

BEL FUSE INC /NJ  
Form 10-Q  
August 04, 2016  
UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q  
(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2016  
or  
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 0-11676

BEL FUSE INC.  
206 Van Vorst Street  
Jersey City, NJ 07302  
(201) 432-0463

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

NEW JERSEY 22-1463699  
(State of incorporation) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: BEL FUSE INC /NJ - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [ ] No [X]

Title of Each Class	Number of Shares of Common Stock Outstanding as of August 1, 2016
Class A Common Stock (\$0.10 par value)	2,174,912
Class B Common Stock (\$0.10 par value)	9,763,652

---

BEL FUSE INC.

INDEX

	Page	
Part I	Financial Information	
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (unaudited)</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)</u>	3
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)</u>	4
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	6 - 17
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18 - 24
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	24
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	25
Part II	Other Information	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	25
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	25
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	26
	<u>Signatures</u>	27

---

[Return to Index](#)

## CAUTIONARY NOTICE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

The terms the "Company," "Bel," "we," "us," and "our" as used in this report refer to Bel Fuse Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries unless otherwise specified.

The Company's consolidated operating results are affected by a wide variety of factors that could materially and adversely affect revenues and profitability, including the risk factors described in Item 1A of our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K. As a result of these and other factors, the Company may experience material fluctuations in future operating results on a quarterly or annual basis, which could materially and adversely affect its business, consolidated financial condition, operating results, and common stock prices. Furthermore, this document and other documents filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") contain certain forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("Forward-Looking Statements") with respect to the business of the Company. Forward-Looking Statements are necessarily subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are outside our control, that could cause actual results to differ materially from these statements. Forward-Looking Statements can be identified by such words as "anticipates," "believes," "plan," "assumes," "could," "should," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "potential," "seek," "predict," "may," "will" and similar references to future periods. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this report regarding our strategies, prospects, financial condition, operations, costs, plans and objectives are Forward-Looking Statements. These Forward-Looking Statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including those detailed in Item 1A of our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which could cause actual results to differ materially from these Forward-Looking Statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these Forward-Looking Statements which may be necessary to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Any Forward-Looking Statement made by the Company is based only on information currently available to us and speaks only as of the date on which it is made.

Return to Index

## PART I. Financial Information

## Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

BEL FUSE INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (in thousands, except share and per share data)  
 (unaudited)

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015 (Revised)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$67,468	\$85,040
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,950 in 2016 and \$1,747 in 2015	82,639	86,268
Inventories	99,336	98,510
Other current assets	12,259	10,653
Total current assets	261,702	280,471
Property, plant and equipment, net	53,713	57,611
Intangible assets, net	79,215	87,827
Goodwill	19,264	121,634
Deferred income taxes	4,504	3,438
Other assets	27,095	27,524
Total assets	\$445,493	\$578,505
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$50,148	\$49,798
Accrued expenses	34,189	38,323
Current portion of long-term debt	9,725	24,772
Other current liabilities	7,283	8,959
Total current liabilities	101,345	121,852
Long-term Liabilities:		
Long-term debt	146,776	158,776
Liability for uncertain tax positions	25,881	40,295
Minimum pension obligation and unfunded pension liability	15,729	15,576
Deferred income taxes	2,876	8,310
Other liabilities	346	574
Total liabilities	292,953	345,383
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	-	-

Edgar Filing: BEL FUSE INC /NJ - Form 10-Q

Class A common stock, par value \$.10 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized; 2,174,912 shares outstanding at each date (net of 1,072,769 treasury shares)	217	217
Class B common stock, par value \$.10 per share, 30,000,000 shares authorized; shares outstanding: 9,763,652 in 2016 and 9,701,977 in 2015 (net of 3,218,307 treasury shares)	976	970
Additional paid-in capital	25,839	24,440
Retained earnings	149,829	229,371
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(24,321 )	(21,876 )
Total stockholders' equity	152,540	233,122
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$445,493	\$578,505

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Return to Index

BEL FUSE INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
 (in thousands, except per share data)  
 (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net sales	\$ 131,622	\$ 145,658	\$ 252,805	\$ 287,673
Cost of sales	105,930	117,098	204,040	232,301
Gross profit	25,692	28,560	48,765	55,372
Selling, general and administrative expenses	17,966	20,764	35,636	38,372
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets	(2,611 )	-	105,972	-
Restructuring charges	373	344	601	502
Income (loss) from operations	9,964	7,452	(93,444 )	16,498
Interest expense	(1,505 )	(1,994 )	(3,706 )	(4,173 )
Interest income and other, net	184	17	224	420
Earnings (loss) before (benefit) provision for income taxes	8,643	5,475	(96,926 )	12,745
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(14,133 )	(587 )	(19,005 )	1,363
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 22,776	\$ 6,062	\$ (77,921 )	\$ 11,382
Net earnings (loss) per common share:				
Class A common share - basic and diluted	\$ 1.83	\$ 0.49	\$ (6.31 )	\$ 0.91
Class B common share - basic and diluted	\$ 1.93	\$ 0.52	\$ (6.61 )	\$ 0.97
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:				
Class A common share - basic and diluted	2,175	2,175	2,175	2,175
Class B common share - basic and diluted	9,729	9,693	9,715	9,682
Dividends paid per common share:				
Class A common share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12
Class B common share	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Return to Index

BEL FUSE INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)  
 (dollars in thousands)  
 (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net earnings (loss) available to common stockholders	\$22,776	\$6,062	\$(77,921)	\$11,382
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Currency translation adjustment, net of taxes of \$0 in the three months ended June 30, 2016, (\$34) in the three months ended June 30, 2015, \$0 in the six months ended June 30, 2016 and (\$194) in the six months ended June 30, 2015	(4,071 )	3,535	(3,043 )	(6,801 )
Unrealized gains on marketable securities arising during the period, net of taxes of \$33 in the three months ended June 30, 2016, (\$18) in the three months ended June 30, 2015, \$60 in the six months ended June 30, 2016 and \$15 in the six months ended June 30, 2015	54	(30 )	98	25
Change in unfunded SERP liability, net of taxes of \$32 in the three months ended June 30, 2016, \$28 in the three months ended June 30, 2015, \$104 in the six months ended June 30, 2016 and \$56 in the six months ended June 30, 2015	66	64	500	128
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(3,951 )	3,569	(2,445 )	(6,648 )
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$18,825	\$9,631	\$(80,366)	\$4,734

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



[Return to Index](#)

BEL FUSE INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (dollars in thousands)  
 (unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net (loss) earnings	\$(77,921 )	\$11,382
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	10,968	11,589
Stock-based compensation	1,399	1,376
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets	105,972	-
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,052	823
Deferred income taxes	(6,704 )	(1,857 )
Net unrealized gains on foreign currency revaluation	(582 )	(4,906 )
Other, net	1,180	(3,912 )
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	3,320	423
Inventories	(1,550 )	1,621
Account payable	944	3,306
Accrued expenses	(4,134 )	(2,387 )
Other operating assets/liabilities, net	(17,610 )	6,207
Net cash provided by operating activities	16,334	23,665
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(3,698 )	(5,723 )
Proceeds from disposal/sale of property, plant and equipment	1	58
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,697 )	(5,665 )
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repayments of long-term debt	(27,380 )	(14,375)
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(1,540 )	(1,527 )
Payment of deferred financing costs	(718 )	(15 )
Borrowings under revolving credit line	-	3,500
Repayments of revolving credit line	-	(15,500)
Reduction in notes payable	(114 )	(463 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(29,752 )	(28,380)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(457 )	4,650
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(17,572 )	(5,730 )
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period	85,040	77,138
Cash and cash equivalents - end of period	\$67,468	\$71,408

Supplementary information:

Edgar Filing: BEL FUSE INC /NJ - Form 10-Q

Cash paid during the period for:

Income tax payments, net of refunds received	\$746	\$1,894
Interest payments	\$2,654	\$3,359

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Return to Index

BEL FUSE INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The condensed consolidated balance sheets, statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows for the periods presented herein have been prepared by the Company and are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for all periods presented have been made. The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Bel Fuse Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Certain information and footnote disclosures required under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted from the following condensed consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations, including the interim reporting requirements, of the SEC. The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and the disclosure of contingent amounts in our condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

On June 19, 2014, we completed our acquisition of 100% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the Power-One Power Solutions business ("Power Solutions") from ABB Ltd. ("ABB"). On July 25, 2014, we completed our acquisition of 100% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of the U.S. and U.K. Connectivity Solutions businesses from Emerson Electric Co. ("Emerson"). On August 29, 2014, we completed our acquisition of the Connectivity Solutions business in China from Emerson (collectively with the U.S. and U.K. portion of the transaction, "Connectivity Solutions"). The acquisitions of Power Solutions and Connectivity Solutions may hereafter be referred to collectively as either the "2014 Acquisitions" or the "2014 Acquired Companies".

The Company's significant accounting policies are summarized in Note 1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. There were no significant changes to these accounting policies during the six months ended June 30, 2016.

All amounts included in the tables to these notes to condensed consolidated financial statements, except per share amounts, are in thousands.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In June 2014, the FASB issued guidance on stock compensation. The amendment requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718 as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. This guidance was adopted by the Company effective January 1, 2016 and it did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In January 2015, the FASB issued guidance on simplifying the income statement presentation by eliminating the concept of extraordinary items. Extraordinary items are events and transactions that are distinguished by their unusual nature and by the infrequency of their occurrence. Eliminating the extraordinary classification simplifies income statement presentation by altogether removing the concept of extraordinary items from consideration. This guidance

was adopted by the Company effective January 1, 2016 and it did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In April 2015, the FASB issued guidance on simplifying the balance sheet presentation of debt issuance costs. The update requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability instead of being presented as an asset. Debt disclosures will include the face amount of the debt liability and the effective interest rate. In August 2015, the FASB amended this guidance for debt issuance costs associated with line-of-credit arrangements to reflect that the SEC would not object to the deferral and presentation of debt issuance costs as an asset and subsequent amortization of debt issuance costs over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement, whether or not there are any outstanding borrowings on the line-of-credit arrangement. The update requires retrospective application and represents a change in accounting principle. This guidance was adopted by the Company effective January 1, 2016 and it was applied retrospectively for all prior periods. At June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, deferred financing costs totaling \$3.3 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, which were previously included in other assets, are reflected as a reduction in the carrying value of the Company's long-term debt on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Return to Index

In September 2015, the FASB issued guidance which simplifies the accounting for measurement period adjustments related to business combinations, which eliminates the requirement for an acquirer in a business combination to account for measurement period adjustments retrospectively. Under this guidance, acquirers must recognize measurement period adjustments in the period in which they determine the amounts, including the effect on earnings of any amount they would have recorded in previous periods if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. This guidance was adopted by the Company effective January 1, 2016. Measurement period adjustments of any future acquisitions will be accounted for under this new guidance.

In November 2015, the FASB issued guidance which requires entities to present deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as noncurrent on the consolidated balance sheet. The guidance simplifies the current guidance, which requires entities to separately present deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as current and noncurrent on the consolidated balance sheet. This guidance may be applied either prospectively or retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2016 and it was applied retrospectively for all prior periods. The following table summarizes the adjustments made to conform prior period classifications to the new guidance:

	December 31, 2015		
	As		
	Reported	Reclass	Revised
Other current assets	\$15,636	\$(4,983)	\$10,653
Long-term deferred income tax assets	3,321	117	3,438
Other current liabilities	(9,133 )	174	(8,959 )
Long-term deferred income tax liabilities	(13,002)	4,692	(8,310 )

Accounting Standards Issued But Not Yet Adopted

In March 2016, the FASB issued guidance to simplify the accounting for share-based payment transactions including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities and classification on the statements of cash flows. Under the new guidance, all excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies (including tax benefits of dividends on share-based payment awards) should be recognized as income tax expense or benefit on the statements of operations. Under current GAAP, excess tax benefits are recognized in additional paid-in capital while tax deficiencies are recognized either as an offset to accumulated excess tax benefits, if any, or on the statements of operations. The new accounting guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted in any interim or annual period. Certain provisions require retrospective/modified retrospective transition while others are to be applied prospectively. Management is currently evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued guidance to provide a new comprehensive model for lease accounting. Under this guidance, lessees and lessors should apply a "right-of-use" model in accounting for all leases (including subleases) and eliminate the concept of operating leases and off-balance sheet leases. This guidance is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued guidance which requires entities to measure most inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value, thereby simplifying the current guidance under which an entity must measure inventory at the lower of cost or market. The update is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods

therein. Early application is permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements, if any.

