BANCORPSOUTH INC Form DEF 14A March 22, 2016 Table of Contents

## **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

## **SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

**Securities Exchange Act of 1934** 

(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

#### **BANCORPSOUTH, INC.**

#### (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

N/A

## (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:
- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
  - (1) Amount previously paid:
  - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

- (3) Filing party:
- (4) Date Filed:

One Mississippi Plaza

201 South Spring Street

## Tupelo, Mississippi 38804

March 22, 2016

## TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF

## **BANCORPSOUTH, INC.:**

On Wednesday, April 27, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. (Central Time), the annual meeting of shareholders of BancorpSouth, Inc. will be held at the BancorpSouth Corporate Headquarters, Fourth Floor Board Room, One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street, Tupelo, Mississippi 38804. You are cordially invited to attend and participate in the meeting.

Please read our enclosed Annual Report to Shareholders and the attached Proxy Statement. They contain important information about BancorpSouth and the matters to be addressed at the annual meeting.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, I urge you to vote your proxy as soon as possible to assure your representation at the meeting. For your convenience, you can vote your proxy in one of the following ways:

Use the Internet at the website shown on your proxy card;

Use the telephone number shown on your proxy card; or

Complete, sign, date and return your proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. Instructions regarding each method of voting are contained in the attached Proxy Statement and on the enclosed proxy card. If you attend the annual meeting and desire to vote your shares personally rather than by proxy, you may withdraw your proxy at any time before it is exercised.

I look forward to seeing you at this year s annual meeting.

Sincerely,

JAMES D. ROLLINS III Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Enclosures:

1. Proxy Card and Business Reply Envelope

Table of Contents

2. Annual Report to Shareholders

## YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT.

## PLEASE VOTE YOUR PROXY PROMPTLY BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE OR BY

## COMPLETING, SIGNING, DATING AND RETURNING THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD.

#### One Mississippi Plaza

#### 201 South Spring Street

#### Tupelo, Mississippi 38804

#### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

#### To Be Held April 27, 2016

#### TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF

#### **BANCORPSOUTH, INC.:**

The annual meeting of shareholders of BancorpSouth, Inc. will be held on Wednesday, April 27, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. (Central Time) at the BancorpSouth Corporate Headquarters, Fourth Floor Board Room, One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street, Tupelo, Mississippi 38804 for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect eight (8) directors;
- (2) To approve amended and restated articles of incorporation, which will (i) change the board size range, (ii) permit shareholder removal of a director without cause, (iii) conform the provision on limitation of director liability to the Mississippi Business Corporation Act, (iv) decrease the percentage of shareholders required to call, and reduce the quorum requirement for, special shareholders meetings, and (v) integrate into a single document all previous shareholder-approved amendments;
- (3) To approve a new article in our restated articles of incorporation, as amended, to designate the state or federal court of general jurisdiction in Lee County, Mississippi, as the exclusive forum for certain legal actions; and
- (4) To transact such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on March 8, 2016 as the record date for determining shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors,

JAMES D. ROLLINS III Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer March 22, 2016

## **IMPORTANT:**

## WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING IN PERSON, TO ASSURE THE PRESENCE OF A QUORUM, PLEASE VOTE YOUR PROXY PROMPTLY BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE OR BY COMPLETING, SIGNING, DATING AND RETURNING THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD. IF YOU ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING AND WISH TO VOTE YOUR SHARES PERSONALLY, YOU MAY DO SO AT ANY TIME BEFORE THE PROXY IS EXERCISED.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	1
PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	3
Introduction	3
Nominees	3
Continuing Directors Pagewind Vote	5 7
Required Vote	/
PROPOSAL 2: APPROVAL OF AMENDED AND RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION, WHICH WILL (i) CHANGE THE BOARD SIZE RANGE, (ii) PERMIT SHAREHOLDER REMOVAL OF A DIRECTOR WITHOUT CAUSE, (iii) CONFORM THE PROVISION ON LIMITATION OF DIRECTOR LIABILITY TO THE MISSISSIPPI BUSINESS CORPORATION ACT, (iv) DECREASE THE PERCENTAGE OF SHAREHOLDERS REQUIRED TO CALL, AND REDUCE THE QUORUM REQUIREMENT FOR, SPECIAL SHAREHOLDERS MEETINGS, AND (v) INTEGRATE INTO A SINGLE DOCUMENT ALL PREVIOUS SHAREHOLDER-APPROVED AMENDMENTS Background for the Amendments	88
Background for Integration into a Single Document of All Prior Shareholder-Approved Amendments	9
Proposed Articles	9
Unbundling with Proposal 3	10
Required Vote	10
PROPOSAL 3: APPROVAL OF A NEW ARTICLE IN OUR RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION, AS AMENDED, TO DESIGNATE THE STATE OR FEDERAL COURT OF GENERAL JURISDICTION IN LEE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, AS THE EXCLUSIVE FORUM FOR CERTAIN LEGAL ACTIONS Required Vote Unbundling with Proposal 2	11 12 12
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	13
Director Attendance at Board, Committee and Annual Meetings	13
Committees of the Board of Directors	13
Communications with the Board of Directors	15
Governance Information	15
Director Independence	15
Director Qualification Standards	16
Board Leadership Structure	16 17
Executive Sessions Stock Ownership Guidelines	17
<u>Risk Oversight</u>	17
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	18
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	20
	22
<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u> <u>Executive Summary</u>	22
<u>Compensation Overview</u>	22
Compensation Policy	22
<u>compensation rome</u>	25

Compensation Process	26
Components of Compensation	27
Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m)	34
Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation	34
Employment Agreements and Change in Control Arrangements	34
Retirement Benefits	36
Life Insurance Plans	37

i

Risk Management Considerations	38
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	39
Summary Compensation Table	39
Realized Compensation for 2015	41
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	41
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2015 Fiscal Year-End	42
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	42
Pension Benefits	43
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	48
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control	48
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	52
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	55
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	56
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND STOCK INCENTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT	57
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	58
GENERAL INFORMATION	59
Counting of Votes	59
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	59
Shareholder Nominations and Proposals	59
Householding of Proxy Materials and Annual Reports	60
Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting	61
Miscellaneous	61

ii

#### One Mississippi Plaza

201 South Spring Street

## Tupelo, Mississippi 38804

## PROXY STATEMENT

#### GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our Board of Directors for the purposes set forth in the accompanying notice. The proxies will be voted at our annual meeting of shareholders to be held at the BancorpSouth Corporate Headquarters, Fourth Floor Board Room, One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street, Tupelo, Mississippi 38804 on April 27, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. (Central Time), and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. This Proxy Statement and the accompanying Annual Report to Shareholders and proxy card are first being sent to shareholders on or about March 22, 2016.

If a proxy is properly given by a shareholder of record and not revoked, it will be voted in accordance with the instructions provided, if any, and if no instructions are provided, it will be voted:

FOR the election as directors of the nominees listed in this Proxy Statement;

**FOR** the approval of amended and restated articles of incorporation, which will (i) change the board size range, (ii) permit shareholder removal of a director without cause, (iii) conform the provision on limitation of director liability to the Mississippi Business Corporation Act, (iv) decrease the percentage of shareholders required to call, and reduce the quorum requirement for, special shareholders meetings, and (v) integrate into a single document all previous shareholder-approved amendments;

**FOR** the approval of a new article in our restated articles of incorporation, as amended, to designate the state or federal court of general jurisdiction in Lee County, Mississippi, as the exclusive forum for certain legal actions; and

In accordance with the recommendations of our Board of Directors on any other proposal that may properly come before the annual meeting.

Shareholders are encouraged to vote their proxies by Internet, telephone or completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card. Shareholders should only vote by one of the foregoing methods. If a shareholder votes by more than one method, only the last vote that is submitted will be counted and each previous vote will be disregarded. A shareholder who votes by proxy using any method before the annual meeting has the right to revoke the proxy at any time before it is exercised by submitting a written request to us or by voting another proxy at a later date. The grant of a proxy will not affect the right of any shareholder to attend the meeting and vote in person. For a general description of how votes will be counted, please refer to the section below entitled GENERAL INFORMATION

## Counting of Votes.

Pursuant to the Mississippi Business Corporation Act and our governing documents, a proxy to vote submitted by Internet or telephone has the same validity as one submitted by mail. To submit a proxy to vote by Internet, access the website www.envisionreports.com/bxs, enter the 15-digit control number found on the enclosed proxy card and follow the instructions on the website. To submit a proxy to vote by telephone, call toll free 1-800-652-8683, enter the 15-digit control number on the enclosed proxy card and follow the instructions. A proxy to vote by Internet or telephone may be submitted at any time until 2:00 a.m. (Central Time) on April 27, 2016 and either method should only require a few minutes to complete. To submit a proxy to vote by mail, complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card in the enclosed business reply envelope.

If shares are held in street name through a broker, bank or other holder of record, the beneficial holder will receive instructions from the registered holder that must be followed in order for the shares to be voted on behalf of the beneficial holder. Each method of voting listed above is offered to shareholders who own their shares through a broker, bank or other holder of record. If a beneficial holder provides specific voting instructions, the shares will be

voted as instructed and as the proxy holders may determine within their discretion with respect to any other matters that may properly come before the annual meeting.

The close of business on March 8, 2016 has been fixed as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting. As of such date, we had 500,000,000 authorized shares of common stock, \$2.50 par value per share, of which 94,438,626 shares were outstanding, and 500,000,000 authorized shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of which no shares were outstanding. Each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote. The common stock is our only outstanding class of voting stock. Holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock must be present, in person or by proxy, to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the annual meeting.

## **PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

#### Introduction

Our Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended (the Current Articles ), provide that the Board of Directors shall be divided into three classes of as nearly equal size as possible. Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast by the holders of shares of our common stock represented at a meeting at which a quorum is present. The holders of our common stock do not have cumulative voting rights with respect to the election of directors. Consequently, each shareholder may cast only one vote per share for each nominee.

Our Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended, provide that, in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes withheld from than votes for his or her election must promptly tender his or her resignation following certification of the shareholder vote. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider any such resignation offer and recommend to the Board of Directors whether to accept it. The Board of Directors will act on any such recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee within 90 days following certification of the shareholder vote.

Unless a proxy specifies otherwise, the persons named in the proxy shall vote the shares covered by the proxy for the nominees listed below. Should any nominee become unavailable for election, shares covered by a proxy will be voted for a substitute nominee selected by the current Board of Directors.

#### Nominees

The Board of Directors has nominated the eight (8) individuals named below in the sections entitled Class III Nominees Term Expiring in 2019, Class I Nominee Term Expiring in 2018, and Class II Nominees Term Expirin in 2017 to serve until the annual meeting of shareholders in 2019, 2018 or 2017 respectively, or until their earlier retirement in accordance with our retirement policy for directors or otherwise. Assuming the election of the eight (8) director nominees at the annual meeting of shareholders, the Board of Directors will consist of thirteen (13) members with four (4) Class I directors, five (5) Class II directors and four (4) Class III directors.

Our retirement policy for directors provides that a director may not stand for re-election to the Board of Directors after reaching his or her 70<sup>th</sup> birthday, unless the Board of Directors determines that we would significantly benefit from such director serving another term because of his or her advice, expertise and influence. Each of W. G. Holliman, Jr., Robert C. Nolan and Guy W. Mitchell III, whose current terms expire this year, has already reached his 70th birthday. The Board of Directors has determined that we would significantly benefit from the services of each of Messrs. Holliman, Nolan and Mitchell and approved each of their nominations for election at the annual meeting of shareholders for an additional one-year term.

At the end of a director s term, the Board may, in its discretion, re-nominate that director for another term. If, after a director s 70 birthday, the Board of Directors does not nominate the director for another term or the director is not re-elected by our shareholders, such director would then serve as a Director Emeritus.

Each nominee has consented to be a candidate and to serve as a director if elected.

The biographies below show the name, age, principal occupation and directorships with other public companies held by each of the nominees designated by the Board of Directors for election as a director. We have also provided a brief discussion of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee s conclusion that each nominee should serve as one of our directors.

#### Class III Nominees Term Expiring in 2019

*Gus J. Blass III*, age 64, is the General Partner of Capital Properties, LLC, an investment management company with which he has been associated for over 30 years. Mr. Blass is a director of Heatwurx, Inc. (OTC BB: HUWX) and serves on its audit committee. He also serves as a director of a number of non-public companies, including U.S. Bentonite, Inc. In addition, he serves on the board of a number of non-profit organizations, such as the St. Vincent Development Foundation and Positive Atmosphere Reaches Kids. Mr. Blass has served on our Board of Directors since 2012 and on the Board of Directors of BancorpSouth Bank, our wholly-owned subsidiary, since 2004.

Mr. Blass brings business knowledge and experience in real estate development to the Board. Having served on the board of BancorpSouth Bank since 2004, he also possesses significant and important institutional knowledge and an understanding of financial services industry issues.

*Larry G. Kirk*, age 69, served as the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer from 1996 to 2005 of Hancock Fabrics, Inc., a publicly held fabric retailer and wholesaler, and as the President and Chief Financial Officer of Hancock Fabrics from 1989 to 1996. In addition, Mr. Kirk has served as the Chairman of several non-profit community organizations, such as Community Development Foundation and CREATE, Inc. He also serves as a director of Health Link, Inc. and Acclaim, Inc. Mr. Kirk has served on our Board of Directors since 2002 and currently serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee, a position he has held since 2003. Mr. Kirk qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined under Securities and Exchange Commission rules.

Mr. Kirk brings financial expertise and public accounting knowledge to the Board. He also possesses practical business experience as the former Chief Financial Officer and then Chief Executive Officer of a public company.

*James D. Rollins III*, age 57, has served as Chairman of the Board since April 2014 and Chief Executive Officer since November 2012. Prior to that, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Prosperity Bancshares, Inc., headquartered in Houston, Texas, and director of Prosperity Bancshares from 2006 to 2012. Mr. Rollins served as Senior Vice President of Prosperity Bancshares from 2001 until 2006, and became President of Prosperity Bank in 2005. He served as Executive Vice President of Prosperity Bank from 2002 to 2004 and President of the Matagorda Banking Centers of Prosperity Bank from 1994 to 2002. From 1983 to 1994, Mr. Rollins worked for First State Bank and Trust Company in Port Lavaca and Bay City, Texas. Mr. Rollins currently serves on the Boards of Directors of North Mississippi Health Services, Inc. and the Healthcare Foundation of North Mississippi. Mr. Rollins has served on our Board of Directors since November 2012.

Mr. Rollins brings to the Board valuable banking knowledge from his years of service in the financial services industry, particularly his experience on the senior management team and as a director of a public bank holding company.

*Thomas R. Stanton*, age 51, is currently the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of ADTRAN, Inc. (NASDAQ: ADTN), a publicly traded company headquartered in Huntsville, Alabama. Mr. Stanton assumed the role of Chief Executive Officer of ADTRAN, Inc. in 2005 and Chairman of the Board in 2007. Prior to joining ADTRAN, Inc., he served as an executive for Transcrypt International and held several senior management positions with the E. F. Johnson Company. Mr. Stanton served on the board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta s Birmingham Branch from January 2009 to 2014. In addition, he served as Chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta s Birmingham Branch from 2013-2014. Mr. Stanton has served on the Board of Directors for a number of technology companies, the Chamber of Commerce of Huntsville/Madison County, and as Chairman for the Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA). He is also a Board member for the Economic Development Partnership of Alabama (EDPA).

Mr. Stanton brings technological experience to our Board as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of a public company.

## <u>Class I Nominee Term Expiring in 201</u>8

*Shannon A. Brown*, age 58, is the Senior Vice President, Chief Human Resources and Diversity Officer for FedEx Express, the largest express transportation company in the world. Mr. Brown has been associated with FedEx for more than 30 years. Prior to holding his position at FedEx Express, Mr. Brown was the Senior Vice President, Human

## Table of Contents

Resources with FedEx Ground from 2005 to 2008. In addition, Mr. Brown served as a director for Buckeye Technologies Inc. from 2012 to 2013. Mr. Brown also serves on numerous boards for non-profit organizations, including United Way of the Mid-South, the Central Board of the Boys & Girls Clubs of Greater Memphis, and Teach for America - Memphis.

