—	—	—
Total loans	—	\$—	\$—
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013:			
Whole loans	2	\$56,328	\$56,328
B notes	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—
Bank loans	—	—	—
Loans receivable - related party	1	6,592	6,592
Total loans	3	\$62,920	\$62,920
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012:			
Whole loans	3	\$92,912	\$76,597
B notes	—	—	—
Mezzanine loans	—	—	—
Bank loans	—	—	—
Loans receivable	—	—	—
Loans receivable - related party	1	7,797	7,797
Total loans	4	\$100,709	\$84,394

(1) Loans receivable - related party has received paydowns and has an outstanding balance of \$6.1 million as of June 30, 2013,.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no troubled-debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Investments in Real Estate

The table below summarizes our investments in real estate (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2013		As of December 31, 2012	
	Book Value	Number of Properties	Book Value	Number of Properties
Multi-family property	\$22,033	1	\$42,179	2
Office property	10,149	1	10,149	1
Hotel property	25,668	1	25,608	1
Subtotal	57,850		77,936	
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(2,489)	(2,550)
Investments in real estate	\$55,361		\$75,386	

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we made no acquisitions. During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, we entered into a listing agreement for one of our investments in real estate. This asset has been reclassified top property available-for-sale on the balance sheet. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we foreclosed on one self-originated loan and converted the loan to equity with a fair value of \$25.5 million at acquisition. The loan was collateralized by a 179 unit hotel property in Coconut Grove, Florida. The property had a hotel occupancy rate of 75% at acquisition.

The following table is a summary of the aggregate estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired on the respective date of acquisition (in thousands):

Description	December 31, 2012
Assets acquired:	
Investments in real estate	\$25,500
Cash and cash equivalents	—
Restricted cash	—
Intangible assets	—
Other assets	(89
Total assets acquired	25,411
Liabilities assumed:	
Accounts payable and other liabilities	3,750
Total liabilities assumed	3,750
Estimated fair value of net assets acquired	\$21,661

Restricted cash. At June 30, 2013, we had restricted cash of \$101.0 million, which consisted of \$94.3 million of restricted cash in our seven CDOs, \$2.2 million held in a margin account related to our swap portfolio and \$4.5 million held in restricted accounts at our real estate assets. At December 31, 2012, we had restricted cash of \$94.1 million, which consisted of \$90.0 million of restricted cash in our seven CDOs, \$500,000 held in a margin account related to our swap portfolio and \$3.6 million held in restricted accounts at our real estate assets. The increase of \$6.8 million is primarily related to proceeds received from loan paydowns and sales in our CDOs. Any subsequent loan paydown proceeds in these CDOs are now used to repay the notes outstanding as stipulated in their indentures.

Interest Receivable. At June 30, 2013, we had interest receivable of \$8.1 million, which consisted of \$8.1 million of interest on our securities and loans, and \$15,000 of interest earned on escrow and sweep accounts. At December 31, 2012, we had interest receivable of \$7.8 million, which consisted of \$7.8 million of interest on our securities and loans, and \$6,000 of interest earned on escrow and sweep accounts. The increase resulted from an increase in interest receivable on CRE loans of \$1.0 million, partially offset by a decrease of \$400,000 in interest receivable on structured notes, a decrease of \$200,000 in interest receivable on bank loans, and a decrease of \$100,000 on interest receivable on CMBS, due to the increase in CRE loan holdings and decreases in structured notes and bank loan holdings.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Prepaid Expenses. The following table summarizes our other assets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Prepaid taxes	\$4,676	\$9,546
Prepaid insurance	1,104	425
Other prepaid expenses	338	425
Total	\$6,118	\$10,396

Prepaid expenses decreased \$4.3 million to \$6.1 million as of June 30, 2013 from \$10.4 million as of December 31, 2012. The decrease resulted primarily from a decrease of \$4.9 million in prepaid taxes offset by an increase of \$679,000 in prepaid insurance, due to the timing of when taxes and insurance are paid.

Other Assets. The following table summarizes our other assets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Management fees receivable	\$1,156	\$1,253
Other receivables	1,602	1,542
Preferred stock proceeds receivable	—	1,248
Fixed assets	61	66
Total	\$2,819	\$4,109

Other assets decreased \$1.3 million to \$2.8 million as of June 30, 2013 from \$4.1 million as of December 31, 2012. This decrease resulted primarily from the collection of \$1.2 million of Series B Preferred proceeds receivable from our at the market program.

Hedging Instruments. Our hedges at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements whereby we swapped the floating rate of interest on the liabilities we hedged for a fixed rate of interest. With interest rates at historically low levels and the forward curve projecting steadily increasing rates as well as the scheduled maturity of two hedges during 2012, we expect that the fair value of our hedges will modestly improve in 2013. We intend to continue to seek such hedges for our floating rate debt in the future. Our hedges at June 30, 2013 were as follows (in thousands):

