Costamare Inc. Form 20-F April 27, 2016

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

(Mark One)

- o REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
- x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED **DECEMBER 31, 2015**
- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
- o SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COSTAMARE INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

NOT APPLICABLE (Translation of Registrant s name into English)

Republic of The Marshall Islands (Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

7 Rue du Gabian MC 98000 Monaco

(Address of principal executive offices)

Anastassios Gabrielides, Secretary 7 rue du Gabian

MC 98000 Monaco

Telephone: +377 93 25 09 40 Facsimile: +377 93 25 09 42

(Name, Address, Telephone Number and Facsimile Number of Company contact person)

SECURITIES REGISTERED OR TO BE REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value per share

New York Stock Exchange

Preferred stock purchase rights

New York Stock Exchange
Series B Preferred Shares, \$0.0001 par value per share
Series C Preferred Shares, \$0.0001 par value per share
Series D Preferred Shares, \$0.0001 par value per share
New York Stock Exchange
New York Stock Exchange

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT: None

SECURITIES FOR WHICH THERE IS A REPORTING OBLIGATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(d) OF THE ACT: None

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer s classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

75,398,400 shares of Common Stock 2,000,000 Series B Preferred Shares, \$0.0001 par value per share 4,000,000 Series C Preferred Shares, \$0.0001 par value per share 4,000,000 Series D Preferred Shares, \$0.0001 par value per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer o Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing.

U.S. GAAP x International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board o Other o

If Other has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow. Item 17 o Item 18 o

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABOUT THI	<u>S REPORT</u>	ii
FORWARD-	LOOKING STATEMENTS	ii
<u>PART I</u>		1
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS	1
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE	1
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	KEY INFORMATION	1
<u> ITEM 4.</u>	INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY	36
<u>ITEM 4.A.</u>	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	54
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS	55
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES	89
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	93
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	101
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	THE OFFER AND LISTING	102
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	104
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	120
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES	121
<u>PART II</u>		122
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES	122
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF	
	PROCEEDS	122
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	122
<u>ITEM 16.A.</u>	AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT	123
<u>ITEM 16.B.</u>	CODE OF ETHICS	123
	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	123
	EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES	124
<u>ITEM 16.E.</u>	PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED	
	PURCHASERS	124
	CHANGE IN REGISTRANT S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT	125
	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	125
<u>ITEM 16.H.</u>	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE	125
<u>PART III</u>		126
	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	126
	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	126
	EXHIBITS	126
<u>INDEX TO C</u>	CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-1
	i	

ABOUT THIS REPORT

In this annual report, unless otherwise indicated:

Costamare, the Company, we, our, us or similar terms when used in a historical context refer to Costamare Ir any one or more of its subsidiaries or their predecessors, or to such entities collectively, except that when such terms are used in this annual report in reference to the common stock, the 7.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock (the Series B Preferred Stock), the 8.50% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock (the Series C Preferred Stock) or the 8.75% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock (the Series D Preferred Stock and, together with the Series B Preferred Stock and the Series C Preferred Stock, the Preferred Stock), they refer specifically to Costamare Inc.; currency amounts in this annual report and the accompanying prospectus are in U.S. dollars; and all data regarding our fleet and the terms of our charters is as of April 20, 2016; 18 of our 72 containerships, including 12 newbuilds on order, have been acquired pursuant to the Framework Deed dated May 15, 2013 (the Original Framework Deed), as amended and restated on May 18, 2015 (as amended and restated, the Framework Deed), between the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Costamare Ventures Inc. (Costamare Ventures), on the one hand, and York Capital Management Global Advisors LLC and an affiliated fund (collectively, together with the funds it manages or advises, York), on the other, by vessel-owning joint venture entities in which we hold a minority equity interest (any such entity, referred to as a Joint Venture entity), and any such jointly-owned vessel, including any vessel under construction, referred to as a Joint Venture vessel). See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels .

We use the term twenty foot equivalent unit (TEU), the international standard measure of containers, in describing the capacity of our containerships.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements in this annual report (and in the documents incorporated by reference herein) that are not statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The disclosure and analysis set forth in this annual report includes assumptions, expectations, projections, intentions and beliefs about future events in a number of places, particularly in relation to our operations, cash flows, financial position, plans, strategies, business prospects, changes and trends in our business and the markets in which we operate. These statements are intended as forward-looking statements. In some cases, predictive, future-tense or forward-looking words such as believe, intend, anticipate, estimate, project, forecast, plan, may, should, could and expect and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, but are the exclusive means of identifying such statements. In addition, we and our representatives may from time to time make other oral or written statements which are forward-looking statements, including in our periodic reports that we file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), other information sent to our security holders, and other written materials. We caution that these and other forward-looking statements included in this annual report (and in the documents incorporated by reference herein) represent our estimates and assumptions as of the date of this annual report (and in the documents incorporated by reference herein) or the date on which such oral or written statements are made, as applicable, about factors that are beyond our ability to control or predict, and are not intended to give any assurance as to future results.

Factors that might cause future results to differ include, but are not limited to, the following:

general market conditions and shipping industry trends, including charter rates, vessel values and factors affecting supply and demand;

future supply of, and demand for, ocean-going containership shipping services;

our continued ability to enter into time charters with existing customers as well as new customers, including re-chartering of vessels upon the expiry of existing charters;

our ability to finance or refinance our existing vessels or future acquisitions;

our contracted charter revenue:

the effect of the worldwide economic slowdown;

disruption in the operation of certain of our managers located in Greece due to the continuing economic crisis or political unrest;

future operating or financial results and future revenues and expenses;

our future financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to make required payments under our credit facilities, comply with our loan covenants and obtain additional financing in the future to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions and other corporate activities, and funding by banks of their financial commitments;

the overall health and condition of the U.S. and global financial markets;

fluctuations in interest rates and currencies, including the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies; technological advancements and opportunities for the profitable operations of containerships;

the financial health of our counterparties, both to our time charters and our credit facilities, and the ability of such counterparties to perform their obligations;

future, pending or recent acquisitions of vessels or other assets, business strategy, areas of possible expansion and expected capital spending or operating expenses;

our expectations relating to dividend payments and our ability to make such payments;

our expectations about availability of existing vessels to acquire or newbuilds to purchase, the time that it may take to construct and take delivery of new vessels, including our newbuild vessels currently on order, or the useful lives of our vessels;

the availability of key employees and crew, the length and number of off-hire days, dry-docking requirements and fuel and insurance costs;

our anticipated general and administrative expenses;

our ability to leverage to our advantage our managers relationships and reputation within the container shipping industry:

our ability to maintain long-term relationships with major liner companies;

the expiration dates and extensions of charters;

our fees and expenses payable under our management and services agreements (as discussed below), as amended from time to time;

expected compliance with financing agreements and the expected effect of restrictive covenants in such agreements;

environmental and regulatory conditions, including changes in laws and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities;

expected cost of, and our ability to comply with, governmental regulations and maritime self-regulatory organization standards, as well as standards imposed by our charterers applicable to our business; requirements imposed by classification societies;

any malfunction or disruption of information technology systems and networks that our operations rely on or any impact of a possible cybersecurity breach;

risks inherent in vessel operation, including terrorism, piracy and discharge of pollutants;

potential disruption of shipping routes due to accidents, political events, piracy or acts by terrorists;

iii

potential liability from future litigation;

our cooperation with our joint venture partners and any expected benefits from such joint venture arrangement; our business strategy and other plans and objectives for future operations; and

other factors discussed in Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors of this annual report.

We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements contained in this annual report, whether as a result of new information, future events, a change in our views or expectations or otherwise. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all of these factors. Further, we cannot assess the impact of each such factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to be materially different from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

iv

PART I

ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

A. Selected Financial Data

The following table presents selected consolidated financial and other data of Costamare for each of the five years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2015. The table should be read together with Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects . The selected consolidated financial data of Costamare is a summary of and is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP). Our audited consolidated statements of income, stockholders equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2014 and 2015 and the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2015, together with the notes thereto, are included in Item 18. Financial Statements and should be read in their entirety.

	Year Ended December 31,									
		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015
	(I	Expressed in	tho	usands of U	.S. d	lollars, exce	pt fo	r share and j	per s	hare data)
STATEMENT OF INCOME										
Revenues:										
Voyage revenue	\$	382,155	\$	386,155	\$	414,249	\$	483,995	\$	490,378
Expenses:										
Voyage expenses		4,218		5,533		3,484		3,608		2,831
Voyage expenses related parties		2,877		2,873		3,139		3,629		3,673
Vessels operating expenses		110,359		112,462		115,998		120,815		117,193
General and administrative expenses		4,958		4,045		8,517		7,708		8,775
General and administrative										
expenses non-cash component										8,623
Management fees related parties		15,349		15,171		16,580		18,469		18,877
Amortization of dry-docking and										
special survey costs		8,139		8,179		8,084		7,814		7,425
Depreciation		78,803		80,333		89,958		105,787		101,645
Amortization of prepaid lease rentals								4,024		4,982
(Gain) / Loss on sale of vessels, net		(13,077)		2,796		(518)		(2,543)		(1,688)
Foreign exchange (gains) / losses, net		(133)		(110)		(8)		(7)		129
Operating income	\$	170,662	\$	154,873	\$	169,015	\$	214,691	\$	217,913
Other Income / (expenses):										
Interest income	\$	477	\$	1,495	\$	543	\$	815	\$	1,373

Edgar Filing: Costamare Inc. - Form 20-F

Interest and finance costs	(75,441)	(74,734)	(74,533)	(95,562)	(92,276)
Swaps breakage cost				(10,192)	
Equity in net earnings of investments			692	(3,428)	(529)
Other, net	603	(43)	822	3,294	427
Gain / (Loss) on derivative					
instruments, net	(8,709)	(462)	6,548	5,469	16,856
Total other income (expenses)	\$ (83,070)	\$ (73,744)	\$ (65,928)	\$ (99,604)	\$ (74,149)
Net Income	\$ 87,592	\$ 81,129	\$ 103,087	\$ 115,087	\$ 143,764
Earnings allocated to Preferred Stock	\$	\$	\$ (1,536)	\$ (11,909)	\$ (17,903)

	Year Ended December 31, 2011 2012 2013 2014 (Expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for share and p									2015		
		(Express	ed ii	n thousands of	U.S.	dollars, except	for	share and per s	hare	data)		
Net income available												
to Common												
Stockholders	\$	87,592	\$	81,129	\$	101,551	\$	103,178	\$	125,861		
Earnings per common												
share, basic and												
diluted	\$	1.45	\$	1.20	\$	1.36	\$	1.38	\$	1.68		
Weighted average												
number of shares,												
basic and diluted		60,300,000		67,612,842		74,800,000		74,800,000		75,027,474		
OTHER		00,200,000		07,012,012		, 1,000,000		, 1,000,000		75,027,171		
FINANCIAL DATA												
Net cash provided by												
operating activities	\$	195,179	\$	168,114	\$	186,681	\$	243,270	\$	244,663		
Net cash (used in)	φ	193,179	Ф	100,114	Φ	100,001	Ф	243,270	Ф	244,003		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		(202 750)		(226.500)		(621.056)		(110.262)		(42.094)		
investing activities		(283,758)		(236,509)		(621,056)		(119,263)		(42,984)		
Net cash (used in) /												
provided by financing		• 6 004		227.720		260.422		(404.00=)		(0.1.1.660)		
activities		26,801		237,720		260,433		(104,297)		(214,663)		
Net increase /												
(decrease) in cash and												
cash equivalents		(61,778)		169,325		(173,942)		19,710		(12,984)		
Dividends and												
distributions paid		(61,506)		(73,089)		(81,515)		(93,074)		(102,287)		
Ratio of earnings to												
fixed charges ⁽¹⁾		2.16		2.00		2.19		2.25		2.83		
Ratio of earnings to												
fixed charges and												
preferred stock												
dividends(1)		2.16		2.00		2.14		1.99		2.31		
BALANCE SHEET I)AT	A (at year										
end)		•										
Total current assets	\$	138,851	\$	299,924	\$	136,563	\$	157,975	\$	145,056		
Total assets	Ċ	1,982,545		2,311,334	·	2,685,842		2,714,740		2,638,561		
Total current		-,,		_,= -,= -		_,,,,,,,		_,,,,		_,,,,,,,,,,		
liabilities		226,589		249,411		294,980		290,376		271,966		
Total long-term debt,		220,000		2.5,.11		27 .,700		2,0,0,0		271,200		
including current												
portion		1,443,420		1,561,889		1,867,576		1,519,941		1,323,091		
Common stock		1,443,420		1,501,665		1,007,570		8		1,323,071		
Total stockholders		U		O		O		O		O		
equity/net assets		329,986		520,452		656,949		802,642		963,510		
equity/net assets		349,700		520,432		0.50,543		002,042		703,310		
				Avoraga for	· tha	Year Ended I	1000	mbor 31				
		2011		2012	ше	2013)CCC	•		2015		
		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		

FLEET DATA					
Number of vessels	47.8	46.8	49.6	54.5	54.9
TEU capacity	231,990	237,975	263,899	317,006	320,140

(1) For purposes of calculating these ratios:

earnings consist of pre-tax income from continuing operations (which includes non-cash unrealized gains and losses on derivative financial instruments not designated as a hedge) plus fixed charges, net of capitalized interest and capitalized amortization of deferred financing fees;

fixed charges represent interest incurred (whether expensed or capitalized) and amortization of deferred financing costs (whether expensed or capitalized) and accretion of discount; and

preferred stock dividends refers to the amount of pre-tax earnings that is accrued for dividends on outstanding preferred stock. Beginning on August 7, 2013, we had 2,000,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock outstanding, beginning on January 21, 2014, we had 4,000,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock outstanding and beginning on May 13, 2015, we had 4,000,000 shares of Series D Preferred Stock outstanding.

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not applicable.

C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

D. Risk Factors

Risks Inherent in Our Business

Our profitability and growth depends upon world and regional demand for chartering containerships, and weakness in the global economy may impede our ability to generate cash flows, maintain liquidity and continue to grow our business.

The ocean-going container shipping industry is both cyclical and volatile in terms of charter rates and profitability. According to Clarkson Research, containership charter rates peaked in 2005, with the Containership Timecharter Rate Index (a per TEU weighted average of 6-12 month time charter rates of Panamax and smaller vessels (1993=100)) reaching 172 points in March and April 2005, and generally stayed strong until the middle of 2008, when the effects of the economic crisis began to affect global container trade, driving the Containership Timecharter Rate Index to a 10-year low of 32 points in the period from November 2009 to January 2010. As of the end of December 2015, the Containership Timecharter Rate Index stood at 43 points.

According to Clarkson Research, demand for containerships declined significantly, following the onset of the global economic downturn. After growing by just 4.0% in 2008, container trade contracted by 9.2% in 2009 before rebounding by 13.8% in 2010. While container trade grew by a CAGR of 5.3% per annum between 2010 and 2013 and is estimated to have risen by 5.4% in 2014 and a further 2.5% in 2015, such projections are subject to a wide range of risks. In 2015, worldwide trade volumes increased, but containership supply continued to exceed demand during the year as more large vessels were delivered. In addition, according to Clarkson Research, as of December 2015, the containership order-book represented 19% of the existing fleet capacity, 87% of which was for vessels with carrying capacity in excess of 8,000 TEU, both increasing the expected future supply of larger vessels and having a spillover effect on the market segment for smaller vessels. An oversupply in the containership market may negatively affect time charter rates for both short- and long-term periods as well as box freight rates charged by liner companies to shippers.

Freight rates have become more volatile since the downturn in 2009 and, despite some short-term improvements, freight rates have remained under pressure. Starting from the second half of 2014, liner companies, to which we seek to charter our containerships, have benefited from the recent collapse in the price of oil. Relatively weak trade growth coupled with the on-going delivery of high capacity containerships has placed significant pressure on certain trade routes as well. The continuation of such low freight rates or any further declines in freight rates, coupled with a sudden increase in oil price, would negatively affect liner companies and could lower prevailing charter rates. Weak or volatile conditions in the containership sector may affect our ability to generate cash flows and maintain liquidity, as well as adversely affect our ability to obtain financing.

The factors affecting the supply and demand for containerships are outside of our control, and the nature, timing and degree of changes in industry conditions are unpredictable. The factors that influence demand for containership capacity include:

supply and demand for products shipped in containers; changes in global production of products transported by containerships; global and regional economic and political conditions; developments in international trade; environmental and other regulatory developments; the distance container cargo products are to be moved by sea; changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns; port and canal congestion; and

currency exchange rates.

The factors that influence the supply of containership capacity include:

the availability of financing;

3

the price of steel and other raw materials;

the number of newbuild vessel deliveries;

the availability of shipyard capacity;

the scrapping rate of older containerships;

the number of containerships that are out of service;

changes in environmental and other regulations that may limit the useful lives of containerships;

the price of fuel; and

the economics of slow steaming.

Our ability to re-charter our containerships upon the expiration or termination of their current time charters and to charter our containerships for which we have not yet secured charters, including the five newbuilds, four of which are expected to be delivered in 2016, and the charter rates payable under any renewal options or replacement or new time charters will depend upon, among other things, the prevailing state of the containership charter market, which can be affected by consumer demand for products shipped in containers. If the charter market is depressed when our containerships time charters expire or when we are otherwise seeking new charters, we may be forced to charter our containerships at reduced or even unprofitable rates, or we may not be able to charter them at all and/or we may be forced to scrap them, which may reduce or eliminate our earnings or make our earnings volatile.

Our liner company customers have been placed under significant financial pressure, thereby increasing our charter counterparty risk.

The continuing weakness in demand for container shipping services and the oversupply of large containerships (as well as potential oversupply of smaller size vessels due to a cascading effect) places our liner company customers under financial pressure. Any future declines in demand could result in worsening financial challenges to our liner company customers and may increase the likelihood of one or more of our customers being unable or unwilling to pay us contracted charter rates. We expect to generate most of our revenues from these charters and if our charterers fail to meet their obligations to us, we will sustain significant losses which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In 2014, one of our charterers, Zim Integrated Shipping Services (ZIM), finalized the terms of a comprehensive financial restructuring plan with its shareholders and its creditors, including vessel and container lenders, ship-owners, shipyards, unsecured lenders and bond holders. Under the related agreement, the Company was granted charter extensions and issued equity securities and unsecured interest bearing notes. If the fair value of the ZIM equity and debt securities fall below their carrying values, we may be required to record an impairment charge in our financial statements, which could adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, there can be no assurance that there will be no further concessions or modification to the charter arrangements with ZIM. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels .

An oversupply of containership capacity may prolong or further depress the current low charter rates and adversely affect our ability to charter our containerships at profitable rates or at all.

From 2005 through the first quarter of 2010, the containership order-book was at historically high levels as a percentage of the in-water fleet. Although order-book volumes have decreased as deliveries of previously ordered containerships increased substantially, some renewed ordering in late 2012 of mainly larger vessels maintained the order-book at average levels. According to Clarkson Research, as of December 2015, the containership order-book represented 19% of the existing fleet capacity, 87% of which was for vessels with carrying capacity in excess of 8,000 TEU. An oversupply of large newbuild vessel and/or re-chartered containership capacity entering the market, combined with any further decline in the demand for containerships, may prolong or further depress the

current low charter rates and may decrease our ability to charter our containerships when we are seeking new or replacement charters other than for unprofitable or reduced rates, or we may not be able to charter our containerships at all.

Weak economic conditions throughout the world, particularly the Asia Pacific region, and renewed terrorist activity and the growing refugee crises which could affect European Union economies, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The global economy remains relatively weak, when compared to the period prior to the 2008-2009 financial crisis. The current global recovery is proceeding at varying speeds across regions and is still subject to downside economic risks stemming from factors like fiscal fragility in advanced economies, high sovereign debt levels, highly accommodative macroeconomic policies, the significant fall in the price of crude oil and other commodities and persistent difficulties in access to credit and equity financing as well as political risks such as the continuing war in Syria and renewed terrorist attacks around the world.

Concerns regarding new terrorist threats from groups in Europe and the growing refugee crisis may disrupt financial markets, and may lead to weaker consumer demand in the European Union, the United States, and other parts of the world which could have a material adverse effect on our business. The deterioration in the global economy has caused, and may continue to cause, a decrease in worldwide demand for certain goods shipped in containerized form.

In addition, we anticipate that a significant number of port calls made by our containerships will continue to involve the loading or unloading of container cargoes in ports in the Asia Pacific region. In recent years, China has been one of the world s fastest growing economies in terms of gross domestic product, which has had a significant impact on shipping demand. However, if China s growth in gross domestic product declines and other countries in the Asia Pacific region experience slower or negative economic growth in the future, this may negatively affect the fragile recovery of the economies of the United States and the European Union, and thus, may negatively impact container shipping demand. For example, the possibility of the introduction of impediments to trade within the European Union member countries in response to increasing terrorist activities, and the possibility of market reforms to float the Chinese renminbi, either of which development could weaken the Euro against the Chinese renminbi, could adversely affect consumer demand in the European Union. Moreover, the revaluation of the renminbi may negatively impact the United States—demand for imported goods, many of which are shipped from China in containerized form. Such weak economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

The continuing financial crisis and possible political unrest in Greece may affect the ability of certain of our managers which have offices in Greece to operate efficiently.

Although to date, the continuing economic crisis in Greece has not affected our managers ability to pay employees, has not forced us to default on any obligations and has not had any other material impact on our operations, a default by Greece on its sovereign debt or the exit of Greece from the eurozone may have a material adverse effect on our operations in the future and may limit the ability of our two managers with offices in Greece to operate. These limitations may include:

the ability of our managers with offices in Greece to continue to pay wages to their employees and to pay suppliers for goods and services;

the ability of our Greek suppliers to fully perform their contracts, including the delivery of supplies to our managers offices in Greece and to our vessels in Greek ports;

the ability of our Greek-based seafarers or shore employees to travel to and from our vessels;

delays or other disruptions in the operation of our fleet, including of the vessels in our fleet flying the Greek flag; and

increased taxes and compliance costs due to increased bureaucracy or changes in the government. As a result of the ongoing economic slump in Greece and the capital controls imposed by the government in June 2015, our operations in Greece may be subjected to new regulations that may require us to incur new or additional compliance or other administrative costs and may require that we pay to the Greek government new taxes or other fees. Furthermore, renewed political uncertainty and social unrest due to the worsening economic conditions and the growing refugee population in the country may undermine Greece s political and economic stability and may lead it to exit the eurozone, which may adversely affect our operations and those of our managers located in Greece. We also face the risk that enhanced capital controls, strikes, work stoppages, civil unrest and violence within Greece may disrupt our shoreside operations and those of our managers located in Greece.

Disruptions in world financial markets and the resulting governmental action could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Global financial markets and economic conditions have been severely disrupted and volatile in recent years and remain subject to significant vulnerabilities, such as the deterioration of fiscal balances and the rapid accumulation of public debt, continued deleveraging in the banking sector and a limited supply of credit. Credit markets as well as the debt and equity capital markets were exceedingly distressed during 2008 and 2009 and have been volatile since that time. The continuing refugee crisis in the European Union, the economic crisis in Greece, the continuing war in Syria and advances of ISIS and other terrorist organizations in the Middle East have led to increased volatility in global credit and equity markets. These issues, along with the re-pricing of credit risk and the difficulties currently experienced by financial institutions have made, and will likely continue to make, it difficult to obtain financing. As a result of the disruptions in the credit markets and higher capital requirements, many lenders have increased margins on lending rates, enacted tighter lending standards, required more restrictive terms (including higher collateral ratios for advances, shorter maturities and smaller loan amounts), or have refused to refinance existing debt at all. Furthermore, certain banks that have historically been significant lenders to the shipping industry have reduced or ceased lending activities in the shipping industry. Additional tightening of capital requirements and the resulting policies adopted by lenders, could further reduce lending activities. We may experience difficulties obtaining financing commitments or be unable to fully draw on the capacity under our committed term loans in the future if our lenders are unwilling to extend financing to us or unable to meet their funding obligations due to their own liquidity, capital or solvency issues. We cannot be certain that financing will be available on acceptable terms or at all. If financing is not available when needed, or is available only on unfavorable terms, we may be unable to meet our future obligations as they come due. Our failure to obtain such funds could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders. In the absence of available financing, we also may be unable to take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures.

We are dependent on our charterers and other counterparties fulfilling their obligations under agreements with us, and their inability or unwillingness to honor these obligations could significantly reduce our revenues and cash flow.

Payments to us by our charterers under time charters are and will be our sole source of operating cash flow. Many of our charterers finance their activities through cash from operations, the incurrence of debt or the issuance of equity. Since 2008, there has been a significant decline in the credit markets and the availability of credit, and the equity markets have been volatile. The combination of a reduction of cash flow resulting from lower demand for our ships due to declines in world trade, a reduction in borrowing bases under any credit facilities and the lack of availability of debt or equity financing may result in a significant reduction in the ability of our charterers to make charter payments to us. Additionally, we could lose a time charter if the charterer exercises certain specified, limited termination rights.

If we lose a time charter because the charterer is unable to pay us or for any other reason, we may be unable to re-deploy the related vessel on similarly favorable terms or at all. Also, we will not receive any revenues from such a vessel while it is un-chartered, but we will be required to pay expenses necessary to maintain and insure the vessel and service any indebtedness on it. The combination of any surplus of containership capacity and the expected increase in the size of the world containership fleet over the next few years may make it difficult to secure substitute employment for any of our containerships if our counterparties fail to perform their obligations under the currently arranged time charters, and any new charter arrangements we are able to secure may be at lower rates. Furthermore, the surplus of containerships available at lower charter rates and lack of demand for our customers liner services could negatively affect our charterers willingness to perform their obligations under our time charters, particularly if the charter rates in such time charters are significantly above the prevailing market rates. Accordingly we may have to grant concessions to our charterers in the form of lower charter rates for the remaining duration of the relevant charter or part thereof, or to agree to re-charter vessels coming off charter at reduced rates compared to the charter then ended. While we have agreed in certain cases to charter rate re-arrangements entailing reductions for specified periods, we have been compensated for these adjustments by, among other things, subsequent rate increases, so that the aggregate payments under the charters are not materially reduced, and in some cases we also have arranged for term extensions. However, there is no assurance that any future charter re-arrangements will be on similarly favorable terms.

The loss of any of our charterers, time charters or vessels, or a decline in payments under our time charters, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

We may, among other things, enter into shipbuilding contracts, provide performance guarantees relating to shipbuilding contracts or to charters, enter into credit facilities or other financing arrangements, accept commitment letters from banks, or enter into insurance contracts and interest or exchange rate swaps. Such agreements expose us to counterparty credit risk. The ability and willingness of each of our counterparties to perform its obligations under a contract with us will depend upon a number of factors that are beyond our control and may include, among other things, general economic conditions, the state of the capital markets, the condition of the ocean-going container shipping industry and charter hire rates. Should a counterparty fail to honor its obligations under agreements with us, we could sustain significant losses, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

A limited number of customers operating in a consolidating industry comprise a large part of our revenues. The loss of these customers could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and competitive position.

Our customers in the containership sector consist of a limited number of liner companies. A.P. Moller-Maersk A/S (A.P. Moller-Maersk), Mediterranean Shipping Company, S.A. (MSC), members of the Evergreen Group (Evergreen), Hapag Lloyd Aktiengesellschaft (Hapag Lloyd) and Cosco Container Lines Co., Ltd. (COSCO) together represented 93%, 94% and 95% of our revenue in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively. We expect that a limited number of leading liner companies will continue to generate a substantial portion of our revenues. The cessation of business with these liner companies or their failure to fulfill their obligations under the time charters for our containerships could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders. In addition, any consolidations or alliances involving our customers could further increase the concentration of our business. We could lose a customer or the benefits of our time charter arrangements for many different reasons, including if the customer is unable or unwilling to make charter hire or other payments to us because of a deterioration in its financial condition, disagreements with us or otherwise. If any of these customers terminate its charters, chooses not to re-charter our ships after charters expire or is unable to perform under its charters and we are not

able to find replacement charters on similar terms, we will suffer a loss of revenues that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels .

A decrease in the level of China's export of goods or an increase in trade protectionism could have a material adverse impact on our charterers business and, in turn, could cause a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

China exports considerably more goods than it imports. Our containerships are deployed on routes involving containerized trade in and out of emerging markets, and our charterers—container shipping and business revenue may be derived from the shipment of goods from the Asia Pacific region, including China, to various overseas export markets including the United States and Europe. Any reduction in or hindrance to the output of China-based exporters could have a material adverse effect on the growth rate of China s exports and on our charterers—business. For instance, the government of China has implemented economic policies aimed at increasing domestic consumption of Chinese-made goods. This may have the effect of reducing the supply of goods available for export and may, in turn, result in a decrease of demand for container shipping. Additionally, though in China there is an increasing level of autonomy and a gradual shift in emphasis to a market economy—and enterprise reform, many of the reforms, particularly some limited price reforms that result in the prices for certain commodities being principally determined by market forces, are unprecedented or experimental and may be subject to revision, change or abolition. The level of imports to and exports from China could be adversely affected by changes to these economic reforms by the Chinese government, as well as by changes in political, economic and social conditions or other relevant policies of the Chinese government.

In addition, China recently enacted a new tax for non-resident international transportation enterprises engaged in the provision of services of passengers or cargo, among other items, in and out of China using their own, chartered or leased vessels, including any stevedore, warehousing and other services connected with the transportation. The new regulation broadens the range of international transportation companies which may find themselves liable for Chinese enterprise income tax on profits generated from international transportation services passing through Chinese ports. This tax or similar regulations by China may reduce our operating results and may also result in an increase in the cost of goods exported from China and the risks associated with exporting goods from China, as well as a decrease in the quantity of goods to be shipped from or through China, which would have an adverse impact on our charterers business, operating results and financial condition and could thereby affect their ability to make timely charter hire payments to us and to renew and increase the number of their time charters with us.

Our operations expose us to the risk that increased trade protectionism will adversely affect our business. If global economic recovery is undermined by downside risks and the economic downturn continues, governments may turn to trade barriers to protect their domestic industries against foreign imports, thereby depressing the demand for shipping. Specifically, increasing trade protectionism in the markets that our charterers serve has caused and may continue to cause an increase in (i) the cost of goods exported from China, (ii) the length of time required to deliver goods from China and the costs of such delivery and (iii) the risks associated with exporting goods from China, as well as a decrease in the quantity of goods to be shipped.

Any increased trade barriers or restrictions on trade, especially trade with China, would have an adverse impact on our charterers business, operating results and financial condition and could thereby affect their ability to make timely charter hire payments to us and to renew and increase the number of their time charters with us. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

We conduct a substantial amount of business in China. The legal system in China has inherent uncertainties that could limit the legal protections available to us and could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

The Chinese legal system is based on written statutes and their legal interpretation by the Standing Committee of the National People s Congress. Prior court decisions may be cited for reference but have limited precedential value. Since 1979, the Chinese government has been developing a comprehensive system of commercial laws, and considerable progress has been made in introducing laws and regulations dealing with economic matters such as foreign investment, corporate organization and governance, commerce, taxation and trade. However, because these laws and regulations are relatively new, there is a general lack of internal guidelines or authoritative interpretive guidance, and because of the limited number of published cases and their non-binding nature, interpretation and enforcement of these laws and regulations involve uncertainties. We do a substantial amount of business in China, including through one of our managers, Shanghai Costamare Ship Management Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Costamare), a Chinese corporation which, as of April 20, 2016, operated 15 vessels that were exclusively manned by Chinese crews (including two vessels purchased pursuant to the Framework Deed with York), which exposes us to potential litigation in China. Additionally, we have charters with COSCO, a Chinese corporation, two of the newbuilding vessels acquired pursuant to the Framework Deed with York are being built at Chinese shipyards, and we have entered into sale and leaseback transactions in respect of 10 vessels (including seven vessels purchased under the Framework Deed) with certain Chinese financial institutions. Although the related charters, shipbuilding agreements and sale and leaseback agreements are governed by English law, we may have difficulties enforcing a judgment rendered by an English court (or other non-Chinese court) in China. Such charters, shipbuilding agreements and sale and leaseback agreements, and any additional agreements that we enter into with Chinese counterparties, may be subject to new regulations in China that may require us to incur new or additional compliance or other administrative costs and pay new taxes or other fees to the Chinese government. Changes in laws and regulations, including with regards to tax matters, and their implementation by local authorities could affect our vessels chartered to Chinese customers as well as our vessels calling to Chinese ports, our vessels being built at Chinese shipyards and the financial institutions with whom we have entered into sale and leaseback transactions, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

We may be unable to obtain additional debt financing for future acquisitions of vessels and to fund payments in respect of the newbuild orders.

