

Nalco Holding CO
Form 10-Q
May 02, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

For the transition period from to .

Commission File No. 001-32342

NALCO HOLDING COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

16-1701300 (State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization) (I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)
1601 West Diehl Road
Naperville, IL 60563-1198
(630) 305-1000

(Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Registrant's Principal Executive
Offices)

Not applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was
required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated
filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large

accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12-b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of April 23, 2008, the number of shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding
was 141,250,776 shares.

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
NALCO HOLDING COMPANY
Quarter Ended March 31, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I. Financial Information

Financial Statements (Unaudited)	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007	2	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007	3	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007	4	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – March 31, 2008	5	Item 1. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14	Item 2. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	25	Item 3. Controls and Procedures	25	Item 4. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	26	Item 5. Exhibits	26	Item 6. Signature	27
----------------------------------	--	---	--	---	--	---	---	---	---	----	--	----	---------------------------------	----	---	----	------------------	----	-------------------	----

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(dollars in millions)

(Unaudited)

March 31,

2008 December 31,

2007 Assets	Current assets:	Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 100.0	\$ 119.9	Accounts receivable,			
less allowances of \$20.7 in 2008 and \$19.5 in 2007		805.7	805.6	Inventories:	Finished products			
292.8	268.9	Materials and work in process	86.7	81.5	379.5	350.4	Prepaid expenses, taxes and	
other current assets	106.1	112.6	Total current assets	1,391.3	1,388.5	Property, plant, and equipment, net		
768.0	762.3	Intangible assets:	Goodwill	2,503.1	2,459.8	Other intangibles, net	1,112.5	
1,121.4	Other assets	241.9	246.6	Total assets	\$ 6,016.8	\$ 5,978.6	Liabilities and shareholders' equity	
Current liabilities:	Accounts payable	\$ 329.3	\$ 316.4	Short-term debt	82.5	130.4	Other	
current liabilities	305.7	322.5	Total current liabilities	717.5	769.3	Other liabilities:	Long-term	
debt	3,252.4	3,193.7	Deferred income taxes	309.0	327.5	Accrued pension benefits	319.6	314.4
Other liabilities	228.8	234.7	Minority interest	17.8	21.2	Shareholders' equity	1,171.7	1,117.8
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 6,016.8	\$ 5,978.6						

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
 (Unaudited)
 (dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

Three Months
 ended

March 31, 2008 Three Months
 ended

March 31, 2007	Net sales	\$ 999.7	\$ 909.3	Operating costs and expenses:		Cost of product sold	
564.4	504.4	Selling, administrative, and research expenses	308.8	287.5	Amortization of intangible assets		
13.6	15.2	Business optimization expenses	1.0	—	Total operating costs and expenses	887.8	807.1
Operating earnings	111.9	102.2	Other income (expense), net	(3.0)	(0.3)	Interest income	2.5 2.6
Interest expense	(67.3)	(68.3)	Earnings before income taxes and minority interests	44.1	36.2	Income tax provision	13.5 14.7
Minority interests	(1.4)	(1.9)	Net earnings	\$ 29.2	\$ 19.6	Net earnings per share:	
Basic	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.14	Diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.13	Weighted-average shares outstanding	
(millions):	Basic	141.9	143.6	Diluted	142.8	148.0	Cash dividends declared per share
							\$ 0.035 \$ 0.035

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
 (Unaudited)
 (dollars in millions)

Three Months
 ended

March 31, 2008 Three Months
 ended

March 31, 2007	Operating activities	Net earnings	\$ 29.2	\$ 19.6	Adjustments to reconcile net earnings		
	to net cash provided by operating activities:	Depreciation	34.5	31.8	Amortization	13.6	15.2
		Amortization of deferred financing costs and accretion of senior discount notes	12.0	11.2	Other, net	11.4	
1.3	Changes in operating assets and liabilities	(30.1)	(21.2)	Net cash provided by operating activities	70.6		
57.9	Investing activities	Additions to property, plant, and equipment, net	(26.4)	(21.1)	Other, net		
(5.6)	0.6	Net cash used for investing activities	(32.0)	(20.5)	Financing activities	Cash	
	dividends	(4.9)	—	Changes in short-term debt, net	(56.0)	(7.5)	Proceeds from long-term debt
—	Repayments of long-term debt	—	(24.0)	Purchases of treasury stock	(7.6)	—	Other, net
							(3.0)
							(1.9)
	Net cash used for financing activities	(61.0)	(33.4)	Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	2.5	0.3	Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents
							(19.9)
							4.3
	Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	119.9	37.3	Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 100.0	\$ 41.6	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4. Shareholders' Equity

Shareholders' equity consists of the following:

	(dollars
in millions, except per share amounts)	
March 31,	
2008	December 31,
2007	
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; authorized 100,000,000 shares; none issued	\$ — \$ —
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; authorized 500,000,000 shares; 146,551,543 and 144,377,068 shares issued at	
March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively	1.4 1.4
Additional paid-in capital	758.7 749.7
Treasury stock, at cost; 5,338,500 shares and 4,588,500 shares at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007,	
respectively	(124.2) (108.0)
Retained earnings	124.4 100.7
Accumulated other comprehensive income	411.4 374.0
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 1,171.7 \$ 1,117.8

In November 2004, a warrant to purchase, for \$0.01 per share, up to 6,191,854 shares of Nalco Holding Company common stock was issued as part of a dividend to Nalco LLC, our sole stockholder on the record date of the dividend. Nalco LLC exercised warrants to acquire 2,126,650 shares of common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2008. At March 31, 2008, up to 1,414,399 shares of common stock could be purchased by Nalco LLC under the warrant, subject to certain vesting conditions. The amount includes 789,099 shares of common stock that would be required to be deposited into an escrow account under the terms of the warrant. Nalco Holding Company would beneficially own such shares, which would be used solely for the purpose of delivering shares of common stock pursuant to incentive compensation plans.

In July 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a \$300 million share repurchase program, and gave our management discretion in determining the conditions under which shares may be purchased from time to time. The program has no stated expiration date. As of December 31, 2007, we had repurchased 4,588,500 shares at a cost of \$108.0 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, we repurchased an additional 750,000 shares at a cost of \$16.2 million. Of that amount, we expended \$7.6 million in cash and an additional \$8.6 million was reported as a payable on the balance sheet at March 31, 2008, for share repurchases executed in March 2008 and settling in April 2008.

5. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic pension cost and the cost of other postretirement benefits for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

Pension Benefits	Other Postretirement Benefits (dollars in millions)	Three Months
ended		
March 31,		
2008	Three Months	
ended		
March 31,		
2007	Three Months	
ended		

March 31,
2008 Three Months
ended

March 31, 2007	Service cost	\$ 6.7	\$ 7.1	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.6	Interest cost	12.2	11.1	2.4	2.2	Expected return on plan assets
		(9.2)	(8.2)	—	—	Prior service credit	(0.6)	—	(1.2)	(1.1)	Net actuarial (gain) loss
		0.1	0.1	(0.3)	—	Net periodic cost	\$ 9.2	\$ 10.1	\$ 2.2	\$ 2.7	

6. Business Optimization Expenses

We continue to redesign and optimize our business and work processes. Business process optimization expenses, consisting mostly of employee severance and related costs, were \$1.0 million for the three

6

6. Business Optimization Expenses (continued)

months ended March 31, 2008. Business process optimization expenses were nil for the three months ended March 31, 2007, as employee severance and related costs were offset by the impact of a \$0.4 million reduction in the impairment provision for a facility that was held for sale.

7. Summary of Other Income (Expense)

The components of other income (expense), net for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, include the following:

(dollars in millions)									
Three Months ended March 31, 2008									
Three Months ended March 31, 2007									
Franchise taxes	\$ (0.6)	\$ (0.8)	Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	0.4	0.4				
Foreign currency exchange adjustments	(3.0)	(0.2)	Other	0.2	0.3	\$ (3.0)	\$ (0.3)		

8. Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 30.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The rate varies from the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the reversal of prior year state valuation allowances and the recovery of certain foreign taxes expensed in prior years. Valuation allowances had been recorded related to state net operating losses with short carryforward periods. Our recent history of taxable income in the U.S. has reasonably assured us that certain of our state loss carryforwards are realizable, and the valuation allowances have been reversed. Other impacts on the effective tax rate, such as the incremental tax on dividends received from non-U.S. subsidiaries, foreign taxes provided at other than the 35% U.S. statutory rate, U.S. state income taxes, nondeductible expenses, and other permanent differences combined to result in essentially no impact on the provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

The incremental tax on dividends received from non-U.S. subsidiaries, foreign taxes provided at other than the 35% U.S. statutory rate, U.S. state income taxes, nondeductible expenses, and other permanent differences contributed to the variation between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and our effective income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

The effective rate of the provision for income taxes differs from the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate due to the following items:

(dollars in millions)									
Three Months ended March 31, 2008									
Three Months ended March 31, 2007									
U.S. statutory federal tax rate	\$ 15.4	\$ 12.7	Valuation allowances – net	(1.1)	0.1	Foreign			

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

tax recoveries (0.8) — Other — 1.9 Income tax provision \$ 13.5 \$ 14.7
7

9. Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income and its components, net of related tax, for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, were as follows:

		(dollars in millions)	
Three Months ended	March 31, 2008	Three Months ended	March 31, 2007
Net earnings	\$ 29.2	\$ 19.6	Other comprehensive income, net of income taxes:
Derivatives	0.7	1.1	Net prior service credit
Foreign currency translation adjustments	38.0	15.7	(1.1) (0.7) Net actuarial (gain) loss (0.2) 0.1
	Comprehensive income	\$ 66.6	\$ 35.8

10. Segment Information

We provide integrated water treatment and process improvement services for industrial and institutional applications, using technologically advanced solutions, combining chemical products and equipment, and consistent, reliable on-site service and expertise. These solutions and services enable our customers to improve production yields, lower manufacturing costs, extend asset lives and maintain environmental standards at costs that represent a small share of their overall production expense.

We are organized based on the end markets we serve. The organization is comprised of the following reportable segments:

Industrial and Institutional Services – This segment serves the global water treatment and process chemical needs of the industrial, institutional, and municipal markets.

Energy Services – This segment serves the process chemicals and water treatment needs of the global petroleum and petrochemical industries in both upstream and downstream applications.

Paper Services – This segment serves the process chemicals and water treatment needs of the global pulp and paper industry.

Other – This segment includes the Integrated Channels Group, supply chain activities, standard cost variances, and certain other operating expenses not allocated to a segment.

In 2008, we began reporting the results of our subsidiary in India and the Katayama Nalco joint venture related to the Industrial and Institutional Services segment, the Energy Services segment, and the Paper Services segment with those segments. These results had previously been reported in the Other segment. In addition, certain petrochemical and emerging markets customers that had previously been reported in the Industrial and Institutional Services segment are now included in Energy Services. Amounts for prior periods have been restated to conform with this change in the composition of our segments.

We evaluate the performance of our segments based on “direct contribution”, which is defined as net sales, less cost of product sold (excluding variances to standard costs), selling and service expenses, marketing expenses, research expenses and “capital charges” directly attributable to each segment. Each segment is assessed an internal non-GAAP

“capital charge” based on trade accounts receivable, inventories and equipment specifically identifiable to the segment. The capital charges included in each segment’s direct contribution are eliminated to arrive at our consolidated direct contribution. There are no intersegment revenues.

8

10. Segment Information (continued)

Net sales by reportable segment were as follows:

(dollars in millions)

Three Months
ended

March 31, 2008 Three Months
ended

March 31, 2007 Industrial and Institutional Services	\$ 428.1	\$ 404.4	Energy Services	346.7	294.4	Paper Services	201.0	186.7	Other	23.9	23.8	Net sales	\$ 999.7	\$ 909.3
--	----------	----------	-----------------	-------	-------	----------------	-------	-------	-------	------	------	-----------	----------	----------

The following table presents direct contribution by reportable segment and reconciles the total segment direct contribution to earnings before income taxes and minority interests:

(dollars in millions)

Three Months
ended

March 31, 2008 Three Months
ended

March 31, 2007 Segment direct contribution:	Industrial and Institutional Services	\$ 81.0	\$ 85.1												
Energy Services	75.4	62.0	Paper Services	28.3	26.3	Other	(29.2)	(22.5)	Capital charge elimination	23.5	20.1	Total segment direct contribution	179.0	171.0	
Expenses not allocated to segments:	Administrative expenses	52.5	53.6	Amortization of intangible assets	13.6	15.2	Business optimization expenses	1.0	—	Operating earnings	111.9	102.2	Other income (expense), net	(3.0)	(0.3)
Interest income	2.5	2.6	Interest expense	(67.3)	(68.3)	Earnings before income taxes and minority interests	\$ 44.1	\$ 36.2							

Administrative expenses primarily represent the cost of support functions, including information technology, finance, human resources and legal, as well as expenses for support facilities, executive management and management incentive plans.

11. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock.

11. Earnings Per Share (continued)

Basic and diluted earnings per share were calculated as follows:

	(in millions)	Three
Months ended		
March 31, 2008	Three Months	
ended		
March 31, 2007	Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share:	
Net earnings	\$ 29.2	\$ 19.6
	Mobotec put options	(0.5)
	— Net earnings available to common shareholders	
	\$ 28.7	\$ 19.6
	Denominator for basic earnings per share – weighted average common shares outstanding	
	141.9	143.6
	Effect of dilutive securities:	
	Stock purchase warrant	0.6
	Share-based compensation plans	4.3
	0.3	0.1
	Denominator for diluted earnings per share	
	142.8	148.0

In December 2007, we purchased an 87.5% interest in Mobotec USA, Inc. (Mobotec). Concurrent with the purchase, we entered into an agreement with the minority shareholder that provides us with options to purchase the remaining shares of Mobotec. The agreement also provides the minority shareholder with options to sell the remaining shares of Mobotec to us. Since the exercise of the put options is outside of our control, we are required to accrete changes in their redemption value over the period from the date of issuance to the earliest redemption date. Increases in the carrying amount of minority interest related to accretion are charged to retained earnings and are also recognized as an adjustment below net earnings to arrive at net earnings available to common shareholders.

12. Contingencies and Litigation

Various claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings are pending or threatened against us, arising from the ordinary course of business with respect to commercial, contract, intellectual property, product liability, employee, environmental and other matters. Historically, these matters have not had a material impact on our consolidated financial position. However, we cannot predict the outcome of any litigation or the potential for future litigation.

We have been named as a potentially responsible party (PRP) by the Environmental Protection Agency or state enforcement agencies at five waste sites where some financial contribution is or may be required. These agencies have also identified many other parties who may be responsible for clean up costs at these waste disposal sites. We are also remediating a small spill at our plant in Pilar, Argentina. Our financial contribution to remediate these sites is not expected to be material. There has been no significant financial impact on us up to the present, nor is it anticipated that there will be in the future, as a result of these matters. We have made and will continue to make provisions for these costs if our liability becomes probable and when costs can be reasonably estimated.

Our undiscounted reserves for known environmental clean up costs were \$1.8 million at March 31, 2008. These environmental reserves represent our current estimate of our proportional clean-up costs and are based upon negotiation and agreement with enforcement agencies, our previous experience with respect to clean-up activities, a detailed review by us of known conditions, and information about other PRPs. They are not reduced by any possible recoveries from insurance companies or other PRPs not specifically identified. Although we cannot determine whether or not a material effect on future operations is reasonably likely to occur, given the evolving nature of environmental regulations, we believe that the recorded reserve levels are appropriate estimates of the potential liability. Although settlement will require future cash outlays, it is not expected that such outlays will materially impact our liquidity position.

12. Contingencies and Litigation (continued)

Expenditures for the three months ended March 31, 2008, relating to environmental compliance and clean up activities, were not significant.

We have been named as a defendant in lawsuits based on claimed involvement in the supply of allegedly defective or hazardous materials and the claimed presence of hazardous substances at our plants. We have also been named as a defendant in lawsuits where our products have not caused injuries, but the claimants seek amounts so they might be monitored in the future for potential injuries arising from our products. The plaintiffs in these cases seek damages for alleged personal injury or potential injury resulting from exposure to our products or other chemicals. These matters have had a de minimis impact on our business historically, and we do not anticipate these matters will present any material risk to our business in the future. Notwithstanding, we cannot predict the outcome of any such lawsuits or the involvement we might have in these matters in the future.

On November 27, 2006, the U.K. Health and Safety Executive (“HSE”) issued a criminal summons charging our U.K. subsidiary with a violation of the Health and Safety at Work Act. The summons was re-issued in the Crown Court of Worcester on July 23, 2007. The charge relates to a Legionella outbreak that is claimed to have originated at cooling towers owned by one of the subsidiary’s customers. The Legionella outbreak is believed to have resulted in two fatalities and multiple injuries. The customer, H. P. Bulmer Limited, is also charged. Our subsidiary entered a guilty plea to a portion of the charge, exposing non-employees to a health risk, on September 3, 2007. Similarly, our subsidiary’s customer submitted a guilty plea. Our subsidiary has presented a position paper and mitigation statement and is awaiting a ruling on the amount of a penalty. We have established an accrual in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, that we consider appropriate in the circumstances. Based on information currently available, we do not believe that the outcome of this matter will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In the ordinary course of our business, we are also a party to a number of lawsuits and are subject to various claims relating to trademarks, employee matters, contracts, transactions, chemicals and other matters, the outcome of which, in our opinion, should not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position. However, we cannot predict the outcome of any litigation or the potential for future litigation. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations for the period in which the ruling occurs. We maintain accruals where the outcome of the matter is probable and can be reasonably estimated.

13. Fair Value Measurements

As stated in Note 15, “Recent Accounting Pronouncements”, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, on January 1, 2008, for financial assets and liabilities and for nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are remeasured at least annually. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels:

- Level 1 –
- Quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets and liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.
- Level 2 – Observable inputs
- other than quoted prices in active markets.
- Level 3 – Unobservable

inputs for which there is little or no market data available. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

11

13. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The fair value of financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis was as follows:

(dollars in millions) Balance

March 31,

2008	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Assets:	Foreign exchange forward contracts	\$ 0.5	\$ —	\$
0.5	\$ —	Natural gas forward contracts	0.9	—	0.9	—	\$ 1.4	\$ —
		Foreign exchange forward contracts	\$ 5.6	\$ —	\$ 5.6	\$ —		

Foreign exchange forward contracts are valued using quoted forward foreign exchange prices at the reporting date.
Natural gas forward contracts are valued using NYMEX futures prices for natural gas at the reporting date.

14. Guarantees

No significant guarantees were outstanding at March 31, 2008, other than subsidiary-related performance guarantees.

