

CAMECO CORP  
Form 6-K  
March 08, 2005

**Table of Contents**

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549**

**FORM 6-K**

**Report of Foreign Private Issuer  
Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 Under  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the month of March, 2005

**Cameco Corporation**  
(Commission file No. 1-14228)

**2121-11th Street West  
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada S7M 1J3**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F  Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes  No

If  Yes  is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b):

---

---



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SIGNATURE

Financial Statements

Highlights Restated

Consolidated Statements of Earnings Restated (note 2)

Consolidated Statements of Retained Earnings Restated (note 2)

Consolidated Balance Sheets Restated (note 2)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Restated (note 2)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

---

**Table of Contents**

**Exhibit Index**

Exhibit No.	Description	Page No.
1.	Cameco Corporation's Restated Third Quarter 2004 Management Discussion & Analysis and Financial Statements	

---

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 7, 2005

Cameco Corporation

By: *"Gary M.S. Chad"*  
Gary M.S. Chad  
Senior Vice-President, Governance,  
Legal and Regulatory Affairs, and  
Corporate Secretary

---

**Table of Contents**

<b><u>Listed</u></b>	<b><u>Share Symbol</u></b>	<b>web site address:</b>
TSX	CCO	www.cameco.com
NYSE	CCJ	

2121 1<sup>st</sup> Street West, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7M 1J3 Canada  
Tel: (306) 956-6200 Fax: (306) 956-6201

**Cameco Reports Higher Third Quarter Earnings**

The following discussion of the financial condition and operating results of Cameco Corporation should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes for the period ending September 30, 2004.

**HIGHLIGHTS**

Net consolidated earnings rise on stronger gold and uranium results

Higher prices deliver uranium earnings increase

Higher prices and production result in increased gold earnings

Bruce Power earnings affected by costs related to the scheduled vacuum building outage

Conversion services seven-week strike results in higher costs but no lost revenue

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations presented below reflects the effects of the restatement of our consolidated financial statements as at September 30, 2004 and 2003 and for the three month periods ended September 30, 2004 and 2003. See Restatements below for further discussion of this matter.

**Restatements**

**(a) Accounting Change**

The restructuring of Cameco's subsidiary, Centerra Gold Inc. (Centerra), prior to its initial public offering (IPO) included transactions to increase its interest in certain gold assets, and to settle its outstanding debt, as described below. The terms of these transactions, which were negotiated in the months leading up to the IPO, included the issuance of shares of Centerra on the closing of the transactions. Initially, these transactions were recorded based on estimates of the value of the tangible assets acquired and debt settled, determined based on discounted cash flow analyses.

In its year-end review, the company determined that in the case of a public company issuing shares to acquire assets or settle debt, the appropriate accounting treatment is to use the value of the acquiring company's shares to record the transaction. While Centerra was not a publicly traded company at the time it negotiated the restructuring transactions, it did become one concurrent with those transactions closing, as they were contingent on the closing of the IPO.

**Table of Contents**

Accordingly, by recording the value of the shares issued in the restructuring transactions at the IPO price, the impact on the balance sheet and statement of earnings at September 30, 2004 is an increase in each of the following:

	(thousands)
Goodwill	\$ 196,562
	<b>\$ 196,562</b>
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 700
Future income taxes	23,817
Minority interest	93,010
Shareholders' equity	79,035
	<b>\$ 196,562</b>
Net earnings	<b>\$ 86,233</b>
Earnings per share	<b>\$ 0.50</b>

**(b) Stock Split**

On December 9, 2004, the Board of Directors of Cameco approved a split of the company's outstanding common shares on a three-for-one basis. The stock split was effected in the form of a stock dividend of two additional common shares for each share owned by shareholders of record at the close of business on December 31, 2004. The company's common shares commenced trading on a split basis on December 29, 2004 on the Toronto Stock Exchange and January 7, 2005 on the New York Stock Exchange. All equity-based benefit plans have been adjusted to reflect the stock split. All share and per-share data have been adjusted to reflect the stock split.



**Table of Contents**

	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>	<b>YTD Change  %</b>
<b>Financial Highlights</b>					
Revenue (\$ millions)	313	232	688	555	24
Earnings from operations (\$ millions)	32	13	80	27	196
Cash provided by operations (\$ millions)	140	77	169	171	(1)
Net earnings (\$ millions)	52	33	242	174	39
Earnings per share basic (\$)	0.30	0.20	1.42	1.04	37
Earnings per share diluted (\$)	0.29	0.19	1.35	1.02	32
<b>Adjusted net earnings (\$ millions) (a)</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>68</b>
Average uranium spot price for the period (\$US/lb U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> )	19.29	11.52	17.94	10.85	65
Average realized electricity price (\$ per MWh)	45	45	46	49	(6)
Average Ontario electricity spot price (\$ per MWh)	46	46	50	56	(11)
Average realized gold price for the period (US\$/ounce)	398	312	380	315	21
Average spot market gold price for the period (US\$/ounce)	401	363	401	354	13

**Note:** All dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated.

(a) 2004 excluded a net gain of \$94 million (\$0.55 per share) related to Centerra restructuring transactions recorded in the second and third quarters. 2003 excludes a non-recurring tax adjustment of \$86 million (\$0.50 per share) recorded in the second quarter of 2003.

**CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL RESULTS****Consolidated Earnings****Third Quarter**

For the three months ended September 30, 2004, net earnings increased to \$52 million (\$0.30 per share) from \$33 million (\$0.20 per share) in 2003. The results for 2004 include a net gain of \$5 million (\$0.03 per share) related to Centerra restructuring transactions.

Excluding the Centerra gain, net earnings increased for the three months ended September 30, 2004 by \$14 million (\$0.08 per share) compared to the same period in 2003. Improved earnings in the uranium and gold businesses were partially offset by reduced earnings from Bruce Power. The improvement in the uranium business was due primarily to a higher realized price, which was related to a significant increase in the spot market price for uranium. Earnings from the gold business benefited from higher production as a result of the commissioning of the Boroo gold mine as well as an improved realized price. Earnings from Bruce Power were slightly lower than

**Table of Contents**

the previous year due to costs associated with the vacuum building outage, which began in mid-September and required all four B units to be taken offline.

For more details on the uranium, conversion services, electricity and gold businesses, see Business Segment Results later in this report.

In the third quarter of 2004, Cameco's earnings included an after-tax net gain of \$5 million in the form of a break fee, which resulted from the unsuccessful effort to purchase an interest in the South Texas Project.

In the third quarter of 2004, the underwriters of the Centerra Gold Inc. (Centerra) IPO exercised their option to acquire an additional 1,875,000 shares of Centerra's common shares, resulting in a dilution of Cameco's interest from 54% to 53%. As a result, Cameco recorded an after-tax gain of \$6 million in the quarter due to a selling price that was greater than book value.

In the third quarter of 2004, total costs for administration, exploration, interest and other were about \$36 million, \$14 million higher than 2003. Administration costs increased by \$9 million due to higher costs associated with the restructuring of Centerra and increased stock compensation expenses. Exploration expenditures rose by \$5 million due to increased gold exploration activity in Central Asia and the United States. Interest and other costs were similar to those of the third quarter of 2003.

The effective tax rate decreased to 12% in the third quarter from 34% in the same period of 2003 due to a higher proportion of earnings from gold, which are earned in lower tax jurisdictions.

Earnings from operations were \$32 million in the third quarter of 2004 compared to \$13 million in 2003. The aggregate gross profit margin increased to 22% from 15% in 2003.

***Year to Date***

For the first nine months of 2004, net earnings were \$242 million (\$1.42 per share) compared to \$174 million (\$1.04 per share) in 2003.

Excluding the \$94 million Centerra restructuring gain recorded in 2004 and the \$86 million tax adjustment recorded in 2003, net earnings for the first nine months of 2004 increased by \$60 million (\$0.35 per share) compared to 2003. This increase was attributable to improved results in the uranium and gold businesses as well as stronger performance at Bruce Power.

The improvement in the uranium business was due to a higher realized price, which was related mainly to the significant increase in the spot price for uranium. Earnings from Bruce Power benefited from a 41% increase in production as a result of the restart of the two A reactors. Results from the gold business improved due to increased production and a higher realized selling price.

In the first nine months of 2004, total costs for administration, exploration, interest and other were about \$79 million, \$18 million higher than 2003. Administration costs increased by \$17 million due to a combination of higher costs in Centerra, increased stock compensation expenses and higher expenditures for quality and process enhancements. Exploration expenditures rose by \$7 million due to increased exploration activity in both the gold and uranium businesses. Interest and other costs decreased by about \$6 million due to higher investment income and reduced foreign exchange losses.

**Table of Contents**

Excluding the tax adjustment, the effective rate for income taxes in 2004 decreased to 21% from 33% as a higher proportion of earnings came from gold, which were earned in lower tax jurisdictions.

Earnings from operations were \$80 million compared to \$27 million in 2003 and the aggregate gross profit margin increased to 23% from 16% in 2003.

**Quarterly Consolidated Financial Results (\$ millions except per share amounts)**

<b>Highlights</b>	<b>2004</b>				<b>2003</b>			<b>2002</b>
	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q4</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q4</b>
Revenue	313	242	132	272	232	220	103	271
Net earnings	52	151	39	34	33	104	37	23
Earnings per share (basic)	0.30	0.89	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.62	0.22	0.13
Cash from operations	140	(21)	49	79	77	38	52	14

**Cash Flow**

In the first nine months of 2004, Cameco generated cash from operations of \$169 million compared to \$171 million in 2003. This decrease of \$2 million was primarily due to an increase in inventory levels, which more than offset the benefit of higher revenues. Inventory levels fluctuate due to the timing of sales deliveries.

Cameco's cash from operations does not include its pro rata interest in Bruce Power's operating cash flow of \$141 million in 2004 compared to \$118 million in 2003. Cameco accounts for this investment using the equity method and thus Bruce Power's operating cash flows are not consolidated with Cameco's. For further information, refer to note 3 of the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and notes for the period ending September 30, 2004 (financial statements).

**Balance Sheet**

At September 30, 2004, total long-term debt was \$537 million, a decrease of \$69 million compared to December 31, 2003. At September 30, 2004, Cameco's net debt to capitalization ratio was 14%, down from 22% at the end of 2003.