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance on the presentation of financial statements when there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern. The amendment requires that an entity's management evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. If conditions or events raise substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, additional disclosure is required to enable users of the financial statements to understand the conditions or events, management's evaluation of the significance of those conditions and events and management's plans that are intended to alleviate or management's plans that have alleviated substantial doubt. The amendment is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. Management does not believe that the adoption of this guidance will have any material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance on the accounting for revenue from contracts with customers that will supersede most existing revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The underlying principle is that an entity will recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers at an amount that the entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. The guidance provides a five-step analysis of transactions to determine when and how revenue is recognized. Other major provisions include capitalization of certain contract costs, consideration of time value of money in the transaction price, and allowing estimates of variable consideration to be recognized before contingencies are resolved in certain circumstances. The guidance also requires enhanced disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from an entity's contracts with customers. In March 2016, the FASB amended the initial guidance to clarify the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations. In April 2016, the FASB amended the initial guidance to clarify the identification of performance conditions and the licensing implementation guidance. In May 2016, the FASB amended the guidance on collectability, noncash consideration, presentation of sales tax and transition in the new standard. The guidance is effective for the interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2017 (early adoption is permitted as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within those annual periods). The guidance permits the use of either a retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. Management is currently evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements, if any, including which transition method it will adopt.

Return to Index

## 2. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings (loss) per common share under the two-class method for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended		June 30,	
	June 30,	2015	2016	2015
	2016		2016	2015
Numerator:				
Net earnings (loss)	\$22,776	\$6,062	\$(77,921)	\$11,382
Less dividends declared:				
Class A	131	130	261	261
Class B	678	682	1,360	1,360
Undistributed earnings (loss)	\$21,967	\$5,250	\$(79,542)	\$9,761
Undistributed earnings (loss) allocation - basic and diluted:				
Class A undistributed earnings (loss)	\$3,856	\$924	\$(13,979)	\$1,720
Class B undistributed earnings (loss)	18,111	4,326	(65,563)	8,041
Total undistributed earnings (loss)	\$21,967	\$5,250	\$(79,542)	\$9,761
Net earnings (loss) allocation - basic and diluted:				
Class A net earnings (loss)	\$3,987	\$1,054	\$(13,718)	\$1,981
Class B net earnings (loss)	18,789	5,008	(64,203)	9,401
Net earnings (loss)	\$22,776	\$6,062	\$(77,921)	\$11,382

---

**Table of Contents**

Management reviews and approves the Company's lending policies and procedures on a routine basis. Management routinely (at least quarterly) reviews our allowance for loan losses and reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and non-performing and potential problem loans. Our underwriting standards are designed to encourage relationship banking rather than transactional banking. Relationship banking implies a primary banking relationship with the borrower that includes, at minimum, an active deposit banking relationship in addition to the lending relationship. The integrity and character of the borrower are significant factors in our loan underwriting. As a part of underwriting, tangible positive or negative evidence of the borrower's integrity and character are sought out. Additional significant underwriting factors beyond location, duration, the sound and profitable cash flow basis underlying the loan and the borrower's character are the quality of the borrower's financial history, the liquidity of the underlying collateral and the reliability of the valuation of the underlying collateral.

The Company's policies and loan approval limits are established by the Board of Directors. The loan officers generally have authority to approve one-to four-family residential mortgage loans up to \$100,000, other secured loans up to \$50,000, and unsecured loans up to \$10,000. Managing Officers (those with designated loan approval authority), generally have authority to approve one-to four-family residential mortgage loans up to \$300,000, other secured loans up to \$300,000, and unsecured loans up to \$100,000. In addition, any two individual officers may combine their loan authority limits to approve a loan. Our Loan Committee may approve one-to four-family residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate loans, multi-family real estate loans and land loans up to \$1,000,000 in aggregate loans or \$750,000 for individual loans, and unsecured loans up to \$300,000. All loans above these limits must be approved by the Operating Committee, consisting of the Chairman, the President, and up to four other Board members. At no time is a borrower's total borrowing relationship to exceed our regulatory lending limit. Loans to related parties, including executive officers and the Company's directors, are reviewed for compliance with regulatory guidelines and the Board of Directors at least annually.

The Company conducts internal loan reviews that validate the loans against the Company's loan policy quarterly for mortgage, consumer, and small commercial loans on a sample basis, and all larger commercial loans on an annual basis. The Company also receives independent loan reviews performed by a third party on larger commercial loans to be performed annually. In addition to compliance with our policy, the third party loan review process reviews the risk assessments made by our credit department, lenders and loan committees. Results of these reviews are presented to management and the Board of Directors.

The Company's lending can be summarized into six primary areas; one-to four-family residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate and multi-family real estate loans, home equity lines of credits, real estate construction, commercial business loans, and consumer loans.

*One-to four-family Residential Mortgage Loans*

The Company offers one-to four-family residential mortgage loans that conform to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac underwriting standards (conforming loans) as well as non-conforming loans. In recent years there has been an increased demand for long-term fixed-rate loans, as market rates have dropped and remained near historic lows. As a result, the Company has sold a substantial portion of the fixed-rate one-to four-family residential mortgage loans with terms of 15 years or greater. Generally, the Company retains fixed-rate one-to four-family residential mortgage loans with terms of less than 15 years, although this has represented a small percentage of the fixed-rate loans originated in recent years due to the favorable long-term rates for borrower.

In addition, the Company also offers home equity loans that are secured by a second mortgage on the borrower's primary or secondary residence. Home equity loans are generally underwritten using the same criteria used to underwrite one-to four-family residential mortgage loans.



## **Table of Contents**

As one-to four-family residential mortgage and home equity loan underwriting are subject to specific regulations, the Company typically underwrites its one-to four-family residential mortgage and home equity loans to conform to widely accepted standards. Several factors are considered in underwriting including the value of the underlying real estate and the debt to income ratio and credit history of the borrower.

### *Commercial Real Estate and Multi-Family Real Estate Loans*

Commercial real estate mortgage loans are primarily secured by office buildings, owner-occupied businesses, strip mall centers, churches and farm loans secured by real estate. In underwriting commercial real estate and multi-family real estate loans, the Company considers a number of factors, which include the projected net cash flow to the loan's debt service requirement, the age and condition of the collateral, the financial resources and income level of the borrower and the borrower's experience in owning or managing similar properties. Personal guarantees are typically obtained from commercial real estate and multi-family real estate borrowers. In addition, the borrower's financial information on such loans is monitored on an ongoing basis by requiring periodic financial statement updates. The repayment of these loans is primarily dependent on the cash flows of the underlying property. However, the commercial real estate loan generally must be supported by an adequate underlying collateral value. The performance and the value of the underlying property may be adversely affected by economic factors or geographical and/or industry specific factors. These loans are subject to other industry guidelines that are closely monitored by the Company.

### *Home Equity Lines of Credit*

In addition to traditional one-to four-family residential mortgage loans and home equity loans, the Company offers home equity lines of credit that are secured by the borrower's primary or secondary residence. Home equity lines of credit are generally underwritten using the same criteria used to underwrite one-to four-family residential mortgage loans. As home equity lines of credit underwriting is subject to specific regulations, the Company typically underwrites its home equity lines of credit to conform to widely accepted standards. Several factors are considered in underwriting including the value of the underlying real estate and the debt to income ratio and credit history of the borrower.

### *Commercial Business Loans*

The Company originates commercial non-mortgage business (term) loans and lines of credit. These loans are generally originated to small- and medium-sized companies in the Company's primary market area. Commercial business loans are generally used for working capital purposes or for acquiring equipment, inventory or furniture, and are primarily secured by business assets other than real estate, such as business equipment and inventory, accounts receivable or stock. The Company also offers agriculture loans that are not secured by real estate.

The commercial business loan portfolio consists primarily of secured loans. When making commercial business loans, the Company considers the financial statements, lending history and debt service capabilities of the borrower, the projected cash flows of the business and the value of any collateral. The cash flows of the underlying borrower, however, may not perform consistently with historical or projected information. Further, the collateral securing loans may fluctuate in value due to individual economic or other factors. Loans are typically guaranteed by the principals of the borrower. The Company has established minimum standards and underwriting guidelines for all commercial loan types.

### *Real Estate Construction Loans*

The Company originates construction loans for one-to four-family residential properties and commercial real estate properties, including multi-family properties. The Company generally requires that a commitment for permanent financing be in place prior to closing the construction loan. The repayment of these loans is typically through permanent financing following completion of the construction. Real estate construction loans are inherently more risky than loans on completed properties as the unimproved nature and the financial risks of construction significantly enhance the risks of commercial real estate loans. These loans are closely monitored and subject to other industry guidelines.

**Table of Contents***Consumer Loans*

Consumer loans consist of installment loans to individuals, primarily automotive loans. These loans are centrally underwritten utilizing the borrower's financial history, including the Fair Isaac Corporation ( FICO ) credit scoring and information as to the underlying collateral. Repayment is expected from the cash flow of the borrower. Consumer loans may be underwritten with terms up to seven years, fully amortized. Unsecured loans are limited to twelve months. Loan-to-value ratios vary based on the type of collateral. The Company has established minimum standards and underwriting guidelines for all consumer loan collateral types.

The loan portfolio includes a concentration of loans secured by commercial real estate properties amounting to \$111,353,000 and \$71,472,000 as of March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. Generally, these loans are collateralized by multi-family and nonresidential properties. The loans are expected to be repaid from cash flows or from proceeds from the sale of the properties of the borrower.

The Company's loans receivable included purchased loans of \$16,422,000 and \$17,248,000 at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. All of these purchased loans are secured by single family homes located out of our primary market area primarily in the Midwest. The Company's loans receivable also include commercial loan participations of \$27,813,000 and \$16,229,000 at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively, of which \$9,858,000 and \$7,300,000, at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012 were outside our primary market area. The Company did not purchase any new commercial participations during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. These participation loans are secured by real estate and other business assets.

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans based on portfolio segment and impairment method as of the three month and nine month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 and the year ended June 30, 2012:

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2013</b>			
	<b>Real Estate Loans</b>			
	<b>One-to Four- Family</b>	<b>Multi- Family</b>	<b>Commercial</b>	<b>Home Equity Lines of Credit</b>
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>				
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,985	\$ 812	\$ 566	\$ 112
Provision charged to expense		(53)	15	(21)
Losses charged off				
Recoveries				
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,985	\$ 759	\$ 581	\$ 91
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 702	\$ 195	\$ 47	\$ 16
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,283	\$ 564	\$ 534	\$ 75
<b>Loans:</b>				
Ending balance	\$ 146,087	\$ 50,644	\$ 60,709	\$ 8,290
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,337	\$ 1,725	\$ 245	\$ 23
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 141,750	\$ 48,919	\$ 60,464	\$ 8,267

**Table of Contents**

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 (Continued)				Total
	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>					
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 26	\$ 301	\$ 144	\$ 19	\$ 3,965
Provision charged to expense	(4)	122	(7)	(7)	45
Losses charged off			(32)		(32)
Recoveries			8		8
Balance, end of period	\$ 22	\$ 423	\$ 113	\$ 12	\$ 3,986
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$ 6	\$ 27	\$	\$ 993
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 22	\$ 417	\$ 86	\$ 12	\$ 2,993
<b>Loans:</b>					
Ending balance	\$ 2,802	\$ 16,073	\$ 10,308	\$	\$ 294,913
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$ 41	\$ 73	\$	\$ 6,444
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 2,802	\$ 16,032	\$ 10,235	\$	\$ 288,469

**Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013**  
**Real Estate Loans**

	Real Estate Loans			
	One-to Four- Family	Multi- Family	Commercial	Home Equity Lines of Credit
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>				
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,940	\$ 679	\$ 245	\$ 81
Provision charged to expense	39	80	336	10
Losses charged off	(35)			
Recoveries	41			
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,985	\$ 759	\$ 581	\$ 91
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 702	\$ 195	\$ 47	\$ 16
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,283	\$ 564	\$ 534	\$ 75
<b>Loans:</b>				
Ending balance	\$ 146,087	\$ 50,644	\$ 60,709	\$ 8,290
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,337	\$ 1,725	\$ 245	\$ 23
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 141,750	\$ 48,919	\$ 60,464	\$ 8,267

	Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013 (Continued)				Total
	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	

Edgar Filing: BEL FUSE INC /NJ - Form 10-Q

<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>					
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 78	\$ 347	\$ 139	\$ 22	\$ 3,531
Provision charged to expense	(56)	126	27	(10)	552
Losses charged off		(50)	(64)		(149)
Recoveries			11		52
Balance, end of period	\$ 22	\$ 423	\$ 113	\$ 12	\$ 3,986
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$ 6	\$ 27	\$	\$ 993
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 22	\$ 417	\$ 86	\$ 12	\$ 2,993
<b>Loans:</b>					
Ending balance	\$ 2,802	\$ 16,073	\$ 10,308	\$	\$ 294,913
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$ 41	\$ 73	\$	\$ 6,444
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 2,802	\$ 16,032	\$ 10,235	\$	\$ 288,469

**Table of Contents**

	Year Ended June 30, 2012			
	Real Estate Loans			
	One-to Four- Family	Multi- Family	Commercial	Home Equity Lines of Credit
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>				
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,987	\$ 250	\$ 232	\$ 120
Provision charged to expense	533	429	61	(4)
Losses charged off	(651)		(48)	(35)
Recoveries	71			
Balance, end of year	\$ 1,940	\$ 679	\$ 245	\$ 81
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 684	\$ 253	\$ 49	\$
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,256	\$ 426	\$ 196	\$ 81
<b>Loans:</b>				
Ending balance	\$ 147,686	\$ 38,547	\$ 32,925	\$ 8,994
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 3,778	\$ 1,478	\$ 95	\$
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 143,908	\$ 37,069	\$ 32,830	\$ 8,994