We had \$23.9 million of letters of credit outstanding at March 31, 2008.

15. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. This statement indicates, among other things, that a fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value based upon an exit price model. Relative to SFAS No. 157, the FASB has issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) 157-2, which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. As required, we adopted SFAS No. 157 on January 1, 2008, for financial assets and liabilities and for nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are remeasured at least annually. There was no material effect on our financial statements upon adoption. We have not yet determined the impact on our financial statements from adoption of SFAS No. 157 as it pertains to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities for our first quarter of 2009.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to recognize in its balance sheet an asset for a plan's overfunded status or a liability for a plan's underfunded status, measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year, and recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes are reported in comprehensive income and as a separate component of shareholders' equity. SFAS No. 158 does not change the amount of net periodic benefit cost included in net earnings. We adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006, as required. We will change our measurement date to our December 31 fiscal year end from the current measurement date of November 30 in 2008, as required.

15. Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities – Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. This statement also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment in retained earnings. Subsequent to adopting SFAS No. 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. As required, we adopted SFAS No. 159 as of January 1, 2008; however, we have not elected to change the measurement attribute for any of the permitted items to fair value upon adoption.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations, which replaces SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. SFAS No. 141(R) retains the underlying concepts of SFAS No. 141 in that all business combinations are still required to be accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting, but SFAS No. 141(R) changed the method of applying the acquisition method in a number of significant aspects. Acquisition costs will generally be expensed as incurred; noncontrolling interests will be valued at fair value at the acquisition date; in-process research and development will be recorded at fair value as an indefinite-lived intangible asset at the acquisition date; restructuring costs associated with a business combination will generally be expensed subsequent to the acquisition date; and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date generally will affect income tax expense. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective on a prospective basis for all business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual period subsequent to December 15, 2008, with the exception of the accounting for changes in valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired tax contingencies related to acquisitions prior to the date of adoption. SFAS No. 141(R) amends SFAS No. 109 such that adjustments made to valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired tax contingencies associated with acquisitions that closed prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 141(R) would also apply the provisions of SFAS No. 141(R). Early adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the effects, if any, that SFAS No. 141(R) may have on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with earlier adoption prohibited. This statement requires the recognition of a noncontrolling interest (minority interest) as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent’s equity. The amount of net earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement. The statement also amends certain of ARB No. 51’s consolidation procedures for consistency with the requirements of SFAS No. 141(R). This statement also includes expanded disclosure requirements regarding the interests of the parent and its noncontrolling interest. We are currently evaluating SFAS No. 160 and anticipate that it will not have a significant impact on the reporting of our results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. SFAS No. 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. The statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. Early application is encouraged, as are comparative disclosures for earlier periods, but neither are required.

Item 2.

Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations includes references to the Transactions, defined as the November 2003 acquisition of Ondeo Nalco Group, comprised of Nalco Company and Nalco International SAS Subsidiaries, by our subsidiary, Nalco Holdings LLC, from Suez (“Suez”) and the related financings in connection with such acquisition (the “Acquisition”).

“Safe Harbor” Statement Under Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

This Quarterly Report for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2008 (the “Quarterly Report”) includes “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenue or performance, capital expenditures, financing needs, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions, business trends and other information that is not historical information. When used in this Quarterly Report, the words “estimates,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “projects,” “plans,” “intends,” “believes,” “forecasts,” “may,” “should,” “could,” “will,” “intends,” “believes,” “forecasts,” “may,” “should,” “could,” “will,” and variations of such words or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, management’s examination of historical operating trends and data are based upon our current expectations and various assumptions. Our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them. However, there can be no assurance that management’s expectations, beliefs and projections will be achieved.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report. Additionally, important factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this Quarterly Report. As stated elsewhere in this Quarterly Report, such risks, uncertainties and other important factors include, among others:

- substantial leverage;
 - flexibility in operating our business contained in our debt agreements;
 - rates as a result of our variable rate indebtedness;
 - from our customers;
 - change and innovation;
 - with our non-U.S. operations;
 - currency exchange rates;
 - the markets in which we operate;
 - environmental, health and safety regulations;
 - our production facilities;
 - expected cost savings;
- our
 - limitations on
 - increases in interest
 - pricing pressure
 - technological
 - risks associated
 - fluctuations in
 - high competition in
 - adverse changes to
 - operating hazards in
 - inability to achieve

securing the raw materials we use;

pension benefit obligations and the current underfunding of our pension plans;

the full value of our intangible assets;

and retain skilled employees, particularly research scientists, technical sales professionals and engineers; and

protect our intellectual property rights.

- difficulties in
- our significant
- our ability to realize
- our ability to attract
 - our ability to

There may be other factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. For further information regarding risk factors, please refer to Part I, Item 1A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations(continued)

All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf apply only as of the date of this Quarterly Report and are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included in this Quarterly Report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Direct contribution, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Free Cash Flow are measures used by management to measure operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA is also used to determine our compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities such as incurring additional debt and making certain payments.

Direct contribution is defined as net sales, less cost of product sold, selling and service expenses, marketing expenses, research expenses and capital charges (an internal non-GAAP charge based on trade accounts receivable, inventories and equipment specifically identifiable to each of our operating segments). EBITDA is defined as net earnings plus interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA further adjusted for certain cash and non-cash charges, as permitted under our senior discount note, senior note and senior subordinated note indentures and our senior credit facility. Free Cash Flow is defined as net cash provided by operating activities, less capital expenditures and minority interest charges.

Direct contribution provides investors with the measurement used by our management to evaluate the performance of our segments. We believe EBITDA is useful to the investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry. We consider the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting Adjusted EBITDA appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with our financing covenants. We believe Free Cash Flow provides investors with a measure of our ability to generate cash for the optimization of our capital structure.