We intend to borrow against unencumbered containerships in our existing fleet and vessels we may acquire in the future as part of our growth plan. Our ability to borrow against the ships in our existing fleet and any ships we may acquire in the future largely depends on the value of the ships, which in turn depends in part on charter hire rates and the creditworthiness of our charterers. The actual or perceived credit quality of our charterers, and any defaults by them, and any decline in the market value of our fleet may materially affect our ability to obtain the additional capital resources that we will require to purchase additional vessels or may significantly increase our costs of obtaining such capital. Our inability to obtain additional financing or committing to financing on unattractive terms could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

We have not obtained financing for five newbuilds ordered pursuant to the Framework Deed. The ownership structure under the Framework Deed, the lack of time charters for these five newbuilds and the current weak economic conditions which have reduced available financing may make it more difficult or expensive to obtain third party debt financing for these newbuilds.

Our ability to pay dividends or to redeem our Preferred Stock may be limited by the amount of cash we generate from operations following the payment of fees and expenses, by the establishment of any reserves, by restrictions in our debt instruments and by additional factors unrelated to our profitability.

The declaration and payment of dividends (including cumulative dividends payable with respect to our Preferred Stock) is subject to the discretion of our board of directors and the requirements of Marshall Islands law. The timing and amount of any dividends declared will depend on, among other things (a) our earnings, financial condition, cash flow and cash requirements, (b) our liquidity, including our ability to obtain debt and equity financing on acceptable terms as contemplated by our vessel acquisition strategy, (c) restrictive covenants in our existing and future debt instruments and (d) provisions of Marshall Islands law governing the payment of dividends.

The international containership industry is highly volatile, and we cannot predict with certainty the amount of cash, if any, that will be available for distribution as dividends or to redeem our Preferred Stock in any period. Also, there may be a high degree of variability from period to period in the amount of cash, if any, that is available for the payment of dividends or the redemption of our Preferred Stock and our obligation to pay dividends to holders of our Preferred Stock will reduce the amount of cash available for the payment of dividends to holders of our common stock. The amount of cash we generate from and use in our operations and the actual amount of cash we will have available for dividends and redemptions may fluctuate significantly based upon, among other things:

the charter hire payments we obtain from our charters as well as our ability to charter or re-charter our vessels and the charter rates obtained;

the due performance by our charterers of their obligations;

our fleet expansion strategy and associated uses of our cash and our financing requirements;

delays in the delivery of newbuild vessels and the beginning of payments under charters relating to those vessels; the level of our operating costs, such as the costs of crews, vessel maintenance, lubricants and insurance;

the number of unscheduled off-hire days for our fleet and the timing of, and number of days required for, scheduled dry-docking of our containerships;

prevailing global and regional economic and political conditions;

changes in interest rates;

currency exchange rate fluctuations;

the effect of governmental regulations and maritime self-regulatory organization standards on the conduct of our business;

the level of capital expenditures we make, including for maintaining or replacing vessels and complying with regulations;

our debt service requirements, including fluctuations in interest rates, and restrictions on distributions contained in our debt instruments:

fluctuations in our working capital needs;

our ability to make, and the level of, working capital borrowings;

changes in the basis of taxation of our activities in various jurisdictions;

modification or revocation of our dividend policy by our board of directors;

the dividend policy adopted by Costamare Ventures and the vessel-owning entities for the Joint Venture vessels; and

the amount of any cash reserves established by our board of directors.

The amount of cash we generate from our operations may differ materially from our net income or loss for the period, which will be affected by non-cash items. We may incur other expenses or

liabilities that could reduce or eliminate the cash available for distribution as dividends or redemptions.

In addition, our credit facilities and other financing agreements prohibit the payment of dividends if an event of default has occurred and is continuing or would occur as a result of the payment of such dividends.

For more information regarding our financing arrangements, please read
Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects .

In addition, Marshall Islands law generally prohibits the payment of dividends other than from surplus (retained earnings and the excess of consideration received for the sale of shares above the par value of the shares) or if there is no surplus, from the net profits for the current and prior fiscal year, or while a company is insolvent or if it would be rendered insolvent by the payment of such a dividend. We may not have sufficient surplus or net profits in the future to pay dividends, and our subsidiaries may not have sufficient funds, surplus or net profits to make distributions to us. As a result of these and other factors, we may pay dividends during periods when we record losses and may not pay dividends during periods when we record net income. We can give no assurance that dividends will be paid in the future or the amounts of dividends which may be paid.

We may have difficulty properly managing our growth through acquisitions of new or secondhand vessels and we may not realize expected benefits from these acquisitions, which may negatively impact our cash flows, liquidity and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

We intend to grow our business by ordering newbuild vessels and through selective acquisitions of high-quality secondhand vessels to the extent that they are available. Our future growth will primarily depend on:

the operations of the shipyards that build any newbuild vessels we may order; the availability of long-term employment for our vessels; locating and identifying suitable high-quality secondhand vessels: obtaining newbuild contracts at acceptable prices; obtaining required financing on acceptable

terms; consummating vessel acquisitions; enlarging our customer base; hiring additional shore-based employees and seafarers; continuing to meet technical and safety performance standards; and managing joint ventures or significant acquisitions and integrating the new ships into

our fleet.

During periods in which charter rates are high, ship values are generally high as well, and it may be difficult to consummate ship acquisitions or enter into shipbuilding contracts at favorable prices. In addition, any vessel acquisition may not be profitable at or after the time of acquisition and may not generate cash flows sufficient to justify the investment. We may not be successful in executing any future growth plans and we cannot give any assurance that we will not incur significant expenses and losses in connection with such growth efforts. Other risks associated with vessel acquisitions that may harm our business, financial condition and operating results include the risks that we may:

fail to realize anticipated benefits, such as new customer relationships, cost-savings or cash flow enhancements; be unable to hire, train or retain qualified shore-based and seafaring personnel to manage and operate our growing business and fleet;

decrease our liquidity by using a significant portion of available cash or borrowing capacity to finance acquisitions;

11

significantly increase our interest expense or financial leverage if we incur additional debt to finance acquisitions; incur or assume unanticipated liabilities, losses or costs associated with any vessels or businesses acquired; or incur other significant charges, such as impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, asset devaluation or restructuring charges.

Unlike newbuild vessels, secondhand vessels typically do not carry warranties as to their condition. While we generally inspect existing vessels prior to purchase, such an inspection would normally not provide us with as much knowledge of a vessel s condition as we would possess if it had been built for us and operated by us during its life. Repairs and maintenance costs for secondhand vessels are difficult to predict and may be substantially higher than for vessels we have operated since they were built. These costs could decrease our cash flows, liquidity and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

Our operations and results and our ability to expand our fleet may be adversely affected by the Framework Deed or by a default by our partner under the Framework Deed.

The joint venture governed by the Framework Deed is the exclusive joint venture of the Company for the acquisition of new vessels during a commitment period ending May 18, 2020, unless terminated earlier in certain circumstances (although we may acquire vessels outside the joint venture where York rejects a vessel acquisition opportunity). If York decides to participate in a new vessel acquisition, we will hold a 25% to 75% equity interest in such vessel. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels .

The operation of the Framework Deed may increase certain administrative burdens, delay decision-making, make it more difficult to obtain debt financing or complicate the operation of the vessels acquired under the Framework Deed. For example, the Framework Deed requires that decisions regarding the Joint Venture vessel s acquisition be made jointly by Costamare and York. If York fails to cooperate in the acquisition process, we may not be able to consummate the acquisition in a timely and cost-effective manner. In addition, our managers may face conflicts of interest in the course of managing both our wholly-owned vessels and the Joint Venture vessels, the outcome of which may favor the Joint Venture vessels.

Furthermore, if York was to delay or default in meeting its commitments under the Framework Deed to provide equity or under any guarantee it provides to support a shipbuilding contract, a charter or a financing agreement, or if York fails to provide any supplemental funding that may be required under the Framework Deed or otherwise due to adverse economic conditions, our commercial relations with shipbuilders, charterers and financial institutions could be adversely affected. Under such circumstances, we may be required to provide additional funding, we may have to unwind a Joint Venture investment at an unfavorable price or we may have to terminate the Framework Deed.

Delay in the delivery of our newbuild vessels on order, or any future newbuild vessel orders, could adversely affect our earnings.

The expected delivery dates under our current shipbuilding contracts for newbuild vessels, and any additional shipbuilding contracts we may enter into in the future, may be delayed for reasons not under our control, including, among other things:

quality or engineering problems; changes in governmental regulations or maritime self-regulatory organization standards; work stoppages or other labor disturbances at the shipyard; bankruptcy of or other financial crisis involving the shipyard; a backlog of orders at the shipyard;

any delay or default by our joint venture partner in meeting its financial commitments;

political, social or economic disturbances;

weather interference or a catastrophic event, such as a major earthquake or fire, or other accident;

requests for changes to the original vessel specifications;

shortages of or delays in the receipt of necessary construction materials, such as steel;

an inability to obtain requisite permits or approvals;

financial instability of the lenders under our committed credit facilities, resulting in potential delay or inability to draw down on such facilities; and

financial instability of the charterers under our agreed time charters for the newbuild vessels, resulting in potential delay or inability to charter the newbuild vessels;

A delay by the seller in the delivery date of a newbuild vessel will reduce our expected income from that vessel and, if the vessel is already chartered, may lead the charterer of such vessel to claim damages or to cancel the relevant charter. If the seller of any newbuild vessel we have contracted to purchase is not able to deliver the vessel to us as agreed, or if we cancel a purchase agreement because a seller has not met his obligations, it may result in a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

Our managers may be unable to attract and retain qualified, skilled employees or crew on our behalf necessary to operate our business or may pay rising crew and other vessel operating costs.

Acquiring and renewing long-term time charters with leading liner companies depend on a number of factors, including our ability to man our containerships with suitably experienced, high-quality masters, officers and crews. Our success will depend in large part on our managers—ability to attract, hire, train and retain highly skilled and qualified personnel. In recent years, the limited supply of and the increased demand for well-qualified crew, due to the increase in the size of the global shipping fleet, has created upward pressure on crewing costs, which we bear under our time charters. Changing conditions in the home country of our seafarers, such as increases in the local general living standards or changes in taxation, may make serving at sea less appealing and thus further reduce the supply of crew and/or increase the cost of hiring competent crew. Unless we are able to increase our hire rates to compensate for increases in crew costs and other vessel operating costs such as insurance, repairs and maintenance, and lubricants, our business, results of operations, financial condition and our profitability may be adversely affected. In addition, any inability we experience in the future to attract, hire, train and retain a sufficient number of qualified employees could impair our ability to manage, maintain and grow our business. If we cannot attract and retain sufficient numbers of quality onboard seafaring personnel, our fleet utilization will decrease, which could also have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

Fuel price fluctuations may have an adverse effect on our profits.

The cost of fuel is a significant factor in negotiating charter rates and can affect us in both direct and indirect ways. This cost will be borne by us when our containerships are employed on voyage charters or contracts of affreightment. We currently have no voyage charters or contracts of affreightment, but we may enter into such arrangements in the future, and to the extent we do so, an increase in the price of fuel beyond our expectations may adversely affect our profitability. Even where the cost of fuel is borne by the charterer, which is the case with all of our existing time charters, that cost will affect the level of charter rates that charterers are prepared to pay. Rising costs of fuel will make our older and less fuel efficient vessels less competitive compared to the more fuel efficient newer vessels and may limit their employment opportunities and force us to employ them at a discount compared to the charter rates commanded by more fuel efficient vessels

or not at all. Falling costs of fuel may lead our charterers to abandon slow steaming, thereby releasing additional capacity into the market and exerting downward pressure on charter rates or may lead our charterers to employ older, less fuel efficient vessels which may drive down charter rates and make it more difficult for us to secure employment for our newer vessels.

The price and supply of fuel is unpredictable and fluctuates based on events outside our control, including geo-political developments, supply and demand for oil, actions by members of the OPEC and other oil and gas producers, economic or other sanctions levied against oil and gas producing countries, war and unrest in oil producing countries and regions, regional production patterns and environmental concerns and regulations.

Reliance on suppliers may limit our ability to obtain supplies and services when needed.

We rely on a significant number of third party suppliers of consumables, spare parts and equipment to operate, maintain, repair and upgrade our fleet of ships. Delays in delivery or unavailability or poor quality of supplies could result in off-hire days due to consequent delays in the repair and maintenance of our fleet or lead to our time charters being terminated. This would negatively impact our revenues and cash flows. Cost increases could also negatively impact our future operations.

We must make substantial capital expenditures to maintain the operating capacity of our fleet and acquire vessels, which may reduce or eliminate the amount of cash for dividends to our stockholders.

We must make substantial capital expenditures to maintain the operating capacity of our fleet and replace, over the long-term, the operating capacity of our fleet and we generally expect to finance these maintenance capital expenditures with cash balances or credit facilities. In addition, we will need to make substantial capital expenditures to acquire vessels in accordance with our growth strategy. These expenditures could increase as a result of, among other things: the cost of labor and materials; customer requirements; the size of our fleet; the cost of replacement vessels; the length of charters; governmental regulations and maritime self-regulatory organization standards relating to safety, security or the environment; competitive standards; and the age of our ships. Significant capital expenditures, including to maintain and replace, over the long-term, the operating capacity of our fleet, may reduce or eliminate the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

The aging of our fleet may result in increased operating costs in the future, which could adversely affect our earnings.

In general, the cost of maintaining a vessel in good operating condition increases with the age of the vessel. As our fleet ages, we will incur increased costs. Older vessels may require longer and more expensive dry-dockings, resulting in more off-hire days and reduced revenue. Older vessels are typically less fuel efficient and more costly to maintain than more recently constructed vessels due to improvements in engine technology. In addition, older vessels are often less desirable to charterers. Governmental regulations and safety or other equipment standards related to the age of a vessel may also require expenditures for alterations or the addition of new equipment to our vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which our containerships may engage.

Our current fleet of 72 containerships, including 12 newbuild vessels on order, as of April 20, 2016, of which 18 of our containerships, including 12 newbuilds are Joint Venture vessels, had an average age (weighted by TEU capacity) of 8.3 years. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels . We cannot assure you that, as our vessels age, market conditions will justify such expenditures or will enable us to profitably operate our older vessels.

Unless we set aside reserves or are able to borrow funds for vessel replacement, at the end of the useful lives of our vessels our revenue will decline, which would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our current fleet of 72 containerships, including 12 newbuild vessels on order, as of April 20, 2016, of which 18 of our containerships, including 12 newbuilds are Joint Venture vessels, had an average age (weighted by TEU capacity) of 8.3 years. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels . Unless we maintain reserves or are able to borrow or raise funds for vessel replacement, we will be unable to replace the older vessels in our fleet. Our cash flows and income are dependent on the revenues earned by the chartering of our containerships. The inability to replace the vessels in our fleet upon the expiration of their useful lives could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

Containership values decreased significantly since 2008 and have remained at depressed levels through 2015. Containership values may decrease further and over time may fluctuate substantially. If these values are low at a time when we are attempting to dispose of a vessel, we could incur a loss.

Containership values can fluctuate substantially over time due to a number of different factors, including:

prevailing economic conditions in the markets in which containerships operate;

reduced demand for containerships, including as a result of a substantial or extended decline in world trade; increases in the supply of containership capacity;

changes in prevailing charter hire rates;

the physical condition, size, age and technical specification of the ships;

the costs of building new vessels; and

the cost of retrofitting or modifying existing ships to respond to technological advances in vessel design or equipment, changes in applicable environmental or other regulations or standards, customer requirements or otherwise.

If the market values of our vessels further deteriorate, we may be required to record an impairment charge in our financial statements, which could adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, any such deterioration in the market values of our vessels could trigger a breach under our credit facilities, which could adversely affect our operations. If a charter expires or is terminated, we may be unable to re-charter the vessel at an acceptable rate and, rather than continue to incur costs to maintain the vessel, may seek to dispose of it. Our inability to dispose of the containership at a reasonable price could result in a loss on its sale and could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

Our growth depends on our ability to expand relationships with existing charterers, establish relationships with new customers and obtain new time charters, for which we will face substantial competition from new entrants and established companies with significant resources.

One of our principal objectives is to acquire additional containerships in conjunction with entering into additional long-term, fixed-rate charters for these vessels. The process of obtaining new long-term, fixed-rate charters is highly competitive and generally involves an intensive screening process and competitive bids, and often extends for several months. Generally, we compete for charters based upon charter rate, customer relationships, operating expertise, professional reputation and containership specifications, including size, age and condition.

In addition, as vessels age, it can be more difficult to employ them on profitable time charters, particularly during periods of decreased demand in the charter market. Accordingly, we may find it difficult to continue to find profitable employment for our vessels as they age.

We face substantial competition from a number of experienced companies, including state-sponsored entities and financial organizations. Some of these competitors have significantly greater financial resources than we do, and can therefore operate larger fleets and may be able to offer better charter rates. In the future, we may also face competition from reputable, experienced and well-capitalized marine transportation companies, including state-sponsored entities, that do not currently own containerships, but may choose to do so. Any increased competition may cause greater price competition for time charters, as well as for the acquisition of high-quality secondhand vessels and newbuild vessels. Further, since the charter rate is generally considered to be one of the principal factors in a charterer s decision to charter a vessel, the rates offered by our competitors can place downward pressure on rates throughout the charter market. As a result of these factors, we may be unable to charter our containerships, expand our relationships with existing customers or to obtain new customers on a profitable basis, if at all, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

Due to our lack of diversification, adverse developments in the containership transportation business could reduce our ability to service our debt obligations and pay dividends to our stockholders.

We rely exclusively on the cash flow generated from charters for our containerships. Due to our lack of diversification, an adverse development in the container shipping industry would have a significantly greater impact on our financial condition and results of operations than if we maintained more diverse assets or lines of business. An adverse development could also impair our ability to service debt or pay dividends to our stockholders.

We may have more difficulty entering into long-term, fixed-rate time charters if a more active short-term or spot container shipping market develops

One of our principal strategies is to enter into long-term, fixed-rate time charters in both strong and weak charter rate environments, although in weaker charter rate environments we would generally expect to target somewhat shorter charter terms. In a weak economic environment when more containerships become available for the spot or short-term charter market and the demand for long-term charters falls, we expect to have difficulty entering into additional multi-year, fixed-rate time charters for our containerships due to the increased supply of containerships and the possibility of lower rates in the spot market. We then have to charter more of our containerships for shorter periods upon expiration or early termination of the current charters or for any ships for which we have not secured charters. As a result, our revenues, cash flows and profitability would then reflect fluctuations in the short-term charter market and become more volatile. It may also become more difficult or expensive to finance or re-finance vessels that do not have long-term employment at fixed rates. In addition, an active short-term or spot charter market may require us to enter into charters based on changing market prices, as opposed to contracts based on fixed rates, which could result in a decrease in our revenues and cash flows, including our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders, if we enter into charters during periods when the market price for container shipping is depressed.

We may be unable to charter our vessels at profitable rates, if at all, when we are seeking new or replacement charters.

As of April 20, 2016, the current time charters for 18 of our 60 containerships in the water, including the charters for our four Joint Venture vessels in the water, will expire before the end of 2016 while four of our vessels are not employed. We have options to extend the charters for two of the vessels whose charters are scheduled to expire in 2016 for successive one year periods. In addition, we have not yet secured employment for five newbuilds ordered

Framework Deed, four of which are scheduled to be delivered during 2016. While we generally expect to be able to obtain time charters for our vessels within a reasonable period prior to their time charter expiry or delivery, as applicable, we cannot be assured that this will occur in any particular case, or at all. The current weak economic conditions have reduced the demand for long-term time charters. In addition, even if a short-term time charter is secured it may be at unprofitable rates and may not be continuous, leaving the vessels idle for some days in between charters.

If we are unable to re-charter these containerships or obtain new time charters at favorable rates, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

We are a holding company and we depend on the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute funds to us in order to satisfy our financial obligations and to make dividend payments.

We are a holding company and our subsidiaries conduct all of our operations and own all of our operating assets, including our ships. We have no significant assets other than the equity interests in our subsidiaries. As a result, our ability to pay our obligations and to make dividend payments depends entirely on our subsidiaries and their ability to distribute funds to us. The ability of a subsidiary to make these distributions could be affected by a claim or other action by a third party, including a creditor, or by the law of their respective jurisdiction of incorporation which regulates the payment of dividends. If we are unable to obtain funds from our subsidiaries, our board of directors may exercise its discretion not to declare or pay dividends.

Our credit facilities or other financing arrangements contain payment obligations and restrictive covenants that may limit our liquidity and our ability to expand our fleet. A failure by us to meet our obligations under our credit facilities could result in an event of default under such credit facilities and foreclosure on our vessels.

Our credit facilities impose certain operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions in our existing credit facilities generally limit Costamare Inc., and our subsidiaries ability to, among other things:

pay dividends if an event of default has occurred and is continuing or would occur as a result of the payment of such dividends:

purchase or otherwise acquire for value any shares of our subsidiaries capital;

make or repay loans or advances, other than repayment of the credit facilities;

make investments in or provide guarantees to other persons;

sell or transfer significant assets, including any vessel or vessels mortgaged under the credit facilities, to any person, including Costamare Inc. and our subsidiaries;

create liens on assets; or

allow the Konstantakopoulos family s direct or indirect holding in Costamare Inc. to fall below 40% of the total issued share capital.

Our existing credit facilities also require Costamare Inc. and certain of our subsidiaries to maintain the aggregate of (a) the market value, primarily on a charter inclusive basis, of the mortgaged vessel or vessels and (b) the market value of any additional security provided to the lenders, above a percentage ranging between 80% to 125% of the then outstanding amount of the credit facility and any related swap exposure.

Costamare Inc. is required to maintain compliance with the following financial covenants:

the ratio of our total liabilities (after deducting all cash and cash equivalents) to market value adjusted total assets (after deducting all cash and cash equivalents) may not exceed 0.75:1;

the ratio of EBITDA over net interest expense must be equal to or higher than 2.5:1;

Edgar Filing: Costamare Inc. - Form 20-F

the aggregate amount of all cash and cash equivalents may not be less than the greater of (i) \$30 million or (ii) 3% of the total debt; *provided*, *however*, that under three of our credit facilities, a minimum cash amount equal to 3% of the loan outstanding must be maintained in accounts with the lender;

the market value adjusted net worth must at all times exceed \$500 million; and

the ratio of net funded debt to total net assets may not exceed 80% on a charter inclusive valuation basis. A failure to meet our payment and other obligations could lead to defaults under our credit facilities. Our lenders could then accelerate our indebtedness and foreclose on the vessels in our fleet securing those credit facilities, which could result in the acceleration of other indebtedness that we may have at such time and the commencement of similar foreclosure proceedings by other lenders. If any of these events occur, we cannot guarantee that our assets will be sufficient to repay in full all of our outstanding indebtedness and we may be unable to find alternative financing. Even if we could obtain alternative financing, such financing may not be on terms that are favorable or acceptable. The loss of these vessels would have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. For additional information see Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects B. Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facilities and Finance Leases .

Substantial debt levels may limit our ability to obtain additional financing and pursue other business opportunities.

As of December 31, 2015, we had outstanding indebtedness of approximately \$1.56 billion, including the obligations under our finance leases, and we expect to incur additional indebtedness as we grow our fleet. This level of debt could have important consequences to us, including the following:

our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;

we may need to use a substantial portion of our cash from operations to make principal and interest payments on our debt, thereby reducing the funds that would otherwise be available for operations, future business opportunities and dividends to our stockholders;

our debt level could make us more vulnerable than our competitors with less debt to competitive pressures or a downturn in our business or the economy generally; and

our debt level may limit our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions.

Our ability to service our debt depends upon, among other things, our future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. We may not be able to refinance all or part of our maturing debt on favorable terms, or at all. If our operating income is not sufficient to service our current or future indebtedness, we will be forced to take actions such as reducing or discontinuing dividend payments, reducing or delaying our business activities, acquisitions, investments or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our debt, or seeking additional equity capital or bankruptcy protection. We may not be able to effect any of these remedies on satisfactory terms, or at all.

In the future we may change our operational and financial model by replacing amortizing debt in favor of non-amortizing debt with a higher fixed or floating rate without shareholder approval, which may increase our risk of defaulting on our indebtedness if market conditions become unfavorable.

The derivative contracts we have entered into to hedge our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates could result in higher than market interest rates and reductions in our stockholders—equity, as well as charges against our income.

We have entered into interest rate swaps generally for purposes of managing our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates applicable to indebtedness under our credit facilities which were advanced at floating rates based on LIBOR. As of December 31, 2015, the aggregate notional amount of interest rate swaps relating to our fleet as of such date was \$1.11 billion. As of December 31, 2015, our outstanding lease obligations of \$233.6 million were under fixed interest rates. We unwound three interest rate swaps in 2014 in connection with the refinancing of the underlying credit facility through the sale and leaseback transaction entered into with affiliates of CDB Leasing Company Ltd. (CLC). See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects B. Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facilities and Finance Leases CLC Sale and Leaseback . From time to time we also enter into certain currency hedges. However, there is no assurance that our derivative contracts or any that we enter into in the future will provide adequate protection against adverse changes in interest rates or currency exchange rates or that our bank counterparties will be able to perform their obligations. In addition, as a result of the implementation of new regulation of the swaps markets in the United States, the European Union and elsewhere over the next few years, the cost of interest rate and currency hedges may increase or suitable hedges may not be available.

While we monitor the credit risks associated with our bank counterparties, there can be no assurance that these counterparties would be able to meet their commitments under our derivative contracts or any future derivate contract. Our bank counterparties include financial institutions that are based in European Union countries that have faced and continue to face severe financial stress due to the ongoing sovereign debt crisis. The potential for our bank counterparties to default on their obligations under our derivative contracts may be highest when we are most exposed to the fluctuations in interest and currency rates such contracts are designed to hedge, and several or all of our bank counterparties may simultaneously be unable to perform their obligations due to the same events or occurrences in global financial markets.

To the extent our existing interest rate swaps do not, and future derivative contracts may not, qualify for treatment as hedges for accounting purposes we would recognize fluctuations in the fair value of such contracts in our income statement. In addition, changes in the fair value of our derivative contracts are recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss on our balance sheet, and can affect compliance with the net worth covenant requirements in our credit facilities. Changes in the fair value of our derivative contracts that do not qualify for treatment as hedges for accounting and financial reporting purposes affect, among other things, our net income, earnings per share and EBITDA coverage ratio. For additional information see Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects .

Because we generate all of our revenues in United States dollars but incur a significant portion of our expenses in other currencies, exchange rate fluctuations could hurt our results of operations.

Fluctuations in currency exchange rates may have a material impact on our financial performance. We generate all of our revenues in United States dollars and for the year ended December 31, 2015, we incurred a substantial portion of our vessels operating expenses in currencies other than United States dollars. This difference could lead to fluctuations in net income due to changes in the value of the United States dollar relative to other currencies, in particular the Euro. Expenses incurred in foreign currencies against which the United States dollar falls in value could increase, thereby decreasing our net income. While we hedge some of this exposure from time to time, our U.S. dollar denominated results of operations and financial condition and ability to pay dividends could suffer from adverse currency exchange rate movements.

Increased competition in technology and innovation could reduce our charter hire income and the value of our vessels.

Edgar Filing: Costamare Inc. - Form 20-F

The charter rates and the value and operational life of a vessel are determined by a number of factors, including the vessel s efficiency, operational flexibility and physical life. Efficiency includes

speed and fuel economy. Flexibility includes the ability to enter harbors, utilize related docking facilities and pass through canals and straits. Physical life is related to the original design and construction, maintenance and the impact of the stress of operations. If new ship designs currently promoted by shipyards as being more fuel efficient perform as promoted, or if new containerships are built in the future that are more efficient or flexible or have longer physical lives than our vessels, competition from these more technologically advanced containerships could adversely affect our ability to re-charter, the amount of charter hire payments that we receive for our containerships once their current time charters expire and the resale value of our containerships. This could adversely affect our revenues and cash flows, and our ability to service our debt or pay dividends to our stockholders.

We are subject to regulation and liability under environmental and operational safety laws that could require significant expenditures and affect our cash flows and net income.

Our business and the operation of our vessels are materially affected by environmental regulation in the form of international, national, state and local laws, regulations, conventions, treaties and standards in force in international waters and the jurisdictions in which our containerships operate, as well as in the country or countries of their registration, including those governing the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of oil spills and other contamination, air emissions, water discharges and ballast water management. We may incur substantial costs in complying with these requirements, including costs for ship modifications and changes in operating procedures. Because such conventions, laws and regulations are often revised, it is difficult to predict the ultimate cost of compliance with such requirements or their impact on the resale value or useful lives of our containerships.

Environmental requirements can also affect the resale value or useful lives of our vessels, require a reduction in cargo capacity, vessel modifications or operational changes or restrictions, lead to decreased availability of, or more costly insurance coverage for, environmental matters or result in the denial of access to certain jurisdictional waters or ports. Under local, national and foreign laws, as well as international treaties and conventions, we could incur material liabilities, including cleanup obligations and claims for natural resource damages, personal injury and/or property damages in the event that there is a release of petroleum or other hazardous materials from our vessels or otherwise in connection with our operations. Violations of, or liabilities under, environmental requirements can also result in substantial penalties, fines and other sanctions, including criminal sanctions, and, in certain instances, seizure or detention of our containerships. Events of this nature or additional environmental conventions, laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

The operation of vessels is based on the requirements set forth in the International Safety Management Code (the ISM Code). The ISM Code requires vessel managers to develop and maintain an extensive Safety Management System (SMS) that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy, sets forth instructions and procedures for safe vessel operation and describes procedures for dealing with emergencies. The ISM Code requires that vessel operators obtain a Safety Management Certificate (SMC) for each vessel they operate from the government of the vessel s flag state. The certificate verifies that the vessel operates in compliance with its approved SMS. No vessel can obtain a certificate unless the flag state has issued a document of compliance with the ISM Code to the vessel s manager. Failure to comply with the ISM Code may lead to withdrawal of the permit to operate or manage the vessels, subject us to increased liability, decrease or suspend available insurance coverage for the affected vessels, or result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. Each of the containerships in our fleet and each of our affiliated managers and third party managers are ISM Code-certified. However, there can be no assurance that such certifications can be maintained indefinitely.

Governmental regulation of the shipping industry, particularly in the areas of safety and environmental requirements, can be expected to become stricter in the future. In addition, we believe that the heightened environmental, quality and

regulators and charterers will lead to additional requirements, including enhanced risk assessment and security requirements and greater inspection and safety requirements for vessels. In complying with new environmental laws and regulations and other requirements that may be adopted, we may have to incur significant capital and operational expenditures to keep our containerships in compliance, or even to scrap or sell certain containerships altogether. For additional information see Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Risk of Loss and Liability Insurance Environmental and Other Regulations .

The smuggling of drugs or other contraband onto our vessels may lead to governmental claims against us.

We expect that our vessels will call in ports in South America and other areas where smugglers attempt to hide drugs and other contraband on vessels, with or without the knowledge of crew members. To the extent our vessels are found with contraband, whether inside or attached to the hull of our vessel and whether with or without the knowledge of any of our crew, we may face governmental or other regulatory claims or penalties which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

Increased inspection procedures, tighter import and export controls and new security regulations could increase costs and cause disruption of our containership business.

International container shipping is subject to security and customs inspection and related procedures in countries of origin, destination, and certain trans-shipment points. These inspection procedures can result in cargo seizure, delays in the loading, offloading, trans-shipment, or delivery of containers, and the levying of customs duties, fines and other penalties against us.

Since the events of September 11, 2001, United States authorities have substantially increased container inspections. Government investment in non-intrusive container scanning technology has grown and there is interest in electronic monitoring technology, including so-called e-seals and smart containers, that would enable remote, centralized monitoring of containers during shipment to identify tampering with or opening of the containers, along with potentially measuring other characteristics such as temperature, air pressure, motion, chemicals, biological agents and radiation. Also, as a response to the events of September 11, 2001, additional vessel security requirements have been imposed, including the installation of security alert and automatic identification systems on board vessels. Following the recent terrorist attacks in Paris and elsewhere there has been a heightened level of security and new security procedures could be introduced.