	Benchmark rate	Notional value	Strike rate	Effective date	Maturity date	Fair value
CRE Swaps						
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	\$30,757	4.13%	01/10/08	05/25/16	\$(1,455)
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	1,681	5.72%	07/12/07	10/01/16	(205)
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	1,880	5.68%	07/13/07	03/12/17	(325)
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	79,883	5.58%	06/26/07	04/25/17	(9,326)
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	1,726	5.65%	07/05/07	07/15/17	(230)
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	3,850	5.65%	07/26/07	07/15/17	(513)
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	4,023	5.41%	08/10/07	07/25/17	(507)
Total CRE Swaps		123,800				(12,561)
CMBS Swaps						
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	84	0.64%	02/23/11	11/01/13	—
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	27	0.51%	03/18/11	11/01/13	—
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	100	0.55%	03/28/11	11/01/13	—
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	148	0.55%	04/15/11	11/18/13	—
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	2,172	1.11%	04/26/11	01/15/14	(10)
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	383	0.84%	03/31/11	01/18/14	(1)
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	2,658	1.93%	02/14/11	05/01/15	(67)

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	396	1.30%	07/19/11	03/18/16	(7)
Interest rate swap	1 month LIBOR	1,740	1.95%	04/11/11	03/18/16	(59)
Total CMBS Swaps		7,708				(144)
Total Interest Rate Swaps		\$ 131,508	5.00%			\$(12,705)

(Back to Index)

89

CMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In February 2011, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial, entered into a master repurchase agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association to be used as a warehouse facility to finance the purchase of highly-rated CMBS. The maximum amount of the facility is \$100.0 million with a 0.25% structuring fee and an initial two year term with a one year option to extend. On February 1, 2013, we exercised the option to extend the 2011 Facility to January 31, 2014 and negotiated another one year option to extend, to January 31, 2015. We may enter into interest rate swaps and cap agreements for securities whose average lives exceed 2 years to mitigate interest rate risk under the 2011 Facility. We guaranteed RCC Real Estate's and RCC Commercial's performance of their obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$46.8 million (net of \$82,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which we had guaranteed. At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$53.7 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.26%, or 1.45%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$42.5 million (net of \$23,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which we had guaranteed. At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$51.4 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.45%, or 1.53%. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we also had repurchase agreements of \$12.3 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.38% or 1.58%, and \$11.9 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.32% or 1.53%, respectively, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). The borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$15.2 million and \$14.6 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

CRE – Term Repurchase Facility

On February 27, 2012, we entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The facility had a maximum amount of \$150.0 million and an initial 18 month term with two one year options to extend. We paid an origination fee of 37.5 basis points (0.375%). We guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. On April 2, 2013, RCC Real Estate entered into an amendment of its existing commercial real estate credit facility with Wells Fargo. The amendment increases the size of the facility to \$250.0 million and extends the current term of the facility to February of 2015 and provides two additional one year extension options at RSO's discretion. RCC Real Estate paid an additional structuring fee of \$101,000 and an extension fee of \$938,000 in connection with the amendment and will amortize the additional fees over the term of the extension. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$115.0 million (net of \$1.1 million of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which we guaranteed. At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by several commercial real estate loans with an estimated fair value of \$167.7 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.46%, or 2.65%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$58.8 million (net of \$348,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which we guaranteed. At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by several commercial real estate loans with an estimated fair value of \$85.4 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.67%, or 2.88%.

CRE - Repurchase Facility

On March 8, 2005, we entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has an initial 12 month term with monthly resets of one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%. We guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. We had repaid all borrowings under this agreement as of June 30, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Short-Term Repurchase Agreements

On March 8, 2005, we entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. to finance the origination of CMBS and commercial real estate loans. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has an initial 12 month term with monthly resets of interest rates. We guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$12.3 million, all of which we guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$18.9 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.21%, or 1.4%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$3.1 million, all of which we guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$5.1 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25%, or 1.46%.

On February 14, 2012, we entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC to finance the origination of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity date with monthly resets of interest rates. We guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$1.8 million, all of which we guaranteed. At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by one CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$2.8 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.90%, or 1.08%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$1.9 million, all of which we guaranteed. At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by one CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$3.1 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.01%, or 1.46%. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the RCC Real Estate also had repurchase agreements of \$23.1 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.02% or 1.21%, and \$3.5 million, with a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25% or 1.46%, respectively, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20).

On November 6, 2012, we entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with JP Morgan Securities LLC to finance the origination of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity with monthly resets of interest rates. At June 30, 2013, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$18.8 million, all of which we guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as Linked Transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At June 30, 2013, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$26.4 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.83%, or 1.03%. At December 31, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$4.7 million, all of which we guaranteed, that were linked to CMBS purchases and accounted for as linked transactions, and as such, the linked repurchase agreements are not included in the borrowings table (see Note 20). At December 31, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a CMBS bond with an estimated fair value of \$7.2 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%, or 1.01%.