Direct contribution, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Free Cash Flow are not recognized terms under U.S. GAAP and do not purport to be alternatives to net earnings as an indicator of operating performance or to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of liquidity. Direct contribution is reconciled to consolidated earnings before income taxes and minority interests in Note 10 of our consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report. The most direct comparable GAAP financial measures of each non-GAAP financial measure, as well as the reconciliation between each non-GAAP financial measure and the GAAP financial measure, are presented in the discussions of the non-GAAP financial measures below. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our measures may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

Executive Level Overview

Nalco generated \$999.7 million in revenues in the quarter ended March 31, 2008, up 9.9% from the year-earlier \$909.3 million. This growth consisted of a currency benefit of 5.7%, organic growth of 5.5%, less an acquisition/divestiture impact of 1.3%. We define organic growth as nominal, or actual, sales growth less the impacts of changes in foreign currency translation rates and acquisitions and divestitures. For the quarter ended March 31, 2008, acquisition/divestiture is the net of sales of Nalco Mobotec, which was acquired in December 2007, offset by the waste coal agglomeration, or synfuel, business that ended with a December 31, 2007 tax code expiration. In the quarter, Nalco Mobotec sales were \$6.3 million and the business added slightly to Adjusted EBITDA.

Adjusted EBITDA, which is used to determine compliance with the our debt covenants, increased 8.7% to \$165.6 million from prior-year period results of \$152.3 million that exclude the now-ended

15

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations(continued)

synfuel business. With that synfuel business included, Adjusted EBITDA was \$158.0 million in the first quarter of 2007, taking the nominal gain in Adjusted EBITDA to 4.8%.

First quarter 2008 net earnings increased 49.0% to \$29.2 million from the prior-year results of \$19.6 million. Diluted earnings per share (EPS) gained 53.8% to 20 cents per share from 13 cents in the first quarter of 2007. A lower tax rate in the quarter contributed to our earnings improvement. The 30.6% tax rate in the quarter benefited from one-time tax reductions that were concentrated in the quarter. For the year, we still expect our tax rate to be in the 33%-34% range, with cash tax expectations at about \$100 million.

A primary challenge in the quarter was that overall price fell \$6 million short of cost even as we have been working to speed the capture of price in rising cost environments. While the three primary segments (Industrial and Institutional Services, Energy Services and Paper Services) collectively captured price ahead of cost in the quarter, this was only because our monthly standard cost adjustments were not fast enough to keep pace with raw material cost increases in the quarter. As a result, \$6.5 million in unfavorable raw material purchase price variances were included in our Other segment for the quarter. We have refined this process so that these costs should be reflected immediately in our primary business segment results going forward.

Free Cash Flow, defined as cash from operating activities less capital expenditures and minority interest charges, was \$42.8 million for the first quarter 2008. For the prior-year period, Free Cash Flow totaled \$34.9 million. Most of the improvement resulted from lower pension contributions in the first quarter 2008 than in the year-ago quarter. Net cash provided by operating activities is reconciled to Free Cash Flow as follows:

	(dollars in millions) Three			
Months ended				
March 31, 2008	Three Months ended			
March 31, 2007	Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 70.6	\$ 57.9	Minority interests (1.4) (1.9)
	Additions to property, plant, and equipment, net	(26.4)	(21.1)	Free cash flow \$ 42.8 \$ 34.9

Working capital was not a source of cash in the quarter. Our Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) increased slightly from December 2007, as modest improvements in bringing down DSO in North America and Europe were offset by higher DSO in Latin America and Asia. Inventory levels grew modestly, but not surprisingly, as we generally have a first quarter inventory build ahead of our summer cooling water season. We maintain our annual cash flow expectations as being in the high \$200 million range this year. Capital expenditures are expected to be about \$120 million in 2008 and pension funding is expected to be about \$20 million above expense levels.

We are reiterating our performance projections for the year, expecting to grow Adjusted EBITDA at an 8% pace from a synfuel-removed base of \$707 million. Diluted EPS is projected to improve 35% before giving effect to the benefit of share repurchases.

Despite the rapid change in crude oil prices since our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K was published, we still expect the organic impact of product and freight cost changes to increase only slightly from the \$100 million range we earlier projected. However, if U.S. economic conditions improve in the coming months and additional demand sources are created for petrochemicals, this number could increase. We would then need to capture added price beyond what

we are putting in place today.

We have set six key management priorities for the remainder of 2008:

will continue to aggressively push price increases to at least offset cost increases. • First, we

16

Item 2.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations(continued)

continue working to get Europe turned around. We have just finished the top-level management team changes needed to make this happen, and we believe that we now have the talent, focus and execution in place to get Europe back to positive organic growth by the end of the year.

enhance growth in Asia and several other emerging geographies. We have clear internal strategic alignment behind what we call a BRIC-plus strategy that will focus a greater portion of resources, including research, on emerging market economies such as China, India, Russia, Brazil, the Middle East, the Caspian and West Africa.

continue to drive high growth in Energy Services by aggressively increasing resources in this business to drive growth in both Upstream and Downstream, with both existing and new technologies.

increase our efforts to accelerate growth in Industrial and Institutional Services (I&IS). Technology will play a key role here as we expand 3D TRASAR® technology to added applications and facilitate the rapid spread of Nalco Mobotec to Power and other customers. We also have some high-growth industries within I&IS that deserve more focus, and we will redirect resources to these markets simultaneous with our efforts to improve our focus on high-growth geographies.

technology commercialization across the businesses and the regions. Across our technology portfolio, we need to make bigger bets on the research side and combine that with faster, better-supported commercialization.

Given the size of the opportunity set and our capabilities and potential to deliver value to our customers through cost savings and sustainability improvements through reduced water usage, reduced energy usage, reduced air emissions, and new energy technologies, we are asking ourselves as a management team how we can step up the growth rate and our margins. Doing this will require us to focus more resources on the highest priority opportunities, to develop clear plans and to execute more effectively and consistently. We believe we have taken the first steps toward doing this.

We have great potential to strengthen this Company's performance and increase its value. But simply knowing that growth potential exists is easy. Now we need to deliver on this potential over time and demonstrate our ability to increase profitable growth.

Results of Operations – Consolidated

Quarter Ended March 31, 2008 Compared to the Quarter Ended March 31, 2007

Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2008 were \$999.7 million, a 9.9% increase from the \$909.3 million reported for the three months ended March 31, 2007. On an organic basis, which excludes the impacts of changes in foreign currency translation rates and acquisitions and divestitures, net sales were up 5.5%. On a geographic basis, North America, Asia and Latin America reported organic growth of 11.0%, 7.7% and 4.2%, respectively. Sales in the Europe, Africa, and Middle East (EAME) region were down 4.2% organically, which was primarily driven by declining business in mature Energy markets.