It is unclear what additional changes, if any, to the existing inspection and security procedures may ultimately be proposed or implemented in the future, or how any such changes will affect the industry. It is possible that such changes could impose additional financial and legal obligations on us. Furthermore, changes to inspection and security procedures could also impose additional costs and obligations on our customers and may, in certain cases, render the shipment of certain types of goods in containers uneconomical or impractical. Any such changes or developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

The operation of our vessels is also affected by the requirements set forth in the International Ship and Port Facilities Security Code (the ISPS Code). The ISPS Code requires vessels to develop and maintain a ship security plan that provides security measures to address potential threats to the security of ships or port facilities. Although each of our containerships is ISPS Code-certified, any failure to comply with the ISPS Code or maintain such certifications may subject us to increased liability and may result in denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. Furthermore, compliance with the ISPS Code requires us to incur certain costs. Although such costs have not been material to date, if new or more stringent regulations relating to the ISPS Code are adopted by the International Maritime Organization

Edgar Filing: Costamare Inc. - Form 20-F

 $(\ IMO\)$ and the flag states, these requirements could require significant additional capital expenditures or otherwise increase the costs of our operations.

We rely on our information systems to conduct our business, and failure to protect these systems against security breaches could adversely affect our business and results of operations. Additionally, if these systems fail or become unavailable for any significant period of time, our business could be harmed.

The efficient operation of our business is dependent on computer hardware and software systems. Information systems are vulnerable to security breaches by computer hackers and cyber terrorists. We rely on industry accepted security measures and technology to securely maintain confidential and proprietary information maintained on our information systems. However, these measures and technology may not adequately prevent security breaches. In addition, the unavailability of the information systems or the failure of these systems to perform as anticipated for any reason could disrupt our business and could result in decreased performance and increased operating costs, causing our business and results of operations to suffer. Any significant interruption or failure of our information systems or any significant breach of security could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

Governments could requisition our vessels during a period of war or emergency, resulting in loss of earnings.

A government of the jurisdiction where one or more of our containerships are registered could requisition for title or seize our containerships. Requisition for title occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and becomes its owner. Also, a government could requisition our containerships for hire. Requisition for hire occurs when a government takes control of a ship and effectively becomes the charterer at dictated charter rates. Generally, requisitions occur during a period of war or emergency, although governments may elect to requisition vessels in other circumstances. Although we would expect to be entitled to compensation in the event of a requisition of one or more of our vessels, the amount and timing of payment, if any, would be uncertain. Government requisition of one or more of our containerships may cause us to breach covenants in certain of our credit facilities, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

The global financial markets continue to experience economic instability resulting from terrorist attacks, regional armed conflicts and general political unrest.

Terrorist attacks in certain parts of the world, such as the attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001 or more recently in Paris, and the continuing response of the United States and other countries to these attacks, as well as the threat of future terrorist attacks, continue to cause uncertainty and volatility in the world financial markets and may affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, current conflicts in Syria and Iraq and general political unrest in Ukraine may lead to additional regional conflicts and acts of terrorism around the world, which may contribute to further economic instability in the global financial markets. Political tension or conflicts in the Asia Pacific Region such as in the South China Sea and North Korea may also destabilize markets and reduce the demand for our services. These uncertainties, as well as future hostilities or other political instability in regions where our vessels trade, could also affect trade volumes and patterns and adversely affect our operations, our ability to obtain financing and otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

Acts of piracy on ocean-going vessels have recently increased in frequency, which could adversely affect our business.

Acts of piracy have historically affected ocean-going vessels trading in certain regions of the world, such as the South China Sea and the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. Piracy continues to occur in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia and increasingly in the Gulf of Guinea. Although both the frequency and success of attacks have diminished recently, we still consider

potential acts of piracy to be a material risk to the international container shipping industry, and protection against this risk requires vigilance. Our vessels regularly travel through regions where pirates are active. We may not be adequately insured to cover losses from these incidents, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. Crew costs could also increase in such circumstances. We may not be adequately insured to cover losses from acts of terrorism, piracy, regional conflicts and other armed actions.

Risks inherent in the operation of ocean-going vessels could affect our business and reputation, which could adversely affect our expenses, net income, cash flow and stock price.

The operation of ocean-going vessels carries inherent risks. These risks include the possibility of:

marine disaster;

piracy;

environmental accidents;

grounding, fire, explosions and collisions;

cargo and property loss or damage;

business interruptions caused by mechanical failure, human error, war, terrorism, disease and quarantine, political action in various countries, or adverse weather conditions; and

work stoppages or other labor problems with crew members serving on our containerships, some of whom are unionized and covered by collective bargaining agreements.

Such occurrences could result in death or injury to persons, loss of property or environmental damage, delays in the delivery of cargo, loss of revenues from or termination of charter contracts, governmental fines, penalties or restrictions on conducting business, litigation with our employees, customers or third parties, higher insurance rates, and damage to our reputation and customer relationships generally. Although we maintain hull and machinery and war risks insurance, as well as protection and indemnity insurance, which may cover certain risks of loss resulting from such occurrences, our insurance coverage may be subject to caps or not cover such losses, and any of these circumstances or events could increase our costs or lower our revenues. The involvement of our vessels in an environmental disaster may harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel owner and operator. Any of these results could have a material adverse effect on business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

On October 5, 2011, our vessel *Rena* ran aground on the Astrolabe Reef off New Zealand and sustained significant damage. The vessel was determined to be a constructive total loss for insurance purposes. While we anticipate that our insurance policies will cover most costs and losses associated with the incident, such insurance may not be sufficient to cover all risks. As a result, claims against us or our subsidiaries as a result of the grounding of the *Rena* could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our insurance may be insufficient to cover losses that may occur to our property or result from our operations.

The operation of any vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, collision, fire, contact with floating objects, property loss, cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, hostilities and labor strikes. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of a marine disaster, including oil spills and other environmental mishaps. There are also liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade. We procure insurance for our fleet of containerships in relation to risks commonly insured against by vessel owners and operators. Our current insurance includes (i) hull and machinery insurance covering damage to our and third-party vessels hulls and machinery from, among other things, collisions and contact with fixed and floating objects, (ii) war risks insurance covering losses associated with the outbreak or escalation of hostilities and (iii) protection and indemnity insurance (which includes environmental damage) covering, among other things, third-party

expenses resulting from the injury or death of crew members, passengers and other third parties, the loss or damage to cargo, third-party claims arising from collisions with other vessels, damage to other third-party property and pollution arising from oil or other substances.

We can give no assurance that we are adequately insured against all risks or that our insurers will pay a particular claim. Even if our insurance coverage is adequate to cover our losses, we may not be able to obtain a timely replacement containership in the event of a loss of a containership. Under the terms of our credit facilities, we are subject to restrictions on the use of any proceeds we may receive from claims under our insurance policies. Furthermore, in the future, we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates for our fleet. For example, more stringent environmental regulations have led to increased costs for, and in the future may result in the lack of availability of, insurance against risks of environmental damage or pollution. We may also be subject to calls, or premiums, in amounts based not only on our own claim records but also the claim records of all other members of the protection and indemnity associations through which we receive indemnity insurance coverage. There is no cap on our liability exposure for such calls or premiums payable to our protection and indemnity association. Our insurance policies also contain deductibles, limitations and exclusions which, although we believe are standard in the shipping industry, may nevertheless increase our costs. A catastrophic oil spill or marine disaster could exceed our insurance coverage, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders. Any uninsured or underinsured loss could harm our business and financial condition. In addition, the insurance may be voidable by the insurers as a result of certain actions, such as vessels failing to maintain required certification.

We do not carry loss of hire insurance. Loss of hire insurance covers the loss of revenue during extended vessel off-hire periods, such as those that occur during an unscheduled dry-docking due to damage to the vessel from accidents. Accordingly, any loss of a vessel or any extended period of vessel off-hire, due to an accident or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

Our charterers may engage in legally permitted trading in locations which may still be subject to sanctions or boycott, such as Iran, Syria and Sudan. Our insurers may be contractually or by operation of law prohibited from honoring our insurance contract for such trading, which could result in reduced insurance coverage for losses incurred by the related vessels. Furthermore, our insurers and we may be prohibited from posting or otherwise be unable to post security in respect of any incident in such locations, resulting in the loss of use of the relevant vessel and negative publicity for our Company which could negatively impact our business, results of operations, cash flows and share price.

Maritime claimants could arrest our vessels, which could interrupt our cash flows.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers or receivers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against a vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages, including, in some jurisdictions, for debts incurred by previous owners. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lien-holder may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel. The arrest or attachment of one or more of our vessels, if such arrest or attachment is not timely discharged, could cause us to default on a charter or breach covenants in certain of our credit facilities, could interrupt our cash flows and could require us to pay large sums of money to have the arrest or attachment lifted. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our cash flows, including cash available for dividends to our stockholders.

In addition, in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa, under the sister ship theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel that is subject to the claimant s maritime lien and any associated vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. Claimants could try to assert sister ship liability against one containership in our fleet for claims relating to another of our containerships.

Compliance with safety and other requirements imposed by classification societies may be very costly and may adversely affect our business.

The hull and machinery of every commercial vessel must be classed by a classification society. The classification society certifies that the vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the classification society. Moreover, every vessel must comply with all applicable international conventions and the regulations of the vessel s flag state as verified by a classification society. Finally, each vessel must successfully undergo periodic surveys, including annual, intermediate and special surveys.

If any vessel does not maintain its class, it will lose its insurance coverage and be unable to trade, and the vessel s owner will be in breach of relevant covenants under its financing arrangements. Failure to maintain the class of one or more of our containerships could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, as well as our cash flows, including cash available to pay dividends to stockholders.

Our business depends upon certain members of our senior management who may not necessarily continue to work for us.

Our future success depends to a significant extent upon our chairman and chief executive officer, Konstantinos Konstantakopoulos, certain members of our senior management and our managers. Mr. Konstantakopoulos has substantial experience in the container shipping industry and has worked with us and our managers for many years. He, our managers and certain of our senior management team are crucial to the execution of our business strategies and to the growth and development of our business. If these individuals were no longer to be affiliated with us or our managers, or if we were to otherwise cease to receive services from them, we may be unable to recruit other employees with equivalent talent and experience, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our arrangements with our chief executive officer restrict his ability to compete with us, and such restrictive covenants generally may be unenforceable.

Konstantinos Konstantakopoulos, our chairman and chief executive officer, entered into a restrictive covenant agreement with us on November 3, 2010, under which, except for in certain limited circumstances, he is precluded during the term of his service and for six months thereafter from owning containerships and from acquiring or investing in a business that owns such vessels without first offering the same to us. It also requires him to offer certain charters to our vessels where the charter is suitable for both our vessel and a vessel he owns outside of Costamare. In addition, the restrictive covenant agreement is governed by English law, and English law generally does not favor the enforcement of such restrictions which are considered contrary to public policy and facially are void for being in restraint of trade. Our ability to enforce these restrictions, should it ever become necessary, will depend upon us establishing that there is a legitimate proprietary interest that is appropriate to protect, and that the protection sought is no more than is reasonable, having regard to the interests of the parties and the public interest. We cannot give any assurance that a court would enforce the restrictions as written by way of an injunction or that we could necessarily establish a case for damages as a result of a violation of the restrictive covenants agreement.

We depend on our managers to operate and expand our business and compete in our markets.

Pursuant to the Framework Agreement between Costamare Shipping Company S.A. (Costamare Shipping) and us dated November 2, 2015 (the Framework Agreement), the Services Agreement between Costamare Shipping Services Ltd. (Costamare Services) and our vessel-owning subsidiaries dated November 2, 2015 (the Services Agreement) and the individual ship-management agreements pertaining to each vessel, our managers provide us with, among other things, certain commercial, technical and administrative services. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business

Related Party Transactions B. Related Party Transactions Management and Services Agreements . Our operational success and ability to execute our growth strategy depends significantly upon our managers satisfactory performance of these services. Our business will be harmed if such entities fail to perform these services satisfactorily or if they stop providing these services. Costamare Shipping, one of our managers, also owns the Costamare trademarks, which consist of the name COSTAMARE and the Costamare logo, and has agreed to license each trademark to us on a royalty free basis for the life of the Framework Agreement. If the Framework Agreement or the Services Agreement were to be terminated or if their terms were to be altered, our business could be adversely affected, as we may not be able to immediately replace such services, and even if replacement services were immediately available, the terms offered could be less favorable than the ones offered by our managers.

Our ability to compete for and enter into new time charters and to expand our relationships with our existing charterers depends largely on our relationship with our managers and their reputation and relationships in the shipping industry. If our managers suffer material damage to their reputation or relationships, it may harm the ability of us or our subsidiaries to:

renew existing charters upon their expiration;

obtain new charters;

successfully interact with shipyards;

obtain financing and other contractual arrangements with third parties on commercially acceptable terms (therefore potentially increasing operating expenditure for the fleet);

maintain satisfactory relationships with our charterers and suppliers;

operate our fleet efficiently; or

successfully execute our business strategies.

If our ability to do any of the things described above is impaired, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, as well as our cash flows.

We may not realize expected benefits from the Co-operation Agreement with V.Ships Greece, which may negatively impact our business.

On January 7, 2013, Costamare Shipping entered into a Co-operation Agreement (the Co-operation Agreement) with V.Ships Greece Ltd. (V.Ships Greece), a member of V.Group, pursuant to which the two companies established a ship-management cell (the Cell) under V.Ships Greece to serve as sub-manager of certain of our vessels. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Management of Our Fleet. Costamare Shipping passes to us the net profit, if any, it receives from V.Ships Greece pursuant to the Co-operation Agreement as a refund or reduction of the management fees payable by us to Costamare Shipping. We may not realize the anticipated benefits of the arrangement with V.Ships Greece, which include the Cell s effective management of certain of our vessels, the generation of net profit by the Cell, a portion of which is passed on to us, and the reduction of our ship-management expenditures. Also, Costamare Shipping or V.Ships Greece may terminate the Co-operation Agreement upon six months notice.

Our managers are privately held companies and there is little or no publicly available information about them.

The ability of our managers to continue providing services for our benefit will depend in part on their own financial strength. Circumstances beyond our control could impair our managers—financial strength, and because they are privately held companies, information about their financial strength is not publicly available. As a result, an investor in our stock might have little advance warning of problems affecting any of our managers, even though these problems could have a material adverse effect on us. As part of our reporting obligations as a public company, we will disclose information regarding our managers that has a material impact on us to the extent that we become aware of such information.

Our chairman and chief executive officer has affiliations with our managers and others that could create conflicts of interest between us and our managers or other entities in which he has an interest.

Costamare Shipping and Costamare Services, which provide services to us and/or to our vessel-owning subsidiaries under the Framework Agreement and the Services Agreement, are controlled by our chairman and chief executive officer, Konstantinos Konstantakopoulos or his family. While we believe that the terms of the Framework Agreement and the Services Agreement are consistent with normal commercial practice of the industry, the agreements were not negotiated at arms—length by non-related third parties. Accordingly, the terms may be less favorable to the Company than if such terms were obtained from a non-related third party. Additionally, Konstantinos Konstantakopoulos directly or indirectly controls our affiliated managers and is our chairman and chief executive officer and the owner of approximately 22.27% of our common stock, and this relationship could create conflicts of interest between us, on the one hand, and our affiliated managers, on the other hand. These conflicts, which are addressed in the Framework Agreement, the Services Agreement and the restrictive covenant agreement between us and our chairman and chief executive officer, may arise in connection with the chartering, purchase, sale and operation of the vessels in our fleet versus vessels owned or chartered-in by other companies affiliated with our managers or our chairman and chief executive officer. These conflicts of interest may have an adverse effect on our results of operations. See—Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Management of Our Fleet—and—Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions—Restrictive Covenant Agreements.

Additionally, Konstantinos Konstantakopoulos holds a passive interest in certain companies controlled by the family of Dimitrios Lemonidis that own seven containerships comparable to 16 of our vessels (including 5 vessels acquired under the Framework Agreement) and may acquire additional vessels. These vessels may compete with the Company s vessels for chartering opportunities. These investments were entered into following the review and approval of our Audit Committee and Board of Directors.

Certain of our managers are permitted to, and are actively seeking to, provide management services to vessels owned by third parties that compete with us, which could result in conflicts of interest or otherwise adversely affect our business.

Shanghai Costamare is not prohibited from providing management services to vessels owned by third parties, including related parties, that may compete with us for charter opportunities. The Cell under V.Ships Greece provides and actively seeks to provide management services to vessels owned by third parties that may compete with us. Costamare Shipping provides management services in respect of the Joint Venture vessels that are similar to and compete with our vessels. Our managers—provision of management services to third parties, including related parties, that may compete with our vessels could give rise to conflicts of interest or adversely affect the ability of these managers to provide the level of service that we require. Conflicts of interest with respect to certain services, including sale and purchase and chartering activities, among others, may have an adverse effect on our results of operations. Shanghai Costamare has only provided management services to third parties in a limited number of cases in the past and currently does not provide any such services to third parties.

Our vessels may call at ports located in countries that are subject to restrictions imposed by the United States government, the European Union, the United Nations and other governments, which could negatively affect the trading price of our shares of common stock.

The United States, the European Union, the United Nations and other governments and their agencies impose sanctions and embargoes on certain countries and maintain lists of countries, individuals or entities they consider to be state sponsors of terrorism, involved in prohibited development of certain weapons or engaged in human rights violations. From time to time on charterers instructions, our vessels have called and may again call at ports located in countries subject to sanctions and embargoes imposed by the United States, the European Union, the United

Nations and other governments and their agencies, including ports in Iran, Syria, Sudan and Yemen. The sanctions and embargo laws and regulations vary in their application, as they do not all apply to the same covered persons or proscribe the same activities, and such sanctions and embargo laws and regulations may be amended or strengthened over time.

For example, in 2010, the United States enacted the Comprehensive Iran Sanctions Accountability and Divestment Act (CISADA), which expanded the scope of the former Iran Sanctions Act. Among other things, CISADA expands the application of the prohibitions to non-U.S. companies, such as the Company, and introduces limits on the ability of companies and persons to do business or trade with Iran when such activities relate to the investment, supply or export of refined petroleum or petroleum products. In 2012, President Obama signed Executive Order 13608 which prohibits foreign persons from violating or attempting to violate, or causing a violation of any sanctions in effect against Iran or facilitating any deceptive transactions for or on behalf of any person subject to U.S. sanctions. The Secretary of the Treasury may prohibit any transactions or dealings, including any U.S. capital markets financing, involving any person found to be in violation of Executive Order 13608. Also in 2012, the U.S. enacted the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012 (the ITRA), which created new sanctions and strengthened existing sanctions. Among other things, the ITRA intensifies existing sanctions regarding the provision of goods, services, infrastructure or technology to Iran s petroleum or petrochemical sector. The ITRA also includes a provision requiring the President of the United States to impose five or more sanctions from Section 6(a) of the Iran Sanctions Act, as amended, on a person the President determines is a controlling beneficial owner of, or otherwise owns, operates, or controls or insures a vessel that was used to transport crude oil from Iran to another country and (1) if the person is a controlling beneficial owner of the vessel, the person had actual knowledge the vessel was so used or (2) if the person otherwise owns, operates, or controls, or insures the vessel, the person knew or should have known the vessel was so used. Such a person could be subject to a variety of sanctions, including exclusion from U.S. capital markets, exclusion from financial transactions subject to U.S. jurisdiction, and exclusion of that person s vessels from U.S. ports for up to two years. The ITRA also includes a requirement that issuers of securities must disclose to the SEC in their annual and quarterly reports filed after February 6, 2013 if the issuer or any affiliate has knowingly engaged in certain sanctioned activities involving Iran during the timeframe covered by the report. Finally, in January 2013, the U.S. enacted the Iran Freedom and Counter-Proliferation Act of 2012 (the IFCA), which expanded the scope of U.S. sanctions on any person that is part of Iran s energy, shipping or shipbuilding sector and operators of ports in Iran, and imposes penalties on any person who facilitates or otherwise knowingly provides significant financial, material or other support to these entities.

On January 16, 2016, the United States suspended certain sanctions against Iran applicable to non-U.S. companies, such as us, pursuant to the nuclear agreement reached between Iran, China, France, Germany, Russia, the United Kingdom, the United States and the European Union. To implement these changes, beginning on January 16, 2016, the United States waived enforcement of many of the sanctions against Iran s energy and petrochemical sectors described above, among other things, including certain provisions of CISADA, ITRA, and IFCA. While non-U.S. companies including our charterers may now engage in certain business or trade with Iran that was previously prohibited, the U.S. has the ability to reimpose sanctions against Iran if, in the future, Iran does not comply with its obligations under the nuclear agreement.

From January 2011 through December 2015, vessels in our fleet made a total of 132 calls to ports in Iran, Syria and Sudan, representing approximately 0.65% of our approximately 20,298 calls on worldwide ports. Although we believe that we are in compliance with all applicable sanctions and embargo laws and regulations, and intend to maintain such compliance, there can be no assurance that we will be in compliance in the future, particularly as the scope of certain laws may be unclear and may be subject to changing interpretations. Any such violation could result in fines or other penalties, could limit our ability to trade to the United States and other countries or charter our vessels, could limit our ability to obtain financing and could result in some investors deciding, or being required, to divest their interest, or not to invest, in the Company. In addition, if we have a casualty in sanctioned locations, including Iran, our underwriters

which could lead to the detention and subsequent loss of our vessel and the imprisonment of our crew, and our insurance policies may not cover the costs and losses associated with the incident. Additionally, some investors may decide to divest their interest, or not to invest, in the Company simply because we do business with companies that do business in sanctioned countries. Moreover, our charterers may violate applicable sanctions and embargo laws and regulations as a result of actions that may involve our vessels, and those violations could in turn negatively affect our reputation. Investor perception of the value of our common stock may also be adversely affected by the consequences of war, the effects of terrorism, civil unrest and governmental actions in these and surrounding countries.

Failure to comply with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other anti-bribery legislation in other jurisdictions could result in fines, criminal penalties, contract terminations and an adverse effect on our business.

We may operate in a number of countries through the world, including countries known to have a reputation for corruption. We are committed to doing business in accordance with applicable anti-corruption laws and have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics which is consistent and in full compliance with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 (the FCPA). We are subject, however, to the risk that we, our affiliated entities or our or their respective officers, directors, employees and agents may take actions determined to be in violation of such anti-corruption laws, including the FCPA. Any such violation could result in substantial fines, sanctions, civil and/or criminal penalties, curtailment of operations in certain jurisdictions, and might adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. In addition, actual or alleged violations could damage our reputation and ability to do business. Furthermore, detecting, investigating, and resolving actual or alleged violations is expensive and can consume significant time and attention of our senior management.

We are a Marshall Islands corporation, and the Marshall Islands does not have a well developed body of corporate law or a bankruptcy act, and, as a result, stockholders may have fewer rights and protections under Marshall Islands law than under the laws of a jurisdiction in the United States.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our articles of incorporation and bylaws and by the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act (the BCA). The provisions of the BCA are similar to provisions of the corporation laws of a number of states in the United States, most notably Delaware. The BCA also provides that it is to be applied and construed to make it uniform with the laws of Delaware and other states of the United States that have substantially similar laws. In addition, so long as it does not conflict with the BCA or decisions of the Marshall Islands courts, the BCA is to be interpreted according to the non-statutory law (or case law) of the State of Delaware and other states of the United States that have substantially similar laws. There have been, however, few court cases in the Marshall Islands interpreting the BCA, in contrast to Delaware, which has a well-developed body of case law interpreting its corporate law statutes. Accordingly, we cannot predict whether Marshall Islands courts would reach the same conclusions as the courts in Delaware or such other states of the United States. For example, the rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under the laws of the Marshall Islands are not as clearly established as the rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under statutes or judicial precedent in existence in the relevant U.S. jurisdictions. Stockholder rights may differ as well. As a result, our public stockholders may have more difficulty in protecting their interests in the face of actions by the management, directors or controlling stockholders than would stockholders of a corporation incorporated in a U.S. jurisdiction.

The Marshall Islands has no established bankruptcy act, and as a result, any bankruptcy action involving our company would have to be initiated outside the Marshall Islands, and our public stockholders may find it difficult or impossible to pursue their claims in such other jurisdictions.

It may be difficult or impossible to enforce service of process and enforcement of judgments against us and our officers and directors.

We are a Marshall Islands corporation and all of our subsidiaries are, and will likely be, incorporated in jurisdictions outside the United States. In addition, our executive offices are located outside of the United States in Monaco. All of our directors and officers reside outside of the United States, and all or a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of most of our officers and directors are, and will likely be, located outside of the United States. As a result, it may be difficult or impossible for U.S. investors to serve legal process within the United States upon us or any of these persons or to enforce a judgment against us for civil liabilities in U.S. courts. In addition, you should not assume that courts in the countries in which we or our subsidiaries are incorporated or where our or our subsidiaries assets are located (1) would enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained in actions against us or our subsidiaries based upon the civil liability provisions of applicable U.S. Federal and state securities laws or (2) would enforce, in original actions, liabilities against us or our subsidiaries based on those laws.

There is also substantial doubt that the courts of the Marshall Islands or Monaco would enter judgments in original actions brought in those courts predicated on U.S. Federal or state securities laws.

Risks Relating to our Securities

The price of our securities may be volatile.

The price of our equity securities may be volatile and may fluctuate due to various factors including:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in quarterly and annual results;

fluctuations in the seaborne transportation industry, including fluctuations in the containership market; mergers and strategic alliances in the shipping industry;

changes in governmental regulations or maritime self-regulatory organization standards;

shortfalls in our operating results from levels forecasted by securities analysts;

our payment of dividends;

announcements concerning us or our competitors;

general economic conditions;

terrorist acts;

future sales of our stock or other securities;

investors perceptions of us and the international container shipping industry;

the general state of the securities markets; and

other developments affecting us, our industry or our competitors.

The containership sector of the shipping industry has been highly unpredictable and volatile. Securities markets worldwide are experiencing significant price and volume fluctuations. The market price for our securities may also be volatile. This market volatility, as well as general economic, market or political conditions, could reduce the market price of our securities in spite of our operating performance. Consequently, you may not be able to sell our securities at prices equal to or greater than those at which you pay or paid.

Our management is required to devote substantial time to complying with public company regulations.

As a public company, we incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses. In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley) as well as rules subsequently adopted by the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and

Consumer Protection Act of 2010, have imposed various requirements on public companies, including changes in corporate governance practices. Our directors, management and other personnel devote a substantial amount of time to comply with these requirements and compliance with these rules and regulations relating to public companies result in legal and financial compliance costs.

Sarbanes-Oxley requires, among other things, that we maintain and periodically evaluate our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. In particular, under Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley, we are required to include in each of our annual reports on Form 20-F a report containing our management s assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and a related attestation of our independent auditors. We have undertaken the required review to comply with Section 404, including the documentation, testing and review of our internal controls under the direction of our management. While we did not identify any material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal controls under the current assessment, we cannot be certain at this time that all our controls will be considered effective in future assessments. Therefore, we can give no assurances that our internal control over financial reporting will satisfy the new regulatory requirements in the future.

We are a foreign private issuer and controlled company under the NYSE rules, and as such we are entitled to exemption from certain NYSE corporate governance standards, and you may not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the NYSE corporate governance requirements.

We are a foreign private issuer under the securities laws of the United States and the rules of the NYSE. Under the securities laws of the United States, foreign private issuers are subject to different disclosure requirements than U.S. domiciled registrants, as well as different financial reporting requirements. Under the NYSE rules, a foreign private issuer is subject to less stringent corporate governance requirements. Subject to certain exceptions, the rules of the NYSE permit a foreign private issuer to follow its home country practice in lieu of the listing requirements of the NYSE. In addition, members of the Konstantakopoulos family continue to own, in the aggregate, a majority of our outstanding common stock. As a result, we are a controlled company within the meaning of the NYSE corporate governance standards. Under the NYSE rules, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power is held by another company or group is a controlled company and may elect not to comply with certain NYSE corporate governance requirements, including (1) the requirement that a majority of the board of directors consist of independent directors, (2) the requirement that the nominating committee be composed entirely of independent directors and have a written charter addressing the committee s purpose and responsibilities, (3) the requirement that the compensation committee be composed entirely of independent directors and have a written charter addressing the committee s purpose and responsibilities and (4) the requirement of an annual performance evaluation of the nominating and corporate governance and compensation committees. As permitted by these exemptions, as well as by our bylaws and the laws of the Marshall Islands, we currently have a board of directors with a majority of non-independent directors, an audit committee comprised solely of two independent directors and a combined corporate governance, nominating and compensation committee with one non-independent director serving as a committee chairman. As a result, non-independent directors, including members of our management who also serve on our board of directors, may, among other things, fix the compensation of our management, make stock and option awards and resolve governance issues regarding our company. Accordingly, in the future you may not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the NYSE corporate governance requirements.

Future sales of our equity securities could cause the market price of our securities to decline.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our equity securities in the public market, or the perception that these sales could occur, may depress the market price for our securities. These sales could also impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of our equity securities in the future.

Subject to the rules of the NYSE, in the future, we may issue additional shares of common stock, and other equity securities of equal or senior rank, without stockholder approval, in a number of circumstances.

The issuance by us of additional shares of common stock or other equity securities of equal or senior rank would have the following effects:

our existing stockholders proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease;

the dividend amount payable per share on our securities may be lower;

the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding share may be diminished; and

the market price of our securities may decline.

Our stockholders also may elect to sell large numbers of shares held by them from time to time. The number of shares of common stock and Preferred Stock available for sale in the public market will be limited by restrictions applicable under securities laws, and agreements that we and our executive officers, directors and existing stockholders may enter into with the underwriters at the time of an offering. Subject to certain exceptions, these agreements generally restrict us and our executive officers, directors and existing stockholders from directly or indirectly offering, selling, pledging, hedging or otherwise disposing of our equity securities or any security that is convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for our equity securities and from engaging in certain other transactions relating to such securities for an agreed period after the date of an offering prospectus without the prior written consent of the underwriters.

Our Preferred Stock is subordinated to our debt obligations and pari passu with each other, and your interests could be diluted by the issuance of additional shares of preferred stock, including additional Series B, Series C and Series D Preferred Stock, and by other transactions.

Our Preferred Stock is subordinated to all of our existing and future indebtedness. As of December 31, 2015, we had outstanding indebtedness, including our lease obligations, of approximately \$1.56 billion. Our existing indebtedness restricts, and our future indebtedness may include restrictions on, our ability to pay dividends to preferred stockholders. Our charter currently authorizes the issuance of up to 100 million shares of preferred stock in one or more classes or series. Of this preferred stock, 80 million shares remain available for issuance after giving effect to the designation of 10 million shares as Series A Participating Preferred Stock in connection with our adoption of a stockholder rights plan, the issuance of two million shares as Series B Preferred Stock, the issuance of four million shares as Series C Preferred Stock and the issuance of four million shares as Series D Preferred Stock. The issuance of additional preferred stock on a parity with or senior to our Preferred Stock would dilute the interests of the holders of our Preferred Stock, and any issuance of preferred stock senior to or on a parity with our Preferred Stock or of additional indebtedness could affect our ability to pay dividends on, redeem or pay the liquidation preference on our Preferred Stock. No provisions relating to our Preferred Stock protect the holders of our Preferred Stock in the event of a highly leveraged or other transaction, including a merger or the sale, lease or conveyance of all or substantially all our assets or business, which might adversely affect the holders of our Preferred Stock.

Holders of Preferred Stock have extremely limited voting rights.

Our common stock is the only class of our stock carrying full voting rights. Holders of the Preferred Stock generally have no voting rights except (1) in respect of amendments to the Articles of Incorporation which would adversely alter the preferences, powers or rights of the Preferred Stock or (2) in the event that the Company proposes to issue any parity stock if the cumulative dividends payable on outstanding Preferred Stock are in arrears or any senior stock. However, if and whenever dividends payable on the Preferred Stock are in arrears for six or more quarterly periods, whether or not consecutive, holders of Preferred Stock (for this purpose the Series B, Series C and Series D Preferred Stock will vote together as a single class with all other classes or series of parity stock upon which like voting rights have been conferred and are exercisable) will be entitled to elect one additional director to serve on our board of directors, and the size of our board of directors will

be increased as needed to accommodate such change (unless the size of our board of directors already has been increased by reason of the election of a director by holders of parity stock upon which like voting rights have been conferred and with which the Preferred Stock voted as a class for the election of such director). The right of such holders of Preferred Stock to elect a member of our board of directors will continue until such time as all accumulated and unpaid dividends on the Preferred Stock have been paid in full.

