

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC
Form 10KSB
April 15, 2003

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Transition Period from to
Commission File Number 0-28498

Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc.
(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

87-0459536
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

2355 South 1070 West, Salt Lake City, Utah
(Address of principal executive offices)

84119
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (801) 977-8970

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each Class -----	Name of each exchange on which registered -----
None	None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Registrant's revenues for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 were \$5,368,000.

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of March 31, 2003 was approximately \$3,741,000 based on the closing price on that date on the Nasdaq SmallCap Market.

As of March 31, 2003, Registrant had outstanding 23,378,378 shares of Common

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Stock, 5,757 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 8,986 shares of Series B Preferred Stock, no shares of Series C Preferred Stock and 10,000 shares of Series D Preferred Stock, 13,050 shares of Series E Preferred Stock and 39,866 shares of Series F Preferred Stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Additional documents set forth in Part IV hereof are incorporated by reference.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes [] No [X]

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

General

The Company develops, manufactures, sources, markets and sells ophthalmic surgical and diagnostic instrumentation and related accessories, including disposable products. The Company's surgical equipment is designed for minimally invasive cataract treatment. The Company markets three cataract surgery systems with related accessories and disposable products. The Company's flagship cataract removal system, the Photon(TM) laser system, is a laser cataract surgery system marketed as the next generation of cataract removal. The Photon(TM) product is currently under review by the Food Drug and Administration ("FDA"). The Photon(TM) is available for sale in many markets outside of the United States. Both the Photon(TM) and the Precisionist ThirtyThousand (TM) are manufactured as an Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM). The Company plans to market the Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM) as a plug-in module for the Photon(TM) and other lasers for use in eye care and other medical specialties. The Company also offers the SIStem(TM), a mid-range priced ultrasonic phaco, and competes in the market segment that only desires an ultrasonic phaco.

The Company's diagnostic products include a pachymeter, an A-Scan, an A/B Scan, an UBM biomicroscope, a perimeter, a corneal topographer and the Blood flow Analyzer(TM). The diagnostic ultrasonic products including the pachymeter, the A-Scan, the A/B Scan and the UBM biomicroscope were acquired from Humphrey Systems, a division of Carl Zeiss in 1998. The Company developed and offered for sale in the fall of 2000 the P45, which combines the A/B Scan and the UBM in one machine. The perimeter and the corneal topographer were added when the company acquired the outstanding shares of the stock of Vismed, Inc. d/b/a/ Dicon(TM) in June 2000. The Company purchased Ocular Blood Flow, Ltd. ("OBF") in June 2000 whose principal product is the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). This product is designed for the measurement of intraocular pressure and pulsatile ocular blood flow volume for detection and treatment of glaucoma. The Company is currently developing additional applications for all of its diagnostic products.

A cataract is a condition, that largely affects the elderly population, in which the natural lens of the eye hardens and becomes cloudy, thereby reducing visual acuity. Treatment consists of removal of the cloudy lens and replacement with a synthetic lens implant, which restores visual acuity. Cataract surgery is the single largest volume and revenue producing outpatient surgical procedure for ophthalmologists worldwide. The Health Care Finance Administration reports that in the United States approximately two million cataract removal procedures are performed annually, making this the largest outpatient procedure reimbursed by Medicare. Most cataract procedures are performed using a method called phacoemulsification or "phaco", which employs a high frequency (40 kHz to 60 kHz) ultrasonic probe needle device to fragment the cataract while still in the eye and remove it in pieces by suction through a

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

small incision.

In June 1997, the Company received FDA clearance to market the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for measurement of intraocular pressure and pulsatile ocular blood flow for the detection of glaucoma and other retina related diseases. Ocular blood flow is critical, the reduction of which may cause nerve fiber bundle death through oxygen deprivation, thus resulting in visual field loss associated with glaucoma. The Company's Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) is a portable automated in-office system that presents an affordable method for ocular blood flow testing for the ophthalmic and optometric practitioner. In June 2000, the Company purchased OBF, the manufacturer of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The terms and conditions of the sale were \$100,000 in cash and 100,000 shares of common stock. In April 2001, the Company received authorization to use a CPT code for procedures performed with the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), which provides for a reimbursement to doctors using the device. In the fall of 2001, the manufacturing of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) was moved to the Company's San Diego facility from an outsourced facility in England.

On July 23, 1998, the Company entered into an Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets with the Humphrey Systems Division of Carl Zeiss, Inc. to acquire the ownership and manufacturing rights to certain assets of Humphrey Systems that are used in the manufacturing and marketing of an ultrasonic microprocessor-based line of ophthalmic diagnostic instruments, including the Ultrasonic Biometer Model 820, the A/B Scan System Model 837, the Ultrasound Pachymeter Model 855, and the Ultrasound Biomicroscope Model 840, and all accessories, packaging and end-user collateral materials for each of the product lines for the sum of \$500,000, payable in the form of 78,947 shares of Common Stock which were issued to Humphrey Systems and 26,316 shares of Common Stock which were issued to business broker Douglas Adams. If the net proceeds received by Humphrey Systems from the sale of the shares issued pursuant to the Agreement was less than \$375,000, after payment of commissions, transfer taxes and other expenses relating to the sale of such shares, the Company would be required to issue additional shares of Common Stock, or pay additional funds to Humphrey Systems as would be necessary to increase the net proceeds from the sale of the assets to \$375,000. Since Humphrey Systems realized only \$162,818 from the sale of 78,947 shares of the Company's common stock, the Company issued 80,000 additional shares in January 1999 to enable Humphrey Systems to receive its guaranteed amount. The amount of \$21,431 was paid to the Company as excess proceeds from the sale of this additional stock.

2

The rights to the ophthalmic diagnostic instruments, that have been purchased from Humphrey Systems, complement both the Company's cataract surgical equipment and its ocular Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The Ultrasonic Biometer calculates the prescription for the intraocular lens to be implanted during cataract surgery. The Ultrasound Pachymeter measures corneal thickness for the new refractive surgical applications that eliminate the need for eyeglasses and for optometric applications including contact lens fitting. The A/B Scan System combines the Ultrasonic Biometer and ultrasound imaging for advanced diagnostic testing throughout the eye and is a viable tool for retinal specialists. The Ultrasound Biomicroscope utilizes microscopic digital ultrasound resolution for detection of tumors and improved glaucoma management. The Company introduced the P45 in the fall of 2000, which combines the A/B Scan, and the Ultrasonic Biometer in one machine.

On October 21, 1999, the Company purchased Mentor's surgical product line, consisting of the Phaco SIStem(TM), the Odyssey(TM) and the Surg-E-Trol(TM). This acquisition rounds out the Company's cataract surgery product line by adding entry-level, moderately priced cataract surgery products. The transaction was paid for with \$1.5 million worth of Paradigm common stock.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

On June 5, 2000, the Company purchased Vismed Inc. d/b/a Dicon(TM) under a pooling of interest accounting treatment. The purchase included the Dicon(TM) perimeter product line consisting of the LD 400, the TKS 4000, the SST(TM), FieldLink(TM), FieldView(TM) and Advanced FieldView and the corneal topographer product line, the CT 200(TM), the CT 50 and an ongoing service and software business. Perimeters are used to determine retinal sensitivity testing the visual pathway. Corneal topographers are used to determine the shape and integrity of the cornea, the anterior surface of the eye. Corneal topographers are used for the refractive surgical applications that eliminate the need for eyeglasses and for optometric applications including contact lens fitting.

In January 2002, the Company purchased the Innovatome™ microkeratome of Innovative Optics, Inc. ("Innovative Optics") by issuing an aggregate of 1,272,825 shares of its common stock, warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$5.00 per share, exercisable over a period of three years from the closing date, and \$100,000 in cash. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 ("SFAS No. 141").

The Company acquired from Innovative Optics, the raw materials, work in process and finished goods inventories. Additionally, it acquired the furniture and equipment of Innovative Optics used in the manufacturing process of the microkeratome console and the inspection and packaging of the disposable blades. The Company was unsuccessful in supplying the disposable blades. The Company discontinued the marketing and sales efforts of this product during the third quarter of 2002.

Background

Corporate History: The Company's business originated with Paradigm Medical, Inc. ("PMI"), a California corporation formed in October 1989. PMI developed the Company's present ophthalmic business and was operated by its founders Thomas F. Motter and Robert W. Millar. In May 1993, PMI merged with and into the Company. At the time of the merger, the Company was a dormant public shell existing under the name French Bar Industries, Inc. ("French Bar"). French Bar had operated a mining and tourist business in Montana. Prior to its merger with PMI in 1993, French Bar had disposed of its mineral and mining assets in a settlement of outstanding debt and had returned to the status of a dormant entity. Pursuant to the merger, the Company caused a 1-for-7.96 reverse stock split of its shares of Common Stock. The Company then acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock of PMI using shares of its own Common Stock as consideration. As part of the merger, the Company changed its name from French Bar Industries, Inc. to Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc. and the management of PMI assumed control of the Company. In April 1994, the Company caused a 1-for-5 reverse stock split of its shares of Common Stock. In February 1996, the Company re-domesticated to Delaware pursuant to a reorganization.

Overview

Disorders of the Eye: The human eye is a complex organ which functions much like a camera, with a lens in front and a light-sensitive screen, the retina, in the rear. The intervening space contains a transparent jelly-like substance, the vitreous, which together with the outer layer, the sclera and cornea, helps the eyeball to maintain its shape. Light enters through the cornea, a transparent domed window at the front of the eye. The size of the pupil, an aperture in the center of the iris, controls the amount of light that is then focused by the lens onto the retina as an upside-down image. The lens is the internal optical component of the eye and is responsible for adjusting focus. The lens is enclosed in a capsule. The retina is believed to contain more

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

than 130 million light-receptor cells. These cells convert light into nerve impulses that are transmitted right-side up by the optic nerve to the brain, where they are interpreted. Muscles attached to the eye control its movements.

Birth defects, trauma from accidents, disease and age related deterioration of the components of the eye could all contribute to eye disorders. The most common eye disorders are either pathological or refractive.

3

Many pathological disorders of the eye can be corrected by surgery. These include cataracts (clouded lenses), glaucoma (elevated pressure in the eye), corneal disorders such as scars, defects and irregular surfaces and vitreo-retinal disorders such as the attachment of membrane growths to the retina causing blood leakage within the eye. All of these disorders can impair vision. Many refractive disorders can be corrected through the use of eyeglasses and contact lenses. Myopia (nearsightedness), hyperopia (farsightedness) and presbyopia (inability to focus) are three of the most common refractive disorders.

Ultrasound Technology: Ultrasound devices have been used in ophthalmology since the late 1960's for diagnostic and surgical applications when treating or correcting eye disorders. In diagnostics, ultrasound instruments are used to measure distances and shapes of various parts of the eye for prescription of eyeglasses and contact lenses and for calculation of lens implant prescriptions for cataract surgery treatment. These devices emit sound waves through a hand-held probe that is placed onto or near the eye with the sound waves emitted being reflected by the targeted tissue. The reflection "echo" is computed into a distance value that is presented as a visual image, or cross-section of the eye, with precise measurements displayed and printed for diagnostic use by the surgeon.

Surgical use of ultrasound in ophthalmology is limited to treatment of cataract lenses in the eye through a procedure called phacoemulsification or "phaco." A primary objective of cataract surgeries is the removal of the opacified (cataract) lens through an incision that is as small as possible. The opacified lens is then replaced by a new synthetic lens intraocular implant ("IOL"). Phaco technology involves a process by which a cataract is broken into small pieces using ultrasonic shock waves delivered through a hollow, open-ended metal needle attached to a hand-held probe. The fragments of cataracts tissue are then removed through aspiration. Phaco systems were first designed in the late 1960's after various attempts by surgeons to use other techniques to remove opacified lenses, including crushing, cutting, freezing, drilling and applying chemicals to the cataract. By the mid-1970's, ultrasound had proven to be the most effective technology to fragment cataracts. Market Scope's (Manchester, Missouri), "The 2001 Report on the Worldwide Cataract Market", January 2001 indicates that phaco cataract treatment was the technology for cataract removal used in over 80% of surgeries in the United States and over 20% of all foreign surgeries.

Laser Technology: The term "laser" is an acronym for Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation. Lasers have been commonly used for a variety of medical and ophthalmic procedures since the 1960's. Lasers emit photons into a highly intense beam of energy that typically radiates at a single wavelength or color. Laser energy is generated and intensified in a laser tube or solid-state cavity by charging and exciting photons of energy contained within material called the lasing medium. This stored light energy is then delivered to targeted tissue through focusing lenses by means of optical mirrors or fiber optics. Most laser systems use solid state crystals or gases as their lasing medium. Differing wavelengths of laser light are produced by the selection of the lasing medium. The medium selected determines the laser

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

wavelength emitted, which in turn is absorbed by the targeted tissue in the body. Different tissues absorb different wavelengths or colors of laser light. The degree of absorption by the tissue also varies with the choice of wavelength and is an important variable in treating various tissues. In a surgical laser, light is emitted in either a continuous stream or in a series of short duration "pulses", thus interacting with the tissue through heat and shock waves, respectively. Several factors, including the wavelength of the laser and the frequency and duration of the pulse or exposure, determine the amount of energy that interacts with the targeted tissue and, thus, the amount of surgical effect on the tissue.

Lasers are widely accepted in the ophthalmic community for treatment of certain eye disorders and are popular for surgical applications because of their relatively non-invasive nature. In general, ophthalmic lasers, such as argon, Nd:YAG and excimer (argon-fluoride) are used to coagulate, cut or ablate targeted tissue. The argon laser is used to treat leaking blood vessels on the retina (retinopathy) and retinal detachment. The excimer laser is used in corneal refractive surgery. The Nd:YAG pulsed laser is used to perforate clouded posterior capsules (posterior capsulotomy) and to relieve glaucoma-induced elevated pressure in the eye (iridotomy, trabeculectomy, transcleral cyclophotocoagulation). Argon, Nd:YAG and excimer lasers are primarily used for one or two clinical applications each. In contrast to these conventional laser systems, the Company's Photon(TM) laser cataract system is designed to be used for multiple ophthalmic applications, including certain new applications that may be made possible with the Company's proprietary technology. Such new applications, however, must be tested in clinical trials and be approved by the FDA.

Products -----

The Company's principal proprietary surgical products are systems for use by ophthalmologists to perform surgical treatment procedures to remove cataracts. The Company has complete ownership of each product with no technological licensing limitations.

The SIStem(TM): The SIStem(TM) is the Company's state-of-the-art, entry-level phacoemulsification system. The SIStem(TM) is designed to be a full-featured, cost-effective, reliable phaco machine. The competitive feature package includes automated priming and tuning, error detection, audible feedback, patented fluidics system, pneumatic vitrectomy and bipolar electrosurgical coagulation. With both reusable and single-use consumables, the SIStem(TM) is positioned for the world's primary ultrasonic phaco markets, including the United States, Europe and Asia. Fiscal years 2001 and 2000 sales of the SIStem(TM) represented approximately 3% and 9% of total revenues, respectively.

4

Precisionist ThirtyThousand(TM): The Precisionist ThirtyThousand(TM) (the "Precisionist(TM)") is the Company's core phaco surgical technology. The Precisionist(TM) was placed into production and offered for sale in 1997. As a phaco cataract surgery system, the Company believes the Precisionist(TM) with its new fluidics panel is equal or superior to the present competitive systems in the United States. The system features a graphic color display and unique proprietary on-board computer and graphic user interface linked to a soft-key membrane panel for flexible programmable operation. The system provides real-time "on-the-fly" adjustment capabilities for each surgical parameter during the surgical procedure for high-volume applications. In addition, the Precisionist(TM) provides one hundred pre-programmable surgery set-ups, with a second level of sub-programmed custom modes within each major surgical screen

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

(i.e., ultrasound phaco and irrigation/aspiration modes). The Precisionist(TM) features the Company's newly developed proprietary fluidics panel which is completely non-invasive for improved sterility and to provide a surgical environment in the eye that virtually eliminates fluidic surge and solves chamber maintenance problems normally associated with phaco cataract surgery. This new fluidics system provides greater control for the surgeon and allows the safe operation at much higher vacuum settings by sampling changes in aspiration 100 times per second. Greater vacuum in phaco surgery means less use of ultrasound or laser energy to fragment the cataract and less chance for surrounding tissue damage. In addition to the full complement of surgical modalities (e.g., irrigation, aspiration, bipolar coagulation and anterior vitrectomy), system automation includes "dimensional" audio feedback of vacuum levels and voice confirmation for major system functions, providing an intuitive environment in which the advanced phaco surgeon can concentrate on the surgical technique rather than the equipment. Sales of the Precisionist(TM) were not significant in the fiscal years 2002 or 2001.

Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM): The Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM) (the Workstation(TM)) comprises the base system of the Precisionist(TM) ThirtyThousand(TM) and is the first system to the knowledge of the Company, which uses the expansive capabilities of today's advanced computer technology to offer seamless open architecture expandability of the system hardware and software modules. The Workstation(TM) utilizes an embedded open architecture computer developed for the Company and controlled by a proprietary software system developed by the Company that interfaces with all components of the system. Ultrasound, fluidics (irrigation), aspiration, venting, coagulation and anterior vitrectomy (pneumatic) are all included in the base model. Each component is controlled as a peripheral module within this fully integrated system. This approach allows for seamless expansion and refinement of the Workstation(TM) with the ability to add other hardware and software features. Expansion such as the Company's Photon(TM) laser system and hardware for additional surgical applications are easily implemented by means of a pre-existing expansion rack, which resides in the base of the Workstation(TM). These expanded capabilities could include, but would not be limited to laser systems, video surgical fiber optic imaging, cutting and electrosurgery equipment. However, there is no guarantee that the Workstation(TM) will be accepted in the marketplace. If the FDA approves the Photon(TM), the Company will refer to the Workstation(TM) as the Photon(TM) Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM). To date, the Company has not commercially developed or offered for sale any other added hardware or software features to its Workstation (TM).

Photon(TM) Laser System: The Photon(TM) laser cataract system, which is still subject to FDA approval, is designed to be installed as a seamless plug-in upgrade or add-on to the Company's Precisionist(TM) Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM). The plug-in platform concept is unique in the ophthalmic surgical market for systems of this magnitude and presents a unique market opportunity for the Company. The main elements of the laser system are the Nd:YAG laser module, Photon(TM) laser software package and interchangeable disposable hand-held fiber optic laser cataract probe. The Photon(TM) laser utilizes the on-board microprocessor computer of the Workstation(TM) to generate short pulse laser energy developed through the patented LCP(TM) to targeted cataract tissue inside the eye, while simultaneously irrigating the eye and aspirating the diseased cataract tissue from the eye. The probe is smaller in diameter than conventional ultrasound phaco needles and presents no damaging vibration or heat build-up in the eye. The Company's Phase I clinical trials demonstrated that this probe can easily reduce the size of the cataract incision from 3.0 mm to under 2.0 mm thereby reducing surgical trauma and complementing current foldable intraocular implant technology. The laser probe may also eliminate any possibility for burns around the incision or at the cornea and may therefore be used with cataract surgery techniques which utilize a more delicate clear cornea incision which can eliminate sutures and be conducted with topical anesthesia. However, this system may not effectively remove harder grade

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

cataracts. Harder grade cataracts can be removed using the already existing ultrasound capability of the Precisionist(TM).

The Company intends to refine the laser delivery system and laser cataract surgical technique used on soft cataracts through expanded research and clinical studies. As far as the Company can determine, no integrated single laser photofragmenting probe is presently available on the market that uses laser energy directly, contained in an enclosed probe, to denature cataract tissue at a precise location inside the eye while simultaneously irrigating and aspirating the site.

The Company's laser system is based upon the concept that pulsed laser energy produced with the micro-processor controlled Nd:YAG laser system provides ophthalmic surgeons with a more precise and less traumatic alternative in cataract surgery. Although conventional ultrasonic surgical systems have proven effective and reliable in clinical use for many years, their use of high frequency shock waves and vibration to fragment the cataract can make the procedure difficult and can present risk of complication both during and after surgery. In contrast, the Company's laser system, which utilizes short centralized energy bursts, should permit the delivery of the laser beam with less trauma to adjacent tissue. Therefore, unlike ultrasonic systems, whose vibrations and shock waves affect (and can damage) non-cataracts tissues within the eye, the Company's Photon(TM) laser cataract system should only affect tissues it comes into direct contact with.

5

In addition to cataract surgery, the Company believes that its Photon(TM) laser system is capable of being configured with specialty probes for use in other ophthalmic surgical and other medical procedures. In October of 2000, the Company received FDA approval for the Photon(TM) Workstation(TM) to be used with a 532nm green laser which is effective for medical procedures other than cataract removal, such as photocoagulation of retinal and venous anomalies within or outside the eye, pigmented lesions around the orbital socket, posterior or anterior procedures associated with glaucoma or diabetes and general photocoagulation for various dermatological venous anomalies including telangiectasia (surface veins), or commonly referred to as "spider veins". The goal is to be able to integrate multiple laser wavelengths into one system or workstation that can be used for multiple medical specialties. This approval represents only one of the potential applications that could represent substantial growth opportunities including additional sales of equipment, instruments, accessories and disposables. The Photon(TM) Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM) has not been commercially developed with any other added hardware or software features. There is no guarantee that the ophthalmic surgery market will accept the laser in this capacity or that the FDA will grant approval. See the Regulation section below ..

