

SUNPOWER CORP
Form 10-Q
November 07, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 28, 2008

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-34166

SunPower Corporation
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or
Organization)

94-3008969
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3939 North First Street, San Jose, California 95134
(Address of Principal Executive Offices and Zip Code)

(408) 240-5500
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

Filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The total number of outstanding shares of the registrant's class A common stock as of October 31, 2008 was 43,751,699.

The total number of outstanding shares of the registrant's class B common stock as of October 31, 2008 was 42,033,287.

1

SunPower Corporation

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	33
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risks</u>	51
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	53
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	54
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	54
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	54
Item 2. <u>Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Uses of Proceeds From Registered Securities</u>	88
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	88
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	89
<u>Signature</u>	90
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	92

Index

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.

Financial Statements

SunPower Corporation

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(In thousands, except share data)
(unaudited)

	September 28, 2008	December 30, 2007
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 256,616	\$ 285,214
Restricted cash, current portion	47,983	—
Short-term investments	38,982	105,453
Accounts receivable, net	193,822	138,250
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	56,717	39,136
Inventories	190,487	140,504
Deferred project costs	12,031	8,316
Advances to suppliers, current portion	60,082	52,277
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	62,604	33,110
Total current assets	919,324	802,260
Restricted cash, net of current portion	62,057	67,887
Long-term investments	25,017	29,050
Property, plant and equipment, net	535,945	377,994
Goodwill	196,378	184,684
Intangible assets, net	44,263	50,946
Advances to suppliers, net of current portion	84,759	108,943
Other long-term assets	59,333	31,974
Total assets	\$ 1,927,076	\$ 1,653,738
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 237,880	\$ 119,869
Accounts payable to Cypress	17,839	4,854
Accrued liabilities	92,845	79,434
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	9,640	69,900
Customer advances, current portion	19,941	9,250
Convertible debt	200,000	425,000
Total current liabilities	578,145	708,307
Convertible debt	225,000	—
Deferred tax liability	9,285	6,213
Customer advances, net of current portion	96,631	60,153
Other long-term liabilities	20,956	14,975
Total liabilities	930,017	789,648
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 8)		
Stockholders' Equity:		

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,042,490 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 375,000,000 shares authorized: 43,916,940 and 40,269,719 shares of class A common stock issued; 43,734,532 and 40,176,957 shares of class A common stock outstanding; 42,033,287 and 44,533,287 shares of class B common stock issued and outstanding, at September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, respectively	86	85
Additional paid-in capital	960,461	883,033
Accumulated other comprehensive income	4,411	5,762
Accumulated earnings (deficit)	39,929	(22,815)
	1,004,887	866,065
Less: shares of class A common stock held in treasury, at cost; 182,408 and 112,762 shares at September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, respectively	(7,828)	(1,975)
Total stockholders' equity	997,059	864,090
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,927,076	\$ 1,653,738

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Index

SunPower Corporation

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
Revenue:				
Systems	\$ 193,330	\$ 157,734	\$ 642,774	\$ 340,266
Components	184,170	76,600	391,178	210,181
Total revenue	377,500	234,334	1,033,952	550,447
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of systems revenue	158,730	135,111	511,080	289,095
Cost of components revenue	113,149	60,818	270,901	160,730
Research and development	6,049	3,902	15,504	9,659
Sales, general and administrative	46,075	27,708	123,141	76,188
Purchased in-process research and development	—	—	—	9,575
Impairment of acquisition-related intangibles	—	—	—	14,068
Total costs and expenses	324,003	227,539	920,626	559,315
Operating income (loss)	53,497	6,795	113,326	(8,868)
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	2,650	4,609	9,086	8,789
Interest expense	(1,411)	(1,372)	(4,286)	(3,576)
Other, net	(3,560)	(205)	(5,513)	(448)
Other income (expense), net	(2,321)	3,032	(713)	4,765
Income (loss) before income taxes	51,176	9,827	112,613	(4,103)
Income tax provision (benefit)	29,797	1,396	49,869	(8,429)
Net income	\$ 21,379	\$ 8,431	\$ 62,744	\$ 4,326
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.06
Diluted	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.05
Weighted-average shares:				
Basic	80,465	77,693	79,614	75,516
Diluted	84,488	82,610	84,061	80,526

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Index

SunPower Corporation

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)
(unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	September	September
	28,	30,
	2008	2007
		Note 1
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 62,744	\$ 4,326
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Stock-based compensation	52,026	37,197
Depreciation	35,595	17,727
Amortization of intangible assets	12,552	21,408
Impairment of acquisition-related intangibles	—	14,068
Purchased in-process research and development	—	9,575
Impairment of long-lived assets	2,203	—
Impairment of investments	933	—
Amortization of debt issuance costs	972	999
Share in loss (earnings) of joint venture	(4,006)	214
Excess tax benefits from stock-based award activity	(33,899)	—
Deferred income taxes and other tax liabilities	48,333	(10,532)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	(55,324)	10,347
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	(17,700)	(69,766)
Inventories	(44,568)	(48,028)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(29,636)	(8,276)
Deferred project costs	(3,733)	14,637
Advances to suppliers	19,102	(33,560)
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	63,528	1,933
Accounts payable to Cypress	12,985	(1,029)
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	(60,064)	(17,490)
Customer advances	45,884	29,803
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	107,927	(26,447)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Increase in restricted cash	(42,153)	(24,492)
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(150,302)	(154,590)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(65,748)	(58,570)
Proceeds from sales or maturities of available-for-sale securities	133,948	16,496
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(18,311)	(98,645)
Cash paid for investments in joint ventures and other private companies	(24,625)	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(167,191)	(319,801)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercises of stock options	3,786	6,868
Excess tax benefits from stock-based award activity	33,899	—

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

Purchases of stock for tax withholding obligations on vested restricted stock	(5,853)	—
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net	—	167,379
Proceeds from issuance of convertible debt	—	425,000
Convertible debt issuance costs	—	(10,942)
Principal payments on line of credit and notes payable	—	(3,563)
Net cash provided by financing activities	31,832	584,742
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1,166)	3,087
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(28,598)	241,581
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	285,214	165,596
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 256,616	\$ 407,177
Non-cash transactions:		
Additions to property, plant and equipment acquired under accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	\$ 46,780	\$ 7,890
Change in goodwill relating to adjustments to acquired net assets	231	1,798
Issuance of common stock for purchase acquisition	3,054	111,266
Stock options assumed in relation to acquisition	—	21,280

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Index

SunPower Corporation

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(unaudited)

Note 1. THE COMPANY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company

SunPower Corporation (together with its subsidiaries, the “Company” or “SunPower”) was originally incorporated in the State of California on April 24, 1985. In October 1988, the Company organized as a business venture to commercialize high-efficiency solar cell technologies. The Company designs, manufactures and markets high-performance solar electric power technologies. The Company’s solar cells and solar panels are manufactured using proprietary processes and technologies based on more than 15 years of research and development. The Company’s solar power products are sold through its components and systems business segments.

On November 10, 2005, the Company reincorporated in Delaware and filed an amendment to its certificate of incorporation to effect a 1-for-2 reverse stock split of the Company’s outstanding and authorized shares of common stock. All share and per share figures presented herein have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split.

In November 2005, the Company raised net proceeds of \$145.6 million in an initial public offering (the “IPO”) of 8.8 million shares of class A common stock at a price of \$18.00 per share. In June 2006, the Company completed a follow-on public offering of 7.0 million shares of its class A common stock, at a per share price of \$29.50, and received net proceeds of \$197.4 million. In July 2007, the Company completed a follow-on public offering of 2.7 million shares of its class A common stock, at a discounted per share price of \$64.50, and received net proceeds of \$167.4 million.

In February 2007, the Company issued \$200.0 million in principal amount of its 1.25% senior convertible debentures to Lehman Brothers Inc. (“Lehman Brothers”) and lent approximately 2.9 million shares of its class A common stock to Lehman Brothers International (Europe) Limited (“LBIE”). Net proceeds from the issuance of senior convertible debentures in February 2007 were \$194.0 million. The Company did not receive any proceeds from the approximately 2.9 million loaned shares of its class A common stock, but received a nominal lending fee. On September 15, 2008, Lehman Brothers Holding Inc. (“Lehman”), filed a petition for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. bankruptcy code, and LBIE commenced administration proceedings (analogous to bankruptcy) in the United Kingdom (see Note 10). In July 2007, the Company issued \$225.0 million in principal amount of its 0.75% senior convertible debentures to Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (“Credit Suisse”) and lent approximately 1.8 million shares of its class A common stock to Credit Suisse International (“CSI”). Net proceeds from the issuance of senior convertible debentures in July 2007 were \$220.1 million. The Company did not receive any proceeds from the approximately 1.8 million loaned shares of class A common stock, but received a nominal lending fee (see Note 10).

In January 2007, the Company completed the acquisition of PowerLight Corporation (“PowerLight”), a privately-held company which developed, engineered, manufactured and delivered large-scale solar power systems for residential, commercial, government and utility customers worldwide. These activities are now performed by the Company’s systems business segment. As a result of the acquisition, PowerLight became an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. In June 2007, the Company changed PowerLight’s name to SunPower Corporation, Systems (“SP Systems”), to capitalize on SunPower’s name recognition.

Cypress Semiconductor Corporation (“Cypress”) made a significant investment in the Company in 2002. On November 9, 2004, Cypress completed a reverse triangular merger with the Company in which all of the outstanding

minority equity interest of SunPower was retired, effectively giving Cypress 100% ownership of all of the Company's then outstanding shares of capital stock but leaving its unexercised warrants and options outstanding. After completion of the Company's IPO in November 2005, Cypress held, in the aggregate, approximately 52.0 million shares of class B common stock. On May 4, 2007 and August 18, 2008, Cypress completed the sale of 7.5 million shares and 2.5 million shares, respectively, of the Company's class B common stock in offerings pursuant to Rule 144 of the Securities Act. Such shares converted to 10.0 million shares of class A common stock upon the sale. The Company was a majority-owned subsidiary of Cypress through the third quarter ended September 28, 2008. As of September 28, 2008, Cypress owned approximately 42.0 million shares of the Company's class B common stock, which represented approximately 50.1% of the total outstanding shares of the Company's common stock, or approximately 47.4% of such shares on a fully diluted basis after taking into account outstanding stock options (or 46.5% of such shares on a fully diluted basis after taking into account outstanding stock options and approximately 1.8 million shares lent to an affiliate of Credit Suisse), and 88.5% of the voting power of the Company's total outstanding common stock. After the close of trading on the New York Stock Exchange on September 29, 2008, Cypress completed a spin-off of all of its shares of the Company's class B common stock, in the form of a pro rata dividend to the holders of record as of September 17, 2008 of Cypress common stock. As a result, the Company's class B common stock now trades publicly and is listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, along with the Company's class A common stock.

Index

The condensed consolidated financial statements include purchases of goods and services from Cypress, including wafers, employee benefits and other Cypress corporate services and infrastructure costs. The expenses allocations have been determined based on a method that Cypress and the Company considered to be a reasonable reflection of the utilization of services provided or the benefit received by the Company. See Note 2 for additional information on the transactions with Cypress.

The Company is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to, an industry-wide shortage of polysilicon, potential downward pressure on product pricing as new polysilicon manufacturers begin operating and the worldwide supply of solar cells and panels increases, the possible reduction or elimination of government and economic incentives that encourage industry growth, the challenges of achieving its goal to reduce costs of installed solar systems by 50% by 2012 to maintain competitiveness, the continued availability of third-party financing for the Company's customers, difficulties in maintaining or increasing the Company's growth rate and managing such growth, and accurately predicting warranty claims.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Fiscal Years

The Company reports on a fiscal-year basis and ends its quarters on the Sunday closest to the end of the applicable calendar quarter, except in a 53-week fiscal year, in which case the additional week falls into the fourth quarter of that fiscal year. Both fiscal 2008 and 2007 consist of 52 weeks. The third quarter of fiscal 2008 ended on September 28, 2008 and the third quarter of fiscal 2007 ended on September 30, 2007.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") regarding interim financial reporting. The year-end Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets data was derived from audited financial statements. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the Financial Statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2007.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates in these financial statements include the "percentage-of-completion" revenue recognition method for construction projects, allowances for doubtful accounts receivable and sales returns, inventory write-downs, estimates for future cash flows and economic useful lives of property, plant and equipment, asset impairments, valuation of auction rate securities, certain money market funds, certain accrued liabilities including accrued warranty reserves and income taxes and tax valuation allowances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which the Company believes are necessary for a fair statement of the Company's financial position as of September 28, 2008 and its results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007 and its cash flows for the nine months ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007. These condensed consolidated financial statements are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 141 (revised 2007), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No. 141(R)”), which replaces SFAS No. 141, “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No. 141”). SFAS No. 141(R) will significantly change the accounting for business combinations in a number of areas including the treatment of contingent consideration, contingencies, acquisition costs, in-process research and development and restructuring costs. In addition, under SFAS No. 141(R), changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties in a business combination after the measurement period will impact income tax expense. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by the Company for any purchase business combinations consummated subsequent to December 28, 2008.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51” (“SFAS No. 160”), which will change the accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. This new consolidation method will significantly change the accounting for transactions with minority interest holders. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS No. 160 on its financial position and results of operations.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (“FSP”) No. FAS 157-2, “Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157” (“FSP 157-2”). FSP 157-2 deferred the effective date of SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS No. 157”), for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis, until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. With the exception of investments and foreign currency derivatives held, this deferral makes SFAS No. 157 effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS No. 157 on measurement of fair value of its nonfinancial assets, including goodwill, and nonfinancial liabilities.

Index

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities — an amendment of SFAS No. 133” (“SFAS No. 161”), which expands the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS No. 161 specifically requires entities to provide enhanced disclosures addressing the following: (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations; and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS No. 161 on its financial position, results of operations and disclosures.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 142-3, “Determination of Useful Life of Intangible Assets” (“FSP 142-3”), which amends the factors that should be considered in developing the renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.” FSP 142-3 also requires expanded disclosure related to the determination of intangible asset useful lives. FSP 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FSP 142-3 on its financial position, results of operations and disclosures.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, “The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles” (“SFAS No. 162”), which identifies the sources of accounting principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with U.S. GAAP. This Statement is effective 60 days following the SEC’s approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, “The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.” The Company currently adheres to the hierarchy of U.S. GAAP as presented in SFAS No. 162 and the adoption of SFAS No. 162 during the three months ended September 28, 2008 did not have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations and disclosures.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP APB 14-1, “Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)” (“FSP APB 14-1”), which clarifies the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion, including partial cash settlement. FSP APB 14-1 significantly impacts the accounting for instruments commonly referred to as Instruments B, Instruments C and Instruments X from Emerging Issue Task Force (“EITF”) Issue No. 90-19, “Convertible Bonds with Issuer Option to Settle for Cash upon Conversion” (“EITF 90-19”), and any other convertible debt instruments that allow settlement in any combination of cash and shares at the issuer’s option. The new guidance requires the issuer to separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument in a manner that reflects interest expense equal to the issuer’s non-convertible debt borrowing rate. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008, and retrospective application will be required for all periods presented. The new guidance may have a significant impact on the Company’s outstanding convertible debt balance of \$425.0 million, potentially resulting in significantly higher non-cash interest expense on its convertible debt (see Note 10). The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of the new guidance on its results of operations and financial condition.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 157-3, “Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active” (“FSP 157-3”), which demonstrated how the fair value of a financial asset is determined when the market for that financial asset is inactive. FSP 157-3 is applicable to the valuation of auction rate securities held by the Company for which there was no active market as of September 28, 2008. FSP 157-3 was effective upon issuance, including prior periods for which financial statements had not been issued (see Note 5). The adoption of FSP 157-3 during the three months ended September 28, 2008 did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Revision of Statement of Cash Flow Presentation Related to Purchases of Property, Plant and Equipment

The Company has changed its Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 to exclude the impact of purchases of property, plant and equipment that remain unpaid and as such are included in "accounts payable and other accrued liabilities" at the end of the reporting period. Historically, changes in "accounts payable and other accrued liabilities" related to such purchases were included in cash flows from operations, while the investing activity caption "Purchase of property, plant and equipment" included these purchases. As these unpaid purchases do not reflect cash transactions, the Company has revised its cash flow presentations to exclude them. The correction resulted in an increase to the previously reported amount of cash used for operating activities of \$7.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007, resulting from a reduction in the amount of cash provided from the change in accounts payable and other accrued liabilities in that period. The corresponding correction in the investing section was to decrease cash used for investing activities by \$7.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007, as a result of the reduction in the amount of cash used for purchases of property, plant and equipment in that period. These corrections had no impact on previously reported results of operations, working capital or stockholders' equity of the Company. The Company concluded that these corrections were not material to any of its previously issued condensed consolidated financial statements, based on SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99-Materiality.

Index

Note 2. TRANSACTIONS WITH CYPRESS

Purchases of Imaging and Infrared Detector Products from Cypress

The Company purchased fabricated semiconductor wafers from Cypress at intercompany prices consistent with Cypress's internal transfer pricing methodology. In December 2007, Cypress announced the planned closure of its Texas wafer fabrication facility that manufactured the Company's imaging and infrared detector products. The planned closure is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. The Company evaluated its alternatives relating to the future plans for this business and decided to wind-down its activities related to the imaging detector product line in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. Accordingly, in the three months ended March 30, 2008, cost of revenue included a \$2.2 million impairment charge to long-lived assets primarily related to manufacturing equipment located in the Texas wafer fabrication facility. The Company did not purchase wafers from Cypress in the second and third quarters of fiscal 2008. Wafer purchases totaled \$0.6 million for the nine months ended September 28, 2008 and \$0.7 million and \$3.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Administrative Services Provided by Cypress

Cypress seconded employees and consultants to the Company for different time periods for which the Company paid their fully-burdened compensation. In addition, Cypress personnel rendered services to the Company to assist with administrative functions such as employee benefits and other Cypress corporate services and infrastructure. Cypress billed the Company for a portion of the Cypress employees' fully-burdened compensation. In the case of the Philippines subsidiary, which entered into a services agreement for such secondments and other consulting services in January 2005, the Company paid the fully burdened compensation plus 10%. The amounts that the Company has recorded as general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of operations for these services was approximately \$0.7 million and \$2.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and \$0.5 million and \$1.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Leased Facility in the Philippines

In 2003, the Company and Cypress reached an understanding that the Company would build out and occupy a building owned by Cypress for its solar cell manufacturing facility in the Philippines. The Company entered into a lease agreement for this facility and a sublease for the land under which the Company paid Cypress at a rate equal to the cost to Cypress for that facility (including taxes, insurance, repairs and improvements). Under the lease agreement, the Company had the right to purchase the facility and assume the lease for the land from Cypress at any time at Cypress's original purchase price of approximately \$8.0 million, plus interest computed on a variable index starting on the date of purchase by Cypress until the sale to the Company, unless such purchase option was exercised after a change of control of the Company, in which case the purchase price would be at a market rate, as reasonably determined by Cypress. In May 2008, the Company exercised its right to purchase the facility from Cypress and assumed the lease for the land from an unaffiliated third party for a total purchase price of \$9.5 million. The lease for the land expires in May 2048 and is renewable for an additional 25 years. Rent expense paid to Cypress for this building and land was approximately zero and \$0.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Leased Headquarters Facility in San Jose, California

In May 2006, the Company entered into a lease agreement for its 43,732 square foot headquarters, which is located in a building owned by Cypress in San Jose, California, for \$6.0 million over the five-year term of the lease. In August 2008, the Company amended the lease agreement, increasing the rentable square footage and the total lease obligations to 55,594 and \$7.2 million, respectively, over the five-year term of the lease. In the event Cypress decides

to sell the building, the Company has the right of first refusal to purchase the building at a fair market price which will be based on comparable sales in the area. Rent expense paid to Cypress for this facility was approximately \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Employee Matters Agreement

In October 2005, the Company entered into an employee matters agreement with Cypress to allocate assets, liabilities and responsibilities relating to its current and former U.S. and international employees and its participation in the employee benefits plans that Cypress sponsored and maintained. In July 2008, the Company transferred all accounts in the Cypress 401(k) Plan held by the Company's employees to its recently established SunPower 401(k) Savings Plan. In September 2008, all of the Company's eligible employees began participating in SunPower's own health and welfare plans and no longer participate in the Cypress health and welfare plans. In connection with Cypress' spin-off of its shares of the Company's class B common stock, the Company and Cypress agreed to terminate the employee matters agreement.

Index

Indemnification and Insurance Matters Agreement

The Company will indemnify Cypress and its affiliates, agents, successors and assigns from all liabilities arising from environmental conditions: existing on, under, about or in the vicinity of any of the Company's facilities, or arising out of operations occurring at any of the Company's facilities, including its California facilities, whether prior to or after Cypress's spin-off of the Company's class B common stock held by Cypress; existing on, under, about or in the vicinity of the Philippines facility which the Company occupies, or arising out of operations occurring at such facility, whether prior to or after the separation, to the extent that those liabilities were caused by the Company; arising out of hazardous materials found on, under or about any landfill, waste, storage, transfer or recycling site and resulting from hazardous materials stored, treated, recycled, disposed or otherwise handled by any of the Company's operations or the Company's California and Philippines facilities prior to the separation; and arising out of the construction activity conducted by or on behalf of us at Cypress's Texas facility.

The indemnification and insurance matters agreement also contains provisions governing the Company's insurance coverage, which was under the Cypress insurance policies. As of September 29, 2008, the Company has obtained its own separate policies for the coverage previously provided under the indemnification and insurance matters agreement.

Tax Sharing Agreement

The Company has entered into a tax sharing agreement with Cypress providing for each of the party's obligations concerning various tax liabilities. The tax sharing agreement is structured such that Cypress will pay all federal, state, local and foreign taxes that are calculated on a consolidated or combined basis (while being a member of Cypress's consolidated or combined group pursuant to federal, state, local and foreign tax law). The Company's portion of such tax liability or benefit will be determined based upon its separate return tax liability as defined under the tax sharing agreement. Such liability or benefit will be based on a pro forma calculation as if the Company were filing a separate income tax return in each jurisdiction, rather than on a combined or consolidated basis with Cypress subject to adjustments as set forth in the tax sharing agreement.

On June 6, 2006, the Company ceased to be a member of Cypress's consolidated group for federal income tax purposes and certain state income tax purposes. On September 29, 2008, the Company ceased to be a member of Cypress's combined group for all state income tax purposes. To the extent that the Company becomes entitled to utilize on the Company's separate tax returns portions of those credit or loss carryforwards existing as of such date, the Company will distribute to Cypress the tax effect, estimated to be 40% for federal income tax purposes, of the amount of such tax loss carryforwards so utilized, and the amount of any credit carryforwards so utilized. The Company will distribute these amounts to Cypress in cash or in the Company's shares, at the Company's option. As of December 30, 2007, the Company has \$44.0 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards and approximately \$73.5 million of California net operating loss carryforwards meaning that such potential future payments to Cypress, which would be made over a period of several years, would therefore aggregate approximately \$19.1 million.

The majority of these net operating loss carryforwards were created by employee stock transactions. Because there is uncertainty as to the realizability of these loss carryforwards, the portion created by employee stock transactions are not reflected on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Upon completion of its follow-on public offering of common stock in June 2006, the Company was no longer considered to be a member of Cypress's consolidated group for federal income tax purposes. Upon completion of the spin-off on September 29, 2008, the Company is no longer considered to be a member of Cypress's combined group for state income tax purposes. Accordingly, the Company will be subject to the obligations payable to Cypress for any federal income tax credit or loss carryforwards utilized in its federal tax returns in subsequent periods, as explained in

the preceding paragraph.