The Preferred Stock represents perpetual equity interests and you will have no right to receive any greater payment than the liquidation preference regardless of the circumstances.

The Preferred Stock represents perpetual equity interests in us and, unlike our indebtedness, will not give rise to a claim for payment of a principal amount at a particular date. As a result, holders of the Preferred Stock may be required to bear the financial risks of an investment in the Preferred Stock for an indefinite period of time.

The payment due to a holder of Preferred Stock upon a liquidation is fixed at the redemption preference of \$25.00 per share plus accumulated and unpaid dividends to the date of liquidation. If, in the case of our liquidation, there are remaining assets to be distributed after payment of this amount, you will have no right to receive or to participate in these amounts. Furthermore, if the market price for your Preferred Stock is greater than the liquidation preference, you will have no right to receive the market price from us upon our liquidation.

Members of the Konstantakopoulos family are our principal existing stockholders and will control the outcome of matters on which our stockholders are entitled to vote; their interests may be different from yours.

Members of the Konstantakopoulos family own, directly or indirectly, approximately 65.0% of our outstanding common stock, in the aggregate. These stockholders will be able to control the outcome of matters on which our stockholders are entitled to vote, including the election of our entire board of directors and other significant corporate actions. The interests of each of these stockholders may be different from yours.

Anti-takeover provisions in our organizational documents could make it difficult for our stockholders to replace or remove our current board of directors or could have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing a merger or acquisition, which could adversely affect the market price of the shares of our common stock.

Several provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws could make it difficult for our stockholders to change the composition of our board of directors in any one year, preventing them from changing the composition of our management. In addition, the same provisions may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that stockholders may consider favorable.

These provisions:

authorize our board of directors to issue blank check preferred stock without stockholder approval; provide for a classified board of

directors with

staggered,

three-year

terms;

prohibit

cumulative

voting in the

election of

directors;

authorize the

removal of

directors only

for cause and

only upon the

affirmative

vote of the

holders of a

majority of the

outstanding

stock entitled

to vote for

those

directors;

prohibit

stockholder

action by

written

consent unless

the written

consent is

signed by all

stockholders

entitled to

vote on the

action; and

establish

advance notice

requirements

for

nominations

for election to

our board of

directors or

for proposing

matters that

can be acted

on by

stockholders

at stockholder

meetings.

We have adopted a stockholder rights plan pursuant to which our board of directors may cause the substantial dilution of the holdings of any person that attempts to acquire us without the approval of our board of directors.

These anti-takeover provisions, including the provisions of our stockholder rights plan, could substantially impede the ability of public stockholders to benefit from a change in control and, as a result, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and your ability to realize any potential change of control premium.

Tax Risks

In addition to the following risk factors, you should read Item 10. Additional Information E. Tax Considerations Marshall Islands Tax Considerations , Item 10. Additional Information E. Tax Considerations Liberian Tax Considerations and Item 10. Additional Information E. Tax Considerations United States Federal Income Tax Considerations for a more complete discussion of the material Marshall Islands, Liberian and U.S. Federal income tax consequences of owning and disposing of our common stock and Preferred Stock.

We may have to pay tax on U.S.-source income, which would reduce our earnings.

Under the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), the U.S. source gross transportation income of a ship-owning or chartering corporation, such as ourselves, is subject to a 4% U.S. Federal income tax without allowance for deduction, unless that corporation qualifies for exemption from tax under Section 883 of the Code and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder. U.S. source gross transportation income consists of 50% of the gross shipping income that is attributable to transportation that begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States.

We believe that we have qualified and currently intend to continue to qualify for this statutory tax exemption for the foreseeable future. However, no assurance can be given that this will be the case. If we or our subsidiaries are not entitled to this exemption under Section 883 for any taxable year, we or our subsidiaries would be subject for those years to a 4% U.S. Federal income tax on our U.S. source gross transportation income. The imposition of this taxation could have a negative effect on our business and would result in decreased earnings available for distribution to our stockholders. Some of our time charters contain provisions pursuant to which charterers undertake to reimburse us for the 4% gross basis tax on our U.S. source gross transportation income. For a more detailed discussion, see Item 10. Additional Information E. Tax Considerations United States Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of Our Shipping Income .

If we were treated as a passive foreign investment company, certain adverse U.S. Federal income tax consequences could result to U.S. stockholders.

A foreign corporation will be treated as a passive foreign investment company (PFIC), for U.S. Federal income tax purposes if at least 75% of its gross income for any taxable year consists of certain types of passive income, or at least 50% of the average value of the corporation is assets produce or are held for the production of those types of passive income. For purposes of these tests, passive income includes dividends, interest, and gains from the sale or exchange of investment property and rents and royalties other than rents and royalties that are received from unrelated parties in connection with the active conduct of a trade or business. For purposes of these tests, income derived from the performance of services does not constitute passive income. U.S. stockholders of a PFIC are subject to a disadvantageous U.S. Federal income tax regime with respect to the income derived by the PFIC, the distributions they receive from the PFIC, and the gain, if any, they derive from the sale or other disposition of their shares in the PFIC. If we are treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, we will provide information to U.S. stockholders who request such information to enable them to make certain elections to alleviate certain of the adverse U.S. Federal income tax consequences that would arise as a result of holding an interest in a PFIC.

Based on our proposed method of operation, we do not believe that we will be a PFIC with respect to any taxable year. In this regard, we intend to treat the gross income we derive or are deemed to derive from our time chartering activities as services income, rather than rental income. Accordingly, we believe that our income from our time chartering activities does not constitute passive income, and the assets that we own and operate in connection with the production of that income do not constitute passive assets. Our counsel, Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP, is of the opinion that we should not be a PFIC based on certain assumptions made by them as well as certain representations we made to them regarding the composition of our assets, the source of our income, and the nature of our operations.

There is, however, no legal authority under the PFIC rules addressing our proposed method of operation. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) or a court of law will accept our position, and there is a risk that the IRS or a court of law could determine that we are a PFIC. Moreover, no assurance can be given that we would not constitute a PFIC for any future taxable year if there were to be changes in the nature and extent of our operations.

If the IRS were to find that we are or have been a PFIC for any taxable year, U.S. stockholders would face adverse tax consequences. Under the PFIC rules, unless those stockholders make certain elections available under the Code, such stockholders would be liable to pay U.S. Federal income tax at the then prevailing income tax rates on ordinary income plus interest upon excess distributions and upon any gain from the disposition of our common stock or Preferred Stock, as if the excess distribution or gain had been recognized ratably over the stockholder s holding period. Please read Item 10. Additional Information E. Tax Considerations United States Federal Income Tax Considerations Taxation of United States Holders PFIC Status for a more detailed discussion of the U.S. Federal income tax consequences to U.S. stockholders if we are treated as a PFIC.

ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

A. History and Development of the Company

Costamare Inc. was incorporated in the Republic of The Marshall Islands on April 21, 2008 under the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, for the purpose of completing a reorganization of 53 ship-owning companies then owned by our chief executive officer and other members of the Konstantakopoulos family under a single corporate holding company. We are controlled by members of the Konstantakopoulos family, which has a long history of operating and investing in the international shipping industry, including a long history of vessel ownership. We were founded in 1974 and initially owned and operated drybulk carrier vessels. In 1984 we became the first Greek-owned company to enter the containership market and, since 1992, we have focused exclusively on containerships. After assuming management of our company in 1998, Konstantinos Konstantakopoulos has concentrated on building a large, modern and reliable containership fleet run and supported by highly skilled, experienced and loyal personnel. He founded the management company Shanghai Costamare in 2005, and the manning agency C-Man Maritime Inc. (C-Man Maritime) in 2006. Under Konstantinos Konstantakopoulos s leadership, we have continued to foster a company culture focusing on excellent customer service, industry leadership and innovation.

In November 2010, we completed an initial public offering of our common stock in the United States and our common stock began trading on the NYSE on November 4, 2010 under the ticker symbol CMRE. On March 27, 2012 and October 19, 2012, we completed two follow-on public offerings of our common stock. On August 7, 2013, we completed a public offering of our Series B Preferred Stock, on January 21, 2014, we completed a public offering of our Series C Preferred Stock and on May 13, 2015, we completed a public offering of our Series D Preferred Stock.

Under the Framework Deed entered into in May 2013 and amended and restated in May 2015, we have agreed with York to invest in newbuild and secondhand container vessels through jointly held companies, thereby increasing our ability to expand our operations while diversifying our risk. The joint venture established by the Framework Deed is expected to be each party—s exclusive joint venture for the acquisition of vessels in the containership industry during the commitment period ending May 18, 2020, unless terminated earlier in certain circumstances (although we may acquire vessels outside the joint venture where York rejects a vessel acquisition opportunity). If York decides to participate in a new vessel acquisition, we will hold a 25% to 75% equity interest in such vessel. As of April 20, 2016, the joint venture had executed transactions with capital expenditure commitments of approximately \$1.2 billion. As of the same date, Costamare and York had made payments of approximately \$476.5 million (which amount includes the financing obtained through the CLC Sale and Leaseback) and obtained committed financing for \$428.2 million of the remaining \$691.0 million through sale and leaseback transactions. As part of the Framework Deed, we hold a minority stake in the existing Joint Venture vessels and expect to hold a stake of 25% to 75% in future Joint Venture vessels. For more information on the Company—s capital expenditures and divestitures see Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

We maintain our principal executive offices at 7 Rue du Gabian, MC 98000 Monaco. Our telephone number at that address is +377 93 25 09 40. Our registered address in the Marshall Islands is Trust Company Complex, Ajeltake Road, Ajeltake Island, Majuro, Marshall Islands MH96960. The name of our registered agent at such address is The Trust Company of the Marshall Islands, Inc.

B. Business Overview

General

We are an international owner of containerships, chartering our vessels to many of the world s largest liner companies. As of April 20, 2016, we had a fleet of 72 containerships with a total capacity in excess of 467,000 TEU, including 12

newbuilds on order, making us one of the largest public containership companies in the world based on total TEU capacity. At that date, our fleet

consisted of (i) 60 vessels in the water, aggregating approximately 333,000 TEU and (ii) 12 newbuild vessels aggregating approximately 134,000 TEU that are scheduled to be delivered to us through the second quarter of 2018, based on the current shipyard schedule. As of December 31, 2015, 18 of our containerships, including 12 newbuilds, had been acquired pursuant to the Framework Deed with York by vessel-owning joint venture entities in which we hold a minority equity interest. See Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels .

Our strategy is to time-charter our containerships to a geographically diverse, financially strong and loyal group of leading liner companies. We aim to operate our containerships under long-term, fixed-rate time charters, to the extent available, to avoid seasonal variations in demand. Our containerships have a record of low unscheduled off-hire days, with fleet utilization levels, excluding scheduled dry dockings, of 99.9%, 99.8% and 99.7% in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively. Over the last three years our largest customers by revenue were A.P. Moller-Maersk, MSC, Evergreen, Hapag Lloyd and COSCO. As of April 20, 2016, the average (weighted by TEU capacity) remaining time-charter duration for our fleet of 72 containerships was approximately 3.7 years, based on the remaining fixed terms and assuming the exercise of any owner s options and the non-exercise of any charterer s options under our containerships charters. As of April 20, 2016, our fixed-term charters represented an aggregate of approximately \$1.8 billion of contracted revenue, assuming the earliest redelivery dates possible and 365 revenue days per annum per containership (which amount includes our ownership percentage of contracted revenue for the Joint Venture vessels (currently \$395.4 million)) and the exercise of the owner s unilateral extension options. Ten of these charters include an option exercisable by either party to extend the term: five wholly-owned vessels for two one-year periods at the same charter rate, which represents \$152.2 million of potential contracted revenue, and five Joint Venture vessels for a three-year period and a subsequent two-year period at the same charter rate, which represents \$170.5 million of potential contracted revenue that is attributable to our share of the relevant vessel-owning entities. In addition, we have charters for two wholly-owned vessels, which include an option to extend the charters for subsequent one-year periods at market rate plus \$1,100 per vessel per day. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels Our Fleet .

As described below, our vessels are managed by Costamare Shipping which is controlled by our chairman and chief executive officer. Costamare Shipping may subcontract certain services to other affiliated managers (such as Shanghai Costamare), or to V.Ships Greece or, subject to our consent, to other third party managers. We believe that having several management companies, both affiliate and third party, provides us with a deep pool of operational management in multiple locations with market-specific experience and relationships, as well as the geographic flexibility needed to manage and crew our large and diverse fleet so as to provide a high level of service, while remaining cost-effective.

Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels

Our Fleet

The tables below provide additional information, as of April 20, 2016, about our fleet of 72 containerships, including 12 newbuilds on order. The tables include the vessels acquired pursuant to the Framework Deed with York and the vessels subject to sale and leaseback transactions.

Vessel Name	Charterer	Year	Capacity	Time	Current	Expiration of	Average Daily
		Built	(TEU)	Charter	Daily	Charter ⁽¹⁾	Charter Rate
				Term ⁽¹⁾	Charter		Until Earliest
					Rate		Expiry of
					(IIS		Charter

Edgar Filing: Costamare Inc. - Form 20-F

					dollars)		$(U.S. dollars)^{(2)}$
COSCO 1 GUANGZHOU	COSCO	2006	9,469	12 years	36,400	December 2017	36,400
2 COSCO NINGBO	COSCO	2006	9,469	12 years	36,400	January 2018	36,400
3 COSCO YANTIAN	COSCO	2006	9,469	12 years	36,400	February 2018	36,400
4 COSCO BEIJING	COSCO	2006	9,469	12 years	36,400	April 2018	36,400
5 COSCO HELLAS	COSCO	2006	9,469	12 years	37,519	May 2018	37,519
			37				

Vessel Name	Charterer	Year Built	Capacity (TEU)	Time Charter Term ⁽¹⁾	Current Daily Charter Rate (U.S. dollars)	Expiration of Charter ⁽¹⁾	Average Daily Charter Rate Until Earliest Expiry of Charter (U.S. dollars)(2)
6 MSC AZOV**	MSC	2014	9,403	10 years	43,000	November 2023	43,000
7 MSC AJACCIO**	MSC	2014	9,403	10 years	43,000	February 2024	43,000
8 MSC AMALFI**	MSC	2014	9,403	10 years	43,000	March 2024	43,000
9 MSC ATHENS	MSC	2013	8,827	10 years	42,000	January 2023	42,000
10 MSC ATHOS	MSC	2013	8,827	10 years	42,000	February 2023	42,000
11 VALOR	Evergreen	2013	8,827	7.0 years ⁽ⁱ⁾	41,700	April 2020 ⁽ⁱ⁾	41,700
12 VALUE	Evergreen	2013	8,827	7.0 years ⁽ⁱ⁾	41,700	April 2020 ⁽ⁱ⁾	41,700
13 VALIANT	Evergreen	2013	8,827	7.0 years ⁽ⁱ⁾	41,700	June 2020(i)	41,700
14 VALENCE	Evergreen	2013	8,827	7.0 years ⁽ⁱ⁾	41,700	July 2020(i)	41,700
15 VANTAGE	Evergreen	2013	8,827	7.0 years ⁽ⁱ⁾	41,700	September 2020 ⁽ⁱ⁾	41,700
16 NAVARINO	PIL	2010	8,531	1.0 year	10,500	November 2016 ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾	10,500
MAERSK 17 KAWASAKI ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾	A.P. Moller-Maersk	1997	7,403	10 years	37,000	December 2017	37,000
18 MAERSK KURE(iii)	A.P. Moller-Maersk	1996	7,403	10 years	37,000	December 2017	37,000

19 MAERSK KOKURA ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾	A.P. Moller-Maersk	1997	7,403 10 years	37,000	February 2018	37,000
20 MSC METHONI	MSC	2003	6,724 10 years	29,000	September 2021	29,000
SEALAND NEW 21 YORK	A.P. Moller-Maersk	2000	6,648 11 years	26,100	March 2018	26,100
22 MAERSK KOBE	A.P. Moller-Maersk	2000	6,648 11 years	26,100	May 2018	26,100
SEALAND 23 WASHINGTON	A.P. Moller-Maersk	2000	6,648 11 years	26,100	June 2018	26,100
SEALAND 24 MICHIGAN	A.P. Moller-Maersk	2000	6,648 11 years	26,100	August 2018	26,100
25 SEALAND ILLINOIS	A.P. Moller-Maersk	2000	6,648 11 years	26,100	October 2018	26,100
26 MAERSK KOLKATA	A.P. Moller-Maersk	2003	6,644 11 years	26,100	November 2019	26,100
27 MAERSK KINGSTON	A.P. Moller-Maersk	2003	6,644 11 years	38,461(4)	February 2020	26,161
MAERSK 28 KALAMATA	A.P. Moller-Maersk	2003	6,644 11 years	38,418(5)	April 2020	26,533
29 VENETIKO		2003	5,928			
ENSENADA 30 EXPRESS ^(*)		2001	5,576			
31 MSC ROMANOS	MSC	2003	5,050 5.3 years	28,000	November 2016	28,000
32 ZIM NEW YORK	ZIM	2002	4,992 14 years	14,534	September 2016 ⁽⁶⁾	14,534
33 ZIM SHANGHAI	ZIM	2002	4,992 14 years	14,534	September 2016 ⁽⁶⁾	14,534
34 ZIM PIRAEUS	ZIM	2004	4,992 10 years	12,500	July 2016	12,500
35 OAKLAND EXPRESS	Hapag Lloyd	2000	4,890 8.0 years	30,500	September 2016	30,500
36 HALIFAX EXPRESS	Hapag Lloyd	2000	4,890 8.0 years	30,500	October 2016	30,500

Edgar Filing: Costamare Inc. - Form 20-F

SINGAPORE 37 EXPRESS	Hapag Lloyd	2000	4,890 8.0 years	30,500	July 2016	30,500		
38 MSC MANDRAKI	MSC	1988	4,828 7.8 years	20,000	August 2017	20,000		
36 MSC MANDRAKI	MSC	1900	4,828 7.8 years	20,000	C	20,000		
39 MSC MYKONOS	MSC	1988	4,828 8.2 years	20,000	September 2017	20,000		
40 MSC ULSAN	MSC	2002	4,132 5.3 years	16,500	March 2017	16,500		
41 MSC KORONI	MSC	1998	3,842 9.5 years	13,500(7)	September 2018	13,500		
42 ITEA	Hapag-Lloyd	1998	3,842 0.1 years	6,250	May 2016	6,250		
43 KARMEN	Evergreen	1991	3,351 1.9 years	6,500	June 2016	7,250		
44 MARINA	Evergreen	1992	3,351 0.5 years	8,800	May 2016	8,800		
45 LAKONIA	Evergreen	2004	2,586 2.0 years	8,600	February 2017	8,600		
46 ELAFONISOS ^(*)	CMA CGM	1999	2,526 0.3 years	6,000	May 2016	6,000		
47 AREOPOLIS	Evergreen	2000	2,474 0.3 years	5,950	June 2016	5,950		
48 MONEMVASIA(*)(iv)	A.P. Moller-Maersk	1998	2,472 0.1 years	8,750	May 2016	8,750		
49 MESSINI	Evergreen	1997	2,458 3.3 years	6,000	August 2016	6,000		
50 MSC REUNION	MSC	1992	2,024 9.0 years	11,200(8)	July 2017	8,019		
51 MSC NAMIBIA II	MSC	1991	2,023 9.8 years	11,200(9)	July 2017	7,837		
38								

Vessel Name	Charterer	Year Built	Capacity (TEU)	Time Charter Term ⁽¹⁾	Current Daily Charter Rate (U.S. dollars)	Expiration of Charter ⁽¹⁾	Average Daily Charter Rate Until Earliest Expiry of Charter (U.S. dollars) ⁽²⁾
52 MSC SIERRA II	MSC	1991	2,023	8.7 years	11,200(10)	June 2017	7,569
53 MSC PYLOS	MSC	1991	2,020	6.0 years	6,300	January 2017	6,300
54 PADMA ^(*)	Yang Ming	1998	1,645	1.2 years	7,400(11)	August 2016	7,256
55 NEAPOLIS(****)		2000	1,645				
56 ARKADIA ^(*)	Evergreen	2001	1,550	2.0 years	10,600	August 2017	10,600
57 PROSPER ^(****)		1996	1,504				
58 ZAGORA	MSC	1995	1,162	5.8 years	7,400(12)	June 2017	6,321
59 PETALIDI ^(*)	CMA CGM	1994	1,162	2.0 years	7,600	June 2016	7,600
60 STADT LUEBECK	CMA CGM	2001	1,078	2.7 years	8,000(13)	May 2016	8,000

Newbuilds

Vessel Name	Shipyard	Capacity (TEU)	Charterer	Expected Delivery ⁽³⁾
1 NCP0113 ^(*)	Hanjin Subic Bay	11,010		2nd Quarter 2016
2 NCP0114 ^(*)	Hanjin Subic Bay	11,010		2nd Quarter 2016
3 NCP0115 ^(*)	Hanjin Subic Bay	11,010		3rd Quarter 2016
4 NCP0116 ^(*)	Hanjin Subic Bay	11,010		3rd Quarter 2016
5 NCP0152 ^(*)	Hanjin Subic Bay	11,010		1st Quarter 2017

6 S2121 ^{(*)(***)}	Samsung Heavy	14,354	Evergreen	2nd Quarter 2016
7 S2122 ^{(*)(***})	Samsung Heavy	14,354	Evergreen	2nd Quarter 2016
8 S2123 ^{(*)(***)}	Samsung Heavy	14,354	Evergreen	3rd Quarter 2016
9 S2124 ^{(*)(***)}	Samsung Heavy	14,354	Evergreen	3rd Quarter 2016
10 S2125 ^{(*)(***)}	Samsung Heavy	14,354	Evergreen	4th Quarter 2016
11 YZJ1206 ^{(*)(***)}	Jiangsu New Yangzi	3,800	Hamburg Süd	1st Quarter 2018
12 YZJ1207 ^{(*)(***)}	Jiangsu New Yangzi	3,800	Hamburg Süd	2nd Quarter 2018

- (1) Charter terms and expiration dates are based on the earliest date charters could expire. Amounts set out for current daily charter rate are the amounts contained in the charter contracts.
- (2) This average rate is calculated based on contracted charter rates for the days remaining between April 20, 2016 and the earliest expiration of each charter. Certain of our charter rates change until their earliest expiration dates, as indicated in the footnotes below.
- (3) Based on latest shipyard production schedule, subject to change.
- (4) This charter rate changes on April 28, 2016 to \$26,100 per day until the earliest redelivery date.
- (5) This charter rate changes on June 11, 2016 to \$26,100 per day until the earliest redelivery date.
- (6) The amounts in the table reflect the current charter terms, giving effect to our agreement with Zim under the 2014 restructuring plan. Based on this agreement, we have been granted charter extensions and have been issued equity securities representing 1.2% of Zim s equity and approximately \$8.2 million in interest bearing notes maturing in 2023. In July the Company exercised its option to extend the charters of *Zim New York* and *Zim Shanghai* for one year pursuant to its option to extend the charter of two of the three vessels chartered to Zim for successive one year periods at market rate plus \$1,100 per day per vessel while the notes remain outstanding. The rate for the first year has been determined at \$14,534 per day.
- (7) As from December 1, 2012 until redelivery, the charter rate is to be a minimum of \$13,500 per day plus 50% of the difference between the market rate and the charter rate of \$13,500. The market rate is to be determined annually based on the Hamburg ConTex type 3500 TEU index published on October 1 of each year until redelivery.
- (8) This charter rate changes on August 27, 2016 to \$6,800 per day until the earliest redelivery date.
- (9) This charter rate changes on August 2, 2016 to \$6,800 per day until the earliest redelivery date.
- (10) This charter rate changes on July 1, 2016 to \$6,800 per day until the earliest redelivery date.
- (11) This charter rate changes on June 1, 2016 to \$6,200 per day until the earliest redelivery date.

- (12) The charter rate will be \$8,000 per day provided that the vessel trades within the Red Sea once every 20 days, while it will change to \$7,400 for non-Red Sea trading. As of April 20, 2016, the vessel is earning \$8,000 per day.
 - (i) Assumes exercise of owner s unilateral options to extend the charter of these vessels for two one year periods at the same charter rate. The charterer also has corresponding options to unilaterally extend the charter for the same periods at the same charter rate.
 - (ii) The charterer has a unilateral option to extend the charter of the vessel for a period of 12 months.
 - (iii) The charterer has a unilateral option to extend the charter of the vessel for two periods of 30 months each +/-90 days on the final period performed, at a rate of \$41,700 per day.
 - (iv) We have entered into a five year charter agreement with Maersk upon the expiry of the current charter agreement, at a rate of \$9,250 daily.
 - (*) Denotes vessels acquired pursuant to the Framework Deed with York. The Company holds an equity interest ranging between 25% and 49% in each of the vessel-owning entities.
 - (**) Denotes vessels subject to the sale and leaseback transaction with CLC. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects B. Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facilities and Finance Leases CLC Sale and Leaseback.
- (***) Denotes vessels acquired pursuant to the Framework Deed which are subject to sale and leaseback transactions with Chinese financial institutions.
- (****) Denotes vessels undergoing repairs as of April 20, 2016.

Framework Deed

Under the Framework Deed entered into on May 15, 2013 and amended and restated on May 18, 2015, we have agreed with York to jointly invest in newbuild and secondhand container vessels through jointly held companies. The decisions regarding vessel acquisitions are made jointly between us and York, and the Framework Deed is expected to be each party s exclusive joint venture for the acquisition of vessels in the containership industry during the commitment period ending May 18, 2020 (unless terminated earlier in certain circumstances). We reserve the right to acquire any vessels outside the Framework Deed that York decides not to pursue and therefore are not acquired by the jointly-owned entities under the Framework Deed.

Under the terms of the Original Framework Deed, (i) York agreed to invest up to \$250 million in mutually agreed vessel acquisitions and we agreed to invest a minimum of \$75 million with an option to invest up to \$240 million in these transactions and (ii) depending on the amount the Company elected to invest in any acquisition, the Company expected to hold between 25% and 49% of the equity in the relevant vessel-owning entity and York would hold the balance. The Original Framework Deed was amended and restated on May 18, 2015. Pursuant to the amended Framework Deed, there are no minimum or maximum amounts to be invested by Costamare Ventures and York and both Costamare Ventures and York can invest between 25% and 75% of the equity in the affiliate ship-owning companies. As of April 20, 2016, York has invested \$163.2 million and we have invested \$112.3 million for the acquisition of six secondhand vessels and entering into contracts for 12 newbuild vessels. Costamare Shipping provides ship-management services to the Joint Venture vessels, with the right either to subcontract to V.Ships Greece and/or Shanghai Costamare or to direct a vessel-owning entity to contract directly for certain ship-management services with V.Ships Greece. The Framework Deed will terminate on May 18, 2024 or upon the occurrence of certain extraordinary events. At that time, Costamare Ventures can elect to divide the vessels owned by all such vessel-owning entities between itself and York to reflect their cumulative participation in all such entities. We expect to account for the entities formed under the Framework Deed as equity investments.

Six vessels, totaling approximately 14,930 TEU, have been acquired under the Framework Deed with York to date. In addition, pursuant to the Framework Deed, jointly-owned entities have entered into shipbuilding contracts for the construction of 12 container vessels of approximately 134,000 TEU capacity, to be delivered by the second quarter of 2018, for a total purchase price of approximately \$1.1 billion. The Company holds an equity interest ranging between

25% and 49% in each of the Joint Venture entities.

Chartering of Our Fleet

We aim to deploy our containership fleet principally under long-term, fixed-rate time charters with leading liner companies that operate on regularly scheduled routes between large commercial ports. As of April 20, 2016, the average (weighted by TEU capacity) remaining time-charter duration for our fleet of 72 containerships, including our contracted newbuild vessels and the existing vessels and newbuild vessels acquired pursuant to the Framework Deed, was approximately 3.7 years, based on the remaining fixed terms and assuming the exercise of any owner s options and the non-exercise of any charterer s options under our containerships charters.

A time charter is a contract to charter a vessel for a fixed period of time at a set daily rate and can last from a few days up to several years. Under our time charters the charterer pays for most voyage expenses, which generally include, among other things, fuel costs, port and canal charges, pilotages, towages, agencies, commissions, extra war risks insurance and any other expenses related to the cargoes, and we pay for vessel operating expenses, which generally include, among other costs, costs for crewing, provisions, stores, lubricants, insurance, maintenance and repairs, dry-docking and intermediate and special surveys.

Our Customers

Since 2006, our customers have included many of the leading international liner companies, including the current charterers A.P. Moller-Maersk, COSCO, Evergreen, Hapag Lloyd, Hamburg Süd, Sea Consortium, Yan Ming, MSC, CMA CGM S.A. (CMA CGM), Pacific International Lines (PIL) and ZIM. A.P. Moller-Maersk, MSC, Evergreen, Hapag Lloyd and COSCO together represented 93%, 94% and 95% of our revenue in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively.

Management of Our Fleet

Costamare Shipping is the head manager for our containerships and provides us with general administrative services and certain commercial and technical services pursuant to the Framework Agreement. Costamare Shipping is a ship management company established in 1974 and is controlled by our chairman and chief executive officer. Costamare Shipping has over 30 years of experience in managing containerships of all sizes, developing specifications for newbuild vessels and supervising the construction of such newbuild vessels in reputable shippards in the Far East. Costamare Shipping has long established relationships with major liner companies, financial institutions and suppliers and we believe is recognized in the containership shipping industry as a leading containership manager. Prior to November 2, 2015, Costamare Shipping provided our fleet with general administrative services and certain commercial and technical services pursuant to the Group Management Agreement between us and Costamare Shipping, dated November 3, 2010, as amended on March 3, 2015 (the Group Management Agreement).

Costamare Shipping may subcontract certain of its obligations to other affiliated sub-managers (such as Shanghai Costamare), to V.Ships Greece or, subject to our consent, to another third party sub-manager or direct that such related or third party sub-manager enter into a direct ship-management contract with the relevant vessel-owning subsidiary. As discussed below these arrangements will not result in any increase in the aggregate amount of management fees we pay. In return for these services, we pay the management fees described below in this section. Costamare Shipping, itself or through Shanghai Costamare or V.Ships Greece, provides our fleet with technical, crewing, commercial, provisioning, bunkering, sale and purchase, chartering, accounting, insurance and administrative services pursuant to the Framework Agreement and separate ship-management agreements between each of our vessel-owning subsidiaries and Costamare Shipping and, in certain cases, V.Ships Greece.

Shanghai Costamare, which was established in February 2005, is owned (indirectly) 70% by our chairman and chief executive officer, and (indirectly) 30% by a Chinese national who is Shanghai Costamare s general manager. Shanghai

Costamare was established to service the needs of our fleet of containerships when operating in the Far East and South East Asia regions in an efficient and cost-effective manner by providing, among other services, manning services in China, and a valuable

interface with Chinese shipyards, charterers, ship-owners, financial institutions and containership service providers. Shanghai Costamare provides these services for a fixed daily fee, pursuant to separate ship-management agreements between Costamare Shipping and Shanghai Costamare.

Costamare Services is a service provider which has been established in May 2015, and is controlled by our chairman and chief executive officer and members of his family. Costamare Services builds on the long-running relationships established by Costamare Shipping with our charterers. Costamare Services provides our vessel-owning subsidiaries with crewing, commercial and administrative services, including broking and representation, pursuant to the Services Agreement.

Our chairman and chief executive officer and our chief financial officer supervise, in conjunction with our board of directors, the services provided by our managers and Costamare Services. Costamare Shipping and Costamare Services report to our board of directors through our chairman and chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, each of whom is appointed by our board of directors.