	Year Ended June 30, 2012 (Continued)				
	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>					
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 30	\$ 352	\$ 169	\$ 9	\$ 3,149
Provision charged to expense	48	24	21	13	1,125
Losses charged off		(29)	(88)		(851)
Recoveries			37		108
Balance, end of year	\$ 78	\$ 347	\$ 139	\$ 22	\$ 3,531
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$ 1	\$ 41	\$	\$ 1,028
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 78	\$ 346	\$ 98	\$ 22	\$ 2,503
<b>Loans:</b>					
Ending balance	\$ 8,396	\$ 13,917	\$ 13,578	\$	\$ 264,043
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$ 2	\$ 113	\$	\$ 5,466
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 8,396	\$ 13,915	\$ 13,465	\$	\$ 258,577

**Three Months Ended March 31, 2012**  
Real Estate Loans  
Commercial

Edgar Filing: BEL FUSE INC /NJ - Form 10-Q

	One-to Four- Family	Multi- Family		Home Equity Lines of Credit
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>				
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,827	\$ 320	\$ 234	\$ 95
Provision charged to expense	418	4	13	2
Losses charged off	(177)			
Recoveries	44			
Balance, end of year	\$ 2,112	\$ 324	\$ 247	\$ 97
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 818	\$	\$ 55	\$ 15
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,294	\$ 324	\$ 192	\$ 82
<b>Loans:</b>				
Ending balance	\$ 147,051	\$ 32,311	\$ 32,384	\$ 9,138
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,809	\$ 1,498	\$ 96	\$ 52
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 142,242	\$ 30,813	\$ 32,288	\$ 9,086

**Table of Contents**

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 (Continued)				Total
	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>					
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 47	\$ 383	\$ 183	\$ 19	\$ 3,108
Provision charged to expense	18	(28)	(29)	(5)	393
Losses charged off		(29)	(26)		(232)
Recoveries			4		48
Balance, end of year	\$ 65	\$ 326	\$ 132	\$ 14	\$ 3,317
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$	\$ 31	\$	\$ 919
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 65	\$ 326	\$ 101	\$ 14	\$ 2,398
<b>Loans:</b>					
Ending balance	\$ 6,902	\$ 12,709	\$ 13,554	\$	\$ 254,049
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$ 3	\$ 84	\$	\$ 6,542
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 6,902	\$ 12,706	\$ 13,470	\$	\$ 247,507

**Nine Months Ended March 31, 2012**  
**Real Estate Loans**

	One-to	Multi-	Commercial	Home Equity
	Four-Family	Family		Lines of Credit
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>				
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,987	\$ 250	\$ 232	\$ 120
Provision charged to expense	555	74	64	(23)
Losses charged off	(501)		(49)	
Recoveries	71			
Balance, end of year	\$ 2,112	\$ 324	\$ 247	\$ 97
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 818	\$	\$ 55	\$ 15
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,294	\$ 324	\$ 192	\$ 82
<b>Loans:</b>				
Ending balance	\$ 147,051	\$ 32,311	\$ 32,384	\$ 9,138
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 4,809	\$ 1,498	\$ 96	\$ 52
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 142,242	\$ 30,813	\$ 32,288	\$ 9,086

	Nine Months Ended March 31, 2012 (Continued)				Total
	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>					

Edgar Filing: BEL FUSE INC /NJ - Form 10-Q

Balance, beginning of year	\$ 30	\$ 352	\$ 169	\$ 9	\$ 3,149
Provision charged to expense	35	3	14	5	727
Losses charged off		(29)	(86)		(665)
Recoveries			35		106
Balance, end of year	\$ 65	\$ 326	\$ 132	\$ 14	\$ 3,317
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$	\$ 31	\$	\$ 919
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 65	\$ 326	\$ 101	\$ 14	\$ 2,398
<b>Loans:</b>					
Ending balance	\$ 6,902	\$ 12,709	\$ 13,554	\$	\$ 254,049
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$	\$ 3	\$ 84	\$	\$ 6,542
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 6,902	\$ 12,706	\$ 13,470	\$	\$ 247,507



---

## **Table of Contents**

Management's opinion as to the ultimate collectability of loans is subject to estimates regarding future cash flows from operations and the value of property, real and personal, pledged as collateral. These estimates are affected by changing economic conditions and the economic prospects of borrowers.

### *Allowance for Loan Losses*

The allowance for loan losses represents an estimate of the amount of losses believed inherent in our loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance calculation involves a high degree of estimation that management attempts to mitigate through the use of objective historical data where available. Loan losses are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes the uncollectability of the loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Overall, we believe the reserve to be consistent with prior periods and adequate to cover the estimated losses in our loan portfolio.

The Company's methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses consists of two key elements: (1) specific allowances for estimated credit losses on individual loans that are determined to be impaired through the Company's review for identified problem loans; and (2) a general allowance based on estimated credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio.

The specific allowance is measured by determining the present value of expected cash flows, the loan's observable market value, or, for collateral-dependent loans, the fair value of the collateral adjusted for market conditions and selling expense. Factors used in identifying a specific problem loan include: (1) the strength of the customer's personal or business cash flows; (2) the availability of other sources of repayment; (3) the amount due or past due; (4) the type and value of collateral; (5) the strength of the collateral position; (6) the estimated cost to sell the collateral; and (7) the borrower's effort to cure the delinquency. In addition for loans secured by real estate, the Company also considers the extent of any past due and unpaid property taxes applicable to the property serving as collateral on the mortgage.

The Company establishes a general allowance for loans that are not deemed impaired to recognize the inherent losses associated with lending activities, but which, unlike specific allowances, has not been allocated to particular problem assets. The general valuation allowance is determined by segregating the loans by loan category and assigning allowance percentages based on the Company's historical loss experience and management's evaluation of the collectability of the loan portfolio. The allowance is then adjusted for qualitative factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. These qualitative factors may include: (1) Management's assumptions regarding the minimal level of risk for a given loan category; (2) changes in lending policies and procedures, including changes in underwriting standards, and charge-off and recovery practices not considered elsewhere in estimating credit losses; (3) changes in international, national, regional and local economics and business conditions and developments that affect the collectability of the portfolio, including the conditions of various market segments; (4) changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio and in the terms of loans; (5) changes in the experience, ability, and depth of the lending officers and other relevant staff; (6) changes in the volume and severity of past due loans, the volume of non-accrual loans, the volume of troubled debt restructured and other loan modifications, and the volume and severity of adversely classified loans; (7) changes in the quality of the loan review system; (8) changes in the value of the underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans; (9) the existence and effect of any concentrations of credit, and changes in the level of such concentrations; and (10) the effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the existing portfolio. The applied loss factors are re-evaluated quarterly to ensure their relevance in the current environment.

Although the Company's policy allows for a general valuation allowance on certain smaller-balance, homogenous pools of loans classified as substandard, the Company has historically evaluated every loan classified as substandard, regardless of size, for impairment as part of the review for establishing specific allowances. The Company's policy also allows for general valuation allowance on certain smaller-balance, homogenous pools of loans which are loans criticized as special mention or watch. A separate general allowance calculation is made on these loans based on historical measured weakness, and which is no less than twice the amount of the general allowance calculated on the non-classified loans.

---

## Table of Contents

Because of the recent added concern based on the overall condition of the real estate market and in particular how the market is affecting the Junior Lien and Home Equity Lines of Credit (HELOC) loan portfolios, as with all portfolios, the Company has reviewed these two portfolios to determine the adequacy of the allowance. The Company notes that Junior Lien loans are one-to four-family loans that are in a subordinate lien position, and can be subordinate to either a Company first lien or another institution first lien and all are fully amortizing loans. HELOC loans were initially underwritten to ensure adequate cash flow to make payments even under stressed conditions. Based on review of the HELOC portfolio, \$2.3 million had initial combined loan to value ratios of between 81% and 90%. The present allowance calculation includes .66% of qualitative factors to address added concerns, above a weighted average loss factor of .24%.

There have been no changes to the Company's accounting policies or methodology from the prior periods.

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and current economic trends, among other factors. All loans are graded at inception of the loan. Subsequently, analyses are performed on an annual basis and grade changes are made as necessary. Interim grade reviews may take place if circumstances of the borrower warrant a more timely review. The Company utilizes an internal asset classification system as a means of reporting problem and potential problem loans. Under the Company's risk rating system, the Company classifies problem and potential problem loans as Watch, Substandard, Doubtful, and Loss. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

**Pass** Loans classified as pass are well protected by the ability of the borrower to pay or by the value of the asset or underlying collateral.

**Watch** Loans classified as watch have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the Company's credit position at some future date.

**Substandard** Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of any pledged collateral. Loans so classified have a well defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

**Doubtful** Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

**Loss** Loans classified as loss are the portion of the loan that is considered uncollectible so that its continuance as an asset is not warranted. The amount of the loss determined will be charged-off.

Risk characteristics applicable to each segment of the loan portfolio are described as follows.

**Residential One-to Four-Family and Equity Lines of Credit Real Estate:** The residential one-to four-family real estate loans are generally secured by owner-occupied one-to four-family residences. Repayment of these loans is primarily dependent on the personal income and credit rating of the borrowers. Credit risk in these loans can be impacted by economic conditions within the Company's market areas that might impact either property values or a borrower's personal income. Risk is mitigated by the fact that the loans are of smaller individual amounts and spread over a large number of borrowers.

**Commercial and Multi-family Real Estate:** Commercial and multi-family real estate loans typically involve larger principal amounts, and repayment of these loans is generally dependent on the successful operations of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Credit risk in these loans may be impacted by the creditworthiness of a borrower, property values and the local economies in the Company's market areas.

**Table of Contents**

**Construction Real Estate:** Construction real estate loans are usually based upon estimates of costs and estimated value of the completed project and include independent appraisal reviews and a financial analysis of the developers and property owners. Sources of repayment of these loans may include permanent loans, sales of developed property, or an interim loan commitment from the Company until permanent financing is obtained. These loans are considered to be higher risk than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, general economic conditions and the availability of long-term financing. Credit risk in these loans may be impacted by the creditworthiness of a borrower, property values and the local economies in the Company's market areas.

**Commercial:** The commercial portfolio includes loans to commercial customers for use in financing working capital needs, equipment purchases and expansions. The loans in this category are repaid primarily from the cash flow of a borrower's principal business operation. Credit risk in these loans is driven by creditworthiness of a borrower and the economic conditions that impact the cash flow stability from business operations.

**Consumer:** The consumer loan portfolio consists of various term loans such as automobile loans and loans for other personal purposes. Repayment for these types of loans will come from a borrower's income sources that are typically independent of the loan purpose. Credit risk is driven by consumer economic factors (such as unemployment and general economic conditions in the Company's market area) and the creditworthiness of a borrower.

The following tables present the credit risk profile of the Company's loan portfolio based on rating category and payment activity:

	Real Estate Loans				Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Total
	One-to Four-Family	Multi-Family	Commercial	Home Equity Lines of Credit				
<b>March 31, 2013:</b>								
Pass	\$ 140,607	\$ 48,736	\$ 60,093	\$ 8,239	\$ 2,802	\$ 14,754	\$ 10,225	\$ 285,456
Watch	596	183	371	28		1,060	2	2,240
Substandard	4,884	1,725	154	23		259	79	7,124
Doubtful			91				2	93
Loss								
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 146,087</b>	<b>\$ 50,644</b>	<b>\$ 60,709</b>	<b>\$ 8,290</b>	<b>\$ 2,802</b>	<b>\$ 16,073</b>	<b>\$ 10,308</b>	<b>\$ 294,913</b>

	Real Estate Loans				Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Total
	One-to Four-Family	Multi-Family	Commercial	Home Equity Lines of Credit				
<b>June 30, 2012:</b>								
Pass	\$ 143,180	\$ 37,069	\$ 32,830	\$ 8,986	\$ 8,396	\$ 12,739	\$ 13,465	\$ 256,665
Watch	612					1,176		1,788
Substandard	3,894	1,478	95	8		2	113	5,590
Doubtful								
Loss								
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 147,686</b>	<b>\$ 38,547</b>	<b>\$ 32,925</b>	<b>\$ 8,994</b>	<b>\$ 8,396</b>	<b>\$ 13,917</b>	<b>\$ 13,578</b>	<b>\$ 264,043</b>

The Company evaluates the loan risk grading system definitions and allowance for loan loss methodology on an ongoing basis. No significant changes were made to either during the past year.



**Table of Contents**

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days past due unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. Past due status is based on contractual terms of the loan. In all instances, loans are placed on non-accrual or are charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal and interest is considered doubtful.

All interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on non-accrual or charged-off are reversed against interest income. The interest on these loans is accounted for on a cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

The following tables present the Company's loan portfolio aging analysis:

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable	Total Loans 90 Days Past Due & Accruing
<b>March 31, 2013:</b>							
Real estate loans:							
One-to four-family	\$ 2,324	\$ 773	\$ 2,963	\$ 6,060	\$ 140,027	\$ 146,087	\$ 468
Multi-family	340			340	50,304	50,644	
Commercial			91	91	60,618	60,709	
Home equity lines of credit	130	12		142	8,148	8,290	
Construction					2,802	2,802	
Commercial	13	5		18	16,055	16,073	
Consumer	134	24	59	217	10,091	10,308	8
Total	\$ 2,941	\$ 814	\$ 3,113	\$ 6,868	\$ 288,045	\$ 294,913	\$ 476

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable	Total Loans 90 Days Past Due & Accruing
<b>June 30, 2012:</b>							
Real estate loans:							
One-to four-family	\$ 2,290	\$ 1,057	\$ 1,949	\$ 5,296	\$ 142,390	\$ 147,686	\$
Multi-family					38,547	38,547	
Commercial	176			176	32,749	32,925	
Home equity lines of credit	75	57	7	139	8,855	8,994	
Construction					8,396	8,396	
Commercial	28	11		39	13,878	13,917	
Consumer	185	23	40	248	13,330	13,578	
Total	\$ 2,754	\$ 1,148	\$ 1,996	\$ 5,898	\$ 258,145	\$ 264,043	\$

A loan is considered impaired, in accordance with the impairment accounting guidance (ASC 310-10-35-16), when based on current information and events, it is probable the Association will be unable to collect all amounts due from the borrower in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loans and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.



**Table of Contents**

Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis by either the present value of the expected future cash flows, the loan's observable market value, or, for collateral-dependent loans, the fair value of the collateral adjusted for market conditions and selling expenses. Significantly restructured loans are considered impaired in determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

The Company actively seeks to reduce its investment in impaired loans. The primary tools to work through impaired loans are settlements with the borrowers or guarantors, foreclosure of the underlying collateral, or restructuring. Included in certain loan categories in the impaired loans are \$3.3 million in troubled debt restructurings that were classified as impaired.

The following tables present impaired loans:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013				Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013				
	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Average Interest Income Recognized Cash Basis	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Average Interest Income Recognized Cash Basis	Interest on Cash Basis	
<b>March 31, 2013</b>									
<b>(Unaudited):</b>									
Loans without a specific valuation allowance									
Real estate loans:									
One-to-four family	\$ 1,585	\$ 1,585	\$	\$ 1,591	\$	\$ 2	\$ 1,607	\$ 1	\$ 8
Multi-family	53	53		54		1	56	2	3
Commercial	136	136		137	2	2	139	6	7
Home equity line of credit									
Construction									
Commercial	1	1		1			2		
Consumer	11	11		12			14	1	1
Loans with a specific valuation allowance									
Real estate loans:									
One-to-four family	2,752	2,752	702	2,712		1	2,747	2	5
Multi-family	1,672	1,672	195	1,690			1,727	1	3
Commercial	109	109	47	109			110		
Home equity line of credit	23	23	16	23			23		
Construction									
Commercial	40	40	6	40			42		1
Consumer	62	62	27	65			69	2	3
Total:									
Real estate loans:									
One-to-four family	4,337	4,337	702	4,303		3	4,354	3	13
Multi-family	1,725	1,725	195	1,744		1	1,783	3	6
Commercial	245	245	47	246	2	2	249	6	7
Home equity line of credit	23	23	16	23			23		
Construction									
Commercial	41	41	6	41			44		1
Consumer	73	73	27	77			83	3	4
	\$ 6,444	\$ 6,444	\$ 993	\$ 6,434	\$ 2	\$ 6	\$ 6,536	\$ 15	\$ 31

**Table of Contents**

	Year Ended June 30, 2012					
	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Interest on Cash Basis
<b>June 30, 2012:</b>						
Loans without a specific valuation allowance						
Real estate loans:						
One-to four-family	\$ 1,563	\$ 1,563	\$	\$ 1,573	\$ 4	\$ 5
Multi-family						
Commercial						
Home equity line of credit						
Construction						
Commercial						
Consumer	14	14		17	1	1
Loans with a specific allowance						
Real estate loans:						
One-to four-family	2,215	2,215	684	2,259	25	32
Multi-family	1,478	1,478	253	1,495	23	32
Commercial	95	95	49	98		
Home equity line of credit						
Construction						
Commercial	2	2	1	3		
Consumer	99	99	41	113	3	4
Total:						
Real estate loans:						
One-to four-family	3,778	3,778	684	3,832	29	37
Multi-family	1,478	1,478	253	1,495	23	32
Commercial	95	95	49	98		
Home equity line of credit						
Construction						
Commercial	2	2	1	3		
Consumer	113	113	41	130	4	5
	\$ 5,466	\$ 5,466	\$ 1,028	\$ 5,558	\$ 56	\$ 74

Interest income recognized on impaired loans includes interest accrued and collected on the outstanding balances of accruing impaired loans as well as interest cash collections on non-accruing impaired loans for which the ultimate collectability of principal is not uncertain.



**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the Company's nonaccrual loans at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012:

	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2012
Real estate loans:		
One-to four-family	\$ 4,337	\$ 3,667
Multi-family	1,725	1,477
Commercial	245	95
Home equity lines of credit	23	
Construction loans		
Commercial business loans	41	2
Consumer loans	73	113
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 6,444</b>	<b>\$ 5,354</b>

Included in certain loan categories in the impaired loans are troubled debt restructurings ( TDR ) which were classified as impaired, where economic concessions have been granted to borrowers who have experienced financial difficulties. These concessions typically result from our loss mitigation activities and could include reductions in the interest rate, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions. TDRs are considered impaired at the time of restructuring and may be returned to accrual status after considering the borrower's sustained repayment performance for a reasonable period of a least six months, and typically are returned to performing status after twelve months, unless impairment still exists.

When loans and leases are modified into a TDR, the Company evaluates any possible impairment similar to other impaired loans based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the contractual interest rate of the original loan or lease agreement, and uses the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs for collateral dependent loans. If the Company determines that the value of the modified loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (net of previous charge-offs, deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount), impairment is recognized through an allowance estimate or a charge-off to the allowance. In periods subsequent to modification, the Company evaluates all TDRs, including those that have payment defaults, for possible impairment and recognizes impairment through the allowance.

Beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Company adopted ASU 2011-02. The amendments in ASU 2011-02 require prospective application of the impairment measurement guidance in ASC 310-10-35 for those receivables newly identified as impaired. As a result of adopting ASU 2011-02, the Company reassessed all restructurings that occurred on or after July 1, 2011, for identification as TDRs. The Company identified no loans as troubled debt restructurings for which the allowance for loan losses had previously been measured under a general allowance for credit losses methodology. Therefore, there was no additional impact to the allowance for loan losses as a result of the adoption.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the recorded balance, at original cost, of troubled debt restructurings. Three one-to four-family TDRs totaling \$464,000, and one commercial real estate loan for \$91,000 were not performing as of March 31, 2013, while two one-to four-family TDRs totaling \$368,000 were not performing according to the terms of the restructuring, as of June 30, 2012. As of March 31, 2013 all loans listed were on nonaccrual except for one, one- to four-family residential loans totaling \$78,000. All loans listed as of June 30, 2012 were on nonaccrual except for four, one-to four-family residential loans totaling \$310,000.

	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2012
Real estate loans		
One-to four-family	\$ 1,854	\$ 2,146
Multi-family	1,385	1,478
Commercial	91	95
Home equity lines of credit		
Total real estate loans	3,330	3,719
Construction		
Commercial and industrial	41	2
Consumer loans	2	32
Total	\$ 3,373	\$ 3,753

The following table represents loans modified as troubled debt restructurings during the three and nine month periods ending March 31, 2013:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013		Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013	
	Number of Modifications	Recorded Investment	Number of Modifications	Recorded Investment
Real estate loans:				
One-to-four family	1	\$ 67	1	\$ 67
Multi-family				
Commercial				
Home equity lines of credit				
Total real estate loans	1	67	1	67
Construction				
Commercial			3	43
Consumer loans				
Total	1	\$ 67	4	\$ 110

During the nine month period ended March 31, 2013, the Company modified one, one-to-four family loan with a recorded investment of \$67,000, and three commercial loans totaling \$43,000 as troubled debt restructurings.

## Edgar Filing: BEL FUSE INC /NJ - Form 10-Q

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company modified 13 one-to four-family residential real estate loans, with a recorded investment of \$949,000, one multi-family residential real estate loan with a recorded investment of \$1.5 million, and one consumer auto loan with a recorded investment of \$8,000.

**Table of Contents**

During the nine month period ended March 31, 2012, the Company modified 13 one-to four-family residential real estate loans, with a recorded investment of \$1.1 million which were deemed TDRs.

The Company has four TDRs, all of which were one-to four-family residential loans totaling \$555,000, that were in default as of March 31, 2013, and were restructured in prior periods. None of these loans are currently in foreclosure. The Company had two TDRs, both one-to four-family residential loans totaling \$368,000, that were in default as of June 30, 2012, and were restructured in the prior years. Both loans were in foreclosure at June 30, 2012.

Specific loss allowances are included in the calculation of estimated future loss ratios, which are applied to the various loan portfolios for purposes of estimating future losses.

Management considers the level of defaults within the various portfolios, as well as the current adverse economic environment and negative outlook in the real estate and collateral markets when evaluating qualitative adjustments used to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. We believe the qualitative adjustments more accurately reflect collateral values in light of the sales and economic conditions that we have recently observed.

**Note 8: Federal Home Loan Bank Stock**

Federal Home Loan Bank stock is a required investment for institutions that are members of the Federal Home Loan Bank system. The required investment in the common stock is based on a predetermined formula. The Company owned \$5,425,000 and \$4,175,000 of Federal Home Loan Bank stock as of March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012 respectively. The FHLB provides liquidity and funding through advances and the increase in Federal Home Loan Bank stock allowed the Company to increase borrowing capacity of Federal Home Loan Bank advances. In the calendar year 2012, quarterly dividends were paid at an annualized rate of 25, 30, 35, and 30 basis points, respectively. Management performed an analysis as of March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012 and deemed the cost method investment in FHLB stock was ultimately recoverable.

**Note 9: Comprehensive Income**

Other comprehensive income (loss) components and related taxes were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	March 31, 2013	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2013	March 31, 2012
Net unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale	\$ (1,529)	\$ 58	\$ (1,500)	\$ 4,314
Less reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in income	61	95	629	385
	(1,590)	(37)	(2,129)	3,929
Postretirement health plan				
Amortization of transition obligation	9	8	25	25
Amortization of prior service cost	(12)	(12)	(36)	(36)
Change in net loss	(31)	(53)	(91)	(144)
	(34)	(57)	(102)	(155)
Other comprehensive income, before tax effect	(1,624)	(94)	(2,231)	3,774
Less tax expense	(479)	(37)	(709)	1,434
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (1,145)	\$ (57)	\$ (1,522)	\$ 2,340



**Table of Contents**

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, included in stockholders' equity, are as follows:

	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2012
Net unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale	\$ 6,230	\$ 8,360
Net unrealized postretirement health benefit plan obligations	(144)	(42)
	6,086	8,318
Tax effect	(2,450)	(3,160)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,636</b>	<b>\$ 5,158</b>

**Note 10: Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) by Component**

Amounts reclassified from AOCI and the affected line items in the statements of income during the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, were as follows:

	Amounts Reclassified from AOCI				Affected Line Item in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income
	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	\$ 61	\$ 95	\$ 629	\$ 385	Net realized gains on sale of available-for-sale securities
Amortization of defined benefit pension items Transition obligation	\$ 9	\$ 8	\$ 25	\$ 25	Compensation and benefits expense
Prior service costs	\$ (12)	\$ (12)	\$ (36)	\$ (36)	
Total reclassified amount before tax	58	91	618	374	
Tax expense	(24)	(36)	(249)	(151)	Provision for Income Tax
Total reclassification out of AOCI	\$ 34	\$ 55	\$ 369	\$ (223)	Net Income

**Table of Contents****Note 11: Income Taxes**

A reconciliation of income tax expense (benefit) at the statutory rate to the Company's actual income tax expense (benefit) is shown below:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Computed at the statutory rate (34%)	\$ 490	\$ 451	\$ 1,459	\$ 216
Decrease resulting from				
Tax exempt interest	(10)	(10)	(30)	(31)
Cash surrender value of life insurance	(22)	(22)	(67)	(66)
State income taxes	89	42	174	20
Other	(29)	17	(11)	(1)
<b>Actual expense</b>	<b>\$ 518</b>	<b>\$ 478</b>	<b>\$ 1,525</b>	<b>\$ 138</b>

The Company established a charitable foundation at the time of its mutual-to-stock conversion and donated to it shares of common stock equal to 7% of the shares sold in the offering, or 314,755 shares. The donated shares were valued at \$3,147,550 (\$10.00 per share) at the time of conversion. The Association also contributed \$450,000 in cash to the Foundation. The \$3,147,550 and the \$450,000 cash donation, or a total of \$3,597,550 was expensed during the nine month period ended March 31, 2012. The Company established a deferred tax asset associated with this charitable contribution. No valuation allowance was deemed necessary as it appears the Company will be able to deduct the contribution, which is subject to limitations each year, during the initial year ended June 30, 2012 and the five year carry forward period.