Collateralized Debt Obligations

As of June 30, 2013, we had executed six and retained equity in seven CDO transactions as follows:

In February 2011, we acquired the rights to manage the assets held by Whitney CLO I. In October 2012, we purchased 66.6% of the outstanding preferred equity. Based upon that purchase, we determined that we had a controlling interest and consolidated Whitney CLO I. In May 2013, we purchased additional equity resulting in an increased ownership of 68.3% of the outstanding preferred equity. The balance of senior notes outstanding as of October 2012, the date of acquisition, was \$209.5 million at a discount of 1.47%. All of the notes issued mature on March 1, 2017. We have the right to call the notes anytime after March 1, 2009 until maturity in March 2017. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 2.47% at June 30, 2013. The reinvestment period for Whitney CLO I

ended in March 2011 which will result in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. Since we consolidated Whitney CLO I, \$46.2 million of Class A-1L, \$26.5 million of Class A-1LA notes ,and \$33.1 million Class A-1LB notes have been paid down.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

91

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

In October 2011, we closed Apidos CLO VIII, a \$350.0 million CLO transaction that provided financing for it. The investments held by Apidos CLO VIII collateralized \$317.6 million of senior notes issued by it. Resource TRS III originally purchased a \$15.0 million equity interest representing approximately 43% of the outstanding preference shares and subsequently sold \$3.5 million to our subsidiary RSO Equity Co., LLC in connection with the sale of Apidos Capital Management by the Manager. At June 30, 2013, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 2.13%.

In June 2007, we closed RREF CDO 2007-1, a \$500.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2007-1 collateralized \$458.8 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle, of which RCC Real Estate, a subsidiary of ours, purchased 100% of the class H senior notes, class K senior notes, class L senior notes and class M senior notes for \$68.0 million at closing, \$5.0 million of the Class J senior notes in February 2008, an additional \$2.5 million of the Class J senior notes in November 2009, and \$11.9 million of the Class E senior notes, \$11.9 million of the Class F senior notes and \$7.3 million of the Class G senior notes in December 2009, \$250,000 of the Class J senior notes in January 2010, \$5.0 million of the Class A-2 senior notes in August 2011 and \$5.0 million of the Class A-2 senior notes in September 2011. In June 2012, \$50.0 million of the A1-R notes were repurchased by a clearing broker for us and subsequently paid off. In addition, RREF 2007-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$41.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At June 30, 2013, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 0.86%. The reinvestment period expired in June 2012 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through June 30, 2013, \$60.0 million of Class A-1 notes had been paid down and \$50.0 million of the Class A-1R senior notes had been redeemed.

In May 2007, we closed Apidos Cinco CDO, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos Cinco CDO collateralized \$322.0 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Commercial II holds a \$28.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At June 30, 2013, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 0.78%.

In August 2006, we closed RREF CDO 2006-1, a \$345.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2006-1 collateralized \$308.7 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class J senior notes and class K senior notes for \$43.1 million at closing, \$7.5 million of the Class F senior notes in September 2009, \$3.5 million of the Class E senior note and \$4.0 million of the Class F senior notes in September 2009, \$20.0 million of the Class A-1 senior notes in February 2010, \$4.3 million of the Class A-1 senior notes in May 2012, and \$4.0 million of the Class C senior notes in May 2012. In addition, RREF 2006-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$36.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At June 30, 2013, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 1.64%. The reinvestment period expired in September 2011 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through June 30, 2013, \$76.9 million of the Class A-1 senior notes had been paid down.

In May 2006, we closed Apidos CDO III, a \$285.5 million CDO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO III collateralized \$262.5 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Commercial purchased a \$23.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At June 30, 2013, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 0.85%. The reinvestment period expired in June 2012 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through June 30, 2013, \$103.7 million of the Class A-1 senior notes had been paid down.

In August 2005, we closed Apidos CDO I, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO I collateralize \$321.5 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Commercial originally purchased a \$28.5 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares and during the three months ended June 30, 2012 sold 10% or \$2.85 million to our subsidiary RSO Equity Co., LLC in connection with the sale of Apidos Capital Management by the Manager. Our subsidiary, RCC Commercial II, repurchased \$2.0 million of the Class B notes in May 2012. At June 30, 2013, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 1.34%. The reinvestment period expired in July 2011 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through June 30, 2013, \$193.5 million of the Class A-1

senior notes had been paid down.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

92

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

On June 21, 2011, we surrendered to the respective trustees, for cancellation without consideration, certain notes issued by RREF CDO 2007-1 and RREF CDO 2006-1. In RREF CDO 2007-1, we surrendered \$7.5 million of the Class B notes, \$6.5 million of the Class F notes, \$6.25 million of the Class G notes and \$10.6 million of the Class H notes. In RREF CDO 2006-1, we surrendered \$6.9 million of the Class B notes, \$7.7 million of the Class C notes, \$5.52 million of the Class D notes, \$7.0 million of the Class E notes and \$5.25 million of the Class F notes. The surrendered notes were canceled by the trustee under the applicable indentures, and the obligations due under those notes were deemed extinguished. The effect of these cancellations was to improve each CDO's performance with respect to its over-collateralization and interest coverage tests, with which each CDO had complied before cancellation, and to secure our long term interest in these structured vehicles.

Trust Preferred Securities

In May and September 2006, we formed Resource Capital Trust I, or RCT I and RCC Trust II, or RCT II, respectively, for the sole purpose of issuing and selling trust preferred securities. RCT I and RCT II are not consolidated into our consolidated financial statements because we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of either trust. We own 100% of the common shares of each trust, each of which issued \$25.0 million of preferred shares to unaffiliated investors. Our rights as the holder of the common shares of each trust are subordinate to the rights of the holders of preferred shares only in the event of a default; otherwise, our economic and voting rights are pari passu with the preferred shareholders. We record each of our investments in the trusts' common shares of \$774,000 as an investment in unconsolidated trusts and record dividend income upon declaration by each trust.