In 2013 Costamare Shipping entered into a Co-operation Agreement with V.Ships Greece, a member of V.Group, one of the largest providers of ship-management services worldwide, pursuant to which the two companies established the Cell within V.Ships Greece to provide management services to certain of our containerships. The Cell also offers ship-management services to third-party owners, including two Joint Venture vessels in our fleet. The net profit from the operation of the Cell relating to the Company s containerships is passed on to Costamare Shipping to the extent it exceeds \$20,000 per vessel while the net profit from the operation of the Cell related to third-party owners is split equally between V.Ships Greece and Costamare Shipping. Costamare Shipping passes to us the net profit, if any, it receives pursuant to the Co-operation Agreement as a refund or reduction of the management fees payable by us to Costamare Shipping under the Framework Agreement (prior to November 2, 2015, the management fees that were payable under the Group Management Agreement). Costamare Shipping s share of the Cell s net profit was \$718,000 and \$391,560 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. We expect Costamare Shipping to pay to us its \$718,000 share of the Cell s net profit by the end of the first quarter of 2016. Costamare Shipping has certain control rights regarding the employment and dismissal of the Cell s personnel, the appointment of the Cell s senior managers and the management of vessels owned by third parties. Costamare Shipping or V.Ships Greece may terminate the Co-operation Agreement upon six months notice. Although the Cell is operated pursuant to the Co-operation Agreement between Costamare Shipping and V.Ships Greece, it is not controlled by Costamare Shipping and we do not consider it to be an affiliated manager.

We believe that having multiple management companies provides us with a deep pool of operational management in multiple locations with market-specific experience and relationships, as well as the geographic flexibility needed to manage and crew our large and diverse fleet so as to provide a high level of service, while remaining cost-effective. For example, Shanghai Costamare employs Chinese nationals with the language skills and local knowledge we believe are necessary to establish and grow meaningful relationships with Chinese shipyards, charterers, ship-owners, financial institutions and containership service providers. The Cell under V.Ships Greece provides added operational flexibility and economies of scale while maintaining a high level of management services.

We believe that our affiliated managers, Costamare Shipping and Shanghai Costamare, and our service provider Costamare Services, are well regarded in the industry and are using innovative practices and technological advancement to maximize efficiency in the operation of our fleet of containerships. ISM certification is in place for our fleet of containerships and our managers, with Costamare Shipping, our head manager under the Framework Agreement, having obtained such certification in 1998, three years ahead of the deadline set by the IMO. Costamare Shipping, Shanghai Costamare and V.Ships Greece, as well as our fleet of containerships are also certified in accordance with ISO 9001-2008 and ISO 14001-2004 relating to quality management and environmental standards. In 2013, the Company received the Lloyd s List Greek shipping award for Dry Cargo Company of the Year. Costamare

Shipping received that same award in 2004. Additionally, in 2014, the Company received the Lloyd s List Company of the Year award. As of

April 20, 2016, our affiliated managers did not manage containerships other than those owned by us and vessel-owning entities formed under the Framework Deed.

As of April 20, 2016,

Costamare Shipping provided commercial and insurance services to all of our containerships, as well as technical, crewing, provisioning, bunkering, sale and purchase and accounting services to 22 of our containerships; Shanghai Costamare provided technical, crewing, provisioning, bunkering, sale and purchase and accounting services to 15 of our containerships including two Joint Venture vessels; and V.Ships Greece provided technical, crewing, provisioning, bunkering, sale and purchase and accounting services,

as well as certain commercial services, to 23 of our containerships including four Joint Venture vessels. Costamare Shipping has agreed that during the term of the Framework Agreement, it will not provide any management services to any entity other than our subsidiaries and entities established pursuant to the Framework Deed, without our prior written approval, which we may provide under certain circumstances. Costamare Services has agreed that during the term of the Services Agreement, it will not provide services to any entity other than our subsidiaries, entities established pursuant to the Framework Deed and entities affiliated with the our chairman and chief executive officer or his family, without our prior written approval. In November 2015, Costamare Shipping entered into an agreement with Marcas Ltd. (Marcas), a company which negotiates marine supply contracts on behalf of vessel owners and vessel management companies. We believe that we will benefit from this agreement, which requires Costamare Shipping and Marcas to cooperate and combine their various strengths in order to achieve the best possible service and price combination with suppliers for us. Any supplier brokerage fees that Marcas receives with respect to supplies purchased by our vessel-owning subsidiaries will be paid to Costamare Shipping, which will in turn be credited by Costamare Shipping to our vessel-owning entities against their respective vessels operating expenses. Our vessel-owning entities will pay the annual membership fee payable by Costamare Shipping to Marcas.

Shanghai Costamare is not contractually prohibited from providing management services to third parties. In the past, Shanghai Costamare has only provided services to third parties on a limited basis and there is no current plan to change that practice. Shanghai Costamare currently provides services to two Joint Venture vessels. The Co-operation Agreement anticipates that the Cell will continue to actively seek to provide ship-management services to third-party owners in order to capitalize on the ship-management expertise of the Cell and the economies of scale brought by the affiliation with V.Group. However, as noted above, Costamare Shipping has agreed to pass to us the net profit, if any, it receives from the Cell.

Under the restrictive covenant agreement between the Company and Konstantinos Konstantakopoulos, during the period of his employment or service with the Company and for six months thereafter, he has agreed to restrictions on his ownership of any containerships or the acquisition, investment in or control of any business involved in the ownership or operation of containerships, subject to certain exceptions. Konstantinos Konstantakopoulos has also agreed that if one of our containerships and a containership owned by him are both available and meet the criteria for an available charter, our containerships will receive such charter. See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions B. Related Party Transactions Restrictive Covenant Agreements .

In the event that Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services decide to delegate certain or all of the services they have agreed to perform under the Framework Agreement or the Services Agreement, respectively, either through (i) subcontracting to a sub-manager or sub-provider or (ii) by directing such sub-manager or sub-provider to enter into a direct agreement with the relevant vessel-owning subsidiary, then, in the case of subcontracting under (i), Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services, as applicable, will be responsible for paying the fee charged by the relevant sub-manager or sub-provider for providing such services and, in the case of a direct agreement under (ii), the fee received by Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services, as applicable, will be reduced by

the fee payable to the sub-manager or sub-provider under the relevant direct agreement. As a result, these arrangements will not result in any increase in the aggregate management fees and services fees that we pay. Moreover, in the case of the Co-operation Agreement, the management fees we pay are reduced by any net profit received by Costamare Shipping from the Cell s operation. In addition to management fees, we pay for any capital expenditures, financial costs, operating expenses and any general and administrative expenses, including payments to third parties, including specialist providers, in accordance with the Framework Agreement and the relevant separate ship-management agreements or supervision agreements.

Costamare Shipping received in 2015 and continues to receive in 2016 a fee of \$956 per day or, in the case of a containership subject to a bareboat charter, \$478 per day, for each containership, pro rated for the calendar days we own each containership. In 2014, such amounts were \$919 and \$460, respectively. We also paid to Costamare Shipping in 2015 and continue to pay in 2016 a flat fee of \$787,405 per newbuild vessel for the supervision of the construction of any newbuild vessel that we may contract. Costamare Shipping also received in the first three quarters of 2015 a monthly fee of 0.75% on all gross freight, demurrage, charter hire and ballast bonus or other income earned with respect to each containership in our fleet until the third quarter of 2015. Starting in the fourth quarter of 2015, Costamare Shipping received, and continues to receive, a fee of 0.15% on all gross freight, demurrage, charter hire and ballast bonus or other income earned with respect to each containership in our fleet. Costamare Shipping received for the first three quarters of 2015, a quarterly fee of (i) \$625,000 (\$2.5 million annually) and (ii) 149,600 shares (which is equal to 0.2% of the issued and outstanding Costamare common stock as of January 1, 2015), which fee included payment for the services of our executive officers (prior to 2015, we paid Costamare Shipping \$1.0 million annually for such services). Starting in the fourth quarter of 2015 Costamare Services received and continues to receive from our container-shipowning subsidiaries a monthly fee of 0.60% on all gross freight, demurrage, charter hire and ballast bonus or other income earned with respect to each containership in our fleet and a quarterly fee of (i) \$625,000 and (ii) an amount equal to the value of 149,600 shares (0.2% of the issued and outstanding Costamare common stock as of January 1, 2015), based on the average closing price of our common stock on the NYSE for the ten days ending on the thirtieth day of the last month of each quarter; provided that Costamare Services may elect to receive 149,600 shares instead of the fee under (ii). We have reserved a number of shares of common stock to cover the fees to be paid to Costamare Services under (ii) through December 31, 2020. During the year ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2015, Costamare Shipping charged in aggregate to the companies established pursuant to the Framework Deed \$1.57 million and \$1.86 million, respectively, for services provided in accordance with the relevant management agreements. For the year ended December 31, 2015 we paid aggregate fees of approximately \$5.07 million and issued in aggregate 448,800 shares to Costamare Shipping under the Group Management Agreement and the Framework Agreement and paid aggregate fees of approximately \$1.11 million and issued in aggregate 149,600 shares to Costamare Services under the Services Agreement.

The current terms of the Framework Agreement and the Services Agreement expire on December 31, 2016 and automatically renew for 9 consecutive one-year periods until December 31, 2025, at which point the Framework Agreement and the Services Agreement will expire. The daily fee for each containership, the supervision fee in respect of each containership under construction and the quarterly fee payable to Costamare Shipping under the Framework Agreement and the quarterly fee payable to Costamare Services under the Services Agreement (other than the portion of the fee in clause (ii) above which is calculated on the basis of our share price) will be annually adjusted to reflect any strengthening of the Euro against the U.S. dollar and/or material unforeseen cost increases. We are able to terminate the Framework Agreement or the Services Agreement, subject to a termination fee, by providing written notice to Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services, as applicable, at least 12 months before the end of the subsequent one-year term. The termination fee is equal to (a) the number of full years remaining prior to December 31, 2025, times (b) the aggregate fees due and payable to Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services, as applicable, during the 12-month period ending on the date of termination (without taking into account any reduction in fees under the Framework Agreement to reflect that certain obligations have been

delegated to a sub-manager or a sub-provider, as applicable); *provided* that the termination fee will always be at least two times the aggregate fees over the 12-month period described above. Information about other termination events under the Management Agreements is set forth in Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions B. Related Party Transactions Management Agreements Term and Termination Rights .

Pursuant to the terms of the Framework Agreement, the separate ship-management agreements and supervision agreements and the Services Agreement, liability of our affiliated managers and Costamare Services to us is limited to instances of gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of the affiliated managers or Costamare Services. Further, we are required to indemnify our affiliated managers and Costamare Services for liabilities incurred by the managers in performance of the Framework Agreement, separate ship-management agreements, supervision agreements, and the Services Agreement, in each case except in instances of gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of our affiliated managers or Costamare Services.

Competition

We operate in markets that are highly competitive and based primarily on supply and demand. Generally, we compete for charters based upon charter rate, customer relationships, operating expertise, professional reputation and containership specifications, size, age and condition. Competition for providing containership services comes from a number of experienced shipping companies, including state-sponsored entities. In addition, in recent years, there have been other entrants in the market, such as leasing companies and private equity firms who have significant capital to invest in vessel ownership, which has provided for additional competition in the sector.

Participants in the container shipping industry include liner shipping companies, who operate container shipping services and own containerships, containership owners, often known as charter owners, who own containerships and charter them out to liner companies, and shippers who require the seaborne movement of containerized goods. Historically, a significant share of the world s containership capacity has been owned by the liner companies, but since the 1990s there has been an increasing trend for the liner companies to charter-in a larger proportion of the capacity that they operate as a way of retaining some degree of flexibility with regard to capital spending levels over time given the significant costs associated with purchasing vessels.

We believe that the containership sector of the international shipping industry is characterized by the significant time required to develop the operating expertise and professional reputation necessary to obtain and retain customers. We believe that our development of a large fleet of containerships with varying TEU capacities has enhanced our relationship with our principal charterers by enabling them to serve the East-West, North-South and Intra-regional trade routes efficiently, while enabling us to operate in the different rate environments prevailing for those routes. We also believe that our focus on customer service and reliability enhances our relationships with our charterers. In the past decade, we have had successful chartering relationships with the majority of the top 20 liner companies by TEU capacity.

In the past, we have been able to address the periodic scarcity of secondhand containerships available for acquisition in the open market though the acquisition of containerships mainly from our liner company customers in privately negotiated sales. In connection with these acquisitions, we then typically charter back the vessels to these customers. We believe we have been able to pursue these privately negotiated acquisitions because of our long-standing customer relations, which we do not believe new entrants have. We also believe that our focus on customer service and reliability will enhance our relationships with charterers.

Crewing and Shore Employees

We have four shore-based officers, our chairman and chief executive officer, our chief financial officer, our general counsel and secretary, and our chief operating officer. These officers are employed and compensated for their services by Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 2,000 people served in a pool of personnel who rotate their

service onboard the containerships in our fleet. Costamare Shipping, Costamare Services and Shanghai Costamare each employed approximately 100, 10 and 30 people, respectively, all of whom were shore-based. In addition, our affiliated managers are responsible for recruiting, either directly or through a crewing agent, the senior officers and all other crew members for our containerships that they manage. Recruiting is arranged directly through our managers crewing offices in Athens, Greece and Shanghai, China, and indirectly through our related crewing agent, C-Man Maritime, in the Philippines, and independent manning agents in Romania and Bulgaria. The senior officers and other crew members for our containerships managed by V.Ships Greece are arranged in part through C-Man Maritime and in part through V.Ships Greece (which utilizes the global V.Group network) under the Co-operation Agreement. We believe the streamlining of crewing arrangements through our managers ensures that all of our vessels will be crewed with experienced crews that have the qualifications and licenses required by international regulations and shipping conventions. We have not experienced any material work stoppages due to labor disagreements during the past three years.

Permits and Authorizations

We are required by various governmental and other agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses, certificates and financial assurances with respect to each of our vessels. The kinds of permits, licenses, certificates and financial assurances required by governmental and other agencies depend upon several factors, including the commodity being transported, the waters in which the vessel operates, the nationality of the vessel s crew and the type and age of the vessel. All permits, licenses, certificates and financial assurances currently required to operate our vessels have been obtained (exclusive of cargo- specific documentation, for which charterers or shippers are responsible). Additional laws and regulations, environmental or otherwise, may be adopted which could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of doing business.

Risk of Loss and Liability Insurance

General

The operation of any vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, collision, property loss or damage, cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to a number of reasons, including political circumstances in foreign countries, hostilities and labor strikes. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of marine disaster, including oil spills and other environmental mishaps, as well as other liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade. The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA 90), which imposes under certain circumstances, unlimited liability upon owners, operators and demise charterers of vessels trading in the United States exclusive economic zone for certain oil pollution accidents in the United States, has made liability insurance more expensive for ship-owners and operators trading in the United States market.

We maintain hull and machinery marine risks insurance and hull and machinery war risks insurance for our fleet of containerships to cover normal risks in our operations and in amounts that we believe to be prudent to cover such risks. In addition, we maintain protection and indemnity insurance up to the maximum insurable limit available at any given time. While we believe that our insurance coverage will be adequate, not all risks can be insured, and there can be no guarantee that we will always be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates or at all, or that any specific claim we may make under our insurance coverage will be paid. In addition, our insurers may not be contractually obligated or may be prohibited from posting security or covering costs or losses associated with certain incidents (for example, casualties in sanctioned locations like Iran).

Hull & Machinery Marine Risks Insurance, Hull & Machinery War Risks Insurance and Loss of Hire Insurance

We maintain hull and machinery marine risks insurance and hull and machinery war risks insurance, which cover the risk of particular average, general average, 4/4ths collision liability, contact with fixed and floating objects and actual or constructive total loss in accordance with the

Nordic Marine Insurance Plan. Each of our containerships is insured up to what we believe to be at least its fair market value, after meeting certain deductibles.

We do not and will not obtain loss of hire insurance (or any other kind of business interruption insurance) covering the loss of revenue during off-hire periods for any of our vessels because we believe that this type of coverage is not economical and is of limited value to us, in part because historically our vessels have had a very limited number of off-hire days.

Protection and Indemnity Insurance Pollution Coverage

Protection and indemnity insurance is usually provided by a protection and indemnity association (a P&I association) and covers third-party liability, crew liability and other related expenses resulting from the injury or death of crew, passengers and other third parties, the loss or damage to cargo, third-party claims arising from collisions with other vessels (to the extent not recovered by the hull and machinery policies), damage to other third-party property, pollution arising from oil or other substances and salvage, towing and other related costs, including wreck removal.

Our protection and indemnity insurance is provided by a P&I association which is a member of the International Group of P&I Clubs (International Group). The 13 P&I associations that comprise the International Group insure approximately 90% of the world s commercial blue-water tonnage and have entered into a pooling agreement to reinsure each association s liabilities. Insurance provided by a P&I association is a form of mutual indemnity insurance.

Our protection and indemnity insurance coverage is currently subject to a limit of about \$5 billion per vessel per incident except that for pollution the limit is set at \$1 billion per vessel per incident, and for war risks the limit is set at \$500 million per vessel per incident.

As a member of a P&I association, which is a member of the International Group, we will be subject to calls payable to the P&I association based on the International Group s claim records as well as the claim records of all other members of the P&I association of which we are a member.

On October 5, 2011, our vessel *Rena* ran aground on the Astrolabe Reef off New Zealand and sustained significant damage. The vessel was determined to be a constructive total loss for insurance purposes. On October 1, 2012, we announced that Daina Shipping Co., our subsidiary that owned the *Rena*, entered into a settlement agreement with the New Zealand government in respect of certain matters arising from the *Rena* s grounding. On October 26, 2012, Daina Shipping Co. pleaded guilty in a New Zealand court to a strict liability criminal charge of discharging harmful substances and was fined NZ\$300,050. While we anticipate that our insurance policies will cover most costs and losses associated with the incident, such insurance may not be sufficient to cover all risks.

Inspection by Classification Societies

Every seagoing vessel must be classed by a classification society. The classification society certifies that the vessel is in class, signifying that the vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the rules of the classification society and complies with applicable rules and regulations of the vessel is country of registry and the international conventions of which that country is a member. In addition, where surveys are required by international conventions and corresponding laws and ordinances of a flag state, the classification society will undertake them on application or by official order, acting on behalf of the authorities concerned.

The classification society also undertakes on request other surveys and checks that are required by regulations and requirements of the flag state. These surveys are subject to agreements made in each individual case and/or to the

regulations of the country concerned.

For maintenance of the class, regular and extraordinary surveys of hull and machinery, including the electrical plant and any special equipment classed, are required to be performed as follows:

Annual Surveys. For seagoing ships, annual surveys are conducted for the hull and the machinery, including the electrical plant, and where applicable, on special equipment classed at

47

intervals of 12 months from the date of commencement of the class period indicated in the certificate.

Intermediate Surveys. Extended annual surveys are referred to as intermediate surveys and typically are conducted two and one-half years after commissioning and each class renewal. Intermediate surveys may be carried out on the occasion of the second or third annual survey.

Class Renewal Surveys. Class renewal surveys, also known as special surveys, are carried out on the ship shull and machinery, including the electrical plant, and on any special equipment classed at the intervals indicated by the character of classification for the hull. During the special survey, the vessel is thoroughly examined, including audio-gauging to determine the thickness of the steel structures. Should the thickness be found to be less than class requirements, the classification society would prescribe steel renewals. The classification society may grant a one-year grace period for completion of the special survey. Substantial amounts of funds may have to be spent for steel renewals to pass a special survey if the vessel experiences excessive wear and tear. In lieu of the special survey every four or five years, depending on whether a grace period is granted, a ship-owner has the option of arranging with the classification society for the vessel shull or machinery to be on a continuous survey cycle, in which every part of the vessel would be surveyed within a five-year cycle. At a ship-owner s application, the surveys required for class renewal may be split according to an agreed schedule to extend over the entire period of class. This process is referred to as continuous class renewal. All areas subject to surveys as defined by the classification society are required to be surveyed at least once per class period, unless shorter intervals between surveys are otherwise prescribed. The period between two consecutive surveys of each area must not exceed five years.

All vessels are also dry-docked at least once every five years for inspection of their underwater parts and for repairs related to such inspections. If any defects are found, the classification surveyor will issue a recommendation which must be rectified by the ship-owner within prescribed time limits.

Insurance underwriters make it a condition for insurance coverage that a vessel be certified as in class by a classification society which is a member of the International Association of Classification Societies (IACS). All of our vessels are certified as being in class by members of IACS.

The following table lists the dates by which we expect to carry out the next dry-dockings and special surveys for the vessels in our current vessel fleet:

$Dry\text{-}docking \ Schedule^{(1)} \\$

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of vessels	8	7	18	13	14

(1) Excludes the 12 newbuild vessels that we have agreed to acquire pursuant to the Framework Deed with York. **Environmental and Other Regulations**

Government regulation affects the ownership and operation of our vessels in a significant manner. We are subject to international conventions and national, port state and local laws and regulations applicable to international waters and/or territorial waters of the countries in which our vessels may operate or are registered, including those governing the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of oil spills and the management of other contamination, air emissions, and grey water and ballast water discharges. These laws and regulations include OPA 90, the U.S. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), the U.S. Clean Water Act (CWA), the U.S. Clean Air Act (CAA) and regulations adopted by the IMO, including the

International Convention for Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL) and the International Convention for Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS), as well as regulations enacted by the European Union and other international, national and local regulatory bodies. Compliance with these laws, regulations and other requirements entails

significant expense, including vessel modifications and implementation of certain operating procedures.

A variety of governmental and private entities subject our vessels to both scheduled and unscheduled inspections. These entities include the local port authorities Port State Control (such as the U.S. Coast Guard, harbor master or equivalent), classification societies, flag state administration (country of registry) and charterers. Certain of these entities require us to obtain permits, licenses, financial assurances and certificates for the operation of our vessels. Failure to maintain necessary permits or approvals could require us to incur substantial costs or result in the temporary suspension of operation of one or more of our vessels in one or more ports.

We believe that the heightened level of environmental and quality concerns among insurance underwriters, regulators and charterers is leading to greater inspection and safety requirements for all vessels and may accelerate the scrapping of older vessels throughout the container shipping industry. Increasing environmental concerns have created a demand for vessels that conform to the strictest environmental standards. We are required to maintain operating standards for all of our vessels that emphasize operational safety, quality maintenance, continuous training of our officers and crews and compliance with U.S. and international regulations. Our affiliated managers and V.Ships Greece are certified in accordance with ISO 9001-2008 and ISO 14001-2004 (relating to quality management and environmental standards, respectively). We believe that operation of our vessels are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and that our vessels have all material permits, licenses, certificates and other authorizations necessary for their operation.

Our containerships are subject to standards imposed by the IMO, the United Nations agency for maritime safety and the prevention of pollution by ships. The IMO has adopted regulations that are designed to reduce pollution in international waters, both from accidents and from routine operations, and has negotiated international conventions that impose liability for oil pollution in international waters and a signatory s territorial waters. For example, Annex VI to MARPOL, which became effective on May 19, 2005, sets limits on sulfur oxide and nitrogen oxide emissions from vessel exhausts and prohibits deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances, such as chlorofluorocarbons. Annex VI also includes a global cap on the sulfur content of fuel oil. In addition, amendments to Annex VI that entered into force in July 2010 seek to reduce air pollution from vessels by, among other things, establishing a series of progressive requirements to further limit the sulfur content of fuel oil that will be phased in through 2020 and by establishing new tiers of nitrogen oxide emission standards for new marine diesel engines, depending on their date of installation. Annex VI also provides for the establishment of special areas, known as Emission Control Areas, where more stringent controls on sulphur and other emissions apply. Currently, the Baltic Sea, the North Sea, certain coastal areas of North America and the U.S. Caribbean Sea are within designated Emission Control Areas, and additional Emission Control Areas could be established in the future. All our existing containerships are generally compliant with current Annex VI requirements. However, if new Emission Control Areas are approved by the IMO or other new or more stringent air emission requirements are adopted by the IMO or the states where we expect to operate, compliance with these requirements could entail significant additional capital expenditures, operational changes or otherwise increase the costs of our operations.

The International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage (the Bunker Convention), which became effective in November 2008, imposes strict liability on vessel owners for pollution damage in jurisdictional waters of ratifying states caused by discharges of bunker fuel. The Bunker Convention also requires registered owners of vessels over 1,000 gross tons to maintain insurance in specified amounts to cover liability for bunker fuel pollution damage. Each of our containerships has been issued a certificate attesting that insurance is in force in accordance with the Bunker Convention. In 2004, the IMO also adopted the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships Ballast Water and Sediments (the BWM Convention). The BWM Convention s implementing regulations call for a phased introduction of mandatory ballast water exchange requirements, to be replaced in time with mandatory concentration limits. The BWM Convention will not enter into force until 12 months after it has been ratified by 30 states, the combined merchant fleets of which represent not less than 35% of the gross tonnage of the world s

merchant shipping. As of March 8, 2016, the date of the most recent related IMO report, 49 countries representing 34.82% of the world s shipping tonnage had ratified the BWM Convention.

The operation of our vessels is based on the requirements set forth in the ISM Code. The ISM Code requires vessel managers to develop and maintain an extensive SMS that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy, sets forth instructions and procedures for safe vessel operation and describes procedures for dealing with emergencies. The ISM Code requires that vessel operators obtain a SMC for each vessel they operate from the government of the vessel s flag state. The certificate verifies that the vessel operates in compliance with its approved SMS. No vessel can obtain a certificate unless the flag state has issued a document of compliance with the ISM Code to the vessel s manager. Failure to comply with the ISM Code may lead to withdrawal of the permit to manage or operate the vessels, subject such party to increased liability, decrease or suspend available insurance coverage for the affected vessels, or result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. Each of the container ships in our fleet and each of our affiliated managers and third party managers are ISM Code-certified.

United States Requirements

OPA 90 established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection of the environment from oil spills and cleanup of oil spills. OPA 90 applies to discharges of any oil from a vessel, including discharges of fuel and lubricants. OPA 90 affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade in the United States, its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in U.S. waters, which include the United States territorial sea and its two hundred nautical mile exclusive economic zone. While we do not carry oil as cargo, we do carry fuel in our containerships, making them subject to the requirements of OPA 90.

Under OPA 90, vessel owners, operators and bareboat charterers are responsible parties and are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the discharge of pollutants results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or threatened discharges, of pollutants from their vessels, including bunkers. OPA 90 defines these other damages broadly to include:

natural resource damages and the costs of assessment thereof;

real and personal property damage;

net loss of taxes, royalties, rents, fees and other lost revenues;

lost profits or impairment of earning capacity due to property or natural resource damages; and net cost of public services necessitated by a spill response, such as protection from fire, safety or health hazards, and loss of subsistence use of natural resources.

OPA 90 preserves the right to recover damages under other existing laws, including maritime tort law.

U.S. Coast Guard regulations limit OPA 90 liability to the greater of \$1,000 per gross ton or \$854,400 per incident for non-tank vessels, subject to periodic adjustments of such limits. These limits of liability do not apply if an incident was directly caused by violation of applicable U.S. safety, construction or operating regulations or by a responsible party s gross negligence or willful misconduct, or if the responsible party fails or refuses to report the incident or to cooperate and assist in connection with oil removal activities.

CERCLA applies to spills or releases of hazardous substances other than petroleum or petroleum products whether on land or at sea. CERCLA imposes joint and several liability, without regard to fault, on the owner or operator of a vessel, vehicle or facility from which there has been a release, along with other specified parties. Costs recoverable under CERCLA include cleanup and removal costs, natural resource damages and governmental oversight costs. Liability under CERCLA is generally limited to the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$5.0 million for vessels carrying any hazardous substances, such as cargo or residue, or \$0.5 million for any other vessel, per release of or incident

involving hazardous substances. These limits of liability do not apply if the incident is

caused by gross negligence, willful misconduct or a violation of certain regulations, in which case liability is unlimited.

All owners and operators of vessels over 300 gross tons are required to establish and maintain with the U.S. Coast Guard evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet their potential liabilities under OPA 90 and CERCLA. Under the U.S. Coast Guard regulations, vessel owners and operators may evidence their financial responsibility by providing proof of insurance, surety bond, guarantee, letter of credit or self-insurance. An owner or operator of a fleet of vessels is required only to demonstrate evidence of financial responsibility in an amount sufficient to cover the vessel in the fleet having the greatest maximum liability under OPA 90 and CERCLA. Under the self-insurance provisions, the vessel owner or operator must have a net worth and working capital, measured in assets located in the United States against liabilities located anywhere in the world, that exceeds the applicable amount of financial responsibility.

The U.S. Coast Guard s regulations concerning certificates of financial responsibility provide, in accordance with OPA 90, that claimants may bring suit directly against an insurer or guarantor that furnishes certificates of financial responsibility. In the event that such insurer or guarantor is sued directly, it is prohibited from asserting any contractual defense that it may have had against the responsible party and is limited to asserting those defenses available to the responsible party and the defense that the incident was caused by the willful misconduct of the responsible party. Certain organizations, which had typically provided certificates of financial responsibility under pre-OPA 90 laws, including the major P&I associations, have declined to furnish evidence of insurance for vessel owners and operators if they are subject to direct actions or required to waive insurance policy defenses. This requirement may have the effect of limiting the availability of the type of vessel coverage required by the U.S. Coast Guard and could increase our costs of obtaining this insurance for our fleet, as well as the costs of our competitors that also require such coverage.

OPA 90 specifically permits individual states to impose their own liability regimes with regard to oil pollution incidents occurring within their boundaries, and some states have enacted legislation providing for unlimited liability for oil spills. In some cases, states which have enacted such legislation have not yet issued implementing regulations defining vessels owners—responsibilities under these laws. We intend to comply with all applicable state regulations in the ports where our vessels call.

We will maintain, for each of our containerships, oil pollution liability coverage insurance in the amount of \$1.0 billion per vessel per incident. In addition, we carry hull and machinery and protection and indemnity insurance to cover the risks of fire and explosion. Although our containerships will only carry bunker fuel, a spill of oil from one of our vessels could be catastrophic under certain circumstances. Losses as a result of fire or explosion could also be catastrophic under some conditions. While we believe that our present insurance coverage is adequate, not all risks can be insured, and if the damages from a catastrophic spill exceeded our insurance coverage, the payment of those damages could have an adverse effect on our business or the results of our operations.

Title VII of the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2004 (the CGMTA) amended OPA 90 to require the owner or operator of any non-tank vessel of 400 gross tons or more that carries oil of any kind as a fuel for main propulsion, including bunker fuel, to prepare and submit a response plan for each vessel. These vessel response plans include detailed information on actions to be taken by vessel personnel to prevent or mitigate any discharge or substantial threat of such a discharge of oil from the vessel due to operational activities or casualties. Where required, each of our containerships has an approved response plan.

The CWA prohibits the discharge of oil or hazardous substances in navigable waters and imposes liability in the form of penalties for any unauthorized discharges. It also imposes substantial liability for the costs of removal, remediation and damages and complements the remedies available under the more recently enacted OPA 90 and CERCLA,

discussed above. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) regulates the discharge of ballast water and other substances under the CWA. EPA regulations require vessels 79 feet in length or longer (other than commercial fishing vessels) to obtain coverage under a Vessel General Permit (VGP) authorizing discharges of

ballast waters and other wastewaters incidental to the operation of vessels when operating within the three-mile territorial waters or inland waters of the United States. The VGP requires vessel owners and operators to comply with a range of best management practices and reporting and other requirements for a number of incidental discharge types. The current VGP, which became effective in December 2013 and will expire in December 2018, contains stringent requirements, including numeric ballast water discharge limits (that generally align with the most recent U.S. Coast Guard standards issued in 2012), requirements to ensure that the ballast water treatment systems are functioning correctly, and more stringent effluent limits for oil to sea interfaces and exhaust gas scrubber wastewater. We have obtained coverage under the current version of the VGP for all of our containerships that operate in U.S. waters. We do not believe that any material costs associated with meeting the requirements under the VGP will be material.

U.S. Coast Guard regulations adopted under the 1996 U.S. National Invasive Species Act (NISA) also impose mandatory ballast water management practices for all vessels equipped with ballast water tanks entering or operating in U.S. waters. Amendments to these regulations, which became effective in June 2012, established maximum acceptable discharge limits for various invasive species and/or requirements for active treatment of ballast water. The U.S. Coast Guard ballast water standards are consistent with requirements under the BWM Convention. Several states, including Michigan and California, have adopted legislation or regulations relating to the permitting and management of ballast water discharges. California has extended its ballast water management program to the regulation of hull fouling organisms attached to vessels and adopted regulations limiting the number of organisms in ballast water discharges. Other states could adopt similar requirements that could increase the costs of operation in state waters.

