GeoMet, Inc. Form FWP October 01, 2007 Issuer Free Writing Prospectus

Filed Pursuant To Rule 433

Registration Statement No. 333-131716

October 1, 2007

The issuer has filed a registration statement (including a prospectus) with the SEC for the offering to which this communication relates. Before you invest, you should read the prospectus in that registration statement and other documents the issuer has filed with the SEC for more complete information about the issuer and this offering. You may get these documents for free by visiting EDGAR on the SEC Web site at www.sec.gov. Alternatively, the issuer, any underwriter or any dealer participating in the offering will arrange to send you the prospectus if you request it by calling (713) 287-2261. The prospectus relating to this offering is available by clicking on the following link or by copying it and pasting the link into your web browser:

http://searchwww.sec.gov/EDGARFSClient/jsp/EDGAR MainAccess.jsp#topAnchor; and then type GMET.

IPAA OGIS San Francisco October 1, 2007

2 Forward Looking Statements This presentation includes forward-looking statements

in reliance on the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "intends, "targeted," and similar expressions, generally identify forward-looking statements and should be read carefully. These statements are based on GeoMet's current expectations and beliefs and are subject

made

to a

number

of

risks,

uncertainties

and

assumptions

that

could

cause

actual

results

to

differ

materially

from

those

described

in

the

forward-looking

statements.

Risks,

uncertainties

and

assumptions

include

(i)

risks

inherent

in

the

exploration

for

and

development

and

production

of

coalbed

methane

and

in

estimating

reserves,

(ii)

the

presence

or

reserves, (iii) the ability to replace reserves, (iv) unexpected future capital expenditures, (v) general economic conditions, (vi) gas price volatility, (vii) the success of our hedging $\quad \text{and} \quad$ other risk management activities, (viii) competition, (ix) regulatory changes, (x) the ability of management to execute its plans to meet

recoverability

estimated

of

its goals, (xi) cost and availability of transportation to get our gas to market, and (xii) other factors discussed in GeoMet's filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. GeoMet assumes no obligation publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements contained in this presentation, whether as a

result

of new information, future events, or otherwise.

3 Summary Track Record of Success Substantial expertise and experience

as a

developer

and

operator

of

coalbed

methane

properties

since 1985

Developed 5 large scale CBM projects in four separate basins (Black Warrior, Raton, Central Appalachia and Cahaba Basins)

19 person technical, professional and project management team averages more than 16 years of CBM experience

Operational Characteristics

Proved reserves of 326 Bcf

at YE 06 (75 % proved developed)

Long lived reserves with low finding and development and unit operating costs

Current net daily gas sales volumes of approximately 20 MMcf

100% operational control

Positioned for Growth

Large inventory of development locations in existing 100% W.I. projects

High potential in new development and exploration opportunities

4 Recent Developments New Development Projects Lasher

10 miles north of Pond Creek Field, West Virginia

Approximately 16,548 net leasehold acres

Operator with 100% W.I.

Peace River

Near Hudson s Hope, British Columbia

Approximately 50,188 gross leasehold acres

Operator with 50% W.I. New Exploration Prospect Garden City

Chattanooga Shale opportunity in North Central Alabama

Approximately 60,000 gross leasehold acres

Complementary production characteristics to CBM

Significant resource in-place

Operator with 100% W.I.

5 Recent Developments Gurnee Field Production

Field not yet responding like a typical CBM project and production has been essentially flat over the past four quarters

High-graded 2007 drilling program

Conducting new well-treatment techniques to increase production

Similar production difficulties encountered in the early stages of Pond Creek field development

Pond Creek Gathering Line Right-of-Way Litigation

May

23,

2007

Virginia

Trial

Court

ruled

in

favor

of

CNX

Gas

exclusive

rights

claim

and

enjoined

us

from

transporting

gas

across

the

PMC

property

unless

we

satisfied

several

restrictive requirements

June 20, 2007

Virginia Supreme Court vacated the injunctive portion of the order and removed the restrictive requirements allowing us to move our Pond Creek production through our gathering line

