NEWELL ALLAI											
November 30, 200 FORM 4 Check this box if no longer	UNITED S		Wasł	nington,	D.C	. 2054	49		COMMISSION		PROVAL 3235-0287 January 31, 2005
subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. <i>See</i> Instruction 1(b). (Print or Type Respon	STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations nay continue. Gee Instruction (b). STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940						•				
1. Name and Address NEWELL ALLA		Symbol Issuer					f Reporting Person(s) to ck all applicable)				
(Last) (1 CHIPPEWA CRI NEWELL ROAD	EEK FARM,	(	(Month/Day/Year)Officer (give title				Owner er (specify				
(5	Street)		Filed(Month/Day/Year) Applicable Line)			Joint/Group Filing(Check y One Reporting Person					
	HAMMOND, NY 13646 Form filed by More than One Reporting Person						porting				
	ransaction Date nth/Day/Year)	2A. Deeme Execution any (Month/Da	ed Date, if	3. Transacti Code (Instr. 8) Code V	4. S on(A) (D) (Ins	ecuriti	es Aco posed	quired of	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of
Common 11/2 Stock	22/2005			G			D	\$0	1,383,566	D	

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

 Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

 (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transactio Code (Instr. 8)	5. orNumber of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)		Date	7. Title Amoun Underl Securit (Instr.	nt of lying	8. Price of Derivative Security (Instr. 5)	9. Nu Deriv Secu Bene Owna Follo Repo Trans (Instr
			Code V	(A) (D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares		

# **Reporting Owners**

Relationships **Reporting Owner Name / Address** Director 10% Owner Officer Other NEWELL ALLAN P CHIPPEWA CREEK FARM Х 170 NEWELL ROAD HAMMOND, NY 13646 Signatures /s/ Christine E. Vogt, Attorney in Fact for Allan P. 11/30/2005 Newell \*\*Signature of Reporting Person

# **Explanation of Responses:**

If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).

\*\* Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. NT-FAMILY: times new roman; FONT-SIZE: 10pt"> Property and equipment, net

Property held for sale	11,717 12,095
Property neid for sale	3,752 3,752
Goodwill	33,549 33,625
Intangible assets, net	
Deferred income tax asset, net	46,698 50,658
	2,768 3,477
Other assets	10,302 9,443
TOTAL ASSETS	
	\$309,804 \$311,665

Date

# LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities:	
Current portion of long-term debt	
Accounts payable	\$6,447 \$6,247
Accrued expenses	47,078 44,614
Deferred income tax liability	25,925 32,019
- 306 Total current liabilities	
Long-term debt	79,450 83,186
	43,852 48,750
Long-term liabilities	12,136 12,859
Environmental remediation liability	5,688 5,998
Deferred income tax liability 29 51	
Total liabilities	141,155 150,844
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)	, ,
Shareholders' equity:	
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 40,000 shares authorized; 26,817 and 26,644 shares issued;	26,817 and 26,620 shares
outstanding at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively	268 266
Capital in excess of par value	63,210 62,329
Retained earnings	101,044 90,713
Treasury stock, at cost, 0 and 24 shares at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively - (230)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	4,127 7,743
Total shareholders' equity	168,649 160,821
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	
	\$309,804 \$311,665

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and accountants' review report.

# ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

	Nine Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011	
Net sales	\$333,439	\$291,224	
Cost of sales	272,121	245,382	
Gross profit	61,318	45,842	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	41,678	34,305	
Operating income	19,640	11,537	
Other (expense) income:			
Interest expense	(2,067	) (892 )	
Interest and other income, net	1,878	1,854	
	(189	) 962	
Income before income taxes	19,451	12,499	
Income tax provision	6,451	7,025	
Net income	\$13,000	\$5,474	
Net income per common share	\$0.49	\$0.21	
Diluted net income per common share	\$0.49	\$0.21	
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic	26,558	25,718	
Diluted	26,747	25,918	
Dilucu	20,747	23,910	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and accountants' review report.

# ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (unaudited and in thousands, except per-share amounts)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Net sales	\$121,415	\$117,881
Cost of sales	99,260	98,449
Gross profit	22,155	19,432
Selling, general and administrative expenses	14,581	13,281
Operating income	7,574	6,151
Other (expense) income:		
Interest expense	(656	) (669 )
Interest and other income, net	630	472
	(26	) (197 )
Income before income taxes	7,548	5,954
Income tax provision	2,169	2,108
Net income	\$5,379	\$3,846
Net income per common share	\$0.20	\$0.15
Diluted net income per common share	\$0.20	\$0.14
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	26,634	26,468
Diluted	26,870	26,663

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and accountants' review report.

# ACETO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited and in thousands)

		Aonths Ended Iarch 31, 2011
Operating activities: Net income	\$13,000	\$5,474
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Provision for doubtful accounts Non-cash stock compensation Deferred income taxes Unrealized gain on trading securities Earnings on equity investment in joint venture	5,184 140 881 457 - (1,602	3,536 172 614 115 (152)) ) (1,526)
Changes in assets and liabilities: Investments-trading securities Trade accounts receivable Other receivables Inventory Prepaid expenses and other current assets	475 (2,457 1,964 (6,036 (659	- ) 5,213 7,205 ) 2,019 ) 94
Other assets Accounts payable Accrued expenses and other liabilities Net cash provided by operating activities	607 3,282 (5,403 9,833	(112 ) (4,510 ) ) (13,319 ) 4,823
Investing activities: Payment for net assets of business acquired, net of cash acquired Purchases of investments Payments received on notes receivable Purchases of property and equipment, net Payments for intangible assets Net cash used in investing activities	- (1,138 350 (692 (726 (2,206	(58,711)) ) - 500) ) (4,833)) ) (1,162) ) (64,206)
Financing activities: Proceeds from exercise of stock options Excess tax benefit on stock option exercises and restricted stock Payment of cash dividends Payment of deferred consideration Repayment of bank loans Borrowings of bank loans	198 32 (2,661 (1,500 (4,698	616 120 ) (2,548 ) ) - ) (1,050 ) 50,000
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(8,629 (1,051	) 47,138 ) 2,379
Net decrease in cash Cash at beginning of period Cash at end of period	(2,053 28,664 \$26,611	) (9,866 ) 30,850 \$20,984

# Non-Cash Item

In connection with the acquisition of Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc. the Company issued shares of Aceto common stock with a fair market value of \$9,000, which is a non-cash item and is excluded from the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows during the nine months ended March 31, 2011.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and accountants' review report.

#### (1) Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries ("Aceto" or the "Company") included herein have been prepared by the Company and reflect all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for all periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results which may be achieved for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses reported in those financial statements. These judgments can be subjective and complex, and consequently actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions. The Company's most critical accounting policies relate to revenue recognition; royalty income; partnered products; allowance for doubtful accounts; inventories; goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets; long-lived assets; environmental and other contingencies; income taxes; and stock-based compensation.

These condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all disclosures associated with consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. Accordingly, these statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011.

#### (2) Stock-Based Compensation

At the annual meeting of shareholders of the Company, held on December 2, 2010, the Company's shareholders approved the Aceto Corporation 2010 Equity Participation Plan (the "Plan"). Under the Plan, grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, and stock bonuses (collectively, "Stock Awards") may be made to employees including the chief executive officer, chief financial officer and other named executive officers, non-employee directors and consultants of the Company. The maximum number of shares of common stock of the Company that may be issued pursuant to Stock Awards granted under the Plan will not exceed, in the aggregate, 2,000 shares.

During the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, the Company granted 217 and 240 stock options, respectively, to employees at an exercise price equal to the market value of the common stock on the date of grant, determined in accordance with the Plan. These options vest over three years and have a term of ten years from the date of grant. Compensation expense was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Total compensation expense related to stock options for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$250 and \$130, respectively and \$79 and \$57 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of March 31, 2012, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to option awards is \$675.