Surgical Instruments, Accessories and Disposables: In addition to the cataract surgery equipment, the Company's surgical systems utilize or will utilize accessory instruments and disposables, some of which are proprietary to the Company. These include replacement ultrasound tips, sleeves, tubing sets and fluidics packs, instrument drapes and laser cataract probes. The Company intends to expand its disposable accessories as it further penetrates the cataract surgery market and expands the treatment applications for its Workstation(TM). These products contributed approximately 11% and 8% of total revenues for 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Diagnostic Eye Care Products: Glaucoma is a second leading cause of adult blindness in the world. Glaucoma is described as a partial or total loss of visual field resulting from certain progressive disease or degeneration of the retina, macula or nerve fiber bundle. The cause and mechanism of the

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

glaucoma pathology is not completely understood. Present detection methods focus on the measurement of intraocular pressure in the eye, visual field and observation of the optic nerve head to determine the possibility of pressure being exerted upon the retina, and optic nerve fiber bundle, which can diminish visual field. Recently, retinal blood circulation has been indicated as a key component in the presence of glaucoma. Some companies produce color Doppler equipment in the \$80,000 price range intended to provide measurement of ocular blood flow activity in order to diagnose and treat glaucoma at an earlier stage.

Blood Flow Analyzer(TM): In June 1997, the Company received FDA clearance to market the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for early detection and treatment management of glaucoma and other retina related diseases. The device measures not only intraocular pressure but also pulsatile ocular blood flow, the reduction of which may cause nerve fiber bundle death through oxygen deprivation thus resulting in visual field loss associated with glaucoma. The Company's Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) is a portable automated in-office system that presents an affordable method for ocular blood flow testing for the ophthalmic and optometric practitioner. This was the Company's first diagnostic eye care device. The device is a portable desktop system that utilizes a proprietary and patented pneumatic Air Membrane Applanation Probe(TM) (the "AMAP TM"), which can be attached to any model of standard examination slit lamp, which is then placed on the cornea of the patient's eye to measure the intraocular pressure within the eye. The device is unique in that it reads a series of intraocular pressure pulses over a short period of time (approximately five to ten seconds) and generates a waveform profile, which can be correlated to blood flow volume within the eye. A proprietary software algorithm developed by David M. Silver, Ph.D., of Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory calculates the blood flow volume. The device presents a numerical intraocular pressure reading and blood flow analysis rating in a concise printout, which is affixed to the patient history file. In addition, the data generated by the device can be downloaded to a personal computer system for advanced database development and management.

The Company markets the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) as a stand-alone model packaged with a custom built computer system. The Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) utilizes a single-use disposable cover for its AMAP(TM) corneal probe which is shipped in sterile packages. The AMAP (TM) probe tip cover provides accurate readings and acts as a prophylactic barrier for the patient. The device has been issued a patent in the European Economic Community and the United States and has a patent pending in Japan. The FDA cleared the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for marketing in June 1997 and the Company commenced selling the system in September 1997. In addition to the Humphrey products, this diagnostic product allowed the Company to expand its market to approximately 35,000 optometry practitioners in the United States in addition to the approximately 18,000 ophthalmic practitioners who currently perform eye surgeries and are candidates for the Company's surgical systems.

In April 2001, the Company received authorization from the CPT Code Research and Development Division of the American Medical Association to use a common procedure terminology (CPT) code for its Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) which provides for a reimbursement to doctors. In the fall of 2001, the manufacturing activities for the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) were moved to the San Diego facility from the outsourced plant located in England. The revenues from sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) represented approximately 9% and 25% of total 2002 and 2001 revenues, respectively. On November 4, 2002, the Company received FDA approval for expanded indications of use of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for pulsatile ocular blood flow, volume and pulsatility equivalence index. Also, the Company is continuing its aggressive campaign to educate the payers about the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), its purposes and the significance of its performance in

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

patient care in order to achieve reimbursements to the providers. These efforts should lead to a more positive effect on sales

When the Company completed a stock purchase transaction on June 20, 2000, it acquired all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Ocular Blood Flow, Ltd., ("OBF"), from Malcolm Redman, the sole shareholder of OBF, including the rights to the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The Company entered into a three-year consulting agreement with Mr. Redman, requiring the payment of 36 equal monthly installments of \$6,000 through June 20, 2003, for consulting services. As of December 31, 2002, the Company owed \$12,000 to Mr. Redman for payments due on November 20, 2002 and December 20, 2002. The Company also entered into a Royalty Agreement with Mr. Redman on June 20, 2000, requiring a royalty of 10% of the net sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), including underlying workstation units, sold by the Company. As of December 31, 2002, approximately \$169,016 in royalties were due and owing to Mr. Redman under the Royalty Agreement.

Dicon(TM) perimeters consist of the LD 400, the TKS 4000, the SST(TM), FieldLink(TM), FieldView(TM) and Advanced FieldView. Perimeters are used to determine retinal sensitivity testing the visual pathway. Perimeters have become a standard of care in the detection and monitoring of glaucoma worldwide. Perimetry is reimbursable worldwide. The Dicon(TM) perimeters feature patented kinetic fixation and voice synthesis now in 27 different languages. Software programs are sold to assist in the analysis of the test results. Sales of the perimeters generated approximately 20% and 15% of the 2002 and 2001 total revenues, respectively.

Dicon(TM) corneal topographers include the CT 200(TM) and the CT 50. Corneal topographers are used to determine the shape and integrity of the cornea, the anterior surface of the eye. Clinical applications for corneal topographers include refractive surgery that eliminates the need for eyeglasses and optometric applications including contact lens fitting. Revenues from the topographer were 7% and 12% of the total revenues for 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Pachymetric Analyzer: The ultrasonic pachymeter is used for measurement of corneal thickness. The Model P55 is positioned as a standard office pachymeter. This device is targeted to the refractive surgery market and contributed approximately 3% and 1% to the total revenues for 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Ultrasonic A-Scan: The Ultrasonic A-Scan was and remains the industry standard for axial length eye measurement, which is a prerequisite procedure reimbursed by Medicare and is performed before every cataract surgery. Over 5,000 A-Scan systems have been installed in the worldwide market, representing a substantial market opportunity for software upgrades and extended warranty contract sales. A-Scan sales were approximately 2% of the total 2002 and 2001 revenues.

Ultrasonic A/B Scan: The A/B Scan is used by retinal sub-specialists to identify foreign bodies in the posterior chamber of the eye and to evaluate the structural integrity of the retina. The A/B Scan is attractive to the general ophthalmic community at large because of its lower price point. Sales from this product were approximately 6% and 5% of the total 2002 and 2001 revenues, respectively.

Ultrasonic Biomicroscope ("UBM"): The UBM was developed by Humphrey Systems in conjunction with the New York Eye and Ear Infirmary in Manhattan and the University of Toronto. The UBM and its intellectual property were included in the purchase from Humphrey Systems and gives the Company the proprietary rights to this device. The UBM creates a high-resolution computer image of the unseen parts of the eye that is a "map" for the glaucoma surgeon. The UBM is an

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

"enabling technology" for the ophthalmologist, one that the Company has repositioned for broader market sales penetration. Formerly sold only to glaucoma sub-specialty practitioners, the Company reintroduced the UBM at a price-point targeted for the average practitioner seeking to add glaucoma filtering surgical procedures and income to his/her cataract surgical practice.

The UBM related surgical filtering procedures are fully reimbursable by Medicare and insurance providers. This untapped new market positions the Company with its proprietary UBM and to its knowledge, the only commercially viable product of this type on the market, as a leader in the rapidly expanding glaucoma imaging and treatment segment. In the fall of 2000 the company introduced the P45 which combines the UBM and the A/B Scan in one instrument. The Company believes that by combining functions, the P45 will appeal to a broader market. UBM sales were approximately 13% and 11% of total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The P45 contributed approximately 12% of total revenues for 2002 and approximately 9% of total 2001 revenues.

In July of 2000, the Company received ISO 9001 and EN 46001 certification using TUV Essen as the notified body. Under ISO 9001 certification, all of the Companies products are now CE marked. The CE mark allows the Company to ship product for revenue into the European Community. The Company successfully retained its certification in 2002.

7

Marketing and Sales

Ophthalmologists are mainly office-based and perform their surgeries in local hospitals or surgical centers that provide the necessary surgical equipment and supplies. Ophthalmologists are generally involved in decisions relating to the purchase of equipment and accessories for their independent ambulatory surgical centers and for the hospitals with which they are affiliated. This provides the opportunity for direct, targeted, personal selling, responsive high quality customer service and short buying cycles to achieve a product sale in the office or hospital. Hospitals also comprise a significant market, as recent demand for ultrasonic surgery technology has put pressure on the ophthalmologist, who in turn persuades the hospital to install the latest technology system so that he can offer this procedure to his patients and the community.

Industry analysts report that the United States ophthalmic surgical device market has been characterized by slower growth in recent years. This has apparently been caused by the potential reforms associated with the health care industry. Further, hospitals have been inclined to keep their older phaco machines longer than expected as they have been forced to mind budgets more carefully and have become less willing to invest in capital equipment until more information on health care reform becomes available. However, analysts predict that the ophthalmic surgical device market will see renewed growth in the coming years as the health care environment stabilizes and as the growing elderly population produces an increased number of cataract surgeries. As a consequence of these factors, the market should see a greater rate of replacement of older machines that hospitals and surgeons have been postponing for longer than usual.

Current Market Acceptance and Potential: The principal purchasers of the Company's products have been ophthalmologists, optometrists and clinics in many countries throughout the world. The Company believes that the market for its products is being driven by: (i) the aging of the population, which is evidenced by the domestic and international cataract surgery volume growth trend over the past ten years, (The National Eye Institute reported in March 2002 that

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

the number of blind or visually impaired Americans is likely to double over the next 30 years.) (ii) the entry by emerging countries (including China, Russia, and other countries in Asia, Eastern Europe and Africa) into advanced technology medical care for their populations, (iii) increased awareness worldwide of the benefits of the minimally invasive phaco cataract procedure and (iv) the introduction of technology improvements such as the Company's laser system. The Secretary of Health and Human Services, Tommy Thompson, stated in March 2002 that early detection and treatment can reduce blindness and visual impairment from most eye diseases and disorders.

Marketing Organization: The Company markets its products internationally through a network of dealers and domestically through direct sales representatives. As of December 31, 2002, the Company had five direct domestic sales representatives in the United States and sixty-five foreign dealers. These sales representatives are assigned exclusive territories and have entered into contracts with the Company that contain performance quotas. The Company also plans to continue to market its products by identifying customers through internal market research, trade shows and direct marketing programs. The Company also utilizes a Clinical Advisory Board comprised of leading ophthalmic surgeons in the United States and Europe who speak at conventions, train ophthalmologists and visit foreign doctors and dealers to promote the Company's products.

The Company, when marketing its Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM), will emphasize the expandable features of the Workstation(TM). The Company's marketing approach will be to focus on the upgradeability of the Workstation(TM) and to develop the image of the Workstation(TM) as the most versatile, upgradeable and cost effective surgical equipment available. The Company will continue to focus its sales efforts towards ophthalmic hospital and surgical center facilities specializing in cataract surgery. However, as systems are installed, the Company will expand its focus to provide additional ophthalmic and non-ophthalmic surgical applications as part of its Workstation(TM). Additional surgical applications will expand the market for the Workstation(TM) as well as associated sales of disposable surgical products.

Product advertising is focused in the major industry trade newspapers. Most of the ophthalmologists or optometrists in the United States receive one or more of these magazines through professional subscription programs. The media has shown strong interest in the Company's technology and products, as evidenced by several recent front-page articles in these publications.

Manufacturing and Raw Materials: Currently, the Company maintains a 31,000 square foot facility in Salt Lake City and an 800 square foot facility in Oceanside, California. The Company transferred the manufacturing activities for the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) to San Diego from OBF in England during 2001. During the second quarter of 2002, the Company consolidated and closed the San Diego operations into the Salt Lake City facility. The facility accommodates the Company's manufacturing, marketing and engineering capabilities. The Company manufactures under systems of quality control and testing, which complies with the Quality System Requirements (QSR) established by the FDA, as well as similar guidelines established by foreign governments, including the CE Mark and ISO-9001.

The Company subcontracts the manufacturing of some of its ancillary instruments, accessories and disposables through specified vendors in the United States. These products are contracted in quantities and at costs consistent with the Company's financial purchasing capabilities and pricing needs. The Company manufactures the LCP (TM) laser cataract probe and some of its surgical instruments, accessories and fluidics surgical tubing sets at its facility in Salt Lake City.

Product Service and Support: Service for the Company's products is overseen from its Salt Lake City and San Diego locations and is augmented by its international dealer network who provide technical service and repair. Installation, on-site training and a limited product warranty are included as the standard terms of sale. The Company provides distributors with replacement parts at no charge during the warranty period. To date, the Company has incurred minimal expenses under this warranty program. International distributors are responsible for installation, repair and other customer service to installed systems in their territory. All systems parts are modular sub-components that are easily removed and replaced. The Company maintains adequate parts inventory and provides overnight replacement parts shipments to its dealers. After the warranty period expires, the Company offers one year and three year service contracts to its domestic customers and will continue to sell parts to international dealers who in turn create their own service plans with their customers.

Research and Development

The Company's primary market for its surgical products is the cataract surgery market. However, the Company believes that its laser systems may potentially have broader ophthalmic applications. Consequently, the Company believes that a strong research and development capability is important for the Company's future. In addition to the Company's expanded in-house research and development capabilities, it has enlisted several recognized and respected consultants and other technical personnel to act in technical and medical advisory capacities. Several of these consultants serve on the Company's clinical Advisory Board to provide expert and technical support for current and proposed products, programs and services of the Company.

The Company believes its research and development capabilities provide it with the ability to respond to regulatory developments, including new products, new product features devised from its users and new applications for its products on a timely and proprietary basis. The Company intends to continue investing in research and development and to strengthen its ability to enhance existing products and develop new products.

Competition

General. The Company is subject to competition in the cataract surgery and the glaucoma diagnostic markets from two principal sources: (i) manufacturers of competing ultrasound systems used when performing cataract treatments and (ii) developers of technologies for ophthalmic diagnostic and surgical instruments used for treatment. A few large companies that are well established in the marketplace, have experienced management, are well financed and have well recognized trade names and product lines dominate the surgical equipment industry. The Company believes that the combined sales of five entities account for over 90% of the cataract surgery market. The remaining market is fragmented among emerging smaller companies, some of which are foreign. The ophthalmic diagnostic market has a similar composition.

Most major competitors either entered or expanded into the cataract or glaucoma markets through the acquisition of smaller, entrepreneurial high-technology manufacturing companies. Therefore, because existing competitors or other entities desiring to enter the market could conceivably acquire current entrepreneurial enterprises with small market activity, any and all competitors must be considered to be formidable.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

The Cataract Surgical System Industry. Presently, the major manufacturers utilizing ultrasonic technology offer products currently in use. Those systems rely on accessories including single-use cassette packs and other ancillary surgical disposables such as saline solution, sutures and intraocular lenses for their profits. The cassette packs are required for fluid and tissue collection during the surgical procedure. The cassette packs are generally unique and proprietary to their respective systems and represent a barrier to entry for third-party, lower-cost after-market suppliers. While there is growing market resistance in the United States and internationally to single-use cassettes, the Company anticipates that manufacturers of ultrasound equipment will continue to develop and enhance their present ultrasound products in order to protect their investments in system and cassette technology and to protect their profits from sales of these cassettes and accessories. The Company's Precisionist Thirty Thousand(TM) ultrasonic phaco system has the ability to use either reusable or single-use disposable components. The Photon(TM) laser cataract system will utilize probes and cassette packs designed for single-use and semi-disposable instruments priced at a level consistent with the demands of health care cost containment. This will allow the health care providers a substantial measure of cost containment, while providing the Company with the quality control and income capability of cassette sales.

The international market, with significantly lower medical budgets, has not been able to justify the expense of using disposable components. Budgetary constraints have limited current manufacturers from gaining a significant share of the international ultrasound equipment market, and have provided a niche for the emerging smaller companies discussed above.

Ultrasound Equipment Manufacturers. As a relatively recent entrant into the cataract surgical equipment market with a newer equipment line, the Company

9

is establishing itself and, as yet, does not hold a significant share of the market. The Company currently recognizes Bausch & Lomb, Alcon Laboratories, and Allergan Medical Optics as its primary competitors in the ultrasound phaco cataract equipment market.

Laser Equipment Manufacturers. To the Company's knowledge, there are several other companies attempting to develop laser equipment for cataract surgery. These companies can be differentiated by the laser wavelength employed for the cataract surgery. Based on the information currently available to the Company, Er:YAG laser wavelength appears to offer a less viable means of removing cataracts than the Nd:Yag wavelength used by the Photon(TM). One competitor uses a Nd:YAG wavelength, however the laser is used only to vibrate an ultrasonic needle. Thus the device remains an ultrasonic system subject to same risk factors of phaco, thereby eliminating the benefits of using a laser to remove the cataract. The Company also believes that its product is sufficiently distinctive and, if properly marketed, can capture a significant share of the cataract surgical device market. However, there are substantial risks in undertaking a new venture in an established and already highly competitive industry. In the short-term, the Company is seeking to exploit these opportunities. Depending upon further developments, the Company may ultimately exploit those opportunities through a merger with a stronger entity already established or one that desires to enter the medical industry.

The Company believes that its ability to compete successfully will depend on its capability to create and maintain advanced technology, develop proprietary products, attract and retain scientific personnel, obtain patent or other proprietary protection for its products and technologies, obtain required regulatory approvals and manufacture, assemble and successfully market products either alone or through third parties.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

The Retinal Diagnostic Market. The Glaucoma Research Foundation suggests that with the aging of the so-called baby boom generation, there will be an increase of macular degeneration and glaucoma in the United States, the leading causes of adult blindness worldwide. The National Eye Institute stated in 2002 that the number of visually impaired Americans is likely to double over the next three decades. Their report estimated that 2.4 million people suffer some vision impairment in this country. The damage caused by these diseases is irreversible. The preconditions for the onset of macular degeneration or glaucoma are low ocular blood flow and/or high intraocular pressure. Diagnostic screening is important for individuals susceptible to these diseases. People in high risk categories include: African Americans over 40 years of age, all persons over 60 years of age, persons with a family history of glaucoma or diabetes, and the very nearsighted. The glaucoma Research Foundation recommends that these high risk individuals be tested regularly for glaucoma. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, in 1995 there were over 30 million adults 65 years of age and older and 8 million African Americans 45 years of age and older. The Glaucoma Research Foundation reports that glaucoma currently accounts for more than 7 million visits to physicians annually.

The Company is subject to intense competition in the ophthalmic diagnostic market from well-financed, established companies with recognizable trade names and product lines and new and developing technologies. The industry is dominated by several large entities which the Company believes account for the majority of diagnostic equipment sales. The Company continues to derive revenues from the sale of its ultrasound diagnostic equipment and blood flow analyzer. The blood flow analyzer is designed to detect glaucoma in an earlier stage than is presently possible. In addition, the device performs tonometry and blood flow analysis. Other ophthalmic diagnostic devices that do not detect glaucoma in the early stages of the disease as does the Company's analyzer retail at comparable prices. The Company thus believes that it can compete in the diagnostic market place based upon the lower price and improved diagnostic functions of the analyzer.

Intellectual Property Protection

The Company's cataract surgical products are proprietary in design, engineering and performance. The Company's surgical ultrasonic products have not been patented to date because the primary technology for ultrasonic tissue fragmentation, as available to all competitors in the market, is mainly in the public domain.

The Company did acquire proprietary intellectual property in the transaction with Humphrey Systems when it purchased the diagnostic ultrasonic product line in 1999. This technology uses ultrasound to create a high-resolution computer image of the unseen parts of the eye that is a "map" for the practitioner.

The Photon(TM) laser cataract probe is protected under a United States patent issued in 1987 to Daniel M. Eichenbaum, M.D. and subsequently assigned to Photomed International, Inc. ("Photomed") and a Japanese patent issued in 1997 to the Company for the utility and methods of laser ablation, aspiration and irrigation of tissue through a hand-held probe of a unique design. The Company secured the exclusive worldwide right to this patent shortly after its issue, and to the international patents pending, from Photomed by means of a license agreement (the "License Agreement"). The License Agreement was amended on December 5, 1997 to allow Photomed the right to conduct research, development and marketing utilizing the patent in certain medical subspecialties other than ophthalmology for which the Company would receive royalty payments equal to 1% of the proceeds from the net sales of products utilizing the patent. See "Management" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions."

The Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) has been granted a patent in the European Economic Community and the United States and has a patent pending in Japan. The Dicon(TM) Perimeter and the Dicon(TM) Corneal Topographer each have a U.S. patent with a wide scope of claims.

The Company's trademarks are important to its business. It is the Company's policy to pursue trademark registrations for its trademarks associated with its products as appropriate. Also, the Company relies on common law trademark rights to protect its unregistered trademarks, although common law trademark rights do not provide the Company with the same level of protection as would U.S. federal registered trademarks. Common law trademark rights only extend to the geographical area in which the trademark is actually used while U.S. federal registration prohibits the use of the trademark by any party anywhere in the United States.

The Company also relies on trade secret law to protect some aspects of its intellectual property. All of the Company's key employees, consultants and advisors are required to enter into a confidentiality agreement with the Company. Most of the Company's third-party manufacturers and formulators are also bound by confidentiality agreements with the Company.

