

Northfield Bancorp, Inc.
Form 424B3
August 19, 2010

Table of Contents

**Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)
File No. 333-167421**

**SUBSCRIPTION AND COMMUNITY
OFFERING PROSPECTUS**

**(Proposed Holding Company for Northfield Bank)
Up to 35,650,000 Shares of Common Stock
(Subject to increase to up to 40,997,500 shares)**

Northfield Bancorp, Inc., a newly formed Delaware corporation, is offering up to 35,650,000 shares of common stock for sale at \$10.00 per share on a best efforts basis in connection with the conversion of Northfield Bancorp, MHC from the mutual holding company to the stock holding company form of organization. The shares we are offering represent the ownership interest in Northfield Bancorp, Inc., a federal corporation, currently owned by Northfield Bancorp, MHC. In this prospectus, we will refer to Northfield Bancorp, Inc., the Delaware corporation, as

Northfield-Delaware, and we will refer to Northfield Bancorp, Inc., the federal corporation, as Northfield-Federal. Northfield-Federal's common stock is currently traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the trading symbol NFBK. For a period of 20 trading days after the completion of the conversion and offering, we expect the shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock will trade on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol NFBKD. Thereafter, our trading symbol will revert to NFBK.

The shares of common stock are first being offered in a subscription offering to eligible depositors and tax-qualified employee benefit plans of Northfield Bank as described in this prospectus. Eligible depositors and tax-qualified employee benefit plans have priority rights to buy all of the shares offered. Shares not purchased in the subscription offering will simultaneously be offered for sale to the general public in a community offering, with a preference given to residents of the communities served by Northfield Bank and existing stockholders of Northfield-Federal. We also may offer for sale shares of common stock not purchased in the subscription or community offerings in a syndicated community offering through a syndicate of selected dealers.

We may sell up to 40,997,500 shares of common stock because of demand for the shares of common stock or changes in market conditions, without resoliciting purchasers. We must sell a minimum of 26,350,000 shares in the offering in order to complete the offering and the conversion.

In addition to the shares we are selling in the offering, the remaining interest in Northfield-Federal currently held by the public will be exchanged for shares of common stock of Northfield-Delaware based on an exchange ratio that will result in existing public stockholders of Northfield-Federal owning approximately the same percentage of Northfield-Delaware common stock as they owned in Northfield-Federal immediately prior to the completion of the conversion. We will issue up to 27,341,810 shares of common stock in the exchange, which may be increased to up to 31,443,082 shares if we sell 40,997,500 shares of common stock in the offering.

The minimum order is 25 shares. The offering is expected to expire at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on September 13, 2010. We may extend this expiration date without notice to you until October 28, 2010. Once submitted, orders are irrevocable unless the offering is terminated or is extended, with Office of Thrift Supervision approval, beyond October 28, 2010, or the number of shares of common stock to be sold is increased to more than 40,997,500 shares or decreased to less than 26,350,000 shares. If the offering is extended past October 28, 2010, or if the number of shares to be sold is increased to more than 40,997,500 shares or decreased to less than 26,350,000 shares, we will resolicit subscribers, and all funds delivered to us to purchase shares of common stock will be returned promptly with interest. Funds received in the subscription and the community offerings will be held in a segregated account at Northfield Bank and will earn interest at 0.25% per annum until completion of the offering.

Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. will assist us in selling the shares on a best efforts basis in the subscription and community offerings, and will serve as sole book-running manager for any syndicated community offering. Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. is not required to purchase any shares of common stock that are being offered for sale.

OFFERING SUMMARY

Price: \$10.00 per Share

	Minimum	Midpoint	Maximum	Adjusted Maximum
Number of shares	26,350,000	31,000,000	35,650,000	40,997,500
Gross offering proceeds	\$ 263,500,000	\$ 310,000,000	\$ 356,500,000	\$ 409,975,000
Estimated offering expenses, excluding selling agent commissions	\$ 1,681,500	\$ 1,681,500	\$ 1,681,500	\$ 1,681,500
Selling agent commissions (1)	\$ 7,790,700	\$ 9,167,100	\$ 10,543,500	\$ 12,126,360
Estimated net proceeds	\$ 254,027,800	\$ 299,151,400	\$ 344,275,000	\$ 396,167,140
Estimated net proceeds per share	\$ 9.64	\$ 9.65	\$ 9.66	\$ 9.66

- (1) The amounts shown assume that 50% of the shares are sold in the subscription and community offerings and the remaining 50% are sold in a syndicated community offering. The amounts shown include fees and selling commissions payable by us: (i) to Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. in connection with the subscription and community offerings equal to 1.0% of the aggregate amount of common stock sold in the subscription and community offerings (net of insider purchases and shares purchased by our employee stock ownership plan), or approximately \$1.9 million at the adjusted maximum of the offering range; and (ii) a management fee payable by us of 1.0% of the actual purchase price of each share of common stock sold in the syndicated community offering, 80% of which will be paid to Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. and 20% of which will be paid to Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc., and a selling concession payable by us of 4.0% of the actual purchase price of each share of common stock sold in the syndicated community offering, which will be allocated to dealers (including Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. and Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc.) in accordance with the actual number of shares of common stock sold by such dealers, or approximately \$10.2 million at the adjusted maximum of the offering. Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. will not be separately reimbursed for expenses if the offering is completed. See The Conversion and Offering Plan of Distribution; Selling Agent Compensation for information regarding compensation to be received by Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P., Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. and the other broker-dealers that may participate in the syndicated community offering and Pro Forma Data for the assumptions regarding the number of shares that may be sold in the subscription and community offerings and the syndicated community offering used to determine the estimated offering expenses. If all shares of common stock were sold in the syndicated community offering, the maximum selling agent commissions would be approximately \$13.2 million, \$15.5 million, \$17.8 million and \$20.5 million at the minimum, midpoint, maximum, and adjusted maximum levels of the offering, respectively.

This investment involves a degree of risk, including the possible loss of principal.

Please read Risk Factors beginning on page 16.

These securities are not deposits or accounts and are not insured or guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency. Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission, the

Office of Thrift Supervision, nor any state securities regulator has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this Prospectus is accurate or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

For assistance, please contact the Stock Information Center, toll-free, at (877) 651-9234.

The date of this prospectus is August 9, 2010.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	16
<u>SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA OF NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES</u>	28
<u>RECENT DEVELOPMENTS</u>	30
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	40
<u>HOW WE INTEND TO USE THE PROCEEDS FROM THE OFFERING</u>	41
<u>OUR DIVIDEND POLICY</u>	43
<u>MARKET FOR THE COMMON STOCK</u>	44
<u>HISTORICAL AND PRO FORMA REGULATORY CAPITAL COMPLIANCE</u>	45
<u>CAPITALIZATION</u>	46
<u>PRO FORMA DATA</u>	48
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	56
<u>BUSINESS OF NORTHFIELD-DELAWARE</u>	95
<u>BUSINESS OF NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. AND NORTHFIELD BANK</u>	95
<u>SUPERVISION AND REGULATION</u>	113
<u>TAXATION</u>	122
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	124
<u>BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK</u>	153
<u>SUBSCRIPTIONS BY DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	155
<u>THE CONVERSION AND OFFERING</u>	156
<u>COMPARISON OF STOCKHOLDERS' RIGHTS FOR EXISTING STOCKHOLDERS OF NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC.</u>	180
<u>RESTRICTIONS ON ACQUISITION OF NORTHFIELD-DELAWARE</u>	184
<u>DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK OF NORTHFIELD-DELAWARE FOLLOWING THE CONVERSION</u>	188
<u>TRANSFER AGENT</u>	189
<u>EXPERTS</u>	189
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	189
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	190
<u>INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES</u>	F-1

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

The following summary explains the significant aspects of the conversion, the offering and the exchange of existing shares of Northfield-Federal common stock for shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock. It may not contain all of the information that is important to you. For additional information before making an investment decision, you should read this entire document carefully, including the consolidated financial statements and the notes to the consolidated financial statements, and the section entitled Risk Factors.

The Companies

Northfield-Delaware

The shares being offered will be issued by Northfield-Delaware, a newly formed Delaware corporation. Upon completion of the conversion, Northfield-Delaware will become the successor corporation to Northfield-Federal and the parent holding company for Northfield Bank. Northfield-Delaware's executive offices are located at 581 Main Street, Suite 810, Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095, and its telephone number at this address is (732) 499-7200.

Northfield Bank

Northfield Bank is a community bank that has served the banking needs of its customers since 1887. Northfield Bank conducts business primarily from its home office located in Staten Island, New York, its operations center located in Woodbridge, New Jersey, its 17 additional branch offices located in New York and New Jersey and its lending offices located in Brooklyn, New York and Gwinnett County, Georgia. The branch offices are located in the New York counties of Richmond (Staten Island) and Kings (Brooklyn) and the New Jersey counties of Union and Middlesex.

Northfield Bank's principal business consists of taking deposits, primarily through its retail banking offices, and investing those funds in loans and securities. Northfield Bank offers a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms, and relies on its convenient locations, customer service and competitive pricing and products to attract and retain deposits. To a lesser extent, Northfield Bank uses borrowed funds and brokered deposits as additional sources of funds. Northfield Bank's principal lending activity is originating multifamily and commercial real estate loans for retention in its portfolio, and also offering a variety of other types of loans for individuals and small businesses. Northfield Bank's investment securities portfolio is comprised principally of mortgage-backed securities and corporate bonds. Northfield Bank is subject to comprehensive regulation and examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision.

Northfield Bank's website address is www.eNorthfield.com. Information on this website is not and should not be considered a part of this prospectus.

Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bancorp, MHC

Northfield-Federal is a federally chartered corporation that currently is the parent holding company of Northfield Bank. At March 31, 2010, Northfield-Federal had consolidated assets of \$2.1 billion, deposits of \$1.4 billion and stockholders' equity of \$396.3 million. At March 31, 2010, Northfield-Federal had 43,722,522 shares of common stock outstanding, of which 19,080,838 shares, or 43.6%, were owned by the public (including Northfield Bank Foundation) and will be exchanged for shares of common stock of Northfield-Delaware as part of the conversion. The remaining 24,641,684 shares of common stock of Northfield-Federal are held by Northfield Bancorp, MHC, a federally chartered mutual holding company. The shares of common stock being offered by Northfield-Delaware represent Northfield Bancorp, MHC's ownership interest in Northfield-Federal. Upon completion of the conversion and offering, Northfield Bancorp, MHC's shares will be cancelled and Northfield Bancorp, MHC and Northfield-Federal will no longer exist.

Table of Contents

Our Current Organizational Structure

We have been organized in mutual holding company form since 1995, and in the two-tiered mutual holding company structure since 2002. In November 2007, Northfield-Federal sold 19,265,316 shares of its common stock to the public, representing 43.0% of its then-outstanding shares, at \$10.00 per share. Northfield-Federal issued 24,641,684 shares to Northfield Bancorp, MHC, and 896,061 shares to Northfield Bank Foundation, which was formed in connection with the initial stock offering.

Pursuant to the terms of Northfield Bancorp, MHC's plan of conversion and reorganization, Northfield Bancorp, MHC is now converting from the mutual holding company corporate structure to the stock holding company corporate structure. As part of the conversion, we are offering for sale the majority ownership interest in Northfield-Federal that is currently held by Northfield Bancorp, MHC. We are not contributing additional shares to the Northfield Bank Foundation in connection with the conversion and offering. Upon completion of the conversion and offering, Northfield Bancorp, MHC and Northfield-Federal will cease to exist, and we will complete the transition of our organization from being partially owned by public stockholders to being fully owned by public stockholders. Upon completion of the conversion, public stockholders of Northfield-Federal will receive shares of common stock of Northfield-Delaware in exchange for their shares of Northfield-Federal.

The following diagram shows our current organizational structure, reflecting ownership percentages as of July 30, 2010:

Table of Contents

After the conversion and offering are completed, we will be organized as a fully public holding company, as follows:

Business Strategy

Our business strategies are to:

remain a community-oriented financial institution;

continue to increase our lending;

improve asset quality;

expand through branching and acquisitions; and

employ securities investment strategies to increase income.

See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Business Strategy for a more complete discussion of our business strategy.

Reasons for the Conversion and Offering

Our primary reasons for converting to the fully public stock form of ownership and undertaking the stock offering are to:

eliminate the uncertainties associated with the mutual holding company structure under recently enacted financial reform legislation;

increase our capital;

transition us to a more familiar and flexible organizational structure;

Table of Contents

improve the liquidity of our shares of common stock; and

support any future mergers and acquisitions.

See The Conversion and Offering for a more complete discussion of our reasons for conducting the conversion and offering.

Terms of the Offering

We are offering between 26,350,000 and 35,650,000 shares of common stock to eligible depositors of Northfield Bank, to our tax-qualified employee benefit plans and, to the extent shares remain available, to residents of the New Jersey Counties of Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Hunterdon, Middlesex, Monmouth, Morris, Ocean, Passaic, Somerset, Sussex and Union, the New York Counties of Bronx, Kings, Nassau, New York, Putnam, Queens, Richmond, Rockland, Suffolk and Westchester, and Pike County, Pennsylvania. To the extent shares of common stock remain available, we are also offering the shares to our existing public stockholders and to the general public in a community offering and, if necessary, to the general public in a syndicated community offering. The number of shares of common stock to be sold may be increased to up to 40,997,500 shares as a result of demand for the shares of common stock in the offering or changes in market conditions. Unless the number of shares of common stock to be offered is increased to more than 40,997,500 shares or decreased to fewer than 26,350,000 shares, or the offering is extended beyond October 28, 2010, subscribers will not have the opportunity to change or cancel their stock orders once submitted. If the offering is extended past October 28, 2010, or if the number of shares to be sold is increased to more than 40,997,500 shares or decreased to less than 26,350,000 shares, all subscribers' stock orders will be canceled, their withdrawal authorizations will be canceled and funds delivered to us to purchase shares of common stock in the subscription and community offerings will be returned promptly with interest at 0.25% per annum. We will then resolicit subscribers, giving them an opportunity to place new orders for a period of time.

The purchase price of each share of common stock to be offered for sale in the offering is \$10.00. All investors will pay the same purchase price per share. Investors will not be charged a commission to purchase shares of common stock in the offering. Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P., our marketing agent in the offering, will use its best efforts to assist us in selling shares of our common stock but is not obligated to purchase any shares of common stock in the offering.

How We Determined the Offering Range, the Exchange Ratio and the \$10.00 Per Share Stock Price

The amount of common stock we are offering for sale and the exchange ratio for the exchange of shares of Northfield-Delaware for shares of Northfield-Federal are based on an independent appraisal of the estimated market value of Northfield-Delaware, assuming the conversion, exchange and offering are completed. RP Financial, LC., our independent appraiser, has estimated that, as of May 14, 2010, and updated as of July 16, 2010, this market value was \$547.8 million. Based on Office of Thrift Supervision regulations, this market value forms the midpoint of a valuation range with a minimum of \$465.6 million and a maximum of \$629.9 million. Based on this valuation and the valuation range, the 56.6% ownership interest of Northfield Bancorp, MHC in Northfield-Federal as of July 30, 2010 being sold in the offering and the \$10.00 per share price, the number of shares of common stock being offered for sale by Northfield-Delaware will range from 26,350,000 shares to 35,650,000 shares. The \$10.00 per share price was selected primarily because it is the price most commonly used in mutual-to-stock conversions of financial institutions. The exchange ratio will range from 1.0693 shares at the minimum of the offering range to 1.4467 shares at the maximum of the offering range, and will preserve the existing percentage ownership of public stockholders of Northfield-Federal (excluding any new shares purchased by them in the stock offering and their receipt of cash in lieu of fractional shares). If demand for shares or market conditions warrant, the appraisal can be increased by 15%, which would result in an appraised value of \$724.4 million, an offering of 40,997,500 shares of common stock, and an exchange ratio of 1.6637 shares.

Table of Contents

The appraisal is based in part on Northfield-Federal's financial condition and results of operations, the pro forma effect of the additional capital raised by the sale of shares of common stock in the offering, and an analysis of a peer group of ten publicly traded thrift holding companies that RP Financial, LC. considers comparable to Northfield-Federal. The appraisal peer group consists of the following companies. Asset size for all companies is as of March 31, 2010.

Company Name	Ticker		Headquarters	Total Assets (in millions)
	Symbol	Exchange		
Brookline Bancorp, Inc.	BRKL	Nasdaq	Brookline, MA	\$2,639
Danvers Bancorp, Inc.	DNBK	Nasdaq	Danvers, MA	\$2,455
ESB Financial Corp.	ESBF	Nasdaq	Ellwood City, PA	\$1,955
ESSA Bancorp, Inc.	ESSA	Nasdaq	Stroudsburg, PA	\$1,059
Flushing Financial Corp.	FFIC	Nasdaq	Lake Success, NY	\$4,183
NewAlliance Bancshares	NAL	NYSE	New Haven, CT	\$8,501
OceanFirst Financial Corp.	OCFC	Nasdaq	Toms River, NJ	\$2,199
Provident NY Bancorp, Inc.	PBNY	Nasdaq	Montebello, NY	\$2,936
United Financial Bancorp	UBNK	Nasdaq	W. Springfield, MA	\$1,513
Westfield Financial Inc.	WFD	Nasdaq	Westfield, MA	\$1,200

The following table presents a summary of selected pricing ratios for the peer group companies based on earnings and other information as of and for the twelve months ended March 31, 2010, and stock prices as of July 16, 2010, as reflected in the updated appraisal report. The summary pricing ratios for Northfield-Delaware (on a pro forma basis) are based on earnings and other information as of and for the twelve months ended June 30, 2010 as reflected in the updated appraisal. Compared to the average pricing of the peer group, our pro forma pricing ratios at the midpoint of the offering range indicated a discount of 18.3% on a price-to-book value basis, a discount of 28.7% on a price-to-tangible book value basis, and a premium of 53.8% on a price-to-earnings basis.

	Price-to-earnings multiple (1)(2)	Price-to-book value ratio (2)	Price-to-tangible book value ratio (2)
Northfield-Delaware (on a pro forma basis, assuming completion of the conversion)			
Adjusted Maximum	51.38x	94.88%	96.99%
Maximum	44.83x	88.03%	90.01%
Midpoint	39.10x	81.23%	83.19%
Minimum	33.34x	73.53%	75.47%
Valuation of peer group companies, all of which are fully converted (on an historical basis)			
Averages	25.42x	99.38%	116.16%
Medians	24.15x	98.76%	120.36%

(1) Price-to-earnings multiples calculated by RP Financial, LC. in the independent appraisal are based

on an estimate of core or recurring earnings. These ratios are different than those presented in Pro Forma Data.

- (2) Pro forma pricing ratios for Northfield-Delaware are based on financial information through June 30, 2010. These ratios are different than those presented in Pro Forma Data.

The independent appraisal does not indicate trading market value. Do not assume or expect that our valuation as indicated in the appraisal means that after the conversion and offering the shares of our common stock will trade at or above the \$10.00 per share purchase price. Furthermore, the pricing ratios presented in the appraisal were utilized by RP Financial, LC. to estimate our *pro forma* appraised value for regulatory purposes and not to compare the relative value of shares of our common stock with the value of the capital stock of the peer group. The value of the capital stock of a particular company may be affected by a number of factors such as financial performance, asset size and market location.

Table of Contents

For a more complete discussion of the amount of common stock we are offering for sale and the independent appraisal, see The Conversion and Offering Stock Pricing and Number of Shares to be Issued.

After-Market Stock Price Performance Provided by Independent Appraiser

The following table presents stock price performance information for all second-step conversions completed between January 1, 2009 and July 16, 2010. None of these companies were included in the group of 10 comparable public companies utilized in RP Financial, L.C.'s valuation analysis.

**Completed Second-Step Conversion Offerings
Closing Dates between January 1, 2009 and July 16, 2010**

Company Name and Ticker Symbol	Conversion Date	Exchange	Percentage Price Change From Initial Trading Date			Through July 16, 2010
			One Day	One Week	One Month	
Jacksonville Bancorp, Inc. (JXSB)	7/15/10	Nasdaq	6.5%	N/A	N/A	5.0%
Colonial Fin. Services, Inc. (COBK)	7/13/10	Nasdaq	0.5%	N/A	N/A	(1.6)%
Viewpoint Fin. Group (VPPG)	7/7/10	Nasdaq	(5.0)%	(4.5)%	N/A	(5.0)%
Oneida Financial Corp. (ONFC)	7/7/10	Nasdaq	(6.3)%	(6.3)%	N/A	(5.6)%
Fox Chase Bancorp, Inc. (FXCB)	6/29/10	Nasdaq	(4.1)%	(4.0)%	N/A	(2.3)%
Oritani Financial Corp. (ORIT)	6/24/10	Nasdaq	3.1%	(1.4)%	N/A	(1.9)%
Eagle Bancorp Montana, Inc. (EBMT)	4/5/10	Nasdaq	5.5%	6.5%	4.1%	(2.0)%
Ocean Shore Holding Co. (OSHC)	12/21/09	Nasdaq	7.5%	12.3%	13.1%	35.0%
Northwest Bancshares, Inc. (NWBI)	12/18/09	Nasdaq	13.5%	13.0%	14.0%	13.9%
Average			2.4%	2.1%	10.4%	3.9%
Median			3.1%	(1.4)%	13.1%	(1.9)%

Stock price performance is affected by many factors, including, but not limited to: general market and economic conditions; the interest rate environment; the amount of proceeds a company raises in its offering; and numerous factors relating to the specific company, including the experience and ability of management, historical and anticipated operating results, the nature and quality of the company's assets, and the company's market area. None of the companies listed in the table above are exactly similar to Northfield-Delaware, the pricing ratios for their stock offerings may have been different from the pricing ratios for Northfield-Delaware shares of common stock and the market conditions in which these offerings were completed may have been different from current market conditions. Furthermore, this table presents only short-term performance with respect to companies that recently completed their second-step conversions and may not be indicative of the longer-term stock price performance of these companies.

The performance of these stocks may not be indicative of how our stock will perform.

Our stock price may trade below \$10.00 per share, as the stock prices of many second-step conversions have decreased below the initial offering price. Before you make an investment decision, we urge you to carefully read this prospectus, including, but not limited to, the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 16.

The Exchange of Existing Shares of Northfield-Federal Common Stock

If you are currently a stockholder of Northfield-Federal, your shares will be canceled at the completion of the conversion and will be exchanged for shares of common stock of Northfield-Delaware. The number of shares of common stock you receive will be based on the exchange ratio, which will depend upon our final appraised value. The following table shows how the exchange ratio will adjust, based on the valuation of Northfield-Delaware and the number of shares of common stock issued in the offering. The table also shows the number of shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock a hypothetical owner of Northfield-Federal common stock would receive in exchange for 100 shares of Northfield-Federal common stock owned at the completion of the conversion, depending on the number of shares of common stock issued in the offering.

Table of Contents

	Shares to be Sold in This Offering		Shares of Northfield-Delaware to be Issued for Shares of Northfield-Federal		Total Shares of Common Stock to be Issued in Exchange and Offering	Exchange Ratio	Equivalent Value of Shares Based Upon Offering Price (2)	Equivalent Pro Forma Tangible Book Value Per Share (3)	Shares to be Received for 100 Existing Shares
	Amount	(1)	Amount	(1)					
Minimum	26,350,000	56.6%	20,209,164	43.4%	46,559,164	1.0693	\$ 10.69	\$ 14.08	106
Midpoint	31,000,000	56.6	23,775,487	43.4	54,775,487	1.2580	12.58	15.03	125
Maximum	35,650,000	56.6	27,341,810	43.4	62,991,810	1.4467	14.47	15.99	144
Adjusted Maximum	40,997,500	56.6	31,443,082	43.4	72,440,582	1.6637	16.64	17.07	166

(1) Ownership percentages reflect shares outstanding at July 30, 2010.

(2) Represents the value of shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock to be received in the conversion by a holder of one share of Northfield-Federal, pursuant to the exchange ratio, based upon the \$10.00 per share purchase price.

(3) Represents the pro forma tangible book value per share at each level of the offering range multiplied by the respective exchange ratio.

If you own shares of Northfield-Federal common stock in a brokerage account in street name, your shares will be exchanged automatically, and you do not need to take any action to exchange your shares of common stock. If your

shares are represented by physical Northfield-Federal stock certificates, after the completion of the conversion and stock offering, our exchange agent will mail to you a transmittal form with instructions to surrender your stock certificates. New certificates of Northfield-Delaware common stock will be mailed to you within five business days after the exchange agent receives properly executed transmittal forms and your Northfield-Federal stock certificates.

You should not submit a stock certificate until you receive a transmittal form.

No fractional shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock will be issued to any public stockholder of Northfield-Federal. For each fractional share that otherwise would be issued, Northfield-Delaware will pay in cash an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying the fractional share interest to which the holder otherwise would be entitled by the \$10.00 per share offering price.

Outstanding options to purchase shares of Northfield-Federal common stock also will convert into and become options to purchase shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock based upon the exchange ratio. The aggregate exercise price, duration and vesting schedule of these options will not be affected by the conversion. At March 31, 2010, there were 2,072,540 outstanding options to purchase shares of Northfield-Federal common stock, 402,060 of which have vested. Such outstanding options will be converted into options to purchase 2,216,167 shares of common stock at the minimum of the offering range and 2,998,344 shares of common stock at the maximum of the offering range. Because Office of Thrift Supervision regulations prohibit us from repurchasing our common stock during the first year following the conversion unless compelling business reasons exist for such repurchases, we may use authorized but unissued shares to fund option exercises that occur during the first year following the conversion. If all existing options were exercised for authorized but unissued shares of common stock following the conversion, stockholders would experience dilution of approximately 4.54% at both the minimum and the maximum of the offering range.

How We Intend to Use the Proceeds From the Offering

We intend to invest at least 50% of the net proceeds from the stock offering in Northfield Bank, loan funds to our employee stock ownership plan to fund its purchase of shares of common stock in the stock offering and retain the remainder of the net proceeds from the offering. Therefore, assuming we sell 31,000,000 shares of common stock in the stock offering, and we have net proceeds of \$299.2 million, we intend to invest \$149.6 million in Northfield Bank, loan \$12.4 million to our employee stock ownership plan to fund its purchase of shares of common stock and retain the remaining \$137.2 million of the net proceeds.

We may use the funds we retain to acquire other financial institutions, for investments, to pay cash dividends, to repurchase shares of common stock and for other general corporate purposes. Northfield Bank may

Table of Contents

use the proceeds it receives from us to acquire other financial institutions, to expand its branch network and to support increased lending (with an emphasis on multifamily and commercial real estate lending) and other products and services. Northfield Bank currently intends to open nine new branch offices by December 31, 2013, and has currently committed to establishing three new branch offices in Brooklyn, New York and one branch office in Staten Island, New York.

Please see the section of this prospectus entitled "How We Intend to Use the Proceeds from the Offering" for more information on the proposed use of the proceeds from the offering.

Persons Who May Order Shares of Common Stock in the Offering

We are offering the shares of common stock in a subscription offering in the following descending order of priority:

- (i) First, to depositors with accounts at Northfield Bank with aggregate balances of at least \$50 at the close of business on March 31, 2009.
- (ii) Second, to our tax-qualified employee benefit plans (including Northfield Bank's employee stock ownership plan and 401(k) plan), which will receive, without payment therefor, nontransferable subscription rights to purchase in the aggregate up to 10% of the shares of common stock sold in the offering. We expect our employee stock ownership plan to purchase 4% of the shares of common stock sold in the stock offering, although we reserve the right to have the employee stock ownership plan purchase more than 4% of the shares sold in the offering to the extent necessary to complete the offering at the minimum of the offering range.
- (iii) Third, to depositors with accounts at Northfield Bank with aggregate balances of at least \$50 at the close of business on June 30, 2010.
- (iv) Fourth, to depositors of Northfield Bank at the close of business on July 30, 2010.

Shares of common stock not purchased in the subscription offering will be offered for sale to the general public in a community offering, with a preference given first to natural persons (including trusts of natural persons) residing in the New Jersey Counties of Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Hunterdon, Middlesex, Monmouth, Morris, Ocean, Passaic, Somerset, Sussex and Union, the New York Counties of Bronx, Kings, Nassau, New York, Putnam, Queens, Richmond, Rockland, Suffolk and Westchester, and Pike County, Pennsylvania. To the extent shares of common stock remain available, we are also offering the shares to Northfield-Federal's public stockholders as of July 30, 2010. The community offering is expected to begin concurrently with the subscription offering. We also may offer for sale shares of common stock not purchased in the subscription offering or the community offering through a syndicated community offering. Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. will act as sole book-running manager and Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. will act as co-manager for the syndicated community offering, which is also being conducted on a best efforts basis. We have the right to accept or reject, in our sole discretion, orders received in the community offering or syndicated community offering. Any determination to accept or reject stock orders in the community offering and the syndicated community offering will be based on the facts and circumstances available to management at the time of the determination.

If we receive orders for more shares than we are offering, we may not be able to fully or partially fill your order. Shares will be allocated first to categories in the subscription offering. A detailed description of the subscription offering, the community offering and the syndicated community offering, as well as a discussion regarding allocation procedures, can be found in the section of this prospectus entitled "The Conversion and Offering."

Limits on How Much Common Stock You May Purchase

The minimum number of shares of common stock that may be purchased is 25.

Table of Contents

Generally, no individual may purchase more than 300,000 shares (\$3.0 million) of common stock. If any of the following persons purchase shares of common stock, their purchases, in all categories of the offering, when combined with your purchases, cannot exceed 300,000 shares (\$3.0 million) of common stock:

your spouse or relatives of you or your spouse living in your house;

most companies, trusts or other entities in which you are a trustee, have a substantial beneficial interest or hold a senior position; or

other persons who may be your associates or persons acting in concert with you.

Unless we determine otherwise, persons having the same address and persons exercising subscription rights through qualifying deposit accounts registered to the same address will be subject to the overall purchase limitation of 300,000 shares (\$3.0 million).

In addition to the above purchase limitations, there is an ownership limitation for current stockholders of Northfield-Federal other than our employee stock ownership plan. Shares of common stock that you purchase in the offering individually and together with persons described above, *plus* any shares you and they receive in exchange for existing shares of Northfield-Federal common stock, may not exceed 5% of the total shares of common stock to be issued and outstanding after the completion of the conversion. However, if, based on your current ownership level, you will own more than 5% of the total shares of common stock to be issued and outstanding after the completion of the conversion, you will not need to divest any of your shares.

Subject to Office of Thrift Supervision approval, we may increase or decrease the purchase and ownership limitations at any time. See the detailed description of the purchase limitations in the section of this prospectus headed **The Conversion and Offering Additional Limitations on Common Stock Purchases.**

How You May Purchase Shares of Common Stock in the Subscription Offering and the Community Offering

In the subscription offering and community offering, you may pay for your shares only by:

- (i) personal check, bank check or money order made payable directly to Northfield Bancorp, Inc.; or
- (ii) authorizing us to withdraw available funds from the types of Northfield Bank deposit accounts designated on the stock order form.

Northfield Bank is not permitted to lend funds to anyone for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock in the offering. Additionally, you may not use a Northfield Bank line of credit check or any type of third party check to pay for shares of common stock. Please do not submit cash or wire transfers. You may not designate withdrawal from Northfield Bank's accounts with check-writing privileges; instead, please submit a check. You may not authorize direct withdrawal from a Northfield Bank retirement account. See **Using Individual Retirement Account Funds to Purchase Shares of Common Stock.**

You may subscribe for shares of common stock in the offering by delivering a signed and completed original stock order form, together with full payment payable to Northfield Bancorp, Inc. or authorization to withdraw funds from one or more of your Northfield Bank deposit accounts, provided that the stock order form is *received* before 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on September 13, 2010, which is the end of the subscription offering period. You may submit your stock order form and payment by mail using the stock order reply envelope provided, or by overnight delivery to our Stock Information Center at the address noted on the Stock Order Form. You may hand-deliver stock order forms to the Stock Information Center, which will be located at Northfield Bank's Avenel office, 1410 St. Georges Avenue, Second floor, Avenel, New Jersey. Hand-delivered stock order forms will only be accepted at this location. We will not accept stock order forms at our other branch offices. **Please do not mail stock order forms to Northfield Bank's offices.**

Table of Contents

Please see **The Conversion and Offering Procedure for Purchasing Shares Payment for Shares** for a complete description of how to purchase shares in the stock offering.

Using Individual Retirement Account Funds to Purchase Shares of Common Stock

You may be able to subscribe for shares of common stock using funds in your individual retirement account, or IRA. If you wish to use some or all of the funds in your Northfield Bank individual retirement account, the applicable funds must be transferred to a self-directed account maintained by an independent trustee, such as a brokerage firm, and the purchase must be made through that account. If you do not have such an account, you will need to establish one before placing your stock order. An annual administrative fee may be payable to the independent trustee. Because individual circumstances differ and the processing of retirement fund orders takes additional time, we recommend that you contact our Stock Information Center promptly, preferably at least two weeks before the September 13, 2010 offering deadline, for assistance with purchases using your individual retirement account or other retirement account that you may have at Northfield Bank *or elsewhere*. Whether you may use such funds for the purchase of shares in the stock offering may depend on timing constraints and, possibly, limitations imposed by the institution where the funds are held.

See **The Conversion and Offering Procedure for Purchasing Shares Payment for Shares** and **Using Individual Retirement Account Funds** for a complete description of how to use IRA funds to purchase shares in the stock offering.

Purchases by Officers and Directors

We expect our directors and executive officers, together with their associates, to subscribe for 89,000 shares of common stock in the offering, representing 0.34% of shares to be sold at the minimum of the offering range. The purchase price paid by them will be the same \$10.00 per share price paid by all other persons who purchase shares of common stock in the offering. Following the conversion, our directors and executive officers, together with their associates, are expected to beneficially own 1,603,032 shares of common stock, or 3.4% of our total outstanding shares of common stock at the minimum of the offering range, which includes shares they currently own that will be exchanged for new shares of Northfield-Delaware.

See **Subscriptions by Directors and Executive Officers** for more information on the proposed purchases of shares of common stock by our directors and executive officers.

Deadline for Orders of Shares of Common Stock in the Subscription and Community Offering

The deadline for purchasing shares of common stock in the subscription and community offering is 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on September 13, 2010, unless we extend this deadline. If you wish to purchase shares of common stock, a properly completed and signed original stock order form, together with full payment, must be received (not postmarked) by this time.

Although we will make reasonable attempts to provide this prospectus and offering materials to holders of subscription rights, the subscription offering and all subscription rights will expire at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on September 13, 2010, whether or not we have been able to locate each person entitled to subscription rights.

See **The Conversion and Offering Procedure for Purchasing Shares Expiration Date** for a complete description of the deadline for purchasing shares in the stock offering.

You May Not Sell or Transfer Your Subscription Rights

Office of Thrift Supervision regulations prohibit you from transferring your subscription rights. If you order shares of common stock in the subscription offering, you will be required to state that you are purchasing the common stock for yourself and that you have no agreement or understanding to sell or transfer your subscription rights. We intend to take legal action, including reporting persons to federal agencies, against anyone who we

Table of Contents

believe has sold or transferred his or her subscription rights. We will not accept your order if we have reason to believe that you have sold or transferred your subscription rights. On the order form, you may not add the names of others for joint stock registration who do not have subscription rights or who qualify only in a lower subscription offering priority than you do. You may add only those who were eligible to purchase shares of common stock in the subscription offering at your date of eligibility. In addition, the stock order form requires that you list all deposit accounts, giving all names on each account and the account number at the applicable eligibility date. Failure to provide this information, or providing incomplete or incorrect information, may result in a loss of part or all of your share allocation if there is an oversubscription.

Delivery of Stock Certificates

Certificates representing shares of common stock sold in the subscription offering and community offering will be mailed to the certificate registration address noted by purchasers on the stock order form. Stock certificates will be sent to purchasers by first-class mail as soon as practicable after the completion of the conversion and stock offering. We expect trading in the stock to begin on the business day of or on the business day following the completion of the conversion and stock offering. The conversion and stock offering are expected to be completed as soon as practicable following satisfaction of the conditions described below in **Conditions to Completion of the Conversion**. **It is possible that until certificates for the common stock are delivered to purchasers, purchasers might not be able to sell the shares of common stock that they ordered, even though the common stock will have begun trading.** Your ability to sell the shares of common stock before receiving your stock certificate will depend on arrangements you may make with a brokerage firm. If you are currently a stockholder of Northfield-Federal, see **The Conversion and Offering Exchange of Existing Stockholders** **Stock Certificates**.

Conditions to Completion of the Conversion

We cannot complete the conversion and offering unless:

The plan of conversion and reorganization is approved by at least *a majority of votes eligible* to be cast by members of Northfield Bancorp, MHC (depositors of Northfield Bank) as of July 30, 2010;

The plan of conversion and reorganization is approved by at least *two-thirds of the outstanding* shares of common stock of Northfield-Federal as of July 30, 2010, including shares held by Northfield Bancorp, MHC;

The plan of conversion and reorganization is approved by at least *a majority of the outstanding* shares of common stock of Northfield-Federal as of July 30, 2010, excluding those shares held by Northfield Bancorp, MHC;

We sell at least the minimum number of shares of common stock offered; and

We receive the final approval of the Office of Thrift Supervision to complete the conversion and offering.

Northfield Bancorp, MHC intends to vote its shares in favor of the plan of conversion and reorganization. At July 30, 2010, Northfield Bancorp, MHC owned 56.6% of the outstanding shares of common stock of Northfield-Federal. The directors and executive officers of Northfield-Federal and their affiliates owned 1,118,296 shares of Northfield-Federal (excluding exercisable options), or 2.6% of the outstanding shares of common stock and 5.9% of the outstanding shares of common stock excluding shares owned by Northfield Bancorp, MHC. They intend to vote those shares in favor of the plan of conversion and reorganization.

Table of Contents

Steps We May Take if We Do Not Receive Orders for the Minimum Number of Shares

If we do not receive orders for at least 26,350,000 shares of common stock, we may take several steps in order to issue the minimum number of shares of common stock in the offering range. Specifically, we may:

- (i) increase the purchase and ownership limitations; and/or
- (ii) seek regulatory approval to extend the offering beyond October 28, 2010, so long as we resolicit subscriptions that we have previously received in the offering; and/or
- (iii) increase the shares purchased by the employee stock ownership plan.

If one or more purchase limitations are increased, subscribers in the subscription offering who ordered the maximum amount will be, and, in our sole discretion, some other large purchasers may be, given the opportunity to increase their subscriptions up to the then-applicable limit.

Possible Change in the Offering Range

RP Financial, LC. will update its appraisal before we complete the offering. If, as a result of demand for the shares or changes in market conditions, RP Financial, LC. determines that our pro forma market value has increased, we may sell up to 40,997,500 shares in the offering without further notice to you. If our pro forma market value at that time is either below \$465.6 million or above \$724.4 million, then, after consulting with the Office of Thrift Supervision, we may:

terminate the stock offering and promptly return all funds (with interest paid on funds received in the subscription and community offerings);

set a new offering range; or

take such other actions as may be permitted by the Office of Thrift Supervision and the Securities and Exchange Commission.

If we set a new offering range, we will promptly return funds, with interest at 0.25% per annum for funds received for purchases in the subscription and community offerings, and cancel any authorization to withdraw funds from deposit accounts for the purchase of shares of common stock. We will resolicit subscribers, allowing them to place a new stock order for a period of time.

Possible Termination of the Offering

We may terminate the offering at any time prior to the special meeting of members of Northfield Bancorp, MHC that is being called to vote on the conversion, and at any time after member approval with the approval of the Office of Thrift Supervision. If we terminate the offering, we will promptly return your funds with interest at 0.25% per annum and we will cancel deposit account withdrawal authorizations.

Benefits to Management and Potential Dilution to Stockholders Resulting from the Conversion

We expect our employee stock ownership plan, which is a tax-qualified retirement plan for the benefit of all of our employees, to purchase up to 4% of the shares of common stock we sell in the offering. These shares, when combined with shares owned by our existing employee stock ownership plan, will be less than 8% of the shares outstanding following the conversion. If we receive orders for more shares of common stock than the maximum of the offering range, the employee stock ownership plan will have first priority to purchase shares over this maximum, up to a total of 4% of the shares of common stock sold in the offering. This would reduce the number of shares available for allocation to eligible account holders. For further information, see Management Executive Compensation Employee Stock Ownership Plan and Trust.

Table of Contents

Office of Thrift Supervision regulations permit us to implement one or more new stock-based benefit plans no earlier than six months after completion of the conversion. Our current intention is to implement one or more new stock-based incentive plans, but we have not determined whether we would adopt the plans within 12 months following the completion of the conversion or more than 12 months following the completion of the conversion. Stockholder approval of these plans would be required. If we implement stock-based benefit plans within 12 months following the completion of the conversion, the stock-based benefit plans would reserve a number of shares (i) up to 4% of the shares of common stock sold in the offering (reduced by amounts purchased in the stock offering by our 401(k) plan using its purchase priority in the stock offering) for awards of restricted stock to key employees and directors, at no cost to the recipients and (ii) up to 10% of the shares of common stock sold in the offering for issuance pursuant to the exercise of stock options by key employees and directors. The total number of shares available under the stock-based benefit plans is subject to adjustment as may be required by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations or policy to reflect shares of common stock or stock options previously granted by Northfield-Federal or Northfield Bank. For stock-based benefit plans adopted within 12 months following the completion of the conversion, current Office of Thrift Supervision policy would require that the total number of shares of restricted stock and the total number of shares available for the exercise of stock options not exceed 4% and 10%, respectively, of our total outstanding shares following the conversion. If the stock-based benefit plan is adopted more than 12 months after the completion of the conversion, it would not be subject to the percentage limitations set forth above. We have not yet determined the number of shares that would be reserved for issuance under these plans. For a description of our current stock-based benefit plan, see Management Compensation Discussion and Analysis Equity Awards.

The following table summarizes the number of shares of common stock and the aggregate dollar value of grants that are available under one or more stock-based benefit plans if such plans reserve a number of shares of common stock equal to not more than 4% and 10% of the shares sold in the stock offering for restricted stock awards and stock options, respectively. The table shows the dilution to stockholders if all such shares are issued from authorized but unissued shares, instead of shares purchased in the open market. A portion of the stock grants shown in the table below may be made to non-management employees or consultants. The table also sets forth the number of shares of common stock to be acquired by the employee stock ownership plan for allocation to all qualifying employees.

	Number of Shares to be Granted or Purchased			Dilution Resulting From Issuance of Shares for Stock-Based Benefit Plans	Value of Grants (In Thousands (1))	
	At Minimum of Offering Range	Adjusted Maximum of Offering Range	As a Percentage of Common Stock to be Sold in the Offering		At Minimum of Offering Range	Adjusted Maximum of Offering Range
Employee stock ownership plan	1,054,000	1,639,900	4.0%	N/A(2)	\$ 10,540	\$ 16,399
Restricted stock awards	1,054,000	1,639,900	4.0	2.21%	10,540	16,399
Stock options	2,635,000	4,099,750	10.0	5.36%	9,829	15,292
Total	4,743,000	7,379,550	18.0%	7.34%	\$ 30,909	\$ 48,090

(1)

The actual value of restricted stock awards will be determined based on their fair value as of the date grants are made. For purposes of this table, fair value for stock awards is assumed to be the same as the offering price of \$10.00 per share. The fair value of stock options has been estimated at \$3.73 per option using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, adjusted for the exchange ratio, with the following assumptions: a grant-date share price and option exercise price of \$10.00; an expected option life of 6.5 years; a dividend yield of 1.4%; a risk-free rate of return of 3.10%; and a volatility rate of 38.29%. The actual value of option grants will be determined by the grant-date fair value of the options, which will depend on a number of factors,

including the valuation assumptions used in the option pricing model ultimately adopted.

- (2) No dilution is reflected for the employee stock ownership plan because such shares are assumed to be purchased in the stock offering.

We may fund our stock-based benefit plans through open market purchases, as opposed to new issuances of stock; however, if any options previously granted under our existing 2008 Equity Incentive Plan are exercised during the first year following completion of the offering, they will be funded with newly issued shares as Office of Thrift Supervision regulations do not permit us to repurchase our shares during the first year following the completion of the offering except to fund the grants of restricted stock under our stock-based benefit plan or under extraordinary circumstances. We have been advised by the staff of the Office of Thrift Supervision that the exercise

Table of Contents

of outstanding options and cancellation of treasury shares in the conversion will not constitute an extraordinary circumstance for purposes of this test.

The following table presents information as of March 31, 2010 regarding our employee stock ownership plan, our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan and our proposed stock-based benefit plan. The table below assumes that 62,991,810 shares are outstanding after the offering, which includes the sale of 35,650,000 shares in the offering at the maximum of the offering range and the issuance of shares in exchange for shares of Northfield-Federal using an exchange ratio of 1.4467. It also assumes that the value of the stock is \$10.00 per share.

Existing and New Stock Benefit Plans	Participants	Shares at Maximum of Offering Range	Estimated Value of Shares	Percentage of Shares Outstanding After the Conversion
Employee Stock Ownership Plan:				
Shares purchased in 2007 offering (1)	Employees	2,540,809(2)	\$ 25,408,090	4.03%
Shares to be purchased in this offering		1,426,000	14,260,000	2.26
Total employee stock ownership plan shares		3,966,809	\$ 39,668,090	6.30%
Restricted Stock Awards:				
	Directors, Officers and Employees			
2008 Equity Incentive Plan (1)		1,270,404(3)	\$ 12,704,040(4)	2.02%
New shares of restricted stock		1,426,000	14,260,000(4)	2.26
Total shares of restricted stock		2,696,404	\$ 26,964,040	4.28%(5)
Stock Options:				
	Directors, Officers and Employees			
2008 Equity Incentive Plan (1)		3,176,011(6)	\$ 11,846,521	5.04%
New stock options		3,565,000	13,297,450(7)	5.66
Total stock options		6,741,011	\$ 25,143,971	10.70%(5)
Total of stock benefit plans		13,404,224	\$ 91,776,101	21.28%

(1) The number of shares indicated has been adjusted for the 1.4467 exchange ratio at

the maximum of
the offering range.

- (2) As of March 31, 2010, 256,951 of these shares, or 177,612 shares prior to adjustment for the exchange, have been allocated.
- (3) As of March 31, 2010, 1,200,110 of these shares, or 829,550 shares prior to adjustment for the exchange, have been awarded, and 252,927 of these shares, or 174,830 shares prior to adjustment for the exchange, have vested.
- (4) The value of restricted stock awards is determined based on their fair value as of the date grants are made. For purposes of this table, the fair value of awards under the new stock-based benefit plan is assumed to be the same as the offering price of \$10.00 per share.
- (5) The number of shares of restricted stock and shares reserved for stock

options set forth in the table would exceed regulatory limits if a stock-based incentive plan were adopted within one year of the completion of the conversion. Accordingly, the number of new shares of restricted stock and shares reserved for stock options set forth in the table would have to be reduced such that the aggregate amount of stock awards and shares reserved for stock options would be 4% or less and 10% or less, respectively, of our outstanding shares, unless we obtain a waiver from the Office of Thrift Supervision, or we implement the incentive plan more than 12 months after completion of the conversion. We have not determined whether we will implement a new stock-based incentive plan earlier than 12 months after completion of the conversion or more than

12 months after the completion of the conversion.

(6) As of March 31, 2010, options to purchase 3,018,395 of these shares, or 2,086,400 shares prior to adjustment for the exchange, have been awarded, and options to purchase 581,660 of these shares, or 402,060 shares prior to adjustment for the exchange, have vested.

(7) The weighted-average fair value of stock options to be granted has been estimated at \$3.73 per option, adjusted for the exchange ratio, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair value of stock options uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: exercise price, \$10.00; trading price on date of grant, \$10.00; dividend yield, 1.4%; expected life, 6.5 years; expected

volatility,
38.29%; and
risk-free rate of
return, 3.10%.
The actual value
of option grants
will be
determined by the
grant-date fair
value of the
options, which
will depend on a
number of factors,
including the
valuation
assumptions used
in the option
pricing model
ultimately
adopted.

Market for Common Stock

Existing publicly held shares of Northfield-Federal's common stock are quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol NFBK. Upon completion of the conversion, the shares of common stock of Northfield-Delaware will replace the existing shares. For a period of 20 trading days after the completion of the conversion and offering, we expect our shares of common stock will trade on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol NFBKD, and, thereafter, our trading symbol will revert to NFBK. In order to list our stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, we are required to have at least three broker-dealers who will make a market in

Table of Contents

our common stock. As of March 31, 2010, Northfield-Federal had 22 registered market makers in its common stock, including Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. has advised us that it intends to make a market in our common stock following the offering, but it is under no obligation to do so.

Our Dividend Policy

Northfield-Federal currently pays a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.05 per share, which equals \$0.20 per share on an annualized basis. After the conversion, we intend to continue to pay cash dividends on a quarterly basis. We expect the quarterly dividends per share to be between \$0.03 and \$0.04 per share, depending on how many shares of common stock are sold in the offering. This would approximately preserve the dividend amount that Northfield-Federal stockholders currently receive, as adjusted to reflect the exchange ratio. The dividend rate and the continued payment of dividends will depend on a number of factors, including our capital requirements, our financial condition and results of operations, tax considerations, statutory and regulatory limitations, and general economic conditions. No assurance can be given that we will continue to pay dividends or that they will not be reduced or eliminated in the future.

For information regarding our historical dividend payments, see Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data of Northfield Bancorp, Inc. and Market for the Common Stock. For information regarding our current and proposed dividend policy, see Our Dividend Policy.

Tax Consequences

Northfield Bancorp, MHC, Northfield-Federal, Northfield Bank and Northfield-Delaware have received an opinion of counsel, Luse Gorman Pomerenk & Schick, P.C., regarding the material federal income tax consequences of the conversion, and have received opinions of Crowe Horwath LLP regarding the material New York and New Jersey state tax consequences of the conversion. As a general matter, the conversion will not be a taxable transaction for purposes of federal or state income taxes to Northfield Bancorp, MHC, Northfield-Federal (except for cash paid for fractional shares), Northfield Bank, Northfield-Delaware, persons eligible to subscribe in the subscription offering, or existing stockholders of Northfield-Federal. Existing stockholders of Northfield-Federal who receive cash in lieu of fractional share interests in shares of Northfield-Delaware will recognize a gain or loss equal to the difference between the cash received and the tax basis of the fractional share.

How You Can Obtain Additional Information Stock Information Center

Our banking personnel may not, by law, assist with investment-related questions about the offering. If you have any questions regarding the conversion or offering, please call our Stock Information Center. The toll-free telephone number is (877) 651-9234. The Stock Information Center is open Monday through Friday between 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time. The Stock Information Center will be closed on weekends and bank holidays.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

You should consider carefully the following risk factors in evaluating an investment in the shares of common stock.

Risks Related to Our Business

We have been negatively affected by current market and economic conditions. A continuation or worsening of these conditions could adversely affect our operations, financial condition and earnings.