In order to determine the fair value of stock options on the date of grant, the Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, including an estimate of forfeiture rates. Inherent in this model are assumptions related to expected stock-price volatility, risk-free interest rate, expected life and dividend yield. The Company uses an expected stock-price volatility assumption that is a combination of both historical volatility, calculated based on the daily closing prices of its common stock over a period equal to the expected life of the option and implied volatility, utilizing market data of actively traded options on Aceto's common stock, which are obtained from public data sources. The Company believes that the historical volatility of the price of its common stock over the expected life of

the option is a reasonable indicator of the expected future volatility and that implied volatility takes into consideration market expectations of how future volatility might differ from historical volatility. Accordingly, the Company believes a combination of both historical and implied volatility provides the best estimate of the future volatility of the market price of its common stock. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury issues with a term equal to the expected life of the option. The Company uses historical data to estimate expected dividend yield, expected life and forfeiture rates. The fair values of the options granted were estimated based on the following weighted average assumptions:

	Nine months ended March 31,				
	2012	2011			
Expected life Expected volatility Risk-free interest rate Dividend yield	5.7 years 48.1 % 1.59 % 3.24 %	5.7 years 48.8 % 1.95 % 2.58 %			

During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, the Company granted 103 shares of restricted common stock to its employees that vest over three years and 38 shares of restricted common stock to its non-employee directors, which vest over one year. In addition, the Company also issued a target grant of 49 performance-vested restricted stock units, which grant could be as much as 73 if certain performance criteria and market conditions are met. Performance-vested restricted stock units will cliff vest 100% at the end of the third year following grant in accordance with the performance metrics set forth in the applicable employee performance-vested restricted stock unit grant.

In December 2010, the Company granted 62 shares of restricted common stock to its employees that vest over three years. In addition, the Company also issued a target grant of 62 performance-vested restricted stock units, which grant could be as much as 93 if certain performance criteria are met. Performance-vested restricted stock units will cliff vest 100% at the end of the third year following grant in accordance with the performance metrics set forth in the applicable employee performance-vested restricted stock unit grant.

For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$203 and \$623, respectively, related to restricted common stock and restricted stock units. For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$158 and \$478, respectively, related to restricted common stock and restricted stock units. As of March 31, 2012, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards and units is approximately \$1,364.

# (3) Common Stock

On May 3, 2012, the Company's board of directors declared a regular semi-annual cash dividend of \$0.10 per share to be distributed on June 22, 2012 to shareholders of record as of June 11, 2012.

On December 8, 2011, the Company's board of directors declared a regular semi-annual cash dividend of \$0.10 per share which was paid on January 18, 2012 to shareholders of record on December 28, 2011.

#### (4) Net Income Per Common Share

Basic income per common share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per common share includes the dilutive effect of potential common shares outstanding. The following table sets forth the reconciliation of weighted average shares outstanding and diluted weighted average shares outstanding:

	Nine months e March 31,		Three months ended March 31,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Weighted average shares outstanding	26,558	25,718	26,634	26,468	
Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock awards and units	189	200	236	195	
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	26,747	25,918	26,870	26,663	

There were 1,571 and 1,450 common equivalent shares outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, that were not included in the calculation of diluted income per common share for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. There were 1,250 and 1,206 common equivalent shares outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, that were not included in the calculation of diluted income per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

#### (5) Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income and other gains and losses affecting shareholders' equity that, under generally accepted accounting principles, are excluded from net income. The components of comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

	Nine months ended March 31,		nded	Three months ended March 31,		s ended		
	2012	20	11		2012		2011	
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net income	\$ 13,000	\$	5,474	\$	5,379	\$	3,846	
Change in fair value of interest rate								
swaps	(102)		(126	)	1		(126	)
Foreign currency translation								
adjustment	(3,514)		6,229		1,327		2,499	
Total	\$ 9,384	\$	11,577	\$	6,707	\$	6,219	

The financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Where the functional currency of a foreign subsidiary is its local currency,

balance sheet accounts are translated at the current exchange rate on the balance sheet date and income statement items are translated at the average exchange rate for the period. Exchange gains or losses resulting from the translation of financial statements of foreign operations are accumulated in other comprehensive income. Where the local currency of a foreign subsidiary is not its functional currency, financial statements are translated at either current or historical exchange rates, as appropriate. The foreign currency translation adjustment for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 primarily relates to the fluctuation of the conversion rate of the Euro. The currency translation adjustments are not adjusted for income taxes as they relate to indefinite investments in non-US subsidiaries.

#### (6) Debt

#### Long-term debt

	March 31,		J	une 30,
		2012		2011
Revolving bank loans	\$	14,000	\$	14,050
Term bank loans		32,500		37,000
Mortgage		3,799		3,947
		50,299		54,997
Less current portion		6,447		6,247
	\$	43,852	\$	48,750

#### **Credit Facilities**

On December 31, 2010, the Company entered into a new Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with two U.S. financial institutions. The Credit Agreement terminated the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated April 23, 2010. Aceto may borrow, repay and reborrow during the period ending December 31, 2015, up to but not exceeding at any one time outstanding \$40,000 (the "Revolving Loans"). The Revolving Loans may be (i) Adjusted LIBOR Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement), (ii) Alternate Base Rate Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) or (iii) a combination thereof. As of March 31, 2012, the Company borrowed Revolving Loans aggregating \$14,000, which loans are Adjusted LIBOR Loans, at interest rates ranging from 2.50% to 3.31% at March 31, 2012. \$10,000 of such amount was utilized by the Company to partially finance payment of the purchase price for the Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Rising") acquisition. The Credit Agreement also allowed for the borrowing up to a maximum of \$40,000 (the "Term Loan"). The Company borrowed a Term Loan of \$40,000 on December 31, 2010 to partially finance the acquisition of Rising. The Term Loan interest may be payable as an (i) Adjusted LIBOR Loan, (ii) Alternate Base Rate Loan, or (iii) a combination thereof. As of March 31, 2012, the remaining amount outstanding under the original amortizing Term Loan is \$32,500 and is payable as an Adjusted LIBOR Loan, at an interest rate of 2.75% at March 31, 2012. The Term Loan is payable as to principal in twenty (20) consecutive quarterly installments, which commenced on March 31, 2011 and will continue on each March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31st thereafter, each in the amount set forth below opposite the applicable installment, provided that the final payment on the Term Loan Maturity Date (as defined in the Credit Agreement) shall be in an amount equal to the then outstanding unpaid principal amount of the Term Loan:

Installment	Amount			
1 through 8	\$ 1,500			
9 through 12	\$ 1,750			
13 through				
16	\$ 2,000			
17 through				
20	\$ 3,250			

As such, the Company has classified \$6,250 of the Term Loan as short-term in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2012. The Credit Agreement also provides that commercial letters of credit shall be issued to provide the primary payment mechanism in connection with the purchase of any materials, goods or services by the Company in the ordinary course of business. The Company had open letters of credit of approximately \$334 and \$145 as of March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. The terms of these letters of credit are all less than one year. No material loss is anticipated due to non-performance by the counterparties to these agreements.

The Credit Agreement provides for a security interest in all personal property of the Company. The Credit Agreement contains several financial covenants including, among other things, maintaining a minimum level of debt service. The Company is also subject to certain restrictive covenants, including, among other things, covenants governing liens, limitations on indebtedness, limitations on cash dividends, guarantees, sale of assets, sales of receivables, and loans and investments. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at March 31, 2012.

#### Mortgage

On June 30, 2011, the Company entered into a mortgage payable for \$3,947 on its new corporate headquarters, in Port Washington, New York. This mortgage payable is secured by the land and building and is being amortized over a period of 20 years. The mortgage payable bears interest at 5.92% and matures on June 30, 2021.