Regulation

The FDA under the FD&C Act regulates the Company's surgical and diagnostic systems as medical devices. As such, these devices require Premarket clearance or approval by the FDA prior to their marketing and sale. Such clearance or approval is premised on the production of evidence sufficient for the Company to show reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness regarding its products. Pursuant to the FD&C Act, the FDA regulates the manufacture, distribution and production of medical devices in the United States and the export of medical devices from the United States. Noncompliance with applicable requirements can result in fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, denial of Premarket clearance or approval for devices. Recommendations by the FDA that the Company not be allowed to enter into government contracts and criminal prosecution may also be made.

Following the enactment of the Medical Device Amendments to the FD&C Act in May 1976, the FDA began classifying medical devices in commercial distribution into one of three classes: Class I, II or III. This classification is based on the controls that are perceived to be necessary to reasonably ensure the safety and effectiveness of medical devices. Class I devices are those devices, the safety and effectiveness of which can reasonably be ensured through general controls, such as adequate labeling, advertising, Premarket notification and adherence to the FDA's Quality System Requirements (QSR) regulations. Some Class I devices are exempt from some of the general controls. Class II devices are those devices the safety and effectiveness of which can reasonably be assured through the use of special controls, such as performance standards, postmarket surveillance, patient registries and FDA guidelines. Class III devices are devices that must receive Premarket approval by the FDA to ensure their safety and effectiveness. Generally, Class III devices are limited to life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, or to new devices that have been found not to be substantially equivalent to legally marketed devices.

There are two principal methods by which FDA approval may be obtained. One method is to seek FDA approval through a Premarket notification filing under Section 510(k) of the FD&C Act. If a manufacturer or distributor of a medical

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

device can establish that a proposed device is "substantially equivalent" to a legally marketed Class I or Class II medical device or to a pre-1976 Class III medical device for which the FDA has not called for a PMA, the manufacturer or distributor may seek FDA Section 510(k) Premarket clearance for the device by filing a Section 510(k) Premarket notification. The Section 510(k) notification and the claim of substantial equivalence will likely have to be supported by various types of data and materials, possibly including clinical testing results, obtained under an IDE granted by the FDA. The manufacturer or distributor may not place the device into interstate commerce until an order is issued by the FDA granting Premarket clearance for the device. There can be no assurance that the Company will obtain Section 510(k) Premarket clearance for any of the future devices for which the Company seeks such clearance including the Photon(TM) Laser.

The FDA may determine that the device is "substantially equivalent" to another legally marketed Class I, Class II or pre-1976 Class III device for which the FDA has not called for a PMA, and allow the proposed device to be marketed in the United States. The FDA may determine, however, that the proposed device is not substantially equivalent, or may require further information, such as additional test data, before the FDA is able to make a determination regarding substantial equivalence. A "not substantially equivalent" determination or a request for additional information could delay the Company's market introduction of its products and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition.

The alternate method to seek approval is to obtain Premarket approval from the FDA. If a manufacturer or distributor of a medical device cannot establish that a proposed device is substantially equivalent to another legally marketed device, whether or not the FDA has made a determination in response to a Section 510(k) notification, the manufacturer or distributor will have to seek Premarket approval for the proposed device. A PMA application would have to be submitted and be supported by extensive data, including preclinical and clinical

11

trial data to prove the safety and efficacy of the device. If human clinical trials of a proposed device are required and the device presents a "significant risk," the manufacturer or the distributor of the device will have to file an IDE application with the FDA prior to commencing human clinical trials. The IDE application must be supported by data, typically including the results of animal and mechanical testing. If the IDE application is approved, human clinical trials may begin at a specific number of investigational sites, and the approval letter could include the number of patients approved by the FDA. An IDE clinical trial can be divided into several parts or Phases. Sometimes, a company will conduct a feasibility study (Phase I) to confirm that a device functions according to its design and operating parameters. This is a usual clinical trial site. If the Phase I results are promising, the applicant may, with the FDA's permission, expand the number of clinical trial sites and the number of patients to be treated to assure reasonable stability of clinical results. Phase II studies are performed to confirm predictability of results and the absence of adverse reactions. The applicant may, upon receipt of the FDA's authorization, subsequently expand the study to a third phase with a larger number of clinical trial sites and a greater number of patients. This involves longer patient follow-up times and the collection of more patient data. Product claims, labeling and core data for the PMA are derived primarily from this portion of the clinical trial. The applicant may also, upon receipt of the FDA's permission, consolidate one or more of such portions of the study. Sponsors of clinical trials are permitted to sell those devices distributed in the course of the study, provided such compensation does not exceed recovery of the costs of manufacture, research, development and handling. Although both approval methods may require clinical testing of the device in question under an approved IDE,

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

the Premarket approval procedure is more complex and time consuming.

Upon receipt of the PMA application, the FDA makes a threshold determination whether the application is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review. If the FDA determines that the PMA is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review, the FDA will "file" the application. Once the submission is filed, the FDA has by regulation 90 days to review it; however, the review time is often extended significantly by the FDA asking for more information or clarification of information already provided in the submission. During the review period, an advisory committee may also evaluate the application and provide recommendations to the FDA as to whether the device should be approved. In addition, the FDA will inspect the manufacturing facility to ensure compliance with the FDA's QSR requirements prior to approval of a PMA. While the FDA has responded to PMA applications within the allotted time period, PMA reviews generally take approximately 12 to 18 months or more from the date of filing to approval. The PMA process is lengthy and expensive, and there can be no assurance that such approval will be obtained for any of the Company's products determined to be subject to such requirements. A number of devices for which other companies have sought PMA approval have never been approved for marketing.

Any products manufactured or distributed by the Company pursuant to a premarket clearance notification or PMA are or will be subject to pervasive and continuing regulation by the FDA. The FD&C Act also requires that the Company's products be manufactured in registered establishments and in accordance with QSR regulations. Labeling, advertising and promotional activities are subject to scrutiny by the FDA and, in certain instances, by the Federal Trade Commission. The export of medical devices is also subject to regulation in certain instances. In addition, the use of the Company's products may be regulated by various state agencies. All lasers manufactured for the Company are subject to the Radiation Control for Health and Safety Act administered by the Center for Devices and Radiological Health of the FDA. The law requires laser manufacturers to file new product and annual reports and to maintain quality control, product testing and sales records, to incorporate certain design and operating features in lasers sold to end users pursuant to specific performance standards, and to comply with labeling and certification requirements. Various warning labels must be affixed to the laser, depending on the class of the product, as established by the performance standards.

Although the Company believes that it currently complies and will continue to comply with all applicable regulations regarding the manufacture and sale of medical devices, such regulations are always subject to change and depend heavily on administrative interpretations. There can be no assurance that future changes in review guidelines, regulations or administrative interpretations by the FDA or other regulatory bodies, with possible retroactive effect, will not materially adversely affect the Company. In addition to the foregoing, the Company is subject to numerous federal, state and local laws relating to such matters as safe working conditions, manufacturing practices, environmental protection, fire hazard control and disposal of potentially hazardous substances. There can be no assurance that the Company will not be required to incur significant costs to comply with such laws and regulations and that such compliance will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's ability to conduct business.

The Company and the manufacturers of the Company's products may be inspected on a routine basis by both the FDA and individual states for compliance with current QSR regulations and other requirements.

Congress has considered several comprehensive federal health care programs designed to broaden coverage and reduce the costs of existing government and private insurance programs. These programs have been the subject of criticism within Congress and the health care industry, and many alternative

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

programs and features of programs have been proposed and discussed. Therefore, the Company cannot predict the content of any federal health care program, if any is passed by Congress, or its effect on Company and its business. Some measures that have been suggested as possible elements of a new program, such as government price ceilings on non-reimbursable procedures and spending limitations on hospitals and other healthcare providers for new equipment, could have an adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results or financial condition. Uncertainty concerning the features of any health care program considered by the Congress, its adoption by the Congress and the effect of the program on the Company's business could result in volatility of the market price of the Company's Common Stock.

12

Furthermore, the introduction of the Company's products in foreign countries may require the Company to obtain foreign regulatory clearances. The Company believes that only a limited number of foreign countries have extensive regulatory requirements, including France, Germany, Korea, China and Japan. The time involved for regulatory approval in foreign countries varies and can take a number of years. A number of European and other economically advanced countries, including Italy, Norway, Spain and Sweden, have not developed regulatory agencies for intensive supervision of such devices. Instead, they generally have been willing to accept the approval of the FDA. Therefore, a PMA, Section 510(k) or approved IDE from the FDA is tantamount to approval in those countries. These countries and most developing countries have simply deferred direct discretion to licensed practicing surgeons to determine the nature of devices that they will use in medical procedures. The Company's two ultrasound systems, the Photon(TM) laser cataract system the Company is developing and the ocular blood flow analyzer are all devices, which require FDA approval. Therefore, a significant aspect of the acceptance of the devices in the market is the effectiveness of the Company in obtaining the necessary approvals. Having an approved IDE allows the Company to export a product to qualified investigational sites.

Regulatory Status of Products

All of the Company's products, with the exception of the Photon(TM), are approved for sale in the U.S. by the FDA under a 510(k). All of the Companies products have been accepted for import into CE countries and various non-CE countries.

The Company acquired permission from the FDA to export the Photon(TM) Laser Cataract System outside the United States under an open IDE granted by the FDA in September 1994. Although the Photon(TM) laser cataract system is uniquely configured in an original and proprietary manner, the laser system, a Nd:YAG laser, is not proprietary to the device or the Company and is widely used in the medical industry and other industries as well. Of particular significance is the fact that this particular component has received previous market clearance from the FDA for other ophthalmic and medical applications. Also of significance is the Company's belief that the surgical treatment method used with the Photon(TM) laser is similar to the current ultrasound cataract treatment employed by ophthalmologists.

The Company submitted a Premarket Notification 510(k) application to the FDA for the Photon(TM) laser cataract system in September 1993. The FDA requested clinical support data for claims made in the 510(k), and in October 1994 the Company submitted an IDE application to provide for a "modest clinical study" in order to collect the data required by the FDA for clearance of the Photon(TM) laser cataract system. The FDA granted this IDE in May 1995 for a

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Phase I Feasibility Study. The Company began human clinical trials in April 1996 and completed the Phase I study in November 1997. The Company started Phase II trials in September 1998 and completed numerous cases of treatment group and control group patients which were included in the Company's submission to the FDA. The Company received a warning letter dated August 30, 2000, from the Office of Compliance, Center for Devices and Radiological Health of the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") relating to the human clinical trials for its Photon(TM) Laser Cataract System. The warning letter concerns the conditions found by the FDA during several audits at the Company's clinical sites. The FDA's comments were isolated to the administrative procedures of compiling data from the clinical sites. The Company responded to the warning letter in a submission dated September 27, 2000. In the submission the Company took corrective action that included submitting a revised clinical protocol and case report forms and procedures for the collection and control of data. In a subsequent letter dated November 2, 2000 to the Company, the FDA requested clarification of two issues.

Subsequent to the warning letter, the Company received approval to continue its clinical trials, the results of which were included in its supplemental submission to the FDA for the existing 510(k) predicate device application for the Photon(TM) laser system. In December 2001, the Company received a preliminary review from the FDA regarding the supplemental submission. As a result of that preliminary review, the Company submitted additional clinical information to the FDA on February 6, 2002. The application is receiving ongoing review by the FDA. The Company believes all items in the warning letter have been satisfied and the clinical trials and their data are in good standing. On May 7, 2002, the Company received a letter from the FDA requesting further clinical information. The Company is in the process of generating the additional clinical information in response to the letter. The Company expects to make a submission to the FDA with the additional clinical information when accumulated. The Company believes the cost of generating the additional clinical information will not be substantial and will not adversely impact the results of its operations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2002, the Company had 39 full-time employees. This number does not include the Company's manufacturer's representatives who are independent contractors rather than employees of the Company. The Company also utilizes several consultants and advisors. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in recruiting or retaining key personnel. None of the Company's employees is a member of a labor union and the Company has never experienced any business interruption as a result of any labor disputes.

Item 2. Description of Property

The Company's executive offices are currently located at 2355 South 1070 West, Salt Lake City, Utah. This facility consists of approximately 29,088 square feet of leased office space under a three-year lease that will expire on March 1, 2003 with an additional three-year renewal option. These facilities are leased from Eden Roc, a California partnership, at a base monthly rate of \$21,163 plus a \$3,342 monthly common area maintenance fee. Pursuant to the lease, the Company pays all real estate and personal property taxes and the insurance costs on the premises.

The Company renegotiated a three-year lease in January 2003 at a monthly rate of \$12,500 plus a \$2,500 common area maintenance fee for the year

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

2003, with rate increases to \$12,875 for 2004 and to \$13,261 for 2005.

The Company maintains a facility located at 3355 Mission Avenue, Suite 222, Oceanside, California. This facility consists of approximately 800 square feet of leased office space under a two-year lease that expires on June 30, 2004. These facilities are leased from San Diego Sunland Partners I., a California limited partnership, at a monthly rate of \$1,040.

The Company believes that these facilities are adequate to satisfy its needs for the foreseeable future.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

An action was brought against the Company in March 2000 by George Wiseman, a former employee, in the Third District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah. The complaint alleges that the Company owes Mr. Wiseman 6,370 shares of its common stock plus costs, attorney's fees and a wage penalty (equal to 1,960 additional shares of Paradigm common stock) pursuant to Utah law. The action is based upon an extension of a written employment agreement. The Company believes the complaint is without merit and intends to vigorously defend against the action.

An action was brought against the Company in September 2000 by PhotoMed International, Inc. and Daniel M. Eichenbaum in the Third District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah. The action involves an amount of royalties that are allegedly due and owing to PhotoMed International, Inc. and Dr. Eichenbaum with respect to the sales of certain equipment plus attorney's fees. Discovery has taken place and the Company has paid royalties of \$14,736 to bring all payments up to date through June 30, 2001. The Company is in the process of working with Photomed International and Dr. Eichenbaum to ensure that the calculations have been correctly made on the royalties paid as well as the proper method of calculation for the future. It is anticipated that once the parties can agree on the correct calculations on the royalties, the legal action will be dismissed.

An action was brought against the Company on March 7, 2000 in the Third District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah, by the Merrill Corporation that alleges that the Company owes the plaintiff approximately \$20,000 together with interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from August 30, 1999, plus costs and attorney's fees. The complaint alleges a breach of contract relative to printing services. The Company filed an answer to the complaint and discovery is proceeding. The Company believes that the complaint against the Company is without merit and intends to vigorously defend against the action.

The Company received a demand letter dated December 30, 2002 from counsel for Thomas F. Motter, the former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Mr. Motter claims in the letter that he was entitled to certain stock options that had not been issued to him in a timely manner. By the time the options were actually issued to him, however, they had expired. Mr. Motter contends that if the options had been issued in a timely manner, he would have exercised them in a manner that would have given him a substantial benefit. Mr. Motter requests restitution for the loss of the financial opportunity. Mr. Motter also claims that he was defrauded by the Company by not being given an extended employment agreement when he terminated the change of control agreement that he had entered into with the Company.

Mr. Motter is further claiming payment for accrued vacation time during the 13 years he had been employed by the Company, asserting that he only had a total of four weeks of vacation during that period. Finally, Mr. Motter is threatening a shareholder derivative action against the Company because of the board of directors' alleged failure to conduct an investigation into conversations that took place in a chat room on Yahoo. Mr. Motter asserts that certain individuals participating in the conversations were officers or

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

directors of the Company whose interests were in conflict with the interests of the shareholders. The Company believes that Mr. Motter's claims and assertions are without merit and it intends to vigorously defend against any legal action that Mr. Motter may bring.

The Company received a demand letter dated January 6, 2003 from counsel for Westcore STIPG, LLC, the landlord with regard to the lease on our former facilities in San Diego, California. The letter demands payment of \$10,567 plus interest, attorney's fees and costs for the repairs and restoration work on the San Diego facilities, after a deduction of the Company's \$6,000 security deposit. The Company rejects these claims, contending that the security deposit was adequate to pay for any repairs or restoration expenses on the premises.

The Company received a demand letter dated December 9, 2002 from counsel for Dan Blacklock, dba Danlin Corp. The letter demands payment in the amount of \$65,160 for manufacturing and supplying parts for microkeratome blades. The Company's records show that it received approximately \$34,824 in parts from the Danlin Corp., but that the additional amounts that the Danlin Corp contends are owed were from parts that were received but rejected by the Company because they had never been ordered.

The Company received demand letters dated September 29, 2002 and December 10, 2002 from counsel for CitiCorp, Vendor Finance, Inc. and its successor-in-interest, The Copy Man, dba TCM Business. The letters demand

14

payment of \$49,627 plus interest for the leasing of two copy machines that were delivered to the Salt Lake City facilities on or about April of 2000. The majority of the amounts alleged to be owed by the Company are from the remaining payments on the leases. The Company disputes the amounts allegedly owed, asserting that the copy machines, which it returned to the leasing company, did not work properly.

An action was brought by Dr. John Charles Casebeer against the Company in the Montana Second Judicial District Court, Silver Bow County, state of Montana. The complaint alleges that Dr. Casebeer entered into a personal services contract with the Company memorialized by a letter dated April 20, 2002, with it being alleged that Dr. Casebeer fully performed his obligations. Dr. Casebeer asserts that he is entitled to \$43,750 per quarter for consultant time and as an incentive to be granted each quarter \$5,000 in options issued at the fair market value. An additional purported incentive was \$50,000 in shares of stock being issued at the time a formalized contract was to be signed by the parties. In the letter it is provided that at its election, the Company may pay the consideration in the form of stock or cash and that stock would be issued within 30 days of the close of the quarter. Prior to the litigation, the Company issued 43,684 shares to Dr. Casebeer. The referenced letter provides that termination may be made by either party upon giving 90 days written notice. Notice was given by the Company in early November 2002. The Company recently filed its answer in defense of the action. Issues include whether or not Dr. Casebeer fully performed as asserted.

The Company is not a party to any other material legal proceedings outside the ordinary course of its business or to any other legal proceedings which, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At the annual shareholders meeting held on December 27, 2002, the sufficient number of votes to constitute a quorum was not received; therefore,

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

no items were acted upon. The meeting was adjourned to allow time for the shareholders to vote. The date of the adjourned meeting has not yet been rescheduled. The following matters were items that were on the ballot for shareholder approval: (i) the election of three directors consisting of Randall A. Mackey, Dr. David M. Silver and Keith D. Igotz; (ii) the amendment to the certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 40,000,000 to 80,000,000 shares; (iii) the amendment to the 1995 Stock Option Plan to authorize an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock; (iv) the ratification of stock options granted to the outside directors; and (v) the ratification of appointment of Tanner & Co. as the Company's independent accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2002.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity and related Stockholder Matters

The Authorized capital stock of the Company consists of 40,000,000 shares of Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share, and 5,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, \$.001 par value per share. The Company has created six classes of Preferred Stock, designated as Series A Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock, Series D Preferred Stock, Series E Preferred Stock and Series F Preferred Stock.

The Company's Common Stock and Class A Warrants trade on The Nasdaq SmallCap Market under the respective symbols of "PMED" and "PMEDW." Prior to July 22, 1996, there was no public market for the Common Stock. As of March 31, 2003 the closing sale prices of the Common Stock and Class A Warrants were \$.16 per share and \$0.05 per warrant, respectively. The following are the high and low sales prices for the Common Stock and Class A Warrants by quarter as reported by Nasdaq since January 1, 2001.

15

Period (Calendar Year)	Common Stock Price Range		Class A Warrants Price Range	
	High	Low	High	Low

2001				
First Quarter	\$4.13	\$1.50	\$1.00	\$.19
Second Quarter	3.54	1.61	.74	.19
Third Quarter	2.75	1.86	.45	.16
Fourth Quarter	3.08	1.94	.39	.17
2002				
First Quarter	3.33	2.15	.38	.19
Second Quarter	3.10	.60	.32	.05
Third Quarter	1.50	.21	.20	.08
Fourth Quarter	.36	.12	.09	.01
2003				
First Quarter	.42	.14	.09	.01

The Company's Series A Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock, Series D Preferred Stock, Series E Preferred Stock and

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Series F Preferred Stock are not publicly traded. As of March 31, 2003, there were 765 record holders of Common Stock, six record holders of Series A Preferred Stock, four record holders of Series B Preferred Stock, no record holders of Series C Preferred Stock, one record holder of Series D Preferred Stock, 14 record holders of Series E Preferred Stock and 52 record holders of Series F Preferred Stock.

The Company has never paid any cash dividends on its Common Stock and does not anticipate paying any cash dividends on its Common Stock in the foreseeable future. The Company must pay cash dividends to holders of its Series A Preferred, Series B Preferred, Series C Preferred, Series D Preferred Stock, Series E Preferred Stock and Series F Preferred Stock before it can pay any cash dividend to holders of its Common Stock. Dividends paid in cash pursuant to outstanding shares of the Company's Series A, Series B, Series C, Series D, Series E and Series F Preferred Stock are only payable from surplus earnings of the Company and are non-cumulative and therefore, no deficiencies in dividend payments from one year will be carried forward to the next. The Company currently intends to retain future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of the Company's proposed business and operations. Any payment of cash dividends in the future on the Common Stock will be dependent upon the Company's financial condition, results of operations, current and anticipated cash requirements, plans for expansion, restrictions, if any, under any debt obligations, as well as other factors that the Company's Board of Directors deems relevant. The Company issued 6,764 shares of its Series A Preferred and 6,017 shares of its Series B Preferred on January 8, 1996 as a stock dividend to Series A and Series B shareholders of record as of December 31, 1994.

Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

This report contains forward-looking statements and information relating to the Company that is based on beliefs of management as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to management. These statements reflect the current view of the Company respecting future events are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including the risks and uncertainties noted throughout the document. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause the actual results to differ materially, there may be other factors that cause the forward-looking statements not to come true as anticipated, believed, projected, expected or intended. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may differ materially from those described herein as anticipated, believed, projected, estimated, expected or intended.

General

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements which involve risks and uncertainty. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors discussed in this section. The Company's fiscal year is from January 1 through December 31.

The Company's ultrasound diagnostic products include a pachymeter, an A-Scan, an A/B Scan and a biomicroscope, the technology for which was acquired from Humphrey Systems in 1998. The Company introduced the P45 in the fall of 2000, which combines the A/B Scan, and the biomicroscope in one machine. In addition, the Company markets its Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) acquired in the purchase of Ocular Blood Flow Ltd. in June 2000. Other diagnostic products are

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

the Dicon (TM) Perimeter and the Dicon (TM) Corneal Topographer which were acquired in the acquisition of Vismed d/b/a Dicon in June 2000. The Company purchased the inventory and design and production rights of the SISTem(TM) from Mentor Corporation in October 1999 which was designed to perform minimally invasive cataract surgery. In November 1999, the Company entered into a Mutual Release and Settlement Agreement with the manufacturer of the Precisionist ThirtyThousand(TM) in which the Company purchased the raw material and finished goods inventory to bring the manufacturing of this product in-house. FDA approval for the Company's Photon(TM) laser system for cataract removal is in process.

Activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, included sales of the Company's products and related accessories and disposable products. On May 7, 2002, the Company received a letter from the FDA requesting further clinical information. The Company is in the process of generating the additional clinical information in response to the letter. The Company cannot market or sell the Photon(TM) in the United States until FDA approval is granted. On November 4, 2002, the Company received FDA approval for expanded indications of use of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for pulsatile ocular blood flow, volume and pulsatility equivalence index. Also, the Company is continuing its aggressive campaign to educate the payers of Medicare claims throughout the country about the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), its purposes and the significance of its performance in patient care in order to achieve reimbursements to the providers. These efforts should lead to a more positive effect on sales.

In April 2002, the Company announced the closure of its San Diego facility in anticipation of the termination of the lease for that location. The operations were transferred to the Salt Lake City facility. The Company incurred a reduction of force of 28 San Diego personnel. The consolidation was intended to save costs and to eliminate duplicities in functions and facilities that occurred with the acquisition of Dicon. The costs of downsizing included one-time expenses of approximately \$43,000 for moving and travel costs, which were charged to general and administrative expenses.

In January 2002, the Company purchased certain assets and lease obligations of Innovative Optics, Inc. ("Innovative Optics") by issuing an aggregate of 1,272,825 shares of its common stock (636,412 shares are held in escrow pending the result of a project to reduce the cost of the disposable razor blades utilized by the microkeratome, which was acquired in the transaction), warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$5.00 per share, exercisable over a period of three years from the closing date, and \$100,000 in cash. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 ("SFAS No. 141").

The Company acquired from Innovative Optics, the raw materials, work in process and finished goods inventories. Additionally, it acquired the patents and trade name associated with the product, the furniture and equipment of Innovative Optics used in the manufacturing process of the microkeratome console and the inspection and packaging of the disposable blades. The Company subsequently issued 477,039 shares of common stock that were held in escrow at a value of \$630,000, based in the market price of such shares on the date of issuance. This amount was charged to in-process research and development because the issuance of such shares related to the continuing research and development of the microkeratome blades. The Company was unsuccessful in reducing the costs of the blade production process and was unable to supply blades to the user base. The Company terminated its marketing and sales efforts for the microkeratome, but it continues to search for an alternative source of blades or a purchaser of the product line. Because the Company determined that it could not manufacture the blades to support its customer base at an economical cost,

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

in accordance with SFAS No. 142, due to the lack of projected future cash flows, during 2002 the Company recorded an impairment expense of \$2,082,000 for the remaining book value of property and equipment and intangible assets purchased from Innovative Optics. In addition, the Company recorded an inventory reserve for the remaining inventory purchased from Innovative Optics of approximately \$160,000.

On September 19, 2002, the Company completed a transaction with International Bio-Immune Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("IBS"), in which it acquired 2,663,254, or 19.9% of the outstanding shares of IBS and warrants to purchase 1,200,000 shares of common stock of IBS at \$2.50 per share for a period of two years, through the exchange and issuance of 736,945 shares of the Company's common stock the lending of 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock to IBS, and the payment of certain expenses of IBS through the issuance of an aggregate of 94,000 shares of our common stock to IBS and its counsel. The issuance of 736,945 shares were valued based on the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the transaction and resulted in an investment in IBS, when combined with a cash investment of \$65,000 made in 2000, of \$879,000. The 300,000 shares were also valued at the market price on the date of issuance and were recorded as a stock subscription receivable of \$294,000 because such shares will either be paid for or returned in the future. IBS is a privately held biotechnology based, cancer diagnostic and immunotherapy company with potential clinically effective products for the diagnosis, treatment and imaging of patients with major tumor types (e.g. colon, lung, cervix, pancreas and breast). IBS is located in Great Neck, New York. IBS does not produce significant revenues as its products have not received FDA approval. Due to the uncertainty of future cash flows and the fact that the products have not been approved by the FDA, The Company was unable to support the value of the investment by substantiated methods and determined that the likelihood of

17

recovery of its investment was remote. . Therefore, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles , the investment of \$879,000 was charged to impairment expense.

The tragic events of September 11, 2001 combined with a recessionary trend in the economy have had a negative effect on the Company's sales. International attendance at the largest trade show of the year in November 2001 was down markedly. The absence of these professionals eliminates many opportunities for the Company to demonstrate and sell its products to this sector. It is difficult to quantify how much an effect that these events have had on the Company, but management believes that the Company has suffered some negative impact due to September 11, 2001 and the downturn in the economy in general, which may continue for an indefinite period of time. .

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2002 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31,

2001:

Consolidated sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 were \$5,368,000 compared to \$7,919,000 for the same period for 2001, approximately a 32% decrease due principally to a decline in sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The Company has experienced a general decline in sales during 2002. The reduction of its domestic sales force, competition and the downturn in the economy are all factors contributing to the decline in sales. Additionally, certain payers have elected not to reimburse the doctors per the common

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

procedure terminology ("CPT") code assigned to the Company by the American Medical Association, which has caused decreased sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) in 2002. The revenues generated from sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) were \$459,000 and slightly less than \$2,000,000, or 9% and 25% of total revenues for 2002 and 2001, respectively. On November 4, 2002, the Company received FDA approval for expanded indications of use of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for pulsatile ocular blood flow, volume and pulsatility equivalence index. Also, the Company is continuing its aggressive campaign to educate the payers about the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), its purposes and the significance of its performance in patient care in order to achieve reimbursements to the providers. This effort should have a positive effect on sales.

Sales of the Ultrasonic Biomicroscope were approximately \$1,361,000 during 2002, or 25% of total annual revenues, compared to sales of \$1,584,000, or 20% of total revenues for the same period of 2001. Revenues from the ultrasonic product line, not including the Ultrasonic Biomicroscope, totaled approximately \$606,000 during 2002, or 11% of total annual revenues, compared to \$646,000, or 8% of total revenues for the same period last year. The Company has seen a recent interest in certain of its ultrasound products and is endeavoring to take advantage of this interest to the best of its capabilities.

Sales of the perimeter and corneal topographer decreased by \$649,000, from \$2,128,000 in 2001, or 27% of total revenues to \$1,479,000, or 27% of total revenues. The perimeter and corneal topographer, both mature products, declined in sales in 2001 from those in 2000 by approximately 37%. One of the strategies of the Dicon/Paradigm merger in June of 2000 was to piggyback these products with the phaco surgical line to achieve penetration into the ophthalmic market, in addition to the optometric market, resulting in a growth in the sales of the Dicon products. This anticipated growth has not occurred and may continue to decrease in the future or remain at a lower level than originally expected.

The phaco surgical line and related disposable products accounted for approximately \$596,000, 11% of total revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 compared to \$641,000, or 8% of total revenues for the same period in 2001. The Company concentrated much of its marketing focus on its diagnostic products (Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) and the Ultrasonic Biomicroscope Workstation or P45) during 2002 and 2001. The Company also continued aggressively in its efforts to obtain FDA approval for its Photon(TM) laser system. The Company sold one Photon(TM) laser system in 2002 and none in 2001. The Photon(TM) cannot be sold within the United States until FDA approval is received. International sales of the Photon(TM) have not occurred due in part to the lack of FDA approval. Although not required in the international market, the Company believes many potential customers rely on the FDA approval of products before purchasing.

The gross profit on sales for the fiscal year 2002 was approximately 22% compared to 45% for the same period in 2001. The profit margin decline can be attributed principally to an increase of \$1,755,000 in the reserved for obsolete inventory. Due to the lack of significant sales volume of certain products, many inventory items were reduced in cost to reflect obsolescence, technological advances and product enhancements. Of this amount, approximately \$160,000 related to inventory purchased from Innovative Optics in 2002, and the remainder was mainly related to the Mentor surgical line of products, namely the SIsTem, Odyssey and the Surg-E-trol, which have not experienced significant sales in 2002 and 2001. In addition, the Company reduced sales prices during the year in

an attempt to increase sales, which has reduced its margins. International sales contributed a greater portion in 2002, compared to 2001, which sales also produce lower gross margins.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Marketing and selling expenses decreased \$1,967,000, or 41%, to \$2,795,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 from \$4,762,000 for the comparable period in 2001. The Company's sales force decreased to five domestic sales people during 2002 resulting in a reduction of personnel and travel costs of \$1,356,000 from the prior year. Marketing efforts were reduced, including the number of trade shows attended, which resulted in a cost reduction of \$611,000 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, compared to the same period in 2001.

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$1,423,000, or 28%, to \$3,702,000 for the 2002 fiscal year from \$5,125,000 for the comparable period in 2001, due principally to the cost reduction program implemented during 2002. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same period in 2001, payroll related costs decreased by \$197,000, travel related costs declined \$139,000 and outside consulting costs decreased lower by \$932,000. General operating costs were reduced by \$169,000 due principally to the closure of the San Diego facility.

Research, development and service expenses (which includes production and manufacturing support and the service department expenses) decreased by \$128,000, or 4%, to \$2,819,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 from \$2,947,000 for the same period in 2001. The cost reduction program and the closure of the San Diego office resulted in lower payroll related expenses of \$927,000 in 2002 compared to the same period last year. Pursuant to the asset purchase agreement with Innovative Optics, Inc., the Company issued 477,000 shares of common stock, which was valued at \$630,000 based upon the current market value of the stock at the time of issue. This amount was recorded as in-process research and development costs related to the blade cost reduction project. No such expense was recorded in 2001. Consulting fees related to software development and enhancements increased by \$71,000 during 2002 compared to the year ended December 31, 2001.

The Company recognized impairment expenses of \$2,961,000 during the year ended December 31, 2002 principally due to the requirements of SFAS No. 142, which requires impairment of intangible assets if the valuation cannot support the asset value recorded. The Company acquired the assets of Innovative Optics, Inc. in January 2002. The principal product was a microkeratome with the corresponding disposable blades. This acquisition resulted in goodwill of \$1,949,000. The Company was unsuccessful in producing the blades for the user base at a cost that was economically feasible. The original process proved unworkable and unprofitable. The Company decided not to continue supporting the product, thus creating an event that resulted in impairing the intangible asset as recorded at the time of purchase of \$1,949,000. In addition, the Company impaired the fixed assets acquired from Innovative Optics, Inc. in the amount of \$30,000.

On September 19, 2002, the Company completed a transaction with International Bio-Immune Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("IBS"), in which it acquired 2,663,254, or 19.9% of the outstanding shares of IBS and warrants to purchase 1,200,000 shares of common stock of IBS at \$2.50 per share for a period of two years, through the exchange and issuance of 736,945 shares of the Company's common stock the lending of 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock to IBS, and the payment of certain expenses of IBS through the issuance of an aggregate of 94,000 shares of our common stock to IBS and its counsel. The issuance of 736,945 shares were valued based on the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the transaction and resulted in an investment in IBS, when combined with a cash investment of \$65,000 made in 2000, of \$879,000. The 300,000 shares were also valued at the market price on the date of issuance and were recorded as a stock subscription receivable of \$294,000 because such shares will either be paid for or returned in the future. IBS is a privately held biotechnology based, cancer diagnostic and immunotherapy company

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

with potential clinically effective products for the diagnosis, treatment and imaging of patients with major tumor types (e.g. colon, lung, cervix, pancreas and breast). IBS is located in Great Neck, New York. IBS does not produce significant revenues as its products have not received FDA approval. Due to the uncertainty of future cash flows and the fact that the products have not been approved by the FDA, the Company was unable to support the value of the investment by substantiated methods and determined that the likelihood of recovery of its investment was remote. Therefore, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles the investment of \$879,000 was charged to impairment expense

Net interest expense was \$36,000 during 2002 compared to net interest income of \$7,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001 due to interest expense incurred from capital leases for the purchase of certain fixed assets and due to smaller amounts of cash on deposit during 2002. Other expense included a charge to expense in 2001 of \$812,000 representing the value of the 350,000 shares of common stock issued to Mentor Corporation in settlement of a legal action brought against the Company during 2001.

The Company incurred a net loss of \$11,155,000, or (\$.63) per share based upon 17,736,000 weighted average shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2002. This compares to a net loss applicable to common shareholders of \$13,044,000, or (\$.98) per share, based on 13,245,000 weighted average shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2001. For the year ended December 31, 2001, the net loss attributable to common shareholders included a reduction of \$2,901,000 in connection with two private placements offered by the Company in 2001 (\$2,587,000 was attributable to the beneficial conversion feature

19

included in the Series E and Series F Preferred Stock offerings and \$314,000 represented the computed value of the warrants associated with the Series E Preferred Stock offering). No such calculation was included in the net loss for the fiscal year 2002. The net loss for 2002 included \$2,961,000 of impairment expense due principally to the write down of intangible assets in excess of current valuation.

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2001 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31,

2000:

Consolidated sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001 were \$7,919,000 compared to \$7,989,000 for the same period for 2000, approximately a 1% decrease. The Company restructured its outside sales force during 2001 to provide nationwide coverage. This resulted in a slowdown of sales activity due to the time it took to hire and train the new personnel. The Company believes that sales activity was hampered for about a ninety day period. The Company also launched in earnest the sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) during the second quarter of 2001 after receiving authorization to use a CPT code which provides for a reimbursement to doctors. The Company believes that the investment in time, training and resources in developing the sales force will provide positive results in the future despite a loss of sales activity in 2001.

Sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) was the single largest contributor to total 2001 revenues generating slightly less than \$2,000,000 (25%) of revenues. Sales in 2000 were not significant as the major marketing efforts did not take place until 2001. Sales of the P45 ultrasonic Biomicroscope workstation accounted for approximately 9% of total 2001 revenues, or \$686,000, compared to approximately a 3% contribution to total 2000 revenues, or \$219,000. The Company introduced the P45 in the fall of 2000 resulting in a full year's of selling

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

activity during 2001 as compared to a few months during 2000. The remainder of the ultrasonic product line (UBM, A-Scan, A/B scan and Pachymeter) contributed \$1,543,000 in revenues in 2001 (19%) compared to \$2,027,000 in 2000 (25%).

Sales of the perimeter and corneal topographer decreased by \$1,283,000, from \$3,411,000 in 2000 (43%) to \$2,128,000 (27%). The perimeter and corneal topographer, both mature products, declined in sales in 2000 from those in 1999 by approximately 20%. One of the strategies of the Dicon/Paradigm merger in June of 2000 was to piggyback these products with the phaco surgical line to achieve penetration into the ophthalmic market, in addition to the optometric market, resulting in a growth in the sales of the Dicon products. This anticipated growth has not occurred and may continue to decrease in the future or remain at a lower level than originally expected.

The phaco surgical line and related disposable products accounted for approximately \$641,000 (8%) of total revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001 compared to \$1,144,000 (14%) in revenues for the same period in 2000. The Company concentrated much of its marketing focus on its diagnostic products (Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) and the Ultrasonic Biomicroscope Workstation or P45) during 2001. The Company also continued aggressively in its efforts to obtain FDA approval for its Photon(TM) laser system. The Company did not recognize any sales of its Photon(TM) laser system in 2001. The Photon(TM) cannot be sold within the United States until FDA approval is received. International sales of the Photon(TM) did not occur due in part to the lack of FDA approval. Although not required in the international market, the Company believes many potential customers rely on the FDA approval of products before purchasing.

The gross profit on sales for the fiscal year 2001 was approximately 38% compared to 17% for the same period in 2000. The sharp difference was due principally to an inventory write-down of \$1,596,000 during 2000 to net realizable value. Gross profit on sales for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999 was 38%, which indicates a more consistent trend, with the exception of the inventory adjustment that was recognized in 2000.

Marketing and selling expenses increased \$812,000, or 21%, to \$4,762,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001 from \$3,950,000 for the comparable period in 2000. The Company increased costs for enhanced tradeshow participation of \$355,000 due mainly to expenses incurred in relation to the annual meeting of the American Academy of Ophthalmology in November 2001. This is the largest event in the country in which the Company participates. This increase was also partly due to the addition of fifteen direct sales people to cover the United States rather than working through distributors adding approximately \$382,000 of additional expenses. The hiring of the sales force took place during the second and third quarters of 2001 and will result in a higher level of expenses in the form of salaries and travel reimbursements in future operating periods.

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$307,000, or 6%, to \$5,125,000 for the 2001 fiscal year from \$5,432,000 for the comparable period in 2000. The Company had recognized \$1,883,000 in noncash transactions during 2000 by granting warrants to nonemployees as payment for services, stock bonuses granted to officers of the Company and stock granted to nonemployees as payment for services. During 2001, the Company recorded \$558,000 of noncash transactions from granting warrants and stock to nonemployees for consulting services. Consulting expenses paid in cash for financial and investor relations services increased by approximately \$165,000 over the comparable period in 2000. The Company initiated procedures to cancel or not to renew outside consulting agreements during the fourth quarter of 2001.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Research, development and service expenses increased by \$980,000, or 69%, to \$2,405,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 from \$1,425,000 for the same period in 2001. This increase was due principally to added personnel in engineering, in service and in manufacturing support. As a result, payroll and benefits expense increased by a total of \$692,000 in anticipation of sales demands, which did not occur as expected. Personnel in this area, therefore, were affected by the layoffs at the end of December 2001 in a strategic decision to retain and focus resources in the sales and marketing area. Consulting expense and purchases of sample parts and tooling related to new product development increased by \$182,000, and \$145,000, respectively. The main development project in 2001 was postponed to concentrate sales efforts on the Company's existing product line and to aggressively pursue FDA approval for its Photon(TM) laser.

Net interest income was approximately \$6,000 during 2001 compared to \$130,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 due to increased interest expense incurred by entering into capital leases for the purchase of certain fixed assets and due to smaller amounts of cash on deposit during 2001. Other expense included a charge to expense of \$812,000 representing the value of the 350,000 shares of common stock issued to Mentor Corporation in settlement of a legal action brought against the Company.

The Company incurred a net loss of \$13,044,000, or \$.98 per share based upon 13,245,000 weighted average shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2001. This compares to a net loss of \$9,305,000, or \$.81 per share, based on 11,547,000 weighted average shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2000. The increase in the net loss attributable to common shareholders was due principally to losses recognized in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement Number 123 ("SFAS 123") in connection with two private placements offered by the Company in 2001 of \$2,901,000 (\$2,587,000 was attributable to the beneficial conversion feature included in the Series E and Series F Preferred Stock offerings and \$314,000 represented the computed value of the warrants associated with the Series E Preferred Stock offering). No such expense calculation was included in the net loss for the fiscal year 2002. The Mentor settlement charge of \$812,000 included in the net loss for 2001 was an increase over the comparable period a year ago.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company used cash in operating activities of \$2,872,000 for twelve months ended December 31, 2002, compared to \$8,799,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001. The Company decreased its inventory balance by \$952,000 during the year by decreasing purchases as compared to 2001 and utilizing the inventory on hand in its production. The Company in anticipation of building product to meet sales demand, more specifically, for the Blood Flow Analyzer and the P45 ultrasonic Biomicroscope workstation plus purchased significant amounts of inventory in 2001. Trade receivables, decreased by \$1,473,000 mainly due to the increased collection of outstanding accounts and to lower sales during 2002. The company used cash in investing activities of \$299,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, compared to \$246,000 for the year 2001 due mainly to less fixed asset additions during 2002 compared to the same period in 2001. Net cash provided by financing activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002 was \$503,000, compared to \$9,553,000 for the year ended December 31, 2001. The Company received \$8,965,000 in net proceeds from two private placements, the Series E and Series F Preferred Stock offerings during 2001, compared to net proceeds from one private placement of common stock in 2002 of \$562,000. The Company also sold 392,000 shares of its common stock under the \$20,000,000 equity line for \$673,000 in 2001 reducing the amount available under the equity line to approximately \$18,500,000. No sales of common stock under the equity line occurred during 2002. Debt reduction for the year was

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

\$59,000.