The severe economic recession of 2008 and 2009 and the weak economic recovery since then have resulted in continued uncertainty in the financial markets and the expectation of weak general economic conditions, including high levels of unemployment, continuing through 2010. The resulting economic pressure on consumers and businesses has adversely affected our business, financial condition and results of operations. The credit quality of loan and investment securities portfolios has deteriorated at many financial institutions and the values of real estate collateral supporting many commercial loans and home mortgages have declined and may continue to decline. Our commercial and multifamily real estate loan customers have experienced increases in vacancy rates and declines in rental rates for both multifamily and commercial properties. Financial companies' stock prices have been negatively affected, as has the ability of banks and bank holding companies to raise capital or borrow in the debt markets. A continuation or worsening of these conditions could result in reduced loan demand and further increases in loan delinquencies, loan losses, loan loss provisions, costs associated with monitoring delinquent loans and disposing of foreclosed property, and otherwise negatively affect our operations, financial condition and earnings.

Our concentration in multifamily loans, commercial real estate loans, and construction and land loans, as well as our commercial business loans, could expose us to increased lending risks and related loan losses.

Our current business strategy is to continue to emphasize multifamily loans and to a lesser extent commercial real estate loans. At March 31, 2010, \$559.3 million, or 75.9% of our total loan portfolio, consisted of multifamily, commercial real estate, and construction and land loans. In addition, at March 31, 2010, our largest industry concentration of commercial real estate loans was hotels and motels, which totaled \$29.4 million, or 8.8% of commercial real estate loans at that date.

These types of loans generally expose a lender to greater risk of non-payment and loss than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans because repayment of the loans often depends on the successful operation of the properties and the income stream of the borrowers. Such loans typically involve larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers compared to one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Also, many of our borrowers have more than one of these types of loans outstanding. Consequently, an adverse development with respect to one loan or one credit relationship can expose us to a significantly greater risk of loss compared to an adverse development with respect to a one- to four-family residential real estate loan.

In addition, if loans that are collateralized by real estate become troubled and the value of the real estate has been significantly impaired, then we may not be able to recover the full contractual amount of principal and interest that we anticipated at the time we originated the loan, which could cause us to increase our provision for loan losses and adversely affect our operating results and financial condition. Also, the collateral underlying commercial business loans may fluctuate in value. Some of our commercial business loans are collateralized by equipment, inventory, accounts receivable or other business assets, and the liquidation of collateral in the event of default is often an insufficient source of repayment because accounts receivable may be uncollectible and inventories may be obsolete or of limited use.

Construction and land lending involves additional risks because of the inherent difficulty in estimating a property's value both before and at completion of the project. Construction costs may exceed original estimates as a result of increased materials, labor or other costs. In addition, because of current uncertainties in the residential and commercial real estate markets, property values have become more difficult to determine than they have been

Table of Contents

historically. The repayment of construction and land acquisition and development loans often depends on the ability of the borrower to sell or lease the property. These loans also require ongoing monitoring.

A significant portion of our loan portfolio is unseasoned.

Our loan portfolio has grown to \$736.6 million at March 31, 2010, from \$387.8 million at December 31, 2005. It is difficult to assess the future performance of these recently originated loans because of our relatively limited history in commercial real estate and multifamily lending. In addition, we purchased \$35.4 million of insurance premium finance loans during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, and grew this portfolio to \$40.0 million at March 31, 2010. These loans may have delinquency or charge-off levels above our historical experience, which could adversely affect our future performance.

If our allowance for loan losses is not sufficient to cover actual loan losses, our earnings could decrease.

We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of our loans. In determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses, we review our loans and our loss and delinquency experience, as well as the experience of other similarly situated institutions, and we evaluate other factors including, among other things, current economic conditions. If our assumptions are incorrect, our allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover losses inherent in our loan portfolio, which would require additions to our allowance. Material additions to our allowance would materially decrease our net income.

In addition, bank regulators periodically review our allowance for loan losses and, based on information available to them at the time of their review, may require us to increase our allowance for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs. An increase in our allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory authorities may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Declines in real estate values could decrease our loan originations and increase delinquencies and defaults.

Declines in real estate values in our market area could adversely affect our results of operations. Like all financial institutions, we are subject to the effects of any economic downturn. In particular, a significant decline in real estate values would likely lead to a decrease in new multifamily, commercial real estate, and home equity lending and increased delinquencies and defaults in our real estate loan portfolio. Declines in the average sale prices of real estate in our primary market area could lead to higher loan losses.

Government responses to economic conditions may adversely affect our operations, financial condition and earnings.

Newly enacted financial reform legislation will change the bank regulatory framework, create an independent consumer protection bureau that will assume the consumer protection responsibilities of the various federal banking agencies, and establish more stringent capital standards for banks and bank holding companies. The legislation will also result in new regulations affecting the lending, funding, trading and investment activities of banks and bank holding companies. Bank regulatory agencies also have been responding aggressively to concerns and adverse trends identified in examinations. Ongoing uncertainty and adverse developments in the financial services industry and the domestic and international credit markets, and the effect of new legislation and regulatory actions in response to these conditions, may adversely affect our operations by restricting our business activities, including our ability to originate or sell loans, modify loan terms, or foreclose on property securing loans. These measures are likely to increase our costs of doing business and may have a significant adverse effect on our lending activities, financial performance and operating flexibility. In addition, these risks could affect the performance and value of our loan and investment securities portfolios, which also would negatively affect our financial performance.

Furthermore, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in an attempt to help the overall economy, has, among other things, kept interest rates low through its targeted federal funds rate and the purchase of mortgage-backed securities. If the Federal Reserve Board increases the federal funds rate, overall interest rates will

Table of Contents

likely rise, which may negatively impact the housing markets and the U.S. economic recovery. In addition, deflationary pressures, while possibly lowering our operating costs, could have a significant negative effect on our borrowers, especially our business borrowers, and the values of underlying collateral securing loans, which could negatively affect our financial performance.

Financial reform legislation recently enacted by Congress will, among other things, eliminate the Office of Thrift Supervision, tighten capital standards, create a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and result in new laws and regulations that are expected to increase our costs of operations.

The President recently signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) which will significantly change the current bank regulatory structure and affect the lending, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act will eliminate our current primary federal regulator, the Office of Thrift Supervision, and require Northfield Bank to be regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the primary federal regulator for national banks). The Dodd-Frank Act also authorizes the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System to supervise and regulate all savings and loan holding companies like Northfield-Delaware, in addition to bank holding companies which it currently regulates. As a result, the Federal Reserve Board's current regulations applicable to bank holding companies, including holding company capital requirements, will apply to savings and loan holding companies like Northfield-Delaware. These capital requirements are substantially similar to the capital requirements currently applicable to Northfield Bank, as described in Supervision and Regulation Federal Banking Regulation Capital Requirements. The Dodd-Frank Act also requires the Federal Reserve Board to set minimum capital levels for bank holding companies that are as stringent as those required for the insured depository subsidiaries, and the components of Tier 1 capital would be restricted to capital instruments that are currently considered to be Tier 1 capital for insured depository institutions. Bank holding companies with assets of less than \$500 million are exempt from these capital requirements. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the proceeds of trust preferred securities are excluded from Tier 1 capital unless such securities were issued prior to May 19, 2010 by bank or savings and loan holding companies with less than \$15 billion of assets. The legislation also establishes a floor for capital of insured depository institutions that cannot be lower than the standards in effect today, and directs the federal banking regulators to implement new leverage and capital requirements within 18 months that take into account off-balance sheet activities and other risks, including risks relating to securitized products and derivatives.

The Dodd-Frank Act also creates a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions such as Northfield Bank, including the authority to prohibit unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. Banks and savings institutions with \$10 billion or less in assets will be examined by their applicable bank regulators. The new legislation also weakens the federal preemption available for national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general the ability to enforce applicable federal consumer protection laws.

Also effective one year after the date of enactment is a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act that eliminates the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits, thus allowing businesses to have interest bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse effect on our interest expense.

The legislation also broadens the base for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance assessments. Assessments will now be based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increases the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2009, and non-interest bearing transaction accounts have unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2013. Lastly, the Dodd-Frank Act will increase stockholder influence over boards of directors by requiring companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called golden parachute payments, and by authorizing the Securities and Exchange Commission to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate their own

Table of Contents

candidates using a company's proxy materials. The legislation also directs the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded.

It is difficult to predict at this time what effect the new legislation and implementing regulations will have on community banks, including the lending and credit practices of such banks. Moreover, many of the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will not take effect for at least a year, and the legislation requires various federal agencies to promulgate numerous and extensive implementing regulations over the next several years. Although the substance and scope of these regulations cannot be determined at this time, it is expected that the legislation and implementing regulations, particularly those relating to the new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, will increase our operating and compliance costs.

We are subject to extensive regulatory oversight.

We and our subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation and supervision. Regulators have intensified their focus on bank lending criteria and controls, and on the USA PATRIOT Act's anti-money laundering and Bank Secrecy Act compliance requirements. There also is increased scrutiny of our compliance practices generally and particularly with the rules enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control. Our failure to comply with these and other regulatory requirements could lead to, among other remedies, administrative enforcement actions and legal proceedings. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act and implementing regulations are likely to have a significant effect on the financial services industry, which are likely to increase operating costs and reduce profitability. Regulatory or legislative changes could make regulatory compliance more difficult or expensive for us, and could cause us to change or limit some of our products and services, or the way we operate our business.

Legislative or regulatory responses to perceived financial and market problems could impair our rights against borrowers.

Current and future proposals made by members of Congress would reduce the amount distressed borrowers are otherwise contractually obligated to pay under their mortgage loans, and may limit the ability of lenders to foreclose on mortgage collateral. If proposals such as these, or other proposals limiting Northfield Bank's rights as a creditor, were to be implemented, we could experience increased credit losses on our loans and mortgage-backed securities, or increased expense in pursuing our remedies as a creditor.

Recent health care legislation could increase our expenses or require us to pass further costs on to our employees, which could adversely affect our operations, financial condition and earnings.

Legislation enacted in 2010 requires companies to provide expanded health care coverage to their employees, such as affordable coverage to part-time employees and coverage to dependent adult children of employees. Companies will also be required to enroll new employees automatically into one of their health plans. Compliance with these and other new requirements of the health care legislation will increase our employee benefits expense, and may require us to pass these costs on to our employees, which could give us a competitive disadvantage in hiring and retaining qualified employees.

Changes in market interest rates could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our financial condition and results of operations are significantly affected by changes in market interest rates. Our results of operations substantially depend on our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets and the interest expense we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities. Our interest-bearing liabilities generally reprice or mature more quickly than our interest-earning assets. If rates increase rapidly, we may have to increase the rates we are willing to pay on our deposits and borrowed funds more quickly than any changes in interest rates on our loans and investments, resulting in a negative effect on interest spreads and net interest income. In addition, the effect of rising rates could be compounded if deposit customers move funds from savings accounts to higher rate certificate of deposit accounts. Conversely, should market interest rates fall below current levels, our net interest margin could also be negatively affected if

Table of Contents

competitive pressures keep us from further reducing rates on our deposits, while the yields on our assets decrease more rapidly through loan prepayments and interest rate adjustments.

We also are subject to reinvestment risk associated with changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates may affect the average life of loans and mortgage-related securities. Decreases in interest rates often result in increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-related securities, as borrowers refinance their loans to reduce borrowings costs. Under these circumstances, we are subject to reinvestment risk to the extent we are unable to reinvest the cash received from such prepayments in loans or other investments that have interest rates that are comparable to the interest rates on existing loans and securities. Additionally, increases in interest rates may decrease loan demand and/or may make it more difficult for borrowers to repay adjustable rate loans.

Changes in interest rates also affect the value of our interest earning assets and in particular our securities portfolio. Generally, the value of securities fluctuates inversely with changes in interest rates. At March 31, 2010, the fair value of our securities portfolio (excluding Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock) totaled \$1.2 billion.

At March 31, 2010, our simulation model indicated that our net portfolio value (the net present value of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities) would decrease by 9.6% if there was an instantaneous parallel 200 basis point increase in market interest rates. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Management of Market Risk.

Strong competition within our market areas may limit our growth and profitability.

Competition in the banking and financial services industry is intense. In our market areas, we compete with commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage brokerage firms, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, money market funds, insurance companies, and brokerage and investment banking firms operating locally and elsewhere. Some of our competitors have greater name recognition and market presence than we do and offer certain services that we do not or cannot provide. This can give them an advantage in attracting business. In addition, larger competitors may be able to price loans and deposits more aggressively than we do.

In addition, the recent crisis in the financial services industry has resulted in a number of financial services companies, such as investment banks and automobile and real estate finance companies, electing to become bank holding companies. These financial services companies traditionally have generated funds from sources other than insured bank deposits. Many of the alternative funding sources traditionally utilized by these companies are no longer available. This has resulted in these companies relying more on insured bank deposits to fund their operations, which has increased competition for deposits and may increase the related costs of such deposits.

Our profitability depends on our continued ability to compete successfully in our market areas. For additional information see Business of Northfield Bancorp, Inc. and Northfield Bank Market Area and Competition.

The requirement to account for certain assets at estimated fair value, and a proposal to account for additional financial assets and liabilities at estimated fair value, may adversely affect our results of operations.

We report certain assets, including securities, at fair value, and a recent proposal would require us to report most of our financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Generally, for securities that are reported at fair value, we use quoted market prices or valuation models that utilize observable market inputs to estimate fair value. Because we carry these assets on our books at their estimated fair value, we may record losses even if the asset in question presents minimal credit risk. Under current accounting requirements, elevated delinquencies, defaults, and estimated losses from the disposition of collateral in our private-label mortgage-backed securities portfolio may require us to recognize additional other-than-temporary impairments in future periods with respect to our securities portfolio. The amount and timing of any impairment recognized will depend on the severity and duration of the decline in the estimated fair value of the asset and our estimate of the anticipated recovery period. Under proposed accounting requirements, we may be required to record reductions in the fair value of nearly all of our financial

Table of Contents

assets and liabilities (including loans) either through a charge to net income or through a reduction to accumulated other comprehensive income. Accordingly, we could be required to record losses on assets such as loans where we have no intention to sell the loan and expect the loan to be repaid in full. This could result in a decrease in net income, a decrease in our stockholders' equity, or both.

We could record future losses on our securities portfolio.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized total other-than-temporary impairment on our securities portfolio of \$1.4 million, of which \$176,000 was considered to be credit-related and, therefore, in accordance with applicable accounting standards, recorded as a loss through a reduction of non-interest income. A number of factors or combinations of factors could require us to conclude in one or more future reporting periods that an unrealized loss that exists with respect to our securities portfolio constitutes additional impairment that is other than temporary, which could result in material losses to us. These factors include, but are not limited to, a continued failure by an issuer to make scheduled interest payments, an increase in the severity of the unrealized loss on a particular security, an increase in the continuous duration of the unrealized loss without an improvement in value or changes in market conditions and/or industry or issuer specific factors that would render us unable to forecast a full recovery in value. In addition, the fair values of securities could decline if the overall economy and the financial condition of some of the issuers continues to deteriorate and there remains limited liquidity for these securities.

If our investment in the common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York is classified as other-than-temporarily impaired or as permanently impaired, our earnings and stockholders' equity could decrease.

We own stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, which is part of the Federal Home Loan Bank system. The Federal Home Loan Bank of New York common stock is held to qualify for membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York and to be eligible to borrow funds under the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York's advance programs. The aggregate cost of our Federal Home Loan Bank of New York common stock as of March 31, 2010, was \$5.0 million based on its par value. There is no market for Federal Home Loan Bank of New York common stock.

Although the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York is not reporting current operating difficulties, recent published reports indicate that certain member banks of the Federal Home Loan Bank System may be subject to accounting rules and asset quality risks that could result in materially lower regulatory capital levels. In an extreme situation, it is possible that the capital of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, including the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, could be substantially diminished. Consequently, there is a risk that our investment in Federal Home Loan Bank of New York common stock could be deemed other-than-temporarily impaired at some time in the future, and if this occurs, it would cause earnings and stockholders' equity to decrease by the impairment charge.

We hold intangible assets that could be classified as impaired in the future. If these assets are considered to be either partially or fully impaired in the future, our earnings and the book values of these assets would decrease.

We are required to test our goodwill and core deposit intangible assets for impairment on a periodic basis. The impairment testing process considers a variety of factors, including the current market price of our common shares. It is possible that future impairment testing could result in a partial or full impairment of the value of our goodwill or core deposit intangible assets, or both. If an impairment determination is made in a future reporting period, our earnings and the book value of these intangible assets will be reduced by the amount of the impairment. If an impairment loss is recorded, it will have little or no effect on the tangible book value of our shares of common stock or our regulatory capital levels.

Table of Contents**Northfield Bank is required to maintain a significant percentage of its total assets in residential mortgage loans and investments secured by residential mortgage loans, which restricts our ability to diversify our loan portfolio.**

A federal savings bank or thrift differs from a commercial bank in that it is required to maintain at least 65% of its total assets in qualified thrift investments, which generally include loans and investments for the purchase, refinance, construction, improvement, or repair of residential real estate, as well as home equity loans, education loans and small business loans. To maintain our federal savings bank charter we have to be a qualified thrift lender or QTL in nine out of each 12 immediately preceding months. Because of the QTL requirement, we are limited in our ability to change our asset mix and increase the yield on our earning assets by growing our commercial loan portfolio. However, a loan that does not exceed \$2 million (including a group of loans to one borrower) that is for commercial, corporate, business, or agricultural purposes is included in our qualified thrift investments.

In addition, if we continue to grow our commercial loan portfolio and our single-family residential mortgage loan portfolio decreases, it is possible that in order to maintain our QTL status, we could be forced to buy mortgage-backed securities or other qualifying assets at times when the terms of such investments may not be attractive. Alternatively, we may find it necessary to pursue different structures, including converting Northfield Bank's savings bank charter to a commercial bank charter.

Any future Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance premiums or special assessments will adversely affect our earnings.

As part of its plan to restore the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's insurance reserve ratio to 1.15% of estimated insured deposits, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation imposed a special assessment equal to five basis points of assets less Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009, which was payable on September 30, 2009. In addition, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation increased its quarterly deposit insurance assessment rates and amended the method by which rates are calculated. The Dodd-Frank Act also requires the reserve ratio of the Deposit Insurance Fund to increase from 1.15% to 1.35% of insured deposits by September 30, 2020, although banks with assets of less than \$10 billion are exempt from any additional assessments to achieve the higher reserve ratio.

On November 12, 2009, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation also approved a final rule requiring insured depository institutions to prepay on December 30, 2009, their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011, and 2012. Estimated assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all 2010 are based upon the assessment rate in effect on September 30, 2009, with three basis points added for the 2011 and 2012 assessment rates. In addition, a 5% annual growth rate in the assessment base is assumed. Prepaid assessments are to be applied against the actual quarterly assessments until exhausted, and may not be applied to any special assessments that may occur in the future. Any unused prepayments will be returned to the institution on June 30, 2013. On December 30, 2009, we prepaid \$5.7 million in estimated assessment fees for the fourth quarter of 2009 through 2012. Actions the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation takes in the future could result in significantly higher deposit insurance premiums, special assessments, or prepaid assessments, which could have a significant effect on our earnings.

The Office of Thrift Supervision is currently conducting an examination to determine our compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. If we do not receive a rating of Satisfactory or better with respect to compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act, our ability to implement our business strategy could be hindered significantly.

The Office of Thrift Supervision is currently conducting a regularly scheduled examination to determine our compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. The Community Reinvestment Act and related regulations of the Office of Thrift Supervision require savings banks, such as Northfield Bank, to help meet the credit needs of their communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. We have not received the results of the examination, and there is a possibility that we may not receive a rating of Satisfactory or better. The Office of Thrift Supervision considers, among other factors, a savings bank's compliance with the Community Reinvestment

Table of Contents

Act in reviewing corporate applications, such as applications to establish branches or conduct mergers and acquisitions, and a rating below Satisfactory can result in the denial of such applications. The failure to receive a rating of Satisfactory or better can also result in other restrictions on a savings bank's activities. This would last until such time as Northfield Bank received a rating of Satisfactory or better with respect to the Community Reinvestment Act, and a new review of our compliance may not occur for another two years. This could limit our ability to implement our business strategy, particularly with respect to acquisitions and branching, and could limit our ability to deploy the proceeds from the offering in our originally anticipated timeframe, either of which could have an adverse effect on our earnings and our return on equity.

We may face risks with respect to future expansion.

We intend to increase the size of our operations through *de novo* branching, and may continue to seek whole bank or branch acquisitions in the future. Growth strategies involve a number of risks, including:

the potential inability to generate deposits or originate loans in amounts that offset the costs of establishing new branch offices;

the time and costs associated with identifying and evaluating potential acquisitions and merger partners;

time and costs associated with the integration and operation of acquired institutions, and the inability to successfully integrate the operations of an acquired institution, or to achieve financial results comparable to or better than our historical experience;

the incurrence of goodwill and possible impairment thereof associated with an acquisition and the possible adverse short-term effects on our results of operations; and

the risk of loss of key employees and customers.

Risks associated with system failures, interruptions, or breaches of security could negatively affect our earnings.

Information technology systems are critical to our business. We use various technology systems to manage our customer relationships, general ledger, securities, deposits, and loans. Although we have established policies and procedures to prevent or limit the impact of system failures, interruptions and security breaches, such events may still occur, or may not be adequately addressed if they do occur. In addition, any compromise of our systems could deter customers from using our products and services. Although we rely on security systems to provide security and authentication necessary to effect the secure transmission of data, these precautions may not protect our systems from compromises or breaches of security.

In addition, we outsource a majority of our data processing to certain third-party providers. If these third-party providers encounter difficulties, or if we have difficulty communicating with them, our ability to adequately process and account for transactions could be affected, and our business operations could be adversely affected. Threats to information security also exist in the processing of customer information through various other vendors and their personnel.

The occurrence of any system failures, interruption or breach of security could damage our reputation and result in a loss of customers and business thereby subjecting us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or could expose us to litigation and possible financial liability. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents**Risks Related to the Offering****The future price of the shares of common stock may be less than the \$10.00 purchase price per share in the offering.**

If you purchase shares of common stock in the offering, you may not be able to sell them later at or above the \$10.00 purchase price in the offering. In several cases, shares of common stock issued by newly converted savings institutions or mutual holding companies have traded below the initial offering price. The aggregate purchase price of the shares of common stock sold in the offering will be based on an independent appraisal. The independent appraisal is not intended, and should not be construed, as a recommendation of any kind as to the advisability of purchasing shares of common stock. The independent appraisal is based on certain estimates, assumptions and projections, all of which are subject to change from time to time. After the shares begin trading, the trading price of our common stock will be determined by the marketplace, and may be influenced by many factors, including prevailing interest rates, the overall performance of the economy, investor perceptions of Northfield-Delaware and the outlook for the financial services industry in general. Price fluctuations may be unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies.

Our failure to effectively deploy the net proceeds may have an adverse effect on our financial performance and the value of our common stock.

We intend to invest between \$127.0 million and \$172.1 million of the net proceeds of the offering (or \$198.1 million at the adjusted maximum of the offering range) in Northfield Bank. We may use the remaining net proceeds to invest in short-term investments, repurchase shares of common stock, pay dividends or for other general corporate purposes. We also expect to use a portion of the net proceeds we retain to fund a loan for the purchase of shares of common stock in the offering by the employee stock ownership plan. Northfield Bank may use the net proceeds it receives to fund new loans, expand its retail banking franchise by acquiring new branches or by acquiring other financial institutions or other financial services companies, or for other general corporate purposes. However, with the exception of the loan to the employee stock ownership plan, we have not allocated specific amounts of the net proceeds for any of these purposes, and we will have significant flexibility in determining the amount of the net proceeds we apply to different uses and the timing of such applications. Also, certain of these uses, such as opening new branches or acquiring other financial institutions, may require the approval of the Office of Thrift Supervision. We have not established a timetable for reinvesting the net proceeds, and we cannot predict how long we will require to reinvest the net proceeds.

Our return on equity will be low following the stock offering. This could negatively affect the trading price of our shares of common stock.

Net income divided by average equity, known as return on equity, is a ratio many investors use to compare the performance of a financial institution to its peers. Following the stock offering, we expect our consolidated equity to be between \$629.6 million at the minimum of the offering range and \$760.0 million at the adjusted maximum of the offering range. Based upon our annualized income for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, and these pro forma equity levels, our return on equity would be 2.21% and 1.85% at the minimum and adjusted maximum of the offering range, respectively. We expect our return on equity to remain low until we are able to leverage the additional capital we receive from the stock offering. Although we will be able to increase net interest income using proceeds of the stock offering, our return on equity will be negatively affected by added expenses associated with our employee stock ownership plan and the stock-based benefit plan we intend to adopt. Until we can increase our net interest income and non-interest income and leverage the capital raised in the stock offering, we expect our return on equity to remain low, which may reduce the market price of our shares of common stock.

Our stock-based benefit plans would increase our expenses and reduce our income.

We intend to adopt one or more new stock-based benefit plans after the conversion, subject to stockholder approval, which would increase our annual compensation and benefit expenses related to the stock options and shares granted to participants under our stock-based benefit plan. The actual amount of these new stock-related

Table of Contents

compensation and benefit expenses will depend on the number of options and stock awards actually granted under the plan, the fair market value of our stock or options on the date of grant, the vesting period and other factors which we cannot predict at this time. In the event we adopt the plan within 12 months following the conversion, under current Office of Thrift Supervision policy the total shares of common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to awards of restricted stock and grants of options under our existing and proposed stock-based benefit plans would be limited to 4% and 10%, respectively, of the total shares of our common stock outstanding. If we award restricted shares of common stock or grant options in excess of these amounts under stock-based benefit plans adopted more than 12 months after the completion of the conversion, our costs would increase further.

In addition, we would recognize expense for our employee stock ownership plan when shares are committed to be released to participants' accounts, and we would recognize expense for restricted stock awards and stock options over the vesting period of awards made to recipients. The expense in the first year following the offering for shares purchased in the offering has been estimated to be approximately \$547,000 (\$328,000 after tax) at the adjusted maximum of the offering range as set forth in the pro forma financial information under Pro Forma Data, assuming the \$10.00 per share purchase price as fair market value. Actual expenses, however, may be higher or lower, depending on the price of our common stock. For further discussion of our proposed stock-based plans, see

Management Benefits to be Considered Following Completion of the Conversion.

The implementation of stock-based benefit plans may dilute your ownership interest. Historically, stockholders have approved these stock-based benefit plans.

We intend to adopt one or more new stock-based benefit plans following the stock offering. These plans may be funded either through open market purchases or from the issuance of authorized but unissued shares of common stock. Our ability to repurchase shares of common stock to fund these plans will be subject to many factors, including, but not limited to, applicable regulatory restrictions on stock repurchases, the availability of stock in the market, the trading price of the stock, our capital levels, alternative uses for our capital and our financial performance. While our intention is to fund the new stock-based benefit plan through open market purchases, stockholders would experience a 7.34% reduction in ownership interest at the adjusted maximum of the offering range in the event newly issued shares of our common stock are used to fund stock options and shares of restricted common stock in an amount equal to up to 10% and 4%, respectively, of the shares sold in the offering. In the event we adopt the plan within 12 months following the conversion, under current Office of Thrift Supervision policy the total shares of common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to awards of restricted stock and grants of options under our existing and proposed stock-based benefit plans would be limited to 4% and 10%, respectively, of the total shares of our common stock outstanding. In the event we adopt the plan more than 12 months following the conversion, the plan would not be subject to these limitations.

Although the implementation of the stock-based benefit plan will be subject to stockholder approval, historically, the overwhelming majority of stock-based benefit plans adopted by savings institutions and their holding companies following mutual-to-stock conversions have been approved by stockholders.

We have not determined when we will adopt one or more new stock-based benefit plans. Stock-based benefit plans adopted more than 12 months following the completion of the conversion may exceed regulatory restrictions on the size of stock-based benefit plans adopted within 12 months, which would further increase our costs.

If we adopt stock-based benefit plans more than 12 months following the completion of the conversion, then grants of shares of common stock or stock options under our existing and proposed stock-based benefit plans may exceed 4% and 10%, respectively, of our total outstanding shares. Stock-based benefit plans that provide for awards in excess of these amounts would increase our costs beyond the amounts estimated in Our stock-based benefit plans would increase our expenses and reduce our income. Stock-based benefit plans that provide for awards in excess of these amounts could also result in dilution to stockholders in excess of that described in The implementation of stock-based benefit plans may dilute your ownership interest. Historically, stockholders have approved these stock-based benefit plans. Although the implementation of stock-based benefit plans would be

Table of Contents

subject to stockholder approval, the determination as to the timing of the implementation of such plans will be at the discretion of our board of directors.

Various factors may make takeover attempts more difficult to achieve.

Our board of directors has no current intention to sell control of Northfield-Delaware. Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, federal regulations, Northfield Bank's charter, Delaware law, shares of restricted stock and stock options that we have granted or may grant to employees and directors, stock ownership by our management and directors and employment agreements that we have entered into with our executive officers, and various other factors may make it more difficult for companies or persons to acquire control of Northfield-Delaware without the consent of our board of directors. You may want a takeover attempt to succeed because, for example, a potential acquiror could offer a premium over the then prevailing price of our common stock. For additional information, see

Restrictions on Acquisition of Northfield-Delaware, Management Employment Agreements, Potential Payments to Named Executive Officers and Benefits to be Considered Following Completion of the Conversion.

There may be a decrease in stockholders' rights for existing stockholders of Northfield-Federal.

As a result of the conversion, existing stockholders of Northfield-Federal will become stockholders of Northfield-Delaware. In addition to the provisions discussed above that may discourage takeover attempts that are favored by stockholders, some rights of stockholders of Northfield-Delaware will be reduced compared to the rights stockholders currently have in Northfield-Federal. The reduction in stockholder rights results from differences between the federal and Delaware chartering documents and bylaws, and from distinctions between federal and Delaware law. Many of the differences in stockholder rights under the certificate of incorporation and bylaws of Northfield-Delaware are not mandated by Delaware law but have been chosen by management as being in the best interests of Northfield-Delaware and its stockholders. The certificate of incorporation and bylaws of Northfield-Delaware include the following provisions: (i) greater lead time required for stockholders to submit proposals for new business or to nominate directors; and (ii) approval by at least 80% of the outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote generally is required to amend the bylaws and certain provisions of the certificate of incorporation. See Comparison of Stockholders' Rights For Existing Stockholders of Northfield Bancorp, Inc. for a discussion of these differences.

You may not revoke your decision to purchase Northfield-Delaware common stock in the subscription or community offerings after you send us your order.

Funds submitted or automatic withdrawals authorized in connection with a purchase of shares of common stock in the subscription and community offerings will be held by us until the completion or termination of the conversion and offering, including any extension of the expiration date. Because completion of the conversion and offering will be subject to regulatory approvals and an update of the independent appraisal prepared by RP Financial, LC., among other factors, there may be one or more delays in the completion of the conversion and offering. Orders submitted in the subscription and community offerings are irrevocable, and purchasers will have no access to their funds unless the offering is terminated, or extended beyond October 28, 2010, or the number of shares to be sold in the offering is increased to more than 40,997,500 shares or decreased to fewer than 26,350,000 shares.

An active trading market for our common stock may not develop.

Northfield-Federal's common stock is currently quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market. Upon completion of the conversion, the common stock of Northfield-Delaware will replace the existing shares. An active public trading market for Northfield-Delaware's common stock may not develop or be sustained after this stock offering. If an active trading market for our common stock does not develop, you may not be able to sell all of your shares of common stock on short notice, and the sale of a large number of shares at one time could depress the market price.

Table of Contents

The distribution of subscription rights could have adverse income tax consequences.

If the subscription rights granted to certain depositors of Northfield Bank are deemed to have an ascertainable value, receipt of such rights may be taxable in an amount equal to such value. Whether subscription rights are considered to have ascertainable value is an inherently factual determination. We have received an opinion of counsel, Luse Gorman Pomerenk & Schick, P.C., that it is more likely than not that such rights have no value; however, such opinion is not binding on the Internal Revenue Service.

Table of Contents**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA
OF NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

The following tables set forth selected consolidated historical financial and other data of Northfield-Federal and its subsidiaries for the years and at the dates indicated. The following is only a summary and you should read it in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Northfield-Federal and notes beginning on page F-1 of this prospectus. The information at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 is derived in part from the audited consolidated financial statements that appear in this prospectus. The information at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, is derived in part from audited consolidated financial statements that do not appear in this prospectus. The information at March 31, 2010 and for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, is unaudited and reflects only normal recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be achieved for all of 2010.

	At March 31, 2010	2009	2008	At December 31, 2007	2006	2005
	(In thousands)					
Selected Financial Condition Data:						
Total assets	\$2,097,803	\$2,002,274	\$1,757,761	\$1,386,918	\$1,294,747	\$1,408,562
Cash and cash equivalents	50,811	42,544	50,128	25,088	60,624	38,368
Trading securities	3,706	3,403	2,498	3,605	2,667	2,360
Securities available-for-sale, at estimated market value	1,216,195	1,131,803	957,585	802,417	713,098	863,064
Securities held-to-maturity	6,220	6,740	14,479	19,686	26,169	34,841
Loans held-for-investment, net	737,225	729,269	589,984	424,329	409,189	387,467
Allowance for loan losses	(17,146)	(15,414)	(8,778)	(5,636)	(5,030)	(4,795)
Net loans held-for-investment	720,079	713,855	581,206	418,693	404,159	382,672
Bank owned life insurance	44,174	43,751	42,001	41,560	32,866	31,635
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost	5,026	6,421	9,410	6,702	7,186	11,529
Other real estate owned	1,533	1,938	1,071			
Deposits	1,392,905	1,316,885	1,024,439	877,225	989,789	1,010,146
Borrowed funds	293,060	279,424	332,084	124,420	128,534	233,629
Total liabilities	1,701,517	1,610,734	1,371,183	1,019,578	1,130,753	1,256,803
Total stockholders equity	396,286	391,540	386,578	367,340	163,994	151,759

For the Three Months
Ended March 31,
2010 **2009** **2009** **For the Years Ended December 31,**
2008 **2007** **2006** **2005**
(Dollars in thousands except per share amounts)

**Selected
Operating
Data:**

Interest income	\$	21,007	\$	20,482	\$	85,568	\$	75,049	\$	65,702	\$	64,867	\$	66,302
Interest expense		6,458		7,721		28,977		28,256		28,836		28,406		24,234
Net interest income before provision for loan losses		14,549		12,761		56,591		46,793		36,866		36,461		42,068
Provision for loan losses		1,930		1,644		9,038		5,082		1,442		235		1,629
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		12,619		11,117		47,553		41,711		35,424		36,226		40,439
Non-interest income		1,723		969		5,393		6,153		9,478		4,600		4,354
Non-interest expense		9,121		7,782		34,254		24,852		35,950		23,818		21,258
Income before income taxes		5,221		4,304		18,692		23,012		8,952		17,008		23,535
Income tax expense (benefit)		1,840		1,569		6,618		7,181		(1,555)		6,166		10,376
Net income	\$	3,381	\$	2,735	\$	12,074	\$	15,831	\$	10,507	\$	10,842	\$	13,159
Net income (loss) per common share, basic and diluted (1)	\$	0.08	\$	0.06	\$	0.28	\$	0.37	\$	(0.03)		NA		NA
Weighted average basic shares outstanding (1)		41,509,173		43,089,331		42,405,774		43,133,856		43,076,586		NA		NA
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding		41,823,794		43,104,409		42,532,568						NA		NA

(footnotes on following page)

Table of Contents

	At or For the Three Months Ended		At or For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Selected Financial Ratios and Other Data: (2)							
Performance Ratios:							
Return on average assets (3)	0.67%	0.63%	0.64%	1.01%	0.78%	0.80%	0.88%
Return on average equity (3)	3.48%	2.87%	3.09%	4.22%	5.27%	7.01%	8.63%
Interest rate spread (3)(4)	2.68%	2.48%	2.66%	2.37%	2.34%	2.40%	2.67%
Net interest margin (3)(5)	3.03%	3.07%	3.16%	3.13%	2.87%	2.81%	2.94%
Dividend payout ratio (8)	22.83%	28.30%	24.54%	4.66%	%	%	%
Efficiency ratio (3)(6)	56.05%	56.68%	55.26%	46.94%	77.57%	58.01%	45.79%
Non-interest expense to average total assets (3)	1.80%	1.78%	1.82%	1.58%	2.66%	1.77%	1.42%
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	126.45%	131.30%	130.44%	136.94%	123.33%	118.89%	115.69%
Average equity to average total assets	19.21%	21.86%	20.82%	23.84%	14.73%	11.47%	10.21%
Asset Quality Ratios:							
Non-performing assets to total assets	2.46%	1.39%	2.19%	0.61%	0.71%	0.55%	0.15%
Non-performing loans to total loans	6.79%	3.86%	5.73%	1.63%	2.32%	1.74%	0.53%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	34.26%	40.78%	36.86%	91.07%	57.31%	70.70%	232.88%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	2.33%	1.57%	2.11%	1.49%	1.33%	1.23%	1.24%
Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding	0.11%	0.40%	0.37%	0.38%	0.20%	%	%

Capital Ratios:

Total capital to risk-weighted assets (7)	28.59%	33.82%	28.52%	34.81%	38.07%	25.03%	23.72%
Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets (7)	27.31%	32.61%	27.24%	33.68%	37.23%	24.25%	22.97%
Tier I capital to adjusted assets (for 2005 and 2006) and to average assets (for 2007 and forward) (7)	13.91%	15.85%	14.35%	15.98%	18.84%	12.38%	10.62%

Other Data:

Number of full service offices	18	18	18	18	18	19	19
Full time equivalent employees	221	193	223	203	192	208	201

(1) Net loss per share in 2007 is calculated for the period that the shares of common stock were outstanding (November 8, 2007 through December 31, 2007). The net loss for this period was \$1.5 million.

(2) Annualized where appropriate.

(3) 2008 performance ratios include a \$2.5 million tax-exempt gain from the death of an officer and \$463,000 (\$292,000, net of tax) in costs associated with our conversion to a new core

processing system that was completed in January 2009.

2007 performance ratios include the after-tax effect of: a charge of \$7.8 million due to the contribution to the Northfield Bank Foundation; a gain of \$2.4 million as a result of the sale of two branch locations, and associated deposit relationships; net interest income of \$810,000 (after tax) related to short-term investment returns earned on subscription proceeds (net of interest paid during the stock offering); and the reversal of state and local tax liabilities of approximately \$4.5 million, net of federal taxes. 2006 performance ratios include the effect of a \$931,000 (after tax) charge related to a supplemental retirement agreement entered into with our former president.

- (4) The interest rate spread represents the difference between the

weighted-average yield on interest earning assets and the weighted-average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

- (5) The net interest margin represents net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets for the period.
- (6) The efficiency ratio represents non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income.
- (7) Ratios for 2005 and 2006 were determined pursuant to Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation regulations. Beginning November 6, 2007, Northfield Bank became subject to the capital requirements under Office of Thrift Supervision regulations. While the capital regulations of these two agencies are substantially similar, they are

not identical.

- (8) Dividend payout ratio is calculated as total dividends declared for the period (excluding dividends waived by Northfield Bancorp, MHC) divided by net income for the period. The following table sets forth total cash dividends paid per period, which is calculated by multiplying the dividends declared per share by the number of shares outstanding as of the applicable record date.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2010	2009	2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Dividends paid to public stockholders	\$ 772	\$ 774	\$ 2,963	\$ 738
Dividends paid to Northfield Bancorp, MHC				
Total dividends paid	\$ 772	\$ 774	\$ 2,963	\$ 738
Total dividends waived by Northfield Bancorp, MHC	\$ 986	\$ 986	\$ 3,943	\$ 986
Total dividends paid and total dividends waived	\$ 1,758	\$ 1,760	\$ 6,906	\$ 1,724

Table of Contents**RECENT DEVELOPMENTS**

The following tables set forth selected consolidated historical financial and other data of Northfield-Federal and its subsidiaries for the periods and at the dates indicated. The following is only a summary and you should read it in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Northfield-Federal and notes beginning on page F-1 of this prospectus. The information at December 31, 2009 is derived in part from the audited consolidated financial statements that appear in this prospectus. The information at June 30, 2010 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, is unaudited and reflects only normal recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be achieved for the year ending December 31, 2010.

	At June 30, 2010	At December 31, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Selected Financial Condition Data:		
Total assets	\$2,208,165	\$ 2,002,274
Cash and cash equivalents	28,862	42,544
Trading securities	3,515	3,403
Securities available-for-sale, at estimated market value	1,301,727	1,131,803
Securities held-to-maturity	5,830	6,740
Loans held-for-investment, net	772,909	729,269
Allowance for loan losses	(19,122)	(15,414)
Net loans held-for-investment	753,787	713,855
Bank owned life insurance	54,688	43,751
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost	8,119	6,421
Other real estate owned	1,362	1,938
Deposits	1,380,695	1,316,885
Borrowed funds	356,333	279,424
Total liabilities	1,808,426	1,610,734
Total stockholders' equity	399,739	391,540

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands except per share amounts)			

Selected Operating Data:

Interest income	\$ 22,032	\$ 21,013	\$ 43,039	\$ 41,495
Interest expense	6,115	7,176	12,573	14,897
Net interest income before provision for loan losses	15,917	13,837	30,466	26,598
Provision for loan losses	2,798	3,099	4,728	4,743
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	13,119	10,738	25,738	21,855
Non-interest income	1,866	1,524	3,589	2,493

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Non-interest expense	8,457	9,061	17,578	16,843
Income before income taxes	6,528	3,201	11,749	7,505
Income tax expense	2,342	1,079	4,182	2,648
Net income	\$ 4,186	\$ 2,122	\$ 7,567	\$ 4,857
Net income per share, basic and diluted	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.11
Weighted average basic shares outstanding	41,417,662	42,625,593	41,462,961	42,856,503
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	41,783,730	42,719,665	41,803,306	42,911,078

(footnotes on following page)

Table of Contents

	At or For the Three Months Ended June 30,		At or For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Selected Financial Ratios and Other Data: (1)				
Performance Ratios:				
Return on average assets	0.80%	0.47%	0.74%	0.55%
Return on average equity	4.23%	2.18%	3.86%	2.52%
Interest rate spread (2)	2.91%	2.70%	2.80%	2.59%
Net interest margin (3)	3.23%	3.23%	3.14%	3.15%
Dividend payout ratio (4)	19.25%	35.53%	20.89%	31.46%
Efficiency ratio (5)	47.56%	58.99%	51.62%	57.90%
Non-interest expense to average total assets	1.62%	2.00%	1.71%	1.89%
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	125.70%	131.74%	125.97%	131.77%
Average equity to average total assets	19.01%	21.55%	19.11%	21.70%
Asset Quality Ratios:				
Non-performing assets to total assets	2.39%	1.70%	2.39%	1.70%
Non-performing loans to total loans	6.66%	4.71%	6.66%	4.71%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	37.13%	38.95%	37.13%	38.95%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	2.47%	1.84%	2.47%	1.84%
Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding	0.44%	0.54%	0.28%	0.47%
Capital Ratios:				
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	27.70%	31.41%	27.70%	31.41%
Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets	26.42%	30.10%	26.42%	30.10%
Tier I capital to adjusted assets	13.48%	15.53%	13.48%	15.53%
Other Data:				
Number of full service offices	18	18	18	18
Full time equivalent employees	231	212	231	212

(1) Annualized where appropriate.

(2) The interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted-average yield on interest earning assets and the weighted-average cost of

interest-bearing liabilities.

- (3) The net interest margin represents net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets for the period.
- (4) Dividend payout ratio is calculated as total dividends declared for the period (excluding dividends waived by Northfield Bancorp, MHC) divided by net income for the period. The following table sets forth total cash dividends paid per period, which is calculated by multiplying the dividends declared per share by the number of shares outstanding as of the applicable record date.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In thousands)			
Dividends paid to public stockholders	\$ 806	\$ 754	\$ 1,581	\$ 1,528
Dividends paid to Northfield Bancorp, MHC				
Total dividends paid	\$ 806	\$ 754	\$ 1,581	\$ 1,528
Total dividends waived by Northfield Bancorp, MHC	\$ 1,232	\$ 986	\$ 2,218	\$ 1,971
Total dividends paid and total dividends waived	\$ 2,038	\$ 1,740	\$ 3,799	\$ 3,499

- (5) The efficiency ratio represents non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income.

Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

Total assets increased \$205.9 million, or 10.3%, to \$2.2 billion at June 30, 2010, from \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to increases in securities of \$169.1 million and loans held for investment, net, of \$43.6 million. In addition, bank owned life insurance increased \$10.9 million, primarily resulting from the purchase of \$10.0 million of insurance policies during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, coupled with \$937,000 of income earned on bank owned life insurance for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$13.7 million, or 32.2%, to \$28.9 million at June 30, 2010, from \$42.5 million at December 31, 2009. We have been deploying funds into higher yielding investments such as loans and securities with risk and return characteristics that we deem acceptable.

Securities available-for-sale increased \$169.9 million, or 15.0%, to \$1.3 billion at June 30, 2010, from \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to purchases of \$491.8 million and an increase of \$8.6 million in net unrealized gains, partially offset by maturities and paydowns of \$235.5 million and sales of \$95.0 million.

Securities held-to-maturity decreased \$910,000, or 13.5%, to \$5.8 million at June 30, 2010, from \$6.7 million at December 31, 2009. The decrease was attributable to maturities and paydowns during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Our securities portfolio totaled \$1.3 billion at June 30, 2010, as compared to \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009, which represented an increase of \$169.1 million, or 14.8%. At June 30, 2010, \$905.4 million of the portfolio consisted of residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. We also held residential mortgage-backed securities not guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae, referred to as private label securities. These private label securities had an amortized cost of \$128.4 million and an estimated fair value of \$132.6 million at June 30, 2010. These private label securities portfolios were in a net unrealized gain position of \$4.1 million at June 30, 2010, consisting of gross unrealized gains of \$5.9 million and gross unrealized losses of \$1.8 million.

Of the \$132.6 million of private label securities, three securities with an estimated fair value of \$13.3 million (amortized cost of \$14.9 million) are rated less than AAA at June 30, 2010. Of the three securities, one had an estimated fair value of \$2.5 million (amortized cost of \$2.5 million) and was rated A+, another had an estimated fair value of \$6.1 million (amortized cost of \$7.2 million) and was rated Caa2, and the remaining security had an estimated fair value of \$4.8 million (amortized cost of \$5.2 million) and was rated CCC (downgraded to a rating of CC subsequent to June 30, 2010). The ratings of the securities detailed above represent the lowest rating for each security received from the rating agencies of Moody's, Standard & Poor's, and Fitch. We continue to receive principal and interest payments in accordance with the contractual terms of each of these securities. Management has evaluated, among other things, delinquency status, location of collateral, estimated prepayment speeds, and the estimated default rates and loss severity in liquidating the underlying collateral for each of these three securities. Since management does not have the intent to sell the securities, and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, we believe that the unrealized losses at June 30, 2010, were temporary, and as such, were recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Loans held for investment, net, totaled \$772.9 million at June 30, 2010, as compared to \$729.3 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily in multifamily real estate loans, which increased \$33.0 million, or 18.5%, to \$211.4 million at June 30, 2010, from \$178.4 million at December 31, 2009. Commercial real estate loans increased \$11.5 million, or 3.5%, to \$339.3 million, insurance premium loans increased \$9.3 million, or 23.0%, to \$49.7 million, and home equity loans increased \$4.5 million, or 17.2%, from \$26.1 million at December 31, 2009. These increases were partially offset by decreases in residential loans, land and construction loans, and commercial and industrial loans.

Bank owned life insurance increased \$10.9 million, or 25.0%, from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010. The increase resulted from the purchase of \$10.0 million of insurance policies during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, coupled with \$937,000 of income earned on bank owned life insurance for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost, increased \$1.7 million, or 26.4%, from \$6.4 million at December 31, 2009 to \$8.1 million at June 30, 2010. This increase was attributable to an increase in borrowings outstanding with the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York over the same time period.

Table of Contents

Other real estate owned decreased \$576,000, or 29.7%, from \$1.9 million at December 31, 2009, to \$1.4 million at June 30, 2010. This decrease was attributable to downward valuation adjustments of \$146,000 recorded against the carrying balances of the properties in the first quarter of 2010, reflecting deterioration in estimated fair values, coupled with the sale of other real estate owned properties. No valuation adjustments were recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Other assets decreased \$2.7 million, or 17.7%, to \$12.3 million at June 30, 2010, from \$14.9 million at December 31, 2009. The decrease in other assets was attributable to a decrease in net deferred tax assets, which resulted primarily from an increase in net unrealized gains on the available for sale securities portfolio from December 31, 2009, to June 30, 2010.

Deposits increased \$63.8 million, or 4.8%, to \$1.4 billion at June 30, 2010, from \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2009. The increase in deposits for the six months ended June 30, 2010, was due in part to an increase of \$31.9 million in short-term certificates of deposit originated through the CDARS® Network. We utilize this funding source as a cost effective alternative to other short-term funding sources. In addition, savings and money market accounts and transaction accounts, increased \$41.2 million and \$16.6 million, respectively, from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$25.9 million in certificates of deposit (that we originated) over the same time period. We continue to focus on our marketing and pricing of our products, which we believe promotes longer-term customer relationships.

Borrowings increased \$76.9 million, or 27.5%, to \$356.3 million at June 30, 2010, from \$279.4 million at December 31, 2009. The increase in borrowings resulted primarily from our increasing longer-term borrowings, taking advantage of, and locking in, low interest rates, which was partially offset by maturities during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Accrued expenses and other liabilities increased \$56.2 million, to \$69.8 million at June 30, 2010 from \$13.7 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily a result of \$55.9 million in due to securities brokers, which resulted from securities purchases occurring prior to June 30, 2010, and settling after the quarter end.

Total stockholders' equity increased to \$399.7 million at June 30, 2010, from \$391.5 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to net income of \$7.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, and an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$5.3 million. A decrease in market interest rates increased the estimated fair value of our securities available for sale. The increase in stockholders' equity also was due to a \$1.9 million increase in additional paid-in capital primarily related to the recognition of compensation expense associated with equity awards. These increases were partially offset by an increase of \$5.2 million in treasury stock, and the payment of approximately \$1.6 million in cash dividends for the six months ended June 30, 2010. On June 4, 2010, in connection with our announcement that we intend to convert to a fully public company, the Board of Directors terminated its previously announced stock repurchase program. Since inception of the program, we have repurchased 2,083,934 shares of common stock at an average cost of \$11.99 per share.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Quarters Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

Net Income. Net income increased \$2.1 million, or 97.3%, for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2009. Net interest income increased \$2.1 million, or 15.0%, non-interest income increased \$342,000, or 22.4%, non-interest expense decreased \$604,000, or 6.7%, and the provision for loan losses decreased \$301,000, or 9.7%, which was partially offset by an increase of \$1.3 million in income tax expense over the same time periods.