The EPA has adopted standards under the CAA that pertain to emissions from vessel vapor control and recovery and other operations in regulated port areas and emissions from model year 2004 and later large marine diesel engines. Several states also regulate emissions from vapor control and recovery under authority of State Implementation Plans adopted under the CAA. On April 30, 2010, the EPA promulgated regulations that impose more stringent standards for emissions of particulate matter, sulfur oxides and nitrogen oxides from new Category 3 marine diesel engines on vessels constructed on or after January 1, 2016 and registered or flagged in the U.S. and implement the new MARPOL Annex VI requirements for U.S. and foreign flagged ships entering U.S. ports or operating in U.S. internal waters. The EPA is also considering a petition from a number of environmental groups that requests the EPA to impose more stringent emissions limits on foreign-flagged vessels operating in U.S. waters. California has adopted emission limits for auxiliary diesel engines of ocean-going vessels operating within 24 miles of the California coast and requires operators to use low sulfur content fuel. If new or more stringent regulations relating to emissions from marine diesel engines or port operations by ocean-going vessels are adopted by the EPA or states, these requirements could require significant capital expenditures or otherwise increase the costs of our operations.

European Union Requirements

The European Union has also adopted legislation that (1) requires member states to refuse access to their ports to certain sub-standard vessels, according to vessel type, flag and number of previous detentions, (2) obliges member states to inspect at least 25% of foreign vessels using their ports annually and provides for increased surveillance of vessels posing a high risk to maritime safety or the marine environment, (3) provides the European Union with greater authority and control over classification societies, including the ability to seek to suspend or revoke the authority of negligent societies and (4) requires member states to impose criminal sanctions for certain pollution events, such as the unauthorized discharge of tank washings.

Other Regional Requirements

The environmental protection regimes in certain other countries, such as Canada, resemble those of the United States. To the extent we operate in the territorial waters of such countries or enter their ports, our containerships would typically be subject to the requirements and liabilities

imposed in such countries. Other regions of the world also have the ability to adopt requirements or regulations that may impose additional obligations on our containerships and may entail significant expenditures on our part and may increase the costs of our operations. These requirements, however, would apply to the industry operating in those regions as a whole and would also affect our competitors.

Greenhouse Gas Regulations

Currently, emissions of greenhouse gases from international shipping are not subject to the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, which entered into force in 2005 and required adopting countries to implement national programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The Kyoto Protocol was extended to 2020 at the 2012 United Nations Climate Change Conference, with the hope that a new climate change treaty would be adopted by 2015 and enter into force by 2020.

International or multinational bodies or individual countries may adopt climate change initiatives. For example, the Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC) of the IMO adopted two new sets of mandatory requirements to address greenhouse gas emissions from ships at its July 2011 meeting. The Energy Efficiency Design Index requires a minimum energy efficiency level per capacity mile and is applicable to new vessels, and the Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan is applicable to currently operating vessels. The requirements entered into force in January 2013 and could cause us to incur additional compliance costs. The IMO is also considering the development of a market-based mechanism for greenhouse gas emissions from ships, but it is difficult to accurately predict the likelihood that such a standard might be adopted or its potential impact on our operations at this time.

In June 2013, the European Commission developed a strategy to integrate maritime emissions into the overall European Union Strategy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. If the strategy is adopted by the European Parliament and Council, large vessels entering European Union ports would be required to monitor, report and verify their carbon dioxide emissions beginning in January 2018. In December 2013, the European Union environmental ministers discussed draft rules to implement monitoring and reporting of carbon dioxide emissions from ships. In the United States, the EPA has issued a finding that greenhouse gases endanger the public health and safety and has adopted regulations under the CAA to limit greenhouse gas emissions from certain mobile sources and proposed regulations to limit greenhouse gas emissions from large stationary sources. Although the mobile source emissions do not apply to greenhouse gas emissions from ships, the EPA may, in the future, decide to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from ocean-going vessels. Any passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by the IMO, the European Union, the United States or other countries where we operate, or any treaty adopted at the international level to succeed the Kyoto Protocol, that restrict emissions of greenhouse gases could require us to make significant financial expenditures that we cannot predict with certainty at this time. Even in the absence of climate control legislation and regulations, our business and operations may be materially affected to the extent that climate change results in sea level changes or more intense weather events.

The State of California has mandated that ships, instead of relying on their shipboard power, must use shore power while breathed through a process known as Cold Ironing or Alternative Maritime Power. The regulation was phased in starting in 2014. Our vessels, which are affected by the State of California regulations, have or will have the necessary installation. It is expected that the cost of modifications needed for older vessels will be borne in part by the charterers of each vessel, but it is difficult to predict the exact impact on our operations.

Vessel Security Regulations

A number of initiatives have been introduced in recent years intended to enhance vessel security. On November 25, 2002, the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002 (the MTSA) was signed into law. To implement certain portions of the MTSA, the U.S. Coast Guard issued regulations in July 2003 requiring the implementation of certain

operating in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. Similarly, in December 2002, amendments to SOLAS created a new chapter of the convention dealing specifically with maritime security. This new chapter came into effect in July 2004 and imposes various detailed security obligations on vessels and port authorities, most of which are contained in the newly created ISPS Code. Among the various requirements are:

on-board installation of automatic information systems to enhance vessel-to-vessel and vessel-to-shore communications;

on-board installation of ship security alert systems;

the development of ship security plans; and

compliance with flag state security certification requirements.

The U.S. Coast Guard regulations, intended to align with international maritime security standards, exempt non-U.S. vessels from MTSA vessel security measures; *provided* such vessels have on board a valid International Ship Security Certificate that attests to the vessel s compliance with SOLAS security requirements and the ISPS Code. We have implemented the various security measures required by the IMO, SOLAS and the ISPS Code and have approved ISPS certificates and plans certified by the applicable flag state on board all our containerships.

C. Organizational Structure

Costamare Inc. is a holding company incorporated in the Republic of The Marshall Islands which, as of April 20, 2016, has 92 subsidiaries, 87 of which are incorporated in Liberia and 5 which are incorporated in the Republic of The Marshall Islands. Of our Liberian subsidiaries, 54 either own vessels in our fleet or are parties to contracts to obtain newbuild vessels and the remaining subsidiaries are dormant. Our subsidiaries are wholly-owned by us. A list of our subsidiaries as of April 20, 2016 is set forth in Exhibit 8.1 to this annual report.

D. Property, Plant and Equipment

We have no freehold or material leasehold interest in any real property. We occupy office space at 7 Rue du Gabian, MC 98000 Monaco. Other than our vessels, we do not have any material property. Our vessels are subject to priority mortgages, which secure our obligations under our various credit facilities. For further details regarding our credit facilities, refer to Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects B. Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facilities .

ITEM 4.A. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None	
------	--

ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes to those statements included elsewhere in this annual report. This discussion includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. As a result of many factors, such as those set forth under Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors and elsewhere in this annual report, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements. Please see the section Forward-Looking Statements at the beginning of this annual report.

Overview

We are an international owner of containerships, chartering our vessels to many of the world's largest liner companies. As of April 20, 2016, we had a fleet of 72 containerships aggregating in excess of 467,000 TEU, including 12 newbuilds on order, making us one of the largest public containership companies in the world based on total TEU capacity. At that date, our fleet consisted of (i) 60 vessels in the water, aggregating approximately 333,000 TEU and (ii) 12 newbuild vessels aggregating approximately 134,000 TEU that are scheduled to be delivered to us through the second quarter of 2018, based on the current shipyard schedule. As of December 31, 2015, 18 of our containerships, including 12 newbuilds, had been acquired pursuant to the Framework Deed with York by vessel-owning joint venture entities in which we hold a minority equity interest. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels .

Our strategy is to deploy our containerships on long-term, fixed-rate time charters to take advantage of the stable cash flows and high utilization rates typically associated with long-term time charters. Time-chartered containerships are generally employed on long-term charters to liner companies that charter-in vessels on a long-term basis as part of their business strategies.

As of April 20, 2016, the average (weighted by TEU capacity) remaining time-charter duration for our fleet of 72 containerships was approximately 3.7 years, based on the remaining fixed terms and assuming the exercise of any owner s options and the non-exercise of any charterer s options under our containerships charters. As of December 31, 2015, our fixed-term charters represented an aggregate of \$1.7 billion of contracted revenue, assuming the earliest redelivery dates possible and 365 revenue days per annum per containership (which amount includes our ownership percentage of contracted revenue for the existing Joint Venture vessels). See the table entitled Contracted Revenue and Days From Time Charters as of December 31, 2015 in Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects A. Operating Results Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations Voyage Revenue . As of April 20, 2016, our fixed-term charters represented an aggregate of \$1.8 billion of contracted revenue, assuming the earliest redelivery dates possible and 365 revenue days per annum per containership (which amount includes our ownership percentage of contracted revenue for the existing Joint Venture vessels (currently \$395.4 million)) and the exercise of the owner s unilateral extension options. Ten of these charters include an option exercisable by either party to extend their term: five vessels for two one-year periods at the same charter rate, which represents an additional \$152.2 million of potential contracted revenue, and five Joint Venture vessels for a three-year period and a subsequent two-year period at the same charter rate, which represents an additional \$170.5 million of potential contracted revenue that is attributable to our share of the relevant vessel-owning entities. In addition, we have charters for two wholly-owned vessels, which include an option to extend the charters for subsequent one-year periods at market rate plus \$1,100 per vessel per day. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels Our Fleet .

The table below provides additional information about the charter coverage for our fleet of containerships as of December 31, 2015. Except as indicated in the footnotes, it does not reflect events occurring after that date, including any charter contract we entered into after that date. It excludes all days attributable to the operation of the vessels purchased pursuant to the Framework Deed which includes five vessels in the water and 12 newbuilds on order and

one secondhand vessel to be delivered. The table assumes the earliest redelivery dates possible under our containerships

charters. See Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels .

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
No. of Vessels whose Charters Expire ⁽¹⁾	22(2)	7	16	1	2	1		3
TEU of Expiring Charters	78,110	40,649	126,496	6,644	13,288	6,724		27,057
Contracted Days	15,030	10,669	5,568	3,238	2,358	2,084	1,825	1,150
Available Days	4,734	9,041	13,412	15,742	16,674	15,071	14,965	15,640
Contracted/Total Days ⁽³⁾	76.0%	54.1%	29.3%	17.1%	12.4%	12.1%	10.9%	6.8%

- (1) This excludes all vessels purchased pursuant to the Framework Deed.
- (2) Includes three vessels which, as of December 31, 2015, had no employment. One such vessel was undergoing repairs at that time.
- (3) Total days are calculated on the assumption that the vessels will continue trading until the age of 30 years old, unless the vessel will exceed 30 years of age at the expiry of its current time charter, in which case we assume that the vessel continues trading until that expiry date.

Our containership fleet is currently under time charters with nine different charterers. For the three years ended December 31, 2015, our largest customers by revenue were A.P. Moller-Maersk, MSC, Evergreen, Hapag Lloyd and COSCO; together these five customers represented 93%, 94% and 95% of our revenue in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively.

We dry-dock our vessels when the next survey (dry-dock survey or special survey) is scheduled to become due, ranging from 30 to 60 months. We have dry-docked 29 vessels over the past 3 years, and we plan to dry-dock eight vessels in 2016 and seven vessels in 2017. Information about our fleet dry-docking schedule through 2016 is set forth in a table in Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Risk of Loss and Liability Insurance Inspection by Classification Societies .

Our Managers and Service Providers

Costamare Shipping provides us with general administrative services and certain commercial services as well as technical, commercial, insurance, accounting, provisioning, sale and purchase, chartering, crewing, bunkering and administrative services in respect of our containerships pursuant to the Framework Agreement. Costamare Services provides our vessel-owning subsidiaries with crewing, commercial and administrative services pursuant to the Services Agreement. In the event that Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services decide to delegate certain or all of the services they have agreed to perform under the Framework Agreement or the Services Agreement, respectively, either through (i) subcontracting to a sub-manager or sub-provider or (ii) by directing such sub-manager or sub-provider to enter into a direct agreement with the relevant vessel-owning subsidiary, then, in the case of subcontracting under (i), Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services, as applicable, will be responsible for paying the

fee charged by the relevant sub-manager or sub-provider for providing such services and, in the case of a direct agreement under (ii), the fee received by Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services, as applicable, will be reduced by the fee payable to the sub-manager or sub-provider under the relevant direct agreement. As a result, these arrangements will not result in any increase in the aggregate management fees and services fees that we pay. Moreover, in the case of the Co-operation Agreement, the management fees we pay are reduced by any net profit received by Costamare Shipping from the Cell s operation. In addition to management fees, we pay for any capital expenditures, financial costs, operating expenses and any general and administrative expenses, including payments to third parties, including specialist providers, in accordance with the Framework Agreement and the relevant separate ship-management agreements or supervision agreements. Our chairman and chief executive officer and our chief financial officer supervise, in conjunction with our board of directors, the services provided by our managers and Costamare Services. Costamare Shipping received in 2015 and continues to receive in 2016 a fee of \$956 per day or, in the case of a containership subject to a bareboat charter, \$478 per day, for each containership, pro rated for the calendar days we own each containership. In 2014, such amounts were \$919 and \$460, respectively. We also paid to Costamare Shipping in 2015 and

continue to pay in 2016 a flat fee of \$787,405 per newbuild vessel for the supervision of the construction of any newbuild vessel that we may contract. Costamare Shipping also received in the first three quarters of 2015 a monthly fee of 0.75% on all gross freight, demurrage, charter hire and ballast bonus or other income earned with respect to each containership in our fleet until the third quarter of 2015. Starting in the fourth quarter of 2015, Costamare Shipping received, and continues to receive, a fee of 0.15% on all gross freight, demurrage, charter hire and ballast bonus or other income earned with respect to each containership in our fleet. Costamare Shipping received for the first three quarters of 2015, a quarterly fee of (i) \$625,000 (\$2.5 million annually) and (ii) 149,600 shares (which is equal to 0.2% of the issued and outstanding Costamare common stock as of January 1, 2015), which fee included payment for the services of our executive officers (prior to 2015, we paid Costamare Shipping \$1.0 million annually for such services). Starting in the fourth quarter of 2015 Costamare Services received and continues to receive from our container-shipowning subsidiaries a monthly fee of 0.60% on all gross freight, demurrage, charter hire and ballast bonus or other income earned with respect to each containership in our fleet and a quarterly fee of (i) \$625,000 and (ii) an amount equal to the value of 149,600 shares (0.2% of the issued and outstanding Costamare common stock as of January 1, 2015), based on the average closing price of our common stock on the NYSE for the ten days ending on the thirtieth day of the last month of each quarter; provided that Costamare Services may elect to receive 149,600 shares instead of the fee under (ii). We have reserved a number of shares of common stock to cover the fees to be paid to Costamare Services under (ii) through December 31, 2020. During the year ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2015, Costamare Shipping charged in aggregate to the companies established pursuant to the Framework Deed \$1.57 million and \$1.86 million, respectively, for services provided in accordance with the relevant management agreements. For the year ended December 31, 2015 we paid aggregate fees of approximately \$5.07 million and issued in aggregate 448,800 shares to Costamare Shipping under the Group Management Agreement and the Framework Agreement and paid aggregate fees of approximately \$1.11 million and issued in aggregate 149,600 shares to Costamare Services under the Services Agreement.

The current terms of the Framework Agreement and the Services Agreement expire on December 31, 2016 and automatically renew for 9 consecutive one-year periods until December 31, 2025, at which point the Framework Agreement and the Services Agreement will expire. The daily fee for each containership, the supervision fee in respect of each containership under construction and the quarterly fee payable to Costamare Shipping under the Framework Agreement and the quarterly fee payable to Costamare Services under the Services Agreement (other than the portion of the fee in clause (ii) above which is calculated on the basis of our share price) will be annually adjusted to reflect any strengthening of the Euro against the U.S. dollar and/or material unforeseen cost increases. We are able to terminate the Framework Agreement or the Services Agreement, subject to a termination fee, by providing written notice to Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services, as applicable, at least 12 months before the end of the subsequent one-year term. The termination fee is equal to (a) the number of full years remaining prior to December 31, 2025, times (b) the aggregate fees due and payable to Costamare Shipping or Costamare Services, as applicable, during the 12-month period ending on the date of termination (without taking into account any reduction in fees under the Framework Agreement to reflect that certain obligations have been delegated to a sub-manager or a sub-provider, as applicable); provided that the termination fee will always be at least two times the aggregate fees over the 12-month period described above. Information about other termination events under the Management Agreements is set forth in Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions B. Related Party Transactions Management Agreements Term and Termination Rights .

Pursuant to the terms of the Framework Agreement, the separate ship-management agreements and supervision agreements and the Services Agreement, liability of our affiliated managers and Costamare Services to us is limited to instances of gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of the affiliated managers or Costamare Services. Further, we are required to indemnify our affiliated managers and Costamare Services for liabilities incurred by the managers in performance of the Framework Agreement, separate ship-management agreements, supervision agreements, and

the Services Agreement, in each case except in instances of gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of our affiliated managers or Costamare Services.

Costamare Shipping is providing management services to the Joint Venture vessels under separate management agreements with each vessel-owning entity formed under the Framework Deed pursuant to which Costamare Shipping provides technical, crew, crew insurance, commercial, general and administrative and insurance services directly or through Shanghai Costamare or V.Ships Greece as sub-managers, *provided* that Shanghai Costamare or V.Ships Greece may be directed to enter into a direct management agreement with each vessel-owning entity and, in respect of the newbuild vessels under construction, into a supervision agreement with the respective vessel-owning entity. During the year ended December 31, 2015, Costamare Shipping charged in aggregate to the companies established pursuant to the Framework Deed the amount of \$1.86 million for services provided in accordance with the respective management agreements.

A. Operating Results

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

Our financial results are largely driven by the following factors:

Number of Vessels in Our Fleet. The number of vessels in our fleet is a key factor in determining the level of our revenues. Aggregate expenses also increase as the size of our fleet increases. Vessel acquisitions and dispositions give rise to gains and losses and other onetime items. During 2007 and 2008, we increased the number of vessels in our fleet so that on October 31, 2008 our fleet consisted of 53 containerships. Thereafter, from 2009 through the first half of 2010, in response to the global economic recession, we reduced our fleet through dispositions to 41 vessels. Beginning in the second half of 2010, when the market started to recover and vessel prices were at an attractive point, we have substantially grown our fleet to a total of 72 vessels as of April 20, 2016, including 12 newbuild vessels on order. Eighteen of our containerships, including 12 newbuilds, have been acquired pursuant to the Framework Deed with York by vessel-owning joint venture entities in which we hold a minority equity interest. Charter Rates. The charter rates we obtain for our vessels also drive our revenues. Charter rates are based primarily on demand and supply of containership capacity at the time we enter into the charters for our vessels. Demand and supply can fluctuate significantly over time as a result of changing economic conditions affecting trade flow between ports served by liner companies and the industries which use liner shipping services. Vessels operated under long-term charters are less susceptible to cyclical containership charter rates than vessels operated on shorter-term charters, such as spot charters. We are exposed to varying charter rate environments when our chartering arrangements expire and we seek to deploy our containerships under new charters. As illustrated in the table above under Overview, the staggered maturities of our containership charters aim to reduce our exposure to any one particular rate environment and point in the shipping cycle. See Voyage Revenue . Utilization of Our Fleet. Due to the long-term time charters under which they generally operate, our containerships have consistently been deployed at high utilization. Nevertheless, the amount of time our vessels spend dry-docked undergoing repairs, maintenance or upgrade work affects our results of operations. Historically, our fleet has had a limited number of unscheduled off-hire days. In 2013, 2014 and 2015 our fleet utilization based on unscheduled off-hire days as a percentage of total operating days for each year was 99.9%, 99.8% and 99.7%, respectively. However, an increase in annual off- hire days could reduce our utilization. The efficiency with which suitable employment is secured, the ability to minimize off-hire days and the amount of time spent positioning vessels also affects our results of operations. If the utilization pattern of our containership fleet changes, our financial results would be affected.

Expenses and Other Costs. Our ability to control our fixed and variable expenses is critical to our ability to maintain acceptable profit margins. These expenses include commission

expenses, crew wages and related costs, the cost of insurance, expenses for repairs and maintenance, the cost of spares and consumable stores, lubricating oil costs, tonnage taxes and other miscellaneous expenses. In addition, factors beyond our control, such as developments relating to market premiums for insurance and the value of the U.S. dollar compared to currencies in which certain of our expenses, primarily crew wages, are paid, can cause our vessel operating expenses to increase. We proactively manage our foreign currency exposure by entering into Euro/dollar forward contracts covering our Euro-denominated operating expenses.

Voyage Revenue

Our operating revenues are driven primarily by the number of vessels in our fleet, the amount of daily charter hire that our vessels earn under time charters and the number of operating days during which our vessels generate revenues. These factors are, in turn, affected by our decisions relating to vessel acquisitions and dispositions, the amount of time that we spend positioning our vessels, the amount of time that our vessels spend dry-docked undergoing repairs, maintenance and upgrade work, the age, condition and specifications of our vessels and the levels of supply and demand in the containership charter market.

Charter revenues are generated from fixed-rate time charters and are recorded on a straight-line basis over the term of each time charter (excluding the effect of any options to extend the term). Revenues do not include any revenues for the existing Joint Venture vessels. Revenues derived from time charters with escalating rates are accounted for as operating leases and thus are recognized on a straight-line basis as the average revenue over the rental periods of such agreements, as service is performed, by dividing (i) the aggregate contracted revenues until the earliest expiration date of the time charter by (ii) the total contracted days until the earliest expiration date of the time charter. Some of our charters provide that the charter rate will be adjusted to a market rate for the final months of their respective terms. For purposes of determining the straight-line revenue amount, we exclude these periods and treat the charter as expiring at the end of the last fixed rate period. Our revenues will be affected by the acquisition of any additional vessels in the future subject to time charters, as well as by the disposition of any existing vessel in our fleet. Our revenues will also be affected if any of our charterers cancel a time charter or if we agree to renegotiate charter terms during the term of a charter resulting in aggregate revenue reduction. Our time charter arrangements have been contracted in varying rate environments and expire at different times. Generally, we do not employ our vessels under voyage charters under which a ship-owner, in return for a fixed sum, agrees to transport cargo from one or more loading ports to one or more destinations and assumes all vessel operating costs and voyage expenses.

According to Clarkson Research, the first half of 2007 saw the containership charter market recover to rate levels similar to those seen in late 2005 and early 2006. However, the onset of the global economic downturn and the resulting slowdown in container trade growth created a relative oversupply of capacity, leading to a rapid decrease in containership earnings in the latter half of 2008, which continued in the first half of 2009, with earnings remaining depressed during the rest of the year. In 2010, containership charter rates registered and upward trend over the years as a whole and made further gains in early 2011 before decreasing sharply in the second half of 2011. The time charter rates and charter free vessel values remained at low levels through 2014. While in the first half of 2015 charter rates showed an improvement, the continuous decrease in containership demand, combined with the deliveries of many larger newbuilds resulted in the deterioration of rates and values across all types of vessels to historically low figures. While charter rates and the level of demand for containerships are historically volatile, and there can be no assurance that either will improve, we believe that any continued improvement in the global economy and demand for containerships will lead to an improvement in charter rates over time.

The table below provides additional information about our expected revenues based on contracted charter rates as of December 31, 2015. Although these expected revenues are based on contracted charter rates, any contract is subject to various risks, including performance by the counterparties or an early termination of the contract pursuant to its terms. If the charterers are

unable to make charter payments to us, if we agree to renegotiate charter terms at the request of a charterer or if contracts are prematurely terminated for any reason, our results of operations and financial condition may be materially adversely affected. Historically, we have had no defaults or early terminations by charterers, although in certain cases we have agreed to changes in charter terms.

Contracted Revenue and Days From Time Charters as of December 31, 2015*

On and After January 1,

						OH WHA I						
		2016	(Ex	2017 appressed in	thousa	2018 unds of U.S.	dolla	2019 rs, except da		2020 and thereafter and percentage	ges)	Total
Contracted	Φ	446 225		_				-	-	-		1 450 006
Revenues ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ Fleet	\$	446,325	\$	371,984	\$	198,759	\$	122,306	\$	310,852	\$	1,450,226
Contracted												
Days ⁽²⁾		15,030		10,669		5,568		3,238		7,525		42,030
Percentage of												
fleet												
contracted												
days/Total		7600		5410		20.20		17.10		0.70		25.69
days ⁽²⁾		76.0%		54.1%		29.3%		17.1%		8.7%		25.6%

(1) Annual revenue calculations are based on: (a) an assumed 365 revenue days per vessel per annum, (b) the earliest redelivery dates possible under our containerships charters and (c) non-exercise of the owner s options to extend the terms of those charters. The contracted revenues for the three vessels subject to the CLC Sale and

Leaseback are included in the revenue calculations.

(2) Some of our charters provide that the charter rate will be adjusted to a market rate for the final months of their respective terms. For purposes of determining contracted revenues and the number of days, we exclude these periods and treat the charter as expiring at the end of the last fixed rate period. Total days are calculated on the assumption that the vessels will continue trading until the age of 30 years old, unless the vessel will exceed 30 years of age at the expiry of its current time charter, in which case we assume that the vessel continues trading until that expiry date.

revenues and contracted days of any of the vessels purchased pursuant to the Framework Deed.

Voyage Expenses

Voyage expenses include port and canal charges, bunker (fuel) expenses, address commissions and brokerage commissions. Under our time charter arrangements, charterers bear the voyage expenses other than address and brokerage commissions. As such, voyage expenses represent a relatively small portion of our vessels overall expenses. During 2014 and 2015, brokerage and address commissions represented 33% and 48% of voyage expenses respectively.

These commissions do not include the fees we pay to our manager, which are described below under Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions B. Related Party Transactions Management and Services Agreements .

Vessels Operating Expenses

Vessels operating expenses include crew wages and related costs, the cost of insurance, expenses for repairs and maintenance, the cost of spares and consumable stores, lubricant costs, statutory and classification expenses and other miscellaneous expenses. Aggregate expenses increase as the size of our fleet increases. We expect that insurance costs, dry-docking and maintenance costs will increase as our vessels age. Factors beyond our control, some of which may affect the shipping industry in general for instance, developments relating to market premiums for insurance and changes in the market price of lubricants due to increases in oil prices may also cause vessel operating expenses to increase. In addition, a substantial portion of our vessel operating expenses, primarily crew wages, are in currencies other than the U.S. dollar (mainly in Euro), and any gain or loss we incur as a result of the U.S. dollar fluctuating in value against these currencies is included in vessel operating expenses. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 36.6% of our outstanding accounts payable were denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar (mainly in Euro). We fund our managers with the amounts they will need to pay our fleet s vessel operating expenses. Under our time charter arrangements, we generally pay for vessel operating expenses.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses mainly include legal, accounting and advisory fees. We also incur additional general and administrative expenses as a public company. The primary components of general and administrative expenses consist of the expenses associated with being a public company, which include the preparation of disclosure documents, legal and accounting costs, investor relation costs, incremental director and officer liability insurance costs, director and executive compensation and costs related to compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley and Dodd-Frank Act.

Management Fees

In 2013, we paid to our managers a daily management fee of \$884 per day per vessel. Such fee increased to \$919 per day per vessel beginning January 1, 2014, and further increased to \$956 per day per vessel beginning January 1, 2015. The total management fees paid by us to our managers during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2014 and 2015 amounted to \$16.6 million, \$18.5 million and \$18.9 million, respectively. See Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions B. Related Party Transactions Management and Services Agreements for more information regarding management fees.

Amortization of Dry-docking and Special Survey Costs

All vessels are dry-docked at least once every five years for inspection of their underwater parts and for repairs related to such inspections. We follow the deferral method of accounting for special survey and dry-docking costs whereby actual costs incurred (mainly shipyard costs, paints and class renewal expenses) are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the period through the date the next survey is scheduled to become due. If a survey is performed prior to the scheduled date, the remaining unamortized balances are immediately written off. Unamortized balances of vessels that are sold are written off and included in the calculation of the resulting gain or loss in the period of the vessel s sale.

Depreciation

We depreciate our containerships on a straight-line basis over their estimated remaining useful economic lives. For years prior to January 1, 2007, we estimated this to be 25 years. As of January 1, 2007, we determined the estimated useful lives of our containerships to be 30 years from their initial delivery from the shipyard. This change was made to reflect our experience, market conditions and the current practice in the containership industry. Depreciation is based on cost, less the estimated scrap value of the vessels.

Gain / (Loss) on Sale/Disposal of Vessels

The gain or loss on the sale of a vessel is presented in a separate line item in our consolidated statements of income. In 2013, 2014 and 2015, we sold three, three and one vessels, respectively.

Foreign Exchange Gains / (Losses)

Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar because our vessels operate in international shipping markets, and therefore transact business mainly in U.S. dollars. Our books of accounts are maintained in U.S. dollars. Transactions involving other currencies are converted into U.S. dollars using the exchange rates in effect at the time of the transactions. The gain or loss derives from the different foreign currency exchange rates between the time that a cost is recorded in our books and the time that the cost is paid. At the balance sheet dates, monetary assets and liabilities, which are denominated in other currencies, are translated into U.S. dollars at the year-end exchange rates. Resulting gains or losses are reflected as foreign exchange gains / (losses) in our consolidated statement of income.

Other, Net

Other expenses represent primarily non-recurring items that are not classified under the other categories of our consolidated income statement. Such expenses may, for instance, result from various potential claims against our Company, or from payments we are effecting on behalf of charterers that cannot meet their obligations.

Interest Income, Interest and Finance Costs

We incur interest expense on outstanding indebtedness under our existing credit facilities which we include in interest expense. Finance costs also include financing and legal costs in connection with establishing and amending those facilities, which are deferred and amortized to interest and finance costs during the life of the related debt using the effective interest method. Unamortized fees relating to loans repaid or refinanced, meeting the criteria of debt extinguishment, are expensed in the period the repayment or refinancing is made. Further, we earn interest on cash deposits in interest-bearing accounts and on interest-bearing securities, which we include in interest income. We will incur additional interest expense in the future on our outstanding borrowings and under future borrowings. For a description of our existing credit facilities and our new committed term loan please read B. Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Facilities.

Equity in Net Earnings of Investments

Based on the Framework Deed we currently hold a minority interest in the equity of certain ship-owning companies. We account for these entities as equity investments. Equity in net earnings of investments represents our share of the earnings or losses of these entities for the reported period. For a description of the Framework Deed please see Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels Framework Deed .

Gain / (Loss) on Derivative Instruments

We enter into interest rate swap contracts to manage our exposure to fluctuations of interest rate risks associated with specific borrowings. All derivatives are recognized in the consolidated financial statements at their fair value. On the inception date of the derivative contract, we designate the derivative as a hedge of a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flow to be paid (cash flow hedge). Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is qualified, designated and highly effective as a cash flow hedge are recorded in Other comprehensive income until earnings are affected by the forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flow and are then reported in earnings. Changes in the fair value of undesignated derivative instruments and the ineffective portion of designated derivative instruments are reported in earnings in the period in which those fair value changes have occurred. For a description of our existing interest rate swaps, please read. Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk. A. Quantitative Information About Market Risk. Interest Rate Risk.

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

During the year ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had an average of 54.9 and 54.5 vessels, respectively in our fleet. In the year ended December 31, 2015, pursuant to the Framework Agreement with York, a jointly-owned vessel entity took delivery of the secondhand vessel *Arkadia* with a TEU capacity of 1,550 and we sold the vessel *MSC Challenger* with a TEU capacity of 2,633. In the year ended December 31, 2014, we took delivery of the newbuild vessels *MSC Azov*, *MSC Ajaccio* and *MSC Amalfi* with an aggregate TEU capacity of 28,209 and the secondhand vessels *Neapolis*, *Areopolis* and *Lakonia* with an aggregate TEU capacity of 6,705 and we sold the vessels *Konstantina*, *MSC Kyoto* and *Akritas* with an aggregate TEU capacity of 10,379. Furthermore, pursuant to the

secondhand vessel *Elafonisos* with a TEU capacity of 2,526. In the year ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, our fleet ownership days totaled 20,038 and 19,885 days, respectively. Ownership days are the primary driver of voyage revenue and vessels operating expenses and represent the aggregate number of days in a period during which each vessel in our fleet is owned.