The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at June 30, 2013, were 4.23% and 4.23%, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at December 31, 2012, were 4.26% and 4.26%, respectively. The junior subordinated debentures debt issuance costs are included in borrowings in the consolidated balance sheets. We record interest expense on the junior subordinated debentures and amortization of debt issuance costs in our consolidated statements of operations. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at June 30, 2013 were \$310,000 and \$330,000, respectively. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at December 31, 2012 were \$358,000 and \$377,000, respectively.

Stockholders' Equity

Stockholders' equity at June 30, 2013 was \$777.4 million and gave effect to \$13.4 million of unrealized losses on our cash flow hedges and \$6.7 million of unrealized losses on our available-for-sale portfolio, shown as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss and also from improvement in the fair market value of our securities available-for-sale portfolio. Stockholders' equity at December 31, 2012 was \$613.3 million and gave the effect to \$15.6 million of unrealized losses on cash flow hedges and \$11.5 million of unrealized losses on our available-for-sale portfolio, shown as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. The increase in stockholder's equity during the three months ended June 30, 2013 was principally due to the proceeds from sales of our common stock through our DRIP and the issuance by our at-the market offering of 8.25% Series B Preferred Stock.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Funds from Operations

We evaluate our performance based on several performance measures, including funds from operations, or FFO, adjusted funds from operations, or AFFO, in addition to net income. We compute FFO in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains or losses on the sale of depreciable real estate, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, real estate-related depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated/ uncombined partnerships and joint ventures.

AFFO is a computation made by analysts and investors to measure a real estate company's cash flow generated by operations. We calculate AFFO by adding or subtracting from FFO: non-cash impairment losses resulting from fair value adjustments on financial instruments, non-cash impacts of the following: provision for loan losses, gains on the extinguishment of debt, equity investment losses, straight-line rental effects, share based compensation, amortization of various deferred items and intangible assets, gains on sales of property through a joint venture and cash impact of capital expenditures that are related to our real estate owned.

Management believes that FFO and AFFO are appropriate measures of our operating performance in that they are frequently used by analysts, investors and other parties in the evaluation of REITs. Management uses FFO and AFFO as measures of our operating performance, and believe they are also useful to investors, because they facilitate an understanding of our operating performance after adjustment for certain non-cash items, such as real estate depreciation, share-based compensation and various other items required by GAAP, and capital expenditures, that may not necessarily be indicative of current operating performance and that may not accurately compare our operating performance between periods. Our board of directors also uses FFO and AFFO, in addition to net income and REIT taxable income, in making decisions regarding distributions.

While our calculations of AFFO may differ from the methodology used for calculating AFFO by other REITs and our AFFO may not be comparable to AFFO reported by other REITs, we also believe that FFO and AFFO may provide us and our investors with an additional useful measure to compare our performance with some other REITs. Neither FFO nor AFFO is equivalent to net income or cash generated from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP. Furthermore FFO and AFFO do not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations or other commitments or uncertainties. Neither FFO nor AFFO should be considered as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities as a measure of our liquidity.

The following table reconciles GAAP net income to FFO and AFFO for the periods presented (in thousands):

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income allocable to common shares - GAAP	\$6,533	\$16,425	\$18,059	\$30,906
Adjustments:				
Real estate depreciation and amortization	592	779	1,265	1,489
Gains (losses) on sales of property ⁽¹⁾	—	—	22	(1,087)
FFO	7,125	17,204	19,346	31,308
Adjustments:				
Non-cash items:				
Adjust for impact of imputed interest on VIE accounting	1,090	—	—	—
(Benefit) provisions for loan losses	(1,928)) 2,884	(1,734)) 4,468
Amortization of deferred costs (non real estate) and intangible assets	1,604	2,336	3,470	3,991
Equity investment (gains) losses	(304)) 1,275	32	1,275
Share-based compensation	2,155	1,140	5,746	2,008
Impairment losses	535	32	556	171
Unrealized loss on CMBS marks - linked transactions	6,385	—	6,385	—
Straight line rental adjustments	1	4	3	12
Gain on extinguishment of debt	—	(1,835)) —	(1,835)
REIT tax planning adjustments	1,632	—	2,358	—
Cash items:				
Gains (losses) on sales of joint venture real estate interest ⁽¹⁾	—	—	(22)) 1,087
Gain on the extinguishment of debt	1,716	—	5,301	—
Capital expenditures	(404)) (861)) (822)) (1,664)
AFFO	\$19,607	\$22,179	\$40,619	\$40,821
Weighted average shares – diluted	122,283,503	84,188,216	113,832,183	83,040,604
AFFO per share – diluted	\$0.16	\$0.26	\$0.36	\$0.49