#### (7) Commitments, Contingencies and Other Matters

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to various claims which have arisen in the normal course of business. The impact of the final resolution of these matters on the Company's results of operations in a particular reporting period is not known. Management is of the opinion, however, that the ultimate outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

In fiscal years 2011, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company received letters from the Pulvair Site Group, a group of potentially responsible parties (PRP Group) who are working with the State of Tennessee (the State) to remediate a contaminated property in Tennessee called the Pulvair site. The PRP Group has alleged that Aceto shipped hazardous substances to the site which were released into the environment. The State had begun administrative proceedings against the members of the PRP Group and Aceto with respect to the cleanup of the Pulvair site and the PRP Group has begun to undertake cleanup. The PRP Group is seeking a settlement of approximately \$1,700 from the Company for its share to remediate the site contamination. Although the Company acknowledges that it shipped materials to the site for formulation over twenty years ago, the Company believes that the evidence does not show that the hazardous materials sent by Aceto to the site have significantly contributed to the contamination of the environment and thus believes that, at most, it is a de minimus contributor to the site contamination. Accordingly, the Company believes that the settlement offer is unreasonable. The impact of the resolution of this matter on the Company's results of operations in a particular reporting period is not known. However, management believes that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

The Company has environmental remediation obligations in connection with Arsynco, Inc. (Arsynco), a subsidiary formerly involved in manufacturing chemicals located in Carlstadt, New Jersey, which was closed in 1993 and is currently held for sale. Based on continued monitoring of the contamination at the site and the approved plan of remediation, the Company received an estimate from an environmental consultant stating that the costs of remediation could be between \$8,400 and \$10,200. Remediation commenced in fiscal 2010 and as of March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, a liability of \$7,652 and \$7,962, respectively, is included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets for this matter. In accordance with GAAP, management believes that the majority of costs incurred to remediate the site will be capitalized in preparing the property which is currently classified as held for sale. An appraisal of the fair value of the property by a third-party appraiser supports the assumption that the expected fair value after the remediation is in excess of the amount required to be capitalized. However, these matters, if resolved in a manner different from those assumed in current estimates, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's

financial condition, operating results and cash flows when resolved in a future reporting period.

In connection with the environmental remediation obligation for Arsynco, in July 2009, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with BASF Corporation (BASF), the former owners of the Arsynco property. In accordance with the settlement agreement, BASF paid for a portion of the prior remediation costs and going forward, will co-remediate the property with the Company. The contract states that BASF pay \$550 related to past response costs and pay a proportionate share of the future remediation costs. Accordingly, the Company had recorded a gain of \$550 in fiscal 2009. This \$550 gain relates to the partial reimbursement of costs of approximately \$1,200 that the Company had previously expensed. The Company also recorded an additional receivable from BASF, with an offset against property held for sale, representing its estimated portion of the future remediation costs. The balance of this receivable for future remediation costs as of March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011 is \$3,443 and \$3,583, respectively, which is included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

In March 2006, Arsynco received notice from the EPA of its status as a PRP under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) for a site described as the Berry's Creek Study Area. Arsynco is one of over 150 PRPs which have potential liability for the required investigation and remediation of the site. The estimate of the potential liability is not quantifiable for a number of reasons, including the difficulty in determining the extent of contamination and the length of time remediation may require. In addition, any estimate of liability must also consider the number of other PRPs and their financial strength. Based on prior practice in similar situations, it is possible that the State may assert a claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself, and either the federal government or the State (or both) may assert claims against Arsynco for natural resource damages in connection with Berry's Creek; any such claim with respect to Berry's Creek could also be asserted against the approximately 150 PRPs which the EPA has identified in connection with that site. Any claim for natural resource damages with respect to the Arsynco site itself may also be asserted against BASF, the former owners of the Arsynco property. Since an amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated at this time, no accrual is recorded for these potential future costs. The impact of the resolution of this matter on the Company's results of operations in a particular reporting period is not known. However, management believes that the ultimate outcome of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or liquidity.

A subsidiary of the Company markets certain agricultural chemicals which are subject to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). FIFRA requires that test data be provided to the EPA to register, obtain and maintain approved labels for pesticide products. The EPA requires that follow-on registrants of these products compensate the initial registrant for the cost of producing the necessary test data on a basis prescribed in the FIFRA regulations. Follow-on registrants do not themselves generate or contract for the data. However, when FIFRA requirements mandate that new test data be generated to enable all registrants to continue marketing a pesticide product, often both the initial and follow-on registrants establish a task force to jointly undertake the testing effort. The Company is presently a member of several such task force groups, which requires payments for such memberships. In addition, in connection with our agricultural protection business, the Company plans to acquire product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency to support such registrations and other supporting data for several products. The acquisition of these product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency to support such registrations and other supporting data for several products. The acquisition of these product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency to various task force groups could approximate \$4,030 through fiscal 2013, of which \$241 and \$600 has been accrued as of March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively.

# (8) Fair Value Measurements

GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly fashion between market participants at the measurement date. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy for those instruments measured at fair value that distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and the Company's assumptions (unobservable inputs). The hierarchy consists of three levels:

- Level 1 Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

On a recurring basis, Aceto measures at fair value certain financial assets and liabilities, which consist of cash equivalents, investments, interest rate swap and foreign currency contracts. The Company classifies cash equivalents and investments within Level 1 if quoted prices are available in active markets. Level 1 assets include instruments valued based on quoted market prices in active markets which generally include corporate equity securities publicly traded on major exchanges. Time deposits are very short-term in nature and are accordingly valued at cost plus accrued interest, which approximates fair value, and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. The Company uses foreign currency forward contracts (futures) to minimize the risk caused by foreign currency fluctuation on its foreign currency receivables and payables by purchasing futures with one of its financial institutions. Futures are traded on regulated U.S. and international exchanges and represent commitments to purchase or sell a particular foreign currency at a future date and at a specific price. Aceto's foreign currency derivative contracts are classified within Level 2 as the fair value of these hedges is primarily based on observable forward foreign exchange rates. At March 31, 2012 the Company had foreign currency contracts outstanding that had a notional amount of \$59,827. Unrealized (losses) gains on hedging activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was (\$221) and \$364, respectively, and are included in interest and other income, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of income. The contracts have varying maturities of less than one year.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Credit Agreement, the Company is required to deliver Hedging Agreements (as defined in the Credit Agreement) fixing the interest rate on not less than \$20,000 of the Term Loan. Accordingly, in March 2011, the Company entered into an interest rate swap for a notional amount of \$20,000, which has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The expiration date of this interest rate swap is December 31, 2015. The unrealized loss to date associated with this derivative, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2012, is \$435. Aceto's interest rate swap is classified within Level 2 as the fair value of this hedge is primarily based on observable interest rates.

As of March 31, 2012, the Company had \$986 of contingent consideration that was recorded at fair value in the Level 3 category, which related to the acquisition of Rising, which was completed during fiscal 2011. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had \$974 of contingent consideration that was recorded at fair value in the Level 3 category, which related to the acquisition of Andrews Paper & Chemical, Co., Inc., which was completed during fiscal 2010 and the acquisition of Rising. The contingent consideration was calculated using the present value of a probability weighted income approach.

During the fourth quarter of each year, the Company evaluates goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles for impairment at the reporting unit level using an undiscounted cash flow model using Level 3 inputs. Additionally, on a nonrecurring basis, the Company uses fair value measures when analyzing asset impairment. Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. If it is determined such indicators are present and the review indicates that the assets will not be fully recoverable, based on undiscounted estimated cash flows over the remaining amortization periods, their carrying values are reduced to estimated fair value. Measurements based on undiscounted cash flows are considered to be Level 3 inputs.