	Ye	ar ended l	Percentage				
	2014 2015 Change						Change
		(Express	sed i	n millions	of U	.S. dollar	rs, except
				percer	itages	s)	
Voyage revenue	\$	484.0	\$	490.4	\$	6.4	1.3%
Voyage expenses		(3.6)		(2.8)		(0.8)	(22.2%)
Voyage expenses related parties		(3.6)		(3.7)		0.1	2.8%
Vessels operating expenses		(120.8)		(117.2)		(3.6)	(3.0%)
General and administrative expenses		(7.7)		(8.8)		1.1	14.3%
Management fees related parties		(18.5)		(18.9)		0.4	2.2%
General and administrative expenses non-cash component				(8.6)		8.6	100.0%
Amortization of dry-docking and special survey costs		(7.8)		(7.4)		(0.4)	(5.1%)
Depreciation		(105.8)		(101.6)		(4.2)	(4.0%)
Amortization of prepaid lease rentals		(4.0)		(5.0)		1.0	25.0%
Gain on sale / disposal of vessels		2.5		1.7		(0.8)	(32.0%)
Foreign exchange losses				(0.1)		0.1	100.0%
Interest income		0.8		1.3		0.5	62.5%
Interest and finance costs		(95.6)		(92.3)		(3.3)	(3.5%)
Swaps breakage cost		(10.2)				(10.2)	(100.0%)
Equity loss on investments		(3.4)		(0.5)		(2.9)	(85.3%)
Other		3.3		0.4		(2.9)	(87.9%)
Gain on derivative instruments		5.5		16.9		11.4	207.3%
Net Income	\$	115.1	\$	143.8			

	December 31,								
Fleet operational data	2014	2015	Change	Change					
Average number of vessels	54.5	54.9	0.4	0.7%					
Ownership days	19,885	20,038	153	0.8%					
Number of vessels underwent dry-docking	11	10	(1)						

The Company reports its financial results in accordance with U.S. GAAP. However, management believes that certain non-GAAP financial measures used in managing the business may provide users of these financial measures additional meaningful comparisons between current results and results in prior operating periods. Management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures can provide additional meaningful reflection of underlying trends of the business because they provide a comparison of historical information that excludes certain items that impact the overall comparability. Management also uses these non-GAAP financial measures in making financial, operating and planning decisions and in evaluating the Company s performance. The table below sets out our Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis and the corresponding reconciliation to Voyage revenue for the twelve- month periods ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014. Non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, the Company s reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Edgar Filing: Costamare Inc. - Form 20-F

	Year Decem	Percentage			
	2014	Change			
	ollars, except				
		perce	enta	ges)	
Voyage revenue	\$ 484.0	\$ 490.4	\$	6.4	1.3%
Accrued charter revenue ⁽¹⁾	7.0	2.6		(4.4)	(62.9%)
Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis ⁽²⁾	\$ 491 0	\$ 493.0	\$	2.0	0.4%

⁽¹⁾ Accrued charter revenue represents the difference between cash received during the period and revenue recognized on a straight-line basis. In the early years of a charter with escalating charter rates, voyage revenue will exceed cash received during the period.

(2) Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis represents Voyage revenue after adjusting for non-cash. Accrued charter revenue recorded under charters with escalating charter rates. Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis is not a recognized measurement under U.S. GAAP. We believe that the presentation of Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis is useful to investors because it presents the charter revenue for the relevant period based on the then-current daily charter rates. The increases or decreases in daily charter rates under our charter party agreements are described in the notes to the table in Item 4. Information On The Company Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels .

Voyage Revenue

Voyage revenue increased by 1.3%, or \$6.4 million, to \$490.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$484.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. This increase was mainly attributable to (i) revenue earned by the three newbuild vessels and three secondhand vessels delivered to us during the year ended December 31, 2014 and (ii) increased charter rates in certain of our vessels during the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the year ended December 31, 2014; partly offset by revenue not earned by vessels which were sold for demolition during the year ended December 31, 2014 and increased off-hire days, mainly due to scheduled dry-dockings during the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis (which eliminates non-cash Accrued charter revenue), increased by 0.4%, or \$2.0 million, to \$493.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$491.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. This increase was mainly attributable to (i) revenue earned by the three newbuild vessels and three secondhand vessels delivered to us during the year ended December 31, 2014 and (ii) increased charter rates in certain of our vessels during the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the year ended December 31, 2014; partly offset by revenue not earned by vessels which were sold for demolition during the year ended December 31, 2014 and increased off-hire days, mainly due to scheduled dry-dockings during the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

Voyage Expenses

Voyage expenses decreased by 22.2%, or \$0.8 million, to \$2.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$3.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. Voyage expenses mainly include (i) off-hire expenses of our vessels, mainly related to fuel consumption and (ii) third party commissions.

Voyage Expenses Related Parties

Voyage expenses related parties were \$3.7 million and \$3.6 million during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively and represent fees of 0.75% on voyage revenues charged to us by Costamare Shipping and Costamare Services in accordance with (i) the Group Management Agreement until November 2, 2015 and (ii) the Framework Agreement and the Services Agreement from November 2, 2015.

Vessels Operating Expenses

Vessels operating expenses, which also includes the realized gain / (loss) under derivative contracts entered into in relation to foreign currency exposure, decreased by 3.0% or \$3.6 million to \$117.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$120.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2014.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by 14.3% or \$1.1 million, to \$8.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$7.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase is mainly attributable

to costs incurred by our subsidiary, Costamare Partners LP,

64

which were transferred to our consolidated income statement during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Management Fees Related Parties

Management fees paid to our managers increased by 2.2%, or \$0.4 million, to \$18.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$18.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, pursuant to the Group Management Agreement and the Framework Agreement and the Services Agreement, as applicable. The increase was primarily attributable to (i) the upward adjustment by 4% of the management fee for each vessel (effective January 1, 2015), as provided under the Group Management Agreement in effect at such time and (ii) the increased average number of vessels during the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

General and Administrative Expenses Non-Cash Component

General and administrative expenses non-cash component for the year ended December 31, 2015, amounted to \$8.6 million, representing the value of the shares issued to our manager on March 31, 2015, on June 30, 2015 and September 30, 2015, pursuant to the Group Management Agreement, and the value of the shares issued to Costamare Services issued on December 31, 2015, pursuant to the Services Agreement. No amounts were incurred in 2014.

Amortization of Dry-docking and Special Survey Costs

Amortization of deferred dry-docking and special survey costs for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$7.4 million and \$7.8 million, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2014, eleven vessels, underwent and completed their special survey. During the year ended December 31, 2015, ten vessels, underwent and completed their special survey.

Depreciation

Depreciation expense decreased by 4.0%, or \$4.2 million, to \$101.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$105.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease was mainly attributable to the depreciation expense not charged for the vessels sold for demolition during the year ended December 31, 2014 and to a change in the estimated scrap value of vessels, which had a favorable effect of \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015; partly offset by the depreciation expense charged for the three newbuild and three secondhand vessels delivered to us during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Amortization of Prepaid lease rentals

Amortization of the prepaid lease rentals was \$5.0 million and \$4.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Gain on Sale / Disposal of Vessels

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded a gain of \$1.7 million from the sale of one vessel. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a net gain of \$2.5 million from the sale of three vessels.

Interest Income

During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, interest income was \$1.3 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

Interest and Finance Costs

Interest and finance costs decreased by 3.5%, or \$3.3 million, to \$92.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$95.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease was partly attributable to the decreased loan interest expense charged to the consolidated statement of income resulting from the decrease in the outstanding loan amount and a reduction in the write off of finance costs relating to loan refinancing during 2015; partially offset by the fact that the 2014 period benefited from the capitalization of interest associated with the delivery of vessels during that period, which did not recur during 2015.

Equity Loss on Investments

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the equity loss on investments was \$0.5 million. The equity loss on investments represents our share of the net losses of nineteen jointly owned companies pursuant to the Framework Agreement with York. We hold a range of 25% to 49% of the capital stock of these companies. The net loss of \$0.5 million is mainly attributable to General and administrative expenses of \$0.8 million incurred by 12 jointly-owned companies that had vessels under construction during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Gain on Derivative Instruments

The fair value of our interest rate derivative instruments which were outstanding as of December 31, 2015, equates to the amount that would be paid by us or to us should those instruments be terminated. As of December 31, 2015, the fair value of these interest rate derivative instruments in aggregate amounted to a liability of \$52.1 million. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of the interest rate derivative instruments that qualified for hedge accounting is recorded in OCI while the ineffective portion is recorded in the consolidated statements of income. The change in the fair value of the interest rate derivative instruments that did not qualify for hedge accounting is recorded in the consolidated statement of income. For the year ended December 31, 2015, a net gain of \$11.3 million has been included in OCI and a net gain of \$18.2 million has been included in Gain on derivative instruments in the consolidated statement of income, resulting from the fair market value change of the interest rate derivative instruments during the year ended December 31, 2015. Furthermore, during the year ended December 31, 2014, we terminated three interest rate derivative instruments that qualified for hedge accounting and we paid the counterparty breakage costs of \$10.2 million, in aggregate and has been included in Swaps breakage cost in the 2014 consolidated statement of income.

Year Ended December 31, 2014 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013

During the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had an average of 54.5 and 49.6 vessels, respectively, in our fleet. In the year ended December 31, 2014, we accepted delivery of the newbuild vessels *MSC Azov*, *MSC Ajaccio* and *MSC Amalfi* with an aggregate TEU capacity of 28,209 and the secondhand vessels *Neapolis*, *Areopolis* and *Lakonia* with an aggregate TEU capacity of 6,705 and we sold the vessels *Konstantina*, *MSC Kyoto* and *Akritas* with an aggregate TEU capacity of 10,379. Furthermore, pursuant to the Framework Deed with York, a jointly-owned vessel entity accepted delivery of the secondhand vessel *Elafonisos* with a TEU capacity of 2,526. In the year ended December 31, 2013 we accepted delivery of the newbuild vessels *MSC Athens*, *MSC Athos*, *Valor*, *Value*, *Valiant*, *Valence* and *Vantage* with an aggregate TEU capacity of 61,789 and the secondhand vessel Venetiko with a TEU capacity of 5,928 and we sold three vessels, the *MSC Washington*, *MSC Austria* and *MSC Antwerp* with an aggregate TEU capacity of 11,343. In the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, our fleet ownership days totaled 19,885 and 18,119 days, respectively. Ownership days, in combination with the level of daily charter hire that our vessels earn under time charters, are the primary drivers of voyage revenue and vessels operating expenses and represent the aggregate number of days in a period during which each vessel in our fleet is owned.

	Ye	ar ended	Percentage				
		2013		2014	C	hange	Change
		(Express	ed ii	n millions	of U	.S. dolla	rs, except
				percen	tage	s)	
Voyage revenue	\$	414.2	\$	484.0	\$	69.8	16.9%
Voyage expenses		(3.5)		(3.6)		0.1	2.9%
Voyage expenses related parties		(3.1)		(3.6)		0.5	16.1%
Vessels operating expenses		(116.0)		(120.8)		4.8	4.1%
General and administrative expenses		(8.5)		(7.7)		(0.8)	(9.4%)
Management fees related parties		(16.6)		(18.5)		1.9	11.4%
Amortization of dry-docking and special survey costs		(8.1)		(7.8)		(0.3)	(3.7%)
Depreciation		(89.9)		(105.8)		15.9	17.7%
Amortization of prepaid lease rentals				(4.0)		4.0	100.0%
Gain on sale of vessels		0.5		2.5		2.0	400.0%
Interest income		0.6		0.8		0.2	33.3%
Interest and finance costs		(74.5)		(95.6)		21.1	28.3%
Equity gain / (loss) on investments		0.7		(3.4)		(4.1)	(585.7%)
Swaps breakage cost				(10.2)		10.2	100.0%
Other, net		0.8		3.3		2.5	312.5%
Gain on derivative instruments		6.5		5.5	\$	(1.0)	(15.4%)
Net Income	\$	103.1	\$	115.1			

	Decemb	oer 31,		Percentage
Fleet operational data	2013	2014	Change	Change
Average number of vessels	49.6	54.5	4.9	9.9%
Ownership days	18,119	19,885	1,766	9.7%
Number of vessels underwent dry-docking	8	11	3	

The Company reports its financial results in accordance with U.S. GAAP. However, management believes that certain non-GAAP financial measures used in managing the business may provide users of these financial measures additional meaningful comparisons between current results and results in prior operating periods. Management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures can provide additional meaningful reflection of underlying trends of the business because they provide a comparison of historical information that excludes certain items that impact the overall comparability. Management also uses these non-GAAP financial measures in making financial, operating and planning decisions and in evaluating the Company s performance. The table below sets out our Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis and the corresponding reconciliation to Voyage revenue for the twelve- month periods ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, the Company s reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP.

		Decem	Percentage				
	2013 2014					hange	Change
	(Expresse	ed in	n million	U.S. do	llars, except	
				perce	enta	ges)	
Voyage revenue	\$	414.2	\$	484.0	\$	69.8	16.9%

Edgar Filing: Costamare Inc. - Form 20-F

Accrued charter revenue ⁽¹⁾	15.0	7.0	(8.0)	(53.3%)
Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis ⁽²⁾	\$ 429.2	\$ 491.0	\$ 61.8	14.4%

- (1) Accrued charter revenue represents the difference between cash received during the period and revenue recognized on a straight-line basis. In the early years of a charter with escalating charter rates, voyage revenue will exceed cash received during the period.
- (2) Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis represents Voyage revenue after adjusting for non-cash Accrued charter revenue recorded under charters with escalating charter rates. Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis is not a recognized measurement under U.S. GAAP. We believe that the presentation of Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis is useful to investors because it presents the charter revenue for the relevant period based on the then-current daily charter rates. The increases or decreases in daily charter rates under our charter party agreements are described in the notes to the table in Item 4. Information On The Company Business Overview Our Fleet, Acquisitions and Newbuild Vessels .

67

Voyage Revenue

Voyage revenue increased by 16.9%, or \$69.8 million, to \$484.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$414.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase was mainly attributable to: (i) revenue earned by the seven and three newbuild vessels delivered to us during the year ended December 31, 2013 and the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, respectively; partly offset by (ii) decreased charter rates in certain of our vessels during the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, and (iii) revenues not earned by vessels which were sold for scrap during the year ended December 31, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2014.

Voyage revenue adjusted on a cash basis (which eliminates non-cash Accrued charter revenue), increased by 14.4%, or \$61.8 million, to \$491.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$429.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase was mainly attributable to: (i) revenue earned by the seven and three newbuild vessels delivered to us during the year ended December 31, 2013 and the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, respectively; partly offset by (ii) decreased charter rates in certain of our vessels during the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, and (iii) revenues not earned by vessels which were sold for scrap during the year ended December 31, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2014.

Voyage Expenses

Voyage expenses increased by 2.9%, or \$0.1 million, to \$3.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$3.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. Voyage expenses mainly include: (i) off-hire expenses of our vessels, mainly related to fuel consumption and (ii) third party commissions.

Voyage Expenses Related Parties

Voyage expenses related parties increased by 16.1%, or \$0.5 million to \$3.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$3.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, and represent fees of 0.75% on voyage revenues charged to us by Costamare Shipping as provided under our group management agreement.

Vessels Operating Expenses

Vessels operating expenses, which also includes the realized gain / (loss) under derivative contracts entered into in relation to foreign currency exposure, increased by 4.1% or \$4.8 million to \$120.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$116.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was mainly attributable to the increased ownership days of our fleet during the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses decreased by 9.4% or \$0.8 million, to \$7.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$8.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, include \$1.0 million in each period for the services of the Company s officers in aggregate charged to us by Costamare Shipping as provided under our group management agreement.

Management Fees Related Parties

Management fees paid to our managers increased by 11.4%, or \$1.9 million, to \$18.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$16.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily attributable to: (i) the annual upward adjustment by 4% of the management fee for each vessel (effective January 1, 2014), as then provided under our group

management agreement and (ii) the increased average number of vessels during the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Amortization of Dry-docking and Special Survey Costs

Amortization of deferred dry-docking and special survey costs for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$7.8 million and \$8.1 million, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, eleven and eight vessels, respectively, underwent and completed their special survey.

Depreciation

Depreciation expense increased by 17.7%, or \$15.9 million, to \$105.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$89.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was mainly attributable to the depreciation expense charged for the seven newbuild vessels delivered to us during the year ended December 31, 2013 and for the three newbuild vessels delivered to us during the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, partly offset by the depreciation expense not charged for the three and three vessels sold for scrap during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

Amortization of Prepaid lease rentals

The amount of \$4.0 million relates to the amortization of the prepaid lease rentals during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Gain on Sale of Vessels

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a net gain of \$2.5 million from the sale of three vessels. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded a net gain of \$0.5 million from the sale of three vessels.

Interest Income

During the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, interest income was \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

Interest and Finance Costs

Interest and finance costs increased by 28.3%, or \$21.1 million, to \$95.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$74.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was mainly attributable to the increased interest expense charged to the consolidated statement of income in relation with the loan facilities of the seven and three newbuild vessels which were delivered to us during the year ended December 31, 2013 and the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, respectively and the write-off of deferred finance costs due to the refinancing of one of our bank loans; partly offset by the decreased loan commitment fees charged to us during the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Equity Gain / (Loss) on Investments

The equity gain / (loss) on investments represents our share of the net results of fourteen jointly-owned companies pursuant to the Framework Deed with York. We hold a range of 25% to 49% of the capital stock of each company. The equity gain / (loss) on investments was \$3.4 million (loss) and \$0.7 million (gain) for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The difference is mainly attributable to our share of \$6.1 million in an unrealized loss deriving from a swap option agreement entered into by a jointly-owned company.

Gain on Derivative Instruments

The fair value of our 22 interest rate derivative instruments which were outstanding as of December 31, 2014, equates to the amount that would be paid by us or to us should those instruments be terminated. As of December 31, 2014, the fair value of these 22 interest rate derivative instruments in aggregate amounted to a liability of \$73.9 million. The effective portion of the change in the fair value of the interest rate derivative instruments that qualified for hedge accounting is recorded in Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) while the ineffective portion is recorded in the consolidated statement of income. The change in the fair value of the interest rate derivative instruments that did not qualify for hedge accounting is recorded in the consolidated statement of income. For the year ended December 31, 2014, a net gain of \$22.6 million has been included in OCI and a net gain of \$6.7 million has been included in Gain on derivative instruments in the consolidated statement of income, resulting from the fair market value change of the interest rate derivative instruments during the year ended December 31, 2014.

B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

In the past, our principal sources of funds have been operating cash flows and long-term bank borrowings. Our principal uses of funds have been capital expenditures to establish, grow and maintain our fleet, comply with international shipping standards, environmental laws and regulations, fund working capital requirements and pay dividends. In monitoring our working capital needs, we project our charter hire income and vessels maintenance and running expenses, as well as debt service obligations, and seek to maintain adequate cash reserves in order to address any budget overruns.

Our primary short-term liquidity need is to fund our vessel operating expenses and payment of quarterly dividends on our outstanding preferred and common stock. Our long-term liquidity needs primarily relate to additional vessel acquisitions in the containership sectors and debt repayment. We anticipate that our primary sources of funds will be cash from operations and undrawn borrowing capacity under our committed credit facilities, along with borrowings under new credit facilities that we intend to obtain from time to time in connection with vessel acquisitions. We believe that these sources of funds will be sufficient to meet our short-term and long-term liquidity needs, including our agreements, subject to certain conditions, to acquire newbuild vessels, although there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain future debt financing on terms acceptable to us.

In addition, since our initial public offering in 2010, we have completed several equity offerings. On March 27, 2012, the Company completed a follow-on public equity offering in which we issued 7,500,000 shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$14.10 per share. The net proceeds of this offering were \$100.6 million. On October 19, 2012, the Company completed a second follow-on public equity offering in which we issued 7,000,000 shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$14.00 per share. The net proceeds of this offering were \$93.5 million. On August 7, 2013, the Company completed a public equity offering of 2,000,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. The net proceeds of this offering were \$48.0 million. On January 21, 2014, the Company completed a public equity offering of 4,000,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. The net proceeds of this offering were \$96.5 million. On May 13, 2015, the Company completed a public equity offering of 4,000,000 shares of Series D Preferred Stock at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share. The net proceeds of this offering were \$96.6 million. As of April 20, 2016, we had available \$100 million under a Form F-3 shelf registration statement for future issuances of securities in the public market.

As at December 31, 2015, we had total cash liquidity of \$162.8 million, consisting of cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash.

As at April 20, 2016, we had three series of preferred stock outstanding, \$50 million aggregate liquidation preference of the Series B Preferred Stock, \$100 million aggregate liquidation preference of the Series C Preferred Stock and

\$100 million aggregate liquidation preference of the Series D Preferred Stock. The Series B Preferred Stock carry an annual dividend rate of 7.625% per \$25.00 of liquidation preference per share and are redeemable by us at any time on or after August 6, 2018. The Series C Preferred Stock carry an annual dividend rate of 8.50% per \$25.00 of liquidation

preference per share and are redeemable by us at any time on or after January 21, 2019. The Series D Preferred Stock carry an annual dividend rate of 8.75% per \$25.00 of liquidation preference per share and are redeemable by us at any time on or after May 13, 2020.

As at December 31, 2015, we had an aggregate of \$1.56 billion of indebtedness outstanding under various credit agreements, including the obligations under our lease agreements. As of April 20, 2016, we had outstanding commitments relating to the 12 contracted newbuilds under our Framework Deed aggregating approximately \$282.3 million payable in installments until the vessels are delivered through the second quarter of 2018, out of which \$177.8 million will be funded through committed sale and leaseback transactions. These amounts represent our interest in the relevant Joint Venture entities with York. Furthermore, as of April 20, 2016, the vessels shown in the table below were free of debt.

Unencumbered Vessels in the water as of April 20, 2016(*)

	Year	TEU
Vessel Name	Built	Capacity
Navarino	2010	8,531
Venetiko	2003	5,928
MSC Itea	1998	3,842
Lakonia	2004	2,586
Areopolis	2000	2,474
Messini	1997	2,458
Neapolis	2000	1,645

(*) Does not include three secondhand vessels acquired and five newbuilds on order under the Framework Deed, which are also free of debt.

Our common stock dividend policy impacts our future liquidity needs. See Item 8. Financial Information A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information Dividend Policy . We paid our first cash dividend since becoming a public company in November 2010 on February 4, 2011 in an amount of \$0.25 per share of common stock. We have subsequently paid dividends to holders of our common stock of \$0.25 per share on May 12, 2011 and August 9, 2011, and \$0.27 per share on November 7, 2011, February 8, 2012, May 9, 2012, August 7, 2012, November 6, 2012, February 13, 2013, May 8, 2013, August 7, 2013, November 6, 2013 and February 4, 2014, \$0.28 per share on May 13, 2014, August 6, 2014, November 5, 2014 and February 4, 2015 and \$0.29 per share on May 6, 2015, August 5, 2015, November 4, 2015 and February 4, 2016.

Our preferred stock dividend payment obligations also impact our future liquidity needs. See Item 8. Financial Information A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements . We paid dividends to holders of our Series B Preferred Stock of \$0.3654 per share on October 15, 2013 and \$0.476563 per share on January 15, 2014, April 15, 2014, July 15, 2014, October 15, 2014, January 15, 2015, April 15, 2015, July 15, 2015, October 15, 2015 and January 15, 2016. We paid dividends to holders of our Series C Preferred Stock of \$0.495833 per share on April 15, 2014 and \$0.531250 per share on July 15, 2014, October 15, 2014, January 15, 2015, April 15, 2015, July 15, 2015, October 15, 2015 and January 15, 2016. We paid dividends to holders of our Series D Preferred Stock of \$0.376736 per share on July 15, 2015 and \$0.546875 per share on October 15, 2015 and January 15, 2016.

In 2010, we did not declare any dividends. In 2009, we declared dividends from our retained earnings to our existing stockholders of \$40.2 million, of which \$30.2 million were paid in 2009 and \$10.0 million were paid on January 14,

2010.

The dividends and distributions paid during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015, were funded in part by borrowings and in part by cash from operations. On a cumulative basis for the entire period, cash flow from operating activities exceeded the aggregate amount of dividends and distributions.

71

Working Capital Position

We have historically financed our capital requirements with cash flow from operations, equity contributions from stockholders and long-term bank debt. Our main uses of funds have been capital expenditures for the acquisition of new vessels, expenditures incurred in connection with ensuring that our vessels comply with international and regulatory standards, repayments of bank loans and payments of dividends. We will require capital to fund ongoing operations, the construction of our new vessels, the acquisition cost of any secondhand vessels we agree to acquire in the future and debt service. Working capital, which is current assets minus current liabilities, including the current portion of long-term debt, was negative \$126.9 million at December 31, 2015 and negative \$132.4 million at December 31, 2014.

We anticipate that internally generated cash flow will be sufficient to fund the operations of our fleet, including our working capital requirements. See Credit Facilities .

Cash Flows

Years ended December 31, 2013, 2014 and 2015

	Year ended December 31,					31,
	2013 2014 20					2015
	(Expressed in millions of U.S. dolla					
Condensed cash flows						
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$	186.7	\$	243.3	\$	244.7
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		(621.1)		(119.3)		(43.0)
Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Financing Activities		260.4		(104.3)		(214.7)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities						

Net cash flows provided by operating activities increased by \$1.4 million to \$244.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$243.3 for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was primarily attributable to the (i) increased cash from operations of \$2.0 million, (ii) decreased payments for interest (including swap payments) during the period of \$7.6 million and (iii) decreased special survey costs of \$0.7 million; partly offset by the unfavorable change in working capital position, excluding the current portion of long-term debt and the accrued charter revenue (representing the difference between cash received in that period and revenue recognized on a straight-line basis) of \$9.8 million.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities increased by \$56.6 million to \$243.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$186.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily attributable to: (a) increased cash from operations of \$61.8 million due to cash generated from the charters of the seven and three newbuild vessels delivered to us during the year ended December 31, 2013 and the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, respectively and (b) a favorable change in working capital position, excluding the current portion of long-term debt and the accrued charter revenue (representing the difference between cash received in that period and revenue recognized on a straight-line basis) of \$29.3 million; partly offset by increased dry-docking payments of \$4.0 million and increased payments for interest (including swap payments) of \$12.9 million.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$43.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, which mainly consisted of \$38.8 million in advance payments for the construction of five newbuild vessels, ordered pursuant to the

Framework Agreement with York and \$3.2 million, paid for the acquisition of a secondhand vessel pursuant to the Framework Agreement, \$0.6 million for advance payment for the acquisition of one secondhand vessel pursuant to the Framework Agreement and \$4.7 million we received from the sale for scrap of *MSC Challenger*.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$119.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, which consisted of: (a) \$59.1 million for capitalized costs and advance payments for the construction and delivery of three newbuild vessels, (b) \$29.0 million in payments primarily for the acquisition of three secondhand vessels, (c) \$53.3 million (net of \$31.8 million we received as dividend distributions) in payments, pursuant to the Framework Deed with York, to hold an equity interest ranging from 25% to 49% in jointly-owned companies and (d) \$22.1 million we received from the sale for scrap of *Konstantina*, *MSC Kyoto* and *Akritas*.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$621.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, which consisted primarily of (a) \$590.4 million advance payments for the construction and purchase of 10 newbuild vessels, (b) \$51.9 million in payments for the acquisition of four secondhand vessels, (c) \$8.7 million in payments, pursuant to the Framework Deed with York, to hold a minority equity interest in jointly-owned companies, (d) \$13.9 million net proceeds we received from the sale for scrap of *MSC Antwerp* and *MSC Austria* (including \$0.6 million in payments for expenses related to the sale of *MSC Washington*) and (e) \$16.0 million we received, pursuant to the Framework Deed with York, for York s 51% equity interest in the ship-owning companies of the vessels *Petalidi*, *Ensenada Express* and *Padma* (ex. *X-Press Padma*) and for initial working capital for such ship-owning companies.

Net Cash Provided by/(Used in) Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$214.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, which mainly consisted of (a) \$196.9 million of indebtedness that we repaid, (b) \$13.5 million we repaid relating to our sale and leaseback agreements, (c) \$86.2 million we paid for dividends to holders of our common stock for the fourth quarter of 2014, first quarter of 2015, second quarter of 2015 and third quarter of 2015, and (d) \$3.8 million we paid for dividends to holders of our Series B Preferred Stock and \$8.5 million we paid for dividends to holders of our Series C Preferred Stock, both for the periods from October 15, 2014 to January 14, 2015, January 15, 2015 to April 14, 2015, April 15, 2015 to July 14, 2015 and July 15, 2015 to October 14, 2015 and \$3.7 million we paid for dividends to holders of our Series D Preferred Stock for the period from May 13, 2015 to July 14, 2015 and July 15, 2015 to October 14, 2015 and (e) \$96.6 million net proceeds we received from our public offering in May 2015 of 4.0 million shares of our Series D Preferred Stock, net of underwriting discounts and expenses incurred in the offering.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$104.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, which mainly consisted of: (a) \$356.6 million of indebtedness that we repaid, (b) \$9.0 million we drew down from one of our credit facilities, (c) \$256.7 million we received from the CLC Sale and Leaseback, (d) \$9.6 million we repaid from the CLC Sale and Leaseback, (e) \$83.0 million we paid for dividends to holders of our common stock for the fourth quarter of 2013, the first quarter of 2014, the second quarter of 2014 and the third quarter of 2014, (f) \$3.8 million we paid for dividends to holders of our Series B Preferred Stock for the period from October 15, 2013 to October 14, 2014, and \$6.2 million we paid for dividends to holders of our Series C Preferred Stock for the period from the original issuance of the Series C preferred Stock on January 21, 2014 to October 14, 2014, and (g) \$96.5 million net proceeds we received from our public offering in January 2014 of 4.0 million shares of our Series C Preferred Stock, net of underwriting discounts and expenses incurred in the offering.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$260.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, which mainly consisted of (a) \$163.7 million of indebtedness that we repaid, (b) \$469.4 million we drew down from four of our credit facilities, (c) \$80.8 million we paid for dividends to our stockholders for the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2012, and the first, second and third quarters of 2013, (d) \$48.0 million net proceeds we received from our public offering in August 2013 of 2.0 million shares of our 7.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Shares, net of underwriting discounts and expenses incurred in the offering and (e) \$0.7 million we paid for dividends to holders of our 7.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Shares for the period from August 6, 2013 to October 14, 2013.

Credit Facilities and Capital Leases:

We operate in a capital-intensive industry, which requires significant amounts of investment, and we fund a portion of this investment through long-term bank debt. We, either as guarantor or direct borrower, and certain of our subsidiaries as borrowers or guarantors, have entered into a number of credit facilities and capital leases in order to finance the acquisition of the vessels owned by our subsidiaries and for general corporate purposes. The obligations under our credit facilities and capital leases are secured by, among other things, first priority mortgages over the vessels owned by the respective borrower subsidiaries, charter assignments, first priority assignments of all insurances and earnings of the mortgaged vessels and guarantees by Costamare Inc.

The following summarizes certain terms of our existing credit facilities and capital leases discussed below as at December 31, 2015:

Facility	Outstanding Principal Amount (Expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity	Repayment profile
CLC Sale & Leaseback		Fixed Rate	2024	Bareboat structure fixed daily charter with balloon
DnB Quentin	193,545	LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2020	Straight-line amortization with balloon
DnB Raymond	126,878	LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2020	Straight-line amortization with balloon
ING	111,417	LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2021	Straight-line amortization with balloon
RBS	60,463	LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2020(3)	Straight-line amortization with balloon
Costamare ⁽⁴⁾	495,993	LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2018	Straight-line amortization with balloon
Credit Agricole Costí§)	82,500	LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2018	Straight-line amortization with balloon
Unicredit	68,170	LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2018	Variable installments with balloon
HSBC Mas	30,625	LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2018	Variable installments with balloon
	45,000		2018	Straight-line amortization with balloon

Credit Agricole Capetanissa	LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾		
RBS Rena	42,500 LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2018	Straight-line amortization with balloon
Alpha Montes	66,000 LIBOR + Margin ⁽²⁾	2020(6)	Variable installments with balloon

- (1) The interest rates of long-term debt at December 31, 2015 ranged from 1.113% to 6.75%, and the weighted average interest rate as at December 31, 2015 was 4.22%.
- (2) The interest rate margin at December 31, 2015 ranged from 0.7% to 2.9%, and the weighted average interest rate margin as at December 31, 2015, was 1.6%.
- (3) The year 2020 represents the latest possible maturity under the facility, under the MSC Ulsan tranche.
- (4) Bank Syndicate: Commerzbank AG, Unicredit Bank AG, Credit Suisse, HSH Nordbank AG and BNP Paribas S.A. (ex. Fortis Bank S.A./N.V.).
- ⁽⁵⁾ On December 12, 2012, the loan agreement with Emporiki Bank was transferred and assigned to Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank (ex. Calyon) (Credit Agricole).
- ⁽⁶⁾ On January 27, 2016, we entered into a supplemental agreement with the bank in order to extend the repayment schedule to 10 consecutive semi-annual variable installments from June 2016 until December 2020 and a balloon payment of \$12,000 payable together with the last installment.