July 30, 2007

GeoMet petitioned the Virginia Supreme Court to accept our appeal of the portion of the order that affirmed CNX Gas exclusive rights claim

Existing Projects

Existing Development Projects

7
Pond Creek Field (Central Appalachia)
Locator Map
West Virginia, Virginia border
Pennsylvanian Age Pottsville coals
Coal thickness ranges from 10
30 feet

High gas content -
> than 500 cubic feet
per ton
34,982 net acres under lease
Operator -
GeoMet
100% W.I.
Key Facts
CDX
Equitable
Resources
Dickinson
CNX Gas
Lasher
Prospect
Virginia
West Virginia
GeoMet
Operations
Other Operations
GeoMet
Operations
Other Operations
GeoMet Gathering Pipeline
Jewell Ridge Pipeline
ETNG Pipeline
Virginia
West Virginia
Nora
Field

Oakwood Field

8
Pond Creek Field
Profile
2H 2007 Plan
\$12 MM CAPEX
Bring online 19 additional wells
Resolve or advance litigation

Operating Data Initial gas sales in February 2003 Current net sales of approximately 12.5 MMcf/d Over 200 wells producing Reserve & Resource Base 130 Bcf of Proved Reserves at YE 2006 68% proved developed 14 coreholes to determine gas in-place Approximately 287 undrilled locations (85 PUDS) at YE 06 Proved Undeveloped Potential Drill Sites **Compressor Sites** Proved Developed **Drilled Coreholes** Well locations **High Pressure Pipelines**

9 Pond Creek Field Daily Sales Gross Daily Gas Sales Well Count 0 1,000

2,000 3,000 4,000 5,000 6,000 7,000 8,000 9,000 10,000 11,000 12,000 13,000 14,000 15,000

0

16,000 17,000 18,000

25 50

75

100

125

150

175

200 225

250

275

300

325 350

375

400

10 Gurnee Field (Cahaba Basin) Locator Map Across anticline from Black Warrior Basin Pennsylvanian Age Pottsville coals Average coal thickness 50 feet 43,686 net acres under lease

Operations
GeoMet
Cahaba
Operations
Black Warrior Methane
El
Paso
Energen
Energen
Constellation
Dominion
Resources
El
Paso
White Oak
Creek
Dominion
Resources
Cahaba
Basin
Black
Warrior
River
Cahaba
River
White Oak
Creek
Black
Warrior
Basin
GeoMet Projects
Water Discharge Pipeline
SONAT Bessemer Calera Pipeline
SONAT Interstate Pipeline
GeoMet
High Pressure Pipeline
CDX Pipeline
Enbridge Pipeline
Other CBM Projects
Alabama
Key Facts

Operator -GeoMet 100% W.I. GeoMet Cahaba

11 Gurnee Field (Cahaba Basin) Profile Reserve & Resource Base 193 Bcf of Proved Reserves at YE 06 78% Proved Developed

33 Coreholes

to determine gas in-place

Approximately 346 undrilled

locations

(74 PUDS) at YE 06

2H 2007 Plan

\$10.4 MM CAPEX

Bring online 23 additional wells

Well treatment program

Complete and test 2 wells west of

Cahaba River

Operating Data

Full scale development in March 2005

Current net sales approximately

6.5 MMcf/d

Over 200 wells producing

Water Discharge Pipeline

High Pressure Pipeline

Proved Undeveloped

Potential Drill Sites

Compressor Sites

Drilled Coreholes

Proved Developed

Well locations

Cahaba

River

Gurnee Field (Cahaba Basin)
Daily Sales
Gross Daily Gas Sales
Daily Water Production
0
1000

New Development Projects

14
Lasher Project
Locator Map
10 miles north of Pond Creek Field
Operator with 100% W.I.
16,548 net contiguous leasehold acres
Key Facts