The Company had raised approximately \$1,500,000 during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001 through the \$20,000,000 equity line of credit. As of December 31, 2002, approximately \$18,500,000 was available under the equity line of credit subject to the NASDAQ trading limitations. Management is uncertain whether or not the combination of existing working capital and the private equity line of credit will be sufficient to assure continuation of the Company's operations through December 31, 2003. The Company will also seek funding to meet its working capital requirements through other collaborative arrangements and strategic alliances, additional public offerings and/or private placements of its securities or bank borrowings, if necessary. There can be no assurance, however, that additional funds, if required, will be available from any of the foregoing or other sources on favorable terms.

The Company has taken measures to reduce the amount of uncollectible accounts receivable such as more thorough and stringent credit approval, improved training and instruction by sales personnel, and frequent direct communication with the customer subsequent to delivery of the system. The allowance for doubtful accounts was 13% of total outstanding receivables as of December 31, 2001, compared to 27% of total outstanding receivables as of December 31, 2002. Much of the increase in the allowance relates to the Company's outstanding receivable balance pertaining to its international dealers. The downturn in the economy worldwide has resulted in increased difficulty in collecting certain accounts. Certain international dealers have some aged unpaid invoices that have not been resolved. The Company has addressed its credit procedures and collection efforts during 2002 and has instituted changes that require more payments at the time of sale via letters of credit and not on a credit term basis.

21

The Company carries an allowance for obsolete inventory of \$2,126,000 as of December 31, 2002, or approximately 45% of total inventory. This inventory reserve was increased by \$1,755,000 during 2002 mainly due to sales declines and the discontinuance of the microkeratome purchased from Innovative Optics in 2002. The Company's means of expansion and development of product has been largely from acquisition of businesses, product lines, existing inventory, and the rights to specific products. Through such acquisitions, the Company acquired substantial inventory, some of which the eventual use and recoverability was uncertain. In addition, the Company has a significant amount of inventory relating to its Photon laser system, which does not yet have FDA approval in order to sell the product domestically. Therefore, the allowance for inventory was established to reserve for these potential eventualities.

At December 31, 2002, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs) of approximately \$41,000,000 and research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$34,000. These carryforwards are available to offset future taxable income, if any, and began to expire in the year 2001 and extend for twenty years. The Company's ability to use its NOLs to offset future income is dependent upon the tax laws in effect at the time the NOLs can be utilized. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 significantly limits the annual amount that can be utilized for certain of these carryforwards as a result of changes of ownership.

Effect of Inflation and Foreign Currency Exchange

The Company has not realized a reduction in the selling price of its products as a result of domestic inflation. Nor has the Company experienced unfavorable profit reductions due to currency exchange fluctuations or inflation

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

with its foreign customers. All sales transactions to date have been denominated in US Dollars.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations". This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for the disposal of long-lived assets. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this statement.

In April 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." This statement requires the classification of gains or losses from the extinguishments of debt to meet the criteria of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30 before they can be classified as extraordinary in the income statement. As a result, companies that use debt extinguishment as part of their risk management cannot classify the gain or loss from that extinguishment as extraordinary. The statement also requires sale-leaseback accounting for certain lease modifications that have economic effects similar to sale-leaseback transactions. The Company does not expect Adoption of SFAS No. 145 did have a material impact on financial position or future operations.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." This standard, which is effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002, provides new guidance on the recognition, measurement and reporting of costs associated with these activities. The standard requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date the company commits to an exit or disposal plan. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 by the Company is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or future operations.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation--Transition and Disclosure--an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123," which is effective for all fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation under SFAS No. 123 from the intrinsic value based method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25. SFAS 128 also changes the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123, requiring a more prominent disclosure of the pro-forma effect of the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. The adoption of SFAS No. 148 by the Company did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or future operations.

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN No. 46), which addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. FIN No. 46 clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN No. 46 applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and to variable interest entities in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. It applies in the first fiscal year or interim period

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

beginning after June 15, 2003, to variable interest entities in which an enterprise holds a variable interest that it acquired before February 1, 2003. The Company does not expect to identify any variable interest entities that must

22

be consolidated. In the event a variable interest entity is identified, the Company does not expect the requirements of FIN No. 46 to have a material impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (FIN No. 45). FIN No. 45 requires certain guarantees to be recorded at fair value, which is different from current practice to record a liability only when a loss is probable and reasonably estimable, as those terms are defined in FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. FIN No. 45 also requires the Company to make significant new disclosures about guarantees. The disclosure requirements of FIN No. 45 are effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2003. FIN No. 45's initial recognition and initial measurement provisions are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Company's previous accounting for guarantees issued prior to the date of the initial application of FIN No. 45 will not be revised or restated to reflect the provisions of FIN No. 45. The Company does not expect the adoption of FIN No. 45 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 7. Financial Statements

23

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Financial Statements
December 31, 2002 and 2001

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Index to Financial Statements

	Page

Report of Tanner + Co.	F-2
Balance Sheet	F-3
Statement of Operations	F-4

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Statement of Stockholders' Equity F-5

Statement of Cash Flows F-6

Notes to Financial Statements F-7

F-1

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors of
Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc.

We have audited the balance sheet of Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2002, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc. as of December 31, 2002, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in note 2, the Company has incurred significant losses, and has been unable to generate positive cash flows from operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

TANNER + CO.

Salt Lake City, Utah
March 11, 2003

F-2

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Balance Sheet

December 31,

Assets	

Current assets:	
Cash	\$ 194,000
Receivables, net	944,000
Inventories, net	2,649,000
Prepaid and other current assets	81,000

Total current assets	3,868,000
Intangibles, net	910,000
Property and equipment, net	495,000
Other assets	16,000

Total	\$ 5,289,000

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	

Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	\$ 904,000
Accrued liabilities	1,414,000
Current portion of capital lease obligations	44,000

Total current liabilities	2,362,000

Capital lease obligations, net of current portion	80,000

Commitments and contingencies	-
Stockholders' equity:	
Preferred stock \$.001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 27,385 shares issued and outstanding (aggregate liquidation preference of \$6,726,000)	-
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 21,954,238 shares issued and outstanding	22,000
Additional paid-in capital	56,775,000
Stock subscription receivable	(294,000)
Accumulated deficit	(53,656,000)

Total stockholders' equity	2,847,000

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity \$ 5,289,000

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F-3

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDU
Statement of

Years Ended

	2002	
Sales	\$ 5,368,000	\$
Cost of sales	4,210,000	
Gross profit	1,158,000	
Operating expenses:		
General and administrative	3,702,000	
Marketing and selling	2,795,000	
Research, development and service	2,819,000	
Impairment of assets	2,961,000	
Operating loss	(11,119,000)	
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	10,000	
Interest expense	(46,000)	
Other income (expense)	-	
Total other income (expense)	(36,000)	
Loss before provision for income taxes	(11,155,000)	
Provision for income taxes	-	
Net loss	\$ (11,155,000)	\$
Beneficial conversion feature on Series E preferred stock	-	
Deemed dividend from Series E preferred detachable warrants	-	

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Net loss applicable to common shareholders	\$ (11,155,000)	\$
Loss per common share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.63)	\$
Weighted average common shares - basic and diluted	17,736,000	

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

	Preferred Stock (see note 10)	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Treasury Shares	Year
Balance, January 1, 2001	\$ -	12,611,189	\$ 13,000	\$ 41,157,000	-	
Issuance of Series E preferred stock for cash	-	-	-	4,607,000	-	
Issuance of Series F preferred stock for cash	-	-	-	4,358,000	-	
Conversion of preferred stock	-	1,758,617	2,000	(2,000)	-	
Issuance of common stock for:						
Cash	-	328,725	-	673,000	-	
Settlement of litigation	-	350,000	-	812,000	-	
Services	-	24,000	-	48,000	-	
Compensation	-	-	-	-	-	
Issuance of stock options and warrants for services	-	-	-	503,000	-	
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	
Balance, December 31, 2001	-	15,072,531	15,000	52,156,000	-	
Conversion of preferred stock	-	3,132,356	3,000	(3,000)	-	
Issuance of common stock for:						
Cash	-	1,262,000	2,000	560,000	-	
Settlement of litigation	-	75,000	-	34,000	-	
Services	-	167,684	-	183,000	-	
Assets	-	1,467,358	2,000	2,626,000	-	
In process research and development	-	477,309	-	630,000	-	

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Subscription receivable	-	300,000	-	294,000	-
Issuance of stock warrants in acquisition	-	-	-	295,000	-
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-

Balance, December 31, 2002	\$	-	21,954,238	\$ 22,000	\$ 56,775,000

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

PARADIGM MEDICAL IN
Statement

Years Ende

		2002

Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss		\$ (11,155,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization		624,000
Issuance of common stock for compensation		-
Issuance of common stock for services		183,000
Issuance of common stock for in process research and development		630,000
Issuance of stock option/warrant for services		-
Common stock issued for litigation settlement		34,000
Recovery of bad debt expense		(23,000)
Provision for losses on inventory		1,755,000
Impairment of intangibles and other assets		2,961,000
(Gain) loss on disposal of assets		-
(Increase) decrease in:		
Receivables		1,473,000
Inventories		952,000
Prepaid and other assets		255,000
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable		(313,000)
Accrued liabilities		(158,000)

Net cash used in operating activities		(2,782,000)

Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment		(28,000)
Increase in intangibles		(103,000)
Proceeds from the disposal of assets		2,000
Net cash paid in acquisition		(100,000)

Net cash used in investing activities		(229,000)

Cash flows from financing activities:		

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Proceeds from issuance of Series E preferred stock	-
Proceeds from issuance of Series F preferred stock	-
Principal payments on capital lease obligations	(59,000)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, including exercise of common stock warrants and options	562,000

Net cash provided by financing activities	503,000

Net change in cash	(2,508,000)
Cash, beginning of year	2,702,000

Cash, end of year	\$ 194,000

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2002 and 2001

-
1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies
- Organization**
Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc. (the Company) is a Delaware Corporation incorporated in October 1989. The Company is engaged in the design, development, manufacture, and sale of high technology surgical and diagnostic eye care products. Its surgical equipment is designed to perform minimally invasive cataract surgery and is comprised of surgical devices and related instruments and accessories, including disposable products. Its diagnostic products include a pachymeter, an A-Scan, an A/B Scan, a biomicroscope, a perimeter, a corneal topographer, and a blood flow analyzer.
- Cash Equivalents**
For purposes of the statement of cash flows, cash includes all cash and investments with original maturities to the Company of three months or less.
- The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such account and believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.
- Inventories**
Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, cost is determined using the weighted average method.
- Property and Equipment**
Property and equipment are recorded at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation on property and equipment is determined using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets or terms

of the lease. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed when incurred and betterments are capitalized. Gains and losses on sale of property and equipment are reflected in operations.

F-7

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies Continued
- Intangible Assets
As of December 31, 2002, intangible assets consisted of goodwill related to the purchase of Ocular Blood Flow, Ltd., product rights, capitalized payments to manufacturers for engineering and design services and patent costs.

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The adoption of SFAS No. 142 required an initial impairment assessment involving a comparison of the fair value of goodwill and other intangible assets to current carrying values. As of January 1, 2002, the initial impairment assessment did not result in any impairment charge. Intangible assets determined to have indefinite useful lives are not amortized. The Company tests such intangible assets with indefinite useful lives for impairment annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. Intangible assets determined to have definite lives are amortized on a straight-line basis over their useful lives. Product rights are being amortized over five years, capitalized engineering and design costs are fully amortized as of December 31, 2002, and patents are being amortized over the life of the patents which is ten years. We review such intangible assets with definite lives for impairment to ensure they are appropriately valued if conditions exist that may indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Such conditions may include an economic downturn in a geographic market or a change in the assessment of future operations. Goodwill is not amortized. We perform tests for impairment of goodwill annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate it might be impaired. Such tests include comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying value, including goodwill.

Impairment assessments are performed using a variety of methodologies, including cash flow analysis, estimates of sales proceeds and independent appraisals. Where applicable, an appropriate discount rate is used, based on the Company's cost of capital rate or location-specific economic factors.

F-8

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies Continued

Evaluation of Other Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the carrying value of the unamortized balances of other long-lived assets to determine whether any impairment of these assets has occurred or whether any revision to the related amortization periods should be made. This evaluation is based on management's projections of the undiscounted future cash flows associated with each asset. If management's evaluation were to indicate that the carrying values of these assets were impaired, such impairment would be recognized by a write down of the applicable asset.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are provided in amounts sufficient to give effect to temporary differences between financial and tax reporting, principally related to depreciation, impairment of intangible assets, stock compensation expense, and accrued liabilities.

Stock - Based Compensation

For stock options and warrants granted to employees the Company employs the footnote disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123 encourages entities to adopt a fair-value based method of accounting for stock options or similar equity instruments. However, it also allows an entity to continue measuring compensation cost for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic-value method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25). The Company has elected to continue to apply the provisions of APB 25 and provide pro forma footnote disclosures required by SFAS No. 123. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to or greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

F-9

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies Continued
- Stock - Based Compensation - Continued
- Stock options and warrants granted to non-employees for services are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 123 which requires expense recognition based on the fair value of the options/warrants granted. The Company calculates the fair value of options and warrants granted by use of the Black-Scholes pricing model.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to stock-based employee compensation.

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
Net loss - as reported	\$ (11,155,000)	\$ (10,143,000)
Deduct: total stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(618,000)	(1,432,000)
Net loss - pro forma	\$ (11,773,000)	\$ (11,575,000)
Earnings per share:		
Basic and diluted - as reported	\$ (.63)	\$ (.77)
Basic and diluted - pro forma	\$ (.66)	\$ (.87)

The fair value of each option grant is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	December 31,	
	2002	2001
Expected dividend yield	\$ -	\$ -
Expected stock price volatility	102%-103%	106%-107%
Risk-free interest rate	4%	4-5%
Expected life of options	2-7 years	3-5 years

The weighted average fair value of options granted during 2002 and 2001 are \$1.25 and \$1.71, respectively.

F-10

1. Organization
and Significant
Accounting
Policies
Continued

Earnings Per Share

The computation of basic earnings per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year.

The computation of diluted earnings per common share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year plus the common stock equivalents, which would arise from the exercise of stock options and warrants outstanding using the treasury stock method and the average market price per share during the year. Options and warrants to purchase 5,151,557 and 6,221,798 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$2.00 to \$12.98 per share were outstanding at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, but were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because the effect would have been antidilutive.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues for sales of products that require specific installation and acceptance by the customer are recognized upon such installation and acceptance by the customer. Revenues for sales of other surgical systems, ultrasound diagnostic devices, and disposable products are recognized when the product is shipped. A signed purchase agreement and a deposit or payment in full from customers is required before a product leaves the premises. Title passes at time of shipment (F.O.B. shipping point).

Research and Development

Costs incurred in connection with research and development activities are expensed as incurred. These costs consist of direct and indirect costs associated with specific projects as well as fees paid to various entities that perform certain research on behalf of the Company.

Concentration of Risk

The market for ophthalmic lasers is subject to rapid technological change, including advances in laser and other technologies and the potential development of alternative surgical techniques or new pharmaceutical products. Development by others of new or improved products, processes or technologies may make products developed by the Company obsolete or less competitive.

F-11

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies Continued
- Concentration of Risk - Continued
- The Company's high technology product line requires the Company to deal with suppliers and subcontractors supplying highly specialized parts, operating highly sophisticated and narrow tolerance equipment and performing highly technical calculations and tasks. Although there are a limited number of suppliers and manufacturers that meet the standards required of a regulated medical device, management believes that other suppliers and manufacturers could provide similar components and services.
- The nature of the Company's business exposes it to risk from product liability claims. The Company maintains product liability insurance providing coverage up to \$2 million per claim with an aggregate policy limit of \$2 million. Any losses that the Company may suffer from any product liability litigation could have a material adverse effect on the Company.
- A significant portion of the Company's product sales is in foreign countries. The economic and political instability of some foreign countries may affect the ability of medical personnel to purchase the Company's products and the ability of the customers to pay for the procedures for which the Company's products are used. Such circumstances could cause a possible loss of sales, which would affect operating results adversely.
- During the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, no single customer represented more than 10 percent of total net sales.
- Accounts receivable are due from medical distributors, surgery centers, hospitals, optometrists and ophthalmologists located throughout the U.S. and a number of foreign countries. The receivables are generally due within thirty days for domestic customers with extended terms offered for some international customers. The Company maintains an allowance for estimated potentially uncollectible amounts.

F-12

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies Continued
- Warranty
- The Company provides product warranties on the sale of certain products that generally extend for one year from the date of sale. The Company maintains a reserve for estimated warranty costs based on historical experience and management's best estimates.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2001 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the presentation of the current year financial statements.

2. Going Concern

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Historically, the Company has not demonstrated the ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations to satisfy their liabilities and sustain operations and the Company has incurred significant losses. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

F-13

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

2. Going Concern Continued

The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent on its ability to generate sufficient income and cash flow to meet its obligations on a timely basis and/or obtain additional financing as may be required. The Company is actively seeking options to obtain additional capital and financing. The Company currently has a private equity line of credit agreement with Triton West Group, Inc., (Triton), which allows the Company to sell \$20 million of common stock over a three year period beginning December 2000 to Triton by tendering put notices to purchase shares subject to certain NASDAQ trading restrictions. The company sold approximately 329,000 shares of common stock for approximately \$673,000 during 2001 (see note 7). No shares of common stock were sold under the Triton equity line of credit during 2002. Management is uncertain that the combination of existing working capital and the private equity line of credit will be sufficient to assure continuation of the Company's operations through December 31, 2003. In the past, the Company has relied

heavily upon sales of its common and preferred stock to fund operations. There can be no assurance that such equity financing will be available on terms acceptable to the Company in the future. If the Company is unable to obtain such financing or secure debt financing, it may be unable to continue development of its products and may be required to substantially curtail operations.

3. Acquisitions

Innovative Optics, Inc.
 On January 31, 2002, the Company completed the purchase of certain assets of Innovative Optics, Inc. ("Innovative Optics"), pursuant to the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") which the Company entered into on January 31, 2002 with Innovative Optics and Barton Dietrich Investments, L.P., the majority shareholder of Innovative Optics. Innovative Optics is a Georgia domiciled corporation which manufactures and sells the Innovatome(TM), a software driven microkeratome that provides ophthalmic surgeons a means of cutting a corneal flap in refractive surgery, and microkeratome blades.

 F-14

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
 Notes to Financial Statements
 Continued

3. Acquisitions
 Continued

As consideration for the purchase of certain assets of Innovative Optics, the Company paid \$100,000 and issued an aggregate of 1,272,825 shares of its common stock, and warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$5.00 per share, exercisable over a period of three years from the closing date. The Company filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register the shares of common stock for resale that Innovative Optics received as purchase consideration and the shares that Innovative Optics will receive upon the exercise of the warrants. The assets purchased included but were not limited to patents, inventory, work in process and finished goods relating to the Innovatome(TM), a microkeratome, and microkeratome blades. Of the 1,272,825 shares of the Company's common stock issued to Innovative Optics at closing, one-half the number of these shares, or 636,412 shares, were placed in an escrow account maintained at the law firm of Mackey Price & Thompson (the "Disbursing Agent") pursuant to the terms of an Escrow Agreement.

In connection with this acquisition, the Company recorded the following:

Inventory	\$	225,000
Property, plant and equipment		35,000

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Intangibles:	
Patents, rights, trade name	530,000
Goodwill	1,419,000
Equity:	
Common stock issued	(1,814,000)
Warrants issued	(295,000)

Net cash paid	\$ 100,000

The Company was required to use its best efforts to implement, within 90 days of the closing, Phase I of a Blade Price Reduction Program as prepared by a consultant. Immediately after such 90 day period, the Disbursing Agent was to distribute three-fourths of the shares held in escrow, or 477,309 shares, to Innovative Optics, unless the Company had certified that it had implemented Phase I of the Blade Price Reduction Program.

F-15

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

3. Acquisitions
Continued

Despite best efforts, the Company was unable to manufacture microkeratome blades at a targeted materials cost per blade. If the Company certified that implementation of Phase I of the Blade Price Reduction Program resulted in materials cost that exceeded the target cost per blade and such certification was not disputed by Innovative Optics, the number of escrow shares disbursed to Innovative Optics was to be reduced by 300 shares for every cent that the materials cost per blade exceeded the target cost. The Company was not successful in achieving the blade price reduction. Innovative Optics requested that the total number of shares associated with Phase I be issued to them stating that the Company did not use its best efforts to achieve the target cost and that proper notification was not delivered to them. In August 2002, the Company issued 477,309 shares of common stock, which were held in escrow to Innovative Optics.

The shares were valued at \$630,000, based upon the market price per share at the date of issue. The transaction amount was recorded as in process research and development costs and charged to expense.