Interest Income. Interest income increased \$1.0 million, or 4.9%, to \$22.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, from \$21.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in interest income was primarily the result of an increase in average interest-earning assets of \$255.4 million, or 14.8%. The increase in average interest-earning assets was primarily attributable to an increase in average loans of \$117.4 million, or 18.3%, an increase in securities (other than mortgage-backed securities) of \$188.1 million, partially offset by a decrease in average mortgage-backed securities of \$25.1 million, or 2.8%, and a decrease in average interest-earning deposits of \$23.4 million, or 25.6%. The effect of the increase in average interest-earning assets was partially offset

Table of Contents

by a decrease in the yield earned to 4.47% for the three months ended June 30, 2010, from 4.90% for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The rates earned on all asset categories, other than loans, decreased due to the general decline in market interest rates for these asset types. The rate earned on loans increased from 5.80% for the three months ended June 30, 2009, to 6.41% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The yield earned on loans was positively affected by interest income recorded on non-accrual loans on a cash basis. The loan portfolio had a weighted average coupon rate of approximately 6.16% at June 30, 2010.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$1.1 million, or 14.8%, to \$6.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, from \$7.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in interest expense on deposits of \$1.2 million, or 26.3%, partially offset by an increase in interest expense on borrowings of \$143,000, or 5.5%. The decrease in interest expense on deposits was attributable to a decrease in the cost of deposits of 74 basis points, or 40.7%, to 1.08% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, from 1.82% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for short-term deposits. The decrease in the cost of deposits was partially offset by an increase of \$237.6 million, or 23.5%, in average interest-bearing deposits outstanding between the two quarters. The increase in interest expense on borrowings was primarily attributable to an increase of \$28.3 million, or 9.7%, in average borrowings outstanding for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, partially offset by a decrease in the cost of borrowings of 13 basis points, to 3.42%, from 3.55% for the three months ended June 30, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for borrowed funds.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased \$2.1 million, or 15.0%, due primarily to average interest earning assets increasing \$255.4 million, or 14.8%, as the net interest margin remained flat at 3.23% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The average yield earned on interest earning assets decreased 43 basis points, or 8.8%, to 4.47% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, from 4.90% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. This change was offset by a 64 basis point decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities over the comparable periods. The average yield earned on interest earning assets and net interest margin were positively affected by interest income recorded on non-accrual loans on a cash basis. The loan portfolio had a weighted average coupon rate of approximately 6.16% at June 30, 2010. The general decline in yields was due to the overall low interest rate environment. The increase in average interest earning assets was due primarily to an increase in average loans outstanding of \$117.4 million, and other securities of \$188.1 million, partially offset by decreases in mortgage-backed securities and interest-earning assets in other financial institutions. Other securities consist primarily of investment-grade corporate bonds and government-sponsored enterprise bonds.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was \$2.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of \$301,000, or 9.7%, from the \$3.1 million provision recorded in the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The decrease in the provision for loan losses in the current quarter was due primarily to the change in the composition of our loan portfolio, partially offset by increases in general loss factors. These increases in the general loss factors utilized in management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio were a result of declines in collateral values supporting our loans and further deterioration of our local economy. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, we continued our emphasis on originating multifamily real estate loans which resulted in less growth in commercial real estate loans as compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2009. We believe that our commercial real estate loans generally have greater credit risk than our multifamily real estate loans. Net charge-offs for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, were \$822,000, as compared to \$853,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. We charged off \$469,000 of commercial real estate loans and \$333,000 of construction and land loans during the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income increased \$342,000, or 22.4%, to \$1.9 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$1.5 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, primarily as a result of an increase of \$236,000 in gain on securities transactions, net. We recognized \$530,000 in gains on securities transactions during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$294,000 in gains on securities transactions during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. Securities gains in the second quarter of 2010 included gross realized gains of \$785,000 on the sale of available-for-sale securities, partially offset by securities losses of \$255,000 related to our trading portfolio. We recognized \$294,000 of securities gains related to our trading portfolio during the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The trading portfolio is used to fund our deferred compensation obligation to certain of our employees and directors. The

participants in this plan, at their election, defer a portion of their compensation. Gains

Table of Contents

and losses on trading securities have no effect on net income since participants benefit from, and bear the full risk of, changes in the market values of trading securities. Therefore, we record an equal and offsetting amount in non-interest expense, reflecting the change in our obligations under the plan. We do not expect to continue to recognize the level of gains on the sale of available for sale securities that we recognized this quarter. We also recognized approximately \$197,000 of income on the sale of fixed assets during the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense decreased \$604,000, or 6.7%, to \$8.5 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, from \$9.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$608,000 in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance expense. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 included \$770,000 for a Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation special assessment.

Income Tax Expense. We recorded income tax expense of \$2.3 million and \$1.1 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, was 35.9%, as compared to 33.7% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The increase in the effective tax rate was the result of a higher level of taxable income in 2010 as compared to 2009.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

Net Income. Net income increased \$2.7 million, or 55.8%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, due primarily to an increase of \$3.9 million in net interest income, and an increase of \$1.1 million in non-interest income, partially offset by an increase of \$735,000 in non-interest expense and an increase of \$1.5 million in income tax expense over the same time period.

Interest Income. Interest income increased \$1.5 million, or 3.7%, to \$43.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$41.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in interest income was primarily the result of an increase in average interest-earning assets of \$256.9 million, or 15.1%. The increase in average interest-earning assets was primarily attributable to an increase in average loans of \$125.2 million, or 20.2%, an increase in securities (other than mortgage-backed securities) of \$191.3 million, partially offset by a decrease in average mortgage-backed securities of \$29.9 million, or 3.2%, and a decrease in average interest-earning deposits of \$28.0 million, or 29.5%. The effect of the increase in average interest-earning assets was partially offset by a decrease in the yield earned to 4.43% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from 4.92% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The rates earned on all asset categories, other than loans and Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, decreased due to the general decline in market interest rates for these asset types. The rate earned on loans increased from 5.79% for the six months ended June 30, 2009, to 6.05% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, and the yield earned on Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock increased to 5.08% from 4.72% over the comparable period.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$2.3 million, or 15.6%, to \$12.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$14.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in interest expense on deposits of \$2.2 million, or 23.2%, coupled with a decrease in interest expense on borrowings of \$115,000, or 2.2%. The decrease in interest expense on deposits was attributable to a decrease in the cost of deposits of 75 basis points, or 38.7%, to 1.19% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from 1.94% for the six months ended June 30, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for short-term deposits. The decrease in the cost of deposits was partially offset by an increase of \$245.5 million, or 24.7%, in average interest-bearing deposits outstanding over the comparable period. The decrease in interest expense on borrowings was primarily attributable to a decrease of 28 basis points, or 7.7%, in the cost of borrowings, partially offset by an increase of \$17.9 million, or 6.0%, in average borrowings outstanding for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for borrowed funds.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased \$3.9 million, or 14.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, due primarily to interest earning assets increasing \$256.9 million, or 15.1%, partially offset by a decrease in the net interest margin of one basis point, or 0.3%, over the prior year comparable period. The net interest margin decreased for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as the average yield earned on interest earning assets decreased, and average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities decreased, which was only partially offset

Table of Contents

by a decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The general decline in yields reflected the overall low interest rate environment. The increase in average interest earning assets was due primarily to increases in average loans outstanding of \$125.2 million and other securities of \$191.3 million, which were partially offset by decreases in mortgage-backed securities, and interest-earning assets in other financial institutions. Other securities consist primarily of investment-grade corporate bonds and government-sponsored enterprise bonds.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses remained unchanged at \$4.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The primary reason for the provision for loan losses remaining unchanged was an increase in the general loss factors used in management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio which resulted from declines in collateral values supporting our loans and further deterioration of our local economy, which was offset by the effect of lower levels of growth in non-performing loans and a decline in loan growth for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009. Furthermore, during the six months ended June 30, 2010, we continued our emphasis on originating multifamily real estate loans, which resulted in less growth in commercial real estate loans as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009. We believe our commercial real estate loans generally have greater credit risk than our multifamily real estate loans. Net charge-offs for the six months ended June 30, 2010, were \$1.0 million, as compared to \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. We charged off \$469,000 of commercial real estate loans and \$443,000 of construction and land loans during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income increased \$1.1 million, or 44.0%, primarily as a result of a \$1.0 million increase in gain on securities transactions, net for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009. We recognized \$1.1 million in gains on securities transactions during the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$140,000 in gains on securities transactions during the six months ended June 30, 2009. Securities gains during the six months ended June 30, 2010 included gross realized gains of \$1.0 million on the sale of available-for-sale securities, coupled with securities gains of \$90,000 related to our trading portfolio. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, securities gains included gross realized gains of \$7,000 on the sale of available-for-sale securities, coupled with securities gains of \$133,000 related to our trading portfolio. We also recognized approximately \$197,000 of income on the sale of fixed assets during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased \$735,000, or 4.4%, to \$17.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$16.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in non-interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily attributable to a \$910,000 increase in compensation and employee benefits expense, which resulted primarily from increases in full time equivalent employees primarily related to our insurance premium finance division that was formed in October 2009, higher health care costs, and to a lesser extent, salary adjustments effective January 1, 2010. In addition, other non-interest expense increased \$589,000, or 28.2%. This increase was primarily attributable to an insurance premium finance division license agreement. These increases in non-interest expense were partially offset by a decrease of \$592,000 in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance expense over the same time period. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 included \$770,000 for the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's special assessment.

Income Tax Expense. We recorded income tax expense of \$4.2 million and \$2.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010, was 35.6%, as compared to 35.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in the effective tax rate was the result of a higher percentage of pre-tax income being subject to taxation in 2010 as compared to 2009.

Table of Contents**Asset Quality**

Nonperforming loans totaled \$51.5 million (6.7% of total loans) at June 30, 2010, as compared to \$50.0 million (6.8% of total loans) at March 31, 2010, and \$41.8 million (5.7% of total loans) at December 31, 2009. The following table also shows, for the same dates, non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructurings (accruing and non-accruing), loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing, non-performing assets, accruing loans delinquent 30 to 89 days, and the ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans.

	At June 30, 2010	At March 31, 2010	At December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Non-accruing loans	\$ 34,007	\$ 31,248	\$ 30,914
Non-accruing loans subject to restructuring agreements	17,417	13,090	10,717
Total non-accruing loans	51,424	44,338	41,631
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	77	5,710	191
Total non-performing loans	51,501	50,048	41,822
Other real estate owned	1,362	1,533	1,938
Total non-performing assets	\$ 52,863	\$ 51,581	\$ 43,760
Loans subject to restructuring agreements and still accruing	\$ 10,708	\$ 8,817	\$ 7,250
Accruing loans 30 to 89 days delinquent	\$ 30,619	\$ 38,371	\$ 28,283
Non-performing loans to total loans held for investment, net	6.66%	6.79%	5.73%

Total non-accruing loans increased \$7.1 million to \$51.4 million at June 30, 2010, from \$44.3 million at March 31, 2010. This increase was attributable to the following loans being placed on non-accrual status during the quarter ended June 30, 2010: \$7.9 million of commercial real estate loans, \$550,000 of construction and land loans, \$381,000 of commercial and industrial loans, \$202,000 of one- to four-family residential loans, and \$119,000 of home equity loans. The above increases in non-accruing loans during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 are net of chargeoffs of \$348,000, and have \$181,000 in specific allowances at June 30, 2010. These increases were partially offset by payoffs of a \$557,000 multifamily loan and a \$262,000 one- to four-family residential mortgage loan, coupled with principal paydowns of approximately \$1.2 million. At June 30, 2010, \$22.4 million, or 79.7%, of loans subject to restructuring agreements (accruing and non-accruing) were performing in accordance with their restructured terms.

Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest decreased to \$77,000 from \$5.7 million at March 31, 2010. The majority of the decrease was due to loans being refinanced by us to permanent real estate mortgage loans in accordance with our current underwriting standards.

Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days or more delinquent, and remain on non-accrual status until they are brought current, have six months of performance under the loan terms, and factors indicating reasonable doubt about the timely collection of payments no longer exist. Therefore, loans may be current in accordance with their loan terms, or may be less than 90 days delinquent, and still be on a non-accruing status.

Table of Contents

The following tables detail the delinquency status of non-accruing loans at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	At June 30, 2010			Total
	Days Past Due			
	0 to 29	30 to 89	90 or more	
	(In thousands)			
Real estate loans:				
Commercial	\$ 7,592	\$ 10,344	\$ 22,468	\$ 40,404
One- to four-family residential	1,362	255	501	2,118
Construction and land	4,579		873	5,632
Multifamily		516	1,426	1,942
Home equity and lines of credit			181	181
Commercial and industrial loans		281	789	1,070
Insurance premium loans			77	77
Total non-accruing loans	\$ 13,713	\$ 11,396	\$ 26,315	\$ 51,424

	At December 31, 2009			Total
	Days Past Due			
	0 to 29	30 to 89	90 or more	
	(In thousands)			
Real estate loans:				
Commercial	\$ 2,585	\$ 10,480	\$ 15,737	\$ 28,802
One- to four-family residential		392	1,674	2,066
Construction and land	5,864		979	6,843
Multifamily		530	1,589	2,119
Home equity and lines of credit	62			62
Commercial and industrial loans	1,470		269	1,739
Total non-accruing loans	\$ 9,981	\$ 11,402	\$ 20,248	\$ 41,631

A discussion of the most significant nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2010 is as follows. These loans comprise \$28.2 million, or 55.0%, of total nonaccrual loans of \$51.4 million at June 30, 2010.

An owner occupied commercial real estate relationship with a carrying value of \$8.4 million at June 30, 2010. The business and collateral are located in New Jersey. The collateral consists of a first mortgage on a commercial manufacturing facility, and a second mortgage on the primary residence of the owner of the borrower. At June 30, 2010, the relationship is in the process of being restructured to reduce the borrower's current debt service.

An owner occupied commercial real estate loan with a carrying value of \$5.0 million at June 30, 2010. The business and collateral are located in New Jersey. The collateral consists of a first mortgage on a manufacturing facility. The operating company filed for bankruptcy protection in the first quarter of 2010.

A commercial real estate loan with a carrying value of \$3.4 million at June 30, 2010 secured by a first mortgage on an office building located in New York. At June 30, 2010, the relationship was in the process of being restructured to reduce the borrower's current debt service.

A commercial real estate loan with a carrying value of \$3.1 million at June 30, 2010 secured by a first mortgage on a retail property in New Jersey, the primary tenant being a recreational facility. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we restructured the loan to reduce the borrower's debt service. The borrower was performing in accordance with the restructured terms as of June 30, 2010.

A relationship with a carrying value of \$3.0 million at June 30, 2010, consisting of three loans secured by first mortgages on three individual properties. The largest loan has a carrying balance of \$1.9 million and is secured by a mixed-use commercial property located in New York. The borrower filed for bankruptcy protection in January 2010. The borrower has made payments and at June 30, 2010 the three loans were each 30 days past due.

Table of Contents

A commercial real estate loan with a carrying value of \$2.9 million at June 30, 2010 secured by a first mortgage on a commercial property in New Jersey. We are currently working with the borrower on a forbearance agreement.

A commercial real estate loan with a carrying value of \$2.4 million at June 30, 2010 secured by a first mortgage on an owner occupied office building located in New Jersey. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the borrower began making sporadic payments.

Loans 30 to 89 days delinquent and on accrual status at June 30, 2010 totaled \$30.6 million, a decrease of \$7.8 million from the March 31, 2010 balance of \$38.4 million. The following table sets forth our total amounts of delinquencies for accruing loans by type and by amount at June 30, 2010.

	Delinquent Accruing Loans		Total
	30 to 89 Days	90 Days and Over	
		(In thousands)	
Real estate loans:			
Commercial	\$ 10,931	\$	\$ 10,931
One- to four-family residential	4,715		4,715
Construction and land	4,244		4,244
Multifamily	8,100		8,100
Home equity and lines of credit	1,138		1,138
Commercial and industrial loans	841	77	918
Insurance premium loans	538		538
Other loans	112		112
Total	\$ 30,619	\$ 77	\$ 30,696

Non-accruing loans subject to restructuring agreements totaled \$17.4 million and \$10.7 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we entered into seven troubled debt restructuring agreements totaling \$11.9 million, of which \$3.5 million and \$8.4 million were classified as accruing and non-accruing, respectively, at June 30, 2010. The following table sets forth the amounts and categories of the troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	At June 30, 2010		At December 31, 2009	
	Non-Accruing	Accruing	Non-Accruing	Accruing
Troubled debt restructurings:				
Real estate loans:				
Commercial	\$ 12,295	\$ 7,381	\$ 3,960	\$ 5,499
One- to four-family residential		1,750		
Construction and land	4,105		5,726	1,751
Multifamily	516	1,577	530	
Commercial and industrial	501		501	
Total	\$ 17,417	\$ 10,708	\$ 10,717	\$ 7,250

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements, which can be identified by the use of words such as estimate, project, believe, intend, anticipate, plan, seek, expect and words of similar meaning. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

statements of our goals, intentions and expectations;

statements regarding our business plans, prospects, growth and operating strategies;

statements regarding the quality of our loan and investment portfolios; and

estimates of our risks and future costs and benefits.

These forward-looking statements are based on current beliefs and expectations of our management and are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, these forward-looking statements are subject to assumptions with respect to future business strategies and decisions that are subject to change.

The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in the forward-looking statements:

general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas, that are worse than expected;

competition among depository and other financial institutions;

inflation and changes in the interest rate environment that reduce our margins or reduce the fair value of financial instruments;

adverse changes in the securities markets;

changes in laws or government regulations or policies affecting financial institutions, including changes in regulatory fees and capital requirements;

our ability to enter new markets successfully and capitalize on growth opportunities;

our ability to successfully integrate acquired entities, if any;

changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;

changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the bank regulatory agencies, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board;

changes in our organization, compensation and benefit plans; and

changes in the financial condition, results of operations or future prospects of issuers of securities that we own.

Table of Contents

Because of these and other uncertainties, our actual future results may be materially different from the results indicated by these forward-looking statements. Please see **Risk Factors** beginning on page 16.

HOW WE INTEND TO USE THE PROCEEDS FROM THE OFFERING

Although we cannot determine what the actual net proceeds from the sale of the shares of common stock in the offering will be until the offering is completed, we anticipate that the net proceeds will be between \$254.0 million and \$344.3 million, or \$396.2 million if the offering range is increased by 15%.

We intend to distribute the net proceeds as follows:

	Based Upon the Sale at \$10.00 Per Share of							
	26,350,000 Shares		31,000,000 Shares		35,650,000 Shares		40,997,500 Shares (1)	
	Amount	Percent of Net Proceeds	Amount	Percent of Net Proceeds	Amount	Percent of Net Proceeds	Amount	Percent of Net Proceeds
(Dollars in thousands)								
Offering proceeds	\$ 263,500		\$ 310,000		\$ 356,500		\$ 409,975	
Less offering expenses	9,472		10,849		12,225		13,808	
Net offering proceeds	\$ 254,028	100.0%	\$ 299,151	100.0%	\$ 344,275	100.0%	\$ 396,167	100.0%
Distribution of net proceeds:								
To Northfield Bank	\$ 127,014	50.0%	\$ 149,576	50.0%	\$ 172,138	50.0%	\$ 198,084	50.0%
To fund loan to employee stock ownership plan	\$ 10,540	4.1%	\$ 12,400	4.1%	\$ 14,260	4.1%	\$ 16,399	4.1%
Retained by Northfield-Delaware (2)	\$ 116,474	45.9%	\$ 137,175	45.9%	\$ 157,877	45.9%	\$ 181,684	45.9%

(1) As adjusted to give effect to an increase in the number of shares, which could occur due to a 15% increase in the offering range to reflect demand for the shares or changes in market conditions following the commencement of the offering.

(2) In the event the stock-based benefit plan providing for stock awards and

stock options is approved by stockholders, and assuming shares are purchased for the stock awards at \$10.00 per share, an additional \$10.5 million, \$12.4 million, \$14.3 million and \$16.4 million of net proceeds will be used by Northfield-Delaware. In this case, the net proceeds retained by Northfield-Delaware would be \$105.9 million, \$124.8 million, \$143.6 million and \$165.3 million, respectively, at the minimum, midpoint, maximum and adjusted maximum of the offering range.

Payments for shares of common stock made through withdrawals from existing deposit accounts will not result in the receipt of new funds for investment but will result in a reduction of Northfield Bank's deposits. The net proceeds may vary because total expenses relating to the offering may be more or less than our estimates. For example, our expenses would increase if a syndicated community offering were used to sell shares of common stock not purchased in the subscription and community offerings. In addition, amounts shown for the distribution of the net proceeds at the minimum of the offering range to fund the loan to the employee stock ownership plan and to be proceeds retained by Northfield-Delaware may change if we exercise our right to have the employee stock ownership plan purchase more than 4% of the shares of common stock offered if necessary to complete the offering at the minimum of the offering range.

Northfield-Delaware may use the proceeds it retains from the offering:

to invest in securities;

to finance the acquisition of financial institutions, although we do not currently have any agreements or understandings regarding any specific acquisition transaction;

to pay cash dividends to stockholders;

to repurchase shares of our common stock; and

Table of Contents

for other general corporate purposes.

Initially, a substantial portion of the net proceeds will be invested in short-term investments, investment-grade debt obligations and mortgage-backed securities.

See Our Dividend Policy for a discussion of our expected dividend policy following the completion of the conversion. Under current Office of Thrift Supervision regulations, we may not repurchase shares of our common stock during the first year following the completion of the conversion, except when extraordinary circumstances exist and with prior regulatory approval, or except to fund management recognition plans (which would require notification to the Office of Thrift Supervision) or tax qualified employee stock benefit plans.

Northfield Bank may use the net proceeds it receives from the offering:

to fund new loans, with an emphasis on commercial real estate and multifamily real estate loans, as well as commercial business loans, one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, insurance premium finance loans, real estate construction loans, home equity loans and lines of credit and consumer loans;

to expand its retail banking franchise by establishing or acquiring new branches or by acquiring other financial institutions or other financial services companies as opportunities arise, although we do not currently have any understandings or agreements to acquire a financial institution or other entity. We currently intend to open nine new branch offices by December 31, 2013, and we have currently committed to establishing three new branch offices in Brooklyn, New York and one branch office in Staten Island, New York. We also intend to establish an internet banking platform during that same time period;

to enhance existing products and services and to support the development of new products and services;

to invest in mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, and debt securities issued by the U.S. Government, U.S. Government agencies or U.S. Government sponsored enterprises; and

for other general corporate purposes.

Initially, a substantial portion of the net proceeds will be invested in short-term investments, investment-grade debt obligations and mortgage-backed securities. We have not determined specific amounts of the net proceeds that would be used for the purposes described above. The use of the proceeds outlined above may change based on many factors, including, but not limited to, changes in interest rates, equity markets, laws and regulations affecting the financial services industry, our relative position in the financial services industry, the attractiveness of potential acquisitions to expand our operations, and overall market conditions. The use of the proceeds may also change depending on our ability to receive regulatory approval to establish new branches or acquire other financial institutions. We estimate the costs of constructing a new branch office to be between approximately \$1.0 million and \$3.0 million, depending on the size and location of the branch office, excluding the costs to acquire land, which we generally lease.

We expect our return on equity to decrease as compared to our performance in recent years, until we are able to reinvest effectively the additional capital raised in the offering. Until we can increase our net interest income and non-interest income, we expect our return on equity to be below the industry average, which may negatively affect the value of our common stock. See Risk Factors Our failure to effectively deploy the net proceeds may have an adverse effect on our financial performance and the value of our common stock.

Table of Contents

OUR DIVIDEND POLICY

Northfield-Federal currently pays a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.05 per share, which equals \$0.20 per share on an annualized basis. After the conversion, we intend to continue to pay cash dividends on a quarterly basis. We expect the quarterly dividends per share to be between \$0.03 and \$0.04 per share, depending on how many shares of common stock are sold in the offering. This would approximately preserve the dividend amount that Northfield-Federal stockholders currently receive, as adjusted to reflect the exchange ratio. The dividend rate and the continued payment of dividends will depend on a number of factors, including our capital requirements, our financial condition and results of operations, tax considerations, statutory and regulatory limitations, and general economic conditions. We cannot assure you that we will not reduce or eliminate dividends in the future.

Northfield-Federal began declaring dividends during the quarter ended December 31, 2008, and dividends have been declared in each subsequent quarterly period. Northfield Bancorp, MHC owns 24,641,684 shares of Northfield-Federal common stock. Northfield-Federal has received non-objection from the Office of Thrift Supervision to waive receipt of all prior dividend payments on the Northfield-Federal shares owned by Northfield Bancorp, MHC. Cash dividends paid by Northfield-Federal during the three months ended March 31, 2010 were \$772,000. Dividends waived by Northfield Bancorp, MHC during the three months ended March 31, 2010 were \$986,000.

Under the rules of the Office of Thrift Supervision, after the completion of the conversion, Northfield Bank will not be permitted to pay dividends on its capital stock to Northfield-Delaware, its sole stockholder, if Northfield Bank's stockholder's equity would be reduced below the amount of the liquidation account established in connection with the conversion. In addition, Northfield Bank will not be permitted to make a capital distribution if, after making such distribution, it would be undercapitalized. Northfield Bank must generally file an application with the Office of Thrift Supervision for approval of a capital distribution if the total capital distributions for the applicable calendar year exceed the sum of Northfield Bank's net income for that year to date plus its retained net income for the preceding two years or Northfield Bank would not be at least adequately capitalized following the distribution. In addition, any payment of dividends by Northfield Bank to us that would be deemed to be drawn out of Northfield Bank's bad debt reserves, if any, would require a payment of taxes at the then-current tax rate by Northfield Bank on the amount of earnings deemed to be removed from the reserves for such distribution. Northfield Bank does not intend to make any distribution to us that would create such a federal tax liability. See *The Conversion and Offering Liquidation Rights*. For further information concerning additional federal and state law and regulations regarding the ability of Northfield Bank to make capital distributions, including the payment of dividends to Northfield-Federal, see *Taxation Federal Taxation* and *Supervision and Regulation Federal Banking Regulation*.

Unlike Northfield Bank, Northfield-Delaware is not restricted by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations on the payment of dividends to its stockholders, except that it will not be permitted to pay dividends on its common stock if its stockholders' equity would be reduced below the amount of the liquidation account established by Northfield-Delaware in connection with the conversion. However, the source of dividends will depend on the net proceeds retained by Northfield-Delaware and earnings thereon, and dividends from Northfield Bank. In addition, Northfield-Delaware will be subject to state law limitations on the payment of dividends. Delaware law generally limits dividends to our capital surplus or, if there is no capital surplus, our net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding fiscal year.

We will file a consolidated federal tax return with Northfield Bank. Accordingly, it is anticipated that any cash distributions made by us to our stockholders would be treated as cash dividends and not as a non-taxable return of capital for federal tax purposes. Additionally, pursuant to Office of Thrift Supervision regulations, during the three-year period following the conversion, we will not take any action to declare an extraordinary dividend to stockholders that would be treated by recipients as a tax-free return of capital for federal income tax purposes.

Table of Contents**MARKET FOR THE COMMON STOCK**

Northfield-Federal's common stock is currently quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol NFBK. Upon completion of the conversion, the new shares of common stock of Northfield-Delaware will replace the existing shares. For a period of 20 trading days after the completion of the conversion and offering, we expect our shares of common stock will trade on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol NFBKD, and, thereafter, our trading symbol will revert to NFBK. In order to list our stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market, we are required to have at least three broker-dealers who will make a market in our common stock. As of March 31, 2010, Northfield-Federal had 22 registered market makers in its common stock, including Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. has advised us that it intends to make a market in our common stock following the offering, but it is under no obligation to do so.

The development of a public market having the desirable characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the existence of willing buyers and sellers, the presence of which is not within our control or that of any market maker. The number of active buyers and sellers of our common stock at any particular time may be limited, which may have an adverse effect on the price at which our common stock can be sold. There can be no assurance that persons purchasing the common stock will be able to sell their shares at or above the \$10.00 price per share in the offering. Purchasers of our common stock should have a long-term investment intent and should recognize that there may be a limited trading market in our common stock.

The following table sets forth the high and low trading prices for shares of Northfield-Federal common stock for the periods indicated, and the dividends paid during those periods. As of the close of business on July 30, 2010, there were 43,540,653 shares of common stock outstanding, including 18,898,969 publicly held shares (shares held by stockholders other than Northfield Bancorp, MHC), and approximately 4,683 stockholders of record.

The high and low closing prices for the quarterly periods noted below were obtained from the Nasdaq Stock Market.

	Price Per Share		Dividends Paid
	High	Low	
2010			
Third quarter (through August 6, 2010)	\$ 13.81	\$ 12.09	\$
Second quarter	\$ 15.30	\$ 12.80	\$ 0.05
First quarter	\$ 15.00	\$ 12.29	\$ 0.04
2009			
Fourth quarter	\$ 13.94	\$ 12.09	\$ 0.04
Third quarter	\$ 13.10	\$ 11.01	\$ 0.04
Second quarter	\$ 12.19	\$ 10.25	\$ 0.04
First quarter	\$ 11.25	\$ 8.18	\$ 0.04
2008			
Fourth quarter	\$ 12.50	\$ 9.22	\$ 0.04
Third quarter	\$ 13.15	\$ 10.25	\$
Second quarter	\$ 11.75	\$ 10.02	\$
First quarter	\$ 10.77	\$ 9.78	\$

On June 3, 2010, the business day immediately preceding the public announcement of the conversion, and on August 6, 2010, the closing prices of Northfield-Federal common stock as reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market were \$14.58 per share and \$12.50 per share, respectively. On the effective date of the conversion, all publicly held shares of Northfield-Federal common stock, including shares of common stock held by our officers and directors, will be converted automatically into and become the right to receive a number of shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock determined pursuant to the exchange ratio. See The Conversion and Offering Share

Table of Contents

Exchange Ratio for Current Stockholders. Options to purchase shares of Northfield-Federal common stock will be converted into options to purchase a number of shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock determined pursuant to the exchange ratio, for the same aggregate exercise price. See Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock.

HISTORICAL AND PRO FORMA REGULATORY CAPITAL COMPLIANCE

At March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank exceeded all of the applicable regulatory capital requirements and was considered well capitalized. The table below sets forth the historical equity capital and regulatory capital of Northfield Bank at March 31, 2010, and the pro forma equity capital and regulatory capital of Northfield Bank, after giving effect to the sale of shares of common stock at \$10.00 per share. The table assumes the receipt by Northfield Bank of 50% of the net offering proceeds. See How We Intend to Use the Proceeds from the Offering.

	Northfield Bank Historical at		Pro Forma at March 31, 2010, Based Upon the Sale in the Offering of (1) 40,997,500 Shares							
	March 31, 2010 Amount	Percent of Assets (3)	26,350,000 Shares Amount	Percent of Assets (3)	31,000,000 Shares Amount	Percent of Assets (3)	35,650,000 Shares Amount	Percent of Assets (3)	(2) Amount	Percent of Assets (3)
	(Dollars in thousands)									
Equity	\$ 310,204	15.17%	\$ 416,138	19.16%	\$ 434,980	19.82%	\$ 453,822	20.47%	\$ 475,490	21.20%
Core capital Core requirement (4)	\$ 278,658	13.91%	\$ 384,592	18.06%	\$ 403,434	18.74%	\$ 422,276	19.41%	\$ 443,944	20.17%
Excess	100,152	5.00	106,503	5.00	107,631	5.00	108,759	5.00	110,056	5.00
Tier 1 risk-based capital (5)	\$ 178,506	8.91%	\$ 278,089	13.06%	\$ 295,803	13.74%	\$ 313,517	14.41%	\$ 333,888	15.17%
Risk-based requirement	\$ 278,658	27.31%	\$ 384,592	36.77%	\$ 403,434	38.41%	\$ 422,276	40.03%	\$ 443,944	41.88%
Excess	61,226	6.00	62,750	6.00	63,021	6.00	63,291	6.00	63,603	6.00
Total risk-based capital (5)	\$ 217,432	21.31%	\$ 321,842	30.77%	\$ 340,413	32.41%	\$ 358,985	34.03%	\$ 380,341	35.88%
Risk-based requirement	\$ 291,780	28.59%	\$ 397,714	38.03%	\$ 416,556	39.66%	\$ 435,398	41.28%	\$ 457,066	43.12%
Excess	102,043	10.00	104,583	10.00	105,034	10.00	105,485	10.00	106,004	10.00
Reconciliation of capital infused into Northfield Bank:	\$ 189,737	18.59%	\$ 293,131	28.03%	\$ 311,522	29.66%	\$ 329,913	31.28%	\$ 351,062	33.12%
Net proceeds			\$ 127,014		\$ 149,576		\$ 172,138		\$ 198,084	
Less: Common stock acquired by stock-based benefit plan			(10,540)		(12,400)		(14,260)		(16,399)	

Less: Common stock acquired by employee stock ownership plan	(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Pro forma increase	\$ 105,934	\$ 124,776	\$ 143,618	\$ 165,286

(1) Pro forma capital levels assume that the employee stock ownership plan purchases 4% of the shares of common stock sold in the stock offering with funds we lend. Pro forma generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and regulatory capital have been reduced by the amount required to fund this plan. See Management for a discussion of the employee stock ownership plan.

(2) As adjusted to give effect to an increase in the number of shares which could occur due to a 15% increase in the offering range to reflect demand for the shares or changes in market conditions following the

commencement
of the offering.

- (3) Tangible and core capital levels are shown as a percentage of total adjusted assets.
Risk-based capital levels are shown as a percentage of risk-weighted assets.
- (4) The current Office of Thrift Supervision core capital requirement for financial institutions is 3% of total adjusted assets for financial institutions that receive the highest supervisory rating for safety and soundness and a 4% to 5% core capital ratio requirement for all other financial institutions.
- (5) Pro forma amounts and percentages assume net proceeds are invested in assets that carry a 20% risk weighting.

Table of Contents**CAPITALIZATION**

The following table presents the historical consolidated capitalization of Northfield-Federal at March 31, 2010 and the pro forma consolidated capitalization of Northfield-Delaware after giving effect to the conversion and offering, based upon the assumptions set forth in the Pro Forma Data section.

	Northfield-Federal Historical at March 31, 2010	Pro Forma at March 31, 2010 Based upon the Sale in the Offering at \$10.00 per Share of			
		26,350,000 Shares	31,000,000 Shares	35,650,000 Shares	40,997,500 Shares (1)
(Dollars in thousands)					
Deposits (2)	\$ 1,392,905	\$ 1,392,445	\$ 1,392,445	\$ 1,392,445	\$ 1,392,445
Borrowed funds	293,060	293,060	293,060	293,060	293,060
Total deposits and borrowed funds	\$ 1,685,965	\$ 1,685,505	\$ 1,685,505	\$ 1,685,505	\$ 1,685,505
Stockholders equity:					
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized (post-conversion) (3)					
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized (post-conversion); shares to be issued as reflected (3) (4)	456	466	548	630	724
Additional paid-in capital (3)	203,541	457,559	502,601	547,642	599,440
MHC capital contribution		362	362	362	362
Retained earnings (5)	214,779	214,779	214,779	214,779	214,779
Accumulated other comprehensive income	15,690	15,690	15,690	15,690	15,690
Less:					
Treasury stock	(22,520)	(22,520)	(22,520)	(22,520)	(22,520)
Common stock held by employee stock ownership plan (6)	(15,660)	(26,200)	(28,060)	(29,920)	(32,059)
Common stock to be acquired by stock-based benefit plan (7)		(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Total stockholders equity	\$ 396,286	\$ 629,596	\$ 670,999	\$ 712,403	\$ 760,017
Pro Forma Shares Outstanding					
Shares offered for sale		26,350,000	31,000,000	35,650,000	40,997,500

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Exchange shares issued		20,209,164	23,775,487	27,341,810	31,443,082
Total shares outstanding	43,722,522	46,559,164	54,775,487	62,991,810	72,440,582
Total stockholders' equity as a percentage of total assets (2)	18.89%	27.01%	28.29%	29.52%	30.88%
Tangible equity as a percentage of total assets	18.11%	26.31%	27.60%	28.84%	30.22%

(1) As adjusted to give effect to an increase in the number of shares of common stock that could occur due to a 15% increase in the offering range to reflect demand for shares or changes in market conditions following the commencement of the subscription and community offerings.

(2) Does not reflect withdrawals from deposit accounts for the purchase of shares of common stock in the conversion and offering. These withdrawals would reduce pro forma deposits and assets by the amount of the withdrawals.

(3) Northfield-Federal currently has 10,000,000 authorized shares of preferred stock and 90,000,000 authorized shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share. On a pro forma basis, common stock and additional paid-in capital have been revised to reflect the number of shares of

Northfield-Delaware common stock to be outstanding.

- (4) No effect has been given to the issuance of additional shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock pursuant to the exercise of options under one or more stock-based benefit plans. If the plans are implemented within the first year after the closing of the offering, an amount up to 10% of the shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock sold in the offering will be reserved for issuance upon the exercise of options under the plans, subject to adjustment as may be required by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations or policy to reflect stock options previously granted by Northfield-Federal or Northfield Bank so that the total shares available for issuance upon the exercise of stock options does not exceed 10% of Northfield-Delaware's outstanding shares immediately after the conversion and offering. No effect has been given to the exercise of options currently outstanding. See Management.

(Footnotes continue on following page)

Table of Contents

(continued from previous page)

- (5) The retained earnings of Northfield Bank will be substantially restricted after the conversion. See The Conversion and Offering Liquidation Rights and Supervision and Regulation Federal Banking Regulation.
- (6) Assumes that 4% of the shares sold in the offering will be acquired by the employee stock ownership plan financed by a loan from Northfield-Delaware. The loan will be repaid principally from Northfield Bank's contributions to the employee stock ownership plan. Since Northfield-Delaware will finance the employee stock ownership plan debt, this debt will be eliminated through consolidation and no liability will be reflected on Northfield-Delaware's consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, the amount of shares of common stock acquired by the employee stock ownership plan is shown in this table as a reduction of total

stockholders' equity.

- (7) Assumes a number of shares of common stock equal to 4% of the shares of common stock to be sold in the offering will be purchased for grant by one or more stock-based benefit plans. If the stock-based benefit plans are adopted within 12 months following the conversion, the amount reserved for restricted stock awards would be subject to adjustment as may be required by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations or policy to reflect restricted stock previously granted by Northfield-Federal or Northfield Bank so that the total shares reserved for restricted stock awards does not exceed 4% of Northfield-Delaware's outstanding shares immediately after the conversion and offering. The funds to be used by the plan to purchase the shares will be provided by Northfield-Delaware. The dollar amount of common stock to be purchased is based on the \$10.00 per share subscription price in the offering and represents unearned compensation. This

amount does not reflect possible increases or decreases in the value of common stock relative to the subscription price in the offering. As Northfield-Delaware accrues compensation expense to reflect the vesting of shares pursuant to the plan, the credit to capital will be offset by a charge to operations. Implementation of the plan will require stockholder approval.

Table of Contents

PRO FORMA DATA

The following table summarizes historical data of Northfield-Federal and pro forma data of Northfield-Delaware at and for the three months ended March 31, 2010, and the year ended December 31, 2009. This information is based on assumptions set forth below and in the tables, and should not be used as a basis for projections of market value of the shares of common stock following the conversion and offering.

The net proceeds in the tables are based upon the following assumptions:

- (i) 50% of all shares of common stock will be sold in the subscription and community offerings;
- (ii) our executive officers and directors, and their associates, will purchase 89,000 shares of common stock;
- (iii) our employee stock ownership plan will purchase 4% of the shares of common stock sold in the offering, with a loan from Northfield-Delaware. The loan will be repaid in substantially equal payments of principal and interest (at the prime rate of interest, calculated as of the date of the origination of the loan) over a period of 30 years. Interest income that we earn on the loan will offset the interest paid by Northfield Bank;
- (iv) Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. will receive a fee equal to 1.0% of the aggregate gross proceeds received on all shares of common stock sold in the subscription and community offerings and we will pay (a) a management fee of 1.0% of the aggregate dollar amount of the common stock sold in the syndicated community offering, 80% of which will be paid to Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. and 20% of which will be paid to Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc., and (b) a selling concession of 4.0% of the actual purchase price of each share of common stock sold in the syndicated community offering, which will be allocated to dealers (including Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P. and Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc.) in accordance with the actual number of shares of common stock sold by such dealers. No fee will be paid with respect to shares of common stock purchased by our qualified and non-qualified employee stock benefit plans, or stock purchased by our officers, directors and employees, and their immediate families, and no fee will be paid with respect to exchange shares; and
- (v) total expenses of the offering, other than the fees to be paid to Sandler O'Neill & Partners, L.P., Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. and other broker-dealers in the syndicated community offering, will be \$1.7 million.

We calculated pro forma consolidated net income for the three months ended March 31, 2010, and the year ended December 31, 2009, as if the estimated net proceeds we received had been invested at the beginning of the period at an assumed interest rate of 2.55% (1.53% on an after-tax basis). This represents the yield on the five-year U.S. Treasury Note as of March 31, 2010, which, in light of current market interest rates, we consider to more accurately reflect the pro forma reinvestment rate than the arithmetic average of the weighted average yield earned on our interest earning assets and the weighted average rate paid on our deposits, which is the reinvestment rate generally required by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations.

We further believe that the reinvestment rate is factually supportable because:

the yield on the U.S Treasury Note can be determined and/or estimated from third-party sources; and

we believe that U.S. Treasury securities are not subject to credit losses due to a U.S. Government guarantee of payment of principal and interest.

Table of Contents

We calculated historical and pro forma per share amounts by dividing historical and pro forma amounts of consolidated net income and stockholders' equity by the indicated number of shares of common stock. We adjusted these figures to give effect to the shares of common stock purchased by the employee stock ownership plan. We computed per share amounts for each period as if the shares of common stock were outstanding at the beginning of each period, but we did not adjust per share historical or pro forma stockholders' equity to reflect the earnings on the estimated net proceeds.

The pro forma table gives effect to the implementation of one or more stock-based benefit plans. Subject to the receipt of stockholder approval, we have assumed that the stock-based benefit plans will acquire for restricted stock awards a number of shares of common stock equal to 4% of the shares of common stock sold in the stock offering at the same price for which they were sold in the stock offering. We assume that awards of common stock granted under the plans vest over a five-year period.

We have also assumed that the stock-based benefit plans will grant options to acquire shares of common stock equal to 10% of the shares of common stock sold in the stock offering. In preparing the table below, we assumed that stockholder approval was obtained, that the exercise price of the stock options and the market price of the stock at the date of grant were \$10.00 per share and that the stock options had a term of ten years and vested over five years. We applied the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate a grant-date fair value of \$3.73 for each option. In addition to the terms of the options described above, the Black-Scholes option pricing model assumed an estimated volatility rate of 38.29% for the shares of common stock, a dividend yield of 1.4%, an expected option life of 6.5 years and a risk-free rate of return of 3.10%.

We may grant options and award shares of common stock under one or more stock-based benefit plans in excess of 10% and 4%, respectively, of the shares of common stock sold in the stock offering and that vest sooner than over a five-year period if the stock-based benefit plans are adopted more than one year following the stock offering.

As discussed under "How We Intend to Use the Proceeds from the Stock Offering," we intend to contribute 50% of the net proceeds from the stock offering to Northfield Bank, and we will retain the remainder of the net proceeds from the stock offering. We will use a portion of the proceeds we retain for the purpose of making a loan to the employee stock ownership plan and retain the rest of the proceeds for future use.

The pro forma table does not give effect to:

withdrawals from deposit accounts for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock in the stock offering;

our results of operations after the stock offering; or

changes in the market price of the shares of common stock after the stock offering.

The following pro forma information may not be representative of the financial effects of the offering at the dates on which the offering actually occurs, and should not be taken as indicative of future results of operations. Pro forma consolidated stockholders' equity represents the difference between the stated amounts of our assets and liabilities. The pro forma stockholders' equity is not intended to represent the fair market value of the shares of common stock and may be different than the amounts that would be available for distribution to stockholders if we liquidated. Moreover, pro forma stockholders' equity per share does not give effect to the liquidation accounts to be established in the conversion or, in the unlikely event of a liquidation of Northfield Bank, to the tax effect of the recapture of the bad debt reserve. See "The Conversion and Offering" Liquidation Rights.

Table of Contents

	At or for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010			
	Based upon the Sale at \$10.00 Per Share of			
	26,350,000	31,000,000	35,650,000	40,997,500
	Shares	Shares	Shares	Shares (1)
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Gross proceeds of offering	\$ 263,500	\$ 310,000	\$ 356,500	\$ 409,975
Market value of shares issued in the exchange	202,092	237,755	273,418	314,431
Pro forma market capitalization	\$ 465,592	\$ 547,755	\$ 629,918	\$ 724,406
Gross proceeds of offering	\$ 263,500	\$ 310,000	\$ 356,500	\$ 409,975
Expenses	9,472	10,849	12,225	13,808
Estimated net proceeds	254,028	299,151	344,275	396,167
Common stock purchased by employee stock ownership plan	(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Common stock purchased by stock-based benefit plan	(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Estimated net proceeds, as adjusted	\$ 232,948	\$ 274,351	\$ 315,755	\$ 363,369
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010				
Consolidated net earnings:				
Historical	\$ 3,381	\$ 3,381	\$ 3,381	\$ 3,381
Pro forma adjustments:				
Income on adjusted net proceeds	891	1,049	1,208	1,390
Employee stock ownership plan (2)	(53)	(62)	(71)	(82)
Stock awards (3)	(316)	(372)	(428)	(492)
Stock options (4)	(442)	(520)	(598)	(688)
Pro forma net income	\$ 3,461	\$ 3,476	\$ 3,492	\$ 3,509
Earnings per share (5):				
Historical	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.05
Pro form adjustments:				
Income on adjusted net proceeds	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Employee stock ownership plan (2)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Stock awards (3)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Stock options (4)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Pro forma earnings per share (5)	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.05

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Offering price to pro forma net earnings per share	31.25x	35.71x	41.67x	50.00x
Number of shares used in earnings per share calculations	43,341,632	50,990,156	58,638,679	67,434,481

At March 31, 2010

Stockholders' equity:				
Historical	\$ 396,286	\$ 396,286	\$ 396,286	\$ 396,286
Estimated net proceeds	254,028	299,151	344,275	396,167
Equity increase from the mutual holding company	362	362	362	362
Common stock acquired by employee stock ownership plan (2)	(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Common stock acquired by stock-based benefit plan (3)	(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Pro forma stockholders' equity	\$ 629,596	\$ 670,999	\$ 712,403	\$ 760,017
Intangible assets	\$ (16,318)	\$ (16,318)	\$ (16,318)	\$ (16,318)
Pro forma tangible stockholders' equity (6)	\$ 613,278	\$ 654,681	\$ 696,085	\$ 743,699

Stockholders' equity per share:(7)

Historical	\$ 8.51	\$ 7.24	\$ 6.29	\$ 5.48
Estimated net proceeds	5.46	5.46	5.47	5.47
Plus: Assets received from the mutual holding company	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Common stock acquired by employee stock ownership plan (2)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.23)
Common stock acquired by stock-based benefit plan (3)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.23)

Pro forma stockholders' equity per share (6) (7)	\$ 13.52	\$ 12.25	\$ 11.31	\$ 10.49
Intangible assets	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.23)
Pro forma tangible stockholders' equity per share (6) (7)	\$ 13.17	\$ 11.95	\$ 11.05	\$ 10.26

Offering price as percentage of pro forma stockholders' equity per share	73.96%	81.63%	88.42%	95.33%
Offering price as percentage of pro forma tangible stockholders' equity per share	75.93%	83.68%	90.50%	97.47%
Number of shares outstanding for pro forma book value per share calculations	46,559,164	54,775,487	62,991,810	72,440,582

(Footnotes begin on following page)

Table of Contents

- (1) As adjusted to give effect to an increase in the number of shares that could occur due to a 15% increase in the offering range to reflect demand for the shares or changes in market conditions following the commencement of the stock offering.

- (2) Assumes that 4% of the shares of common stock sold in the offering will be purchased by the employee stock ownership plan. For purposes of this table, the funds used to acquire these shares are assumed to have been borrowed by the employee stock ownership plan from Northfield-Delaware. Northfield Bank intends to make annual contributions to the employee stock ownership plan in an amount at least equal to the required principal and interest payments on the debt. Northfield Bank's total annual payments on the employee stock ownership plan debt are based upon 30 equal annual installments of principal and interest. Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards

Codification 718-40,
Employers
Accounting for
Employer Stock
Ownership Plans
(ASC 718-40)
requires that an
employer record
compensation expense
in an amount equal to
the fair value of the
shares committed to
be released to
employees. The pro
forma adjustments
assume that the
employee stock
ownership plan shares
are allocated in equal
annual installments
based on the number
of loan repayment
installments assumed
to be paid by
Northfield Bank, the
fair value of the
common stock
remains equal to the
subscription price and
the employee stock
ownership plan
expense reflects an
effective combined
federal and state tax
rate of 40.0%. The
unallocated employee
stock ownership plan
shares are reflected as
a reduction of
stockholders equity.
No reinvestment is
assumed on proceeds
contributed to fund
the employee stock
ownership plan. The
pro forma net income
further assumes that
8,783, 10,333, 11,883
and 13,666 shares
were committed to be
released during the

period at the minimum, midpoint, maximum, and adjusted maximum of the offering range, respectively, and in accordance with ASC 718-40, only the employee stock ownership plan shares committed to be released during the period were considered outstanding for purposes of net income per share calculations.

- (3) Assumes that, if approved by Northfield-Delaware stockholders, one or more stock-based benefit plans purchase an aggregate number of shares of common stock equal to 4% of the shares to be sold in the offering. Such amount is subject to adjustment as may be required by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations or policy to reflect restricted stock previously granted by Northfield-Federal or Northfield Bank (or may be a greater number of shares if the plan is implemented more than one year after completion of the conversion). Stockholder approval of the plans and purchases by the plans may not occur earlier

than six months after the completion of the conversion. The shares may be acquired directly from Northfield-Delaware or through open market purchases. Shares in the stock-based benefit plan are assumed to vest over a period of five years. The funds to be used to purchase the shares will be provided by Northfield-Delaware. The table assumes that (i) the stock-based benefit plan acquires the shares through open market purchases at \$10.00 per share, (ii) 5% of the amount contributed to the plan is amortized as an expense during the three months ended March 31, 2010, and (iii) the plan expense reflects an effective combined federal and state tax rate of 40.0%. Assuming stockholder approval of the stock-based benefit plans and that shares of common stock (equal to 4% of the shares sold in the offering) are awarded through the use of authorized but unissued shares of common stock, stockholders would have their ownership and voting interests diluted by approximately 2.21%

at the maximum of the offering range.