**Note 12: Disclosures About Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities**

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability, in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

There is a hierarchy of three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities

**Table of Contents****Recurring Measurements**

The following table presents the fair value measurements of assets recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012:

	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>March 31, 2013:</b>				
Available-for-sale securities:				
US Government and federal agency	\$ 142,890	\$	\$ 142,890	\$
Mortgage-backed securities GSE residential	76,021		76,021	
State and political subdivisions	3,990		3,990	
Mortgage servicing rights	384			384

	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>June 30, 2012:</b>				
Available-for-sale securities:				
US Government and federal agency	\$ 160,958	\$	\$ 160,958	\$
Mortgage-backed securities GSE residential	58,867		58,867	
State and political subdivisions	3,481		3,481	
Mortgage servicing rights	329			329

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies and inputs used for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy. There have been no significant changes in the valuation techniques during the period ended March 31, 2013. For assets classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the process used to develop the reported fair value is described below.

**Available-for-Sale Securities**

Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. There were no Level 1 securities as of March 31, 2013 or June 30, 2012. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or



**Table of Contents**

discounted cash flows. For these investments, the inputs used by the pricing service to determine fair value may include one, or a combination of, observable inputs such as benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bid, offers and reference data market research publications and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 2 securities include U.S. Government and federal agency, mortgage-backed securities (GSE - residential) and state and political subdivisions. In certain cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy. There were no Level 3 securities as of March 31, 2013 or June 30, 2012.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights**

Mortgage servicing rights do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. Accordingly, fair value is estimated using discounted cash flow models. Due to the nature of the valuation inputs, mortgage servicing rights are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

**Level 3 Reconciliation**

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of recurring fair value measurements recognized in the accompanying balance sheet using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs:

	<b>Mortgage Servicing Rights</b>
Balance, July 1, 2012	\$ 329
Total realized and unrealized gains and losses included in net income	1
Servicing rights that result from asset transfers	108
Payments received and loans refinanced	(54)
Balance, March 31, 2013	\$ 384
Total gains or losses for the period included in net income attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses related to assets and liabilities still held at the reporting date	\$ 1

Realized and unrealized gains and losses for items reflected in the table above are included in net income in the consolidated statements of income as noninterest income.

**Table of Contents****Nonrecurring Measurements**

The following table presents the fair value measurement of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012:

	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>March 31, 2013:</b>				
Impaired loans (collateral-dependent)	\$ 886	\$	\$	\$ 886
Foreclosed assets	167			167
<b>June 30, 2012:</b>				
Impaired loans (collateral-dependent)	\$ 2,438	\$	\$	\$ 2,438
Foreclosed assets	279			279

The following table presents losses recognized on assets measured on a non-recurring basis for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	March 31, 2013	March 31, 2012	March 31, 2013	March 31, 2012
Impaired loans (collateral-dependent)	\$ 28,000	\$ (264,000)	\$ 15,000	\$ (204,000)
Foreclosed and repossessed assets held for sale	(28,000)	(24,000)	(28,000)	(26,000)
<b>Total losses on assets measured on a non-recurring basis</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (288,000)</b>	<b>\$ (13,000)</b>	<b>\$ (230,000)</b>

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy. For assets classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the process used to develop the reported fair value is described below.

**Collateral-dependent Impaired Loans, Net of the Allowance for Loan Losses**

The estimated fair value of collateral-dependent impaired loans is based on the appraised fair value of the collateral, less estimated cost to sell. Collateral-dependent impaired loans are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

**Table of Contents**

The Company considers the appraisal or evaluation as the starting point for determining fair value and then considers other factors and events in the environment that may affect the fair value. Appraisals of the collateral underlying collateral-dependent loans are obtained when the loan is determined to be collateral-dependent and subsequently as deemed necessary by the senior lending officer. Appraisals are reviewed for accuracy and consistency by the senior lending officer. Appraisers are selected from the list of approved appraisers maintained by management. The appraised values are reduced by discounts to consider lack of marketability and estimated cost to sell if repayment or satisfaction of the loan is dependent on the sale of the collateral. These discounts and estimates are developed by the senior lending officer by comparison to historical results.

**Foreclosed Assets**

Foreclosed assets consist primarily of real estate owned. Real estate owned (OREO) is carried at the lower of fair value at acquisition date or current estimated fair value, less estimated cost to sell when the real estate is acquired. Estimated fair value of OREO is based on appraisals or evaluations. OREO is classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Appraisals of OREO are obtained when the real estate is acquired and subsequently as deemed necessary by the senior lending officer. Appraisals are reviewed for accuracy and consistency by the senior lending officer. Appraisers are selected from the list of approved appraisers maintained by management.

**Unobservable (Level 3) Inputs**

The following tables present quantitative information about unobservable inputs used in recurring and nonrecurring Level 3 fair value measurements at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

	Fair Value at March 31, 2013	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
Mortgage servicing rights	\$ 384	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	10.5% - 11.5% (10.5%)
			Constant prepayment rate	17.4% - 22.9% (21.5%)
			Probability of default	.29% - .32% (.32%)
Collateral-dependent impaired loans	886	Market comparable properties	Marketability discount	0% - 24% (20%)
Foreclosed assets	167	Market comparable properties	Comparability adjustments (%)	24% (24%)

	Fair Value at June 30, 2012	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
Mortgage servicing rights	\$ 329	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	10.5% - 11.5% (10.5%)
			Constant prepayment rate	16.9% - 22.4% (21.0%)
			Probability of default	.29% - .32% (.32%)
Collateral-dependent impaired loans	2,438	Market comparable properties	Marketability discount	0% - 24% (15%)
Foreclosed assets	279	Market comparable properties	Comparability adjustments (%)	12% - 24% (19%)



**Table of Contents****Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The following tables present estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>March 31, 2013:</b>				
Financial assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 13,404	\$ 13,404	\$	\$
Interest-bearing time deposits in banks	250	250		
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	290,571			297,414
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	5,425		5,425	
Accrued interest receivable	2,098		2,098	
Financial liabilities				
Deposits	371,065		150,657	220,834
Repurchase agreements	1,665		1,665	
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	86,000		88,164	
Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	1,363		1,363	
Accrued interest payable	41		41	
Unrecognized financial instruments (net of contract amount)				
Commitments to originate loans				
Lines of credit				

	Fair Value at March 31, 2013	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	\$ 297,414	Discounted cash flow	Current rate sheets	1.5% - 11.9%  (4.4%)
Deposits	220,834	Discounted cash flow	Current rate sheets	0.3% - 1.4%  (0.8%)

**Table of Contents**

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>June 30, 2012:</b>				
<b>Financial assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,193	\$ 8,193	\$	\$
Interest-bearing time deposits in banks	250	250		
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	258,910			262,954
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	4,175		4,175	
Accrued interest receivable	1,861		1,861	
<b>Financial liabilities</b>				
Deposits	344,485		144,293	200,893
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	75,000		74,496	
Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	955		955	
Accrued interest payable	43		43	
Unrecognized financial instruments (net of contract amount)				
Commitments to originate loans				
Lines of credit				

	Fair Value at June 30, 2012	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	\$ 262,954	Discounted cash flow	Current rate sheets	1.5% - 11.9% (4.4%)
Deposits	200,893	Discounted cash flow	Current rate sheets	0.3% - 1.3% (0.8%)

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of all other financial instruments recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at amounts other than fair value.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents, Interest-Bearing Time Deposits in Banks, Federal Home Loan Bank Stock, Accrued Interest Receivable, Accrued Interest Payable, Repurchase Agreements and Advances from Borrowers for Taxes and Insurance**

The carrying amount approximates fair value.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Loans**

The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. Loans with similar characteristics were aggregated for purposes of the calculations.

### **Deposits**

Deposits include demand deposits, savings accounts, NOW accounts and certain money market deposits. The carrying amount of these types of deposits approximates fair value. The fair value of fixed-maturity time deposits is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

### **Federal Home Loan Bank Advances**

Rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of existing debt.

### **Commitments to Originate Loans and Lines of Credit**

The fair value of commitments to originate loans is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair values of lines of credit are based on fees currently charged for similar agreements, or on the estimated cost to terminate or otherwise settle the obligations with the counterparties at the reporting date.

### **Note 13: Commitments Commitments to Originate Loans**

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since a portion of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer's creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, commercial real estate and residential real estate.

### **Lines of Credit**

Lines of credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Lines of credit generally have fixed expiration dates. Since a portion of the line may expire without being drawn upon, the total unused lines do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer's creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, commercial real estate and residential real estate. Management uses the same credit policies in granting lines of credit as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations  
Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

This Quarterly Report may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These statements are not historical facts, but rather are statements based on management's current expectations regarding its business strategies and their intended results and IF Bancorp, Inc.'s (the Company) future performance. Forward-looking statements are preceded by terms such as expects, believes, anticipates, intends and similar expressions.

Management's ability to predict results or the effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors that could have a material adverse effect on our actual results include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, changes in the interest rate environment, legislative or regulatory changes that may adversely affect our business, changes in accounting policies and practices, changes in competition and demand for financial services, adverse changes in the securities markets and changes in the quality or composition of the Association's loan or investment portfolios. Additional factors that may affect our results are discussed under Item 1A. - Risk Factors, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012, and the Company's other filings with the SEC. These factors should be considered in evaluating the forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. IF Bancorp, Inc. assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement, except as may be required by law.

**Overview**

On July 7, 2011 we completed our initial public offering of common stock in connection with Iroquois Federal Savings and Loan Association's (the Association) mutual-to-stock conversion, selling 4,496,500 shares of common stock at \$10.00 per share, including 384,900 shares sold to Iroquois Federal's employee stock ownership plan, and raising approximately \$45.0 million of gross proceeds. In addition, we issued 314,755 shares of our common stock to the Iroquois Federal Foundation bringing our total shares to 4,811,255. The 314,755 shares donated to the foundation were valued at \$3,147,550 (\$10.00 per share) at the time of the conversion. This \$3,147,550 and a \$450,000 cash donation to the foundation were both expensed during the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

The Company is a savings and loan holding company and is subject to regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. The Company's business activities are limited to oversight of its investment in the Association.

The Association is primarily engaged in providing a full range of banking and mortgage services to individual and corporate customers within a 100-mile radius of its locations in Watseka, Danville, Clifton and Hoopeston, Illinois and Osage Beach, Missouri. The principal activity of the Association's wholly-owned subsidiary, L.C.I. Service Corporation (L.C.I.), is the sale of property and casualty insurance. The Association is subject to regulation by the Office of the Controller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Our results of operations depend primarily on our net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets, consisting primarily of loans, investment securities and other interest-earning assets, and the interest paid on our interest-bearing liabilities, consisting primarily of savings and transaction accounts, certificates of deposit, and Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago advances. We also added repurchase agreements in the quarter ended March 31, 2013, as an alternative for customers with more than FDIC insured amounts to invest. Our results of operations also are affected by our provision for loan losses, noninterest income and noninterest expense. Noninterest income consists primarily of customer service fees, brokerage commission income, insurance commission income, net realized gains on loan sales, mortgage banking income, and income on bank-owned life insurance. Noninterest expense consists primarily of compensation and benefits, occupancy and equipment, data processing, professional fees, marketing, office supplies, federal deposit insurance premiums, and foreclosed assets. Our results of operations also may be affected significantly by general and local economic and competitive conditions, changes in market interest rates, governmental policies and actions of regulatory authorities.



---

## **Table of Contents**

Our net interest rate spread (the difference between the yield on average interest-earning assets and the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities) decreased to 2.75% for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from 2.89% for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. An increase in interest-earning assets contributed to an increase in net interest income to \$10.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from \$10.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012.

Our emphasis on conservative loan underwriting has resulted in relatively low levels of non-performing assets at a time when many financial institutions are experiencing significant asset quality issues. Our non-performing assets totaled \$7.6 million or 1.4% of total assets at March 31, 2013, and \$6.6 million, or 1.3% of total assets at June 30, 2012.

At March 31, 2013, the Association was categorized as well capitalized under regulatory capital requirements.

Our net income for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 was \$2.8 million, compared to a net income of \$497,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The increase in net income was primarily due to a decrease in noninterest expense, which occurred because the nine months ended March 31, 2012 included a \$3.6 million expense (\$2.2 million net of tax) for the contribution to our newly established charitable foundation.

Management's discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations at and for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 is intended to assist in understanding the financial condition and results of operations of the Association. The information contained in this section should be read in conjunction with the unaudited financial statements and the notes thereto, appearing in Part I, Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

We define critical accounting policies as those policies that require management to exercise significant judgment or discretion or make significant assumptions that have, or could have, a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets or on income. We consider the following to be our critical accounting policies.

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** We believe that the allowance for loan losses and related provision for loan losses are particularly susceptible to change in the near term, due to changes in credit quality which are evidenced by trends in charge-offs and in the volume and severity of past due loans. In addition, our portfolio is comprised of a substantial amount of commercial real estate loans which generally have greater credit risk than one-to four-family residential mortgage and consumer loans because these loans generally have larger principal balances and are non-homogenous.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level to provide for probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. Based on our estimate of the level of allowance for loan losses required, we record a provision for loan losses as a charge to earnings to maintain the allowance for loan losses at an appropriate level. The estimate of our credit losses is applied to two general categories of loans:

loans that we evaluate individually for impairment under ASC 310-10, Receivables; and

groups of loans with similar risk characteristics that we evaluate collectively for impairment under ASC 450-20, Loss Contingencies.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and reflects consideration of all significant factors that affect the collectability of the loan portfolio. The factors used to evaluate the collectability of the loan portfolio include, but are not limited to, current economic conditions, our historical loss experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, the financial strength of the borrower, and the estimated value of any underlying collateral. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are subject to significant revision as more information becomes available. Actual loan losses may be significantly more than the allowance for loan losses we have established which could have a material negative effect on our financial results.