Effective January 1, 2004, Cameco changed its accounting policy for financial instruments. This change resulted in the preferred securities and convertible debentures being classified as debt rather than equity. See note 2 to the financial statements. Cameco redeemed the preferred securities on December 17, 2004.

Compared to the end of 2003, product inventories increased by \$70 million as production and purchases of uranium exceeded sales during the first nine months of 2004. Of this increase, about

**Table of Contents**

\$35 million was related to higher uranium inventory levels and about \$20 million was due to an increase in unit costs for uranium. The remainder was related to higher gold inventory levels.

At September 30, 2004, the consolidated cash balance totalled \$197 million. Centerra held approximately 80% of this amount.

**Foreign Exchange Update**

Cameco sells most of its uranium and conversion services in US dollars while most of its uranium and all of its conversion services are produced in Canada. As such, the company's uranium and conversion services revenue is denominated mostly in US dollars, while its production costs are denominated primarily in Canadian dollars.

The company attempts to provide some protection against exchange rate fluctuations by planned hedging activity designed to smooth volatility. Therefore, Cameco's uranium and conversion revenues are partly sheltered against declines in the US dollar in the shorter term.

In addition, Cameco has a portion of its annual cash outlays denominated in US dollars, including uranium and conversion services purchases, which provide a natural hedge against US currency fluctuations. While natural hedges provide cash flow protection against exchange rate fluctuations, the influence on earnings may be dispersed over several fiscal periods and is more difficult to identify.

During the quarter, the Canadian dollar strengthened against the US dollar from \$1.3404 at June 30, 2004 to \$1.2639 at September 30, 2004.

At September 30, 2004, Cameco had a foreign currency hedge portfolio of \$549 million (US). These hedges are expected to yield an average exchange rate of \$1.3618 (\$0.73 US = \$1.00 CDN). The net mark-to-market gain on these hedge positions was \$49 million at September 30, 2004.

Timing differences between the settlement and designation of hedge contracts may result in deferred revenue or deferred charges. At September 30, 2004, deferred revenue totalled \$25 million. The schedule for deferred revenue to be released to earnings, by year, is as follows:

	<b>Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>
Deferred revenue (\$ millions)	5	22	6	(8)

In 2004, most of the net inflows of US dollar are hedged with currency derivatives. Net inflows represent forecast uranium and conversion sales less expected outlays (denominated in US dollars). For the uranium and conversion services businesses in the third quarter of 2004, the effective exchange rate, after allowing for hedging, was about \$1.39 compared to \$1.50 in the second quarter of 2004 and \$1.45 in the third quarter of 2003. Results from the gold business are converted into Canadian dollars at prevailing exchange rates.

**Table of Contents**

For the remainder of 2004, every one-cent change in the US to Canadian dollar exchange rate would change net earnings by about \$1 million (CDN). For 2005, every one-cent change in the US to Canadian dollar exchange rate would change net earnings by about \$3 million (CDN).

**Consolidated Outlook for 2004**

In 2004, consolidated revenue is expected to exceed that reported in 2003 due largely to increased production and ownership as well as a higher realized price in the gold business. In the uranium business, revenue is likely to be marginally higher due to a stronger realized price, which is expected to offset reduced volumes. On a consolidated basis, the gross profit margin is projected to improve from the 20% reported in 2003.

**Consolidated Outlook for the Fourth Quarter**

Revenue in the fourth quarter of 2004 is expected to be about 15% higher than the third quarter reflecting higher volumes in uranium and higher prices in all business segments. Earnings from Bruce Power are expected to be lower than in the third quarter due to reduced output resulting from the current maintenance outages. For the remainder of 2004, the effective rate for income taxes is expected to be in the range of 15% to 20%. In the fourth quarter, Cameco will recognize the unamortized portion of issue costs related to the preferred securities in earnings, resulting in a charge of \$4 million to net earnings.

**BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS**

Cameco's results come from four business segments:

Uranium

Conversion services

Nuclear electricity generation

Gold

**Uranium****Highlights**

	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>
Revenue (\$ millions)	163	170	378	385
Gross profit (\$ millions)	25	21	59	45
Gross profit %	15	12	16	12
EBT* (\$ millions)	21	17	49	36
Sales volume (lbs. thousands)	9,553	11,358	21,658	24,296
Production volume (lbs. thousands)	4,912	5,217	14,420	12,586

\*Earnings before taxes.

**Table of Contents**

**Uranium Earnings**

***Third Quarter***

Revenue from the uranium business decreased by 4% to \$163 million from \$170 million in the third quarter of 2003 due to a 16% decline in sales volume. As the timing of deliveries of nuclear products within a calendar year is at the discretion of customers, Cameco's quarterly delivery patterns can vary significantly.

The decline in deliveries was largely offset by an increase in the average realized price, which rose 18% in US dollars (but 14% in Canadian dollars) over the third quarter of 2003. The difference in the percentage price increase is due to a less favourable foreign exchange rate. The higher realized price was mainly the result of a higher uranium spot price, which averaged \$19.29 (US) in the third quarter compared to \$11.52 (US) in the third quarter of 2003, an increase of 67%.

The total cost of products and services sold, including depreciation, depletion and reclamation (DDR) was \$138 million in the third quarter of 2004 compared to \$149 million in 2003. This decrease was mainly due to the 16% decrease in sales volume for the quarter.

Earnings before taxes from the uranium business increased by \$4 million in the third quarter of 2004 while the profit margin improved to 15% from 12% in 2003 due to the higher realized selling price.

***Year to Date***

Revenue from the uranium business decreased by 2% to \$378 million from \$385 million in 2003, due to lower deliveries, which were largely offset by an improved realized price. The higher realized price was the result of an increase in the uranium spot price, which averaged \$17.94 (US) in the first nine months compared to \$10.85 (US) in 2003, an increase of 65%. The benefit of the improved spot price was partially offset by lower prices on fixed-price contracts, contract price ceilings and a less favourable foreign exchange rate.

During the first nine months of 2004, the total cost of products and services sold, including DDR was \$319 million compared to \$340 million in 2003, reflecting an 11% decline in sales volume. On a per unit basis, the cost of product sold was about 5% higher than in the previous year. However, the cost of sales for 2003 included \$24 million in rehabilitation costs due to the water inflow incident at McArthur River. Excluding these costs, the unit cost of sales rose by 13% due to higher costs for purchased uranium and higher production costs at Rabbit Lake.

Earnings before taxes from the uranium business increased by \$13 million in the first nine months of 2004 and the profit margin improved to 16% from 12% in 2003.

**Uranium Outlook for the Year**

In 2004, Cameco's uranium revenue is expected to be marginally higher than in 2003 as the effect of a projected 15% improvement in selling price is expected to be largely offset by lower deliveries. About one-third of uranium deliveries are expected to occur in the last quarter of the year.

**Table of Contents**

Uranium margins are expected to improve to nearly 20% compared to the 15% reported in 2003, which included the expensing of the rehabilitation costs for McArthur River and the standby costs for Key Lake.

**Uranium Outlook for the Fourth Quarter**

For the fourth quarter of 2004, uranium revenue is projected to increase by about 25% over the third quarter as a result of higher deliveries. Cameco expects its average realized price, in Canadian dollars, will be about 10% greater than in the third quarter.

**2004 Uranium Price Sensitivity Analysis**

For deliveries in the fourth quarter of 2004, a \$1.00 (US) per pound increase in the U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> spot price from its current level of \$20.00 (US) per pound would increase revenue by about \$1 million (CDN), whereas a \$1.00 (US) per pound decrease would reduce revenue by about \$2 million (CDN). Please see uranium price sensitivity discussion below.

**Uranium Price Sensitivity Analysis (2005 & 2006)**

As previously disclosed, many of the company's uranium contracts were signed years ago when spot prices were much lower. As a result, these contracts have pricing terms that limit the benefit of spot price increases experienced to date.

The following table indicates the approximate percentage of targeted sales volume that will be impacted by further increases in the spot price above \$20 (US) per pound U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. As shown in the table below, the proportion of targeted sales that is sensitive to further increases in the spot price grows significantly in 2006.

	% Sales Target	
	2005	2006
Price Insensitive <sup>1</sup>	91%	65%
Price Sensitive <sup>2</sup>	9%	35%

<sup>1</sup> fixed-price contracts and market-related contracts not sensitive to increases in the spot price above \$20 (US)

<sup>2</sup> market-related contracts plus uncommitted volumes

Cameco expects that a \$1.00 (US) increase in the spot price from \$20.00 (US) per pound would increase 2005 net earnings by \$2 million (CDN) or \$0.04 per share. This sensitivity assumes that one US dollar is equivalent to \$1.36 Canadian after allowing for hedging.

Given the level of sales targeted each year (32 million pounds in 2004), the company is continually in the market signing new contracts for deliveries beginning in two to three years. About 25% to 30% of the current contract portfolio rolls off each year, and is therefore replaced in large part with contracts that were entered into in the last two to three years. During this period of rapidly increasing prices, the company has continued to enter into new contracts.



## **Table of Contents**

It is also important to note that over the past several years, Cameco's strategy was to ensure adequate cash flow in the near term with a mix of market-related and fixed-price contracts. At the same time, it sought to limit sales commitments beyond 2006, given the then prevailing market conditions, contracting terms and the company's expectations about future prices.

For the present, Cameco continues to target a sales portfolio where 60% of the contracts have prices that reference the spot price near the time of delivery and 40% have fixed/base-escalated prices. The new fixed/base price contracts generally reflect longer-term prices at the time of contract award. Therefore, in the coming years, Cameco's contract portfolio will be positively impacted by these higher fixed/base price contracts and have more upside potential under new spot-related contracts.

## **Uranium Market Update**

### ***Uranium Spot Market***

The industry average spot price on September 30, 2004 was \$20.00 (US) per pound U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, up 8% from \$18.50 (US) at June 30, 2004. This compares to \$12.23 (US) at the end of the third quarter of 2003.

Total spot market volume reported for the third quarter of 2004 was 3.5 million pounds U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, for a total year to date of 12.8 million pounds. The quarterly volume is similar to the 3.8 million pounds for the third quarter of 2003 and the year to date volume is down from the corresponding nine-month total at that time of 14.8 million pounds.