The following tables summarize the valuation of the Company's financial assets and liabilities which were determined by using the following inputs at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011:

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	0	ignificant Other bservable puts (Level 2)	Uno	gnificant bbservable uts (Level 3)		Total
Cash equivalents: Time deposits		\$	807			\$	807
Investments:	-	Ψ	007		-	Ψ	007
Time deposits			1,539		-		1,539
Foreign currency contracts-assets (1)	-		40		-		40
Foreign currency contracts-liabilities (2)	-		256		-		256
Derivative liability for interest rate swap (3)	-		435		-		435
Contingent consideration (3)				\$	986		986

(1) Included in "Other receivables" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2012.

(2) Included in "Accrued expenses" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2012.

(3) Included in "Long-term liabilities" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2012.

#### Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 Using

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2012 Using

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Tc	otal
Cash equivalents: Time deposits	-	\$ 467	-	\$ 40	57

#### Investments:

Trading securities Time deposits	\$ 475	468	-	475 468
Foreign currency contracts-assets (4) Foreign currency contracts-liabilities (5) Derivative liability for interest rate swap	-	547 352	-	547 352
<ul><li>(6)</li><li>Contingent consideration (7)</li></ul>	-	333	\$ - 974	333 974

(4) Included in "Other receivables" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2011.

(5) Included in "Accrued expenses" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2011.(6) Included in "Long-term liabilities" in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30,

2011.

(7) \$68 included in "Accrued expenses" and \$906 included in Long-term liabilities in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2011.

The carrying values of all financial instruments classified as a current asset or current liability are deemed to approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments. The fair values of the Company's notes receivable and short-term and long-term bank loans were based upon current rates offered for similar financial instruments to the Company.

#### (9) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs", which amends ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurement". ASU 2011-04 does not extend the use of fair value accounting, but provides guidance on how it should be applied where its use is already required or permitted by other standards within U.S. GAAP or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). ASU 2011-04 changes the wording used to describe many requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. Additionally, ASU 2011-04 clarifies the FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurements. ASU 2011-04 became effective for the Company January 1, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, "Presentation of Comprehensive Income", which eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in stockholders' equity and requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This pronouncement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12 "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05". ASU 2011-12 deferred certain aspects of ASU 2011-05. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company will adopt this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. Early adoption of the new guidance is permitted and full retrospective application is required. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 and the deferrals in ASU 2011-12 are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, "Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment", to allow entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. ASU 2011-08 is effective for the Company in fiscal 2013 and earlier adoption is permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact that the provisions of this pronouncement will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210), Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities", which requires companies to disclose information about financial instruments that have been offset and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. Companies will be required to provide both net (offset amounts) and gross information in the notes to the financial statements for relevant assets and liabilities that are offset. This update is effective for the

Company in its first quarter of fiscal 2014 and will be applied retrospectively. The Company does not believe adoption of this new guidance will have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

#### (10) Segment Information

The Company's business is organized along product lines into three principal segments: Health Sciences, Specialty Chemicals and Agricultural Protection Products.

Health Sciences - includes pharmaceutical intermediates, active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs), finished dosage form generic drugs and nutraceutical products.

Specialty Chemicals - includes a variety of chemicals which make plastics, surface coatings, textiles, fuels and lubricants perform to their designed capabilities. Dye and pigment intermediates are used in the color-producing industries such as textiles, inks, paper, and coatings. Organic intermediates are used in the production of agrochemicals. In addition, Aceto is a supplier of diazos and couplers to the paper, film and electronics industries.

Agricultural Protection Products - includes herbicides, fungicides and insecticides that control weed growth as well as control the spread of insects and other microorganisms that can severely damage plant growth. The Agricultural Protection Products segment also includes a sprout inhibitor for potatoes and an herbicide for sugar cane. The Company changed the name of this segment from Crop Protection to Agricultural Protection Products in 2011, to more precisely portray the markets in which it does business.

The Company's chief operating decision maker evaluates performance of the segments based on net sales, gross profit and income before income taxes. Unallocated corporate amounts are deemed by the Company as administrative, oversight costs, not managed by the segment managers. The Company does not allocate assets by segment because the chief operating decision maker does not review the assets by segment to assess the segments' performance, as the assets are managed on an entity-wide basis.

Nine Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Health Sciences	Specialty Chemicals	gricultural Protection	nallocated Corporate	d C	Consolidated Totals
2012 Net sales Gross profit Income (loss) before income	\$ 199,780 41,514	\$ 113,919 16,830	\$ 19,740 2,974	\$ -	\$	333,439 61,318
taxes	15,675	6,435	1,505	(4,164	)	19,451
Net sales Gross profit Income (loss) before income	\$ 152,334 26,748	\$ 110,228 16,328	\$ 28,662 2,766	\$ -	\$	291,224 45,842
taxes	6,765	7,442	549	(2,257	)	12,499

Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Health	Specialty	Agricultural	Unallocated	Consolidated
	Sciences	Chemicals	Protection	Corporate	Totals
2012					

2012

Net sales Gross profit Income (loss) before income	\$ 68,762 13,983	\$ 44,680 6,873	\$ 7,973 1,299	\$ -	\$	121,415 22,155
taxes	4,880	3,443	407	(1,182	)	7,548
2011 Net sales Gross profit Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 62,234 11,950 3,100	\$ 41,028 6,172 3,293	\$ 14,619 1,310 519	\$ - - (958	\$	117,881 19,432 5,954

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders Aceto Corporation

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2012 and the related condensed consolidated statements of income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 and the related condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 included in the accompanying Securities and Exchange Commission Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2012. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the consolidated balance sheet of Aceto Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated September 9, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

Melville, New York May 4, 2012

#### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

# CAUTIONARY STATEMENT RELATING TO THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements as that term is defined in the federal securities laws. The events described in forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report may not occur. Generally, these statements relate to our business plans or strategies, projected or anticipated benefits or other consequences of our plans or strategies, financing plans, projected or anticipated benefits from acquisitions that we may make, or projections involving anticipated revenues, earnings or other aspects of our operating results or financial position, and the outcome of any contingencies. Any such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections of management. We intend for these forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions for forward-looking statements. Words such as "may," "will," "expect," "believe," "anticipate," "project," "plan," " "estimate," and "continue," and their opposites and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We caution you that these statements are not guarantees of future performance or events and are subject to a number of uncertainties, risks and other influences, many of which are beyond our control that may influence the accuracy of the statements and the projections upon which the statements are based. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth or implied by any forward-looking statement include, but are not limited to, our ability to remain competitive with competitors, risks associated with the generic product industry, dependence on a limited number of suppliers, risks associated with healthcare reform and reductions in reimbursement rates, difficulty in predicting revenue stream and gross profit, industry and market changes, the effect of fluctuations in operating results on the trading price of our common stock, inventory levels, reliance on outside manufacturers, risks of incurring uninsured environmental and other industry specific liabilities, governmental approvals and regulations, risks associated with hazardous materials, potential violations of government regulations, product liability claims, reliance on Chinese suppliers, potential changes to Chinese laws and regulations, potential changes to laws governing our relationships in India, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, tax assessments, changes in tax rules, global economic risks, risk of unsuccessful acquisitions, effect of acquisitions on earnings, indemnification liabilities, terrorist activities, reliance on key executives, litigation risks, volatility of the market price of our common stock, changes to estimates, judgments and assumptions used in preparing financial statements, failure to maintain effective internal controls, compliance with changing regulations, as well as other risks and uncertainties discussed in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including, but not limited to, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 and other filings. Copies of these filings are available at www.sec.gov.

Any one or more of these uncertainties, risks and other influences could materially affect our results of operations and whether forward-looking statements made by us ultimately prove to be accurate. Our actual results, performance and achievements could differ materially from those expressed or implied in these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether from new information, future events or otherwise.

#### NOTE REGARDING DOLLAR AMOUNTS

In this quarterly report, all dollar amounts are expressed in thousands, except for per-share amounts.

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to provide the readers of our financial statements with a narrative discussion about our business. The MD&A is provided as a supplement to and should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and the accompanying notes.