Virginia

West Virginia

Pond

Creek

Lasher

Wyoming

County

McDowell

County

Buchanan

County

GeoMet

Operations

Columbia Pipeline West Virginia

Lasher Project
Profile
Drill 2 production wells
Secure agreements for pipeline tie-in
Targeting the Pocahontas
formation of the Pottsville coal

group at depths up to 1,800 feet
4 coreholes
to determine gas
in-place
2 production test wells drilled
Approximately 130 undrilled
locations
Operational salt water disposal
well on property
Firm Capacity on Columbia
KA-20 pipeline which crosses property
Salt water disposal well
Corehole
Well sites
Columbia pipeline

Production wells

2H 2007 Development Plan

Profile

16
Peace River Project
Locator Map
Near Hudson s Hope, British Columbia
50,188 gross acres (25,094 net acres)
Operator with 50% W.I.
Attractive royalty incentive package

Expected effective rate < 10%

No severance tax

United States

Mexico

Canada

British

Columbia

Key Facts

Peace River Project
Profile
Activity To Date
3 coreholes
to determine gas in-place
7 production test wells

2 water disposal wells Summary Cretaceous age Gething coals Depth ranges from 1,000 3,000 ft Over 400 MMcf/d of available pipeline capacity crosses acreage Access to both U.S. and Canadian gas markets 2007 2008 Development Plan Complete consultations, permitting and project infrastructure Drill one or more water disposal wells Drill up to 25 initial development wells Net CAPEX up to US\$25 MM Hudson's Hope Production Test Well Corehole

Salt Water Disposal Well

Garden City Prospect

19 Garden City Prospect Locator Map Tuscaloosa County Fayette County

Walker

County

Jefferson

County

Shelby

County

Bibb

County

Chilton

County

Coosa

County

Talladega

County

St. Clair

County

Blount

County

Cullman

County

Winston

County

Birmingham

(Technical

Headquarters)

Gurnee

Field

Alabama

Garden

City

20
Garden City Prospect
Profile
Activity To Date
4 coreholes
drilled to determine gas in-place and reservoir properties

2H 2007 Plan

\$ 2.7 MM Capex

1 Corehole

3 production test wells

Expand acreage position

Summary

Approximately 60,000 gross leasehold acres

Highly organic gas bearing Chattanooga Shale

Depth ranges from 1,700 to 2,000 feet

Shale thickness ranges from 35

90 feet

Multiple gas marketing options

Stratigraphic

Unit

Series

Eocene

Paleocene

Claiborne Group

Wilcox Group

Midway Group

Selma Group

Eutaw Group

Tuscaloosa Group

Pottsville Formation

Parkwood

Formation

Floyd Shale

Upper

Middle

&

Lower

Upper

Lower

Fort Payne Chert

Chattanooga Shale

Unnamed Cherty

Limestone

Undifferentiated

Undifferentiated

Stones River Group

Knox Group

Conasauga

Group

Rome Formation

Upper &

Middle

Lower

Upper

Middle

Lower

Middle

Financial Overview

22

Economic Parameters

(1)

The

cost

of

finding

and

developing

reserves

is

calculated

for

the

three

year

time

period

by

taking

the

sum

of

the

cost

incurred

for

exploration,

development

and

acquisition,

including

future

development

costs

attributable

to

proved

undeveloped

reserves,

adjusted

for

the

change

for

the

period

in

the

balance

of

unevaluated

gas

properties

not

subject

to

amortization and dividing such amount by the total proved reserve additions (2) Reserve additions for the period used to compute finding and development costs have been estimated by independent petroleum engineers and adjusted for revisions to previous estimates. See appendix for calculations of three average finding and development 3 year average (excluding future development costs (2)) \$0.84 per Mcf (3) 3 year average (including future development costs (2)) \$1.16 per Mcf (3) Low Finding & Development Costs (1) Moderate and declining operating costs

< \$2.00 per Mcf

Positive gas price differentials > \$0.10 per Mcf Other

Capitalization (\$ in thousands)
Long-Term Bank Debt
80,500
\$
6/30/07
Stockholders' Equity

```
Total Capitalization
213,726
294,226
$
$
Long Term Bank Debt / Total Capitalization
27%
Bank Debt per Mcf
(1)
0.25
$
(1)
Reserves as of December 31, 2006
```

24

Track Record of Growth

(1)

Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA before unrealized losses (gains) on derivative contracts, stock-based compensation a For reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA, please refer to the appendix.