The Company will continue to be jointly and severally liable for any tax liability as governed under federal, state and local law during all periods in which it is deemed to be a member of the Cypress consolidated or combined group. Accordingly, although the tax sharing agreement allocates tax liabilities between Cypress and all its consolidated subsidiaries, for any period in which the Company is included in Cypress's consolidated group, the Company could be liable in the event that any federal tax liability was incurred, but not discharged, by any other member of the group.

Subject to certain caveats, Cypress has obtained a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") to the effect that the distribution by Cypress of the Company's class B common stock to Cypress stockholders qualified as a tax-free distribution under Section 355 of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). Despite such ruling, the distribution may nonetheless be taxable to Cypress under Section 355(e) of the Code if 50% or more of the Company's voting power or economic value is acquired as part of a plan or series of related transactions that includes the distribution of the Company's stock. The tax sharing agreement includes the Company's obligation to indemnify Cypress for any liability incurred as a result of issuances or dispositions of the Company's stock after the distribution, other than liability attributable to certain dispositions of the Company's stock by Cypress, that cause Cypress's distribution of shares of the Company's stock to its stockholders to be taxable to Cypress under Section 355(e) of the Code.

The tax sharing agreement further provides for cooperation with respect to tax matters, the exchange of information and the retention of records which may affect the income tax liability of either party. Disputes arising between Cypress and the Company relating to matters covered by the tax sharing agreement are subject to resolution through specific dispute resolution provisions contained in the agreement.

Index

In connection with Cypress' spin-off of its shares of the Company's class B common stock, the Company and Cypress, on August 12, 2008, entered into an Amendment No. 1 to Tax Sharing Agreement (the "Amended Tax Sharing Agreement") to address certain transactions that may affect the tax treatment of the spin-off and certain other matters.

Under the Amended Tax Sharing Agreement, the Company is required to provide notice to Cypress of certain transactions that could give rise to the Company's indemnification obligation relating to taxes resulting from the application of Section 355(e) of the Code or similar provision of other applicable law to the spin-off as a result of one or more acquisitions (within the meaning of Section 355(e)) of the Company's stock after the spin-off. An acquisition for these purposes includes any such acquisition attributable to a conversion of any or all of the Company's class B common stock to class A common stock or any similar recapitalization transaction or series of related transactions (a "Recapitalization"). The Company is not required to indemnify Cypress for any taxes which would result solely from (A) issuances and dispositions of the Company's stock prior to the spin-off and (B) any acquisition of the Company's stock by Cypress after the spin-off.

Under the Amended Tax Sharing Agreement, the Company also agreed that, for a period of 25 months following the spin-off, it will not (i) effect a Recapitalization or (ii) enter into or facilitate any other transaction resulting in an acquisition (within the meaning of Section 355(e) of the Code) of the Company's stock without first obtaining the written consent of Cypress; provided, the Company is not required to obtain Cypress's consent unless such transaction (either alone or when taken together with one or more other transactions entered into or facilitated by the Company consummated after August 4, 2008 and during the 25-month period following the spin-off) would involve the acquisition for purposes of Section 355(e) of the Code after August 4, 2008 of more than 25% of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. In addition, the requirement to obtain Cypress's consent does not apply to (A) any acquisition of the Company's stock that will qualify under Treasury Regulation Section 1.355-7(d)(8) in connection with the performance of services, (B) any acquisition of the Company's stock for which it furnishes to Cypress prior to such acquisition an opinion of counsel and supporting documentation, in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to Cypress (a "Tax Opinion"), that such acquisition will qualify under Treasury Regulation Section 1.355-7(d)(9), (C) an acquisition of the Company's stock (other than involving a public offering) for which the Company furnishes to Cypress prior to such acquisition a Tax Opinion to the effect that such acquisition will qualify under the so-called "super safe harbor" contained in Treasury Regulation Section 1.355-7(b)(2) or (D) the adoption by the Company of a standard stockholder rights plan. The Company further agreed that it will not (i) effect a Recapitalization during the 36 month period following the spin-off without first obtaining a Tax Opinion to the effect that such Recapitalization (either alone or when taken together with any other transaction or transactions) will not cause the spin-off to become taxable under Section 355(e), or (ii) seek any private ruling, including any supplemental private ruling, from the IRS with regard to the spin-off, or any transaction having any bearing on the tax treatment of the spin-off, without the prior written consent of Cypress.

Note 3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS, GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Business Combinations

PowerLight

In January 2007, the Company completed the acquisition of PowerLight (subsequently renamed SunPower Corporation, Systems). Of the total consideration issued for the acquisition, approximately \$23.7 million in cash and approximately 0.7 million shares of its class A common stock, with a total aggregate value of \$118.1 million as of December 30, 2007, were held in escrow as security for the indemnification obligations of certain former PowerLight stockholders.

In January 2008, following the first anniversary of the acquisition date, the Company authorized the release of approximately one-half of the original escrow amount, leaving in escrow approximately \$12.9 million in cash and approximately 0.4 million shares of its class A common stock, with a total aggregate value of \$38.6 million as of September 28, 2008. The Company's rights to recover damages under several provisions of the acquisition agreement also expired on the first anniversary of the acquisition date. As a result, the Company is now entitled to recover only limited types of losses, and any recovery will be limited to the amount available in the escrow fund at the time of a claim. The remaining amount in the escrow fund will be progressively reduced to zero on each anniversary of the acquisition date over a period of four years.

Solar Solutions

In January 2008, the Company completed the acquisition of Solar Solutions, a solar systems integration and product distribution company based in Italy. Solar Solutions was a division of Combigas S.r.l., a petroleum products trading firm. Active since 2002, Solar Solutions distributes components such as solar panels and inverters, and offers turnkey solar power systems and standard system kits via a network of dealers throughout Italy. Prior to the acquisition, Solar Solutions had been a customer of the Company since fiscal 2006. As a result of the acquisition, Solar Solutions became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. In connection with the acquisition, the Company changed Solar Solutions' name to SunPower Italia S.r.l. ("SunPower Italia"). The acquisition of SunPower Italia was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Index

Solar Sales Pty. Ltd. (“Solar Sales”)

In July 2008, the Company completed the acquisition of Solar Sales, a solar systems integration and product distribution company based in Australia. Solar Sales distributes components such as solar panels and inverters via a national network of dealers throughout Australia, and designs, builds and commissions large-scale commercial systems. Prior to the acquisition, Solar Sales had been a customer of the Company since fiscal 2005. As a result of the acquisition, Solar Sales became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. In connection with the acquisition, the Company changed Solar Sales’ name to SunPower Corporation Australia Pty. Ltd. (“SunPower Australia”). The acquisition of SunPower Australia was not material to the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

Goodwill

The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill under the Company's reportable business segments:

(In thousands)	Components Business Segment	Systems Business Segment	Total
As of December 30, 2007	\$ 2,883	\$ 181,801	\$ 184,684
Goodwill acquired	11,688	—	11,688
Adjustments	6	—	6
As of September 28, 2008	\$ 14,577	\$ 181,801	\$ 196,378

Changes to goodwill during the nine months ended September 28, 2008 resulted from the acquisitions of SunPower Italia and SunPower Australia. Approximately \$11.7 million had been allocated to goodwill within the components segment, which represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the underlying net tangible and intangible assets of SunPower Italia and SunPower Australia. SunPower Italia is a Euro functional currency subsidiary and SunPower Australia is an Australian dollar functional currency subsidiary. Therefore, the Company records a translation adjustment for the revaluation of the subsidiary’s goodwill and intangible assets into U.S. dollar. As of September 28, 2008, the cumulative translation adjustment decreased the balance of goodwill by \$0.2 million. Also during the nine months ended September 28, 2008, the Company recorded an adjustment to increase goodwill by \$0.2 million to adjust the value of acquired investments.

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets,” (“SFAS No. 142”), goodwill will not be amortized but instead will be tested for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if certain indicators are present. The Company conducts its annual impairment test of goodwill as of the Sunday closest to the end of the third calendar quarter of each year. Based on its last impairment test as of September 28, 2008, the Company determined there was no impairment. In the event that management determines that the value of goodwill has become impaired, the Company will incur an accounting charge for the amount of the impairment during the fiscal quarter in which the determination is made.

Intangible Assets

The following tables present details of the Company's acquired identifiable intangible assets:

(In thousands)	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
As of September 28, 2008			
Patents and purchased technology	\$ 51,398	\$ (28,649)	\$ 22,749

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

Tradenames	2,600	(1,463)	1,137
Backlog	11,787	(11,787)	—
Customer relationships and other	27,993	(7,616)	20,377
	\$ 93,778	\$ (49,515)	\$ 44,263

As of December 30, 2007

Patents and purchased technology	\$ 51,398	\$ (20,630)	\$ 30,768
Tradenames	1,603	(808)	795
Backlog	11,787	(11,460)	327
Customer relationships and other	23,193	(4,137)	19,056
	\$ 87,981	\$ (37,035)	\$ 50,946

Index

In connection with the acquisitions of SunPower Italia and SunPower Australia, the Company recorded \$6.2 million of intangible assets less \$0.4 million of cumulative translation adjustment for acquired intangibles in the nine months ended September 28, 2008. In connection with the acquisition of SP Systems, the Company recorded \$79.5 million of intangible assets in the first quarter of fiscal 2007, of which \$15.5 million was related to the PowerLight tradename. The determination of the fair value and useful life of the tradename was based on the Company's strategy of continuing to market its systems products and services under the PowerLight brand. Based on the Company's change in branding strategy and changing PowerLight's name to SunPower Corporation, Systems, during the quarter ended July 1, 2007, the Company recognized an impairment charge of \$14.1 million, which represented the net book value of the PowerLight tradename.

All of the Company's acquired identifiable intangible assets are subject to amortization. Aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets totaled \$4.2 million and \$12.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and \$6.9 million and \$21.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. As of September 28, 2008, the estimated future amortization expense related to intangible assets is as follows (in thousands):

2008 (remaining three months)	\$	4,263
2009		16,476
2010		14,874
2011		4,638
2012		3,907
Thereafter		105
	\$	44,263

Note 4. BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS

(In thousands)	September 28, 2008	December 30, 2007
Accounts receivable, net:		
Accounts receivable, gross	\$ 195,347	\$ 139,991
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,357)	(1,373)
Less: Allowance for sales returns	(168)	(368)
	\$ 193,822	\$ 138,250

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on contracts in progress and billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on contracts in progress consists of the following:

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on contracts in progress	\$ 56,717	\$ 39,136
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on contracts in progress	(9,640)	(69,900)
	\$ 47,077	\$ (30,764)
Contracts in progress:		
Costs incurred to date	\$ 824,632	\$ 481,340
Estimated earnings to date	269,290	145,643
Contract revenue earned to date	1,093,922	626,983
Less: Billings to date, including earned incentive rebates	(1,046,845)	(657,747)
	\$ 47,077	\$ (30,764)
Inventories:		
Raw materials(1)	\$ 89,130	\$ 89,604

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

Work-in-process	23,860	2,027
Finished goods	77,497	48,873
	\$ 190,487	\$ 140,504

(1) In addition to polysilicon and other raw materials for solar cell manufacturing, raw materials includes solar panels purchased from third-party vendors and installation materials for systems projects.

Prepaid expenses and other current assets:

VAT receivables, current portion	\$ 17,429	\$ 7,266
Deferred tax assets, current portion	7,413	8,437
Other receivables	24,794	9,946
Other prepaid expenses	12,968	7,461
	\$ 62,604	\$ 33,110

Index

(In thousands)	September 28, 2008	December 30, 2007
Property, plant and equipment, net:		
Land and buildings	\$ 8,923	\$ 7,482
Manufacturing equipment	301,684	194,963
Computer equipment	22,691	12,399
Furniture and fixtures	4,338	2,648
Leasehold improvements	131,801	113,801
Construction-in-process (manufacturing facility in the Philippines)	150,301	99,945
	619,738	431,238
Less: Accumulated depreciation(2)	(83,793)	(53,244)
	\$ 535,945	\$ 377,994

(2) Total depreciation expense was \$13.6 million and \$35.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and \$6.2 million and \$17.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Other long-term assets:		
VAT receivable, net of current portion	\$ 14,274	\$ 24,269
Investments in joint ventures	18,935	5,304
Note receivable(3)	10,000	—
Other	16,124	2,401
	\$ 59,333	\$ 31,974

(3) In June 2008, the Company loaned \$10.0 million to a third-party private company pursuant to a three-year interest-bearing note receivable that is convertible into equity at the Company's option.

Accrued liabilities:		
VAT payables	\$ 15,331	\$ 18,138
Employee compensation and employee benefits	15,533	15,338
Income taxes payable	20,411	11,106
Warranty reserves	15,359	10,502
Foreign exchange derivative liability	170	8,920
Other	26,041	15,430
	\$ 92,845	\$ 79,434

Note 5. INVESTMENTS

On December 31, 2007, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, which refines the definition of fair value, provides a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Company's adoption of SFAS No. 157 was limited to its financial assets and financial liabilities, as permitted by FSP 157-2. The Company does not have any nonfinancial assets or nonfinancial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in its condensed consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy assigns the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities ("Level 1") and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs ("Level 3"). Level 2 measurements are inputs that

are observable for assets or liabilities, either directly or indirectly, other than quoted prices included within Level 1. The following table presents information about the Company's available-for-sale securities under SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" ("SFAS No. 115") measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 28, 2008 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 157:

14

Index

(In thousands) Asset	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Balance as of September 28, 2008
Money market funds	\$ 105,304	\$ —	\$ 25,744	\$ 131,048
Corporate securities	202,599	25,136	25,017	252,752
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 307,903	\$ 25,136	\$ 50,761	\$ 383,800

Available-for-sale securities utilizing Level 3 inputs to determine fair value are comprised of investments in money market funds totaling \$25.7 million and auction rate securities held totaling \$25.0 million at September 28, 2008. Investments in money market funds consist of the Company's investments in the Reserve Primary Fund and the Reserve International Liquidity Fund (collectively referred to as the "Reserve Funds"). The net asset value for the Reserve Funds fell below \$1.00 because the funds had investments in Lehman, which filed for bankruptcy on September 15, 2008. As a result of this event, the Reserve Funds wrote down their investments in Lehman to zero. The Company has estimated the loss on the Reserve Funds to be approximately \$0.9 million based on an evaluation of the fair value of the securities held by the Reserve Funds and the net asset value that was last published by the Reserve Funds before the funds suspended redemptions. The Company recorded an impairment charge of \$0.9 million in "Other, net" in its Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, thereby establishing a new cost basis for each fund.

The Company's money market fund instruments are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets. However, the Company conducted its fair value assessment of the Reserve Funds using Level 2 and Level 3 inputs. Management has reviewed the Reserve Funds' underlying securities portfolios which are substantially comprised of discount notes, certificates of deposit and commercial paper issued by highly-rated institutions. The Company has used a pricing service to assist in its review of fair value of the underlying portfolios, which estimates fair value of some instruments using proprietary models based on assumptions as to term, maturity dates, rates, credit risk, etc. Normally, the Company would classify such an investment within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. However, management also evaluated the fair value of its unit interest in the Reserve Funds itself, considering risk of collection, timing and other factors. These assumptions are inherently subjective and involve significant management judgment. As a result, the Company has classified its holdings in the Reserve Funds within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

On October 31, 2008, the Company received a distribution of \$11.9 million from the Reserve Funds. The Company expects that the remaining distribution of \$13.8 million from the Reserve Funds will occur over the remaining twelve months as the investments held in the funds mature. Therefore, the Company has changed the designation of its \$13.8 million investment in the Reserve Funds that was not received in the subsequent period from cash and cash equivalents to short-term investments at the new cost basis on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. This re-designation is included in "purchases of available-for-sale securities" in investing activities in the Company's accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. While the Company expects to receive substantially all of its current holdings in the Reserve Funds within the next twelve months, it is possible the Company may encounter difficulties in receiving distributions given the current credit market conditions. If market conditions were to deteriorate even further such that the current fair value were not achievable, the Company could realize additional losses in its holdings with the Reserve Funds and distributions could be further delayed.

Auction rate securities held are typically over-collateralized and secured by pools of student loans originated under the Federal Family Education Loan Program (“FFELP”) that are guaranteed and insured by the U.S. Department of Education. In addition, all auction rate securities held are rated by one or more of the Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (“NRSRO”) as triple-A. Historically, these securities have provided liquidity through a Dutch auction at pre-determined intervals every seven to 49 days. At the end of each reset period, investors can continue to hold the securities or sell the securities at par through an auction process. The “stated” or “contractual” maturities for these securities generally are between 20 to 30 years. Beginning in February 2008, the auction rate securities market experienced a significant increase in the number of failed auctions, resulting from a lack of liquidity, which occurs when sell orders exceed buy orders, and does not necessarily signify a default by the issuer.

All auction rate securities invested in at September 28, 2008 have failed to clear at auctions. For failed auctions, the Company continues to earn interest on these investments at the maximum contractual rate as the issuer is obligated under contractual terms to pay penalty rates should auctions fail. Historically, failed auctions have rarely occurred, however, such failures could continue to occur in the future. In the event the Company needs to access these funds, the Company will not be able to do so until a future auction is successful, the issuer redeems the securities, a buyer is found outside of the auction process or the securities mature. Accordingly, auction rate securities at September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007 that were not sold in a subsequent period totaling \$25.0 million and \$29.1 million, respectively, are classified as long-term investments on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, because they are not expected to be used to fund current operations and consistent with the stated contractual maturities of the securities.

Index

The Company determined that use of a valuation model was the best available technique for measuring the fair value of its auction rate securities. The Company used an income approach valuation model to estimate the price that would be received to sell its securities in an orderly transaction between market participants ("exit price") as of September 28, 2008. The exit price was derived as the weighted average present value of expected cash flows over various periods of illiquidity, using a risk-adjusted discount rate that was based on the credit risk and liquidity risk of the securities. While the valuation model was based on both Level 2 (credit quality and interest rates) and Level 3 inputs, the Company determined that the Level 3 inputs were the most significant to the overall fair value measurement, particularly the estimates of risk adjusted discount rates and ranges of expected periods of illiquidity. The valuation model also reflected the Company's intention to hold its auction rate securities until they can be liquidated in a market that facilitates orderly transactions. The following key assumptions were used in the valuation model:

- 5 years to liquidity;
- continued receipt of contractual interest which provides a premium spread for failed auctions; and
- discount rates ranging from 4.8% to 6.2%, which incorporate a spread for both credit and liquidity risk.

Based on these assumptions, the Company estimated that the auction rate securities would be valued at approximately 96% of their stated par value, representing a decline in value of approximately \$1.0 million. The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value of the Company's available-for-sale securities utilizing Level 3 inputs as of September 28, 2008:

(In thousands)	Money Market Funds	Auction Rate Securities
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ —	\$ —
Transfers from Level 1 to Level 3	26,677	—
Transfers from Level 2 to Level 3	—	29,050
Purchases	—	10,000
Sales (1)	—	(13,000)
Impairment loss recorded in "Other, net"	(933)	—
Unrealized loss included in "Other comprehensive income"	—	(1,033)
Balance at September 28, 2008 (2)	\$ 25,744	\$ 25,017

(1) In the second quarter of fiscal 2008, the Company sold auction rate securities with a carrying value of \$12.5 million for their stated par value of \$13.0 million to the issuer of the securities outside of the auction process.

(2) On October 31, 2008, the Company received a distribution of \$11.9 million from the Reserve Funds.

The following table summarizes unrealized gains and losses by major security type designated as available-for-sale:

(In thousands)	Cost	September 28, 2008 Unrealized			Cost	December 30, 2007 Unrealized		
		Gross Gains	Gross Losses	Fair Value		Gross Gains	Gross Losses	Fair Value
Money market funds	131,048	—	—	131,048	281,458	—	—	281,458
Corporate securities	253,936	15	(1,199)	252,752	92,395	6	(50)	92,351
Commercial paper	—	—	—	—	78,163	2	(2)	78,163
Total available-for-sale	\$ 384,984	\$ 15	\$ (1,199)	\$ 383,800	\$ 452,016	\$ 8	\$ (52)	\$ 451,972

securities

In accordance with EITF 03-1, “The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments,” the following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses of the Company’s available-for-sale securities, aggregated by type of investment instrument and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

(In thousands)	Less than 12 Months		As of September 28, 2008 12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Gross		Gross		Gross	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Corporate securities	\$ 45,112	\$ (1,199)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 45,112	\$ (1,199)

16

Index

(In thousands)	Less than 12 Months		As of December 30, 2007 12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
Corporate securities	\$ 25,536	\$ (50)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 25,536	\$ (50)
Commercial paper	24,002	(2)	—	—	24,002	(2)
	\$ 49,538	\$ (52)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 49,538	\$ (52)

As of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, the Company did not have any investments in available-for-sale securities that were in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or greater. Of the \$1.2 million gross unrealized losses of the Company's corporate securities, \$1.0 million resulted from the decline in the estimated fair value of auction rate securities primarily due to their lack of liquidity. The decline in fair value for the remaining corporate securities was primarily related to changes in interest rates. The Company has concluded that no other-than-temporary impairment losses occurred in the nine months ended September 28, 2008 in regards to the corporate securities because the lack of liquidity in the market for auction rate securities and changes in interest rates are considered temporary in nature for which the Company has recorded an unrealized loss within comprehensive income, a component of stockholders' equity. The Company has the ability and intent to hold these corporate securities until a recovery of fair value. In addition, the Company evaluated the near-term prospects of the corporate securities in relation to the severity and duration of the impairment. Based on that evaluation and the Company's ability and intent to hold these corporate securities for a reasonable period of time, the Company did not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired. If it is determined that the fair value of these corporate securities is other-than-temporarily impaired, the Company would record a loss in its Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations in the future, which could be material.

The classification and contractual maturities of available-for-sale securities is as follows:

(In thousands)	September 28, 2008	December 30, 2007
Included in:		
Cash equivalents	\$ 209,761	\$ 249,582
Short-term restricted cash(1)	47,983	—
Short-term investments	38,982	105,453
Long-term restricted cash(1)	62,057	67,887
Long-term investments	25,017	29,050
	\$ 383,800	\$ 451,972
Contractual maturities:		
Due in less than one year	\$ 296,804	\$ 396,228
Due from one to two years (2)	2,423	4,994
Due from two to 30 years	84,573	50,750
	\$ 383,800	\$ 451,972

(1) The Company provided security in the form of cash collateralized bank standby letters of credit for advance payments received from customers.

(2) The Company classifies all available-for-sale securities that are intended to be available for use in current operations as short-term investments.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The Company measures its nonpublicly traded investments at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, of which \$5.0 million is accounted for using the cost method and \$18.9 million is accounted under APB Opinion No. 18, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock" (see Note 8). These assets are recognized at fair value when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. During the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, the Company did not record any other-than-temporary impairments on those assets required to be measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

Note 6. ADVANCES TO SUPPLIERS

The Company has entered into agreements with various polysilicon, ingot, wafer, solar cells and solar module vendors and manufacturers. These agreements specify future quantities and pricing of products to be supplied by the vendors for periods up to 12 years. Certain agreements also provide for penalties or forfeiture of advanced deposits in the event the Company terminates the arrangements (see Note 8). Under certain of these agreements, the Company is required to make prepayments to the vendors over the terms of the arrangements. In the nine months ended September 28, 2008, the Company paid advances totaling \$8.0 million in accordance with the terms of existing supply agreements. As of September 28, 2008, advances to suppliers totaled \$144.8 million, the current portion of which is \$60.1 million.