⁽¹⁾ Amount represents gains/losses on sales of joint venture real estate interests from a joint venture that were recorded by us on an equity basis.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, our principal sources of liquidity were proceeds from the sale of common stock through our common stock offering in April, our DRIP and proceeds from our ATM program with respect to our 8.25% Series B Preferred Stock as well as funds available in existing CDO financings of \$29.3 million and cash flow from operations. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we received \$114.6 million of net proceeds from our common stock offering, \$18.0 million of DRIP proceeds, and \$47.4 million of preferred stock sales proceeds, the remainder of which are included in our \$168.4 million of unrestricted cash at June 30, 2013. In addition, we had capital available through two CRE term facilities to help finance the purchase of CMBS securities and the origination of commercial real estate loans of \$40.8 million and \$133.9 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, our principal sources of current liquidity were proceeds from the sale of common stock through our DRIP, proceeds from our offering of 8.5% Series A Preferred Stock, and proceeds from our offering of 8.25% Series B Preferred Stock proceeds as well as funds available in existing CDO financings of \$78.5 million and cash flow from operations. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we received \$73.0 million of DRIP proceeds and \$43.1 million of preferred stock

sales proceeds, the remainder of which are included in our \$85.3 million of unrestricted cash at December 31, 2012. In addition, we had capital available through two CRE term facilities to help finance the purchase of CMBS securities and the origination of commercial real estate loans of \$45.3 million and \$90.9 million, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

95

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Our on-going liquidity needs consist principally of funds to make investments, make debt repurchases, make distributions to our stockholders and pay our operating expenses, including our management fees. Our ability to meet our on-going liquidity needs will be subject to our ability to generate cash from operations and, with respect to our investments, our ability to maintain and/or obtain additional debt financing and equity capital together with the funds referred to above. Historically, we have financed a substantial portion of our portfolio investments through CDOs that essentially match the maturity and repricing dates of these financing vehicles with the maturities and repricing dates of our investments. We derive substantial operating cash from our equity investments in our CDOs which, if the CDOs fail to meet certain tests, will cease. Through June 30, 2013, we have not experienced difficulty in maintaining our existing CDO financing and have passed all of the critical tests required by these financings. However, we cannot assure you that we will continue to meet all such critical tests in the future. If we are unable to renew, replace or expand our sources of existing financing on substantially similar terms, we may be unable to implement our investment strategies successfully and may be required to liquidate portfolio investments. If required, a sale of portfolio investments could be at prices lower than the carrying value of such assets, which would result in losses and reduced income.

The following table sets forth distributions to us from our CDOs and a summary of our compliance with the coverage tests for these CDOs for the periods presented (in thousands):

Name	CDO Type	Cash Distributions		Annualized Interest Coverage Cushion	Overcollateralization Cushion	
		Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 (1) (actual)	Year Ended December 31, 2012 (1) (actual)		As of June 30, 2013 (2) (3)	As of June 30, 2013 (4)
Apidos CDO I (5)	CLO	\$3,071	\$7,971	\$2,944	\$13,157	\$17,136
Apidos CDO III (6)	CLO	\$3,937	\$8,742	\$3,354	\$7,954	\$11,269
Apidos Cinco CDO (7)	CLO	\$6,339	\$11,109	\$5,979	\$20,412	\$17,774
Apidos CLO VIII (8)	CLO	\$2,127	\$2,992	\$4,784	\$15,245	\$13,657
Whitney CLO I (9)	CLO	\$1,111	\$802	\$11	\$10,841	N/A
RREF 2006-1 (10)	CRE CDO	\$21,634	\$15,050	\$8,204	\$57,696	\$24,941
RREF 2007-1 (11)	CRE CDO	\$6,378	\$13,226	\$7,962	\$43,535	\$26,032

Distributions on retained equity interests in CDOs (comprised of note investments and preference share ownership) (1) and principal paydowns on notes owned. RREF CDO 2006-1 includes \$16.5 million and \$2.3 million of paydowns as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(2) Interest coverage includes annualized amounts based on the most recent trustee statements.

(3) Interest coverage cushion represents the amount by which annualized interest income expected exceeds the annualized amount payable on all classes of CDO notes senior to our preference shares.

(4) Overcollateralization cushion represents the amount by which the collateral held by the CDO issuer exceeds the maximum amount required.

(5) Apidos CDO I reinvestment period expired in July 2011.

(6) Apidos CDO III reinvestment period expired in June 2012.

(7) Apidos Cinco CDO investment period ends in May 2014.

(8)

Distributions from Apidos CLO VIII includes \$380,000 and \$752,000 in base and subordinated management fees for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and year ended December 31, 2012, respectively; our distributions represent 43% of the subordinated debt as a result of our investment of \$15.0 million. Apidos CLO VIII's investment period ends in October 2014.

Whitney CLO I was acquired in October 2012. RSO holds 68.3% of the outstanding preference shares, includes (9) \$367,000 and \$236,000 of collateral management fees for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and year ended December 31, 2012, respectively.

(10) RREF CDO 2006-1 reinvestment period expired in September 2011.

(11) RREF CDO 2007-1 reinvestment period expired in June 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

96

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

At July 31, 2013, after paying the second quarter common and preferred dividends, our liquidity is derived from three primary sources:

- unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of \$146.4 million, restricted cash of \$2.2 million in margin call accounts and restricted cash of \$4.7 million in the form of real estate escrows, reserves and deposits;
- capital available for reinvestment in three of our CDO entities of \$28.4 million, of which \$710,000 is designated to finance future funding commitments on CRE loans; and
- loan principal repayments of \$66.2 million that will pay down outstanding CLO notes; and \$7.3 million in interest collections.