Spot demand in 2004 continued to be slightly lower than in 2003, as buyers with near-term requirements exercised upward volume flexibilities under existing contracts in an effort to avoid paying higher prices. The vast majority of transactions in the third quarter were conducted off-market as buyers attempted to minimize upward pressure on prices. Spot sellers are offering limited volumes, with over 75% of spot purchases made by suppliers (about 60% of which were producers). Many producers are buying to cover commitments, including additional volumes arising from customers exercising their rights to increase contract deliveries. In addition, spot suppliers are not aggressively placing the volumes they have, resulting in price increases throughout the quarter.

### ***Uranium Long-Term Market***

The long-term market in 2004 continued to be active in the third quarter and long-term contracting in 2004 is expected to be significantly higher than the estimated 75 million pounds U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> contracted in 2003.

The long-term price indicators, published by TradeTech and Ux Consulting Company, LLC, were at \$23.00 (US) per pound U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> on September 30, 2004, up from \$18.50 (US) and \$19.00 (US) at the end of the second quarter.

**Table of Contents*****US Highly Enriched Uranium (HEU) Update***

The US Department of Energy has requested Expressions of Interest for the purchase of 15 to 17.4 tonnes of HEU (equivalent to about 7.6 million pounds U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and 2,900 tonnes of conversion services) from their stockpile of HEU to be available to the market in approximately equal annual amounts from 2006 through 2009. A formal request for proposals may be issued by the US Department of Energy in the first quarter of 2005.

**Uranium Operations Update*****Uranium Production***

<b> Cameco's Share of Production</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>
<b>(million lbs U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>)</b>	<b>Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Sept. 30/03</b>	<b>Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Sept. 30/03</b>
McArthur River/Key Lake	<b>3.2</b>	3.6	<b>9.1</b>	6.9
Rabbit Lake	<b>1.2</b>	1.1	<b>3.8</b>	4.2
Smith Ranch/Highland	<b>0.3</b>	0.3	<b>0.9</b>	0.9
Crow Butte	<b>0.2</b>	0.2	<b>0.6</b>	0.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>4.9</b>	5.2	<b>14.4</b>	12.6

***McArthur River/Key Lake***

Production at McArthur River/Key Lake totalled 4.5 million pounds for the third quarter of 2004. Cameco's share is 3.2 million pounds.

The excess water inflow at McArthur River was successfully sealed off in July 2004. Total water inflow to the mine is less than 200 m<sup>3</sup>/hr, which is lower than it was before the water inflow incident.

McArthur River/Key Lake is on track to produce 18.5 million pounds for 2004 (Cameco's share is 12.9 million pounds).

Both the McArthur River and Key Lake operating licences require renewal on October 31, 2004. The Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC) approved the renewal of these licenses on October 25, 2004 and they are valid until October 31, 2008.

The CNSC has indicated that the proposed production capacity increase to 22 million pounds U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> per year will require a screening level environmental assessment (EA) under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act. A hearing was held as scheduled on September 15, 2004 to review EA guidelines for the production capacity increase. The EA guidelines are currently under consideration by the commission. The company expects a decision from the CNSC in late 2005. If approval is received, Cameco expects it will take a couple of years to ramp up production. Cameco is developing a plan to determine the optimal sustainable production rate.

***Rabbit Lake***

Rabbit Lake produced 1.2 million pounds U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> during the third quarter of 2004 and is expected to produce 5.8 million pounds in 2004.



## **Table of Contents**

Prospects for additional reserves have been identified near the current mine. During the quarter, underground delineation drilling continued. The company has begun underground development in this area to establish a deeper exploration drift for further drilling later this year. It is anticipated that an estimate of the additional reserves will be available by year end.

### ***Smith Ranch-Highland and Crow Butte***

Smith Ranch-Highland and Crow Butte produced 0.5 million pounds during the third quarter of 2004. The operations are expected to produce 2.0 million pounds collectively for the year.

## **Uranium Projects Update**

### ***Cigar Lake***

On July 7, 2004, the CNSC held the first of two hearings for the full construction licence at Cigar Lake. Following the hearing, the CNSC announced its decision to issue a licence to Cameco for construction of specific surface facilities at Cigar Lake. The licence is valid until January 31, 2005.

The second hearing for the full construction licence is scheduled for November 17, 2004. Assuming the full construction licence is obtained, the Cigar Lake partners will decide whether to proceed with development of the mine. Construction of the mine is expected to take up to 27 months, with uranium production possible in 2007. The anticipated annual production at full capacity is 18 million pounds. Cameco owns 50% of Cigar Lake. The Cigar Lake partners are updating the 2001 preliminary estimate of \$350 million for project development. Given the recent price increases in steel products, scope changes and additional operational requirements mandated by regulation, the project cost is expected to increase by 25% to 30% over the initial estimate.

### ***Inkai***

The test mine at Inkai in Kazakhstan produced about 0.14 million pounds  $U_3O_8$  during the third quarter of 2004 and is expected to produce 0.4 million pounds for the year. Cameco owns 60% of the Inkai project. The Inkai Joint Venture has notified the Kazakh government that it intends to increase Inkai production to 5.2 million pounds per year at full capacity, up from the previous estimate of 2.6 million pounds.

The Inkai Joint Venture partners have decided to proceed with construction of the Inkai in situ leach mine. The Inkai Joint Venture intends to submit an environmental assessment and a design plan for the commercial facility to Kazakh regulatory authorities in the coming months, with approval expected by mid-2005. Following approval, construction will begin with commercial production scheduled for 2007. The costs net of sales proceeds from Inkai production are capitalized until commercial production is achieved.

## **Uranium Exploration Update**

Cameco's uranium exploration division completed extensive field programs in both Saskatchewan and Australia during the third quarter. A total of 22 mid-stage projects were active, with diamond drilling on roughly half of these. Several targets were advanced as a result of favourable geology, structure and alteration.

**Table of Contents**

More advanced surface exploration also took place at Cameco's Rabbit Lake and McArthur River operations, where the targets are additional ore reserves, and at the advanced Cree Extension project. On Cree Extension, the Millenium zone, first encountered in 2000, continues to be evaluated with additional drilling. However, at this point there is not sufficient information to estimate a resource. The Millenium zone is located 40 kilometres northeast of Key Lake, and is owned by Cameco (42%), JCU Canada Exploration Ltd. (30%) and Cogema Resources Inc. (28%).

**Conversion Services****Highlights**

	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>
Revenue (\$ millions)	34	34	98	95
Gross profit (\$ millions)		2	22	22
Gross profit %		5	23	23
EBT (\$ millions)		1	21	21
Sales volume (tU)	4,375	4,457	11,542	11,301
Production volume (tU)		1,876	7,060	9,214

**Conversion Services Earnings*****Third Quarter***

In the third quarter of 2004, revenue from the conversion business was unchanged at \$34 million compared to the same period in 2003 as a 2% decline in sales volume was offset by a modest increase in the realized price.

The total cost of products and services sold, including depreciation, depletion and reclamation (DDR) was \$34 million in the third quarter of 2004 compared to \$32 million in 2003. This increase was largely attributable to the labour dispute at the Port Hope conversion facility, which disrupted production in the quarter. Costs incurred during the period of the strike were charged to earnings. On a per unit basis, the cost of products and services sold increased by about 9% over the previous year.

Earnings before taxes from the conversion business decreased by \$1 million. The gross profit margin decreased to 0% from 5% due to the labour dispute, which caused unit costs to increase compared to 2003.

***Year to Date***

Revenue from the conversion business rose marginally to \$98 million from \$95 million in 2003 due to a 2% increase in sales volumes.

The total cost of products and services sold, including DDR, was \$75 million in the first nine months of 2004 compared to \$73 million in 2003. This increase was attributable to the higher deliveries and the expensing of costs incurred during the labour dispute.

## **Table of Contents**

Earnings before taxes and the profit margin from the conversion business remained unchanged in the first nine months of 2004.

### **Conversion Services Outlook for the Year**

Revenue from the conversion business is likely to be marginally higher than in 2003 due to a small increase in deliveries. The realized price is expected to be similar to 2003. Production for 2004 is now projected to be about 10,100 tonnes, down from previous projections of 12,500 tonnes. As a result, unit costs are expected to be higher than in previous years impacting the profit margin for conversion services.

### **Conversion Services Outlook for the Fourth Quarter**

For the fourth quarter of 2004, conversion revenue is projected to be higher than the third quarter. Profit margins are expected to be higher than in the third quarter due to the resumption of normal operations following the labour dispute.

### **Conversion Services Price Sensitivity Analysis**

The majority of conversion sales are at fixed prices. In the short term, Cameco's financial results are relatively insensitive to changes in the spot price for conversion. The new fixed-price contracts generally reflect longer-term prices at the time of contract award. Therefore, in the coming years, Cameco's contract portfolio will be positively impacted by these higher fixed price contracts.

### **UF<sub>6</sub> Conversion Market Update**

The industry average spot market price (TradeTech and Ux) for North American uranium conversion services increased to \$9.00 (US) per kgU at September 30, 2004, up from \$7.75 (US) at June 30, 2004. This compares to \$4.85 (US) per kgU at the end of the third quarter of 2003. In Europe, the industry average spot conversion price increased by \$0.87 (US) to \$10.00 (US) from the end of June 2004.

### **Conversion Services Operations Update**

#### ***Production***

There was no conversion production during the third quarter of 2004. This was due to the Port Hope plant being closed to conduct its annual maintenance and summer vacation program, followed by a labour dispute.

For the first nine months of 2004, production totalled 7,060 tonnes of uranium.

#### ***Labour Dispute Resolved***

On September 14, 2004, about 200 hourly employees at Cameco's Port Hope conversion facility accepted a three-year contract offer. The employees, represented by two locals of the United Steelworkers of America, voted 62% in favour of the contract offer. They had been on strike since midnight July 28, 2004.

**Table of Contents**

Normal work resumed on September 16, 2004, to complete the scheduled annual maintenance work and prepare the plant for resumption of production. All work is going as planned. The UO<sub>2</sub> plant was restarted in early October and UF<sub>6</sub> plant operations resumed on October 24, 2004 with the plant producing the first UF<sub>6</sub> as it ramps up towards normal production.