- (4) Assumes that, if approved by Northfield-Delaware stockholders, one or more stock-based benefit plans grant options to acquire an aggregate number of shares of common stock equal to 10% of the shares to be sold in the offering. Such amount is subject to adjustment as may be required by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations or policy to reflect stock options previously granted by Northfield-Federal or Northfield Bank (or may be a greater number of shares if the plan is implemented more than one year after completion of the conversion). Stockholder approval of the plans may not occur earlier than six months after the completion of the conversion. In calculating the pro forma effect of the stock-based benefit plans, it is assumed that the exercise price of the stock options and the trading price of the common stock at the date of grant were \$10.00 per share, the estimated grant-date fair value determined using the

Black-Scholes option pricing model was \$3.73 for each option, the aggregate grant-date fair value of the stock options was amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over a five-year

(Footnotes continue on following page)

Table of Contents

(continued from previous page)

vesting period of the options, and that 25% of the amortization expense (or the assumed portion relating to options granted to directors) resulted in a tax benefit using an assumed tax rate of 40.0%. The actual expense will be determined by the grant-date fair value of the options, which will depend on a number of factors, including the valuation assumptions used in the option pricing model ultimately adopted. Under the above assumptions, the adoption of the stock-based benefit plans will result in no additional shares under the treasury stock method for purposes of calculating earnings per share. There can be no assurance that the actual exercise price of the stock options will be equal to the \$10.00 price per share. If a portion of the shares used to satisfy the exercise of options comes from authorized but unissued shares, our net income per share and stockholders equity per share

would decrease. The issuance of authorized but unissued shares of common stock pursuant to the exercise of options under such plan would dilute stockholders ownership and voting interests by approximately 5.36% at the maximum of the offering range.

- (5) Per share figures include publicly held shares of Northfield-Federal common stock that will be exchanged for shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock in the conversion. See The Conversion and Offering Share Exchange Ratio for Current Stockholders. Net income per share computations are determined by taking the number of shares assumed to be sold in the offering and the number of new shares assumed to be issued in exchange for publicly held shares and, in accordance with ASC 718-40, subtracting the employee stock ownership plan shares which have not been committed for release during the period. See note 2.

The number of shares of common stock actually sold and the corresponding number of exchange shares may be more or less than the assumed amounts.

Pro forma net income per share has been annualized for purposes of calculating the offering price to pro forma net earnings per share.

(6) The retained earnings of Northfield Bank will be substantially restricted after the conversion. See Our Dividend Policy, The Conversion and Offering Liquidation Rights and Supervision and Regulation Federal Banking Regulation Capital Distributions.

(7) Per share figures include publicly held shares of Northfield-Federal common stock that will be exchanged for shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock in the conversion. Stockholders equity per share calculations are based upon the sum of (i) the number of subscription shares assumed to be sold in the offering and

(ii) shares to be issued in exchange for publicly held shares at the minimum, midpoint, maximum and adjusted maximum of the offering range, respectively. The exchange shares reflect an exchange ratio of 1.0693, 1.2580, 1.4467 and 1.6637 at the minimum, midpoint, maximum and adjusted maximum of the offering range, respectively. The number of shares actually sold and the corresponding number of exchange shares may be more or less than the assumed amounts.

Table of Contents

At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2009
Based upon the Sale at \$10.00 Per Share of

	26,350,000	31,000,000	35,650,000	40,997,500
	Shares	Shares	Shares	Shares (1)
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Gross proceeds of offering	\$ 263,500	\$ 310,000	\$ 356,500	\$ 409,975
Market value of shares issued in the exchange	202,092	237,755	273,418	314,431
Pro forma market capitalization	\$ 465,592	\$ 547,755	\$ 629,918	\$ 724,406
Gross proceeds of offering	\$ 263,500	\$ 310,000	\$ 356,500	\$ 409,975
Expenses	9,472	10,849	12,225	13,808
Estimated net proceeds	254,028	299,151	344,275	396,167
Common stock purchased by employee stock ownership plan	(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Common stock purchased by stock-based benefit plan	(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Estimated net proceeds, as adjusted	\$ 232,948	\$ 274,351	\$ 315,755	\$ 363,369
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009				
Consolidated net earnings:				
Historical	\$ 12,074	\$ 12,074	\$ 12,074	\$ 12,074
Pro forma adjustments:				
Income on adjusted net proceeds	3,564	4,198	4,831	5,560
Employee stock ownership plan (2)	(211)	(248)	(285)	(328)
Stock awards (3)	(1,265)	(1,488)	(1,711)	(1,968)
Stock options (4)	(1,769)	(2,081)	(2,394)	(2,753)
Pro forma net income	\$ 12,393	\$ 12,455	\$ 12,515	\$ 12,585
Earnings per share (5):				
Historical	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.17
Pro form adjustments:				
Income on adjusted net proceeds	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Employee stock ownership plan (2)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Stock awards (3)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Stock options (4)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Pro forma earnings per share (5)	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.18
	35.71x	41.67x	47.62x	55.56x

Offering price to pro forma net earnings per share				
Number of shares used in earnings per share calculations	44,326,741	52,149,108	59,971,474	68,967,195
At December 31, 2009				
Stockholders' equity:				
Historical	\$ 391,540	\$ 391,540	\$ 391,540	\$ 391,540
Estimated net proceeds	254,028	299,151	344,275	396,167
Equity increase from the mutual holding company	362	362	362	362
Common stock acquired by employee stock ownership plan (2)	(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Common stock acquired by stock-based benefit plan (3)	(10,540)	(12,400)	(14,260)	(16,399)
Pro forma stockholders' equity	\$ 624,850	\$ 666,253	\$ 707,657	\$ 755,271
Intangible assets	\$ (16,361)	\$ (16,361)	\$ (16,361)	\$ (16,361)
Pro forma tangible stockholders' equity (6)	\$ 608,489	\$ 649,892	\$ 691,296	\$ 738,910
Stockholders' equity per share:(7)				
Historical	\$ 8.41	\$ 7.15	\$ 6.21	\$ 5.42
Estimated net proceeds	5.46	5.46	5.47	5.47
Plus: Assets received from the mutual holding company	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Common stock acquired by employee stock ownership plan (2)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.23)
Common stock acquired by stock-based benefit plan (3)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.23)
Pro forma stockholders' equity per share (6) (7)	\$ 13.42	\$ 12.16	\$ 11.23	\$ 10.43
Intangible assets	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.23)
Pro forma tangible stockholders' equity per share (6) (7)	\$ 13.07	\$ 11.86	\$ 10.97	\$ 10.20
Offering price as percentage of pro forma stockholders' equity per share	74.52%	82.24%	89.05%	95.88%
Offering price as percentage of pro forma tangible stockholders' equity per share	76.51%	84.32%	91.16%	98.04%
Number of shares outstanding for pro forma book value per share calculations	46,559,164	54,775,487	62,991,810	72,440,582

(Footnotes begin on following page)

Table of Contents

- (1) As adjusted to give effect to an increase in the number of shares that could occur due to a 15% increase in the offering range to reflect demand for the shares or changes in market conditions following the commencement of the stock offering.

- (2) Assumes that 4% of the shares of common stock sold in the offering will be purchased by the employee stock ownership plan. For purposes of this table, the funds used to acquire these shares are assumed to have been borrowed by the employee stock ownership plan from Northfield-Delaware. Northfield Bank intends to make annual contributions to the employee stock ownership plan in an amount at least equal to the required principal and interest payments on the debt. Northfield Bank's total annual payments on the employee stock ownership plan debt are based upon 30 equal annual installments of principal and interest. Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards

Codification 718-40,
Employers
Accounting for
Employer Stock
Ownership Plans
(ASC 718-40)
requires that an
employer record
compensation expense
in an amount equal to
the fair value of the
shares committed to
be released to
employees. The pro
forma adjustments
assume that the
employee stock
ownership plan shares
are allocated in equal
annual installments
based on the number
of loan repayment
installments assumed
to be paid by
Northfield Bank, the
fair value of the
common stock
remains equal to the
subscription price and
the employee stock
ownership plan
expense reflects an
effective combined
federal and state tax
rate of 40.0%. The
unallocated employee
stock ownership plan
shares are reflected as
a reduction of
stockholders equity.
No reinvestment is
assumed on proceeds
contributed to fund
the employee stock
ownership plan. The
pro forma net income
further assumes that
35,133, 41,333,
47,533 and 54,633
shares were
committed to be

released during the year at the minimum, midpoint, maximum, and adjusted maximum of the offering range, respectively, and in accordance with ASC 718-40, only the employee stock ownership plan shares committed to be released during the period were considered outstanding for purposes of net income per share calculations.

- (3) Assumes that, if approved by Northfield-Delaware stockholders, one or more stock-based benefit plans purchase an aggregate number of shares of common stock equal to 4% of the shares to be sold in the offering, subject to adjustment as may be required by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations or policy to reflect restricted stock previously granted by Northfield-Federal or Northfield Bank (and may be a greater number of shares if the plan is implemented more than one year after completion of the conversion). Stockholder approval of the plans and purchases by the plans may not occur earlier

than six months after the completion of the conversion. The shares may be acquired directly from Northfield-Delaware or through open market purchases.

Shares in the stock-based benefit plan are assumed to vest over a period of five years. The funds to be used to purchase the shares will be provided by Northfield-Delaware.

The table assumes that (i) the stock-based benefit plan acquires the shares through open market purchases at \$10.00 per share, (ii) 20% of the amount contributed to the plan is amortized as an expense during the year ended December 31, 2009, and (iii) the plan expense reflects an effective combined federal and state tax rate of 40.0%.

Assuming stockholder approval of the stock-based benefit plans and that shares of common stock (equal to 4% of the shares sold in the offering) are awarded through the use of authorized but unissued shares of common stock, stockholders would have their ownership and voting interests diluted by

approximately 2.21%
at the maximum of the
offering range.

- (4) Assumes that, if approved by Northfield-Delaware stockholders, one or more stock-based benefit plans grant options to acquire an aggregate number of shares of common stock equal to 10% of the shares to be sold in the offering, subject to adjustment as may be required by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations or policy to reflect stock options previously granted by Northfield-Federal or Northfield Bank (and may be a greater number of shares if the plan is implemented more than one year after completion of the conversion). Stockholder approval of the plans may not occur earlier than six months after the completion of the conversion. In calculating the pro forma effect of the stock-based benefit plans, it is assumed that the exercise price of the stock options and the trading price of the common stock at the date of grant were \$10.00 per share, the estimated grant-date fair value determined using the

Black-Scholes option pricing model was \$3.73 for each option, the aggregate grant-date fair value of the stock options was amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over a five-year

(Footnotes continue on following page)

Table of Contents

(continued from previous page)

vesting period of the options, and that 25% of the amortization expense (or the assumed portion relating to options granted to directors) resulted in a tax benefit using an assumed tax rate of 40.0%. The actual expense will be determined by the grant-date fair value of the options, which will depend on a number of factors, including the valuation assumptions used in the option pricing model ultimately adopted. Under the above assumptions, the adoption of the stock-based benefit plans will result in no additional shares under the treasury stock method for purposes of calculating earnings per share. There can be no assurance that the actual exercise price of the stock options will be equal to the \$10.00 price per share. If a portion of the shares used to satisfy the exercise of options comes from authorized but unissued shares, our net income per share and stockholders equity per share

would decrease. The issuance of authorized but unissued shares of common stock pursuant to the exercise of options under such plan would dilute stockholders ownership and voting interests by approximately 5.36% at the maximum of the offering range.

- (5) Per share figures include publicly held shares of Northfield-Federal common stock that will be exchanged for shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock in the conversion. See The Conversion and Offering Share Exchange Ratio for Current Stockholders. Net income per share computations are determined by taking the number of shares assumed to be sold in the offering and the number of new shares assumed to be issued in exchange for publicly held shares and, in accordance with ASC 718-40, subtracting the employee stock ownership plan shares which have not been committed for release during the year. See note 2. The

number of shares of common stock actually sold and the corresponding number of exchange shares may be more or less than the assumed amounts.

Pro forma net income per share has been annualized for purposes of calculating the offering price to pro forma net earnings per share.

(6) The retained earnings of Northfield Bank will be substantially restricted after the conversion. See Our Dividend Policy, The Conversion and Offering Liquidation Rights and Supervision and Regulation Federal Banking Regulation Capital Distributions.

(7) Per share figures include publicly held shares of Northfield-Federal common stock that will be exchanged for shares of Northfield-Delaware common stock in the conversion. Stockholders equity per share calculations are based upon the sum of (i) the number of subscription shares assumed to be sold in the offering and (ii) shares to be

issued in exchange for publicly held shares at the minimum, midpoint, maximum and adjusted maximum of the offering range, respectively. The exchange shares reflect an exchange ratio of 1.0693, 1.2580, 1.4467 and 1.6637 at the minimum, midpoint, maximum and adjusted maximum of the offering range, respectively. The number of shares actually sold and the corresponding number of exchange shares may be more or less than the assumed amounts.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

This discussion and analysis reflects our consolidated financial statements and other relevant statistical data, and is intended to enhance your understanding of our financial condition and results of operations. The information in this section has been derived from the audited and unaudited consolidated financial statements, which appear beginning on page F-1 of this prospectus. You should read the information in this section in conjunction with the business and financial information regarding Northfield-Federal provided in this prospectus.

Overview

Our principal business consists of accepting deposits and investing such funds in mortgage loans, secured commercial business loans and in investment securities, consisting primarily of mortgage-backed securities. Our business is affected by prevailing economic conditions, particularly market interest rates. Additionally, we are subject to government policies concerning, among other things, monetary and fiscal affairs, housing and financial institutions and regulations regarding lending and other operations, privacy and consumer disclosure. We rely on our convenient locations, customer service, and competitive products and pricing to attract and retain deposits. Lending activities are affected by market interest rates, loan demand and local economic conditions. Sources of funds for lending and investing activities include deposits, borrowings, loan and investment repayments, and income from our operations.

Our lending focus has been originating commercial real estate and multifamily loans in the markets we serve. At March 31, 2010, total loans held for investment were \$736.6 million, or 35.1% of total assets, with \$519.8 million, or 70.5% of the total portfolio, consisting of commercial real estate loans (\$332.4 million, or 45.1%) and multifamily loans (\$187.4 million, or 25.4%). Our loan portfolio has continued to grow in 2010 but at slower rates than we experienced in 2008 and 2009. We do not originate for retention in our portfolio interest only residential mortgage loans on one- to four-family residential properties, where the borrower pays interest for an initial period and thereafter the loan converts to a fully amortizing loan. We do not offer loans that provide for negative amortization of principal, such as Option ARM loans, where the borrower can pay less than the interest owed on the loan, thereby resulting in an increased principal balance during the life of the loan. We also do not offer, and have not offered, subprime loans (loans that generally target borrowers with weakened credit histories typically characterized by payment delinquencies, previous charge-offs, judgments, bankruptcies, or borrowers with questionable repayment capacity as evidenced by low credit scores or high debt-burden ratios). We do not underwrite loans with limited or no documentation.

Our investing focus has been purchasing mortgage-backed securities. At March 31, 2010, securities available-for-sale had an estimated fair value of \$1.2 billion, or 58.0% of total assets, with \$943.6 million, or 77.6%, consisting of mortgage-backed securities. At March 31, 2010, 74.9% of our securities portfolio consisted of securities issued by the U.S. government, U.S. government agencies or U.S. government sponsored enterprises. We do not own any common or preferred stock issued by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.

Our net income has decreased over the past year, and totaled \$12.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$15.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Much of the reduction in our net income has resulted from increased provisions for loan losses relating to deteriorating asset quality, which also has recently affected much of the financial institution industry, and from increases in employee compensation and benefits and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance premiums, which were partially offset by an increase in net interest income. Our net income was \$3.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase was a result of an increase in net interest income and an increase in non-interest income (primarily gains on sales of securities, net), partially offset by an increase in non-interest expense (primarily employee compensation and benefits).

Following the completion of the conversion, our non-interest expense is expected to increase because of the increased compensation expenses associated with the purchase of shares of common stock by our employee stock

Table of Contents

ownership plan and the possible implementation of one or more stock-based benefit plans, if approved by our stockholders no earlier than six months after the completion of the conversion, and because of our anticipated growth. For further information, see Summary Benefits to Management and Potential Dilution to Stockholders Resulting from the Conversion; Risk Factors Our stock-based benefit plans would increase our expenses and reduce our income; Management Benefits to be Considered Following Completion of the Conversion; and Risk Factors We may face risks with respect to future expansion.

Our balance sheet is comprised primarily of financial instruments consisting of securities, loans, deposits, and borrowings. Purchases of securities are recorded at cost. Subsequent to purchase, securities designated as available for sale are carried at estimated fair value, with the difference between the amortized cost and the estimated fair value of securities available for sale being recorded as an adjustment to stockholders' equity, net of tax. Estimated fair values of securities available for sale above amortized cost increase total stockholders' equity through increased other comprehensive income. Estimated fair value of securities available for sale below amortized cost will reduce total stockholders' equity. If the estimated fair value of securities available for sale are below amortized cost and are deemed to be temporary, the reduction in total stockholders' equity will be affected through a charge to other comprehensive income, net of tax. If the estimated fair value of securities available for sale are below amortized cost and are deemed to be other than temporary, the reduction in total stockholders' equity will be effected through a charge to current operations for that portion of the decline in estimated fair value that is attributable to a credit loss. Declines in estimated fair values that are associated with factors that are other than credit related, such as adjustments for liquidity and interest rates, are recorded as a reduction to total stockholders' equity through a charge to other comprehensive income provided that it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery. Loans are primarily recorded at amortized cost. Estimated fair values below amortized cost attributable to credit losses are recorded in current operations through a charge to the provision for loan losses, with a resulting decrease to total stockholders' equity. Estimated fair values that are associated with factors that are other than credit related, such as adjustments for liquidity and interest rates, do not affect current operations or total stockholders' equity as such loans are held for investment and the estimated fair values are expected to return to a value that approximates amortized cost as the loans are repaid. Deposits and borrowings are recorded at amortized cost. Changes in estimated fair values are related primarily to changes in market interest rates and have no affect on current operations or total stockholders' equity, and are expected to return to a value that approximates amortized cost as deposits and borrowings become due and payable.

Business Strategy

Our principal objective is to build long-term value for our stockholders by operating a profitable community-oriented financial institution dedicated to meeting the banking needs of our customers. Our board of directors has sought to accomplish this objective with a strategy designed to increase profitability, while maintaining a strong capital position and high asset quality. We cannot assure you that we will successfully implement our business strategy.

Highlights of our business strategy are as follows:

Remaining a community-oriented institution. We have been in business for over 123 years, growing through internal expansion and acquisitions. We offer a variety of financial products and services to meet the needs of small businesses and individuals in our market area, and we are dedicated to providing quality personal service to our customers. We consider our competitive products and pricing, branch network, reputation for superior customer service and financial strength as our major strengths in attracting and retaining customers. Over the last several years, we have significantly upgraded our technology capabilities, and currently offer mobile banking, remote deposit capture, electronic check clearing, and online business customer cash management. We intend to further capitalize on our technology capabilities to improve operating efficiencies and enhance customer service.

Continuing to increase our lending. We have grown our loan portfolio to \$736.6 million at March 31, 2010 from \$424.2 million at December 31, 2007. To achieve this growth, we have continued to emphasize the origination of loans other than residential mortgage loans, primarily multifamily and commercial real estate loans. These loans have higher yields than residential mortgage loans and generally have periodic adjustable interest rates

Table of Contents

and/or shorter terms, which assists us in managing our interest rate risk. Despite a difficult economic environment, we have continued to lend to qualified borrowers as other lenders have ceased or curtailed their lending, and we were able to increase our loan portfolio in 2009 and the first quarter of 2010. In October 2009, we also began to offer loans to finance premiums on commercial insurance policies, and such loans totaled \$40.0 million at March 31, 2010.

Over the past several years, we have particularly emphasized the origination of multifamily loans. At March 31, 2010, our multifamily portfolio totaled \$187.4 million, or 25.4% of total loans, compared to \$14.2 million, or 3.3% of total loans, at December 31, 2007. At March 31, 2010, our commercial real estate loan portfolio totaled \$332.4 million, or 45.1% of total loans, compared to \$243.9 million, or 57.5% of total loans, at December 31, 2007. We intend to continue to emphasize multifamily lending, and, as economic conditions improve, we will seek to increase the origination of commercial real estate, commercial and home equity loans as well. However, a continuation or worsening of economic conditions could make it more difficult to execute our strategy, at least until economic conditions improve. Also, the additional capital raised in the stock offering will increase our lending capacity by enabling us to originate more loans and loans with larger balances; however, this increased lending can also expose us to increased risks, as discussed in the Risk Factors section of this prospectus.

Improving asset quality. Maintaining loan quality historically has been, and will continue to be, a key element of our business strategy. We employ conservative underwriting standards for new loan originations and maintain sound credit administration practices while the loans are outstanding. In addition, substantially all of our loans are secured, predominantly by real estate. However, during the current economic recession, we have experienced increases in delinquent and non-performing loans. At March 31, 2010, our non-performing loans totaled \$50.0 million or 6.79% of total loans. At the same time, charge-offs have remained relatively low at 0.38% of average loans outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2008, 0.37% for the year ended December 31, 2009, and were 0.11% for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

To mitigate our exposure to potential loss during this weak economic period, we have de-emphasized the origination of commercial real estate loans and construction and land loans. We have also increased our allowance for loan losses from \$5.6 million, or 1.33% of loans held for investment, net, at December 31, 2007, to \$17.1 million, or 2.33% of loans held for investment, net, at March 31, 2010. We will also work with willing and able borrowers experiencing financial difficulties in order to maximize the recovery of the loan balance, and, when circumstances warrant, we may grant modifications to existing loan terms and conditions that we would not otherwise consider, commonly referred to as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). At March 31, 2010, we had \$21.9 million of loans classified as TDRs, of which \$8.8 million were accruing interest and \$13.1 million were on non-accrual status. At March 31, 2010, \$16.2 million, or 74.1% of loans subject to restructuring agreements (accruing and non-accruing) were performing in accordance with their restructured terms.

Expanding through branching and acquisitions. We intend to continue *de novo* branching to expand our presence within and outside our primary market area. We currently expect to establish nine *de novo* branch offices by December 31, 2013, and also expect to establish an internet platform to gather deposits during that same time period. In conjunction with this expansion strategy, we may dispose of underperforming or overlapping branches, if appropriate. We also believe that acquisition opportunities exist both within and beyond our current market area. We will consider pursuing whole-bank and branch acquisition opportunities on a selective basis in areas that will afford us the opportunity to add complementary products to our existing business or expand our franchise geographically. Currently, we do not have any plans or arrangements to acquire other financial institutions or dispose of existing branch offices.

Employing securities investment strategies to increase income. We determine whether to invest in securities or loans depending on the relative risks and returns available for each type of investment. If opportunities exist, we may purchase additional investment securities and fund those purchases with additional borrowings at an initial positive interest rate spread, commonly referred to as leverage transactions, in an effort to increase our net income and return on equity. Leveraging can expose a company to greater interest rate risk in a rising interest rate environment, and there can be no assurance that a leveraging strategy would be successful.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Policies**

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that involve significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that the most critical accounting policies upon which our financial condition and results of operation depend, and which involve the most complex subjective decisions or assessments, are the following:

Allowance for Loan Losses, Impaired Loans, Troubled Debt Restructurings and Other Real Estate Owned. The allowance for loan losses is the estimated amount considered necessary to cover probable and reasonably estimatable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is established through the provision for loan losses that is charged against income. In determining the allowance for loan losses, we make significant estimates and judgments. The determination of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting policy by management because of the high degree of judgment involved, the subjectivity of the assumptions used, and the potential for changes in the economic environment that could result in changes to the amount of the recorded allowance for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses has been determined in accordance with GAAP. We are responsible for the timely and periodic determination of the amount of the allowance required. We believe that our allowance for loan losses is adequate to cover identifiable losses, as well as estimated losses inherent in our portfolio for which certain losses are probable but not specifically identifiable.

Management performs a quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. The analysis of the allowance for loan losses has a component for impaired loan losses and a component for general loan losses. Management has defined an impaired loan to be a loan for which it is probable, based on current information, that we will not collect all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. We have defined the population of impaired loans to be all non-accrual loans with an outstanding balance of \$500,000 or greater, and all loans subject to a troubled debt restructuring. Impaired loans are individually assessed to determine that the loan's carrying value is not in excess of the estimated fair value of the collateral (less cost to sell), if the loan is collateral dependent, or the present value of the expected future cash flows, if the loan is not collateral dependent. Management performs a detailed evaluation of each impaired loan and generally obtains updated appraisals as part of the evaluation. In addition, management adjusts estimated fair values down to appropriately consider recent market conditions, our willingness to accept lower sales price to effect a quick sale, and costs to dispose of any supporting collateral. Determining the estimated fair value of underlying collateral (and related costs to sell) can be difficult in illiquid real estate markets and is subject to significant assumptions and estimates. Management employs an independent third party expert in appraisal preparation and review to ascertain the reasonableness of updated appraisals. Projecting the expected cash flows under troubled debt restructurings is inherently subjective and requires, among other things, an evaluation of the borrower's current and projected financial condition. Actual results may be significantly different than our projections, and our established allowance for loan losses on these loans, and could have a material effect on our financial results.

The second component of the allowance for loan losses is the general loss allocation. This assessment is performed on a portfolio basis, excluding loans analyzed for impairment and TDRs, with loans being grouped by collateral type and delinquency status. We apply an estimated loss rate to each loan group. The loss rates applied are based on our loss experience as adjusted for our qualitative assessment of relevant changes related to: underwriting standards; delinquency trends; collection, charge-off and recovery practices; the nature or volume of the loan group; lending staff; concentration of loan type; current economic conditions; and other relevant factors considered appropriate by management. In evaluating the estimated loss factors to be utilized for each loan group, management also reviews our actual loss history over the prior eight quarters and loss history as reported by the Office of Thrift Supervision and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation for institutions both nationally and in our market area, for periods that are believed to have been under similar economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions based on changes in economic and real estate market conditions. Actual loan losses may be significantly different than the allowance for loan losses we have established, and could have a material effect on our financial results.

Table of Contents

This quarterly process is performed by the accounting department, with the assistance of the credit administration department and our Chief Lending Officer, and approved by the Chief Financial Officer. All supporting documentation with regard to the evaluation process is maintained by the accounting department. Each quarter a summary of the allowance for loan losses is presented by the Controller to the Audit Committee of the board of directors.

We have a concentration of loans secured by real property located in New York and New Jersey. As a substantial amount of our loan portfolio is collateralized by real estate, appraisals of the underlying value of property securing loans are critical in determining the amount of the allowance required for specific loans. Assumptions for appraisal valuations are instrumental in determining the value of properties. Overly optimistic assumptions or negative changes to assumptions could significantly affect the valuation of a property securing a loan and the related allowance determined. The assumptions supporting such appraisals are reviewed by management to determine that the resulting values reasonably reflect amounts realizable on the collateral. Based on the composition of our loan portfolio, we believe the primary risks are increases in vacancy rates and declines in rental rates for both multifamily and commercial properties, a decline in the economy generally, increases in interest rates and a decline in real estate market values in New York or New Jersey. Any one or a combination of these events may adversely affect our loan portfolio resulting in delinquencies, increased loan losses, and future loan loss provisions.

Although we believe we have established and maintained the allowance for loan losses at adequate levels, changes may be necessary if future economic or other conditions differ substantially from our estimation of the current operating environment. Although management uses the information available, the level of the allowance for loan losses remains an estimate that is subject to significant judgment. In addition, the Office of Thrift Supervision, as an integral part of their examination process, will periodically review our allowance for loan losses. Such agency may require us to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

We also maintain an allowance for estimated losses on off-balance sheet credit risks related to loan commitments and standby letters of credit. Management utilizes a methodology similar to its allowance for loan loss methodology to estimate losses on these items. The allowance for estimated credit losses on these items is included in other liabilities and any changes to the allowance are recorded as a component of other non-interest expense.

Real estate we acquire as a result of foreclosure is classified as real estate owned. When we acquire other real estate owned, we generally obtain a current appraisal to substantiate the net carrying value of the asset. The asset is recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, establishing a new cost basis. Holding costs and declines in estimated fair value result in charges to expense after acquisition.

Goodwill. Business combinations accounted for under the acquisition method requires us to record goodwill as an asset on our financial statements, which is an unidentifiable intangible asset equal to the excess of the purchase price that we pay for another company over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired. Net assets acquired include identifiable intangible assets such as core deposit intangibles and non-compete agreements. We evaluate goodwill for impairment annually on December 31, and more often if circumstances warrant, and we will reduce its carrying value through a charge to earnings if impairment exists. Future events or changes in the estimates that we use to determine the carrying value of our goodwill or which otherwise adversely affect its value could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. As of March 31, 2010, goodwill had a carrying value of \$16.2 million.

Securities Valuation and Impairment. Our securities portfolio is comprised of mortgage-backed securities and to a lesser extent corporate bonds, agency bonds, and mutual funds. Our available-for-sale securities portfolio is carried at estimated fair value, with any unrealized gains or losses, net of taxes, reported as accumulated other comprehensive income or loss in stockholders' equity. Our trading securities portfolio is reported at estimated fair value. Our held-to-maturity securities portfolio, consisting of debt securities for which we have a positive intent and ability to hold to maturity, is carried at amortized cost. We conduct a quarterly review and evaluation of the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities portfolios to determine if the estimated fair value of any security

Table of Contents

has declined below its amortized cost, and whether such decline is other-than-temporary. If the amortized cost of an investment exceeds its fair value, we evaluate, among other factors, general market conditions, the duration and extent to which the fair value is less than cost, the probability of a near-term recovery in value and our intent to sell the security and whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before full recovery of our investment or maturity. If such a decline is deemed other-than-temporary for equity securities, an impairment charge is recorded through current earnings based upon the estimated fair value of the security at the time of impairment and a new cost basis in the investment is established. For debt investment securities deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, and we believe it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before full recovery of our investment or maturity, the investment is written down through current earnings by the impairment related to the estimated credit loss and the noncredit-related impairment is recognized through other comprehensive income. The estimated fair values of our securities are primarily affected by changes in interest rates, credit quality, and market liquidity.

Management is responsible for determining the estimated fair value of our securities. In determining estimated fair values, management utilizes the services of an independent third party recognized as a specialist in pricing securities. The independent pricing service utilizes market prices of same or similar securities whenever such prices are available. Prices involving distressed sellers are not utilized in determining fair value. Where necessary, the independent third party pricing service estimates fair value using models employing techniques such as discounted cash flow analyses. The assumptions used in these models typically include assumptions for interest rates, credit losses, and prepayments, utilizing observable market data, where available. Where the market price of the same or similar securities is not available, the valuation becomes more subjective and involves a high degree of judgment. On a quarterly basis, we review the pricing methodologies utilized by the independent third party pricing service for each security type. In addition, we compare securities prices to a second independent pricing service that is utilized as part of our asset liability risk management process. At March 31, 2010, and for each quarter end in 2009, all securities were priced by the independent third party pricing service, and management made no adjustment to the prices received.

Determining that a security's decline in estimated fair value is other-than-temporary is inherently subjective, and becomes increasingly difficult as it relates to mortgage-backed securities that are not guaranteed by the U.S. Government, or a U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprise (e.g., Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac). In performing our evaluation of securities in an unrealized loss position, we consider among other things, the severity, and duration of time that the security has been in an unrealized loss position and the credit quality of the issuer. As it relates to mortgage-backed securities not guaranteed by the U.S. Government, Fannie Mae, or Freddie Mac, we perform a review of the key underlying loan collateral risk characteristics including, among other things, origination dates, interest rate levels, composition of variable and fixed rates, reset dates (including related pricing indices), current loan to original collateral values, locations of collateral, delinquency status of loans, and current credit support. In addition, for securities experiencing declines in estimated fair values of over 10%, as compared to its amortized cost, management also reviews published historical and expected prepayment speeds, underlying loan collateral default rates, and related historical and expected losses on the disposal of the underlying collateral on defaulted loans. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates of future events, many of which are difficult to predict. Actual results could be significantly different than our estimates and could have a material effect on our financial results.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock Impairment Assessment. Northfield Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, which consists of 12 regional Federal Home Loan Banks. As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, Northfield Bank is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York in an amount determined by a membership investment component and an activity-based investment component. As of March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank was in compliance with its ownership requirement. At March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank held \$5.0 million of Federal Home Loan Bank of New York common stock. In performing our evaluation of our investment in Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, on a quarterly basis, management reviews the most recent financial statements of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York and determines whether there have been any adverse changes to its capital position as compared to the trailing period. In

addition, management reviews the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York's most recent President's Report in order to determine whether or not a dividend has been declared for the current reporting

Table of Contents

period. Furthermore, management obtains the credit rating of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York from an accredited credit rating company to ensure that no changes have occurred that would negatively affect our investment in the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York. At March 31, 2010, it was determined by management that our investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock was not impaired.

Deferred Income Taxes. We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. If it is determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance is established. We consider the determination of this valuation allowance to be a critical accounting policy because of the need to exercise significant judgment in evaluating the amount and timing of recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets, including projections of future taxable income. These judgments and estimates are reviewed quarterly as regulatory and business factors change. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets may be required if the amounts of taxes recoverable through loss carrybacks decline, or if we project lower levels of future taxable income. Such a valuation allowance would be established and any subsequent changes to such allowance would require an adjustment to income tax expense that could adversely affect our operating results.

Stock Based Compensation. We recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value for all awards granted.

We estimate the per share fair value of option grants on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using assumptions for the expected dividend yield, expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate and expected option term. These assumptions are based on our judgments regarding future option exercise experience and market conditions. These assumptions are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties, and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. The Black-Scholes option pricing model also contains certain inherent limitations when applied to options that are not traded on public markets.

The per share fair value of options is highly sensitive to changes in assumptions. In general, the per share fair value of options will move in the same direction as changes in the expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate and expected option term, and in the opposite direction of changes in the expected dividend yield. For example, the per share fair value of options will generally increase as expected stock price volatility increases, risk-free interest rate increases, expected option term increases and expected dividend yield decreases. The use of different assumptions or different option pricing models could result in materially different per share fair values of options.

As our common stock does not have a significant amount of historical price volatility, we utilized the historical stock price volatility of a peer group when valuing stock options.

Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009

Total assets increased \$95.5 million, or 4.8%, to \$2.1 billion at March 31, 2010, from \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2009. The increase in total assets reflected increases in securities of \$84.2 million, cash and cash equivalents of \$8.3 million, and loans held for investment, net, of \$8.0 million.

Cash and cash equivalents increased \$8.3 million, or 19.4%, to \$50.8 million at March 31, 2010, from \$42.5 million at December 31, 2009. We have been maintaining increased balances in other financial institutions while we evaluate opportunities to deploy funds into higher yielding investments such as loans and securities with acceptable risk and return characteristics.

Securities available-for-sale increased \$84.4 million, or 7.5%, to \$1.2 billion at March 31, 2010, from \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to purchases of \$217.2 million and an

Table of Contents

increase of \$5.7 million in net unrealized gains, partially offset by maturities and principal paydowns of \$123.6 million and sales of \$15.2 million.

Securities held-to-maturity decreased \$520,000, or 7.7%, to \$6.2 million at March 31, 2010, from \$6.7 million at December 31, 2009. The decrease was attributable to maturities and principal paydowns during the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

At March 31, 2010, \$788.2 million of our securities were residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. We also held residential mortgage-backed securities not issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or Ginnie Mae, which are referred to as private label securities. These private label securities had an amortized cost of \$158.5 million and an estimated fair value of \$161.6 million at March 31, 2010. The private label securities portfolio was in a net unrealized gain position of \$3.1 million, consisting of gross unrealized gains of \$5.5 million and gross unrealized losses of \$2.4 million.

Of the \$161.6 million in private label securities, three securities with an estimated fair value of \$13.8 million (amortized cost of \$15.8 million) were rated less than AAA at March 31, 2010. Of the three securities, one had an estimated fair value of \$2.6 million (amortized cost of \$2.7 million) and was rated A+, another had an estimated fair value of \$6.1 million (amortized cost of \$7.4 million) and was rated Baa2 (subsequently downgraded to Caa2), and the remaining security had an estimated fair value of \$5.1 million (amortized cost of \$5.7 million) and was rated CCC. As of March 31, 2010, we continued to receive principal and interest payments in accordance with the contractual terms of each of these securities. Management has evaluated, among other things, delinquency status, location of collateral, estimated prepayment speeds, and the estimated default rates and loss severity in liquidating the underlying collateral for each of these three securities. Since management does not have the intent to sell the securities, and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery (which may be at maturity), we believe that the unrealized losses of \$2.0 million at March 31, 2010, are temporary, and as such, are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Loans held for investment, net totaled \$737.2 million at March 31, 2010, compared to \$729.3 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily in multifamily real estate loans, which increased \$9.0 million, or 5.0%, to \$187.4 million, from \$178.4 million at December 31, 2009, reflecting our continued emphasis on this type of loan. Commercial real estate loans increased \$4.6 million, or 1.4%, to \$332.4 million, and home equity loans increased \$2.0 million, or 7.8%, from \$26.1 million at December 31, 2009. These increases were partially offset by decreases in residential loans, land and construction loans, commercial and industrial loans, and insurance premium loans.

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost, decreased \$1.4 million, or 21.7%, from \$6.4 million at December 31, 2009 to \$5.0 million at March 31, 2010. This decrease was attributable to a decrease in borrowings outstanding with the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York over the same time period.

Other real estate owned decreased \$405,000, or 21.0%, from \$1.9 million at December 31, 2009, to \$1.5 million at March 31, 2010. This decrease was primarily attributable to downward valuation adjustments recorded against the carrying balances of the properties which resulted from the continued deterioration in estimated fair values, coupled with the sale of real estate owned properties.

We invest in bank-owned life insurance to provide a funding source for benefit plan obligations. Bank-owned life insurance also generally provides non-interest income that is nontaxable. Federal regulations generally limit the investment in bank-owned life insurance to 25% of the sum of a savings bank's tier 1 capital and its allowance for loan losses. At March 31, 2010, this limit was \$74.0 million, and our investment in bank-owned life insurance at that date totaled \$44.2 million.

Other assets decreased \$2.2 million, or 14.6%, to \$12.7 million at March 31, 2010, from \$14.9 million at December 31, 2009. The decrease in other assets was attributable to a decrease in deferred tax assets, which

Table of Contents

resulted primarily from an increase in net unrealized gains on our securities portfolio from December 31, 2009, to March 31, 2010.

Deposits increased \$76.0 million, or 5.8%, to \$1.4 billion at March 31, 2010, from \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2009. The increase in deposits during the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to an increase of short-term certificates of deposit originated through the CDARS® Network in the amount of \$82.0 million. We utilize this funding source as a cost effective alternative to other short-term funding sources. In addition, savings and money market accounts, and transaction accounts increased \$27.2 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, from December 31, 2009 to March 31, 2010. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$35.1 million in certificates of deposit (originated by Northfield Bank) over the same time period.

Borrowings increased \$13.6 million, or 4.8%, to \$293.1 million at March 31, 2010, from \$279.4 million at December 31, 2009. The increase in borrowings was primarily the result of our increasing longer-term borrowings to lock in lower interest rates in the historically low interest rate environment, partially offset by maturities during the quarter.

Total stockholders' equity increased to \$396.3 million at March 31, 2010, from \$391.5 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to net income of \$3.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, and an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$3.5 million resulting primarily from a decrease in market interest rates that resulted in an increase in the estimated fair value of our securities available for sale. The increase in stockholders' equity also was attributable to a \$1.1 million increase in additional paid-in capital primarily related to the recognition of compensation expense associated with equity awards. These increases were partially offset by \$2.8 million in stock repurchases, and the payment of approximately \$772,000 in dividends for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. Through March 31, 2010, we had repurchased 1,910,089 shares of common stock at an average cost of \$11.79 per share.

Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2009 and 2008

Total assets increased \$244.5 million, or 13.9%, to \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2009, from \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2008. The increase in total assets reflected increases in securities of \$167.4 million and loans held for investment, net of \$139.3 million, partially offset by a decrease of \$53.7 million in certificates of deposit in other financial institutions.

Certificates of deposit in other financial institutions decreased \$53.7 million, or 100.0%, from December 31, 2008, to \$0 at December 31, 2009. The decrease was primarily a result of our utilizing the excess cash to fund loans and purchase higher yielding investment securities during the year.

Loans held-for-investment, net of deferred loan fees, increased \$139.3 million, or 23.6%, to \$729.3 million at December 31, 2009, from \$590.0 million at December 31, 2008. We continue to focus on originating multifamily and commercial real estate loans to the extent such loan demand exists while meeting our underwriting standards. Multifamily real estate loans increased \$69.9 million, or 64.4%, to \$178.4 million, from \$108.5 million at December 31, 2008. Commercial real estate loans increased \$38.7 million, or 13.4%, to \$327.8 million, commercial and industrial loans increased \$8.2 million, or 74.6%, to \$19.3 million, and home equity loans and lines of credit increased \$1.9 million, or 8.0%, from \$24.2 million at December 31, 2008. In addition, we purchased approximately \$35.4 million of insurance premium loans during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, and grew this portfolio to \$40.4 million at year end. These increases were partially offset by decreases in residential loans, and land and construction loans.

Our securities portfolio totaled \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009, as compared to \$974.6 million at year end 2008, an increase of \$167.4 million, or 17.2%. Securities available-for-sale increased \$174.2 million, or 18.2%, to \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009, from \$957.6 million at December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily due to the purchase of approximately \$655.8 million of securities partially offset by pay-downs and maturities of \$500.5 million and sales of \$3.3 million. The purchases were funded primarily by increased deposits, pay-downs, and maturities. Securities held-to-maturity decreased \$7.7 million, or 53.4%, to \$6.7 million at December 31, 2009,

Table of Contents

from \$14.5 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily attributable to sales of approximately \$3.4 million, coupled with pay-downs and maturities of \$4.6 million. We routinely sell securities after a substantial portion (85% of the principal) has been recovered through repayments as the cost of servicing such investments becomes too high compared to the potential earnings.

At December 31, 2009, \$773.9 million of our securities portfolio consisted of residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae. At December 31, 2009, we also held residential mortgage-backed securities that were not guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae, which are referred to as private label securities.

Our available-for-sale securities portfolio at December 31, 2009, consisted of securities with the following amortized cost: \$469.5 million of pass-through mortgage-backed securities, of which \$404.1 million were issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs) and \$65.4 million were issued by non-GSEs; \$455.9 million of real estate mortgage conduits (REMICs), of which \$344.2 million were issued or guaranteed by GSEs and \$111.8 million were issued by non-GSEs; and \$185.4 million of other securities, consisting of corporate obligations, GSE bonds, and equity securities which primarily consisted of a money market mutual fund.

Included in the above available-for-sale security amounts at December 31, 2009, were 23 residential mortgage-backed senior class securities issued by non-GSEs with an amortized cost of \$177.1 million and an estimated fair value of \$176.7 million. Eight of these securities were in an unrealized loss position with an amortized cost of \$40.1 million and estimated fair value of \$36.3 million.

Of the eight non-GSE securities in an unrealized loss position all but three were rated AAA at December 31, 2009. These three securities had a total amortized cost of \$20.1 million and an estimated fair value of \$16.6 million at December 31, 2009. The first of these three securities had an estimated fair value of \$5.5 million, was rated AA (downgraded to a rating of A subsequent to December 31, 2009), and had the following underlying collateral characteristics: 84% originated in 2004 and 16% originated in 2005. The second security had an estimated fair value of \$5.9 million, was rated Baa2, and had the following underlying collateral characteristics: 83% originated in 2004 and 17% originated in 2005. The remaining security had an estimated fair value of \$5.2 million, was rated CCC, and was supported by collateral entirely originated in 2006.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we recognized an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$1.4 million on the \$5.2 million security that was rated CCC. Since management does not have the intent to sell the security, and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of the estimated fair value, the credit component of \$176,000 was recognized in earnings during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, and the non-credit component of \$1.2 million was recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. We continue to receive principal and interest payments in accordance with the contractual terms of these securities. Management has evaluated, among other things, delinquency status, estimated prepayment speeds and the estimated default rates and loss severity in liquidating the underlying collateral for each of these three securities. As a result of management's evaluation of these securities, we believe that unrealized losses at December 31, 2009, are temporary, and as such, are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. All other losses within our investment portfolio were deemed to be temporary at December 31, 2009.

Management evaluated the remaining five non-GSE securities that experienced unrealized losses of less than 5% at December 31, 2009. These five securities, with an amortized cost of \$20.1 million, and estimated fair value of \$19.7 million, were reviewed for key underlying loan risk characteristics including origination dates, interest rate levels, composition of variable and fixed rates, reset dates (including related pricing indices), current loan to original collateral values, locations of collateral, delinquency status of loans, and current credit support. Management believes that it is not probable that we will not receive all amounts due under the contractual terms of the securities.

Deposits increased \$292.4 million, or 28.5%, to \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2009, from \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2008. Certificates of deposit increased \$161.8 million, or 38.7%, to \$579.4 million at December 31,

Table of Contents

2009, from \$417.6 million at December 31, 2008. Savings and money market accounts increased \$115.3 million, or 25.7%, to \$564.6 million at December 31, 2009, from \$449.3 million at December 31, 2008. Transaction accounts increased \$15.4 million, or 9.8%, to \$172.9 million at December 31, 2009, from \$157.6 million at December 31, 2008. The increase in deposits was attributable primarily to our continued focus on growing the deposit franchise by offering competitive pricing and products, as well as an increase in consumer demand for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insured deposit products resulting from the financial market turmoil experienced in late 2008 and continuing into 2009.

Total borrowings decreased \$52.7 million, or 15.9%, to \$279.4 million at December 31, 2009, from \$332.1 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease in borrowings was attributable primarily to maturities during the year with such funding being replaced with deposits.

Total stockholders' equity increased to \$391.5 million at December 31, 2009, from \$386.6 million at December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to net income of \$12.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, and other comprehensive income of \$12.2 million resulting primarily from a decrease in market interest rates that resulted in an increase in the estimated fair value of our securities available for sale. The increase in stockholders' equity also was attributable to a \$3.0 million increase in additional paid-in capital primarily related to the recognition of compensation expense associated with equity awards. These increases were partially offset by \$19.9 million in stock repurchases and the declaration and payment of approximately \$3.0 million in dividends for the year ended December 31, 2009. In February 2009, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program pursuant to which we may repurchase up to 2,240,153 of our outstanding shares. We are conducting the repurchases in accordance with a Rule 10b5-1 trading plan, and through December 31, 2009, we had purchased 1,716,063 shares of common stock at an average cost of \$11.61 per share.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

Net income. Net income increased \$646,000, or 23.6%, for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Net interest income increased \$1.8 million, or 14.0%, and non-interest income increased \$754,000, or 77.8%, which was partially offset by an increase of \$286,000, or 17.4%, in the provision for loan losses, an increase of non-interest expense of \$1.3 million, or 17.2%, and an increase in income tax expense of \$271,000, or 17.3%, over the same time periods.

Interest income. Interest income increased \$525,000, or 2.6%, to \$21.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, from \$20.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in interest income was primarily the result of an increase in average interest-earning assets of \$261.4 million, or 15.5%. The increase in average interest-earning assets was primarily attributable to an increase in average loans of \$133.2 million, or 22.1%, and an increase in securities (other than mortgage-backed securities) of \$197.4 million, partially offset by a decrease in average mortgage-backed securities of \$34.6 million, or 3.7%. The effect of the increase in average interest-earning assets was partially offset by a decrease in the yield on interest-earning assets to 4.38% for the three months ended March 31, 2010, from 4.93% for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The rates earned on all asset categories, other than Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, decreased due to the general decline in market interest rates for these asset types. The yield earned on Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, increased from 4.10% for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, to 6.35% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$1.3 million, or 16.4%, to \$6.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, from \$7.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease was attributable to decreases in interest expense on deposits of \$1.0 million, or 20.3%, and in interest expense on borrowings of \$258,000, or 9.3%. The decrease in interest expense on deposits was attributable to a decrease in the cost of deposits of 76 basis points, or 36.7%, to 1.31% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, from 2.07% for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for short-term deposits. The decrease in the cost of deposits was partially offset by an increase of \$253.5 million, or 26.1%, in average interest-bearing deposits outstanding between the two quarters. The decrease in interest expense on borrowings was primarily attributable to a decrease in the average cost of borrowings of 42 basis points, to 3.26%, from 3.68% for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, reflecting lower market interest rates for borrowed funds.

Table of Contents

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased \$1.8 million, or 14.0%, due primarily to interest earning assets increasing \$261.4 million, or 15.5%, partially offset by a decrease in the net interest margin of four basis points, or 1.3%, over the prior year comparable quarter. The net interest margin decreased slightly for the quarter as the average yield earned on interest earning assets decreased more than the decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The general decline in interest rates was due to the overall low interest rate environment. The increase in average interest earning assets was due primarily to an increase in average loans outstanding of \$133.2 million, and other securities of \$197.4 million, which was partially offset by decreases in mortgage-backed securities, and interest-earning assets in other financial institutions. Other securities consist primarily of investment-grade corporate bonds, and government-sponsored enterprise bonds.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was \$1.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, an increase of \$286,000, or 17.4%, from the \$1.6 million provision recorded in the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The increase in the provision for loan losses in the current quarter was due primarily to an increase in general loss factors utilized in management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio in recognition of our elevated level of delinquent loans, as well as the current weak economic environment and real estate market. Although loan growth in the first quarter of 2009 exceeded that of the current quarter, we experienced greater growth in our loans past due and non-performing loans during the current quarter as compared to the first quarter of 2009, resulting in a larger increase in general loss factors. Net charge-offs for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, were \$198,000, as compared to \$595,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The allowance for loan losses was \$17.1 million, or 2.33% of loans held for investment, net at March 31, 2010, compared to \$15.4 million, or 2.11% of loans held for investment, net at December 31, 2009.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income increased \$754,000, or 77.8%, to \$1.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$969,000 the quarter ended March 31, 2009, primarily as a result of \$615,000 in gains on securities transactions during the quarter ended March 31, 2010, as compared to \$154,000 in losses on securities transactions during the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Securities gains in the first quarter of 2010 included gross realized gains of \$270,000 on the sale of available-for-sale mortgage-backed securities. Securities gains in the first quarter of 2010 included \$345,000 related to our trading portfolio, while the first quarter of 2009 included securities losses of \$161,000 related to our trading portfolio. We use the trading portfolio to fund our deferred compensation plan obligation to certain employees and directors of the plan. The participants of this plan, at their election, defer a portion of their compensation. Gains and losses on trading securities have no effect on net income since participants benefit from, and bear the full risk of, changes in the trading securities market values. Therefore, we record an equal and offsetting amount in non-interest expense, reflecting the change in our obligations under the plan.

Non-interest Expense. Total non-interest expense increased \$1.3 million, or 17.2%, to \$9.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, from \$7.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. This increase was attributable, in part, to a \$1.0 million increase in employee compensation and benefits expense, \$506,000 of which related to our deferred compensation plan, which is described above, and had no effect on net income. The remaining increase in employee compensation and benefits expense related to additional costs associated with equity award grants which occurred on January 30, 2009, coupled with increases in full-time equivalent employees, primarily related to our insurance premium finance division formed in October 2009, higher health care costs, and to a lesser extent salary adjustments effective January 1, 2010.