#### **Executive Summary**

We are reporting net sales of \$333,439 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, which represents a 14.5% increase from the \$291,224 reported in the comparable prior period. Gross profit for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 was \$61,318 and our gross margin was 18.4% as compared to gross profit of \$45,842 and gross margin of 15.7% in the comparable prior period. Our selling, general and administrative costs (SG&A) for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 increased \$7,373 to \$41,678 from the amount we reported in the prior period. Our net income increased to \$13,000, or \$0.49 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$5,474 or \$0.21 per diluted share in the prior period.

Our financial position as of March 31, 2012 remains strong, as we had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$28,150, working capital of \$121,568 and shareholders' equity of \$168,649.

Our business is separated into three principal segments: Health Sciences, Specialty Chemicals and Agricultural Protection Products. The Health Sciences segment is our largest segment in terms of both sales and gross profits. Products that fall within this segment include pharmaceutical intermediates, APIs, finished dosage form generic drugs and nutraceutical products.

We typically partner with both customers and suppliers years in advance of a drug coming off patent to provide the generic equivalent. We believe we have a pipeline of new APIs poised to reach commercial levels over the coming years as the patents on existing drugs expire, both in the United States and in Europe. In addition, we continue to explore opportunities to provide a second-source option for existing generic drugs with approved abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs). The opportunities that we are looking for are to supply the APIs for the more mature generic drugs where pricing has stabilized following the dramatic decreases in price that these drugs experienced after coming off patent. As is the case in the generic industry, the entrance into the market of other generic competition generally has a negative impact on the pricing of the affected products. By leveraging our worldwide sourcing, quality assurance and regulatory capabilities, we believe we can be an alternative economical, second-source provider of existing APIs to generic drug companies. On December 31, 2010, we acquired certain assets of Rising Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Rising"). We believe that the acquisition of Rising will establish another platform for our growth in our Health Sciences business by the expansion of our finished dosage form product offerings from both foreign and domestic facilities as well as complementing our core strength of sourcing active pharmaceutical ingredients. The addition of Rising provides Aceto with a presence as a developer and marketer of our own brand of generic pharmaceuticals, the Rising brand.

According to an IMS Health press release on May 18, 2011, "global spending for medicines will reach nearly \$1.1 trillion by 2015, reflecting a slowing compound annual rate of growth of 3 - 6 percent over the next five years. This compares with 6.2 percent annual growth over the past five years. Lower levels of spending growth for medicines in the U.S., the ongoing impact of patent expiries in developed markets, continuing strong demand in pharmerging markets and policy-driven changes in several countries are among the key factors that will influence future growth, according to IMS Institutes new study, The Global Use Of Medicines Outlook Through 2015."

Aceto supplies the raw materials used in the production of nutritional and packaged dietary supplements, including vitamins, amino acids, iron compounds and biochemicals used in pharmaceutical and nutritional preparations. Aceto's identification of a change in the attitudes of Europeans towards nutritional products led to the decision to globalize this business and create an operating company to focus on it, Aceto Health Ingredients GmbH, headquartered in Germany. This globally structured business has become the model for all of our business segments, providing international reach and perspective for our customers.

The Specialty Chemicals segment is a supplier to the many different industries that require outstanding performance from chemical raw materials and additives. Specialty Chemicals include a variety of chemicals which make plastics, surface coatings, textiles, fuels and lubricants perform to their designed capabilities. Dye and pigment intermediates are used in the color-producing industries such as textiles, inks, paper, and coatings. Many of our raw materials are also used in high-tech products like high-end electronic parts (circuit boards and computer chips) and binders for specialized rocket fuels. We continue to respond to the changing needs of our customers in the color producing industry by taking our resources and knowledge downstream as a supplier of select organic pigments. In addition, Aceto is a leader in the supply of diazos and couplers to the paper, film and electronics industries.

According to a March 16, 2012 Federal Reserve Statistical Release, in the third quarter of calendar year 2011, the index for consumer durables, which impacts the Specialty Chemicals segment, grew at an annual rate of 11.3%.

The Agricultural Protection Products segment sells herbicides, fungicides, insecticides, and other agricultural chemicals to customers, primarily located in the United States and Western Europe. In the National Agricultural Statistics Services release dated June 30, 2011, the total crop acreage planted in 2011 increased slightly less than 1.0% to 319 million acres. The number of peanut acres planted in 2011 was down almost 11% from 2010 levels while sugarcane acreage harvested increased approximately 1.0% from 2010. In fiscal 2011, we began selling three new agricultural protection products. In addition, there is one product that we plan on filing for registration with the EPA in the near future. Our plan is to continue to develop and bring to market additional products in a similar manner.

We believe our main business strengths are sourcing, regulatory support, quality assurance and marketing and distribution. With business operations in ten countries, we distribute more than 1,100 chemical compounds used principally as finished products or raw materials in the pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, agricultural, coatings and industrial chemical consuming industries. We believe that we are currently one of the largest merchant buyers of pharmaceutical and specialty chemicals for export from China, purchasing from over 500 different manufacturers.

In this MD&A, we explain our general financial condition and results of operations, including the following:

factors that affect our business our earnings and costs in the periods presented changes in earnings and costs between periods sources of earnings the impact of these factors on our overall financial condition

As you read this MD&A section, refer to the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income, which present the results of our operations for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. We analyze and explain the differences between periods in the specific line items of the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies

As disclosed in our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011, the discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. In preparing these financial statements, we were required to make estimates and assumptions relating to critical accounting estimates and policies that affect the amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We regularly evaluate our estimates including those related to allowances for bad debts, partnered products, inventories, goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, long-lived assets, environmental and other contingencies, income taxes and stock-based compensation. We base our estimates on various factors, including historical experience, advice from outside subject-matter experts, and various assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, which together form the basis for our making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Since June 30, 2011, there have been no significant changes to the assumptions and estimates related to those critical accounting estimates and policies.

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

#### Nine Months Ended March 31, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended March 31, 2011

Net Sales by Segment Nine months ended March 31,

	2012 2011				n 2012				
	201	2012 2011				Over/(U			
		% of		% of		\$	%	2	
Segment	Net sales	total	Net sales	total		change		change	
Health Sciences	\$199,780	59.9	% \$152,334	52.3	%	\$47,446		31.1	%
Specialty Chemicals	113,919	34.2	110,228	37.9		3,691		3.3	
Agricultural Protection	19,740	5.9	28,662	9.8		(8,922	) (	(31.1	)
Net sales	\$333,439	100.0	% \$291,224	100.0	%	\$42,215	-	14.5	%
	Gross Profit by Segment								
			Nine months en	nded March	31,				
	Comparison 2012							n 2012	
	2012	2011			Over/(Under) 2				
	Gross	% of	Gross	% of		\$	%	·	
Segment	profit	sales	profit	sales		change		change	
Health Sciences	\$41,514	20.8	% \$26,748	17.6	%	\$14,766	4	55.2	%
Specialty Chemicals	16,830	14.8	16,328	14.8		502		3.1	
Agricultural Protection	2,974	15.1	2,766	9.7		208		7.5	
Gross profit	\$61,318	18.4	% \$45,842	15.7	%	\$15,476	-	33.8	%

Net Sales

Net sales increased \$42,215, or 14.5%, to \$333,439 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, compared with \$291,224 for the prior period. We reported sales increases in our Health Sciences and Specialty Chemicals business segments offset by a decrease in sales of Agricultural Protection products.