During the strike, Cameco met sales obligations to customers by reducing its conversion inventory.

**Nuclear Electricity Generation****Highlights*****Bruce Power Limited Partnership (100% basis)***

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>
	<b>Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Sept. 30/03</b>	<b>Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Sept. 30/03</b>
Output (terawatt hours)	8.7	6.5	26.1	18.6
Capacity factor (%) <sup>1</sup>	85	94	85	90
Realized price (\$ per MWh)	45	45	46	49
<i>(\$ millions)</i>				
Revenue	395	297	1,228	939
Operating costs	297	196	833	599
Earnings before interest and taxes	98	101	395	340
Interest	17	17	50	49
Earnings before taxes	81	84	345	291
Cash from operations	153	88	446	372
Capital expenditures (including sustaining capital)	71	108	250	400

<sup>1</sup>Capacity factor for a given period represents the amount of electricity actually produced for sale as a percentage of the amount of electricity the plants are capable of producing for sale.

In the third quarter of 2004, Bruce Power generated cash from operations of \$153 million compared to \$88 million in the third quarter of 2003. For the first nine months of 2004, Bruce Power generated \$446 million compared to \$372 million during the same period in 2003.

Capital expenditures for the third quarter of 2004 totalled \$71 million compared to \$108 million during the same period in 2003. For the first nine months of 2004, capital expenditures were \$250 million compared to \$400 million in the first nine months of 2003. As previously reported, Bruce Power's 2004 capital expenditure program is expected to total \$400 million, of which \$280 million is for improvements to the six operating reactors and infrastructure projects. The additional \$120 million is anticipated for sustaining capital and site service support areas.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, Bruce Power's operating cash flows exceeded capital expenditures by \$196 million allowing for the repayment of \$120 million of short-term debt. The remainder is expected to finance operating requirements.





**Table of Contents*****Cameco's Earnings from Bruce Power***

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>
<i>(\$ millions)</i>	<b>Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Sept. 30/03</b>		
Bruce Power's earnings before taxes (100%)	81	84	345	291
Cameco's share of pre-tax earnings before adjustments	26	27	109	78
<b><i>Adjustments:</i></b>				
Sales contract valuation	4	6	15	15
Interest capitalization		4	2	9
Interest income on loan to Bruce Power	2	2	6	6
Fair value increments on assets	(4)	(2)	(13)	(6)
Pre-tax earnings from Bruce Power	28	37	119	102

**Third Quarter*****Earnings***

For the third quarter, Bruce Power earnings before taxes declined slightly to \$81 million from \$84 million in 2003 due to higher operating costs associated with the vacuum building outage and from moving towards a six-unit operational site. Cameco's pre-tax earnings from Bruce Power amounted to \$28 million compared to \$37 million in 2003.

***Output***

Bruce Power achieved a capacity factor of 85% in the third quarter of 2004 compared to 94% in the same period of 2003. The lower capacity factor in the third quarter of 2004 reflects the scheduled outages during that period. During the third quarter of 2004, the Bruce Power units generated 8.7 terawatt hours (TWh) of electricity. This output included 3.1 TWh from the two A units (A3 and A4), which were available for the full quarter. In the third quarter of 2003, all four B units were operational producing 6.5 TWh. The two A units were not operating in the third quarter of 2003.

On September 11, 2004, unit B6 was taken off line for its scheduled maintenance inspection and will remain off line for a total of three months. In addition, the CNSC requires all Candu operators to conduct a thorough examination of the vacuum building structure every 12 years. Since the vacuum building is a shared safety system, the remaining three B units were taken off line on September 18 so crews could check the integrity of the structure. The vacuum building inspection was expected to take about a month but was completed in 25 days. Two B units returned to service on October 11 and 13, while unit B5 will be kept off line for a short time due to a heat transport pump requiring additional maintenance. The repairs on B5 are expected to be complete by mid-November.

A unique safety feature of Candu reactors, the vacuum building is designed to prevent the release of radioactive material to the environment in the event of an accident. A large cylindrical structure, it is connected to the generating station by a pressure relief duct and kept at negative atmospheric pressure so any release of radioactive steam can be sucked into the vacuum building.

**Table of Contents**

Throughout the vacuum building inspection, Bruce A Units 3 and 4 both operated at 100% capacity factors and continued to generate 1,500 MW of electricity.

***Price***

For the third quarter, Bruce Power's revenue increased to \$395 million from \$297 million in 2003. This can be attributed primarily to the higher output noted above.

The realized price achieved from a mix of contract and spot sales averaged \$45 per megawatt hour (MWh) during the third quarter of 2004; similar to the same period in 2003.

During the quarter, the Ontario electricity spot price averaged about \$46 per MWh, the same as the third quarter of 2003.

To reduce its exposure to spot market prices, Bruce Power has a portfolio of fixed-price sales contracts. During the third quarter of 2004, about 45% of Bruce Power's output was sold under fixed-price contracts compared to 66% in the same period in 2003.

Cameco provides guarantees to customers under these contracts of up to \$123 million. At September 30, 2004, Cameco's actual exposure under these guarantees was \$53 million. In addition, Cameco provides financial assurances for other Bruce Power commitments, which totalled about \$82 million at September 30, 2004.

***Costs***

Output was up 34% while operating costs (including depreciation and amortization) of \$297 million were higher by almost 52% on a quarter-over-quarter basis. This was primarily as a result of the fact that six units, rather than four, are now operating with the resultant increase in staff and material costs. In the third quarter of 2003, staff costs related to the restart of two Bruce A reactors were capitalized to the project. In addition, depreciation costs and supplemental rent also increased on a quarter-over-quarter basis as a result of bringing the two Bruce A units into service.

About 95% of Bruce Power's operating costs are fixed. As such, most of the costs are incurred whether the plant is operating or not. On a per unit basis, the operating cost in the third quarter of 2004 was \$34 per MWh, 13% higher than in the third quarter of 2003. This increase was primarily due to costs and lost generation associated with the vacuum building outage.

***Year to Date***

***Earnings***

For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, Bruce Power earnings before taxes were \$345 million compared to \$291 million in 2003. The increase can be attributed to higher electricity generation in the first nine months of 2004 compared to the same period in 2003. Year to date, Cameco's pre-tax earnings from Bruce Power amounted to \$119 million compared to \$102 million for the same period in 2003.

**Table of Contents**

***Output***

For the first nine months of the year, the Bruce Power units achieved a total capacity factor of 85%, down from 90% in the same period last year. These units produced 26.1 TWh during the first nine months of the year, a 40% increase over the same period last year, reflecting the addition of the two A units as well as the 3% increase in net capacity on Bruce B Unit 6 achieved through fuel configuration that has increased output by approximately 40 MW.

***Price***

For the first nine months of 2004, generation revenue totalled \$1,228 million, up 31% compared to the first nine months of 2003. During this period, Bruce Power's realized price averaged \$46 per MWh from a mix of contract and spot sales, an 8% decrease over the same period last year. The Ontario electricity spot price averaged about \$50 per MWh during the first nine months of the year compared to \$56 per MWh a year ago.

During the first nine months of 2004, about 47% of Bruce Power's output was sold under fixed-price contracts compared to 63% in the same period in 2003.

***Costs***

For the first nine months of 2004, operating costs (including depreciation and amortization) were \$833 million, 39% higher than the same period in 2003. This was primarily as a result of moving towards a six-unit operational site and the resulting increase in staff and material costs. In the first nine months of 2003, staff costs attributed to the Bruce A restart were capitalized to the project. In addition, depreciation costs and supplemental rent also increased year over year as a result of bringing the two Bruce A units into service.

About 95% of Bruce Power's operating costs are fixed. As such, most of the costs are incurred whether the plant is operating or not. On a per unit basis, the year-to-date operating cost was \$32 per MWh, similar to the first nine months in 2003.

**Bruce Power Outlook for the Year**

There are no further planned outages for Bruce Power's reactors in 2004. The aggregate capacity factor for the year is now expected to reach 83%.

Bruce Power's revenue is expected to increase in 2004 as six units will be in operation compared to four in 2003. Margins are also expected to be somewhat higher than in 2003. The improved margins depend upon successful completion of the planned outage and the spot price performance in the last quarter of the year.

**Table of Contents****Bruce Power Outlook for the Fourth Quarter**

The planned month-long maintenance outage for all four B units has been completed with two of the three anticipated B units returning to service. Unit B5 will be out for a short unplanned outage as noted above, while the fourth unit (B6) remains in its maintenance outage as planned. This is expected to reduce fourth quarter output by about 10% compared to the third quarter. The benefit of an expected increase in the electricity spot price is likely to be offset by higher maintenance costs and lost revenue associated with the outage. Together, these factors are anticipated to decrease Bruce Power's earnings in the fourth quarter compared to the third quarter.

**Electricity Price Sensitivity Analysis**

At the end of the quarter, about 40% of Bruce Power's planned output for the remainder of 2004 was under fixed-price contracts. A \$1.00 per MWh change in the spot price for electricity in Ontario would change Cameco's after-tax earnings from Bruce Power by about \$1 million.

**Gold****Centerra Gold Inc.**

Following the exercise of the over-allotment option by the underwriters, which closed on July 28, 2004, Centerra has 72.1 million common shares outstanding. Cameco Gold Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cameco, owns 38.0 million common shares or 53% of Centerra.

The operating results of the Kumtor Gold Company (Kumtor) have been fully consolidated as of June 22, 2004. Prior to that, Cameco proportionately consolidated its interest in Kumtor. Cameco also fully consolidates the results of Boroo, Centerra's gold mine in Mongolia. Cameco adjusts for a 47% minority interest in Centerra, which reflects that share of earnings attributable to shareholders other than Cameco.

**Financial Highlights**

	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>
Revenue (\$ millions)	115	28	212	75
Gross profit (\$ millions)	42	12	77	22
Gross profit %	37	43	36	30
Selling price (US\$/ounce)	398	312	380	315
Sales volume (ounces) <sup>1</sup>	217,595	63,303	414,755	158,398

<sup>1</sup>Comprising one-third of Kumtor to June 22, 2004 and 100% thereafter.