Index

The Company's future prepayment obligations related to these agreements as of September 28, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

2008 (remaining three months)	\$ 50,490
2009	78,006
2010	59,642
2011	19,792
	\$ 207,930

In October 2008, the Company paid advances of \$44.9 million in accordance with the terms of existing supply agreements.

Note 7. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

During the preparation of its condensed consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended September 28, 2008, the Company identified errors in its financial statements related to the year ended December 30, 2007, which resulted in \$1.3 million overstatement of stock-based compensation expense. The Company corrected these errors in its condensed consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended September 28, 2008, which resulted in a \$1.3 million credit to income before income taxes and net income. The out-of-period effect is not expected to be material to estimated full-year 2008 results, and, accordingly has been recognized in accordance with APB 28, Interim Financial Reporting, paragraph 29 as the error is not material to any financial statements of prior periods.

The following table summarizes the consolidated stock-based compensation expense by line items in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
Cost of systems revenue	\$ 2,911	\$ 2,049	\$ 7,661	\$ 6,235
Cost of components revenue	1,964	1,539	6,057	2,801
Research and development	987	404	2,770	1,253
Sales, general and administrative	13,049	9,372	35,538	26,908
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 18,911	\$ 13,364	\$ 52,026	\$ 37,197

Consolidated net cash proceeds from the issuance of shares in connection with exercises of stock options under the Company's employee stock plans were \$1.5 million and \$3.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and \$1.9 million and \$6.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. The Company recognized an income tax benefit from stock option exercises of \$19.3 million and \$33.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively. No income tax benefit was realized from stock option exercises during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007. As required, the Company presents excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options, if any, as financing cash flows rather than operating cash flows.

The following table summarizes the consolidated stock-based compensation expense, by type of awards:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 28,	September 30,	September 28,	September 30,

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

	2008	2007	2008	2007
Stock options	\$ 1,072	\$ 4,183	\$ 3,273	\$ 13,776
Restricted stock awards and units	10,053	3,742	28,183	8,262
Shares and options released from re-vesting restrictions	7,627	5,305	21,260	15,333
Change in stock-based compensation capitalized in inventory	159	134	(690)	(174)
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 18,911	\$ 13,364	\$ 52,026	\$ 37,197

18

Index

In connection with the acquisition of SP Systems on January 10, 2007, 1.1 million shares of the Company's class A common stock and 0.5 million stock options issued to employees of SP Systems, which were valued at \$60.4 million, are subject to certain transfer restrictions and a repurchase option held by the Company. The Company is recognizing expense as the re-vesting restrictions of these shares lapse over the two-year period beginning on the date of acquisition. The value of shares released from such re-vesting restrictions is included in stock-based compensation expense in the table above.

The following table summarizes the unrecognized stock-based compensation cost by type of awards:

(In thousands, except years)	As of September 28, 2008	Weighted-Average Amortization Period (in years)
Stock options	\$ 12,779	2.9
Restricted stock awards and units	103,485	2.8
Shares subject to re-vesting restrictions	7,795	0.3
Total unrecognized stock-based compensation cost	\$ 124,059	

For stock options issued prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123(R)") and for certain performance based awards, the Company recognizes its stock-based compensation cost using the graded amortization method. For all other awards, stock-based compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis. Additionally, the Company issues new shares upon exercises of options by employees.

Valuation Assumptions

The determination of fair value of each stock option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model ("Black-Scholes model") is affected by the stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. The following weighted average assumptions were used for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007:

	Three Months Ended* September 28, 2008	Nine Months Ended September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
Expected term	6.5 years	6.5 years	6.5 years
Risk-free interest rate	3.48%	3.48%	4.60%
Volatility	60%	60%	90%
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%

* No stock options were granted in the three months ended September 30, 2007.

Expected Term:

The Company continues to utilize the simplified method under the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110 ("SAB No. 110"), an amendment to Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 ("SAB No. 107"), for estimating expected term, instead of its historical exercise data. The Company elected not to base the expected term on historical data because of the significant difference in its status before and after the effective date of SFAS No. 123(R). The Company was a privately-held company until its IPO, and the only available liquidation event for option holders was Cypress's buyout

of minority interests in November 2004. At all other times, optionees could not cash out on their vested options. From the time of the Company's IPO in November 2005 through May 2006 when lock-up restrictions expired, a majority of the optionees were unable to exercise and sell vested options.

Volatility:

In fiscal 2008, the Company computed the expected volatility for its equity awards based on its historical volatility from traded options and class A common stock. Prior to fiscal 2008, the Company computed the expected volatility for its equity awards based on historical volatility rates for a publicly-traded U.S.-based direct competitor. Because of the limited history of its stock trading publicly, the Company did not believe that its historical volatility would be representative of the expected volatility for its equity awards.

Index

Risk-Free Interest Rate and Dividend Yield:

The interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Since the Company does not pay and does not expect to pay dividends, the expected dividend yield is zero.

Equity Incentive Programs

Second Amended and Restated 2005 SunPower Corporation Stock Incentive Plan:

In May 2008, the Company's stockholders approved an increase of 1.7 million shares and, beginning in fiscal 2009 through 2015, an automatic annual increase in the number of shares available for grant under the Company's Second Amended and Restated 2005 SunPower Corporation Stock Incentive Plan under which the Company may issue incentive or non-statutory stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, or stock appreciation rights to directors, employees and consultants. The automatic annual increase is equal to the lower of three percent of the outstanding shares of all classes of the Company's common stock measured on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter, 6.0 million shares, or such other number of shares as determined by the Company's board of directors. As of September 28, 2008, approximately 1.4 million shares were available for grant under the Company's Second Amended and Restated 2005 SunPower Corporation Stock Incentive Plan.

The majority of shares issued are net of the minimum statutory withholding requirements that the Company pays on behalf of its employees. During the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, the Company withheld approximately 15,000 shares and 67,000 shares, respectively, to satisfy \$1.7 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, of employees' tax obligations. The Company paid this amount in cash to the appropriate taxing authorities. Shares withheld are treated as common stock repurchases for accounting and disclosure purposes and reduce the number of shares outstanding upon vesting.

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activities:

	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price Per Share
Outstanding as of December 30, 2007	3,701	\$ 5.44
Granted	100	62.82
Exercised	(1,030)	4.52
Forfeited	(159)	3.67
Outstanding as of September 28, 2008	2,612	8.39
Exercisable as of September 28, 2008	1,342	

The following table summarizes the Company's non-vested stock options and restricted stock activities thereafter:

	Stock Options		Restricted Stock Awards and Units	
	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price Per Share	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Outstanding as of December 30, 2007	2,454	\$ 6.29	1,174	\$ 68.74
Granted	100	62.82	742	78.42

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

Vested(1)	(1,125)	4.08	(255)	77.99
Forfeited	(159)	4.52	(58)	79.29
Outstanding as of September 28, 2008	1,270	12.92	1,603	75.51

(1) Restricted stock awards and units vested include shares withheld on behalf of employees to satisfy the minimum statutory tax withholding requirements.

Index

Information regarding the Company's outstanding stock options as of September 28, 2008 follows:

Range of Exercise Price	Options Outstanding				Options Exercisable			
	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
\$ 0.04—1.77	498	3.74	\$ 0.51	\$ 41,164	361	3.99	\$ 0.52	\$ 29,800
2.00—7.00	1,565	6.21	3.67	124,418	865	6.20	3.50	68,930
9.50—17.00	149	7.06	10.19	10,864	59	7.06	10.22	4,298
17.46—43.01	283	7.75	25.33	16,375	53	7.67	27.74	2,955
44.50—67.93	117	9.60	61.89	2,476	3	8.61	56.20	86
	2,612			\$ 195,297	1,341			\$ 106,069

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$83.16 at September 28, 2008, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date. The total number of in-the-money options exercisable was 1.3 million shares as of September 28, 2008.

Stock Unit Plan:

As of September 28, 2008, the Company has granted approximately 236,000 stock units to 2,200 employees in the Philippines at an average unit price of \$39.80 in relation to its 2005 Stock Unit Plan, under which participants are awarded the right to receive cash payments from the Company in an amount equal to the appreciation in the Company's common stock between the award date and the date the employee redeems the award. A maximum of 300,000 stock units may be subject to stock unit awards granted under the 2005 Stock Unit Plan. Pursuant to a voluntary exchange offer that concluded in November 2007, approximately 53,000 stock units were exchanged for approximately 32,000 restricted stock units issued under the Company's Second Amended and Restated 2005 SunPower Corporation Stock Incentive Plan. The Company conducted a second voluntary exchange offer that concluded in May 2008, in which approximately 109,000 stock units were exchanged for approximately 50,000 restricted stock units issued under the Company's Second Amended and Restated 2005 SunPower Corporation Stock Incentive Plan. In both the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, total compensation expense associated with the 2005 Stock Unit Plan was \$0.1 million as compared to \$0.7 million and \$1.5 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Note 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Lease Commitments

The Company leases its San Jose, California facility under a non-cancelable operating lease from Cypress, which expires in April 2011 (see Note 2). The lease requires the Company to pay property taxes, insurance and certain other costs. In addition, the Company leases its Richmond, California facility under a non-cancelable operating lease from an unaffiliated third party, which expires in September 2018. In December 2005, the Company entered into a 5-year operating lease from an unaffiliated third party for a solar panel assembly facility in the Philippines. The Company also has various lease arrangements, including its European headquarters located in Geneva, Switzerland under a lease that expires in September 2012, as well as sales and support offices in Southern California, New Jersey, Australia, Canada, Germany, Italy, Spain and South Korea, all of which are leased from unaffiliated third parties. Future

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

minimum obligations under all non-cancelable operating leases as of September 28, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

2008 (remaining three months)	\$	1,316
2009		5,457
2010		5,147
2011		3,861
2012		3,123
Thereafter		24,632
	\$	43,536

21

Index

Rent expense, including the rent paid to Cypress for the San Jose, California facility and the solar cell manufacturing facility in the Philippines (see Note 2), was \$1.9 million and \$5.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and \$0.8 million and \$2.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Purchase Commitments

The Company purchases raw materials for inventory, services and manufacturing equipment from a variety of vendors. During the normal course of business, in order to manage manufacturing lead times and help assure adequate supply, the Company enters into agreements with contract manufacturers and suppliers that either allow them to procure goods and services based upon specifications defined by the Company, or that establish parameters defining the Company's requirements. In certain instances, these agreements allow the Company the option to cancel, reschedule or adjust the Company's requirements based on its business needs prior to firm orders being placed. Consequently, only a portion of the Company's recorded purchase commitments arising from these agreements are firm, non-cancelable and unconditional commitments.

The Company also has agreements with several suppliers, including joint ventures, for the procurement of polysilicon, ingots, wafers, solar cells and solar panels which specify future quantities and pricing of products to be supplied by the vendors for periods up to 12 years and provide for certain consequences, such as forfeiture of advanced deposits and liquidated damages relating to previous purchases, in the event that the Company terminates the arrangements (see Note 6).

At September 28, 2008, total obligations related to non-cancelable purchase orders totaled approximately \$134.6 million and long-term supplier agreements totaled approximately \$3.4 billion. In addition, the Company has entered into agreements to purchase solar renewable energy certificates ("SRECs") from solar installation owners in New Jersey. The Company primarily sells SRECs to entities that must either retire a certain volume of SRECs each year or face much higher alternative compliance payments. At September 28, 2008, total obligations related to future purchases of SRECs were \$2.4 million.

Future purchase obligations under non-cancelable purchase orders, long-term supplier agreements and SRECs as of September 28, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

2008 (remaining three months)	\$ 206,130
2009	421,779
2010	523,075
2011	531,600
2012	335,237
Thereafter	1,526,705
	\$ 3,544,526

Total future purchase commitments of \$3.5 billion as of September 28, 2008 include tolling agreements with suppliers in which the Company provides polysilicon required for silicon ingot manufacturing and procures the manufactured silicon ingots from the supplier. Annual future purchase commitments in the table above are calculated using the gross price paid by the Company for silicon ingots and are not reduced by the price paid by suppliers for polysilicon. Total future purchase commitments as of September 28, 2008 would be reduced by \$636.7 million had the Company's obligations under such tolling agreements been disclosed using net cash outflows.

Joint Ventures

Woongjin Energy Co., Ltd (“Woongjin Energy”)

In the third quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with Woongjin Coway Co., Ltd. (“Woongjin”), a provider of environmental products located in Korea, to form Woongjin Energy, a joint venture to manufacture monocrystalline silicon ingots. Under the joint venture, the Company and Woongjin have funded the joint venture through capital investments. In addition, Woongjin Energy obtained a \$33.0 million loan originally guaranteed by Woongjin. The Company supplies polysilicon and technology required for the silicon ingot manufacturing to the joint venture, and the Company procures the manufactured silicon ingots from the joint venture under a five-year agreement. Woongjin Energy began manufacturing in the third quarter of fiscal 2007. For the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, the Company paid \$21.7 million and \$36.7 million, respectively, to Woongjin Energy for manufacturing silicon ingots. As of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, \$3.7 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, remained due and payable to Woongjin Energy.

In October 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with Woongjin and Woongjin Holdings Co., Ltd. (“Woongjin Holdings”), whereby Woongjin transferred its equity investment held in Woongjin Energy to Woongjin Holdings and Woongjin Holdings assumed all rights and obligations formerly owned by Woongjin under the joint venture agreement described above, including the \$33.0 million loan guarantee. In January 2008, the Company and Woongjin Holdings provided Woongjin Energy with additional funding through capital investments in which the Company invested an additional \$5.4 million in the joint venture.

Index

As of September 28, 2008, the Company had a \$13.9 million investment in the joint venture on its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets which consisted of a 40.0% equity investment. As of December 30, 2007, the Company had a \$4.4 million investment in the joint venture on its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets which consisted of a 19.9% equity investment valued at \$1.1 million and a \$3.3 million convertible note that is convertible at the Company's option into an additional 20.1% equity ownership in the joint venture. The Company exercised the option and converted the notes into equity in September 2008. The Company accounts for this investment in Woongjin Energy using the equity method of accounting, in which the entire investment is classified as "Other long-term assets" in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and the Company's share of Woongjin Energy's income totaling \$2.2 million and \$4.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and share of Woongjin Energy's losses totaling \$0.2 million in both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, is included in "Other, net" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Neither party has contractual obligations to provide any additional funding to the joint venture.

In the nine months ended September 28, 2008, the Company conducted other related-party transactions with Woongjin Energy. For the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, the Company recognized \$4.1 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, in components revenue related to the sale of solar modules. As of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, zero and \$3.2 million, respectively, remained due and receivable from Woongjin Energy related to the sale of solar modules.

First Philec Solar Corporation ("First Philec Solar")

In October 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with First Philippine Electric Corporation ("First Philec") to form First Philec Solar, a joint venture to provide wafer slicing services of silicon ingots to the Company. The Company and First Philec have funded the joint venture through capital investments. The Company supplies to the joint venture silicon ingots and technology required for the slicing of silicon, and the Company procures the silicon wafers from the joint venture under a five-year wafering supply and sales agreement. This joint venture is located in the Philippines and became operational in the second quarter of fiscal 2008. As of September 28, 2008, \$4.4 million remained due and payable to First Philec Solar.

As of September 28, 2008, the Company had a \$5.0 million investment in the joint venture on its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets which consisted of a 19.0% equity investment. As of December 30, 2007, the Company had a \$0.9 million investment in the joint venture on its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets which consisted of a 16.9% equity investment. The Company accounts for this investment using the equity method of accounting, in which the entire investment is classified as "Other long-term assets" in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and the Company's share of First Philec Solar's losses totaling \$0.1 million in both the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, is included in "Other, net" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company periodically evaluates the qualitative and quantitative attributes of the joint ventures to determine whether the joint ventures need to be consolidated into the Company's financial statements in accordance with FSP FASB Interpretation No. 46 "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" ("FSP FIN 46(R)").

NorSun AS ("NorSun")

In January 2008, the Company entered into an Option Agreement with NorSun pursuant to which the Company will deliver cash advance payments to NorSun for the purchase of polysilicon under a long-term polysilicon supply agreement with NorSun, which NorSun will use to partly fund its portion of the equity investment in the joint venture with Swicorp Joussour Company and Chemical Development Company for the construction of a new polysilicon manufacturing facility in Saudi Arabia. The Company deposited funds in an escrow account to secure NorSun's right to such advance payments. NorSun will initially hold a fifty percent equity interest in the joint venture.

Under the terms of the Option Agreement, the Company may exercise a call option and apply the advance payments to purchase half, subject to certain adjustments, of NorSun's fifty percent equity interest in the joint venture. The Company may exercise its option at any time until six months following the commercial operation of the Saudi Arabian polysilicon manufacturing facility. The Option Agreement also provides NorSun an option to put half, subject to certain adjustments, of its fifty percent equity interest in the joint venture to the Company. NorSun's option is exercisable commencing July 1, 2009 through six months following commercial operation of the polysilicon manufacturing facility. The Company accounts for the put and call options as one instrument, which are measured at fair value at each reporting period. The changes in the fair value of the combined option are recorded as other income in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value of the combined option at September 28, 2008 was not material.

Product Warranties

The Company warrants or guarantees the performance of the solar panels that the Company manufactures at certain levels of power output for extended periods, usually 25 years. It also warrants that the solar cells will be free from defects for at least ten years. In addition, it passes through to customers long-term warranties from the original equipment manufacturers of certain system components. Warranties of 20 to 25 years from solar panel suppliers are standard, while inverters typically carry two-, five- or ten-year warranties. The Company maintains warranty reserves to cover potential liabilities that could result from these guarantees. The Company's potential liability is generally in the form of product replacement or repair. Warranty reserves are based on the Company's best estimate of such liabilities and are recognized as a cost of revenue. The Company continuously monitors product returns for warranty failures and maintains a reserve for the related warranty expenses based on historical experience of similar products as well as various other assumptions that are considered reasonable under the circumstances.

Index

The Company generally warrants or guarantees systems installed for a period of five to ten years. The Company's estimated warranty cost for each project is accrued and the related costs are charged against the warranty accrual when incurred. It is not possible to predict the maximum potential amount of future warranty-related expenses under these or similar contracts due to the conditional nature of the Company's obligations and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular contract. Historically, warranty costs related to contracts have been within the range of management's expectations.

Provisions for warranty reserves charged to cost of revenue were \$4.2 million and \$14.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and \$1.4 million and \$7.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Activity within accrued warranty for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007 is summarized as follows:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$ 22,521	\$ 14,314	\$ 17,194	\$ 3,446
SP Systems accrued balance at date of acquisition	—	—	—	6,542
Accruals for warranties issued during the period	4,163	1,373	14,003	6,961
Warranty claims made during the period	(2,920)	(776)	(7,433)	(2,038)
Balance at the end of the period	\$ 23,764	\$ 14,911	\$ 23,764	\$ 14,911

The accrued warranty balance at September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007 includes \$8.4 million and \$6.7 million, respectively, of accrued costs primarily related to servicing the Company's obligations under long-term maintenance contracts entered into under the systems segment and the balance is included in "Other long-term liabilities" in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

FIN 48 Uncertain Tax Positions

As of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, total liabilities associated with FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, and Related Implementation Issues," ("FIN 48"), uncertain tax positions were \$7.0 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, and are included in "Other long-term liabilities" on its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, respectively, as they are not expected to be paid within the next twelve months. Due to the complexity and uncertainty associated with its tax positions, the Company cannot make a reasonably reliable estimate of the period in which cash settlement will be made for its liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions in "Other long-term liabilities."

Royalty Obligations

As of January 10, 2007, the Company assumed certain royalty obligations related to existing agreements entered into by PowerLight before the date of acquisition. In September 2002, PowerLight entered into a Technology Assignment and Services Agreement and other ancillary agreements, subsequently amended in December 2005, with Jefferson Shingleton and MaxTracker Services, LLC, a New York limited liability company controlled by Mr. Shingleton. Under the agreements, the PowerTracker®, now referred to as SunPower™ Tracker, was acquired through an assignment and acquisition of the patents associated with the product from Mr. Shingleton and the Company is obligated to pay Mr. Shingleton royalties on the tracker systems that it sells. In addition, several of the systems segment's government awards require the Company to pay royalties based on specified formulas related to sales of products developed or enhanced from such government awards. The Company incurred royalty expense totaling \$0.3 million and \$1.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and \$0.6 million and

\$1.9 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, which were charged to cost of systems revenue. As of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, the Company's royalty liabilities totaled \$0.3 million.

Indemnifications

The Company is a party to a variety of agreements pursuant to which it may be obligated to indemnify the other party with respect to certain matters. Typically, these obligations arise in connection with contracts and license agreements or the sale of assets, under which the Company customarily agrees to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from a breach of warranties, representations and covenants related to such matters as title to assets sold, negligent acts, damage to property, validity of certain intellectual property rights, non-infringement of third-party rights and certain tax related matters. In each of these circumstances, payment by the Company is typically subject to the other party making a claim to the Company pursuant to the procedures specified in the particular contract. These procedures usually allow the Company to challenge the other party's claims or, in case of breach of intellectual property representations or covenants, to control the defense or settlement of any third-party claims brought against the other party. Further, the Company's obligations under these agreements may be limited in terms of activity (typically to replace or correct the products or terminate the agreement with a refund to the other party), duration and/or amounts. In some instances, the Company may have recourse against third parties and/or insurance covering certain payments made by the Company.

Index

Legal Matters

From time to time the Company is a party to litigation matters and claims that are normal in the course of its operations. While the Company believes that the ultimate outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company, negative outcomes may adversely affect the Company's financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

Note 9. LINE OF CREDIT

In July 2007, the Company entered into a credit agreement with Wells Fargo and has entered into amendments to the credit agreement from time to time. As of September 28, 2008, the credit agreement provides for a \$50.0 million unsecured revolving credit line, with a \$50.0 million unsecured letter of credit subfeature, and a separate \$150.0 million secured letter of credit facility. The Company may borrow up to \$50.0 million and request that Wells Fargo issue up to \$50.0 million in letters of credit under the unsecured letter of credit subfeature through April 4, 2009. Letters of credit issued under the subfeature reduce the Company's borrowing capacity under the revolving credit line. The Company may request that Wells Fargo issue up to \$150.0 million in letters of credit under the secured letter of credit facility through July 31, 2012. As detailed in the agreement, the Company will pay interest on outstanding borrowings and a fee for outstanding letters of credit. At any time, the Company can prepay outstanding loans. All borrowings must be repaid by April 4, 2009, and all letters of credit issued under the unsecured letter of credit subfeature expire on or before April 4, 2009 unless the Company provides by such date collateral in the form of cash or cash equivalents in the aggregate amount available to be drawn under letters of credit outstanding at such time. All letters of credit issued under the secured letter of credit facility expire no later than July 31, 2012. The Company concurrently entered into a security agreement with Wells Fargo, granting a security interest in a securities account and deposit account to secure its obligations in connection with any letters of credit that might be issued under the credit agreement. In connection with the credit agreement, SunPower North America, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, SP Systems, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and SunPower Systems SA, another indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an associated continuing guaranty with Wells Fargo. The terms of the credit agreement include certain conditions to borrowings, representations and covenants, and events of default customary for financing transactions of this type.