Our leverage ratio may vary as a result of the various funding strategies we use. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our leverage ratio was 2.0 times and 2.9 times, respectively. The decrease in leverage ratio was primarily due to the repayment of our CDO notes and equity offering proceeds received through our common stock offering, DRIP and preferred stock issuances which were partially offset by borrowings under our Wells Fargo CMBS and Wells Fargo CRE repurchase facilities.

Distributions

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT and to avoid corporate-level income tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we intend to make regular quarterly distributions of all or substantially all of our net taxable income to holders of our common stock. This requirement can impact our liquidity and capital resources.

On June 14, 2013, we declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock or \$25.4 million in the aggregate, which was paid on July 26, 2013 to stockholders of record as of June 28, 2013.

On March 15, 2013, we declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock or \$21.6 million in the aggregate, which was paid on April 26, 2013, to stockholders of record as of March 28, 2013.

On June 18, 2013, we declared distributions totaling \$359,000 or \$0.53 per share on our Series A preferred share stockholders, which was paid on July 30, 2013 to our Series A preferred share stockholders of record as of July 1, 2013.

On March 18, 2013, we declared distributions totaling \$359,000 or \$0.53 per share to our Series A Preferred share stockholders, which was paid on April 30, 2013 to our preferred share stockholders of record as of April 1, 2013.

On June 18, 2013, we declared distributions totaling \$1.6 million or \$0.52 per share to our Series B preferred share stockholders, which was paid on July 30, 2013 to our Series B preferred share stockholders of record as of July 1, 2013.

On March 18, 2013, we declared distributions totaling \$1.2 million or \$0.52 per share to our Series B Preferred share stockholders, which was paid on April 30, 2013 to our preferred share stockholders of record as of April 1, 2013.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

	Contractual Commitments(dollars in thousands)				
	Payments due by period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 – 3 years	3 – 5 years	More than 5 years
CDOs (1)	\$1,330,616	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$1,330,616
Repurchase Agreements (2)	163,785	163,785	—	—	—
Unsecured junior subordinated debentures (3)	50,908	—	—	—	50,908
Mortgage payable	13,600	—	—	—	13,600
Joint ventures (4)	938	—	938	—	—
Unfunded commitments on CRE loans (5)	10,758	—	10,758	—	—
Base management fees (6)	12,325	12,325	—	—	—
Total	\$1,582,930	\$176,110	\$11,696	\$—	\$1,395,124

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Contractual commitments do not include \$2.6 million, \$5.8 million, \$3.5 million, \$7.6 million, \$14.3 million, \$10.5 million and \$38.0 million of interest expense payable through the stated maturity dates of July 2014, May 2015, (1) May 2015, March 2017, June 2017 and October 2017, respectively, on Apidos CDO I, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CDO III, RREF 2006-1, Whitney CLO I, RREF 2007-1 and Apidos CLO VIII, respectively. The maturity date represents the time at which the CDO assets can be sold, resulting in repayment of the CDO notes.

(2) Contractual commitments include \$171,000 of interest expense payable through the maturity date of April 18, 2013 on our repurchase agreements.

(3) Contractual commitments do not include \$45.8 million and \$46.8 million of estimated interest expense payable through the maturity dates of June 2036 and October 2036, respectively, on our trust preferred securities.

The joint venture agreement requires us to contribute 3% to 5% (depending on the terms of the agreement pursuant (4) to which the particular asset is being acquired) of the total funding required for each asset acquisition as needed, up to a specified amount. We expect that all remaining assets will be sold within two years.

Unfunded commitments on CRE loans generally fall into two categories: (1) pre-approved capital improvement (5) projects; and (2) new or additional construction costs subject, in each case, to the borrower meeting specified criteria. Upon completion of the improvements or construction, we would receive additional loan interest income on the advanced amount.

Calculated only for the next 12 months based on our current equity, as defined in our management agreement. Our (6) management agreement also provides for an incentive fee arrangement that is based on operating performance. Because the incentive fee is not a fixed and determinable amount, it is not included in this table.

At June 30, 2013, we had 16 interest rate swap contracts with a notional value of \$131.5 million. These contracts are fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements under which we contracted to pay a fixed rate of interest for the term of the hedge and will receive a floating rate of interest. As of June 30, 2013, the average fixed pay rate of our interest rate hedges was 5.00% and our receive rate was one-month LIBOR, or 0.20%.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

General

As of June 30, 2013, we did not maintain any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships that were established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or contractually narrow or limited purposes, although we do have interests in unconsolidated entities not established for those purposes. Except as set forth below, as of June 30, 2013, we had not guaranteed obligations of any such unconsolidated entities or entered into any commitment or letter of intent to provide additional funding to any such entities.