**Table of Contents****Production Highlights**

	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Three Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/04</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30/03</b>
<b>Kumtor (100%)</b> <sup>1</sup>				
Production (ounces)	166,805	207,128	518,627	469,875
Total cash cost <sup>3</sup> (US\$/ounce)	192	160	185	200
<b>Boroo (100%)</b> <sup>2</sup>				
Production (ounces)	68,773		151,426	
Total cash cost <sup>3</sup> (US\$/ounce)	135		135	

<sup>1</sup>Beginning in the third quarter of 2004, Cameco fully consolidates Kumtor's results.

<sup>2</sup>Commercial operations commenced March 1, 2004.

<sup>3</sup>Total cash cost is a non-GAAP measure and is discussed under Non-GAAP measures Total cash costs

**Earnings from Gold****Third Quarter**

In the third quarter of 2004, revenue generated in the gold business rose to \$115 million from \$28 million compared to the third quarter of last year due to the full consolidation of Kumtor's results and to production from the Boroo mine, commissioned in 2004. The realized price for gold increased to \$398 (US) in the quarter from \$312 (US) per ounce in the same quarter last year, due to a reduced hedge level, which provided greater exposure to the higher spot price.

For the quarter, the gross profit margin for gold declined to 37% from 43% in 2003 due to higher cash costs at Kumtor, largely the result of lower production. On a 100% basis, Kumtor's production was 19% lower at 166,805 ounces compared to 207,128 ounces in the previous year.

Production at the Kumtor mine decreased due to a lower ore grade that averaged 4.3 grams per tonne (g/t) compared to 5.2 g/t in 2003. Kumtor's total cash cost per ounce increased to \$192 (US) compared to \$160 (US) in 2003 due to the decrease in production.

Production at Boroo continued to exceed expectations at 68,773 ounces. Output is higher than expected due primarily to higher ore grades than had been predicted by the ore reserve model. Boroo's total cash cost per ounce was \$135 (US) for the third quarter of 2004.

**Year to Date**

Revenue from the gold business increased to \$212 million from \$75 million compared to the same period last year, reflecting the full consolidation of Kumtor's results since June 2004 as well as from production at the Boroo mine. A higher realized price for gold also added to revenues, increasing to \$380 (US) in 2004 compared to \$315 (US) in 2003 due to a reduced hedge level, which provided greater exposure to the higher spot price. The Canadian dollar revenues do not reflect this entire increase due to the strength of the Canadian dollar relative to last year.



## **Table of Contents**

Production at the Kumtor mine increased by 48,752 ounces (10%) due to a higher ore grade that averaged 4.6 g/t compared to 4.2 g/t in 2003. Kumtor's total cash cost per ounce decreased to \$185 (US) compared to \$200 (US) in 2003 due to the increase in production.

Production at Boroo has totalled 151,426 ounces since commercial production was declared on March 1, 2004. Boroo's total cash cost per ounce was \$135 (US) for the first nine months of 2004.

The gross profit margin for gold rose to 36% in the first nine months of 2004 compared to 30% in 2003.

## **Gold Market Update**

The average spot market gold price during the third quarter of 2004 was \$401 (US), ending the quarter at \$416 (US) per ounce. This compares to \$396 (US) at June 30, 2004 and \$388 (US) at the end of the third quarter of 2003.

As of the end of September, all forward sales agreements for Centerra have been closed and all credit support has been removed.

Timing differences between the settlement and designation of hedge contracts have resulted in deferred charges. At September 30, 2004, these deferred charges totalled \$8 million (US).

## **Gold Outlook for the Year**

At Kumtor, production is still expected to total 655,000 ounces due to a milling plan that calls for a mix of lower grade stockpiled ore and higher grade mine ore.

The 2004 forecast production for Boroo is now expected to be 245,000 ounces. This estimate includes pre-commercial production from January to February 2004 of 27,703 ounces.

Given the planned total production from the Kumtor and Boroo mines, greater revenue is expected compared to 2003, assuming gold prices remain near current levels. Profits are expected to improve as a result of increased production. Furthermore, Kumtor's results are now fully consolidated, which will cause a significant increase in the amount of reported revenue.

## **Gold Outlook for the Fourth Quarter**

For the fourth quarter of 2004, profits from the gold business are projected to decline compared to the third quarter as a result of lower production from the Kumtor and Boroo mines where ore grades are expected to be lower than in the third quarter.

## **Gold Price Sensitivity Analysis**

For the remainder of 2004, gold sales are unhedged. A \$10.00 (US) per ounce change in the gold spot price would change revenue by about \$2.5 million (CDN), cash flow by about \$2.4 million (CDN) and net earnings by about \$1.2 million (CDN).

**Table of Contents**

**NUCLEAR INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENTS**

**United States**

A report published in August 2004 by the University of Chicago under the sponsorship of the US Department of Energy has concluded that future nuclear power costs are competitive with both coal and natural gas generation once early plant costs are absorbed. The study states that the principal economic barrier to new nuclear plants are the costs associated with first-of-a-kind construction and federal financial policies could make construction of the first nuclear plants more competitive.

In the US, for the third consecutive year, nuclear was the lowest-cost electricity producer of base load electricity excluding hydro. Nuclear power production costs were marginally lower than coal and about one-third the cost of oil and gas.

**Canada**

The Canadian Energy Research Institute published a report in August 2004 that compares the lifetime costs of new base load generation in Ontario. One of the conclusions of the report was that refurbishment of existing nuclear units may be particularly attractive as it could be completed more rapidly than the construction of new plants.

The report also noted that the deployment of a twin ACR-700 nuclear reactor, including first-of-a-kind costs, is either the lowest-cost option or comparable with coal-fired generation when CO<sub>2</sub> emissions costs are included. Deployment of later ACR-700s result in costs competitive with coal, even in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions costs, as more units of the same design are built.

It further noted that gas-fired generation for base load supply looks unattractive due to anticipated increases in natural gas prices.

**Europe**

In Sweden, the government has announced that the Barseback 2 reactor will be closed in 2005, the second closure under its nuclear phase out program. This is a delay of approximately two years from the original phase out plan. This loss of about 600 megawatts equates to about 225,000 pounds U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> per year, but it is anticipated this demand will be replaced through uprates of other Swedish reactors by 2010.



## **Table of Contents**

### **China**

Late in September 2004, China issued a call for bids for four new reactors at two different locations. The tender requested bids, within five months, on nuclear power plants between 1,000 and 1,500 megawatts each. Bid evaluation is expected to be complete by late 2005, but no construction dates were specified. These four units are in addition to the nine reactors currently operating, two reactors under construction, and four units in the planning stages. China now has 6,600 megawatts of nuclear generation in operation. China has stated that it wishes to increase generating capacity to 36,000 megawatts by 2020, which would involve adding an average of 2,000 megawatts per year between now and then.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Changes in liquidity and capital resources during the third quarter included the following:

### **Credit Facilities**

In the third quarter of 2004, Cameco prepaid an \$8 million (US) equipment loan. On October 5, 2004, Cameco extended the terms of its revolving credit facilities by one year.

### **Commercial Commitments**

During the quarter, commercial commitments declined 8% to \$349 million from \$381 million at June 30, 2004. Early closing of gold hedge positions reduced Cameco's credit support obligations to counterparties under these arrangements by \$18 million. Cameco is not providing any credit support for Centerra. Obligations to provide financial guarantees supporting Bruce Power decreased by \$16 million while financial guarantees supporting Inkai increased by \$2 million to the end of the quarter.

### **Credit Ratings**

As of September 30, 2004, Cameco had the following ratings for its senior debt from third-party rating agencies:

Dominion Bond Rating Service Limited (DBRS)    A (low)    with a stable outlook

Moody's Investors Service    Baa1    with a stable outlook

Standard & Poor's (S&P)    BBB+    with a stable outlook

### **Notice of Redemption of Cameco Preferred Securities**

On October 25, 2004, Cameco's board of directors approved the redemption of all \$125 million (US) of outstanding 8.75% Preferred Securities for cash on December 17, 2004. The preferred securities are redeemable at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to the date of redemption. In the fourth quarter, Cameco recognized the unamortized portion of the issue costs in earnings, resulting in a charge of \$4 million (CDN) to net earnings.

**Table of Contents**

**SHARE CAPITAL**

At September 30, 2004, there were 174,492,269 common shares outstanding.

**RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION**

Cameco buys a significant amount of goods and services for its Saskatchewan mining operations from northern Saskatchewan suppliers to support economic development in the region. One such supplier is Kitsaki Management Limited Partnership. Harry Cook, a director of Cameco, is the chair of this company and is also the chief of Lac LaRonge Indian Band, which owns Kitsaki. In 2004 to the end of the third quarter, Cameco had paid Kitsaki subsidiary companies \$16.4 million for transportation and catering services.

**NON-GAAP MEASURES**

In addition to disclosing results in accordance with the Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), Cameco also provides supplementary non-GAAP measures as a method to evaluate the company's operating performance.

**Adjusted Net Earnings**

The measure adjusted net earnings for 2004 excludes the earnings impact of the transactions related to the Centerra restructuring. The restructuring allowed Cameco to recognize a non-recurring increase to net earnings of \$94 million (\$0.55 per share) in the second quarter of 2004.

The measure for 2003 excludes the effects of changes in Canadian federal tax legislation that was substantially enacted in June 2003. These changes affected taxation of resource sector earnings and resulted in Cameco recording a recovery of \$86 million (\$0.50 per share) in the second quarter of 2003.

Management believes the exclusion of these items provides a more meaningful basis for period-to-period comparisons of the company's financial results.

**Total Cash Cost**

This MD&A presents information about total cash cost of production of an ounce of gold for the operating properties of Centerra. Except as otherwise noted, total cash cost per ounce is calculated by dividing total cash costs, as determined using the industry standard published by the Gold Institute, by gold ounces produced for the relevant period. The Gold Institute is a non-profit international association of miners, refiners, bullion suppliers and manufacturers of gold products, which has developed a standard format for reporting costs on a per ounce basis.

Total cash costs, as defined in the Gold Institute standard, include mine operating costs such as mining, processing, administration, royalties and production taxes, but exclude amortization, reclamation costs, financing costs and capital, development and exploration.

**Table of Contents**

Total cash cost per ounce has been included because certain investors use this information to assess performance and also to determine the ability of Centerra to generate cash flow for use in investing and other activities. The inclusion of total cash cost per ounce enables investors to better understand year-on-year changes in production costs, which in turn affect profitability and cash flow.