#### Health Sciences

Net sales for the Health Sciences segment increased \$47,446 or 31.1% to \$199,780 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, when compared to the prior period. Overall, the domestic Health Sciences group had an increase of \$35,066, when compared to the prior period. This increase in domestic Health Sciences sales is primarily related to an increase in sales of nutritional supplements of \$4,274, due to new business development from existing customers and new projects from our pipeline and \$5,252 increase in sales of APIs due to the reorders of existing products. Net sales for the Health Sciences segment also increased due to \$24,719 increase in sales of Rising products, where there was only three months of sales in the prior period. On December 31, 2010, we acquired certain assets of Rising, a New Jersey based company that markets and distributes generic prescription and over the counter pharmaceutical products to leading wholesalers, chain drug stores, distributors, mass market merchandisers and others under its own label, throughout the United States. In addition, the Health Sciences segment saw an increase in sales from our international

operations of \$12,380 over the prior period, particularly increases in sales of APIs and pharmaceutical intermediates sold abroad, which represent key components used in the manufacture of certain drug products.

# Specialty Chemicals

Net sales for the Specialty Chemicals segment for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 were \$113,919, which represents an increase of \$3,691 or 3.3% from the prior period. Our chemical business consists of a variety of products, customers and consuming markets, most of which is affected by current economic conditions. The primary fluctuation in this segment's sales relates to an increase of domestic Specialty Chemicals sales of \$10,190, predominantly related to increased sales of agricultural, dye, pigment and miscellaneous intermediates, partially offset by a decline in international sales of Specialty Chemicals of \$6,498, particularly in France.

# Agricultural Protection

Net sales for the Agricultural Protection segment decreased to \$19,740 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$8,922, or 31.1%, from net sales of \$28,662 for the prior period. The decrease from the prior period is due to a decline in sales of glyphosate. Our entry into this market had proven to be much more challenging than had been expected. As previously indicated, our future participation in the glyphosate market will likely only be on an opportunistic basis when our Asian sourcing offers us an opportunity to be profitable and competitive in the U.S. domestic market. Strategically, this is not a product or business activity that we have factored into our business plans going forward. The decrease in Agricultural Protection Products sales is offset in part by an increase in a wide-range insecticide that is used on various crops including cereals, citrus, cotton, grapes, ornamental grasses and vegetables.

#### Gross Profit

Gross profit increased to \$61,318 (18.4% of net sales) for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, as compared to \$45,842 (15.7% of net sales) for the prior period.

# Health Sciences

Health Sciences' gross profit increased to \$41,514 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the prior period of \$26,748. The gross margin increased to 20.8%, for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to 17.6% for the prior period. The increase in gross profit and gross margin in the Health Sciences segment primarily relates to Rising, certain assets of which we acquired on December 31, 2010. There was a rise in gross margin on our nutraceutical products due to product mix and increased prices in China on nutritional supplements which we were successful in passing through to our customers.

# Specialty Chemicals

Specialty Chemicals' gross profit of \$16,830 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 was \$502 or 3.1% higher than the prior period due predominantly to sales volume rise in domestic Specialty Chemicals. The gross margin at 14.8% for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 was consistent to the prior period's gross margin of 14.8%.

#### Agricultural Protection

Gross profit for the Agricultural Protection segment increased to \$2,974 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, versus \$2,766 for the prior period, an increase of \$208 or 7.5%. Gross margin for the nine months increased to 15.1% compared to the prior period gross margin of 9.7%. The increase in both gross profit and gross margin is attributable to our previous discussion on glyphosate, of which gross margin in the prior year was lower than expected due to the difficult and crowded market conditions surrounding this commodity type product. We also incurred decreased amortization expense related to product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency as well as payments to various task force groups.

# Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A increased \$7,373 or 21.5%, to \$41,678 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$34,305 for the prior period. As a percentage of sales, SG&A increased to 12.5% for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 versus 11.8% for the prior period. The primary reason for the increase in SG&A is due to the Rising acquisition, which occurred on December 31, 2010, where there was only three months of activity in the prior period. SG&A included amortization expense related to acquired intangible assets related to the Rising acquisition. In addition, the Company recorded during the nine months ended March 31, 2012 approximately \$884 of one-time costs associated with the separation of certain executive management employees. We experienced additional accrued performance award expense and increased fringe benefits and stock-based compensation expense due to increased profitability. These increases in SG&A are offset in part by \$1,060 of transaction costs related to the Rising acquisition, which was recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

# **Operating Income**

For the nine months ended March 31, 2012, operating income was \$19,640 compared to \$11,537 in the prior period, an increase of \$8,103 or 70.2%. This increase was due to the overall increase in gross profit of \$15,476 offset by the increase in SG&A of \$7,373 from the comparable prior period.

# Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$2,067 for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$1,175 from \$892 in the prior period. The increase is primarily due to interest expense on the bank loans that were incurred to partially finance the acquisition of certain assets of Rising and on the mortgage on our new global headquarters.

# Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 was 33.2% versus 56.2% for the prior period. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to an approximate \$2,600 tax charge recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 related to the repatriation of earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries, in connection with our acquisition of Rising. The Company intends to permanently reinvest its undistributed earnings and has no plan for further repatriation. In the third quarter of fiscal 2012, our effective tax rate was favorably impacted by the reversal of approximately \$529 of tax expense related to the final tax payment associated with the fiscal 2011 repatriation. Without these adjustments, the effective tax rate would be 35.9% and 35.4%, respectively, in fiscal years 2012 and 2011.

## Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

Net Sales by Segment Three months ended March 31,

					Compa	arison 2012	
	20	12	201	11	Over/(U	Under) 2011	
		% of		% of	\$	%	
Segment	Net sales	total	Net sales	total	change	change	
Health Sciences	\$68,762	56.6	% \$62,234	52.8	% \$6,528	10.5	%
Specialty Chemicals Agricultural Protection	44,680 7,973	36.8 6.6	41,028 14,619	34.8 12.4	3,652 (6,646	8.9 ) (45.5	)
Net sales	\$121,415	100.0	% \$117,881	100.0	% \$3,534	3.0	%

Gross Profit by Segment Three months ended March 31,

					Compa	arison 2012	
	2012		20	2011		Over/(Under) 2011	
	Gross	% of	Gross	% of	\$	%	
Segment	profit	sales	profit	sales	change	change	2
Health Sciences	\$13,983	20.3	% \$11,950	19.2	% \$2,033	17.0	%
Specialty Chemicals	6,873	15.4	6,172	15.0	701	11.4	
Agricultural Protection	1,299	16.3	1,310	9.0	(11	) (0.8	)
Gross profit	\$22,155	18.2	% \$19,432	16.5	% \$2,723	14.0	%

Net Sales

Net sales increased \$3,534 or 3.0%, to \$121,415 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared with \$117,881 for the prior period. We reported sales increases in our Health Sciences and Specialty Chemicals business segments offset by a decrease in sales of Agricultural Protection products.

### Health Sciences

Net sales for the Health Sciences segment increased \$6,528 or 10.5% to \$68,762 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, when compared to the prior period, attributable to \$2,862 increase in the sales of APIs due to the reorders of existing products. In addition, the Health Sciences segment saw an increase of \$3,333 in sales of our Rising products due to new product launches that occurred in fiscal 2012.

24

# Specialty Chemicals

Net sales for the Specialty Chemicals segment were \$44,680 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of \$3,652 or 8.9% from net sales of \$41,028 for the prior period. Our chemical business consists of a variety of products, customers and consuming markets, most of which is affected by current economic conditions. As previously mentioned, the index for consumer durables, which impacts the Specialty Chemicals segment, had increased at an annual rate of 11.3%. Domestic sales of specialty chemicals increased \$5,483, partially offset by a decline of \$1,833 in sales from our international operations, particularly in France. The rise in sales of domestic specialty chemicals relates to increased sales of agricultural, dye, pigment and miscellaneous intermediates, which together grew by \$3,871, as well as sales of chemicals used in surface coatings rose by \$1,544.