Income Tax Expense. We recorded income tax expense of \$1.8 million and \$1.6 million for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, was 35.2%, as compared to 36.5% for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

General. Net income decreased \$3.8 million or 23.7%, to \$12.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$15.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Included in 2008 net income was a \$2.5 million tax-exempt gain from the death of an officer and \$463,000 (\$292,000, net of tax) in costs associated with our conversion to a new core processing system that was completed in January 2009.

Table of Contents

Interest Income. Interest income increased by \$10.5 million, or 14.0%, to \$85.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$75.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily the result of an increase in average interest-earning assets of \$298.9 million, or 20.0%, partially offset by a decrease in the average rate earned of 26 basis points, or 5.2%, to 4.77% for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 5.03% for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Interest income on loans increased \$7.3 million, or 23.0%, to \$38.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$31.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The average balance of loans increased \$149.9 million, or 29.7%, to \$653.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$503.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, reflecting our current efforts to grow our multifamily and commercial real estate loan portfolios, and the purchase of an insurance premium loan portfolio during the fourth quarter of 2009. The yield on our loan portfolio decreased 32 basis points, or 5.1%, to 5.95% for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 6.27% for the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily as a result of decreases in interest rates on new originations and on our adjustable-rate loans, due to the lower interest rate environment in 2009, and the effect of non-accrual loans.

Interest income on mortgage-backed securities increased \$4.2 million, or 11.0%, to \$42.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$38.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase resulted from an increase in the average balance of mortgage-backed securities of \$76.4 million, or 9.0%, to \$920.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$844.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase is due primarily to the implementation of ongoing leveraging strategies within board approved risk parameters. The yield we earned on mortgage-backed securities increased eight basis points, or 1.8%, to 4.59% for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 4.51% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in yield was due primarily to paydowns on lower yielding securities and the purchase of higher yielding private-label mortgage-backed securities.

Interest income on other securities increased \$1.9 million, or 139.1%, to \$3.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase resulted from an increase in the average balance of other securities, primarily corporate bonds, of \$91.0 million, or 252.9%, to \$127.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$36.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, partially offset by a 121 basis point decrease in the yield on this portfolio, to 2.54% for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in other securities related primarily to the purchase of shorter-term bonds, which had relatively low interest rates due to the current interest rate environment.

Interest income on deposits in other financial institutions decreased \$2.6 million, or 76.2%, to \$801,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The average balance of deposits in other financial institutions decreased \$14.1 million, or 14.5%, to \$83.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$97.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The yield on deposits in other financial institutions decreased 250 basis points for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 3.46% for the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily due to the continued general decline in the interest rate environment in 2009.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased \$721,000, or 2.6%, to \$29.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$28.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase resulted from an increase of \$283.5 million, or 26.0%, in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities being partially offset by a decrease in the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities of 48 basis points, or 18.5%, to 2.11% for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 2.59% for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Interest expense on interest-bearing deposits decreased \$308,000, or 1.7%, to \$18.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to \$18.5 million, for the year ended December 31, 2008. This decrease was a result of a 59 basis point, or 25.9%, decline in the average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits, to 1.69% for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to 2.28% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The rate paid on certificates of deposit decreased 105 basis points, or 30.5%, to 2.39% for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to 3.44%, for the year ended December 31, 2008. The rate paid on savings, NOW, and money market accounts also decreased 25 basis points, or 18.9%, to 1.07% for the year ended December 31, 2009, as compared to 1.32%, for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in the cost of deposits was partially offset by an

Table of Contents

increase of \$263.3 million, or 32.4%, in the average balance of deposits outstanding, to \$1.077 billion at December 31, 2009.

Interest expense on borrowings (repurchase agreements and other borrowings) increased \$1.0 million, or 10.6%, to \$10.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The average balance of borrowings increased \$20.1 million, or 7.3%, to \$297.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$277.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The average balance of borrowings increased due to our implementing shorter-term securities leverage strategies within board approved risk parameters in 2009. The average rate paid on borrowings also increased 11 basis points to 3.62%, or 3.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 3.51% for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased \$9.8 million, or 20.9%, for the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to an increase in average interest-earning assets of \$298.9 million, or 20.0%, and an increase in the net interest margin of three basis points for the reasons detailed above.

Provision for Loan Losses. We recorded a provision for loan losses of \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, and \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. We had charge-offs of \$2.4 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase in charge-offs in 2009 was primarily attributable to an increase of \$346,000 in charge-offs related to commercial real estate loans and an increase of \$164,000 in charge-offs related to multifamily real estate loans. The increased provisioning and charge-offs during the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, resulted in an allowance for loans losses of \$15.4 million, or 2.11% of total loans receivable at December 31, 2009, compared to \$8.8 million, or 1.49% of total loans receivable at December 31, 2008. The increase in the provision for loan losses in 2009 was due to a number of factors including an increase in total loans outstanding, changes in composition of the loan portfolio, increases in non-accrual loans and delinquencies, impairment losses on specific loans, and increases in general loss factors utilized in management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio in recognition of the current economic environment and real estate market.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income decreased \$760,000, or 12.4%, to \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was due primarily to the absence of a previously recognized \$2.5 million, nontaxable, death benefit realized on bank owned life insurance during the year ended December 31, 2008. This was partially offset by an increase of \$2.2 million, or 167.6%, in gains on securities transactions, net, from a loss of \$1.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2008, to a gain of \$891,000 recognized during the year ended December 31, 2009. We recorded net securities gains during 2009 of \$299,000, which primarily resulted from the sale of smaller balance mortgage-backed securities. We routinely sell these smaller balance securities as the cost of servicing becomes prohibitive. Securities gains during 2009 also included \$592,000 related to our trading portfolio, which is utilized to fund our deferred compensation obligation to certain employees and directors who contribute to the plan. We recorded securities losses of \$1.3 million in 2008 in our trading portfolio. The participants of this plan, at their election, defer a portion of their compensation. Gains and losses on trading securities have no effect on net income since participants benefit from, and bear the full risk of, changes in the trading securities' market values. Therefore, we record an equal and offsetting amount in non-interest expense, reflecting the change in our obligations under the plan.

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased \$9.4 million, or 37.8%, to \$34.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$24.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This includes a \$2.1 million increase in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation deposit insurance expense for the year ended December 31, 2009, of which approximately \$770,000 related to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's special assessment recognized in the second quarter of 2009. Non-interest expense also increased in 2009 due to an increase of \$5.2 million in compensation and employee benefits expense, which included \$2.1 million for equity awards. The remaining increase in employee compensation and benefits costs pertained to an increase of approximately \$1.9 million related to the deferred compensation plan (explained in the prior paragraph), coupled with increases in personnel, higher health care costs, and merit and market salary adjustments effective January 1, 2009. Non-interest expense also increased in 2009 due to higher levels of professional fees associated with loan restructurings and

Table of Contents

collection efforts, increases in personnel, and higher premises and equipment costs associated with additional operations center leasehold improvements, branch improvements, and lease payments on future branch locations.

Income Tax Expense. We recorded a provision for income taxes of \$6.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$7.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2009, was 35.4%, compared to 31.2% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in the effective tax rate was the result of a higher percentage of pre-tax income being subject to taxation in 2009, compared to 2008. Income on bank owned life insurance in 2008 included a \$2.5 million, nontaxable, death benefit.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

General. Net income increased \$5.3 million or 50.7%, to \$15.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$10.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Included in 2008 net income is a \$2.5 million tax-exempt life insurance gain due to the death of an officer and \$463,000 (\$292,000, net of tax) in costs associated with our conversion to a new core processing system that was completed in January 2009. Included in 2007 net income is a \$12.0 million (\$7.8 million, net of tax) charge related to our contribution to the Northfield Bank Foundation, which was substantially offset by net interest income of approximately \$1.4 million (\$795,000 net of tax) related to short-term investment returns earned on subscription proceeds (net of interest paid during the stock offering), the reversal of state and local tax liabilities of \$4.5 million, net of federal taxes, as a result of our concluding an audit by the State of New York with respect to our combined state tax returns for years 2000 through 2006, and a gain of \$4.3 million (\$2.4 million, net of tax) related to the sale of two branch locations and associated deposit relationships.

Interest Income. Interest income increased by \$9.3 million, or 14.2%, to \$75.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$65.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase was primarily the result of an increase in average interest-earning assets of \$207.2 million, or 16.1%, partially offset by a decrease in the average rate earned of eight basis points, or 1.6%, to 5.03% for the year ended December 31, 2008, from 5.11% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Interest income on loans increased \$3.2 million, or 11.3%, to \$31.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$28.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The average balance of loans increased \$80.0 million, or 18.9%, to \$503.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$423.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, reflecting our current efforts to grow our multifamily and commercial real estate loan portfolios. The yield on our loan portfolio decreased 43 basis points, or 6.4%, to 6.27% for the year ended December 31, 2008, from 6.70% for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily as a result of decreases in interest rates on our adjustable-rate loans, and the generally lower interest rate environment in 2008. The Federal Reserve lowered its discount rate 400 basis points during 2008.

Interest income on mortgage-backed securities increased \$7.5 million, or 24.5%, to \$38.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$30.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase resulted from an increase in the average balance of mortgage-backed securities of \$126.2 million, or 17.6%, to \$844.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$718.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase is due primarily to the implementation of ongoing leveraging strategies within board approved risk parameters. The yield earned on mortgage-backed securities increased 25 basis points to 4.51% for the year ended December 31, 2008, from 4.26% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Interest income on deposits in other financial institutions decreased \$749,000, or 18.2%, to \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The average balance of deposits in other financial institutions increased \$5.0 million, or 5.4%, to \$97.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$92.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The yield on deposits in other financial institutions decreased 100 basis points, or 22.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2008, from 4.46% for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily due to the generally lower interest rate environment in 2008.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$580,000, or 2.01%, to \$28.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$28.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease resulted from an increase of \$47.7 million, or 4.6% in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities being more than offset by a decrease in the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities of 18 basis points, or 6.5%, to 2.59% for the year ended December 31, 2008, from 2.77% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Interest expense on interest-bearing deposits decreased \$5.2 million, or 22.1%, to \$18.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$23.8 million, for the year ended December 31, 2007. This decrease was due to a decrease in average interest bearing deposits of \$101.6 million, or 11.1%, to \$813.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$914.8 for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease in average interest-bearing deposits was the result of our maintaining deposit pricing discipline in 2008, and choosing not to compete on interest rates, in certain circumstances. In the latter part of 2008, pricing competition somewhat subsided, depositor awareness of a financial institution's financial strength increased, and our introduction of a competitively priced money market account, and statement savings account, were the primary reasons that our year end deposit balances increasing to over \$1 billion. The average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits decreased 32 basis points, or 12.3%, to 2.28% for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to 2.60% for the year ended December 31, 2007. The rate paid on certificates of deposit decreased 91 basis points, or 20.9%, to 3.44% for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to 4.35%, for the year ended December 31, 2007. The rate paid on savings, NOW, and money market accounts increased 53 basis points, or 67.1%, to 1.32% for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to 0.79%, for the year ended December 31, 2007 due to the introduction of competitively priced money market and statement savings accounts in the latter part of 2008.

Interest expense on borrowings (repurchase agreements and other borrowings) increased \$4.6 million, or 91.9%, to \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The average balance of borrowings increased \$149.3 million, or 116.7%, to \$277.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$127.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The average balance of borrowings increased due to our implementing securities leverage strategies within board approved risk parameters in 2008. The average rate paid on borrowings decreased 46 basis points to 3.51%, or 11.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2008, from 3.97% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased \$9.9 million, or 26.9%, for the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily as the result of an increase in average interest-earning assets of \$207.2 million, or 16.1%, coupled with an increase in the net interest margin of 26 basis points, or 9.1%, from 2.87% to 3.13%. The change in average interest-earning assets and the net interest margin for 2008 was due partially to our completion of our stock issuance in November 2007, resulting in gross proceeds of \$192.7 million, which included \$82.4 million in transfers from deposit accounts. We deployed the net proceeds from the stock offering into loans, short-term investments, and securities.

Provision for Loan Losses. We recorded a provision for loan losses of \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, and \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. We had charge-offs of \$1.9 million and \$836,000 for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The allowance for loans losses was \$8.8 million, or 1.49% of total loans receivable at December 31, 2008, compared to \$5.6 million, or 1.33% of total loans receivable at December 31, 2007. The increase in the provision for loan losses was due primarily to loan growth, provisions for impaired loans, and increases in certain general loss factors utilized in management's calculation of the allowance for loan losses in response to continued deterioration in general real estate collateral values and weakness in the overall economy.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income decreased \$3.3 million or 35.1%, to \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease was primarily attributable to a \$4.3 million gain on the sale of two branch offices and associated deposit relationships recognized in March 2007. Non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2008, also included market losses of \$1.3 million on trading securities as compared to \$71,000 in market gains for the year ended December 31, 2007. These decreases were partially offset by the recognition of a \$2.5 million nontaxable death benefit realized on bank owned life insurance for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense decreased \$11.1 million, or 30.9%, to \$24.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$36.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This decrease was primarily attributable to the contribution of shares of our common stock and cash with a value of \$12.0 million to the Northfield Bank Foundation during the fourth quarter of 2007. In addition, compensation and employee benefits decreased by \$962,000, to \$11.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$12.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease was primarily related to \$1.3 million in market losses on trading securities utilized to fund our deferred compensation plan for certain officers and directors who chose to defer all or a portion of their compensation received from us. The market losses recorded as a reduction to non-interest income have the direct result of reducing amounts owed to the deferred compensation plan participants. Excluding this adjustment, compensation and employee benefits expense increased approximately \$338,000, or 2.6%, and was primarily the result of annual cost of living, merit, and competitive market adjustments to salaries.

Occupancy and furniture and equipment expense increased \$946,000, or 24.2%, to \$4.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increases were due to the occupancy of our new operations center and depreciation on capital improvements. Professional fees increased \$366,000, or 30.0%, to \$1.6 million, for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to \$1.2 million, for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in professional fees was due primarily to additional costs of being a public company, third party consultants utilized to assist us in complying with internal control reporting requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and third-party consultation related to the implementation of our equity incentive plan.

Income Tax Expense. We recorded a provision for income taxes of \$7.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to a benefit of \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in income tax expense of \$8.7 million was due primarily to the reversal of \$4.5 million in state and local income tax liabilities, net of federal taxes, for the year ended December 31, 2007. In 2007, we concluded an audit by the State of New York with respect to our combined state tax returns for years 2000 through 2006. In addition, the increase in income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, was due to an increased level of taxable income for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

Average Balances and Yields. The following tables set forth average balance sheets, average yields and costs, and certain other information at and for the periods indicated. No tax-equivalent yield adjustments have been made, as we had no tax-free interest-earning assets during the periods. All average balances are daily average balances based upon amortized costs. Non-accrual loans are included in the computation of average balances. The yields set forth below include the effect of deferred fees, discounts, and premiums that are amortized or accreted to interest income or interest expense.

	At March 31, 2010 Yield/ Rate	For the Three Months Ended March 31,					
		Average Outstanding Balance	2010 Interest	Average Yield/ Rate (1)	Average Outstanding Balance	2009 Interest	Average Yield/ Rate (1)
(Dollars in thousands)							
Interest-earning assets:							
Loans	6.17%	\$ 734,417	\$ 10,293	5.68%	\$ 601,245	\$ 8,571	5.78%
Mortgage-backed securities	3.65	909,351	9,181	4.09	943,951	11,114	4.77
Other securities	2.62	229,298	1,384	2.45	31,943	282	3.58
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	4.25	6,068	95	6.35	7,917	80	4.10
Interest-earning deposits	0.24	65,561	54	0.33	98,229	435	1.80
Total interest-earning assets	4.38	1,944,695	21,007	4.38	1,683,285	20,482	4.93
Non-interest-earning assets		107,191			86,820		
Total assets		\$ 2,051,886			\$ 1,770,105		
Interest-bearing liabilities:							
Savings, NOW, and money market accounts	0.76	\$ 637,500	1,420	0.90	\$ 523,886	1,636	1.27
Certificates of deposit	1.42	588,675	2,532	1.74	448,761	3,321	3.00
Total interest-bearing deposits	1.08	1,226,175	3,952	1.31	972,647	4,957	2.07
Borrowings	3.45	311,798	2,506	3.26	304,513	2,764	3.68
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1.52	1,537,973	6,458	1.70	1,277,160	7,721	2.45
Non-interest-bearing deposits		109,640			94,185		

Accrued expenses and other liabilities	10,124	11,816
Total liabilities	1,657,737	1,383,161
Stockholders' equity	394,149	386,944
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 2,051,886	\$ 1,770,105
Net interest income	\$ 14,549	\$ 12,761
Net interest rate spread (1)	2.68%	2.48%
Net interest-earning assets (2)	\$ 406,722	\$ 406,125
Net interest margin (3)	3.03%	3.07%
Average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities	126.45%	131.80%
		<i>(footnotes on following page)</i>

Table of Contents**For the Years Ended December 31,**

	2009			2008			2007		
	Average Outstanding Balance	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	Average Yield/ Rate	
	Interest	Rate	Interest	Rate	Interest	Rate	Interest	Rate	
	(Dollars in thousands)								
Interest-earning assets:									
Loans	\$ 653,748	\$ 38,889	5.95%	\$ 503,897	\$ 31,617	6.27%	\$ 423,947	\$ 28,398	6.70%
Mortgage-backed securities	920,785	42,256	4.59	844,435	38,072	4.51	718,279	30,576	4.26
Other securities	126,954	3,223	2.54	35,977	1,348	3.75	45,077	2,100	4.66
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	7,428	399	5.37	11,653	652	5.60	6,486	519	8.00
Interest-earning deposits	83,159	801	0.96	97,223	3,360	3.46	92,202	4,109	4.46
Total interest-earning assets	1,792,074	85,568	4.77	1,493,185	75,049	5.03	1,285,991	65,702	5.11
Non-interest-earning assets	87,014			80,649			66,614		
Total assets	\$ 1,879,088			\$ 1,573,834			\$ 1,352,605		
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Savings, NOW, and money market accounts	\$ 566,894	6,046	1.07	\$ 445,382	5,866	1.32	\$ 450,212	3,551	0.79
Certificates of deposit	509,610	12,168	2.39	367,806	12,656	3.44	464,552	20,212	4.35
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,076,504	18,214	1.69	813,188	18,522	2.28	914,764	23,763	2.60
Borrowings	297,365	10,763	3.62	277,227	9,734	3.51	127,926	5,073	3.97
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,373,869	28,977	2.11	1,090,415	28,256	2.59	1,042,690	28,836	2.77
Non-interest-bearing deposits	99,950			94,499			96,796		
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	14,075			13,703			13,905		

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Total liabilities	1,487,894	1,198,617	1,153,391
Stockholders equity	391,194	375,217	199,214
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,879,088	\$ 1,573,834	\$ 1,352,605
Net interest income	\$ 56,591	\$ 46,793	\$ 36,866
Net interest rate spread (2)	2.66	2.44	2.34
Net interest-earning assets (3)	\$ 418,205	\$ 402,770	\$ 243,301
Net interest margin (4)	3.16%	3.13%	2.87%
Average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities	130.44%	136.94%	123.33%

(1) Annualized.

(2) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

(3) Net interest-earning assets represents total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.

(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income

divided by
average total
interest-earning
assets.

74

Table of Contents**Rate/Volume Analysis**

The following table presents the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income for the periods indicated. The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). The total column represents the sum of the prior columns. For purposes of this table, changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, have been allocated proportionately based on the changes due to rate and the changes due to volume.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 vs. 2009			Year Ended December 31, 2009 vs. 2008			Year Ended December 31, 2008 vs. 2007		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to		Total Increase (Decrease)	Increase (Decrease) Due to		Total Increase (Decrease)	Increase (Decrease) Due to		Total Increase (Decrease)
	Volume	Rate	(Decrease)	Volume	Rate	(Decrease)	Volume	Rate	(Decrease)
Interest-earning assets:									
Loans	\$ 1,864	\$ (142)	\$ 1,722	\$ 8,811	\$ (1,539)	\$ 7,272	\$ 4,845	\$ (1,626)	\$ 3,219
Mortgage-backed securities	(396)	(1,537)	(1,933)	3,494	690	4,184	5,608	1,888	7,496
Other securities	1,161	(59)	1,102	2,149	(274)	1,875	(382)	(370)	(752)
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	(11)	26	15	(228)	(25)	(253)	214	(81)	133
Interest-earning deposits	(111)	(270)	(381)	(427)	(2,132)	(2,559)	240	(989)	(749)
Total interest-earning assets	2,507	(1,982)	525	13,799	(3,280)	10,519	10,525	(1,178)	9,347
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Savings, NOW and money market accounts	671	(887)	(216)	595	(415)	180	(38)	2,353	2,315
Certificates of deposit	2,299	(3,088)	(789)	4,043	(4,531)	(488)	(3,770)	(3,786)	(7,556)
Total deposits	2,970	(3,975)	(1,005)	4,638	(4,946)	(308)	(3,808)	(1,433)	(5,241)
Borrowings	68	(326)	(258)	722	307	1,029	5,168	(507)	4,661
Total interest-bearing liabilities	3,038	(4,301)	(1,263)	5,360	(4,639)	721	1,360	(1,940)	(580)

Change in net interest income	\$ (531)	\$ 2,319	\$ 1,788	\$ 8,439	\$ 1,359	\$ 9,798	\$ 9,165	\$ 762	\$ 9,927
-------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	--------	----------

Loan Quality

One of our key objectives has been and continues to be to maintain a high level of loan quality. In addition to maintaining sound credit standards for new loan originations, we use proactive collection and workout processes associated with delinquent or problem loans. We actively market properties that we acquire through foreclosure or otherwise in the loan collection process.

When a loan is over 15 days delinquent, we generally send the borrower a late charge notice. When the loan is 30 days past due, we generally mail the borrower a letter reminding the borrower of the delinquency and, except for loans secured by one- to four-family residential real estate, we attempt personal, direct contact with the borrower to determine the reason for the delinquency, to ensure that the borrower correctly understands the terms of the loan, and to emphasize the importance of making payments on or before the due date. If necessary, additional late charges and delinquency notices are issued and the account will be monitored periodically. After the 90th day of delinquency, we will send the borrower a final demand for payment and generally refer the loan to legal counsel to commence foreclosure and related legal proceedings. Our loan officers can shorten these time frames in consultation with the Chief Lending Officer.

Table of Contents

Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status when payment of principal or interest is 90 days or more delinquent unless the loan is considered well-secured and in the process of collection. Loans also are placed on non-accrual status at any time if the ultimate collection of principal or interest in full is in doubt. When loans are placed on non-accrual status, unpaid accrued interest is reversed, and further income is recognized only to the extent received, and only if the principal balance is deemed fully collectible. The loan may be returned to accrual status if both principal and interest payments are brought current and factors indicating doubtful collection no longer exist, including performance by the borrower under the loan terms for a six-month period. Therefore, loans may be current in accordance with their loan terms, or may be less than 90 days delinquent, and still be on non-accrual status. Our Chief Lending Officer reports monitored loans, including all loans rated watch, special mention, substandard, doubtful or loss, to the board of directors on a monthly basis.

For economic reasons and to maximize the recovery of loans, we work with borrowers experiencing financial difficulties, and will consider modifications to a borrower's existing loan terms and conditions that we would not otherwise consider, commonly referred to as TDRs. We record an impairment loss associated with TDRs, if any, based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the original loan's effective interest rate. We will report the loan as a TDR through the end of the calendar year that the restructuring takes place and until such time that the loan yields a market rate of interest (a rate equal to or greater than the rate we were willing to accept at the time of the restructuring for a new loan with comparable risk).

Table of Contents

Non-Performing and Restructured Loans. The table below sets forth the amounts and categories of our non-performing assets at the dates indicated. At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005, we had troubled debt restructurings of \$13.1 million, \$10.7 million, \$1.0 million, \$1.3 million, \$1.7 million, and \$885,000, respectively, which are included in the appropriate categories which appear within non-accrual loans. Additionally, we had \$8.8 million and \$7.3 million of troubled debt restructurings on accrual status at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, that do not appear in the table below. We had no troubled debt restructurings on accrual status at December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005.

	At March		At December 31,			
	31,	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
	2010		(Dollars in thousands)			
Non-accrual loans:						
Real estate loans:						
Commercial	\$ 32,755	\$ 28,802	\$ 4,416	\$ 4,792	\$ 5,167	\$ 124
One- to four-family residential	2,195	2,066	1,093	231	234	290
Construction and land Multifamily	6,007	6,843	2,675	3,436		
	2,507	2,118	1,131			
Home equity and lines of credit	63	62	100	104	36	62
Commercial and industrial loans	690	1,740	86	43	905	885
Insurance premium loans	121					
Other loans			1			
Total non-accrual loans	44,338	41,631	9,502	8,606	6,342	1,361
Loans delinquent 90 days or more and still accruing:						
Real estate loans:						
Commercial	3,976					
One- to four-family residential						698
Construction and land Multifamily	1,236		137	753	275	
Home equity and lines of credit						
Commercial and industrial loans	496	191		475	498	
Insurance premium loans						
Other loans	2					
	5,710	191	137	1,228	773	698

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Total loans delinquent
90 days or more and
still accruing

Total non-performing loans	50,048	41,822	9,639	9,834	7,115	2,059
-------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Other real estate owned	1,533	1,938	1,071			
-------------------------	-------	-------	-------	--	--	--

Total non-performing assets	\$ 51,581	\$ 43,760	\$ 10,710	\$ 9,834	\$ 7,115	\$ 2,059
--------------------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------	----------	----------

Ratios:

Non-performing loans to total loans held-for-investment, net	6.79%	5.73%	1.63%	2.32%	1.74%	0.53%
---	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Non-performing assets to total assets	2.46%	2.19%	0.61%	0.71%	0.55%	0.15%
--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Total assets	\$ 2,097,803	\$ 2,002,274	\$ 1,757,761	\$ 1,386,918	\$ 1,294,747	\$ 1,408,562
--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Loans held-for-investment, net	\$ 737,225	\$ 729,269	\$ 589,984	\$ 424,329	\$ 409,189	\$ 387,467
--------------------------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

77

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the property types collateralizing non-accrual commercial real estate loans as of March 31, 2010.

	At March 31, 2010	
	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Manufacturing	\$ 13,032	39.8%
Office building	8,277	25.3
Restaurant	3,580	10.9
Services	3,130	9.6
Retail	923	2.8
Recreational	792	2.4
Warehouse	638	1.9
Other	2,383	7.3
Total	\$ 32,755	100.0%

Total non-accruing loans increased \$2.7 million to \$44.3 million at March 31, 2010, from \$41.6 million at December 31, 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to \$6.3 million of commercial real estate loans and \$429,000 of multifamily real estate loans being placed on non-accrual status, and being designated as impaired during the first quarter of 2010. These loans did not require material increases to the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2010, as the estimated collateral values, including costs to sell, were considered adequate in relation to the outstanding loan balances. These increases were partially offset by a payoff of \$504,000 on one commercial real estate loan and principal paydowns of approximately \$757,000. In addition, a \$2.8 million commercial real estate loan relationship was returned to accrual status. The loans under this relationship were current as to principal and interest at March 31, 2010, and factors indicating doubtful collection no longer existed, including the borrower's performance under the original loans' terms for greater than six months. At March 31, 2010, \$16.2 million, or 74.1% of loans subject to restructuring agreements (accruing and non-accruing) were performing in accordance with their restructured terms.

Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest increased to \$5.7 million from \$191,000 at December 31, 2009. The majority of the increase was due to one loan relationship for \$3.7 million that at March 31, 2010, was current on interest payments in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loans, but was past maturity. These loans were considered well secured and in the process of collection. We are refinancing the loans to permanent real estate mortgages in accordance with our current underwriting standards.

The increase in non-performing loans during 2009 was primarily attributable to an increase of \$24.4 million in non-performing commercial real estate loans. During 2009, 15 commercial real estate loans totaling \$26.3 million were placed on non-accrual status, while one loan that was previously classified as non-accrual at December 31, 2008 was reclassified to other real estate owned. The increase in non-performing loans also was attributable to an increase of \$4.0 million in construction and land loans over the same time period. During 2009, six construction and land loans totaling \$6.8 million were placed on non-accrual status, while one loan that was previously classified as non-accrual at December 31, 2008, was sold.

Table of Contents

The following tables detail the delinquency status of non-accrual loans at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	At March 31, 2010			
	Days Past Due of Non-Accrual Loans			
	0 to 29	30 to 89	90 or Greater	Total
	(In thousands)			
Real estate loans:				
Commercial	\$ 4,105	\$ 4,142	\$ 24,508	\$ 32,755
One- to four-family residential	137	546	1,512	2,195
Construction and land	3,382	1,637	988	6,007
Multifamily		523	1,984	2,507
Home equity and lines of credit	63			63
Commercial and industrial		501	189	690
Premium finance			121	121
Total non-accrual loans	\$ 7,687	\$ 7,349	\$ 29,302	\$ 44,338

	At December 31, 2009			
	Days Past Due of Non-Accrual Loans			
	0 to 29	30 to 89	90 or Greater	Total
	(In thousands)			
Real estate loans:				
Commercial	\$ 2,585	\$ 10,480	\$ 15,737	\$ 28,802
One- to four-family residential		392	1,674	2,066
Construction and land	5,864		979	6,843
Multifamily		530	1,589	2,119
Home equity and lines of credit	62			62
Commercial and industrial	1,470		269	1,739
Total non-accrual loans	\$ 9,981	\$ 11,402	\$ 20,248	\$ 41,631

A discussion of the most significant nonaccrual loans at March 31, 2010 is as follows. These loans comprise \$26.0 million, or 58.7%, of total nonaccrual loans of \$44.3 million at March 31, 2010.

An owner occupied commercial real estate relationship with a carrying value of \$8.5 million at March 31, 2010. The business and collateral are located in New Jersey. The collateral consists of a first mortgage on a commercial manufacturing facility, and a second mortgage on the primary residence of the owner of the borrower. At March 31, 2010, the relationship is in the process of being restructured to reduce the borrower's current debt service.

An owner occupied commercial real estate loan with a carrying value of \$5.1 million at March 31, 2010. The business and collateral are located in New Jersey. The collateral consists of a first mortgage on a manufacturing facility. The operating company filed for bankruptcy protection in the first quarter of 2010.

A commercial real estate loan with a carrying value of \$3.5 million at March 31, 2010 secured by a first mortgage on an office building located in New York. At March 31, 2010, the relationship is in the process of being restructured to reduce the borrower's current debt service.

A commercial real estate loan with a carrying value of \$3.1 million at March 31, 2010 secured by a first mortgage on a retail property in New Jersey, the primary tenant being a recreational facility. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, we restructured the loan to reduce the borrower's debt service.

A relationship with a carrying value of \$3.1 million, consisting of three loans, at March 31, 2010 secured by first mortgages on three individual properties. The largest loan has a carrying balance of \$1.9 million and is secured by a mixed-use commercial property located in New York. The borrower filed for bankruptcy protection in January 2010. The borrower has made payments and at March 31, 2010 the three loans were each 31 days past due.

Table of Contents

One relationship consisting of two construction loans with a carrying value of \$2.7 million at March 31, 2010. These loans are secured by first mortgages on ten one- to four-family residential real estate properties in various stages of construction in New York. These loans were restructured in 2009. At March 31, 2010, the borrower has two signed contracts for the sale of houses and expects to deliver these units in the third quarter of 2010. At March 31, 2010, one loan in the amount of \$1.7 million was 31 days past due to interest, and the other loan was current.

The next four largest non-accrual loans at March 31, 2010 had a total carrying value of \$7.4 million, and consisted of three commercial real estate loans with a total carrying value of \$5.1 million and one construction loan with a carrying balance of \$2.3 million.

Table of Contents

Delinquent Loans. The following table sets forth our total amounts of delinquencies for accruing loans by type and by amount at the dates indicated.

	Delinquent Accruing Loans		
	90 Days		
	and		
	30 to 89	Over	Total
	Days	(In thousands)	
At March 31, 2010			
Real estate loans:			
Commercial	\$ 22,085	\$ 3,976	\$ 26,061
One- to four-family residential mortgage	5,346		5,346
Construction and land	997	1,236	2,233
Multifamily	8,536		8,536
Home equity and line of credit	213		213
Commercial and industrial loans	698	496	1,194
Insurance premium loans	282		282
Other loans	214	2	216
Total	\$ 38,371	\$ 5,710	\$ 44,081
At December 31, 2009			
Real estate loans:			
Commercial	\$ 11,573	\$	\$ 11,573
One- to four-family residential mortgage	4,716		4,716
Construction and land	1,976		1,976
Multifamily	7,086		7,086
Home equity and line of credit	1,555		1,555
Commercial and industrial loans	427	191	618
Insurance premium loans	917		917
Other loans	33		33
Total	\$ 28,283	\$ 191	\$ 28,474
At December 31, 2008			
Real estate loans:			
Commercial	\$ 4,075	\$	\$ 4,075
One- to four-family residential mortgage	1,505		1,505
Construction and land		137	137
Home equity and line of credit	496		496
Commercial and industrial loans	74		74
Total	\$ 6,150	\$ 137	\$ 6,287
At December 31, 2007			

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Real estate loans:			
Commercial	\$ 1,997	\$	\$ 1,997
One- to four-family residential mortgage	804		804
Construction and land	490	753	1,243
Commercial and industrial loans		475	475
Other loans	96		96
Total	\$ 3,387	\$ 1,228	\$ 4,685

At December 31, 2006

Real estate loans:			
Commercial	\$ 1,989	\$	\$ 1,989
One- to four-family residential mortgage	1,678		1,678
Construction and land	3,109	275	3,384
Home equity and line of credit	937		937
Commercial and industrial loans		498	498
Other loans	68		68
Total	\$ 7,781	\$ 773	\$ 8,554

At December 31, 2005

Real estate loans:			
One- to four-family residential mortgage	\$ 71	\$ 698	\$ 769
Home equity and line of credit	6		6
Other loans	63		63
Total	\$ 140	\$ 698	\$ 838

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2010, we had \$38.4 million of accruing loans that were 30 to 89 days delinquent, compared to \$28.3 million and \$6.2 million of such loans at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Non-accruing loans subject to restructuring agreements totaled \$13.1 million, \$10.7 million and \$1.0 million at March 31, 2010, December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we entered into one troubled debt restructuring totaling \$3.1 million that was classified as non-accrual at March 31, 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we entered into eight troubled debt restructurings totaling \$9.8 million that were classified as non-accrual at December 31, 2009.

In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2010, we entered into one troubled debt restructuring agreement totaling \$1.6 million for which interest was still accruing at March 31, 2010, and, for the year ended December 31, 2009, we entered into six troubled debt restructuring agreements totaling \$7.3 million for which interest was still accruing at December 31, 2009. The table below sets forth the amounts and categories of the troubled debt restructurings as of March 31, 2010, December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

	At March 31, 2010		At December 31 2009		At December 31 2008	
	Non- Accruing	Accruing	Non- Accruing (In thousands)	Accruing	Non- Accruing	Accruing
Troubled Debt Restructurings:						
Real estate loans:						
Commercial	\$ 7,047	\$ 5,492	\$ 3,960	\$ 5,499	\$ 950	\$
Construction and land	5,019	1,750	5,726	1,751		
Multifamily	523	1,575	530			
Commercial and industrial	501		501			
Total	\$ 13,090	\$ 8,817	\$ 10,717	\$ 7,250	\$ 950	\$

The recent increases in delinquencies and non-performing loans have been directly related to the current economic downturn. As a result of increased unemployment rates, and decreasing real estate values, delinquencies and non-accrual loans have risen from December 31, 2008 to March 31, 2010. These factors have attributed to the growth in our allowance for loan losses as detailed below.

Other Real Estate Owned. Real estate acquired by us as a result of foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as real estate owned. On the date property is acquired it is recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, establishing a new cost basis. Estimated fair value generally represents the sale price a buyer would be willing to pay on the basis of current market conditions, including normal terms from other financial institutions, less the estimated costs to sell the property. Holding costs and declines in estimated fair value result in charges to expense after acquisition. At March 31, 2010, we owned 11 properties with a combined carrying value of \$1.5 million. The properties consisted of 10 single family and mixed use properties located in Trenton, New Jersey, and one commercial real estate property located in South Orange, New Jersey. We are currently renting certain of the properties and have contracted with third parties to assist in disposing of all properties.

Potential Problem Loans and Classification of Assets. The current economic environment is negatively affecting certain borrowers. Our loan officers continue to monitor their loan portfolios, including evaluation of borrowers business operations, current financial condition, underlying values of any collateral, and assessment of their financial prospects in the current and deteriorating economic environment. Based on this evaluation, we determine an appropriate strategy to assist borrowers, with the objective of maximizing the recovery of the related loan balances.

Our policies, consistent with regulatory guidelines, provide for the classification of loans and other assets that are considered to be of lesser quality as substandard, doubtful, or loss assets. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any.

Substandard assets include those assets characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or

Table of Contents

liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Assets (or portions of assets) classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as assets is not warranted. Assets that do not expose us to risk sufficient to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but which possess potential weaknesses that deserve our close attention, are designated as special mention. On the basis of our review of our assets at March 31, 2010, classified assets consisted of substandard assets of \$50.4 million (\$41.1 million of which are reported as non-performing assets or delinquent loans in the preceding tables) and no doubtful or loss assets. At that date, we also had \$24.7 million of assets designated as special mention (\$24.5 million of which are reported as non-performing assets or delinquent loans in the preceding tables).

The classification of our assets (and the amount of our loss allowances) is subject to review by our principal federal regulator, the Office of Thrift Supervision, which can require that we adjust our classification and related loss allowances. We regularly review our asset portfolio to determine whether any assets require classification in accordance with applicable regulations.

Allowance for Loan Losses

We provide for loan losses based on the consistent application of our documented allowance for loan loss methodology. Loan losses are charged to the allowance for loans losses and recoveries are credited to it. Additions to the allowance for loan losses are provided by charges against income based on various factors which, in our judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating probable losses. We regularly review the loan portfolio and make adjustments for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The allowance for loan losses consists primarily of the following two components:

- (1) Allowances established for impaired loans (which we generally define as non-accrual loans with an outstanding balance of \$500,000 or greater). The amount of impairment provided for as an allowance is represented by the deficiency, if any, between the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the original loan's effective interest rate or the underlying collateral value (less estimated costs to sell,) if the loan is collateral dependent, and the carrying value of the loan. Impaired loans that have no impairment losses are not considered for general valuation allowances described below.
- (2) General allowances established for loan losses on a portfolio basis for loans that do not meet the definition of impaired. The portfolio is grouped into similar risk characteristics, primarily loan type, loan-to-value, if collateral dependent, and delinquency status. We apply an estimated loss rate to each loan group. The loss rates applied are based on our cumulative prior two-year loss experience adjusted, as appropriate, for the environmental factors discussed below. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions based upon changes in economic and real estate market conditions. Actual loan losses may be significantly more than the allowance for loan losses we have established, which could have a material negative effect on our financial results.

The adjustments to our loss experience are based on our evaluation of several environmental factors, including: changes in local, regional, national, and international economic and business conditions and developments that affect the collectability of our portfolio, including the condition of various market segments;

changes in the nature and volume of our portfolio and in the terms of our loans;

changes in the experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff;

Table of Contents

changes in the volume and severity of past due loans, the volume of nonaccrual loans, and the volume and severity of adversely classified or graded loans;

changes in the quality of our loan review system;

changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans;

the existence and effect of any concentrations of credit, and changes in the level of such concentrations; and

the effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in our existing portfolio.

In evaluating the estimated loss factors to be utilized for each loan group, management also reviews actual loss history over an extended period of time as reported by the Office of Thrift Supervision and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation for institutions both nationally and in our market area for periods that are believed to have been under similar economic conditions.

We evaluate the allowance for loan losses based on the combined total of the impaired and general components. Generally when the loan portfolio increases, absent other factors, our allowance for loan loss methodology results in a higher dollar amount of estimated probable losses than would be the case without the increase. Generally when the loan portfolio decreases, absent other factors, our allowance for loan loss methodology results in a lower dollar amount of estimated probable losses than would be the case without the decrease.

Each quarter we evaluate the allowance for loan losses and adjust the allowance as appropriate through a provision or recovery for loan losses. While we use the best information available to make evaluations, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the information used in making the evaluations. In addition, as an integral part of their examination process, the Office of Thrift Supervision will periodically review the allowance for loan losses. The Office of Thrift Supervision may require us to adjust the allowance based on their analysis of information available to them at the time of their examination. Our last examination was as of June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth activity in our allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated.

	At or For the Three Months Ended March 31,		At or For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
	(Dollars in thousands)						
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 15,414	\$ 8,778	\$ 8,778	\$ 5,636	\$ 5,030	\$ 4,795	\$ 3,166
Charge-offs:							
Real estate loans:							
Commercial			(1,348)	(1,002)			
One- to four-family residential			(63)				
Construction and land Multifamily	(110)	(595)	(686)	(761)			
Home equity							
Commercial and industrial loans	(5)		(141)	(165)	(814)		
Insurance premium loans	(51)						
Other loans				(12)	(22)		
Total charge-offs	(198)	(595)	(2,402)	(1,940)	(836)		
Recoveries:							
Other							
Total recoveries							
Net (charge-offs) recoveries	(198)	(595)	(2,402)	(1,940)	(836)		
Provisions (benefits) for loan losses:							
Real estate loans:							
Commercial	2,178	222	4,575	2,722	1,035	797	(57)
One- to four-family residential	63	6	95	71	(129)	(130)	(7)
Construction and land Multifamily	(16)	738	1,113	1,282	158	(545)	1,354
Home equity	491	164	1,242	689	(14)	42	(72)
Commercial and industrial loans	32	(1)	64	108	(8)	(35)	(347)
Commercial and industrial loans	(807)	333	1,495	204	407	42	784
Insurance premium loans	(50)		101				

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Other	(4)	28	2	6	35	22	(1)
Unallocated	(65)	154	351		(42)	42	(25)
Total provisions for loan losses	1,930	1,644	9,038	5,082	1,442	235	1,629
Balance at end of year	\$ 17,146	\$ 9,827	\$ 15,414	\$ 8,778	\$ 5,636	\$ 5,030	\$ 4,795
Ratios:							
Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding (1)	0.11%	0.40%	0.37%	0.38%	0.20%	%	%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans at end of period	34.26	40.78	36.86	91.07	57.31	70.70	232.88
Allowance for loan losses to loans held-for-investment, net at end of period	2.33	1.57	2.11	1.49	1.33	1.23	1.24

(1) Annualized where applicable.

The allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans decreased to 34.3% at March 31, 2010 from 36.9% at December 31, 2009. This decrease was primarily attributable to an increase in non-performing loans of \$8.2 million, to \$50.0 million at March 31, 2010 from \$41.8 million at December 31, 2009, partially offset by an increase of \$1.7 million, or 11.2%, in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase in non-performing loans was primarily attributable to increases in non-performing commercial real estate loans, and to a lesser extent, multifamily real estate loans during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

The allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans decreased to 36.9% at December 31, 2009 from 91.1% at December 31, 2008. This decrease was primarily attributable to an increase in non-performing loans of

Table of Contents

\$32.2 million, to \$41.8 million at December 31, 2009 from \$9.6 million at December 31, 2008, partially offset by an increase of \$6.6 million, or 75.6%, in the allowance for loan losses over the same time period. The increase in non-performing loans was primarily attributable to increases in non-performing commercial real estate loans, and to a lesser extent, construction and land loans during the same time period.

The non-performing loans noted above were secured by real estate with either current appraisals, or appraisals that are relatively current (within 18 months) and have been adjusted downward when appropriate by management for perceived declines in real estate values. Generally loans are charged down to the appraised values less costs to sell, which reduces the coverage ratio of the allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans. We do not adjust appraised values upwards.

The allowance for loan losses was \$17.1 million, or 2.3% of loans held for investment, net at March 31, 2010, compared to \$15.4 million, or 2.1% of loans held for investment, net at December 31, 2009. The increase in the allowance for loan losses was due primarily to an increase in general loss factors utilized in management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio in recognition of our elevated level of delinquent loans, as well as the current weak economic environment and real estate market.

The allowance for loan losses to loans held-for-investment, net, increased to 2.11% at December 31, 2009, from 1.49% at December 31, 2008. This increase was attributable to an increase of \$6.6 million, or 75.6%, in the allowance for loan losses between December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009, partially offset by an increase in the loan portfolio over the same time period. The increase in our allowance for loan losses during the year was primarily attributable to specific allowances on impaired loans, and in general loss factors related to increases in non-accrual loans, loans 30 to 89 days delinquent, and declines in general economic conditions and real estate values.

Impairment losses on specific loans increased \$2.1 million, or 674.3%, from \$310,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, to \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2008, we had four loans classified as impaired and recorded impairment losses totaling \$310,000 on two of the four impaired loans. At December 31, 2009, we had 30 loans classified as impaired and recorded a total of \$2.4 million of specific allowances on 11 of the 30 impaired loans. The increase in specific allowances recorded on impaired loans was attributable to an increase in impaired loans coupled with decreasing values of the underlying loan collateral.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded charge-offs of \$2.4 million, an increase of \$462,000, or 23.8%, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in charge-offs was primarily attributable to a \$346,000 increase in charge-offs related to commercial real estate loans. As a result of higher charge-offs, an increase in non-accrual commercial real estate loans and commercial real estate loans past due 30 to 89 days, coupled with the general decline in real estate values and the current economic downturn, our historical and general loss factors have increased, thus increasing the allowance for loan losses allocated to commercial real estate loans by \$3.2 million, or 62.3%, from \$5.2 million December 31, 2008, to \$8.4 million at December 31, 2009. In addition, we experienced increased charge-offs of approximately \$164,000 in multifamily real estate loans, an increase of 100% from the year ended December 31, 2008. As a result of the charge-offs incurred, as well as increased levels of multifamily real estate loans on non-accrual status and 30 to 89 days past due, coupled with the general decline in real estate values and the current economic downturn, our historical and general loss factors have increased, thus increasing the allowance for loan losses allocated to multifamily real estate loans by \$1.1 million, or 136.8%, from \$788,000 at December 31, 2008, to \$1.9 million at December 31, 2009. The allowance for loan losses allocated to commercial and industrial loans and construction and land loans also increased \$1.4 million and \$427,000, respectively, from December 31, 2008, to December 31, 2009. These increases were attributable to increased historical and general loss factors which resulted from additional charge-offs taken during the year ended December 31, 2009, coupled with increased levels of non-accrual loans, and loans that are 30 to 89 days past due, and the current economic downturn. Our allowance for loan losses could increase in future periods if charge-offs and non-performing loans continue to increase.

Table of Contents

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses. The following tables set forth the allowance for loan losses allocated by loan category and the percent of loans in each category to total loans at the dates indicated. The allowance for loan losses allocated to each category is not necessarily indicative of future losses in any particular category and does not restrict the use of the allowance to absorb losses in other categories. All changes to the allowance during the periods indicated were the result of provisions or benefits for loan losses, as well as charge-offs, as set forth in the previous table, as we had no recoveries between the dates indicated in this table.

	At March 31, 2010		At December 31, 2009		2008	
	Allowance for Loan Losses	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Loan Losses (Dollars in thousands)	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Loan Losses	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans
Real estate loans:						
Commercial	\$ 10,581	45.13%	\$ 8,403	44.99%	\$ 5,176	49.05%
One- to four-family residential	226	12.22	163	12.48	131	17.49
Construction and land	2,283	5.36	2,409	6.11	1,982	8.85
Multifamily	2,325	25.44	1,866	24.48	788	18.41
Home equity and lines of credit	242	3.82	210	3.58	146	4.10
Commercial and industrial	1,065	2.42	1,877	2.64	523	1.87
Insurance premium finance loans	100	5.43	101	5.54		
Other	38	0.18	34	0.18	32	0.23
Total allocated allowance	16,860	100.00%	15,063	100.00%	8,778	100.00%
Unallocated	286		351			
Total	\$ 17,146		\$ 15,414		\$ 8,778	

	2007		At December 31, 2006		2005	
	Allowance for Loan Losses	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Loan Losses (Dollars in thousands)	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance for Loan Losses	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans

Real estate loans:						
Commercial	\$ 3,456	57.50%	\$ 2,421	50.75%	\$ 1,624	42.72%
One- to four-family residential	60	22.45	189	26.29	319	32.87
Construction and land Multifamily	1,461	10.57	1,303	12.74	1,848	13.64
Home equity and lines of credit	99	3.34	113	3.24	71	3.64
Commercial and industrial	38	3.02	46	3.40	81	4.15
Insurance premium finance loans	484	2.69	891	2.70	849	2.08
Other	38	0.43	25	0.88	3	0.90
Total allocated allowance	5,636	100.00%	4,988	100.00%	4,795	100.00%
Unallocated			42			
Total	\$ 5,636		\$ 5,030		\$ 4,795	

Management of Market Risk

General. A majority of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. Consequently, our most significant form of market risk is interest rate risk. Our assets, consisting primarily of mortgage-related assets and loans, generally have longer maturities than our liabilities, which consist primarily of deposits and wholesale funding. As a result, a principal part of our business strategy involves managing interest rate risk and limiting the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Accordingly, our board of directors has established a risk committee, comprised of our Treasurer, who chairs this Committee, our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, our Chief Lending Officer, and our Executive Vice President of Operations. This committee is responsible for, among other things, evaluating the interest rate risk inherent in our assets and liabilities, recommending to the risk committee of our board of directors the level of risk that is appropriate given our business strategy, operating environment, capital, liquidity and performance objectives, and managing this risk consistent with the guidelines approved by the board of directors.

Table of Contents

We seek to manage our interest rate risk in order to minimize the exposure of our earnings and capital to changes in interest rates. As part of our ongoing asset-liability management, we currently use the following strategies to manage our interest rate risk:

originate commercial real estate loans and multifamily real estate loans that generally have interest rates that reset every five years;

invest in shorter maturity investment grade corporate securities and mortgage-related securities; and

obtain general financing through lower cost deposits and wholesale funding and repurchase agreements.

Net Portfolio Value Analysis. We compute the net present value of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (net portfolio value or NPV) over a range of assumed market interest rates. Our simulation model uses a discounted cash flow analysis to measure the net portfolio value. We estimate the economic value of these assets and liabilities under the assumption that interest rates experience an instantaneous, parallel, and sustained increase of 100, 200, or 300 basis points, or a decrease of 100 and 200 basis points, which is based on the current interest rate environment. A basis point equals one-hundredth of one percent, and 100 basis points equals one percent. An increase in interest rates from 3% to 4% would mean, for example, a 100 basis point increase in the Change in Interest Rates column below.