**CAUTION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION**

Statements contained in this news release, which are not historical facts, are forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause such differences, without limiting the generality of the following, include: volatility and sensitivity to market prices for uranium, electricity in Ontario and gold; the impact of the sales volume of uranium, conversion services, electricity generated and gold; competition; the impact of change in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates; imprecision in reserve estimates; environmental and safety risks including increased regulatory burdens; unexpected geological or hydrological conditions; adverse mining conditions, political risks arising from operating in certain developing countries; a possible deterioration in political support for nuclear energy; changes in government regulations and policies, including trade laws and policies; demand for nuclear power; replacement of production and failure to obtain necessary permits and approvals from government authorities; legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation, regulation or restructuring of the electric utility industry in Ontario; Ontario electricity rate regulations; weather and other natural phenomena; ability to maintain and further improve positive labour relations; operating performance of the facilities; decrease in electrical production due to planned outages extending beyond their scheduled periods or unplanned outages; success of planned development projects; and other development and operating risks.

Although Cameco believes that the assumptions inherent in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, undue reliance should not be placed on these statements, which only apply as of the date of this report. Cameco disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

**Table of Contents**

**INVESTOR INFORMATION**

**Common Shares**

CCO  
The Toronto Stock Exchange

CCJ  
New York Stock Exchange

**Preferred Securities**

CCJPR  
New York Stock Exchange

**Convertible Debentures**

CCO.DB  
The Toronto Stock Exchange

**Inquiries**

Cameco Corporation  
2121 1<sup>st</sup> Street West  
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan  
S7M 1J3

Phone: 306-956-6200  
Fax: 306-956-6318  
Web: [www.cameco.com](http://www.cameco.com)

**Transfer Agent**

CIBC Mellon Trust Company  
320 Bay Street, P.O. Box 1  
Toronto, Ontario  
M5H 4A6

Phone: 800-387-0825  
(North America)  
Phone: 416-643-5500  
(outside North America)

Investor & Media inquiries:

**Alice Wong (306) 956-6337**

**- End -**

**Table of Contents**

**Cameco Corporation  
Financial Statements  
September 30, 2004  
Restated**

---

**Table of Contents****Cameco Corporation  
Highlights Restated  
(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>
	<b>30/04</b>	<b>30/03</b>	<b>30/04</b>	<b>30/03</b>
<b>Financial (in millions)</b>				
Revenue	\$ 313	\$ 232	\$ 688	\$ 555
Earnings from operations	32	13	80	27
Net earnings	52	33	242	174
Cash provided by operations	140	77	169	171
Working capital (end of period)			594	545
Net debt to capitalization			14%	23%
<b>Per common share</b>				
Net earnings Basic	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.20	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.04
Diluted	0.29	0.19	1.35	1.02
Dividend	0.05	0.05	0.15	0.15
Weighted average number of paid common shares outstanding (in thousands)	171,753	168,474	171,015	168,024
Average uranium spot price for the period (US\$/lb)	\$ 19.29	\$ 11.52	\$ 17.94	\$ 10.85
<b>Sales volumes</b>				
Uranium (in thousands lbs U3O8)	9,553	11,358	21,658	24,296
Uranium conversion (tU)	4,375	4,457	11,542	11,301
Gold (troy ounces)	217,595	63,303	414,755	158,398
Electricity (TWh)	2.8	1.3	8.3	4.6

*Note: Currency amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless stated otherwise.*

	Cameco s	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	Share	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>
		<b>30/04</b>	<b>30/03</b>	<b>30/04</b>	<b>30/03</b>
<b>Cameco Production</b>					
<b>Uranium production (in thousands lbs U3O8)</b>					
McArthur River	69.8%	3,175	3,606	9,062	6,900
Rabbit Lake	100.0%	1,215	1,107	3,862	4,165
Crow Butte	100.0%	210	202	618	615
Smith Ranch Highland	100.0%	312	302	878	906
Total		4,912	5,217	14,420	12,586

<b>Uranium conversion (tU)</b>	100.0%		1,876	<b>7,060</b>	9,214
<b>Gold (troy ounces)</b>					
Kumtor (i)	100.0%	<b>166,805</b>	69,043	<b>284,078</b>	156,625
Boroo (ii)	100.0%	<b>68,773</b>		<b>151,426</b>	
Total		<b>235,578</b>	69,043	<b>435,504</b>	156,625

- (i) Cameco's effective ownership interest in Kumtor was 33.3% for the first six months of 2004.
- (ii) Quantity reported for Boroo in 2004 excludes 27,703 ounces produced prior to declaration of commercial production. Cameco's effective ownership interest in Boroo was 53% for the first nine months of 2004.

**Table of Contents****Cameco Corporation****Consolidated Statements of Earnings Restated (note 2)**

(Unaudited)

(In Thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept</b>		<b>Sept</b>	
	<b>30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>	<b>30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>
<b>Revenue from</b>				
Products and services	\$ 313,198	\$ 232,082	\$ 687,806	\$ 555,016
<b>Expenses</b>				
Products and services sold	187,023	157,368	408,736	378,748
Depreciation, depletion and reclamation	58,310	39,973	120,521	87,342
Administration	19,728	11,009	49,515	32,727
Exploration	11,032	5,785	22,072	15,340
Research and development	445	376	1,341	1,291
Interest and other [note 6]	5,225	4,840	7,427	13,011
Gain on sale of assets	(313)		(1,459)	
	<b>281,450</b>	219,351	<b>608,153</b>	528,459
<b>Earnings from operations</b>	<b>31,748</b>	12,731	<b>79,653</b>	26,557
Earnings from Bruce Power	28,166	36,552	119,162	102,127
Other income [note 7]	15,825	650	132,696	1,102
<b>Earnings before income taxes and minority interest</b>	<b>75,739</b>	49,933	<b>331,511</b>	129,786
Income tax expense (recovery) [note 8]	8,819	17,039	68,629	(43,489)
Minority interest	15,354	(399)	20,802	(897)
<b>Net earnings</b>	<b>\$ 51,566</b>	\$ 33,293	<b>\$ 242,080</b>	\$ 174,172
<b>Basic earnings per common share [note 9]</b>	<b>\$ 0.30</b>	\$ 0.20	<b>\$ 1.42</b>	\$ 1.04
<b>Diluted earnings per common share [note 9]</b>	<b>\$ 0.29</b>	\$ 0.19	<b>\$ 1.35</b>	\$ 1.02

**Cameco Corporation****Consolidated Statements of Retained Earnings Restated (note 2)**

(Unaudited)

(In Thousands)

	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept</b>	
	<b>30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>
Retained earnings at beginning of period		



As previously reported	<b>\$ 665,377</b>	\$ 494,341
Change in accounting policy for financial instruments [note 2]	<b>29,046</b>	14,888
As restated	<b>\$ 694,423</b>	\$ 509,229
Net earnings	<b>242,080</b>	174,172
Dividends on common shares	<b>(25,690)</b>	(25,206)
<b>Retained earnings at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 910,813</b>	\$ 658,195

*See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements*

**Table of Contents****Cameco Corporation****Consolidated Balance Sheets Restated (note 2)**

(Unaudited)

(In Thousands)

	As At	
	Sept 30/04	Dec 31/03
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets		
Cash	\$ 197,347	\$ 84,069
Accounts receivable	90,390	181,337
Inventories	386,399	316,435
Supplies and prepaid expenses	81,928	49,380
Current portion of long-term receivables, investments and other	3,132	54,866
	<b>759,196</b>	686,087
Property, plant and equipment	2,295,693	2,119,784
Long-term receivables, investments and other	737,721	625,317
Goodwill [note 12]	196,562	
	<b>3,229,976</b>	2,745,101
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 3,989,172</b>	\$ 3,431,188
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 144,038	\$ 167,002
Dividends payable	8,608	8,515
Current portion of long-term debt		4,331
Current portion of other liabilities	4,652	1,563
Future income taxes	8,370	24,237
	<b>165,668</b>	205,648
Long-term debt	536,696	601,048
Provision for reclamation	167,256	150,444
Other liabilities	32,889	36,196
Future income taxes	595,730	528,250
	<b>1,498,239</b>	1,521,586
Minority interest	352,963	14,690
Shareholders equity		
Share capital	737,446	708,345
Contributed surplus	509,922	505,400

Retained earnings	<b>910,813</b>	694,423
Cumulative translation account	<b>(20,211)</b>	(13,256)
	<b>2,137,970</b>	1,894,912
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders equity</b>	<b>\$ 3,989,172</b>	\$ 3,431,188

*See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements*

**Table of Contents****Cameco Corporation****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Restated (note 2)**

(Unaudited)

(In Thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept</b>		<b>Sept</b>	
	<b>30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>	<b>30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>
<b>Operating activities</b>				
Net earnings	\$ 51,566	\$ 33,293	\$ 242,080	\$ 174,172
Items not requiring (providing) cash:				
Depreciation, depletion and reclamation	58,310	39,973	120,521	87,342
Provision for future taxes [note 8]	8,452	15,831	60,671	(47,042)
Deferred charges (revenues) recognized	(4,521)	3,941	(11,500)	7,967
Unrealized (gains) losses on derivatives	734		(3,623)	
Stock-based compensation [note 10]	2,767	733	5,364	1,708
Gain on property interests	(313)		(1,459)	
Earnings from Bruce Power	(28,166)	(36,552)	(119,162)	(102,127)
Equity in (gain) loss from associated companies	(90)	51	309	821
Other income	(8,375)		(124,160)	
Minority interest	15,354	(399)	20,802	(897)
Other operating items [note 13]	44,244	20,104	(20,756)	48,862
<b>Cash provided by operations</b>	<b>139,962</b>	<b>76,975</b>	<b>169,087</b>	<b>170,806</b>
<b>Investing activities</b>				
Acquisition of net business assets, net of cash acquired			(3,717)	
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(41,032)	(39,793)	(87,532)	(115,235)
Increase in long-term receivables, investments and other	(1,869)	(2,858)	(4,015)	(288,592)
Proceeds on sale of property, plant and equipment	284		1,306	
<b>Cash used in investing</b>	<b>(42,617)</b>	<b>(42,651)</b>	<b>(93,958)</b>	<b>(403,827)</b>
<b>Financing activities</b>				
Decrease in debt	(53,352)	(124,152)	(58,527)	
Increase in debt				142,000
Issue of shares	11,497	3,156	28,258	9,492
Issue of convertible debentures		223,032		223,032
Subsidiary issue of shares [note 11]	27,609		101,234	
Dividends	(8,581)	(8,431)	(25,640)	(23,831)
<b>Cash provided by (used in) financing</b>	<b>(22,827)</b>	<b>93,605</b>	<b>45,325</b>	<b>350,693</b>
Increase in cash during the period	74,518	127,929	120,454	117,672
Exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash balances	(7,881)	(318)	(7,176)	(9,896)
Cash at beginning of period	130,710	38,261	84,069	58,096
<b>Cash at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 197,347</b>	<b>\$ 165,872</b>	<b>\$ 197,347</b>	<b>\$ 165,872</b>