## Agricultural Protection

Net sales for the Agricultural Protection segment decreased to \$7,973 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$6,646, or 45.5%, from net sales of \$14,619 for the prior period. The decrease in sales of our Agricultural Protection Products business is due to decline in sales of glyphosate, which, as we previously stated, is not a product or business activity that we have factored into our business plans going forward. In addition, sales of Agricultural Protection products decreased due to a drop in sales of an herbicide used on sugar cane and a decrease in sales of an herbicide used to control sedge on rice, vegetables and turf and ornamental grasses.

### Gross Profit

Gross profit increased to \$22,155 (18.2% of net sales) for the three months ended March 31, 2012, as compared to \$19,432 (16.5% of net sales) for the prior period.

## Health Sciences

Health Sciences' gross profit increased to \$13,983 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the prior period of \$11,950. The gross margin increased to 20.3%, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to 19.2% for the prior period. The increase in gross profit in the Health Sciences segment primarily relates to sales volume increase of our Rising products. In addition, we experienced favorable product mix in our domestic APIs.

### Specialty Chemicals

Specialty Chemicals' gross profit of \$6,873 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$701 or 11.4% higher than the prior period. The gross margin at 15.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was relatively consistent to the prior period's gross margin. The increase in gross profit relates to the increased sales volume of chemicals used to produce surface coatings and positive product mix on chemicals utilized in the food, beverage and cosmetic industries.

### Agricultural Protection

Gross profit for the Agricultural Protection segment was relatively flat at \$1,299 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the prior period gross profit of \$1,310. Gross margin for the quarter increased to 16.3% compared to the prior period gross margin of 9.0%. The increase in gross margin is attributable to our previous discussion on glyphosate, of which gross margin in the prior year was lower than expected due to the difficult and crowded market conditions surrounding this commodity type product. We also incurred decreased amortization expense related to product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency as well as payments to various task force groups.

## Explanation of Responses:

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A increased \$1,300 or 9.8%, to \$14,581 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$13,281 for the prior period. As a percentage of sales, SG&A increased to 12.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 versus 11.3% for the prior period. We experienced additional accrued performance award expense and increased fringe benefits and stock-based compensation expense due to increased profitability.

## **Operating Income**

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, operating income was \$7,574 compared to \$6,151 in the prior period, an increase of \$1,423 or 23.1%. This increase was due to the overall increase in gross profit of \$2,723 offset by the increase in SG&A of \$1,300 from the comparable prior period.

### Interest and Other Income, Net

Interest and other income, net was \$630 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, which represents an increase of \$158 from \$472 in the prior period, mainly due to an increase in foreign exchange offset by a decrease in income related to a joint venture.

### Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was 28.7% versus 35.4% for the prior period. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the reversal of approximately \$529 of tax expense related to the final tax payment associated with the fiscal 2011 repatriation of earnings from certain foreign subsidiaries, in connection with our acquisition of Rising. The Company intends to permanently reinvest its undistributed earnings and has no plan for further repatriation.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Cash Flows

At March 31, 2012, we had \$26,611 in cash and cash equivalents, of which \$19,057 was outside the United States, \$1,539 in short-term investments and \$50,299 in long-term debt (including the current portion). Working capital was \$121,568 at March 31, 2012 versus \$115,429 at June 30, 2011. The \$19,057 of cash held outside of the United States is fully accessible to meet any liquidity needs of Aceto in the particular countries outside of the United States in which it operates. The majority of the cash located outside of the United States is held by our European operations and can be transferred into the United States. Although these amounts are fully accessible, transferring these amounts into the United States or certain other countries could have certain unfavorable tax consequences. A deferred tax liability will be recognized when we expect that we will recover undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries in a taxable manner, such as through receipt of dividends or sale of the investments. The Company intends to permanently reinvest these undistributed earnings and has no plan for further repatriation. A portion of our cash is held in operating accounts that are with third party financial institutions. These balances exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance limits. While we monitor daily the cash balances in our operating accounts and adjust the cash balances as appropriate, these cash balances could be impacted if the underlying financial institutions fail or are subject to other adverse conditions in the financial markets. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to cash in our operating accounts.

Our cash position at March 31, 2012 decreased \$2,053 from the amount at June 30, 2011. Operating activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 provided cash of \$9,833, for this period, as compared to cash provided by operations of \$4,823 for the comparable period. The \$9,833 was comprised of \$13,000 in net income and \$5,060 derived from adjustments for non-cash items less a net \$8,227 decrease from changes in operating assets and liabilities. The non-cash items included \$5,184 in depreciation and amortization expense, \$1,602 of earnings on an equity investment in a joint venture and \$881 in non-cash stock compensation expense. Trade accounts receivable increased \$2,457 during the nine months ended March 31, 2012, due to an increase in domestic sales in this quarter as compared to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. Inventories and accounts payable increased by \$6,036 and \$3,282, respectively, due to an increase in inventories on hand for Rising as this subsidiary has launched several new products and as such, has built up stock for fourth quarter sales. In addition, our Agricultural Protection Products business segment as well as our German subsidiary-Aceto Health Ingredients GmbH, have increased inventory on-hand due to anticipated fourth quarter sales. Other receivables decreased \$1,964 due to payments received on royalties related to agricultural protection products as well as a decrease in value added taxes receivables in our German subsidiaries. Accrued expenses and other liabilities decreased \$5,403 due to a decline in advance payments from customers. Our cash position at March 31, 2011 decreased \$9,866 from the amount at June 30, 2010. Operating activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2011 provided cash of \$4,823, for this period, as compared to cash used in operations of \$11,713 for the comparable period. The \$4,823 was comprised of \$5,474 in net income and \$2,759 derived from adjustments for non-cash items less a net \$3,410 decrease from changes in operating assets and liabilities.

Investing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 used cash of \$2,206, primarily related to purchases of investments, property and equipment and intangible assets. Investing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2011 used cash of \$64,206, primarily related to \$58,711 payment for the net assets of Rising. In addition, \$4,833 related to purchases of property and equipment and \$1,162 for intangible assets.

Financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 used cash of \$8,629, primarily from \$4,698 of bank loan repayments and the payment of dividends of \$2,661. In addition, the Company paid \$1,500 of deferred consideration to the sellers of Rising. Financing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2011 provided cash of \$47,138 primarily from \$50,000 of bank loans, offset by the payment of dividends of \$2,548.

# Credit Facilities

We have available credit facilities with certain foreign financial institutions. These facilities provide us with lines of credit of \$8,886, as of March 31, 2012. We are not subject to any financial covenants under these arrangements.

On December 31, 2010, we entered into a new Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with two U.S. financial institutions. The Credit Agreement terminated the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated April 23, 2010. We may borrow, repay and reborrow during the period ending December 31, 2015, up to but not exceeding at any one time outstanding \$40,000 (the "Revolving Loans"). The Revolving Loans may be (i) Adjusted LIBOR Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement), (ii) Alternate Base Rate Loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) or (iii) a combination thereof. As of March 31, 2012, we borrowed Revolving Loans aggregating \$14,000, which loans are Adjusted LIBOR Loans, at interest rates ranging from 2.50% to 3.31% at March 31, 2012. \$10,000 of such amount was utilized by us to partially finance payment of the purchase price for the Rising acquisition. The Credit Agreement also allowed for the borrowing up to a maximum of \$40,000 (the "Term Loan"). As such, we borrowed a Term Loan of \$40,000 on December 31, 2010 to partially finance the acquisition of Rising. The Term Loan interest may be payable as an (i) Adjusted LIBOR Loan, (ii) Alternate Base Rate Loan, or (iii) a combination thereof. As of March 31, 2012, the remaining amount outstanding under the original amortizing Term Loan is \$32,500 and is payable as an Adjusted LIBOR Loan, at an interest rate of 2.75% at March 31, 2012.

# Edgar Filing: NEWELL ALLAN P - Form 4

The Credit Agreement also provides that commercial letters of credit shall be issued to provide the primary payment mechanism in connection with the purchase of any materials, goods or services by us in the ordinary course of business. At March 31, 2012, we had utilized \$46,834 in bank loans and letters of credit, leaving \$25,666 of this facility unused. The terms of these letters of credit are all less than one year. No material loss is anticipated due to non-performance by the counterparties to these agreements.