(2)

Proved

reserves and capital expenditures include White Oak Creek Field working interest, sold in 2004 Excludes \$27 million for acquisition of producing properties in Pond Creek in 2004 0 2,000 4,000 6,000 8,000 10,000 12,000 14,000 16,000 18,000 20,000 2003 2004 2005 2006 1H 2007 Net Daily Sales Volumes Adjusted EBITDA (1) 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 2003 2004 2005 2006 210 263

326

White Oak Creek Field working interest, sold in 2004 2007 E 1H 2007 7,148 9,860 17,829 22,779 3,246 7,226 12,585 3,560 1,475 6,806 8,701 17,064 19,154 12,919 **Proved Reserves**

(2)

Capital Expenditures (2) (3)

25

Natural Gas Production Hedges

- (1)
- (1)

As of June 30, 2007

(2)

Protection is the difference between the Floor (a bought put) and the Floor Price Phase-out (a sold put). This protection

remains

a

constant

and

fixed

price

enhancement

as

prices

decline

below

the

Floor

Price

Phase-out.

Period

Volume

MMBtu

Cap

Floor

Protection

(2)

July 2007

October 2007

984,000

\$ 10.50

\$ 7.38

\$ 1.63

November 2007

March 2008

1,216,000

\$ 14.80

\$ 9.00

\$ 3.00

April 2008

October 2008

1,712,000

\$ 10.50

\$ 7.00

\$ 2.00

Three Way

Collars

Traditional

Collars

July 2007

October 2007

492,000

\$ 9.75

\$ 7.50

n/a

November 2007 March 2008 608,000 \$ 11.25 \$ 8.25 n/a Weighted Average Price per MMBtu Type

26 % Estimated Sales Volumes Hedged 0% 10% 20% 30%

50% 60%

70%

27 Summary Track Record of Success Operational Characteristics Positioned for Growth

Appendix

29
Three Year Average Finding and Development Cost
Costs Incurred
Three Years
Ended 12-31-06
Acquisition Costs Proved and Unproved

\$ **Exploration Costs** 27,339 **Development Costs** 158,186 **Abandonment Costs** 2,058 Increase (decrease) in Unevaluated properties not subject to amortization (23,330)Three Year Total 204,325 Change in Future Development Costs 77,945 Total Finding & Development Costs -**Including Estimated Future Development Costs** 282,270 \$ Proved Reserve Additions (MMcf) **Revisions to Previous Estimates** 17,434 Extensions & Discoveries 193,079 Acquisition 33,599 Three Year Total 244,112 Finding Cost -**Excluding Future Development Costs** 0.84 \$ Finding Cost -**Including Future Development Costs** 1.16 \$

40,072

30
Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measure
Six Months
Ended
June 30,
2007
2006

```
2005
2004
2003
Net Income (loss)
1,973
$
17,296
(1,573)
3,836
2,560
Add: Interest expense, net of
interest income and amounts
capitalized
2,111
3,097
3,818
916
138
Add (Deduct): Other expense (income) loss
25
10
21
4
7
Add (Deduct):Expense
(benefit)
for income taxes
1,491
10,880
(993)
2,312
1,651
Add: Depreciation, depletion and
amortization
4,341
7,876
4,867
2,691
2,120
Add: Minority interest
23
(442)
584
571
```

EBITDA

```
9,940
39,182
5,698
10,343
7,047
Add (Deduct): Unrealized losses
(gains) on derivative contracts
2,713
(16,877)
12,059
(542)
101
Add: Stock based compensation
163
317
Add: Accretion expense
103
157
72
59
Adjusted EBITDA
12,919
$
22,779
17,829
9,860
7,148
December 31,
```

Twelve Months Ended

For More Information, Please Contact: J. Darby Seré Chairman, President & CEO dsere@geometcbm.com (713) 287 -2253 William C. Rankin

Executive Vice President & CFO brankin@geometcbm.com (713) 287 - 2257
Stephen M. Smith Treasurer ssmith@geometcbm.com (713) 287 - 2251