**Table of Contents**

**Income Tax Accounting.** The provision for income taxes is based upon income in our consolidated financial statements, rather than amounts reported on our income tax return. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on our deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized as income or expense in the period that includes the enactment date. Under U.S. GAAP, a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will not be realized. The determination as to whether we will be able to realize the deferred tax assets is highly subjective and dependent upon judgment concerning our evaluation of both positive and negative evidence, our forecasts of future income, applicable tax planning strategies, and assessments of current and future economic and business conditions. Positive evidence includes the existence of taxes paid in available carryback years as well as the probability that taxable income will be generated in future periods, while negative evidence includes any cumulative losses in the current year and prior two years and general business and economic trends. Any reduction in estimated future taxable income may require us to record a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. Any required valuation allowance would result in additional income tax expense in the period and could have a significant impact on our future earnings. Positions taken in our tax returns may be subject to challenge by the taxing authorities upon examination. The benefit of an uncertain tax position is initially recognized in the financial statements only when it is more likely than not the position will be sustained upon examination by the tax authorities. Such tax positions are both initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement with the tax authority, assuming full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts. Differences between our position and the position of tax authorities could result in a reduction of a tax benefit or an increase to a tax liability, which could adversely affect our future income tax expense.

There are no material changes to the critical accounting policies disclosed in IF Bancorp, Inc.'s Form 10-K for fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

**Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012**

Total assets increased \$38.0 million, or 7.4%, to \$549.3 million at March 31, 2013 from \$511.3 million at June 30, 2012. The increase was primarily due to a \$31.7 million increase in net loans, a \$5.2 million increase in cash and cash equivalents and a \$1.3 million increase in Federal Home Loan Bank stock.

Net loans receivable, including loans held for sale, increased by \$31.7 million, or 12.2%, to \$290.6 million at March 31, 2013 from \$258.9 million at June 30, 2012. The increase in net loans receivable during this period was due primarily to a \$27.8 million, or 84.4%, increase in commercial real estate loans, a \$12.1 million, or 31.4%, increase in multi-family loans and a \$2.2 million, or 15.5%, increase in commercial business loans. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$5.6 million, or 66.6%, in construction loans, a decrease of \$3.3 million, or 24.1%, in consumer loans, a decrease of \$1.6 million, or 1.1%, in one- to four-family loans, and a decrease of \$704,000, or 7.8%, in home equity lines of credit.

Investment securities, consisting entirely of securities available-for-sale, decreased \$405,000, or 0.2%, to \$222.9 million at March 31, 2013 from \$223.3 million at June 30, 2012. This decrease was a result of security sales to reposition our investment portfolio and to fund loans. Purchased investment securities consisted primarily of agency debt obligations with terms of four to seven years and fixed-rate mortgage backed securities with terms of 15 years, all of which are held as available-for-sale. We had no securities held to maturity at March 31, 2013 or June 30, 2012.

As of March 31, 2013, Federal Home Loan Bank stock increased \$1.3 million to \$5.4 million, interest receivable increased \$237,000 to \$2.1 million, foreclosed assets held for sale (other real estate owned) decreased \$562,000 to \$706,000 and other assets decreased \$259,000 to \$929,000 from the respective balances as of June 30, 2012. Federal Home Loan Bank stock increased due to stock purchases to support fluctuations in Federal Home Loan Bank advances as we funded new loans and repositioned our investment portfolio. The increase in interest receivable is primarily due to increases in interest receivable on investments and interest receivable on commercial loans, the decrease in other real estate owned is due to the sale of other real estate owned, and the decrease in other assets resulted from a decrease in prepaid insurance due to the timing of multi-year premiums and also from a decrease in accounts receivable general due to the receipt of a receivable that was outstanding as of June 30, 2012.

**Table of Contents**

At March 31, 2013, our investment in bank-owned life insurance was \$7.7 million, an increase of \$197,000 from \$7.5 million at June 30, 2012. We invest in bank-owned life insurance to provide us with a funding source for our benefit plan obligations. Bank-owned life insurance also generally provides us noninterest income that is non-taxable. Federal regulations generally limit our investment in bank-owned life insurance to 25% of our Tier 1 capital plus our allowance for loan losses, which totaled \$15.4 million at March 31, 2013.

Deposits increased \$26.6 million, or 7.7%, to \$371.1 million at March 31, 2013 from \$344.5 million at June 30, 2012. Certificates of deposit, excluding brokered certificates of deposit, decreased \$1.9 million, or 1.0%, to \$186.8 million, savings, NOW, and money market accounts increased \$3.2 million, or 2.4%, to \$136.9 million, brokered certificates of deposit increased \$22.1 million, or 192.5%, to \$33.6 million, and noninterest bearing demand accounts increased \$3.1 million, or 29.6%, to \$13.7 million. Repurchase agreements were utilized for the first time so the balance increased \$1.7 million to \$1.7 million. Borrowings, which consisted solely of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago, increased \$11.0 million, or 14.7%, to \$86.0 million at March 31, 2013 from \$75.0 million at June 30, 2012. We increased our borrowings and brokered certificates of deposit to fund loans. Current interest rates on borrowings are more favorable than rates paid on certificates of deposit.

Other liabilities decreased \$187,000, or 9.9%, to \$1.7 million at March 31, 2013 from \$1.9 million on June 30, 2012. The decrease was attributable to a general decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses payable due to timing of payments.

Total equity decreased \$1.5 million, or 1.7%, to \$85.2 million at March 31, 2013 from \$86.6 million at June 30, 2012. Equity decreased due to a decrease in unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale of \$1.5 million and the repurchase of 214,035 shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of approximately \$2.9 million, partially offset by a net income of \$2.8 million. The decrease in unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale was due to a fluctuation in interest rates and a \$629,000 net realized gain on sale of available-for-sale securities included in income. A stock repurchase program was adopted during the quarter ended September 30, 2012, which authorized the company to repurchase up to 240,563 shares of its common stock, or approximately 5% of then current outstanding shares. As of March 31, 2013, 214,035 shares were repurchased, leaving the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plan at 26,528.

**Comparison of Operating Results for the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012**

**General.** Net income increased \$2.3 million to \$2.8 million net income for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from a \$497,000 net income for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to a decrease in noninterest expense, which occurred because the nine months ended March 31, 2012 included a \$3.6 million expense for the contribution to our newly established charitable foundation. This increase was also impacted by an increase in noninterest income, a decrease in interest expense and a decrease in provision for loan losses, partially offset by a decrease in interest and dividend income.

**Net Interest Income.** Net interest income increased by \$226,000, or 2.1%, to \$10.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from \$10.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The increase was due to a decrease of \$599,000 in interest expense, partially offset by a decrease of \$373,000 in interest and dividend income. The decrease in interest expense was primarily the result of lower rates paid on certificates of deposit. We had a \$40.6 million, or 8.8% increase in the average balance of interest earning assets, partially offset by a \$35.0 million, or 9.0% increase in average balance of interest bearing liabilities. Our interest rate spread decreased by 14 basis points to 2.75% for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from 2.89% for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, and our net interest margin decreased by 19 basis points to 2.86% for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from 3.05% for the nine months ended March 31, 2012.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Interest and Dividend Income.** Interest and dividend income decreased \$373,000, or 2.8%, to \$13.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from \$13.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in interest income was primarily due to a decrease in interest income on securities, partially offset by an increase in interest income on loans. Interest on securities decreased \$412,000, or 9.5%, as a \$10.2 million increase in the average balance of securities to \$213.5 million at March 31, 2013 was more than offset by a 39 basis point decrease in the average yield on securities from 2.83% to 2.44%. An increase of \$39,000 in interest on loans resulted from a \$29.8 million, or 12.0%, increase in the average balance of loans to \$277.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013, partially offset by a 51 basis point, or 10.4%, decrease in the average yield on loans from 4.92% to 4.41%. The decrease in the average yield on loans and securities reflected a reduction in the current interest rates charged on loans originated and paid on securities purchased during the period versus the average rates on loans and securities in the portfolio in the prior period.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense decreased \$599,000, or 20.4%, to \$2.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from \$2.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower market interest rates during the period, partially offset by increased average balances of deposits and borrowings.

Interest expense on interest-bearing deposits decreased by \$582,000, or 25.7%, to \$1.7 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from \$2.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease of 26 basis points in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits to 0.67% for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from 0.93% for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. We experienced decreases in the average cost across all categories of interest-bearing deposits for the nine months ended March 31, 2013, reflecting lower market interest rates as compared to the prior period. The decrease in average cost was partially offset by a \$9.2 million, or 2.8%, increase in the average balance of interest-bearing deposits to \$334.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from \$325.7 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012.

Interest expense on borrowings decreased \$17,000, or 2.5%, to \$659,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from \$676,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was due a 44 basis point decrease in the average cost of such borrowings to 1.00% for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from 1.44% for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, largely offset by an increase in the average balance of borrowings to \$88.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from \$62.4 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** We establish provisions for loan losses, which are charged to operations in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level we consider necessary to absorb probable credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio. We recorded a provision for loan losses of \$552,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2013, compared to a provision for loan losses of \$727,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The allowance for loan losses was \$4.0 million, or 1.35% of total loans, at March 31, 2013, compared to \$3.3 million, or 1.31% of total loans, at March 31, 2012 and \$3.5 million, or 1.34% of total loans, at June 30, 2012. Non-performing loans increased during the nine month period ended March 31, 2013 mainly due to four relationships: one in the amount of \$633,000 where the borrower has expressed financial difficulty; and, 3 home loans totaling \$521,000 entering the foreclosure process. Although the loans were substantially collateralized, the first relationship accounted for an addition to the reserves of \$47,000 while two of the three home loan relationships required an aggregate of \$95,000 in additional reserves. During the nine months ended March 31, 2013, a net charge-off of \$97,000 was recorded while during the nine months ended March 31, 2012, a net charge-off of \$559,000 was recorded.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth information regarding the allowance for loan losses and nonperforming assets at the dates indicated:

	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	<b>Year Ended</b>
	<b>March 31, 2013</b>	<b>June 30, 2012</b>
Allowance to non-performing loans	57.60%	65.95%
Allowance to total loans outstanding at the end of the period	1.35%	1.34%
Net charge-offs to average total loans outstanding during the period, annualized	.05%	.30%
Total non-performing loans to total loans	2.35%	2.03%
Total non-performing assets to total assets	1.39%	1.30%

**Noninterest Income.** Noninterest income increased \$601,000, or 21.5%, to \$3.4 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$2.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to increases in net realized gains on the sale of securities available-for-sale and mortgage banking income, partially offset by a decrease in customer service fees. For the nine months ended March 31, 2013, net realized gains on the sale of securities available-for-sale increased from \$385,000 to \$629,000 and mortgage banking income increased from \$206,000 to \$441,000, while customer service fees decreased from \$466,000 to \$414,000. The increase in net realized gains on the sale of available-for-sale securities was due to the rate environment in the nine months ended March 31, 2013, that allowed for profits to be gained when repositioning the investment portfolio that were not available in the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The increase in mortgage banking income was primarily due to an increase in mortgage servicing rights as a result of a higher balance of loans sold at March 31, 2013 compared to March 31, 2012. The decrease in customer service fees reflects fewer service fees and charges collected on deposit accounts.

**Noninterest Expense.** Noninterest expense decreased \$2.7 million, or 22.2%, to \$9.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 from \$12.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The largest components of this decrease were charitable contributions, which decreased \$3.6 million, or 99.8%, and audit and examinations, which decreased \$55,000, or 19.4%. The decrease in charitable contributions was a result of a donation of \$3.6 million in stock and cash to fund our charitable foundation in the nine months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in audit and examinations was the result of increased costs associated with transitioning to a public company in the nine months ended March 31, 2012. These decreases were partially offset by increases in compensation and benefits of \$478,000, and equipment expense of \$206,000. Increased staffing, normal salary increases and increases in payroll taxes primarily accounted for the increase in compensation and benefits expense. Increases in equipment expense were due to routine technology upgrades and expenses incurred to move our information technology department to a more secure and efficient location.

**Income Tax Expense.** We recorded a provision for income tax of \$1.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013, compared to a provision for income tax of \$138,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, reflecting effective tax rates of 35.5% and 21.7%, respectively. The increased tax rate for the nine months ended March 31, 2013, was a result of a lower taxable income in the nine months ended March 31, 2012, due to a contribution of \$3.6 million to establish our charitable foundation, Iroquois Federal Foundation, Inc.

**Table of Contents****Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012**

**General.** Net income increased \$76,000 to \$924,000 net income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from a \$848,000 net income for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to decreases in the provision for loan losses and interest expense, partially offset by a decrease in interest and dividend income, a decrease in noninterest income and an increase in noninterest expense.