Mr. Brown was identified for potential Board membership through procedures adopted by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board, which reviewed Mr. Brown s qualifications and recommended his nomination to the full Board.

Mr. Brown will bring to the Board valuable practical business skills, as shown in his career advancement at FedEx from package handler to his current role as Senior Vice President, and Chief Human Resources and Diversity Officer. Mr. Brown also possesses extensive leadership and development skills, as well as expertise in recruitment, employee benefits and compensation.

## Class II Nominees Term Expiring in 2017

*W. G. Holliman, Jr.*, age 78, is the Managing Member of Five Star, LLC, a family investment management company. Mr. Holliman served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Furniture and Brands International, Inc., a publicly held company from 1996-2008. Mr. Holliman serves as a director of North Mississippi Health Services, Inc. and the Healthcare Foundation of North Mississippi. Mr. Holliman is also a minority owner in a commercial construction business. He serves as a director of Southern Pipe and Supply, a privately-held company. He has served on our Board of Directors since 1994. Mr. Holliman qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined under Securities and Exchange Commission rules.

The Board of Directors believes that Mr. Holliman brings entrepreneurial and business-building skills and experience to the Board, having previously served as Chief Executive Officer for a New York Stock Exchange listed company. His institutional knowledge and longstanding Board service have been valuable to the Board.

*Robert C. Nolan*, age 74, is Chairman of the Board of Deltic Timber Corporation (NYSE: DEL), a publicly held timber production company. Mr. Nolan is also Managing Member of Munoco Company L.C., a family-owned oil and gas exploration and production company. Mr. Nolan has served on our Board of Directors since our merger with First United Bancshares, Inc. in 2000, and had served on the Board of Directors of First United Bancshares since 1982.

Mr. Nolan brings to the Board valuable knowledge and strategic planning experience from his service as the Chairman of the Board of a New York Stock Exchange listed company. He also possesses banking knowledge through his service as a director of a predecessor banking organization.

*Guy W. Mitchell III*, age 72, is an attorney with the law firm Mitchell, McNutt & Sams, P.A. Mr. Mitchell has been active in the practice of law since 1972. He continually served on the Board of Directors of his law firm from 1976 until 2012. During the course of his career, Mr. Mitchell has advised numerous corporate clients concerning the risks involved in the operation of their businesses, industries, partnerships and associations. He has served on the Board of Directors of North Mississippi Health Services, Inc., North Mississippi Medical Center, Inc., Community Development Foundation and CREATE, Inc., where his duties were in the areas of analyzing financial results of operations, setting budgets, reviewing and approving compensation plans, and risk assessment. Mr. Mitchell represented the City of Tupelo, Mississippi as general counsel for over 30 years. Mr. Mitchell has served on our Board of Directors since 2003.

Mr. Mitchell brings to the Board an extensive background in law, executive decision making and risk assessment skills resulting from his experience as an attorney and as a board member of numerous companies and charitable organizations.

#### **Continuing Directors**

Each person named below will continue to serve as a director until the annual meeting of shareholders in the year indicated for the expiration of his or her term. Shareholders are not voting this year on the election of the Class I or Class II directors listed below. The biographies below show the name, age, principal occupation and directorships with other public companies held by each continuing director. We have also provided a brief discussion of the specific

experience, qualifications, attributes or skills for each of the continuing directors that led to the selection of each of these individuals for our Board of Directors.

## <u>Class II Directors Term Expiring in 201</u>7

*Warren A. Hood, Jr.*, age 64, serves as the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Hood Companies, Inc., which has three separate divisions with 60 manufacturing and distribution sites throughout the United States, Canada and Mexico. Hood Companies products include lumber, plywood, insulated sheathing, roof insulation, commercial and residential asphalt roofing products and industrial and consumer packaging. These products are currently marketed in North America, the Caribbean and Western Europe.

Mr. Hood has served on our Board of Directors since 2011 and has served as a director of BancorpSouth Bank since 2007. Mr. Hood has been a director of Southern Company (NYSE: SO) since 2007 and is a member of its audit committee. Mr. Hood has served and continues to serve on numerous community and philanthropic boards. He is a former director of First American Corporation and also its subsidiary, First American National Bank. Mr. Hood served as a director of Mississippi Power Company from 2004 to 2007 and as a director of Deposit Guaranty Corporation from 1990 until its merger into First American Corporation in 1998. Mr. Hood qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined under Securities and Exchange Commission rules.

The Board of Directors believes that Mr. Hood brings to the Board a wealth of governance and strategic planning experience, as well as skills navigating financial statements and financial disclosure issues, gained through his service on the board and the audit committee of another New York Stock Exchange listed company. He also possesses significant and important institutional knowledge and an understanding of financial services industry issues through his service as a director of BancorpSouth Bank.

*Alan W. Perry*, age 68, is an attorney with the law firm Bradley Arant Boult Cummings, LLP. Prior to February 2015, Mr. Perry was a member of the law firm Forman Perry Watkins Krutz & Tardy LLP for 28 years. Mr. Perry is a member of the Board of Trustees of Mississippi Institutions of Higher Learning and a Trustee of The Robert M. Hearin Foundation and The Robert M. Hearin Support Foundation, charitable foundations with the primary purpose of supporting colleges and universities in Mississippi. He is a former member of the Standing Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States and served as Law Clerk to Judge Charles Clark, United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. Mr. Perry has served on our Board of Directors since 1994.

The Board of Directors believes that Mr. Perry brings a wealth of legal, governance and risk management skills to the Board, gained both as a board member and as an attorney representing corporate boards.

## <u>Class I Directors Term Expiring in 201</u>8

*James E. Campbell III*, age 66, is the Chief Executive Officer of H+M Company, Inc., a company that provides engineering and construction-related services with aggregate annual sales of \$500 million and employs over 600 individuals. Mr. Campbell s experience in retail distribution and institutional and heavy industrial projects in all areas of the United States provides him with insight into the areas of asset quality, particularly real estate development and construction risk, trust and brokerage, insurance and personnel. Mr. Campbell has been a member of our Board of Directors since 2008.

Mr. Campbell brings executive decision-making and risk assessment skills to the Board as a result of his experience in the construction industry. His experience in real estate development and construction is especially important in light of the composition of our loan portfolio.

*Keith J. Jackson*, age 51, is the President of Positive Atmosphere Reaches Kids, a non-profit organization founded by Mr. Jackson in 1993 that is headquartered in Little Rock, Arkansas and works with at-risk youth to provide positive reinforcement for success. In addition, Mr. Jackson is currently an announcer for International Sports Properties for Arkansas Razorback football. He has served on our Board of Directors since 2012 and on the Board of Directors of BancorpSouth Bank since 2007.

Mr. Jackson brings valuable leadership and civic involvement to the Board. Having served on the board of BancorpSouth Bank since 2007, he also possesses significant and important institutional knowledge and an understanding of financial services industry issues.

*Deborah M. Cannon*, age 64, is the former President and Chief Executive Officer of Houston Zoo, Inc. from 2005 to 2015. From 1974 to July 2004, Mrs. Cannon served in a number of different positions with Bank of America, including as Executive Vice President and President of the Houston Region. In addition, Mrs. Cannon has served on the boards of several non-profit community organizations, including Memorial Hermann Health System, the United Way of the Texas Gulf Coast and Greater Houston Partnership. Mrs. Cannon qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined under Securities and Exchange Commission regulations.

Mrs. Cannon brings to the Board valuable banking knowledge from her years of service in the financial services industry. She also brings valuable leadership and civic involvement to the Board.

<sup>6</sup> 

Each of the nominees and continuing directors has had the principal occupation indicated for more than five years unless otherwise indicated.

#### **Required Vote**

Assuming the presence of a quorum, directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast by the holders of shares of common stock represented at the annual meeting.

#### The Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote FOR each of the eight (8) nominees.

## PROPOSAL 2: APPROVAL OF AMENDED AND RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION, WHICH WILL (i) CHANGE THE BOARD SIZE RANGE, (ii) PERMIT SHAREHOLDER REMOVAL OF A DIRECTOR WITHOUT CAUSE, (iii) CONFORM THE PROVISION ON LIMITATION OF DIRECTOR LIABILITY TO THE MISSISSIPPI BUSINESS CORPORATION ACT, (iv) DECREASE THE PERCENTAGE OF SHAREHOLDERS REQUIRED TO CALL, AND REDUCE THE QUORUM REQUIREMENT FOR, SPECIAL SHAREHOLDERS MEETINGS, AND (v) INTEGRATE INTO A SINGLE DOCUMENT ALL PREVIOUS SHAREHOLDER-APPROVED AMENDMENTS

The Board of Directors has unanimously determined that it would be in the best interests of the Company and our shareholders to amend the Current Articles, which will (i) change the board size range, (ii) permit shareholder removal of a director without cause, (iii) conform the provision on limitation of director liability to the Mississippi Business Corporation Act, and (iv) decrease the percentage of shareholders required to call, and reduce the quorum requirement for, special shareholders meetings, as described below. These amendments are intended to be consistent with best corporate governance practices.

In addition to effecting these proposed amendments to the Current Articles, the Board of Directors also unanimously approved restating the Current Articles to integrate into a single document all prior shareholder-approved amendments. Additionally, the Board of Directors has updated the designation of the registered agent, which also does not require shareholder approval. The Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation as proposed (the Proposed Articles ) are attached hereto as <u>Appendix A. Appendix A</u> shows all changes to the Current Articles with additions indicated by italicized and underlined text and deletions indicated by strikethrough text.

#### **Background for the Amendments**

#### (i) Changing the Board Size Range

Article 6 of the Current Articles provides that the Board of Directors shall consist of not less than nine (9) and not more than twenty-four (24) members, the exact number to be fixed and determined from time to time by resolution of a majority of the full Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors is firmly committed to ensuring effective corporate governance and regularly reviews the Company s corporate governance practices. As a result of the Board s review of various factors, including the size of the Company s board historically in relation to the Company s size, business operations and growth, the Board has concluded that the Company s optimal board size should be set within a range of not less than nine (9) and not more than fifteen (15) members. Accordingly, the Board of Directors adopted, and recommends that shareholders approve, a proposed amendment to the Current Articles which, if the Proposed Articles are approved, would change the board size range by deleting not less than nine (9) nor more than twenty-four (24) and replacing it with not less than nine (9) nor more than fifteen (15) in Article 6.

#### (ii) Permitting Shareholder Removal of a Director Without Cause

Article 7 of the Current Articles provides that a director of the Company may be removed for cause by the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire Board of Directors and may be removed by the shareholders only for cause.

In its review of the Company s corporate governance practices and in light of the current corporate governance environment, the Board of Directors has concluded that the Company should permit shareholder removal of a director without cause. Accordingly, the Board of Directors adopted, and recommends that shareholders approve, a proposed amendment to the Current Articles which, if the Proposed Articles are approved, would delete the first sentence of

Article 7 in its entirety and replace it with the following:

A director of the Corporation may be removed for cause by the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire Board of Directors of the Corporation or by the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than a majority of the voting stock of the Corporation. Shareholders also may remove a director of the Corporation without cause by the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than sixty-seven (67%) of the outstanding voting stock of the Corporation.

## (iii) Conforming the Provision on Limitation of Director Liability to the Mississippi Business Corporation Act

Article 8 clause (ii) of the Current Articles reads an intentional infraction of harm on the Corporation or the shareholders. [Emphasis added.]

The Board of Directors has reviewed the language in Article 8 concerning limitation of director liability and confirmed that it is consistent with the Mississippi Business Corporation Act with the exception of clause (ii), which should read an intentional infliction of harm on the Corporation or the shareholders, as opposed to an intentional infraction of harm on the Corporation or the shareholders. Accordingly, the Board of Directors adopted, and recommends that shareholders approve, a proposed amendment to the Current Articles which, if the Proposed Articles are approved, would delete Article 8 clause (ii) in its entirety and replace it with, an intentional infliction of harm on the Corporation or the shareholders.

## (iv) Decreasing the Percentage of Shareholders Required to Call, and Reducing the Quorum Requirement for, Special Shareholders Meetings

Article 10 of the Current Articles provides that special meetings of the shareholders may be called by the Company s Chief Executive Officer or the Secretary, or by the holders of not less than a majority (51%) of all the shares entitled to vote at such meeting. Two-thirds (2/3) of the shareholders entitled to vote are required for a quorum for the transaction of any business at a special shareholders meeting.

In its review of the Company s corporate governance practices and in light of the current corporate governance environment, the Board of Directors has concluded that the Company should decrease the percentage of shareholders required to call, and reduce the quorum requirement for, special shareholders meetings. Accordingly, the Board of Directors adopted, and recommends that shareholders approve, a proposed amendment to the Current Articles which, if the Proposed Articles are approved, would delete Article 10 in its entirety and replace it with the following, Special meetings of the shareholders may be called by the Chief Executive Officer or the Secretary, or by the holders of not less than twenty (20%) of all the shares entitled to vote at such meeting. A majority of the shares entitled to vote shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business at a special shareholders meeting.

#### Background for Integration into a Single Document of All Prior Shareholder-Approved Amendments

The Current Articles were filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Mississippi in April 1993. In April 1994, the first amendment to the Current Articles was filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Mississippi to change the authorized capital stock of the Company. In May 2007, the second amendment to the Current Articles was filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Mississippi to allow the Board of Directors to fill a vacancy on the Board of Directors and update a citation to the Mississippi Business Corporation Act. In June 2009, the third amendment to the Current Articles was filed with the Secretary of State of the State of the State of the State of the Company by authorizing shares of preferred stock. The Proposed Articles integrate all of the prior amendments into a single document, which amendments all have been approved by the requisite vote of the Company s shareholders. The integration eliminates the need to refer to a number of separate documents in order to find the complete text of the Company s articles of incorporation.

The integration into a single document of all prior amendments into the Proposed Articles does not need shareholder approval at this meeting because all prior amendments already received the requisite shareholder approval.

## **Proposed Articles**

Upon approval by the shareholders of the proposed amendments to the Current Articles, which will (i) change the board size range, (ii) permit shareholder removal of a director without cause, (iii) conform the provision on limitation of director liability to the Mississippi Business Corporation Act, and (iv) decrease the percentage of shareholders required to call, and reduce the quorum requirement for, special shareholders meetings, we intend to promptly file the Proposed Articles with the Secretary of State of the State of Mississippi. The Board of Directors

may, however, abandon the Proposed Articles before or after approval by the shareholders at any time prior to their effectiveness.

## **Unbundling with Proposal 3**

This Proposal 2 is separate from Proposal 3 (amendment of the Current Articles to add a provision to designate the state or federal court of general jurisdiction in Lee County, Mississippi, as the exclusive forum for certain legal actions). Your vote on Proposal 2 does not affect your vote on Proposal 3 and vice versa. You can vote FOR or AGAINST on both of the proposals or vote differently on each proposal.

#### **Required Vote**

The affirmative vote of holders of at least a majority of our common stock outstanding as of the record date is required to approve the Proposed Articles, which will (i) change the board size range, (ii) permit shareholder removal of a director without cause, (iii) conform the provision on limitation of director liability to the Mississippi Business Corporation Act, and (iv) decrease the percentage of shareholders required to call, and reduce the quorum requirement for, special shareholders meetings. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as AGAINST votes on this proposal.

As noted above, the integration into a single document of all prior amendments and the updated designation of the registered agent do not require shareholder approval.

The Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote FOR approving the Proposed Articles.