As of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, nine letters of credit totaling \$47.1 million and four letters of credit totaling \$32.0 million, respectively, were issued by Wells Fargo under the unsecured letter of credit subfeature. In addition, 23 letters of credit totaling \$68.7 million and 8 letters of credit totaling \$47.9 million were issued by Wells Fargo under the secured letter of credit facility as of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, respectively. On September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, cash available to be borrowed under the unsecured revolving credit line was \$2.9 million and \$18.0 million, respectively, and includes letter of credit capacities available to be issued by Wells Fargo under the unsecured letter of credit subfeature of \$2.9 million and \$8.0 million, respectively. Letters of credit available under the secured letter of credit facility at September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007 totaled \$81.3 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

Note 10. SENIOR CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES AND SHARE LENDING ARRANGEMENTS

February 2007 and July 2007 Debt Issuance

In February 2007, the Company issued \$200.0 million in principal amount of its 1.25% senior convertible debentures. Interest on the February 2007 debentures is payable on February 15 and August 15 of each year, commencing August 15, 2007. The February 2007 debentures will mature on February 15, 2027. Holders may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of their February 2007 debentures on each of February 15, 2012, February 15, 2017 and February 15, 2022, or if the Company experiences certain types of corporate transactions constituting a fundamental

change. In addition, the Company may redeem some or all of the February 2007 debentures on or after February 15, 2012. The February 2007 debentures are initially convertible, subject to certain conditions, into cash up to the lesser of the principal amount or the conversion value. If the conversion value is greater than \$1,000, then the excess conversion value will be convertible into common stock. The initial effective conversion price of the February 2007 debentures is approximately \$56.75 per share, which represented a premium of 27.5% to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of issuance. The applicable conversion rate will be subject to customary adjustments in certain circumstances.

In July 2007, the Company issued \$225.0 million in principal amount of its 0.75% senior convertible debentures. Interest on the July 2007 debentures is payable on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing February 1, 2008. The July 2007 debentures will mature on August 1, 2027. Holders may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of their July 2007 debentures on each of August 1, 2010, August 1, 2015, August 1, 2020, and August 1, 2025, or if the Company is involved in certain types of corporate transactions constituting a fundamental change. In addition, the Company may redeem some or all of the July 2007 debentures on or after August 1, 2010. The July 2007 debentures are initially convertible, subject to certain conditions, into cash up to the lesser of the principal amount or the conversion value. If the conversion value is greater than \$1,000, then the excess conversion value will be convertible into cash, common stock or a combination of cash and common stock, at the Company's election. The initial effective conversion price of the February 2007 debentures is approximately \$82.24 per share, which represented a premium of 27.5% to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of issuance. The applicable conversion rate will be subject to customary adjustments in certain circumstances.

Index

The February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures are senior, unsecured obligations of the Company, ranking equally with all existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness of the Company. The February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures are effectively subordinated to the Company's secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the related collateral and structurally subordinated to indebtedness and other liabilities of the Company's subsidiaries. The February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures do not contain any covenants or sinking fund requirements.

For the year ended December 30, 2007, the closing price of the Company's class A common stock equaled or exceeded 125% of the \$56.75 per share initial effective conversion price governing the February 2007 debentures and the closing price of the Company's class A common stock equaled or exceeded 125% of the \$82.24 per share initial effective conversion price governing the July 2007 debentures, for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days ending on December 30, 2007, thus satisfying the market price conversion trigger pursuant to the terms of the debentures. As of the first trading day of the first quarter in fiscal 2008, holders of the February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures were able to exercise their right to convert the debentures any day in that fiscal quarter. Therefore, since holders of the February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures were able to exercise their right to convert the debentures in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, the Company classified the \$425.0 million in aggregate convertible debt as short-term debt in its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 30, 2007. In addition, the Company wrote off \$8.2 million and \$1.0 million of unamortized debt issuance costs in the fourth fiscal quarter of 2007 and first fiscal quarter of 2008, respectively. No holders of the February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures exercised their right to convert the debentures in the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

For the quarter ended September 28, 2008, the closing price of the Company's class A common stock equaled or exceeded 125% of the \$56.75 per share initial effective conversion price governing the February 2007 debentures for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days ending on September 28, 2008, thus satisfying the market price conversion trigger pursuant to the terms of the February 2007 debentures. As of the first trading day of the fourth quarter in fiscal 2008, holders of the February 2007 debentures are able to exercise their right to convert the debentures any day in that fiscal quarter. Therefore, since holders of the February 2007 debentures are able to exercise their right to convert the debentures in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, the Company classified the \$200.0 million in aggregate convertible debt as short-term debt in its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 28, 2008. As of October 31, 2008, the Company has received notices for the conversion of approximately \$1.4 million of the February 2007 debentures which the Company has settled for approximately \$1.2 million in cash and 1,000 shares of class A common stock. If the full \$200.0 million in aggregate convertible debt was called for conversion prior to December 28, 2008, the Company would likely not have sufficient unrestricted cash and cash equivalents on hand to satisfy the conversion without additional liquidity. If necessary, the Company may seek to restructure its obligations under the convertible debt, or raise additional cash through sales of investments, assets or common stock, or from borrowings. However, there can be no assurance that the Company would be successful in these efforts in the current market conditions.

Because the closing stock price did not equal or exceed 125% of the initial effective conversion price governing the July 2007 debentures for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days during the quarter ended September 28, 2008, holders of the debentures did not have the right to convert the debentures, based on the market price conversion trigger, any day in the fourth fiscal quarter beginning on September 29, 2008. Accordingly, the Company classified the \$225.0 million in aggregate convertible debt as long-term debt in its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 28, 2008. This test is repeated each fiscal quarter, therefore, if the market price conversion trigger is satisfied in a subsequent quarter, the debentures may again be re-classified as short-term debt.

The following table summarizes the Company's outstanding convertible debt:

	As of
September 28, 2008	December 30, 2007

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

(In thousands)	Carrying Value	Fair Value*	Carrying Value	Fair Value*
February 2007 debentures	\$ 200,000	\$ 334,706	\$ 200,000	\$ 465,576
July 2007 debentures	225,000	290,399	225,000	366,316
Total convertible debt	\$ 425,000	\$ 625,105	\$ 425,000	\$ 831,892

* The fair value of the convertible debt was determined based on quoted market prices as reported by Bloomberg.

Index

As of October 31, 2008, the estimated fair value of the February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures was approximately \$152.2 million and \$139.3 million, respectively.

February 2007 Amended and Restated Share Lending Arrangement and July 2007 Share Lending Arrangement

Concurrent with the offering of the February 2007 debentures, the Company lent approximately 2.9 million shares of its class A common stock to LBIE, an affiliate of Lehman Brothers, one of the underwriters of the February 2007 debentures. Concurrent with the offering of the July 2007 debentures, the Company also lent approximately 1.8 million shares of its class A common stock to CSI, an affiliate of Credit Suisse, one of the underwriters of the July 2007 debentures. The loaned shares are to be used to facilitate the establishment by investors in the February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures of hedged positions in the Company's class A common stock. Under the share lending agreement, LBIE had the ability to offer the shares that remain in LBIE's possession to facilitate hedging arrangements for subsequent purchasers of both the February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures and, with the Company's consent, purchasers of securities the Company may issue in the future. The Company did not receive any proceeds from these offerings of class A common stock, but received a nominal lending fee of \$0.001 per share for each share of common stock that is loaned pursuant to the share lending agreements described below.

Share loans under the share lending agreement terminate and the borrowed shares must be returned to the Company under the following circumstances: (i) LBIE and CSI may terminate all or any portion of a loan at any time; (ii) the Company may terminate any or all of the outstanding loans upon a default by LBIE and CSI under the share lending agreement, including a breach by LBIE and CSI of any of its representations and warranties, covenants or agreements under the share lending agreement, or the bankruptcy or administrative proceeding of LBIE and CSI; or (iii) if the Company enters into a merger or similar business combination transaction with an unaffiliated third party (as defined in the agreement). In addition, CSI has agreed to return to the Company any borrowed shares in its possession on the date anticipated to be five business days before the closing of certain merger or similar business combinations described in the share lending agreement. Except in limited circumstances, any such shares returned to the Company cannot be re-borrowed.

Any shares loaned to LBIE and CSI are considered issued and outstanding for corporate law purposes and, accordingly, the holders of the borrowed shares have all of the rights of a holder of the Company's outstanding shares, including the right to vote the shares on all matters submitted to a vote of the Company's stockholders and the right to receive any dividends or other distributions that the Company may pay or make on its outstanding shares of class A common stock. The shares are listed for trading on The Nasdaq Global Select Market.

While the share lending agreement does not require cash payment upon return of the shares, physical settlement is required (i.e., the loaned shares must be returned at the end of the arrangement). In view of this share return provision and other contractual undertakings of LBIE and CSI in the share lending agreement, which have the effect of substantially eliminating the economic dilution that otherwise would result from the issuance of the borrowed shares, historically the loaned shares were not considered issued and outstanding for the purpose of computing and reporting the Company's basic and diluted weighted average shares or earnings per share. However, on September 15, 2008, Lehman filed a petition for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. bankruptcy code, and LBIE commenced administration proceedings (analogous to bankruptcy) in the United Kingdom. After reviewing the circumstances of the Lehman bankruptcy and LBIE administration proceedings, the Company has determined that it will record the shares lent to LBIE as issued and outstanding starting on September 15, 2008, the date on which LBIE commenced administration proceedings, for the purpose of computing and reporting the Company's basic and diluted weighted average shares and earnings per share.

The shares lent to CSI will continue to be excluded for the purpose of computing and reporting the Company's basic and diluted weighted average shares or earnings per share. If Credit Suisse or its affiliates, including CSI, were to file

bankruptcy or commence similar administrative, liquidating, restructuring or other proceedings, the Company may have to consider approximately 1.8 million shares lent to CSI as issued and outstanding for purposes of calculating earnings per share.

Note 11. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. Comprehensive income includes unrealized gains and losses on the Company's available-for-sale investments, foreign currency derivatives designated as cash flow hedges and cumulative translation adjustments. The components of comprehensive income, net of tax, were as follows:

27

Index

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
(In thousands)				
Net income	\$ 21,379	\$ 8,431	\$ 62,744	\$ 4,326
Other comprehensive income:				
Cumulative translation adjustment	(16,570)	3,498	(4,241)	5,395
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of tax	(138)	11	(1,140)	15
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, net of tax	435	(1,873)	4,030	(1,073)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 5,106	\$ 10,067	\$ 61,393	\$ 8,663

Note 12. FOREIGN CURRENCY DERIVATIVES

The Company has non-U.S. subsidiaries that operate and sell the Company's products in various global markets, primarily in Europe. As a result, the Company is exposed to risks associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. It is the Company's policy to use various hedge instruments to manage the exposures associated with purchases of foreign sourced equipment, net asset or liability positions of its subsidiaries and forecasted revenues and expenses. The counterparties to these hedging transactions are creditworthy multinational banks and the risk of counterparty nonperformance associated with these contracts is not expected to be material. In connection with its global tax planning the Company recently changed the functional currency of certain European subsidiaries from U.S. dollar to Euro, resulting in greater exposure to changes in the value of the Euro. Implementation of this tax strategy had, and will continue to have, the ancillary effect of limiting the Company's ability to fully hedge certain Euro-denominated revenue. The Company currently does not enter into foreign currency derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

Under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS No. 133"), the Company is required to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company calculates the fair value of its forward contracts based on market volatilities, spot rates and interest differentials from published sources. The following table presents information about the Company's hedge instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 28, 2008 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 157 (in thousands):

(In thousands)	Balance Sheet Location	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)
Asset		
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 2,201
Liability		
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	Accrued liabilities	\$ 170

Cash Flow Hedges

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, the Company accounts for its hedges of forecasted foreign currency revenue and cost of revenue as cash flow hedges. Changes in fair value of the effective portion of hedge contracts are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amounts deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income are reclassified to other, net in the Condensed

Consolidated Statements of Operations in the periods in which the hedged exposure impacts earnings. At September 28, 2008, the Company had zero outstanding cash flow hedge forward contracts. At December 30, 2007, the Company had outstanding cash flow hedge forward contracts with an aggregate notional value of \$140.1 million and the effective portion of unrealized losses recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, were losses of \$3.9 million. In the second quarter of fiscal 2008, the Company discontinued a portion of an existing cash flow hedge of foreign currency revenue when it determined it was probable that the original forecasted transaction would not occur by the end of the originally specified time period. The amount of derivative loss, \$0.8 million, was reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to other, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as a result of the discontinuance of the cash flow hedge.

Cash flow hedges are tested for effectiveness each period on a spot to spot basis using the dollar-offset method. Both the excluded time value and any ineffectiveness, which were not significant for all periods, are recorded in other, net.

Other Derivatives

Other derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 consist of forward contracts used to hedge the net balance sheet effect of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities primarily for intercompany transactions, receivables from customers, prepayments to suppliers and advances received from customers. The Company records its hedges of foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities at fair value with the related gains or losses recorded in other, net. The gains or losses on these contracts are substantially offset by transaction gains or losses on the underlying balances being hedged. As of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, the Company held forward contracts with an aggregate notional value of \$50.3 million and \$62.7 million, respectively, to hedge the risks associated with foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities.

Index

Note 13. INCOME TAXES

The Company's effective rate of income tax provision was 58% and 44% for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, and the effective rate of income tax provision (benefit) was 14% and (205%) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. The tax provision for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 was primarily attributable to the consumption of non-stock net operating loss carryforwards, net of foreign income taxes in profitable jurisdictions where the tax rates are less than the U.S. statutory rate, and the spin-off from Cypress. The tax provision (benefit) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was primarily the result of recognition of deferred tax assets to the extent of deferred tax liabilities created by the acquisition of SP Systems, net of foreign income taxes in profitable jurisdictions where the tax rates are less than the U.S. statutory rate.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions for FIN 48, which is an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("SFAS No. 109"). FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold that a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements and provides guidance on de-recognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition issues. FIN 48 contains a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at the date of adoption was approximately \$1.1 million, which, if recognized, would affect the Company's effective tax rate. The additional amount of unrecognized tax benefits accrued during the year ended December 30, 2007 was \$3.1 million. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the nine months ended September 28, 2008 is as follows:

(In thousands)	September 28, 2008
Balance at December 30, 2007	\$ 4,172
Additions based on tax positions related to the current period	2,804
Balance at September 28, 2008	\$ 6,976

Management believes that events that could occur in the next 12 months and cause a change in unrecognized tax benefits include, but are not limited to, the following:

- commencement, continuation or completion of examinations of the Company's tax returns by the U.S. or foreign taxing authorities; and

- expiration of statutes of limitation on the Company's tax returns.

The calculation of unrecognized tax benefits involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex global tax regulations. Uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the impact of legislative, regulatory and judicial developments, transfer pricing and the application of withholding taxes. Management regularly assesses the Company's tax positions in light of legislative, bilateral tax treaty, regulatory and judicial developments in the

countries in which the Company does business. Management determined that an estimate of the range of reasonably possible change in the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months cannot be made.

Classification of Interest and Penalties

The Company accrues interest and penalties on tax contingencies as required by FIN 48 and SFAS No. 109. This interest and penalty accrual is classified as income tax provision (benefit) in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and was not material.

Tax Years and Examination

The Company files tax returns in each jurisdiction in which they are registered to do business. In the U.S. and many of the state jurisdictions, and in many foreign countries in which the Company files tax returns, a statute of limitations period exists. After a statute of limitations period expires, the respective tax authorities may no longer assess additional income tax for the expired period. Similarly, the Company is no longer eligible to file claims for refund for any tax that it may have overpaid. The following table summarizes the Company's major tax jurisdictions and the tax years that remain subject to examination by these jurisdictions as of December 31, 2007:

Index

Tax Jurisdictions	Tax Years
United States	2004 and onward
California	2003 and onward
Switzerland	2004 and onward
Philippines	2004 and onward

Additionally, while years prior to 2003 for the U.S. corporate tax return are not open for assessment, the IRS can adjust net operating loss and research and development carryovers that were generated in prior years and carried forward to 2003.

The IRS is currently conducting an audit of SP Systems' federal income tax returns for fiscal 2005 and 2004. As of September 28, 2008, no material adjustments have been proposed by the IRS. If material tax adjustments are proposed by the IRS and acceded to by the Company, an adjustment to goodwill and income taxes payable may result.

Note 14. NET INCOME PER SHARE

Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average of the combined class A and class B common shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average common shares outstanding plus any potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method, except when their effect is anti-dilutive. Potentially dilutive securities include stock options, restricted stock and senior convertible debentures.

Holders of the Company's senior convertible debentures may, under certain circumstances at their option, convert the senior convertible debentures into cash and, if applicable, shares of the Company's class A common stock at the applicable conversion rate, at any time on or prior to maturity (see Note 10). Pursuant to EITF 90-19, the senior convertible debentures are included in the calculation of diluted net income per share if their inclusion is dilutive under the treasury stock method.

The following is a summary of all outstanding anti-dilutive potential common shares:

(In thousands)	As of	
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
Stock options	116	18
Restricted stock awards and units	335	421

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted weighted-average common shares:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
Basic weighted-average common shares	80,465	77,693	79,614	75,516
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options	2,438	3,826	2,708	4,402
Restricted stock awards and units and shares subject to re-vesting restrictions	558	398	675	341
February 2007 debentures	1,027	693	1,044	267

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

July 2007 debentures	—	—	20	—
Diluted weighted-average common shares	84,488	82,610	84,061	80,526

As of September 15, 2008, the date on which LBIE commenced administrative proceedings regarding the Lehman bankruptcy, approximately 2.9 million shares of class A common stock lent to LBIE in connection with the February 2007 debentures are included in basic weighted-average common shares. Basic weighted-average common shares exclude approximately 1.8 million shares of class A common stock lent to CSI in connection with the July 2007 debentures. If Credit Suisse or its affiliates, including CSI, were to file bankruptcy or commence similar administrative, liquidating, restructuring or other proceedings, the Company may have to include approximately 1.8 million shares lent to CSI in basic weighted-average common shares (see Note 10).

Dilutive potential common shares includes approximately 1.0 million shares in each of the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 for the impact of the February 2007 debentures, and approximately 20,000 shares in the nine months ended September 28, 2008 for the impact of the July 2007 debentures, as the Company has experienced a substantial increase in its common stock price. Similarly, dilutive potential common shares includes approximately 0.7 million shares and 0.3 million shares for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, for the impact of the February 2007 debentures. Under the treasury stock method, such senior convertible debentures will generally have a dilutive impact on net income per share if the Company's average stock price for the period exceeds the conversion price for the senior convertible debentures.

Index

Note 15. SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

The Company operates in two business segments: systems and components. The systems segment generally represents sales directly to systems owners of engineering, procurement, construction and other services relating to solar electric power systems that integrate the Company's solar panels and balance of systems components, as well as materials sourced from other manufacturers. The components segment primarily represents sales of the Company's solar cells, solar panels and inverters to solar systems installers and other resellers. The Chief Operating Decision Maker ("CODM"), as defined by SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" ("SFAS No. 131"), is the Company's Chief Executive Officer. The CODM assesses the performance of both operating segments using information about their revenue and gross margin.

The following tables present revenue by geography and segment, gross margin by segment, revenue by significant customer and property, plant and equipment information based on geographic region. Revenue is based on the destination of the shipments. Property, plant and equipment are based on the physical location of the assets:

(As a percentage of total revenue)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
Revenue by geography:				
United States	49%	56%	29%	46%
Europe:				
Spain	16%	25%	44%	28%
Germany	10%	10%	8%	10%
Other	13%	7%	10%	12%
Rest of world	12%	2%	9%	4%
	100%	100%	100%	100%
Revenue by segment:				
Systems	51%	67%	62%	62%
Components	49%	33%	38%	38%
	100%	100%	100%	100%
Gross margin by segment:				
Systems	18%	14%	20%	15%
Components	39%	21%	31%	24%

Significant Customers:	Business Segment	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
(As a percentage of total revenue)					
Naturener Group	Systems	11%	*	23%	*
Sedwick Corporate, S.L.	Systems	*	*	15%	*
MMA Renewable Ventures	Systems	*	30%	*	17%
SolarPack	Systems	*	21%	*	21%
Solon AG	Components	*	*	*	10%

* denotes less than 10% during the period

(In thousands)

December 30,

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

	September 28, 2008	2007
Property, plant and equipment by geography:		
Philippines	\$ 506,016	\$ 359,968
United States	29,328	18,026
Europe	469	—
Australia	132	—
	\$ 535,945	\$ 377,994

31

Index

Note 16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Cypress Completed Tax-Free Distribution of the Company's Class B Common Stock

After the close of trading on September 29, 2008, Cypress completed a spin-off of all of its shares of the Company's class B common stock, in the form of a pro rata dividend to the holders of record as of September 17, 2008 of Cypress common stock. As a result, the Company's class B common stock now trades publicly and is listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, along with the Company's class A common stock.

Dennis V. Arriola Named CFO

On October 20, 2008, the Company announced the appointment of Dennis V. Arriola, 47, as its next Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Arriola is expected to assume the role of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer on November 10, 2008. In such role, he will serve as SunPower's principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. Emmanuel Hernandez, SunPower's current Chief Financial Officer, is expected to assist in the transition through January 2009.

Daniel S. Shugar Announces Personal Leave of Absence in 2009

On October 24, 2008, Daniel S. Shugar announced that he plans to take a personal leave of absence for 9 to 12 months to pursue personal interests commencing in March, 2009. Mr. Shugar currently serves as President of SP Systems. He joined SP Systems (formerly known as PowerLight) in 1996 prior to the Company's acquisition of the subsidiary in January 2007.

Amended and Restated By-laws

On November 7, 2008, the Company's board of directors amended and restated the By-laws of the Company. The amendments to the By-laws provide, among other things, that stockholders must give advance notice to the Company of any business that they propose to bring before an annual meeting or of any person that they propose to be nominated as a director and must follow the other procedures set forth in the amended and restated By-Laws.

Index

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are statements that do not represent historical facts. We use words such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “would,” “expect,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “potential” and “could” expressions to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q include, but are not limited to, our plans and expectations regarding our ability to obtain polysilicon ingots or wafers, future financial results, operating results, business strategies, projected costs, products, competitive positions and management's plans and objectives for future operations, and industry trends. These forward-looking statements are based on information available to us as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and current expectations, forecasts and assumptions and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by these forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include a variety of factors, some of which are beyond our control. Please see “PART II. OTHER INFORMATION, Item 1A: Risk Factors” and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission for additional information on risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ. These forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as representing our views as of any subsequent date, and we are under no obligation, and expressly disclaim any responsibility, to update or alter our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The following information should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Our fiscal quarters end on the Sunday closest to the end of the applicable calendar quarter. All references to fiscal periods apply to our fiscal quarters or fiscal year which end on the Sunday closest to the calendar quarter end.