Net Interest Income Analysis. We also analyze our sensitivity to changes in interest rates through our net interest income model. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. We estimate what our net interest income would be for a twelve-month period. We then calculate what the net interest income would be for the same period under the assumption that interest rates experience an instantaneous and sustained increase of 100, 200, or 300 basis points or a decrease of 100 and 200 basis points, which is based on the current interest rate environment.

Table of Contents

The tables below set forth, as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our calculation of the estimated changes in our net portfolio value, net portfolio value ratio, and percent change in net interest income that would result from the designated instantaneous and sustained changes in interest rates. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, loan prepayments and deposit decay, and should not be relied on as indicative of actual results.

At March 31, 2010

Change in Interest Rates (basis points)	Estimated Present Value of Assets	Estimated Present Value of Liabilities	Estimated NPV	Estimated Change In NPV	Estimated NPV/Present Value of Assets Ratio	Net Interest Income Percent Change
	(Dollars in thousands)					
+300	\$ 1,971,406	\$ 1,587,388	\$ 384,018	\$ (66,863)	19.48%	(11.66)%
+200	2,018,509	1,611,132	407,377	(43,504)	20.18%	(7.26)%
+100	2,065,712	1,635,684	430,028	(20,853)	20.82%	(3.01)%
0	2,111,964	1,661,083	450,881		21.35%	
-100	2,148,563	1,687,185	461,378	10,497	21.47%	0.25%
-200	2,174,609	1,710,078	464,531	13,650	21.36%	(3.13)%

At December 31, 2009

Change in Interest Rates (basis points)	Estimated Present Value of Assets	Estimated Present Value of Liabilities	Estimated NPV	Estimated Change In NPV	Estimated NPV/Present Value of Assets Ratio	Net Interest Income Percent Change
	(Dollars in thousands)					
+300	\$ 1,884,900	\$ 1,502,093	\$ 382,807	\$ (62,473)	20.31%	(5.24)%
+200	1,931,727	1,524,764	406,963	(38,317)	21.07%	(3.36)%
+100	1,977,999	1,548,205	429,794	(15,486)	21.73%	(1.36)%
0	2,017,733	1,572,453	445,280		22.07%	
-100	2,054,930	1,596,234	458,696	13,416	22.32%	2.48%
-200	2,072,124	1,618,733	453,391	8,111	21.88%	(2.39)%

(1) Assumes an instantaneous and sustained uniform change in interest rates at all maturities.

(2) NPV includes non-interest earning assets and liabilities.

The tables above indicate that at March 31, 2010, in the event of a 200 basis point decrease in interest rates, we would experience a 3.0% increase in estimated net portfolio value and a 3.1% decrease in net interest income. In the event of a 300 basis point increase in interest rates, we would experience a 14.8% decrease in net portfolio value and an 11.7% decrease in net interest income. In addition, the tables above indicate that at December 31, 2009, in the event of a 200 basis point decrease in interest rates, we would experience a 1.8% increase in estimated net portfolio value and a 2.4% decrease in net interest income. In the event of a 300 basis point increase in interest rates, we would experience a 14.0% decrease in net portfolio value and a 5.2% decrease in net interest income. Our policies provide that, in the event of a 300 basis point increase/decrease or less in interest rates, our net portfolio value ratio should decrease by no more than 400 basis points and in the event of a 200 basis point increase/decrease, our projected net interest income should decrease by no more than 20.0%. Additionally, our policy states that our net portfolio value should be at least 8.5% of total assets before and after such shock. At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all board approved policies with respect to interest rate risk management.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodologies used in determining interest rate risk through changes in net portfolio value and net interest income. Our model requires us to make certain assumptions that may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. In this regard, the net portfolio value and net interest income information presented assume that the composition of our interest-sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured and assume that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although interest rate risk calculations provide an indication of our interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurements

Table of Contents

are not intended to and do not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on our net interest income and will differ from actual results.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to fund assets and meet obligations as they come due. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, loan repayments, borrowings through repurchase agreements and advances from money center banks and the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, and repayments, maturities and sales of securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are reasonably predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions, and competition. Our board asset and liability management committee is responsible for establishing and monitoring our liquidity targets and strategies in order to ensure that sufficient liquidity exists for meeting the borrowing needs and deposit withdrawals of our customers as well as unanticipated contingencies. We seek to maintain a ratio of liquid assets (not subject to pledge) as a percentage of deposits and borrowings of 35% or greater. At March 31, 2010, this ratio was 72.9%. We believe that we had sufficient sources of liquidity to satisfy our short- and long-term liquidity needs.

We regularly adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of:

- expected loan demand;

- expected deposit flows;

- yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities; and

the objectives of our asset/liability management program.

Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents, and mortgage-related securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government, Fannie Mae, or Freddie Mac, that we can either borrow against or sell. We also have the ability to surrender bank owned life insurance contracts. The surrender of these contracts would subject us to income taxes and penalties for increases in the cash surrender values over the original premium payments. We also have the ability to generate brokered deposits through our participation in the CDARS program.

The following table sets forth our primary sources of liquidity at March 31, 2010.

	At March 31, 2010 (In thousands)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 50,811
Unpledged securities (issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Federal Home Loan Bank of New York)	\$ 589,476

At March 31, 2010, we had \$23.9 million in outstanding loan commitments. In addition, we had \$38.3 million in unused lines of credit to borrowers. Certificates of deposit due within one year of March 31, 2010, totaled \$525.5 million, or 37.7% of total deposits. If these deposits do not remain with us, we will be required to seek other sources of funds, including loan sales, other deposit products, including replacement certificates of deposit, securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements), and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York and other borrowing sources. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before March 31, 2010. We believe, based on past experience, that a significant portion of such deposits will remain with us, and we have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

Our cash flows are derived from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities as reported in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Our primary investing activities are purchasing mortgage-backed securities and originating loans. During the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we purchased securities classified as available for sale totaling \$217.2 million, \$655.8 million and \$421.7 million, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we originated \$43.8 million, \$183.3 million and \$202.6 million of loans, respectively. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2009 we purchased \$35.4 million of premium finance loans.

Financing activities consist primarily of activity in deposit accounts and borrowings (repurchase agreements and Federal Home Loan Bank of New York advances). We experienced a net increase in total deposits of \$76.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, a net increase of \$292.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 and a net increase of \$147.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Deposit flows are affected by the overall level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by us and our competitors, and by other factors.

Borrowings increased by \$13.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, decreased by \$52.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 and increased by \$207.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. At March 31, 2010, we had the ability to borrow an additional \$200.0 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York.

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we repurchased 186,281 shares of our common stock at an average price of \$13.25 per share. We repurchase shares of common stock to fund stock benefit plans, and stock repurchases can serve as an effective capital management tool. On June 4, 2010, in connection with our announcement that we intend to convert to a fully public company, our Board of Directors terminated its previously announced stock repurchase program. At March 31, 2010, we had repurchased 1,910,089 shares of common stock at an average cost of \$11.79 per share. All shares of treasury stock (including shares of common stock that we have repurchased) will be cancelled at the completion of the conversion.

We have a detailed contingency funding plan that is reviewed and reported to the board risk committee on at least a quarterly basis. This plan includes monitoring cash on a daily basis to determine our liquidity needs. Additionally, management performs a stress test on our retail deposits and wholesale funding sources in several scenarios on a quarterly basis. The stress scenarios include deposit attrition of up to 50%, and selling our securities available-for-sale portfolio at a discount of 10% to its current estimated fair value. As of March 31, 2010, we maintained significant liquidity under all stress scenarios.

Northfield Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements, including a risk-based capital measure. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. At March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank exceeded all regulatory capital requirements and is considered well capitalized under regulatory guidelines. See Supervision and Regulation Federal Banking Regulation Capital Requirements and Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The net proceeds from the stock offering will significantly increase our liquidity and capital resources. Over time, the initial level of liquidity will be reduced as net proceeds from the stock offering are used for general corporate purposes, including the funding of loans. Our financial condition and results of operations will be enhanced by the net proceeds from the stock offering, resulting in increased net interest-earning assets and net interest income. However, due to the increase in equity resulting from the net proceeds from the stock offering, our return on equity will be adversely affected following the stock offering.

Table of Contents**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations**

Commitments. As a financial services provider, we routinely are a party to various financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risks, such as commitments to extend credit, and unused lines of credit. While these contractual obligations represent our potential future cash requirements, a significant portion of commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon. Such commitments are subject to the same credit policies and approval process applicable to loans we originate. In addition, we routinely enter into commitments to sell mortgage loans. However, such amounts are not significant to our operations. For additional information, see Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Contractual Obligations. In the ordinary course of our operations we enter into certain contractual obligations. Such obligations include leases for premises and equipment, agreements with respect to borrowed funds and deposit liabilities, and agreements with respect to investments.

The following table summarizes our significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations and other funding needs by payment date at December 31, 2009. The payment amounts represent those amounts due to the recipient and do not include any unamortized premiums or discounts or other similar carrying amount adjustments.

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				Total
	Less than One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More than Five Years	
	(In thousands)				
Long-term debt (1)	\$ 61,382	\$ 90,000	\$ 118,800	\$ 2,500	\$ 272,682
Operating leases	2,177	4,110	4,026	22,686	32,999
Capitalized leases	365	763	810	1,075	3,013
Certificates of deposit	517,986	33,104	28,283		579,373
Total	\$ 581,910	\$ 127,977	\$ 151,919	\$ 26,261	\$ 888,067
Commitments to extend credit (2)	\$ 43,472	\$	\$	\$	\$ 43,472

(1) Includes repurchase agreements, Federal Home Loan Bank of New York advances, and accrued interest payable at December 31, 2009.

(2) Includes unused lines of credit which are assumed to be funded within the year.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Effective July 1, 2009, the FASB established the Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) as the source of authoritative U. S. generally accepted accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretative releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative guidance for Securities and Exchange Commission registrants. The ASC did not have a material affect on our consolidated financial statements.

ASC 810, Consolidation, replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and (i) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (ii) the right to receive benefits from the entity. The pronouncement was effective January 1, 2010, and did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing, improves the information a reporting entity provides in its financial statements about a transfer of financial assets, including the effect of a transfer on an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows and the transferor's continuing involvement in the transferred assets. ASC 860 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and changes the guidance for evaluation for consolidation. This pronouncement was effective January 1, 2010. The adoption of ASC 860 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ASC 855, Subsequent Events, establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or available to be issued. ASC 855

Table of Contents

sets forth (i) the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, (ii) the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and (iii) the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. ASC 855 was effective for the period ended June 30, 2009. The adoption of ASC 855 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-09 under ASC 855 removes the requirement for a Securities and Exchange Commission filer to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. This change alleviates potential conflicts between subtopic 855-10 and the Securities and Exchange Commission's requirements. The new guidance did not have a significant effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ASC 260, Earnings Per Share, addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share. This ASC was effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of ASC 260 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

These following three ASCs (ASC 820, ASC 825, and ASC 320) were effective for the period ended June 30, 2009:

ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, provides guidance for estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have decreased significantly. ASC 820 also provides guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. The adoption of ASC 820 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements other than disclosures.

ASC 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies that previously were only required to be disclosed in annual financial statements. The adoption of ASC 825 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements other than disclosures.

ASC 320, Investments Debt and Equity Securities, amends previous other-than-temporary impairment guidance in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. This ASC does not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities. The adoption of ASC 320 resulted in \$1.2 million of impairment charges being recorded in other comprehensive income for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-05 under ASC 820 provides clarification for circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available. In such circumstances, a reporting entity is required to measure fair value using one or more of the following techniques: (i) a valuation technique that uses: (a) the quoted price of the identical liability when traded as an asset; or (b) quoted prices for similar liabilities or similar liabilities when traded as assets; or (ii) another valuation technique that is consistent with the principles of ASC 820, such as an income approach or a market approach. The update clarifies that when estimating the fair value of a liability, a reporting entity is not required to include a separate adjustment relating to the existence of a restriction that prevents the transfer of the liability. The new authoritative accounting guidance also clarifies that the quoted price for an identical liability traded as an asset in an active market would also be a Level 1 measurement, provided that the quoted price does not need to be adjusted to reflect factors specific to the asset that do not apply to the fair value measurement of the liability. The new guidance did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06 under ASC 820 requires new disclosures and clarifies certain existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement. Specifically, the update requires an entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for such transfers. A reporting entity is required to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs. In addition, the update clarifies the following requirements of the existing disclosure: (i) for the purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets; and (ii) a reporting entity is required to include disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The amendments are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the separate disclosures of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The new guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-12 under ASC 820 amends Subtopic 820-10 to permit a reporting entity to measure the fair value of certain investments on the basis of net asset value per share of the investment (or its equivalent). This update also requires new disclosure, by major category of investments, about the attributes of investments within the scope of this amendment to the Codification. The new guidance under ASC 820 became effective for financial statements issued for periods ending after December 15, 2009. Our adoption of this new authoritative guidance did not have a material effect on our financial statements or its disclosures at December 31, 2009.

ASC 805, Business Combinations, applies to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. The new guidance requires an acquirer, upon initially obtaining control of another entity, to recognize the assets, liabilities and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at fair value as of the acquisition date. Contingent consideration is required to be recognized and measured at fair value on the date of acquisition rather than at a later date when the amount of that consideration may be determinable beyond a reasonable doubt. This fair value approach replaces the cost-allocation process previously required whereby the cost of an acquisition was allocated to the individual assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair value. The new guidance requires acquirers to expense acquisition-related costs as incurred rather than allocating such costs to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as was previously the case. Specific requirements must be met in order to accrue for a restructuring plan in purchase accounting. Pre-acquisition contingencies are to be recognized at fair value, unless it is a non-contractual contingency that is not likely to materialize, in which case, nothing should be recognized in purchase accounting, and, instead, that contingency would be subject to the probable and estimable recognition criteria of contingencies. The new guidance is effective for all business combinations closing after January 1, 2009, and will affect our accounting for business combinations after such date.

Additional new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC 805, requires that assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination that arise from contingencies be recognized at fair value if fair value can be reasonably estimated. If fair value of such an asset or liability cannot be reasonably estimated, the asset or liability would generally be recognized in accordance with ASC 450, Contingencies. This new guidance removes subsequent accounting guidance for assets and liabilities arising from contingencies and requires entities to develop a systematic and rational basis for subsequently measuring and accounting for assets and liabilities arising from contingencies. The new guidance eliminates the requirement to disclose an estimate of the range of outcomes of recognized contingencies at the acquisition date. For unrecognized contingencies, entities are required to include only the disclosures required under ASC 450. The new guidance also requires that contingent consideration arrangements of an acquiree assumed by the acquirer in a business combination be treated as contingent consideration of the acquirer and should be initially and subsequently measured at fair value. ASC 805 is effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations after January 1, 2009, and will affect our accounting for business combinations after such date.

Table of Contents

Effect of Inflation and Changing Prices

Our consolidated financial statements and related notes have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. GAAP generally requires the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without consideration for changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The effect of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of our operations. Unlike industrial companies, our assets and liabilities are primarily monetary in nature. As a result, changes in market interest rates have a greater effect on our performance than inflation.

BUSINESS OF NORTHFIELD-DELAWARE

Northfield-Delaware is a Delaware corporation, organized in June 2010. Upon completion of the conversion, Northfield-Delaware will become the holding company of Northfield Bank and will succeed to all of the business and operations of Northfield-Federal and each of Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bancorp, MHC will cease to exist.

Initially following the completion of the conversion, Northfield-Delaware will have approximately \$87.4 million in cash and other assets held by Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bancorp, MHC as of March 31, 2010, and the net proceeds it retains from the offering, part of which will be used to make a loan to the Northfield Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan, and will have no significant liabilities. See *How We Intend to Use the Proceeds From the Offering*. Northfield-Delaware intends to use the support staff and offices of Northfield Bank and will pay Northfield Bank for these services. If Northfield-Delaware expands or changes its business in the future, it may hire its own employees.

Northfield-Delaware intends to invest the net proceeds of the offering as discussed under *How We Intend to Use the Proceeds From the Offering*. In the future, we may pursue other business activities, including mergers and acquisitions, investment alternatives and diversification of operations. There are, however, no current understandings or agreements for these activities.

**BUSINESS OF NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC.
AND NORTHFIELD BANK**

Northfield-Federal

Northfield-Federal is a federally chartered corporation that owns all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Northfield Bank. At March 31, 2010, Northfield-Federal had consolidated assets of \$2.1 billion, deposits of \$1.4 billion and stockholders' equity of \$396.3 million.

Northfield Bank became the wholly-owned subsidiary of Northfield-Federal's New York predecessor in 1995, when Northfield Bank reorganized into the two-tier mutual holding company structure. In November 2007, Northfield-Federal converted from a New York corporation to a federally chartered corporation and concurrently sold 19,265,316 shares of its common stock to the public, representing 43% of its then-outstanding shares, at \$10.00 per share. An additional 24,641,684 shares, or 55% of the outstanding shares, were issued to Northfield Bancorp, MHC, and 896,061 shares, or 2% of the outstanding shares, plus \$3.0 million of cash were issued to the Northfield Bank Foundation. As part of this stock offering, we established an employee stock ownership plan, which acquired 1,756,279 shares of common stock in the stock offering, financed by a loan from Northfield-Federal.

Northfield-Federal's home office is located at 1410 St. Georges Avenue, Avenel, New Jersey 07001 and the telephone number is (732) 499-7200. Its website address is www.eNorthfield.com. Information on this website is not and should not be considered a part of this prospectus.

Table of Contents

Northfield Bank

Northfield Bank was organized in 1887 and is currently a federally chartered savings bank. Northfield Bank conducts business primarily from its home office located in Staten Island, New York, its operations center located in Woodbridge, New Jersey, its 17 additional branch offices located in New York and New Jersey and its lending offices located in Brooklyn, New York and Gwinnett County, Georgia. The branch offices are located in Staten Island and Brooklyn and the New Jersey counties of Union and Middlesex.

Northfield Bank's principal business consists of originating multifamily and commercial real estate loans, purchasing investment securities, including mortgage-backed securities and corporate bonds, and investing funds in other financial institutions. Northfield Bank also offers construction and land loans, commercial and industrial loans, one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, and home equity loans and lines of credit. In addition, Northfield Bank offers loans to finance premiums on insurance policies, including commercial property and casualty, and professional liability insurance. Northfield Bank offers a variety of deposit accounts, including certificates of deposit, passbook, statement, and money market savings accounts, transaction deposit accounts (negotiable orders of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and non-interest bearing demand accounts), individual retirement accounts, and to a lesser extent when it is deemed cost effective, brokered deposits. Deposits are Northfield Bank's primary source of funds for its lending and investing activities. Northfield Bank also uses borrowed funds as a source of funds, principally repurchase agreements with brokers and Federal Home Loan Bank of New York advances. In addition to traditional banking services, Northfield Bank offers insurance products through NSB Insurance Agency, Inc. Northfield Bank owns 100% of NSB Services Corp., which, in turn, owns 100% of the voting common stock of a real estate investment trust, NSB Realty Trust, which holds primarily mortgage loans and other real estate related investments.

Northfield Bank is subject to comprehensive regulation and examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision.

Northfield Bank's main office is located at 1731 Victory Boulevard, Staten Island, New York 10314, and its telephone number at this address is (718) 448-1000. Its website address is www.eNorthfield.com. Information on this website is not and should not be considered to be a part of this prospectus.

Market Area and Competition

We have been in business for over 123 years, offering a variety of financial products and services to meet the needs of the communities we serve. Our retail banking network consists of multiple delivery channels including full-service banking offices, automated teller machines, and telephone and internet banking capabilities. We consider our competitive products and pricing, branch network, reputation for superior customer service, and financial strength, as our major strengths in attracting and retaining customers in our market areas.

We face intense competition in our market area both in making loans and attracting deposits. Our market areas have a high concentration of financial institutions, including large money center and regional banks, community banks, and credit unions. We face additional competition for deposits from money market funds, brokerage firms, mutual funds, and insurance companies. Some of our competitors offer products and services that we do not offer, such as trust services and private banking.

In addition, turmoil in the United States and world economies, and more specifically in the financial services industry, has resulted in financial services companies such as investment banks, and automobile and real estate finance companies, electing to become bank holding companies. These financial services companies have traditionally received their funding from sources other than insured bank deposits. Many of the alternative funding sources traditionally used by these companies are no longer available, which has resulted in their relying more on insured bank deposits to fund their operations, thereby increasing competition for deposits and related costs of such deposits.

Table of Contents

Our deposit sources are primarily concentrated in the communities surrounding our banking offices in the New York Counties of Richmond (Staten Island) and Kings (Brooklyn), and Union and Middlesex Counties in New Jersey. As of June 30, 2009 (the latest date for which information is publicly available), we ranked fifth in deposit market share in Staten Island with an 8.85% market share. We had a 0.09% market share in Brooklyn, New York. In Middlesex and Union Counties in New Jersey, as of June 30, 2009, we had a combined market share of 0.69%.

The following table sets forth the unemployment rates for the communities we serve and the national average for the last five years, as provided by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

	Unemployment Rate At December 31,				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Union County, NJ	9.5%	5.7%	4.5%	4.8%	4.8%
Middlesex County, NJ	8.7%	5.0%	3.9%	4.3%	4.2%
Richmond County, NY	8.4%	4.9%	4.4%	4.5%	5.2%
Kings County, NY	10.1%	5.8%	5.3%	5.4%	6.2%
National Average	10.0%	7.4%	5.0%	4.4%	4.9%

The following table sets forth median household income at December 31, 2008 for the communities we serve, as provided by the U.S. Census Bureau.

	Median Household Income At December 31, 2008
Union County, NJ	\$ 67,127
Middlesex County, NJ	\$ 77,015
Richmond County, NY	\$ 72,557
Kings County, NY	\$ 43,172

While the disruption in the financial markets has generally affected the banking industry negatively, it has created opportunities for Northfield Bank. With many lenders reducing their loan originations, we have continued to lend to qualified borrowers and we were able to increase our loan portfolio in 2009 and in the first quarter of 2010. While our lending has increased in this difficult environment, we are committed to maintaining our loan underwriting standards. We do not originate or purchase sub-prime loans, negative amortization loans or option ARM loans. A continuation or worsening of current economic conditions could make it more difficult in the future to maintain the loan growth we experienced during 2009, as reflected in lower loan growth during the first quarter of 2010.

Lending Activities

Our principal lending activity is the origination of multifamily real estate loans and commercial real estate loans. We also originate one- to four-family residential real estate loans, construction and land loans, commercial and industrial loans, home equity loans and lines of credit and loans to finance premiums on insurance policies, including commercial property and casualty, and professional liability insurance.

Table of Contents

Loan Portfolio Composition. The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio, by type of loan at the dates indicated, excluding loans held for sale of \$0, \$0, \$0, \$270,000, \$125,000, and \$0 at March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

	At March 31, 2010		2009		2008		At December 31, 2007		2006		2005
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)										
loans:											
Commercial	\$ 332,427	45.13%	\$ 327,802	44.99%	\$ 289,123	49.05%	\$ 243,902	57.50%	\$ 207,680	50.75%	\$ 165,657
Home-equity	90,014	12.22	90,898	12.48	103,128	17.49	95,246	22.45	107,572	26.29	127,477
Auto and	39,523	5.36	44,548	6.11	52,158	8.85	44,850	10.57	52,124	12.74	52,890
Business	187,372	25.44	178,401	24.48	108,534	18.41	14,164	3.34	13,276	3.24	14,105
Real estate	28,143	3.82	26,118	3.58	24,182	4.10	12,797	3.02	13,922	3.40	16,105
Other	17,833	2.42	19,252	2.64	11,025	1.87	11,397	2.69	11,022	2.70	8,068
Loans premium	39,977	5.43	40,382	5.54							
	1,328	0.18	1,299	0.18	1,339	0.23	1,842	0.43	3,597	0.88	3,510
	736,617	100.00%	728,700	100.00%	589,489	100.00%	424,198	100.00%	409,193	100.00%	387,812
Loan costs:											
Loan costs	608		569		495		131		(4)		(345)
Provision for loan	(17,146)		(15,414)		(8,778)		(5,636)		(5,030)		(4,795)
Investment	\$ 720,079		\$ 713,855		\$ 581,206		\$ 418,693		\$ 404,159		\$ 382,672

Table of Contents

Loan Portfolio Maturities. The following table summarizes the scheduled repayments of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2009. Demand loans (loans having no stated repayment schedule or maturity) and overdraft loans are reported as being due in the year ending December 31, 2010. Maturities are based on the final contractual payment date and do not reflect the effect of prepayments and scheduled principal amortization.

Due during the years ending December 31,	Commercial Real Estate		One- to Four-Family Residential		Construction and Land		Multifamily	
	Amount	Weighted Average Rate	Amount	Weighted Average Rate	Amount	Weighted Average Rate	Amount	Weighted Average Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)							
2010	\$ 5,806	4.03%	\$ 24	6.61%	\$ 22,322	5.96%	\$ 5,496	6.00%
2011	6,219	6.52	123	6.19	12,084	6.45	304	6.93
2012	1,377	7.02	1,068	5.72				
2013 to 2014	2,032	6.56	2,788	5.57	284	5.50	549	6.39
2015 to 2019	8,129	6.55	21,032	5.25	157	7.75	716	6.29
2020 to 2024	27,460	6.29	10,687	5.48	137	7.75	13,952	6.64
2025 and beyond	276,779	6.48	55,176	5.66	9,564	5.57	157,384	5.96
Total	\$ 327,802	6.43%	\$ 90,898	5.54%	\$ 44,548	6.02%	\$ 178,401	6.02%

Due during the years ending December 31,	Home Equity and Lines of Credit		Commercial and Industrial		Insurance Premium		Other		Total	
	Amount	Weighted Average Rate	Amount	Weighted Average Rate	Amount	Weighted Average Rate	Amount	Weighted Average Rate	Amount	Weighted Average Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)									
2010	\$ 616	6.67%	\$ 7,457	6.23%	\$ 40,349	6.84%	\$ 1,229	2.89%	\$ 83,299	6.24%
2011	16	5.48	1,033	5.63	13	7.50	70	7.00	19,862	6.44
2012	1,227	6.74	391	7.43	20	9.20			4,083	6.65
2013 to 2014	1,627	5.25	1,347	5.99					8,627	5.86
2015 to 2019	4,376	5.70	3,207	7.41					37,617	5.80
2020 to 2024	6,527	5.58	5,078	6.90					63,841	6.21
2025 and beyond	11,729	4.89	739	6.25					511,371	6.18

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Total \$ 26,118 5.35% \$ 19,252 6.58% \$ 40,382 6.84% \$ 1,299 3.11% \$ 728,700 6.17%

As of December 31, 2010, we had a total of \$511.4 million in loans due to mature in 2025 and beyond, of which \$33.5 million, or 6.6%, were fixed-rate loans.

The following table sets forth the scheduled repayments of fixed- and adjustable-rate loans at December 31, 2009, that are contractually due after December 31, 2010.

	Due After December 31, 2010		
	Fixed Rate	Adjustable Rate	Total
	(In thousands)		
Real estate loans:			
Commercial	\$ 21,538	\$ 300,458	\$ 321,996
One- to four-family residential	49,528	41,346	90,874
Construction and land	8,403	13,823	22,226
Multifamily	9,089	163,816	172,905
Home equity and lines of credit	15,487	10,015	25,502
Commercial and industrial loans	3,343	8,452	11,795
Insurance premium loans	33		33
Other loans	70		70
Total loans	\$ 107,491	\$ 537,910	\$ 645,401

Table of Contents

Commercial Real Estate Loans. Commercial real estate loans totaled \$332.4 million, or 45.13% of our loan portfolio as of March 31, 2010. Commercial real estate loans at March 31, 2010 included \$29.4 million secured primarily by hotels and motels, \$57.2 million secured by office buildings, and \$65.3 million secured by manufacturing buildings. Approximately \$155.1 million of our commercial real estate loans are owner-occupied businesses. At March 31, 2010, our commercial real estate loan portfolio consisted of 333 loans with an average loan balance of approximately \$1.0 million, although there are a large number of loans with balances substantially greater than this average. At March 31, 2010, our largest commercial real estate loan had a principal balance of \$9.7 million, and was secured by a hotel. At March 31, 2010, this loan was performing in accordance with its original contractual terms. In recent years, we have originated limited amounts of commercial real estate loans due to economic conditions. We expect that we would increase our originations of commercial real estate loans if economic conditions improve.

Substantially all of our commercial real estate loans are secured by properties located in our primary market areas.

The table below sets forth the property types collateralizing our commercial real estate loans as of March 31, 2010.

	At March 31, 2010	
	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Manufacturing	\$ 65,189	19.6%
Office building	57,231	17.2
Warehouse	29,640	8.9
Accommodations	29,361	8.8
Mixed use	26,508	8.0
Retail	22,139	6.7
Services	18,358	5.5
Restaurant	11,915	3.6
Schools/day care	10,654	3.2
Recreational	4,533	1.4
Other	56,900	17.1
Total	\$ 332,427	100.0%

Our commercial real estate loans typically amortize over 20- to 25-years with interest rates that generally adjust after an initial five-year period, and every five years thereafter. Margins generally range from 275 basis points to 350 basis points above the average yield on United States Treasury securities, adjusted to a constant maturity of similar term, as published by the Federal Reserve Board. Adjustable rate loans originated during 2009 and the first quarter of 2010, generally have been indexed to the five year London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) swaps rate as published in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release adjusted for a negotiated margin. We also originate, to a lesser extent, 10- to 15-year fixed-rate, fully amortizing loans. In general, our commercial real estate loans have interest rate floors equal to the interest rate on the date the loan is originated, and have prepayment penalties should the loan be repaid in the first three to five years.

In the underwriting of commercial real estate loans, we generally lend up to the lesser of 75% of the property's appraised value or purchase price. Certain single use property types have lower loan to appraised value ratios. We base our decision to lend primarily on the economic viability of the property and the creditworthiness of the borrower. In evaluating a proposed commercial real estate loan, we emphasize the ratio of the property's projected net cash flow to the loan's debt service requirement (generally requiring a minimum ratio of 120%), computed after deduction for a vacancy factor, where applicable, and property expenses we deem appropriate. Personal guarantees are usually obtained from commercial real estate borrowers. We require title insurance, fire and extended coverage casualty insurance, and, if appropriate, flood insurance, in order to protect our security interest in

Table of Contents

the underlying property. Although a significant portion of our commercial real estate loans are referred by brokers, we underwrite all commercial real estate loans in accordance with our underwriting standards.

Commercial real estate loans generally carry higher interest rates and have shorter terms than one- to four-family residential real estate loans. Commercial real estate loans generally have greater credit risks compared to one- to four-family residential real estate loans, as they typically involve larger loan balances concentrated with single borrowers or groups of related borrowers. In addition, the payment of loans secured by income-producing properties typically depends on the successful operation of the property, as repayment of the loan generally is dependent on sufficient income from the property to cover operating expenses and debt service. Changes in economic conditions that are not within a borrower's or lender's control could affect the value of the collateral for the loan or the future cash flow of the property. Additionally, any decline in real estate values may be more pronounced for commercial real estate than for residential properties.

Multifamily Real Estate Loans. In recent years, we have focused on originating multifamily real estate loans. Loans secured by multifamily and mixed use properties totaled \$187.4 million, or 25.44% of our total loan portfolio, at March 31, 2010. We classify mixed use properties as multifamily when they have more than four residential family units and a business or businesses. At March 31, 2010, we had 234 multifamily real estate loans with an average loan balance of \$801,000. At March 31, 2010, our largest multifamily real estate loan had a principal balance of \$7.8 million and was performing in accordance with its original contractual terms. Substantially all of our multifamily real estate loans are secured by properties located in our market areas.

Our multifamily real estate loans typically amortize over 20 to 30 years with interest rates that adjust after an initial five- or 10-year period, and every five years thereafter. Margins generally range from 275 basis points to 350 basis points above the average yield on United States Treasury securities, adjusted to a constant maturity of similar term, as published by the Federal Reserve Board. Variable rate loans originated during 2009 and the first quarter of 2010 generally have been indexed to the five-year LIBOR swaps rate as published in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release adjusted for a negotiated margin. We also originate, to a lesser extent, 10- to 15-year fixed-rate, fully amortizing loans. In general, our multifamily real estate loans have interest rate floors equal to the interest rate on the date the loan is originated, and have prepayment penalties should the loan be prepaid in the first three to five years.

In underwriting multifamily real estate loans, we consider a number of factors, including the projected net cash flow to the loan's debt service requirement (generally requiring a minimum ratio of 115%), the age and condition of the collateral, the financial resources and income level of the borrower, and the borrower's experience in owning or managing similar properties. Multifamily real estate loans generally are originated in amounts up to 75% of the appraised value of the property securing the loan. Due to competitive considerations, we typically do not obtain personal guarantees from multifamily real estate borrowers.

Loans secured by multifamily real estate properties generally have greater credit risk than one- to four-family residential real estate loans. This increased credit risk is a result of several factors, including the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and borrowers, the effects of general economic conditions on income producing properties, and the increased difficulty of evaluating and monitoring these types of loans. Furthermore, the repayment of loans secured by multifamily real estate properties typically depends on the successful operation of the property. If the cash flow from the project is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired.

In a ruling that was contrary to a 1996 advisory opinion from the New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal that owners of housing units who benefited from the receipt of J-51 tax incentives under the Rent Stabilization Law are eligible to decontrol apartments, the New York State Court of Appeals ruled, on October 22, 2009, that residential housing units located in two major housing complexes in New York City had been illegally decontrolled by the current and previous property owners. This ruling may subject to litigation other property owners that have previously or are currently benefiting from a J-51 tax incentive, possibly resulting in a significant reduction to property cash flows. Based on management's assessment of its multifamily loan portfolio, it believes that only one loan may be affected by the recent ruling regarding J-51. The loan, which is our largest multifamily

Table of Contents

residential real estate loan, noted above, has a principal balance of \$7.8 million at March 31, 2010, and is performing in accordance with its original contractual terms.

Construction and Land Loans. At March 31, 2010, construction and land loans totaled \$39.5 million, or 5.36% of total loans receivable. At March 31, 2010, the additional unadvanced portion of these construction loans totaled \$9.8 million. At March 31, 2010, we had 41 construction and land loans with an average loan balance of \$964,000. At March 31, 2010, our largest construction and land loan had a principal balance of \$4.8 million and was for the purpose of purchasing commercial land. This loan is performing in accordance with its original contractual terms. In recent years, we have originated limited amounts of construction and land loans due to economic conditions. We expect that we would increase our originations of these types of loans if economic conditions improve.

Our construction and land loans typically are interest only loans with interest rates that are tied to the prime rate as published by *The Wall Street Journal*. Margins generally range from zero basis points to 200 basis points above the prime rate. We also originate, to a lesser extent, 10- to 15-year fixed-rate, fully amortizing land loans. In general, our construction and land loans have interest rate floors equal to the interest rate on the date the loan is originated, and we do not typically charge prepayment penalties for these types of loans.

We grant construction and land loans to experienced developers for the construction of single-family residences, including condominiums, and commercial properties. Construction and land loans also are made to individuals for the construction of their personal residences. Advances on construction loans are made in accordance with a schedule reflecting the cost of construction, but are generally limited to a loan-to-completed-appraised-value ratio of 70%. Repayment of construction loans on residential properties normally is expected from the sale of units to individual purchasers, or in the case of individuals building their own property, with a permanent mortgage. In the case of income-producing property, repayment usually is expected from permanent financing upon completion of construction. We typically offer the permanent mortgage financing on our construction loans on income-producing properties.

Land loans also help finance the purchase of land intended for future development, including single-family housing, multifamily housing, and commercial property. In some cases, we may make an acquisition loan before the borrower has received approval to develop the land. In general, the maximum loan-to-value ratio for a land acquisition loan is 50% of the appraised value of the property, and the maximum term of these loans is two years. If the maturity of the loan exceeds two years, the loan must be an amortizing loan.

Construction and land loans generally carry higher interest rates and have shorter terms than one- to four- family residential real estate loans. Construction and land loans have greater credit risk than long-term financing on improved, owner-occupied real estate. Risk of loss on a construction loan depends largely upon the accuracy of the initial estimate of the value of the real estate at completion of construction as compared to the estimated cost (including interest) of construction and other assumptions. If the estimate of construction costs is inaccurate, we may decide to advance additional funds beyond the amount originally committed in order to protect the value of the real estate. However, if the estimated value of the completed project is inaccurate, the borrower may hold the real estate with a value that is insufficient to assure full repayment of the construction loan upon its sale. In the event we make a land acquisition loan on real estate that is not yet approved for the planned development, there is a risk that approvals will not be granted or will be delayed. Construction loans also expose us to a risk that improvements will not be completed on time in accordance with specifications and projected costs. In addition, the ultimate sale or rental of the real estate may not occur as anticipated and the market value of collateral, when completed, may be less than the outstanding loans against the real estate. Substantially all of our construction and land loans are secured by real estate located in our primary market areas.

Commercial and Industrial Loans. At March 31, 2010, commercial and industrial loans totaled \$17.8 million, or 2.42% of the total loan portfolio. As of March 31, 2010, we had 95 commercial and industrial loans with an average loan balance of \$188,000, although we originate these types of loans in amounts substantially greater and smaller than this average. At March 31, 2010, our largest commercial and industrial loan had a principal balance of \$3.0 million and was performing in accordance with its original contractual terms. At March 31, 2010, \$14.7

Table of Contents

million, or 82.6% of our commercial and industrial loans, were secured loans, and the remaining \$3.1 million, or 17.4%, were unsecured. The security generally consists of (i) second mortgages on commercial real estate and (ii) business assets.

Our commercial and industrial loans typically amortize over 10 years with interest rates that are tied to the prime rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal*. Margins generally range from zero basis points to 300 basis points above the prime rate. We also originate, to a lesser extent, 10 year fixed-rate, fully amortizing loans. In general, our commercial and industrial loans have interest rate floors equal to the interest rate on the date the loan is originated and have prepayment penalties.

We make various types of secured and unsecured commercial and industrial loans to customers in our market area for the purpose of working capital and other general business purposes. The terms of these loans generally range from less than one year to a maximum of 15 years. The loans are either negotiated on a fixed-rate basis or carry adjustable interest rates indexed to a market rate index.

Commercial credit decisions are based on our credit assessment of the applicant. We evaluate the applicant's ability to repay in accordance with the proposed terms of the loan and assess the risks involved. Personal guarantees of the principals are typically obtained. In addition to evaluating the loan applicant's financial statements, we consider the adequacy of the primary and secondary sources of repayment for the loan. Credit agency reports of the guarantors' personal credit history supplement our analysis of the applicant's creditworthiness. We also attempt to confirm with other banks and conduct trade investigations as part of our credit assessment of the borrower. Collateral supporting a secured transaction also is analyzed to determine its marketability.

Commercial and industrial loans generally carry higher interest rates than one- to four- family residential real estate loans of like maturity because they have a higher risk of default since their repayment generally depends on the successful operation of the borrowers' business. Commercial and industrial loans have greater credit risk than one- to four- family residential real estate loans.

Insurance Premium Loans. At March 31, 2010, insurance premium loans totaled \$40.0 million, or 5.43% of the total loan portfolio. As of March 31, 2010, we had 4,517 insurance premium loans with an average loan balance of \$9,000, although we originate these types of loans in amounts substantially greater and smaller than this average. At March 31, 2010, our largest insurance premium loan had a principal balance of \$961,000 and was performing in accordance with its original contractual terms.

Our insurance premium loans typically amortize over nine to 12 months at fixed rates and typically require a down payment of 15% to 20%. These loans are structured (down payment and repayment term) such that the unpaid loan balance is generally fully secured by the unearned premiums refundable by insurance carriers. Insurance premium loan credit decisions generally are based on our credit assessment of the insurance carrier, and in some instances, the credit assessment of the borrower.

One- to Four-Family Residential Real Estate Loans. At March 31, 2010, we had 554 one- to four-family residential real estate loans outstanding with an aggregate balance of \$90.0 million, or 12.22% of our total loan portfolio. As of March 31, 2010, the average balance of one- to four-family residential mortgage real estate loans was \$162,000, although we originate this type of loan in amounts substantially greater and smaller than this average. At March 31, 2010, our largest loan of this type had a principal balance of \$2.4 million and was performing in accordance with its original contractual terms. We expect the balance of these loans to decrease in the future, as we are currently selling our originations of one- to four-family residential real estate loans.

One- to four-family residential real estate loans generally are underwritten according to Freddie Mac guidelines using their proprietary automated underwriting software, and we refer to loans that conform to such guidelines as conforming loans. We generally originate both fixed- and adjustable-rate loans in amounts up to the maximum conforming loan limits as established by the Federal Housing Finance Agency, which as of December 31, 2009, is generally \$417,000 for single-family homes. We also originate loans above the lending limit for conforming loans, which are referred to as jumbo loans. We originate a limited number of fixed-rate jumbo loans

Table of Contents

with terms generally up to 15 years and adjustable-rate jumbo loans with an initial fixed-rate period of 10 years. We generally underwrite jumbo loans in a manner similar to conforming loans. These loans generally are eligible for sale to various firms that specialize in purchasing non-conforming loans. Jumbo loans are common in our market area although we have never sold jumbo loans to any third parties.

We will originate loans with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80%, up to and including a loan-to-value ratio of 95%. We require private mortgage insurance for all loans with loan-to-value ratios exceeding 80%. Generally, we will retain in our portfolio loans with loan-to-value ratios up to and including 90%, and sell loans with loan-to-value ratios that exceed 90%. We currently retain the servicing rights on loans sold which generates fee income, or, in the case of loans serviced for the State of New York Mortgage Agency, income tax credits.

We do not originate for retention in our portfolio interest only mortgage loans on one- to four-family residential properties, where the borrower pays interest for an initial period and thereafter the loan converts to a fully amortizing loan. We also do not offer loans that provide for negative amortization of principal, such as Option ARM loans, where the borrower can pay less than the interest owed on the loan, thereby resulting in an increased principal balance during the life of the loan. We do not offer, and have not offered, subprime loans (loans that generally target borrowers with weakened credit histories typically characterized by payment delinquencies, previous charge-offs, judgments, bankruptcies, or borrowers with questionable repayment capacity as evidenced by low credit scores or high debt-burden ratios).

Home Equity Loans and Lines of Credit. At March 31, 2010, we had 480 home equity loans and lines of credit with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$28.1 million, or 3.82% of our total loan portfolio. Of this total, there were outstanding home equity lines of credit of \$12.8 million, or 1.74% of our total loan portfolio. At March 31, 2010, the average home equity loans and lines of credit balance was \$59,000 although we originate these types of loans in amounts substantially greater and lower than this average. At March 31, 2010, our largest home equity line of credit was \$1.5 million and our largest home equity loan was \$331,000, and both were performing in accordance with their original contractual terms.

We offer home equity loans and home equity lines of credit that are secured by the borrower's primary residence or second home. Home equity lines of credit are variable rate loans tied to the prime rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal*, adjusted for a margin, and have a maximum term of 20 years during which time the borrower is required to make principal payments based on a 20-year amortization schedule. Home equity lines generally have interest rate floors and ceilings. The borrower is permitted to draw against the line during the entire term. Our home equity lines of credit have a ceiling rate and a floor rate. Our home equity loans typically are fully amortizing with fixed terms to 20 years. Home equity loans and lines of credit generally are underwritten with the same criteria we use to underwrite fixed-rate, one- to four-family residential real estate loans. Home equity loans and lines of credit may be underwritten with a loan-to-value ratio of 80% when combined with the principal balance of the existing mortgage loan. We appraise the property securing the loan at the time of the loan application to determine the value of the property. At the time we close a home equity loan or line of credit, we record a mortgage to perfect our security interest in the underlying collateral.

Loan Originations, Purchases, Sales, Participations, and Servicing. Lending activities are conducted in all branch locations. All loans we originate for our portfolio are underwritten pursuant to our policies and procedures. Freddie Mac underwriting standards are utilized for loans we originate to sell in the secondary market. We may, based on proper approvals, make exceptions to our policies and procedures. We originate both adjustable-rate and fixed-rate loans. Our ability to originate fixed- or adjustable-rate loans is dependent on the relative customer demand for such loans, which is affected by various factors including current market interest rates as well as anticipated future market interest rates. Our loan origination and sales activity may be adversely affected by a rising interest rate environment that typically results in decreased loan demand. A significant portion of our commercial real estate loans and multifamily real estate loans are generated by referrals from loan brokers, accountants, and other professional contacts. Most of our one- to four-family residential real estate loans are generated through referrals from branch personnel. Our home equity loans and lines of credit typically are generated through direct mail advertisements, newspaper advertisements, and referrals from branch personnel.

Table of Contents

We generally retain in our portfolio all adjustable-rate loans we originate, as well as shorter-term, fixed-rate residential loans (terms of 10 years or less). Loans we sell consist primarily of conforming, longer-term, fixed-rate residential loans. We sold no one- to four-family residential real estate loans during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and we sold \$7.4 million of one- to four-family residential real estate loans (generally fixed-rate loans, with terms of 15 years or longer) during the year ended December 31, 2009. We had no loans held-for-sale at March 31, 2010.

We sell our loans without recourse, except for standard representations and warranties provided in secondary market transactions. Currently, we retain the servicing rights on all one- to four-family residential real estate loans we sell. At March 31, 2010, we were servicing loans for others of \$71.7 million of one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Historically, the origination of loans held for sale and related servicing activity has not been material to our operations. Loan servicing includes collecting and remitting loan payments, accounting for principal and interest, contacting delinquent borrowers, supervising foreclosures and property dispositions in the event of unremedied defaults, making certain insurance and tax payments on behalf of the borrowers and generally administering the loans. We retain a portion of the interest paid by the borrower on the loans we service as consideration for our servicing activities, or, in the case of loans serviced for the State of New York Mortgage Agency, we receive an income tax credit.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, we purchased approximately \$35.4 million in insurance premium loans.

Loan Approval Procedures and Authority. Northfield Bank's lending activities follow written, non-discriminatory underwriting standards established by our board of directors. The loan approval process is intended to assess the borrower's ability to repay the loan and the value of any collateral that will secure the loan. To assess the borrower's ability to repay, we review the borrower's employment and credit history, and information on the historical and projected income and expenses of the borrower.

In underwriting a loan secured by real property, we require an appraisal of the property by an independent licensed appraiser approved by our board of directors. The appraisal is subject to review by an independent third party we hire. We review and inspect properties before disbursement of funds during the term of a construction loan. Generally, management obtains updated appraisals when a loan is deemed impaired. These appraisals may be more limited than those prepared for the underwriting of a new loan. In addition, when we acquire other real estate owned, we generally obtain a current appraisal to substantiate the net carrying value of the asset.

The board of directors of Northfield Bank has established a loan committee to: periodically review and recommend for approval our policies related to lending (collectively, the loan policies) as prepared by management; approve or reject loans meeting certain criteria; and monitor loan quality, including concentrations, and certain other aspects of our lending functions, as applicable. Northfield Bank's lending officers have individual lending authority that is approved by the board of directors. Aggregate lending relationships in amounts up to \$1.0 million that are secured by real estate can be approved by a senior vice president, while aggregate amounts up to \$1.5 million that are secured by real estate can be approved by a senior vice president with the concurrence of our Chief Lending Officer, and aggregate amounts up to \$2.5 million that are secured by real estate can be approved by a senior vice president with the concurrence of our Chief Lending Officer and our Chief Executive Officer. Approval of the loan committee is required for all commercial real estate loans in excess of \$2.5 million and for all multifamily real estate loans in excess of \$4.0 million.

Investments

We conduct investment transactions in accordance with our board approved investment policy which is reviewed at least annually by the asset liability committee, and any changes to the policy are subject to ratification by the full board of directors. This policy dictates that investment decisions give consideration to the safety of the investment, liquidity requirements, potential returns, the ability to provide collateral for pledging requirements, and consistency with our interest rate risk management strategy. Any two of our Treasurer, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and our other two executive vice presidents may approve securities portfolio transactions, within policy requirements. NSB Services Corp.'s and NSB Realty Trust's investment officers execute security

Table of Contents

portfolio transactions in accordance with investment policies that substantially mirror our investment policy. All purchase and sale transactions are reviewed by the asset liability committee at least quarterly.

Our current investment policy permits investments in mortgage-backed securities, including pass-through securities and real estate mortgage investment conduits (REMICs). The investment policy also permits, with certain limitations, investments in debt securities issued by the United States Government, agencies of the United States Government or United States Government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs), asset-backed securities, money market mutual funds, federal funds, investment grade corporate bonds, reverse repurchase agreements, and certificates of deposit.

Northfield Bank's investment policy does not permit investment in municipal bonds, preferred and common stock of other entities including U.S. Government sponsored enterprises or equity securities other than our required investment in the common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, or as permitted for community reinvestment purposes or for the purposes of funding our deferred compensation plan. Northfield-Federal may invest in equity securities of other financial institutions up to certain limitations. As of March 31, 2010, we held no asset-backed securities other than mortgage-backed securities. Our board of directors may change these limitations in the future.

Our current investment policy does not permit hedging through the use of such instruments as financial futures, interest rate options, and swaps.

At the time of purchase, we must designate a security as either held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading, based upon our ability and intent to hold such securities. Trading securities and securities available-for-sale are reported at estimated fair value, and securities held-to-maturity are reported at amortized cost. A periodic review and evaluation of the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities portfolios is conducted to determine if the estimated fair value of any security has declined below its carrying value and whether such impairment is other-than-temporary. If such impairment is deemed to be other-than-temporary, the security is written down to a new cost basis and the resulting loss is charged against earnings. The estimated fair values of our securities are obtained from an independent nationally recognized pricing service (see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies for further discussion). At March 31, 2010, our investment portfolio consisted primarily of mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by GSEs and to a lesser extent private label mortgage-backed securities, mutual funds, corporate securities, and agency bonds. The market for these securities consists primarily of other financial institutions, insurance companies, real estate investment trusts, and mutual funds.

We purchase mortgage-backed securities insured or guaranteed primarily by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or Ginnie Mae, and to a lesser extent, we acquire securities issued by private companies (private label). We invest in mortgage-backed securities to achieve positive interest rate spreads with minimal administrative expense, and to lower our credit risk as a result of the guarantees provided by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or Ginnie Mae. In September 2008, the Federal Housing Finance Agency placed Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae into conservatorship. The U.S. Treasury Department has established financing agreements to ensure that Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae meet their obligations to holders of mortgage-backed securities that they have issued or guaranteed.

Mortgage-backed securities are securities sold in the secondary market that are collateralized by pools of mortgages. Certain types of mortgage-backed securities are commonly referred to as pass-through certificates because the principal and interest of the underlying loans is passed through pro rata to investors, net of certain costs, including servicing and guarantee fees, in proportion to an investor's ownership in the entire pool. The issuers of such securities pool mortgages and resell the participation interests in the form of securities to investors. The interest rate of the security is lower than the interest rates of the underlying loans to allow for payment of servicing and guaranty fees. Ginnie Mae, a United States Government agency, and GSEs, such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, may guarantee the payments or guarantee the timely payment of principal and interest to investors.