Unfunded Loan Commitments

In the ordinary course of business, we make commitments to borrowers whose loans are in our commercial real estate loan portfolio to provide additional loan funding in the future. These commitments generally fall into two categories: (1) pre-approved capital improvement projects; and (2) new or additional construction costs. Disbursement of funds pursuant to these commitments is subject to the borrower meeting pre-specified criteria. Upon disbursement of funds, we receive loan interest income on any such advanced funds. As of June 30, 2013, we had eight loans with unfunded commitments totaling \$10.8 million, of which \$710,000 will be funded by restricted cash in RREF CDO 2007-1; we intend to fund the remaining \$10.1 million through cash flow from normal operating activities and principal repayments on other loans in our portfolio. These commitments are subject to the same underwriting requirements and ongoing portfolio maintenance as are the on-balance sheet financial instruments that we hold. Since these commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Guarantees and Indemnifications

In the ordinary course of business, we may provide guarantees and indemnifications that contingently obligate us to make payments to the guaranteed or indemnified party based on changes in the value of an asset, liability or equity security of the guaranteed or indemnified party. As such, we may be obligated to make payments to a guaranteed party based on another entity's failure to perform or achieve specified performance criteria, or we may have an indirect guarantee of the indebtedness of others. On November 16, 2011, as set forth in " -Financial Condition", as part of the

LCC transaction, we and Resource America become jointly and severally liable to contribute cash to LCC, to the extent that the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is less than \$18.7 million (the value of Leaf Receivables Funding 3's equity when it was contributed to LCC by RCC) as of a specified final testing date within 90 days following December 31, 2013. We do not believe it is probable or estimable that we will be required to contribute funds to LCC because LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is currently profitable, is expected to be profitable through the year ended December 31, 2013 and, accordingly, the estimated value of its equity at June 30, 2013 is in excess of \$18.7 million.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

98

ITEM 3 . QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As of June 30, 2013, the primary component of our market risk was interest rate risk, as described below. While we do not seek to avoid risk completely, we do seek to assume risk that can be quantified from historical experience, to actively manage that risk, to earn sufficient compensation to justify assuming that risk and to maintain capital levels consistent with the risk we undertake or to which we are exposed.

Effect on Fair Value

A component of interest rate risk is the effect changes in interest rates will have on the fair value of our assets. We face the risk that the fair value of our assets will increase or decrease at different rates than that of our liabilities, including our hedging instruments.

We primarily assess our interest rate risk by estimating the duration of our assets and the duration of our liabilities. Duration essentially measures the market price volatility of financial instruments as interest rates change. We generally calculate duration using various financial models and empirical data. Different models and methodologies can produce different duration numbers for the same securities.

The following sensitivity analysis tables show, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the estimated impact on the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments and liabilities of changes in interest rates, assuming rates instantaneously fall 100 basis points and rise 100 basis points (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2013		
	Interest rates fall 100 basis points	Unchanged	Interest rates rise 100 basis points
CMBS – private placement ⁽¹⁾ :			
Fair value	\$256,978	\$249,090	\$241,739
Change in fair value	\$7,888		\$(7,351)
Change as a percent of fair value	3.17	%	2.95
			%
Hedging instruments:			
Fair value	\$(15,117)	\$(12,705)	\$(8,598)
Change in fair value	\$(2,412)		\$4,107
Change as a percent of fair value	18.98	%	32.33
			%
	December 31, 2012		
	Interest rates fall 100 basis points	Unchanged	Interest rates rise 100 basis points
CMBS – private placement ⁽¹⁾ :			
Fair value	\$163,093	\$157,423	\$152,124
Change in fair value	\$5,670		\$(5,299)
Change as a percent of fair value	3.60	%	3.37
			%
Hedging instruments:			
Fair value	\$(16,956)	\$(14,687)	\$(10,090)
Change in fair value	\$(2,269)		\$4,597
Change as a percent of fair value	15.45	%	31.30
			%

(1)Includes the fair value of available-for-sale investments that are sensitive to interest rate change.

For purposes of the table, we have excluded our investments with variable interest rates that are indexed to LIBOR. Because the variable rates on these instruments are short-term in nature, we are not subject to material exposure to movements in fair value as a result of changes in interest rates.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

99

It is important to note that the impact of changing interest rates on fair value can change significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points from current levels. Therefore, the volatility in the fair value of our assets could increase significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points from current levels. In addition, other factors impact the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments and hedging instruments, such as the shape of the yield curve, market expectations as to future interest rate changes and other market conditions. Accordingly, in the event of changes in actual interest rates, the change in the fair value of our assets would likely differ from that shown above and such difference might be material and adverse to our stockholders.

Risk Management

To the extent consistent with maintaining our status as a REIT, we seek to manage our interest rate risk exposure to protect our portfolio of fixed-rate commercial real estate mortgages and CMBS and related debt against the effects of major interest rate changes. We generally seek to manage our interest rate risk by:

- monitoring and adjusting, if necessary, the reset index and interest rate related to our mortgage-backed securities and our borrowings;
- attempting to structure our borrowing agreements for our CMBS to have a range of different maturities, terms, amortizations and interest rate adjustment periods; and
- using derivatives, financial futures, swaps, options, caps, floors and forward sales, to adjust the interest rate sensitivity of our fixed-rate commercial real estate mortgages and CMBS and our borrowing which we discuss in “Financial Condition-Hedging Instruments.”