The Company was also required to use its best efforts to implement, within six months after closing, Phase II of the Blade Price Reduction Program. Immediately after such six month period, the Disbursing Agent was to disburse the remaining shares in escrow to Innovative

Optics unless the Company certified that it had implemented Phase II of the Blade Price Reduction Program and, despite best efforts, was unable to manufacture the microkeratome blades at a second targeted materials cost or less per blade. If Paradigm certified that implementation of Phase II of the Blade Price Reduction Program resulted in a materials cost that exceeded the second target cost per blade and such certification was not disputed by Innovative Optics, the number of escrow shares disbursed to Innovative Optics was to be reduced by 300 shares for every cent that the materials cost per blade exceeded the second target cost. If Innovative Optics disputes the Company's certification, the dispute will be resolved by arbitration by submitting the matter for resolution to the accounting firm of KPMG LLP. The Company did not implement Phase II of the Blade Price Reduction Project due to the lack of success experienced in Phase I. Also, the Company has not issued the remaining shares, which remain in escrow.

F-16

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

3. Acquisitions
Continued

The Company determined that it could not manufacture the blades to support its customer base at an economical cost. There are no blades in inventory at this time. The Company has attempted to sell the product and related intangibles, but has not been successful in such efforts. Accordingly, due to the lack of projected future cash flows, during 2002 the Company recorded an impairment expense of \$2,082,000 for the remaining book value of property and equipment and intangible assets purchased from Innovative Optics.

International Bioimmune Systems, Inc.
During 2002, the Company acquired 2,663,254, or 19.9%, of the outstanding shares of International Bioimmune Systems, Inc. (IBS) and warrants to purchase 1,200,000 shares of common stock of IBS at \$2.50 per share for a period of two years, through the exchange and issuance of 736,945 shares of Paradigm common stock, the lending of 300,000 shares of Paradigm common stock to IBS, and the payment of certain expenses of IBS through the issuance of an aggregate of 94,000 shares of Paradigm common stock to IBS and its counsel.

The issuance of 736,945 and 94,000 shares were valued based on the market price of Paradigm's common stock on the date of the transaction and resulted in an investment in IBS of \$814,000, which combined with a cash investment of \$65,000 made in 2000, resulted in a total investment of \$879,000. The 300,000 shares were also valued at the market price on the date of issuance

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

and were recorded as a stock subscription receivable of \$294,000 because such shares will either be paid for or returned in the future.

Due to the uncertainty of future cash flows and the fact that the products have not been approved by the FDA, the Company determined that the likelihood of recovery of its investment was remote and recorded an impairment expense for the investment of \$879,000.

F-17

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

4. Detail of
Certain
Balance
Sheet
Accounts

Receivables:

Trade receivables	\$	1,286,000
Other		5,000
Allowance for doubtful accounts		(347,000)

	\$	944,000

Inventories:

Finished goods	\$	1,937,000
Raw materials		2,838,000
Reserve for obsolescence		(2,126,000)

	\$	2,649,000

Accrued liabilities:

Warranty and return allowance	\$	586,000
Customer deposits		88,000
Payroll and employee benefits		175,000
Royalties		182,000
Consulting and other		155,000
Deferred revenue		228,000

	\$	1,414,000

5. Intangible Assets Intangible assets consist of the following at December 31, 2002:

Goodwill	\$	799,000
----------	----	---------

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Product and technology rights	769,000
Engineering and design costs	482,000
Patents	173,000

	2,223,000
Accumulated amortization	(1,313,000)

Net intangible assets	\$ 910,000

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$248,000 and \$341,000, respectively.

F-18

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

5. Intangible Assets Continued
- The following table reflects a comparison of net loss and net loss per share for each of the two years ended December 31, adjusted to give effect to the adoption of SFAS 142:

	2002	2001
	-----	-----
Reported net loss applicable to common shareholders	\$ (11,155,000)	\$ (13,044,000)
Add-back goodwill amortization, net of taxes	-	80,000
	-----	-----
Adjusted net loss applicable to common Shareholders	\$ (11,155,000)	\$ (12,964,000)
	-----	-----
Reported loss per share-basic and diluted	\$ (.63)	\$ (.98)
Add-back goodwill amortization	-	-
	-----	-----
Adjusted loss per share-basic and diluted	\$ (.63)	\$ (.98)
	-----	-----

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the year ended December 31, 2002 are as follows:

Balance as of December 31, 2001	\$ 803,000
Goodwill acquired during the year	2,047,000
Adjustments to goodwill	(2,051,000)

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Balance as of December 31, 2002 \$ 799,000

6. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

Office equipment	\$ 750,000
Computer equipment	657,000
Automobile	52,000
Furniture and fixtures	264,000
Leasehold improvements	166,000

1,889,000

Accumulated depreciation and amortization

(1,394,000)

\$ 495,000

F-19

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

7. Equity Line of Credit

The Company currently has a private equity line of credit agreement with Triton West Group, Inc., (Triton), which allows the Company to sell \$20 million of common stock over a three year period beginning in December 2000 to Triton by tendering put notices to purchase shares. The put notices may be tendered by the Company at the Company's discretion, subject to certain NASDAQ trading restrictions. Upon the put notice Triton is obligated to purchase shares at 88% of the lowest closing bid price on the trading day immediately following a five day period commencing two days prior to put notice and ending two days after such put notice date. The total amount per put is determined based on the stock closing bid price and the 30 trading day volume, with a maximum put amount of \$2 million.

The Company sold approximately 329,000 shares of common stock for approximately \$673,000 during 2001 under the equity line of credit with Triton West Group, Inc. in five different transactions dating from February 16, 2001 to June 21, 2001. There were no sales of common stock through this agreement during 2002.

8. Lease Obligations

During the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Company leased certain equipment under noncancellable capital leases. These leases provide the Company the option to purchase the leased assets at the end of the initial lease term. Assets under capital leases included in fixed assets and are as follows:

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Computer and other equipment	\$	291,000
Less accumulated amortization		(121,000)

	\$	170,000

Amortization expense on assets under capital leases during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$46,000 and \$54,000, respectively.

F-20

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

8. Lease Obligations Continued Capital lease obligations have imputed interest rates of approximately 15% to 22%. The leases are secured by equipment. Future minimum payments on the capital lease obligations are as follows:

2003	\$	61,000
2004		45,000
2005		38,000
2006		14,000

		158,000
Less amount representing interest		(34,000)

Present value of future minimum lease payments		124,000
Less current portion		(44,000)

Long-term portion	\$	80,000

The Company leases office and warehouse space under an operating lease agreement. Future minimum rental payments under the noncancellable operating lease as of December 31, 2002 are approximately as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	Amount
-----	-----
2003	\$ 42,000
2004	6,000

Total future minimum rental payments	\$ 48,000

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

In January 2003, the lease for the Company's office and warehouse space was renewed. This renewal will result in additional minimum lease payments of \$121,000 in 2003, \$155,000 in 2004, and \$159,000 in 2005.

Rent expense related to noncancelable operating leases was approximately \$437,000 and \$435,000 for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

F-21

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

9. Income Taxes
- The provision for income taxes is different than amounts which would be provided by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to loss before provision for income taxes for the following reasons:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
Federal income tax benefit at statutory rate	\$ 4,127,000	\$ 3,753,000
Expiration of research and development tax credit carryforwards	(25,000)	(181,000)
Amortization of goodwill	-	(30,000)
Other	(32,000)	(28,000)
Change in valuation allowance	(4,070,000)	(3,514,000)
	\$ -	\$ -

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) are comprised of the following:

Net operating loss carryforward	\$ 15,282,000
Depreciation, amortization, and impairment	783,000
Allowance and reserves	1,159,000
Impairment of investment in IBS	325,000
Research and development tax credit carryforwards	34,000

	17,583,000
Valuation allowance	(17,583,000)

	\$ -

A valuation allowance has been established for the net deferred tax asset due to the uncertainty of the Company's ability to realize such asset.

F-22

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

9. Income Taxes Continued
At December 31, 2002, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$41,000,000 and research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$34,000. These carryforwards are available to offset future taxable income and expire in 2003 through 2020. The utilization of the net operating loss carryforwards is dependent upon the tax laws in effect at the time the net operating loss carryforwards can be utilized. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 significantly limits the annual amount that can be utilized for certain of these carryforwards as a result of the change in ownership.

10. Capital Stock
The Company has established a series of preferred stock with a total of 5,000,000 authorized shares and a par value of \$.001, and one series of common stock with a par value of \$.001 and a total of 40,000,000 authorized shares.

Series A Preferred Stock

On September 1, 1993, the Company established a series of non-voting preferred shares designated as the 6% Series A Preferred Stock, consisting of 500,000 shares with \$.001 par value. The Series A Preferred Stock has the following rights and privileges:

1. The holders of the shares are entitled to dividends at the rate of twenty-four cents (\$.24) per share per annum, payable in cash only from surplus earnings of the Company or in additional shares of Series A Preferred Stock. The dividends are non-cumulative and therefore deficiencies in dividend payments from one year are not carried forward to the next year.
2. Upon the liquidation of the Company, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, prior to any distribution of any assets or surplus funds to the holders of shares of common stock or any other stock, an amount equal to \$1.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends related to the fiscal year in which such liquidation occurs. Total liquidation preference at December 31, 2002 was \$6,000.

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

10. Capital
Stock
Continued

Series A Preferred Stock - Continued

3. The shares are convertible at the option of the holder at any time into common shares, based on an initial conversion rate of one share of Series A Preferred Stock for 1.2 common shares.
4. The holders of the shares have no voting rights.
5. The Company may, at its option, redeem all of the then outstanding shares of the Series A Preferred Stock at a price of \$4.50 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends related to the fiscal year in which such redemption occurs.

Series B Preferred Stock

On May 9, 1994, the Company established a series of non-voting preferred shares designated as 12% Series B Preferred Stock, consisting of 500,000 shares with \$.001 par value. The Series B Preferred Stock has the following rights and privileges:

1. The holders of the shares are entitled to dividends at the rate of forty-eight cents (\$.48) per share per annum, payable in cash only from surplus earnings of the Company or in additional shares of Series B Preferred Stock. The dividends are non-cumulative and therefore deficiencies in dividend payments from one year are not carried forward to the next year.
2. Upon the liquidation of the Company, the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, prior to any distribution of any assets or surplus funds to the holders of shares of common stock or any other stock, an amount equal to \$4.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends related to the fiscal year in which such liquidation occurs. Such right, however, is subordinate to the rights of the holders of Series A Preferred Stock to receive a distribution of \$1.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends. Total liquidation preference at December 31, 2002 was \$36,000.

10. Capital
Stock
Continued

Series B Preferred Stock - Continued

3. The shares are convertible at the option of the holder at any time into common shares, based on an initial conversion rate of one share of Series B Preferred Stock for 1.2 common shares.
4. The holders of the shares have no voting rights.
5. The Company may, at its option, redeem all of the then outstanding share of the Series B Preferred Stock at a price of \$4.50 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends related to the fiscal year in which such redemption occurs.

Series C Preferred Stock

In January 1998, the Company authorized the issuance of a total of 30,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock, \$.001 par value, \$100 stated value. The Series C Preferred Stock have the following rights and privileges:

1. The holders of the shares are entitled to dividends at the rate of 12% per share per annum of the aggregate stated value. The dividends are non-cumulative and, therefore, deficiencies in dividend payments from one year are not carried forward to the next year.
2. Upon the liquidation of the Company, the holders of the Series C Preferred Stock are entitled to receive an amount per share equal to the greater of (a) the amount they would have received if they had converted the shares into shares of Common Stock immediately prior to such liquidation plus declared but unpaid dividends; or (b) the stated value, subject to adjustment.
3. Each share is convertible, at the option of the holder at any time until January 1, 2002, into approximately 57.14 shares of common stock at an initial conversion price, subject to adjustments for stock splits, stock dividends and certain combination or recapitalization of the common stock, equal to \$1.75 per share of common stock.
4. The holders of the shares have no voting rights.

F-25

10. Capital
Stock
Continued

Series D Preferred Stock

In January 1999, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of a total of 1,140,000 shares of Series D Preferred Stock \$.001 par value, \$1.75 stated value. The Series D Preferred Stock has the following rights and privileges:

1. The holders of the shares are entitled to dividends at the rate of 10% per share per annum of the aggregate stated value. The dividends are non-cumulative and, therefore, deficiencies in dividend payments from one year are not carried forward to the next year.
2. Upon the liquidation of the Company, the holders of the Series D Preferred Stock are entitled to receive an amount per share equal to the greater of (a) the amount they would have received had they converted the shares into Common Stock immediately prior to such liquidation plus all declared but unpaid dividends; or (b) the stated value, subject to adjustment. Total liquidation preference at December 31, 2002 was \$3,000.
3. Each share is convertible, at the option of the holder at any time until January 1, 2002, into one share of Common Stock at an initial conversion price, subject to adjustment. The Series D Preferred Stock shall be converted into one share of the Common Stock subject to adjustment (a) on January 1, 2002 or (b) upon 30 days written notice by the Company to the holders of the Shares, at any time after (i) the 30-day anniversary of the registration statement on which the shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Series D Preferred Stock were registered and (ii) the average closing price of the Common Stock for the 20-day period immediately prior to the date on which notice of redemption is given by the Company to the holders of the Series D Preferred Stock is at least \$3.50 per share. The Company in 1999 recorded \$872,000 as a beneficial conversion feature related to the differences in the conversion price of the preferred stock to common stock.
4. The holders of the shares have no voting rights.

F-26

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

10. Capital Stock Continued
- Series E Preferred Stock
- In May 2001, the Company authorized the issuance of a total of 50,000 shares of Series E Preferred Stock \$.001 par value, \$100 stated value. The Series E Preferred Stock has the following rights and privileges:
1. The holders of the shares are entitled to dividends at the rate of 8% per share per annum of the aggregate stated value. The dividends are non-cumulative and, therefore, deficiencies in dividend payments from one year are not carried forward to the next year.
 2. Upon the liquidation of the Company, the holders of the Series E Preferred Stock are entitled to receive an amount per share equal to the greater of (a) the amount they would have received had they converted the shares into Common Stock immediately prior to such liquidation plus all declared but unpaid dividends; or (b) the stated value, subject to adjustment. Total liquidation preference at December 31, 2002 was \$150,000.
 3. Each share is convertible, at the option of the holder at any time until January 1, 2005, into approximately 53.33 shares of Common Stock at an initial conversion price, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and certain combination or recapitalization of the common stock, equal to \$1.875 per share of common stock. The Series E Preferred Stock shall be converted into Common Stock subject to adjustment (a) on January 1, 2005 or (b) upon 30 days written notice by the Company to the holders of the Shares, at any time after (i) the 30-day anniversary of the registration statement on which the shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Series E Preferred Stock were registered and (ii) the average closing price of the Common Stock for the 20-day period immediately prior to the date on which notice of redemption is given by the Company to the holders of the Series E Preferred Stock is at least \$3.50 per share. The Company in 2001 recorded \$1,482,000 as a beneficial conversion feature related to the differences in the conversion price of the preferred stock to common stock.

F-27

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

10. Capital Stock
- Series E Preferred Stock - Continued
4. The holders of the shares have no voting rights.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Continued

5. The holders of the shares also were issued warrants to purchase shares of common stock equal to 1,000 warrants for every 200 shares purchased at an exercise price of \$4.00 per share. Each warrant is exercisable until May 23, 2006.

Series F Preferred Stock

In August 2001, the Company authorized the issuance of a total of 50,000 shares of Series F Preferred Stock \$.001 par value, \$100 stated value. The Series F Preferred Stock has the following rights and privileges:

1. The holders of the shares are entitled to dividends at the rate of 8% per share per annum of the aggregate stated value. The dividends are non-cumulative and, therefore, deficiencies in dividend payments from one year are not carried forward to the next year.
2. Upon the liquidation of the Company, the holders of the Series F Preferred Stock are entitled to receive an amount per share equal to the greater of (a) the amount they would have received had they converted the shares into Common Stock immediately prior to such liquidation plus all declared but unpaid dividends; or (b) the stated value, subject to adjustment. Total liquidation preference at December 31, 2002 was \$627,000.

F-28

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

10. Capital
Stock
Continued

Series F Preferred Stock - Continued

3. Each share is convertible, at the option of the holder at any time until January 1, 2005, into approximately 53.33 shares of Common Stock at an initial conversion price, subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends and certain combination or recapitalization of the common stock, equal to \$1.875 per share of common stock. The Series F Preferred Stock shall be converted into Common Stock subject to adjustment (a) on January 1, 2005 or (b) upon 30 days written notice by the Company to the holders of the Shares, at any time after (i) the 30-day anniversary of the registration statement on which the shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Series F Preferred Stock were registered and (ii) the average closing price of the Common Stock for the 20-day period immediately prior to the date on which notice of redemption is given by the Company to the holders of the Series F Preferred Stock is

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

at least \$3.50 per share. The Company in 2001 recorded \$1,105,000 as a beneficial conversion feature related to the differences in the conversion price of the preferred stock to common stock.

4. The holders of the shares have no voting rights.

F-29

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

10. Capital Stock Continued The following table summarizes preferred stock activity during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001:

	Series A		Series B		Series C		Series D		S
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	
Balance at January 1, 2001	5,957	\$ -	8,986	\$ -	-	\$ -	52,500	\$ -	-
Issuance of Series E preferred stock for cash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of Series F preferred stock for cash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Conversion of preferred stock	(210)	-	(6,250)	-	-	-	(42,500)	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2001	5,747	-	8,986	-	-	-	10,000	-	-
Conversion of preferred stock	(120)	-	-	-	-	-	(5,000)	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2002	5,627	\$ -	8,986	\$ -	-	\$ -	5,000	\$ -	-
Authorized	500,000	-	500,000	-	30,000	-	1,140,000	-	-
Liquidation preference	-	\$6,000	-	36,000	-	\$ -	-	\$9,000	-

F-30

11. Stock Option
Plan and
Warrants

The Company has a Stock Option Plan (the Option Plan), which reserves shares of the Company's authorized but unissued common stock for the granting of stock options. Amendments to the Option Plan increased the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder to an aggregate of 2,700,000 shares.

The Option Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options to employees and directors of the Company. Incentive stock options may be granted only to employees. The Option Plan is administered by the Board of Directors or a Compensation Committee, which determines the terms of options granted including the exercise price, the number of shares subject to the option, and the exercisability of the option.

During 2002, in connection with the Innovative Optics acquisition (see note 3), the Company granted warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share. These warrants were nonforfeitable, vested and fully exercisable at the time of grant. The exercise prices of these options were not issued at a discount to the then market price of the common stock. The options and warrants were valued according to the Black-Scholes pricing model. As a result of these warrants, the Company included approximately \$295,000 in the purchase price relating to the acquisition of the assets from Innovative Optics, Inc.

In addition, the Company granted the following options and warrants to non-employees during the year ended December 31, 2001:

- o Warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.00 per share, warrants to purchase 35,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share, and warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at \$3.00 per share in return for consulting services. As a result of these warrants granted the Company recorded approximately \$342,000 of general and administrative expense based on a Black-Scholes valuation.

F-31

11. Stock Option Plan and Warrants Continued
- o Warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.00 that vested in November 2001 were issued to a consultant as an extension of the consulting agreement. The Company recognized \$133,000 of general and administrative expense in connection with these warrants based on a Black-Scholes valuation.
 - o In connection with the Series E Preferred Stock offering, the Company issued warrants to purchase in aggregate 231,095 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.00 per share.

A schedule of the options and warrants is as follows:

	Number of		Exercise Price Per	
	Options	Warrants	Share	
Outstanding at January 1, 2001	1,613,254	1,798,927	\$ 2.30 -	12.98
Granted	2,820,000	516,095	2.00 -	4.00
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Expired	(236,626)	-	4.87 -	5.00
Forfeited	(289,852)	-	2.75 -	5.00
Outstanding at December 31, 2001	3,906,776	2,315,022	2.00 -	12.98
Granted	70,000	250,000	2.00 -	5.00
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Expired	(115,479)	-	-	5.00
Forfeited	(1,374,762)	-	2.31 -	6.00
Outstanding at December 31, 2002	2,486,535	2,565,022	\$ 2.00 -	12.98

F-32

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

11. Stock Option Plan and Warrants Continued
- The following table summarizes information about stock options and warrants outstanding at December 31, 2002:

Outstanding		Exercisable	
Weighted Average Remaining	Weighted	Weighted	Weighted

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Contractual Life (Years)	Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Average Exercise Price
\$ 2.00 - 5.00	3,438,649	4.12	\$ 3.16	3,091,098	\$ 3.68
6.00 - 8.13	1,587,025	.73	6.07	1,512,025	7.27
12.98	25,883	N/A	12.98	25,883	12.98
\$ 2.30 -12.98	5,051,557	3.05	\$ 4.34	4,629,006	\$ 4.90

12. Related Party Transactions
 Thomas F. Motter, former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, leased his former residence to the Company for \$2,500 per month. The primary use of the residential property was for housing accommodations for the Company's employees living outside of Utah while they were working at the Company's corporate headquarters in Salt Lake City. The Company obtained an appraisal from an independent appraiser, which has concluded that the monthly rate of \$2,500 represents the fair market rate for leasing the residential property. The Company paid \$14,000 in rent during 2002. This agreement was terminated on January 31, 2003.

The Company entered into a consulting agreement with a former executive officer of the Company for a period of six months commencing in September 2002. The agreement was renewable for additional six-month terms. The Company did not renew the contract upon its expiration. The Company paid \$15,000 under this agreement during 2002 and had an accrual of \$5,000 as of December 31, 2002.