Mortgage-backed securities are more liquid than individual mortgage loans since there is a more active market for such securities. In addition, mortgage-backed securities may be used to collateralize our specific

Table of Contents

liabilities and obligations. Investments in mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by GSEs involve a risk that actual payments will be greater or less than estimated at the time of purchase, which may require adjustments to the amortization of any premium or accretion of any discount relating to such interests, thereby affecting the net yield on our securities. We periodically review current prepayment speeds to determine whether prepayment estimates require modification that could cause adjustment of amortization or accretion.

We invest in certain mortgage-backed securities (which we refer to as REMICs) that are issued by special-purpose entities that aggregate pools of mortgages and mortgage-backed securities and create different classes of securities with varying maturities and amortization schedules, as well as a residual interest, with each class possessing different risk characteristics. The cash flows from the underlying collateral are generally divided into tranches or classes that have descending priorities with respect to the distribution of principal and interest cash flows, while cash flows on pass-through mortgage-backed securities are distributed pro rata to all security holders.

The timely payment of principal and interest on these REMICs is generally supported (credit enhanced) in varying degrees by either insurance issued by a financial guarantee insurer, letters of credit, over collateralization, or subordination techniques. Substantially all of these securities are rated AAA by Standard & Poors or Moodys at the time of purchase. Privately issued REMICs and pass-throughs can be subject to certain credit-related risks normally not associated with U.S. Government agency and U.S. Government-sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed securities. The loss protection generally provided by the various forms of credit enhancements is limited, and losses in excess of certain levels are not protected. Furthermore, the credit enhancement itself may be subject to the creditworthiness of the credit enhancer. Thus, in the event a credit enhancer does not fulfill its obligations, the holder could be subject to risk of loss similar to a purchaser of a whole loan pool. Management believes that the credit enhancements are adequate to protect us from material losses on our privately issued mortgage-backed securities.

At March 31, 2010, our corporate bond portfolio consisted of \$136.7 million of short-term investment grade securities. Our investment policy provides that we may invest up to 15% of our tier-one risk-based capital in corporate bonds from individual issuers which, at the time of purchase, are within the three highest investment-grade ratings from Standard & Poors or Moodys. The maturity of these bonds may not exceed 10 years, and there is no aggregate limit for this security type. Corporate bonds from individual issuers with investment-grade ratings, at the time of purchase, below the top three ratings are limited to the lesser of 1% of our total assets or 15% of our tier-one risk-based capital and must have a maturity of less than one year. Aggregate holdings of this security type cannot exceed 5% of our total assets. Bonds that subsequently experience a decline in credit rating below investment grade are monitored at least monthly.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth the amortized cost and estimated fair value of our available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities portfolios (excluding Federal Home Loan Bank of New York common stock) at the dates indicated. As of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, we also had a trading portfolio with a market value of \$3.7 million, \$3.4 million, \$2.5 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, consisting of mutual funds quoted in actively traded markets. These securities are utilized to fund non-qualified deferred compensation obligations.

	At March 31, 2010		2009		At December 31, 2008		2007	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Securities available-for-sale:								
Mortgage-backed securities:								
Pass-through certificates:								
GSEs	\$ 366,089	\$ 381,723	\$ 404,128	\$ 418,060	\$ 532,870	\$ 546,244	\$ 491,758	\$ 486,562
Non-GSEs	56,696	55,969	65,363	62,466	65,040	55,778	29,200	28,867
REMICs:								
GSEs	395,438	400,238	344,150	349,088	242,557	245,492	171,709	171,207
Non-GSEs	101,799	105,627	111,756	114,194	90,446	83,695	36,141	36,522
Equity investments								
(1)	5,560	5,623	21,820	21,872	9,025	9,025	14,027	14,012
GSE bonds	129,937	130,291	28,994	28,983				
Corporate bonds	134,026	136,724	134,595	137,140	17,319	17,351	65,146	65,247
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 1,189,545	\$ 1,216,195	\$ 1,110,806	\$ 1,131,803	\$ 957,257	\$ 957,585	\$ 807,981	\$ 802,417

(1) Consists of mutual funds.

	At March 31, 2010		2009		At December 31, 2008		2007	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Securities held-to-maturity:								
Mortgage-backed securities:								
Pass-through certificates:								
GSEs	\$ 869	\$ 903	\$ 874	\$ 901	\$ 6,132	\$ 6,273	\$ 9,206	\$ 9,320

REMICs:								
GSEs	5,351	5,529	5,866	6,029	8,347	8,315	10,480	10,120
Total securities held-to-maturity	\$ 6,220	\$ 6,432	\$ 6,740	\$ 6,930	\$ 14,479	\$ 14,588	\$ 19,686	\$ 19,440

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities investments as of March 31, 2010 that exceeded 10% of our stockholders' equity as of that date.

	At March 31, 2010	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
	(In thousands)	
Mortgage-backed securities:		
Fannie Mae	\$ 290,048	\$ 301,421
Freddie Mac	\$ 449,858	\$ 458,537
JP Morgan Chase	\$ 60,309	\$ 61,882
Direct obligations:		
Fannie Mae	\$ 59,947	\$ 60,137
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York	\$ 41,619	\$ 41,449

Table of Contents

Portfolio Maturities and Yields. The composition and maturities of the investment securities portfolio at March 31, 2010, are summarized in the following table. Maturities are based on the final contractual payment dates, and do not reflect the effect of scheduled principal repayments, prepayments, or early redemptions that may occur. All of our securities at March 31, 2010, were taxable securities.

	One Year or Less		More than One Year through Five Years		More than Five Years through Ten Years		More than Ten Years		Total		
	Weighted Average		Weighted Average		Weighted Average		Weighted Average		Weighted Average		
	Amortized Cost	Yield	Amortized Cost	Yield	Amortized Cost	Yield	Amortized Cost	Yield	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Yield
	(Dollars in thousands)										
Securities available-for-sale:											
Mortgage-backed securities:											
Pass-through certificates:											
GSEs	\$		\$ 126,043	4.21%	\$ 179,621	4.47%	\$ 60,425	4.92%	\$ 366,089	\$ 381,723	4.45%
Non-GSEs			%		% 23,543	5.04%	33,153	5.21%	56,696	55,969	5.14%
REMICs:											
GSEs			% 200,155	1.47%	73,291	2.86%	121,992	3.81%	395,438	400,238	2.45%
Non-GSEs			%		% 84,093	4.96%	17,706	2.52%	101,799	105,627	4.54%
Equity investments	5,560	4.37%							5,560	5,623	4.37%
GSE bonds			% 129,937	2.35%					129,937	130,291	2.35%
Corporate bonds	27,127	2.85%	106,899	2.80%					134,026	136,724	2.81%
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 32,687	3.11%	\$ 563,034	2.02%	\$ 360,548	3.71%	\$ 233,276	2.21%	\$ 1,189,545	\$ 1,216,195	2.60%
Securities held-to-maturity:											
Mortgage-backed securities:											
Pass-through certificates:											
GSEs	\$		%\$		%\$		%\$ 869	5.36%	\$ 869	\$ 903	5.36%
REMICs:			%		%		% 5,351	3.78%	5,351	5,529	3.78%
Total securities held-to-maturity	\$		%\$		%\$		%\$ 6,220	4.00%	\$ 6,220	\$ 6,432	4.00%

Table of Contents**Sources of Funds**

General. Deposits traditionally have been our primary source of funds for our investment and lending activities. We also borrow from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York and other financial institutions to supplement cash flow needs, to lengthen the maturities of liabilities for interest rate risk management purposes, and to manage our cost of funds. Our additional sources of funds are the proceeds of loan sales, scheduled loan payments, maturing investments, loan prepayments, and retained income on other earning assets.

Deposits. We accept deposits primarily from the areas in which our offices are located. We rely on our convenient locations, customer service, and competitive products and pricing to attract and retain deposits. We offer a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms. Our deposit accounts consist of transaction accounts (NOW and non-interest bearing checking accounts), savings accounts (money market, passbook, and statement savings), and certificates of deposit, including individual retirement accounts. We accept brokered deposits (through the CDARS program) on a limited basis. At March 31, 2010, we had brokered certificates of deposit totaling \$136.7 million.

Interest rates offered generally are established weekly, while maturity terms, service fees, and withdrawal penalties are reviewed on a periodic basis. Deposit rates and terms are based primarily on current operating strategies and market interest rates, liquidity requirements, and our deposit growth goals.

At March 31, 2010, we had a total of \$626.2 million in certificates of deposit, of which \$525.5 million had remaining maturities of one year or less. Based on our experience and current pricing strategy, we believe we will retain a significant portion of these accounts at maturity.

The following tables set forth the distribution of our average total deposit accounts, by account type, for the periods indicated.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2010			2009		
	Average Balance	Percent	Weighted Average Rate (Dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	Percent	Weighted Average Rate
Non-interest bearing						
demand	\$ 109,640	8.21%	%	\$ 94,185	8.83%	%
NOW	65,038	4.87	1.55	45,629	4.28	1.31
Money market accounts	208,181	15.58	1.26	121,778	11.41	1.41
Savings	364,281	27.27	0.58	356,479	33.41	1.21
Certificates of deposit	588,675	44.07	1.74	448,761	42.07	3.00
Total deposits	\$ 1,335,815	100.00%	1.20%	\$ 1,066,832	100.00%	1.88%

	For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2009			2008		
	Average Balance	Percent	Weighted Average Rate (Dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	Percent	Weighted Average Rate
Non-interest bearing						
demand	\$ 99,950	8.50%	%	\$ 94,499	10.41%	%
NOW	51,336	4.36	1.48	63,512	7.00	1.97
Money market accounts	157,620	13.40	1.56	64,444	7.10	2.95
Savings	357,938	30.43	0.79	317,426	34.97	0.86

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Certificates of deposit	509,610	43.31	2.39	367,806	40.52	3.44
Total deposits	\$ 1,176,454	100.00%	1.55%	\$ 907,687	100.00%	2.04%

Table of Contents

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2007		
	Average Balance	Percent	Weighted Average Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Non-interest bearing demand NOW	\$ 96,796	9.57%	%
Money market accounts	46,436	4.60	1.93
Savings accounts	2,773	0.27	2.38
Certificates of deposit	401,003	39.64	0.65
	464,552	45.92	4.35
 Total deposits	 \$ 1,011,560	 100.00%	 2.35%

As of March 31, 2010, the aggregate amount of our outstanding certificates of deposit in amounts equal to \$100,000 or more was \$339.8 million. The following table sets forth the maturity of these certificates at March 31, 2010.

	At March 31, 2010 (In thousands)
Three months or less	\$ 189,950
Over three months through six months	92,494
Over six months through one year	27,072
Over one year to three years	8,610
Over three years	21,678
 Total	 \$ 339,804

Borrowings. Our borrowings consist primarily of securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements) with third party financial institutions, as well as advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, and the Federal Reserve Bank. As of March 31, 2010, our repurchase agreements totaled \$244.7 million, or 14.38% of total liabilities, our capitalized lease obligations totaled \$2.1 million, or 0.12% of total liabilities, and our Federal Home Loan Bank advances totaled \$46.3 million, or 2.72% of total liabilities. At March 31, 2010, we had the ability to borrow an additional \$200 million under our existing credit facilities with the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York. Repurchase agreements are primarily secured by mortgage-backed securities. Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York are secured by our investment in the common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York as well by pledged mortgage-backed securities.

The following table sets forth information concerning balances and interest rates on our borrowings at and for the periods indicated:

At or For the Three Months Ended March 31,		At or For the Years Ended December 31,		
2010	2009	2009	2008	2007
(Dollars in thousands)				

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 424B3

Balance at end of period	\$293,060	\$281,017	\$279,424	\$332,084	\$124,420
Average balance during period	\$311,798	\$304,513	\$297,365	\$277,227	\$127,926
Maximum outstanding at any month end	\$336,089	\$323,822	\$345,506	\$382,107	\$156,459
Weighted average interest rate at end of period	3.45%	3.67%	3.63%	3.70%	4.12%
Average interest rate during period	3.26%	3.68%	3.62%	3.51%	3.97%

Employees

As of March 31, 2010, we had 204 full-time employees and 33 part-time employees. Our employees are not represented by any collective bargaining group. Management believes that we have a good working relationship with our employees.

Table of Contents

Subsidiary Activities

Northfield-Federal owns 100% of Northfield Investment, Inc., an inactive New Jersey investment company, and 100% of Northfield Bank. Northfield Bank owns 100% of NSB Services Corp., a Delaware corporation, which in turn owns 100% of the voting common stock of NSB Realty Trust. NSB Realty Trust is a Maryland real estate investment trust that holds mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities and other investments. These entities enable us to segregate certain assets for management purposes, and promote our ability to raise regulatory capital in the future through the sale of preferred stock or other capital-enhancing securities or borrow against assets or stock of these entities for liquidity purposes. At March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank's investment in NSB Services Corp. was \$580.6 million, and NSB Services Corp. had assets of \$580.8 million and liabilities of \$141,000 at that date. At March 31, 2010, NSB Services Corp.'s investment in NSB Realty Trust was \$584.9 million, and NSB Realty Trust had \$584.9 million in assets, and liabilities of \$24,000 at that date. NSB Insurance Agency, Inc. is a New York corporation that receives nominal commissions from the sale of life insurance by employees of Northfield Bank.

Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, we may be party to various outstanding legal proceedings and claims. In the opinion of management, the consolidated financial statements will not be materially affected by the outcome of such legal proceedings and claims as of March 31, 2010.

Expense and Tax Allocation Agreements

Northfield Bank will enter into an agreement with Northfield-Delaware to provide it with certain administrative support services, whereby Northfield Bank will be compensated at not less than the fair market value of the services provided. In addition, Northfield Bank and Northfield-Delaware will enter into an agreement to establish a method for allocating and for reimbursing the payment of their consolidated tax liability.

Properties

We operate from our home office in Staten Island, New York, our operations center located at 581 Main Street, Woodbridge, New Jersey, and our additional 17 branch offices located in New York and New Jersey. Our branch offices are located in the New York Counties of Richmond, and Kings and the New Jersey Counties of Middlesex and Union. We also have a customer service center in Lawrenceville, Georgia related to insurance premium financing. The net book value of our premises, land, and equipment was \$13.1 million at March 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information with respect to our full-service banking offices and our loan centers, including the expiration date of leases with respect to leased facilities.

Avenel 1410 St. Georges Ave. Avenel, New Jersey 07001 3/31/2035	Bay Ridge 8512 Third Ave. Brooklyn, New York 11209 10/31/2025	Bay Street 385 Bay St. Staten Island, New York 10301 1/31/2027
Bulls Head 1497 Richmond Ave. Staten Island, New York 10314 3/31/2037	Castleton Corners (Northfield Bank main office) 1731 Victory Blvd. Staten Island, New York 10314	East Brunswick 755 State Highway 18 East Brunswick, New Jersey 08816 6/30/2013
Eltingville 4355 Amboy Rd. Staten Island, New York 10312 7/5/2018	Forest Avenue Shoppers Town 1481 Forest Ave. Staten Island, New York 10302 11/1/2016	Grasmere 1158 Hylan Boulevard Staten Island, New York 10305 1/31/28
Greenridge 3227 Richmond Ave. Staten Island, New York 10312 12/31/2015	Linden 501 N. Wood Ave. Linden, New Jersey 07036 3/1/2029	Milltown 336 Ryders Lane Milltown, New Jersey 08850 9/30/2040
Monroe Township 1600 Perrineville Rd. Monroe, New Jersey 08831 3/1/2024	New Dorp Shopping Center 2706 Hylan Blvd. Staten Island, New York 10306 9/30/2021	Pathmark Shopping Mall 1351 Forest Ave. Staten Island, New York 10302 10/21/2016
Pleasant Plains 6420 Amboy Rd. Staten Island, New York 10309 5/31/2032	Prince s Bay 5775 Amboy Rd. Staten Island, New York 10309	Rahway 1515 Irving St. Rahway, New Jersey 07065
West Brighton 519 Forest Ave. Staten Island, New York 10310 6/30/2055	Woodbridge (corporate headquarters) 581 Main St. Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 6/30/2018	Commercial Loan Center 8517 Fourth Ave., 2 nd Floor Brooklyn, New York 11209 9/30/2013
Premium Finance Loan Center 3169 Holcomb Bridge Road Norcross, Georgia 30071 4/30/2012		

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION**General**

Northfield Bank is examined and supervised by the Office of Thrift Supervision and is subject to examination by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which an institution may engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's deposit insurance fund and depositors. Under this system of federal regulation, financial

institutions are periodically examined to ensure that they satisfy applicable standards with respect to their capital adequacy, assets, management, earnings, liquidity and sensitivity to market interest

Table of Contents

rates. Following completion of its examination, the federal agency critiques the institution's operations and assigns its rating (known as an institution's CAMELS rating). Under federal law, an institution may not disclose its CAMELS rating to the public. Northfield Bank also is a member of and owns stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, which is one of the twelve regional banks in the Federal Home Loan Bank System. Northfield Bank is also regulated to a lesser extent by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, governing reserves to be maintained against deposits and other matters. The Office of Thrift Supervision examines Northfield Bank and prepares reports for the consideration of its board of directors on any operating deficiencies. Northfield Bank's relationship with its depositors and borrowers is also regulated to a great extent by federal law and, to a much lesser extent, state law, especially in matters concerning the ownership of deposit accounts and the form and content of Northfield Bank's mortgage documents.

Any change in these laws or regulations, whether by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of Thrift Supervision or Congress, could have a material adverse impact on Northfield-Delaware and Northfield Bank and their operations.

Under the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), the Office of Thrift Supervision's functions relating to federal savings associations, including rulemaking authority, are transferred to the Comptroller of the Currency within one year of the July 21, 2010 date of enactment of the new legislation, unless extended by up to six months by the Secretary of the Treasury. The thrift charter has been preserved and a new Deputy Comptroller of the Currency will supervise and examine federal savings associations and savings banks.

As a savings and loan holding company following the conversion, Northfield-Delaware will be required to comply with the rules and regulations of the Office of Thrift Supervision, and will be required to file certain reports with and will be subject to examination by the Office of Thrift Supervision. Northfield-Delaware will also be subject to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission under the federal securities laws. Moreover, under the Dodd-Frank Act, the functions of the Office of Thrift Supervision relating to savings and loan holding companies and their subsidiaries, as well as rulemaking and supervision authority over thrift holding companies, will be transferred to the Federal Reserve Board.

Set forth below is a brief description of certain regulatory requirements that are or will be applicable to Northfield-Delaware and Northfield Bank. The description below is limited to certain material aspects of the statutes and regulations addressed, and is not intended to be a complete description of such statutes and regulations and their effects on Northfield-Delaware and Northfield Bank.

New Federal Legislation

The recently enacted Dodd-Frank Act will significantly change the current bank regulatory structure and affect the lending, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act will eliminate our current primary federal regulator, the Office of Thrift Supervision, and will require Northfield Bank to be regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the primary federal regulator for national banks). The Dodd-Frank Act also authorizes the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System to supervise and regulate all savings and loan holding companies like Northfield-Delaware, in addition to bank holding companies which it currently regulates. As a result, the Federal Reserve Board's current regulations applicable to bank holding companies, including holding company capital requirements, will apply to savings and loan holding companies like Northfield-Delaware. These capital requirements are substantially similar to the capital requirements currently applicable to Northfield Bank, as described in Federal Banking Regulation Capital Requirements. The Dodd-Frank Act also requires the Federal Reserve Board to set minimum capital levels for bank holding companies that are as stringent as those required for the insured depository subsidiaries, and the components of Tier 1 capital would be restricted to capital instruments that are currently considered to be Tier 1 capital for insured depository institutions. Bank holding companies with assets of less than \$500 million are exempt from these capital requirements. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the proceeds of trust preferred securities are excluded from Tier 1 capital unless such securities were issued prior to May 19, 2010 by bank or savings and loan holding companies with less than \$15 billion of assets. The legislation also establishes a floor for capital of insured

Table of Contents

depository institutions that cannot be lower than the standards in effect today, and directs the federal banking regulators to implement new leverage and capital requirements within 18 months that take into account off-balance sheet activities and other risks, including risks relating to securitized products and derivatives.

The Dodd-Frank Act also creates a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions such as Northfield Bank, including the authority to prohibit unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. Banks and savings institutions with \$10 billion or less in assets will be examined by their applicable bank regulators. The new legislation also weakens the federal preemption available for national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general the ability to enforce applicable federal consumer protection laws.

The legislation also broadens the base for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance assessments. Assessments will now be based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increases the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2009, and non-interest bearing transaction accounts have unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2013. Lastly, the Dodd-Frank Act will increase stockholder influence over boards of directors by requiring companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called golden parachute payments, and authorizing the Securities and Exchange Commission to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate and solicit votes for their own candidates using a company's proxy materials. The legislation also directs the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded or not.

Federal Banking Regulation

Business Activities. A federal savings bank derives its lending and investment powers from the Home Owners Loan Act, as amended, and the regulations of the Office of Thrift Supervision. Under these laws and regulations, Northfield Bank may invest in mortgage loans secured by residential and nonresidential real estate, commercial business loans and consumer loans, certain types of debt securities and certain other assets, subject to applicable limits. Northfield Bank also may establish subsidiaries that may engage in activities not otherwise permissible for Northfield Bank, including real estate investment and securities and insurance brokerage.

Capital Requirements. Office of Thrift Supervision regulations require savings banks to meet three minimum capital standards: a 1.5% tangible capital ratio, a 4% leverage ratio (3% for savings banks receiving the highest rating on the CAMELS rating system) and an 8% risk-based capital ratio.

The risk-based capital standard for savings banks requires the maintenance of Tier 1 (core) and total capital (which is defined as core capital and supplementary capital) to risk-weighted assets of at least 4% and 8%, respectively. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off-balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100%, assigned by the Office of Thrift Supervision, based on the risks believed inherent in the type of asset. Core capital is defined as common stockholders' equity (including retained earnings), certain noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less intangibles other than certain mortgage servicing rights and credit card relationships. The components of supplementary capital currently include cumulative preferred stock, long-term perpetual preferred stock, mandatory convertible securities, subordinated debt and intermediate preferred stock, the allowance for loan and lease losses limited to a maximum of 1.25% of risk-weighted assets and up to 45% of net unrealized gains on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values. Overall, the amount of supplementary capital included as part of total capital cannot exceed 100% of core capital. Additionally, a savings bank that retains credit risk in connection with an asset sale may be required to maintain additional regulatory capital because of the purchaser's recourse to the savings bank. Northfield Bank does not typically engage in asset sales.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank's capital exceeded all applicable requirements.

Loans-to-One Borrower. Generally, a federal savings bank may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of 15% of unimpaired capital and surplus. An additional amount may be loaned, equal to 10% of unimpaired capital and surplus, if the loan is secured by readily marketable collateral, which generally does not include real estate. As of March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank was in compliance with the loans-to-one borrower limitations.

Qualified Thrift Lender Test. As a federal savings bank, Northfield Bank must satisfy the qualified thrift lender, or QTL, test. Under the QTL test, Northfield Bank must maintain at least 65% of its portfolio assets in qualified thrift investments in at least nine months of the most recent 12 months. Portfolio assets generally means total assets of a savings institution, less the sum of specified liquid assets up to 20% of total assets, goodwill and other intangible assets, and the value of property used in the conduct of the savings bank's business.

Qualified thrift investments include various types of loans made for residential and housing purposes, investments related to such purposes, including certain mortgage-backed and related securities, and loans for personal, family, household and certain other purposes up to a limit of 20% of portfolio assets. Qualified thrift investments also include 100% of an institution's credit card loans, education loans and small business loans. Northfield Bank also may satisfy the QTL test by qualifying as a domestic building and loan association as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.

A savings bank that fails the qualified thrift lender test must either convert to a bank charter or operate under specified restrictions. At March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank held 75.1% of its portfolio assets in qualified thrift investments, and satisfied this test.

Capital Distributions. Office of Thrift Supervision regulations govern capital distributions by a federal savings bank, which include cash dividends, stock repurchases and other transactions charged to the capital account. A savings bank must file an application for approval of a capital distribution if:

the total capital distributions for the applicable calendar year exceed the sum of the savings bank's net income for that year to date plus the savings bank's retained net income for the preceding two years;

the savings bank would not be at least adequately capitalized following the distribution;

the distribution would violate any applicable statute, regulation, agreement or Office of Thrift Supervision-imposed condition; or

the savings bank is not eligible for expedited treatment of its filings.

Even if an application is not otherwise required, every savings bank that is a subsidiary of a holding company must still file a notice with the Office of Thrift Supervision at least 30 days before the board of directors declares a dividend or approves a capital distribution.

The Office of Thrift Supervision may disapprove a notice or application if:

the savings bank would be undercapitalized following the distribution;

the proposed capital distribution raises safety and soundness concerns; or

the capital distribution would violate a prohibition contained in any statute, regulation or agreement.

Table of Contents

In addition, the Federal Deposit Insurance Act provides that an insured depository institution may not make any capital distribution, if after making such distribution the institution would be undercapitalized.

Liquidity. A federal savings bank is required to maintain a sufficient amount of liquid assets to ensure its safe and sound operation.

Community Reinvestment Act and Fair Lending Laws. All savings banks have a responsibility under the Community Reinvestment Act and related regulations of the Office of Thrift Supervision to help meet the credit needs of their communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. In connection with its examination of a federal savings bank, the Office of Thrift Supervision is required to assess the association's record of compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. In addition, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act prohibit lenders from discriminating in their lending practices on the basis of characteristics specified in those statutes. An association's failure to comply with the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act could, at a minimum, result in denial of certain corporate applications such as branches or mergers, or in restrictions on its activities. The failure to comply with the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act could result in enforcement actions by the Office of Thrift Supervision, as well as other federal regulatory agencies and the Department of Justice. Northfield Bank received a Satisfactory Community Reinvestment Act rating in its most recent federal examination. However, the Office of Thrift Supervision is currently conducting a regularly scheduled examination to determine our compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. We have not received the results of the examination, and there is a possibility that we may not receive a rating of Satisfactory or better.

Transactions with Related Parties. A federal savings bank's authority to engage in transactions with its affiliates is limited by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations and by Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and its implementing Regulation W. An affiliate is a company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with an insured depository institution such as Northfield Bank. Northfield-Delaware will be an affiliate of Northfield Bank. In general, loan transactions between an insured depository institution and its affiliate are subject to certain quantitative and collateral requirements. In this regard, transactions between an insured depository institution and its affiliate are limited to 10% of the institution's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus for transactions with any one affiliate and 20% of unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus for transactions in the aggregate with all affiliates. Collateral in specified amounts ranging from 100% to 130% of the amount of the transaction must usually be provided by affiliates in order to receive loans from the association. In addition, Office of Thrift Supervision regulations prohibit a savings bank from lending to any of its affiliates that are engaged in activities that are not permissible for bank holding companies and from purchasing the securities of any affiliate, other than a subsidiary. Finally, transactions with affiliates must be consistent with safe and sound banking practices, not involve low-quality assets and be on terms that are as favorable to the institution as comparable transactions with non-affiliates. The Office of Thrift Supervision requires savings banks to maintain detailed records of all transactions with affiliates.

Northfield Bank's authority to extend credit to its directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders, as well as to entities controlled by such persons, is currently governed by the requirements of Sections 22(g) and 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation O of the Federal Reserve Board. Among other things, these provisions require that extensions of credit to insiders (i) be made on terms that are substantially the same as, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing for comparable transactions with unaffiliated persons and that do not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features, and (ii) not exceed certain limitations on the amount of credit extended to such persons, individually and in the aggregate, which limits are based, in part, on the amount of Northfield Bank's capital. In addition, extensions of credit in excess of certain limits must be approved by Northfield Bank's board of directors.

Enforcement. The Office of Thrift Supervision has primary enforcement responsibility over federal savings institutions and has the authority to bring enforcement action against all institution-affiliated parties, including stockholders, and attorneys, appraisers and accountants who knowingly or recklessly participate in wrongful action likely to have an adverse effect on an insured institution. Formal enforcement action by the Office of Thrift Supervision may range from the issuance of a capital directive or cease and desist order, to removal of officers and/or directors of the institution and the appointment of a receiver or conservator. Civil penalties cover a

Table of Contents

wide range of violations and actions, and range up to \$25,000 per day, unless a finding of reckless disregard is made, in which case penalties may be as high as \$1 million per day. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation also has the authority to terminate deposit insurance or to recommend to the Director of the Office of Thrift Supervision that enforcement action be taken with respect to a particular savings institution. If action is not taken by the Director, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has authority to take action under specified circumstances.

Standards for Safety and Soundness. Federal law requires each federal banking agency to prescribe certain standards for all insured depository institutions. These standards relate to, among other things, internal controls, information systems and audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, compensation, and other operational and managerial standards as the agency deems appropriate. The federal banking agencies adopted Interagency Guidelines Prescribing Standards for Safety and Soundness to implement the safety and soundness standards required under federal law. The guidelines set forth the safety and soundness standards that the federal banking agencies use to identify and address problems at insured depository institutions before capital becomes impaired. The guidelines address internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, credit underwriting, loan documentation, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, compensation, fees and benefits. If the appropriate federal banking agency determines that an institution fails to meet any standard prescribed by the guidelines, the agency may require the institution to submit to the agency an acceptable plan to achieve compliance with the standard. If an institution fails to meet these standards, the appropriate federal banking agency may require the institution to submit a compliance plan.

Prompt Corrective Action Regulations. Under the prompt corrective action regulations, the Office of Thrift Supervision is required and authorized to take supervisory actions against undercapitalized savings banks. For this purpose, a savings bank is placed in one of the following five categories based on the savings bank's capital:

well-capitalized (at least 5% leverage capital, 6% Tier 1 risk-based capital and 10% total risk-based capital);

adequately capitalized (at least 4% leverage capital (3% for savings banks with a composite examination rating of 1), 4% Tier 1 risk-based capital and 8% total risk-based capital);

undercapitalized (less than 4% leverage capital (3% for savings banks with a composite examination rating of 1), 4% Tier 1 risk-based capital or 3% leverage capital);

significantly undercapitalized (less than 6% total risk-based capital, 3% Tier 1 risk-based capital or 3% leverage capital); or

critically undercapitalized (less than 2% tangible capital).

Generally, the Office of Thrift Supervision is required to appoint a receiver or conservator for a savings bank that is critically undercapitalized within specific time frames. The regulations also provide that a capital restoration plan must be filed with the Office of Thrift Supervision within 45 days of the date a savings bank receives notice that it is undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized or critically undercapitalized. The criteria for an acceptable capital restoration plan include, among other things, the establishment of the methodology and assumptions for attaining adequately capitalized status on an annual basis, procedures for ensuring compliance with restrictions imposed by applicable federal regulations, the identification of the types and levels of activities in which the savings bank will engage while the capital restoration plan is in effect, and assurances that the capital restoration plan will not appreciably increase the current risk profile of the savings bank. Any holding company for the savings bank required to submit a capital restoration plan must guarantee the lesser of: an amount equal to 5% of a savings bank's assets at the time it was notified or deemed to be undercapitalized by the Office of Thrift Supervision, or the amount necessary to restore the savings bank to adequately capitalized status. This guarantee remains in place until the Office of Thrift Supervision notifies the savings bank that it has maintained adequately capitalized status for each of four consecutive calendar quarters, and the Office of Thrift Supervision has the authority to require payment and collect payment under the guarantee. Failure by a holding company to provide the required guarantee will result in certain operating restrictions on the savings bank, such as restrictions on the ability

Table of Contents

to declare and pay dividends, pay executive compensation and management fees, and increase assets or expand operations. The Office of Thrift Supervision may also take any one of a number of discretionary supervisory actions against undercapitalized savings banks, including the issuance of a capital directive and the replacement of senior executive officers and directors.

At March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank met the criteria for being considered well-capitalized.

Insurance of Deposit Accounts. The Dodd-Frank Act permanently increased the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2009. Non-interest bearing transaction accounts have unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2013.

Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 (the Reform Act), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation is authorized to set the reserve ratio for the Deposit Insurance Fund annually at between 1.15% and 1.5% of estimated insured deposits. The Dodd-Frank Act mandates that the statutory minimum reserve ratio of the Deposit Insurance Fund increase from 1.15% to 1.35% of insured deposits by September 30, 2020. Banks with assets of less than \$10 billion are exempt from any additional assessments necessary to increase the reserve fund above 1.15%.

As part of a plan to restore the reserve ratio to 1.15%, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation imposed a special assessment on all insured institutions equal to five basis points of assets less Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009, which was payable on September 30, 2009. In addition, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has increased its quarterly deposit insurance assessment rates and amended the method by which rates are calculated. Beginning in the second quarter of 2009, institutions are assigned an initial base assessment rate ranging from 12 to 45 basis points of deposits depending on risk category. The initial base assessment is then adjusted based upon the level of unsecured debt, secured liabilities and brokered deposits, to establish a total base assessment rate ranging from seven to 77.5 basis points.

On November 12, 2009, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation approved a final rule requiring insured depository institutions to prepay on December 30, 2009, their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011, and 2012. Estimated assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010 are based upon the assessment rate in effect on September 30, 2009, with three basis points added for the 2011 and 2012 assessment rates. In addition, a 5% annual growth in the assessment base is assumed. Prepaid assessments are to be applied against the actual quarterly assessments until exhausted, and may not be applied to any special assessments that may occur in the future. Any unused prepayments will be returned to the institution on June 30, 2013. On December 30, 2009, we prepaid \$5.7 million in estimated assessment fees for the fourth quarter of 2009 through 2012. Because the prepaid assessments represent the prepayment of future expense, they do not affect our regulatory capital (the prepaid asset will have a risk-weighting of 0%) or tax obligations.

Insurance of deposits may be terminated by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation upon a finding that an institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. We do not believe we are taking or are subject to any action, condition or violation that could lead to termination of our deposit insurance.

In addition to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation assessments, the Financing Corporation (FICO) is authorized to impose and collect, with the approval of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, assessments for anticipated payments, issuance costs and custodial fees on bonds issued by the FICO in the 1980s to recapitalize the former Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation. The bonds issued by the FICO are due to mature in 2017 through 2019. For the quarter ended March 31, 2010, the annualized FICO assessment was equal to 1.06 basis points for each \$100 in domestic deposits maintained at an institution.

Prohibitions Against Tying Arrangements. Federal savings banks are prohibited, subject to some exceptions, from extending credit to or offering any other service, or fixing or varying the consideration for such

Table of Contents

extension of credit or service, on the condition that the customer obtain some additional service from the institution or its affiliates or not obtain services of a competitor of the institution.

Federal Home Loan Bank System. Northfield Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, which consists of 12 regional Federal Home Loan Banks. The Federal Home Loan Bank System provides a central credit facility primarily for member institutions as well as other entities involved in home mortgage lending. As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, Northfield Bank is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank. As of March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank was in compliance with this requirement.

Federal Reserve System

Federal Reserve Board regulations require savings banks to maintain noninterest-earning reserves against their transaction accounts, such as negotiable order of withdrawal and regular checking accounts. At March 31, 2010, Northfield Bank was in compliance with these reserve requirements.

Other Regulations

Interest and other charges collected or contracted for by Northfield Bank are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates. Northfield Bank's operations are also subject to federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as the:

Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;

Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibited factors in extending credit;

Fair Credit Reporting Act, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies;

Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies;

Truth in Savings Act; and

Rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

The operations of Northfield Bank also are subject to the:

Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records;

Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E promulgated thereunder, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services;

Table of Contents

Check Clearing for the 21st Century Act (also known as Check 21), which gives substitute checks, such as digital check images and copies made from that image, the same legal standing as the original paper check;

The USA PATRIOT Act, which requires savings banks to, among other things, establish broadened anti-money laundering compliance programs, and due diligence policies and controls to ensure the detection and reporting of money laundering. Such required compliance programs are intended to supplement existing compliance requirements that also apply to financial institutions under the Bank Secrecy Act and the Office of Foreign Assets Control regulations; and

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which places limitations on the sharing of consumer financial information by financial institutions with unaffiliated third parties. Specifically, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act requires all financial institutions offering financial products or services to retail customers to provide such customers with the financial institution's privacy policy and provide such customers the opportunity to opt out of the sharing of certain personal financial information with unaffiliated third parties.

Holding Company Regulation

Upon completion of the conversion, Northfield-Delaware will be a unitary savings and loan holding company, subject to regulation and supervision by the Office of Thrift Supervision. The Office of Thrift Supervision will have enforcement authority over Northfield-Delaware and its non-savings institution subsidiaries. Among other things, this authority permits the Office of Thrift Supervision to restrict or prohibit activities that are determined to be a risk to Northfield Bank. However, under the Dodd-Frank Act, the functions of the Office of Thrift Supervision relating to savings and loan holding companies and their subsidiaries, as well as rulemaking and supervision authority over thrift holding companies, will be transferred to the Federal Reserve Board no later than one year from the effective date of the legislation, subject to extension of up to six months if requested by the Secretary of the Treasury.

Northfield-Delaware's activities are limited to those activities permissible for financial holding companies or for multiple savings and loan holding companies. A financial holding company may engage in activities that are financial in nature, including underwriting equity securities and insurance, incidental to financial activities or complementary to a financial activity. A multiple savings and loan holding company is generally limited to activities permissible for bank holding companies under Section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act, subject to the prior approval of the Office of Thrift Supervision, and certain additional activities authorized by Office of Thrift Supervision regulations.

Federal law prohibits a savings and loan holding company, directly or indirectly, or through one or more subsidiaries, from acquiring control of another savings institution or holding company thereof, without prior written approval of the Office of Thrift Supervision. It also prohibits the acquisition or retention of, with specified exceptions, more than 5% of the equity securities of a company engaged in activities that are not closely related to banking or financial in nature or acquiring or retaining control of an institution that is not federally insured. In evaluating applications by holding companies to acquire savings institutions, the Office of Thrift Supervision must consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the savings institution involved, the effect of the acquisition on the risk to the insurance fund, the convenience and needs of the community and competitive factors.

Federal Securities Laws

Northfield-Delaware common stock will be registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission after the conversion and stock offering. Northfield-Delaware will be subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Table of Contents

The registration under the Securities Act of 1933 of shares of common stock issued in Northfield-Delaware's public offering does not cover the resale of those shares. Shares of common stock purchased by persons who are not affiliates of Northfield-Delaware may be resold without registration. Shares purchased by an affiliate of Northfield-Delaware will be subject to the resale restrictions of Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933. If Northfield-Delaware meets the current public information requirements of Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, each affiliate of Northfield-Delaware that complies with the other conditions of Rule 144, including those that require the affiliate's sale to be aggregated with those of other persons, would be able to sell in the public market, without registration, a number of shares not to exceed, in any three-month period, the greater of 1% of the outstanding shares of Northfield-Delaware, or the average weekly volume of trading in the shares during the preceding four calendar weeks. In the future, Northfield-Delaware may permit affiliates to have their shares registered for sale under the Securities Act of 1933.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 addresses, among other issues, corporate governance, auditing and accounting, executive compensation, and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. As directed by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer will be required to certify that our quarterly and annual reports do not contain any untrue statement of a material fact. The rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act have several requirements, including having these officers certify that: they are responsible for establishing, maintaining and regularly evaluating the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting; they have made certain disclosures to our auditors and the audit committee of the board of directors about our internal control over financial reporting; and they have included information in our quarterly and annual reports about their evaluation and whether there have been changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that could materially affect internal control over financial reporting. We have existing policies, procedures and systems designed to comply with these regulations, and we are further enhancing and documenting such policies, procedures and systems to ensure continued compliance with these regulations.

TAXATION**Federal Taxation**

General. Northfield Bancorp, MHC, Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bank are, and Northfield-Delaware will be, subject to federal income taxation in the same general manner as other corporations, with some exceptions discussed below. The following discussion of federal taxation is intended only to summarize certain pertinent federal income tax matters and is not a comprehensive description of the tax rules applicable to Northfield-Federal, Northfield-Delaware or Northfield Bank.

Northfield Bancorp, MHC, Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bank are not currently under audit with respect to their federal income tax returns and their federal income tax returns have not been audited for the past five years.

Method of Accounting. For federal income tax purposes, Northfield-Federal currently reports its income and expenses on the accrual method of accounting and uses a tax year ending December 31 for filing its federal and state income tax returns.

Bad Debt Reserves. Historically, Northfield Bank was subject to special provisions in the tax law applicable to qualifying savings banks regarding allowable tax bad debt deductions and related reserves. Tax law changes were enacted in 1996 that eliminated the ability of savings banks to use the percentage of taxable income method for computing tax bad debt reserves for tax years after 1995, and required recapture into taxable income over a six-year period of all bad debt reserves accumulated after a savings bank's last tax year beginning before January 1, 1988. Northfield Bank recaptured its post December 31, 1987, bad-debt reserve balance over the six-year period ended December 31, 2004.

Table of Contents

Northfield-Federal is required to use the specific charge off method to account for tax bad debt deductions.

Taxable Distributions and Recapture. Prior to 1996, bad debt reserves created prior to 1988 were subject to recapture into taxable income if Northfield Bank failed to meet certain thrift asset and definitional tests or made certain distributions. Tax law changes in 1996 eliminated thrift-related recapture rules. However, under current law, pre-1988 tax bad debt reserves remain subject to recapture if Northfield Bank makes certain non-dividend distributions, repurchases any of its common stock, pays dividends in excess of earnings and profits, or fails to qualify as a bank for tax purposes.

At December 31, 2009, the total federal pre-base year bad debt reserve of Northfield Bank was approximately \$5.9 million.

Alternative Minimum Tax. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, imposes an alternative minimum tax at a rate of 20% on a base of regular taxable income plus certain tax preferences, less any available exemption. The alternative minimum tax is imposed to the extent it exceeds the regular income tax. Net operating losses can offset no more than 90% of alternative taxable income. Certain payments of alternative minimum tax may be used as credits against regular tax liabilities in future years. Northfield-Federal's consolidated group has not been subject to the alternative minimum tax and has no such amounts available as credits for carryover.

Net Operating Loss Carryovers. A financial institution may carry back net operating losses to the preceding two taxable years and forward to the succeeding 20 taxable years. However, as a result of recent legislation, subject to certain limitations, the carryback period for net operating losses incurred in 2008 or 2009 (but not both years) has been expanded to five years. At December 31, 2009, Northfield Bancorp Inc.'s consolidated group had no net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes.

Corporate Dividends-Received Deduction. Northfield-Federal may exclude from its federal taxable income 100% of dividends received from Northfield Bank as a wholly-owned subsidiary by filing consolidated tax returns. The corporate dividends-received deduction is 80% when the corporation receiving the dividend owns at least 20% of the stock of the distributing corporation. The dividends-received deduction is 70% when the corporation receiving the dividend owns less than 20% of the distributing corporation.

State Taxation

Northfield Bancorp, MHC and Northfield Bank report income on a calendar year basis to New York State. New York State franchise tax on corporations is imposed in an amount equal to the greater of (a) 7.1% (for 2007 and forward) of entire net income allocable to New York State, (b) 3% of alternative entire net income allocable to New York State, or (c) 0.01% of the average value of assets allocable to New York State plus nominal minimum tax of \$250 per company. Entire net income is based on federal taxable income, subject to certain modifications. Alternative entire net income is equal to entire net income without certain modifications.

Northfield Bancorp, MHC and Northfield Bank report income on a calendar year basis to New York City. New York City franchise tax on corporations is imposed in an amount equal to the greater of (a) 9.0% of entire net income allocable to New York State, (b) 3% of alternative entire net income allocable to New York City, or (c) 0.01% of the average value of assets allocable to New York City plus nominal minimum tax of \$250 per company. Entire net income is based on federal taxable income, subject to certain modifications. Alternative entire net income is equal to entire net income without certain modifications.

Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bank file New Jersey Corporation Business Tax returns on a calendar year basis. Generally, the income derived from New Jersey sources is subject to New Jersey tax. Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bank pay the greater of the corporate business tax at 9% of taxable income or the minimum tax of \$1,200 per entity.

At December 31, 2005, Northfield Bank did not meet the definition of a domestic building and loan association for New York State and City tax purposes. As a result, we were required to recognize a \$2.2 million

Table of Contents

deferred tax liability for state and city thrift-related base-year bad debt reserves accumulated after December 31, 1987.

Our state tax returns are not currently under audit or have not been subject to an audit during the past five years, except as follows. Our New York state tax returns for the years ended December 31, 2000, through December 31, 2006, were subject to an audit by the State of New York with respect to our operation of NSB Services Corp. as a Delaware corporation not subject to New York State taxation. In 2007, we concluded the audit by the State of New York with respect to our combined state tax returns for years 2000 through 2006.

As a Delaware business corporation, Northfield-Delaware will be required to file an annual report with and pay franchise taxes to the state of Delaware.

MANAGEMENT**Shared Management Structure**

The directors of Northfield-Delaware are the same persons who are the directors of Northfield Bank. In addition, each executive officer of Northfield-Delaware is also an executive officer of Northfield Bank. We expect that Northfield-Delaware and Northfield Bank will continue to have common executive officers until there is a business reason to establish separate management structures.

Executive Officers of Northfield-Delaware and Northfield Bank

The following table sets forth information regarding the executive officers of Northfield-Delaware and Northfield Bank. Age information is as of December 31, 2009. The executive officers of Northfield-Delaware and Northfield Bank are elected annually.

Name	Age	Position
John W. Alexander	60	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
Kenneth J. Doherty	52	Executive Vice President, Chief Lending Officer
Madeline G. Frank	65	Senior Vice President, Corporate Secretary
Steven M. Klein	44	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
Michael J. Widmer	50	Executive Vice President, Operations

The business experience for the past five years of each of our executive officers other than Mr. Alexander is set forth below. Unless otherwise indicated, executive officers have held their positions for at least the past five years.

Kenneth J. Doherty joined Northfield Bank in 1988, and currently serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer. Previously, Mr. Doherty worked with the Federal Home Loan Bank Board (the predecessor to the Office of Thrift Supervision).

Madeline G. Frank joined Northfield Bank in 1983 and has served as Director of Human Resources of Northfield Bank since that time. Ms. Frank also serves as Corporate Secretary for Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bank.

Steven M. Klein joined Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bank in March 2005 as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Klein was an audit partner in the community banking practice of KPMG LLP from September 2003 to March 2005, and was employed by KPMG LLP beginning in 1986. Mr. Klein is a licensed certified public accountant in the State of New Jersey, and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Michael J. Widmer joined Northfield Bank in 2002 and currently serves as Executive Vice President, Operations. Mr. Widmer served as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and as a Director, of

Table of Contents

Liberty Bancorp, Inc. and Liberty Bank, located in Avenel, New Jersey, until they were acquired by Northfield Bancorp, Inc. and Northfield Bank, respectively, in 2002.

Directors of Northfield-Delaware and Northfield Bank

Northfield-Delaware has nine directors. Directors serve three-year staggered terms so that approximately one-third of the directors are elected at each annual meeting. Directors of Northfield Bank will be elected by Northfield-Delaware as its sole stockholder.

The following details include for each of our directors: their age as of December 31, 2009; the year in which they first became a director; the year that their term expires; and their business experience for at least the past five years. With the exception of Ms. Catino, none of the directors listed below currently serves as a director, or served as a director during the past five years, of a publicly-held entity (other than Northfield-Federal). Ms. Catino serves on the board of directors of Middlesex Water Company, which is traded on the Nasdaq Stock Market, LLC under the symbol MSEX. The following also includes the particular experience, qualifications, attributes, or skills, considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee that led the Board to conclude that such person should serve as a director of Northfield-Federal. The mailing address for each person listed is 581 Main Street, Suite 810, Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095. Each of the persons listed as a director is also a trustee of Northfield Bancorp, MHC and a director of Northfield Bank.

Table of Contents

Name, Age, Director Since, Term Expiration	Experience, Qualifications, Attributes, Skills
John W. Alexander, 60, director since 1997, term expires 2011	<p><i>Business Experience:</i> Mr. Alexander joined Northfield Bank in 1997, and has served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since 1998 and Chairman of the Board of Northfield-Federal since 2002. Mr. Alexander was also named President of Northfield Bank and Northfield-Federal in October 2006.</p> <p><i>Reasons why this person should serve as a director:</i> Mr. Alexander is a former tax partner with a national accounting and auditing firm, specializing in financial institution taxation and asset securitization. Mr. Alexander is a registered certified public accountant, with strong analytical and leadership skills. Mr. Alexander resides in Staten Island, New York, and is involved in local professional and community organizations including the Staten Island University Hospital, the Staten Island Economic Development Corporation, and the Northfield Bank Foundation.</p>
John R. Bowen, 69, director since 2003, term expires 2013	<p><i>Business and Other Experience:</i> Mr. Bowen has over 35 years of experience in all aspects of community banking, and retired as the Chief Executive Officer of Liberty Bank, in 2002.</p> <p><i>Reasons why this person should serve as a director:</i> Mr. Bowen has extensive knowledge of banking regulation and internal control, and has strong risk assessment and leadership skills. Mr. Bowen also has extensive experience in loan origination and monitoring. Mr. Bowen is a resident of New Jersey and is involved in local professional and community organizations including the Gateway Regional Chamber of Commerce, and as director of the Northfield Bank Foundation.</p>
Annette Catino, 53, director since 2003, term expires 2011	<p><i>Business Experience:</i> Since 1991 Ms. Catino has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of QualCare, Inc., Piscataway, New Jersey, a privately held company which is a managed care organization.</p> <p><i>Reasons why this person should serve as a director:</i> Ms. Catino has over 25 years of business experience in the healthcare industry. Ms. Catino has strong analytical and leadership skills and has extensive experience with healthcare, municipal, and state governmental entities. Ms. Catino also has the requisite qualifications to be designated as an audit committee financial expert under the SEC's rules and regulations. Ms. Catino is a resident of New Jersey and is involved in local professional and community organizations including the Boards of Caucus Educational Corporation, the Val Skinner Foundation, and the Meridian Healthcare Perspective. She most recently served on Governor Christie's transition committee on healthcare and was named by New Jersey Business as one of the top 50 most influential people in healthcare. In 2009, she received Monmouth University's Distinguished Business Leadership Award for her civic and business leadership.</p>

Table of Contents

**Name, Age,
Director Since,
Term Expiration**

Experience, Qualifications, Attributes, Skills

Gil Chapman, 56,
director since 2005,
term expires 2013

Business Experience: Mr. Chapman has over 25 years of business experience, most recently owning and operating an automobile dealership in Staten Island, New York, which was sold in 2008.

Reasons why this person should serve as a director: Mr. Chapman has strong marketing, sales, and customer service assessment skills. Mr. Chapman also has significant experience in employee development and training. Mr. Chapman is a resident of New Jersey, and is involved in local professional and community organizations including the National Association of Corporate Directors and, as a former Staten Island businessman, the Staten Island Economic Development Corporation, and the Staten Island Urban League.

John P. Connors, Jr.,
53, director since 2002,
term expires 2011

Business Experience: Mr. Connors is the managing partner of the law firm of Connors & Connors, P.C., located in Staten Island, New York.