Adjustments to Previously Announced Preliminary Quarterly Results

On October 16, 2008, we issued a press release announcing our preliminary results for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008. In the press release, we reported net income of \$22.4 million and \$63.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Subsequent to the issuance of our press release, we recorded certain adjustments to our reported results relating to: (1) reversal of impairment of long-lived assets, (2) additional loss from foreign exchange, and (3) related tax effects of the adjustments. These adjustments totaled \$1.0 million, which reduced our net income to \$21.4 million and \$62.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively.

Reversal of impairment of long-lived assets: In the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we replaced certain solar product manufacturing equipment with new processes, which resulted in a \$3.3 million impairment charge to cost of revenue. In October 2008, we entered into an agreement with the vendor for the return of the equipment to the vendor and the application of cash paid for the returned equipment as prepayments for future purchases of equipment from the vendor. As a result of the agreement, the impairment of long-lived assets incurred in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 was reversed as of the third quarter of fiscal 2008.

Foreign currency exchange loss: We recorded an additional foreign currency exchange loss of \$1.5 million in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008.

Addition in tax provision: We recorded a \$2.8 million addition in our tax provision as a result of the above adjustments and the change in our full year projected earnings.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the preliminary net income and net income per share announced in our press release on October 16, 2008 to the final results reported in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

33

Index

	September 28, 2008	
	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
(In thousands, except per share data)		
Net income announced on October 16, 2008	\$ 22,389	\$ 63,754
Adjustments:		
Reversal of impairment of long-lived assets	3,286	3,286
Foreign currency exchange loss	(1,517)	(1,517)
Addition in tax provision	(2,779)	(2,779)
Net Income reported in Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q	\$ 21,379	\$ 62,744
Net income per share:		
Basic – announced on October 16, 2008	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.80
Basic – reported in Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.79
Diluted – announced on October 16, 2008	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.76
Diluted – reported in Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.75

Company Overview

We are a vertically integrated solar products and services company that designs, manufactures, markets and installs high-performance solar electric power technologies. Our solar cells and solar panels are manufactured using proprietary processes and technologies based on more than 15 years of research and development. We believe our solar cells have the highest conversion efficiency, a measurement of the amount of sunlight converted by the solar cell into electricity, of all the solar cells available for the mass market. Our solar power products are sold through our components business segment, or our components segment. In January 2007, we acquired PowerLight Corporation, or PowerLight, now known as SunPower Corporation, Systems, or SP Systems, which developed, engineered, manufactured and delivered large-scale solar power systems. These activities are now performed by our systems business segment, or our systems segment. Our solar power systems, which generate electricity, integrate solar cells and panels manufactured by us as well as other suppliers.

Components segment: Our components segment sells solar power products, including solar cells, solar panels and inverters, which convert sunlight to electricity compatible with the utility network. We believe our solar cells provide the following benefits compared with conventional solar cells:

- superior performance, including the ability to generate up to 50% more power per unit area;
- superior aesthetics, with our uniformly black surface design that eliminates highly visible reflective grid lines and metal interconnect ribbons; and
- efficient use of silicon, a key raw material used in the manufacture of solar cells.

We sell our solar components products to installers and resellers, including our global dealer network, for use in residential and commercial applications where the high efficiency and superior aesthetics of our solar power products provide compelling customer benefits. We also sell products for use in multi-megawatt solar power plant applications. In many situations, we offer a materially lower area-related cost structure for our customers because our solar panels require a substantially smaller roof or land area than conventional solar technology and half or less of the roof or land area of commercial solar thin film technologies. We sell our products primarily in Asia, Europe and North America, principally in regions where government incentives have accelerated solar power adoption.

We manufacture our solar cells at our two solar cell manufacturing facilities in the Philippines. We currently operate ten cell manufacturing lines in our solar cell manufacturing facilities, with a total rated manufacturing capacity of 334 megawatts per year. By the end of 2008, we plan to operate 12 solar cell manufacturing lines with an aggregate manufacturing capacity of 414 megawatts per year. We plan to begin production as soon as the first quarter of 2010 on the first line of our third solar cell manufacturing facility in Malaysia, which is expected to have an aggregate manufacturing capacity of more than 1 gigawatt per year when completed.

We manufacture our solar panels at our solar panel assembly facility located in the Philippines. Our solar panels are also manufactured for us by a third-party subcontractor in China. We currently operate five solar panel manufacturing lines with a rated manufacturing capacity of 150 megawatts of solar panels per year. In addition, our SunPower branded inverters are manufactured for us by multiple suppliers.

Systems segment: Our systems segment generally sells solar power systems directly to system owners and developers. When we sell a solar power system it may include services such as development, engineering, procurement of permits and equipment, construction management, access to financing, monitoring and maintenance. We believe our solar systems provide the following benefits compared with competitors' systems:

Index

- superior performance delivered by maximizing energy delivery and financial return through systems technology design;
- superior systems design to meet customer needs and reduce cost, including non-penetrating, fast roof installation technologies; and
 - superior channel breadth and delivery capability including turnkey systems.

Our systems segment is comprised primarily of the business we acquired from SP Systems in January 2007. Our customers include commercial and governmental entities, investors, utilities and production home builders. We work with development, construction, system integration and financing companies to deliver our solar power systems to customers. Our solar power systems are designed to generate electricity over a system life typically exceeding 25 years and are principally designed to be used in large-scale applications with system ratings of typically more than 500 kilowatts. Worldwide, more than 500 SunPower solar power systems have been constructed or are under contract, rated in aggregate at more than 400 megawatts of peak capacity.

We have solar power system projects completed or in the process of being completed in various countries including Germany, Italy, Portugal, South Korea, Spain and the United States. We sell distributed rooftop and ground-mounted solar power systems as well as central-station power plants. Distributed solar power systems are typically rated at more than 500 kilowatts of capacity to provide a supplemental, distributed source of electricity for a customer's facility. Many customers choose to purchase solar electricity from our systems under a power purchase agreement with a financing company which buys the system from us. In Europe, South Korea and the United States, our products and systems are typically purchased by a financing company and operated as a central station solar power plant. These power plants are rated with capacities of approximately one to 20 megawatts, and generate electricity for sale under tariff to private and public utilities.

We manufacture certain of our solar power system products at our manufacturing facilities in Richmond, California and at other facilities located close to our customers. Some of our solar power system products are also manufactured for us by third-party suppliers.

Relationship with Cypress Semiconductor Corporation, or Cypress

Cypress made a significant investment in SunPower in 2002. On November 9, 2004, Cypress completed a reverse triangular merger with us in which all of the outstanding minority equity interest of SunPower was retired, effectively giving Cypress 100% ownership of all of our then outstanding shares of capital stock but leaving our unexercised warrants and options outstanding. After completion of our initial public offering in November 2005, Cypress held, in the aggregate, 52.0 million shares of class B common stock. On May 4, 2007 and August 18, 2008, Cypress completed the sale of 7.5 million shares and 2.5 million shares, respectively, of class B common stock in offerings pursuant to Rule 144 of the Securities Act. Such shares converted to 10.0 million shares of class A common stock upon the sale.

As of September 28, 2008, Cypress owned approximately 42.0 million shares of class B common stock, which represented approximately 50.1% of the total outstanding shares of our common stock, or approximately 47.4% of such shares on a fully diluted basis after taking into account outstanding stock options (or 46.5% of such shares on a fully diluted basis after taking into account outstanding stock options and approximately 1.8 million shares lent to an affiliate of Credit Suisse), and 88.5% of the voting power of our total outstanding common stock.

After the close of trading on September 29, 2008, Cypress completed a spin-off of all of its shares of our class B common stock, in the form of a pro rata dividend to the holders of record as of September 17, 2008 of Cypress

common stock. As a result, our class B common stock now trades publicly and is listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, along with our class A common stock.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies are disclosed in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2007 and have not changed materially as of September 28, 2008, with the exception of the following:

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: Effective December 31, 2007, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," or SFAS No. 157, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Our financial assets and financial liabilities that require recognition under SFAS No. 157 include available-for-sale investments and foreign currency derivatives. In determining fair value, we use various valuation techniques, including market and income approaches to value available-for-sale investments and foreign currency derivatives. SFAS No. 157 establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of us. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect our assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. As such, fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant who holds the asset or owes the liability rather than an entity-specific measure. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

Index

- Level 1—Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment. Financial assets utilizing Level 1 inputs include most money market funds and corporate securities.
- Level 2—Valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, directly or indirectly. Financial assets utilizing Level 2 inputs include foreign currency forward exchange contracts and some corporate securities.
- Level 3—Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Financial assets utilizing Level 3 inputs include money market funds comprised of the Reserve Primary Fund and the Reserve International Liquidity Fund, collectively referred to as the Reserve Funds, and corporate securities comprised of auction rate securities. We use the market approach to estimate the price that would be received to sell our Reserve Funds in an orderly transaction between market participants ("exit price"). We reviewed the underlying holdings and estimated the price of underlying fund holdings to estimate the fair value of these funds. We use an income approach valuation model to estimate the exit price of the auction rate securities, which is derived as the weighted average present value of expected cash flows over various periods of illiquidity, using a risk adjusted discount rate that is based on the credit risk and liquidity risk of the securities.

Availability of observable inputs can vary from instrument to instrument and to the extent that valuation is based on inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by our management in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. In regards to our Reserve Funds, the market approach was based on both Level 2 (term, maturity dates, rates and credit risk) and Level 3 inputs. We determined that the Level 3 inputs, particularly the liquidity premium, were the most significant to the overall fair value measurement. In regards to our auction rate securities, the income approach valuation model was based on both Level 2 (credit quality and interest rates) and Level 3 inputs. We determined that the Level 3 inputs were the most significant to the overall fair value measurement, particularly the estimates of risk adjusted discount rates and ranges of expected periods of illiquidity.

Results of Operations for the Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007

Correction of Errors Identified in our Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 30, 2007

During the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended September 28, 2008, we identified errors in our financial statements related to the year ended December 30, 2007, which resulted in \$1.3 million overstatement of stock-based compensation expense. We corrected these errors in our condensed consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended September 28, 2008, which resulted in a \$1.3 million credit to income before income taxes and net income. The out-of-period effect is not expected to be material to estimated full-year 2008 results, and, accordingly has been recognized in accordance with APB 28, Interim Financial Reporting, paragraph 29 as the error is not material to any financial statements of prior periods.

Revenue

Revenue and the year-over-year change were as follows:

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change
Systems revenue	\$ 193,330	\$ 157,734	23%	\$ 642,774	\$ 340,266	89%
Components revenue	184,170	76,600	140%	391,178	210,181	86%
Total revenue	\$ 377,500	\$ 234,334	61%	\$ 1,033,952	\$ 550,447	88%

36

Index

We generate revenue from two business segments, as follows:

Systems Segment Revenue: Our systems revenue represents sales of engineering, procurement and construction, or EPC, projects and other services relating to solar electric power systems that integrate our solar panels and balance of systems components, as well as materials sourced from other manufacturers. In the United States, where customers often utilize rebate and tax credit programs in connection with projects rated one megawatt or less of capacity, we typically sell solar systems rated up to one megawatt of capacity to provide a supplemental, distributed source of electricity for a customer's facility. In Europe, South Korea and the United States, our systems are often purchased by third-party investors as central station solar power plants, typically rated from one to 20 megawatts, which generate electricity for sale under tariff to regional and public utilities. We also sell our solar systems under materials-only sales contracts in Asia, Europe and the United States. The balance of our systems revenues are generally derived from sales to new home builders for residential applications and maintenance revenue from servicing installed solar systems.

Systems segment revenue for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 were \$193.3 million and \$642.8 million, respectively, and accounted for 51% and 62%, respectively, of our total revenue. Systems segment revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 were \$157.7 million and \$340.3 million, respectively, and accounted for 67% and 62%, respectively, of our total revenue. Our systems segment revenue is largely dependent on the timing of revenue recognition on large construction projects and, accordingly, will fluctuate from period to period. During the three months ended September 28, 2008, we rebalanced our product allocation between the systems segment and components segment after the systems segment's business surged in Spain during the second quarter of fiscal 2008. For the three months ended September 28, 2008, our systems segment revenue reflects a geographical shift in revenue from Spain to North America. For the nine months ended September 28, 2008, our systems segment benefited from strong power plant scale demand in Europe, primarily in Spain, and reflected the completion of Spain based projects in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 before the expiration of the pre-existing feed-in tariff in September 2008.

Components Segment Revenue: Our components revenue represents sales of our solar cells, solar panels and inverters to solar systems installers and other resellers. Factors affecting our components revenue include unit volumes of solar cells and modules produced and shipped, average selling prices, product mix, product demand and the percentage of our construction projects sourced with SunPower solar panels sold through the systems segment which reduces the inventory available to sell through our components segment. We have experienced quarter-over-quarter unit volume increases in shipments of our solar power products since we began commercial production in the fourth quarter of 2004. From fiscal 2005 through the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we have experienced increases in average selling prices for our solar power products primarily due to the strength of end-market demand and favorable currency exchange rates. Accordingly, our components segment's average selling prices were slightly higher during the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 compared to the same period of 2007. Over the next several years, we expect average selling prices for our solar power products to decline as the market becomes more competitive, as certain products mature and as manufacturers are able to lower their manufacturing costs and pass on some of the savings to their customers.

Components segment revenue for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 were \$184.2 million and \$391.2 million, respectively, and accounted for 49% and 38%, respectively, of our total revenue. Components segment revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 were \$76.6 million and \$210.2 million, respectively, and accounted for 33% and 38%, respectively, of our total revenue. For the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, our components segment benefited from strong demand in the residential and small commercial roof-top markets through our dealer network in both Europe and the United States. During the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we grew our worldwide dealer network by more than 25 percent which is now over 300 dealers.

Total Revenue: During the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, our total revenue of approximately \$377.5 million and \$1,034.0 million, respectively, represented an increase of 61% and 88%, respectively, from total revenue reported in the comparable period of 2007. The increase in total revenue during the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 compared to the same period of 2007 is attributable to the systems business segment's installation of more than 40 megawatts for several large-scale solar power plants in Spain in the nine months ended September 28, 2008, the components business segment's continued increase in the demand for our solar cells and solar panels and the continued increases in unit production and unit shipments of both solar cells and solar panels as we have expanded our solar manufacturing capacity. In the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we had four solar cell manufacturing lines in operation with annual production capacity of 108 megawatts. Since then, we began commercial production on lines five through ten with lines five and six having a rated solar cell production capacity of 33 megawatts per year and lines seven through ten having a rated solar cell production capacity of 40 megawatts per year.

International sales comprise the majority of revenue for both our systems and components segments. Sales outside the United States represented approximately 51% and 71% of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, as compared to 44% and 54% of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, and we expect international sales to remain a significant portion of overall sales for the foreseeable future. International sales as a percentage of our total revenue increased approximately 8% and 17% for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, compared to the same period of 2007, as our systems business segment installed more than 40 megawatts for several large-scale solar power plants in Spain in the nine months ended September 28, 2008, and our components business segment continues to expand our global dealer network, with an emphasis on European expansion. With the recent extension of the U.S. Investment Tax Credit, we now have a national solar market in the U.S. with long-term visibility and significant additional demand potential in all three market segments – residential, commercial and utility. As a result, we expect domestic revenues to increase in absolute dollars, however, not necessarily as a percentage of revenue by geography.

Index

Concentrations: We have five customers that each accounted for more than 10 percent of our total revenue in one or more of the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007, as follows:

Significant Customers: (As a percentage of total revenue)	Business Segment	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
Naturener Group	Systems	11%	*	23%	*
Sedwick Corporate, S.L.	Systems	*	*	15%	*
MMA Renewable Ventures	Systems	*	30%	*	17%
SolarPack	Systems	*	21%	*	21%
Solon AG	Components	*	*	*	10%

* denotes less than 10% during the period

Effective February 6, 2008, the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, delisted the common stock of MuniMae, or MMA, the parent company of one of our systems segment customers, MMA Renewable Ventures, because MMA did not expect to file its audited 2006 financial statements by March 3, 2008, the deadline imposed by the NYSE. In connection with completing the restatement and filing their Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, MMA has disclosed that it incurred substantial accounting costs. In addition, MMA has disclosed that recent credit market disruption has negatively affected many aspects of MMA's business. MMA Renewable Ventures accounted for less than 10% of our total revenue in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008. MMA Renewable Ventures accounted for 30% and 17% of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Naturener Group, Sedwick Corporate, S.L., SolarPack and MMA Renewable Ventures purchased systems from us as central station power plants which generate electricity for sale to commercial customers and under tariff to regional and public utilities customers. In the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, approximately 22% and 41%, respectively, of our total revenue was derived from such sales of systems to financing companies that engage in power purchase agreements with end-users of electricity, as compared to 51% and 34% of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Due to the recent tightening of credit markets and concerns regarding the availability of credit, our customers may be delayed in obtaining, or may not be able to obtain, necessary financing for their purchases of solar power systems. If customers are unwilling or unable to finance the cost of our products, or if the parties that have historically provided this financing cease to do so, or only do so on terms that are substantially less favorable for us or these customers, our revenue and growth will be adversely affected.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue as a percentage of revenue and the year-over-year change were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Year-over- Year Change	Nine Months Ended		Year-over- Year Change
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007		September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	
Cost of systems revenue	\$ 158,730	\$ 135,111	17%	\$ 511,080	\$ 289,095	77%
Cost of components revenue	113,149	60,818	86%	270,901	160,730	69%
Total cost of revenue	\$ 271,879	\$ 195,929	39%	\$ 781,981	\$ 449,825	74%

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

Total cost of revenue as a percentage of revenue	72%	84%	76%	82%
Total gross margin percentage	28%	16%	24%	18%

38

Index

Details to cost of revenue by segment and the year-over-year change were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Systems Segment Three Months Ended			Components Segment Three Months Ended		
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	\$ 1,841	\$ 4,787	(62%)	\$ 1,106	\$ 1,123	(2%)
Stock-based compensation	2,911	2,049	42%	1,964	1,539	28%
Impairment of long-lived assets	(1,343)	—	n.a.	(1,943)	—	n.a.
Factory pre-operating costs	249	162	54%	531	921	(42%)
All other cost of revenue	155,072	128,113	21%	111,491	57,235	95%
Total cost of revenue	\$ 158,730	\$ 135,111	17%	\$ 113,149	\$ 60,818	86%
Total cost of revenue as a percentage of revenue	82%	86%		61%	79%	
Total gross margin percentage	18%	14%		39%	21%	

(Dollars in thousands)	Systems Segment Nine Months Ended			Components Segment Nine Months Ended		
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	\$ 5,850	\$ 15,297	(62%)	\$ 3,216	\$ 3,370	(5%)
Stock-based compensation	7,661	6,235	23%	6,057	2,801	116%
Impairment of long-lived assets	—	—	n.a.	2,203	—	n.a.
Factory pre-operating costs	889	692	28%	1,383	3,185	(57%)
All other cost of revenue	496,680	266,871	86%	258,042	151,374	70%
Total cost of revenue	\$ 511,080	\$ 289,095	77%	\$ 270,901	\$ 160,730	69%
Total cost of revenue as a percentage of revenue	80%	85%		69%	76%	
Total gross margin percentage	20%	15%		31%	24%	

Systems Segment Cost of Revenue: Our cost of systems revenue consists primarily of solar panels, mounting systems, inverters and subcontractor costs. The cost of solar panels is the single largest cost element in our cost of systems revenue. Our systems segment sourced approximately 69% and 58% of its solar panel installations with SunPower products in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, compared to 25% and 27% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. We expect that our systems segment will

continue to source at or about 69% of its projects with SunPower solar panels during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. Our systems segment generally experiences higher gross margin on construction projects that utilize SunPower solar panels compared to construction projects that utilize solar panels purchased from third parties. For the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, gross margin for the systems segment was \$34.6 million and \$131.7 million, respectively, or 18% and 20% of systems segment revenue, respectively. Gross margin for the systems segment was \$22.6 million and \$51.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, or 14% and 15% of systems segment revenue, respectively. Gross margin in our systems segment increased four percentage points in the three months ended September 28, 2008 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2007 and five percentage points in the nine months ended September 28, 2008 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 due to higher percentage of SunPower solar panels used in its projects as well as cost savings we realized from more efficient field implementation of our systems trackers.

In connection with the acquisition of SP Systems in January 2007, there were \$79.5 million of identifiable purchased intangible assets, of which \$56.8 million was being amortized to cost of systems revenue on a straight-line basis over periods ranging from one to five years. As a result of our new branding strategy, during the quarter ended July 1, 2007, the PowerLight tradename asset with a net book value of \$14.1 million was written off as an impairment of acquisition-related intangible assets. As such, the remaining balance of \$41.2 million relating to purchased patents, technology and backlog will be amortized to cost of systems revenue on a straight-line basis over periods ranging from one to four years.

Our cost of systems revenue will also fluctuate from period to period due to the mix of projects completed and recognized as revenue, in particular between large projects and large commercial installation projects. Our gross profit each quarter is affected by a number of factors, including the types of projects in process and their various stages of completion, the gross margins estimated for those projects in progress and the actual system group department overhead costs. Historically, revenues from materials-only sales contracts generate a higher gross margin percentage for our systems segment than revenue generated from turnkey contracts which generate higher revenue per watt from providing both materials as well as engineering, procurement and construction management services.

Index

Almost all of our systems segment construction contracts are fixed price contracts. However, we have in several instances obtained change orders that reimburse us for additional unexpected costs due to various reasons. The systems segment also has long-term agreements for solar cell and panel purchases with several major solar panel manufacturers, some with liquidated damages and/or take-or pay-type arrangements. An increase in project costs, including solar panel, inverter and subcontractor costs, over the term of a construction contract could have a negative impact on our systems segment's overall gross profit. Our systems segment gross profit may also be impacted by certain adjustments for inventory reserves. We are seeking to improve gross profit over time as we implement cost reduction efforts, improve manufacturing processes, and seek better and less expensive materials globally, as we grow the business to attain economies of scale on fixed costs. Any increase in gross profit based on these items, however, could be partially or completely offset by increased raw material costs or our inability to increase revenues in line with expectations, and other competitive pressures on gross margin.

Components Segment Cost of Revenue: Our cost of components revenue consists primarily of silicon ingots and wafers used in the production of solar cells, along with other materials such as chemicals and gases that are needed to transform silicon wafers into solar cells. For our solar panels, our cost of revenue includes the cost of solar cells and raw materials such as glass, frame, backing and other materials, as well as the assembly costs we pay to our third-party subcontractor in China.

Our components segment gross profit each quarter is affected by a number of factors, including average selling prices for our products, our product mix, our actual manufacturing costs, the utilization rate of our solar cell manufacturing facility and changes in amortization of intangible assets. Gross margin for the components segment was \$71.0 million and \$120.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, or 39% and 31% of components segment revenue, respectively. Gross margin for the components segment was \$15.8 million and \$49.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, or 21% and 24% of components segment revenue, respectively. Gross margin in our components segment increased eighteen percentage points in the three months ended September 28, 2008 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2007 and seven percentage points in the nine months ended September 28, 2008 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 benefiting from higher average solar cell conversion efficiency and better silicon utilization, continued reduction in silicon costs, higher volume, and slightly higher average selling prices.

From time to time, we enter into agreements whereby the selling price for certain of our solar power products is fixed over a defined period. An increase in our manufacturing costs over such a defined period could have a negative impact on our overall gross profit. Our gross profit may also be impacted by fluctuations in manufacturing yield rates and certain adjustments for inventory reserves. We expect our gross profit to increase over time as we improve our manufacturing processes and as we grow our business and leverage certain of our fixed costs. An expected increase in gross profit based on manufacturing efficiencies, however, could be partially or completely offset by increased raw material costs or decreased revenue.