## PROPOSAL 3: APPROVAL OF A NEW ARTICLE IN OUR RESTATED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION, AS AMENDED, TO DESIGNATE THE STATE OR FEDERAL COURT OF GENERAL JURISDICTION IN LEE COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, AS THE EXCLUSIVE FORUM FOR CERTAIN LEGAL ACTIONS

The Board of Directors has unanimously adopted and recommends that our shareholders approve an amendment to the Current Articles to add a new article designating the state or federal court of general jurisdiction in Lee County, Mississippi, to the fullest extent permitted by law, as the sole and exclusive forum for specified legal actions unless otherwise consented to by the Company. This designation would apply to (1) any derivative action or proceeding brought on behalf of the Company, (2) any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any director, officer or other employee of the Company to the Company or the Company s shareholders, (3) any action asserting a claim arising pursuant to any provision of the Mississippi Business Corporation Act, the articles of incorporation of the Company, or the Bylaws of the Company, or (4) any action asserting a claim governed by the internal affairs doctrine, in each case subject to said courts having personal jurisdiction over the indispensable parties named as defendants therein. This amendment is intended to be consistent with good corporate governance and can benefit the Company and its shareholders by reducing the cost and disruption of multi-forum litigation.

Specifically, Article 13 as proposed will set forth the following:

Unless the Corporation consents in writing to the selection of an alternative forum, the state or federal court of general jurisdiction in Lee, County, Mississippi, the county where the Corporation maintains its principal office, shall be the sole and exclusive forum for (a) any derivative action or proceeding brought on behalf of the Corporation, (b) any action asserting a claim for breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any director, officer, employee or agent of the Corporation to the Corporation or the Corporation s shareholders, (c) any action asserting a claim arising pursuant to any provision of the Mississippi Business Corporation Act, these Articles or the Bylaws of the Corporation or (d) any action asserting a claim governed by the internal affairs doctrine, in each case subject to said courts having personal jurisdiction over the indispensable parties named as defendants therein.

Plaintiffs seeking to bring claims for matters to which the proposed amendment relates could use the Company s diverse operations to bring duplicative suits in multiple jurisdictions or to choose a forum state that may not apply Mississippi law, our state of incorporation, to the Company s internal affairs in the same manner as the state or federal court of general jurisdiction in Lee, County, Mississippi would be expected to do. The proposed amendment is intended to avoid subjecting the Company to multiple lawsuits in multiple jurisdictions on the same matter. While an exclusive forum provision may limit a shareholder s ability to bring a claim in a judicial forum that the shareholder finds favorable for disputes within the scope described above, and may discourage shareholder lawsuits with respect to such claims, the Board of Directors believes that the ability to require such actions to be brought in a single forum provides numerous benefits to the Company and our shareholders.

Specifically, the Company and our shareholders benefit from having disputes resolved by the state or federal court of general jurisdiction in Lee County, Mississippi. The state or federal courts of general jurisdiction in Lee County, Mississippi, have experienced jurists who have a deep understanding of Mississippi corporate law and the duties of directors and officers of Mississippi corporations. By ensuring that intra-corporate disputes are heard in a Mississippi court, we and our shareholders avoid costly and duplicative litigation, the risk that Mississippi law would be misapplied by a court in another jurisdiction and the risk of inconsistent outcomes when two similar cases proceed in different courts.

For these reasons, the Board of Directors believes that providing for the state or federal courts of general jurisdiction in Lee County, Mississippi as the exclusive forum for the types of disputes described above is in the best interests of

the Company and our shareholders. At the same time, the proposed amendment still gives the Company the flexibility to consent to an alternative forum on a case-by-case basis where we determine that our interests and those of our shareholders are best served by permitting a dispute to proceed in a forum other than the state or federal courts of general jurisdiction in Lee County, Mississippi.

It is important to note that this action by the Board of Directors is not being taken in reaction to any specific litigation confronting our Company; rather, it is being taken to prevent potential future harm to the Company and our shareholders. Nonetheless, the Board of Directors believes that it is prudent and in the best interest of our shareholders to take this preventive measure.

The Board of Directors undertakes to support strong corporate governance practices, many of which are described in this proxy statement. Additionally, the Board of Directors decision to seek shareholder approval of this exclusive forum provision as amendment to the Current Articles, rather than add this provision to the Company s Bylaws (which would not have required shareholder approval) was influenced by the desire to seek feedback from our shareholders on this exclusive forum selection provision.

Although exclusive forum selection provisions such as the one we are proposing are becoming increasingly common, and we know of no reason a court in another state would not be willing to enforce its terms, no assurance can be given that all courts outside of Mississippi will enforce the terms of the amendment and transfer any covered proceeding to a Mississippi court.

If this proposal is approved by our shareholders, the exclusive forum selection provision will be effective upon filing of the Proposed Articles with the Secretary of State of the State of Mississippi, which we intend to file promptly after shareholder approval is obtained. The Board of Directors may, however, abandon the Proposed Articles before or after approval by the shareholders at any time prior to their effectiveness.

## **Required Vote**

The affirmative vote of holders of at least a majority of our common stock outstanding as of the record date is required to approve the addition of the exclusive forum selection provision to the Current Articles. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as AGAINST votes on this proposal.

#### **Unbundling with Proposal 2**

This Proposal 3 is separate from Proposal 2 (amendments of the Current Articles as described under Proposal 2. Your vote on Proposal 3 does not affect your vote on Proposal 2 and vice versa. You can vote FOR or AGAINST on both of the proposals or vote differently on each proposal.

# The Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote FOR approval of a new article in the Current Articles to add an exclusive forum selection provision.

#### **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

#### Director Attendance at Board, Committee and Annual Meetings

During 2015, our Board of Directors held 11 meetings. Each director, with the exception of Mr. Stanton, attended at least 75% of the total of all meetings of the Board of Directors and all committees on which the director served. Mr. Stanton did not attend at least 75% of all such meetings due to scheduling conflicts identified before he was appointed to the Board of Directors once the schedule had already been set. We encourage our Board members to attend the annual meeting of shareholders. In 2015, all of our directors attended the annual meeting of shareholders.

#### **Committees of the Board of Directors**

The Board of Directors has established five standing committees the Executive Committee, the Audit Committee, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and the Risk Management Committee. A copy of the charter of each of these committees, except for the Executive Committee, is available on our website at *www.bancorpsouth.com* on our Investor Relations webpage under the caption Corporate Information Committee Charting.

The following table shows the current membership of each committee of the Board of Directors:

	Executive	Audit	Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive	Nominating and Corporate Governance	Risk
Director	Committee	Committee	Committee	Committee	Management
Gus J. Blass III*					Х
James E. Campbell III*			Х		
Deborah M. Cannon*		Х			Х
Hassell H. Franklin*	Х		Х	Chair	
W. G. Holliman, Jr.*	Х	Х	Chair	Х	
Warren A. Hood, Jr.*		Х		Х	
Keith J. Jackson*				Х	
Larry G. Kirk*		Chair			
Guy W. Mitchell III*	Х				Chair
Robert C. Nolan*	Х		Х	Х	
Alan W. Perry*	Х				Х
James D. Rollins III	Chair				
Thomas R. Stanton*					Х

\* Reflects an independent director. For more information, see the section below entitled Director Independence. Is not standing for re-election at the annual meeting of shareholders.

## Executive Committee

The Executive Committee acts on behalf of the Board of Directors on all matters concerning the management and conduct of our business and affairs, except those matters enumerated in the charter of the Executive Committee and

## Table of Contents

those matters reserved to the Board of Directors under state law. The Executive Committee held 4 meetings during 2015.

## Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is responsible for, among other things:

Monitoring the integrity of our financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and our financial reporting process and systems of internal controls;

Monitoring the work of the Audit Committee of BancorpSouth Bank;

Evaluating the independence and qualifications of our independent registered public accounting firm;

Evaluating the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm and our internal auditing department;

Providing an avenue of communication among our independent registered public accounting firm, management, our internal audit department, our subsidiaries and our Board of Directors; and

Selecting, engaging, overseeing, evaluating and determining the compensation of our independent registered public accounting firm.

This committee has the authority, in its sole discretion, to select, retain and obtain the advice of and terminate the services of one or more independent legal counsel, accountants or other advisers as it determines necessary to fulfill or assist with the execution of its duties and responsibilities.

This committee s performance is evaluated annually. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is independent under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. Our Board of Directors has also determined that each of Messrs. Kirk, Holliman, Hood and Mrs. Cannon is an audit committee financial expert as defined in rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Audit Committee held 9 meetings during 2015.

#### Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee

Pursuant to its charter, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee reviews corporate goals and objectives pertaining to the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, evaluates the performance of our Named Executive Officers and determines the salary, benefits and other compensation of our Named Executive Officers. After consultation with management, this committee makes recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect to the salaries, benefits and other compensation of our executive officers other than the Named Executive Officers. This committee also administers our incentive-compensation plans, equity-based plans and other compensation plans, policies and programs, including the Executive Compensation Policy. See COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS.

This committee has the authority, in its sole discretion, to select, retain and obtain the advice of and terminate the services of one or more compensation consultants, independent legal counsel, accountants or other advisers as it determines necessary to fulfill or assist with the execution of its duties and responsibilities.

This committee s performance is evaluated annually. This committee must conduct its activities in accordance with the policies and principles set forth in our Corporate Governance Principles. On occasion, the Chief Executive Officer attends Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee meetings. The Board of Directors has determined that each committee member is independent under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange, applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (the Code ) and Securities and Exchange Commission rules. The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee held 5 meetings during 2015.

#### Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies and recommends to the Board nominees for election to the Board and candidates for appointment to Board committees consistent with criteria approved by the Board. This committee reviews and re-assesses our Corporate Governance Principles, Related Person Transactions Policies and Procedures and Stock Ownership Guidelines. It also oversees the annual evaluation of the Board, appoints a Lead Director (as identified in Board Leadership Structure below) and reviews and recommends to the Board for approval all related person transactions. Pursuant to its charter, the committee evaluates and recommends to the Board the form and amount of non-management director compensation and, at least every two years, reviews non-management director compensation.

This committee has the authority, in its sole discretion, to select, retain and obtain the advice of and terminate the services of one or more compensation consultants, independent legal counsel, accountants or other advisers as it determines necessary to fulfill or assist with the execution of its duties and responsibilities.

This committee s performance is evaluated annually. The Board of Directors has determined that each committee member is independent under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held 6 meetings during 2015.

#### Risk Management Committee

The Risk Management Committee is responsible for the oversight of our enterprise-wide risk management practices and ascertains whether management has adequately considered all material risks that we face and determines whether procedures have been effectively implemented to mitigate sufficiently the risks identified. The Board of Directors has determined that the Chairman of the Risk Management Committee, Mr. Mitchell, is independent under the rules adopted by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System effective January 1, 2015 to regulate the composition and activities of risk committees of publicly traded bank holding companies with over \$10 billion in assets. The Risk Management Committee held 5 meetings during 2015.

#### Communications with the Board of Directors

You may send communications to the Board of Directors, the Lead Director, the non-management directors as a group or any individual director by writing to the Board of Directors or an individual director in care of the Corporate Secretary at One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street, Tupelo, Mississippi 38804. The Corporate Secretary will directly forward written communications addressed to the entire Board of Directors to the Lead Director, written communications addressed to the non-management directors to the non-management directors and all other written communications to the individual director(s) to whom they are addressed.

#### **Governance Information**

In addition to the committee charters described above, our Stock Ownership Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Principles and Director Independence Standards are available on our website at *www.bancorpsouth.com* on our Investor Relations webpage under the caption Corporate Information Governance Documents. These materials as well as the committee charters described above are also available in print to any shareholder upon request. Such requests should be sent to the following address:

BancorpSouth, Inc.
One Mississippi Plaza
201 South Spring Street
Tupelo, Mississippi 38804
Attention: Corporate Secretary

**Director Independence** 

The Board of Directors reviews the independence of all directors and affirmatively makes a determination as to the independence of each director on an annual basis. No director will qualify as independent unless the Board of Directors affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with BancorpSouth (either directly or indirectly, including, without limitation, as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with BancorpSouth). In each case, the Board of Directors broadly considers all relevant facts and circumstances when making independence determinations. To assist the Board of Directors in determining whether a director is independent, the Board of Directors has adopted Director Independence Standards, which are available on our website at *www.bancorpsouth.com* on our Investor Relations webpage under the caption Corporate Information Governance Documents. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Blass, Brown, Campbell, Franklin, Holliman, Hood, Jackson, Kirk, Mitchell, Nolan, Perry, Stanton and Mrs. Cannon, a majority of our Board members, meets our standards as well as the current listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange for independence.

During 2015, the Board of Directors considered the following relationships and transactions in making its independence determinations with respect to each director identified as independent:

Five Star, LLC, a private company of which Mr. Holliman is an owner, leased office space at BancorpSouth Bank s main office building in Tupelo, Mississippi and paid rent to us; however, the Board of Directors determined that this leasing arrangement and the amount paid to us by Five Star, LLC in 2015 (\$12,000) was not material and did not affect Mr. Holliman s independent judgment;

Mitchell, McNutt & Sams, P.A., a law firm of which Mr. Mitchell is a partner, provided legal services to us in 2015; however, the Board of Directors determined that the amount we paid to Mitchell, McNutt & Sams, P.A. in 2015 (\$6,046) was not material and did not affect Mr. Mitchell s independent judgment; and

Forman Perry Watkins Krutz & Tardy, LLP, a law firm of which Mr. Perry was a partner through January 2015, and Bradley Arant Boult Cummings, LLP., a law firm of which Mr. Perry became an employee in February 2015, each provided legal services for us in 2015; however, the Board of Directors determined that the amount we paid to Forman Perry Watkins Krutz & Tardy, LLP in 2015 (\$183,641) and the amount we paid to Bradley Arant Boult Cummings, LLP. in 2015 (\$563,181) was not material and did not affect Mr. Perry s independent judgment.

#### **Director Qualification Standards**

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and our Chief Executive Officer actively seek individuals qualified to become members of our Board of Directors for recommendation to our Board of Directors and shareholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers nominees proposed by our shareholders to serve on our Board of Directors who are properly submitted in accordance with our Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended, as discussed in the section below entitled GENERAL INFORMATION Shareholder Nominations and Proposals. Although we have no formal policy addressing diversity, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that diversity is an important attribute of the members who comprise our Board of Directors and that the members should represent an array of backgrounds and experiences and should be capable of articulating a variety of viewpoints. In recommending candidates and evaluating shareholder nominees for our Board of Directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers each candidate s qualifications regarding independence, diversity, age, ownership, influence and skills, such as an understanding of financial services industry issues, all in the context of an assessment of the perceived needs of BancorpSouth at that point in time. Our director qualifications are set forth in our Corporate Governance Principles, which are available on our website at www.bancorpsouth.com on our Investor Relations webpage under the caption Corporate Information Governance Documents. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee meets at least annually with our Chief Executive Officer to discuss the qualifications of potential new members of our Board of Directors. After consulting with our Chief Executive Officer, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommends the director nominees to the Board of Directors for their approval. We have not paid any third party a fee to assist the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in the director nomination process in connection with this annual meeting.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determines the appropriate characteristics, skills and experiences for the Board of Directors as a whole as well as for individual directors and nominees, with the objective

of having a Board with diverse backgrounds and experiences. In considering the structure of the Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates each nominee, with the objective of recommending a group of nominees that can best perpetuate the success of BancorpSouth and represent shareholder interests through the exercise of sound judgment using the Board s diversity of experience.

### **Board Leadership Structure**

As specified in our Corporate Governance Principles, the Board of Directors does not have a policy with respect to the separation of the offices of Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer. The Board believes this issue is part of the succession planning process and that it is in the best interests of BancorpSouth and our shareholders to retain the flexibility to combine or separate these functions.

Effective April 23, 2014, Mr. Rollins, our Chief Executive Officer, became the Chairman of the Board. At that time, the Board determined that it was appropriate under the circumstances to combine the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer.

In March 2015 the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reappointed Hassell H. Franklin to serve as the Lead Director. Upon his retirement from our Board as of the date of this year s annual shareholder s meeting, W.G. Holliman, Jr. will serve as the Lead Director. Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Principles, the Lead Director:

Presides at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman of the Board or the Chief Executive Officer is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors;

Serves as liaison between the Chairman of the Board and the independent directors and between senior management and the independent directors;

Advises and consults with the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer in matters related to corporate governance and performance of the Boards; and

Performs such other duties as the Boards may from time to time delegate.