The principal financial and other covenants and events of default under each credit facility are also discussed below.

CLC Sale and Leaseback

In January, March and April 2014, our subsidiaries, Adele Shipping Co., Bastian Shipping Co. and Cadence Shipping Co. entered into novation agreements with CLC, whereby they novated to CLC the shipbuilding contracts for the construction of Hulls H1068A, H1069A and H1070A, and entered into bareboat charter agreements (collectively, the CLC Sale and Leaseback), whereby our subsidiaries agreed to bareboat charter in the vessels upon delivery for a period of 10 years. Our subsidiaries used a portion of the proceeds from the CLC Sale and Leaseback to prepay the balance of the CEXIM Adele credit facility (described below) which had been used to finance the predelivery price of the respective hulls.

Under the terms of the CLC Sale and Leaseback, the vessels were each sold for an amount of \$85.6 million and our subsidiaries must each pay a fixed daily charter rate on a quarterly basis for 10 years and a final installment of \$25.7 million.

The obligations under the CLC Sale and Leaseback are guaranteed by Costamare Inc. and are secured by a first priority mortgage over the vessels, charter assignments, account assignments and general assignments of earnings, insurances and requisition compensation.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$230.1 million outstanding under the CLC Sale and Leaseback, and, as of the same date there was no undrawn available credit.

DnB Quentin

On August 16, 2011, our subsidiaries, Quentin Shipping Co., Undine Shipping Co., and Sander Shipping Co., as borrowers, entered into a seven-year loan for up to \$229.2 million, with DnB NOR Bank ASA, ING Bank, ABN Amro Bank and Bank of America N.A., which we refer to in this section as the DnB Quentin credit facility . The purpose of this facility was to finance part of the acquisition and construction cost of Hulls S4020, S4022 and S4024, and the facility is divided into three tranches, one for each newbuild vessel. On July 3, 2013, we entered into the first supplemental agreement with the lenders which amended the repayment schedule and added V.Ships Greece as an approved manager. On September 13, 2013, we entered into the second supplemental agreement with the lenders which further amended the repayment schedule (as reflected below).

The interest rate under the DnB Quentin credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The credit facility provides that the borrowers must repay the loan by twenty-eight consecutive quarterly installments, the first twenty-seven (1-27) in the amount of \$1.3 million per tranche each, commencing at the time of delivery of Hulls S4020, S4022 and S4024, and the amount of the twenty-eighth installment shall be \$42.0 million per tranche.

The obligations under the DnB Quentin credit facility are guaranteed by Costamare Inc. and are secured by assignment of refund guarantees and shipbuilding contracts, a first priority mortgage over the vessels upon delivery, charter assignments, account assignments, master agreement assignment and general assignments of earnings, insurances and requisition compensation.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$188.5 million outstanding under the DnB Quentin credit facility, and, as of the same date there was no undrawn available credit.

DnB Raymond

On October 12, 2011, our subsidiaries, Raymond Shipping Co. and Terance Shipping Co., as borrowers, entered into a seven-year loan for up to \$152.8 million, with DnB NOR Bank ASA, Mega International Commercial Bank Co., Ltd.,

Cathay United Bank, Chinatrust Commercial Bank, Hua Nan Commercial Bank, Ltd. and Land Bank of Taiwan, which we refer to in this section as the DnB Raymond credit facility . The purpose of this facility was to finance part of the acquisition and construction cost of Hulls S4021 and S4023, and the facility is divided into two tranches, one for each newbuild vessel.

The interest rate under the DnB Raymond credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The credit facility provides that the borrowers must repay the loan by twenty-eight consecutive quarterly installments, the first twenty-seven (1-27) in the amount of \$1.4 million per tranche each,

75

commencing at the time of delivery of Hulls S4021 and S4023, and the amount of the twenty-eighth installment shall be \$39.6 million per tranche.

The obligations under the DnB Raymond credit facility are guaranteed by Costamare Inc. and are secured by assignment of refund guarantees and shipbuilding contracts, a first priority mortgage over the vessels upon delivery, charter assignments, account assignments, master agreement assignment and general assignments of earnings, insurances and requisition compensation.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$124.1 million outstanding under the DnB-Raymond credit facility, and, as of the same date there was no undrawn available credit.

ING

On April 7, 2011, Costamare Inc., as borrower, entered into an eight-year loan, for up to \$140.0 million, with ING Bank N.V., London Branch, which we refer to in this section as the ING credit facility. The purpose of this facility was to finance part of the acquisition and construction cost of Hulls S4010 and S4011, and the facility is divided into two tranches, one for each newbuild vessel. On April 8, 2013, we executed a supplemental agreement that amended the repayment schedule of the loan. On July 24, 2015, we executed a supplemental agreement under which the lender agreed to a change of flag of the financed vessels.

The interest rate under the ING credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The credit facility provides that the borrower must repay the loan by 16 consecutive semiannual installments, the first fifteen (1-15) in the amount of 1/30 of the loan outstanding, commencing at the time of delivery of Hulls S4010 and S4011, and the amount of the sixteenth and final installment shall be equal to 15/30 of the loan outstanding at the time of delivery of Hulls S4010 and S4011.

The obligations under the ING credit facility are guaranteed by Jodie Shipping Co. and Kayley Shipping Co. Our obligations under the ING credit facility are secured by assignment of refund guarantees and shipbuilding contracts, a first priority mortgage over the vessels upon delivery, charter assignments, account assignments, master agreement assignment and general assignments of earnings, insurances and requisition compensation.

On April 20, 2016, there was \$107.0 million outstanding under the ING credit facility, and, as of same date, there was no undrawn available credit.

RBS

On November 19, 2010, Costamare Inc., as borrower, entered into a \$120.0 million term loan facility with The Royal Bank of Scotland plc (the RBS credit facility), which was available for drawing for up to 18 months. The loan tranches have maturities ranging from three to seven years.

We have used the RBS credit facility to finance part of the acquisition cost of five secondhand vessels, the MSC Methoni, the MSC Romanos, the MSC Ulsan, the MSC Koroni (ex. Koroni) and the MSC Itea (ex. Kyparissia).

The interest rate under the RBS facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The RBS facility provides for different repayment of each of the five tranches.

The *MSC Methoni* tranche will be repaid by thirty-two consecutive quarterly payments, the first thirty-one (1-31) in the amount of \$1.05 million and a final installment in the amount of \$1.05 million, together with a balloon payment in the amount of \$8.4 million.

The MSC Romanos tranche will be repaid by thirty-two consecutive quarterly payments, the first thirty-one (1-31) in the amount of \$0.96 million and a final installment in the amount of \$0.96 million, together with a balloon payment in the amount of \$7.7 million.

The MSC Ulsan tranche will be repaid by thirty-two consecutive quarterly payments, the first thirty-one (1-31) in the amount of \$0.53 million and a final installment in the amount of \$0.53 million, together with a balloon payment in the amount of \$4.2 million.

The MSC Koroni (ex. Koroni) and MSC Itea (ex. Kyparissia) tranches will each be repaid by twelve consecutive quarterly payments, the first eleven (1-11) in the amount of \$0.47 million per tranche and a final installment in the amount of \$0.47 million, together with a balloon payment in

the amount of \$1.9 million per tranche. In May 2014, the Company repaid the outstanding amount at the time under the *MSC Koroni* (ex. *Koroni*) tranche, repaying \$4.2 million. In May 2015, the Company repaid the outstanding amount at the time under the *MSC Itea* (ex. *Kyparissia*) tranche, repaying \$2.3 million.

The obligations under the RBS credit facility are guaranteed by the various owners of the mortgaged vessels. Our obligations under the RBS credit facility is secured by mortgages over each financed vessel, account charges, charter assignments, swap assignment and general assignments of earnings, insurances and requisition compensation.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$56.9 million outstanding under the RBS credit facility, and, as of the same date there was no undrawn available credit.

Costamare

On July 22, 2008, Costamare Inc., as borrower, entered into a ten-year, \$1.0 billion credit facility comprised of a \$700.0 million term loan facility and a \$300.0 million revolving credit facility, which we refer to in this section as the Costamare credit facility. The purpose of the revolving credit facility was to finance part of the acquisition costs of vessels to be acquired or part of the market value of vessels owned by our subsidiaries. The purpose of the term loan facility was to finance general corporate and working capital purposes. On April 23, 2010, we entered into the first supplemental agreement with the lenders which released two of our subsidiary guarantors and the mortgages over their vessels, and replaced them with mortgages over one other vessel. On June 22, 2010, we entered into the second supplemental agreement with the lenders, which modified certain covenants (as detailed below). On September 6, 2011, we entered into a third supplemental agreement documenting the amalgamation of the Costamare credit facility s compounds and the fixing of the remaining installment payments. On December 17, 2012, we entered into a fourth supplemental agreement which released two of our subsidiary guarantors and the mortgages over their vessels, and replaced them with mortgages over two other vessels. On May 28, 2013, we entered into a fifth supplemental agreement under which the lenders agreed to the change of flags of five of the Company s vessels and to the transfer of the technical management of two of the Company s vessels to V.Ships Greece. On August 30, 2013, we entered into a sixth supplemental agreement which released one of the Company s subsidiary guarantors and the mortgage over its vessel, and replaced it with mortgages over two other vessels. On July 2, 2014, we entered into a seventh supplemental agreement in connection with the ZIM restructuring. On August 25, 2015 we entered into an eighth supplemental agreement under which the lenders agreed to a change of flags of six of the Company s vessels and to the transfer of the technical management of three of the Company s vessels to Shanghai Costamare.

The interest rate under the Costamare credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The Costamare credit facility provides for repayment by forty consecutive quarterly installments, the first four (1-4) in the amount of \$6.5 million and the next eight (5-12) in the amount of \$9.0 million. The final twenty-eight (13-40) installments, and the balloon installment repayable together with the fortieth (40th) installment, are to be calculated by using a formula that takes into account the then outstanding amount of this facility and the TEU weighted age of the mortgaged vessels. Following the date of payment of the twelfth installment on June 30, 2011, the term loan facility and the revolving credit facility were combined, the final twenty-eight (13-40) installments were fixed in the amount of \$22.5 million each, and the balloon installment was fixed in the amount of \$271.3 million.

The obligations under the Costamare credit facility are guaranteed by the various owners of the mortgaged vessels. Our obligations under this credit facility are secured by mortgages over the vessels owned by our subsidiaries, who are the guarantors, and general assignments of earnings, insurances and requisition compensation, account pledges, charter assignments and a master agreement assignment.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$473.5 million outstanding under the Costamare credit facility, and, as of same date, there was no undrawn available credit.

Credit Agricole Costis (ex. Emporiki-Costis)

On May 12, 2008, our subsidiaries, Christos Maritime Corporation and Costis Maritime Corporation, as joint and several borrowers, entered into a ten-year, \$150.0 million credit facility with Emporiki Bank of Greece S.A., which we refer to in this section as the Credit Agricole Costis credit facility. The loan is divided into two tranches: a Tranche A loan in the amount of \$75.0 million to Christos Maritime Corporation, and a Tranche B loan in the amount of \$75.0 million to Costis Maritime Corporation. The purpose of this facility was to finance part of the market value of two vessels, the *Sealand Washington* and the *Sealand New York*. On January 28, 2009, we entered into a first supplemental agreement to temporarily increase the margin and on November 19, 2012, we entered into a second supplemental agreement to change the applicable law. On August 9, 2013, we entered into a third supplemental agreement in order to reflag the two vessels and change their management to V.Ships Greece. On September 14, 2015, we entered into a fourth supplemental agreement to execute a pledge deposit in favor of the lender.

The interest rate under the Credit Agricole Costis credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The Credit Agricole Costis credit facility provides that our subsidiaries, jointly and severally, repay the loan by twenty consecutive semi-annual payments, the first nineteen (1-19) in the amount of \$2.25 million for each tranche, and a final twentieth installment in the amount of \$2.25 million, together with a balloon payment in the amount of \$30.0 million for each tranche.

The obligations under the Credit Agricole Costis credit facility are guaranteed by Costamare Inc. and are secured by first priority mortgages over the vessels *Sealand Washington* and *Sealand New York*, account pledges, general assignments of earnings, insurances and requisition compensation, and charter assignments.

On December 12, 2012, the loan agreement was assigned and transferred from Emporiki Bank of Greece S.A. to Credit Agricole.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$82.5 million outstanding under the Credit Agricole Costis credit facility, and, as of same date, there was no undrawn available credit.

Unicredit

On October 6, 2011, Costamare Inc., as borrower, entered into a \$120.0 million loan facility with Unicredit Bank AG, which we refer to in this section as the Unicredit credit facility. The purpose of the facility is to partly finance the aggregate market values of eleven of our existing containerships. Furthermore, on June 29, 2012, the Company entered into a supplemental agreement for a further amount of \$11.3 million to finance the acquisition of the vessel the *Stadt Luebeck*. On April 8, 2013, we entered into a second supplemental agreement to substitute one of the vessels financed under the facility with another vessel, and on August 29, 2013, we entered into a third supplemental agreement to substitute two of the vessels financed under the facility with two other vessels. On April 11, 2014, we entered into a fourth supplemental agreement to obtain an additional advance for the acquisition of a secondhand vessel and on May 28, 2014, we entered into a fifth supplemental agreement to substitute one of the vessels financed under the facility with a different vessel. On February 5, 2015, we entered into a side letter under which the lender accepted the replacement of the charterer of one of the Company s vessels. On July 29, 2015, we entered into a side letter to transfer the technical management of one of the Company s vessels to Shanghai Costamare.

The interest rate under the Unicredit credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. After the prepayments with the proceeds of the disposals of the *Konstantina* and the *Akritas*, the repayment schedule was changed so that starting from September 23, 2014 the loan will be repaid by nineteen consecutive quarterly payments, the first five (1-5) in the amount of \$4.3 million, the next thirteen (6-18) in the amount of \$2.7 million, and a final installment in the amount of \$2.7 million, together with a balloon payment in the amount of \$39.5 million.

Our obligations under the Unicredit credit facility are secured by guarantees of the various owners of the mortgaged vessels, mortgages over each financed vessel, account charges, charter assignments, swap assignment and general assignments of earnings, insurances and requisition compensation.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$65.5 million outstanding under the Unicredit credit facility, and, as of the same date there was no undrawn available credit.

HSBC Mas

On January 30, 2008, our subsidiary, Mas Shipping Co., as borrower, entered into a ten-year, \$75.0 million credit facility with HSBC Bank, which we refer to in this section as the HSBC Mas credit facility. The purpose of this facility was to finance part of the purchase price of a vessel, the *Maersk Kokura*. On February 5, 2015, we entered into a side letter under which the lender accepted the replacement of the charterer of *Maersk Kokura*.

The interest rate under the HSBC Mas credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The repayment terms provide for Mas Shipping Co. to pay HSBC by twenty consecutive semi-annual installments, the first two (1-2) in the amount of \$1.0 million, the following two (3-4) in the amount of \$1.5 million, the following two (5-6) each in the amount of \$2.0 million, the following four (7-10) in the amount of \$3.75 million, the following two (11-12) in the amount of \$4.0 million, and the following eight (13-20) in the amount of \$4.13 million, plus a balloon payment payable together with the twentieth installment in the amount of \$10.0 million.

The obligations under the HSBC Mas credit facility are guaranteed by Costamare Inc. and are secured by a first priority mortgage over the vessel, *Maersk Kokura*, an account pledge, a general assignment of earnings, insurances, requisition compensation and charter rights.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$26.5 million outstanding under the HSBC Mas credit facility, and, as of same date, there was no undrawn available credit.

Credit Agricole Capetanissa

On June 29, 2006, our subsidiary, Capetanissa Maritime Corporation, as borrower, entered into a twelve-year, \$90.0 million credit facility with Credit Agricole, which we refer to in this section as the Credit Agricole Capetanissa credit facility. The purpose of this facility was to finance part of the acquisition and collateral cost of a vessel, the *Cosco Beijing*. On July 27, 2015, we executed a supplemental agreement under which the lender agreed to a change of flag of the vessel.

The interest rate under the Credit Agricole Capetanissa credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The Credit Agricole Capetanissa credit facility provides that Capetanissa Maritime Corporation must repay the loan by twenty-four consecutive semi-annual installments in the amount of \$2.5 million, plus a balloon payment payable together with the twenty-fourth installment in the amount of \$30.0 million.

The obligations under the Credit Agricole Capetanissa credit facility are guaranteed by Costamare Inc. and are secured by a first priority mortgage over the vessel, *Cosco Beijing*, an account pledge, and a general assignment of earnings, insurances, requisition compensation and charter rights.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$42.5 million outstanding under the Credit Agricole Capetanissa credit facility, and, as of same date, there was no undrawn available credit.

RBS Rena

On February 17, 2006, our subsidiary, Rena Maritime Corporation, as borrower, entered into a twelve-year, \$90.0 million credit facility with The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, which we refer to in this section as the RBS Rena credit facility. The purpose of this facility was to finance part of the purchase price of a vessel, the *Cosco Guangzhou*, at a

contract price of \$90.8 million. On August 27, 2015, we executed a supplemental agreement under which the lender agreed to a change of flag of the vessel.

The interest rate under the RBS Rena credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The RBS Rena credit facility provides for twenty-four semi-annual installments in the amount of \$2.5 million each, plus a balloon payment of \$30.0 million together with the twenty-fourth installment.

The obligations under the RBS Rena credit facility are guaranteed by Costamare Inc. and are secured by a first priority mortgage over the vessel *Cosco Guangzhou*, an account charge and a general assignment of our earnings, insurances and requisition compensation of the vessel.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$40.0 million outstanding under the RBS Rena credit facility, and, as of same date, there was no undrawn available credit.

Alpha Montes

On December 7, 2007, our subsidiaries, Montes Shipping Co. and Kelsen Shipping Co., as joint and several borrowers, entered into a ten-year, \$150.0 million credit facility with Alpha Bank A.E., which we refer to in this section as the Alpha Montes credit facility. The lender assigned its obligations under the Alpha Montes credit facility to Alpha Shipping Finance Limited in 2014. The loan is divided into two tranches: Tranche A in the amount of \$75.0 million to Montes Shipping Co. and Tranche B in the amount of \$75.0 million to Kelsen Shipping Co. The purpose of this facility was to finance part of the acquisition costs of two vessels, the *Maersk Kawasaki* and the *Maersk Kure*.

The interest rate under the Alpha Montes credit facility is LIBOR plus an agreed margin. The Alpha Montes credit facility initially provided that our subsidiaries repay the loan, jointly and severally, by twenty consecutive semi-annual payments, the first six (1-6) in the amount of \$4.0 million each, the next fourteen (7-20) in the amount of \$6.0 million each, plus a balloon payment, payable together with the twentieth installment, in the amount of \$42.0 million. On January 27, 2016, we entered into a supplemental agreement with the bank in order to extend the repayment schedule under the Alpha Montes credit facility to ten consecutive semi-annual variable installments from June 2016 until December 2020 and a balloon payment of \$12.0 million payable together with the last installment.

The obligations under the Alpha Montes credit facility are guaranteed by Costamare Inc. and are secured by first priority mortgages over the vessels, the *Maersk Kawasaki* and the *Maersk Kure*, general assignments of earnings, insurances, requisition compensation and charter assignments.

As of April 20, 2016, there was \$66.0 million outstanding under Tranche A and Tranche B in aggregate, of the Alpha Montes credit facility, and, as of same date, there was no undrawn available credit.

CEXIM Adele

On January 14, 2011, our subsidiaries, Adele Shipping Co., Bastian Shipping Co. and Cadence Shipping Co., as borrowers, entered into a ten-year loan, which also provides for a Lenders early repayment option in year seven, for up to \$203.3 million, with The Export-Import Bank of China, DnB NOR Bank ASA, and China Everbright Bank, which we refer to in this section as the CEXIM Adele credit facility. The purpose of this facility was to finance part of the acquisition and construction cost of Hulls H1068A, H1069A, and H1070A, and the facility was divided into three tranches, one for each newbuild vessel. In January, March and April 2014, concurrently with the delivery of each vessel, the subsidiaries used a portion of the proceeds from the CLC Sale and Leaseback to prepay the balance of the CEXIM Adele credit facility which had been used to finance the predelivery price of the respective hulls.

Covenants and Events of Default

The credit facilities impose certain operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions in our existing credit facilities generally limit Costamare Inc. and our subsidiaries ability to, among other things:

pay dividends if an event of default has occurred and is continuing or would occur as a result of the payment of such dividends:

purchase or otherwise acquire for value any shares of the subsidiaries capital; make or repay loans or advances, other than repayment of the credit facilities;

Q۸

make investments in other persons;

sell or transfer significant assets, including any vessel or vessels mortgaged under the credit facilities, to any person, including Costamare Inc. and our subsidiaries;

create liens on assets; or

allow the Konstantakopoulos family s direct or indirect holding in Costamare Inc. to fall below 40% of the total issued share capital.

Our existing credit facilities also require Costamare Inc. and certain of our subsidiaries to maintain the aggregate of (a) the market value, primarily on an inclusive charter basis, of the mortgaged vessel or vessels and (b) the market value of any additional security provided to the lenders, above a percentage ranging between 80% to 125% of the then outstanding amount of the credit facility and any related swap exposure.

The minimum value covenant must be determined at the expense of the borrower at any such time as the lenders may request.

Costamare Inc. is required to maintain compliance with the following financial covenants:

the ratio of our total liabilities (after deducting all cash and cash equivalents) to market value adjusted total assets (after deducting all cash and cash equivalents) may not exceed 0.75:1;

the ratio of EBITDA over net interest expense must be equal to or higher than 2.5:1;

the aggregate amount of all cash and cash equivalents may not be less than the greater of (i) \$30 million or (ii) 3% of the total debt; *provided*, *however*, that under two of our credit facilities, a minimum cash amount equal to 3% of the loan outstanding must be maintained in accounts with the lender;

the market value adjusted net worth must at all times exceed \$500 million; and

the ratio of net funded debt to total net assets must be less than 80% on a charter inclusive valuation basis. Our credit facilities contain customary events of default, including nonpayment of principal or interest, breach of covenants or material inaccuracy of representations, default under other indebtedness in excess of a threshold and bankruptcy.

The Company is not in default under any of its credit facilities.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

The shipping industry is a capital intensive industry, requiring significant amounts of investment. Much of this investment is provided in the form of long-term debt. Our debt usually contains interest rates that fluctuate with the financial markets. Increasing interest rates could adversely impact future earnings.

Our interest expense is affected by changes in the general level of interest rates, particularly LIBOR. As an indication of the extent of our sensitivity to interest rate changes, an increase of 100 basis points would have decreased our net income and cash flows during the year ended December 31, 2015 by approximately \$3.7 million based upon our debt level during 2015.

For more information on our interest rate risk see Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk A. Quantitative Information About Market Risk Interest Rate Risk .

Interest Rate Swaps

We have entered into interest rate swap agreements converting floating interest rate exposure into fixed interest rates in order to economically hedge our exposure to fluctuations in prevailing market interest rates. For more information on our interest rate swap agreements, refer to Notes 2, 19 and 20 to our financial statements included at the end of this annual report.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We generate all of our revenue in U.S. dollars, but a substantial portion of our vessel operating expenses, primarily crew wages, are in currencies other than U.S. dollars (mainly in Euro), and any gain or loss we incur as a result of the U.S. dollar fluctuating in value against those currencies is included in vessel operating expenses. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 36.6% of our outstanding accounts payable were denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar (mainly in Euro). We hold cash and cash equivalents mainly in U.S. dollars.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company was engaged in 16 Euro/U.S. dollar contracts totaling \$20 million at an average forward rate of Euro/U.S. dollar 1.0725, expiring in monthly intervals up to August 2016.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company was engaged in nine Euro/U.S. dollar contracts totaling \$22.5 million at an average forward rate of Euro/U.S. dollar 1.273, expiring in monthly intervals up to September 2015.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company was not engaged in any Euro/U.S. dollar foreign currency agreements.

We recognize these financial instruments on our balance sheet at their fair value. These foreign currency forward contracts do not qualify as hedging instruments, and thus we recognize changes in their fair value in our earnings.

Capital Expenditures

On September 21, 2010, we contracted for the construction and purchase of three newbuild vessels, which were delivered in January, March and April 2014. On January 28, 2011, we contracted for two additional newbuild vessels, which were delivered in March 2013. On April 20, 2011, we contracted for the construction and purchase of five additional newbuild vessels, which were delivered between June and November 2013. From 2013 through today, pursuant to the Framework Deed with York, jointly-owned entities entered into shipbuilding contracts for the construction of 12 container vessels to be delivered by second quarter 2018. The Company has agreed to participate in each of the newbuilding contracts by investing between 25% and 75% of the share capital in the jointly-owned entities. The total aggregate price for the 12 newbuild vessels on order, of approximately 134,000 TEU capacity in aggregate, is \$1.1 billion, payable in installments until delivery.

As of April 20, 2016, our share of the outstanding commitments relating to the 12 contracted newbuilds aggregating approximately \$282.4 million payable in installments until the vessels are delivered through the second quarter of 2018, out of which \$177.8 million will be funded through committed sale and leaseback transactions. These amounts represent our interest in the relevant jointly-owned entities with York. In addition, dry-docking expenses totaled approximately \$9.5 million in 2015, excluding off-hire costs.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of those financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions. Critical accounting policies are those that reflect significant judgments of uncertainties and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We describe below what we believe are our most critical accounting policies, because they generally involve a comparatively higher degree of judgment in their application. For a description of all our significant accounting policies, see Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this annual report.

Vessel Impairment

We evaluate the carrying amounts of our vessels to determine if events have occurred that would require modification to their carrying values or useful lives. In evaluating useful lives and carrying values of long-lived assets, we review certain indicators of potential impairment, such as undiscounted projected operating cash flows, vessel sales and purchases, business plans and overall market conditions.

The economic and market conditions as at December 31, 2014 and 2015, including the significant disruptions in the global credit markets in the prior years, had broad effects on participants in a wide variety of industries. Time charter rates and charter free vessel values continued to decline during 2014 and 2015 as reduced demand for transportation services occurred during a time of increased supply of vessels, conditions that we consider to be indicators of possible impairment.

In developing estimates of future undiscounted cash flows, we make assumptions and estimates about the vessels future performance, with the significant assumptions being related to time charter rates, vessels—operating expenses, vessels—capital expenditures, vessels—residual value, fleet utilization and the estimated remaining useful life of each vessel. The assumptions used to develop estimates of future undiscounted cash flows are based on historical trends as well as future expectations.

We determine undiscounted projected net operating cash flows for each vessel and compare it to the vessel s carrying value. To the extent impairment indicators are present, the undiscounted projected net operating cash flows are determined by considering the charter revenues from existing time charters for the fixed fleet days and an estimated daily time charter rate for the unfixed days (based on the most recent ten year historical average rates) over the remaining estimated life of the vessel assumed to be 30 years from the delivery of the vessel from the shipyard, expected outflows for vessels operating expenses assuming an expected increase in expenses based on our historical data and an average inflation rate of 2.76% (in line with the average world Consumer Price Index forecasted), planned dry-docking and special survey expenditures, management fees expenditures and fleet utilization of 99.2% (excluding the scheduled off-hire days for planned dry-dockings and special surveys which are determined separately ranging from 16 to 30 days depending on the size and age of each vessel) based on historical experience. We consider the most recent ten year historical average rates to be a reasonable estimation of expected future charter rates over the remaining useful life of our vessels since such historical average represents a full shipping cycle that captures the highs and lows of the market. We utilize the standard deviation in order to eliminate the outliers in the period before computing the historic ten year average rates. The salvage value used in the impairment test is estimated at approximately \$300 per lightweight ton in accordance with the vessels depreciation policy.

Based on our analysis, the undiscounted projected net operating cash flows for each vessel were in excess compared to each vessel s carrying value, and accordingly, no impairment of vessels existed as of December 31, 2014 and 2015.

As noted above, we determine projected cash flows for unfixed days using an estimated daily time charter rate based on the most recent 10 year historical average rates. We consider this approach to be reasonable and appropriate. However, charter rates are subject to change based on a variety of factors that we cannot control and we note that charter rates over the last few years have been, on average, below their historical 10 year average. If as at December 31, 2014 and 2015, we were to utilize an estimated daily time charter equivalent for our vessels unfixed days based on the most recent five year, three year or one year historical average rates without adjusting for inflation (or another growth assumption), the results would be the following:

December 31, December 31, 2014 2015

Edgar Filing: Costamare Inc. - Form 20-F

	of	(\$	US	No. of	(5	nount \$ US
	v essels (*)		1110n <i>)</i> (**)	Vessels (*)	IVI	(**)
5-year historical average rate	5	\$	30	3	\$	8
3-year historical average rate	9		72	5		16
1-year historical average rate	17		131	10		14
					8	33

- (*) Number of vessels the carrying value of which would not have been recovered.
- (**) Aggregate carrying value that would not have been recovered.

An internal analysis, which used a discounted cash flow model utilizing inputs and assumptions based on market observations as of December 31, 2015, suggests that 25 of our 54 vessels in the water may have current market values below their carrying values (17 of our 55 vessels in the water as at December 31, 2014). However, we believe that, with respect to these 25 vessels, all of which are currently under time charters, we will recover their carrying values through the end of their useful lives, based on their undiscounted cash flows. We currently do not expect to sell any of these vessels, or otherwise dispose of them, significantly before the end of their estimated useful life.

Although we believe that the assumptions used to evaluate potential impairment are reasonable and appropriate, such assumptions are highly subjective. There can be no assurance as to how long charter rates and vessel values will remain at their current low levels or whether they will improve by any significant degree. Charter rates may remain at depressed levels for some time which could adversely affect our revenue and profitability, and future assessments of vessel impairment.

While the Company intends to continue to hold and operate its vessels, the following table presents information with respect to the carrying amount of the Company s vessels and indicates whether their estimated market values based on an internal discounted cash flow analysis are below their carrying values as of December 31, 2015 and 2014. The carrying value of each of the Company s vessels does not necessarily represent its fair market value or the amount that could be obtained if the vessel were sold. The Company s estimates of market values assume that the vessels are all in good and seaworthy condition without need for repair and, if inspected, would be certified as being in class without recommendations of any kind. In addition, because vessel values are highly volatile, these estimates may not be indicative of either the current or future prices that the Company could achieve if it were to sell any of the vessels. The Company would not record an impairment for any of the vessels for which the fair market value is below its carrying value unless and until the Company either determines to sell the vessel for a loss or determines that the vessel s carrying amount is not recoverable. The Company believes that the undiscounted projected net operating cash flows over the estimated remaining useful lives for those vessels that have experienced declines in estimated market values below their carrying values exceed such vessels carrying values as of December 31, 2015, and accordingly has not recorded an impairment charge.

Vessel	Capacity (TEU)	Built	Acquisition Date	Carrying Value December 31, 2014 (\$ US Million) ⁽¹⁾	Carrying Value December 31, 2015 (\$ US Million) ⁽¹⁾
1 Cosco Hellas	9,469	2006	July 2006	70.5	67.6
2 Cosco Guangzhou	9,469	2006	February 2006	69.3	67.6
3 Cosco Beijing	9,469	2006	June 2006	70.2	67.4
4 Cosco Yantian	9,469	2006	April 2006	70.0	67.2

Edgar	Filing:	Costamare	Inc	Form 20-F
-------	---------	-----------	-----	-----------

5 Cosco Ningbo	9,469	2006	March 2006	69.5	67.7
6 MSC Azov	9,403	2014	January 2014	83.1	80.6
7 MSC Ajaccio	9,403	2014	March 2014	83.6	81.0
8 MSC Amalfi	9,403	2014	April 2014	83.9	81.3
9 MSC Athens	8,827	2013	March 2013	93.3	90.3
10 MSC Athos	8,827	2013	April 2013	92.9	90.0
11 Valor	8,827	2013	June 2013	93.6	90.7
12 Value	8,827	2013	June 2013	93.6	90.6
13 Valiant	8,827	2013	August 2013	94.6	91.6
14 Valence	8,827	2013	September 2013	95.0	92.0
15 Vantage	8,827	2013	November 2013	94.9 &	