F-33

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
 Notes to Financial Statements
 Continued

12. Related Party Transactions Continued
 A law firm, of which the chairman of the board of directors of the Company is a shareholder, has rendered legal services to the Company. The Company paid this firm \$175,000 and \$159,000, for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. As of December 31, 2002, the Company owed this firm \$50,000, which is included in accounts payable.

13. Supplemental Cash Flow Information
 o During the year ended December 31, 2002 the Company acquired certain assets of Innovative Optics, Inc. in a purchase transaction (see note 3). The transaction required the payment of \$100,000 and a potential issuance of 1,272,000 shares of common

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

stock. In connection with this acquisition, the Company recorded the following:

Inventory	\$	225,000
Property, and equipment		35,000
Intangibles:		
Patents, rights, trade name		530,000
Goodwill		1,419,000
Equity:		
Common stock issued		(1,814,000)
Warrants issued		(295,000)

Net cash paid	\$	100,000

F-34

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

-
13. Supplemental Cash Flow Information Continued
- o During 2002, the Company acquired 2,663,254, or 19.9%, of the outstanding shares of International Bioimmune Systems, Inc. (IBS) and warrants to purchase 1,200,000 shares of common stock of IBS at \$2.50 per share for a period of two years, through the exchange and issuance of 736,945 shares of Paradigm common stock, the lending of 300,000 shares of Paradigm common stock to IBS, and the payment of certain expenses of IBS through the issuance of an aggregate of 94,000 shares of Paradigm common stock to IBS and its counsel.
 - o During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company acquired \$235,000 of property and equipment in exchange for capital lease agreements.

Actual amounts paid for interest and income taxes are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
	-----	-----
Interest	\$ 46,000	\$ 41,000
	-----	-----
Income taxes	\$ -	\$ -
	-----	-----

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

14. Export Sales Total sales include export sales by major geographic area as follows:

Geographic Area	Years Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
Far East	\$ 1,171,000	\$ 1,416,000
South America	308,000	301,000
Middle East	337,000	287,000
Europe	505,000	1,323,000
Canada	121,000	200,000
Mexico	61,000	31,000
	\$ 2,503,000	\$ 3,558,000

15. Savings Plan In November 1996, the Company established a 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan for the Company's officers and employees. The Plan provisions include eligibility after six months of service, a three year vesting provision and 100% matching contribution by the Company up to 3% of a participant's compensation. During the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Company contributed approximately \$59,000 and \$68,000 to the Plan, respectively.

16. Commitments and Contingencies Consulting Agreements
During the year ended December 31, 1999 the Company entered a consulting agreement with a former officer of the Company, which expires in 2004 and requires annual payments of \$25,000 through 2003 and a payment of \$12,500 in 2004.

During the year ended December 31, 2000, in connection with the acquisition of OBF, the Company entered a consulting agreement with the former owner of OBF, which requires monthly payments of \$6,000 through June 2003.

16. Commitments
and
Contingencies
Continued

Litigation

An action was brought against the Company in March 2000 by George Wiseman, a former employee, in the Third District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah. The complaint alleges that the Company owes Mr. Wiseman 6,370 shares of its common stock plus costs, attorney's fees and a wage penalty (equal to 1,960 additional shares of Paradigm common stock) pursuant to Utah law. The Company believes the complaint is without merit and intends to vigorously defend against the action.

An action was brought against the Company in September 2000 by PhotoMed International, Inc. and Daniel M. Eichenbaum in the Third District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah. The action involves an amount of royalties that are allegedly due and owing to PhotoMed International, Inc. and Dr. Eichenbaum with respect to the sales of certain equipment plus attorney's fees. Discovery has taken place and the Company has paid royalties of \$15,000 to bring all required payments up to date through June 30, 2001. However, the legal action has not been dismissed as a result of the payments. The Company is in the process of working with Photomed International and Dr. Eichenbaum to ensure that the calculations have been correctly made on the royalties paid as well as the proper method of calculation for the future. It is anticipated that once the parties can agree on the correct calculations on the royalties, the legal action will be dismissed. The Company believes that any additional royalty payment that may be required as settlement of the action will not have a material impact on the financial statements.

An Action has been brought against the Company by Merrill Corporation that alleges that the Company owes the plaintiff approximately \$20,000 together with interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from August 30, 1999, plus costs and attorney's fee. The complaint alleges a breach of contract relative to printing services. The Company has filed an answer to the complaint and discovery is proceeding. The Company believes that the complaint against the Company is without merit and intends to vigorously defend against the action.

F-37

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

16. Commitments
and

Litigation - Continued

The Company received demand letters dated September 14,

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Contingencies 2000 and October 17, 2000 from Mentor Corporation ("Mentor") claiming that the Company failed to register 485,751 shares of common stock issued to Mentor under the Asset Purchase Agreement dated October 15, 1999, among the Company, Mentor, Mentor Ophthalmics, Inc. and Mentor Medical, Inc. The Asset Purchase Agreement related to the Company's purchase of Mentor's phacoemulsification product line in consideration for the issuance by the Company to Mentor of 485,751 shares of its common stock, valued at the sum of \$1,500,000 at the time of closing.

On July 2, 2001, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Mentor Corporation in which the Company agreed to pay 350,000 shares of common stock to the Mentor Corporation in exchange for release of all claims against the Company in connection with the registration of certain shares of the Company's common stock previously issued. This settlement resulted in a litigation settlement expense of \$812,000 based on the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of settlement.

The Company received a demand letter dated December 9, 2002 from counsel for Dan Blacklock, dba Danlin Corp. The letter demands payment in the amount of \$65,000 for manufacturing and supplying parts for our microkeratome blades. The Company's records show that it received approximately \$35,000 in parts from the Danlin Corp., but that the additional amounts that the Danlin Corp claims are owed, were from parts that were received but rejected because they had never been ordered.

The Company received demand letters dated September 29, 2002 and December 10, 2002 from counsel for CitiCorp, Vendor Finance, Inc. and its successor-in-interest, The Copy Man dba TCM Business. The letters demand payment of \$50,000 plus interest for the leasing of two copy machines that were delivered to the Salt Lake City facilities on or about April of 2000. The majority of the amounts alleged to be owed are from the remaining payments on the leases. The Company disputes the amounts allegedly owed, asserting that the copy machines, which were returned to the leasing company, did not work properly.

F-38

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

16. Commitments and Contingencies Continued Litigation - Continued
The Company received a demand letter dated December 30, 2002 from counsel for Thomas F. Motter, former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Motter claims in the

letter that he was entitled to certain stock options that had not been issued to him in a timely manner. By the time the options were actually issued to him, however, they had expired. Mr. Motter contends that if the options had been issued in a timely manner, he would have exercised them in a manner that would have given him a substantial benefit. Mr. Motter requests restitution for the loss of the financial opportunity. Mr. Motter also claims that he was defrauded by the Company by not being given an extended employment agreement when he terminated the change of control agreement that he had entered into with the Company.

Mr. Motter is further claiming payment for accrued vacation time during the 13 years he had been employed, asserting that he only had a total of four weeks of vacation during that period. Finally, Mr. Motter is threatening a shareholder derivative action against the Company because of the board of directors' alleged failure to conduct an investigation into conversations that took place in a chat room on Yahoo. Mr. Motter asserts that certain individuals participating in the conversations were officers or directors of the Company whose interests were in conflict with the interests of the shareholders. The Company believes that Mr. Motter's claims and assertions are without merit and intend to vigorously defend against any legal action that Mr. Motter may bring against the Company.

The Company received a demand letter dated January 6, 2003 from counsel for Westcore STIPG, LLC, the landlord with regard to the lease on the former facilities in San Diego, California. The letter demands payment of \$11,000 plus interest, attorney's fees and costs for the repairs and restoration work on the San Diego facilities, after a deduction of a \$6,000 security deposit. The Company rejects these claims, contending that the security deposit was adequate to pay for any repairs or restoration expenses on the premises.

An action was brought by Dr. John Charles Casebeer against the Company in the Montana Second Judicial District Court, Silver Bow County, state of Montana. The complaint alleges that Dr. Casebeer entered into a personal services contract with the Company memorialized by a letter dated April 20, 2002, with it being alleged that Dr. Casebeer fully performed his obligations. Dr. Casebeer asserts that he is entitled to \$43,750 per quarter for consultant time and as an incentive to be granted each quarter \$5,000 in options issued at the fair market value. An additional purported incentive was \$50,000 in shares of stock being issued at the time a formalized contract was to be signed by the parties. In the letter it is provided that at its election, the Company may pay the consideration in the form of stock or cash and that stock would be issued within 30 days of the close of the quarter. Prior to the litigation, the Company issued 43,684 shares to Dr. Casebeer. The referenced letter provides that termination may be made by either party upon giving 90 days written notice. Notice was given by the Company in early November 2002. The Company recently filed its answer in defense of the

action. Issues include whether or not Dr. Casebeer fully performed as asserted.

The Company may become or is subject to other investigations, claims or lawsuits ensuing out of conduct of its business, including those related to environmental safety and health, product liability, commercial transactions etc. The Company is currently not aware of any other such items, which it believes could have a material adverse effect on the financial statements.

F-39

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

16. Commitments
and
Contingencies
Continued

Royalty Agreements

The Company has a royalty agreement with the president of OBF. The agreement provides for the payment of 10% royalty of the net sales related to the Blood Flow Analyzer. The agreement terminates in 2020. The Company did not make any royalty payments during 2002 under this agreement and \$147,000 were accrued at the end of the year.

The Company has an amended exclusive patent license agreement with a company which owns the patent for the laser-probe used on the Photon machine. The agreement provides for the payment of a 1% royalty on all sales proceeds related directly or indirectly, to the Photon machine. The agreement terminates on July 7, 2003. Through December 31, 2002, no significant royalties have been paid under this agreement.

The Company has an agreement with a Canadian corporation that provides for the payment of royalties related to the sales of UBM (Ultrasonic Bio-Microscopy). The agreement outlines payments of 150 Canadian Dollars for each licensed product sold for a period of 12 years that ends in September of 2002. At December 31, 2002, the Company had accrued approximately \$7,000 in royalties.

The Company has a royalty agreement with another company that developed a promotional CD for the Company. Through the promotion of the CD, the Company hopes to increase sales in the Autoperimeter and assist doctors currently using the unit with the interpretation of visual fields. The royalty base will be 50% each until the Company's share equals the production costs related to development of the disk. Thereafter, the developer will receive 70% and the Company will receive 30% of the royalty base. Royalties paid during the year relating to this agreement were not significant.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

17. Fair Value of Financial Instruments The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, receivables, payables, and notes payable. The carrying amount of cash, receivables and payables approximates fair value because of the short-term nature of these items. The carrying amount of the notes payable approximates fair value as the individual borrowings bear interest at market interest rates.

F-40

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

18. Recent Accounting Pronouncements Recent Accounting Pronouncements
In June 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations". This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for the disposal of long-lived assets. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this statement.

In April 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." This statement requires the classification of gains or losses from the extinguishments of debt to meet the criteria of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30 before they can be classified as extraordinary in the income statement. As a result, companies that use debt extinguishment as part of their risk management cannot classify the gain or loss from that extinguishment as extraordinary. The statement also requires sale-leaseback accounting for certain lease modifications that have economic effects similar to sale-leaseback transactions. The Company does not expect Adoption of SFAS No. 145 did have a material impact on financial position or future operations.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." This standard, which is effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002, provides new guidance on the recognition, measurement and reporting of costs associated with these activities. The standard requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date the company commits to an exit or disposal plan. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 by the Company is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or future

operations.

F-41

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.
Notes to Financial Statements
Continued

18. Recent
Accounting
Pronounce-
ments
Continued

Recent Accounting Pronouncements - Continued

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation--Transition and Disclosure--an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123," which is effective for all fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation under SFAS No. 123 from the intrinsic value based method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25. SFAS 148 also changes the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123, requiring a more prominent disclosure of the pro-forma effect of the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. The adoption of SFAS No. 148 by the Company did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or future operations.

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN No. 46), which addresses consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities. FIN No. 46 clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN No. 46 applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and to variable interest entities in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. It applies in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, to variable interest entities in which an enterprise holds a variable interest that it acquired before February 1, 2003. The Company does not expect to identify any variable interest entities that must be consolidated. In the event a variable interest entity is identified, the Company does not expect the requirements of FIN No. 46 to have a material impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

F-42

18. Recent Accounting Pronouncements Continued

Recent Accounting Pronouncements - Continued

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (FIN No. 45). FIN No. 45 requires certain guarantees to be recorded at fair value, which is different from current practice to record a liability only when a loss is probable and reasonably estimable, as those terms are defined in FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. FIN No. 45 also requires the Company to make significant new disclosures about guarantees. The disclosure requirements of FIN No. 45 are effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2003. FIN No. 45's initial recognition and initial measurement provisions are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Company's previous accounting for guarantees issued prior to the date of the initial application of FIN No. 45 will not be revised or restated to reflect the provisions of FIN No 45. The Company does not expect the adoption of FIN No. 45 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

F-43

Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures

None.

PART III

Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.

As of March 31, 2003, the executive officers and directors of the Company, their ages and their positions are set forth below:

Name	Age	Position
----	---	-----
Jeffrey F. Poore	54	President and Chief Executive Officer
Heber C. Maughan	51	Vice President of Finance, Treasurer, and Chief Financial Officer
Randall A. Mackey, Esq.	56	Chairman of the Board, Secretary and

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

		Director
David M. Silver, PhD.	61	Director
Keith D. Ignatz	54	Director

The directors are elected for one-year terms that expire at the next annual meeting of shareholders. Executive officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors to hold office until the first meeting of the Board following the next annual meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been elected and qualified.

Jeffrey F. Poore, D.D.S. has served as President and Chief Executive Officer since March 24, 2003. Dr. Poore more recently served as Chief Executive Officer for Outsource Group. He served as a director of Interwest Home Medical from 1995 until its acquisition by Praxair in June 2001. From 1994 to 1996, Dr. Poore served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Comphealth, one of the nation's largest health care professional staffing organizations. He received a B.A. degree in Economics from Brigham Young University, and earned a degree in Dentistry from Loyola Medical Center, Maywood, Illinois.

Heber C. Maughan has served as Vice President of Finance, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer since October 2001. From July 1997 to October 2001, Mr. Maughan served as Controller for Peterbilt of Utah, which sells and services heavy duty trucks. From 1989 to 1997, he was employed by First Health Strategies, Inc, where he served as Vice President of Finance from 1995 to 1997. From 1987 to 1989, Mr. Maughan was the Chief Financial Officer at Standard Optical Company, a regional retail eye care chain. Mr. Maughan received a B.S. degree in Accounting from Oklahoma State University in 1976 and an M.A. degree in Accounting from Brigham Young University in 1977.

Randall A. Mackey, Esq. has been a director of the Company since January 2000. He had served as a director of the Company from November 1995 to September 1998. Mr. Mackey has been president of the Salt Lake City law firm of

24

Mackey Price & Williams since 1992, and a shareholder and director of the firm and its predecessor firms since 1989. Mr. Mackey received a B.S. degree in Economics from the University of Utah in 1968, an M.B.A. degree from Harvard University in 1970, a J.D. degree from Columbia University in 1975 and a B.C.L. degree from Oxford University in 1977. Mr. Mackey has served as Chairman of the Board since June 2001 and a director since 1998 of Cimatrix Incorporated, a software development company. Mr. Mackey has also served as Chairman of the Board since July 2000 and as a trustee since 1993 of Salt Lake Community College.

David M. Silver, Ph.D. has been a director of the Company since January 2000. He had served as a director of the Company from November 1995 to September 1998. Dr. Silver is a Principal Senior Scientist in the Milton S. Eisenhower Research and Technology Development Center at the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, where he has been employed since 1970. He served as the J. H. Fitzgerald Dunning Professor of Ophthalmology in the Johns Hopkins Wilmer Eye Institute in Baltimore during 1998-99. He received a B.S. degree from Illinois Institute of Technology, an M.A. degree from Johns Hopkins University and a Ph.D. degree from Iowa State University before holding a postdoctoral fellowship at Harvard University and a visiting scientist position at the University of Paris.

Keith D. Ignatz was elected as director in November 2000. He has been President and Chief Operating Officer of SpectRx, Inc., a medical technology company that he founded in 1992, which develops, manufactures and markets alternatives to traditional blood-based medical tests. From 1986 to 1992, Mr.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Ignotz was Senior Vice President of Allergan Humphrey, Inc., a medical electronics company. From 1985 to 1986, he was President of Humphrey Instruments Limited-SKB, a medical electronics company, and from 1980 to 1985, Mr. Ignotz was President of Humphrey Instruments GmbH, also a medical electronics company. Mr. Ignotz also served on the Board of Directors of Vismed, Inc., d/b/a Dicon from 1992 to June 2000. Mr. Ignotz received a B.A. degree in Sociology and Political Science from San Jose University and an M.B.A. degree from Pepperdine University. Mr. Ignotz has served as a trustee of Pennsylvania College of Optometry since 1990, as a director for FluoRx, Inc. since 1997, and as a member of the American Marketing Association of the American Association of Diabetes Education.

Thomas F. Motter served as Chairman of the Board of the Company from April 1993 to August 30, 2002, and as its Chief Executive Officer from May 1994 to August 1997 and from December 1997 to August 30, 2002. He also served as President of the Company from May 1994 to August 1997 and from December 1997 to June 2000. On August 30, 2002, Mr. Motter resigned as Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and as a Director of the Company.

Mark R. Miehle served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company from June 2000 until August 30, 2002, when the board of directors removed him from office and entered into a six month consulting agreement with him at the rate of \$5,000 per month. The consulting agreement expired on February 28, 2003.

Technical and Medical Advisory Personnel

The Company utilizes an informal Clinical Advisory Board of recognized practicing ophthalmic surgeons in technical and medical advisory capacities. Outside consultants are generally used on an ad hoc basis and such individuals do not meet together as a group and are not compensated. The Members of the Company's Clinical Advisory Board are as follows:

Paul L. Archambeau, M.D. -- Dr. Archambeau is an ophthalmologist in Santa Rosa, California and a faculty member at the University of California at San Francisco. He received his medical degree at the University of Buffalo Medical School in 1959 and performed his residency at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota.

Daniele S. Aron-Rosa, Ph.D, M.D. -- Dr. Aron-Rosa is a faculty member at the Rothschild Eye Institute in Paris, France. She received a doctorate degree in physics from the University of Paris in 1957 and received her medical degree there in 1962 and performed her residency at the University of Paris Hospital.

Richard G. Bowe, M.D. - Dr. Bowe is an ophthalmologist practicing in Tacoma, Washington. He received his medical degree at the University of Washington in 1964 and performed his residency at Brooke Army Medical Center.

Jonathan Cress, M.D. - Dr. Cress is an ophthalmologist practicing in Santa Cruz, California.

Roger F. Husted, M.D. - Dr. Husted is an ophthalmologist practicing in Monterey, California. He received his medical degree at George Washington University in 1970 and performed his residency at Letterman Army Medical Center.

Stephane P. Ganem, M.D. -- Dr. Ganem is chairman of the ophthalmology department at the Rothschild Eye Institute in Paris, France.

Michael B. Limberg, M.D. -- Dr. Limberg is an ophthalmologist practicing in San Luis Obispo, California. He received his medical degree at the

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

University of Utah Medical School in 1982 and performed his residency at Louisiana State University.

Lawrence E. Noble, M.D. -- Dr. Noble is an ophthalmologist in Provo, Utah. He received his medical degree at the University of Oregon in 1964, and performed his residency at the Good Samaritan Hospital.

25

Sheldon Rabin, M.D. - Dr. Rabin is an ophthalmologist practicing in Flushing, New York. He received his medical degree at Northwestern University in 1969 and performed his residency at New York University.

David Silver, Ph.D. -- Dr. Silver is a Principal Senior Scientist in the Milton S. Eisenhower Research and Technology Development Center at the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory. He received a Ph.D. degree from Iowa State University.

Gerald Zelman, M.D. -- Dr. Zelman is an Ophthalmologist in Manhasset, New York. He received his medical degree at the University of Lausanne in 1964, and performed his residency at the Brooklyn Eye and Ear facility in Brooklyn, New York.

Elliot Kirstein, O.D. - Dr. Kirstein is an Optometrist practicing in Ohio.

William Fishkind, M.D. - Dr. Fishkind is an Ophthalmologist practicing in Arizona.

David Mittleman, M.D. - Dr. Mittleman is an Ophthalmologist practicing in Florida.

Sonia Yoo, M.D. - - Dr. Yoo is an Ophthalmologist practicing at Bascom Palmer Eye Institute in Miami, Florida.

Board Meetings and Committees

The Board of Directors held a total of seven meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors consists of directors Dr. David M. Silver, Randall A. Mackey and Keith D. Ignatz. The Audit Committee met twice during the fiscal year.

The Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is primarily responsible for reviewing the services performed by the Company's independent public accountants and internal audit department and evaluating the Company's accounting principles and its system of internal accounting controls. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors consists of directors Dr. David M. Silver, Randall A. Mackey and Keith D. Ignatz. The Compensation Committee met two times during the fiscal year. The Compensation Committee is primarily responsible for reviewing compensation of executive officers and overseeing the granting of stock options. No director attended fewer than 75% of all meetings of the Board of Directors during the 2002 fiscal year.