Total Cost of Revenue: Other factors contributing to cost of revenue include depreciation, provisions for estimated warranty, salaries, personnel-related costs, freight, royalties, facilities expenses and manufacturing supplies associated with contracting revenues and solar cell fabrication as well as factory pre-operating costs associated with our new solar cell manufacturing facility and solar panel assembly facility. Such pre-operating costs included compensation and training costs for factory workers as well as utilities and consumable materials associated with preproduction activities. Additionally, within our own solar panel assembly facility in the Philippines we incur personnel-related costs, depreciation, utilities and other occupancy costs. To date, demand for our solar power products has been robust and our production output has increased allowing us to spread a significant amount of our fixed costs over relatively high production volume, thereby reducing our per unit fixed cost. Our solar panel assembly facility began production in the first quarter of 2007 and our second solar cell manufacturing facility began production in the third quarter of 2007. We currently operate ten solar cell manufacturing lines with total production capacity of 334 megawatts per

year with lines five through ten located in our second building in the Philippines that is expected to eventually house 12 solar cell production lines with a total factory output capacity of 466 megawatts per year. As we build additional manufacturing lines or facilities, our fixed costs will increase, and the overall utilization rate of our solar cell manufacturing and solar panel assembly facilities could decline, which could negatively impact our gross profit. This decline may continue until a line's manufacturing output reaches its rated practical capacity.

Index

During the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, our total cost of revenue was approximately \$271.9 million and \$782.0 million, respectively, which represented increases of 39% and 74%, respectively, compared to total cost of revenue reported in the comparable periods of 2007. The increase in total cost of revenue resulted from increased costs in all cost of revenue spending categories and corresponds with an increase of 61% and 88% in total revenue during the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, from total revenue reported in the comparable periods of 2007. As a percentage of total revenue, our total cost of revenue decreased to 72% and 76% in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, compared to 84% and 82% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. This decrease in total cost of revenue as a percentage of total revenue is reflective of decreased costs of polysilicon beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2008 and improved manufacturing economies of scale associated with markedly higher production volume. This decrease in total cost of revenue as a percentage of total revenue in the nine months ended September 28, 2008 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was partially offset by (i) a one-time asset impairment charge of \$2.2 million in the nine months ended September 28, 2008 relating to the wind-down of our imaging detector product line (the \$3.3 million write-down of certain solar product manufacturing equipment taken in the first quarter was reversed in the third quarter of fiscal 2008); (ii) a more favorable mix of business in our systems segment that benefited gross margin by approximately four percentage points during the nine months ended September 30, 2007; and (iii) the \$2.7 million settlement received from one of our suppliers in the components segment during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 in connection with defective materials sold to us during 2006 that was reflected as a reduction to total cost of revenue.

Research and Development

Research and development expense as a percentage of revenue and the year-over-year change were as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change
(Dollars in thousands)						
Research & development	\$ 6,049	\$ 3,902	55%	\$ 15,504	\$ 9,659	61%
Research & development as a percentage of revenue	2%	2%		1%	2%	

During the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, our research and development expense was \$6.0 million and \$15.5 million, respectively, which represents an increase of 55% and 61%, respectively, from research and development expense reported in the comparable period of fiscal 2007. Research and development expense consists primarily of salaries and related personnel costs, depreciation and the cost of solar cell and solar panel materials and services used for the development of products, including experiment and testing. The increase in research and development spending during the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 compared to the same period of fiscal 2007 resulted primarily from: (i) increases in salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation costs as a result of increased headcount; and (ii) additional material and equipment costs incurred for the development of our next generation of more efficient solar cells and thinner polysilicon wafers for solar cell manufacturing, as well as development of new processes to automate solar panel assembly operations. These increases were partially offset by cost reimbursements received from various government entities in the United States.

Research and development expense is reported net of any funding received under contracts with governmental agencies because such contracts are considered collaborative arrangements. In the third quarter of 2007, we signed a Solar America Initiative agreement with the U.S. Department of Energy in which we were awarded \$8.5 million in the first budgetary period. Total funding for the three-year effort is estimated to be \$24.7 million. Our cost share

requirement under this program, including lower-tier subcontract awards, is anticipated to be \$27.9 million. Subject to final negotiations and settlement with the government agencies involved, our existing governmental contracts are expected to offset approximately \$7.0 million to \$10.0 million of our research and development expense in each of 2007, 2008 and 2009. This contract replaced our three-year cost-sharing research and development project with the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, entered into in March 2005, to fund up to \$3.0 million or half of the project costs to design our next generation solar panels. Funding from government contracts offset our research and development expense by approximately \$1.6 million and \$5.3 million in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, as compared to approximately \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

As a percentage of total revenue, research and development expense totaled two percent and one percent in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, compared to two percent in each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, because these expenses increased at approximately the same rate of growth in our revenue. We expect our research and development expense to continually increase in absolute dollars as we continue to develop new processes to further improve the conversion efficiency of our solar cells and reduce their manufacturing cost, and as we develop new products to diversify our product offerings.

Index

Sales, General and Administrative

Sales, general and administrative expense as a percentage of revenue and the year-over-year change were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change
Sales, general & administrative	\$ 46,075	\$ 27,708	66%	\$ 123,141	\$ 76,188	62%
Sales, general & administrative as a percentage of revenue	12%	12%		12%	14%	

During the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, our sales, general and administrative expense was \$46.1 million and \$123.1 million, respectively, which represents an increase of 66% and 62%, respectively, from sales, general and administrative expense reported in the comparable period of fiscal 2007. Sales, general and administrative expense for our business consists primarily of salaries and related personnel costs, professional fees, insurance and other selling and marketing expenses. The increase in our sales, general and administrative expense during the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 compared to the same period of fiscal 2007 resulted primarily from higher spending in all areas of sales, marketing, finance and information technology to support the growth of our business, particularly increased headcount and payroll related expenses, including stock-based compensation, as well as increased expenses associated with deployment of a new enterprise resource planning system, legal and accounting services. During the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, stock-based compensation included in our sales, general and administrative expense was approximately \$13.0 million and \$35.5 million, respectively, as compared to approximately \$9.4 million and \$26.9 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Also contributing to our increased sales, general and administrative expense in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 compared to the same period of fiscal 2007 are substantial increases in headcount and sales and marketing spending to expand our value added reseller channel primarily in Europe and global branding initiatives.

As a percentage of total revenue, sales, general and administrative expense totaled twelve percent in each of the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, compared to twelve percent and fourteen percent in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, because these expenses increased at approximately the same rate of growth in our revenue. We expect our sales, general and administrative expense to increase in absolute dollars as we expand our sales and marketing efforts, hire additional personnel and improve our information technology infrastructure to support our growth. However, assuming our revenue increases as we expect, over time we anticipate that our sales, general and administrative expense will continue to decrease as a percentage of revenue.

Purchased In-Process Research and Development, or IPR&D

Purchased in-process research and development expense as a percentage of revenue and the year-over-year change were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change
	\$ —	\$ —	n.a.	\$ —	\$ 9,575	n.a.

Purchased in-process research and development				
Purchased in-process research and development as a percentage of revenue	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2%

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we recorded an IPR&D charge of \$9.6 million in connection with the acquisition of SP Systems in January 2007, as technological feasibility associated with the IPR&D projects had not been established and no alternative future use existed. No in-process research and development expense was recorded for the nine months ended September 28, 2008.

These IPR&D projects consisted of two components: design automation tool and tracking systems and other. In assessing the projects, we considered key characteristics of the technology as well as its future prospects, the rate technology changes in the industry, product life cycles and the various projects' stage of development.

The value of IPR&D was determined using the income approach method, which calculated the sum of the discounted future cash flows attributable to the projects once commercially viable using a 40% discount rate, which were derived from a weighted-average cost of capital analysis and adjusted to reflect the stage of completion and the level of risks associated with the projects. The percentage of completion for each project was determined by identifying the research and development expenses invested in the project as a ratio of the total estimated development costs required to bring the project to technical and commercial feasibility. The following table summarizes certain information related to each project:

Index

	Stage of Completion	Total Cost Incurred to Date	Total Remaining Costs
Design Automation Tool			
As of January 10, 2007 (acquisition date)	8% \$	0.2 million \$	2.4 million
As of September 28, 2008	100% \$	1.4 million	\$ —
Tracking System and Other			
As of January 10, 2007 (acquisition date)	25% \$	0.2 million \$	0.6 million
As of September 28, 2008	100% \$	0.8 million	\$ —

Status of IPR&D Projects:

At the close of the first quarter in fiscal 2008, the first release of the design automation tool software was deployed to production. As of September 28, 2008, we have incurred total project costs of \$1.4 million, of which \$1.2 million was incurred after the acquisition, and total costs to complete the project was \$1.2 million less than the original estimate of \$2.6 million. We completed the design automation tool project approximately two years and three quarters earlier than the original estimated completion date of December 2010.

We completed the tracking systems project in June 2007 and incurred total project costs of \$0.8 million, of which \$0.6 million was incurred after the acquisition. Both the actual completion date and the total projects costs were in line with the original estimates.

Impairment of Acquisition-Related Intangible Assets

Impairment of acquisition-related intangible assets as a percentage of revenue and the year-over-year change were as follows:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change
(Dollars in thousands)						
Impairment of acquisition-related intangible assets	\$ —	\$ —	n.a.	\$ —	\$ 14,068	n.a.
Impairment of acquisition-related intangible assets as a percentage of revenue	n.a.	n.a.		n.a.	3%	

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we recognized a charge for the impairment of acquisition-related intangible assets of \$14.1 million. In June 2007, we changed our branding strategy and consolidated all of our product and service offerings under the SunPower tradename. To reinforce the new branding strategy, we formally changed the name of PowerLight to SunPower Corporation, Systems. The fair value of PowerLight tradenames was valued at \$15.5 million at the date of acquisition and ascribed a useful life of 5 years. The determination of the fair value and useful life of the tradename was based on our previous strategy of continuing to market our systems products and services under the PowerLight brand. As a result of the change in our branding strategy, during the quarter ended July 1, 2007, the net book value of the PowerLight tradename of \$14.1 million was written off as an impairment of

acquisition-related intangible assets. As a percentage of revenues, impairment of acquisition related intangible assets was three percent in the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Index

Other Income (Expense), Net

Interest income, interest expense, and other, net as a percentage of revenue and the year-over-year change were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change
Interest income	\$ 2,650	\$ 4,609	(43%)	\$ 9,086	\$ 8,789	3%
Interest income as a percentage of revenue	1%	2%		1%	2%	
Interest expense	\$ (1,411)	\$ (1,372)	(3%)	\$ (4,286)	\$ (3,576)	(20%)
Interest expense as a percentage of revenue	—%	1%		—%	1%	
Other, net	\$ (3,560)	\$ (205)	(1,637%)	\$ (5,513)	\$ (448)	(1,131%)
Other, net as a percentage of revenue	1%	—%		1%	—%	

Interest income during the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007, primarily represents interest income earned on our cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments during these periods. The decrease in interest income of 43% during the three months ended September 28, 2008 compared to the same period of fiscal 2007 resulted primarily from the decrease in available-for-sale securities year-over-year used to fund our capital expenditures for our manufacturing capacity expansion. The increase in interest income of 3% during the nine months ended September 28, 2008 compared to the same period of fiscal 2007 is primarily the effect of interest earned on \$581.5 million in net proceeds from our class A common stock and convertible debenture offerings in February and July 2007.

Interest expense during the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 and September 30, 2007 relates to interest due on convertible debt and customer advance payments. The increase in our interest expense of 3% and 20% in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively, compared to the same period of fiscal 2007 is primarily due to interest related to the aggregate of \$425.0 million in convertible debentures issued in February and July 2007. Our convertible debt was used in part to fund our capital expenditures for our manufacturing capacity expansion.

In May 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued FASB Staff Position, or FSP, APB 14-1, which clarifies the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion. FSP APB 14-1 significantly impacts the accounting for our convertible debt by requiring us to separately account for the liability and equity components of the convertible debt in a manner that reflects interest expense equal to our non-convertible debt borrowing rate. FSP APB 14-1 may result in significantly higher non-cash interest expense on our convertible debt. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008, and retrospective application will be required for all periods presented.

The following table summarizes the components of other, net:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

Write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs	\$	—	\$	—	\$	(972)	\$	—
Amortization of debt issuance costs		—		(519)		—		(999)
Impairment of investments		(933)		—		(933)		—
Share in earnings of joint venture		2,131		(214)		4,005		(214)
Gain (loss) on derivatives and foreign exchange		(4,579)		400		(7,407)		570
Other income (expense), net		(179)		128		(206)		195
Total other, net	\$	(3,560)	\$	(205)	\$	(5,513)	\$	(448)

Other, net during the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 consists primarily of losses from derivatives and foreign exchange, the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs as a result of the market price conversion trigger on our senior convertible debentures being met in December 2007 and the impairment of certain money market securities, offset slightly by our share in the earnings of joint ventures. Other, net during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 consists primarily of amortization of debt issuance costs and our share in the net loss of Woongjin Energy Co., Ltd, a joint venture, offset slightly by gains from derivatives and foreign exchange.

Historically through December 30, 2007, intercompany accounts payable denominated in U.S. dollars and held by our Euro functional currency entities were not expected to be settled in the foreseeable future. In accordance with SFAS No. 52, "Foreign Currency Translation," or SFAS No. 52, gains and losses on the foreign currency translation of the intercompany accounts payable were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, management has determined that intercompany accounts payable denominated in U.S. dollars and held by our Euro functional currency entities will be settled within the foreseeable future as a result of our new intercompany agreements. Therefore, gains and losses on the foreign currency translation of the intercompany accounts payable will be recognized as a component of other, net in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Index

Income Taxes

Income tax provision (benefit) as a percentage of revenue and the year-over-year change were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007	Year-over- Year Change
Income tax provision (benefit)	\$ 29,797	\$ 1,396	2,034%	\$ 49,869	\$ (8,429)	692%
Income tax provision (benefit) as a percentage of revenue	8%	1%		5%	(2) %	

In the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, our income tax expense was provided primarily for foreign income taxes in certain jurisdictions where our operations are profitable. Our interim period tax provision is estimated based on the expected annual worldwide tax rate and takes into account the tax effect of discrete items. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, our income tax expense (benefit) was primarily the result of recognition of deferred tax assets to the extent of deferred tax liabilities created by the acquisition of SP Systems in January 2007, net of foreign income taxes in profitable jurisdictions where the tax rates are less than the U.S. statutory rate.

For financial reporting purposes, income tax expense and deferred income tax balances were calculated as if we were a separate entity and had prepared our own separate tax return. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for temporary differences between financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities. We recorded a valuation allowance to the extent our net deferred tax asset on all items except comprehensive income exceeded our net deferred tax liability. We expect it is more likely than not that we will not realize our net deferred tax asset as of September 28, 2008. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider historical levels of income, expectations and risks associated with the estimates of future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. In the event we determine that we would be able to realize additional deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net recorded amount, or if we subsequently determine that realization of an amount previously recorded is unlikely, we would record an adjustment to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance, which would change income in the period of adjustment.

As described in Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we will pay federal and state income taxes in accordance with the tax sharing agreement with Cypress. Effective with the closing of our public offering of common stock in June 2006, we are no longer eligible to file federal and most state consolidated tax returns with Cypress. As of September 29 2008, Cypress distributed the shares of SunPower to its shareholders, so we are no longer eligible to file any state combined returns. Accordingly, we will be required to pay Cypress for any federal income tax credit or net operating loss carryforwards utilized in our federal tax returns in subsequent periods. Any payments we make to Cypress when we utilize certain tax attributes will be accounted for as an equity transaction with Cypress. As of December 30, 2007, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$147.6 million. These federal net operating loss carryforwards will expire at various dates from 2011 to 2027. We had California state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$73.5 million as of December 30, 2007, which expire at various dates from 2011 to 2017. We also had research and development credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.9 million for both federal and state tax purposes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Edgar Filing: SUNPOWER CORP - Form 10-Q

In February 2007, we raised \$194.0 million net proceeds from the issuance of 1.25% senior convertible debentures. In July 2007, we raised \$220.1 million net proceeds from the issuance of 0.75% senior convertible debentures and \$167.4 million net proceeds from the completion of a follow-on offering of 2.7 million shares of our class A common stock.

45

Index

Cash Flows

A summary of the sources and uses of cash and cash equivalents is as follows:

(In thousands)	Nine Months Ended	
	September 28, 2008	September 30, 2007
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 107,927	\$ (26,447)
Net cash used in investing activities	(167,191)	(319,801)
Net cash provided by financing activities	31,832	584,742
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1,166)	3,087
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (28,598)	\$ 241,581

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$107.9 million for the nine months ended September 28, 2008 was primarily the result of net income of \$62.7 million, plus non-cash charges totaling \$104.3 million for depreciation, impairment of investments and long-lived assets, amortization, write-off of debt issuance costs and stock-based compensation expense, less non-cash income of \$4.0 million for our equity share in earnings of joint ventures, as well as increases in accounts payable and other accrued liabilities, including accounts payable to Cypress, of \$76.5 million and customer advances of \$45.9 million, primarily for future polysilicon purchases by customers that manufacture ingots which are sold back to us under an ingot supply agreement. These items were partially offset by decreases in billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings of \$60.1 million related to contractual timing of system project billings, as well as increases in accounts receivable of \$55.3 million, inventories of \$44.6 million and other changes in operating assets and liabilities totaling \$17.5 million. The significant increases in substantially all of our current assets and current liabilities resulted from our substantial revenue increase in the nine months ended September 28, 2008 compared to previous quarters which impacted net income and working capital.

Net cash used in operating activities of \$26.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was primarily the result of increases in costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings of \$69.8 million, inventories of \$48.0 million, advance payments to suppliers of \$33.6 million related to our existing supply agreements, as well as decreases in billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings of \$17.5 million. These items were partially offset by net income of \$4.3 million, plus non-cash charges totaling \$101.2 million for depreciation, amortization, impairment of acquisition-related intangibles, purchased in-process research and development expense, stock-based compensation expense and equity share in loss of joint venture. In addition, these items were offset by increases in advances from customers of \$29.8 million and other changes in operating assets and liabilities totaling \$7.2 million. The significant increases in substantially all of our current assets and current liabilities resulted from the acquisition of SP Systems in January 2007, as well as our substantial revenue increase in the nine months ended September 30, 2007 compared to previous quarters which impacted net income and working capital.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$167.2 million for the nine months ended September 28, 2008, which primarily relates to capital expenditures of \$150.3 million incurred during the nine months ended September 28, 2008. Capital expenditures were mainly associated with manufacturing capacity expansion in the Philippines. Also during the nine months ended September 28, 2008, (i) restricted cash increased by \$42.2 million for advanced payments received from customers that we provided security in the form of cash collateralized bank standby letters of credit; (ii) we paid cash of \$18.3 million for the acquisitions of SunPower Italia and SunPower Australia, net of cash acquired;

and (iii) we invested an additional \$24.6 million in joint ventures and other private companies. Cash used in investing activities was partially offset by \$68.2 million in proceeds received from the sales of available-for-sale securities, net of available-for-sale securities purchased during the period and investment in the Reserve Funds re-designated from cash and cash equivalents to short-term investments at adjusted cost.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$319.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, which primarily relates to (i) capital expenditures of \$154.6 million; (ii) cash paid of \$98.6 million for the acquisition of SP Systems, net of cash acquired; (iii) purchases of available-for-sale securities totaling \$42.1 million, net of proceeds received from sales of available-for-sale securities during the period; and (iv) restricted cash increased by \$24.5 million.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended September 28, 2008 reflects proceeds received of \$3.8 million from stock option exercises and excess tax benefits totaling \$33.9 million from the exercise of stock options, partially offset by cash paid of \$5.9 million for treasury stock purchases that were used to pay withholding taxes on vested restricted stock. Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily reflects (i) \$194.0 million in net proceeds from the issuance of \$200.0 million in principal amount of 1.25% senior convertible debentures in February 2007; (ii) \$220.1 million in net proceeds from the issuance of \$225.0 million in principal amount of 0.75% senior convertible debentures in July 2007; and (iii) \$167.4 million in net proceeds from our follow-on public offering of 2.7 million shares of our class A common stock in July 2007. Also during the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we paid \$3.6 million on an outstanding line of credit and received \$6.9 million in proceeds from stock option exercises.

Index

Revision of Statement of Cash Flow Presentation Related to Purchases of Property, Plant and Equipment

We have changed our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 to exclude the impact of purchases of property, plant and equipment that remain unpaid and as such are included in “accounts payable and other accrued liabilities” at the end of the reporting period. Historically, changes in “accounts payable and other accrued liabilities” related to such purchases were included in cash flows from operations, while the investing activity caption "Purchase of property, plant and equipment" included these purchases. As these unpaid purchases do not reflect cash transactions, we have revised our cash flow presentations to exclude them. The correction resulted in an increase to the previously reported amount of cash used for operating activities of \$7.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007, resulting from a reduction in the amount of cash provided from the change in accounts payable and other accrued liabilities in that period. The corresponding correction in the investing section was to decrease cash used for investing activities by \$7.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007, as a result of the reduction in the amount of cash used for purchases of property, plant and equipment in that period. These corrections had no impact on our previously reported results of operations, working capital or stockholders' equity. We concluded that these corrections were not material to any of our previously issued condensed consolidated financial statements, based on SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 99-Materiality.

Debt and Credit Sources

In July 2007, we entered into a credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, or Wells Fargo, that was amended from time to time, providing for a \$50.0 million unsecured revolving credit line, with a \$50.0 million unsecured letter of credit subfeature, and a separate \$150.0 million secured letter of credit facility as of September 28, 2008. We may borrow up to \$50.0 million and request that Wells Fargo issue up to \$50.0 million in letters of credit under the unsecured letter of credit subfeature through April 4, 2009. Letters of credit issued under the subfeature reduce our borrowing capacity under the revolving credit line. Additionally, we may request that Wells Fargo issue up to \$150.0 million in letters of credit under the secured letter of credit facility through July 31, 2012. As detailed in the agreement, we will pay interest on outstanding borrowings and a fee for outstanding letters of credit. At any time, we can prepay outstanding loans. All borrowings must be repaid by April 4, 2009, and all letters of credit issued under the unsecured letter of credit subfeature expire on or before April 4, 2009 unless we provide by such date collateral in the form of cash or cash equivalents in the aggregate amount available to be drawn under letters of credit outstanding at such time. All letters of credit issued under the secured letter of credit facility expire no later than July 31, 2012. We concurrently entered into a security agreement with Wells Fargo, granting a security interest in a securities account and deposit account to secure our obligations in connection with any letters of credit that might be issued under the credit agreement. In connection with the credit agreement, SunPower North America, Inc., our wholly-owned subsidiary, SP Systems, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, and SunPower Systems SA, another indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, entered into an associated continuing guaranty with Wells Fargo. The terms of the credit agreement include certain conditions to borrowings, representations and covenants, and events of default customary for financing transactions of this type.