**Net Interest Income.** Net interest income remained at \$3.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The relatively unchanged net interest income in the two periods was a result of a decrease of \$166,000 in interest expense offset by a decrease of \$100,000 in interest and dividend income. We had a \$55.3 million, or 11.9%, increase in the average balance of interest earning assets, partially offset by a \$47.1 million, or 12.0%, increase in average balance of interest bearing liabilities. Our interest rate spread decreased by 20 basis points to 2.70% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from 2.90% for the three months ended March 31, 2012, and our net interest margin decreased by 24 basis points to 2.81% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from 3.05% for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

**Interest and Dividend Income.** Interest and dividend income decreased \$100,000, or 2.2%, to \$4.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$4.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in interest and dividend income was primarily due to a \$196,000 decrease in interest income on securities, partially offset by a \$93,000 increase in interest on loans. Interest income on securities decreased due to a 49 basis point, or 17.5%, decrease in the average yield on securities from 2.80% to 2.31%, partially offset by a \$9.8 million, or 4.8%, increase in the average balance of securities to \$216.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from \$206.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Interest on loans increased \$93,000, or 3.1%, as a \$38.9 million increase in the average balance of loans to \$293.9 at March 31, 2013 was partially offset by a 51 basis point decrease in the average yield on loans from 4.78% to 4.27%. The decrease in the average yield on loans and securities reflected a reduction in the current interest rates charged on loans originated and paid on securities purchased during the period versus the average rates on loans and securities in the portfolio during the prior period.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense decreased \$166,000, or 18.0%, to \$755,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$921,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower market interest rates during the period.

Interest expense on interest-bearing deposits decreased by \$152,000, or 21.8%, to \$546,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$698,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease of 22 basis points in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits to 0.63% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from 0.85% for the three months ended March 31, 2012. We experienced decreases in the average cost across all categories of interest-bearing deposits for the three months ended March 31, 2013, reflecting lower market interest rates as compared to the prior period. The decrease was partially offset by a \$16.1 million, or 4.9%, increase in the average balance of interest-bearing deposits to \$344.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$328.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Interest expense on borrowings decreased \$14,000, or 6.3%, to \$209,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$223,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was due to a 50 basis point decrease in the average cost of such borrowings to 0.87% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from 1.37% for the three months ended March 31, 2012, partially offset by an increase in the average balance of borrowings to \$95.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$65.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** We recorded a provision for loan losses of \$45,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to a provision for loan losses of \$393,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. During the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, \$24,000 and \$184,000 in net charge-offs were recorded.

**Noninterest Income.** Noninterest income decreased \$28,000, or 2.9%, to \$931,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$959,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The slight decrease was primarily due to a decrease in

---

## **Table of Contents**

net realized gains on the sale of securities available-for-sale, partially offset by an increase in insurance commissions. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net realized gains on the sale of securities available-for-sale decreased from \$95,000 to \$61,000, while insurance commissions increased from \$178,000 to \$200,000. The decrease in net realized gains on the sale of available-for-sale securities was due to the rate environment in the three months ended March 31, 2012, that allowed for profits to be gained when repositioning the investment portfolio that were not available in the three months ended March 31, 2013. The increase in insurance commissions was due to an increase in insurance sales.

**Noninterest Expense.** Noninterest expense increased \$270,000, or 9.6%, to \$3.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$2.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The largest components of this increase were compensation and benefits, which increased \$219,000 or 12.1%, and equipment expense, which increased \$72,000 or 40.0%, partially offset by a decrease of \$11,000, or 19.0% in professional services. Increased staffing, normal salary increases and increases in payroll taxes primarily accounted for the increase in compensation and benefits expense. Increases in equipment expense were due to routine technology upgrades and expenses incurred to move our information technology department to a more secure and efficient location. The decrease in professional services was due to increased costs in the three months ended March 31, 2012 as we transitioned to operating as a public company.

**Income Tax Expense.** We recorded a provision for income tax of \$518,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to a provision for income tax of \$478,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, reflecting effective tax rates of 35.9% and 36.0%, respectively.

### **Asset Quality**

At March 31, 2013, our non-accrual loans totaled \$6.4 million, including \$4.3 million in one-to four-family loans, \$1.7 million in multi-family loans, \$245,000 in commercial real estate loans, \$23,000 in home equity lines of credit, \$41,000 in commercial business loans and \$73,000 in consumer loans. The commercial real estate loans are secured by commercial rental properties. At March 31, 2013, we had \$476,000 in loans delinquent 90 days or greater and still accruing interest, including 5 one-to four-family loans totaling \$468,000 and 2 auto loans aggregating \$8,000.

At March 31, 2013, loans classified as substandard and doubtful equaled \$7.1 million and \$93,000, respectively. Loans classified as substandard consisted of \$4.9 million in one-to four-family loans, \$1.7 million in multi-family loans, \$154,000 in commercial real estate loans, \$23,000 in home equity lines of credit, \$259,000 in commercial business loans and \$79,000 in consumer loans. Loans classified as doubtful consisted of \$91,000 in commercial real estate and \$2,000 in consumer loans. At March 31, 2013, no loans were classified as loss.

At March 31, 2013, watch assets consisted of \$596,000 in one-to four-family loans, \$183,000 in multi-family loans, \$371,000 in commercial real estate loans, \$28,000 in home equity lines of credit, \$1.1 million in commercial business loans and \$2,000 in consumer loans.

**Troubled Debt Restructuring.** Troubled debt restructurings include loans for which economic concessions have been granted to borrowers with financial difficulties. We periodically modify loans to extend the term or make other concessions to help borrowers stay current on their loans and to avoid foreclosure. At March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, we had \$3.4 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, of troubled debt restructurings. At March 31, 2013 our troubled debt restructurings consisted of \$1.9 million in one-to four-family loans, \$1.4 million in multi-family loans, \$91,000 in commercial real estate loans, \$41,000 in commercial business loans and \$2,000 in consumer loans.

At March 31, 2013, we had \$706,000 in foreclosed assets compared to \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2012. Foreclosed assets at March 31, 2013 consisted of \$702,000 in residential real estate properties and one piece of commercial equipment valued at \$4,000, while at June 30, 2012, foreclosed assets consisted entirely of residential real estate properties.

**Table of Contents****Allowance for Loan Loss Activity**

The Company regularly reviews its allowance for loan losses and makes adjustments to its balance based on management's analysis of the loan portfolio, the amount of non-performing and classified loans, as well as general economic conditions. Although the Company maintains its allowance for loan losses at a level that it considers sufficient to provide for losses, there can be no assurance that future losses will not exceed internal estimates. In addition, the amount of the allowance for loan losses is subject to review by regulatory agencies, which can order the establishment of additional loss provisions. The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for loan losses over the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Nine months ended	
	March 31,	
	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 3,531	\$ 3,149
Loans charged off		
Real estate loans:		
One-to four-family	(35)	(501)
Multi-family		
Commercial		(49)
HELOC		
Construction		
Commercial business	(50)	(29)
Consumer	(64)	(86)
<b>Gross charged off loans</b>	<b>(149)</b>	<b>(665)</b>
Recoveries of loans previously charged off		
Real estate loans:		
One-to four-family	41	71
Multi-family		
Commercial		
HELOC		
Construction		
Commercial business		
Consumer	11	35
<b>Gross recoveries of charged off loans</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>Net charge offs</b>	<b>(97)</b>	<b>(559)</b>
<b>Provision charged to expense</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>727</b>
Balance, end of period	\$ 3,986	\$ 3,317

The allowance for loan losses has been calculated based upon an evaluation of pertinent factors underlying the various types and quality of the Company's loans. Management considers such factors as the repayment status of a loan, the estimated net fair value of the underlying collateral, the borrower's intent and ability to repay the loan, local economic conditions, and the Company's historical loss ratios. We maintain the allowance for loan losses through the provisions for loan losses that we charge to income. We charge losses on loans against the allowance for loan losses when we believe the collection of loan principal is unlikely. The allowance for loan losses increased \$455,000 to \$4.0 million at March 31, 2013, from \$3.5 million at June 30, 2012. The increase was a result of an increase in both outstanding loans and nonperforming loans and was necessary in order to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level that reflects management's estimate of the probable loss in the Company's loan portfolio at March 31, 2013.



## Edgar Filing: BEL FUSE INC /NJ - Form 10-Q

In its quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of its allowance for loan losses, the Company employs historical data including past due percentages, charge offs, and recoveries. The Company's allowance methodology weights the most recent twelve-quarter period's net charge offs and uses this information as one of the primary factors for evaluation of allowance adequacy. The most recent four-quarter net charge offs are given a higher weight of 50%, while quarters 5-8 are given a 30% weight and quarters 9-12 are given only a 20% weight. The average net charge offs in each period are calculated as net charge offs by portfolio type for the period as a percentage of the quarter end balance of respective portfolio type over the same period. As the Company and the industry have seen increases in loan defaults in the past several years, the

**Table of Contents**

Company believes that it is prudent to emphasize more recent historical factors in the allowance evaluation. The following table sets forth the Company's weighted average historical net charge offs as of March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012:

<b>Portfolio segment</b>	<b>March 31, 2013 Net charge offs 12 quarter weighted historical</b>	<b>June 30, 2012 Net charge offs 12 quarter weighted historical</b>
<b>Real Estate:</b>		
One-to four-family	.28%	.48%
Multi-family	.28%	.33%
Commercial	.08%	.13%
HELOC	.24%	.12%
Construction	.00%	.00%
Commercial business	.32%	.16%
Consumer	.36%	.16%
<b>Total portfolio</b>	<b>.26%</b>	<b>.39%</b>

Additionally, in its quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, the Company evaluates changes in financial conditions of individual borrowers; changes in local, regional, and national economic conditions; the Company's historical loss experience; and changes in market conditions for property pledged to the Company as collateral. The Company has identified specific qualitative factors that address these issues and subjectively assigns a percentage to each factor. At March 31, 2013, these qualitative factors included: (1) management's assumptions regarding the minimal level of risk for a given loan category; (2) changes in lending policies and procedures, including changes in underwriting standards, and charge-off and recovery practices not considered elsewhere in estimating credit losses; (3) changes in international, national, regional and local economics and business conditions and developments that affect the collectability of the portfolio, including the conditions of various market segments; (4) changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio and in the terms of loans; (5) changes in the experience, ability, and depth of the lending officers and other relevant staff; (6) changes in the volume and severity of past due loans, the volume of non-accrual loans, the volume of troubled debt restructured and other loan modifications, and the volume and severity of adversely classified loans; (7) changes in the quality of the loan review system; (8) changes in the value of the underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans; (9) the existence and effect of any concentrations of credit, and changes in the level of such concentrations; and (10) the effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the existing portfolio. The applied loss factors are re-evaluated quarterly to ensure their relevance in the current environment.

**Table of Contents**

The qualitative factors are applied to the allowance for loan losses based upon the following percentages by loan type:

Portfolio segment	Qualitative factor applied at March 31, 2013	Qualitative factor applied at June 30, 2012
Real Estate:		
One-to four-family	.63%	.39%
Multi-family	.87%	.82%
Commercial	.94%	.46%
HELOC	.66%	.78%
Construction	.78%	.94%
Commercial business	2.28%	2.33%
Consumer	.45%	.54%
<b>Total portfolio</b>	<b>.78%</b>	<b>.57%</b>

At March 31, 2013, the amount of our allowance for loan losses attributable to these qualitative factors was approximately \$2.3 million, as compared to \$1.5 million at June 30, 2012. The general increase in qualitative factors was attributable primarily to the increase in past due and non-accrual loans.

Because of the recent added concern based on the overall condition of the real estate market and in particular how the market is affecting the Junior Lien and HELOC loan portfolios, as with all portfolios, the Company has reviewed these two portfolios to determine the adequacy of the allowance. The Company notes that Junior Lien loans are one-to four-family loans that are in a subordinate lien position, and can be subordinate to either a Company first lien or another institution first lien and all are fully amortized loans, and HELOC loans were initially underwritten to ensure adequate cash flow to make payments even under stressed conditions. Based on review of the HELOC portfolio, \$2.3 million had initial combined loan to value ratios of between 81% and 90%. The present allowance calculation includes .66% of qualitative factors to address added concerns, above a weighted average loss factor of .24%.

While management believes that our asset quality remains strong, it recognizes that, due to the continued growth in the loan portfolio, the increase in troubled debt restructurings and the potential changes in market conditions, our level of nonperforming assets and resulting charge offs may fluctuate. Higher levels of net charge offs requiring additional provisions for loan losses could result. Although management uses the best information available, the level of the allowance for loan losses remains an estimate that is subject to significant judgment and short-term change.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future financial obligations of a short-term nature. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, loan sales and repayments, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago, and maturities of securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. Our Asset/Liability Management Committee is responsible for establishing and monitoring our liquidity targets and strategies in order to ensure that sufficient liquidity exists for meeting the borrowing needs and deposit withdrawals of our customers as well as unanticipated contingencies. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and the year ended June 30, 2012, our liquidity ratio averaged 39.8% and 42.6% of our total assets, respectively. We believe that we had enough sources of liquidity to satisfy our short- and long-term liquidity needs as of March 31, 2013.