Reasons why this person should serve as a director: Mr. Connors has over 25 years of business experience as a practicing lawyer. Mr. Connors is admitted to practice in the state and federal courts of the States of New York and New Jersey and the District of Columbia. Mr. Connors has strong risk management skills, and in-depth knowledge of contract and professional liability law related to key areas of our operations. Mr. Connors also has knowledge of and relationships with many of the residents and businesses located in Staten Island, New York. Mr. Connors is a resident of Staten Island, and is involved in local professional and community organizations including the Richmond County Bar Association, Notre Dame Academy, The Heart Institute, and the Northfield Bank Foundation.

John J. DePierro, 69,
director since 1984,
term expires 2013

Business Experience: Mr. DePierro has over 45 years of business experience in the healthcare industry. Mr. DePierro is currently a consultant to the healthcare industry and is a retired Chief Executive Officer of a major Staten Island health care system.

Reasons why this person should serve as a director: Mr. DePierro has strong leadership skills, and extensive knowledge of corporate governance, as well as knowledge of and relationships with many of the residents and businesses located in Staten Island, New York. Mr. DePierro is a resident of Staten Island, New York, and is involved in local professional and community organizations including directorships at the Seton Foundation for Learning, Mount Manresa Jesuit Retreat House, and the Northfield Bank Foundation.

Table of Contents

**Name, Age,
Director Since,
Term Expiration**

Experience, Qualifications, Attributes, Skills

Susan Lamberti, 67,
director since 2001,
term expires 2012

Business Experience: Ms. Lamberti was an educator with the New York City public schools until her retirement in 2002.

Reasons why this person should serve as a director: Ms. Lamberti has over 30 years of experience in the New York City Public School system. Ms. Lamberti has strong training and development skills, and has extensive knowledge of and relationships with many residents and businesses located in Staten Island, New York. Ms. Lamberti is a resident of Staten Island, and is involved in local professional and community organizations including the National Association of Corporate Directors, Sisters of Charity Housing Development Fund Corporation, Service Auxiliary of Staten Island University Hospital, and the Northfield Bank Foundation.

Albert J. Regen, 72,
director since 1990,
term expires 2012

Business Experience: Mr. Regen served as the President of Northfield Bank from 1990 until his retirement in September 2006.

Reasons why this person should serve as a director: Mr. Regen has over 30 years of experience in community banking. Mr. Regen has extensive knowledge in the treasury area as well as interest rate risk management. Mr. Regen is currently a resident of New Jersey and is a director of Northfield Bank Foundation. Mr. Regen was formerly a resident of Staten Island, New York and has extensive knowledge of and relationships with many of the residents and businesses located in Staten Island, New York.

Patrick E. Scura, Jr.,
65, director since 2006,
term expires 2012

Business Experience: Mr. Scura was an audit partner at KPMG LLP for 27 years, until his retirement in 2005.

Reasons why this person should serve as a director: Mr. Scura is a former audit partner with a national accounting and auditing firm, specializing in community banking. Mr. Scura has over 35 years of experience auditing public company financial institutions in New Jersey. Mr. Scura is a licensed certified public accountant, and has strong risk assessment, financial reporting, and internal control expertise. Mr. Scura also has extensive knowledge of and relationships with community banks in our market area. Mr. Scura has the requisite qualifications to be designated as an audit committee financial expert under the SEC's rules and regulations. Mr. Scura resides in New Jersey, and is involved in local professional and community organizations including St. Peter's College, and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Board Independence

The Board of Directors affirmatively determines the independence of each director in accordance with Nasdaq Stock Market rules, which include all elements of independence as set forth in the listing requirements for Nasdaq securities. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the following non-employee Directors is independent of Northfield-Federal:

John R. Bowen
Annette Catino
Gil Chapman
John J. DePierro

Susan Lamberti
Albert J. Regen
Patrick E. Scura, Jr.

Table of Contents

Codes of Conduct and Ethics

Northfield-Federal has adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that is applicable to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and controller. The Code of Conduct and Ethics for Senior Financial Officers is available on our website at www.eNorthfield.com. Amendments to and waivers of the Code of Conduct and Ethics for Senior Financial Officers will be disclosed in the manner required by applicable law, rule, or listing standard.

Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bank have also adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics that is applicable to all employees, officers and directors, which is available on our website at www.eNorthfield.com. Employees, officers, and directors acknowledge annually that they will comply with all aspects of the Code of Conduct and Ethics for Employees, Officers, and Directors.

Transactions With Certain Related Persons

Loans and Extensions of Credit. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 generally prohibits us from making loans to our executive officers and directors, but it contains a specific exemption from such prohibition for loans made by Northfield Bank to our executive officers and directors in compliance with federal banking regulations.

The aggregate amount of our outstanding loans to our executive officers and directors and their related entities was approximately \$800,000 at December 31, 2009. All of such loans were made in the ordinary course of business, were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans with persons not related to Northfield Bank, and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features. These loans were performing according to their original terms at December 31, 2009, and were made in compliance with federal banking regulations.

Other Transactions. Stanley A. Applebaum, who retired from the Board May 27, 2009, and John P. Connors, Jr. are practicing attorneys who perform legal work directly for or on behalf of Northfield Bank. During the year ended December 31, 2009, Mr. Applebaum and Mr. Connors received fees, either from Northfield Bank, or directly from our customers, in connection with transactions with Northfield Bank, in the amounts of approximately \$140,000 and \$31,400, respectively. The Board of Directors authorizes the appointment of Mr. Applebaum and Mr. Connors each year, and the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors reviews a summary of the services performed and the total fees paid for services on an annual basis. All transactions with Mr. Applebaum and Mr. Connors are in the ordinary course of business, and the terms and fees are considered to be consistent with those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons.

Director Compensation

Every three years, director compensation is reviewed in detail by the Compensation Committee, in consultation with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Compensation Committee considers, among other things, our size and complexity, as well as the responsibilities, marketplace availability of necessary skill sets, and the time commitment necessary for the Board, its committees, and its committee chairs, to adequately discharge their oversight role and responsibilities. The Compensation Committee utilizes the assistance of a third-party compensation consultant, Pearl Meyer & Partners (PM&P), and available peer and survey data, regarding director compensation at other comparable financial institutions, as part of this process. For interim years between detailed reviews, the Compensation Committee reviews current market conditions and trends in director compensation in consultation with its third-party compensation consultant. The Compensation Committee is scheduled to perform its detailed review of director (and executive) compensation in 2010.

In December 2008, stockholders approved the Northfield-Federal 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. The objective of equity awards is to further align the interests of our employees and directors with those of other stockholders and reward sustained performance. In January 2009 the Compensation Committee granted equity awards to each director, consisting of 27,750 shares of restricted common stock, and 69,300 options to purchase shares of common stock at a price of \$9.94 per share, representing the closing price of our common stock on the

Table of Contents

grant date. The equity awards vest in equal installments over a five-year period, commencing one year from the date of the grant.

Prior to November 2007, we were a mutual organization and did not have equity compensation available to employees or directors. The Compensation Committee's objectives in granting equity awards in January 2009 included further aligning the interests of directors with those of our other stockholders, consistent with comparable peers that recently completed initial public offerings, and with organizations that were established stock companies. The Compensation Committee consulted with PM&P during this process.

The following table sets forth the Director and committee fee structure for the Board and its standing committees (all of which were due and payable in cash) for the year ended December 31, 2009. Directors who are also our employees receive no additional compensation for service as a director. Attendance fees, and one-fourth of any annual retainer, are paid on a quarterly basis, in arrears, unless a director elects to have such fees or a portion thereof, deferred under our non-qualified deferred compensation plan, described below.

	Board of Directors	Nominating and Corporate Governance	Compensation Committee	Audit Committee
Annual Retainer	\$30,000			
Annual Retainer-Chair		\$ 3,000	\$ 4,000	\$6,000
Per Meeting Attendance Fee	\$ 1,250	\$ 850	\$ 850	\$1,250

All other committees of the Board receive, in cash, an \$850 per meeting attendance fee and an annual committee chair retainer of \$3,000. In addition, the Lead Independent Director receives an annual retainer of \$3,000.

We also pay directly or reimburse Directors for normal, customary, and necessary business expenses associated with relevant professional memberships, and participation in professional training seminars.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth for the year ended December 31, 2009, certain information as to the total remuneration we paid our directors. Mr. Alexander does not receive separate compensation for his service as a director. The Non-equity incentive plan compensation, Change in pension value and nonqualified deferred compensation earnings and All other compensation columns have been omitted from the table because no director earned any compensation during the year ended December 31, 2009, of a type required to be disclosed in those columns.

Name	Fees earned or paid in cash (3) (\$)	Stock Awards (4)(6) (\$)	Stock Options (5)(6) (\$)	Total (\$)
Stanley A. Applebaum (1) (2)	21,300	275,835	223,146	520,281
John R. Bowen	62,400	275,835	223,146	561,381
Annette Catino	66,600	275,835	223,146	565,581
Gil Chapman	66,400	275,835	223,146	565,381
John P. Connors, Jr. (1)	57,700	275,835	223,146	556,681
John J. DePierro	64,550	275,835	223,146	563,531
Susan Lamberti	60,450	275,835	223,146	559,431
Albert J. Regen	63,550	275,835	223,146	562,531
Patrick E. Scura, Jr.	74,950	275,835	223,146	573,931

(1) During 2009, Messrs. Applebaum and Connors provided legal services to or for the benefit of Northfield Bank that are not included in the table above. See Transactions With Certain Related Persons for a discussion of fees received for legal services provided in 2009.

(2) Mr. Applebaum retired and was granted emeritus status on May 27, 2009.

(3) Includes retainer payments, meeting fees, and committee and/or chairmanship

fees earned during the calendar year, whether the director received payment of such amounts or elected to defer them.

- (4) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of 27,750 shares of restricted stock awarded to each director on January 30, 2009, based upon a grant date stock price of \$9.94 per share, which was the final reported sales price of our common stock on the date of the grant. The restricted stock awards vest in equal installments over a five-year period, commencing one year from the date of the grant.
- (5) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of options to purchase 69,300 shares of our common stock awarded to each director on January 30, 2009. The options vest in equal installments over a five-year period, commencing one year from the date of the grant and have an exercise price of \$9.94 per share, which was the final reported sales price of our

common stock on the date of the grant. The grant date fair value was \$3.22 per option and was determined using the Black-Scholes method assuming an option's average life of 6.5 years; 2.17% risk free rate of return; 35.33% volatility; and 1.61% dividend yield.

- (6) At December 31, 2009, each Director held 27,750 unvested shares of restricted stock, and 69,300 unvested stock options with an exercise price of \$9.94 per share.

Executive Compensation

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the section entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" included in this prospectus with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" be included in this prospectus. The members of the Compensation Committee are: Annette Catino, who serves as Chairman; Gil Chapman; John J. DePierro; and Patrick E. Scura, Jr.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Persons Covered. This discussion and analysis addresses compensation for 2009 for the following executive officers: John W. Alexander, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer; Steven M. Klein, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; Kenneth J. Doherty, Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer; Michael J. Widmer, Executive Vice President of Operations; and Madeline G. Frank, Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary. These five executives are referred to in this discussion as the "Named Executive Officers."

Table of Contents

Executive Summary. Prior to completing our initial public offering in November 2007, we were wholly-owned by our mutual holding company. As a mutually owned company, our compensation programs were, by nature, limited, and consisted primarily of base salary and annual cash incentive compensation.

The key components of our compensation program continue to evolve and are being designed, augmented and modified, as appropriate, to ensure that we attract and retain superior financial services executive talent, and reward sustainable performance. We strive to create a compensation program that provides balance between shorter-term and longer-term performance, fixed and performance-based compensation, and cash and equity compensation. A primary objective of our current compensation program is to align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders. Our 2009 compensation program included competitively benchmarked base salaries, a formal annual cash incentive compensation program directly linked to, among other things, our strategic objectives, and beginning in January 2009, an equity incentive plan. Notwithstanding unprecedented challenges in national and global economies and financial markets, our financial performance in 2009 continued to exceed that of our peers. We have remained committed to our disciplined and balanced approach to providing community banking services and utilizing the same philosophy in designing a compensation program that is consistent with effective risk management.

Role of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for overseeing and approving, subject to ratification by the Board of Directors, the compensation of the Named Executive Officers, including the Chief Executive Officer. As part of these duties, the Committee administers our cash and equity incentive compensation plans and conducts an annual performance review of the Chief Executive Officer and, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer, reviews the performance of the other Named Executive Officers. The Board of Directors has ultimate authority to ratify the compensation of all Named Executive Officers, including the Chief Executive Officer.

The Compensation Committee also reviews, oversees, and approves the management and implementation of Northfield Bank's employee benefit plans. The Committee has a formal charter that describes the Committee's scope of authority and its duties.

The Compensation Committee consists of four Directors, all of whom are independent as set forth in the listing requirements for Nasdaq securities. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors evaluates the independence of Committee members at least annually, using the standards contained in Nasdaq listing requirements. This evaluation, and the determination that each member of the Committee is independent, was made most recently in March 2010.

Role of Executives in Committee Activities. The executive officers who serve as a resource to the Compensation Committee are the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the Director of Human Resources. Executives provide the Compensation Committee with input regarding employee compensation philosophy, processes, and decisions for employees other than Named Executive Officers. This communication assists in the design and alignment of compensation programs throughout Northfield Bank. In addition to providing factual information such as company-wide performance on relevant measures, these executives articulate management's views on current compensation programs and processes, recommend relevant performance measures to be used for future evaluations, and otherwise supply information to assist the Compensation Committee. The Chief Executive Officer also provides information about individual performance assessments for the other Named Executive Officers, and expresses to the Compensation Committee his views on the appropriate levels of compensation for the other Named Executive Officers for the ensuing year. At the request of the Compensation Committee, the Chief Financial Officer communicates directly with third-party consultants, providing third-party consultants with company-specific data and information, and assisting in the evaluation of the estimated financial effect regarding any proposed changes to the various components of compensation.

Executives participate in Committee activities purely in an informational and advisory capacity and have no vote in the Committee's decision-making process. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer do not attend those portions of Compensation Committee meetings during which their performance is evaluated or their compensation is being determined. No executive officer other than the Chief Executive Officer attends those

Table of Contents

portions of Compensation Committee meetings during which the performance of the other Named Executive Officers is evaluated or their compensation is being determined. In addition, the Compensation Committee meets in executive session as appropriate.

Use of Consultants. The Compensation Committee periodically engages an independent compensation consultant to assist it in the compensation process for Named Executive Officers. The consultant is retained by and reports directly to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee places no restrictions on the consultant within the scope of contracted services and such consultant is not engaged by management for any purpose. The consultant provides expertise and information about competitive trends in the employment marketplace, including established and emerging compensation practices at other companies. The consultant also provides proxy statement and survey data, and assists in assembling relevant comparison groups for various purposes and establishing benchmarks for base salary, equity awards, and cash incentives from the comparison group proxy statement and survey data.

For 2009, the Compensation Committee engaged PM&P, an independent compensation consulting firm, as its advisor on executive and Board compensation matters. During 2009, PM&P assisted the Compensation Committee in making equity award recommendations for Directors, Named Executive Officers, and other employees under our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, as well as provided support in the development of the 2009 cash incentive plan. In addition, PM&P performed a market update on executive compensation data it prepared as part of the Compensation Committee's comprehensive review conducted in 2007 for Named Executive Officers. Although the Committee undertakes a comprehensive assessment every three years, it utilizes PM&P to provide ongoing market trends and guidance for pay structures each year.

Compensation Objectives and Philosophy. The overall objectives of our compensation program are to retain, motivate, and reward employees and officers (including the Named Executive Officers) for sustained performance, and to provide competitive compensation to attract talent to our organization. The methods used to achieve these goals for Named Executive Officers are influenced by the compensation and employment practices of our competitors within the financial services industry, and elsewhere in the marketplace, for executive talent. Other considerations include each Named Executive Officer's individual performance in achieving both financial and non-financial corporate goals.

Our compensation program is designed to reward the Named Executive Officers based on their level of assigned management responsibilities, individual experience and performance levels, and knowledge of banking and our business. The creation of long-term value is highly dependent on the development and effective execution of our business strategy by our executive officers.

Factors that influence the design of our executive compensation program include, among other things, the items listed below.

We operate in a highly regulated industry, and we value industry-specific experience that promotes the safe and sound operation of Northfield Bank.

We value executives with sufficient experience in our markets relating to the behavior of our customers, products, and investments in various phases of the economic cycle.

We operate in interest rate and credit markets that are often volatile. We value disciplined decision-making that respects our business plan but adapts appropriately to change.

We value the retention and development of performing incumbent executives. Recruitment of executives can have substantial monetary costs, unpredictable outcomes, and a disruptive effect on our operations.

Our 2009 compensation program for our Named Executive Officers includes three key components. The first component is base salary, which is designed to provide a reasonable level of predictable income commensurate

Table of Contents

with market standards of the position held. The second component is an annual cash incentive plan, designed to reward our executives for attaining specific performance goals that support our strategic objectives, and the third component, which was added in January 2009, is the granting of equity incentive awards in the form of shares of common stock, and options to purchase shares of common stock at a specified price. We also provide benefits and perquisites to the Named Executive Officers at levels that are competitive and appropriate for their roles.

Benchmarking. Our compensation program is periodically evaluated in relation to benchmark data derived from information reported in publicly-available proxy statements and from market survey data. The Compensation Committee will generally review and consider updated peer proxy and market survey compensation data every three years. In 2007, the Compensation Committee engaged PM&P to assist it in completing a comprehensive competitive review. The Compensation Committee selected the following companies for use in benchmarking Named Executive Officers' 2007 compensation package. Although the Compensation Committee seeks to update the comprehensive analysis every three years, PM&P reviewed and updated the data in November 2008 to reflect appropriate market movement and provide the Compensation Committee with ongoing perspective of our pay practices. The peer group used for the 2007 analysis is listed below and was selected based upon similar asset size, geographic region, and business model to us.

Dime Community Bancshares, Inc.	State Bancorp, Inc.	Synergy Financial Group, Inc.
TrustCo BankCorp NY	Bancorp Rhode Island, Inc.	First of Long Island Corporation
Provident New York Bancorp	Suffolk Bancorp	Berkshire Bancorp, Inc.
Flushing Financial Corporation	Rockville Financial, Inc.	Benjamin Franklin Bancorp, Inc.
Oceanfirst Financial Corp.	Oritani Financial Corp.	Roma Financial Corporation
Kearny Financial Corp.	United Financial Bancorp, Inc.	Clifton Savings Bancorp, Inc.
Sterling Bancorp	Westfield Financial, Inc.	

Assembling the Components of Compensation. The Compensation Committee analyzes the level and relative mix of executive compensation by component (e.g., base salary, incentives, and benefits) and in the aggregate. The Chief Executive Officer provides recommendations to the Committee relating to compensation to be paid to the Named Executive Officers other than himself. Based on their analysis, the Compensation Committee approves each Named Executive Officer's compensation, subject to ratification by the Board of Directors.

When evaluating the mix of total compensation, the Compensation Committee considers among other things, general market practices, benchmarking studies conducted by the consultant, the alignment of cash and equity incentive awards with our strategic objectives and performance, and the desire to reward performance through incentive compensation within Board-approved risk parameters. The Compensation Committee seeks to create appropriate incentives without encouraging behaviors that result in undue risk. These components are periodically evaluated in relation to benchmark data derived from information reported in publicly-available proxy statements and from market survey data.

Base Salary. Base salary is designed to provide a reasonable level of predictable income commensurate with market standards of the position held adjusted for specific job responsibilities assigned, individual experience, and demonstrated performance. Named Executive Officers are eligible for periodic adjustments to their base salary as a result of changes in the cost of living, individual performance, updated market analysis, or significant changes in their duties and responsibilities. The Compensation Committee annually reviews and approves base salaries, and changes thereto, for Named Executive Officers, including our Chief Executive Officer.

Base salary amounts for 2009 were determined based on a review of peer proxy and survey data provided by PM&P in 2007, and updated in November 2008 by PM&P. The Compensation Committee reviewed the 50th percentile of peer proxy and survey data, and a pay range around the median to allow for recognition of each Named Executive Officer's specific experience, responsibilities and performance, estimated value in the marketplace, and the Committee's view of each Named Executive Officer's role in our future success. For 2009, the Compensation Committee generally targeted base salary compensation at the 65th percentile for each of the Named Executive Officers.

Table of Contents

The Committee considered the responsibility, significant experience, contributions, and performance of each Named Executive Officer, their value in the marketplace, and their critical roles in our future successes, and determined in December 2008, that existing base salaries properly reflected these factors and made a determination not to change base salaries for any Named Executive Officers in 2009, with the exception of Mr. Widmer, whose annual base salary was increased by 4.5%, to \$230,000.

Cash Incentives. The Compensation Committee developed and implemented a Management Incentive Plan (the Cash Incentive Plan, or CIP) for 2009. The Cash Incentive Plan provides performance-based annual cash incentives to reward our Named Executive Officers for the execution of specific financial and non-financial elements of our strategic business plan, as well as, individual goals related to each executive's function area. The Cash Incentive Plan requires that we achieve our designated corporate goal for an individual to earn a percentage of the total cash award available under the Cash Incentive Plan. The CIP also provides that Named Executive Officers must achieve individual goals, as determined by the Compensation Committee, to receive the remaining percentage of the total cash award available to him or her under the CIP.

The Compensation Committee evaluates the reasonableness and likelihood of attaining designated incentive goals, including stretch goals, in an effort to ensure that such targets appropriately reward performance, but do not encourage undue risk taking. Actual performance over the applicable measurement period may exceed or fall short of the targets resulting in the Named Executive Officer receiving an annual incentive cash award that is above or below the initial targeted level. Annual incentive cash awards granted in prior years are not taken into account by the Compensation Committee in the process of setting performance targets for the current year. The Committee believes that doing so would be inconsistent with the underlying reasons for the use of incentive compensation.

Risk Assessment and Related Considerations. During 2009, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with PM&P, and with the assistance of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, performed a risk assessment of our compensation program (including cash incentive compensation) for all employee levels within our organization. The objective of the review was to determine if the compensation programs, at all employee levels, encouraged behavior that exposed us to unacceptable levels of risk in relation to its business model. The review evaluated the balance of compensation elements between cash and equity, fixed versus variable compensation, and long-term versus short-term compensation. The review considered the level of potential cash incentive compensation as compared to base salary, the focus of individual goals, and the number, weighting, and balance of goals, as well as internal controls in place to mitigate possible high risk behaviors.

Based upon its risk assessment, the Compensation Committee concluded that the compensation programs (including cash incentive compensation) for all employee levels were based on balanced performance metrics that were reasonable in relation to base salary, and promoted disciplined progress towards longer-term strategic goals. The Compensation Committee also concluded that the compensation programs did not motivate improper risk taking, and are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

2009 Cash Incentive Plan. For 2009, the Compensation Committee set a target total cash incentive award of 15% of base salary for each Named Executive Officer. The actual cash incentive award range was defined as 7.5% for threshold performance and 22.5% for stretch performance, of base salary. These targets were intentionally set lower than current market practice as part of our shift from its compensation philosophy as a mutually owned bank (greater focus on cash compensation weighted towards base salary) to that of a public company (which includes equity compensation and a greater weighting of compensation towards long-term incentive compensation rather than short-term incentives).

The Compensation Committee established one shared corporate goal (the Corporate Goal) and individual performance goals for each Named Executive Officer. The target Corporate Goal measured the attainment of the Board-approved budgeted net income (before taxes) of approximately \$18.1 million. The stretch goal was 120% or greater of budgeted net income (before taxes) and the threshold was 90% of budgeted net income (before taxes). If 80% of budgeted net income (before taxes) is not achieved, Named Executive Officers are not eligible to receive incentive payments for achievement of their individual performance goals.

Table of Contents

Individual performance goals were aligned with our strategic business plan and focused on the following areas:

Name	Individual Performance Goals
John W. Alexander	Effectuate a capital deployment strategy; Develop and execute upon a customer satisfaction enhancement program; and Enhance profitability and manage enterprise risk, while ensuring liquidity and interest rate risk remain within Board approved parameters
Steven M. Klein	Implement formal leverage strategies to meet specified pre-tax net income targets (threshold-\$720,000, target-\$800,000, stretch-\$960,000) within Board approved interest rate risk parameters; Maintain or reduce budgeted non-interest expense within Board approved levels (threshold-\$100,000, target-\$150,000, stretch-\$200,000); and Adapt reporting processes to monitor and support strategic plan
Kenneth J. Doherty	Originate loans to specified targets (threshold-\$105 million, target-\$117 million, stretch-\$140 million), while minimizing credit risk; Implement automated credit underwriting and monitoring systems; and Enhance credit monitoring reports to the Risk Committee of the Board
Michael J. Widmer	Increase outstanding deposits to specified targets (threshold-\$58 million, target-\$65.4 million, stretch-\$77.4 million); Convert core bank systems to new data processor; Expand deposit franchise, and enhance brand; Finalize agreements, develop construction plans on existing sites; and Identify and evaluate potential branch sites
Madeline G. Frank	Develop automated accounting and reporting system for equity awards; Implement a formal new hire evaluation program to identify individuals with desired customer service skill sets; and Implement an employee satisfaction survey, evaluate results and implement upon a management approved response

Of our Chief Executive Officer's potential cash incentive award, 85% was based on the achievement of the Corporate Goal, and 15% was based on the attainment of his individual goals. Of our other Named Executive Officers potential cash incentive awards, 75% was based on the achievement of their Corporate Goal, and 25% was based on the attainment of individual goals.

In evaluating actual performance as compared to the established Corporate Goal, the Compensation Committee may, at its discretion, exclude items that are considered non-recurring in nature. In addition, the Cash Incentive Plan permitted the Compensation Committee to increase or decrease a cash incentive award based upon its consideration of a Named Executive Officer's performance or achievements.

2009 Award Determinations. In February 2010, the Compensation Committee evaluated achievement of the Corporate Goal. Our reported 2009 net income (before taxes) was \$18.7 million, which exceeded our target goal of \$18.1 million. In accordance with the Cash Incentive Plan, the Corporate Goal target award of 12.75% (15% target award times 85% weighting) for Mr. Alexander, and 11.25% (15% target award times 75% weighting) for all other Named Executive Officers increased (on a pro-rata basis) to approximately 13.6% (16% pro-rata award times 85% weighting) for Mr. Alexander, and 12.0% (16% pro-rata award times 75% weighting) for all other

Table of Contents

Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee made no upward adjustments to our 2009 reported net income (before taxes) in evaluating achievement of the Corporate Goal.

The remaining 15% of Mr. Alexander's eligible award, and 25% of each other Named Executive Officer's eligible award was determined based on each executive's attainment of individual goals, which were evaluated by the Compensation Committee in its annual evaluation of each Named Executive Officer's performance. In February 2010, the Compensation Committee concluded that Mr. Alexander and Ms. Frank had achieved each of their individual performance goals and determined that the target award (no stretch goals were assigned to Mr. Alexander or Ms. Frank) was earned by each of them for all assigned goals. The Compensation Committee also concluded that Messrs. Klein, Doherty, and Widmer had substantially met each of their assigned goals at the target level, except for their goals related to implementing leverage strategies, loan originations, and expanding the deposit franchise, respectively, which were achieved at the stretch payout level.

Mr. Alexander's corporate goal cash incentive payout was \$92,178. Mr. Alexander's individual goal cash incentive payout of \$15,210 for 2009 reflects the Compensation Committee's assessment of his attainment of his target goal related to his leadership in developing and executing a customer satisfaction program. The Committee also evaluated Mr. Alexander's leadership in achieving our core strategic business goals, including effective capital deployment, and continual improvement in customer service. The Compensation Committee attributed our results to Mr. Alexander's vision for our organization and discipline in adhering to our conservative business model focused on conservative credit underwriting and securities investments, franchise growth, and operating expense discipline.

Mr. Klein's corporate goal cash incentive payout was \$36,095. Mr. Klein's individual goal cash incentive payout of \$14,062 for 2009 reflects the following: achievement of his stretch goal for executing securities leveraging opportunities in a volatile market that contributed to net interest income at or above the stretch level of \$960,000, and the attainment of his target goal of reducing budgeted non-interest expense by \$150,000. The Committee excluded the effect of the following items from reported non-interest expense: FDIC Deposit Insurance special assessment of approximately \$1 million, \$600,000 in deferred compensation plan expense associated with market adjustments in the underlying plan assets, and approximately \$600,000 in loan workout professional fees and collateral valuation adjustments associated with other real estate owned.

Mr. Doherty's corporate goal cash incentive payout was \$33,688. Mr. Doherty's individual goal cash incentive payment of \$8,750 for 2009 reflects the following: achievement of his stretch goal for loan originations exceeding \$140 million; achievement of his target goal related to implementation of credit monitoring software; and achievement of his target award related to enhancing credit monitoring reporting to the Board. The Compensation Committee also considered Mr. Doherty's goal related to minimizing credit risks in the loan portfolio. The Committee noted that credit deterioration occurred in the industry and not just at Northfield, and appropriate actions were taken to minimize credit risk, including formation of a credit department, and a loan operations department. However, the Committee believes that certain actions could have been implemented more timely and a reduction of Mr. Doherty's individual incentive award in the amount of \$3,500 was made.

Mr. Widmer's corporate goal cash incentive payout was \$27,673. Mr. Widmer's individual cash incentive payment of \$9,703 for 2009 reflects the following: achievement of his stretch goal for deposit growth exceeding \$77.4 million, achievement of his target goal related to the conversion to a new operating system, achievement of his target goal for our opening or constructing two new branches, and achievement of his target goal for committing to one new branch location in New Jersey.

Ms. Frank's corporate goal cash incentive payout was \$20,454. Ms. Frank's individual cash incentive payment of \$6,375 for 2009 reflects achievement of her target goal related to the planning and implementation of an employee satisfaction survey, and the development and execution of a planned response to the survey results.

Table of Contents

For 2009, the Named Executive Officers' total target award opportunities, and actual incentives awarded as a percentage of target are detailed below.

Name	Target Award	Actual Award	Actual Award as a percentage of Target Award
	Opportunity (\$)	Opportunity (\$)	Opportunity (%)
John W. Alexander	101,400	107,388	105.9
Steven M. Klein	45,000	50,157	111.5
Kenneth J. Doherty	42,000	42,438	101.0
Michael J. Widmer	34,500	37,376	108.3
Madeline G. Frank	25,500	26,829	105.2

Equity Awards. In December 2008, stockholders approved the Northfield-Federal 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. The objective of equity awards is to further align the interests of our executives with those of stockholders and reward sustained performance. In January 2009 the Compensation Committee granted equity awards in the form of common stock, and options to purchase common stock at \$9.94 per share, representing the closing price of our common stock on the grant date, to each of the Named Executive Officers. The equity awards vest in equal installments over a five-year period, commencing one year from the date of the grant. The Compensation Committee consulted with PM&P during this process.

Prior to November 2007, we were a mutual organization and did not have equity compensation available to employees. The Compensation Committee's objective in granting equity awards in January 2009 was to provide employees with a substantial equity interest in us, consistent with comparable peers that recently completed initial public offerings.

Broad-based benefits. We also provide to our Named Executive Officers certain broad-based benefits available to all of our qualifying employees, as well as fringe benefits and perquisites, and restoration and other termination benefits, not generally available to all qualifying employees.

The following summarizes the significant broad-based benefits in which the Named Executive Officers were eligible to participate in 2009:

a defined contribution 401(k) retirement plan and discretionary profit-sharing plan;

an employee stock ownership plan;

medical coverage (all employees share between 20% to 30% of the cost, depending on their elections);

pre-tax health and dependent care spending accounts; and

group life insurance coverage (death benefit capped at \$750,000, with the value of the death benefit over \$50,000 being reported as taxable income to all employees).

The Northfield Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan was established effective January 1, 2007. The employee stock ownership plan allocates a certain number of shares of our common stock on an annual basis, which are allocated among plan participants primarily on the basis of eligible compensation in the year of allocation, subject to Internal Revenue Code limitations. All eligible employees, including Named Executive Officers, participate in the plan and received an allocation of common stock for 2009.

Table of Contents

Executive Benefits and Perquisites. In addition to the broad-based benefits described above, the Named Executive Officers received the following fringe benefits and perquisites in 2009:

all Named Executive Officers may participate in a non-qualified deferred compensation plan. The plan provides restoration of benefits capped under Northfield Bank's broad-based benefits due to Internal Revenue Code salary limitations or limitations due to participation requirements under tax-qualified plans. The plan also permits elective salary and cash incentive award deferrals;

Messrs. Klein, Doherty, and Widmer received a monthly automobile allowance of \$800;

all Named Executive Officers pay for and are provided with reimbursement for long-term disability insurance coverage;

Messrs. Alexander, Klein, Doherty, and Widmer are reimbursed for appropriate spousal expenses for attendance at business events; and

Messrs. Alexander, Klein, Doherty, and Widmer are provided a cellular phone allowance of \$100 per month for business usage. We also reimburse individuals for the cost of cellular phone equipment.

We incur the expense of one country club membership and related expenses for Mr. Alexander. Mr. Alexander reimburses Northfield Bank for personal expenses pertaining to club usage. In lieu of a monthly automobile allowance, Mr. Alexander receives use of an automobile (including all operating expenses) leased by Northfield Bank for business and personal use. Personal use of the automobile is reported as taxable income to Mr. Alexander. In addition, Northfield Bank pays an annual premium on a whole-life insurance policy for the benefit of Mr. Alexander.

The Compensation Committee reviews the other components of executive compensation (broad-based benefits and executive perquisites) on an annual basis. Changes to the level or types of broad-based benefits within these categories, including considerations relating to the addition or elimination of benefits and plan design changes, are made by the Compensation Committee on an aggregate basis with respect to the group of employees entitled to those benefits, and not necessarily with reference to a particular Named Executive Officer's compensation. Decisions about these components of compensation are made without reference to the Named Executive Officers' salary and annual cash incentives, as they involve issues of more general application and often include consideration of trends in the industry or in the employment marketplace.

Employment Agreements. In addition to the components of executive compensation described above, Messrs. Alexander, Klein, Doherty, and Widmer are each parties to employment agreements with Northfield Bank. See *Employment Agreements* for a description of these agreements and *Potential Payments to Named Executive Officers* for information about potential payments to these individuals upon termination of their employment with Northfield Bank.

The executive employment agreements are designed to allow us to retain the services of the designated executives while reducing, to the extent possible, unnecessary disruptions to our operations. In addition, the Compensation Committee believes that the employment agreements better align the interests of the executive with those of our stockholders. The Compensation Committee believes that these agreements allow executives to more objectively evaluate opportunities for stockholders without causing undue personal financial conflicts.

The Compensation Committee reviewed prevailing market practices, consulted with PM&P on the competitiveness and reasonableness of the terms of the agreements, and negotiated the agreements with the individuals. The Compensation Committee believes such agreements are common and necessary to retain executive talent.

Table of Contents

The agreements are for a three-year period, are reviewed for renewal annually by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, and provide for salary and incentive cash compensation payments, as well as additional post-employment benefits, primarily health benefits, under certain conditions, as defined in the employment agreements. See *Employment Agreements* for further discussion.

Exceptions to Usual Procedures. The Compensation Committee may recommend to the Board of Directors that they approve the payment of special cash compensation to one or more Named Executive Officers in addition to payments approved during the normal annual compensation-setting cycle. The Committee may make such a recommendation if it believes it would be appropriate to reward one or more Named Executive Officers in recognition of contributions to a particular project, or in response to competitive and other factors that were not addressed during the normal annual compensation-setting cycle. The Compensation Committee did not make any such recommendation related to our Named Executive Officers for 2009.

The Committee will consider off-cycle compensation adjustments whenever a Named Executive Officer's status, role or responsibilities change, or an executive officer is hired. The Committee may depart from the compensation guidelines it would normally follow for executives in the case of outside hires.

The Compensation Committee considers, but is not bound by, the tax treatment of each component of compensation. Under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, annual compensation paid to a Named Executive Officer is not deductible if it exceeds \$1 million unless it qualifies as performance-based compensation as defined in the Internal Revenue Code and related tax regulations. Base salary is not a form of performance-based compensation. Fringe benefits and perquisites also do not qualify as performance-based compensation. Annual incentive cash awards may qualify as a form of performance-based compensation under the income tax regulations. In 2009, and for prior years, we were not subject to tax deduction limitations under Section 162(m).

Committee Actions During 2009 Affecting 2010 Compensation, and Other Actions by the Committee.

Every three years, executive compensation is reviewed in detail by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is scheduled to perform its detailed review of executive compensation in 2010. Typically, the review commences in the middle of the year after peer proxy information becomes readily available. In 2009, the Compensation Committee reviewed current compensation trends and practices, in consultation with PM&P, and made a determination that Named Executive Officers' base salaries for 2010 would remain unchanged from 2009 until such review was complete.

In December of 2009, the Compensation Committee approved a 2010 cash incentive compensation plan, with a similar structure to our 2009 cash incentive compensation plan. The plan contains similar terms and conditions as our prior year plan. The threshold, target, and stretch award payouts are 10%, 20%, and 30%, respectively, with a Corporate Goal that can be earned based upon our achieving at least 90% of Board-approved budgeted net income (before income taxes), and individual awards that are based on the achievement of goals aligned with our strategic objectives.

Compensation Tables

Summary Compensation Table. The following table sets forth for the three years ended December 31, 2009, certain information as to the total remuneration we paid to Mr. Alexander, who serves as Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Klein, who serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and the three most highly compensated executive officers of Northfield-Federal or Northfield Bank other than Messrs. Alexander and Klein. The Bonus and Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings columns have been omitted from the Summary Compensation Table because no listed individual earned any compensation during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, or 2007 of a type required to be disclosed in those columns.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

Name and principal position	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (2) (\$)	Option	Non-equity	All other compensation (1) (\$)	Total (\$)
				Awards (3) (\$)	incentive plan compensation (\$)		
John W. Alexander, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	2009	676,000	1,669,920	1,356,425	107,388	141,951	3,951,684
	2008	676,000			67,600	137,761	881,361
	2007	750,000			75,000	165,896	990,896
Steven M. Klein, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2009	300,000	778,302	661,710	50,157	53,422	1,843,591
	2008	300,000			31,250	48,384	379,634
	2007	300,000			30,000	54,620	384,620
Kenneth J. Doherty, Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer	2009	280,000	725,620	618,240	42,438	53,540	1,719,838
	2008	280,000			29,750	45,853	355,603
	2007	280,000			28,000	52,276	360,276
Michael J. Widmer, Executive Vice President, Operations	2009	230,000	596,400	507,955	37,376	46,325	1,418,056
	2008	220,000			23,375	40,141	283,516
	2007	220,000			22,000	43,642	285,642
Madeline G. Frank, Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary	2009	170,000	132,202	85,330	26,829	28,896	443,257
	2008	170,000			17,000	23,280	210,280
	2007	170,000			17,000	27,824	214,824

(1) The individuals listed in this table participate in certain medical and dental coverage plans, not disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table, that are generally available to salaried employees and do not discriminate in scope, terms and operation. The amount shown for each individual for

the year ended December 31, 2009, includes our direct out-of-pocket costs (reduced for Mr. Alexander, in the case of the figures shown for automobiles by the amount that we would otherwise have paid in cash reimbursements during the year for business use) for the following items:

	Mr. Alexander	Mr. Klein	Mr. Doherty	Mr. Widmer	Ms. Frank
Employer contributions to qualified and non-qualified deferred compensation plans (including 401(k), ESOP and non-qualified deferred compensation plans)	\$ 75,485	\$ 38,346	\$ 38,101	\$ 32,828	\$ 24,596
Life insurance premiums	38,900	2,592	3,240	1,987	2,203
Long-term disability	2,180	968	903	710	497
Automobile	12,768	9,600	9,600	9,600	
Club dues	10,118				
Travel expense for spouse to accompany on business travel	1,300	716	496		
Other*					1,600
Reimbursement for business cell phone and data usage	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	
Total	\$ 141,951	\$ 53,422	\$ 53,540	\$ 46,325	\$ 28,896

(2) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awarded to the employee on January 30, 2009, based upon a grant

date stock price of \$9.94 per share, which was the final reported sales price of our common stock on the date of the grant. The restricted stock awards vest in equal installments over a five-year period, commencing one year from the date of the grant. No forfeitures were assumed in calculating the aggregate grant date fair value.

- (3) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of options to purchase shares of common stock awarded to each employee on January 30, 2009. The options vest in equal installments over a five-year period, commencing one year from the date of the grant and have an exercise price of \$9.94 per share, which was the final reported sales price of the

common stock on the date of the grant. The grant date fair value was \$3.22 per option and was determined using the Black-Scholes method assuming an option's average life of 6.5 years; 2.17% risk free rate of return; 35.33% volatility, and 1.61% dividend yield. No forfeitures were assumed in calculating the aggregate grant date fair value.

* Amount represents an annual discretionary stipend provided to employees whose work location was moved from New York to New Jersey.

Table of Contents

Plan-Based Awards. As further discussed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Assembling the Components of Compensation, we maintained a cash incentive award program and equity incentive award program (both based upon Board- and stockholder-approved plans) for our Named Executive Officers for the year ended December 31, 2009. The following table sets forth for the year ended December 31, 2009, certain information as to grants of plan-based cash and equity awards.

Grants of Plan-based Awards Table 2009

Name	Grant date	Estimated future payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards			All other stock awards:	All other option awards:	Exercise or base price of option awards (\$)	Grant date fair value of stock and option awards (1) (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	number of shares of stock or units	number of securities underlying options		
John W. Alexander	01/14/09	50,700	101,400	152,100	168,000		9.94	1,669,920
	01/30/09							
	01/30/09							
Steven M. Klein	01/14/09	22,500	45,000	67,500	78,300		9.94	778,302
	01/30/09							
	01/30/09							
Kenneth J. Doherty	01/14/09	21,000	42,000	63,000	73,000		9.94	725,620
	01/30/09							
	01/30/09							
Michael J. Widmer	01/14/09	17,250	34,500	51,750	60,000		9.94	596,400
	01/30/09							
	01/30/09							
Madeline G. Frank	01/14/09	12,750	25,500	38,250	13,300		9.94	132,202
	01/30/09							
	01/30/09							

(1) See footnotes 2 and 3 to the Summary Compensation Table for further information regarding grant date fair value of restricted

stock and option awards.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding stock awards and stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009:

Name	Grant date	Option Awards			Stock Awards		
		Number of securities underlying unexercised options (exercisable) (#)	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (nonexercisable) (#)	Option exercise price (\$)	Option expiration date (1)	Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested (#)	Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (2) (\$)
John W. Alexander	01/30/09		421,250	9.94	01/30/19	168,000	2,271,360
Steven M. Klein	01/30/09		205,500	9.94	01/30/19	78,300	1,058,616
Kenneth J. Doherty	01/30/09		192,000	9.94	01/30/19	73,000	986,960
Michael J. Widmer	01/30/09		157,750	9.94	01/30/19	60,000	811,200
Madeline G. Frank	01/30/09		26,500	9.94	01/30/19	13,300	179,816

(1) Stock options expire if unexercised 10 years from the grant date.

(2) Amount is based on a \$13.52 per share closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2009.

There were no options exercised or restricted stock vested during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan. Northfield Bank maintains a non-qualified deferred compensation plan to provide for the elective deferral of non-employee director fees by participating members of the Board of Directors, and the elective deferral of compensation and/or performance-based compensation payable to eligible employees of Northfield Bancorp, MHC and Northfield Bank. A designated amount of director fees, compensation and/or performance based compensation may be deferred until one of the specified events in the plan

Table of Contents

occurs, which permits all or part of the monies so deferred, together with earnings, to be distributed to participants or their beneficiaries. In addition, the plan provides eligible employees of Northfield Bank with supplemental retirement income from Northfield Bank when such amounts are not payable under the contribution formula of the Northfield Bank 401(k) Savings Plan (the 401(k) Savings Plan), due to reductions and other limitations imposed under the Internal Revenue Code.

Members of the Board of Trustees of Northfield Bancorp, MHC and the Boards of Directors of Northfield-Federal and Northfield Bank, and certain employees are eligible to participate in the plan. Eligible trustees, directors or employees become participants upon agreeing in a written enrollment agreement to defer any portion of their trustee fees, director fees, compensation, and/or performance-based compensation. Each participant may request that his or her deferred compensation account be deemed to be invested in any one or more of the investment options available to Northfield Bancorp, MHC, or Northfield Bank, in our sole discretion. A participant may periodically request a change to his or her investment allocation deemed available under the plan. In the event any participant fails to direct the investment of his or her deferred compensation account, or to the extent the employer chooses not to honor the participant's request, the deferred compensation account will be deemed to bear interest at the rate prevailing for 30-year United States Treasury Bonds.

With respect to amounts of deferred trustee or director fees, deferred compensation or performance-based compensation, distributions will be made under the plan in the event of the participant's retirement, death, termination due to disability, separation from service prior to the participant's retirement date, upon the establishment of an unforeseeable emergency, upon a change in control, or upon the attainment of a specific date of distribution, in a single lump sum or in up to 15 annual installment payments, as designated by the participant in his or her enrollment agreement. In the case of an unforeseeable emergency, the amounts distributed will not exceed the amounts necessary to satisfy the emergency plus an amount necessary to pay any taxes owed on the distribution. In the event the participant fails to designate a payment schedule on his enrollment agreement or if the entire balance credited to the participant's account is less than \$10,000, payment will be made in a single lump sum. In the event a participant dies before receiving the full amount of his benefit, the remaining amounts will be paid to the participant's designated beneficiary according to the participant's form of election or, if there is no designated beneficiary at the time of the participant's death, to the participant's estate in a single lump sum. Distributions to certain specified employees on account of their separation from service may be delayed for six months, if necessary, to comply with Internal Revenue Code Section 409A.

In addition, the non-qualified deferred compensation plan provides for benefits which supplement those paid under the 401(k) Savings Plan in the event of normal, early or postponed retirement, death or termination of service. Such benefits will be equal to the sum of: (i) the maximum amount of employer matching contributions provided to a participant each calendar year, assuming a participant's maximum contributions, reduced by the amount of employer matching contributions made for the participant under the 401(k) Savings Plan for such year, adjusted by gains and losses; (ii) commencing January 1, 2000, the amount of employer matching contributions not credited to a participant's 401(k) Savings Plan account as a result of an employer error, adjusted by gains and losses, if any; and (iii) the maximum amount of discretionary employer contributions that would be provided to a participant under the 401(k) Savings Plan, assuming an allocation without taking into account the limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, reduced by the amount of discretionary employer contributions actually made to a participant under the 401(k) Savings Plan for each such year, adjusted by gains and losses, if any. Benefits payable under this plan that supplement matching contributions under the 401(k) Savings Plan will be aggregated with benefits payable under the Supplemental ESOP (described below). Upon the occurrence of a distribution event, such benefits will be payable in either a lump sum or installments over a period of up to 15 years, at the election of the participant made in accordance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

The non-qualified deferred compensation plan is considered an unfunded plan for tax and Employee Retirement Income Security Act purposes. All obligations owing under the plan are payable from the general assets of Northfield Bank and Northfield Bancorp, MHC, and are subject to the claims of Northfield Bank's or Northfield Bancorp, MHC's creditors.

Table of Contents

Supplemental Employee Stock Ownership Plan. The Northfield Bank Supplemental Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the Supplemental ESOP) is a benefit restoration plan that provides additional cash benefits, equal to the participant's account balance, at retirement or other termination of employment (or upon a change in control) to participants who are key employees, who are approved by the Compensation Committee and whose benefits under the tax-qualified employee stock ownership plan, described below, are limited by tax law limitations applicable to tax-qualified plans. Messrs. Alexander, Klein, and Doherty are the current participants in this plan. The Supplemental ESOP credits each participant who also participates in the tax-qualified employee stock ownership plan with an annual amount equal to the sum of the difference (expressed in dollars) between (a) the number of shares of common stock of Northfield-Federal that would have been allocated to the participant's account in the employee stock ownership plan, but for the tax law limitations, plus earnings thereon, and (b) the actual number of shares allocated to the participant's account in the employee stock ownership plan plus earnings thereon. In each case, the number of shares will be multiplied by the fair market value of the shares on the allocation date to determine the annual allocation amount. Each participant is permitted to make investment recommendations for the annual amount credited to his or her account among a broadly diversified group of mutual funds selected for investment by a committee appointed by Northfield Bank's Board of Directors to administer the Supplemental ESOP. Northfield Bank has established a rabbi trust to hold assets attributable to the Supplemental ESOP to informally fund its benefit obligation. Northfield Bank, at its discretion, may account for the Supplemental ESOP solely as bookkeeping entries. Whether or not a rabbi trust is established, the participant's account value is based on the value of the investments in which the participant invests, or is deemed to invest, his account. Benefits distributed to participants from the Supplemental ESOP will be aggregated with benefits payable under the matching contributions portion of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan (described above). Upon the occurrence of a distribution event, such benefits will be payable in either a lump sum or installments over a period of up to 15 years, at the election of the participant made in accordance with Section 409A of Internal Revenue Code.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our nonqualified deferred compensation plans at and for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation At And For The Year Ended December 31, 2009

Name	Executive contributions in last fiscal year	Registrant contributions in last fiscal year	Aggregate earnings in last fiscal year	Aggregate withdrawals/distributions	Aggregate balance at last fiscal year end
	(\$)(1)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	(\$)	(\$)(3)
John W. Alexander	120,388	41,084	309,235		1,502,019
Steven M. Klein	1,650	4,625	31,607		111,346
Kenneth J. Doherty	2,650	2,686	37,076		141,835
Michael J. Widmer			10,733		48,486
Madeline G. Frank	4,024		16,085		61,377

- (1) Contributions included in the Executive contributions in last fiscal year and the Registrant contributions in last fiscal year

columns are included as compensation for the listed individuals in the Summary Compensation Table.

(2) Amounts included in the Aggregate earnings in last fiscal year are not included as compensation for the listed individuals in the Summary Compensation Table as such earnings are not preferential or above market.

(3) Amounts included in the Aggregate balance at last fiscal year end previously were reported as compensation for the listed individuals except to the extent that such balances reflect earnings, all of which were not preferential or above market.

Short- and Long-Term Disability

Named Executive Officers and certain other members of senior management at Northfield Bank will be paid their full salary for the duration of any period of short-term disability, up to 26 weeks. Senior management receives this benefit in lieu of the ability to bank paid time off for future use, which is only available to employees of Northfield Bank who are not senior management. With respect to long-term disability, senior management employees are required to purchase long-term disability coverage and Northfield Bank provides such persons a bonus payment in recognition of their payment of such coverage. The amount of the bonus is in the sole discretion of Northfield Bank.

Table of Contents

Life Insurance Coverage

Employees of Northfield Bank receive life insurance coverage of up to three times salary, if hired before January 1, 2003, and up to two times salary, if hired on or after January 1, 2003. Such life insurance coverage is generally capped at \$500,000. However, in the case of senior management, such life insurance coverage is capped at \$750,000.

401(k) Savin