As of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, nine letters of credit totaling \$47.1 million and four letters of credit totaling \$32.0 million, respectively, were issued by Wells Fargo under the unsecured letter of credit subfeature. In addition, 23 letters of credit totaling \$68.7 million and 8 letters of credit totaling \$47.9 million, were issued by Wells Fargo under the secured letter of credit facility as of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, respectively. On September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, cash available to be borrowed under the unsecured revolving credit line was \$2.9 million and \$18.0 million, respectively, and includes letter of credit capacities available to be issued by Wells Fargo under the unsecured letter of credit subfeature of \$2.9 million and \$8.0 million, respectively. Letters of credit available under the secured letter of credit facility at September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007 totaled \$81.3 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

In February 2007, we issued \$200.0 million in principal amount of our 1.25% senior convertible debentures, or the February 2007 debentures, and received net proceeds of \$194.0 million. Interest on the February 2007 debentures is payable on February 15 and August 15 of each year, commencing August 15, 2007. The February 2007 debentures will mature on February 15, 2027. Holders may require us to repurchase all or a portion of their February 2007 debentures on each of February 15, 2012, February 15, 2017 and February 15, 2022, or if we experience certain types of corporate transactions constituting a fundamental change. Any repurchase of the February 2007 debentures pursuant to these provisions will be for cash at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the February 2007 debentures to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, we may redeem some or all of the February 2007 debentures on or after February 15, 2012 for cash at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the February 2007 debentures to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest. See Note 10 of Notes to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Index

In July 2007, we issued \$225.0 million in principal amount of our 0.75% senior convertible debentures, or the July 2007 debentures, and received net proceeds of \$220.1 million. Interest on the July 2007 debentures is payable on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing February 1, 2008. The July 2007 debentures will mature on August 1, 2027. Holders may require us to repurchase all or a portion of their July 2007 debentures on each of August 1, 2010, August 1, 2015, August 1, 2020 and August 1, 2025, or if we experience certain types of corporate transactions constituting a fundamental change. Any repurchase of the July 2007 debentures pursuant to these provisions will be for cash at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the July 2007 debentures to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, we may redeem some or all of the July 2007 debentures on or after August 1, 2010 for cash at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the July 2007 debentures to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest. See Note 10 of Notes to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Liquidity

For the year ended December 30, 2007, the closing price of our class A common stock equaled or exceeded 125% of the \$56.75 per share initial effective conversion price governing the February 2007 debentures and the closing price of our class A common stock equaled or exceeded 125% of the \$82.24 per share initial effective conversion price governing the July 2007 debentures, for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days ending on December 30, 2007, thus satisfying the market price conversion trigger pursuant to the terms of the debentures. As of the first trading day of the first quarter in fiscal 2008, holders of the February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures were able to exercise their right to convert the debentures any day in that fiscal quarter. Therefore, since holders of the February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures were able to exercise their right to convert the debentures in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we classified the \$425.0 million in aggregate convertible debt as short-term debt in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 30, 2007. In addition, we wrote off \$8.2 million and \$1.0 million of unamortized debt issuance costs in the fourth fiscal quarter of 2007 and first fiscal quarter of 2008, respectively. No holders of the February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures exercised their right to convert the debentures in the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

For the quarter ended September 28, 2008, the closing price of our class A common stock equaled or exceeded 125% of the \$56.75 per share initial effective conversion price governing the February 2007 debentures for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days ending on September 28, 2008, thus satisfying the market price conversion trigger pursuant to the terms of the February 2007 debentures. As of the first trading day of the fourth quarter in fiscal 2008, holders of the February 2007 debentures are able to exercise their right to convert the debentures any day in that fiscal quarter. Therefore, since holders of the February 2007 debentures are able to exercise their right to convert the debentures in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we classified the \$200.0 million in aggregate convertible debt as short-term debt in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 28, 2008.

As of October 31, 2008, we have received notices for the conversion of approximately \$1.4 million of the February 2007 debentures which we have settled for approximately \$1.2 million in cash and 1,000 shares of class A common stock. The current capital market conditions and credit environment could create incentives for additional holders to convert their debentures that did not exist in prior quarters. If the full \$200.0 million in aggregate convertible debt was called for conversion prior to December 28, 2008, we would likely not have sufficient unrestricted cash and cash equivalents on hand to satisfy the conversion without additional liquidity. If necessary, we may seek to restructure our obligations under the convertible debt, or raise additional cash through sales of investments, assets or common stock, or from borrowings. However, there can be no assurance that we would be successful in these efforts in the current market conditions.

Because the closing stock price did not equal or exceed 125% of the initial effective conversion price governing the July 2007 debentures for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days during the quarter ended September 28, 2008, holders

of the debentures did not have the right to convert the debentures, based on the market price conversion trigger, any day in the fourth fiscal quarter beginning on September 29, 2008. Accordingly, we classified the \$225.0 million in aggregate convertible debt as long-term debt in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 28, 2008. This test is repeated each fiscal quarter; therefore, if the market price conversion trigger is satisfied in a subsequent quarter, the debentures may again be re-classified as short-term debt.

In addition, the holders of our February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures would be able to exercise their right to convert the debentures during the five consecutive business days immediately following any five consecutive trading days in which the trading price of our senior convertible debentures is less than 98% of the average of the closing sale price of a share of class A common stock during the five consecutive trading days, multiplied by the applicable conversion rate. On October 31, 2008, our February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures traded significantly below their historic trading prices, with our February 2007 debentures trading slightly above their conversion value. We believe the decline in trading prices is due primarily to the declining market price of our class A common stock, the lack of liquidity in the current market, a need for investors to raise capital by selling debentures, public concerns regarding the availability of credit, and the end of our share lending arrangement with Lehman Brothers International (Europe) Limited as a result of LBIE's administrative proceeding and the related Chapter 11 filing by Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and certain of its affiliates. If the trading prices of our debentures continue to decline, holders of the debentures may have the right to convert the debentures in the future.

As of September 28, 2008, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$256.6 million as compared to \$285.2 million as of December 30, 2007. In addition, we had short-term investments and long-term investments of \$39.0 million and \$25.0 million as of September 28, 2008, respectively, as compared to \$105.4 million and \$29.1 million as of December 30, 2007, respectively. Of these investments, we held \$25.7 million in Reserve Funds at September 28, 2008. The net asset value for the Reserve Funds fell below \$1.00 because the funds had investments in Lehman, which filed for bankruptcy on September 15, 2008. As a result of this event, the Reserve Funds wrote down their investments in Lehman to zero. We have estimated the loss on the Reserve Funds to be approximately \$0.9 million based on an evaluation of the fair value of the securities held by the Reserve Funds and the net asset value that was last published by the Reserve Funds before the funds suspended redemptions. We recorded an impairment charge of \$0.9 million in "Other, net" in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, thereby establishing a new cost basis for each fund.

Index

On October 31, 2008, we received a distribution of \$11.9 million from the Reserve Funds. We expect that the remaining distribution of \$13.8 million from the Reserve Funds will occur over the remaining twelve months as the investments held in the funds mature. Therefore, we have changed the designation of our \$13.8 million investment in the Reserve Funds that was not received in the subsequent period from cash and cash equivalents to short-term investments at the new cost basis on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. While we expect to receive substantially all of our current holdings in the Reserve Funds within the next twelve months, it is possible we may encounter difficulties in receiving distributions given the current credit market conditions. If market conditions were to deteriorate even further such that the current fair value were not achievable, we could realize additional losses in our holdings with the Reserve Funds and distributions could be further delayed.

In addition, we held five auction rate securities totaling \$25.0 million as of September 28, 2008 as compared to ten auction rate securities totaling \$50.8 million as of December 30, 2007. These auction rate securities are typically over-collateralized and secured by pools of student loans originated under the Federal Family Education Loan Program, or FFELP, and are guaranteed and insured by the U.S. Department of Education. In addition, all auction rate securities held are rated by one or more of the Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations, or NRSROs, as triple-A. Beginning in February 2008, the auction rate securities market experienced a significant increase in the number of failed auctions, resulting from a lack of liquidity, which occurs when sell orders exceed buy orders, and does not necessarily signify a default by the issuer. All auction rate securities invested in at September 28, 2008 have failed to clear at auctions. For failed auctions, we continue to earn interest on these investments at the maximum contractual rate as the issuer is obligated under contractual terms to pay penalty rates should auctions fail. Historically, failed auctions have rarely occurred, however, such failures could continue to occur in the future. In the event we need to access these funds, we will not be able to do so until a future auction is successful, the issuer redeems the securities, a buyer is found outside of the auction process or the securities mature. Accordingly, auction rate securities at September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007 that were not sold in a subsequent period totaling \$25.0 million and \$29.1 million, respectively, are classified as long-term investments on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, because they are not expected to be used to fund current operations and consistent with the stated contractual maturities of the securities.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2008, we sold auction rate securities with a carrying value of \$12.5 million for their stated par value of \$13.0 million to the issuer of the securities outside of the auction process. We have concluded that no other-than-temporary impairment losses occurred in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 in regards to the auction rate securities because the lack of liquidity in the market is considered temporary in nature. If it is determined that the fair value of these auction rate securities is other-than-temporarily impaired, we would record a loss in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations in the fourth quarter of 2008, which could be material.

We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents and funds available from the credit agreement with Wells Fargo will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure commitments for at least the next 12 months. However, there can be no assurance that our liquidity will be adequate over time. For instance, we expect to continue to make significant capital expenditures in our manufacturing facilities, including through building purchases or long-term leases, and, in May 2008, we announced plans to construct our third solar cell manufacturing facility in Malaysia with an expected nameplate rating in excess of one gigawatt of annual generating capacity. The Malaysian Industrial Development Authority, or MIDA, is arranging an incentive package for us to promote our investment in the new manufacturing plant. The incentive package is conditional upon us meeting certain capital investment, employment, and research and development expenditure commitments. We expect total capital expenditures in the range of \$250.0 million to \$300.0 million in 2008 as we continue to increase our solar cell and solar panel manufacturing capacity. These expenditures would be greater if we decide to bring capacity on line more rapidly. If our capital resources are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity securities or debt securities or obtain other debt financing. The sale of additional equity securities or convertible debt securities would result in additional dilution to our stockholders. Additional debt would result in increased expenses

and would likely impose new restrictive covenants like the covenants under the credit agreement with Wells Fargo. Financing arrangements may not be available to us, or may not be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us.

We expect to experience growth in our operating expenses, including our research and development, sales and marketing and general and administrative expenses, for the foreseeable future to execute our business strategy. We may also be required to purchase polysilicon in advance to secure our wafer supplies or purchase third-party solar modules and materials in advance to support systems projects. We intend to fund these activities with existing cash and cash equivalents, cash generated from operations and, if necessary, borrowings under our credit agreement with Wells Fargo. These anticipated increases in operating expenses may not result in an increase in our revenue and our anticipated revenue may not be sufficient to support these increased expenditures. We anticipate that operating expenses, working capital and capital expenditures will constitute a significant use of our cash resources.

Index

Contractual Obligations

The following summarizes our contractual obligations at September 28, 2008:

(In thousands)	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	2008 (remaining 3 months)	2009 – 2010	2011 – 2012	Beyond 2012
Obligation to Cypress	\$ 17,839	\$ 17,839	\$ —	\$ —	—
Customer advances	116,572	11,980	32,181	16,411	56,000
Interest on customer advances	1,593	313	1,280	—	—
Convertible debt	425,000	—	—	—	425,000
Interest on convertible debt	77,712	1,047	8,375	8,375	59,915
Lease commitments	43,536	1,316	10,604	6,984	24,632
Utility obligations	750	—	—	—	750
Royalty obligations	321	321	—	—	—
Non-cancelable purchase orders	134,591	131,757	2,834	—	—
Purchase commitments under agreements	3,409,935	74,373	942,020	866,837	1,526,705
Total	\$ 4,227,849	\$ 238,946	\$ 997,294	\$ 898,607	\$ 2,093,002

Customer advances and interest on customer advances relate to advance payments received from customers for future purchases of solar power products or supplies. Convertible debt and interest on convertible debt relate to the aggregate of \$425.0 million in principal amount of our senior convertible debentures. For the purpose of the table above, we assume that (1) no holders of the February 2007 debentures will exercise their right to convert the debentures as a result of the market price conversion trigger being met in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and (2) all holders of the convertible debt will hold the debentures through the date of maturity in fiscal 2027 and upon conversion, the values of the convertible debt are equal to the aggregate principal amount of \$425.0 million with no premiums. Lease commitments primarily relate to our 5-year lease agreement with Cypress for our headquarters in San Jose, California, an 11-year lease agreement with an unaffiliated third party for our administrative, research and development offices in Richmond, California, a 5-year lease agreement with an unaffiliated third party for a solar panel assembly facility in the Philippines and other leases for various office space. Utility obligations relate to our 11-year lease agreement with an unaffiliated third party for our administrative, research and development offices in Richmond, California. Royalty obligations result from several of the systems segment government awards and existing agreements. Non-cancelable purchase orders relate to purchases of raw materials for inventory, services and manufacturing equipment from a variety of vendors. Purchase commitments under agreements relate to arrangements entered into with suppliers of polysilicon, ingots, wafers, solar cells and solar modules as well as agreements to purchase solar renewable energy certificates from solar installation owners in New Jersey. These agreements specify future quantities and pricing of products to be supplied by the vendors for periods up to 12 years and there are certain consequences, such as forfeiture of advanced deposits and liquidated damages relating to previous purchases, in the event that we terminate the arrangements.

As of September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, total liabilities associated with FASB Interpretation No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, and Related Implementation Issues,” or FIN 48, uncertain tax positions were \$7.0 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, and are included in other long-term liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 28, 2008 and December 30, 2007, respectively, as they are not expected to be paid within the next twelve months. Due to the complexity and uncertainty associated with our tax positions, we cannot make a reasonably reliable estimate of the period in which cash settlement will be made for our liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions in other long-term liabilities, therefore, they have been excluded from the table above.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 of Notes to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of certain other recent accounting pronouncements including the expected dates of adoption and effects on our results of operations and financial condition.

50

Index

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk because many of our customers depend on debt financing to purchase our solar power systems. An increase in interest rates could make it difficult for our customers to secure the financing necessary to purchase our solar power systems on favorable terms, or at all, and thus lower demand for our solar power products, reduce revenue and adversely impact our operating results. An increase in interest rates could lower an investor's return on investment in a system or make alternative investments more attractive relative to solar power systems, which, in each case, could cause our customers to seek alternative investments that promise higher returns or demand higher returns from our solar power systems, reduce gross margin and adversely impact our operating results. This risk is becoming more significant to our systems segment, which is placing increasing reliance upon direct sales to financial institutions which sell electricity to end customers under a power purchase agreement. This sales model is highly sensitive to interest rate fluctuations and the availability of liquidity, and would be adversely affected by increases in interest rates or liquidity constraints.

In addition, our investment portfolio consists of a variety of financial instruments that exposes us to interest rate risk, including, but not limited to, money market funds and corporate securities. These investments are generally classified as available-for-sale and, consequently, are recorded on our balance sheet at fair market value with their related unrealized gain or loss reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. Due to the relatively short-term nature of our investment portfolio, we do not believe that an immediate 10% increase in interest rates would have a material effect on the fair market value of our portfolio. Since we believe we have the ability to liquidate this portfolio, we do not expect our operating results or cash flows to be materially affected to any significant degree by a sudden change in market interest rates on our investment portfolio.

Reserve Funds

At September 28, 2008, we had \$25.7 million invested in Reserve Funds. The net asset value for the Reserve Funds fell below \$1.00 because the funds had investments in Lehman, which filed for bankruptcy on September 15, 2008. As a result of this event, the Reserve Funds wrote down their investments in Lehman to zero. We have estimated the loss on the Reserve Funds to be approximately \$0.9 million based on an evaluation of the fair value of the securities held by the Reserve Funds and the net asset value that was last published by the Reserve Funds before the funds suspended redemptions. We recorded an impairment charge of \$0.9 million in "Other, net" in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, thereby establishing a new cost basis for each fund.

On October 31, 2008, we received a distribution of \$11.9 million from the Reserve Funds. We expect that the remaining distribution of \$13.8 million from the Reserve Funds will occur over the remaining twelve months as the investments held in the funds mature. While we expect to receive substantially all of our current holdings in the Reserve Funds within the next twelve months, it is possible we may encounter difficulties in receiving distributions given the current credit market conditions. If market conditions were to deteriorate even further such that the current fair value were not achievable, we could realize additional losses in our holdings with the Reserve Funds and distributions could be further delayed.

Auction Rate Securities

At September 28, 2008, we had \$25.0 million invested in auction rate securities. Auction rate securities are variable rate debt instruments with interest rates that, unless they fail to clear at auctions, are reset approximately every seven to 49 days. The "stated" or "contractual" maturities for these securities generally are between 20 to 30 years. The auction rate securities are classified as available for sale under SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt

and Equity Securities,” or SFAS No. 115, and are recorded at fair value. Typically, the carrying value of auction rate securities approximates fair value due to the frequent resetting of the interest rates. All auction rate securities invested in at September 28, 2008 have failed to clear at auctions. These auction rate securities are typically over-collateralized and secured by pools of student loans originated under the FFELP and are guaranteed and insured by the U.S. Department of Education. In addition, all auction rate securities held are rated by one or more of the NRSROs as triple-A. We continue to earn interest on these investments at the maximum contractual rate as the issuer is obligated under contractual terms to pay penalty rates should auctions fail. In the second quarter of fiscal 2008, we sold auction rate securities with a carrying value of \$12.5 million for their stated par value of \$13.0 million to the issuer of the securities outside of the auction process. We have concluded that no other-than-temporary impairment losses occurred in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 in regards to the auction rate securities because the lack of liquidity in the market is considered temporary in nature. We will continue to analyze our auction rate securities each reporting period for impairment and may be required to record an impairment charge if the issuer of the auction rate securities is unable to successfully close future auctions or does not redeem the securities.

Convertible Debt

The fair market value of our 1.25% senior convertible debentures issued in February 2007 and 0.75% senior convertible debentures issued in July 2007 is subject to interest rate risk, market price risk and other factors due to the convertible feature of the debentures. The fair market value of the senior convertible debentures will generally increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. In addition, the fair market value of the senior convertible debentures will generally increase as the market price of our common stock increases and decrease as the market price falls. The interest and market value changes affect the fair market value of the senior convertible debentures but do not impact our financial position, cash flows or results of operations due to the fixed nature of the debt obligations. As of September 28, 2008, the estimated fair value of the senior convertible debentures was approximately \$625.1 million based on quoted market prices as reported by Bloomberg. A 10% increase in quoted market prices would increase the estimated fair value of the senior convertible debentures to approximately \$687.6 million as of September 28, 2008 and a 10% decrease in the quoted market prices would decrease the estimated fair value of the senior convertible debentures to \$562.6 million.

Index

On October 31, 2008, our senior convertible debentures traded significantly below their historic trading prices, with our February 2007 debentures trading slightly above their conversion value. We believe the decline in trading prices is due primarily to the declining market price of our class A common stock, the lack of liquidity in the current market, a need for investors to raise capital by selling debentures, public concerns regarding the availability of credit, and the end of our share lending arrangement with Lehman Brothers International (Europe) Limited as a result of LBIE's administrative proceeding and the related Chapter 11 filing by Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and certain of its affiliates.

Investments in Privately Held Companies

Our investments held in private companies exposes us to equity price risk. As of September 28, 2008, nonpublicly traded investments of \$5.0 million are accounted for using the cost method and \$18.9 million are accounted under APB Opinion No. 18, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock." These strategic investments in third parties are subject to risk of changes in market value, which if determined to be other than temporary, could result in realized impairment losses. We generally do not attempt to reduce or eliminate our market exposure in these cost and equity method investments. We periodically review the carrying value of such investments to determine if any valuation adjustments are appropriate under the applicable accounting pronouncements. If the recent credit market conditions continue or worsen, we may be required to record an impairment charge in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, which could be material. There can be no assurance that our private investments, particularly in this unfavorable market and economic environment, will not face similar risks of loss as our public investments noted above.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Our exposure to adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates is primarily related to sales to European customers that are denominated in Euros. Revenue generated from European customers represented approximately 39% and 62% of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively. A 10% change in the Euro exchange rate would have impacted our revenue by \$60.4 million in the nine months ended September 28, 2008. In connection with our global tax planning we recently changed the functional currency of certain European subsidiaries from U.S. dollar to Euro, resulting in greater exposure to changes in the value of the Euro. Implementation of this tax strategy had, and will continue to have, the ancillary effect of limiting our ability to fully hedge certain Euro-denominated revenue. From September 28, 2008 to October 31, 2008, the exchange rate to convert one Euro to U.S. dollars decreased from approximately \$1.46 to \$1.31. This decrease in the value of the Euro relative to the U.S. dollar is expected to have an adverse impact on our revenue, gross margin and profitability in the foreseeable future.

In the past, we have experienced an adverse impact on our revenue, gross margin and profitability as a result of foreign currency fluctuations. For example, when foreign currencies appreciate against the U.S. dollar, inventory and expenses denominated in foreign currencies become more expensive. An increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could make our solar power products more expensive for international customers, thus potentially leading to a reduction in demand, our sales and profitability. Furthermore, many of our competitors are foreign companies that could benefit from such a currency fluctuation, making it more difficult for us to compete with those companies. Historically, we have conducted, with varying degrees of success, hedging activities that involve the use of currency forward contracts and options to address our exposure to changes in the foreign exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and other currencies. We cannot predict the impact of future exchange rate fluctuations on our business and results of operations.

Index

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain “disclosure controls and procedures,” as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and subject to the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We maintain a system of internal control over financial reporting that is designed to provide reasonable assurance that our books and records accurately reflect our transactions and that our established policies and procedures are followed. There were no material changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we implemented a new enterprise resource planning (“ERP”) system in our subsidiaries around the world, which resulted in a material update to our system of internal control over financial reporting. Issues encountered subsequent to implementation caused us to further revise our internal control process and procedures in order to correct and supplement our processing capabilities within the new system in that quarter. Throughout the ERP system stabilization period, which we expect to last for the remainder of the year, we will continue to improve and enhance our system of internal control over financial reporting. We believe that the ERP system will simplify and strengthen our system of internal control over financial reporting. See also “Risk Factors - We have implemented a new enterprise resource planning system, or ERP system, and disruptions of the system could adversely affect our operations and financial results.”

Index

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time we are a party to litigation matters and claims that are normal in the course of our operations. While we believe that the ultimate outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on us, the outcome of these matters is not determinable and negative outcomes may adversely affect our financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

The following discussion of risk factors contains “forward-looking statements” as discussed in “Item 2: Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” These risk factors may be important to understanding any statement in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or elsewhere. The following information should be read in conjunction with “PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION, Item 2: Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION, Item 1: Financial Statements” and the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Our operations and financial results are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including those described below, that could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and trading price of our common stock. Although we believe that we have identified and discussed below the key risk factors affecting our business, there may be additional risks and uncertainties that are not presently known or that are not currently believed to be significant that may also adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and trading prices of our class A and class B common stock as well as our February 2007 debentures and July 2007 debentures.

Risks Related to Our Business

The solar power industry is currently experiencing an industry-wide shortage of polysilicon. This shortage poses several risks to our business, including possible constraints on revenue growth and possible decreases in our gross margins and profitability.

Polysilicon is an essential raw material in our production of solar cells. Polysilicon is created by refining quartz or sand. Polysilicon is melted and grown into crystalline ingots by companies specializing in ingot growth. We procure silicon ingots from these suppliers on a contractual basis and then slice the ingots into wafers. The ingots are sliced and the wafers are processed into solar cells in our Philippines manufacturing facility. We also purchase wafers and polysilicon from third-party vendors.