The Credit Agreement provides for a security interest in all of our personal property. The Credit Agreement contains several financial covenants including, among other things, maintaining a minimum level of debt service. We are also subject to certain restrictive covenants, including, among other things, covenants governing liens, limitations on indebtedness, limitations on cash dividends, guarantees, sale of assets, sales of receivables, and loans and investments. We were in compliance with all covenants at March 31, 2012.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Credit Agreement, we are required to deliver Hedging Agreements (as defined in the Credit Agreement) fixing the interest rate on not less than \$20,000 of the Term Loan. Accordingly, in March 2011, we entered into an interest rate swap for a notional amount of \$20,000, which has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The expiration date of this interest rate swap is December 31, 2015.

# Working Capital Outlook

Working capital was \$121,568 at March 31, 2012 versus \$115,429 at June 30, 2011. In March 2010, we purchased a building in Port Washington, New York, which is now the site of our global headquarters. We moved our corporate offices into this new building in April 2011. On June 30, 2011, we entered into a mortgage payable for \$3,947 on this new corporate headquarters. This mortgage payable is secured by the land and building and is being amortized over a period of 20 years. The mortgage payable bears interest at 5.92% and matures on June 30, 2021.

We continually evaluate possible acquisitions of, or investments in, businesses that are complementary to our own, and such transactions may require the use of cash. In connection with our agricultural protection business, we plan to continue to acquire product registrations and related data filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency as well as payments to various task force groups of approximately \$4,030 through fiscal 2013.

In accordance with the purchase agreement related to the Rising acquisition, \$8,000 of deferred consideration is to be paid by Aceto over a four year period with annual installments of \$1,500 not later than fifty-six days following each of the first three anniversaries of the closing date of the purchase and \$3,500 not later than fifty-six days following the fourth anniversary of the closing date of the purchase. Accordingly, \$1,500 was paid by the Company in February 2012. In addition, the purchase agreement also provided for a working capital, and certain other, adjustments, such that the assets acquired would include approximately \$5,000, as defined in the purchase agreement. A true-up statement, as defined in the purchase agreement, must be delivered by Aceto within thirty days following the end of the eighteen month period immediately succeeding the closing of the purchase. This true-up statement could result in additional consideration to be paid to the sellers.

We believe that our cash, other liquid assets, operating cash flows, borrowing capacity and access to the equity capital markets, taken together, provide adequate resources to fund ongoing operating expenditures, the repayment of our bank loans and the anticipated continuation of cash dividends for the next twelve months.

## Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs", which amends ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurement". ASU 2011-04 does not extend the use of fair value accounting, but provides guidance on how it should be applied where its use is already required or permitted by other standards within U.S. GAAP or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). ASU 2011-04 changes the wording used to describe many requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. Additionally, ASU 2011-04 clarifies the FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurements. ASU 2011-04 became effective for the Company January 1, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, "Presentation of Comprehensive Income", which eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in stockholders' equity and requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This pronouncement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15,

# Edgar Filing: NEWELL ALLAN P - Form 4

2011. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12 "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05". ASU 2011-12 deferred certain aspects of ASU 2011-05. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company will adopt this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. Early adoption of the new guidance is permitted and full retrospective application is required. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 and the deferrals in ASU 2011-12 are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, "Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment", to allow entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. ASU 2011-08 is effective for the Company in fiscal 2013 and earlier adoption is permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact that the provisions of this pronouncement will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210), Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities", which requires companies to disclose information about financial instruments that have been offset and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. Companies will be required to provide both net (offset amounts) and gross information in the notes to the financial statements for relevant assets and liabilities that are offset. This update is effective for the Company in its first quarter of fiscal 2014 and will be applied retrospectively. The Company does not believe adoption of this new guidance will have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market Risk Sensitive Instruments

The market risk inherent in our market-risk-sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in investment market prices, foreign currency exchange-rates and interest rates.

Investment Market Price Risk

We had short-term investments of \$1,539 at March 31, 2012. Those short-term investments consisted principally of time deposits. Time deposits are short-term in nature and are accordingly valued at cost plus accrued interest, which approximates fair value.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

In order to reduce the risk of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, we hedge some of our transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currencies applicable to each of our various entities. The instruments used for hedging are short-term foreign currency contracts (futures). The changes in market value of such contracts have a high correlation to price changes in the currency of the related hedged transactions. At March 31, 2012, we had foreign currency contracts outstanding that had a notional amount of \$59,827. The difference between the fair market value of the foreign currency contracts and the related commitments at inception and the fair market value of the contracts and the related commitments at March 31, 2012, was not material.

We are subject to risk from changes in foreign exchange rates for our subsidiaries that use a foreign currency as their functional currency and are translated into U.S. dollars. These changes result in cumulative translation adjustments, which are included in accumulated other comprehensive income. On March 31, 2012, we had translation exposure to various foreign currencies, with the most significant being the Euro and the Chinese Renminbi. The potential loss as of March 31, 2012, resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in quoted foreign currency exchange rates would amount to \$6,072. Actual results, however, may differ.

Interest rate risk

# Edgar Filing: NEWELL ALLAN P - Form 4

Due to our financing, investing and cash-management activities, we are subject to market risk from exposure to changes in interest rates. We utilize a balanced mix of debt maturities along with both fixed-rate and variable-rate debt to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates. Our financial instrument holdings were analyzed to determine their sensitivity to interest rate changes. In this sensitivity analysis, we used the same change in interest rate for all maturities. All other factors were held constant. If there were an adverse change in interest rates of 10%, the expected effect on net income related to our financial instruments would be immaterial. However, there can be no assurances that interest rates will not significantly affect our results of operations.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Credit Agreement, we are required to deliver Hedging Agreements (as defined in the Credit Agreement) fixing the interest rate on not less than \$20,000 of the Term Loan. Accordingly, in March 2011, we entered into an interest rate swap for a notional amount of \$20,000, which has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The expiration date of this interest rate swap is December 31, 2015. The unrealized loss associated with this derivative, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2012, is \$435.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, with assistance from other members of our management, have reviewed the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures are of March 31, 2012 and, based on their evaluation, have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date.

## Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As previously described in our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011, we are subject to various environmental proceedings for which there were no material changes during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors disclosed under Part I- in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011 which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows. The risks and uncertainties described in our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011 are not the only ones we face. Additionally, risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flows.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Explanation of Responses:

None.

30

- Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
- Not Applicable.
- Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Index to Exhibits

	10.1	Notice to Douglas A. Roth dated January 10, 2012 regarding non-renewal of employment agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, dated January 17, 2012).			
	10.2	Notice to Frank DeBenedittis dated January 10, 2012 regarding non-renewal of employment agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, dated January 17, 2012).			
	10.3	Notice to Michael Feinman dated January 10, 2012 regarding non-renewal of employment agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, dated January 17, 2012).			
	10.4	Aceto Corporation Severance Policy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K, dated January 17, 2012).			
	10.5	Employment Agreement, dated as of the 29th day of February, 2012, by and between Aceto Corporation and Salvatore Guccione (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated March 1, 2012).			
	15.1	Letter re unaudited interim financial information.			
	31.1	Certifications of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			
	31.2	Certifications of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			
	32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			
	32.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			
101.INS** XBRL Instance Document					
101.SCH**XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document					
101.CAL**XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document					
	101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document				

101.LAB\*\*XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

# Explanation of Responses:

101.PRE\*\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\*\* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

# ACETO CORPORATION

DATE	May 4, 2012	BY /s/ Albert L. Eilender Albert L. Eilender, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
DATE	May 4, 2012	BY /s/ Douglas Roth Douglas Roth, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

32