#### **Executive Sessions**

Our independent directors meet in executive session at least semi-annually. The Lead Director presides at these meetings.

#### **Stock Ownership Guidelines**

In January 2015, at the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, our Board of Directors approved and adopted new Stock Ownership Guidelines to replace the guidelines adopted in January 2014. These new guidelines apply to each director, the Chief Executive Officer and each other individual identified as an executive officer of the Company (each a Covered Participant ). The Stock Ownership Guidelines do not apply, however, to a Covered Participant after the effective date of his or her retirement or resignation from the Company. Each Covered Participant must beneficially own Common Stock at a minimum ownership level for as long as he or she is a Covered Participant, as follows:

Position	Minimum Ownership Level
Chief Executive Officer	6x base salary
All Other Executive Officers	3x base salary
Non-Employee Directors	10 x annual cash retainer (excluding any committee fees)
Each Covered Participant must retain at least 7.	5% of the number of net shares of common stock acquired on vesting
of restricted stock or on exercise of stock option	ns until he or she achieves the appropriate minimum ownership level.
The Nominating and Corporate Governance Co	ommittee administers the Stock Ownership Guidelines and may, in its
discretion, consider exceptions if the guidelines	s place a severe financial hardship on an individual, or for charitable

Table of Contents

gifts, estate planning transactions and certain other limited circumstances. The Stock Ownership Guidelines are available on our website at *www.bancorpsouth.com* on our Investor Relations webpage under the caption Corporate Information Governance Documents.

## **Risk Oversight**

Our Board of Directors oversees a company-wide approach to risk management, designed to support the achievement of organizational objectives, including strategic objectives, to improve long-term organizational performance and enhance shareholder value. Effective risk oversight is an important priority of the Board. The Board has implemented a risk governance framework to:

Understand critical risks in our business and strategy;

Allocate responsibilities for risk oversight among the full Board, its committees and management;

Evaluate our risk management processes and ensure that they function adequately;

Facilitate open communication between management and the Board; and

Foster an appropriate culture of integrity and risk awareness.

While the Board has the ultimate oversight responsibility for the risk management process, management is charged with actively managing risk. Management has internal processes and policies to identify and manage risks and to communicate with the Board. These include the Risk Management Committee, the Executive Risk Oversight Committee, the Enterprise Risk Management Department, a real estate risk management group, regular internal senior management meetings, ongoing long-term strategic planning, regular reviews of regulatory and litigation compliance, a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and a comprehensive internal and external audit process. The Board and the Audit Committee monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the internal controls at least annually. Management communicates routinely with the Board and its committees, and the Risk Management Committee communicates routinely with the significant risks identified and how they are being managed.

The Board implements its risk oversight function both as a whole and through its committees. All committees of the Board play a significant role in carrying out the risk oversight function. In particular:

The Audit Committee oversees risks related to our financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, our financial reporting process and system of internal controls. The Audit Committee evaluates the performance of our independent auditors and our internal auditing department. The Audit Committee periodically meets privately in separate executive sessions with management, our internal audit department and our independent auditors;

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee oversees the risks and rewards associated with our compensation philosophy and programs. As discussed in more detail below in the section entitled COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS, this committee determines and approves the compensation for our Named Executive Officers, reviews and recommends to the Board the compensation for our other executive officers, approves, administers and evaluates our incentive-compensation plans, equity-based plans and other compensation plans, policies and programs and administers the executive compensation policy;

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees risks related to our corporate governance principles and risks arising from related person transactions; and

The Risk Management Committee oversees enterprise-wide risk management practices. The committee s focus includes the identification, monitoring, management and planning for our exposure to applicable risks, including, without limitation, market risk, interest rate risk, credit risk, liquidity risk, operational risk, capital risk, technology risk, legal/compliance/regulatory risk, human resource risk, reputational risk and acquisition/strategic risk, and other such risks as may from time to time be material to us. The committee seeks to determine whether management has

adequately considered all material risks facing us and whether procedures have been effectively implemented in order to mitigate sufficiently the risks identified. The committee provides advice to the Board of Directors and its other committees as to appropriate risk mitigation procedures and structures, which helps the Board fulfill its responsibilities to effectively monitor and review actions of management. The Risk Management Committee uses information from management s Executive Risk Oversight Committee, the Enterprise Risk Management Department, and other risk managers in fulfilling the Committee s role relative to risk assessment, monitoring and reporting.

# **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee is comprised of Messrs. Campbell, Franklin, Holliman (Chair) and Nolan.

None of the members of the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee has at any time been one of our officers or employees. Members of the committee may, from time to time, have banking relationships in the

ordinary course of business with our subsidiary, BancorpSouth Bank, as described below in the section entitled CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS. Except as described in that section and in the section above entitled Director Independence, Messrs. Campbell, Franklin, Holliman and Nolan had no other relationship during 2015 requiring disclosure by us.

During 2015, none of our executive officers served as a member of another entity s compensation committee, one of whose executive officers served on our Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee or on our Board of Directors, and none of our executive officers served as a director of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our Executive Committee.

#### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The table below sets forth certain information, as of January 31, 2016 (except as otherwise specified), with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock by (1) each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, (2) each director and nominee for director as of the date of this Proxy Statement, (3) each of our Named Executive Officers and (4) all of our directors and executive officers as a group. As of January 31, 2016, 94,366,329 shares of our common stock were outstanding. Our Stock Ownership Guidelines generally require our directors and Named Executive Officers to beneficially own a minimum number of shares of our common stock. For more information, see the section above entitled CORPORATE GOVERNANCE Stock Ownership Guidelines. The number of shares of common stock owned by each officer and director reflected in the table below includes such shares. We relied on information supplied by our directors, nominees for director and executive and executive officers and public filings made by beneficial owners for purposes of this table.

	Amount and Nature Dercent o	f
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner <sup>(1)</sup>	Beneficial Ownership <sup>(2)</sup> Class	
BancorpSouth, Inc. 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan	5,130,309 5.44%	6
Blackrock, Inc.	7,982,338 <sup>(3)</sup> 8.49	
The Vanguard Group	5,752,147 <sup>(4)</sup> 6.10	
Chris A. Bagley	113,027 *	
Gus J. Blass III	131,021 <sup>(5)</sup> *	
Shannon A. Brown	84 *	
James E. Campbell III	127,922 (6) *	
Deborah M. Cannon	4,006 *	
Hassell H. Franklin	1,248,772 1.32	
James R. Hodges	113,077 *	
W. G. Holliman, Jr.	722,205 (7) *	
Warren G. Hood, Jr.	18,206 *	
Keith J. Jackson	18,620 *	
Larry G. Kirk	49,122 *	
Guy W. Mitchell III	60,877 *	
Robert C. Nolan	520,654 <sup>(8)</sup> *	
Alan W. Perry	101,352 *	
William L. Prater	50,163 *	
James D. Rollins III	241,822 *	
Thomas R. Stanton	*	
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	101,593 (9) *	
All directors and executive officers as a group (19 person	ns) 3,711,000 3.93	

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 1%.

- (1) The address of each person or entity listed, other than Blackrock, Inc. and The Vanguard Group is c/o BancorpSouth, Inc., One Mississippi Plaza, 201 South Spring Street, Tupelo, Mississippi 38804. The address of Blackrock, Inc. is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022. The address of The Vanguard Group is 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355.
- (2) Beneficial ownership is deemed to include shares of common stock that an individual has a right to acquire within 60 days after January 31, 2016, including upon the exercise of options granted under our various equity

incentive plans described in the sections entitled CORPORATE GOVERNANCE Committees of the Board of Directors Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee and COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS Components of Compensation as follows:

	Common Stock Underlying Optio
Name	Exercisable within 60 Days
Chris A. Bagley	
Gus J. Blass III	
James E. Campbell III	
Deborah M. Cannon	
Hassell H. Franklin	7,200
James R. Hodges	2,500
W. G. Holliman, Jr.	7,200
Warren G. Hood, Jr.	
Keith J. Jackson	
Larry G. Kirk	7,200
Guy W. Mitchell III	7,200
Robert C. Nolan	7,200
Alan W. Perry	7,200
William L. Prater	
James D. Rollins III	
Thomas R. Stanton	
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	15,000

These shares are deemed to be outstanding for the purposes of computing the percent of class for that individual, but are not deemed outstanding for the purposes of computing the percentage of any other person.

Information in the table for individuals also includes shares held for their benefit in our 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan, and in individual retirement accounts for which the shareholder can direct the vote. Except as indicated in the footnotes to this table, each person listed has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by him or her pursuant to applicable law.

- (3) Based on shares beneficially owned by BlackRock, Inc. as set forth in a Schedule 13G/A dated as of January 20, 2016 and filed with the SEC on January 25, 2016. BlackRock, Inc. reported that it possesses sole voting power with respect to 7,731,563 of such shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 7,982,338 of such shares.
- (4) Based on shares beneficially owned by The Vanguard Group as set forth in a Schedule 13G/A dated as of February 10, 2016 and filed with the SEC on February 10, 2016. The Vanguard Group reported that it possesses sole voting power with respect to 115,986 of such shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,637,361 of such shares.
- (5) Includes 5,518 shares held in a trust of which Mr. Blass is the trustee for the benefit of his son, of which Mr. Blass disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (6) Includes 8,000 shares owned by a limited partnership in which Mr. Campbell is a partner, 2,448 shares of which he shares voting and dispositive power.
- (7) Includes 141,417 shares owned by Mr. Holliman s wife, of which Mr. Holliman disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (8) Includes 21,055 shares held in trusts of which Mr. Nolan is the co-trustee for the benefit of his grandchildren, of which Mr. Nolan disclaims beneficial ownership, 302,484 shares held in a trust of which Mr. Nolan is the co-trustee for the benefit of his nieces, nephews, children and the lineal descendants of four co-trustees, of which Mr. Nolan disclaims beneficial ownership, and 4,227 shares owned by Mr. Nolan s wife, of which Mr. Nolan disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (9) Includes 6,000 shares held in a trust of which Mr. Threadgill is the co-trustee for the benefit of his mother, of which Mr. Threadgill disclaims beneficial ownership.

#### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The purpose of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis is to discuss our philosophy, practices and procedures with respect to our executive compensation program and the objectives of our Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee in selecting and setting the elements of the compensation that is paid or awarded to certain of our executive officers. Throughout this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, James D. Rollins III, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Chris A. Bagley, our President and Chief Operating Officer, William L. Prater, our Senior Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, W. James Threadgill, Jr., our Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Business Development Officer and James R. Hodges, our Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer, are collectively referred to as our named executive officers (the Named Executive Officers).

#### **Executive Summary**

We believe that our executive compensation program plays a significant role in our ability to attract, motivate and retain a highly experienced team of executives that is critical to our success. We believe that the program is structured in a manner that supports our company and our business objectives, as well as our culture and the traditions that have allowed us for over 135 years to meet the needs of our shareholders, customers and employees and to support the communities in which we operate.

Our Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee regularly reviews our executive compensation program to ensure it achieves the desired goals of linking the compensation paid to our executive officers with our shareholders interests and current market practices, while avoiding the encouragement of unnecessary or excessive risk-taking. Under this program, our Named Executive Officers are rewarded for the achievement of specific annual, long-term and strategic goals, the attainment of corporate goals, and the realization of increased shareholder value.

Our principal measures of success in achieving our business objectives are total shareholder return, earnings per share growth, asset quality, efficiency ratio, return on average equity, loan growth, dividend growth, deposit growth and fee income. We believe our executive compensation program s mix of base salary, annual and long-term incentive compensation, benefits and perquisites as described below in the section entitled Compensation Policy is properly aligned with these objectives.

As required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ), and the rules thereunder, in connection with the 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, we solicited an advisory vote by our shareholders on the frequency with which the advisory vote on Named Executive Officer compensation would be solicited, commonly referred to as the say-when-on-pay vote. As a result of the say-when-on-pay vote, the Board of Directors determined that we will hold an advisory vote on the compensation of the Named Executive Officers every three years, commonly referred to as the say-on-pay vote. We last held a say-on-pay vote in 2014, and approximately 96.5% of our shareholders who attended the meeting (in person or by proxy) approved, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our Named Executive Officers. The next say-when-on-pay and say-on-pay advisory votes will occur at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2017. As it evaluates and implements compensation practices, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee will be mindful of the strong support our shareholder supressed for our philosophy of linking compensation to our overall performance and the enhancement of shareholder value, will seek to continue to apply the same principles and philosophy it has used in previous years in determining executive compensation and will continue to consider shareholder concerns and feedback in the future.

#### **Compensation Overview**

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee administers our executive compensation program. The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange, our Director Independence Standards, Section 162(m) of the Code and Exchange Act Rule 16b-3. The Director Independence Standards are available on our website at *www.bancorpsouth.com* on our Investor Relations webpage under the caption Corporate Information Governance Documents. The charter of the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee is available on our website at *www.bancorpsouth.com* on our Investor Relations webpage under the caption Corporate Information

Committee Charting. The charter is reviewed annually by the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee and was most recently revised in January 2016.

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee generally meets four times a year and more often if necessary. Prior to each regular meeting, the Corporate Secretary sends materials to each committee member, including minutes of the previous meeting, an agenda, recommendations for the upcoming meeting and other materials relevant to the agenda items. Historically, the Chief Executive Officer has attended committee meetings to provide information to the committee concerning the performance of executive officers, discuss performance measures relating to executive officer compensation and to make recommendations to the committee members. The Chief Executive Officer does not engage in discussions with the committee regarding his own compensation, except to respond to questions posed by committee members outside of executive session deliberations.

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee annually reviews and approves in advance the compensation paid to each of our Named Executive Officers. In performing its duties, among other things, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee:

Reviews and approves annually the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, evaluates at least annually the Chief Executive Officer s performance in light of those goals and objectives and determines and approves the Chief Executive Officer s compensation based on this evaluation;

In determining the long-term incentive component of the Chief Executive Officer s compensation, considers (1) our performance and relative shareholder return, (2) the salary, bonus and value of similar incentive awards to chief executive officers at comparable financial and bank holding companies, (3) the salary, annual and long-term awards given to the Chief Executive Officer in past years, (4) the Chief Executive Officer s total compensation and (5) such other factors as it may deem relevant;

For the (1) Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the other Named Executive Officers, annually determines and approves, and (2) other executive officers, annually reviews and recommends to the Board:

The annual base salary level(s);

Annual incentive compensation;

Awards under long-term incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans;

Performance goals upon which incentive compensation awards are conditioned, if any;

Employment agreements, severance arrangements and change-in-control agreements, in each case as, when and if appropriate; and

Benefits and perquisites under any special or supplemental benefits plans or programs;

At least annually and more often as circumstances dictate, reports its actions to the Board; and

Annually reviews and re-assesses the adequacy of the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee s charter and recommends any proposed changes to the Board for approval.

#### **Compensation Policy**

Our principal measures of success in achieving our business objectives are total shareholder return, earnings per share growth, asset quality, efficiency ratio, return on average equity, loan growth, dividend growth, deposit growth and fee income. The variable, performance-based elements of our executive compensation program are designed to reward our executive officers based on our overall performance in achieving defined performance goals relative to these measures.

Through our executive compensation program, we seek to provide:

Base salaries at levels that will attract and permit us to retain qualified executive officers;

Compensation that differentiates pay on the basis of performance;

Incentive compensation opportunities that will motivate executive officers to achieve both our short-term and long-term business objectives and that will provide compensation commensurate with our performance achievements;

Total compensation that is competitive with that of comparable financial and bank holding companies within the context of our performance; and

Protection of shareholder interests by requiring achievement of successful results as a condition to earning above-average compensation.