Gross profit, defined as the difference between net sales and cost of product sold, of \$435.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 increased by \$30.4 million, or 7.5%, over the \$404.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007. On an organic basis, gross profit increased by 2.3%. Contributing to the improvement were cost savings and higher sales volumes. Gross profit margin for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was 43.5% compared to 44.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Selling, administrative, and research expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2008 of \$308.8 million rose \$21.3 million, or 7.4%, from \$287.5 million for the year-ago period. On an organic

17

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations(continued)

basis, selling, administrative, and research expenses increased 1.1%. This was mostly attributable to higher salaries and travel. Lower insurance costs and outside consulting for work process redesign initiatives and the rationalization of our legal entity structure partly offset these increases. The decrease in insurance costs resulted from the receipt of a claim recovery.

Amortization of intangible assets was \$13.6 million and \$15.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decrease was attributable to lower amortization of customer relationships, which are amortized using an accelerated method.

Business optimization expenses, representing mostly employee severance and related costs associated with the continuing redesign and optimization of business and work processes, were \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and nil for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Employee severance and related cost in the three months ended March 31, 2007 were offset by the impact of a \$0.4 million reduction in the impairment provision for a facility that was held for sale.

Other income (expense), net was a net expense of \$3.0 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase was mostly attributable to a \$2.8 million unfavorable change in foreign currency transaction gains and losses resulting from the continued weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the euro and other currencies.

Net interest expense, defined as the combination of interest income and interest expense, of \$64.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 decreased by \$0.9 million from the \$65.7 million reported for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Translation rate changes due to the weaker U.S. dollar versus the euro increased interest expense by \$1.8 million, and accretion of our senior discount notes was \$0.8 million higher than a year ago. However, these increases were more than offset by the net impact of lower interest rates on our variable rate debt and a slightly higher average debt level compared to the year-ago period.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was 30.6%. The rate varies from the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the reversal of prior year state valuation allowances and the recovery of certain foreign taxes expensed in prior years. Other impacts on the effective tax rate, such as the incremental tax on dividends received from non-U.S. subsidiaries, foreign taxes provided at other than the 35% U.S. statutory rate, U.S. state income taxes, nondeductible expenses, and other permanent differences combined to result in essentially no impact on the provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

The incremental tax on dividends received from non-U.S. subsidiaries, foreign taxes provided at other than the 35% U.S. statutory rate, U.S. state income taxes, nondeductible expenses, and other permanent differences contributed to the variation between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and our effective income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Minority interest expense was \$0.5 million lower than the \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007. This change was mostly attributable to lower earnings reported by our Katayama Nalco joint venture in Japan.

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

in the Following Factors (dollars in millions) March 31,
2008 March 31,

2007 % Change Currency

Translation Acquisitions/

Divestitures	Organic Industrial & Institutional Services	\$ 81.0	\$ 85.1	(4.9)%	6.8%	(6.7)%	(5.0)%
Energy Services	75.4	62.0	21.6%	4.8%	—	16.8%	Paper Services 28.3 26.3 7.7%
	7.6%	—	0.1%	Other (29.2)	(22.5)	(30.0)%	(4.0)% — (26.0)%

Direct contribution of the Industrial and Institutional Services division was \$81.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, a decrease of 4.9% from the \$85.1 million reported for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Organically, direct contribution was down 5.0% as a result of lower gross earnings, primarily due to decreased sales volumes in Europe and changes in product mix.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations(continued)

Operating expenses were flat on an organic basis. The 6.7% decrease in direct contribution from acquisitions/divestitures was mostly attributable to the expiration of our synfuel business at the end of 2007.

The Energy Services division reported direct contribution of \$75.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, a 21.6% increase over the \$62.0 million reported for the year-ago period. On an organic basis, direct contribution increased 16.8% on the strength of higher sales volumes. The improvement was also partly due to unfavorable standard cost variances that were included in the Other segment in the first quarter of 2008. Operating expenses were up 10.7% organically, with the largest increases in salaries, employee benefits, commissions and travel expenses to support the growth in business.

The Paper Services division reported direct contribution of \$28.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, a 7.7% increase over the direct contribution reported for the first quarter of 2007. Organically, direct contribution was flat, as a slight improvement in gross earnings due to changes in product mix was offset by a modest increase in operating expenses, primarily salaries and commissions. Unfavorable standard cost variances captured in the Other segment also aided results in the first quarter of 2008.

The direct contribution loss of \$29.2 million reported in Other for the three months ended March 31, 2008 represented a \$6.7 million increase from the \$22.5 million direct contribution loss reported in the first quarter 2007, which was mostly attributable to an unfavorable change in raw material purchase price variances not allocated to the divisions.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating activities. Historically, our main source of liquidity has been our solid cash flow generated by operating activities. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, cash provided by operating activities was \$70.6 million, an increase of \$12.7 million over the same period last year. The improvement was mainly attributable to the \$9.6 million increase in net earnings and lower pension funding requirements, partly offset by an \$8.9 million increase in cash used for working capital.

Investing activities. Cash used for investing activities was \$32.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, which was mostly the result of net property additions of \$26.4 million and the acquisition of Veranum Tempus Engenharia for \$2.4 million.

Cash used for investing activities was \$20.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007. This was mostly the result of net property additions of \$21.1 million.

Financing activities. A net decrease in borrowings of \$45.5 million that included the repayment of \$50.0 million of borrowings against our revolving credit facility, purchases of treasury stock of \$7.6 million, and cash dividends of \$4.9 million accounted for most of the \$61.0 million of net cash used for financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Net cash used for financing activities totaled \$33.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2007, which was mostly attributable to a net decrease in borrowings.

Our liquidity requirements are significant, primarily due to debt service requirements as well as research and development and capital investment. Our primary source of liquidity will continue to be cash flow generated from operations. As of March 31, 2008, we also had \$250.0 million of borrowing capacity available under a revolving

credit facility (excluding \$23.9 million of outstanding standby letters of credit), subject to certain conditions. We believe that our financial position and financing structure will provide flexibility in worldwide financing activities and permit us to respond to changing conditions in credit markets.