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act of 1934 reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control of Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the six months ended June 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

NOTE 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1(a)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Resource Capital Corp. ⁽¹⁾
3.1(b)	Articles Supplementary 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ⁽¹⁶⁾
3.1(c)	Articles Supplementary 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ⁽¹⁷⁾
3.1(d)	Articles Supplementary 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ⁽¹⁸⁾
3.1(e)	Articles Supplementary 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ⁽²²⁾
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Resource Capital Corp. ⁽¹⁾
4.1(a)	Form of Certificate for Common Stock for Resource Capital Corp. ⁽¹⁾
4.1(b)	Form of Certificate for 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock
4.1(c)	Form of Certificate for 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ⁽¹⁸⁾
4.2(a)	Junior Subordinated Indenture between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated May 25, 2006. ⁽²⁾
4.2(b)	Amendment to Junior Subordinated Indenture and Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. ⁽⁶⁾
4.3(a)	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Delaware Trust Company and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated May 25, 2006. ⁽²⁾
4.3(b)	Amendment to Amended and Restated Trust Agreement and Preferred Securities Certificate among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. ⁽⁶⁾
4.4	Amended Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 in the principal amount of \$25,774,000, dated October 26, 2009. ⁽⁶⁾
4.5(a)	Junior Subordinated Indenture between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated September 29, 2006. ⁽³⁾
4.5(b)	Amendment to Junior Subordinated Indenture and Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. ⁽⁶⁾
4.6(a)	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Delaware Trust Company and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated September 29, 2006. ⁽³⁾
4.6(b)	Amendment to Amended and Restated Trust Agreement and Preferred Securities Certificate among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. ⁽⁶⁾
4.7	Amended Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 in the principal amount of \$25,774,000, dated October 26, 2009. ⁽⁶⁾
10.1(a)	Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of June 30, 2008. ⁽⁴⁾
10.1(b)	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of June 30, 2008. ⁽⁵⁾
10.1(c)	Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of August 17, 2010. ⁽⁸⁾
10.1(d)	Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of February 24, 2011. ⁽¹¹⁾
10.1(e)	Fourth Amendment to Amended and Restated Management Agreement ⁽¹²⁾

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

- 10.1(f) Second Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp, Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of June 13, 2012. ⁽¹⁵⁾
- 10.2(a) Master Repurchase and Securities Contract by and among RCC Commercial, Inc., RCC Real Estate Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated February, 1, 2011. ⁽¹⁰⁾
- 10.2(b) Guarantee Agreement made by Resource Capital Corp. in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated February 1, 2011. ⁽¹⁰⁾

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

101

(Back to Index)

10.3	2005 Stock Incentive Plan. ⁽¹⁾
10.4	Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. ⁽⁷⁾
10.5	Services Agreement between Resource Capital Asset Management, LLC and Apidos Capital Management, LLC, dated February 24, 2011. ⁽¹¹⁾
10.6	Revolving Judgment Note and Security Agreement between Resource Capital Corp and RCC Real Estate and the Bancorp Bank, dated July 7, 2011 ⁽¹³⁾
10.7	At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement, dated June 28, 2012 among Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager and MLV & Co. LLC ⁽²¹⁾
10.7(a)	Master Repurchase and Securities Contract for \$150 million between RCC Real Estate SPE 4, LLC, as seller, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as buyer, dated February 27, 2012 ⁽¹⁹⁾
10.7(b)	Guaranty Agreement made by Resource Capital Corp., as guarantor, in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ⁽¹⁹⁾
10.7(c)	First Amendment to Master Repurchase and Securities Contract, dated April 2, 2013. ⁽²⁰⁾
10.8	Transfer and Contribution Agreement by and among LEAF Financial Corporate, Resource TRS, Inc., Resource Capital Corp. and LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc. dated January 4, 2011. ⁽⁹⁾
10.9	At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement, dated November 19, 2012 among Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager and MLV & Co. LLC. ⁽²²⁾
10.10	At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement, dated March 15, 2013 among Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager and MLV & Co. LLC ⁽²²⁾
10.11	Master Repurchase Agreement, dated July 19, 2013 between RCC Real Estate SPE 5, LLC, as seller, and Deutsche Bank AG, Cayman Islands Branch ⁽²⁴⁾
10.12	Guaranty Agreement, dated July 19, 2013 made by Resource Capital Corp., as guarantor, in the benefit of Deutsche Bank AG, Cayman Islands Branch ⁽²⁴⁾
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
99.1	Master Repurchase and Securities Contract for \$150,000,000 between RCC Real Estate SPE 4, LLC, as Seller, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Buyer, Dated February 27, 2012. ⁽¹⁴⁾
99.2	Guaranty made by Resource Capital Corp. as guarantor, in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated February 27, 2012 ⁽¹⁴⁾
101	Interactive Data Files

(1) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-11, Registration No. 333-126517.

(2) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

(3) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.

(4) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2008.

(5) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 20, 2009.

(6) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

(7) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

(8) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 19, 2010.

(9) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2011.

(10) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

(11) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 2, 2011

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

- (12) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 20, 2012.
- (13) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2011.
- (14) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 2, 2012.
- (15) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 13, 2012.
- (16) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 8-A filed on June 8, 2012.
- (17) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 29, 2012.
- (18) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 28, 2012.
- (19) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 2, 2012.
- (20) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 8, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

102

(Back to Index)

- (21) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 29, 2012.
- (22) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 1, 2012.
- (23) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2013.
- (24) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 25, 2013.

(Back to Index)

103

(Back to Index)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP.
(Registrant)

August 9, 2013

By: /s/ Jonathan Z. Cohen
Jonathan Z. Cohen
Chief Executive Officer and President

August 9, 2013

By: /s/ David J. Bryant
David J. Bryant
Senior Vice President
Chief Financial Officer,
Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer

(Back to Index)

104