There is currently an industry-wide shortage of polysilicon, which has resulted in significant price increases. Increases in polysilicon prices have in the past increased our manufacturing costs and may impact our manufacturing costs and net income in the future. With these price increases, demand for solar cells has also increased, and many of our principal competitors have announced plans to add additional manufacturing capacity. As this additional solar cell manufacturing capacity becomes operational, it may increase the demand for polysilicon in the near-term and further exacerbate the current shortage of polysilicon. Polysilicon is also used in the semiconductor industry generally and any increase in demand from that sector will compound the shortage of polysilicon. The production of polysilicon is capital intensive and adding additional capacity requires significant lead time. We are aware that several new facilities for the manufacture of polysilicon are under construction, but we believe that the supply imbalance will not be remedied in the near-term. In addition, the current credit market crisis may undermine the ability of third parties to

finance the construction or expansion of polysilicon manufacturing facilities. We expect that polysilicon demand will continue to outstrip supply through 2008 and potentially for a longer period, but we expect that the average market price of polysilicon will decrease over time as new manufacturers enter the market.

Although we have arrangements with vendors for the supply of what we believe will be an adequate amount of silicon ingots through 2010, our purchase orders are sometimes non-binding in nature. Our estimates regarding our supply needs may not be correct and our purchase orders or our contracts may be cancelled by our suppliers. Additionally, the volume and pricing associated with these purchase orders and contracts may be changed by our suppliers based on market conditions or for other reasons. If our suppliers were to cancel our purchase orders or change the volume or pricing associated with them, we may be unable to meet customer demand for our products, which could cause us to lose customers, market share and revenue. This would have a material negative impact on our business and operating results. If our manufacturing yields decrease significantly, we add manufacturing capacity faster than currently planned or our suppliers cancel or fail to deliver, we may not have made adequate provision for our polysilicon needs for our manufacturing plans through 2010.

In addition, since some of our silicon ingot and wafer arrangements are with suppliers who do not themselves manufacture polysilicon but instead purchase their requirements from other vendors, these suppliers may not be able to obtain sufficient polysilicon to satisfy their contractual obligations to us.

Index

There are a limited number of polysilicon suppliers. Many of our competitors also purchase polysilicon from our suppliers. Some of them also have inter-locking board members with their polysilicon suppliers or have entered into joint ventures or binding supply contracts with their suppliers. Additionally, a substantial amount of our future polysilicon requirements are expected to be sourced by new suppliers that have not yet proven their ability to manufacture large volumes of polysilicon. In some cases we expect that new entrants will provide us with polysilicon, ingots and wafers. The failure of these new entrants to produce adequate supplies of polysilicon, ingots and/or wafers in the quantities and quality we require could adversely affect our ability to grow production volumes and revenues and could also result in a decline in our gross profit margins. Since we have committed to significantly increase our manufacturing output, an inadequate supply of polysilicon would harm us more than it would harm some of our competitors.

Additionally, the steps we have taken to further increase the efficiency of our polysilicon utilization are unproven at volume production levels and may not enable us to realize the cost reductions we anticipate. Given the current polysilicon shortage, we believe the efficient use of polysilicon will be critical to our ability to reduce our manufacturing costs. We continue to implement several measures to increase the efficient use of polysilicon in our manufacturing process. For example, we are developing processes to utilize thinner wafers which require less polysilicon and improved wafer-slicing technology to reduce the amount of material lost while slicing wafers, otherwise known as kerf loss. Although we have implemented production using thinner wafers and anticipate further reductions in wafer thickness, these methods may have unforeseen negative consequences on our yields or our solar cell efficiency or reliability once they are put into large-scale commercial production, or they may not enable us to realize the cost reductions we hope to achieve.

Our inability to obtain sufficient polysilicon, ingots or wafers at commercially reasonable prices or at all for any of the foregoing reasons, or otherwise, would adversely affect our ability to meet existing and future customer demand for our products and could cause us to make fewer shipments, lose customers and market share and generate lower than anticipated revenue, thereby seriously harming our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As polysilicon supply increases, the corresponding increase in the global supply of solar cells and panels may cause substantial downward pressure on the prices of SunPower products, resulting in lower revenues and earnings.

The scarcity of polysilicon has resulted in the underutilization of solar panel manufacturing capacity at many competitors or potential competitors to SunPower, particularly in China. If additional polysilicon becomes available over the next 3 to 24 months, we expect solar panel production globally to increase. Decreases in polysilicon pricing and increases in solar panel production could each result in substantial downward pressure on the price of solar cells and panels, including SunPower products. Such price reductions could have a negative impact on our revenue and earnings, and materially adversely affect our business and financial condition.

Long-term, firm commitment supply agreements with polysilicon, ingot or wafer suppliers could result in insufficient or excess inventory or place us at a competitive disadvantage.

We manufacture our solar cells utilizing ingots and wafers manufactured by third parties, which in turn use polysilicon for their manufacturing process. We are seeking to address the current polysilicon shortage by negotiating multi-year, binding contractual commitments directly with polysilicon suppliers, and supplying such polysilicon to third parties which provide us ingots and wafers. Under such polysilicon agreements, we may be required to purchase a specified quantity of polysilicon, ingots or wafers at fixed prices, in some cases subject to upward inflation-related adjustments over a set period of time, which is often a period of several years. We also may be required to make substantial prepayments to these suppliers against future deliveries. For example, in July 2007 we entered into a long-term supply agreement with Hemlock Semiconductor Corporation, or Hemlock, a manufacturer of polysilicon. The agreement requires us to purchase an amount of silicon that is expected to support more than two gigawatts of

solar cell production at fixed prices from 2010 to 2019. We are also required to make material aggregate cash prepayments to Hemlock prior to 2010 in three equal installments. Such prepayments will be used to fund the expansion of Hemlock's polysilicon manufacturing capacity and will be credited against future deliveries of polysilicon to us. The Hemlock agreement, or any other "take or pay" agreement we enter into, allows the supplier to invoice us for the full purchase price of polysilicon we are under contract to purchase each year, whether or not we actually order the required volume. If for any reason we fail to order the required annual volume under the Hemlock or similar agreements, the resulting monetary damages could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We do not obtain contracts or commitments from customers for all of the solar panels manufactured with the polysilicon purchased under such firm commitment contracts. Instead, we rely on our long-term internal forecasts to determine the timing of our production schedules and the volume and mix of products to be manufactured, including the estimated quantity of polysilicon, ingots and wafers needed. The level and timing of orders placed by customers may vary for many reasons. As a result, at any particular time, we may have insufficient or excess inventory, which could render us unable to fulfill customer orders or increase our cost of production. In addition, we have negotiated the fixed prices under these supply contracts based on our long-term projections of the future price of polysilicon. If the market price of polysilicon in future periods is less than the price we have committed to pay either because of new technological developments or any other reason, our cost of production could be comparatively higher than that of competitors who buy polysilicon on the open market. This would place us at a competitive disadvantage to these competitors, and could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Index

Long-term contractual commitments also expose us to specific counter-party risk, which can be magnified when dealing with suppliers without a long, stable production and financial history. For example, if one or more of our contractual counterparties is unable or unwilling to provide us with the contracted amount of polysilicon, wafers or ingots, we could be required to attempt to obtain polysilicon in the open market, which could be unavailable at that time, or only available at prices in excess of our contracted prices. In addition, in the event any such supplier experiences financial difficulties, it may be difficult or impossible, or may require substantial time and expense, for us to recover any or all of our prepayments. Any of the foregoing could materially harm our financial condition and results of operations.

The reduction, modification or elimination of government and economic incentives could cause our revenue to decline and harm our financial results.

The market for on-grid applications, where solar power is used to supplement a customer's electricity purchased from the utility network or sold to a utility under tariff, depends in large part on the availability and size of government and economic incentives that vary by geographic market. Because our sales are into the on-grid market, the reduction, modification or elimination of government and economic incentives in one or more of these markets would adversely affect the growth of this market or result in increased price competition, either of which could cause our revenue to decline and harm our financial results.

Today, the cost of solar power exceeds retail electric rates in many locations. As a result, federal, state and local government bodies in many countries, most notably Spain, the United States, Germany, Italy, South Korea, Canada, Japan, Portugal, Greece and France, have provided incentives in the form of feed-in tariffs, rebates, tax credits and other incentives and mandates to end users, distributors, system integrators and manufacturers of solar power products to promote the use of solar energy in on-grid applications and to reduce dependency on other forms of energy. These government economic incentives could be reduced, expire or be eliminated altogether reducing demand for our products in the affected markets. In fact, some solar program incentives expire, decline over time, are limited in total funding or require renewal of authority.

In California, the California Solar Initiative is designed to lower the stated rebate level as market penetration increases. If system ASPs do not decline as the rebate levels decline, demand may decline in California. Net metering and other operational policies in California or other markets could limit the amount of solar power installed there.

Reductions in, or eliminations or expirations of, governmental incentives such as these could result in decreased demand for and lower revenue from our products. Changes in the level or structure of a renewable portfolio standard and similar mandates could also result in decreased demand for and lower revenue or revenue growth from our products.

Due to the general economic environment and other factors, we may be unable to generate sufficient cash flows or obtain access to external financing necessary to fund our operations and make adequate capital investments as planned.

We anticipate that our expenses will increase substantially in the foreseeable future. To develop new products, support future growth, achieve operating efficiencies and maintain product quality, we must make significant capital investments in manufacturing technology, facilities and capital equipment, research and development, and product and process technology. We also anticipate increased costs as we expand our manufacturing operations, hire additional personnel, pay more or make advance payments for raw material, especially polysilicon, increase our sales and marketing efforts, invest in joint ventures and acquisitions, and continue our research and development efforts with respect to our products and manufacturing technologies. For instance, we expect to continue to make significant capital expenditures in our manufacturing facilities, including through building purchases or long-term leases, and, on

May 19, 2008, we announced plans to construct our third solar cell manufacturing facility in Malaysia with an expected nameplate rating in excess of one gigawatt of annual generating capacity. The Malaysian Industrial Development Authority, or MIDA, is arranging an incentive package for SunPower to promote SunPower's investment in the new manufacturing plant. The incentive package is conditional upon SunPower meeting certain capital investment, employment, and research and development expenditure commitments. We expect total capital expenditures in the range of \$250.0 million to \$300.0 million in 2008 as we continue to increase our solar cell and solar panel manufacturing capacity. These expenditures would be greater if we decide to bring capacity on line more rapidly.

We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents, cash generated from operations and, if necessary, borrowings under our credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., or Wells Fargo, and/or potential availability of future sources of funding will be sufficient to fund our capital and operating expenditures over the next 12 months. Our cash flows from operations depend primarily on the volume of components sold and systems installed, average selling prices, per unit manufacturing costs and other operating costs.

If our financial results or operating plans change from our current assumptions, or if the holders of our outstanding convertible debentures elect to convert the debentures, we may not have sufficient resources to support our business plan. The current economic environment and the adverse conditions in the credit markets could result in customers delaying purchases, difficulties in collecting revenues from customers facing liquidity challenges, and difficulties for our customers obtaining third-party financing, each of which could result in lower than anticipated sales volume and cash flows to support operations. For more information on our credit agreement with Wells Fargo and our outstanding convertible debentures, please see "Debt and Credit Sources" and "Liquidity" within "Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." If our capital resources are insufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity securities or debt securities or obtain other debt financing. However, the current economic environment could also limit our ability to raise capital by issuing new equity or debt securities on acceptable terms, and lenders may be unwilling to lend funds on acceptable terms that would be required to supplement cash flows to support operations. In addition, following the spin-off of our shares by Cypress on September 29, 2008, our ability to issue equity for financing purposes is subject to limits as described in "Our agreements with Cypress require us to indemnify Cypress for certain tax liabilities. These indemnification obligations and related contractual restrictions may limit our ability to obtain additional financing, participate in future acquisitions or pursue other business initiatives." Furthermore, additional debt would result in increased expenses and could require us to abide by covenants that would restrict our operations. Our credit facilities contain customary covenants and defaults, including, among others, limitations on dividends, incurrence of indebtedness and liens and mergers and acquisitions and may restrict our operating flexibility. In addition, the current economic environment and credit markets could limit our suppliers' ability to raise capital if required to expand their production or satisfy their operating capital requirements; as a result, they could be unable to supply necessary raw materials, inventory and capital equipment to us which we would require to support our planned sales operations which would in turn negatively impact our sales volumes and cash flows.

Index

There can be no assurance that we will be able to generate sufficient cash flows or find other sources of capital to fund our operations, make adequate capital investments to remain competitive in terms of technology development and cost efficiency, or access capital markets. If adequate funds and alternative resources are not available on acceptable terms, our ability to fund our operations, develop and expand our manufacturing operations and distribution network, maintain our research and development efforts or otherwise respond to competitive pressures would be significantly impaired. Our inability to do the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. See also “Risk Factors - We currently have a significant amount of debt outstanding. Our substantial indebtedness, along with our other contractual commitments, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as our ability to meet any of our payment obligations under the debentures and our other debt.”

The execution of our growth strategy for our systems segment is dependent upon the continued availability of third-party financing arrangements for our customers.

For many of our projects, our customers have entered into agreements to finance the power systems over an extended period of time based on energy savings generated by our solar power systems, rather than pay the full capital cost of purchasing the solar power systems up front. For these types of projects, many of our customers choose to purchase solar electricity under a power purchase agreement with a financing company that purchases the system from us. In the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, approximately 22% and 41%, respectively, of our total revenue was derived from sales of systems to financing companies that engage in power purchase agreements with end-users of electricity, as compared to 51% and 34% of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Of such systems sales to financing companies that engage in power purchase agreements with end-users of electricity, 89% and 10% of systems sales were derived in the United States and Spain, respectively, in the three months ended September 28, 2008 as compared to 58% and 42%, respectively, of systems sales for the three months ended September 30, 2007. For the nine months ended September 28, 2008, 22% and 78% of systems sales to financing companies that engage in power purchase agreements with end-users of electricity were derived in the United States and Spain, respectively, as compared to 50% and 42%, respectively, of systems sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. These structured finance arrangements are complex and may not be feasible in many situations. In addition, customers opting to finance a solar power system may forgo certain tax advantages associated with an outright purchase on an accelerated basis which may make this alternative less attractive for certain potential customers. Due to the recent tightening of credit markets and concerns regarding the availability of credit, our customers may be delayed in obtaining, or may not be able to obtain, necessary financing for their purchases of solar power systems. Continued distress in the credit markets could materially adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition as sales of our solar systems to new homebuilders, residential and commercial customers are affected by the availability of credit financing and the general strength of the housing market and the overall economy. If customers are unwilling or unable to finance the cost of our products, or if the parties that have historically provided this financing cease to do so, or only do so on terms that are substantially less favorable for us or these customers, our revenue and growth will be adversely affected.

The success of our systems segment will depend in part on the continuing formation of such financing companies and the potential revenue source they represent. In deciding whether to form and invest in such financing companies, potential investors weigh a variety of considerations, including their projected return on investment. Such projections are based on current and proposed federal, state and local laws, particularly tax legislation and interest rates. Changes to these laws, including amendments to existing tax laws or the introduction of new tax laws, tax court rulings as well as changes in administrative guidelines, ordinances and similar rules and regulations could result in different tax consequences which may adversely affect an investor's projected return on investment, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. In addition, increases in interest rates could make it difficult

for our customers to secure the financing necessary to purchase our solar power systems on favorable terms, or at all, and thus lower demand for our solar power products, reduce revenue and adversely impact our operating results. An increase in interest rates could also lower an investor's return on investment in a system or make alternative investments more attractive relative to solar power systems, which, in each case, could cause our customers to seek alternative investments that promise higher returns or demand higher returns from our solar power systems, reduce gross margin and adversely impact our operating results.

Index

For example, MMA Renewable Ventures (a subsidiary of MuniMae, or MMA), is a customer of our systems segment, accounting for less than 10% of our total revenue in the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008. MMA Renewable Ventures accounted for 30% and 17% of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively. MMA Renewable Ventures is a financing company that purchases systems from us and engages in power purchase agreements with end-users of electricity. Effective February 6, 2008, the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, delisted the common stock of MMA because MMA did not expect to file its audited 2006 financial statements by March 3, 2008, the deadline imposed by the NYSE. In connection with completing the restatement and filing the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, MMA has disclosed that it incurred substantial accounting costs. In addition, MMA has disclosed that recent credit market disruption has negatively affected many aspects of MMA's business.

We may be unable to achieve our goal of reducing the cost of installed solar systems by 50 percent by 2012, which may negatively impact our ability to sell our products in a competitive environment, resulting in lower revenues, gross margins and earnings.

To reduce the cost of installed solar systems by 50 percent by 2012, as compared against the cost in 2006, we will have to achieve cost savings across the entire value chain from designing to manufacturing to distributing to selling and ultimately to installing solar systems. We have identified specific areas of potential savings and are pursuing targeted goals. However, such cost savings are dependent upon decreasing silicon prices and lowering manufacturing costs. As part of our announced strategy, we have entered into long-term silicon supply agreements to promote an adequate supply of raw material as well as to reduce the overall cost of such raw material. Additionally, we are increasing production capacity at our existing manufacturing facilities while seeking to improve efficiencies. We also expect to develop additional manufacturing capacity. As a result, we expect these improvements will decrease our per unit production costs. However, if we are unsuccessful in our efforts to reduce the cost of installed solar systems by 50 percent by 2012, our revenues, gross margins and earnings may be negatively impacted in the competitive environment and particularly in the event that governmental and fiscal incentives are reduced or an increase in the global supply of solar cells and solar panels causes substantial downward pressure on prices of our products.

Currency fluctuations in the Euro, Philippine peso, South Korean won or the Australian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar could decrease revenue or increase expenses.

We presently have currency exposure arising from sales, capital equipment purchases, prepayments and customer advances denominated in foreign currencies. For example, our prepayments to Wacker-Chemie AG, a polysilicon supplier, and our customer advances from Solon are denominated in Euros. In addition, a portion of our costs are incurred and paid in Euros, Philippine pesos and Japanese yen. Changes in exchange rates between foreign currencies and the U.S. dollar may adversely affect our total revenue, gross margin and profitability. For example, when foreign currencies appreciate against the U.S. dollar, inventory and expenses denominated in foreign currencies become more expensive. An increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could make our solar power products more expensive for international customers, thus potentially leading to a reduction in demand, our sales and profitability. Furthermore, many of our competitors are foreign companies that could benefit from such a currency fluctuation, making it more difficult for us to compete with those companies. Historically, we have conducted, with varying degrees of success, hedging activities that involve the use of currency forward contracts and options to address our exposure to changes in the foreign exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and other currencies. We cannot predict the impact of future exchange rate fluctuations on our business and results of operations.

Revenue generated from European customers represented approximately 39% and 62% of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008, respectively. A 10% change in the Euro exchange rate would have impacted our revenue by \$60.4 million in the nine months ended September 28, 2008. In connection with our global tax planning we recently changed the functional currency of certain European subsidiaries from U.S. dollar to Euro,

resulting in greater exposure to changes in the value of the Euro. Implementation of this tax strategy had, and will continue to have, the ancillary effect of limiting our ability to fully hedge certain Euro-denominated revenue. From September 28, 2008 to October 31, 2008, the exchange rate to convert one Euro to U.S. dollars decreased from approximately \$1.46 to \$1.31. This decrease in the value of the Euro relative to the U.S. dollar is expected to have an adverse impact on our revenue, gross margin and profitability in the foreseeable future.

We may not be able to increase or sustain our recent growth rate, and we may not be able to manage our future growth effectively.

We may not be able to continue to expand our business or manage future growth. We plan to significantly increase our production capacity between 2008 and 2010. To do so will require successful execution of expanding our existing manufacturing facilities, developing new manufacturing facilities, ensuring delivery of adequate polysilicon and ingots, developing more efficient wafer-slicing methods, maintaining adequate liquidity and financial resources, and continuing to increase our revenues from operations. Expanding our manufacturing facilities or developing facilities may be delayed by difficulties such as unavailability of equipment or supplies or equipment malfunction. Ensuring delivery of adequate polysilicon and ingots is subject to many market risks including scarcity, significant price fluctuations and competition. Maintaining adequate liquidity is dependent upon a variety of factors including continued revenues from operations and compliance with our indentures and credit agreements. In addition, following the spin-off of our shares by Cypress on September 29, 2008, our ability to issue equity for financing purposes will be subject to limits as described in "Our agreements with Cypress require us to indemnify Cypress for certain tax liabilities. These indemnification obligations and related contractual restrictions may limit our ability to obtain additional financing, participate in future acquisitions or pursue other business initiatives." If we are unsuccessful in any of these areas, we may not be able to achieve our growth strategy and increase production capacity as planned during the foreseeable future.

Index

Prior to our acquisition, SP Systems experienced significant revenue growth due primarily to the development and market acceptance of its PowerGuard® roof system, the acquisition and introduction of its PowerTracker® ground and elevated parking systems, its development of other technologies and increasing global interest and demand for renewable energy sources, including solar power generation. As a result, SP Systems increased its revenues in a relatively short period of time. Its annual revenue increased from \$50.9 million in 2003 to \$87.6 million in 2004 to \$107.8 million in 2005 to \$243.4 million in 2006. As a result of our acquisition involving SP Systems, our systems segment revenue for the year ended December 30, 2007 was \$464.2 million and for the three and nine months ended September 28, 2008 was \$193.3 million and \$642.8 million, respectively. We may not experience similar growth of our total revenue or even similar growth of our systems segment revenue in future periods. Accordingly, investors should not rely on the results of any prior quarterly or annual period as an indication of our future operating performance.

Our recent expansion has placed, and our planned expansion and any other future expansion will continue to place, a significant strain on our management, personnel, systems and resources. We plan to purchase additional equipment to significantly expand our manufacturing capacity and to hire additional employees to support an increase in manufacturing, research and development and our sales and marketing efforts. We had approximately 5,450 full-time employees as of September 28, 2008, and we anticipate that we will need to hire a significant number of highly skilled technical, manufacturing, sales, marketing, administrative and accounting personnel. The competition for qualified personnel is intense in our industry. We may not be successful in attracting and retaining sufficient numbers of qualified personnel to support our anticipated growth. To successfully manage our growth and handle the responsibilities of being a public company, we believe we must effectively:

hire, train, integrate and manage additional qualified engineers for research and development activities, sales and marketing personnel, and financial and information technology personnel;

- retain key management and augment our management team, particularly if we lose key members;
- continue to enhance our customer resource management and manufacturing management systems;

implement and improve additional and existing administrative, financial and operations systems, procedures and controls, including the need to update and integrate our financial internal control systems in SP Systems and in our Philippines facility with those of our San Jose, California headquarters;

- expand and upgrade our technological capabilities; and
- manage multiple relationships with our customers, suppliers and other third parties.

We may encounter difficulties in effectively managing the budgeting, forecasting and other process control issues presented by rapid growth. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, we may not be able to take advantage of market opportunities, develop new solar cells and other products, satisfy customer requirements, execute our business plan or respond to competitive pressures.

Since we cannot test our solar panels for the duration of our standard 25-year warranty period, we may be subject to unexpected warranty expense; if we are subject to warranty and product liability claims, such claims could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

The possibility of future product failures could cause us to incur substantial expense to repair or replace defective products. We have agreed to indemnify our customers and our distributors in some circumstances.