Senior credit facilities. Our revolving credit facility is part of our senior credit facilities that were entered into on November 4, 2003. Our senior credit facilities initially included a \$300 million term

20

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations(continued)

loan A facility (including an €88.0 million tranche) maturing on November 4, 2009 and a \$1,300 million term loan B facility maturing on November 4, 2010. Borrowings under the senior credit facilities bear interest at a floating base rate plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin for borrowings under the revolving credit facility and the term loan A facility range from 1.00% to 1.50% with respect to base rate borrowings and 2.00% to 2.50% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings depending on our leverage ratio as defined by the facilities. The applicable margin for borrowings under the term loan B facility is 0.75% with respect to base rate borrowings and 1.75% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings. The applicable margin for borrowings under the term loan B facility is not subject to adjustment.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the senior credit facilities, we are required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the revolving credit facility in respect of the unutilized commitments at a rate equal to 0.50%. We also pay customary letter of credit fees.

The term loan A facility was scheduled to amortize each year in quarterly amounts at a rate of 5% per annum in year one, 10% per annum in year two, 15% per annum in year three, 20% per annum in year four and 25% per annum in each of years five and six.

The term loan B facility was scheduled to amortize each year in an amount equal to 1% per annum in equal quarterly installments for the first six years and nine months, with the remaining amount payable on November 4, 2010.

At March 31, 2008, the outstanding balance of the term loan A and term loan B facilities was \$39.7 million and \$887.0 million, respectively.

Principal amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility will be due and payable in full at maturity on November 4, 2009. At March 31, 2008, no borrowings were outstanding under the revolving credit facility.

The senior credit facilities contain a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, our ability and our subsidiaries' ability, including Nalco Company, to sell assets, incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock, repay other indebtedness, pay dividends and distributions or repurchase certain capital stock, create liens on assets, make investments, loans or advances, make certain acquisitions, engage in mergers or consolidations, enter into sale and leaseback transactions, engage in certain transactions with affiliates, amend certain material agreements governing our indebtedness, change the business conducted by us and our subsidiaries (including Nalco Company) and enter into hedging agreements. In addition, the senior credit facilities require Nalco Company to maintain the following financial covenants: a maximum total leverage ratio, a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum capital expenditures limitation. We were in compliance with all covenants at March 31, 2008.

Senior discount notes, senior notes and senior subordinated notes. As part of the Transactions, in November 2003, Nalco Company issued \$665 million aggregate principal amount of 7¾% U.S. dollar-denominated senior notes due 2011, €200 million aggregate principal amount of 7¾% euro-denominated senior notes due 2011, \$465 million aggregate principal amount of 87/8% U.S. dollar-denominated senior subordinated notes due 2013 and €200 million aggregate principal amount of 9% euro-denominated senior subordinated notes due 2013.

On January 21, 2004, our subsidiaries, Nalco Finance Holdings LLC and Nalco Finance Holdings Inc., issued \$694.0 million aggregate principal amount at maturity of 9.0% senior discount notes due 2014. Prior to February 1, 2009, interest will accrue on the notes in the form of an increase in the accreted value of such notes. The

accreted value of each note will increase from the date of issuance until February 1, 2009 at a rate of 9.0% per annum, reflecting the accrual of non-cash interest, such that the accreted value will equal the principal amount at maturity on February 1, 2009. Cash interest payments on the notes will be due and payable beginning in 2009. Our primary source of liquidity for

21

Item 2.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations(continued)

such payments will be cash flow generated from the operations of subsidiaries, including Nalco Holdings and Nalco Company. However, the terms of Nalco Company's senior credit agreement limit the amount of dividends and other transfers by Nalco Holdings and our other subsidiaries to the issuers of the senior discount notes. In addition, the terms of certain of the indentures governing the existing senior notes and senior subordinated notes of Nalco Company significantly restrict Nalco Company and our other subsidiaries from paying dividends, making distributions and otherwise transferring assets to the issuers. The ability of Nalco Company to make such payments is governed by a formula based on its consolidated net income. In addition, as a condition to making such payments to the issuers based on such formula, Nalco Holdings must have an Adjusted EBITDA to interest expense ratio of at least 2.0 to 1 after giving effect to any such payments. Notwithstanding such restrictions, such indentures permit an aggregate of \$50.0 million of such payments to be made whether or not there is availability under the formula or the conditions to its use are met.

In December 2004, Nalco Finance Holdings LLC and Nalco Finance Holdings Inc. redeemed a portion of the senior discount notes with an accreted value of \$162.3 million using proceeds from the initial public offering of common stock of Nalco Holding Company. The issuers paid a \$14.6 million premium to redeem the notes. After the partial redemption, the aggregate principal amount at maturity of the notes declined to \$460.8 million from \$694.0 million.

The indentures governing the senior discount notes, the senior notes and senior subordinated notes limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends on or make other distributions or repurchase certain capital stock;
- make certain investments;
- enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates;
- limit dividends or other payments by our restricted subsidiaries;
- use assets as security in other transactions; and
- sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies.

Subject to certain exceptions, the indentures governing the senior discount notes, the senior notes and senior subordinated notes permit our restricted subsidiaries and us to incur additional indebtedness, including secured indebtedness.

Covenant compliance. The breach of covenants in our senior credit agreement that are tied to ratios based on Adjusted EBITDA could result in a default under that agreement and the lenders could elect to declare all amounts borrowed due and payable. Any such acceleration would also result in a default under our indentures. Additionally, under our debt agreements, our ability to engage in activities such as incurring additional indebtedness, making investments and paying dividends is also tied to ratios based on Adjusted EBITDA.

Adjusted EBITDA is used to determine our compliance with many of the covenants contained in the indentures governing the notes and in our senior credit agreement. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA further adjusted to exclude unusual items and other adjustments permitted in calculating covenant compliance under the indentures and our senior credit facility. We believe that the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting Adjusted EBITDA are appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with our financing covenants.

22

Item 2.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations(continued)

Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as follows:

										(dollars in millions)
Three Months ended March 31, 2008										
Three Months ended March 31, 2007										
Net earnings	\$ 29.2	\$ 19.6	Interest, net	64.8	65.7	Income tax provision	13.5	14.7		
Depreciation	34.5	31.8	Amortization	13.6	15.2	EBITDA	\$ 155.6	\$ 147.0	Non-cash charges (1)	
Business optimization expenses (2)	9.2	9.5	Unusual items (3)	2.3	3.6	Other adjustments (4)				
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 165.6	\$ 158.0								

(1)

Non-cash charges are further detailed on the following table:

										(dollars in millions)
Three Months ended March 31, 2008										
Three Months ended March 31, 2007										
Profit-sharing expense and 401(k) funded by Suez	\$ 8.2	\$ 6.7	Other	1.0	2.8	Non-cash charges				
Profit Sharing and 401(k) Expense Funded by Suez	\$ 9.2	\$ 9.5								

In conjunction with the Acquisition, we entered into an agreement with Suez whereby Suez will reimburse us for certain profit-sharing and 401(k) matching contributions made by us to the Profit-Sharing Trust.