Our executive compensation program consists of the following primary elements:

*Base salary* is intended to provide a foundation element of compensation that is relatively secure and that reflects the skills and experience that an executive brings to us; we seek to pay base salaries that are competitive with those paid to executive officers in comparable positions at comparable financial and bank holding companies;

*Cash bonus payments* that are separate from the annual incentive compensation paid under our Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan are awarded in the discretion of the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee;

Annual incentive compensation is a variable non-equity element that is based on the achievement of defined goals for a given fiscal year that are tied to our overall performance;

*Long-term incentive compensation* is a variable equity element that provides an emphasis on long-term performance goals, stock price performance, ongoing improvement and continuity of performance;

*Employee benefits* are intended to provide reasonable levels of security with respect to retirement, medical, death and disability protection and paid time off; and

*Certain perquisites* are used to supplement the other elements of compensation, facilitating the attraction and retention of executive officers of the caliber we believe necessary to remain competitive.

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee uses the variable compensation elements of our executive compensation program (i.e., annual incentive compensation and long-term incentive compensation) as incentives that are based on our performance. While increases to annual base salaries also take individual and our overall performance into consideration, they are not predicated solely on performance achievements and are not subject to the same degree of variability as the performance-based incentives. The variable elements of compensation are intended to align the interests of our Named Executive Officers with shareholder interests by focusing executives attention on key measures of performance that we believe either drive shareholder return or directly reflect our stock price performance.

The allocation of compensation across each of the elements of our executive compensation program is based on the following considerations:

The need to provide a level of basic compensation (i.e., base salary and employee benefits) necessary to enable us to attract and retain high-quality executives, regardless of external business conditions;

The goal of providing a substantial number of compensation opportunities through performance-based, variable-compensation vehicles;

The goal of reflecting reasonable compensation practices of comparable financial and bank holding companies within the context of our performance achievements; and

The desire to align our executives and our shareholders interests through the use of equity-based compensation vehicles that are tied to our performance.

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee does not, however, target a specific percentage of total compensation for base salary, annual incentive compensation, long-term incentive compensation, benefits or perquisites under our executive compensation program.

The following table reflects the percentage of total compensation reflected in each element of compensation, as set forth below in the section entitled EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Summary Compensation Table, for each of the Named Executive Officers for 2015:

		Ince	Equity entive lan	•	Pension Value an alified Deferred C		
Name	Salary	Bonus Comp	ensation	Awar <b>(</b> Sompe	nsation Earn <b>tings</b> p	ensation	Total <sup>(1)</sup>
James D. Rollins III	29%	%	27%	40%	3%	1%	100%
Chris A. Bagley	32		22	27	16	2	100
William L. Prater	45		27	15	10	3	100
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	42		19	19	17	3	100
James R. Hodges	34		16	16	32	2	100

(1) Total amounts might not equal 100% because of rounding.

# Executive Compensation Policy

In January 2016, the Executive Compensation Committee recommended and the Board of Directors approved a new Executive Compensation Policy. The former Executive Officer Incentive-Based Compensation Recovery Policy was incorporated with the Executive Compensation Policy. The Executive Compensation Policy sets forth the conditions under which we may recover excess incentive-based compensation paid or awarded to or received by any of our current or former executive officers. In the event we are required to prepare an accounting restatement of our financial statements as a result of our material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under applicable federal securities laws, we will recover from each former or current executive officer any excess incentive-based compensation paid or awarded to or received by such executive officer during the three-year period preceding the date of filing with the SEC of the latest document containing materially noncompliant financial statements that are subject to the restatement. The amount of any such recovery will be equal to the amount of compensation that is in excess of the amount that would have been paid or awarded to such executive officer based on the restated financial statements.

## Stock Ownership Guidelines

We have adopted Stock Ownership Guidelines that generally require our directors and any executive officer that has been a Named Executive Officer during any of the prior three years to beneficially own a minimum number of shares of our common stock. For more information, see the section above entitled CORPORATE GOVERNANCE Stock Ownership Guidelines.

#### Insider-Trading Policy Restrictions

Our Insider Trading Policy prohibits directors, officers and other employees from hedging the economic risk of ownership of any shares of our common stock that they own, with certain limited exceptions in the context of business combinations.

#### **Compensation Process**

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee reviews the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and our other Named Executive Officers relative to the compensation paid to similarly situated executives at financial and bank holding companies that we determine to be peer companies. The committee does not benchmark the compensation of the Named Executive Officers to a certain percentage or range of compensation within our peer group, but rather believes that the compensation paid to similarly situated executives should be a point of reference for measurement and not the determinative factor for our Named Executive Officers compensation. Because this peer group analysis is just one of the analytical tools used in setting the compensation of our Named Executive Officers, the committee has discretion in determining the nature and extent of its use. In addition, given the limitations associated with comparative pay information for setting individual executive compensation, including the difficulty of assessing and comparing wealth accumulation through equity gains and post-employment amounts, the committee may elect to not use the peer group analysis at all in the course of making compensation decisions.

For 2015, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee reviewed publicly available compensation information that is furnished to the public by reporting companies that were deemed to be in our peer group. The peer group consists of firms that, based on the total assets, are between approximately 50% and 200% of our size. Generally, the peer group members in 2015 are the same or similar to the members that have been referenced by the committee in past as its primary comparators. The peer group consisted of the following:

Commerce Bancshares, Inc.; Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.; First Horizon National Corporation; FirstMerit Corporation; Fulton Financial Corporation; Hancock Holding Company; IBERIABANK Corporation; International Bancshares Corporation; Old National Bancorp; Prosperity Bancshares, Inc.; Trustmark Corporation; United Community Banks, Inc.; Valley National Bancorp; and Webster Financial Corporation.

For 2016 compensation decisions, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee re-evaluated the members of the peer group and also reviewed additional banks with assets between \$10 billion and \$20 billion for potential inclusion. Existing and prospective peers were excluded whose market footprint did not properly represent our geography or if the institution had fewer than 50 branches as well other statistical reasoning and related analysis. As a result of this process, the peer group for 2016 was revised to consist of the following:

Commerce Bancshares, Inc.; Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.; First Horizon National Corporation; Fulton Financial Corporation; Hancock Holding Company; IBERIABANK Corporation; International Bancshares Corporation; Old National Bancorp; Prosperity Bancshares, Inc.; Trustmark Corporation; Valley National Bancorp; TCF Financial; UMB Financial; FNB Corporation; Astoria Financial; Bank of Hawaii; Washington Federal; MB Financial; and Renasant.

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee s analysis included the following:

The Named Executive Officer s positions from both a pay rank perspective (i.e., highest paid, second-highest paid, third-highest paid, etc.) and a position match perspective (i.e., Chief Executive Officer for Mr. Rollins);

Base salary, annual incentive award, total cash compensation (salary plus cash incentive award), long-term incentive opportunity and total direct compensation (salary plus cash incentive award and long-term incentive opportunity), including peer average and median levels in each component of compensation;

Our percentile ranking versus the peer group for each pay element; and

For each position, the amount we provide to each Named Executive Officer above or below the peer group median.

In addition to this information, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee considered the performance of our competitors and general economic and market conditions. In reviewing the 2015 compensation of our Named Executive Officers, the committee reviewed all components of their respective compensation, including base salary, annual non-equity incentive compensation and long-term incentive compensation. In addition,

the committee reviewed each Named Executive Officer s compensation history and comparative performance information.

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee believes that the overall compensation for our Named Executive Officers is competitive with our peer group and is commensurate with the responsibilities assigned to their respective positions. The differences in the compensation paid to each of our Named Executive Officers in relation to one another is a reflection of differences in the level and scope of responsibility of their respective positions and the market s pattern of providing progressive award opportunities at higher levels.

#### **Components of Compensation**

In determining executive compensation, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee focuses both on the mix of individual components that make up each executive s total compensation as well as the amount of total compensation itself. Each of the components of compensation is discussed in more detail below.

### Base Salary

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee views base salary as one element of overall compensation that is designed to reward competence in the executive role and not a principal means to provide incentive to our executive officers. We believe that base salary ranges should reflect the competitive employment market and the relative internal responsibilities of each executive s position, with an executive s salary within a salary range being based upon his or her individual performance.

For 2015, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee endeavored to understand competitive pay and compensation opportunities for similarly situated executive officers of comparable financial and bank holding companies and to provide reasonably competitive compensation within the context of our achievements. The committee reviewed the base salaries of our executive officers based on the following considerations:

Our salary budget for the applicable fiscal year, which includes the salary of all of our employees;

The executive officer s pattern of achievement with respect to the budget and business plan performance in his or her area(s) of responsibility and overall managerial effectiveness with respect to planning, personnel development, communications, regulatory compliance and similar matters;

Assessment of the competitiveness of the executive s salary as compared to competitive market data (using base salaries for comparable positions at comparable financial and bank holding companies as a reference, described above in the Section entitled - Compensation Process ); in its review of market data, the committee found that, while there were some variances of our executives salaries from salaries for comparable positions, the variances were deemed appropriate and the salaries of our executives on the whole reasonably approximated the salaries at comparable financial and bank holding companies;

The current level of the executive officer s base salary in relation to market competitive salary levels;

Marketplace trends in salary increases (both geographical and by industry); and

Consideration of our overall performance and aggregate cost affordability, retention risks, fairness in view of our overall salary increases and the executive officer s potential for future contributions to the organization.

As a result of considering these factors, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee increased the base salary of each of the Named Executive Officers for 2015 as set forth in the table below. For more information, see the section below entitled EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Summary Compensation Table.

	Pe	ercent Increase from 2014
Name	2015 Base Salary	Base Salary
James D. Rollins III	\$ 800,000	%
Chris A. Bagley	475,000	5.56
William L. Prater	395,000	
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	380,000	4.11
James R. Hodges	375,000	5.63

In January 2016, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee determined the base salary for the executive officers for 2016 based on the same methodology described above. As a result, the base salaries for the Named Executive Officers effective as of January 1, 2016 are as follows:

Name	2016 B	ase Salary
James D. Rollins III	\$	840,000
Chris A. Bagley		495,000
William L. Prater		395,000
W. James Threadgill, Jr.		388,000
James R. Hodges		382,500

Annual Incentive Compensation

Annual non-equity incentive compensation is a component of our executive compensation program designed to reward performance in executive roles. This component of overall compensation furthers our objectives to provide compensation that differentiates pay on the basis of performance, provide compensation commensurate with our performance achievements and protect shareholder interests by requiring achievement of successful results as a condition to earning above-average compensation. We believe that annual incentive compensation should reflect the competitive employment market and the relative internal responsibilities of each executive s position and should provide meaningful compensation opportunities in relation to our achievement of key annual performance goals. We believe that such compensation opportunities motivate executives to achieve our established goals. The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee considers annual incentive awards for similarly situated executive officers of similarly-sized financial and bank holding companies within the context of the competitive market data described above in the section entitled Compensation Process. As of January 2014, we provide annual non-equity incentive compensation opportunities to our executive officers under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan.

Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan. The Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan provides for the payment of cash incentive awards and equity-based awards (issued through the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, discussed below) based upon the achievement of performance goals established by the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee. This plan is intended to increase shareholder value and our success by encouraging outstanding performance by our executive officers who are eligible to participate. In 2015 all executive officers participated in the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan. Payments made under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan are intended to be performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code. The amount of the cash incentive awards may vary among participants from year to year.

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee administers the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan. The committee may establish performance goals for awards granted under the plan based on any of the following business criteria:

Return on average equity or average assets;

Deposits and other funding sources;

Revenue, including interest income and/or non-interest income, and/or return on revenue;

Cash flow (operating, free cash flow return on equity, cash flow return on investment);

Earnings, before or after taxes, interest, depreciation and/or amortization;

Earnings per share;

Net interest margin;

Improvement in credit quality measures, including non-performing asset ratio, net charge-off ratio or reserve coverage of non-performing loans vs. peers;

Efficiency ratio;

Loan growth; and

Total shareholder return.

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee may take into account several factors when establishing performance goals for incentive awards, but these goals must be objectively determinable and based on levels of achievement of the business criteria listed above. No later than 90 days after the beginning of each fiscal year or any other performance period, the committee specifies in writing:

The type of award (i.e., cash or equity);

The target amount payable to each participant;

The maximum amount payable to each participant;

The performance goals upon which each participant s award is conditioned; and

The formula to determine the amount payable or shares that become vested based on the achievement of the specified goals.

The amount of awards may vary among participants and from year to year, but the maximum cash incentive compensation payable to any participant under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan in a year is \$4 million.

Following the applicable performance period, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee certifies in writing for each participant whether the performance goals and any other material conditions have been met. If these goals and conditions have been met, the committee may authorize payment of the amount earned under an award. The

committee has discretion to reduce or eliminate, but not increase, an amount that is payable under an award. The certification of achievement of performance goals under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan, and the corresponding cash incentive payments, occurs in connection with the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2015 Non-Equity Incentive Awards. Awards under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan were made in 2015 to provide cash incentive award opportunities that were a percentage of each participant s base salary, subject to the achievement of the performance goals described below. The cash incentive award opportunities for 2015 for the Named Executive Officers were as follows:

Award Opportunity as a Percentage of Salary

Name	Target	Maximum
James D. Rollins III	100%	200%
Chris A. Bagley	75	150
William L. Prater	65	130
W. James Threadgill	50	100
James R. Hodges	50	100

The target and maximum award opportunities were based on each executive s role and scope of responsibility in the organization. The performance goals set forth below were established by the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee for the Named Executive Officers with respect to the enumerated performance criteria. Our performance in 2015 for each criterion is also set forth in the following table, based on our audited financial results for the year ended December 31, 2015:

			2015
Performance Goal	Target	Calculation Factor	Performance
Total shareholder return	8%	2% per 1% above target	8.13%
Increase in earnings per share	7	2% per 1% above target	15.43
Increase in deposits	5	1% per 1% above target	3.31
Increase in loans	5	1% per 1% above target	6.79
Increase in fee income	5	1% per 1% above target	3.28
Efficiency ratio	73	2% per 1% below target	72.23
Return on average equity	7	2% per 1% above target	8.32
Classified assets/Equity and loan loss			
reserves	30	2% per 1% below target	19.65
Increase in dividends	5	2% per 1% above target	40

Based on our audited financial results for the year ended December 31, 2015, the following cash incentive payments were made to the Named Executive Officers in 2016:

Name	2015 Cash In Censti Venceward Award	l as a Percentage of Salary
James D. Rollins III	\$ 739,726	92.5%
Chris A. Bagley	329,409	69.3
William L. Prater	237,406	60.1
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	175,685	46.2
James R. Hodges	173,373	46.2

#### Long-Term Incentive Compensation

Long-term incentive compensation is another important part of our executive compensation program and provides equity-based awards to reward performance in executive roles and more closely align the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders. The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee s approach has been to provide annual awards of long-term incentive compensation to our executives and other employees through grants of restricted stock and performance shares. Under the relevant shareholder-approved plan the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan the committee may grant non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options, performance shares, restricted stock and restricted stock units. In 2013, the committee amended this plan to prohibit option repricing without shareholder approval and to clarify certain language regarding vesting periods and performance conditions for awards granted under the plan. We believe that the level of long-term incentive compensation should reflect the competitive employment market and the relative internal responsibilities of each executive s position. The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee considers long-term incentive compensation for executive officers at comparable financial and bank holding companies within the context of the competitive market data described above in the section entitled Compensation Process.

## Table of Contents

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee has the ability to use different types of long-term incentive awards for achieving our compensation objectives. For example, the committee may grant:

Stock options to focus on stock price appreciation;

Restricted stock and restricted stock units as an incentive for continued service or to emphasize both our overall performance and executive retention; and

Performance shares as an incentive to improve our overall long-term performance. In 2015, equity-based awards were granted to executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, and other key employees in order to attract and retain key employees and enable those persons to participate in our long-

term success. Under this component of compensation, we granted both restricted stock awards and performance shares to officers in 2015. In determining the total number of equity-based awards to be granted to recipients in 2015, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee considered the number of shares available under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan but had no fixed formula for determining the total number of shares to be granted. In selecting the award recipients and determining the level of equity grants made in 2015, the committee considered a combination of the following: Market competitive data;

The scope of responsibility of each officer;

The degree to which the business unit(s) influenced by each officer contributed to our profits;

The degree to which asset quality and other risk decisions were influenced by each officer s direction;

The number of awards currently held by each officer; and

The long-term management potential of each officer.