Pursuant to Nasdaq corporate governance requirements recently made applicable to Nasdaq SmallCap Market companies, the Company must have (i) a minimum of two independent directors; (ii) an audit committee with a majority of independent directors; and (iii) an annual stockholders meeting. The Company has

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

and can presently satisfy each of these requirements. Messrs. Ignatz, Silver, and Mackey qualify as independent directors.

The following table sets forth, for each of the last three fiscal years, the compensation received by Thomas F. Motter, former Chairman of the Board, and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and other executive officers (collectively, the "Named Executive Officers") whose salary and bonus for all services in all capacities exceed \$100,000 for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position -----	Period -----	Annual Compensation		Other Annual Compensa- tion(\$) -----	Restricted Stock Awards(\$) -----	Awards Options SARs (#) -----
		Salary(\$) -----	Bonus(\$) -----			
Thomas F. Motter Former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	2002(1)	\$ 187,453	\$ 0	0	0	0
	2001(2)	\$ 200,000	\$ 22,380(6)	0	0	925,000
	2000(3)	\$ 178,357	\$ 486,113(7)	0	0	0
26						
Mark R. Miehle Former President and Chief Operating Officer	2002(1)	\$ 134,202	0	0	0	55,000
	2001(2)	\$ 150,000	0	0	0	110,000
	2000(3)	\$ 235,201	\$ 194,000(9)	0	0	150,000
Aziz Mohabbat Former Vice President of Operations(10)	2002(1)	\$ 126,878	0	0	0	0
Heber C. Maughan Chief Financial Officer(11)	2002(1)	\$ 114,416	0	0	0	0
	2001(2)	\$ 27,500	0	0	0	30,000

-
- (1) For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002
 - (2) For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001
 - (3) For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000
 - (4) The amounts under "All Other Annual Compensation" for 2002, 2001 and 2000 consist of payments related to the operation of automobiles and/or automobiles and insurance by the named executives.
 - (5) The amounts under "Other Annual Compensation" for the years represented consist of payments related to the residential housing accommodations for the Company's employees, living outside of Utah while they are working at the Company's corporate headquarters in Salt Lake City, leased from Mr. Motter at \$2,500 per month.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

- (6) The Company awarded Mr. Motter a cash bonus in June 2001.
- (7) On January 21, 2000, the Board of Directors approved a bonus to Mr. Motter in the form of 38,889 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The bonus was valued at \$486,113 on the basis of the closing bid price of the Company's Common Stock of \$12.50 per share on January 21, 2000, the date the board approved the bonus.
- (8) On September 11, 2001, the Company granted options to purchase the respective number of shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.75 per share.
- (9) On June 5, 2000, the Board of Directors issued Mr. Miehle 28,500 shares of the Company's Common Stock as a initial bonus as part of his employment agreement. The market price on the date of grant was \$6.8125 per share, and compensation expense in the amount of \$194,000 was recognized. Mr. Miehle was also granted options to purchase 150,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share.
- (10) Mr. Mohabbat was named as interim chief operating officer on August 30, 2002. He was not an officer in prior years.
- (11) Mr. Maughan was named as interim chief executive officer on August 30, 2002.
- (12) On October 1, 2001, the Board of Directors granted options to purchase the respective number of shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.75 per share.
- (13) On January 28, 2002, the Board of Directors granted options to purchase the respective number of shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.75 per share.

The following table sets forth information concerning the exercise of options to acquire shares of the Company's Common Stock by the Named Executive Officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 as well as the aggregate number and value of unexercised options held by the Named Executive Officers on December 31, 2002.

Name	Shares Acquired On Exercise (#)	Value Realized (\$)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs		Value
			At December 31, 2002 (#)		At Decemb
			Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable
Mark R. Miehle	0	0	102,500	212,500	0
Aziz Mohabbat	0	0	17,500	42,500	0
Heber C. Maughan	0	0	7,500	22,500	0

Director Compensation

On September 11, 2001, Messrs. Randall A. Mackey, Dr. David M. Silver and Keith D. Igotz, directors of the Company, were each granted options to purchase 125,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.75 per share. On September 11, 2001, Messrs. Mackey and Silver were each granted options to purchase 200,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.75 per share in consideration for past services as directors of the Company from November 1995 to September 1998 and since January 2000. In addition, outside directors are also reimbursed for their expenses in

attending board and committee meetings. Directors are not precluded from serving the Company in any other capacity and receiving compensation therefore. The options were not issued at a discount to the then market price.

Employee 401(k) Plan

In October 1996, the Company's Board of Directors adopted a 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan. Under the terms of the 401(k) plan, effective as of November 1, 1996, the Company may make discretionary employer matching contributions to its employees who choose to participate in the plan. The plan allows the board to determine the amount of the contribution at the beginning of each year. The Board adopted a contribution formula specifying that such discretionary employer matching contributions would equal 100% of the participating employee's contribution to the plan up to a maximum discretionary employee contribution of 3% of a participating employee's compensation, as defined by the plan. All persons who have completed at least six months' service with the Company and satisfy other plan requirements are eligible to participate in the 401(k) plan.

1995 Stock Option Plan

The Company adopted a 1995 Stock Option Plan (the "Plan"), for officers, employees, directors and consultants of the Company on November 7, 1995. The Plan authorized the granting of stock options ("Plan Options") to purchase an aggregate of not more than 300,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. On February 16, 1996, options for substantially all 300,000 shares were granted. On June 9, 1997, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment to the Plan to increase the number of shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 300,000 shares to 600,000 shares. On September 3, 1998, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment to the Plan to increase the number of shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 600,000 shares to 1,200,000 shares. On November 29, 2000, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment to the Plan to increase the number of shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 1,200,000 shares to 1,700,000 shares. On September 11, 2001, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment to the Plan to increase the number of shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 1,700,000 shares to 2,700,000 shares.

The Compensation Committee administers the Plan. In general, the Compensation Committee will select the person to whom options will be granted and will determine, subject to the terms of the Plan, the number, exercise, and other provisions of such options. Options granted under the Plan will become exercisable at such times as may be determined by the Compensation Committee. Plan Options granted may be either incentive stock options ("ISOs"), as such term is defined in the Internal Revenue Code, or non-ISOs. ISOs may only be granted to persons who are employees of the Company. Non-ISOs may be granted to any person, including, but not limited to, employees of the Company, independent agents, consultants as the Compensation Committee believes has contributed, or will contribute, to the success of the Company as the Compensation Committee believes has contributed, or will contribute, to the success of the Company. The Compensation Committee shall determine the exercise price of options granted under the Plan, provided that, in the case of ISOs, such price may not be less than 100% (110% in the case of ISOs granted to holders of 10% of voting power of the Company's stock) of the fair market value (as defined in the Plan) of the Common Stock on the date of grant. The aggregate fair market value (determined at the time of option grant) of stock with respect to which ISOs become exercisable for the first time in any year cannot exceed \$100,000.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

The term of each Option shall not be more than 10 years (five years in the case of ISOs granted to holders of 10% of the voting power of the Company's stock) from the date of grant. The Board of Directors has a right to amend, suspend or terminate the Plan at any time; provided, however, that unless ratified by the Company's shareholders, no amendment or change in the Plan will be effective which would increase the total number of shares which may be issued under the Plan, materially increase the benefits accruing to persons granted under the Plan or materially modify the requirements as to eligibility and participation in the Plan. No amendment, supervision or termination of the Plan shall, without the consent of an employee to whom an option shall heretofore have been granted, affect the rights of such employee under such option.

Employment Agreements -----

The Company entered into an employment agreement with Thomas F. Motter, which commenced on January 1, 1998 and expires on December 31, 2002. The agreement requires Mr. Motter to devote substantially all of his working time to the Company, provided that he may be terminated for "cause" (as provided in the agreements) and prohibits him from competing with the Company for two years following the termination of his employment agreement. The agreement provides for the payment of an initial base salary of \$135,000, effective as of January 1, 1998. The agreement also provides for salary increases and bonuses as shall be determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Effective as of October 1, 1999, the Board of Directors approved an increase in Mr. Motter's annual base salary to \$160,000, and effective as of July 1, 2000, the board approved an increase in his annual base salary to \$200,000. On August 30, 2002, Mr. Motter resigned as Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and as a Director of the Company.

28

The Company entered into an employment agreement with Mark R. Miehle, which commenced on June 5, 2000, and was to expire on June 4, 2003. The agreement required Mr. Miehle to devote substantially all of his working time to the Company, provided that he may be terminated for "cause" (as provided in the agreement) and prohibited him from competing with the Company for two years following the termination of his employment agreement. The agreement provided for the payment of an initial annual base salary of \$150,000, effective as of June 5, 2000, and the issuance of stock options to purchase 150,000 shares of the Company's Common stock at \$6.00 per share, to be vested in equal annual amounts over a three year period. The agreement also provided for salary increases and bonuses as to be determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The stated annual compensation remained in effect through December 31, 2001 and into 2002. The board of directors terminated Mr. Miehle on August 30, 2002. He entered into a six month consulting agreement, which expired on February 28, 2003, for \$5,000 per month. Mr. Miehle was paid \$15,000 in 2002 under the terms of the consulting agreement.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification -----

The Company reincorporated in Delaware in February 1996, in part, to take advantage of certain provisions in Delaware's corporate law relating to limitations on liability of corporate officers and directors. The Company believes that the reincorporation into Delaware, the provisions of its Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws and the separate indemnification agreements outlined below are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and officers. The Company's Certificate of Incorporation limits the liability of directors to the maximum extent permitted by Delaware law. This

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

provision is intended to allow the Company's directors the benefit of Delaware General Corporation Law which provides that directors of Delaware corporations may be relieved of monetary liabilities for breach of their fiduciary duties as directors, except under certain circumstances, including breach of their duty of loyalty, acts or omissions not in good faith or involving intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, unlawful payments of dividends or unlawful stock repurchases or redemptions or any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit. The Company's Bylaws provide that the Company shall indemnify its officers and directors to the fullest extent provided by Delaware law. The Bylaws authorize the use of indemnification agreements and the Company has entered into such agreements with each of its directors and executive officers.

There is no pending litigation or proceeding involving a director, officer, employee or other agent of the Company as to which indemnification is being sought, nor is the Company aware of any threatened litigation that may result in claims for indemnification by any director, officer, employee or other agent.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the Company pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the Company has been advised that in the opinion of the SEC, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's executive officers, directors and persons who own more than 10% of any class of the Company's Common Stock to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes of ownership of the Company's Common Stock. Such persons are also required to furnish the Company with all Section 16(a) reports they file. Based solely on its review of the copies of such reports received by it with respect to fiscal 2002, or written representations from certain reporting persons, the Company believes that all filing requirements applicable to its directors, officers and greater than 10% beneficial owners were complied with.

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to beneficial ownership of the Company's Common Stock as of March 31, 2003 for (i) each executive officer of the Company, (ii) each director, (iii) each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares, and (iv) all directors and officers as a group.

Name and Address(1)	Number of Shares	Percent of Ownership
Douglas A. MacLeod, M.D. (2) Innovative Optics, Inc.	4,150,707	17.8%
Jeffrey F. Poore (3)	1,222,825	5.2
Dr. David M. Silver(4)	1,000,000	4.1
Randall A. Mackey(4)	491,166	2.1
Keith D. Igotz(5)	475,000	2.0
Heber C. Maughan(6)	204,560	*
Executive officers and directors as a group (five persons)	180,000	*
	2,350,726	9.6%

*Less than 1%.

- (1) The address for Mr. Maughan is c/o Paradigm, 2355 South 1070 West, Salt Lake City, UT, 84119. The address for Dr. Silver is 17 Avalon Court, Bethesda, MD 20816. The address for Mr. Mackey is 1172 East 100 South, Salt Lake City, UT 84111. The address for Mr. Ignatz is 6025-A Unity Dr., Norcross, GA 30071.
- (2) Includes the stock held by Douglas A. MacLeod, M.D. Profit Sharing Trust, St. Mark's Eye Institute and Milan Holdings, Ltd.
- (3) Includes options to purchase 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock granted to Mr. Poore.
- (4) Includes options to purchase 475,000 shares of Common Stock granted to each of Dr. Silver and Mr. Mackey.
- (5) Includes options to purchase 203,851 shares of Common Stock granted to Mr. Ignatz.
- (6) Includes options to purchase 180,000 shares of Common Stock granted to Mr. Maughan.

Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information set forth herein describes certain transactions between the Company and certain affiliated parties. Future transactions, if any, will be approved by a majority of the disinterested members of the Company and will be on terms no less favorable to the Company than those that could be obtained from unaffiliated parties.

Thomas F. Motter, former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, leased his former residence to the Company for \$2,500 per month. The primary use of the residential property was for housing accommodations for the Company's employees living outside of Utah while they were working at the Company's corporate headquarters in Salt Lake City. The Company obtained an appraisal from an independent appraiser, which has concluded that the monthly rate of \$2,500 represents the fair market rate for leasing the residential property. The Company paid \$14,000 in rent during 2002. This agreement was terminated on January 31, 2003.

The Company entered into a consulting agreement with Mark R. Miehle, the former president and chief operating officer of the Company for a period of six months commencing on September 3, 2002. The agreement was renewable for additional six month terms. The Company did not renew the contract upon its expiration. The Company paid \$15,000 under this agreement during 2002 and had an accrual of \$5,000 as of December 31, 2002.

Randall A. Mackey, a director of the Company since January 21, 2000, and from September 1995 to September 3, 1998 and chairman of the board since August 30, 2002, is President and a shareholder of the law firm of Mackey Price & Thompson, which rendered legal services to the Company in connection with various corporate matters. Legal fees and expenses paid to Mackey Price & Thompson for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, totaled \$167,000 and \$159,000, respectively. As of December 31, 2002, the Company owed this firm \$47,000, which is included in accounts payable.

PART IV

Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

The following Exhibits are filed herewith pursuant to Rule 601 of Regulation S-B or are incorporated by reference to previous filings.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Table No. -----	Document -----
2.1	Amended Agreement and Plan of Merger between Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., a California corporation and Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., a Delaware corporation(1)
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation(1)
3.2	Amended Certificate of Incorporation(16)
3.3	Bylaws(1)
4.1	Warrant Agency Agreement with Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company (3)
4.2	Specimen Common Stock Certificate (2)
4.3	Specimen Class A Warrant Certificate(2)
4.4	Form of Class A Warrant Agreement(2)
4.5	Underwriter's Warrant with Kenneth Jerome & Co., Inc.(3)
4.6	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with Note Holders re bridge financing (1)
4.7	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with Mackey Price & Williams (1)
4.8	Specimen Series C Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate(4)
30	
4.9	Certificate of the Designations, Powers, Preferences and Rights of the Series Convertible Preferred Stock(4)
4.10	Specimen Series D Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate (7)
4.11	Certificate of the Designations, Powers, Preferences and Rights of the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock (10)
4.12	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with Cyndel & Co. (7)
4.13	Warrant Agreement with KSH Investment Group, Inc. (7)
4.14	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with R.F. Lafferty & Co., Inc.(7)
4.15	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with Dr. David B. Limberg (10)
4.16	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with John W. Hemmer (10)
4.17	Stock Purchase Warrant with Triton West Group, Inc.(12)
4.18	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock with KSH Investment Group, Inc.(12)
4.19	Warrants to Purchase Common Stock with Consulting for Strategic Growth, Ltd.(12)
10.1	Exclusive Patent License Agreement with Photomed(1)
10.2	Consulting Agreement with Dr. Daniel M. Eichenbaum(1)
10.3	Lease with Eden Roc (4)
10.4	1995 Stock Option Plan and forms of Stock Option Grant Agreement(1)
10.5	Form of Promissory Note with Note Holders re: bridge financing (1)
10.6	Co-Distribution Agreement with Pharmacia & Upjohn Company and National Healthcare Manufacturing Corporation (5)
10.7	Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets with Humphrey Systems Division of Carl Zeiss, Inc. (5)
10.8	Employment Agreement with Thomas F. Motter (6)
10.9	Asset Purchase Agreement with Mentor Corp., Mentor Ophthalmics, Inc. and Mentor or Medical, Inc. (8)
10.10	Transition Services Agreement with Mentor Corp., Mentor Ophthalmics, Inc., and Mentor Medical, Inc. (8)
10.11	Severance Agreement and General Release with Michael W. Stelzer(8)
10.12	Consulting Agreement with Dr. Michael B. Limberg (8)
10.13	Renewed Consulting Agreement with Dr. Michael B. Limberg (10)
10.14	Mutual Release and Settlement Agreement with Zevex International, Inc. (8)
10.15	Consulting Agreement with Douglas Adams (8)
10.16	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization with Paradigm Subsidiary, Inc., and Vismed, Inc. d/b/a Dicon (9)
10.17	Agreement and Plan of Merger with Paradigm Subsidiary, Inc. and Vismed Inc. d/b/a Dicon (9)

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

- 10.18 Registration Rights Agreement with Paradigm Subsidiary, Inc. and certain shareholders of Vismed, Inc. d/b/a Dicon (9)
- 10.19 Indemnification Agreement with Paradigm Subsidiary, Inc. and certain shareholders of Vismed, Inc. d/b/a Dicon (9)
- 10.20 Consulting Agreement with Cyndel & Co., Inc. (10)
- 10.21 Stock Purchase Agreement with Ocular Blood Flow, Ltd. and Malcolm Redman (10)
- 10.22 Consulting Agreement with Malcolm Redman (10)
- 10.23 Royalty Agreement with Malcolm Redman (10)
- 10.24 Registration Rights with Malcolm Redman (10)
- 10.25 Agreements with Steven J. Bayern and Patrick M. Kolenik (11)
- 10.26 Employment Agreement with Mark R. Miehle (12)
- 10.27 Employment Agreement with John W. Hemmer (12)
- 10.28 Private Equity Line of Credit Agreement with Triton West Group, Inc. (12)
- 10.29 Renewed Consulting Agreement with Dr. Michael B. Limberg (12)
- 10.30 Agreement with KSH Investment Group, Inc. (12)
- 10.31 Renewed Consulting Agreement with Dr. Michael B. Limberg (13)
- 10.32 Settlement Agreement with Mentor Corporation (13)
- 10.33 Consulting Agreement with Rodman & Renshaw, Inc. (13)
- 10.34 Consulting Agreement with Barry Kaplan Associates (14)
- 10.35 Asset Purchase Agreement with Innovative Optics, Inc. and Barton Dietrich Investments, L.P. (15)
- 10.36 Escrow Agreement with Innovative Optics, Inc. and Barton Dietrich Investments, L.P. (15)
- 10.37 Assignment and Assumption Agreement with Innovative Optics, Inc. (15)
- 10.38 General Assignment and Bill of Sale with Innovative Optics, Inc. (15)
- 10.39 Non-competition and Confidentiality Agreement with Mario F. Barton (15)
- 10.40 Termination of employment with Mark R. Miehle (17)
- 10.41 Consulting Agreement with Mark R. Miehle (17)
- 99.01 Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act 2002
- 99.02 Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act 2002

(1) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on March 19, 1996.

(2) Incorporated by reference from Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on May 14, 1996.

31

(3) Incorporated by reference from Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on June 13, 1996.

(4) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, as filed on April 16, 1998.

(5) Incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on August 1, 1998.

(6) Incorporated by reference from Quarter Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on November 12, 1998.

(7) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on April 29, 1999.

(8) Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, as filed on March 30, 2000.

(9) Incorporated by reference from Form 8-K, as filed on June 5,

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

2000.\

- (10) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on August 16, 2000.
- (11) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on November 1, 2000.
- (12) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-KSB, as filed on April 16, 2001.
- (13) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on August 14, 2001.
- (14) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on November 14, 2001.
- (15) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on March 5, 2002.
- (16) Incorporated by reference from Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-3, as filed on March 20, 2002.
- (17) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on November 18, 2002.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

No reports on Form 8-K were filed by the Company during the quarter ended December 31, 2002.

Item 14. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Based on their evaluations as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the Company have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC.

(b) Changes in internal controls

There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these internal controls subsequent to the date of their most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

32

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, there unto duly authorized.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.

Dated: April 14, 2003

By: /s/ Jeffrey F. Poore

 Jeffrey F. Poore
 President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in counterpart on behalf of the Company on the dates indicated.

Signature -----	Title -----	Date -----
/s/Randall A. Mackey -----	Chairman of the Board and Secretary	April 14, 2003 March 31,
/s/David M. Silver, Ph.D -----	Director	April 14, 2003 March 31,
/s/Keith D. Igotz -----	Director	April 14, 2003 March 31,
/s/Jeffrey F. Poore -----	President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	April 14, 2003 March 31,
/s/Heber C. Maughan -----	Vice President of Finance, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	April 14, 2003 March 31,

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Jeffrey F. Poore, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual Report on Form 10-KSB of Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;

(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

(c) Presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: April 14, 2003

/s/ Jeffrey F. Poore

President and Chief Executive
Officer (Principal Executive
Officer) Vice President of Finance,
Treasurer and Chief Financial
Officer (Principal Financial and
Accounting Officer)

33

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Heber C. Maughan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-QSB of Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;

(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

(c) Presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: April 14, 2003

/s/ Heber C. Maughan

Vice President of Finance,
Treasurer and Chief Financial
Officer (Principal Financial and
Accounting Officer)