Other

Other non-cash charges include the non-cash impact on earnings of our equity investments and minority interests. Non-cash charges also includes the non-cash portion of rent expense under the sublease that we entered into with Suez in conjunction with the Acquisition.

(2)

Business optimization expenses include costs associated with the redesign and optimization of work processes. See Note 6 to Item 1 for more information.

(3) Unusual

items are further detailed on the following table:

										(dollars in millions)
Three Months ended March 31, 2008										
Three Months ended March 31, 2007										
Loss on sales, net of expenses	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.2	Other unusual items	1.5	3.4	Unusual items				
	\$ 2.3	\$ 3.6								

(4) We are required to make adjustments to EBITDA for franchise taxes and 401(k) matching contributions.

23

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations(continued)

Our covenant levels and ratios for the four quarters ended March 31, 2008 are as follows:

					March 31, 2008
Required	Actual	Senior credit facility (1)	Minimum Adjusted EBITDA to cash interest ratio		1.85x
3.41x	Maximum net debt to Adjusted EBITDA ratio	5.25x	3.80x	Indentures (2)	Minimum Adjusted
	EBITDA to fixed charge ratio required to incur additional debt pursuant to ratio provisions	2.00x	2.92x		(1)

During 2008, our senior credit facility requires us to maintain an Adjusted EBITDA to cash interest ratio at a minimum of 1.85x and a net debt to Adjusted EBITDA ratio at a maximum of 5.25x, in each case for the most recent four quarter period. Failure to satisfy these ratio requirements would constitute a default under the senior credit agreement. If our lenders failed to waive any such default, our repayment obligations under the senior credit agreement could be accelerated, which would also constitute a default under our indentures. (2) Our ability to incur additional debt and make certain restricted payments under our indentures, subject to specified exceptions, is tied to an Adjusted EBITDA to fixed charge ratio of at least 2.0 to 1, except that we may incur certain debt and make certain restricted payments and certain permitted investments without regard to the ratio, such as up to an aggregate principal amount of \$1,950 million (including \$926.7 million that was outstanding under our term loan facilities as of March 31, 2008) and investments in similar business and other investments equal to 6% of Nalco Holding Company consolidated assets.

Local lines of credit. Certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries have lines of credit to support local requirements. As of March 31, 2008, the aggregate outstanding balance under these local lines of credit was approximately \$44.2 million. Certain of these lines of credit are equally and ratably secured with obligations under our senior credit facilities.

Receivables facility. Nalco Company entered into a three-year receivables facility on June 22, 2007 that provides up to \$160 million in funding from a commercial paper conduit sponsored by Bank of America, N.A., one of the lenders under Nalco Company's senior credit facilities, based on availability of eligible receivables and satisfaction of other customary conditions.

Availability of funding under the receivables facility in a given month depends primarily upon the outstanding trade accounts receivable balance at the end of the previous month. Aggregate availability is determined by using a formula that reduces the gross receivables balance by factors that take into account historical default and dilution rates, excessive concentrations and average days outstanding and the costs of the facility. As of March 31, 2008, we had \$143.0 million of outstanding borrowings under this facility, based on the amount of receivables eligible for financing as of February 29, 2008.

This facility is treated as a general financing agreement resulting in the borrowings and related receivables being shown as liabilities and assets, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheet and the costs associated with the receivables facility being recorded as interest expense.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 15 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, included in Part I, Item 1, for information on recent accounting pronouncements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes to our exposures to market risk since December 31, 2007.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a)

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of our “disclosure controls and procedures” (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period, have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2008.

(b)

Changes in internal controls over financial reporting.

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the first quarter of 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On November 27, 2006, the U.K. Health and Safety Executive (“HSE”) issued a criminal summons charging our U.K. subsidiary with a violation of the Health and Safety at Work Act. The summons was re-issued in the Crown Court of Worcester on July 23, 2007. The charge relates to a Legionella outbreak that is claimed to have originated at cooling towers owned by one of the subsidiary’s customers. The Legionella outbreak is believed to have resulted in two fatalities and multiple injuries. The customer, H. P. Bulmer Limited, is also charged. Our subsidiary submitted a guilty plea to a portion of the charge, exposing non-employees to a health risk, on September 3, 2007. Similarly, our subsidiary’s customer submitted a guilty plea. Our subsidiary has presented a position paper and mitigation statement and is awaiting a ruling on the amount of a penalty. We have established an accrual in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, that we consider appropriate in the circumstances. Based on information currently available, we do not believe that the outcome of this matter will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The table below sets forth information regarding repurchases of our common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2008:

(a)						
Total Number of Shares Purchased (b)						
Average Price Paid per Share (c)						
Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1) (d)						
Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)(2)	January 1, 2008 – January 31, 2008	— \$ —	\$ 192,004,538	February 1, 2008 – February 29, 2008	— \$ —	\$ 192,004,538
	March 1, 2008 – March 31, 2008	750,000	\$ 21.65	750,000		
	\$ 175,770,562	Total	750,000	\$ 21.65	750,000	\$ 175,770,562

(1) On

July 31, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a \$300 million share repurchase program, and gave our management discretion in determining the conditions under which shares may be purchased from time to time. We intend to repurchase all shares under this authorization in open market transactions. There is no set timetable for share repurchases, and the program has no stated expiration date. (2) For the quarter ended March 31, 2008, we expended

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

\$7.6 million in cash for the repurchase of shares. An additional \$8.6 million was reported as a payable on the balance sheet at March 31, 2008 for share repurchases executed in March 2008 and settling in April 2008.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) The following are included herein:

Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Exhibit 31.1 Certification of
Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Exhibit 31.2 Certification of
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Exhibit 32.1 Certifications
Segment Information – 2007 Results Reclassified to Conform with 2008 Presentation	Exhibit 99.1

SIGNATURE

The registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NALCO HOLDING COMPANY /s/ BRADLEY J. BELL Name: Bradley J. Bell Title: Executive Vice
President and
Chief Financial Officer
Dated: May 2, 2008

27