No single factor was weighed more heavily than any other factor in determining the amount of equity grants.

Performance Shares. Performance shares granted under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan are long-term incentive awards denominated in shares of our common stock. The value of earned performance shares is determined by the market value of our common stock. The number of shares earned is based on the achievement of goals related to our overall financial and operating performance as determined by the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee. The award cycle for performance shares is three years and is comprised of a two-year performance period followed by a one-year retention period. The performance period is set at two years to reflect a realistic time period for establishing credible performance goals in the current economic environment for the financial services industry and the retention period is set at one year to enhance the retentive power of the performance share awards (three years overall) and so that the impact of stock price performance reflects a longer period. During the retention period, the holders of performance shares generally are not entitled to receive dividends or exercise voting rights with respect to these shares. Prior to January 2014, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee established a circuit breaker for each award that must have been satisfied before performance shares were eligible to be earned for the performance period. Once the circuit breaker was satisfied, awards were earned if threshold performance was achieved with respect to at least one of the two performance measures, subject to continued service during the retention period. The award cycle for long-term incentive compensation is configured so that a new three-year award cycle will begin every year that performance shares are granted.

The performance shares granted in 2014 were subject to the following performance goals for the 2014 through 2015 performance period:

Performance Goal

2-Year Compounded Operating EPS				
Growth	8%	10%	11%	12.9%
2-Year Average ROA	0.80%	1.0%	1.10%	0.97%

Our actual performance for these performance goals was based on our audited financial results for the two years ended December 31, 2015. With respect to the performance shares that were granted in 2014, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee determined in 2016 the number of performance shares actually earned from the applicable matrix based on our actual performance, with straight-line interpolation used for performance between goal levels. The committee determined the performance shares were earned at the 144% level. Accordingly, the following performance shares granted in 2014 have been earned, subject to continued service during the retention period through December 31, 2016:

Name	Number of Performance Shares Earned
James D. Rollins III	16,632
Chris A. Bagley <sup>(1)</sup>	0
William L. Prater	4,824
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	3,672
James R. Hodges	3,384

(1) Mr. Bagley did not receive performance share awards in 2014.

In January 2015, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee granted the following number of performance shares to the Named Executive Officers, subject to the achievement of enumerated performance goals during the 2015 through 2016 performance period and continued service during the retention period:

Name	Threshold	Target	Maximum
James D. Rollins III	2,079	16,500	33,000
Chris A. Bagley	756	6,000	12,000
William L. Prater	252	2,000	4,000
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	327	2,600	5,200
James R. Hodges	327	2,600	5,200

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee established the following performance goals for the 2015 through 2016 performance period with respect to the performance shares granted in 2015:

Performance Goal	Threshold Amoulfarget	AmouMaxin	num Amount
2-Year Compounded Operating EPS Growth	8%	10%	11%
2-Year Average Return on Assets	0.80%	1.00%	1.10%
In January 2014, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee determined to discontinue the circuit			
breaker requirement. Therefore, subject to continued service during the retention period, awards are granted in 2014			
and thereafter are earned if at least threshold performance is achieved with respect to one of the two performance			
measures. The number of performance shares actually earned is then determined from a matrix based on the actual			
performance achieved with respect to each measure.			

In January 2016, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee granted to the Named Executive Officers the following number of performance shares, subject to the achievement of enumerated performance goals during the 2016 through 2017 performance period and continued service during the retention period:

Name	Threshold Amount	Target Amount	Maximum Amount
James D. Rollins III	2,310	18,335	36,670
Chris A. Bagley	850	6,750	13,500
William L. Prater	207	1,650	3,300
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	441	3,500	7,000
James R. Hodges	441	3,500	7,000

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee established the following performance goals for the 2016 through 2017 performance period with respect to the performance shares granted in 2016:

Performance Goal	Threshold AmouTarg	et Amou <b>M</b> axir	num Amount
2-Year Compounded Operating EPS Growth	8%	10%	11%
2-Year Average Return on Assets	0.85%	1.05%	1.15%
L Outions Staals antions anonted under the Long To	ma Danita In continu Dlan .		the basis of

*Stock Options*. Stock options granted under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan vest ratably on the basis of continued employment over the three-year period following the date of grant. The exercise price is equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant and the maximum term of the stock option is seven years.

2	0
5	4

Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Rollins Employment Agreement, in January 2013 Mr. Rollins was to receive a nonqualified stock option award with a value of \$315,000, subject to forfeiture until vested in one-third increments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee determined, however, with Mr. Rollins concurrence, to replace this right to receive a stock option award with an equivalent equity award of either performance shares or performance-based restricted stock. As a result, in March 2014, we granted 21,341 shares of performance-based restricted stock to Mr. Rollins, as described in the section below entitled Restricted Stock.

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee did not grant stock options to our executives in 2015.

*Restricted Stock*. Restricted stock granted under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan is subject to forfeiture if the Named Executive Officer terminates employment before a stated vesting date. The holder is entitled to receive dividends and exercise voting rights prior to the vesting date. On March 26, 2013, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee granted the following number of shares of restricted stock to the Named Executive Officers, all of which vest on May 15, 2018, except as otherwise noted below:

Name	Shares of Restricted Stock
James D. Rollins III <sup>(1)</sup>	21,341
Chris A. Bagley	
William L. Prater	15,000
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	10,000
James R. Hodges	10,000

(1) The award to Mr. Rollins provides for pro-rata vesting on May 15 of each year, conditioned on achievement of either a 0.10% return on average assets or a 2.5% return on average equity for the prior year, subject to the certification of achievement of the performance goals by the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee. Under this formula, 7,114 shares vested on May 15, 2014, 7,114 shares vested on May 15, 2015, and 7,113 shares will vest on May 15, 2016.

In the first quarter of 2014, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee granted the following number of shares of restricted stock to Named Executive Officers, all of which vest on May 15, 2019, except as otherwise set forth below:

Name	Shares of Restricted Stock
James D. Rollins III	22,950
Chris A. Bagley <sup>(1)</sup>	75,000
William L. Prater	6,650
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	5,050
James R. Hodges	4,700

(1) The award to Mr. Bagley was granted on February 3, 2014 and became vested with respect to 40,000 shares on February 1, 2015; 35,000 additional shares will vest on February 1, 2018.

In the first quarter of 2015, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee granted the following number of shares of restricted stock to the Named Executive Officers, all of which vest on May 15, 2020:

Name	Shares of Restricted Stock
James D. Rollins III	33,050
Chris A. Bagley	12,000
William L. Prater	4,000
W. James Threadgill, Jr	5,200
James R. Hodges	5,200

On January 27, 2016, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee granted the following number of shares of restricted stock to the Named Executive Officers, all of which vest on May 15, 2021:

Name	Shares of Restricted Stock
James D. Rollins III	36,665
Chris A. Bagley	13,500
William L. Prater	3,350
W. James Threadgill, Jr	7,000
James R. Hodges	7,000

#### Executive Benefits

We provide our executive officers with benefits in amounts that we believe are reasonable, competitive and consistent with our executive compensation program. We believe that such benefits help us to attract and retain executive officers of the caliber we believe necessary to remain competitive. We offer group life, disability, medical, dental and vision insurance to all our employees, including our Named Executive Officers. We also maintain a Retirement Plan, which is discussed in detail below in the section entitled EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Pension Benefits Retirement Plan. In addition, we maintain bank-owned life insurance that can be used for funding supplemental benefits to certain executive officers.

## <u>Perquisites</u>

We provide our executive officers with perquisites in amounts that we believe help us attract and retain highly-qualified leaders. For certain executives, including the Named Executive Officers, we provide a company automobile and pay the cost of an annual physical examination. On a case-by-case basis we may provide an allowance to the executive for the payment of his or her country club dues. In addition, we own and operate corporate aircraft to facilitate the business travel of our executive officers (including the Named Executive Officers) and the attendance of Board members at Board and Committee meetings. Executives other than Messrs. Rollins and Bagley are generally not entitled to use our aircraft for personal travel except for limited circumstances described in the Company s corporate aircraft policy.

## Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m)

Section 162(m) of the Code generally limits the corporate tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million that is paid to our executives. Qualifying performance-based compensation, however, is fully deductible without regard to the \$1 million limit if certain requirements are met. Section 162(m) also permits full deductibility for certain pension contributions and other payments. The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee has carefully considered the impact of Section 162(m) and its limits on deductibility, and intends that certain of our compensation plans qualify for an exception to the limitations of Section 162(m) so that we may fully deduct compensation paid under these plans. The Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan is considered performance-based for this purpose, as are certain awards under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan.

A portion of the compensation that is payable under certain of our other executive compensation arrangements may exceed the Section 162(m) limitation and, therefore, may not be deductible by us. In adopting these executive compensation arrangements, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee determined that the benefits of these arrangements to us and our shareholders outweighed the inability to deduct a portion of the compensation for federal income tax purposes.

## Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation.

## Table of Contents

## **Employment Agreements and Change in Control Arrangements**

## Employment Agreement

We have no written employment agreements with any of our executives, including the Named Executive Officers, other than an agreement with Mr. Rollins that expired November 27, 2015 (effective November 27, 2012) (the Employment Agreement ). Since the expiration of his Employment Agreement, Mr. Rollins continues to be

employed by us at will and has certain rights pursuant to his Change in Control Agreement (described below) for the duration of his tenure with us.

During the term of his Employment Agreement, Mr. Rollins initial annual base salary was \$700,000 and his guaranteed cash bonus awards of (1) \$470,000 payable on November 27, 2012, (2) \$220,000 paid on March 1, 2013, and (3) \$700,000 paid on February 28, 2014 (this amount was reduced dollar for dollar by the cash award earned under our Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan, discussed above). Pursuant to his Employment Agreement, Mr. Rollins also received certain equity and non-equity awards, including a replacement award to replace unvested restricted stock awards and incentive compensation that he forfeited upon commencing employment with us. For more information on the awards received by Mr. Rollins under his Employment Agreement, see the sections above entitled Components of Compensation Annual Incentive Compensation and Components of Compensation.

# Change in Control Agreements

We have entered into a Change in Control Agreement with certain of our executives, including each of the Named Executive Officers, that provides certain benefits in the event that we experience a change in control and we terminate the executive s employment without cause (includes conviction of a felony or crime moral turpitude, acts of dishonesty or material inattention of duties), or the executive resigns for good reason (includes a diminished duties, reduction in compensation, involuntary relocation or a material breach of employment policies) within 24 months after a change in control.

The cash amount payable is a multiple of each Named Executive Officer s respective annual base compensation and the maximum annual cash incentive award. For Mr. Rollins, the amount payable is 300% of such amounts (but calculated at the target cash incentive rather than maximum), 250% for Mr. Bagley, 200% for Messrs. Prater, Threadgill, and Mr. Hodges. In addition, each Named Executive Officer will receive continued participation in our health and welfare benefit plans and fringe benefit programs for a certain period following termination of employment. For Messrs. Rollins and Bagley, participation is continued for 36 months, 24 months for Messrs. Prater, Threadgill and Hodges. If continued participation is not available under such benefit plans and programs, a cash payment equal to the value of participation will be made to the Named Executive Officer. Upon a change in control, each Named Executive Officer will become fully vested in stock incentive awards that vest over time and will become vested in at least a portion of the awards that are performance based.

Each agreement includes a double trigger (i.e., requiring both a change in control and termination of the executive s employment for the executive to receive payment) so that the executive will only receive additional benefits if a change in control also has an adverse impact on the executive and the surviving entity is not required to provide such benefits if it desires to maintain the services of the executive.

The Named Executive Officers are not entitled to tax gross-up or similar payments on any amounts received under the Change in Control Agreements to account for excise taxes on parachute payments that are defined in Sections 280G and 4999 of the Code. Instead, payments and benefits on a change in control are reduced in the event that the aggregate change in control payments to any Named Executive Officer would result in such a parachute payment. A parachute payment generally is three times average annual compensation. The reduction would be applied to reduce payments and benefits to one dollar below this amount, thereby avoiding imposition of the excise tax that would be imposed on the Named Executive Officer under Section 4999 of the Code. This reduction does not apply, however, in the event that the aggregate payments due on the change in control, net of the excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code, would be greater than the aggregate payments received after applying the reduction.

Pursuant to the terms of the Change in Control Agreements for Mr. Rollins and Mr. Bagley, they are subject to certain restrictive covenants during the term of their employment and for a period of two years after termination of his employment, except in the case of a resignation for good reason. Under these covenants, Messrs. Rollins and Bagley may not (1) operate, own, be employed by or consult with any competing business, (2) directly or indirectly solicit customers or employees of BancorpSouth or any of its affiliates or (3) divulge confidential information about us or our affiliates.

For more information about the amounts payable to the Named Executive Officers under the Change in Control Agreements, see the section below entitled EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

# **Retirement Benefits**

We maintain certain compensatory arrangements as part of our executive compensation program that are intended to provide payments to certain of our employees, including the Named Executive Officers, upon their resignation or retirement. These include our 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan, a defined benefit retirement plan referred to as our Retirement Plan, a supplemental defined benefit plan referred to as our Restoration Plan, a supplemental defined benefit plan referred to as our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and a contributory deferred compensation arrangement referred to as our Deferred Compensation Plan. The purpose of these plans is to provide competitive retirement benefits that enable us to attract and retain talented leaders who will exert considerable influence on our direction and success.

All of our employees who have attained the age of 18 are eligible to participate in our 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan after 30 days of employment. Eligible employees are automatically enrolled into the plan at a contribution rate of three percent of compensation, which is increased annually by one percent to a maximum of six percent of compensation. Alternatively, each participant can elect to contribute any amount up to 75% of their compensation, not to exceed \$24,000 for 2015 (\$18,000 limit for all employees plus \$6,000 maximum catch-up for each employee over the age of 50). The plan has a safe harbor matching contribution design under IRS rules that provide a dollar for dollar match on elective contributions up to the first three percent of salary and a 50% match on elective contributions above three percent, up to five percent of compensation. Catch-up contributions are not matched.

We maintain the Retirement Plan, a tax-qualified, non-contributory, defined benefit retirement plan, for our employees. The Retirement Plan provides benefits for employees who are at least age of 21 and have completed one year of service. Such employees hired prior to January 1, 2006 accrue benefits that are based primarily on final average compensation and length of service until December 31, 2016. After that date, such employees will accrue benefits based on a cash balance formula. Employees hired on or after January 1, 2006 accrue benefits under a cash balance formula as of January 1, 2012. For 2015, the maximum annual benefit allowable under the Code with respect to the Retirement Plan was \$210,000 and the maximum amount of allowable annual compensation considered was \$265,000.

We have also adopted the Restoration Plan, a non-qualified, non-contributory, unfunded defined benefit pension plan for certain officers. Benefits under the Restoration Plan are based on the same formulae as those in the Retirement Plan, but only to the extent that compensation and annual benefit accruals exceed the limits under the Code and, therefore, are not included in the Retirement Plan.

We maintain the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, a non-qualified, non-contributory, unfunded defined benefit pension arrangement for selected key employees in the form of a deferred compensation agreement. Benefits under the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan are based primarily on final average compensation. This arrangement supplements the benefits under the Retirement Plan and the Restoration Plan.

We also maintain the Deferred Compensation Plan to allow certain members of senior management to defer a portion of their cash compensation. Amounts that are deferred are credited with a market interest rate and are paid out upon retirement or termination of employment.

Prior to January 1, 2012, employees hired on or after January 1, 2006 did not receive any benefit under the Retirement Plan or the Restoration Plan, and instead received an automatic contribution to the 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan equal to 2% of their respective salaries. This additional 2% contribution was not dependent on employee deferrals to the 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan. This automatic contribution was discontinued as of January 1, 2012. All of our Named Executive Officers, except Messrs. Bagley, Prater and Rollins, who were hired after January 1, 2006, participate in the Retirement Plan and the Restoration Plan and accrue benefits that are based primarily on final average compensation and length of service until December 31, 2016, after which they will accrue benefits based on a cash balance formula. Mr. Prater participates in the Retirement Plan and the Restoration Plan and accrues benefits based on a cash balance formula effective January 1, 2012. Mr. Rollins participates in the Retirement Plan and the Restoration Plan and accrues benefits based on a cash balance formula effective November 27, 2013. Mr. Bagley

participates in the Retirement Plan and the Restoration Plan and accrues benefits based on a cash balance formula effective February 3, 2015.