**Supplemental cash flow disclosure**

Interest paid	\$ 9,315	\$ 9,500	\$ 27,192	\$ 27,406
Income taxes paid	\$ 4,280	\$ 1,309	\$ 16,205	\$ 10,226

*See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements*

**Table of Contents****Cameco Corporation**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(Unaudited)**1. Accounting Policies**

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles and follow the same accounting principles and methods of application as the most recent annual consolidated financial statements, except for changes in accounting policies impacting financial instruments and hedging relationships as noted below. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with Cameco's annual consolidated financial statements included in the 2003 annual report. Certain comparative figures for the prior period have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

**2. Restatements****(a) Accounting Change (note 11)**

The restructuring of Cameco's subsidiary, Centerra Gold Inc. (Centerra), prior to its initial public offering (IPO) included transactions to increase its interest in certain gold assets, and to settle its outstanding debt, as described below. The terms of these transactions, which were negotiated in the months leading up to the IPO, included the issuance of shares of Centerra on the closing of the transactions. Initially, these transactions were recorded based on estimates of the value of the tangible assets acquired and debt settled, determined based on discounted cash flow analyses.

In its year-end review, the company determined that in the case of a public company issuing shares to acquire assets or settle debt, the appropriate accounting treatment is to use the value of the acquiring company's shares to record the transaction. While Centerra was not a publicly traded company at the time it negotiated the restructuring transactions, it did become one concurrent with those transactions closing, as they were contingent on the closing of the IPO.

Accordingly, by recording the value of the shares issued in the restructuring transactions at the IPO price, the impact on the balance sheet and statement of earnings at September 30, 2004 is an increase in each of the following:

	(thousands)
Goodwill	\$ 196,562
	<b>\$ 196,562</b>
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 700
Future income taxes	23,817
Minority interest	93,010
Shareholders' equity	79,035
	<b>\$ 196,562</b>

<b>Net earnings</b>	<b>\$ 86,233</b>
<b>Earnings per share</b>	<b>\$ 0.50</b>

**Table of Contents**
**Cameco Corporation**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(Unaudited)
**(b) Stock Split**

On December 9, 2004, the Board of Directors of Cameco approved a split of the company's outstanding common shares on a three-for-one basis. The stock split was effected in the form of a stock dividend of two additional common shares for each share owned by shareholders of record at the close of business on December 31, 2004. The company's common shares commenced trading on a split basis on December 29, 2004 on the Toronto Stock Exchange and January 7, 2005 on the New York Stock Exchange. All equity-based benefit plans have been adjusted to reflect the stock split. All share and per-share data have been adjusted to reflect the stock split.

**(c) Financial Instruments**

Effective January 1, 2004, Cameco has adopted the amendments to CICA Handbook Section 3860, Financial Instruments. This change in accounting policy was applied retroactively and, accordingly, the consolidated financial statements of prior periods were restated. The amendments to this section address the balance sheet presentation of financial instruments as liabilities or equity. Accordingly, amounts previously reflected as equity charges are now recorded as interest expense. In addition, an increased amount of interest has been capitalized to property, plant and equipment. The cumulative effect of the change in policy on the balance sheet and statement of earnings at December 31, 2003 is as follows:

	(thousands)
Long-term receivables, investments and other	\$ 14,067
Property, plant and equipment	49,233
	<b>\$ 63,300</b>
Future income taxes	\$ 26,576
Long-term debt	361,670
Shareholders' equity	(324,946)
	<b>\$ 63,300</b>
<b>Net earnings</b>	<b>\$ 3,477</b>
<b>Earnings per share</b>	<b>\$ 0.02</b>

For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, the change in policy had a positive impact on net earnings of \$5.3 million (September 30, 2003 \$1.2 million), which caused a \$0.03 change in the basic earnings per share (September 30, 2003 \$0.01).

**(d) Hedging Relationships**



Effective January 1, 2004, Cameco adopted the new Canadian Accounting Guideline, Hedging Relationships, which established new criteria for hedging relationships in effect on or after January 1, 2004. To qualify for hedge accounting, the hedging relationship must be appropriately documented and there must be reasonable assurance, both at the inception and throughout the term of the hedge, that the hedging relationship will be effective. Effectiveness requires a high degree of correlation of changes in fair values or cash flows between the hedged item and the hedge. The adoption of this accounting guideline had no material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**Cameco Corporation**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(Unaudited)

**(e) Stock-Based Compensation**

CICA Handbook Section 3870, Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments, establishes a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation plans which Cameco adopted from January 1, 2003.

The change in accounting policy was applied retroactively and, accordingly, the consolidated financial statements of prior periods were restated. For the first nine months of 2003, the change in policy had a negative impact on net earnings of \$1.7 million, which caused a \$0.01 change in the basic net earnings and diluted earnings per share. The income statement was restated to reflect an increase in the administration expense by \$1.7 million. The cumulative effect of the change in policy on the balance sheet at September 30, 2003 was to increase contributed surplus by \$1.7 million and decrease retained earnings by \$1.7 million.

**3. Bruce Power****(a) Summary Financial Information Bruce Power Limited Partnership (100% basis)****(i) Income Statements**

(millions)	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>
Revenue	\$ 1,228	\$ 939
Operating costs	833	599
Earnings before interest and taxes	395	340
Interest	50	49
Earnings before taxes	345	291
Cameco's share (a)	109	78
Adjustments (b)	10	24
Cameco's share of earnings before taxes	\$ 119	\$ 102

(a) Cameco's interest in Bruce Power earnings prior to February 14, 2003 was 15%. Subsequent to the acquisition of an additional 16.6% interest on February 14, 2003, Cameco's share is 31.6%.

(b) In addition to its proportionate share of earnings from Bruce Power, Cameco records certain adjustments to account for any differences in accounting policy and to amortize fair values assigned

to assets and liabilities at the time of acquisition.

(ii) **Balance Sheets**

(millions)	<b>Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Dec 31/03</b>
Assets		
Current assets	\$ 383	\$ 316
Property, plant and equipment	2,162	2,034
Long-term receivables and investments	173	173
	\$ 2,718	\$ 2,523
Liabilities and Partners' Capital		
Current liabilities	\$ 151	\$ 329
Long-term debt	1,137	1,108
	1,288	1,437
Partners' capital	1,430	1,086
	\$ 2,718	\$ 2,523

7

**Table of Contents**

**Cameco Corporation**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(Unaudited)

**(iii) Cash Flows**

(millions)	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>
Cash provided by operations	\$ 446	\$ 372
Cash used in investing	(263)	(428)
Cash provided by (used in) financing	(111)	65

**(b) Financial Assurances**

Cameco has provided the following financial assurances on behalf of the partnership, with varying terms that range from 2004 to 2018:

- (i) Licensing assurances to Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission of \$24 million.
- (ii) Guarantees to customers under power sale agreements of up to \$123 million. At September 30, 2004, Cameco's actual exposure under these guarantees was \$53 million.
- (iii) Termination payments to OPG pursuant to the lease agreement of \$58 million.

**4. Long-Term Debt**

The fair value of the outstanding convertible debentures based on the quoted market price of the debentures at September 30, 2004 was approximately \$393 million.

**5. Share Capital**

- (a) At September 30, 2004, there were 172,492,269 common shares outstanding.
- (b) Options in respect of 5,485,170 shares are outstanding under the stock option plan and are exercisable up to 2014. Upon exercise of certain existing options, additional options in respect of 299,700 shares would be granted.

**6. Interest and Other**

(thousands)	(Restated)		(Restated)	
	<b>Three Months Ended Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>
Interest on long-term debt	\$ 10,203	\$ 9,565	\$ 30,128	\$ 26,766

Edgar Filing: CAMECO CORP - Form 6-K

Other interest and financing charges	1,115	786	2,176	1,475
Interest income	(828)	(878)	(2,712)	(6,072)
Foreign exchange losses	79	360	(604)	3,379
Unrealized gains on derivatives	734		(3,623)	
Capitalized interest	(6,078)	(4,993)	(17,938)	(12,537)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ 5,225</b>	<b>\$ 4,840</b>	<b>\$ 7,427</b>	<b>\$ 13,011</b>

**7. Other Income**

	(Restated)			
	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
(thousands)	<b>Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>	<b>Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>
Restructuring of gold business	\$ 6,899	\$	\$ 123,512	\$
South Texas Project break fee	8,110		8,110	
Dividends on portfolio investments	726	701	1,383	1,923
Equity in earnings (loss) of associated companies	90	(51)	(309)	(821)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ 15,825</b>	<b>\$ 650</b>	<b>\$ 132,696</b>	<b>\$ 1,102</b>

8

**Table of Contents**
**Cameco Corporation**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(Unaudited)
**8. Income Tax Expense (Recovery) Restated**

(thousands)	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>	<b>Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>
Current income taxes	\$ 367	\$ 1,208	\$ 7,958	\$ 3,553
Future income taxes	8,452	15,831	60,671	(47,042)
<b>Income tax expense (recovery)</b>	<b>\$ 8,819</b>	<b>\$ 17,039</b>	<b>\$ 68,629</b>	<b>\$ (43,489)</b>

**9. Per Share Amounts Restated**

(thousands)	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>	<b>Sept 30/04</b>	<b>Sept 30/03</b>
<b>Basic earnings per share computation</b>				
Net earnings	\$ 51,566	\$ 33,293	\$ 242,080	\$ 174,172
Weighted average common shares outstanding	171,753	168,474	171,015	168,024
<b>Basic earnings per common share</b>	<b>\$ 0.30</b>	<b>\$ 0.20</b>	<b>\$ 1.42</b>	<b>\$ 1.04</b>
<b>Diluted earnings per share computation</b>				
Net earnings	\$ 51,566	\$ 33,293	\$ 242,080	\$ 174,172
Dilutive effect of:				
Convertible debentures	1,845		5,798	
Net earnings, assuming dilution	\$ 53,411	\$ 33,293	\$ 247,878	\$ 174,172
Weighted average common shares outstanding	171,753	168,474	171,015	168,024
Dilutive effect of:				
Convertible debentures	10,614	693	10,614	234
Stock options	2,715	1,986	2,169	1,947
Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution	185,082	171,153	183,798	170,205
<b>Diluted earnings per common share</b>	<b>\$ 0.29</b>	<b>\$ 0.19</b>	<b>\$ 1.35</b>	<b>\$ 1.02</b>

Options whose exercise price was greater than the average market price were excluded from this calculation.