We regularly monitor and adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of: (i) expected loan demand; (ii) expected deposit flows; (iii) yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities; and (iv) the objectives of our asset/liability management program. Excess liquid assets are invested generally in interest-earning deposits and short- and medium-term securities.

**Table of Contents**

Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents. The levels of these assets are affected by our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. At March 31, 2013, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$13.4 million. Interest-bearing time deposits which can offer additional sources of liquidity, totaled \$250,000 at March 31, 2013.

Our cash flows are derived from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities as reported in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows included in our financial statements. Net cash provided by operating activities were \$3.7 million and \$4.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net cash used in investing activities consisted primarily of disbursements for loan originations and the purchase of securities, offset by net cash provided by principal collections on loans, and proceeds from maturing securities, the sale of securities and pay downs on mortgage-backed securities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$35.2 million and \$28.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities consisted primarily of the activity in deposit accounts and FHLB Advances. The net cash provided by (used in) financing activities was \$36.7 million and \$(26.8) million for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In the nine months ended March 31, 2012, net cash used in financing activities consisted primarily of a decrease in deposits held in escrow for our mutual to stock conversion which closed on July 7, 2012, offset by proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net of costs, from the mutual-to-stock conversion.

The Company must also maintain adequate levels of liquidity to ensure the availability of funds to satisfy loan commitments. The Company anticipates that it will have sufficient funds available to meet its current commitments principally through the use of current liquid assets and through its borrowing capacity discussed above. The following table summarizes these commitments at March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2012
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Commitments to fund loans	\$ 11,982	\$ 7,150
Lines of credit	13,819	15,461

At March 31, 2013, certificates of deposit due within one year of March 31, 2013 totaled \$152.8 million, or 41.2% of total deposits. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before March 31, 2014. Moreover, it is our intention as we continue to grow our commercial real estate portfolio, to emphasize lower cost deposit relationships with these commercial loan customers and thereby replace the higher cost certificates with lower cost deposits. We have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. If we require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, borrowing agreements exist with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago, which provides an additional source of funds. Federal Home Loan Bank advances were \$86.0 million at March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, we had the ability to borrow up to an additional \$35.0 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago and also had the ability to borrow \$11.5 million from the Federal Reserve based on current collateral pledged.

On September 12, 2012, the Company announced, a stock repurchase program whereby the Company may repurchase up to 240,563 shares of its common stock, or approximately 5% of the then current outstanding shares. Repurchases are made at management's discretion at prices management considers to be attractive and in the best interests of both the Company and its stockholders, subject to the availability of stock, general market conditions, the trading price of the stock, alternative uses for capital, and the Company's financial performance. The repurchase plan may be suspended, terminated, or modified at any time for any reason, including market conditions, the cost of purchasing shares, the availability of alternative investment opportunities, liquidity, and other factors deemed appropriate. The repurchase program does not obligate the Company to purchase any particular number of shares. As of March 31, 2013, 214,035 shares were repurchased at an average price of \$13.71 per share, and the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plan was 26,528.

**Table of Contents**

At the annual meeting on November 19, 2012, the IF Bancorp, Inc. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the Equity Incentive Plan ) was approved by stockholders. The purpose of the Equity Incentive Plan is to promote the long-term financial success of the Company and its Subsidiaries by providing a means to attract, retain and reward individuals who contribute to such success and to further align their interests with those of the Company's stockholders. The Equity Incentive Plan authorizes the issuance or delivery to participants of up to 673,575 shares of the Company common stock pursuant to grants of incentive and non-qualified stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards, provided that the maximum number of shares of Company common stock that may be delivered pursuant to the exercise of stock options (all of which may be granted as incentive stock options) is 481,125 and the maximum number of shares of Company stock that may be issued as restricted stock awards or restricted stock units is 192,450. No awards were made as of March 31, 2013.

The Association is subject to various regulatory capital requirements, including a risk-based capital measure. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. At March 31, 2013, the Association exceeded all regulatory capital requirements. The Association is considered well capitalized under regulatory guidelines.

	March 31, 2013 Actual	June 30, 2012 Actual	Minimum to Be Well Capitalized
Tier 1 capital to total assets			
Association	11.3%	11.6%	5.0%
Company	15.1%	16.1%	N/A
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets			
Association	21.4%	23.0%	6.0%
Company	28.3%	32.1%	N/A
Total capital to risk-weighted assets			
Association	22.7%	24.3%	10.0%
Company	29.6%	33.3%	N/A

The net proceeds from the Company's stock offering in connection with its conversion have significantly increased our liquidity and capital resources. Over time, the initial level of liquidity will be reduced as net proceeds from the stock offering are used for general corporate purposes, including the funding of new loans. Our financial condition and results of operations will be enhanced by the net proceeds from the stock offering, resulting in increased net interest-earning assets and net interest income. However, due to the increase in equity resulting from the net proceeds raised in the stock offering, our return on equity will be adversely affected until we can deploy the proceeds effectively.

**Table of Contents****Average Balances and Yields**

The following tables set forth average balance sheets, average yields and costs, and certain other information at and for the periods indicated. Yields and costs are presented on an annualized basis. Tax-equivalent yield adjustments have not been made for tax-exempt securities. All average balances are based on month-end balances, which management deems to be representative of the operations of the Company. Non-accrual loans were included in the computation of average balances, but have been reflected in the table as loans carrying a zero yield. The yields set forth below include the effect of deferred fees, discounts and premiums that are amortized or accreted to interest income or expense.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013			2012		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost
(Dollars in thousands)						
<b>Assets</b>						
Loans	\$ 293,892	\$ 3,140	4.27%	\$ 254,982	\$ 3,047	4.78%
<b>Securities:</b>						
U.S. government, federal agency and government-sponsored enterprises	139,839	781	2.23%	159,113	1,042	2.62%
<b>Mortgage-backed:</b>						
GSE-residential	72,599	453	2.50%	44,573	388	3.48%
State and political subdivisions	3,759	15	1.60%	2,673	15	2.24%
Total securities	216,197	1,249	2.31%	206,359	1,445	2.80%
Other	8,860	6	0.27%	7,297	3	0.16%
Total interest-earning assets	518,949	4,395	3.39%	468,638	4,495	3.84%
Non-interest earning assets	24,156			26,003		
Total assets	\$ 543,105			\$ 494,641		
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>						
<b>Interest-bearing liabilities:</b>						
Interest-bearing checking or NOW	\$ 33,769	15	0.18%	\$ 29,769	15	0.20%
Savings accounts	32,540	22	0.27%	28,892	24	0.33%
Money market accounts	65,869	39	0.24%	67,322	50	0.30%
Certificates of deposit	211,949	470	0.89%	201,997	609	1.21%
Total interest-bearing deposits	344,127	546	0.63%	327,980	698	0.85%
Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and repurchase agreements	95,925	209	0.87%	64,988	223	1.37%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	440,052	755	0.69%	392,968	921	0.94%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	18,018			16,757		
Total liabilities	458,070			409,725		
Stockholders equity	85,035			84,916		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 543,105			\$ 494,641		

**Table of Contents**

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,					
	Average Balance	2013 Interest		Average Balance	2012 Interest	
Income/ Expense		Yield/ Cost	Income/ Expense		Yield/ Cost	
Net interest income		\$ 3,640		\$ 3,574		
Interest rate spread (1)			2.70%			2.90%
Net interest margin (2)			2.81%			3.05%
Net interest-earning assets (3)	\$ 78,897			\$ 75,670		
Average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities	118%			119%		

- (1) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on average interest-earning assets and the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.
- (2) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.
- (3) Net interest-earning assets represents total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Tax exempt income is not recorded on a tax equivalent basis.

	For the Nine Months Ended March 31,					
	Average Balance	2013 Interest		Average Balance	2012 Interest	
Income/ Expense		Yield/ Cost	Income/ Expense		Yield/ Cost	
<b>Assets</b>						
Loans	\$ 277,848	\$ 9,188	4.41%	\$ 248,074	\$ 9,149	4.92%
Securities:						
U.S. government, federal agency and government-sponsored enterprises	139,813	2,452	2.34%	157,353	3,091	2.62%
Mortgage-backed:						
GSE-residential	69,889	1,408	2.69%	43,333	1,179	3.63%
State and political subdivisions	3,825	44	1.53%	2,688	46	2.28%
Total securities	213,527	3,904	2.44%	203,374	4,316	2.83%
Other	9,931	19	0.26%	9,294	19	0.27%
Total interest-earning assets	501,306	13,111	3.49%	460,742	13,484	3.90%
Non-interest earning assets	27,323			26,439		
Total assets	\$ 528,629			\$ 487,181		

**Table of Contents**

	For the Nine Months Ended March 31,					
	Average Balance	2013 Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost (Dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	2012 Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Cost
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Interest-bearing checking or NOW	\$ 31,645	42	0.18%	\$ 27,904	43	0.21%
Savings accounts	30,207	62	0.27%	27,002	72	0.36%
Money market accounts	64,989	120	0.25%	67,826	155	0.30%
Certificates of deposit	208,106	1,458	0.93%	202,990	1,994	1.31%
Total interest-bearing deposits	334,947	1,682	0.67%	325,722	2,264	0.93%
Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and repurchase agreements	88,253	659	1.00%	62,441	676	1.44%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	423,200	2,341	0.74%	388,163	2,940	1.01%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	19,510			15,360		
Total liabilities	442,710			403,523		
Stockholders equity	85,919			83,658		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 528,629			\$ 487,181		
Net interest income		\$ 10,770			\$ 10,544	
Interest rate spread (1)			2.75%			2.89%
Net interest margin (2)			2.86%			3.05%
Net interest-earning assets (3)	\$ 78,106			\$ 72,579		
Average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities		118%			119%	

- (1) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on average interest-earning assets and the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.
- (2) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.
- (3) Net interest-earning assets represents total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Tax exempt income is not recorded on a tax equivalent basis.



**Table of Contents****Rate/Volume Analysis**

The following table presents the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income for the periods indicated. The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). The total column represents the sum of the prior columns. For purposes of this table, changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, have been allocated to the changes due to rate and the changes due to volume in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of change in each.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 vs. 2012			Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013 vs. 2012		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to		Total Increase (Decrease)	Increase (Decrease) Due to		Total Increase (Decrease)
	Volume	Rate	(In thousands)	Volume	Rate	(In thousands)
<b>Interest-earning assets:</b>						
Loans	\$ 1,585	\$ (1,492)	\$ 93	\$ 1,379	\$ (1,340)	\$ 39
Securities	390	(587)	(197)	311	(728)	(417)
Other	7	(3)	4	5		5
<b>Total interest-earning assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,982</b>	<b>\$ (2,082)</b>	<b>\$ (100)</b>	<b>\$ 1,695</b>	<b>\$ (2,068)</b>	<b>\$ (373)</b>
<b>Interest-bearing liabilities:</b>						
Interest-bearing checking or NOW	\$ 7	\$ (7)	\$	\$ 8	\$ (9)	\$ (1)
Savings accounts	13	(15)	(2)	13	(23)	(10)
Certificates of deposit	181	(320)	(139)	80	(616)	(536)
Money market accounts	(8)	(3)	(11)	(12)	(23)	(35)
<b>Total interest-bearing deposits</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>(345)</b>	<b>(152)</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>(671)</b>	<b>(582)</b>
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and repurchase agreements	360	(374)	(14)	306	(323)	(17)
<b>Total interest-bearing liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 553</b>	<b>\$ (719)</b>	<b>\$ (166)</b>	<b>\$ 395</b>	<b>\$ (994)</b>	<b>\$ (599)</b>
<b>Change in net interest income</b>	<b>\$ 1,429</b>	<b>\$ (1,363)</b>	<b>\$ 66</b>	<b>\$ 1,300</b>	<b>\$ (1,074)</b>	<b>\$ 226</b>

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

An internal interest rate risk analysis is performed at least quarterly to assess the Company's Earnings at Risk, Capital at Risk, and Value at Risk. As of March 31, 2013, there were no material changes in interest rate risk from the analysis disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of March 31, 2013. Based upon such evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purpose of ensuring that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") (1) is

## Edgar Filing: BEL FUSE INC /NJ - Form 10-Q

recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, there have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****Part II Other Information****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The Association and Company are subject to various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these legal actions is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Association's or the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Item 1A.- Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results of operations. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

The following table provides information about purchases by the Company for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 regarding the Company's common stock.

**PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY COMPANY (1)**

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
1/1/13 - 1/31/13	16,854	\$ 13.81	16,854	47,157
2/1/13 - 2/28/13	9,400	14.93	9,400	37,757
3/1/13 - 3/31/13	11,229	15.21	11,229	26,528
Total	37,483	\$ 14.51	37,483	

- (1) On September 12, 2012, the Company announced the commencement of a stock repurchase program to acquire up to 240,563, or 5%, of the Company's then outstanding common stock. The repurchase program may be suspended, terminated or modified at any time for any reason. The repurchase program does not obligate the Company to purchase any particular number of shares.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**

None.



**Table of Contents**

**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, and (vi) the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.\*

\* This information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Section 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**IF BANCORP, INC.**

Date: May 13, 2013

/s/ Alan D. Martin  
Alan D. Martin  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 13, 2013

/s/ Pamela J. Verkler  
Pamela J. Verkler  
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)