Each of the Named Executive Officers other than Messrs. Rollins, Bagley and Prater is eligible for normal or early retirement pursuant to the 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan, the Retirement Plan, the Restoration Plan, the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and the Deferred Compensation Plan. Messrs. Rollins, Bagley and Prater are eligible for normal or early retirement pursuant to the 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan, the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and the Deferred Compensation Plan. Messrs. Rollins, Bagley and Prater are eligible for normal or early retirement pursuant to the 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan, the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and the Deferred Compensation Plan. The amounts each Named Executive Officer would have received under these plans if he had retired on December 31, 2015 are provided below in the section entitled EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

# Life Insurance Plans

# Life Insurance Plan

BancorpSouth Bank maintains a new Split Dollar Life Insurance Plan that provides benefits to us and certain executive officers upon death. Each of our Named Executive Officers participate in this plan, other than Mr. Bagley. The death benefit is an amount up to 250% of the participant s total compensation, subject to certain limitations and a maximum death benefit is \$750,000. However, the maximum death benefit for Mr. Rollins is \$1 million. Mr. Bagley currently participates in the group term life insurance program that we maintain for our non-executive employees and is entitled to a death benefit \$600,000 through that program. BancorpSouth Bank is the sole owner of the corresponding life insurance policies and pays the premiums due on the policies. The Split Dollar Life Insurance Plan provides that a participant s beneficiary will be entitled to certain death benefits if the participant s death occurs:

Before his or her separation from service;

Within 24 months following a change in control (as defined in the Split Dollar Life Insurance Plan); or

After the employee has reached age 55 and completed five years of participation. All proceeds in excess of the death benefits received by the participant s beneficiary are retained by BancorpSouth Bank to offset the cost of providing the benefit. With respect to Mr. Bagley, there are no excess death benefits payable under the group term insurance program.

## Survivor Income Agreements

We have entered into a Survivor Income Agreement with each of Messrs. Hodges and Threadgill. The death benefit under these agreements is an amount up to 250% of the participant s total compensation, subject to certain limitations. The maximum death benefit for each of Messrs. Hodges and Threadgill is \$550,000. We own the corresponding life insurance policies, prepaid all premiums due on the policies and are generally required to maintain the policies in full force and effect. Upon the executive s retirement or a change of control (as defined in the Survivor Income Agreement), however, the executive may elect to have a portion of the life insurance policy converted to a split dollar arrangement, in which case the executive will become the owner of a portion of the policy and we will pay a gross-up for any taxes owed by the executive in connection with the conversion. Further, we may generally elect to terminate

any of the life insurance policies at any time, in which case the executive will have 30 days to purchase the policy from us. The agreements provide that the executive s beneficiary will be entitled to certain death benefits if the executive s death occurs:

While he or she is an active employee; or

After he or she ceases to be an active employee because of disability but before recovering from such disability.

All proceeds in excess of the death benefits received by the executive s beneficiary are retained by us to offset the cost of providing the benefit.

## **Risk Management Considerations**

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee reviews the risks and rewards associated with our compensation programs. The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee designs our compensation programs with features that mitigate risk without diminishing the incentive nature of the compensation. The committee believes that our compensation programs encourage and reward prudent business judgment and appropriate risk-taking over the long term. In addition, as discussed above in the section entitled Compensation Policy, we have an Executive Compensation Policy, which sets forth the conditions under which we may recover excess incentive-based compensation paid or awarded to or received by any of our current or former executive officers. As of 2013, the Chairman of the Risk Management Committee meets at least annually with either the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive or its Chairman.

Together, the features of our executive compensation program are intended to:

Ensure that our compensation opportunities do not encourage excessive risk taking; and

Focus our executive officers on managing BancorpSouth towards creating long-term, sustainable value for our shareholders.

# **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

#### **Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth certain information concerning compensation paid or accrued by us for the last three years with respect to each of our Named Executive Officers the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, our three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers at December 31, 2015 and whose total compensation for 2015 exceeded \$100,000:

						Change in		
						Pension Value		
						and		
				Non-Equity		Nonqualified		
				Incentive	<b>a</b> 1	Deferred		
Norma and Dringing Desition	Veer	Calarry	Domuo	Plan		Compensation $E_{armin} = a^{(3)} C$		(4) <b>T</b> atal
Name and Principal Position James D. Rollins III	Year 2015	Salary \$ 800,000	Bonus \$	Compensation <sup>(1)</sup> \$739,726	\$ 1,102,488		Compensation \$ 40,573	<sup>(4)</sup> Total \$2,753,144
James D. Komins m	2013	\$ 800,000 800,000	φ	\$739,720 546,599	\$1,102,488		\$ 40,373 48,938	\$ 2,733,144 2,722,468
Chairman and Chief	2014	700,000	220,000	· ·	349,566	,	177,766	2,378,575
Executive Officer	2010	100,000	,	101,200	0.0,000	110,110	17.,.00	2,0 / 0,0 / 2
Chris A. Bagley	2015	475,000		329,409	400,500	229,500	36,777	1,471,186
Chills A. Dagicy	2013	337,500	119,404(		1,701,750		9,404	2,757,646
President and Chief Operating Officer	201.		,		1,,01,,20		,	2,707,010
William L. Prater	2015	395,000		237,406	133,500	84,310	27,290	877,506
	2014	395,000		175,424	244,800		25,074	1,015,393
Senior Executive Vice	2013	360,000		202,500	326,880	48,734	22,818	960,932
President, Treasurer and								
Chief Financial Officer								
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	2015	380,000		175,685	173,550		28,991	908,922
	2014	365,000		124,693	186,048		28,032	1,410,535
Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Business Development Officer	2013	322,393		181,346	230,220	(7)	29,608	763,567
James R. Hodges <sup>(8)</sup>	2015	375,000		173,373	173,550	354,887	18,731	1,095,541
	2014	355,000		121,277	172,584	912,875	18,831	1,580,567
Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer								

(1) The amounts shown reflect cash awards earned under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan. For the year 2013, however, the amounts shown for Messrs. Prater and Threadgill were earned

under a discontinued incentive plan.

- (2) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock and performance shares computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Stock Compensation, or FASB ASC Topic 718. For more information about the restricted stock and performance shares, see the sections above entitled COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Components of Compensation -Long-Term Incentive Compensation - Restricted Stock and COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Components of Compensation - Long-Term Incentive Compensation - Performance Shares, respectively.
- (3) The key assumptions used to determine the pension values are described below in the section entitled Pension Benefits Assumptions Used to Calculate Pension Values.
- (4) Details of the amounts reported as All Other Compensation for 2015 are as follows:

							Ir	nputed				
							Inc	ome for		Corporate		
	2	401(k)	C	ompany	Club	Physical	Life	Insuranc	e Civic	Aircraft	Ta	x Gross-
Name	Cor	ntribution	Au	tomobile	Allowance	Exam	В	enefit*	Dues	Use**	1	ıp***
James D. Rollins III	\$	13,250	\$	9,760	\$	\$	\$	1,040	\$	\$ 12,887	\$	3,636
Chris A. Bagley		13,250		13,424				1,122		6,049		2,932
William L. Prater		13,250		12,843		525		672				
W. James Threadgill, Jr.		13,250		10,497	3,780	525		836				103
James R. Hodges		13,250		3,977		525		979				

- \* Reflects the amount of imputed income with respect to participation in BancorpSouth Bank s life insurance plans. For more information about these plans, see the section above entitled COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Life Insurance Plan.
- \*\* We report use of corporate aircraft by the Named Executive Officers as a perquisite or other personal benefit only if it is not integrally and directly related to the performance of the executive s duties. While we maintain aircraft, the Named Executive Officers other than Messrs. Rollins and Bagley are generally not entitled to use our aircraft for personal travel except for limited circumstances described in the Company s corporate aircraft policy. SEC rules require us to report any such use as compensation in an amount equal to our aggregate incremental cost. The amount reported for Mr. Rollins relates to five round-trip flights taken in connection with non-business purpose flights on our corporate aircraft. The amount reported for Mr. Bagley relates to one round-trip flight taken in connection with a non-business purpose flight on our aircraft. We estimate our aggregate incremental cost to be equal to the average operating cost per hour for the year (which includes items such as fuel, maintenance, landing fees, additional crew expenses and other expenses incurred based on the number of hours flown per year) multiplied by the number of hours for each flight.
- \*\*\* The amount reported for each of Messrs. Rollins and Bagley relates to a tax gross-up for his spouse accompanying him on multiple business purpose flights on our corporate aircraft. The amount reported for Mr. Threadgill relates to a tax gross-up for his spouse accompanying him on a business purpose flight on our corporate aircraft.
- (5) For 2013, the amount that Mr. Rollins earned under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan based on actual performance exceeded the guaranteed minimum payment of \$700,000 he was entitled to receive under his Employment Agreement.
- (6) Mr. Bagley commenced his employment with the Company in February 2014; therefore, no information is provided for him with respect to 2013. The amount in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column reflects the amount that Mr. Bagley earned under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan for 2014 based on performance. This was less than the \$350,000 guaranteed minimum bonus that Mr. Bagley was entitled to receive as a condition of employment. The amount in the Bonus column for 2014 reflects the difference between the guaranteed amount and the amount earned under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Performance Incentive Plan.
- (7) Because the change in pension value for Mr. Threadgill in 2013 was a decrease of \$70,678, the amount is reflected as zero.
- (8) Because Mr. Hodges was not a Named Executive Officer with respect to 2013, information is provided for 2015 and 2014 only.

## Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings

The change in each executive s pension value that is reported in the Summary Compensation Table is the change in our obligation to provide pension benefits (at a future retirement date) from the beginning of the fiscal year to the end of the fiscal year. The obligation is the value of a benefit, as of December 31 of each respective year, that will be paid at the executive s normal retirement date (age 65) based on the benefit formula and the executive s current pay and service.

Change in pension values may be a result of various sources such as:

*Service accruals.* As the executive earns an additional year of service, the present value of the liability increases because the executive has earned one year more service than he had at the prior

measurement date.

*Compensation increases/decreases.* As the executive s compensation increases, the present value of the liability increases because the executive s average compensation under each plan has increased since the prior measurement date. If the executive s compensation decreases, however, the average compensation under each plan normally will not decrease as a result of the definition of average compensation.

*Aging.* The change in pension values shown in the Summary Compensation Table are present values of retirement benefits that will be paid in the future. Generally, as the executive approaches retirement age, the present value of the liability increases because the executive is one year closer to retirement.

*Changes in assumptions.* The change in pension values shown in the Summary Compensation Table is the present value of the increase in pension benefits during the applicable year. A discount rate and mortality table are used to calculate these values. The discount rates used under the Retirement Plan, the Restoration Plan and the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan all increased since the prior year, which caused a decrease in the present value of the benefit as of December 31, 2015. The mortality table was updated since the prior year to reflect the latest mortality improvements, which decreased the present value of the benefit as of December 31, 2015.

The pension benefits and assumptions used to calculate these values are described in more detail in the section below entitled Pension Benefits.

# **Realized Compensation for 2015**

The SEC s calculation of total compensation, as shown in the section above entitled Summary Compensation Table, includes several items that are driven by accounting and actuarial assumptions, which are not necessarily reflective of compensation actually realized by the Named Executive Officers. To supplement the SEC-required disclosure, we have included the following additional table, which shows compensation actually realized in 2015 by each Named Executive Officer:

Name and Principal Position	Total 2015 Rea	alized Compensation <sup>(1)</sup>
James D. Rollins III	\$	3,867,650
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer		
Chris A. Bagley		1,800,786
President and Chief Operating Officer		
William L. Prater		1,043,497
Senior Executive Vice President,		
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer		
W. James Threadgill, Jr.		944,420
Senior Executive Vice President and		
Chief Business Development Officer		
James R. Hodges		794,491
Senior Executive Vice President and		
Chief Credit Officer		
0 00		

(1) Amounts reported as realized compensation differ substantially from the amounts determined under SEC rules and reported as total compensation in the section above entitled Summary Compensation Table. Realized compensation is not a substitute for total compensation. As compared to the amounts reported in the Summary Compensation Table, Total Realized Compensation represents (a) the amount calculated under applicable SEC rules and set forth in the Total column of the Summary Compensation Table, *minus* (b) the aggregate grant date fair value of equity awards (as reflected in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns of the Summary Compensation Table), *minus* (c) the year-over-year change in pension value and nonqualified deferred compensation earnings (as reflected in the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column of the Summary Compensation Table), *plus* (d) the value realized from the exercise of stock options and the vesting of restricted stock or performance shares before payment of any applicable withholding taxes and brokerage commissions (as reflected in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested table below).

### **Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding plan-based awards granted to the Named Executive Officers during 2015:

						All Other Stock	Grant Date
	Estimate	d Future	Estimat	ed Future	Payouts	Awards:	Fair
	Payo	outs		Under	5	Number	Value
Un		•	· ·	•		of	of Stock
	Plan Aw	vards <sup>(1)</sup>		Awards <sup>(2</sup>	.)		and
rant	_			_			Option
	•		Threshold	Target	Maximum	or Units <sup>(3)</sup>	Awards <sup>(4)</sup>
	800,000	1,600,000	2 079	16 500	33,000		\$ 367,125 <sup>(5)</sup>
2/15			2,079	10,200	22,000	33,050	735,363
	356,250	712,500				,	,
/2/15			756	6,000	12,000		133,500 <sup>(5)</sup>
/2/15						12,000	267,000
	256,750	513,500	252	• • • • •	4.000		44.500(5)
			252	2,000	4,000	4 000	44,500 <sup>(5)</sup>
2/15						4,000	89,000
	100.000	380.000					
12/15	190,000	380,000	327	2 600	5 200		57,850 <sup>(5)</sup>
			521	2,000	5,200	5.200	115,700
	187,500	375,000				- )— • •	- ,. • •
/2/15			327	2,600	5,200		57,850 <sup>(5)</sup>
/2/15						5,200	115,700
	rant ate 2/15 2/15 2/15 2/15 2/15 2/15 2/15 2/15	Payo Payo	Plan Awards <sup>(1)</sup> rant Target Maximum 800,000 1,600,000 2/15 2/15 2/15 2/15 2/15 2/15 2/15 190,000 380,000 2/15 2/15 187,500 375,000 2/15	Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive       Equity Plan Awards <sup>(1)</sup> rant       Target       Maximum       Threshold         800,000       1,600,000       2,079       2         2/15       356,250       712,500       2,079         2/15       356,250       513,500       252         2/15       256,750       513,500       252         2/15       190,000       380,000       327         2/15       187,500       375,000       327	Payouts       Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards <sup>(1)</sup> Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards <sup>(2)</sup> rant       Target       Maximum       Threshold       Target         800,000       1,600,000       2,079       16,500         2/15       356,250       712,500       2,079       16,500         2/15       356,250       712,500       756       6,000         2/15       256,750       513,500       252       2,000         2/15       256,750       513,500       252       2,000         2/15       380,000       380,000       327       2,600         2/15       187,500       375,000       327       2,600	Payouts       Under         Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards <sup>(1)</sup> Equity Incentive Equity Incentive Plan Awards <sup>(2)</sup> rant ate       Target       Maximum         800,000       1,600,000         2/15       2,079       16,500         2/15       356,250       712,500         2/15       256,750       513,500         2/15       256,750       513,500         2/15       252       2,000       4,000         2/15       190,000       380,000       327       2,600       5,200         2/15       187,500       375,000       327       2,600       5,200	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

(1) The estimated payouts shown reflect cash incentive awards granted under the Amended and Restated Executive Performance Incentive Plan, where receipt is contingent upon the achievement of certain performance goals. For more information about the awards, see the

section above entitled COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS Components of Compensation Annual Incentive Compensation.