**Table of Contents**
**Cameco Corporation**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(Unaudited)
**10. Stock-Based Compensation**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, Cameco has recorded compensation expense of \$5.4 million with an offsetting credit to contributed surplus.

Cameco has applied the pro forma disclosure provisions of the standard to awards granted on or after January 1, 2002 but prior to January 1, 2003. The pro forma effect of awards granted prior to January 1, 2002 has not been included. The pro forma net earnings, basic and diluted earnings per share as a result of the grant of these options are:

	(Restated)	(Restated)	(Restated)	(Restated)
	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>
(thousands)	<b>30/04</b>	<b>30/03</b>	<b>30/04</b>	<b>30/03</b>
Pro forma net earnings	\$ 51,415	\$ 32,930	\$ 241,627	\$ 173,082
Pro forma basic earnings per share	0.30	0.20	1.41	1.03
Pro forma diluted earnings per share	0.29	0.19	1.35	1.02

The fair value of the options issued was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	(Restated)	(Restated)
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
Number of options granted	1,934,250	1,901,700
Average strike price	\$ 21.81	\$ 12.01
Dividend	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20
Expected volatility	37%	20%
Risk-free interest rate	3.3%	4.1%
Expected life of option	4 years	5 years
Expected forfeitures	15%	10%
Weighted average grant date fair values	\$ 6.78	\$ 2.51

**11. Restructuring of the Gold Business****(a) Initial Public Offering**

Under its initial public offering,  
Centerra issued 5,000,000



common shares to the public on June 30, 2004 for net proceeds of \$73,625,000 after deducting the underwriter's fees of 5%. On July 28, 2004, the underwriters to the initial public offering of Centerra exercised their over-allotment option to acquire an additional 1,875,000 shares for net proceeds of \$27,609,000.

**(b) Acquisition of Additional 66.7% in Kumtor Gold Company (KGC)**

Pursuant to the restructuring agreement between Cameco Gold Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary of Cameco) and Kyrgyzaltyn, Centerra acquired an additional 66.7% interest in KGC, resulting in KGC becoming a wholly owned subsidiary of Centerra. The purchase price consisted of \$11,000,000 (US) in cash, the contribution of a promissory note receivable and common shares of Centerra. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method and the results of operations are included, as to 100%, in the consolidated financial statements from June 22, 2004. Previously, Cameco Gold Inc.'s 33.3% interest was accounted for by the proportionate consolidation method.

**Table of Contents**
**Cameco Corporation**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(Unaudited)

The values assigned to the net assets acquired are as follows:

	(Restated)
	(thousands)
Cash and other working capital	\$ 60,602
Property, plant and equipment	177,413
Goodwill [note 12]	178,733
Asset retirement obligation	(14,852)
Subordinated debt	(44,282)
Net assets acquired	\$ 357,614
Financed by:	
Cash	\$ 15,158
Note receivable from Kyrgyzaltyn	5,155
Settlement of shareholder subordinated loan	60,622
Common shares of Centerra	276,679
	\$ 357,614

(c) **Acquisition of Additional 43.7% in AGR Limited (AGR)**

Effective June 30, 2004, Centerra acquired an additional 43.7% interest in AGR, resulting in Centerra's interest in AGR rising to 99.9%. The purchase price was satisfied through the issuance of Centerra common shares. The acquisition was accounted for as a step purchase and the results of operations are included as it was already a consolidated subsidiary.

The values assigned to the net assets acquired are as follows:

	(Restated)
	(thousands)
Reduction of minority interest	\$ 18,598
Mark-to-market loss on hedge contracts	(7,946)
Property, plant and equipment	30,412
Goodwill [note 12]	35,573
Net assets acquired	\$ 76,637

Financed by:	
Common shares of Centerra	\$ 76,637

**(d) Exchange of KGC Subordinated Debt**

Effective June 30, 2004, Centerra exchanged common shares and cash in exchange for the subordinated debt of KGC.

	(Restated)
	(thousands)
Fair value of exchange amount:	
Common shares issued	\$ 47,449
Cash	18,975
	66,424
Net book value of subordinated debt acquired	(53,906)
Loss on exchange of debt	\$ 12,518

**(e) Dilution Gain**

The transactions noted above resulted in Cameco's interest in Centerra being diluted. As a result of this dilution, Cameco recorded a gain of \$139 million.

**Table of Contents**

**Cameco Corporation**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(Unaudited)

**12. Goodwill Restated**

The acquisitions undertaken as part of the gold restructuring were accounted for using the purchase method whereby assets and liabilities assumed were recorded at their fair market value as of the date of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over such fair value was recorded as goodwill. The change in goodwill is due to the following:

	(thousands)
Balance, beginning of period	\$
Acquired during the period KGC [note 11]	178,733
AGR [note 11]	35,573
Change in foreign exchange rate	(17,744)
<b>Balance, end of period</b>	<b>\$ 196,562</b>

**13. Statements of Cash Flows Restated**

Other Operating Items

(thousands)	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Sept</b>
	<b>30/04</b>	<b>30/03</b>	<b>30/04</b>	<b>30/03</b>
Inventories	\$ 28,716	\$ 48,220	\$ (57,496)	\$ 32,795
Accounts receivable	67,480	(9,479)	93,194	47,009
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(26,090)	(29,098)	(31,474)	(37,342)
Other	(25,862)	10,461	(24,980)	6,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 44,244</b>	<b>\$ 20,104</b>	<b>\$ (20,756)</b>	<b>\$ 48,862</b>

**14. Commitments and Contingencies**

An action against Cameco, Power Resources Inc. (PRI), and certain other parties was filed by Mountain West Mines Inc. (MWM) in the State of Wyoming, U.S.A.. The action alleges that PRI and the other defendants owe MWM royalties on uranium mined in the Powder River Basin of Wyoming (which encompasses the Highland and Smith Ranch operations) and sets forth a claim for unpaid royalties of approximately \$6.4 million (US) plus interest, and a continuing royalty on uranium from operations within the Powder River Basin of approximately 4% of the selling price.

Management is of the opinion, after review of the facts with counsel, that PRI will prevail and, therefore, this action will not have a material impact on Cameco's financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

**15. Subsequent Event**

Redemption of preferred securities

On October 25, 2004, Cameco's Board of Directors approved the redemption of all \$125 million (US) of outstanding 8.75% preferred securities for cash on December 17, 2004. The preferred securities are redeemable at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to the date of redemption. In the fourth quarter, Cameco will recognize the unamortized portion of the issue costs in earnings, resulting in a charge of \$4 million (Cdn) to net earnings.

12

---

**Table of Contents**
**Cameco Corporation**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(Unaudited)

16. Segmented Information Restated  
(thousands)

For the three months ended September 30, 2004	Uranium	Conversion	(a) Power	Gold	Subtotal	(a) Adjustments	Total
Revenue	\$ 163,474	\$ 34,463	\$ 129,655	\$ 115,261	\$ 442,853	(\$129,655)	\$ 313,198
Expenses							
Products and services sold	106,794	31,012	80,359	49,217	267,382	(80,359)	187,023
Depreciation, depletion and reclamation	31,631	2,897	17,774	23,782	76,084	(17,774)	58,310
Exploration	5,695			5,337	11,032		11,032
Research and development		445			445		445
Other	(956)		3,356	(6,768)	(4,368)	(3,356)	(7,724)
Gain on sale of assets	(313)				(313)		(313)
Earnings from Bruce Power						(28,166)	(28,166)
Non-segmented expenses							16,852
Earnings before income taxes	20,623	109	28,166	43,693	92,591		75,739
Income taxes							8,819
Minority interest							15,354
Net earnings							\$ 51,566

For the three months ended September 30, 2003	Uranium	Conversion	(a) Power	Gold	Subtotal	(a) Adjustments	Total
Revenue	\$ 170,011	\$ 33,595	\$ 99,615	\$ 28,476	\$ 331,697	(\$99,615)	\$ 232,082
Expenses							
Products and services sold	118,809	28,055	55,142	10,504	212,510	(55,142)	157,368
Depreciation, depletion and reclamation	30,404	3,753	8,999	5,816	48,972	(8,999)	39,973
Exploration	3,956			1,829	5,785		5,785
Research and development		376			376		376
Other	(650)		(1,078)		(1,728)	1,078	(650)
Earnings from Bruce Power						(36,552)	(36,552)
Non-segmented expenses							15,849
Earnings before income taxes	17,492	1,411	36,552	10,327	65,782		49,933
Income taxes							17,039
Minority interest							(399)
Net earnings							\$ 33,293

(a)

Consistent with the presentation of financial information for internal management purposes, Cameco's pro rata share of Bruce Power's financial results have been presented as a separate segment. In accordance with GAAP, this investment is accounted for by the equity method of accounting in these consolidated financial statements and the associated revenues and expenses are eliminated in the adjustments column.





Consistent with the presentation of financial information for internal management purposes, Cameco's pro rata share of Bruce Power's financial results have been presented as a separate segment. In accordance with GAAP, this investment is accounted for by the equity method of accounting in these consolidated financial statements and the associated revenues and expenses are eliminated in the adjustments column.