

EXXON MOBIL CORP  
Form 8-K  
July 07, 2011

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 8-K**

**CURRENT REPORT**  
**Pursuant to Section 13 OR 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): June 30, 2011

**Exxon Mobil Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**New Jersey**  
(State or other jurisdiction)

**1-2256**  
(Commission)

**13-5409005**  
(IRS Employer)

of incorporation)

File Number)

Identification No.)

**5959 LAS COLINAS BOULEVARD, IRVING, TEXAS 75039-2298**

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(972) 444-1000**

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))



Item 8.01

Other Events

On June 30, 2011, a state district court jury in Baltimore County, Maryland returned a verdict against Exxon Mobil Corporation in a case involving an accidental 26,000 gallon gasoline leak at a suburban Baltimore service station. The verdict included approximately \$497 million in compensatory damages and approximately \$1.045 billion in punitive damages in a finding that ExxonMobil fraudulently misled the plaintiff-residents about the events leading up to the leak, the leak's discovery, and the nature and extent of any groundwater contamination. ExxonMobil believes the verdict is not justified by the evidence and that the amount of the award is grossly excessive and unconstitutional. ExxonMobil will appeal the decision following entry of final judgment. In a prior trial involving the same leak, the jury awarded plaintiff-residents compensatory damages but decided against punitive damages. That case is on appeal.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION

Date: July 7, 2011

By:

/s/ Patrick T. Mulva

-----

Name:

Patrick T. Mulva

Title:

Vice President, Controller and

Principal Accounting Officer