- (2) Reflects the number of performance shares granted under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan that will vest on January 1, 2018 upon the achievement of certain performance goals for the 2015 through 2016 performance period and continued service through the 2017 retention period. For more information, see the section above entitled COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS Components of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation Performance Shares.
- (3) Reflects shares of restricted stock granted under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, all of which vest on May 15, 2020.
- (4) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (5) With respect to performance shares granted under our Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, the amounts shown assume that target performance goals are attained during the 2015 through 2016 performance period and service continues through the 2017 retention period. For additional information, see the section above entitled COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS Components of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation Performance Shares.

# **Outstanding Equity Awards at 2015 Fiscal Year-End**

The following table provides certain information with respect to the Named Executive Officers regarding outstanding equity awards as of December 31, 2015:

	~						
							Equity
							Incentive Plan
						Equity	Awards:
						Incentive Plar	n Market or
						Awards:	Payout
						Number	Value
				Number of		of	of
				Shares		Unearned	Unearned
Num	ber of Securities U	Inderlying		or	Market	Shares,	Shares,
	Unexercised			Units of	Value of	Units	Units
	Options <sup>(1)</sup>			Stock	Shares or o	or Other Right	s or Other
	•			That	Units of	That	Rights
		Option	Option	Have	Stock Held	Have	That
		Exercise	Expiration	Not	that Have	Not	Have Not
Name	(Exercisable) xerc	isablePrice	Date	Vested	Not Vested <sup>(2)</sup>	Vested <sup>(3)</sup>	Vested <sup>(2)</sup>
James D. Rollins	5						
III				87,196 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 2,091,832	33,132	\$ 794,837
Chris A. Bagley				$47,000^{(5)}$	1,127,530	6,000	143,940
William L. Prate	r			25,650(6)	615,344	6,824	163,708
W. James							
Threadgill, Jr.	15,000	22.39	10/31/2016				
U ,	,			20,250(6)	485,798	6,272	150,465
James R. Hodge	s 2,500	22.39	10/31/2016				
U				19,900(6)	477,401	5,984	143,556
				, -	, -	,	,

#### Stock Awards

- (1) The amounts shown reflect option awards granted under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan.
- (2) Based upon the closing sale price of our common stock of \$23.99 per share, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2015.
- (3) Reflects the aggregate target number of performance shares granted in 2014 and 2015 under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan subject to the achievement of certain performance goals at the target level and continued service. For the performance shares granted in 2014, the performance goals were attained at 144% of the target level and the performance shares earned will become vested on December 31, 2016, conditioned on continued service. For the performance shares granted in 2015, the target number of shares is reflected in the table. For more information about the awards, see the section above entitled COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS Components of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation Performance Shares.
- (4) Reflects (a) 24,083 shares of restricted stock granted pursuant to the terms of Mr. Rollins Employment Agreement, which are subject to forfeiture until fully vested on November 27, 2017, (b) 7,113 shares of performance-based restricted stock granted under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan which vests on May 15, 2016, subject to the achievement of applicable performance goals and continued employment and (c) 56,000 shares of restricted stock granted under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, a portion of which vests on May 15, 2019 and the remainder of which vest on May 15, 2020.
- (5) Reflects 35,000 shares of restricted stock granted under the Long Term Incentive Plan, which are subject to forfeiture until fully vested on February 3, 2018; the remainder reflects shares of restricted stock granted under the Long Term Equity Incentive Plan which fully vest May 15, 2020.
- (6) Reflects shares of restricted stock granted under the Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, a portion of which vests on May 15, 2018, May 15, 2019, and the remainder of which vest on May 15, 2020.

# **Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

The following table shows the amounts received by the Named Executive Officers upon the exercise of options or the vesting of restricted stock or performance shares during 2015:

	Option Awards		Stock Awards		
	Number of Shares	Value Realized	Number of Shares	Val	ue Realized on
Name	Acquired on Exercis	e Upon ExerciseA	cquired on Vesting	(1)	Vesting <sup>(2)</sup>
James D. Rollins III		\$	95,346	\$	2,287,351
Chris A. Bagley			40,000		959,600
William L. Prater	24,900	224,028	6,660		159,773
W. James Threadgill, Jr.	39,000	199,971	6,660		159,773
James R. Hodges	11,000	67,614	6,660		159,773

(1) The vested shares acquired by Messrs. Rollins and Bagley were from restricted stock awards. The vested shares acquired by Messrs. Prater, Threadgill and Hodges were from performance awards.

(2) Based upon the closing sale price of our common stock of \$23.99 per share, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2015.

# **Pension Benefits**

The following table provides information regarding the present value of the accumulated benefit to each of the Named Executive Officers based on the number of years of credited service under our defined benefit retirement plans as of December 31, 2015:

		Number of Years	Present Value of	Payments
		Credited	Accumulated	During Last
Name	Plan Name	Service	Benefit	Fiscal Year
James D. Rollins III	Retirement Plan	N/A	\$ 16,332	\$
	Restoration Plan	N/A	66,233	
	Supplemental Executive			
	Retirement Plan	N/A	1,286,661	
Chris A. Bagley	Retirement Plan	N/A	10,414	
	Restoration Plan	N/A	13,254	
	Supplemental Executive			
	Retirement Plan	N/A	572,410	
William L. Prater	Retirement Plan	N/A	21,087	
	Restoration Plan	N/A	23,684	
	Supplemental Executive			
	Retirement Plan	N/A	581,823	
W. James				
Threadgill, Jr.	Retirement Plan	29 years	880,412	
	Restoration Plan	29 years	926,410	
	Supplemental Executive			
	Retirement Plan	N/A	634,449	
James R. Hodges	Retirement Plan	43 years (1)	1,166,341	
	Restoration Plan	43 years (1)	987,433	
	Supplemental Executive			
	Retirement Plan	N/A	642,489	

# (1) Benefit service is capped at 35 years. *Retirement Plan*

We maintain a tax-qualified, non-contributory, defined benefit retirement plan for our employees who have reached the age of 21 and have completed one year of service. Such employees who were hired prior to January 1, 2006 participate in the Retirement Plan and accrue benefits that are based primarily on final average compensation and length of service until December 31, 2016, after which they will accrue benefits based on a cash balance formula. Eligible employees hired on or after January 1, 2006 participate in the Retirement Plan and accrue benefits based on a cash balance formula.

The key provisions of the Retirement Plan are as follows:

*Monthly benefit*. Participants with a vested benefit will be eligible to receive retirement benefits, calculated using the following formulae, each month for the rest of their lives beginning on their normal retirement date (i.e., the date they reach age 65):

<u>Final average pay formula</u>. This formula applies for employees hired prior to January 1, 2006. On December 31, 2016, the final average pay benefit will be frozen and accruals in 2017 and later for employees hired prior to January 1, 2006 will be based on the cash balance formula. The final average pay formula is the sum of:

0.65% of the average compensation times years of service up to 35 years; plus

0.65% of the average compensation in excess of covered compensation (average of the Social Security wage base) times years of service up to 35 years.

<u>Cash balance formula</u>. This formula applied beginning January 1, 2012 for employees hired on or after January 1, 2006 and beginning January 1, 2017 for employees hired before January 1, 2006. The cash balance formula is based on the following:

Retirement benefit will be based on the value of a hypothetical account balance that is credited with 2.5% of pay for each year that the participant works at least 1,000 hours; and

The hypothetical account will be granted interest credits each year based on the yield of the six-month Treasury Bill as of the prior September plus 150 basis points.

Additional provisions may apply for participants who worked for a company that was acquired by us. Benefits are limited to the annual benefit limit set forth in Code Section 415, which was \$210,000 per year in 2015.

Average compensation. Average compensation is the average of eligible pay earned over the period of five consecutive years that produces the highest average. This amount is subject to the annual compensation limit in Code Section 401(a)(17), which was \$265,000 in 2015.

*Integration with Social Security (covered compensation).* As permitted by the Code, the final average pay formula provides higher benefit accruals for participants earning in excess of covered compensation (a 35-year average of the taxable wage base) so that their total retirement income (including Social Security benefits) as a percentage of compensation will be comparable to that of other employees.

Vesting. Participants become vested after reaching three years of service.

*Early retirement benefits.* Participants may elect to retire prior to their normal retirement date. If a participant is at least age 55 and has at least ten years of service, then he or she may receive benefits early. In such cases, the monthly benefit based on the final average pay formula will be calculated using the benefit formula described above, reduced by the sum of 6.67% times the number of years (up to five) that the participant elects to retire prior to the normal retirement date, plus 3.33% times the number of years (up to five) that the participant elects to retire prior to age 60. Based on the cash balance formula, the monthly benefit will be a single life annuity that is actuarially equivalent to the cash balance account value payable as of the early retirement date.

*Death benefits.* The participant s beneficiary will receive a life annuity in an aggregate amount equal to the greater of (1) 50% of the amount the participant would have received if he or she had survived and elected the qualified joint and 50% contingent option payable at the earliest date allowed under the plan or (2) an amount that can be provided by the present value of the participant s accrued benefit based on the final average pay formula plus the cash balance account value as of the participant s date

of death. If the participant made a valid election as of December 31, 2008, the beneficiary will receive a lump sum payment with respect to benefits accrued prior to January 1, 2004.

*Disability benefits*. Disabled participants will receive their accrued benefit determined as of the date of disability.

*Special note on lump sum payments.* The Retirement Plan has limited the lump sum value of benefits accrued after December 31, 2003 to \$20,000. If the lump sum value of the portion of the participant s benefit that has accrued since December 31, 2003 exceeds \$20,000, the participant will not be eligible to receive a single lump sum payment equal to the value of all of his or her retirement benefits. Instead, the participant will be eligible to receive a single lump sum payment equal to the value of all of his or her retirement benefits that accrued up to December 31, 2003. Then, the portion of the participant s benefit that has accrued since December 31, 2003 will be available as a residual annuity payment in addition to the lump sum payment option. A participant may, however, receive a lump sum payment of the entire benefit based on the cash balance formula.

## **Restoration Plan**

This plan provides a supplement to our Retirement Plan for amounts that exceed the statutory limits on qualified plans under the Code. As a result, the executives, officers and management employees designated to participate in this plan will have a similar total retirement income as a percentage of total compensation as our other employees. This plan applies to compensation earned in excess of the limitation of Section 401(a)(17) of the Code (i.e., \$265,000 in 2015). It also provides benefits that would otherwise be reduced by the annual limitation on annuity payments under Section 415 of the Code (i.e., \$210,000 in 2015). Benefits are calculated by applying the same benefit formulae that apply under the Retirement Plan to the average compensation based on the final average pay formula or the annual compensation is the same as defined in the Retirement Plan but excludes commissions and includes compensation that is deferred under the Deferred Compensation Plan. Benefits are forfeited if the participant has not earned three years of vesting service under our Retirement Plan, is terminated for cause or violates certain noncompete or confidentiality covenants. Benefits are paid out of our general assets and are not dependent on investment returns or interest earned. Benefits are paid in the form of an annuity at the later of age 55 or separation from service, and benefits under the cash balance formula assets and are not dependent on investment returns or interest earned.

In general, the provisions for the Restoration Plan are identical to the provisions of the Retirement Plan, except the benefits are calculated without regard to the limits set by the Code in connection with compensation and benefits. The net benefit payable under the plan is the difference between this gross benefit and the benefit payable by the Retirement Plan.

## Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

We sponsor a non-qualified, non-contributory, unfunded defined benefit pension arrangement for select key employees. Benefits are paid out of our general assets and are not impacted by investment returns or interest earned. The key provisions of the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan are as follows:

*Monthly benefit*. Eligible participants will receive 15% of average compensation, payable on the date of the participant s retirement after age 65. As a result of an amendment to the plan in 2012, the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee has the authority to provide additional benefits in an amount up to \$1,000 per month for the maximum payment period.

*Average compensation*. Average compensation is the average of eligible pay earned over the period of 36 months beginning January 1, 2006 or later that produces the highest average. Earnings in this plan include compensation that is deferred under the Deferred Compensation Plan.

*Eligibility*. Participants are a select group of management or highly compensated employees who are designated by the Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee to participate.

*Early retirement benefits.* Participants may elect to retire and commence payments as early as age 55. The monthly benefit is calculated in the same manner as the normal retirement benefit, but is reduced 5% for each year that the participant elects to retire prior to age 65.

*Death, disability and change in control benefits.* If a participant dies or becomes totally and permanently disabled prior to retirement, the participant s designated beneficiary will receive the early retirement benefit described above, but such an amount will not be less than one-half of the normal retirement benefit (i.e., 7.5% of average monthly compensation). Upon termination of employment following a change in control, the participant will receive the full retirement benefit with no reduction for termination prior to age 65.

*Form of benefit payment*. All benefits will be paid in equal consecutive monthly installments over a period of ten years.

*Forfeiture of benefits.* Except in the event of death, disability or a change in control, benefits under the plan are forfeited by participants who terminate employment prior to age 55. Benefits are also forfeited if a participant violates noncompete or confidentiality covenants.

# Compounding Effect of Compensation Increases

The Executive Compensation and Stock Incentive Committee is aware that compensation increases for executive officers having the effect of enhancing benefits under its pension plans, particularly the Restoration Plan and the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. In general, these are defined benefit plans that are based on average compensation over five and three years, respectively. Salary and cash incentive award increases tend to have only a modest compounding impact on total amounts received by executives. Willis Towers Watson, in its capacity as benefits consultant and pension actuary, provides us with relevant information so that the committee is able to consider the compounding effect of compensation adjustments under these programs.

# Assumptions Used to Calculate Pension Values

Because the pension amounts shown in the Summary Compensation Table and the Pension Benefits Table are projections of future retirement benefits, numerous assumptions have been applied. In general, the assumptions should be the same as those used to calculate the pension liabilities in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 715, Compensation Retirement Benefits, or FASB ASC Topic 715, on the measurement date, although SEC rules specify certain exceptions (as noted in the table below).

The changes in pension values shown in the Summary Compensation Table are determined as the change in the values during the fiscal year (including the impact of changing assumptions from the prior fiscal year). The accumulated pension values shown in the Pension Benefits Table are based on the assumptions applied as of December 31, 2015.

The following key assumptions are used to determine the pension values:

Assumption	Basis for Assumption	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2015
Discount rate	Under SEC rules, discount rate used to measure pension liabilities under FASB ASC Topic 715.	4.10% for the Retirement Plan; 3.90% for the Restoration Plan; 3.10% for the Supplemental Executive Plan	4.44% for the Retirement Plan; 4.20% for the Restoration Plan; 3.40% for the Supplemental Executive Plan
Rate of future salary increases	Under SEC rules, no salary projection.	0%	0%
Form of payment	<i>Retirement Plan</i> : normal form of payment. <sup>(1)</sup> <i>Restoration Plan</i> : normal form of payment. <sup>(2)</sup>	Life annuity Specified by participant	Life annuity Specified by participant
		Ten-year certain annuity	Ten-year certain annuity
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan: normal form of payment.		
Date of retirement	For Summary Compensation Table and Pension Benefits Table, use normal retirement age pursuant to SEC rules. For Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in- Control Tables, use the determination	Age 65	Age 65
	date.	Immediate <sup>(3)</sup>	Immediate <sup>(3)</sup>
Lump sum interest rate	For Summary Compensation Table and Pension Benefits Table, use same assumption to measure pension liabilities under FASB ASC Topic 715.	Assumed equal to the discount rate used for the Retirement Plan.	Assumed equal to the discount rate used for the Retirement Plan.
	For Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in- Control Tables, use interest rate defined by the plan for the upcoming plan year pursuant to §417(e) of the Code.	Rates as specified at the time of payment by the Treasury under §417(e) of the Code.	Rates as specified at the time of payment by the Treasury under §417(e) of the Code.
Post-retirement mortality	For Summary Compensation Table and Pension Benefits Table, use same assumption to measure pension liabilities under FASB ASC Topic 715.		